

**STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD**

# **WATER QUALITY ENFORCEMENT POLICY**

DRAFT

~~Effective May 20, 2010~~

[Draft – February 24, 2017](#)

**CALIFORNIA ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY**

This page is intentionally blank

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

INTRODUCTION.....	1
I. FAIR, FIRM, AND CONSISTENT ENFORCEMENT .....	2
A. Standard and Enforceable Orders .....	2
B. Determining Compliance.....	<del>23</del>
C. <del>Suitable</del> <u>Consistent</u> Enforcement.....	<del>23</del>
D. <u>Fair Enforcement</u> .....	<u>3</u>
E. <u>Progressive Enforcement</u> .....	<u>3</u>
F. <u>Transparency</u> .....	<u>3</u>
G. Environmental Justice <u>and Disadvantaged Communities</u> .....	3
EH..... Facilities Serving Small Communities .....	<del>34</del>
II. ENFORCEMENT PRIORITIES FOR DISCRETIONARY ENFORCEMENT ACTIONS.....	<del>45</del>
A. Ranking Violations.....	4
<del>1. Class I Priority Violations .....</del>	<del>4</del>
<del>2. Class II Violations .....</del>	<del>5</del>
<del>3. Class III Violations .....</del>	<del>6</del>
B. <del>Enforcement Priorities</del> <u>Case Prioritization</u> for Individual Entities.....	6
C. <del>Automated Violation Priorities</del> .....	<del>7</del>
D. <del>Setting Statewide and Regional Priorities</del> .....	<del>7</del>
ED..... Mandatory Enforcement Actions .....	<del>87</del>
III. ENFORCEMENT ACTIONS .....	<del>87</del>
IV. STATE WATER BOARD ENFORCEMENT ACTION .....	8
V. COORDINATION WITH OTHER REGULATORY AGENCIES .....	<del>98</del>
A. Hazardous Waste Facilities.....	<del>98</del>
B. Oil Spills .....	9
C. General .....	9
VI. MONETARY ASSESSMENTS IN ADMINISTRATIVE CIVIL LIABILITY (ACL) ACTIONS. 9	
A. Penalty Calculation Methodology.....	9
STEP 1 – <u>Actual or Potential for Harm for Discharge Violations</u> .....	11
STEP 2 – <u>Assessments for Discharge Violations</u> .....	13

STEP 3 – <u>Per Day Assessments for Non-Discharge Violations</u> .....	15
STEP 4 – <u>Adjustment Factors</u> .....	17
STEP 5 – <u>Determination of Total Base Liability Amount</u> .....	<del>18</del> <u>19</u>
STEP 6 – <u>Ability to Pay and Ability to Continue in Business</u> .....	19
STEP 7 – <u>Economic Benefit</u> .....	20
<u>STEP 8 – Other Factors As Justice May Require</u> .....	<del>19</del> <u>22</u>
<del>STEP 8 – Economic Benefit</del> .....	<del>20</del>
<del>STEP 9 – Maximum and Minimum Liability Amounts</del> .....	<del>21</del> <u>23</u>
STEP 10 – <u>Final Liability Amount</u> .....	<del>21</del> <u>23</u>
B. <u>Settlement Considerations</u> .....	<del>22</del> <u>23</u>
C. <u>Other Administrative Civil Liability Settlement Components</u> .....	<del>22</del> <u>24</u>
VII. <u>MANDATORY MINIMUM PENALTIES FOR NPDES VIOLATIONS</u> .....	<del>23</del> <u>24</u>
A. <u>Timeframe for Issuance of Mandatory Minimum Penalties (MMPs)</u> .....	<del>23</del> <u>25</u>
B. <u>MMPs for Small Communities</u> .....	<del>23</del> <u>25</u>
C. <u>Single Operational Upset</u> .....	<del>25</del> <u>27</u>
D. <u>Defining a “Discharge Monitoring Report” in Special Circumstances Under California Water Code 13385.1</u> .....	<del>26</del> <u>27</u>
1. <u>Defining a “Discharge Monitoring Report” Where There Is a Discharge to Waters of the United States and the Discharger Fails to Conduct Any Monitoring During the Monitoring Period</u> .....	<del>26</del> <u>28</u>
2. <u>Defining a “Discharge Monitoring Report” Where There Is No Discharge to Waters of the United States</u> .....	<del>27</del> <u>28</u>
E. <u>Defining a “Serious Violation” in Situations Where the Effluent Limitation Is Less Than or Equal to the Quantitation Limit</u> .....	<del>28</del> <u>29</u>
VIII. <u>COMPLIANCE PROJECTS (CPsCP)</u> .....	<del>28</del> <u>29</u>
IX. <u>ENHANCED COMPLIANCE ACTIONS (ECAsECA)</u> .....	<del>30</del> <u>31</u>
X. <u>DISCHARGER VIOLATION REPORTING</u> .....	<del>30</del> <u>31</u>
XI. <u>VIOLATION AND ENFORCEMENT DATA</u> .....	<del>31</del> <u>32</u>
XII. <u>ENFORCEMENT REPORTING</u> .....	<del>31</del> <u>32</u>
XIII. <u>POLICY REVIEW AND REVISION</u> .....	<del>31</del> <u>32</u>
APPENDIX A: <u>ENFORCEMENT ACTIONS</u> .....	<del>32</del> <u>1</u>
A. <u>Standard Language</u> .....	<del>32</del> <u>1</u>
B. <u>Progressive Enforcement</u> .....	<del>1</del>

<b>C.</b>	<b>Informal Enforcement Actions</b> .....	<b>321</b>
1.	Oral and Written Contacts .....	322
2.	Notices of Violation (NOV).....	332
<b>CD.</b>	<b>Formal Enforcement</b>	
	<b>Actions</b> .....	<b>332</b>
1.	Notices to Comply.....	332
2.	Notices of <del>Stormwater</del> <u>Storm Water</u> Noncompliance.....	343
3.	Technical Reports and Investigations .....	343
4.	Cleanup and Abatement Orders ( <del>CAOs</del> <u>CAO</u> ).....	343
5.	Section 13300 Time Schedule Orders ( <del>TSOs</del> <u>TSO</u> ).....	354
6.	Section 13308 Time Schedule Orders (13308 <del>TSOs</del> <u>TSO</u> ) .....	354
7.	Cease and Desist Orders ( <del>CDOs</del> <u>CDO</u> ).....	354
8.	Modification or Rescission of <del>Waste Discharge</del> <del>Requirements (WDRs)</del> .....	365
9.	Administrative Civil Liabilities ( <del>ACLs</del> <u>ACL</u> ).....	365
<b>DE.</b>	<b>Petitions of Enforcement</b>	
	<b>Actions</b> .....	<b>376</b>
<b>APPENDIX B: ENFORCEMENT REPORTING</b> .....		<b>381</b>
A.	Legislatively Mandated Enforcement Reporting .....	381
B.	Elective Enforcement Reporting.....	392
<b>APPENDIX C: GROUP 1 POLLUTANTS</b> .....		<b>41</b>
<b>APPENDIX D: GROUP 2 POLLUTANTS</b> .....		<b>46</b>

## INTRODUCTION

The State Water Resources Control Board (State Water Board) and the Regional Water Quality Control Boards (Regional Water Boards) (together “Water Boards”) have primary responsibility for the coordination and control of water quality in California. In the Porter-Cologne Water Quality Control Act (Porter-Cologne), the Legislature declared that the “state must be prepared to exercise its full power and jurisdiction to protect the quality of the waters in the state from degradation....” (Wat. Code, § 13000). Porter-Cologne grants the Water Boards the authority to implement and enforce ~~the~~ water quality laws, regulations, policies, and plans to protect the groundwater and surface waters of the State. Timely and consistent enforcement of these laws is critical to the success of the water quality program and to ensure that the people of the State have clean water. The goal of this Water Quality Enforcement Policy (Policy) is to protect and enhance the quality of the waters of the State by defining an enforcement process that addresses water quality problems in the most fair, efficient, effective, and consistent manner. In adopting this Policy, the State Water Board intends to provide guidance that will enable Water Board staff to expend its limited resources in ways that openly address the greatest needs, deter harmful conduct, protect the public, and achieve maximum water quality benefits. Toward that end, it is the intent of the State Water Board that the Regional Water Boards’ decisions be consistent with this Policy.

A good enforcement program relies on well-developed compliance monitoring systems designed to identify and correct violations, help establish an enforcement presence, collect evidence needed to support enforcement actions where there are identified violations, and help target and rank enforcement priorities. Compliance with regulations is critical to protecting public health and the environment, and it is the preference of the State Water Board that the most effective and timely methods be used to assure that the regulated community ~~stays~~ in~~achieves and maintains~~ compliance. Tools such as providing assistance, training, guidance, and incentives are commonly used by the Water Boards and work very well in many situations. There is a point, however, at which this cooperative approach should make way for a more forceful approach.

This Policy addresses the enforcement component (i.e. actions that take place in response to a violation) of the Water Boards’ regulatory framework, which is an equally critical element of a successful regulatory program. Without a strong and fair enforcement program to back up the cooperative approach, the entire regulatory framework would be in jeopardy. Enforcement is a critical ingredient in creating the deterrence needed to encourage the regulated community to anticipate, identify, and correct violations. Formal enforcement should always result when a non-compliant member of the regulated public begins to realize a competitive economic advantage over compliant members of the regulated public. The principle of fairness in enforcement requires that those who are unwilling to incur the expenses of regulatory compliance not be rewarded for making that choice. It is the intent of the State Water Board that formal enforcement should be used as a tool to maintain a level-playing field for those who comply with their regulatory obligations by setting appropriate civil liabilities for those who do not. Appropriate penalties and other consequences for violations offer some assurance of equity between those who choose to comply with requirements and those who violate them. It also improves public confidence when government is ready, willing, and able to back up its requirements with action.

In furtherance of the water quality regulatory goals of the Water Boards, this Policy:

- Establishes a process for ranking enforcement priorities ~~based on the actual or potential impact to the beneficial uses or the regulatory program and for using progressive levels of enforcement, as necessary, to achieve compliance,~~ while at the same time recognizing that the variety and scope of specific beneficial uses in each Region may require unique considerations when setting priorities;

- Re-affirms the principle of progressive enforcement, which contemplates an escalating series of actions beginning with notification of violations and compliance assistance, followed by increasingly severe consequences, culminating in a complaint for civil liabilities where compliance cannot be attained within a reasonable time. While progressive enforcement is the most typical approach to enforcement, it may not be an appropriate enforcement response when violations result from intentional or grossly negligent misconduct, or where the impacts to beneficial uses are above moderate or major;
- Establishes an administrative civil liability assessment methodology to create a transparent, fair, and consistent statewide approach to liability assessment;
- Recognizes the ~~use of~~ value in using alternatives to the assessment of civil liabilities, such as supplemental environmental projects, compliance projects, and enhanced compliance actions, but requires standards for the approval of such alternatives to ensure they provide the expected benefits;
- Identifies circumstances in which the State Water Board will take action, even though the Regional Water Boards have primary jurisdiction;
- Addresses the eligibility requirements for small communities to qualify for carrying out compliance projects, in lieu of paying mandatory minimum penalties (MMP) pursuant to California Water Code section 13385;
- Emphasizes the recording of enforcement data and the communication of enforcement information to the public and the regulated community; and
- Establishes annual enforcement reporting and planning requirements for the Water Boards.

The State's water quality requirements are not solely the purview of the Water Boards and their ~~staffs~~staff. Other agencies, ~~such as;~~including local government and the California Department of Fish and ~~Game~~Wildlife (DFW) have the ability to enforce certain water quality provisions in state law. State law also allows members of the public to bring enforcement matters to the attention of the Water Boards and authorizes aggrieved persons to petition the State Water Board to review most actions or failures to act of the Regional Water Boards. In addition, ~~state~~State and federal statutes provide for public participation in the issuance of orders, policies, and water quality control plans. Finally, the federal Clean Water Act (CWA) authorizes citizens to bring suit against dischargers for certain types of CWA violations.

## I. **FAIR, FIRM, ~~AND~~ CONSISTENT, AND TRANSPARENT ENFORCEMENT**

It is the policy of the State Water Board that the Water Boards shall strive to be transparent, fair, firm, and consistent in taking enforcement actions throughout the State, while recognizing the unique facts of each case. The Water Boards acknowledge that contractors or agents for legally responsible persons (the discharger(s) named in the underlying order, or the owner and operator in the case of an unpermitted discharge) frequently bear some of the responsibility for violations. In appropriate cases, the Water Boards may bring enforcement actions against contractors and/or agents, in addition to the legally responsible person(s) or permittees, for some or all of the same violations.

## **A. Standard and Enforceable Orders**

~~The~~ Water Board orders shall be consistent except as appropriate for the specific circumstances related to the violation or discharge, and to accommodate differences in applicable water quality control plans.

## **B. Determining Compliance**

The Water Boards shall implement a consistent and valid approach to determine compliance with enforceable orders.

## **C. ~~Suitable~~Consistent Enforcement**

The Water Boards' enforcement actions shall be suitable for each type of violation, providing consistent treatment for violations that are similar in nature and have similar water quality impacts. Where necessary, enforcement actions shall also ensure a timely return to compliance.

The Water Boards achieve consistency in enforcement by applying the penalty calculator in Section VI and not by comparing enforcement matters. While comparing similar enforcement cases may have probative value, this Policy does not require a Water Board to compare a proposed penalty to other actions that it or another Water Board has taken, or make findings about why the assessed or proposed amounts differ.

## **D. Fair Enforcement**

Fair enforcement requires, at a minimum, adequate civil liabilities to ensure that no competitive economic advantage is attained through non-compliance, while recognizing that, in many cases, merely recapturing the economic benefit gained by non-compliance is insufficient to establish an appropriate level of specific and/or general deterrence and a higher penalty should be imposed.

## **E. Progressive Enforcement**

Progressive enforcement is one of the most important components of fair and consistent enforcement. Generally, progressive enforcement is grounded in the idea that the Water Boards' mission is, in part, to preserve, enhance, and restore the quality of California's water resources and drinking water for the protection of the environment, public health, and all beneficial uses. Progressive Enforcement contemplates an escalating series of actions beginning with notification of violations and compliance assistance, followed by enforcement orders compelling compliance, culminating in a complaint for civil liabilities where compliance is not attained within a reasonable time. While Progressive Enforcement is the most typical approach to enforcement, it is not always the most appropriate enforcement strategy. Rather, it must be balanced with the other important aspects of enforcement discussed in this Policy. Progressive Enforcement may not be an appropriate enforcement response when violations result from intentional or grossly negligent misconduct, or where the impacts to beneficial uses are above moderate or major. The Water Boards may consider previous efforts to address similar issues.

## **F. Transparency**

Water Board enforcement orders should provide clear and consistent, evidence and policy-based findings by decision makers to support order directives.



## **G. ~~D.~~ Environmental Justice and Disadvantaged Communities**

The Water Boards shall promote enforcement of all health and environmental statutes within their jurisdictions in a manner that ensures the fair treatment of people of all races, cultures, and income levels, including minority and low-income populations in the state.

Specifically, the Water Boards shall pursue enforcement that is consistent with the goals identified in ~~CalEPA~~[CalEPA's](http://www.calepa.ca.gov/EnvJustice/Documents/2004/Strategy/Final.pdf) Intra-Agency Environmental Justice Strategy, August 2004 (<http://www.calepa.ca.gov/EnvJustice/Documents/2004/Strategy/Final.pdf>) as follows:

- ~~• Ensure meaningful public participation in enforcement matters;~~
- Integrate environmental justice considerations into the enforcement of environmental laws, regulations, and policies;
- Ensure meaningful public participation in enforcement matters;
- Improve data collection and availability of violation and enforcement information for communities of color and low-income populations; and,
- Ensure effective cross-media coordination and accountability in addressing environmental justice issues.

Publicly-owned treatment works (POTW), public water companies, municipal storm water collection and sewage collection systems that serve disadvantaged communities must comply with water quality protection laws. When water quality violations occur in disadvantaged communities, passing costs associated with facility upgrades and compliance measures through to ratepayers may create unduly burdensome financial hardships in the same way it does with small disadvantaged communities (discussed below).

In recognition of the financial hardships the cost of compliance may pose for disadvantaged communities and, in furtherance of the Water Boards' commitment to environmental justice in enforcement, the Water Boards should consider informal enforcement and/or compliance assistance as the first step to address violations, unless there are extenuating circumstances. The Water Boards should consider the disadvantaged community POTW's, public water company's or municipal storm water collection system's commitment to achieve compliance, the degree of economic hardship potentially imposed on ratepayers, and the availability of grants or low/no interest loans.

The Water Boards shall also prioritize and pursue enforcement in furtherance of State Water Board Resolution No. 2016-0010, adopting the Human Right to Water as a core value, and will make information about violations of the Human Right to Water available through the Water Boards' public databases. In furtherance of the Human Right to Water, the Water Boards shall prioritize the enforcement of violations that involve a discharge or threatened discharge, which results in or threatens to result in, the contamination of drinking water resources.

## **H. ~~E.~~ Facilities Serving Small Communities**

The State Water Board has a comprehensive strategy for facilities serving small and/or disadvantaged communities that extends beyond enforcement and will revise that strategy as necessary to address the unique compliance challenges faced by these communities (see State Water Resources Control Board ~~Resolution No. 2008-0048~~[Resolution No. 2008-0048](#)). Consistent with this strategy, reference in this Section ~~E-~~ to small communities is intended to denote both small and disadvantaged small communities.

~~Publicly-owned treatment works (POTWs)~~ POTWs, public water companies, municipal storm water collection and sewage collection systems that serve small communities must comply with

water quality protection laws. The State Water Board recognizes that complying with environmental laws and regulations will require higher per capita expenditures in small communities than in large communities. When water quality violations occur, traditional enforcement practices used by the Water Boards may result in significant costs to these communities and their residents, thereby limiting their ability to achieve compliance without suffering disproportionate hardships.

In recognition of these factors, informal enforcement or compliance assistance will be the first steps taken to return a facility serving a small community to compliance, unless the Water Board finds that extenuating circumstances apply. Informal enforcement is covered in Appendix A. Compliance assistance activities are based on an entity's commitment ~~on the part of the entity~~ to achieve compliance and shall be offered in lieu of enforcement for communities which demonstrate that commitment when an opportunity exists to correct the violations. Compliance assistance activities that serve to bring a facility into compliance include, but are not limited to:

- Education of the discharger and its employees regarding their permit, order, monitoring/reporting program, or any applicable regulatory requirements;
  - ~~Working~~ Working with the discharger to seek solutions to resolve violations or eliminate the causes of violations; and,
- Assistance in identifying available funding and resources to implement measures to achieve compliance.

Further, the Water Boards recognize that timely initiation of progressive enforcement is important for a noncompliant facility serving a small community. When enforcement is taken before a large liability accumulates, there is greater likelihood the facility serving the small community will be able to address the liability and return to compliance within its financial capabilities.

## **II.**

### **II. ENFORCEMENT PRIORITIES FOR DISCRETIONARY ENFORCEMENT ACTIONS**

It is the policy of the State Water Board that every violation results in the appropriate enforcement response consistent with the priority of the violation established in accordance with this Policy. ~~The~~ This Policy acknowledges that enforcement prioritization enhances the Water Boards' ability to leverage their scarce enforcement resources and to achieve the general deterrence needed to encourage the regulated community to anticipate, identify, and correct violations. To that end, the Water Boards shall rank violations ~~and~~, then prioritize cases for formal discretionary enforcement action to ensure the most efficient and effective use of available resources. Each Regional Water Board shall appoint an Enforcement Coordinator to assist with prioritizing cases and implementing this Policy.

Enforcement staff for each Regional Water Board and/or relevant division at the State Water Board shall meet periodically, but in no event less than quarterly, to pre-screen and analyze potential cases for discretionary enforcement. These enforcement prioritization meetings should include the Regional Water Board Enforcement Coordinator, one or more attorney liaisons from the State Water Board Office of Enforcement, enforcement staff and the lead prosecutor or the lead prosecutor's designee. Program leads and supervisors are encouraged to refer potential enforcement matters to the lead prosecutor or the lead prosecutor's designee for analysis and discussion, and to attend all or appropriate parts of the prioritization meetings. Because the purpose of the enforcement prioritization meetings is for Water Board leadership, staff, and their attorneys to candidly discuss case prioritization, some or all of the dialogue

and/or documents referred to at the meetings may be attorney client privileged and/or work product protected. Appropriate protocols should be established by Water Board leadership to maintain separation of functions between enforcement staff attending the prioritization meeting and staff who may serve in an advisory capacity to the Board at an adjudicatory hearing.

## **A. Ranking Violations**

The first step in enforcement ~~ranking~~prioritization is ~~determining~~determine the relative significance of each violation. ~~The following criteria will be used by the Water Boards to identify and classify significant violations in order to help establish priorities for enforcement efforts or series of violations at a particular facility. Significance should be determined by analyzing the severity of impacts to beneficial uses, the level of disregard for regulatory program requirements, and deviation from applicable water quality control plan standards or permit or order conditions.~~

~~1. Class I Priority Violations~~Class I priority violations are those violations that pose an immediate and substantial threat to ~~water quality~~beneficial uses and/or that have the potential to individually or cumulatively cause significant detrimental impacts to human health or the environment. Unless unusual, unique or exceptions circumstances exist, Class A violations ordinarily include, but are not limited to, the following:

- Discharges causing exceedances of primary maximum contaminant levels for chemical constituents in receiving waters with a beneficial use of municipal and domestic supply (MUN);
- Unauthorized discharges of sewage, regardless of level of treatment, within 1,000 feet of a municipal water intake;
- Discharges exceeding water quality based effluent limitations for priority pollutants as defined in the California Toxics Rule by 100 percent or more;
- Discharges causing demonstrable detrimental impacts to aquatic life and aquatic-dependent wildlife (e.g., fish kill);
- Discharges violating numeric acute toxicity effluent limitations;
- Unauthorized discharges from Class II surface impoundments;
- For discharges subject to Title 27 requirements, failure to implement corrective actions in accordance with WDRs;
- Unpermitted fill of wetlands exceeding 0.5 acre in areal extent;
- Unauthorized discharges of construction materials to receiving waters with beneficial uses of COLD, WARM, and/or WILD; and,
- Discharges causing in-stream turbidity in excess of 100 nephelometric turbidity units (NTU) in inland surface waters with beneficial uses of COLD, WARM, and/or WILD, except during storm events.

Violations involving recalcitrant parties who deliberately avoid compliance with water quality regulations ~~and orders are also considered class I priority violations because they pose a serious threat to the integrity of the Water Boards' regulatory programs. Class I priority violations include, but are not limited to, the following:~~ or Water Board orders are also considered Class A priority violations because they pose a serious threat to the integrity of the Water Boards' regulatory programs.

