

This is to provide comment on the Proposed Text of Emergency Regulation regarding water conservation due to the drought,  
[http://www.waterboards.ca.gov/waterrights/water\\_issues/programs/drought/docs/emergency\\_regulations/draft\\_emergency\\_regs.pdf](http://www.waterboards.ca.gov/waterrights/water_issues/programs/drought/docs/emergency_regulations/draft_emergency_regs.pdf).

1. I could not find the proposed text through links off the Water Boards web page and could only find it in an email from the League of California Cities that had it linked.

2. I could not find where to send comments on the proposed text but found both of your emails on the Emergency Conservation Regulations page.

3. The City of Bishop is not an urban water supplier. Mandatory Action by Water Supplier, f.1.B says Bishop can implement a mandatory conservation measure other than limiting watering to 2 days per week to meet 25% reduction in potable water use but f.2.B says we have to confirm we limited outdoor irrigation to no more than 2 days per week. This appears to be a conflict. The City of Bishop supports changing the language of f.2.B to be consistent with f.1.B.

Thanks for your consideration of these comments.

David Grah  
Director of Public Works  
City of Bishop California  
*Small Town with a Big Backyard!*