# STATE OF CALIFORNIA STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD

### **ORDER WQ 2014-0033-UST**

In the Matter of Underground Storage Tank Case Closure Pursuant to Health and Safety Code Section 25296.10 and the Low-Threat Underground Storage Tank Case Closure Policy

### BY THE EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR:1

By this order, the Executive Director directs closure of the underground storage tank (UST) case at the site listed below, pursuant to section 25296.10 of the Health and Safety Code.<sup>2</sup> The name of the responsible party, the site name, the site address, the Underground Storage Tank Cleanup Fund (Fund) claim number if applicable, current and former lead agencies, and case numbers are as follows:

Archdiocese of Los Angeles (Responsible Party)

Queen of Heaven Cemetery

2161 South Fullerton Road, Rowland Heights, Los Angeles County

State Water Resources Control Board, Division of Water Quality, Case No. N/A (Current) Los Angeles County Department of Public Works, Case No. 009481-009294 (Former)

### I. STATUTORY AND PROCEDURAL BACKGROUND

Upon review of a UST case, the State Water Resources Control Board (State Water Board) is authorized to close or require closure of a UST case where an unauthorized release has occurred, if the State Water Board determines that corrective action at the site is in compliance with all of the requirements of subdivisions (a) and (b) of section 25296.10. The State Water Board, or in certain cases the State Water Board Executive Director, may close a case or require the closure of a UST case. Closure of a UST case is appropriate where the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> State Water Board Resolution No. 2012-0061 delegates to the Executive Director the authority to close or require the closure of any UST case if the case meets the criteria found in the State Water Board's Low-Threat Underground Storage Tank Case Closure Policy adopted by State Water Board Resolution No. 2012-0016.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Unless otherwise noted, all references are to the California Health and Safety Code.

corrective action ensures the protection of human health, safety, and the environment and where the corrective action is consistent with: 1) Chapter 6.7 of division 20 of the Health and Safety Code and implementing regulations; 2) Any applicable waste discharge requirements or other orders issued pursuant to division 7 of the Water Code; 3) All applicable state policies for water quality control; and 4) All applicable water quality control plans.

State Water Board staff has completed a review of the UST case identified above, and recommends that this case be closed. The recommendation is based upon the facts and circumstances of this particular UST case. The UST case record that is the basis for determining compliance with the Water Quality Control Policy for Low-Threat Underground Storage Tank Case Closures (Low-Threat Closure Policy or Policy) is available on the State Water Board's GeoTracker database.

### URL: http://geotracker.waterboards.ca.gov/profile\_report.asp?global\_id=T10000000162

#### Low-Threat Closure Policy

In State Water Board Resolution No. 2012-0016, the State Water Board adopted the Low-Threat Closure Policy. The Policy became effective on August 17, 2012. The Policy establishes consistent statewide case closure criteria for certain low-threat petroleum UST sites. In the absence of unique attributes or site-specific conditions that demonstrably increase the risk associated with residual petroleum constituents, cases that meet the general and media-specific criteria in the Low-Threat Closure Policy pose a low-threat to human health, safety, the environment, and are appropriate for closure under Health and Safety Code section 25296.10. The Policy provides that if a regulatory agency determines that a case meets the general and media-specific criteria of the Policy, then the regulatory agency shall notify responsible parties and other specified interested persons that the case is eligible for case closure. Unless the regulatory agency revises its determination based on comments received on the proposed case closure, the Policy provides that the agency shall issue a uniform closure letter as specified in Health and Safety Code section 25296.10. The uniform closure letter may only be issued after the expiration of the 60-day comment period, proper destruction or maintenance of monitoring wells or borings, and removal of waste associated with investigation and remediation of the site.

Health and Safety Code section 25299.57, subdivision (I)(1) provides that claims for reimbursement of corrective action costs that are received by the Fund more than 365 days after the date of a uniform closure letter or a letter of commitment, whichever occurs later, shall not be reimbursed unless specified conditions are satisfied.