- ~~a. Significant measured or calculated violations with lasting effects on water quality objectives or criteria in the receiving waters;~~
- ~~b. Violations that result in significant lasting impacts to existing beneficial uses of waters of the State;~~
- ~~c. Violations that result in significant harm to, or the destruction of, fish or wildlife;~~
- ~~d. Violations that present an imminent danger to public health;~~
- ~~e. Unauthorized discharges that pose a significant threat to water quality;~~
- ~~f. Falsification of information submitted to the Water Boards or intentional withholding of information required by applicable laws, regulations, or enforceable orders;~~
- ~~g. Violation of a prior enforcement action—such as a cleanup and abatement order or cease and desist order—that results in an unauthorized discharge of waste or pollutants to water of the State; and~~
- ~~h. Knowing and willful failure to comply with monitoring requirements as required by applicable laws, regulations, or enforceable orders because of knowledge that monitoring results will reveal violations.~~

## ***2. Class II Violations***

~~Class II violations are those violations that pose a moderate, indirect, or cumulative threat to water quality and, therefore, have the potential to cause detrimental impacts on human health and the environment. Negligent or inadvertent noncompliance with water quality regulations that has the potential for causing or allowing the continuation of an unauthorized discharge or obscuring past violations is also a class II violation.~~

~~Class II violations include, but are not limited to, the following:~~

- ~~a. Unauthorized discharges that pose a moderate or cumulative threat to water quality;~~
- ~~b. Violations of acute or chronic toxicity requirements where the discharge may adversely affect fish or wildlife;~~
- ~~c. Violations that present a substantial threat to public health;~~
- ~~d. Negligent or inadvertent failure to substantially comply with monitoring requirements as required by applicable laws, regulations, or enforceable orders, such as not taking all the samples required;~~
- ~~e. Negligent or inadvertent failure to submit information as required by applicable laws, regulations, or an enforceable order where that information is necessary to confirm past compliance or to prevent or curtail an unauthorized discharge;~~
- ~~f. Violations of compliance schedule dates (e.g., schedule dates for starting construction, completing construction, or attaining final compliance) by 30 days or more from the compliance date specified in an enforceable order;~~
- ~~g. Failure to pay fees, penalties, or liabilities within 120 days of the due date, unless the discharger has pending a timely petition pursuant to California Water Code section~~

- ~~13320 for review of the fee, penalty, or liability, or a timely request for an alternative payment schedule, filed with the Regional Water Board;~~
- ~~h. Violations of prior enforcement actions that do not result in an unauthorized discharge of waste or pollutants to waters of the State;~~
- ~~i. Significant measured or calculated violations of water quality objectives or promulgated water quality criteria in the receiving waters; and~~
- ~~j. Violations that result in significant demonstrated impacts on existing beneficial uses of waters of the State.~~

### **~~3. Class III Violations~~**

~~Class III violations are those violations that pose only a minor threat to water quality and have little or no known potential for causing a detrimental impact on human health and the environment. Class III violations include statutorily required liability for late reporting when such late filings do not result in causing an unauthorized discharge or allowing one to continue. Class III violations should only include violations by dischargers who are first time or infrequent violators and are not part of a pattern of chronic violations.~~

~~Class III violations are all violations that are not class I priority or class II violations. Those include, but are not limited to, the following:~~

- ~~a. Unauthorized discharges that pose a low threat to water quality;~~
- ~~b. Negligent or inadvertent late submission of information required by applicable laws, regulations, or enforceable orders;~~
- ~~c. Failure to pay fees, penalties, or liabilities within 30 days of the due date, unless the discharger has pending a timely petition pursuant to California Water Code section 13320 for review of the fee, penalty or liability; or a timely request for an alternative payment schedule, filed with the Regional Water Board;~~
- ~~d. Any “minor violation” as determined pursuant to California Water Code section 13399 et seq. (see Appendix A. C.1a);~~
- ~~e. Negligent or inadvertent failure to comply with monitoring requirements when conducting monitoring as required by applicable laws, regulations, or enforceable orders, such as using an incorrect testing method;~~
- ~~f. Less significant (as compared to class II violations) measured or calculated violations of water quality objectives or promulgated water quality criteria in the receiving waters; and~~
- ~~g. Violations that result in less significant (as compared to class II violations) demonstrated impacts to existing beneficial uses of waters of the State.~~

All other violations are Class B violations.

## **B. Enforcement Priorities Case Prioritization for Individual Entities**

The second step in enforcement ranking prioritization involves examining the establishing case priorities for discretionary enforcement records of actions against specific individual entities based on the significance and severity of their violations, as well as other factors identified

~~below. Regional Water Board senior staff and management, with support from the State Water Board Office of Enforcement, shall meet on a regular basis, no less than bi-monthly, and identify their highest priority enforcement cases. To the greatest extent possible, Regional Water Board shall target entities with class I priority violations for formal enforcement action, and determining the appropriate remedial tool.~~

In determining the importance of addressing the violations of a given entity, the following ~~criteria~~non-exclusive factors should be ~~used~~considered:

1. ~~In furtherance of the Human Right to Water, violations that involve a discharge or threatened discharge, that results in or threatens to result in, the contamination of drinking water resources.~~
2. ~~4.~~Class Significance of the entity's ~~violations~~violation(s) as assessed in Step 1;
3. ~~Whether the entity has avoided the cost of compliance and therefore gained a competitive economic advantage and/or economic benefit;~~
4. ~~2.~~History of the entity:
  - a. Whether the violations have continued over an unreasonably long period after being brought to the entity's attention and are reoccurring;
  - b. Whether the entity has a history of ~~chronic~~ noncompliance; and,
  - c. Compliance history of the entity and good-faith efforts to eliminate noncompliance;
5. ~~3.~~Evidence of, or threat of, pollution or nuisance caused by violations;
6. ~~4.~~The magnitude ~~of~~of impacts of the ~~violations~~violation(s);
7. ~~5.~~Case-by-case factors that may mitigate a violation;
8. ~~6.~~Impact or threat to high priority watersheds or water bodies (e.g., due to the vulnerability of an existing beneficial use or an existing state of impairment);
9. ~~7.~~Potential to abate effects of the violations;
10. ~~8.~~Strength of evidence in the record to support the enforcement action; and
11. ~~9.~~Availability of resources for enforcement-; and,
12. ~~Whether the action is likely to encourage similarly situated members of the regulated public to voluntarily identify, and avoid or correct similar violations.~~

### **C. ~~Automated Violation Priorities~~**

~~It is the goal of the State Water Board to develop data algorithms to assign the relative priority of individual violations consistent with this Policy by January 1, 2012. This automated system should simplify the ranking of violations and facilitate prioritization of cases for enforcement. D.~~

#### **~~Setting Statewide and Regional Priorities~~**

On ~~an annual~~a biennial basis, the State Water Board Office of Enforcement will propose statewide enforcement priorities. ~~These priorities and vet them with the Regional Water Board enforcement teams. Based on this process, some proposed statewide enforcement priorities will become statewide enforcement initiatives. These initiatives~~ may be based on types of violations, individual regulatory programs, particular watersheds, or any other combined aspect of the regulatory framework in which an increased enforcement presence ~~is~~may be required on a statewide or multi-regional basis. These ~~priorities~~initiatives will be documented in an annual enforcement report and reevaluated each year.



~~As part of the State Water Board's prioritization process, staff for each Regional Water Board will identify and reevaluate its own regional priorities on an annual basis. This will also be included in a regional annual enforcement report.~~ It is recommended that, on an annual basis, enforcement staff for each Regional Water Board will identify and reevaluate its own regional priorities on an annual basis. This will also be included in a regional annual enforcement report. seek input at a regularly noticed public meeting of the Regional Water Board and consider identifying general enforcement priorities based on input from members of the public and Regional Water Board members within thirty (30) days thereafter.

## **ED. Mandatory Enforcement Actions**

In addition to these criteria for discretionary enforcement, the Water Boards will continue to address mandatory enforcement obligations imposed by ~~the~~ law (e.g., Wat. Code § 13385, subds. (h) ~~and~~ (i)). As detailed in ~~Section VII~~ Appendix B, absent good cause, these mandatory actions should be taken within 18 months of the time that the violations ~~qualify for the assessment of mandatory minimum penalties~~ became known.

### **III.**

## **III. ENFORCEMENT ACTIONS**

The Water Boards have a variety of enforcement tools to use in response to noncompliance by dischargers. With certain specified exceptions California Water Code section 13360, subdivision (a), prohibits the State Water Board or Regional Water Board from specifying the design, location, type of construction, or particular manner in which compliance may be had with a particular requirement. ~~For every~~ All enforcement ~~action taken, the discharger's return to actions and their applicable~~ compliance should milestones will be tracked in the Water Board's enforcement ~~database~~ databases. See Appendix A for additional information.

### **IV.**

## **IV. STATE WATER BOARD ENFORCEMENT ACTION**

The Regional Water Boards have primary responsibility for matters directly affecting the quality of waters within their region, including enforcement matters. The State Water Board generally acts as an administrative appellate body for enforcement proceedings, but also has oversight authority in ~~such~~ water quality enforcement matters and may, from time to time, take enforcement action in lieu of the Regional Water Board as follows:

- In response to petitions alleging inaction or ineffective enforcement action by a Regional Water Board;
- To enforce statewide or multi-regional general permits;
- To ~~address~~ investigate and take enforcement against multi-regional facilities and or permittees;
- Where a discharger's violations ~~by the same discharger~~ cause actual or potential harm in more than one region;
- Where the Regional Water Board's lead prosecutor has requested that the State Water Board take over the enforcement action;
- Where a Regional Water Board is unable to take an enforcement action because of quorum problems, conflicts of interest, or other administrative circumstances;
- Where ~~a Regional Water Board has not investigated or initiated an enforcement action for a class I priority violation in a manner consistent with this Policy; and~~ an enforcement matter involves both water rights and water quality violations and the water rights violations are predominant; and,

- Actions Where an enforcement matter involves both water quality violations and alleged Health and Safety Code violations for fraud, waste and/or abuse of funds from the Underground Storage Tank (UST) Cleanup Fund, and actions where the Executive Director has determined that enforcement by the State Water Board is necessary and appropriate.

Where the State Water Board decides to pursue such enforcement, the Office of Enforcement will coordinate investigation of the violations and preparation of the enforcement action with the staff of the affected Regional Water Board to ensure that the State Water Board will not duplicate efforts of the Regional Water Board. Except under unusual circumstances, the Regional Water Board enforcement staff will have the opportunity to participate and assist in any investigation and the Office of Enforcement will seek input from the Regional Water Board enforcement staff in the development of any resulting enforcement action. Such action may be brought before the State Water Board or the Regional Water Board, as ~~may be~~ deemed appropriate for the particular action. The decision as to where to bring the enforcement action will be discussed with the affected Regional Water Board enforcement staff. Enforcement actions requiring compliance monitoring or long-term regulatory follow-up will generally be brought before the appropriate Regional Water Board.

## ~~V.~~

### V. COORDINATION WITH OTHER REGULATORY AGENCIES

#### A. Hazardous Waste Facilities

At hazardous waste facilities where the Regional Water Board is the lead agency for corrective action oversight, the Regional Water Board shall consult with Department of Toxic ~~Substance~~ Substances Control (DTSC) to ensure, among other things, that corrective action is at least equivalent to the requirements of the Federal Resource, Conservation, and Recovery Act (RCRA).

#### B. Oil Spills

The Water Boards will consult and cooperate with the Office of Spill Prevention and Response ~~at the Department of Fish and Game~~ (OSPR) at DFW for any oil spill involving waters under the jurisdiction of OSPR.

#### C. General

The Water Boards will work cooperatively with other local, state, regional, and federal agencies when violations, for which the agency itself is not responsible, occur on lands owned or managed by the agency. Where appropriate, the Water Boards will also coordinate enforcement actions with other agencies that have concurrent enforcement authority.

## ~~VI.~~

### VI. MONETARY ASSESSMENTS IN ADMINISTRATIVE CIVIL LIABILITY (ACL) ACTIONS

#### A. Penalty Calculation Methodology

As a general matter, ~~where, as in the California Water Code,~~ where a civil penalty structure has been devised to address environmental violations, as in the California Water Code, civil penalties do not depend on proof of actual harm or damages to the environment. Courts in reviewing similar environmental protection statutes have held that a plaintiff need not prove a



loss before recovering a penalty; instead, the defendant must demonstrate that the penalty should be less than the statutory maximum. In certain cases, a strong argument can be made that consideration of the statutory factors can support the statutory maximum as an appropriate penalty for water quality violations; in the absence of any other mitigating evidence. Moreover, as discussed below, ~~the Porter-Cologne Act~~ requires that certain civil liabilities be set at a level that accounts for any "economic benefit or savings" violators gained through their violations. (Wat. Code, § 13385, subd. (e).) Economic benefit or savings is a factor to be considered in determining the amount of other civil liabilities. (Wat. Code, § 13327.) The Water Boards should impose civil liabilities at levels sufficient to ensure that violators do not gain a competitive economic advantage from avoiding and/or delaying the costs of compliance. Fairness does not require the Water Boards to compare an adopted or proposed penalty to other actions. The Water Boards have powerful liability provisions at their disposal which the Legislature and the public expect them to fairly and consistently implement for maximum enforcement impact to address, correct, and deter water quality violations. It is the intent of the State Water Board, by establishing this penalty calculation methodology, to help ensure that these powerful liability provisions are exercised in a transparent, fair, and consistent manner.

While it is a goal of this Policy to establish broad consistency in the Water Boards' approach to enforcement, the Policy recognizes that, with respect to liability determinations, each Regional Water Board, and each specific case, is somewhat unique. The ~~goal~~goals of this section ~~is~~are to provide a consistent approach and method of analysis of the applicable statutory factors to determine administrative civil liability, and to provide a transparent analytical route for decision makers to deliberate on the evidence presented and make the necessary findings when determining an ACL. Where violations are standard and routine, a consistent and repeatable outcome can be reasonably expected using this Policy. In more complex matters, however, the need to assess all of the applicable factors in liability determinations may yield different outcomes in cases that may have many similar ~~facts~~attributes. Making transparent and evidence-based and/or policy-supported findings will provide sound bases for those different outcomes.

Liabilities imposed by the Water Boards are an important part of the Water Boards' enforcement authority. Accordingly, any assessment of ~~administrative civil liability~~an ACL, whether negotiated pursuant to a settlement agreement or imposed after an administrative adjudication, should:

- Be assessed in a fair and consistent manner;
- Fully eliminate any economic advantage obtained from noncompliance;<sup>1</sup>
- Fully eliminate any unfair competitive advantage obtained from noncompliance;
- Contain evidence-based and/or policy-based findings that provide transparency in understanding the bases for a decision;
- Bear a reasonable relationship to the gravity of the violation and the harm or potential for harm to beneficial uses or regulatory program resulting from the violation;
- Deter the specific person(s) identified in the ACL from committing further violations; and,
- Deter similarly situated person(s) in the regulated community from committing the same or similar violations.

---

<sup>1</sup> When liability is imposed under California Water Code § 13385, Water Boards are statutorily obligated to recover, at a minimum, all economic benefit to the violator as a result of the violation. Consistent with the principles of fairness expressed herein, this Policy extends the requirement to recover a minimum of all economic benefit to all discretionary ACL actions, except when decision makers make specific, evidence-based findings under Step 8, Other Factors as Justice May Require.

The liability calculation process set forth in this chapter provides the decision-maker with a methodology for arriving at a liability amount consistent with these objectives. This process is applicable to determining administratively-adjudicated assessments as well as those obtained through settlement. In reviewing a petition challenging the use of this methodology by a Regional Water Board, the State Water Board will generally defer to the decisions made by the Regional Water Boards in calculating the liability amount unless it is demonstrated that the Regional Water Board made a clear factual mistake or error of law, or that it abused its discretion.

The following provisions apply to all discretionary ~~administrative civil liabilities (ACLs). Mandatory Minimum Penalties (ACL actions.~~ MMPs) required pursuant to California Water Code section 13385, subdivisions (h) and (i), are discussed in Chapter VII.

### **General Approach**

A brief summary of each step is provided immediately below. A more complete discussion of each step is presented later in this section.

- Step 1. *Actual Harm or Potential for Harm for Discharge Violations* – Calculate Actual Harm or Potential for Harm considering: (1) the degree of toxicity of the discharge; (2) ~~the actual or~~ potential for harm to beneficial uses; ~~(2) the degree of toxicity of the discharge;~~ and (3) the discharge's susceptibility to cleanup or abatement.
- Step 2. *Per Gallon and Per Day Assessments for Discharge Violations* – For discharges resulting in violations, use Table 1 and/or Table 2 to determine Per Gallon and/or Per Day Assessments. Depending on the particular language of the ACL statute being used, either or both tables may be used. Multiply these factors by per gallon and/or per day amounts as described below. Where allowed by code, both amounts should be determined and added together. This becomes the initial ~~amount of the~~ ACL amount for the discharge violations.
- Step 3. *Per Day Assessments for non-Discharge Violations* – For non-discharge violations, use Table 3 to determine per day assessments. Multiply these factors by the per day amount as described below. This becomes the initial ACL amount for the non-discharge violations. Where allowed by the California Water Code, amounts for these violations should be added to amounts (if any) for discharge violations from Step 2, above. ~~This becomes the initial amount of the ACL for the non-discharge violations.~~
- Step 4. *Adjustment Factors* – Adjust the initial amounts for each violation by factors addressing the violator's conduct, multiple instances of the same violation, and multiple day violations.
- Step 5. *Total Base Liability Amount* – Add the adjusted amounts for each violation from Step 4.

Thereafter, the Total Base Liability amount may be adjusted, based on consideration of the following:

- Step 6. *Ability to Pay and Ability to Continue in Business* – If the ~~ACL exceeds these amounts, it may be adjusted~~ Total Base Liability calculated under the methodology exceeds the discharger's ability to pay, or would impact the discharger's ability to continue in business, the decision maker may adjust the liability downward provided express findings are made to justify ~~this so doing.~~ Decision makers need only consider ability to pay and continue in business under the California Water Code and this Policy, and are well within their discretion to decline to reduce a liability based on this factor.
- Step 7. *Economic Benefit* – The economic benefit of the violations must be determined based on the best available information, and the amount of the ACL should exceed this amount so that avoiding costs of compliance is not rewarded.
- Step 8. ~~Step 7. Other Factors as Justice May Require~~ – Determine if there are additional factors that should be considered that would justify an increase or a reduction in the Total Base Liability amount. These factors must be supported by evidence or policy considerations and documented in the ACL Complaint. ~~One of these or Order by a finding that, taken as a whole, the liability amount is just in light of the violations. One of the~~ factors decision makers should consider in this step is the staff costs of investigating the violations and issuing the ACL. ~~The staff costs~~ Subject to the guidance provided in more detail below regarding when to begin and end the calculation of staff costs and how much to charge for particular staff, staff costs can and should be added to the amount of the ACL.
- ~~Step 8. Economic Benefit – The economic benefit of the violations must be determined based on the best available information, and the amount of the ACL should exceed this amount. (Note that the Economic Benefit is a statutory minimum for ACLs issued pursuant to California Water Code section 13385.)~~
- Step 9. *Maximum and Minimum Liability Amounts* – Determine the statutory maximum and minimum amounts of the ACL, if any. Adjust the ACL to ensure it is within these limits.
- Step 10. *Final Liability Amount* – The final liability amount will be assessed after consideration of the above factors. The final liability amount and significant considerations regarding the liability amount must be discussed in the ACL Complaint and in any order imposing liability.

## **STEP 1 – Actual or Potential for Harm for Discharge Violations**

Calculating this factor is the initial step for discharge violations. Begin by determining the actual ~~harm or threatened impact~~ potential harm to the water body's beneficial uses caused by the violation using a three-factor scoring system to quantify: (1) the degree of toxicity of the discharge; (2) the actual harm or potential for harm to beneficial uses; ~~(2) the degree of toxicity of the discharge~~; and (3) the discharge's susceptibility to cleanup or abatement for each violation or group of violations. Because actual harm is not always quantifiable due to untimely reporting, inadequate monitoring, and/or other practical limitations, potential harm can be used under this factor.

### **Factor 1: Harm or Potential Harm to Beneficial Uses The Degree of Toxicity of the Discharge**

The evaluation of the ~~potential harm to beneficial uses factor considers the harm that may result from exposure to the pollutants or contaminants in the illegal discharge, in light of the statutory factors of the nature, circumstances, extent and gravity of the violation or violations.~~ The score

evaluates direct or indirect harm or potential for harm from the violation. A score between 0 and 5 is assigned based on a determination of whether the harm or potential for harm is negligible (0), minor (1), below moderate (2), moderate (3), above moderate (4), or major (5).

~~0 = Negligible—no actual or potential harm to beneficial uses.~~

~~1 = Minor—low threat to beneficial uses (i.e., no observed impacts but potential impacts to beneficial uses with no appreciable harm).~~

~~2 = Below moderate—less than moderate threat to beneficial uses (i.e., impacts are observed or reasonably expected, harm to beneficial uses is minor).~~

~~3 = Moderate—moderate threat to beneficial uses (i.e., impacts are observed or reasonably expected and impacts to beneficial uses are moderate and likely to attenuate without appreciable acute or chronic effects).~~

~~4 = Above moderate—more than moderate threat to beneficial uses (i.e., impacts are observed or likely substantial, temporary restrictions on beneficial uses (e.g., less than 5 days), and human or ecological health concerns).~~

~~5 = Major—high threat to beneficial uses (i.e., significant impacts to aquatic life or human health, long term restrictions on beneficial uses (e.g., more than five days), high potential for chronic effects to human or ecological health).~~

**~~Factor 2: The Physical, Chemical, Biological or Thermal Characteristics of the Discharge~~** ~~The characteristics of this discharge factor are scored based on~~ degree of toxicity ~~considers~~ the physical, chemical, biological, and/or thermal ~~nature~~ characteristic of the discharge, waste, fill, or material involved in the violation or violations and the risk of damage it could cause to the receptors or beneficial uses. A score between 0 and 4 is assigned based on a determination of the risk or threat of the discharged material, as outlined below. ~~For purposes of this Policy, “potential receptors” are those identified considering human, environmental and ecosystem health exposure pathways.~~ Evaluation of the discharged material’s toxicity should account for all the characteristics of the material prior to discharge, including, but not limited to, whether it is partially treated, diluted, concentrated, and/or a mixture of different constituents. Toxicity analysis should include assessment of both lethal and sublethal effects such as effects on growth and reproduction. Factor 2 (below) is focused on impacts or the threat of impacts to beneficial uses in specific receiving waters; whereas Factor 1 is focused on the nature and characteristics, or toxicity of the material discharged in the context of potential impacts to beneficial uses more generally.

0 = Discharged material poses a negligible risk or threat to potential receptors (i.e., the chemical and/or physical characteristics of the discharged material are benign and ~~will~~ would not impact potential receptors).

1 = Discharged material poses only minor risk or threat to potential receptors (i.e., the chemical and/or physical characteristics of the discharged material are relatively benign ~~or are~~ and would not likely ~~to cause~~ to harm to potential receptors).

2 = Discharged material poses a moderate risk or threat to potential receptors (i.e., the chemical and/or physical characteristics of the discharged material have some level of toxicity or pose a moderate level of ~~concern regarding receptor protection~~ threat to potential receptors).

3 = Discharged material poses an above-moderate risk or a direct threat to potential receptors (i.e., the chemical and/or physical characteristics of the discharged material

exceed known risk factors ~~and/or~~ there is substantial ~~concern regarding receptor protection~~ threat to potential receptors).

4 = Discharged material poses a significant risk or threat to potential receptors (i.e., the chemical and/or physical characteristics of the discharged material far exceed risk factors ~~or~~ and pose a significant threat to potential receptor ~~harm is considered imminent~~ uses).

### **Factor 3: Susceptibility to Cleanup or Abatement****2: Actual Harm or Potential Harm to Beneficial Uses**

The evaluation of the actual harm or the potential harm to beneficial uses factor considers the harm to beneficial uses in the affected receiving water body that may result from exposure to the pollutants or contaminants in the discharge, consistent with the statutory factors of the nature, circumstances, extent, and gravity of the violation(s). The Water Boards may consider actual harm or potential harm to human health, in addition to harm to beneficial uses. The score evaluates direct or indirect actual harm or potential for harm from the violation. A score between 0 and 5 is assigned based on a determination of whether the harm or potential for harm is negligible (0), minor (1), below moderate (2), moderate (3), above moderate (4), or major (5). Actual harm as used in this section means harm that is documented and/or observed. Potential harm should be evaluated in the context of the specific characteristics of the waste discharged and the specific beneficial uses of the impacted waters.

0 = Negligible – no actual harm or potential harm to beneficial uses.

1 = Minor – no actual harm and low threat of harm to beneficial uses. A score of minor is typified by a lack of observed impacts, but based on the characteristics of the discharge and applicable beneficial uses; there is potential short term impact to beneficial uses with no appreciable harm.

2 = Below moderate – less than moderate harm or potential harm to beneficial uses. A score of below moderate is typified by observed or reasonably expected potential impacts, but based on the characteristics of the discharge and applicable beneficial uses, harm or potential harm to beneficial uses is measurable in the short term, but not appreciable.

3 = Moderate – moderate harm or potential harm to beneficial uses. A score of moderate is typified by observed or reasonably expected potential impacts, but harm or potential harm to beneficial uses is moderate and likely to attenuate without appreciable medium or long term acute or chronic effects.

4 = Above moderate – more than moderate harm or potential harm to beneficial uses. A score of above moderate is typified by observed or reasonably expected potential significant impacts, and involves potential for actual partial or temporary restrictions on, or impairment of, beneficial uses.

5 = Major – high harm or threat of harm to beneficial uses. A score of major is typified by observed or reasonably expected potential significant impacts, and involves potential for or actual acute, and/or chronic (e.g., more than five day) restrictions on, or impairment of, beneficial uses, aquatic life, and/or human health.

