LATE COMMENT

February 21, 2012

Charles R. Hoppin, Chairman Frances Spivy-Weber, Vice-Chair Tam M. Doduc, Member State Water Resources Control Board 1001 I Street Sacramento, CA 95814



Re: <u>Item 5 (Informational Update on Current Review of the 2006 Water Quality</u>

Control Plan for the San Francisco Bay/Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta Estuary and

its Implementation)

Dear Board Members:

American Rivers, California Trout, the Merced River Conservation Committee, The Nature Conservancy, Trout Unlimited, and the Tuolumne River Trust support the current schedule for the Board's adoption of revised flow objectives for the Bay-Delta Basin Plan.

We understand that the Board intends to adopt San Joaquin objectives by December 2012; and other objectives, by July 2013. Time is of the essence for completion of this task. Revision of such objectives is necessary to attain and protect beneficial uses, currently impaired by water diversions (as well as other stressors). Revision is also necessary for timely review and action on the Bay Delta Conservation Plan (BDCP). Further, since the Clean Water Act requires revision of basin plans every three years, the revision of the 2006 Bay-Delta Plan is overdue. Finally, the existing scientific record is sufficient for plan revision. The Delta is the most studied estuary in the world, and any delay in this proceeding will not materially improve the record or the flow objectives themselves.

The implementation program will be a much harder task, as demonstrated by Phase 8 of the 1995 plan proceeding. In this plan revision, the responsibility to attain the revised flow objectives must be allocated among all water diversions and uses, not just the Central Valley and State Water Projects. This approach to implementation is necessary for effectiveness – actually attaining the objectives – and for compliance with the *Racanelli* decision.

In various ways, our organizations are actively involved in relicensing, BDCP, and other proceedings that relate to Delta water quality. We are working with interested stakeholders to develop scopes, schedules, and procedures for negotiations related to allocation of the responsibility to attain flow objectives. We will submit an approach for the Board's consideration at your May 16, 2012 scoping meeting.

Respectfully submitted,

Tuolumne River Trust

Eric Wesselman
Executive Director
TUOLUMNE RIVER TRUST
111 New Montgomery Street
San Francisco, CA 94105
eric@tuolumne.org
415-882-7252

Item 5 – SWRCB Workshop February 21, 2012 Page 2



Stwelottent

Steve Rothert

Director, California Field Office AMERICAN RIVERS 432 Broad Street Nevada City, CA 95959 srothert@americanrivers.org 530-478-0206



Curtis Knight
Conservation Director
CALIFORNIA TROUT
P.O. Box 650, Mount Shasta, CA 96067
cknight@caltrout.org
(530) 926-3755



Brian J. Johnson

California Director TROUT UNLIMITED 2239 5th Street Berkeley, CA 94710 bjohnson@tu.org (510) 528-4772



Leo Winternitz
Associate Director, Delta Policy and Restoration
THE NATURE CONSERVANCY
555 Capitol Mall, Ste 1290
Sacramento, CA 94814
lwinternitz@tnc.org
(916) 248-8236

Item 5 – SWRCB Workshop February 21, 2012 Page 3



Smichal Martin

Michael Martin, Ph.D.
MERCED FLY CONSERVATION COMMITTEE
PO Box 2216
Mariposa, CA 95338
mmartin@sti.net
(209) 966-6406

Richard Roos-Collins
Julie Gantenbein
WATER AND POWER LAW GROUP PC
2140 Shattuck Avenue, Ste. 801
Berkeley, CA 94704
(510) 296-5589
rrcollins@waterpowerlaw.com

Richard Room Calling

Attorneys for AMERICAN RIVERS, CALIFORNIA TROUT and TUOLUMNE RIVER TRUST