#### **II. FINDINGS**

Based upon the facts in the UST record and the hydrogeologic conditions at the site, the State Water Board finds that corrective action taken to address the unauthorized release of petroleum at the UST release site identified as:

#### Archdiocese of Los Angeles (Responsible Party)

#### Queen of Heaven Cemetery

2161 South Fullerton Road, Rowland Heights, Los Angeles County State Water Resources Control Board, Division of Water Quality, Case No. N/A (Current) Los Angeles County Department of Public Works, Case No. 009481-009294 (Former)

ensures protection of human health, safety, and the environment and is consistent with Chapter 6.7 of division 20 of the Health and Safety Code and implementing regulations, the Low-Threat Closure Policy and other water quality control policies and applicable water quality control plans.

Pursuant to the Low-Threat Closure Policy, notification has been provided to all entities that are required to receive notice of the proposed case closure, a 60-day comment period has been provided to notified parties, and any comments received have been considered by the State Water Board in determining that the case should be closed.

Pursuant to section 21080.5 of the Public Resources Code, environmental impacts associated with the adoption of this Order were analyzed in the substitute environmental document (SED) the State Water Board approved on May 1, 2012. The SED concludes that all environmental effects of adopting and implementing the Low Threat Closure Policy are less than significant, and environmental impacts as a result of adopting this Order in compliance with the Policy are no different from the impacts that are reasonably foreseen as a result of the Policy itself. A Notice of Decision was filed August 17, 2012. No new environmental impacts or any additional reasonably foreseeable impacts beyond those that were addressed in the SED will result from adopting this Order.

The UST case identified above may be the subject of orders issued by the Regional Water Quality Control Board (Regional Water Board) pursuant to division 7 of the Water Code. Any orders that have been issued by the Regional Water Board pursuant to division 7 of the Water Code, or directives issued by a Local Oversight Program (LOP) agency for this case should be rescinded to the extent they are inconsistent with this Order.

3

#### III. ORDER

### IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that:

A. The UST case identified in Section II of this Order, meeting the general and media-specific criteria established in the Low-Threat Closure Policy, be closed in accordance with the following conditions and after the following actions are complete. Prior to the issuance of a uniform closure letter, the responsible party is ordered to:

1. Properly destroy monitoring wells and borings unless the owner of real property on which the well or boring is located certifies that the wells or borings will be maintained in accordance with local or state requirements;

2. Properly remove from the site and manage all waste piles, drums, debris, and other investigation and remediation derived materials in accordance with local or state requirements; and

3. Within six months of the date of this Order, submit documentation to the State Water Board that the tasks in subparagraphs (1) and (2) have been completed.

- B. The tasks in subparagraphs (1) and (2) of Paragraph (A) are ordered pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 25296.10 and failure to comply with these requirements may result in the imposition of civil penalties pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 25299, subdivision (d)(1). Penalties may be imposed administratively by the State Water Board or Regional Water Board.
- C. Within 30 days of notification that the tasks are complete pursuant to Paragraph (A), the Deputy Director of the Division of Water Quality shall issue a uniform closure letter consistent with Health and Safety Code section 25296.10, subdivision (g) and upload the uniform closure letter to GeoTracker.
- D. Pursuant to section 25299.57, subdivision (I) (1), and except in specified circumstances, all claims for reimbursement of corrective action costs must be received by the Fund within 365 days of issuance of the uniform closure letter in order for the costs to be considered.

4

E. Any Regional Water Board or LOP agency directive or order that directs corrective action or other action inconsistent with case closure for the UST case identified in Section II is rescinded, but only to the extent the Regional Water Board order or LOP agency directive is inconsistent with this Order.

**Executive Director** 

Date





#### **State Water Resources Control Board**

Former Agency Caseworker: Mr. John Awujo

### **UST CASE CLOSURE SUMMARY**

#### Agency Information

Current Agency Name:	Address:	
State Water Resources Control Board	1001   Street, P.O. Box 2231	
(State Water Board)	Sacramento, CA 95812	
Current Agency Caseworker: Mr. Matthew Cohen	Case No.: N/A	
Former Agency Name:	Address:	
Los Angeles County Department of Public Works	900 South Fremont Avenue	
(Prior to 7/1/2013)	Alhambra, CA 91803	