### **Factor 3: Susceptibility to Cleanup or Abatement**

A score of 0 is assigned for this factor if ~~50%~~ the discharger cleans up 50 percent or more of the discharge ~~is susceptible to cleanup or abatement~~ within a reasonable amount of time. A score of 1 is assigned for this factor if less than 50% percent of the discharge is susceptible to cleanup or abatement. ~~This factor is evaluated regardless of whether the discharge was actually cleaned up or abated by the violator,~~ or if 50 percent or more of the discharge is susceptible to cleanup or abatement, but the discharger failed to clean up 50 percent or more of the discharge within a reasonable time. Natural attenuation of discharged pollutants in the environment is not considered cleanup or abatement for purposes of evaluating this factor.



**Final Score – “Potential for Harm”**

The scores for the factors are then added to provide a Potential for Harm score for each violation or group of violations. The total score is used in the “Potential for Harm” axis for the Penalty Factor in Tables 1 and 2. The maximum score is 10 and the minimum score is 0.

**STEP 2 – Assessments for Discharge Violations**

For violations of [NPDES National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System \(NPDES\)](#) permit effluent limitations, the base liability should be established by calculating the mandatory [minimum](#) penalty required under Water Code section 13385(h) and (i). The mandatory penalty should be adjusted upward where the facts and circumstances of the violation(s) warrant a higher liability [via discretionary action in accordance with the outcome of the enforcement prioritization processes described in Section II, above.](#)

This step addresses per gallon and per day assessments for discharge violations. Generally, ~~it is intended that~~ [NPDES permit](#) effluent limit violations [should](#) be addressed on a per day basis only. ~~Where deemed appropriate, such as for a large scale spill or release,~~ [However, where deemed appropriate, some NPDES permit effluent limit violations, and violations such as effluent spills or overflows, storm water discharges, or unauthorized discharges, the Water Boards should consider whether to assess both per gallon and per day assessments may be considered penalties.](#)

**Per Gallon Assessments for Discharge Violations**

Where there is a discharge, the Water Boards shall determine an initial liability amount on a per gallon basis using ~~on~~ the Potential for Harm score and the extent of Deviation from Requirement of the violation. These factors will be used in Table 1 below to determine a Per Gallon Factor for the discharge. Except for certain high-volume discharges discussed below, the per gallon assessment would then be the Per Gallon Factor multiplied by the number of gallons subject to penalty multiplied by the maximum per gallon penalty amount allowed under the California Water Code.

**TABLE 1 – Per Gallon Factor for Discharges**

Deviation from Requirement	Potential for Harm										
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	
Minor	0.005	0.007	<del>0.009</del>	<del>0.011</del> <u>0.01</u>	<del>0.02</del>	<del>0.060</del> <u>0.04</u>	<del>0.080</del> <u>0.08</u>	<del>0.100</del> <u>0.14</u>	<del>0.250</del> <u>0.2</u>	<del>0.300</del> <u>0.3</u>	<del>0.350</del> <u>0.35</u>
Moderate	0.007	<del>0.010</del> <u>0.013</u>	<del>0.013</del>	<del>0.016</del> <u>0.025</u>	<del>0.05</del>	<del>0.100</del> <u>0.1</u>	<del>0.150</del> <u>0.15</u>	<del>0.200</del> <u>0.27</u>	<del>0.400</del> <u>0.4</u>	<del>0.500</del> <u>0.5</u>	<del>0.600</del> <u>0.6</u>
Major	<del>0.010</del> <u>0.01</u>	<del>0.015</del> <u>0.02</u>	<del>0.020</del>	<del>0.025</del> <u>0.04</u>	<del>0.08</del>	<del>0.150</del> <u>0.15</u>	<del>0.220</del> <u>0.28</u>	<del>0.310</del> <u>0.41</u>	<del>0.600</del> <u>0.6</u>	<del>0.800</del> <u>0.8</u>	<del>1.000</del> <u>1.0</u>

The Deviation from Requirement reflects the extent to which the violation deviates from the specific requirement (effluent limitation, prohibition, monitoring requirement, construction deadline, etc.) that was violated. The categories for **Deviation from Requirement** in Table 1 are defined as follows:

- Minor – The intended effectiveness of the requirement ~~remains~~remained generally intact (e.g., while the requirement was not met, ~~there is general intent by the discharger to follow the requirement~~its intended effect was not materially compromised).
- Moderate – The intended effectiveness of the requirement ~~has been~~was partially compromised (e.g., the requirement was not met, and the effectiveness of the requirement ~~is~~was only partially achieved).
- Major – The requirement ~~has been~~was rendered ineffective (e.g., ~~discharger disregards the requirement, and/or~~ the requirement ~~is~~was rendered ineffective in its essential functions).

For requirements with more than one part, the Water Boards shall consider the extent of the violation in terms of its adverse impact on the effectiveness of the most significant requirement.

### **High Volume Discharges**

~~The~~In most cases, the Water Boards shall apply the above per gallon factor to the maximum per gallon amounts allowed under ~~statute~~the California Water Code for the violations involved. ~~Since the volume of sewage spills and releases of stormwater from construction sites and municipalities can be very large for sewage spills and releases of municipal stormwater or stormwater from construction sites, a maximum amount of \$2.00 per gallon should be used~~However, recognizing that the volume of certain discharges can be very high, the Water Boards have the discretion to select a value between \$2.00 per gallon and \$10.00 per gallon with the above factor to determine the per gallon amount for sewage spills and stormwater. Similarly, for releasesdischarges that are between 100,000 gallons and 2,000,000 gallons for each discharge event, whether it occurs on one or more days. For discharges in excess of 2,000,000 gallons, or for discharges of recycled water that has been treated for reuse, the Water Boards may elect to use a maximum amount of \$1.00 per gallon should be used with the above factor. Where reducing these maximum amounts resultswith the above factor to determine the per gallon amount. These provisions are advisory and intended to provide a basis for achieving consistency and substantial justice in setting appropriate civil liabilities. Where reducing the \$10.00 per gallon statutory maximum would result in an inappropriately small penalty, such as dry weather discharges or small volume discharges that impact beneficial usescivil liability based on the severity of impacts to beneficial uses, the discharger's degree of culpability, and/or other considerations, a higher amount, up to the statutory maximum per gallon amount, may be used. Examples of dischargers that could be subject to a reduction include, but are not limited to, wet weather sewage spills, partially-treated sewer spills, discharges from irrigated agricultural operations, and construction or municipal stormwater discharges.

### **Per Day Assessments for Discharge Violations**

Where there is a discharge, the Water Boards shall determine an initial liability factor per day based on the Potential for Harm score and the extent of Deviation from Requirement of the violation. These factors will be used in Table 2, below, to determine a Per Day Factor for the violation. The per day assessment would then be the Per Day Factor multiplied by the maximum per day amount allowed under the California Water Code. ~~Generally, it is intended that effluent limit violations be addressed on a per day basis.~~ Where deemed appropriate, such as for a large scale spill or release, it is intended that Table 2 be used in conjunction with Table 1, so that both per gallon and per day amounts be considered under Water Code section 13385. ~~Where there is a violation of the permit not related to a discharge incident, Step 3/Table 3 below should be used instead.~~

**TABLE 2 – Per Day Factor for Discharges**

Deviation from Requirement	Potential for Harm										
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	
Minor	0.005	0.007	<del>0.009</del>	<del>0.014</del> 0.01	<del>0.020</del> 0.02	<del>0.030</del> 0.04	<del>0.040</del> 0.08	<del>0.050</del> 0.14	<del>0.075</del> 0.25	<del>0.090</del> 0.30	<del>0.105</del> 0.35
Moderate	0.007	<del>0.010</del> 0.013	<del>0.013</del>	<del>0.016</del> 0.025	<del>0.020</del> 0.05	<del>0.030</del> 0.10	<del>0.040</del> 0.15	<del>0.050</del> 0.27	<del>0.075</del> 0.40	<del>0.090</del> 0.50	<del>0.105</del> 0.60
Major	<del>0.010</del> 0.01	<del>0.015</del> 0.02	<del>0.020</del>	<del>0.025</del> 0.04	<del>0.030</del> 0.08	<del>0.040</del> 0.15	<del>0.050</del> 0.28	<del>0.075</del> 0.41	<del>0.100</del> 0.60	<del>0.120</del> 0.80	<del>0.140</del> 1.00

The categories for **Deviation from Requirement** in Table 2 are defined as follows:

- Minor – The intended effectiveness of the requirement ~~remains~~remained generally intact (e.g., while the requirement was not met, ~~there is general intent by the discharger to follow the requirement~~); its intended effect was not materially compromised).
- Moderate – The intended effectiveness of the requirement ~~has been~~was partially compromised (e.g., the requirement was not met, and the effectiveness of the requirement ~~is~~was only partially achieved).
- Major – The requirement ~~has been~~was rendered ineffective (e.g., ~~discharger disregards the requirement, and/or the requirement is~~ was rendered ineffective in its essential functions).

For requirements with more than one part, the Water Boards shall consider the extent of the violation in terms of the adverse impact on the effectiveness of the most significant requirement. The Water Boards shall apply the above per day factor to the maximum per day amounts allowed under statute for the violations involved. Where allowed by code, both the per gallon and the per day amounts should be determined and added together. This becomes the initial amount of the ACL for the discharge violations.

**STEP 3 – Per Day Assessments for Non-Discharge Violations**

The Water Boards shall calculate an initial liability factor for each non-discharge violation, considering Potential for Harm and the extent of deviation from applicable requirements. These violations include, but are not limited to, ~~the~~ failure to conduct routine monitoring and reporting, ~~the~~ failure to provide required information, and the failure to prepare required plans. While ~~these violations may not directly or immediately impact beneficial uses, they harm or undermine the regulatory program~~ all non-discharge violations harm or undermine the Water Boards' regulatory programs and compromise their ability to perform their statutory and regulatory functions, some non-discharge violations have the potential to directly or indirectly impact beneficial uses and should result in more serious consequences.

The Water Boards shall use the matrix set forth below to determine the initial liability factor for each violation. The per day assessment would then be the Per Day Factor multiplied by the



maximum per day amount allowed under the California Water Code. For multiple day violations, please refer to the Adjustment Factors in Step 4, below.

Table 3 shall be used to determine the initial penalty factor for a violation. The Water Boards should select a penalty factor from the range provided in the matrix cell that corresponds to the appropriate Potential for Harm and the Deviation from Requirement categories. The numbers in parenthesis in each cell of the matrix are the midpoints of the range.

**TABLE 3 – Per Day Factor for Non-Discharge Violations**

Deviation from Requirement	Potential for Harm		
	Minor	Moderate	Major
Minor	0.1 (0.15)	0.2 (0.25)	0.3 (0.35)
	0.2	0.3	0.4
Moderate	0.2 (0.25)	0.3 (0.35)	0.4 (0.55)
	0.3	0.4	0.7
Major	0.3 (0.35)	0.4 (0.55)	0.7 (0.85)
	0.4	0.7	1

The categories for **Potential for Harm** in Table 3 are defined as follows:

- Minor – The characteristics of the violation ~~present~~ have little or no potential to impair the Water Boards’ ability to perform their statutory and regulatory functions, present only a minor threat to beneficial uses, and/or the circumstances of the violation indicate a minor potential for harm.
- Moderate – The characteristics of the violation have substantially impaired the Water Boards’ ability to perform their statutory and regulatory functions, present a substantial threat to beneficial uses, and/or the circumstances of the violation indicate a substantial potential for harm. Most ~~incidents would~~ non-discharge violations should be considered to present a moderate potential for harm.
- Major – The characteristics of the violation have wholly impaired the Water Boards’ ability to perform their statutory or regulatory functions, present a particularly egregious threat to beneficial uses, and/or the circumstances of the violation indicate a very high potential for harm. ~~Additionally, non~~ Non-discharge violations involving particularly failure to comply with directives in cleanup and abatement orders, cease and desist orders, and investigative orders, involving reports relating to impaired water bodies and sensitive habitats, should be considered major.

The categories for **Deviation from Requirement** in Table 3 are defined as follows:

- Minor – The intended effectiveness of the requirement ~~remains~~ remained generally intact (e.g., while the requirement was not met, ~~there is general intent by the discharger to follow the requirement).~~ its intended effect was not materially compromised).
- Moderate – The intended effectiveness of the requirement ~~has been~~ was partially compromised (e.g., the requirement was not met, and the effectiveness of the requirement ~~is~~ was only partially achieved).
- Major – The requirement ~~has been~~ was rendered ineffective (e.g., ~~discharger disregards the requirement, and/or the requirement is~~ was rendered ineffective in its essential functions).

For requirements with more than one part, the Water Boards shall consider the extent of the violation in terms of the adverse impact on the effectiveness of the most significant requirement. For any given requirement, the Deviation from Requirements may vary. For example, if a facility does not have a required response plan, or has not conducted required monitoring, submitted a required monitoring report, characterization report, or corrective action plan, the deviation would be major. If a facility has ~~a~~ prepared a required plan, or submitted the required monitoring report, but significant elements are omitted or missing materially deficient, the deviation would be moderate. If a facility has a required plan or submitted the required monitoring report with only minor elements missing and/or minor deficiencies, the deviation would be minor.

Multiply the days of violation by the Potential for Harm factor by the Deviation from Requirement to determine the initial ACL amount for non-discharge violations.

#### **STEP 4 – Adjustment Factors**

##### ***Violator’s Conduct Factors***

~~There are~~ The Water Boards must consider three additional factors ~~that should be considered~~ for potential modification of the ACL amount ~~of the initial liability~~: the violator’s degree of culpability, the violator’s prior history of violations, and the violator’s voluntary efforts to cleanup, or ~~cooperate~~ its cooperation with regulatory authorities after the violation, ~~and the violator’s compliance history~~. Not all factors will apply in every liability assessment.

**TABLE 4 – Violator’s Conduct Factors**

Factor	Adjustment
<u>Degree of</u> Culpability	Discharger’s degree of culpability <del>regarding</del> <u>prior to</u> the violation <del>:</del> ; Higher liabilities should result from intentional or negligent violations than for accidental, non-negligent violations. A first step is to identify any performance standards (or, in their absence, prevailing industry practices) in the context of the violation. The test <u>for whether a discharger is negligent</u> is what a reasonable and prudent person would have done or not done under similar circumstances.  Adjustment should result in a multiplier between <b>0.5 to 0.9 and 1.5</b> , with <del>the lower multiplier for accidental incidents, and a</del> higher multiplier for intentional <del>or negligent behavior</del> <u>misconduct and gross negligence, and a lower multiplier for more simple negligence. A neutral assessment of 1.0 should be used when a discharger is determined to have acted as a reasonable and prudent person would have. A multiplier of 0.9 should only be used when a discharger demonstrates that it has exceeded the standard of care expected of a reasonably prudent person to prevent the violation.</u>
<del>Cleanup and Cooperation</del>	<del>Extent to which the discharger voluntarily cooperated in returning to compliance and correcting environmental damage, including any voluntary cleanup efforts undertaken. Adjustment should result in a multiplier between 0.75 to 1.5, with the lower multiplier where there is a high degree of cleanup and cooperation, and higher multiplier where this is absent.</del>
History of Violations	<del>Prior</del> <u>Any prior</u> history of violations. <del>Where there is a history of repeat violations;</del> <u>Where the discharger has no prior history of violations, this factor should be neutral, or 1.0. Where the discharger has prior violations within the last five years,</u> a minimum multiplier of <b>1.1</b> should be used <del>to reflect this.</del> <u>Where the discharger</u>

	<u>has a history of similar or numerous dissimilar violations, the Water Boards should consider adopting a multiplier above 1.1.</u>
<u>Cleanup and Cooperation</u>	<u>Voluntary efforts to cleanup and/or cooperate with regulatory authorities in returning to compliance after the violation: Adjustment should result in a multiplier between <b>0.75 to 1.5</b>, using the lower multiplier where there is exceptional cleanup and cooperation compared to what can reasonably be expected, and higher multiplier where there is not. A reasonable and prudent response to a discharge violation or timely response to a Water Code section 13267 order should receive a neutral adjustment as it is assumed a reasonable amount of cooperation is the warranted baseline. Adjustments below or above 1 should be applied where the discharger's response to a violation or order is above and beyond, or falls below, the normally-expected response, respectively.</u>

After each of the above factors is considered for the violations involved, the applicable factor should be multiplied by the initial ACL amount proposed ~~amount~~ for each violation to determine the revised amount for that violation.

## Multiple Violations Resulting ~~From~~ from the Same Incident

By statute, certain situations that involve multiple violations are treated as a single violation per day, such as a single operational upset that leads to simultaneous violations of more than one pollutant parameter. (Water Code § 13385, sub. (f)(1).) For situations not addressed by statute, a single base liability amount can also be assessed for multiple violations at the discretion of the Water Boards, under the following circumstances:

- a. The facility has violated the same requirement at one or more locations within the facility;
- b. A single operational upset where violations occur on multiple days;
- ~~c. The violation continues for more than one day;~~
- d. When violations are not independent of one another or are not substantially distinguishable. For such violations, the Water Boards may~~should~~ consider the ~~extent of the violation in terms of the~~ most egregious violation;
- e. A single act ~~may violate multiple requirements, and therefore constitute multiple violations. For example, a construction dewatering discharge to a dewatering basin located on a gravel bar next to stream may violate a requirement that mandates the use of best management practices (BMPs) for sediment and turbidity control, a requirement prohibiting the discharge of soil silt or other organic matter to waters of the State, and a requirement that temporary sedimentation basins be located at least 100 feet from a stream channel. Such an act would constitute three distinct violations that may be addressed with a single base liability amount.~~ that violates similar requirements in different applicable permits or plans, but which are designed to address the same water quality issue.

If the violations do not fit the above categories, each instance of the same violation shall be calculated as a separate violation.

Except where statutorily required, multiple violations shall not be grouped and considered as a single base liability amount when those multiple violations each result in a distinguishable economic benefit to the violator.

## Multiple Day Violations

For violations that are assessed a civil liability on a per day basis, the initial liability amount should be assessed for each day up to thirty (30) days. For violations that last more than thirty (30) days, the daily assessment can be less than the calculated daily assessment, provided that it is no less than the per day economic benefit, if any, resulting from the violation. For these cases, the Water Board must make express findings that the violation:

- a. Is not causing daily detrimental impacts to the environment ~~or~~ and is not causing daily detrimental impacts to the regulatory program;
- b. Results in no discrete economic benefit from the illegal conduct that can be measured on a daily basis; or,
- c. Occurred without the knowledge or control of the violator, who therefore did not take action to mitigate or eliminate the violation.

If one of the above findings is made, an alternate approach to penalty calculation for multiple day violations may be used. In these cases, the liability shall not be less than an amount that is calculated based on an assessment of the initial Total Base Liability Amount for the first ~~day~~ 30 days of the violation, plus an assessment for each ~~five~~ 5-day period of violation, until the ~~30~~ 60<sup>th</sup> day, plus an assessment for each ~~thirty (30)~~ 30 days of violation thereafter. For example, a violation lasting ~~sixty-two (62)~~ 60 days would accrue a total of ~~8 day's worth~~ 36 days of

~~violations~~violation, based on a per day assessment for ~~day 1, 5, 10, 15, 20, 25, 30,~~days 1-30, 35, 40, 45, 50, 55, and 60. Similarly, a violation lasting ~~ninety-nine (99)~~90 days would accrue a total of ~~9 day's worth~~37 days of ~~violations~~violation, based on a per day assessment for ~~day 1, 5, 10, 15, 20, 25, 30, 60, and 90.~~days 1-30, 35, 40, 45, 50, 55, 60, and 90. The suggested method for collapsing days of violation is intended to set the maximum permitted approach for reducing the number of days of violation when one or more of the above-referenced findings can be made. The Water Boards are within their discretion to decline to collapse days, or to collapse days at any level deemed appropriate between the maximum suggested number of collapsed days and the actual number of days of violation.

Failure to timely submit a site conceptual model or corrective action plan under a CAO or other regulatory authority, failure to submit a response to an investigation order under Water Code section 13267, as well as, similar violations that delay remedial action, are not the type of violation for which the findings required by this section can ordinarily be made. Finding (b) may be made, at the discretion of the Water Board, in cases where the sole economic benefit measurable on a daily basis is “the time value of money.”

### **STEP 5 – Determination of Total Base Liability Amount**

The Total Base Liability Amount will be determined by adding the amounts above for each violation, though this may be adjusted for multiple day violations as noted above. Depending on the statute controlling the liability assessment for a violation, the liability can be assessed as either a per day penalty, a per gallon penalty, or both.

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \text{Violation A} = \\
 & \text{(Initial ACL Amount) x (Culpability) x (Violation History) x (Cleanup and Cooperation) X (# of Days)} \\
 & \quad \quad \quad \pm \\
 & \quad \quad \quad \text{Violation B} \\
 & \quad \quad \quad \pm \\
 & \quad \quad \quad \text{Violation C} \\
 & \quad \quad \quad \equiv \\
 & \text{Total Base Liability Amount}
 \end{aligned}$$

### **STEP 6 – Ability to Pay and Ability to Continue in Business**

If the Water Boards have sufficient financial information necessary to assess the violator’s ability to pay the Total Base Liability Amount or to assess the effect of the Total Base Liability Amount on the ~~violators~~violator’s ability to continue in business, the Total Base Liability Amount may be adjusted to address the ability to pay or to continue in business. The ability of a discharger to pay an ACL is determined by its income (revenues minus expenses) and net worth (assets minus liabilities).

In most cases, it is in the public interest for the discharger to continue in business and bring its operations into compliance. ~~If there is strong evidence that an ACL would result in widespread hardship to the service population or undue hardship to the discharger, the amount of the assessment may be reduced on the grounds of ability to pay. For a violation addressed pursuant to California Water Code section 13385, the adjustment for ability to pay and ability to continue in business can not reduce the liability to less than the economic benefit amount.~~However, the Water Boards are not required to ensure that civil liabilities are set at levels that allow violators to continue in business. Rather, the Water Code requires the Water Boards to consider this issue when imposing civil liabilities. Civil liabilities should be imposed at levels that do not allow violators to obtain a competitive economic advantage over dischargers

that voluntarily incur the costs of regulatory compliance, whether or not the violator is able to continue in business after incurring the liability. A civil liability may never be imposed below the economic benefit realized by the violator for violations of Water Code section 13385. A civil liability may only be imposed below this level for violations of other provisions of the Water Code based on specific, evidence-based findings that imposing a civil liability that recovers less than the economic benefit realized by the violator would be unjust or against public policy.

A discharger's financial records may be private and/or in its exclusive possession, custody, and control. Accordingly, it can be difficult for the Water Boards to thoroughly evaluate a violator's ability to pay and continue in business without at least some level of cooperation. As addressed above, the Water Boards are under no obligation to ensure that a violator has the ability to pay or continue in business, but, rather, they are obligated to consider these factors when imposing a civil liability. The Water Boards consider the ability to pay and the ability to continue in business defenses available to dischargers to mitigate a potential civil liability.

If staff anticipates that the discharger's ability to pay or ability to continue in business will be a contested issue in the proceeding, staff should conduct a simple preliminary ~~asset~~ search financial investigation based on publicly-available information prior to issuing the ACL complaint. Staff should submit a summary of the results (typically as a finding in the Complaint or as part of staff's initial transmittal of evidence to the discharger), in order to put ~~some~~ evidence about these factors into the record for the proceeding and to give the discharger an opportunity to submit additional ~~financial~~ evidence about its finances if it chooses. If staff ~~does not put any financial evidence into the record initially and the discharger later contests the issue, staff may then either choose to rebut any financial evidence submitted by~~ makes an initial showing that a discharger has sufficient income or net worth to pay the proposed liability, then the burden of proof on this factor shifts to the discharger, ~~or submit some financial evidence and provide an opportunity for the discharger to submit its own rebuttal evidence. In some to~~ produce sufficient evidence that it lacks an ability to pay. In more complex cases, this may necessitate a continuance of the proceeding to provide the discharger with a reasonable opportunity to rebut the staff's evidence. staff may issue a subpoena for financial documents to make an assessment of whether, and the extent to which, an adjustment of the Total Base Liability should be made based on these two factors. If the discharger fails to produce evidence about its finances to rebut the staff's prima facie evidence and/or fails to respond to a subpoena, the Water Boards should treat that failure as a waiver of the right to challenge its ability to pay or effect on its ability to continue in business at the hearing, or an admission that the discharger is able to pay the proposed liability and that proposed liability will not affect its ability to continue in business.

As a general practice, in order to maintain the transparency and legitimacy of the Water Boards' enforcement programs, any financial evidence that the discharger chooses to submit in an enforcement proceeding will ~~generally~~ be treated as a public record. Some private information on financial documents may be redacted. Dischargers may seek an in camera or private review of financial information in the context of settlement negotiations with staff.

### **STEP 7 – Other Factors As Justice May Require**

~~If the Water Board believes that the amount determined using the above factors is inappropriate, the amount may be adjusted under the provision for "other factors as justice may require," but only if express finding are made to justify this. Examples of circumstances warranting an adjustment under this step are:~~

- ~~a. The discharger has provided, or Water Board staff has identified, other pertinent information not previously considered that indicates a higher or lower amount is justified.~~



- ~~b. A consideration of issues of environmental justice indicates that the amount would have a disproportionate impact on a particular disadvantaged group.~~
- ~~c. The calculated amount is entirely disproportionate to assessments for similar conduct made in the recent past using the same Enforcement Policy.~~

### **Costs of Investigation and Enforcement Adjustment**

~~The costs of investigation and enforcement are “other factors as justice may require”, and should be added to the liability amount. These costs may include the cost of investigating the violation, preparing the enforcement action, participating in settlement negotiations, and putting on a hearing, including any expert witness expenses. Such costs are the total costs incurred by the Water Boards enforcement or prosecution staff, including legal costs that are reasonably attributable to the enforcement action. Costs include the total financial impact on the staff of the Water Board, not just wages, and should include benefits and other indirect overhead costs.~~

Once all appeals are exhausted and an ACL Order becomes final, failure to pay the ACL amount within 30 days may result in a referral to collection and/or liens or other judicial remedial actions to secure payment.

### **STEP 87 – Economic Benefit**

The Economic Benefit Amount shall be estimated for every violation. Economic benefit is any savings or monetary gain derived from the act or omission that constitutes the violation. In cases where the violation occurred because the discharger postponed improvements to a treatment system, failed to implement adequate control measures (such as BMPs), or did not take other measures needed to prevent the violations, the economic benefit may be substantial. Economic benefit should be calculated as follows:

- a. Determine those actions required to comply with a permit or order of the Water Boards, an enforcement order, or an approved facility plan, or that were necessary in the exercise of reasonable care, to prevent a violation of the Water Code. Needed actions may have been such things as obtaining regulatory coverage, capital improvements to the discharger’s treatment system, implementation of adequate BMPs, staff training, the development of a plan, or the introduction of procedures to improve management of the ~~treatment system~~facility.
- b. Determine when and/or how often these actions should have been taken as specified in the permit, order or approved facility plan, or as necessary to exercise reasonable care, in order to prevent the violation.
- c. ~~Estimate the type and cost~~Evaluate the types of actions that should have been taken to avoid the violation, and estimate the costs of these actions. There are two types of costs that should be considered; delayed costs and avoided costs. Delayed costs include expenditures that should have been made sooner (e.g., for capital improvements such as plant upgrades and collection system improvements, training, development of procedures and practices), but that the discharger implemented too late to avoid the violation and/or is still obligated to perform. Avoided costs include expenditures for equipment or services that the discharger should have incurred to avoid the incident of noncompliance, but that are no longer required. Avoided costs also include ongoing costs such as needed additional staffing from the time determined under step “b” to the present, treatment or disposal costs for waste that cannot be cleaned up, and the cost of effective erosion control measures that were not implemented as required.
- d. Calculate the present value of the economic benefit. The economic benefit is equal to the present value of the avoided costs plus the “interest” on delayed costs. This calculation reflects the fact that the discharger has had the use of the money that should

have been used to avoid the instance of noncompliance. This calculation should be done using the ~~USEPA's BEN~~ [United States Environmental Protection Agency's \(U.S. EPA\) computer program, BEN](#),<sup>2</sup> ~~computer program (the most recent version is accessible at <http://www.waterboards.ca.gov/plnspols/docs/wqplans/benmanual.pdf>)~~ unless the Water Board determines, or the discharger demonstrates to the satisfaction of the Water Board, that, based on case-specific factors, an alternate method is more appropriate for a particular situation. ~~However, in more complex cases, such as where the economic benefit may include revenues from continuing production when equipment used to treat discharges should have been shut down for repair or replacement, the total economic benefit should be determined by experts available from the Office of Research Planning and Performance or outside experts retained by the enforcement staff.~~

- e. Determine whether the discharger has gained any other economic benefits. These may include income from continuing production when equipment used to treat discharges should have been shut down for repair or replacement, [or income from unauthorized or unpermitted operations](#).

The Water Boards should not adjust the economic benefit for expenditures by the discharger to abate the effects of the unauthorized conduct or discharge, or the costs to come into, or return to, compliance. In fact, the costs of abatement may be a factor that demonstrates the economic extent of the harm from the violation and, therefore, may be a factor in upwardly adjusting any monetary liability as a benefit from noncompliance. The discharger's conduct relating to abatement is appropriately considered under a "cleanup and cooperation" liability factor.

The Economic Benefit Amount should be compared to the adjusted Total Base Liability Amount. The adjusted Total Base Liability Amount ~~shall~~ [should](#) be at least 10 percent higher than the Economic Benefit Amount so that liabilities are not construed as the cost of doing business and that the assessed liability provides a meaningful deterrent to future violations. [Absent express findings of exceptional circumstances and as qualified under Other Factors as Justice May Require, below, if the adjusted Total Base Liability Amount is lower than the Economic Benefit Amount plus 10 percent, the Economic Benefit Amount plus 10 percent shall be the civil liability. It would be unfair to dischargers that voluntarily incur the costs of regulatory compliance to impose a lower amount absent exceptional circumstances.](#)

---

<sup>2</sup> ~~USEPA~~ [U.S. EPA](#) developed the BEN model to calculate the economic benefit a violator derives from delaying and/or avoiding compliance with environmental statutes. Funds not spent on environmental compliance are available for other profit-making activities or, alternatively, a defendant avoids the costs associated with obtaining additional funds ([e.g. cost of debt](#)) for environmental compliance. BEN calculates the economic benefits gained from delaying and avoiding required environmental expenditures, such as capital investments, one-time, non-depreciable expenditures, and annual operation and maintenance costs.

BEN uses standard financial cash flow and net present value analysis techniques based on generally accepted financial principles. First, BEN calculates the costs of complying on time and of complying late adjusted for inflation and tax deductibility. To compare the on time and delayed compliance costs in a common measure, BEN calculates the present value of both streams of costs, or "cash flows," as of the date of initial noncompliance. BEN derives these values by discounting the annual cash flows at an average of the cost of capital throughout this time period. BEN can then subtract the delayed-case present value from the on-time-case present value to determine the initial economic benefit as of the noncompliance date. Finally, BEN compounds this initial economic benefit forward to the penalty payment date at the same cost of capital to determine the final economic benefit of noncompliance.



## **STEP 8 – Other Factors As Justice May Require**

If the Water Board believes that the amount determined using the above factors is inappropriate, the amount may be adjusted under the provision for “other factors as justice may require,” but only if express findings are made to justify this. Examples of circumstances warranting an adjustment under this step are:

- a. The discharger has provided, or Water Board staff has identified, other pertinent information not previously considered that indicates a higher or lower amount is justified.
- b. A consideration of environmental justice issues indicates that the amount would have a disproportionate impact on a particular disadvantaged group, or would be insufficient to provide substantial justice to a disadvantaged group.
- c. The calculated amount is entirely disproportionate to assessments for similar conduct made in the recent past using the same Enforcement Policy.
- d. The Water Boards are bound by statute to recover a minimum of the economic benefit to the violator in an action for violations of Water Code section 13385. Because it is unfair to dischargers that voluntarily incur the costs of regulatory compliance, the Water Boards should only impose civil liabilities in an amount less than the economic benefit to the violator for violations of other provisions of the Water Code in exceptional circumstances where not doing so would be against public policy, have a disproportionate effect on a disadvantaged community or group, or be patently unjust. As discussed throughout the Policy, to be fair to dischargers that voluntarily incur the costs of regulatory compliance, the Water Boards should strive to impose civil liabilities 10 percent greater than the economic benefit to the violator to help ensure that they are not viewed merely as a cost of doing business.

### **Costs of Investigation and Enforcement Adjustment**

The Water Boards may exercise their discretion to include some of the costs of investigation and enforcement in a total administrative civil liability. Including some staff investigation and enforcement costs is valid from an economic standpoint as it requires those who commit water quality violations to pay a greater percentage of the full costs of their violations. However, this important consideration must be balanced against the potential of discouraging a discharger from exercising its right to be heard and other important due process considerations. It is also important to establish a transparent and economically defensible method of calculating staff costs. This Policy sets forth a recommended approach for including staff costs in an ACL that is intended to facilitate the Water Boards’ ability to balance these important considerations. Whether, and the extent to which, staff costs should be included in a civil liability should be considered separately by the Water Boards under this factor because they are unrelated to impacts to water quality and not specifically identified as a statutory factor to be considered in determining the amount of a liability.

When staff recommends that costs of investigation be included in a civil liability, a declaration documenting costs incurred shall be submitted as part of the hearing evidence package. The declaration shall itemize the costs incurred for investigation and enforcement by documenting for each staff member his or her staff classification, the applicable hourly rate including benefits and overhead (Hourly Burdened Rate), and the number of hours worked on the specific enforcement action.

Investigation and enforcement costs may be included in a civil penalty for documented staff work beginning when the violation is discovered by staff. Staff costs should not be allowed for any investigation or enforcement work undertaken by staff regarding the specific allegations set forth in the ACL complaint after it is issued. Attorney staff costs and any staff costs associated with preparing for or attending a hearing should never be included in a civil liability.

[Staff costs must be recovered under Water Code section 13399.33\(d\) for ACL actions for violations under Water Code section 13399.33.](#)

## **STEP 9 – Maximum and Minimum Liability Amounts**

For all violations, the [applicable](#) statute sets a maximum liability amount that may be assessed for each violation. For some violations, the statute also requires the assessment of a liability at no less than a specified amount. The maximum and minimum amounts for each violation must be determined for comparison to the ~~amounts~~[amount of civil liabilities](#) being proposed, and shall be ~~described~~[set forth](#) in any [proposed settlement agreement](#), ACL complaint, and ~~in any order imposing liability. Where the amount proposed/or order-imposing liability.~~ For purposes of this step, the maximum liability does not include any reduction in the number of days for multiple day violations, or in the maximum amount per gallon for high volume discharges, as provided for above when applying the methodology. Where the amount calculated for a particular violation exceeds ~~to the~~ statutory maximum, the amount [proposed](#) must be reduced to that maximum. Similarly, the minimum statutory amount may require raising the amount being proposed, unless there is a specific provision that allows assessment below the minimum. In such cases, the ~~reasons for~~[express findings to support](#) assigning a liability amount below this minimum must be ~~documented in the resolution adopting the ACL~~[set forth in the proposed settlement agreement, ACL complaint, and/or order imposing liability.](#)

## **STEP 10 – Final Liability Amount**

The final liability amount consists of the added amounts for each violation, with any allowed adjustments, provided the amounts are within the statutory minimum and maximum amounts.

The administrative record must reflect how the Water Board arrived at the final liability amount. In particular, where adjustments are made to the initial amount proposed in the ACL complaint, the record should clearly reflect the Water Board's [evidentiary and policy](#) considerations [underlying the adjustments](#), as the staff report or complaint may not reflect those considerations. ~~A Water Board's final determination should transparently reflect the analytical route it traveled, or for any adjustments that are made at hearing that are different from those recommended in the ACL complaint or that further support the final liability amount in the administrative civil liability order~~[from the consideration of evidence to specific findings about the statutory factors it is required to consider, to final outcome.](#)

## **B. Settlement Considerations**

The liabilities resulting from the above methodology are for ~~adoption by~~ the Water Boards ~~after~~[Board's use during](#) formal administrative proceedings. ~~The~~[Staff preliminarily uses the same methodology when issuing an ACL complaint, but](#) calculated liabilities may be adjusted as a result of settlement negotiations with a violator. It is not the goal of the Enforcement Policy to address the full range of considerations that should be entertained as part of a settlement. It is appropriate to adjust the ~~administrative civil liabilities~~[ACLs](#) calculated pursuant to the methodology in consideration of hearing and/or litigation risks, including: equitable factors, mitigating circumstances, evidentiary issues, or other weaknesses in the enforcement action that the prosecution reasonably believes may adversely affect the team's ability to obtain the calculated liability from the administrative hearing body.<sup>3</sup> Ordinarily, these factors will not be

---

<sup>3</sup> [General statutes of limitations are inapplicable to administrative proceedings. Laches, and similar equitable defenses, have limited applicability to administrative enforcement proceedings since they may not be asserted if they would operate to nullify or defeat an important policy adopted for the public benefit. The Water Boards' enforcement actions invoke important laws and policies enacted to protect the quality of public waters. Equitable defenses are inapplicable to mandatory minimum statutory penalties because an equitable defense cannot be applied to avoid a statutory mandate.](#)

fully known until after the issuance of an ~~administrative civil liability~~ ACL complaint or through pre-filing-complaint settlement negotiations with an alleged violator. These factors shall be generally identified in any settlement of an ~~administrative civil liability~~ ACL that seeks approval by a Water Board or its designated representative.

~~Factors~~ Because the methodology proposed in this Policy is intended to provide a transparent and consistent approach to assessing civil liabilities, staff should be confident the Water Boards, members of the regulated public, and members of the public will be able to scrutinize the bases for their proposed liability. While differently-situated persons may differ over some of the factual evaluations, factors that should not affect the amount of the calculated civil liability sought from a violator in settlement include, but are not limited to, the following:

1. A general desire to avoid hearing or minimize enforcement costs;
2. A belief that members of a Water Board will not support a proposed liability before that Water Board has considered the specific ~~merits~~ facts and policy issues of the enforcement case or a similar case;
3. A desire to avoid controversial matters;
4. The fact that the initiation of the enforcement action is not as timely as it might have been under ideal circumstances (timeliness of the action as it affects the ability to present evidence or other timeliness considerations are properly considered); or,
5. The fact that a water body affected by the violation is already polluted or impaired.

Except as specifically addressed in this Policy, nothing in this Policy is intended to limit the use of Government Code ~~14415.60~~ 11415.60.

### **C. Other Administrative Civil Liability Settlement Components**

In addition to a reduction of ~~administrative civil liabilities~~ ACLs, a settlement can result in the permanent suspension of a portion of the liability ~~in exchange for the performance of~~ when the discharger voluntarily agrees to fund a Supplemental Environmental Project (SEP) (see the State Water Board's Water Quality Control Policy on ~~Supplemental Environmental Projects~~ SEPs) or an Enhanced Compliance Action (see Section IX).

~~As far as the scope of the settlement is involved, the settlement resolves~~ Settlement agreements should be memorialized by the Water Boards as stipulated ACL orders, and resolve only the claims that are made or could have been made based on the specific facts alleged in the ACL complaint. A settlement shall never include the release of any unknown claims or a waiver of rights under Civil Code section 1542.

## **VII.**

### **VII. MANDATORY MINIMUM PENALTIES**

#### **FOR NPDES VIOLATIONS**

Mandatory penalty provisions are required by California Water Code section 13385, subdivisions (h) and (i), for specified violations of NPDES permits. For violations that are subject to ~~mandatory minimum penalties~~ MMPs, the Water Boards must assess an ACL for the ~~mandatory minimum penalty~~ MMP or for a greater amount. California Water Code section 13385(h) requires that a ~~mandatory minimum penalty~~ MMP of \$3,000 be assessed by the Regional Water Boards for each serious violation. A serious violation is any waste discharge that exceeds the effluent limitation for a Group I pollutant by 40 percent or more, or a Group II pollutant by 20 percent or more (see Appendices C and D), or a failure to file certain discharge

monitoring reports for a complete period of 30 days (Wat. Code §§ 13385, subd. (h)(2), ~~13385.1~~, & [13385.1](#)). Section VII.D. of this Policy addresses special circumstances related to discharge monitoring reports. Section VII.E. of this Policy addresses situations where the effluent limitation for a pollutant is less than or equal to the quantitation limit.

California Water Code section 13385(i) requires that a ~~mandatory minimum penalty~~ MMP of \$3,000 be assessed by the Regional Water Boards for each non-serious violation, not counting the first three violations. A non-serious violation occurs if the discharger does any one of the following four or more times in any period of 180 days:

- (a) ~~violates a WDR~~ Violates a waste discharge requirement (WDR) effluent limitation;
- (b) ~~fails~~ Fails to file a report of waste discharge pursuant to California Water Code section 13260;
- (c) ~~files~~ Files an incomplete report of waste discharge pursuant to California Water Code section 13260; or
- (d) ~~violates~~ Violates a whole effluent toxicity effluent limitation where the WDRs do not contain pollutant-specific effluent limitations for any toxic pollutants.

## **A. Timeframe for Issuance of ~~Mandatory Minimum Penalties~~ (MMPs)**

The intent of these provisions of the California Water Code is to assist in bringing the State's permitted facilities into compliance with WDRs. The Water Boards should issue MMPs within eighteen months of the time that the violations qualify as ~~mandatory minimum penalty~~ MMP violations. The Water Boards shall expedite MMP issuance if (a) the discharger qualifies as a small community with financial hardship, or (b) the total proposed mandatory penalty amount is \$30,000 or more. Where the NPDES Permit is being revoked or rescinded because the discharger will no longer be discharging under that permit, the Water Boards should ensure that all outstanding MMPs for that discharger are issued prior to termination of its permit to discharge.

## **B. MMPs for Small Communities**

Except as provided below, the Water Boards do not have discretion in assessing MMPs and must initiate enforcement against all entities that accrue a violation. However, California Water Code section 13385, subdivision (k), provides an alternative to assessing MMPs against a POTW that serves a small community. Under this alternative, the Regional Water Boards may allow the POTW to spend an amount equivalent to the MMP toward a compliance project that is designed to correct the violation.

A POTW serving a small community is a POTW serving a community that has a financial hardship and ~~that~~:

1. Has a population of 10,000 or fewer people; or
2. Lies completely within one or more rural counties.<sup>34</sup>

A POTW serving incorporated areas completely within one or more rural counties is considered a POTW serving a small community.

"Financial hardship" means that the community served by the POTW meets one of the following criteria:

- Median household income<sup>45</sup> for the community is less than 80 percent of the California median household income;

---

<sup>34</sup> The determination of the size of population served by the POTW and "rural county" status shall be made as of the time the penalty is assessed, not as of the time the underlying violations occurred.

<sup>45</sup> **Median household income** – The median income divides the income distribution into two equal groups, one having incomes above the median and the other having incomes below the median.

- The community has an unemployment rate<sup>56</sup> of 10 percent or greater; or,
- Twenty percent of the population is below the poverty level.<sup>67</sup>

“Median household income,” “unemployment rate,” and “poverty level” of the population served by the POTW are based on the most recent [United States Census \(U.S. Census\)](#) block group<sup>78</sup> data or a local survey approved by the Regional Water Board in consultation with the State Water Board.

“Rural county” means a county classified by the Economic Research Service ([ERS](#)), United States Department of Agriculture ([ERS, USDA](#)), with a rural-urban continuum code of four through nine. The table below identifies qualified rural counties at the time this Policy was adopted. The list of qualified rural counties may change depending on reclassification by [ERS, USDA](#). Consult the classification by [ERS, USDA](#) in effect at the time the enforcement action is taken.

Qualified Rural Counties		
Alpine	Inyo	Nevada
Amador	Lake	Plumas
Calaveras	Lassen	Sierra
Colusa	Mariposa	Siskiyou
Del Norte	Mendocino	Tehama
Glenn	Modoc	Trinity
Humboldt	Mono	Tuolumne
<i>Based on 2003 USDA Rural-Urban Continuum Codes for California</i>		

For purposes of California Water Code section 13385, subdivision (k)(2), the Regional Water Boards are hereby delegated the authority to determine whether a POTW, that depends primarily on residential fees (e.g., connection fees, monthly service fees) to fund its wastewater treatment facility (operations, maintenance, and capital improvements), is serving a small community, in accordance with the requirements set forth in this Policy.

The State Water Board will continue to make the determination of whether a POTW, that does not depend primarily on residential fees to fund its wastewater treatment facility, is serving a small community for purposes of California Water Code section 13385 (k)(2).

If a POTW believes that the U.S. Census data do not accurately represent the population served by the POTW, or that additional factors such as low population density in its service area should be considered, the POTW may present an alternative justification to the State or Regional Water Board for designation as a “POTW serving a small community.” The

<sup>56</sup> **Unemployed** – All civilians, 16 years and older, are classified as unemployed if they (1) were neither “at work” nor “with a job but not at work” during the reference week, (2) were actively looking for work during the last 4 weeks, and (3) were available to accept a job. Also included as unemployed are civilians who (1) did not work at all during the reference week, (2) were waiting to be called back to a job from which they had been laid off, and (3) were available for work except for temporary illness.

<sup>67</sup> **Poverty** – Following the Office of Management and Budget’s Directive 14, the Census Bureau uses a set of income thresholds that vary by family size and composition to detect who is poor. If the total income for a family or unrelated individual falls below the relevant poverty threshold, then the family or unrelated individual is classified as being “below the poverty level.”

<sup>78</sup> **Block group** – A subdivision of a census tract (or, prior to 2000, a block numbering area). A block group is the smallest geographic unit for which the Census Bureau tabulates sample data. A block group consists of all the blocks within a census tract beginning with the same number. Example: block group 3 consists of all blocks within a 2000 census tract numbering from 3000 to 3999. In 1990, block group 3 consisted of all blocks numbered from 301 to 399Z.



justification must include a map of service area boundaries, a list of properties, the number of households, the number of people actually served by the POTW, and any additional information requested by the State or Regional Water Board. The Regional Water Board shall consult with the State Water Board when making a determination based upon these additional, site-specific considerations.

### C. Single Operational Upset

In accordance with California Water Code section 13385, subdivision (f)(2), for the purposes of MMPs only, a single operational upset that leads to simultaneous violations of one or more pollutant parameters over multiple days shall be treated as a single violation. The Regional Water Board shall apply the following [USU.S.](#) EPA Guidance in determining if a single operational upset occurred: “Issuance of Guidance Interpreting Single Operational Upset” Memorandum from the Associate Enforcement Counsel, Water Division, U.S. EPA, September 27, 1989 (excerpted below).

[USU.S.](#) EPA defines “single operational upset” as

“an exceptional incident which causes simultaneous, unintentional, unknowing (not the result of a knowing act or omission), temporary noncompliance with more than one CWA effluent discharge pollutant parameter. Single operational upset does not include... noncompliance to the extent caused by improperly designed or inadequate treatment facilities.”

The [USU.S.](#) EPA Guidance further defines an “exceptional” incident as a “non-routine malfunctioning of an otherwise generally compliant facility.” Single operational upsets include such things as an upset caused by a sudden violent storm, some other exceptional event, or a bursting tank. A single upset may result in violations of multiple pollutant parameters. The discharger has the burden of demonstrating that the violations were caused by a single operational upset. A finding that a single operational upset has occurred is not a defense to liability, but may affect the number of violations.

### D. Defining a “Discharge Monitoring Report” in Special Circumstances Under California Water Code 13385.1

[Section California Water Code section](#) 13385.1(a)(1) states

“for the purposes of subdivision (h) of section 13385, a ‘serious violation’ also means a failure to file a discharge monitoring report required pursuant to section 13383 for each complete period of 30 days following the deadline for submitting the report, if the report is designed to ensure compliance with limitations contained in waste discharge requirements that contain effluent limitations.”

The legislative history of section 13385.1 indicates that the Legislature enacted the statute primarily to ensure better reporting by dischargers who might otherwise avoid penalties for violations of their NPDES permits by failing to submit monitoring reports that could disclose permit violations.

Because penalties under section 13385.1 are assessed for each complete period of ~~thirty~~[30](#) days following the deadline for submitting a report, penalties may potentially accrue for an indefinite time period. Dischargers who fail to conduct their required monitoring cannot go back ~~and~~[recreate](#) and submit the data for a prior monitoring period. In such a case, an MMP for a missing report will continue to be assessed and reassessed for each 30 ~~day~~[-day](#) period following the deadline for submission until an ~~Administrative Civil Liability~~[ACL](#) Complaint for MMPs is issued. This Policy is designed to assist dischargers by stopping the accrual of penalties for late or missing reports under the special circumstances described below. Nevertheless, under

these circumstances, the discharger has the burden of submitting the required documentation pursuant to this Policy.