Case No.: 009481-009294

## Case Information

USTCF Claim No.: None	Global ID: T1000000162
Site Name:	Site Address:
Queen of Heaven Cemetery	2161 South Fullerton Road (Site)
	Rowland Heights, CA 91748
Responsible Party:	Address:
Archdiocese of Los Angeles	3424 Wilshire Boulevard
Attention: Cemeteries Department, George Harsch	Los Angeles, CA 90010-2241
USTCF Expenditures to Date: N/A	Number of Years Case Open: 11

URL: http://geotracker.waterboards.ca.gov/profile report.asp?global\_id=T1000000162

#### Summary

The Low-Threat Underground Storage Tank Case Closure Policy (Policy) contains general and mediaspecific criteria, and cases that meet those criteria are appropriate for closure pursuant to the Low-Threat Policy. This Case meets all of the required criteria of the Policy.

The release at the Site was discovered when one fuel dispenser and approximately sixteen feet of underground storage tank (UST) product piping were replaced in November 2002. Initial sampling indicated concentrations of petroleum constituents in soil at three feet below ground surface (bgs). A remedial excavation was conducted and approximately 14 tons of soil was excavated to 10 feet below the former fuel dispenser and piping. The post remediation soil sample collected at 10 feet bgs indicated methyl tert butyl ether (MTBE) at 39 parts per billion. No other petroleum constituents were reported in soil. The Site is operated as an active fueling facility at a cemetery.

Groundwater was not encountered during soil sampling; however depth to water is approximately 20 feet bgs. It is unlikely that a contaminant plume that exceeds water quality objectives (WQOs) would exceed 250 feet in length.

FELCIA MASCES, CHAIR | THOMAS HOWARD, EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

1001 | Street, Sacramento, CA 95814 | Mailing Address: P.O. Box 100, Sacramento, Ca 95812-0100 | www.waterboards.ca.gov

S RECYCLED PAPER

#### Queen of Heaven Cemetery 2161 South Fullerton Road, Rowland Heights, Los Angeles County

The nearest public supply well and surface water body is greater than 1,000 feet from the estimated plume boundary. Public supply wells are usually constructed with competent sanitary seals and intake screens that are in deeper more protected aquifers. Remedial actions have been implemented and further remediation is not necessary. Additional assessment/monitoring will not likely change the conceptual model. Any remaining petroleum constituents do not pose significant risk to human health, safety, or the environment.

### **Rationale for Closure under the Policy**

- General Criteria Site MEETS ALL EIGHT GENERAL CRITERIA under the Policy.
- Groundwater Media-Specific Criteria Site meets the criterion in **CLASS 5**. Based on an analysis of site specific conditions that under current and reasonably anticipated near-term future scenarios, the Site poses a low threat to human health and safety and to the environment and water quality objectives will be achieved within a reasonable time frame. It is unlikely that a contaminant plume would be greater than 250 feet in length. The nearest existing water supply well or surface water body is greater than 1,000 feet from the estimated plume boundary.
- Petroleum Vapor Intrusion to Indoor Air Criteria Site meets EXCEPTION. Exposure to
  petroleum vapors associated with historical fuel system releases are comparatively insignificant
  relative to exposures from small surface spills and fugitive vapor releases that typically occur at
  active fueling facilities.
- Direct Contact and Outdoor Air Exposure Criteria Site meets **CRITERIA (3)** a. Maximum concentrations of petroleum constituents in soil are less than or equal to those listed in Table 1. The estimated naphthalene concentrations in soil meet the thresholds in Table 1 and the Policy criteria for direct contact by a factor of eight. It is highly unlikely that naphthalene concentrations in the soil, if any, exceed the threshold.

### **Recommendation for Closure**

The corrective action performed at this Site ensures the protection of human health, safety, the environment and is consistent with Chapter 6.7 of the Health and Safety Code and implementing regulations, applicable state policies for water quality control and the applicable water quality control plan, and case closure is recommended.

Prepared By:

Sheena Dhillon Engineering Student Assistant

Reviewed By: \_

Benjamin Heningburg, PG No. 8130 Senior Engineering Geologist

11/21/13

Date

11/21/13

Date