The following subsections provide additional guidance on the definition of a “discharge monitoring report,” for the purposes of subdivision (a) of section 13385.1 only, in situations where: (1) there was a discharge to waters of the United States, but the discharger failed to conduct any monitoring during that monitoring period, or (2) there was no discharge to waters of the United States during the relevant monitoring period.

**1. ~~1.~~ Defining a “Discharge Monitoring Report” Where There Is a Discharge to Waters of the United States and the Discharger Fails to Conduct Any Monitoring During the Monitoring Period**

For purposes of section 13385.1, in circumstances where a discharge to waters of the United States did occur, but where the discharger failed to conduct any monitoring during the relevant monitoring period, a “discharge monitoring report” shall include a written statement to the Regional Water Board, signed under penalty of perjury in accordance with 40 CFR 122.41(k) and 40 CFR 122.22(a)(1), stating:

- a. That no monitoring was conducted during the relevant monitoring period;
- b. The reason(s) the required monitoring was not conducted; and
- c. ~~If the written statement is submitted after the deadline for submitting the discharge monitoring report, the~~ The reason(s) the required discharge monitoring report was not submitted to the Regional Water Board by the requisite deadline. ~~if the written statement is submitted after the deadline for submitting the discharge monitoring report.~~

Upon the request of the Regional Water Board, the discharger may be required to support the written statement with additional explanation or evidence. Requiring a discharger to state under penalty of perjury that it did not conduct monitoring for the required period ensures that the discharger is not conducting monitoring and withholding data indicating there are effluent limitation violations. This approach may not be used if the discharger did conduct monitoring during the monitoring period that it is required to report to the Regional Water Board because the results of that monitoring, even if incomplete, must be submitted to the Regional Water Board. This approach is consistent with the original legislative purpose of section 13385.1.

The written statement shall be treated as a “discharge monitoring report” for purposes of section 13385.1(a). MMPs for late or missing discharge monitoring reports assessed for each 30 -day period will cease accruing upon the date the written statement is received by the Regional Water Board. While the submission of the written statement provides a cut-off date for MMPs assessed under [section](#) 13385.1, the Regional Water Board may impose additional discretionary ~~administrative civil liabilities~~ [ACLs](#) pursuant to section 13385(a)(3).

**2. ~~2.~~ Defining a “Discharge Monitoring Report” Where There Is No Discharge to Waters of the United States**

Some waste discharge requirements or associated monitoring and reporting programs for episodic or periodic discharges require the submission of either a discharge monitoring report, if there were discharges during the relevant monitoring period, or a report documenting that no discharge occurred, if there were no discharges.

A report whose submittal is required to document that no discharge to waters of the United States occurred during the relevant monitoring period is not a “discharge monitoring report” for purposes of section 13385.1(a). Under these circumstances, that report would not ensure compliance with limitations contained in waste discharge requirements that contain effluent limitations, and therefore, the late submittal of such a report would be subject to discretionary civil liabilities, but would not be subject to MMPs.



As a matter of practice, however, if such a report has not been received, the Regional Water Board may presume that there were discharges during the relevant monitoring period and should consider imposing MMPs for the failure to timely submit a discharge monitoring report. The Regional Water Board shall not take final action to impose the MMP if the discharger submits a written statement to the Regional Water Board, signed under penalty of perjury in accordance with 40 CFR 122.41(k) and 40 CFR 122.22(a)(1), stating:

- a. That there were no discharges to waters of the United States during the relevant monitoring period; and
- b. The reason(s) the required report was not submitted to the Regional Water Board by the deadline.

Upon the request of the Regional Water Board, the discharger may be required to support the written statement with additional explanation or evidence. Requiring a discharger to state under penalty of perjury that it did not discharge during the relevant monitoring period ensures that a discharger is not discharging and conducting monitoring and then withholding data indicating there are effluent limitation violations.

If such a statement is submitted, discretionary ~~administrative civil liabilities~~ ACLs, which the Regional Water Boards may assess under section 13385(a)(3), will cease upon the date the written statement is received by the Regional Water Board.

#### **E. Defining a “Serious Violation” in Situations Where the Effluent Limitation Is Less Than or Equal to the Quantitation Limit**

1. ~~4.~~ For discharges of pollutants subject to the State Water Board’s “Policy for Implementation of Toxics Standards for Inland Surface Waters, Enclosed Bays, and Estuaries of California,” or the “California Ocean Plan,” where the effluent limitation for a pollutant is lower than the applicable Minimum Level, any discharge that: (1) equals or exceeds the Minimum Level; and (2) exceeds the effluent limitation by 40 percent or more for a Group 1 pollutant, or by 20 percent or more for a Group 2 pollutant, is a serious violation for the purposes of California Water Code section 13385(h)(2).
2. ~~2.~~ For discharges of pollutants that are not subject to the State Water Board’s “Policy for Implementation of Toxics Standards for Inland Surface Waters, Enclosed Bays, and Estuaries of California,” or the “California Ocean Plan” (e.g., pollutants that are not addressed by the applicable plan), where the effluent limitation for a pollutant is lower than the quantitation limit specified or authorized in the applicable waste discharge requirements or monitoring requirements, any discharge that: (1) equals or exceeds the quantitation limit; and (2) exceeds the effluent limitation by 40 percent or more for a Group 1 pollutant, or by 20 percent or more for a Group 2 pollutant, is a serious violation for the purposes of California Water Code section 13385(h)(2).

## VIII.

### VIII. COMPLIANCE PROJECTS (CPsCP)

A Compliance Project (CP) is a project designed to address problems related to the violation and bring the discharger back into compliance in a timely manner. CPs shall only be considered where they are expressly authorized by statute. At the time of the development of this Policy, CPs are expressly authorized by statute only in connection with MMPs for small communities with a financial hardship. (Wat. Code, § 13385, subd. (k).) Unless expressly authorized by future legislation, CPs may not be considered in connection with other ACLs. Absent such statutory authorization, if the underlying problem that caused the violations addressed in the ACL has not been corrected, the appropriate manner for compelling compliance is through an enforcement order with injunctive terms such as a Cleanup and Abatement Order (CAO), Cease and Desist Order (CDO), or Time Schedule Order (TSO).

It is the policy of the State Water Board that the following conditions shall apply to CPs authorized under California Water Code section 13385, subdivision (k):

1. The amount of the penalty that is suspended shall not exceed the cost necessary to complete the CP;
2. The discharger must spend an amount of money on the CP that is equal to or greater than the amount of the penalty that is suspended. Grant funds may be used only for the portion of the cost of the CP that exceeds the amount of the penalty to be suspended;
- ~~3.~~ 3. —Where implementation of the CP began prior to the assessment of an MMP, all or a portion of the penalty may be suspended under these conditions:
  - a. The cost of the CP yet to be expended is equal to or greater than the penalty that is suspended;
  - b. The problem causing the underlying violations will be corrected by the project;
  - c. The underlying violations occurred during, or prior to the initiation of, project implementation;
  - d. The completion date of the project is specified by an enforcement order (a CDO, CAO, TSO, or ACL Order) adopted at or before the time the penalty is assessed; and
  - e. The deadline for completion of the project is within 5 years of the date of the assessment of the MMP.
4. CPs may include, but are not limited to:
  - a. Constructing new facilities;
  - b. Upgrading or repairing existing facilities;
  - c. Conducting water quality investigations or monitoring;
  - d. Operating a cleanup system;
  - e. Adding staff;
  - f. Providing training;
  - g. Conducting studies; and
  - h. Developing operation, maintenance, or monitoring procedures.
5. CPs shall be designed to bring the discharger back into compliance in a five-year period and to prevent future noncompliance.
6. A CP is a project that the discharger is otherwise obligated to perform, independent of the ACL.
7. CPs must have clearly identified project goals, costs, milestones, and completion dates and these must be specified in an enforceable order (ACL Order, CDO, CAO, or TSO).

8. CPs that will last longer than one year must have quarterly reporting requirements.
9. Upon completion of a CP, the discharger must submit a final report declaring such completion and detailing fund expenditures and goals achieved.
10. If the discharger completes the CP to the satisfaction of the Water Board by the specified date, the suspended penalty amount is dismissed.
11. If the CP is not completed to the satisfaction of the Water Board on the specified date the amount suspended becomes due and payable to the State Water Pollution Cleanup and Abatement Account (CAA), or other fund or account as authorized by statute.
12. The ACL complaint or order must clearly state that payment of the previously suspended amount does not relieve the discharger of its independent obligation to take necessary actions to achieve compliance.

## ~~IX.~~

## IX. ENHANCED COMPLIANCE ACTIONS (ECA)

~~ECAs~~ ~~Enhanced Compliance Actions (ECAs)~~ are projects that enable a discharger to make capital or operational improvements beyond those required by law, and are separate from projects designed to merely bring a discharger into compliance. The Water Boards may approve a settlement with a discharger that includes suspension of a portion of the monetary liability of a discretionary ACL for completion of an ECA. Except as specifically provided below, any such settlement is subject to the rules that apply to ~~Supplemental Environmental Projects~~ SEPs, including the 50 percent limit. Settlement agreements may contain both SEPs and ECAs, so long as the aggregate sum of the costs for these alternatives does not exceed 50 percent of the total liability.

For these ECAs, the Water Boards shall require the following:

1. The 50 percent limit on ECAs shall not apply to economically disadvantaged communities with a financial hardship, the criteria for which is defined in Water Code section 13385(k);
2. ~~1.~~ ECAs must have clearly identified project goals, costs, milestones, and completion dates and these must be specified in the ACL order.  
;
3. ~~2.~~ ECAs that will last longer than one year must have at least quarterly reporting requirements.  
;
3. ~~4.~~ Upon completion of an ECA, the discharger must submit a final report declaring such completion and detailing fund expenditures and goals achieved.  
;
4. ~~5.~~ If the discharger completes the ECA to the satisfaction of the Water Board by the specified date, the suspended amount is dismissed.  
;
5. ~~6.~~ If the ECA is not completed to the satisfaction of the Water Board on the specified date, the amount suspended becomes due and payable to the CAA, or other fund or account as authorized by statute.  
6. For economically disadvantaged communities with financial hardship, the Executive Officer may extend specified deadline dates in writing upon a showing of good cause; and,
7. The ACL complaint or order must clearly state that payment of the previously suspended amount does not relieve the discharger of its independent obligation to take necessary actions to achieve compliance.

If an ECA is utilized as part of a settlement of an enforcement action against a discharger, the monetary liability that is not suspended shall be no less than the amount of the economic benefit that the discharger received from its unauthorized activity, plus an additional amount that is generally consistent with the factors for monetary liability assessment to deter future violations.

~~X.~~  
**X. DISCHARGER VIOLATION REPORTING**

For permitted discharges, all violations must be [accurately](#) reported in self-monitoring reports in a form acceptable to the Regional Water Board. Voluntary disclosure of violations that are not otherwise required to be reported to the Water Boards shall be considered by the Water Boards when determining the appropriate enforcement response.

Falsification or misrepresentation of such voluntary disclosures shall be brought to the attention of the appropriate Regional Water Board for possible enforcement action.

~~XI.~~  
**XI. VIOLATION AND ENFORCEMENT DATA**

The Water Boards will ensure that all violations and enforcement actions are [accurately](#) documented in the appropriate Water Board data management system. [All violations should be addressed with an appropriate enforcement action. Enforcement action options are described in Appendix A.](#) Sufficient information will be collected and maintained regarding regulated facilities and sites to allow preparation of internal and external reporting of violation and enforcement information, and development and reporting of performance measures regarding the Water Boards' enforcement activities. To ensure timely collection of this information, all violations will be entered within 10 days of discovery of the violation, and all enforcement actions will be entered within 20 days of the date of the enforcement action.

~~XII.~~  
**XII. ENFORCEMENT REPORTING**

In order to inform the public of [the](#) State and Regional Water Boards' performance with regard to enforcement activities, there are a number of legislatively mandated and elective reports the Water Boards are committed to producing on a regular basis, [including those required by Water Code sections 13167 and 13399.](#) See Appendix B for additional information on these reports.

~~XIII.~~  
**XIII. POLICY REVIEW AND REVISION**

It is the intent of the State Water Board that this Policy be reviewed and revised, as appropriate, at least every five years. Nothing in this Policy is intended to preclude revisions, as appropriate, on an earlier basis.

# APPENDIX A: ENFORCEMENT ACTIONS

## A. Standard Language

In order to provide a consistent approach to enforcement throughout the State, enforcement orders shall be standardized to the extent appropriate. The State Water Board will create model enforcement orders containing standardized provisions for use by the Regional Water Boards. The Regional Water Boards shall use the models, modifying terms, and conditions only as appropriate to fit the specific circumstances related to a discharge and to be consistent with Regional Water Board plans and policies.

## B. Progressive Enforcement

Progressive enforcement refers to an escalating series of actions that allows for the efficient and effective use of enforcement resources to: (1) assist cooperative dischargers in achieving compliance; (2) compel compliance for repeat violations and recalcitrant violators; and (3) provide a disincentive for noncompliance. Enforcement staff will engage in the process described in Part II of the Policy and exercise its discretion to determine which steps to take in an effort to efficiently use and prioritize limited resources. For some violations, an informal response such as a phone call, email, or staff enforcement letter is a sufficient first step to notify the discharger that the violation has been identified, and to encourage a swift and complete return to compliance. If any of the noted violations continue, staff's enforcement response should quickly escalate to increasingly more formal, forceful, and serious actions until compliance is achieved.

Progressive enforcement is not appropriate in all circumstances. Examples include, but are not limited to, emergency situations needing immediate response, violations resulting from intentional and/or grossly negligent conduct, violations by dischargers with a history of noncompliance, or violations resulting in significant impact or threat of impact to beneficial uses. In some cases involving an injunctive component, such as investigation or CAO, progressive enforcement may be less of a priority than collecting data and analyses necessary to protect water quality. Progressive enforcement is a routine practice for Water Board staff, but should not be considered a requirement when swift or immediate enforcement is needed or justified to address a particular violation.

## **BC.** Informal Enforcement Actions

An informal enforcement action is any enforcement action taken by Water Board staff that is not defined in statute or regulation. ~~Informal~~An informal enforcement action can include any form of communication (oral, written, or electronic) between Water Board staff and a discharger concerning an actual, threatened, or potential violation. Informal enforcement actions cannot be petitioned to the State Water Board.

The purpose of an informal enforcement action is to quickly bring an actual, threatened, or potential violation to the discharger's attention and to give the discharger an opportunity to return to compliance as soon as possible. The Water Board may take formal enforcement action in place of, or in addition to, informal enforcement actions. Continued noncompliance, particularly after informal actions have been unsuccessful, will result in ~~the classification of the next violation as either class I priority or a class II violation~~escalation to more formal enforcement.

1. ~~4.~~



## **Oral and Written Contacts**

For many violations, the first step is an oral contact. This involves contacting the discharger by phone or in person ~~and~~ informing the discharger of the specific violations, discussing how and why the violations have occurred or may occur, and ~~discussing~~ how and when the discharger will correct the violation and achieve compliance. Staff must document such conversations in the facility case file and in the enforcement database.

A letter or email is often appropriate as a follow-up to, or in lieu of, an oral contact. Letters or emails, signed by staff or by the appropriate senior staff, should inform the discharger of the specific violations and, if known to staff, discuss how and why the violations have occurred or may occur. This letter or email should ask how and when the discharger will correct the violation and achieve compliance. The letter or email should require a prompt response and a certification from the discharger that the violation(s) has been corrected. In many cases, an email response may not be sufficient and a formal written response will be required. Correction of the violation by the discharger shall be recorded in the enforcement database.

Oral enforcement actions ~~and enforcement~~ letters or emails shall not include language excusing the violation or modifying a compliance date in ~~waste discharge requirements (WDRs)~~ or other orders issued by the Water Boards.

### **2. ~~2.~~ Notices of Violation (NOV)**

The ~~An~~ NOV letter is the most significant level of informal enforcement action and should be used only where a violation has actually occurred. An NOV must be signed by the appropriate staff and ~~mailed~~ provided to the discharger(s) ~~by certified mail~~. In cases where the discharger has requested that its consultant be notified of Regional Water Board actions, the consultant should also receive a copy of the NOV. The NOV letter shall include a description of the specific violation, a summary of potential enforcement options available to address noncompliance (including potential ACL assessments), and a request for a certified, written response by a specified date that either confirms the correction of the violation or identifies a date by which the violation will be corrected. The NOV can be combined with a request for technical information pursuant to California Water Code ~~section 13267~~ sections 13267 and/or 13383, or similar requests. The summary of potential enforcement options must include appropriate citations to the California Water Code and must specify that the Regional Water Board reserves the right to take any enforcement action authorized by law. When combining NOVs and ~~CWC~~ California Water Code section 13267 requests, it should be noted that only requests made pursuant to section 13267 are petitionable to the State Water Board.

## **CD. Formal Enforcement Actions**

Formal enforcement actions are ~~statutorily~~ statute-based actions to address a violation or threatened violation of water quality laws, regulations, policies, plans, or orders. The actions listed below present options available for enforcement:

### **1. ~~1.~~ Notices to Comply**

California Water Code section 13399 *et seq.* deals with statutorily defined “minor” violations. When dealing with such a “minor” violation, a Notice to Comply is generally the only means by which the State Water Board or Regional Water Board can commence an enforcement action. ~~Because these “minor” violations are statutorily defined, they do not directly correlate with the classification system defined in Section II of this Policy. Typically, however, “minor” violations may be considered equivalent to Class III violations.~~ A violation is determined to be “minor” by the State Water Board or the Regional Water Board after considering factors defined in California Water Code section 13399, subdivisions (e) and (f), and the danger the violation poses to, or the potential that the violation presents for, endangering human health, safety, welfare, or the environment.

- a. Under most circumstances the violations listed below are considered to be “minor” violations:
  - (1) Inadvertent omissions or deficiencies in recordkeeping that do not prevent a Water Board from determining whether compliance is taking place;
  - (2) Records (including WDRs) not being physically available at the time of the inspection, provided the records do exist and can be produced in a reasonable time;
  - (3) Inadvertent violations of insignificant administrative provisions that do not involve a discharge of waste or a threat thereof; and,
  - (4) Violations that result in an insignificant discharge of waste or a threat thereof; provided, however, that there is no significant threat to human health, safety, welfare, or the environment.
- b. A violation is not considered “minor” if ~~it is a class I priority violation as described in Section II of this Policy or~~ includes any of the following:
  - (1) Any knowing, willful, or intentional violation of ~~Division~~division 7 (commencing with ~~Section~~section 13000) of the California Water Code;
  - (2) Any violation that enables the violator to benefit economically from noncompliance, either by realizing reduced costs or by gaining an unfair competitive advantage;
  - (3) Chronic violations or violations committed by a recalcitrant violator; and,
  - (4) Violations that cannot be corrected within 30 days.

## **2. ~~2.~~ Notices of ~~Stormwater~~Storm Water Noncompliance**

The ~~Stormwater~~Storm Water Enforcement Act of 1998 (Wat. Code, § 13399.25 et seq.) requires that each Regional Water Board provide a notice of noncompliance to any ~~stormwater~~storm water dischargers who have failed to file a notice of intent to obtain coverage, a notice of non-applicability, a construction certification, or annual reports. If, after two notices, the discharger fails to file the applicable document, the Regional Water Board shall issue ~~a~~an ACL complaint ~~for administrative civil liability~~ against the discharger. Alternatively, the Water Boards may enforce most of these violations under Water Code section 13385.

## **3. Technical Reports and Investigations**

California Water Code sections 13267, subdivision (b), and ~~13383~~13383, allow the Water Boards to conduct investigations and to require technical or monitoring reports from any person who has discharged, discharges, or is suspected of having discharged or discharging, or who proposes to discharge waste ~~in accordance with the conditions in the section~~. When requiring reports, pursuant to Water Code section 13267, subdivision (b), the Water Board must ensure that the burden, including ~~costs of~~ the cost of reports, bears a reasonable relationship to the need for the reports and the benefits to be obtained from them. Further, the Water Board shall provide a written explanation with regard to the need for the reports and identify the evidence that supports requiring them. Although they should be cited in Cleanup and Abatement Orders, Cease and Desist Orders and section 13308 Time Schedule Orders, it is important to note that Water Code sections 13267 and 13383 are not strictly enforcement statutes. State and Regional Water Boards should routinely cite those sections as authority whenever asking for technical or monitoring reports.

Failure to comply with requirements made pursuant to California Water Code section 13267, subdivision (b), may result in administrative civil liability pursuant to California Water Code section 13268. Failure to comply with orders made pursuant to California Water Code section 13383 may result in administrative civil liability pursuant to California Water Code section

13385. Sections 13267, subdivision (b), and 13383 requirements are enforceable when signed by the Executive Officer or Executive Director of the Water Boards or their delegates.

#### **4. Cleanup and Abatement Orders (~~CAOs~~CAO)**

Cleanup and Abatement Orders (~~CAOs~~CAO) are adopted pursuant to California Water Code section ~~13304~~. 13304 and/or Health and Safety Code section 25296.10. CAOs may be issued to any person who has discharged or discharges waste into the waters of this ~~state~~State in violation of any waste discharge requirement or other order or prohibition issued by a Regional Water Board or the State Water Board, or who has caused or permitted, causes or permits, or threatens to cause or permit any waste to be discharged or deposited where it is, or probably will be, discharged into the waters of the State and creates, or threatens to create, a condition of pollution or nuisance (discharger). The CAO requires the discharger to clean up the waste or abate the effects of the waste, or both, or, in the case of threatened pollution or nuisance, take other necessary remedial action, including, but not limited to, overseeing cleanup and abatement efforts.

The Regional Water Boards shall comply with State Water Board ~~Resolution No. 92-49~~, “Resolution No. 92-49, Policies and Procedures for Investigation and Cleanup and Abatement of Discharges under Water Code Section 13304,” in issuing CAOs. CAOs shall require dischargers to clean up the pollution to background levels or the best water quality that is reasonable, if background levels of water quality cannot be restored, in accordance with Resolution No. 92-49. At a minimum, ~~cleanup~~clean up levels must be sufficiently stringent to fully support beneficial uses, unless the Regional Water Board allows a containment zone. In the interim, and if restoration of background water quality cannot be achieved, the CAO shall require the discharger(s) to abate the effects of the discharge.

Violations of CAOs should trigger further enforcement in the form of an ACL Complaint, a Time Schedule Order (TSO) under California Water Code section 13308, or a referral to the Attorney General for injunctive relief or monetary remedies.

#### **5. ~~5.~~–Section 13300 Time Schedule Orders (~~TSOs~~TSO)**

Pursuant to California Water Code section 13300, a Regional Water Board can require the discharger to submit a time schedule that sets forth the actions the discharger will take to address actual or threatened discharges of waste in violation of requirements. Typically, those schedules, after any appropriate adjustments by the Regional Water Board, are then memorialized in an order. TSOs that require submission of technical and monitoring reports should state that the reports are required pursuant to California Water Code section 13267.

#### **6. ~~6.~~–Section 13308 Time Schedule Orders (13308 ~~TSOs~~TSO)**

California Water Code section 13308 authorizes the Regional Water Board to issue a Section 13308 Time Schedule Order (13308 TSO) that prescribes, in advance, a civil penalty if compliance is not achieved in accordance with the time schedule. The Regional Water Board may issue a 13308 TSO if there is a threatened or continuing violation of a ~~cleanup and abatement order~~CAO, a cease and desist order, or any requirement issued under California Water Code sections 13267 or 13383. The penalty must be set based on an amount reasonably necessary to achieve compliance and may not contain any amount intended to punish or redress previous violations. The 13308 TSO provides the Regional Water Boards with their primary mechanism for motivating compliance, and if necessary, assessing monetary penalties against federal facilities. Orders under this section are an important tool for regulating federal facilities.

If the discharger fails to comply with ~~the~~a 13308 TSO, the discharger is subject to ~~an~~an ACL complaint ~~for Administrative Civil Liability~~. The State Water Board may issue a 13308 TSO if the violation or threatened violation involves requirements prescribed by a State Water Board

Order. [If the amount of proposed liability in the complaint is less than the amount specified in the 13308 Order, the Regional Board is required by California Water Code 13308\(c\) to include specific findings setting forth the reasons for its action based on Water Code section 13327.](#)

## **7. Cease and Desist Orders (~~GDOs~~CDO)**

Cease and Desist Orders (~~GDOs~~CDO) are adopted pursuant to California Water Code sections 13301 and 13303. CDOs may be issued to dischargers violating or threatening to violate ~~WDRs~~[waste discharge requirements \(WDR\)](#) or prohibitions prescribed by the Regional Water Board or the State Water Board. [CDOs are often issued to dischargers with chronic non-compliance problems. These problems are rarely amenable to a short term solution. Often, compliance involves extensive capital improvements or operational changes. The CDO will usually contain a compliance schedule, including interim deadlines, interim effluent limits and a final compliance date. CDOs may also include restrictions on additional service connections to community sewer systems and combined stormwater/sewer systems.](#)

Section 4477 of the California Government Code prohibits all state agencies from entering into contracts of \$5,000 or more for the purchase of supplies, equipment, or services from any nongovernmental entity who is the subject of a CDO that is no longer under review and that was issued for violation of WDRs or which has been finally determined to be in violation of federal laws relating to air or water pollution. If the CDO contains a time schedule for compliance and the entity is adhering to the time schedule, the entity is not subject to disqualification under this section. A list of such entities is maintained by the State Water Board.

[CDOs that require submission of technical and monitoring reports should stat that the reports are required pursuant to Water Code section 13267.](#) CDOs shall contain language describing likely enforcement options available in the event of noncompliance and shall specify that the Regional Water Board reserves its right to take any further enforcement action authorized by law. Such language shall include appropriate California Water Code citations. Violations of CDOs should trigger further enforcement in the form of an ACL, 13308 TSO, or referral to the Attorney General for injunctive relief or monetary remedies.

## **8. Modification or Rescission of ~~Waste-Discharge Requirements (WDRs)~~**

In accordance with the provisions of the California Water Code, a Regional Water Board may modify or rescind WDRs in response to violations. Depending on the circumstances of the case, rescission of WDRs may be appropriate for failure to pay fees, penalties, or liabilities; a discharge that adversely affects beneficial uses of the waters of the State; and violation of the State Water Board General WDRs for discharge of bio-solids due to violation of the Background Cumulative Adjusted Loading Rate. Rescission of WDRs generally is not an appropriate enforcement response where the discharger is unable to prevent the discharge, as in the case of a [publicly-owned treatment works \(POTW\)](#).

## **9. Administrative Civil Liabilities (ACL)**

~~ACLs~~[Administrative Civil Liabilities \(ACLs\)](#) are liabilities imposed by a Regional Water Board or the State Water Board. The California Water Code authorizes the imposition of an ACL for certain violations of law. The factors used to assess the appropriate penalties are addressed in Section VI.

In addition to those specific factors that must be considered in any ACL action, there is another factor that ought to be considered. When the underlying problem that caused the violation(s) has not been corrected, the Water Board should evaluate whether the liability proposed in the ACL complaint is sufficient to encourage necessary work by the discharger to address problems related to the violation. If not, the Water Board should consider other options. An ACL action may be combined with another enforcement mechanism such as a CAO, a CDO, or other order with a time schedule for obtaining compliance. The appropriate orders to bring a discharger into

compliance via an enforcement action will vary with the circumstances faced by the Water Boards.

It is the policy of the State Water Board that a 30 -day public comment period shall be posted on the Board's website prior to the settlement or imposition of any ACL, not including ~~mandatory minimum penalties~~ MMPs, and prior to settlement of any judicial civil liabilities. In addition, for civil liabilities that are expected to generate significant public interest, the Board may consider mailing or ~~e-mailing~~ emailing the notice to known interested parties, or publishing the notice in a local newspaper. The notice should include a brief description of the alleged violations, the proposed civil liability, the deadline for comments, the date of any scheduled hearing, a process for obtaining additional information, and a statement that the amount of the civil liability may be revised. Only one notice need be posted for each civil liability.

Upon receipt of an ACL complaint (Complaint), the discharger(s) may waive its right to a public hearing and pay the liability; negotiate a settlement; or appear at a Board hearing to dispute the Complaint. If the discharger waives its right to a public hearing and pays the liability, a third party may still comment on the Complaint at any time during the public comment period. Following review of the comments, the Executive Officer, or his or her delegate, may withdraw the ~~ACL~~ Complaint. ~~An ACL~~ A Complaint may be redrafted and reissued as appropriate.

## **DE. Petitions of Enforcement Actions**

Persons affected by most formal enforcement actions or failures to act by a Regional Water ~~Boards~~ Board may file ~~petitions~~ a petition with the State Water Board for review of such actions or failures to act. The petition must be received by the State Water Board within 30 days of the Regional Water Board action. A petition on ~~the~~ a Regional Water Board's failure to act must be filed within 30 days of either the date the Regional Water Board refuses to act, or a date that is 60 days after a request to take action has been made to the Regional Water Board. Actions taken by the Executive Officer of ~~the~~ a Regional Water Board, if pursuant to authority delegated by the Regional Water Board (e.g., CAOs, ACL orders), are considered final actions by the Regional Water Board and are also subject to the 30-day time limit. In addition, significant enforcement actions by a Regional Water Board Executive Officer may, in some circumstances, be reviewed by the Regional Water Board at the request of the discharger, though such review does not extend the time to petition the State Water Board. The State Water Board may, at any time and on its own motion, review most actions or failures to act by a Regional Water Board. When a petition is filed with the State Water Board challenging an ACL assessment, the assessment is not due or owing during the State Water Board review of the petition. In all other cases, the filing of a petition does not stay the obligation to comply with the Regional Water Board order.



## APPENDIX B: ENFORCEMENT REPORTING

In order to inform the public of [the](#) State and Regional Water Boards performance with regard to enforcement activities, there are a number of legislatively mandated and elective reports the Water Boards are committed to producing on a regular basis.

### A. Legislatively Mandated Enforcement Reporting

The following list summarizes legislatively mandated enforcement reporting requirements and State Water Board interpretations thereof:

- [Section 13167 requires the State Water Board to place and maintain information on enforcement and enforcement actions on its website.](#)
- Section 13225, subdivision (e)<sub>1</sub> requires each Regional Water Board to report rates of compliance ~~for regulated facilities. In accordance with the "Implementation Plan Regarding Information Reporting Requirements for Regional Board Enforcement Outputs" (January, 2008) compliance~~ [with the requirements of this Division. Compliance](#) rates will be reported in the Annual ~~Enforcement~~[Performance](#) Report.
- Section 13225, subdivision (k)<sub>1</sub> requires each Regional Water Board, in consultation with the State Water Board, to identify and post on the Internet a summary list of all enforcement actions undertaken in that ~~regional~~[region](#) and the disposition of each action, including any civil penalty assessed. This list must be updated at least quarterly.
- ~~Section 13225, subdivision (k) and Section 13225, subdivision (e) In accordance with the "Implementation Plan Regarding Information Reporting Requirements for Regional Board Enforcement Outputs" (January, 2008) each Regional Water Board must post the information required by these sections on its website as a single table and update it quarterly.~~
- Section 13323, subdivision (e)<sub>1</sub> requires information related to hearing waivers and the imposition of administrative civil liability, as proposed<sub>1</sub> and as finally imposed, to be posted on the Internet.
- Section 13385, subdivision (o)<sub>1</sub> requires the State Water Board to continuously report and update information [regarding its enforcement activities](#) on its website, but at a minimum, annually on or before January 1, ~~regarding its enforcement activities. 1.~~ The required information includes all of the following:
  - ~~1.~~ [1.](#) ~~○~~ A compilation of the number of violations of waste discharge requirements in the previous calendar year, including ~~stormwater~~[storm water](#) enforcement violations;
  - ~~2.~~ [2.](#) ~~○~~ A record of the formal and informal compliance and enforcement actions taken for each violation, including ~~stormwater~~[storm water](#) enforcement actions; and<sub>1</sub>
  - ~~3.~~ [3.](#) ~~○~~ An analysis of the effectiveness of current enforcement policies, including mandatory minimum penalties ~~or MMPs.~~
- [Section 13399.27, subdivision \(a\), requires a list of persons that were notified of their duty to comply with the general storm water NPDES permits and a description of the responses received to those notifications.](#)
- [Section 13399.27, subdivision \(b\), requires a list of persons that failed to submit an annual report or construction certification required by a regional water board and any penalties assessed therefor.](#)



- Government Code ~~Section~~[section](#) 65962.5, subdivision (c)~~1~~, requires that the State Water Board annually compile and submit to ~~Cal/EPA~~[CalEPA](#) a list of:
  1. ~~⊖~~ All underground storage tanks for which an unauthorized release report is filed pursuant to Health and Safety Code ~~Section 25295.~~  
[section 25295](#);
  2. ~~⊖~~

All solid waste disposal facilities from which there is a migration of hazardous waste and for which a Regional Water Board has notified the Department of Toxic Substances Control pursuant to [section 13273](#), subdivision (e), of California Water Code ~~section 13273~~.

3. ~~○~~ All CDOs issued after January 1, 1986, pursuant to California Water Code ~~Section~~[section](#) 13301, and all CAOs issued after January 1, 1986, pursuant to California Water Code section 13304, which concern the discharge of wastes that are hazardous materials.

## B. Elective Enforcement Reporting

To present a ~~more~~ comprehensive view of the Water Boards' enforcement activities and to identify enforcement goals and priorities, the Water Boards ~~will prepare an annual integrated water quality enforcement report that will, at a minimum,~~ [prepare the Annual Performance Report](#). [The report should](#) address the following subjects:

1. ~~•~~ Budgetary and staff resources available for water quality enforcement at the Water Boards, as compared with the total resources for the regulatory programs and activities that they support, and the types of enforcement actions taken with those enforcement resources during the reporting period.
- ~~• All enforcement information required by statute to be reported to the public every year.~~
2. ~~•~~ The effectiveness of the Water Boards' compliance and enforcement functions using metrics, such as those identified ~~in the Annual Enforcement Report (to the extent that the information is available in~~ [below](#):

[Recommended Performance Measures for the Water Boards' ~~data base system~~](#), ~~below~~.

## Recommended Performance Measures For Water Boards' Enforcement Programs

Measure Name	Measure Description
Self-Monitoring Report Evaluation	Number of self-monitoring reports due, received, and reviewed and percentage of reports reviewed
Inspection Monitoring	Number of inspections and the percentage of facilities inspected
Compliance Rates	Percentage of facilities in compliance, based upon the number of facilities evaluated
Enforcement Response	Percentage of facilities in violation that received an enforcement action requiring compliance
Enforcement Activities	Number and type of enforcement actions
Penalties Assessed and Collected	The amount of penalties assessed and collected, SEPs approved, and injunctive relief
MMP Violations Addressed	Number of facilities with MMP violations receiving a penalty at or above the minimum penalty assessed
Recidivism	Number and percentage of facilities returning to non-compliance for the same violation(s) addressed through an enforcement action
Environmental Benefits (as a result of an enforcement action)	Estimated pounds of pollutants reduced/removed through cleanup (soil or water), and wetlands/stream/beach/creek/river miles protected/restored (acres, miles, etc.)

*From FY 2007-2008 Annual Enforcement Report*

[http://www.waterboards.ca.gov/water\\_issues/programs/enforcement/docs/annual\\_enf\\_rpt\\_032609.pdf](http://www.waterboards.ca.gov/water_issues/programs/enforcement/docs/annual_enf_rpt_032609.pdf) **Programs**  
**ms**

<u>Measure Name</u>	<u>Measure Description</u>
<u>Self-Monitoring Report Evaluation</u>	<u>Number of self-monitoring reports due, received, and reviewed and percentage of reports reviewed</u>
<u>Inspection Monitoring</u>	<u>Number of inspections and the percentage of facilities inspected</u>
<u>Violations</u>	<u>Number of violations identified</u>
<u>Compliance Rates</u>	<u>Percentage of facilities in compliance, based upon the number of facilities evaluated</u>
<u>Enforcement Response</u>	<u>Percentage of violations that received an enforcement action</u>
<u>Enforcement Activities</u>	<u>Number and type of enforcement actions</u>
<u>Penalties Assessed and Collected</u>	<u>The amount of penalties assessed and collected, SEPs approved, and injunctive relief</u>
<u>MMP Violations Addressed</u>	<u>Number of facilities with MMP violations receiving a penalty</u>
<u>Recidivism</u>	<u>Number and percentage of facilities returning to non-compliance for the same violation(s) addressed through an enforcement action</u>
<u>Environmental Benefits (as a result of an enforcement action)</u>	<u>Estimated pounds of pollutants reduced/removed through cleanup (soil or water), and wetlands/stream/ beach/creek/ river miles protected/restored (acres, miles, etc.)</u>

- 3. Proposed enforcement priorities for the State Water Boards for the next reporting period and staff's basis for these proposals;
- 4. The extent of progress on enforcement priorities identified in prior ~~Annual Enforcement Reports~~ reports; and,
- ~~Recommendations for improvements to the Water Boards' enforcement capabilities, including additional performance metrics, and an evaluation of efforts to address prior staff recommendations for enforcement improvements.~~ 5. Recommendations for improvements to the Water Boards' enforcement capabilities.

## APPENDIX C: GROUP 1 POLLUTANTS

This list of pollutants is based on Appendix A to Section 123.45 of Title 40 of the Code of Federal Regulations.

<b>Oxygen Demand</b>	<b>Minerals</b>
Biochemical Oxygen Demand (BOD)	Calcium
Chemical Oxygen Demand (COD)	Chloride
Dissolved Oxygen Demands	Fluoride
Dissolved Organic Carbon	Magnesium
Other*	Sodium
	Potassium
<b>Solids</b>	Sulfur
Total Dissolved Solids (TDS)	Sulfate
Total Suspended Solids (TSS)	Total Alkalinity
Other*	Total Hardness
	Other Minerals*
<b>Nutrients</b>	
Inorganic Phosphorous Compounds	
Inorganic Nitrogen Compounds	<b>Metals</b>
Other*	Aluminum
	Cobalt
<b>Detergents and Oils</b>	Iron
Methylene Blue Active Substances	Vanadium
Triloroacetic Acid	
Land Grease	
Other Detergents or Algicides*	

\* The following list of pollutants is hereby included as Group 1 pollutants (pursuant to Appendix A to Section 123.45 of Title 40 of the Code of Federal Regulations) under the classifications of "other."

5-DAY SUM OF WLA VALUES  
5-DAY SUM OF BOD5 DISCHARGED  
7-DAY SUM OF WLA VALUES  
7-DAY SUM OF BOD5 DISCHARGED  
ACIDITY  
ACIDITY, CO<sub>2</sub>-PHENOL (AS-CACO<sub>3</sub>)  
ACIDITY-MINRL METHYL-ORANGE (AS-CACO<sub>3</sub>)  
ACIDITY, TOTAL (AS-CACO<sub>3</sub>)  
ALGICIDES, GENERAL  
ALKALINITY, BICARBONATE (AS-CACO<sub>3</sub>)  
ALKALINITY, CARBONATE (AS-CACO<sub>3</sub>)  
ALKALINITY, PHENOL-PHTHALINE METHOD  
ALKALINITY, TOTAL (AS-CACO<sub>3</sub>)  
ALUMINUM  
ALUMINUM, ACID-SOLUBLE  
ALUMINUM-CHLORIDE, DISSOLVED, WATER  
ALUMINUM, DISSOLVED (AS-AL)  
ALUMINUM, IONIC  
ALUMINUM, POTENTIALLY DISSOLVED

ALUMINUM SULFATE  
ALUMINUM, TOTAL RECOVERABLE  
ALUMINUM, TOTAL  
ALUMINUM, TOTAL (AS AL)  
AMMONIA & AMMONIUM TOTAL  
AMMONIA (AS N) + UNIONIZED AMMONIA  
AMMONIA, UNIONIZED  
AVG. OF 7-DAY SUM OF BOD5 VALUES  
BARIUM, SLUDGE, TOT, DRY WEIGHT (AS BA)  
BICARBONATE ION (AS HCO3)  
BIOCHEMICAL OXYGEN DEMAND-5  
BIOCIDES  
BOD % OVER INFLUENT  
BOD (ULT. 1ST STAGE)  
BOD (ULT. 2ND STAGE)  
BOD (ULT. ALL STAGES)  
BOD, 5-DAY (20 DEG. C)  
BOD, 5-DAY 20-DEG C PER CFS OF STREAMFLW  
BOD, 5-DAY DISSOLVED  
BOD, 5-DAY PERCENT REMOVAL  
BOD, 5-DAY (20 DEG. C) PER PRODUCTION  
BOD, 11-DAY (20 DEG. C)  
BOD, 20-DAY (20 DEG. C)  
BOD, 20-DAY, PERCENT REMOVAL  
BOD 35-DAY (20 DEG. C)  
BOD, CARB-5 DAY, 20 DEG C, PERCENT REMVL  
BOD, CARBONACEOUS 5-DAY, 5C  
BOD, CARBONACEOUS (5-DAY, 20-DEG C)  
BOD, CARBONACEOUS 05-DAY, 20C  
BOD, CARBONACEOUS 20-DAY, 20C  
BOD CARBONACEOUS, 25-DAY (20-DEG. C)  
BOD, CARBONACEOUS, 28-DAY (20-DEG. C)  
BOD, CARBONACEOUS, PERCENT REMOVAL  
BOD, FILTERED, 5-DAY, 20-DEG C  
BOD, MASS, TIMES FLOW PROP. MULTIPLIER  
BOD, NITROG-INHIB 5-DAY (20-DEG. C)  
BOD, PERCENT REMOVAL (TOTAL)  
BOD-5 LB/CU FT PROCESS  
BORIC ACID  
BORON, DISSOLVED (AS B)  
BORON, SLUDGE, TOTAL DRY WEIGHT (AS B)  
BORON, TOTAL  
BORON, TOTAL (AS B)  
BORON, TOTAL RECOVERABLE  
BROMIDE (AS BR)  
BROMINE REPORTED AS THE ELEMENT  
CALCIUM IN BOTTOM DEPOSITS  
CALCIUM, DISSOLVED (AS CA)  
CALCIUM, PCT EXCHANGE  
CALCIUM, PCT IN WATER, (PCT)  
CALCIUM, TOTAL RECOVERABLE  
CARBON DIOXIDE (AS CO2)  
CARBON, TOTAL (AS C)  
CARBON, TOTAL INORGANIC (AS C)  
CARBON, TOT ORGANIC (TOC)  
CARBON, TOT ORGANIC (TOC) PER 1000 GALS.  
CARBONACEOUS BOD, 5-DAY, 20-DEG C FILTRD  
CARBONACEOUS OXYGEN DEMAND, % REMOVAL  
CARBONATE ION (AS CO3)  
CBOD5 / NH3-N



CHEM. OXYGEN DEMAND (COD) % REMOVAL  
CHEM. OXYGEN DEMAND PER PRODUCTION  
CHEMICAL OXYGEN DEMAND (COD)  
CHEMICAL OXYGEN DEMAND, SOLUBLE  
CHLORIDE  
CHLORIDE (AS-CL)  
CHLORIDE, DISSOLVED (AS-CL)  
CHLORIDE, DISSOLVED IN WATER  
CHLORIDE, PERCENT REMOVAL  
CHLORIDE, PER CFS OF STREAMFLOW  
CHLORIDE, SLUDGE, TOTAL DRY WEIGHT  
CHLORIDES & SULFATES  
CHLORINE DEMAND, 1 HR  
CHLORITE  
COBALT, DISSOLVED (AS-CO)  
COBALT, TOTAL (AS-CO)  
COBALT, TOTAL RECOVERABLE (AS-CO)  
COPPER, SLUDGE, TOT, DRY WEIGHT (AS-CU)  
DIGESTER SOLIDS CONTENT, PERCENT  
DITHIOCARBAMATE, RPTD AS DITHIOCARBONATE  
DRILLED SOLIDS IN DRILLING FLUIDS  
ENDRIN KETONE, IN WATER  
FERROCHROME LIGNO-SULFONATED FRWTR MUD  
FERROCYANIDE  
FERROUS SULFATE  
FIRST STAGE OXYGEN DEMAND, % REMOVAL  
FLUORIDE-FREE  
FLUORIDE, DISSOLVED (AS-F)  
FLUORIDE, TOTAL (AS-F)  
FLUOROBORATES  
FREE ACID, TOTAL  
HARDNESS, TOTAL (AS-CACO3)  
HYDROCHLORIC ACID  
HYDROGEN PEROXIDE  
HYDROGEN PEROXIDE (T) DILUTION RATIO  
HYDROGEN SULFIDE  
HYDROGEN SULFIDE UNIONIZED  
IODIDE (AS-I)  
IRON  
IRON AND MANGANESE-SOLUBLE  
IRON AND MANGANESE-TOTAL  
IRON, DISSOLVED (AS-FE)  
IRON, DISSOLVED FROM DRY-DEPOSITION  
IRON, FERROUS  
IRON, POTENTIALLY DISSOLVED  
IRON, SLUDGE, TOTAL, DRY WEIGHT (AS-FE)  
IRON, SUSPENDED  
IRON, TOTAL (AS-FE)  
IRON, TOTAL PER BATCH  
IRON, TOTAL PERCENT REMOVAL  
IRON, TOTAL PER PRODUCTION  
LIGHTLY TREATED LIG-NOSULFONATED MUD  
LITHIUM, DISSOLVED (AS-LI)  
LITHIUM, TOTAL (AS-LI)  
MACROINVERTEBRATE ASSESSMENT  
MAGNESIUM, DISSOLVED (AS-MG)  
MAGNESIUM, IN BOTTOM DEPOSITS  
MAGNESIUM, PCT EXCHANGE  
MAGNESIUM, TOTAL RECOVERABLE  
MANGANESE IN BOTTOM DEPOSITS (DRY WGT)

MANGANESE, POTENTIALLY DISSOLVED  
MANGANESE, DISSOLVED (AS MN)  
MANGANESE, SUSPENDED  
MANGANESE, TOTAL  
MANGANESE, TOTAL (AS MN)  
MANGANESE, TOTAL RECOVERABLE  
METHYLENE BLUE ACTIVE SUBSTANCES  
MICROSCOPIC ANALYSIS  
MOLYBDENUM, DRY WEIGHT  
MONOBORO CHLORATE  
NICKEL, DRY WEIGHT  
NITRILOTRIACETIC ACID (NTA)  
NITRITE NITROGEN, DISSOLVED (AS N)  
NITRITE PLUS NITRATE DISSOLVED 1 DET.  
NITRITE PLUS NITRATE IN BOTTOM DEPOSITS  
NITRITE PLUS NITRATE TOTAL 1 DET. (AS N)  
NITROGEN (AS NO3) SLUDGE SOLID  
NITROGEN OXIDES (AS N)  
NITROGEN SLUDGE SOLID  
NITROGEN SLUDGE TOTAL  
NITROGEN, AMMONIA DISSOLVED  
NITROGEN, AMMONIA IN BOTTOM DEPOSITS  
NITROGEN, AMMONIA, PERCENT REMOVAL  
NITROGEN, AMMONIA PER CFS OF STREAMFLW  
NITROGEN, AMMONIA TOTAL (AS N)  
NITROGEN, AMMONIA TOTAL (AS NH4)  
NITROGEN, AMMONIA, SLUDGE, TOT DRY WGT  
NITROGEN, AMMONIA, TOT UNIONIZED (AS N)  
NITROGEN, DISSOLVED  
NITROGEN, KJELDAHL DISSOLVED (AS N)  
NITROGEN, KJELDAHL TOTAL  
NITROGEN, KJELDAHL TOTAL (AS N)  
NITROGEN, NITRATE DISSOLVED  
NITROGEN, NITRATE TOTAL  
NITROGEN, NITRATE TOTAL (AS N)  
NITROGEN, NITRATE TOTAL (AS NO3)  
NITROGEN, NITRITE TOTAL (AS N)  
NITROGEN, NITRITE TOTAL (AS NO2)  
NITROGEN, ORGANIC TOTAL (AS N)  
NITROGEN, SLUDGE, TOT, DRY WT. (AS N)  
NITROGEN, TOTAL AS NO3 + NH3  
NITROGEN, TOTAL KJELDAHL, % REMOVAL  
NITROGEN, INORGANIC TOTAL  
NITROGEN, OXIDIZED  
NITROGEN NITRATE IN WATER, (PCT)  
NITROGEN NITRITE IN WATER, (PCT)  
NITROGENOUS OXYGEN DEMAND, % REMOVAL  
NITROGENOUS OXYGEN DEMAND (20 DAY, 20C)  
NON IONIC DISPERSANT (NALSPERSE 7348)  
NON-NITROGENOUS BOD  
OIL & GREASE  
OIL & GREASE AROMATIC  
OIL & GREASE, HEXANE EXTR METHOD  
OIL & GREASE (FREON EXTR. IR METH) TOT, RG  
OIL & GREASE, NON POLAR MATERIAL  
OIL & GREASE % REMOVAL  
OIL & GREASE PER CFS OF STREAMFLW  
OIL & GREASE, PER 1000 GALLONS  
OIL & GREASE PER PRODUCTION  
OIL & GREASE (POLAR)

OIL & GREASE (SOXHLET EXTR.) TOT.  
OIL & GREASE VISUAL  
OXYGEN DEMAND, CHEM. (COD), DISSOLVED  
OXYGEN DEMAND, CHEM. (HIGH LEVEL) (COD)  
OXYGEN DEMAND, CHEM. (LOW LEVEL) (COD)  
OXYGEN DEMAND, DISSOLVED  
OXYGEN DEMAND-FIRST STAGE  
OXYGEN DEMAND, NITROGENOUS, ULTIMAT  
OXYGEN DEMAND, SUM PRODUCT  
OXYGEN DEMAND, TOTAL  
OXYGEN DEMAND, TOTAL (TOD)  
OXYGEN DEMAND, ULT. CARBONACEOUS (UCOD)  
OXYGEN DEMAND, ULT., PERCENT REMOVAL  
OXYGEN DEMAND, ULTIMATE  
OZONE  
OZONE-RESIDUAL  
PENTACHLOROPHENOL, REMOVAL EFFICIENCY  
PHOSPHATE TOTAL SOLUBLE  
PHOSPHATE, DISSOLVED-COLOR METHOD (AS P)  
PHOSPHATE, DISSOLVED/ORTHOPHOSPHATE(AS P)  
PHOSPHATE, ORTHO (AS P)  
PHOSPHATE, ORTHO (AS PO4)  
PHOSPHATE, POLY (AS PO4)  
PHOSPHATE, TOTAL (AS PO4)  
PHOSPHATE, TOTAL COLOR. METHOD (AS P)  
PHOSPHORUS, DISSOLVED  
PHOSPHORUS, DISSOLVED REATIVE (DRP AS P)  
PHOSPHOROUS, IN TOTAL ORTHOPHOSPHATE  
PHOSPHORUS (REACTIVE AS P)  
PHOSPHOROUS 32, TOTAL  
PHOSPHOROUS, TOTAL ELEMENTAL  
PHOSPHOROUS, TOTAL, IN BOTTOM DEPOSITS  
PHOSPHOROUS, TOTAL ORGANIC (AS P)  
PHOSPHORUS, TOTAL (AS P)  
PHOSPHORUS, TOTAL PERCENT REMOVAL  
PHOSPHORUS, TOTAL SOLUBLE (AS PO4)  
POTASSIUM, DISSOLVED (AS K)  
POTASSIUM, IN BOTTOM DEPOSITS  
POTASSIUM, PCT EXCHANGE  
POTASSIUM, TOTAL PCT IN WATER, (PCT)  
POTASSIUM, TOTAL RECOVERABLE  
PROPARGITE  
RATIO FECAL COLIFORM & STREPTOCOCCI  
RESIDUE, SETTLEABLE  
RESIDUE, TOTAL FILTERABLE  
RESIDUE, TOTAL NON SETTLEABLE  
RESIDUE, TOTAL VOLATILE  
RESIDUE, VOLATILE NONFILTERABLE  
SEAWATER GEL MUD  
SETTLEABLE SOLIDS PERCENT REMOVAL  
SILICA, DISSOLVED (AS SIO2)  
SILICON, TOTAL  
SILICA, TOTAL (AS SIO2)  
SLUDGE BUILD-UP IN WATER  
SLUDGE, RATE OF WASTING  
SLUDGE SETTLEABILITY 30 MINUTE  
SLUDGE VOLUME DAILY INTO A WELL  
SODIUM ADSORPTION RATIO  
SODIUM ARSENITE  
SODIUM CHLORIDE (SALT)

~~SODIUM, DISSOLVED (AS NA)~~  
~~SODIUM HEXAMETA PHOSPHATE~~  
~~SODIUM IN BOTTOM DEP (AS NA) (DRY WGT)~~  
~~SODIUM NITRITE~~  
~~SODIUM, %~~  
~~SODIUM, % EXCHANGE-ABLE SOIL, TOTAL~~  
~~SODIUM, SLUDGE, TOT, DRY WEIGHT (AS NA)~~  
~~SODIUM SULFATE, TOTAL~~  
~~SODIUM, TOTAL (AS NA)~~  
~~SODIUM, TOTAL RECOVERABLE~~  
~~SOLIDS ACCUMULATION RATE TOT DRY WEIGHT~~  
~~SOLIDS, FIXED DISSOLVED~~  
~~SOLIDS, FIXED SUSPENDED~~  
~~SOLIDS, SETTLEABLE~~  
~~SOLIDS, SETTLEABLE, NET VALUE~~  
~~SOLIDS, SLUDGE, TOT, DRY WEIGHT~~  
~~SOLIDS, SUSPENDED PERCENT REMOVAL~~  
~~SOLIDS, TOTAL~~  
~~SOLIDS, TOTAL DISSOLVED~~  
~~SOLIDS, TOTAL DISSOLVED (TDS)~~  
~~SOLIDS, TOTAL DISSOLVED 180 DEG.C~~  
~~SOLIDS, TOTAL DISSOLVED PERCENT BY WEIGHT~~  
~~SOLIDS, TOTAL DISSOLVED (INORGANIC)~~  
~~SOLIDS, TOTAL FIXED~~  
~~SOLIDS, TOTAL SUSPD. NON-VOLATILE~~  
~~SOLIDS, TOTAL SUSPENDED~~  
~~SOLIDS, TOTAL VOLATILE~~  
~~SOLIDS, TOTAL DISSOLVED, TOTAL TONS~~  
~~SOLIDS, TOTAL NON-VOLATILE, NON-FIXED~~  
~~SOLIDS, TOTAL SUSP PER PRODUCTION~~  
~~SOLIDS, TOTAL SUSP. PER 1000 GALLONS~~  
~~SOLIDS, TOTAL SUSP. PER BATCH~~  
~~SOLIDS, TOTAL SUSP. PER CFS OF STREAMFLW~~  
~~SOLIDS, TOTAL SUSPENDED, LOADING RATE~~  
~~SOLIDS, TOTAL SUSPENDED, NET VALUE~~  
~~SOLIDS, VOLATILE DISSOLVED~~  
~~SOLIDS, VOLATILE SUSPENDED~~  
~~SOLIDS, VOLATILE SUSPENDED, % REMOVAL~~  
~~SOLIDS, VOLATILE SUSP., IN MIXED LIQUOR~~  
~~SOLIDS, DRY, DISCHARGE TO SOL. HANDLING SYS.~~  
~~SOLIDS, DRY, INCIN. AS% OF DRY SOL. FROM TRMTPLT~~  
~~SOLIDS, DRY, REMOVED FROM SOL. HANDLING SYS.~~  
~~SOLIDS, TOT. VOLATILE PERCENT REMOVAL~~  
~~SOLIDS, VOLATILE % OF TOTAL SOLIDS~~  
~~SOLIDS FLOTNG VISUAL DETRMNTN # DAYS OBS~~  
~~SULFATE~~  
~~SULFATE (AS S)~~  
~~SULFATE, DISSOLVED (AS SO4)~~  
~~SULFATE IN SEDIMENT~~  
~~SULFATE, TOTAL (AS SO4)~~  
~~SULFIDE, DISSOLVED, (AS S)~~  
~~SULFIDE, TOTAL~~  
~~SULFIDE, TOTAL (AS S)~~  
~~SULFITE (AS S)~~  
~~SULFITE (AS SO3)~~  
~~SULFITE WASTE LIQUOR PEARL BENSON INDEX~~  
~~SULFUR DIOXIDE TOTAL~~  
~~SULFUR, TOTAL~~  
~~SULPHUR, TOTAL ELEMENTAL~~  
~~SUM BOD AND AMMONIA, WATER~~

~~SURFACTANTS, AS CTAS~~  
~~SURFACTANTS (LINEAR ALKYLATE SULFONATE)~~  
~~SURFACTANTS (MBAS)~~  
~~SUSPENDED SOLIDS~~  
~~SUSPENDED SOLIDS, TOTAL ANNUAL~~  
~~SUSPENDED SOLIDS, TOTAL DISCHARGE~~  
~~TOTAL CHLORIDE RESIDUAL, BROMINE~~  
~~TOTAL SUSP. SOLIDS-LB/CU-FT PROCESS~~  
~~TRIARYL PHOSPHATE~~  
~~ULTRAVIOLET LIGHT TRANSMITTANCE~~  
~~VANADIUM, DISSOLVED (AS V)~~  
~~VANADIUM, SUSPENDED (AS V)~~  
~~VANADIUM, TOTAL~~  
~~VANADIUM, TOTAL (AS V)~~  
~~VANADIUM, TOTAL DRY WEIGHT (AS V)~~  
~~VANADIUM, TOTAL RECOVERABLE~~  
~~VEGETATIVE COVER~~  
~~WLA-BOD-5-DAY VALUE~~

## APPENDIX D: GROUP 2 POLLUTANTS

**Group 2 Pollutants.** This list of pollutants is based on Appendix A to Section 123.45 of Title 40 of the Code of Federal Regulations.

---

### Metals

All metals not specifically listed under Group 1.

### Inorganics

Cyanide

Total Residual Chlorine

### Organics

All organics not specifically listed under Group 1.

### Other\*

---

\* The following list of pollutants are hereby included as Group 2 pollutants (pursuant to Appendix A to Section 123.45 of Title 40 of the Code of Federal Regulations) under the classifications of "other."

1, 2, 4 TRIMETHYL-BENZENE  
1, 3, 5 TRIMETHYL-BENZENE  
1,1 DICHLORO 1,2,2,2 TETRAFLUOROETHANE  
1,1 DICHLORO 2,2,2 TRIFLUOROETHANE  
1,1,1 TRICHLORO 2,2,2 TRIFLUOROETHANE  
1,1,1,2,2-PENTA-FLUOROETHANE  
1,1,1,3,3-PENTA-FLUOROBUTANE  
1,1,1-TRICHLORO-ETHANE  
1,1,1-TRICHLOROETHANE, DRY WEIGHT  
1,1,1-TRIFLUORO-ETHANE  
1,1,2,2-TETRACHLORO-ETHANE  
1,1,2,2-TETRACHLOROETHANE, DRY WEIGHT  
1,1,2,2-TETRACHLOROETHYLENE  
1,1,2-TRICHLORO-ETHANE  
1,1,2-TRICHLORO-1,2,2-TRIFLUOROETHANE  
1,1,2-TRICHLOROETHANE, DRY WEIGHT  
1,1-DICHLORO-1-FLUOROETHANE  
1,1-DICHLOROETHANE  
1,1-DICHLOROETHANE, DRY WEIGHT  
1,1-DICHLOROETHENE  
1,1-DICHLOROETHYLENE  
1,1-DICHLOROETHYLENE, DRY WEIGHT  
1,1-DIMETHYL-HYDRAZINE  
1,2,3-TRICHLORO-BENZENE  
1,2,3-TRICHLORO-ETHANE  
1,2,3,4,6,7,8,9-OCTACHLORODIBENZOFURAN  
1,2,3,4,6,7,8,9-OCTACHLORODIBENZO-P-DIOX  
1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HEPTA-CHLORODIBENZOFURAN  
1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HEPTACHLORODIBENZO-P-DIOXN  
1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HEPTA-CHLORODIBENZOFURAN  
1,2,3,4,7,8-HEXACHLORODIBENZOFURAN  
1,2,3,4,7,8-HEXACHLORODIBENZO-P-DIOXIN



1,2,3,6,7,8-HEXACHLORODIBENZOFURAN  
1,2,3,6,7,8-HEXACHLORODIBENZO-P-DIOXIN  
1,2,3,7,8,9-HEXACHLORODIBENZOFURAN  
1,2,3,7,8,9-HEXACHLORODIBENZO-P-DIOXIN  
1,2,3,7,8-PENTACHLORODIBENZOFURAN  
1,2,3,7,8-PENTACHLORODIBENZO-P-DIOXIN  
1,2,3-TRICHLOROPROPANE  
1,2,4,5-TETRACHLORO-BENZENE  
1,2,4,5-TETRAMETHYL-BENZENE  
1,2,4-TRICHLORO-BENZENE  
1,2,4-TRICHLOROBENZENE, DRY WEIGHT  
1,2-BIS(2-CHLOROETH-ONY)-ETHANE  
1,2-CIS-DICHLORO-ETHYLENE  
1,2-DICHLORO-1,1,2-T  
1,2-DICHLOROBENZENE  
1,2-DICHLOROBENZENE, DRY WEIGHT  
1,2-DICHLOROETHANE  
1,2-DICHLOROETHANE, DRY WEIGHT  
1,2-DICHLOROETHANE, TOTAL WEIGHT  
1,2-DICHLOROPROPANE  
1,2-DICHLOROPROPANE, DRY WEIGHT  
1,2-DICHLOROPROPENE  
1,2-DIPHENYL-HYDRAZINE  
1,2-DIPHENYL-HYDRAZINE, DRY WEIGHT  
1,2-PROPANEDIOL  
1,2-TRANS-DICHLORO-ETHYLENE  
1,2-TRANS-DICHLOROETHYLENE, DRY WEIGHT  
1,3-DICHLOROPROPANE  
1,3-DICHLOROPROPYLENE  
1,3-DIAMINOUREA  
1,3-DICHLOROBENZENE  
1,3-DICHLOROBENZENE, DRY WEIGHT  
1,3-DICHLOROPROPENE, TOTAL WEIGHT  
1,4-DICHLOROBUTANE  
1,4-DIOXANE  
1,4-DDT (O,P-DDT)  
1,4-DICHLOROBENZENE  
1,4-DICHLOROBENZENE, DRY WEIGHT  
1,4-XYLENE  
1-BROMO-2-CHLOROETHANE  
1-CHLORO-1,1-DIFLUOROETHANE  
1-ETHOXY-2-METHYLPROPANE  
1-HYDROXY-ETHYLIDENE  
1-METHYLNAPHTHALENE  
1-NITROSOPIPERIDINE  
2,2-DIBROMO-3-NITRILOPROPIONAMIDE  
2,2-DICHLOROPROPANE  
2,2-DICHLOROVINYL-DIMETHYLPHOSPHATE  
2,2-DIMETHYL-2,3-DI-HYDRO-7-BENZOFURANOL  
2,3-DICHLOROPROPYLENE  
2,3,4,6,7,8-HEXACHLORODIBENZOFURAN  
2,3,4,6-TETRACHLORO-PHENOL  
2,3,4,7,8-PENTACHLORODIBENZOFURAN  
2,3,7,8-CHLORO-DIBENZOFURAN  
2,3,7,8-TETRACHLORO-DIBENZO-FURAN (TCDF)  
2,3,7,8-TETRACHLORODIBENZO-P-DIOXIN  
2,3,7,8-TETRACHLORODIBENZO-P-DIOXIN SED,  
2,4,5-T  
2,4,5-TP(SILVEX)  
2,4,5-TP(SILVEX)-ACIDS/SALTS WHOLE-WATER SAMPLE

2,4,5-TRICHLORO-PHENOL  
2,4,5-TRICHLOROPHENOXYPROPIONIC ACID  
2,4,6-TRICHLOROPHENOL, DRY WEIGHT  
2,4,6-TRICHLORO-PHENOL  
2,4-D-SALTS AND ESTERS  
2,4-DB  
2,4-DICHLOROPHENOL  
2,4-DICHLOROPHENOXYACETIC ACID  
2,4-DIMETHYLPHENOL  
2,4-DINITROPHENOL  
2,4-DINITROTOLUENE  
2,4-DINITROTOLUENE, DRY WEIGHT  
2,4-TOLUENEDIAMINE  
2,5-TOLUENEDIAMINE  
2,6-DINITROTOLUENE  
2,6-DINITROTOLUENE, DRY WEIGHT  
2-ACETYL AMINO-FLOURCENE  
2-BUTANONE  
2-BUTANONE-PEROXIDE  
2-CHLOROANILINE  
2-CHLOROETHANOL  
2-CHLOROETHYL VINYL ETHER, DRY WEIGHT  
2-CHLOROETHYL VINYL ETHER (MIXED)  
2-CHLORONAPHTHALENE  
2-CHLOROPHENOL  
2-ETHYL-1-HEXANOL  
2-ETHYL-2-METHYL-DIOXOLANE  
2-HEXANONE  
2-METHYL-2-PROPANOL (TBA)  
2-METHYL-4,6-DINITROPHENOL  
2-METHYL-4-CHLOROPHENOL  
2-METHYLNAPHTHALENE  
2-METHYLPENTANE  
2-METHYLPHENOL  
2-METHYLPYRIDINE  
2-NAPHTHYLAMINE  
2-NITROANILINE  
2-NITROPHENOL  
2-PROPANONE  
2-SECONDARY-BUTYL-4,6-DINITROPHENOL  
3,3-DICHLORO-BENZIDINE  
3,3-DICHLOROBENZIDINE, DRY WEIGHT  
3,4-BENZOFUORANTHENE  
3,4,5-TRICHLORO-GUACACOL  
3,4,6-TRICHLORO-CATECHOL  
3,4,6-TRICHLORO-GUAIACOL  
3-CHLOROPHENOL  
3-METHYLHEXANE  
3-METHYLPENTANE  
3-METHYLPYRIDINE  
3-NITROANILINE, TOTAL IN WATER  
4,4-BUTYLDENE BIS-(6-T-BUTYL-M-CRESOL)  
4,4-DDD (P,P-DDD)  
4,4-DDE (P,P-DDE)  
4,4-DDT (P,P-DDT)  
4,6-DINITRO-O-CRESOL  
4-BROMOPHENYL PHENYL ETHER  
4-CHLORO-3,5-DIMETHYLPHENOL  
4-CHLORO-3-METHYLPHENOL  
4-CHLOROPHENYL PHENYL ETHER

4-METHYLPHENOL  
4-NITRO-M-CRESOL  
4-NITRO-N-METHYLPHTHALIMIDE, TOTAL  
4-NITROPHENOL  
9,10-DICHLOROSTEARIC ACID  
9,10-EPOXYSTEARIC ACID  
A-BHC-ALPHA  
ABIETIC ACID  
ACENAPHTHENE  
ACENAPHTHENE, SED (DRY WEIGHT)  
ACENAPHTHYLENE  
ACEPHATE (ORTHENE, ORTRAN)  
ACETALDEHYDE  
ACETAMINOPHEN  
ACETIC ACID  
ACETONE  
ACETONE, DRY WEIGHT  
ACETONE IN WASTE  
ACETOPHENONE  
ACID COMPOUNDS  
ACIDS, TOTAL VOLATILE (AS ACETIC ACID)  
ACROLEIN  
ACROLEIN, DRY WEIGHT  
ACRYLAMIDE MONOMER  
ACRYLIC ACID  
ACRYLONITRILE  
ACRYLONITRILE, DRY WEIGHT  
ACTINIUM-228  
A-ENDOSULFAN-ALPHA  
ALACHLOR (BRAND NAME LASSO)  
ALACHLOR, DISSOLVED  
ALDICARB  
ALDICARB SULFONE  
ALDICARB SULFOXIDE  
ALDRIN  
ALDRIN + DIELDRIN  
ALDRIN, DRY WEIGHT  
ALKYL-BENZENE SULFONATED (ABS)  
ALKYLDIMETHYL-ETHYL AMMONIUM BROMIDE  
ALKYLDIMETHYLBENZYL AMMONIUM CHLORIDE  
ALPHA ACTIVITY  
ALPHA-EMITTING RADIUM ISOTOPES, DISSOL.  
ALPHA GROSS RADIOACTIVITY  
ALPHA, DISSOLVED  
ALPHA, SUSPENDED  
ALPHA, TOTAL  
ALPHA, TOTAL, COUNTING ERROR  
ALPHABHC DISSOLVED  
ALPHA-ENDOSULFAN  
AMETRYN ORGANIC PESTICIDE  
AMIBEN (CHLORAMBEN)  
AMINES, ORGANIC TOTAL  
AMINOTROL - METHYLENE PHOSPHATE  
AMYL ALCOHOL  
ANILINE  
ANTHRACENE  
ANTIMONY IN BOTTOM DEPOSITS (DRY WGT)  
ANTIMONY, DISSOLVED (AS-SB)  
ANTIMONY, TOTAL (AS-SB)  
ANTIMONY, TOTAL RECOVERABLE

AROMATICS, SUBSTITUTED  
AROMATICS, TOTAL PURGEABLE  
ARSENIC, POTENTIALLY DISSOLVED  
ARSENIC, DISSOLVED (AS-AS)  
ARSENIC, DRY WEIGHT  
ARSENIC, TOTAL (AS-AS)  
ARSENIC, TOTAL RECOVERABLE  
ASANA  
ASBESTOS  
ASBESTOS (FIBROUS)  
A-TERPINEOL  
ATRAZINE  
ATRAZINE, DISSOLVED  
AZIDE  
AZOBENZENE  
BALAN (BENEFIN)  
BARIUM IN BOTTOM DEPOSITS (DRY WGT)  
BARIUM, POTENTIALLY DISSOLVED  
BARIUM, DISSOLVED (AS-BA)  
BARIUM, TOTAL (AS-BA)  
BARIUM, TOTAL RECOVERABLE  
BASE NEUTRALS & ACID (METHOD 625), TOTAL  
BASE NEUTRALS & ACID (METHOD 625), EFFLNT  
BASE/NEUTRAL COMPOUNDS  
BAYER 73 LAMPREYCIDE IN WATER  
B-BHC-BETA  
B-BHC-BETA DISSOLVED  
B-ENDOSULFAN-BETA  
BENFLURALIN, (ORG. PESTICIDE ACT. INGD)  
BENOMYL & CARBEND. ORGANIC PESTICIDE  
BENTAZON, TOTAL  
BENZENE  
BENZENE (VOLATILE ANALYSIS)  
BENZENE HEXACHLORIDE  
BENZENE SULPHONIC ACID  
BENZENE, DISSOLVED  
BENZENE, DRY WEIGHT  
BENZENE, HALOGENATED  
BENZENE, TOLUENE, XYLENE IN COMBINATION  
BENZENE, ETHYL-BENZENE-TOLUENE, XYLENE COMBINATION  
BENZENE HEXACHLORIDE  
BENZIDINE  
BENZIDINE, DRY WEIGHT  
BENZISOTHIAZOLE  
BENZO(A) FLUORANTHENE  
BENZO(A) ANTHRACENE  
BENZO(A) PYRENE  
BENZO(A) PYRENE, DRY WEIGHT  
BENZO(B) FLUORANTHENE (3,4-BENZO)  
BENZO(GHI) PERYLENE  
BENZO(K) FLUORANTHENE  
BENZOFURAN  
BENZY CHLORIDE  
BENZYL ALCOHOL  
BENZYL CHLORIDE  
BERYLLIUM IN BOTTOM DEPOSITS (DRY WGT)  
BERYLLIUM, DISSOLVED (AS-BE)  
BERYLLIUM, POTENTIALLY DISSOLVED  
BERYLLIUM, TOTAL (AS-BE)  
BERYLLIUM, TOTAL RECOVERABLE (AS-BE)

BETA, DISSOLVED  
BETA, SUSPENDED  
BETA, TOTAL  
BETA, TOTAL, COUNTING ERROR  
BETASAN(N-2-MERCAPTO-ETHYL-BENZENE-SULFAMID  
BEZONITRILE (CYANOBENZENE)  
BHC, TOTAL  
BHC-ALPHA  
BHC-BETA  
BHC-DELTA  
BHC-GAMMA  
BIFENTHRIN  
BIS—PHENOL-A (ALPHA)  
BIS (2-CHLORO-ISOPROPYL) ETHER  
BIS (2-CHLOROETHOXY) METHANE  
BIS (2-CHLOROETHOXY) METHANE, DRY-WT.  
BIS (2-CHLOROETHYL) ETHER  
BIS (2-ETHYLHEXYL) PHTHALATE  
BIS (2-ETHYLHEXYL) PHTHALATE, DRY WGT  
BIS (CHLOROMETHYL) ETHER  
BIS (TRICHLOROMETHYL) SULFONE  
BIS-ETHER  
BISMUTH 214  
BISMUTH, TOTAL (AS-BI)  
BISPHENOL-A  
BROMACIL  
BROMACIL (HYVAR)  
BROMACIL, LITHIUM  
BROMOCHLOROMETHANE  
BROMODICHLOROETHANE  
BROMOFORM  
BROMOFORM, DRY WGT  
BROMOMETHANE  
BROMOXYNIL ORGANIC PESTICIDE  
BROMOXYNIL OCTANOATE  
BUSAN 40 ORGANIC PESTICIDE  
BUSAN 85 ORGANIC PESTICIDE  
BUTACHLOR  
BUTANE  
BUTANOIC ACID  
BUTANOL  
BUTANONE  
BUTHDIENE TOTAL  
BUTOXY-ETHOXY ETHANOL TOTAL  
BUTYL ACETATE  
BUTYL BENZYL PHTHALATE  
BUTYLATE (SUTAN)  
CADMIUM  
CADMIUM TOTAL RECOVERABLE  
CADMIUM IN BOTTOM DEPOSITS (DRY-WGT)  
CADMIUM SLUDGE SOLID  
CADMIUM SLUDGE TOTAL  
CADMIUM, POTENTIALLY DISSOLVD  
CADMIUM, DISSOLVED (AS-CD)  
CADMIUM, PERCENT REMOVAL  
CADMIUM, SLUDGE, TOTAL DRY WGT (AS-CD)  
CADMIUM, TOTAL (AS-CD)  
CAFFEINE  
CAPTAFOI  
CAPTAN

CARBAMATES  
CARBARYL TOTAL  
CARBN CHLOROFORM EXTRACTS, ETHER INSOLUBL  
CARBOFURAN  
CARBON DISULFIDE (CS2)  
CARBON TETRACHLORIDE  
CARBON TETRACHLORIDE, DRY WEIGHT  
CARBON, CHLOROFORM EXTRACTABLES  
CARBON, DISSOLVED ORGANIC (AS-C)  
CARBOSULFAN, TOTAL  
CERIUM, TOTAL  
CESIUM 137  
CESIUM, TOTAL (AS-CS)  
CHIRAL  
CHLOR, PHENOXY ACID GP, NONE FOUND  
CHLORAL  
CHLORAL HYDRATE  
CHLORAMINE RESIDUAL  
CHLORDANE (CA OCEAN PLAN DEFINITION)  
CHLORDANE (TECH MIX & METABS), DRY WGT  
CHLORDANE (TECH MIX AND METABOLITES)  
CHLORDANE, ALPHA, WHOLE WATER  
CHLORDANE, GAMMA, WHOLE WATER  
CHLORENDIC ACID  
CHLORETHOXYFOS  
CHLORINATED DIBENZO FURANS, EFFLUENT  
CHLORINATED DIBENZO FURANS, SLUDGE  
CHLORINATED DIBENZO P DIOXINS, EFFLUENT  
CHLORINATED DIBENZO P DIOXINS, SLUDGE  
CHLORINATED ETHANES  
CHLORINATED HYDRO CARBONS, GENERAL  
CHLORINATED METHANES  
CHLORINATED ORGANIC COMPOUNDS  
CHLORINATED PESTICIDES, TOTAL  
CHLORINATED PESTICIDES, TOTAL & PCBS  
CHLORINATED PHENOLS  
CHLORINATION  
CHLORINE DIOXIDE  
CHLORINE DOSE  
CHLORINE RATE  
CHLORINE USAGE  
CHLORINE, COMBINED AVAILABLE  
CHLORINE, FREE AVAILABLE  
CHLORINE, FREE RESIDUAL, TOTAL EFFLUENT  
CHLORINE, TOTAL RESIDUAL  
CHLORINE, TOTAL RESIDUAL (DSG. TIME)  
CHLORINE, TOTAL RES. DURATION OF VIOLATION  
CHLOROBENZENE  
CHLOROBENZENE, DRY WEIGHT  
CHLOROBENZILATE  
CHLOROBUTADIENE (CHLOROPRENE)  
CHLORODIBROMOMETHANE  
CHLORODIBROMOMETHANE, DRY WEIGHT  
CHLORODIFLUORO METHANE  
CHLORODIMEFORM  
CHLOROETHANE  
CHLOROETHANE, TOTAL WEIGHT  
CHLOROETHYLENE BISTHIOCYANATE  
CHLOROFORM  
CHLOROFORM EXTRACTABLES, TOTAL



CHLOROFORM, DISSOLVED  
CHLOROFORM, DRY WEIGHT  
CHLOROHEXANE, TOTAL  
CHLOROMETHANE  
CHLOROMETHYL BENZENE  
CHLORONEB ORGANIC PESTICIDE  
CHLORONITROBENZENE  
CHLOROPHENOXY PROPANANOL  
CHLOROSYRINGEALDEHYDE, EFFLUENT  
CHLOROTHALONIL ORGANIC PESTICIDE  
CHLOROTOLUENE  
CHLOROXAZONE  
CHLORPHENIRAMINE  
CHLORPYRIFOS  
CHROMIUM  
CHROMIUM SLUDGE SOLID  
CHROMIUM SLUDGE TOTAL  
CHROMIUM TOTAL RECOVERABLE  
CHROMIUM TRIVALENT IN BOTTOM DEPOSITS  
CHROMIUM, DISSOLVED (AS CR)  
CHROMIUM, DRY WEIGHT  
CHROMIUM, HEXAVALENT  
CHROMIUM, HEXAVALENT (AS CR)  
CHROMIUM, HEXAVALENT DISSOLVED (AS CR)  
CHROMIUM, HEXAVALENT IN BOT DEP (DRY WGT)  
CHROMIUM, HEXAVALENT POTENTIALLY DISSOLVED  
CHROMIUM, HEXAVALENT TOT RECOVERABLE  
CHROMIUM, SUSPENDED (AS CR)  
CHROMIUM, TOTAL  
CHROMIUM, TOTAL (AS CR)  
CHROMIUM, TOTAL DRY WEIGHT (AS CR)  
CHROMIUM, TOTAL IN BOT DEP (WET WGT)  
CHROMIUM, TOTAL PERCENT REMOVAL  
CHROMIUM, TRIVALENT (AS CR)  
CHROMIUM, TRIVALENT, POTENTIALLY DISSOLVED  
CHRYSENE  
CIS-1,3-DICHLORO PROPENE  
CITRIC ACID  
CN, FREE (AMENABLE TO CHLORINE)  
COLUMBIUM, TOTAL  
COMBINED METALS SUM  
COPPER  
COPPER AS SUSPENDED BLACK OXIDE  
COPPER IN BOTTOM DEPOSITS (DRY WGT)  
COPPER SLUDGE SOLID  
COPPER SLUDGE TOTAL  
COPPER TOTAL RECOVERABLE  
COPPER, DISSOLVED (AS CU)  
COPPER, PERCENT REMOVAL  
COPPER, POTENTIALLY DISSOLVED  
COPPER, SUSPENDED (AS CU)  
COPPER, TOTAL (AS CU)  
COPPER, TOTAL PER BATCH  
GOMAPHOS  
GRESOL  
CYANATE (AS OCN)  
CYANAZINE  
CYANIDE (A)  
CYANIDE AND THIOCYANATE - TOTAL  
CYANIDE COMPLEXED TO RANGE OF COMPOUND

~~CYANIDE FREE NOT AMENABLE TO CHLORIN.~~  
~~CYANIDE IN BOTTOM DEPOSITS (DRY WGT)~~  
~~CYANIDE SLUDGE SOLID~~  
~~CYANIDE, FILTERABLE, TOTAL~~  
~~CYANIDE, FREE AVAILABLE~~  
~~CYANIDE, FREE WATER PLUS WASTEWATERS~~  
~~CYANIDE, DISSOLVED STD METHOD~~  
~~CYANIDE, FREE (AMEN. TO CHLORINATION)~~  
~~CYANIDE, TOTAL (AS CN)~~  
~~CYANIDE, TOTAL RECOVERABLE~~  
~~CYANIDE, WEAK ACID, DISSOCIABLE~~  
~~CYCLOATE (RONEET)~~  
~~CYCLOHEXANE~~  
~~CYCLOHEXANONE~~  
~~CYCLOHEXYL AMINE (AMINO HEXAHYDRO)~~  
~~CYCOHEXANONE~~  
~~CYFLUTHRIN~~  
~~DAGONIL (C8CL4N2)~~  
~~DACTHAL~~  
~~DAZOMET~~  
~~DCPA, ORGANIC PESTICIDE~~  
~~DDD IN WHOLE WATER SAMPLE~~  
~~DDE~~  
~~DDT~~  
~~DDT/DDD/DDE, SUM OF P, P & O,P ISOMERS~~  
~~DECACHLOROBIPHENYL (DCBP) TOTAL~~  
~~DECHLORANE PLUS~~  
~~DEF, ORGANIC PESTICIDE~~  
~~DEHYDROABIETIC ACID~~  
~~DELNAV~~  
~~DELTA BENZENE HEXACHLORIDE~~  
~~DELTAMETHRIN~~  
~~DEMETON~~  
~~DIAZINON~~  
~~DIBENZO (A,H) ANTHRACENE~~  
~~DIBENZO (A,H) ANTHRACENE, DRY WEIGHT~~  
~~DIBENZOFURAN~~  
~~DIBROMOCHLORO METHANE~~  
~~DIBROMODICHLOROMETHANE~~  
~~DIBROMOMETHANE~~  
~~DICHLONE~~  
~~DICHLORAN, TOTAL~~  
~~DICHLOROBENZENE~~  
~~DICHLOROBENZENE, ISOMER~~  
~~DICHLOROBENZYLTRIFLUORIDE~~  
~~DICHLOROBROMOMETHANE~~  
~~DICHLOROBROMOMETHANE, DRY WEIGHT~~  
~~DICHLOROBUTADIENE~~  
~~DICHLOROBUTENE (ISOMERS)~~  
~~DICHLORODEHYDRO-ABEIETIC ACID~~  
~~DICHLORODIBROMOMETHANE~~  
~~DICHLORODIFLUORO METHANE~~  
~~DICHLOROETHENE, TOTAL~~  
~~DICHLOROFLUORO METHANE~~  
~~DICHLOROMETHANE~~  
~~DICHLOROPROPYLENE, 1,2~~  
~~DICHLOROTOLUENE~~  
~~DICHLOROTRIFLUORO ETHANE~~  
~~DICHLORVOS, TOTAL~~  
~~DICHLORVOS, TOTAL DISSOLVED~~

DICHLORVOS, TOTAL SED DRY WEIGHT  
DICHLORVOS, TOTAL SUSPENDED  
DICYCLOHEXYLAMINE, TOTAL  
DICYCLOPENTADIENE  
DIDECYLDIMETHYL AMMONIUM CHLORIDE  
DIDROMOMETHANE, 1-2  
DIELDRIN  
DIELDRIN, DRY WEIGHT  
DIETHYL METHYL BENZENESULFONAMIDE  
DIETHYL PHTHALATE  
DIETHYL PHTHALATE, DRY WEIGHT  
DIETHYLAMINE  
DIETHYLAMINOETHANOL  
DIETHYLBENZENE  
DIETHYLENE GLYCOL DINITRATE, TOTAL  
DIETHYLHEXYL PHTHALATE ISOMER  
DIETHYLHEXYL PHTHALATE  
DIETHYLSTILBESTEROL  
DIFOLATAN  
DIISOPROPYL ETHER  
DIMETHOXYBENZIDINE  
DIMETHYL BENZIDINE  
DIMETHYL DISULFIDE TOTAL  
DIMETHYL NAPHTHALENE  
DIMETHYL PHTHALATE  
DIMETHYL PHTHALATE  
DIMETHYL PHTHALATE, DRY WEIGHT  
DIMETHYL SULFIDE TOTAL  
DIMETHYLAMINE  
DIMETHYLANILINE  
DI-N-BUTYL PHTHALATE  
DI-N-BUTYL PHTHALATE, DRY WEIGHT  
DI-NITRO-BUTYL PHENOL (DNBP)  
DINITROTOLUENE  
DI-N-OCTYL PHTHALATE  
DI-N-OCTYL PHTHALATE, DRY WEIGHT  
DINOSEB  
DINOSEB (DNBP)  
DIOXANE  
DIOXATHION ORGANIC PESTICIDE  
DIOXIN  
DIOXIN (TCDD) SUSPENDED  
DISSOLVED RADIOACTIVE GASSES  
DISULFOTON  
DIURON  
DMDS  
DOCOSANE  
DODECYLGUANIDINE SALTS  
DYPHYLLINE  
EDTA  
EDTA AMMONIATED  
ENDOSULFAN SULFATE  
ENDOSULFAN, ALPHA, IN WASTE  
ENDOSULFAN, BETA, IN WASTE  
ENDOSULFAN, TOTAL  
ENDOTHALL SALTS & ESTERS, ORG. PEST.  
ENDRIN  
ENDRIN + ENDRIN ALDEHYDE (SUM)  
ENDRIN ALDEHYDE  
EPHEDRINE SULFATE

EPICHLOROHYDRIN  
EPTC (EPTAM)  
ESTRADIOL  
ETHALFLURALIN WATER, TOTAL  
ETHANE, 1,2-BIS (2-CLRETHXY), HOMLG-SUM  
ETHION  
ETHOXYQUIN  
ETHYL ACETATE  
ETHYL BENZENE  
ETHYL ETHER BY GAS CHROMATOGRAPH  
ETHYL METHANESULFONATE  
ETHYL METHYL-DIOXOLANE  
ETHYL PARATHION  
ETHYLBENZENE  
ETHYLBENZENE, DRY-WEIGHT  
ETHYLENE  
ETHYLENE CHLOROHYDRIN  
ETHYLENE-DIBROMIDE (1,2-DIBROMOETHANE)  
ETHYLENE GLYCOL  
ETHYLENE GLYCOL-DINITRATE  
ETHYLENE OXIDE  
ETHYLENE THIOUREA (ETU)  
ETHYLENE, DISSOLVED (C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub>)  
EXPLOSIVE LIMIT, LOWER  
EXPLOSIVES, COMBINED TNT + RDX + TETRYL  
FENARIMOL ORGANIC PESTICIDE  
FENVALERATE ORGANIC PESTICIDE  
FERRICYANIDE  
FLUORANTHENE  
FLUORANTHENE, DRY-WEIGHT  
FLUORENE  
FLUORENE, DRY-WEIGHT  
FLUORIDE-COMPLEX  
FLUSILAZOLE  
FOAMING AGENTS  
FOLPET WATER-TOTAL  
FORMALDEHYDE  
FORMIC ACID  
FREON 113 (1,1,1-TRIFLOURO-2,2-  
FREON, TOTAL  
FUEL, DIESEL, #1  
FURANS  
FURFURAL  
GALLIUM, TOTAL (AS-GA)  
GAMMA-BHC  
GAMMA, TOTAL  
GAMMA, TOTAL COUNTING ERROR  
GASOLINE, REGULAR  
GERMANIUM, TOTAL (AS-GE)  
GLYPHOSATE, TOTAL  
GOLD, TOTAL (AS-AU)  
GROSS-BETA  
GUAFENSIN  
GUANIDINE NITRATE  
GUTHION  
HALOGEN, TOTAL ORGANIC  
HALOGEN, TOTAL RESIDUAL  
HALOGENATED HYDRO-CARBONS, TOTAL  
HALOGENATED ORGANICS  
HALOGENATED TOLUENE

HALOGENS, ADSORBABLE ORGANIC  
HALOGENS, TOTAL ORGANICS BOTTOM SEDIMENT  
HALOGENS, TOTAL COMBINED  
HALOMETHANES, SUM  
HEPTACHLOR  
HEPTACHLOR + HEPTACHLOR EPOXIDE  
HEPTACHLOR, DRY WEIGHT  
HEPTANE  
HERBICIDES, TOTAL  
HEXACHLOROBENZENE  
HEXACHLOROBENZENE, DRY WEIGHT  
HEXACHLOROBIPHENYL  
HEXACHLOROBUTADIENE  
HEXACHLOROBUTADIENE, DRY WEIGHT  
HEXACHLOROCYCLOHEXANE (BHC) TOTAL  
HEXACHLOROCYCLOPENTADIENE  
HEXACHLOROCYCLOPENTADIENE, DRY WEIGHT  
HEXACHLOROETHANE  
HEXACHLOROETHANE, DRY WEIGHT  
HEXACHLOROPENTADIENE  
HEXACHLOROPHENE  
HEXADECANE  
HEXAHYDROAZEPINONE  
HEXAMETHYL PHOSPHORAMINE (HMPA)  
HEXAMETHYLBENZENE  
HEXANE  
HEXAZIMONE  
HMX-1,3,5,7-TETRAZOCINE (OCTOGEN)  
HYDRAZINE  
HYDRAZINES, TOTAL  
HYDROCARBON, TOTAL RECOVERABLE  
HYDROCARBONS NITRATED  
HYDROCARBONS NITRATED, TOTAL  
HYDROCARBONS, AROMATIC  
HYDROCARBONS, TOTAL GAS CHROMATOGRAPH  
HYDROCARBONS, IN H<sub>2</sub>O, IR, GC14 EXT. CHROMAT  
HYDROGEN CYANIDE  
HYDROQUINONE  
HYDROXYACETOPHENONE  
HYDROXYQUINOLINE TOTAL  
HYDROXYZINE  
INDENE  
INDENO (1,2,3-CD) PYRENE  
INDENO (1,2,3-CD) PYRENE, DRY WEIGHT  
INDIUM  
IODINE 129  
IODINE RESIDUAL  
IODINE TOTAL  
ISOBUTYL ACETATE  
ISOBUTYL ALCOHOL  
ISOBUTYRALDEHYDE  
ISODECYLDIPHENYL-PHOSPHATE  
ISODRIN  
ISO-OCTANE  
ISO-OCTYL 2,4,5-T  
ISO-OCTYL SILVEX  
ISOPHORONE  
ISOPHORONE, DRY WEIGHT  
ISOPIMARIC ACID  
ISOPRENE

ISOPROPALIN WATER, TOTAL  
ISOPROPANOL  
ISOPROPYL ACETATE  
ISOPROPYL ALCOHOL (C3H8O), SED.  
ISOPROPYLBENZENE  
ISOPROPYL ETHER  
ISOPROPYLBIPHENYL, TOTAL  
ISOPROPYLIDINE DIOXYPHENOL  
ISOTHIAZOLONE  
ISOTHIOZOLINE, TOTAL  
ISOXSUPRINE  
KELTHANE  
KEPONE  
KN METHYL ORGANIC PESTICIDE  
LANTHANUM, TOTAL  
LEAD  
LEAD TOTAL RECOVERABLE  
LEAD 210  
LEAD 210, TOTAL  
LEAD 212  
LEAD 214  
LEAD SLUDGE SOLID  
LEAD SLUDGE TOTAL  
LEAD, DISSOLVED (AS PB)  
LEAD, DRY WEIGHT  
LEAD, POTENTIALLY DISSOLVD  
LEAD, TOTAL (AS PB)  
LEAD, TOTAL DRY WEIGHT (AS PB)  
LINDANE  
LINOLEIC ACID  
LINOLENIC ACID  
LINURON ORGANIC PESTICIDE  
M-ALKYLDIMETHLBENZYLAMCL  
MALATHION  
MB 121  
MCPA 2-ETHYLHEXYL ESTER  
MERCAPTANS, TOTAL  
MERCAPTOBENZOTHAZOLE  
MERCURY  
MERCURY TOTAL RECOVERABLE  
MERCURY, DISSOLVED (AS HG)  
MERCURY, DRY WEIGHT  
MERCURY (HG), IN BARITE, DRY WEIGHT  
MERCURY, POTENTIALLY DISSOLVD  
MERCURY, TOT IN BOT DEPOSITS (DRY WGT)  
MERCURY, TOTAL (AS HG)  
MERCURY, TOTAL (LOW LEVEL)  
METALS TOXICITY RATIO  
METALS, TOTAL  
METALS, TOX PRIORITY POLLUTANTS, TOTAL  
METAM POTASSIUM  
META-XYLENE  
METHAMIDOPHOS ORGANIC PESTICIDE  
METHAM SODIUM (VAPAM)  
METHANE  
METHANOL, TOTAL  
METHOCARBAMOL  
METHOMYL  
METHOXYCHLOR  
METHOXYPROPYLAMINE



METHYL ACETATE  
METHYL BROMIDE  
METHYL METHANESULFONATE  
METHYL BROMIDE, DRY WEIGHT  
METHYL CHLORIDE  
METHYL CHLORIDE, DRY WEIGHT  
METHYL CYANIDE (ACETONITRILE)  
METHYL ETHYL BENZENE  
METHYL ETHYL KETONE  
METHYL ETHYL SULFIDE  
METHYL FORMATE  
METHYL ISOBUTYL KETONE (MIBK)  
METHYL MERCAPTAN  
METHYL METHACRYLATE  
METHYL NAPHTHALENE  
METHYL PARATHION  
METHYL STYRENE  
METHYLAMINE  
METHYLCYCLOPENTANE  
METHYLENE BIS-THIOCYANATE  
METHYLENE CHLORIDE  
METHYLENE CHLORIDE, DRY WEIGHT  
METHYLENE CHLORIDE, SUSPENDED  
METHYLHYDRAZINE  
METRIBUZIN (SENCOR), WATER, DISSOLVED  
METRIOL TRINITRATE, TOTAL  
MIREX  
MOLYBDENUM DISSOLVED (AS MO)  
MOLYBDENUM, TOTAL (AS MO)  
MONOCHLOROACETIC ACID  
MONO-CHLORO-BENZENES  
MONOCHLOROBENZYLTRIFLUORIDE  
MONOCHLORODEHYDRO-ABIETIC ACID  
MONOCHLOROTOLUENE  
MP062 (STEWART)  
NABAM, ORGANIC PESTICIDE  
NABONATE  
N-AMYL ACETATE  
NAPHTHALENE  
NAPHTHALENE, DRY WEIGHT  
NAPHTHENIC ACID  
NAPROPAMIDE (DEVIRINOL)  
N-BUTYL ACETATE  
N-BUTYL BENZENE SULFONAMIDE (IN WAT)  
N-BUTYL BENZENE (WHOLE WATER, UG/L  
NEPTUNE BLUE  
N-HEPTADECANE  
NIACINAMIDE  
NICKEL  
NICKEL SLUDGE SOLID  
NICKEL SLUDGE TOTAL  
NICKEL TOTAL RECOVERABLE  
NICKEL, DISSOLVED (AS NI)  
NICKEL, POTENTIALLY DISSOLVED  
NICKEL, SUSPENDED (AS NI)  
NICKEL, TOTAL (AS NI)  
NICKEL, TOT IN BOTTOM DEPOSITS (DRY WGT)  
NICKEL, TOTAL PER BATCH  
NICOTINE SULFATE  
NITROBENZENE

NITROBENZENE, DRY WEIGHT  
NITROCELLULOSE  
NITROFURANS  
NITROGEN, ORGANIC, DISSOLVED (AS N)  
NITROGLYCERIN BY GAS CHROMATOGRAPHY  
NITROGUANIDINE  
NITROSODIPHENYLAMINE  
NITROSTYRENE  
N-METHYL-2-PYRROLIDONE  
N-NITROSO-COMPOUNDS, VOLATILE  
N-NITROSODIBUTYL-AMINE  
N-NITROSODIETHYL-AMINE  
N-NITROSODIMETHYL-AMINE  
N-NITROSODIMETHYL-AMINE, DRY WEIGHT  
N,N-DIETHYL-CARBANILIDE  
N,N-DIMETHYL-FORMAMIDE  
N-NITROSODI-N-BUTYLAMINE  
N-NITROSODI-N-PROPYLAMINE  
N-NITROSODI-N-PROPYLAMINE, DRY WEIGHT  
N-NITROSODIPHENYL-AMINE  
N-NITROSODIPHENYLAMINE, DRY WEIGHT  
N-NITROSOPYRROLIDINE  
NONHALOGENATED VOLATILE ORGANICS  
NONPURGEABLE ORGANIC HALIDES  
NORFLURAZON ORGANIC PESTICIDE  
N-PENTANE  
N-PROPYLBENZENE  
O-CHLOROBENZYL-CHLORIDE  
OCTACHLORO-CYCLOPENTENE  
OCTACHLORODIBENZO-P-DIOXIN  
OCTACHLORODIBENZOFURAN  
OCTYLPHENOXY-POLYETHOXYETHANOL  
OIL/GREASE CALCULATED LIMIT  
OIL, PETROLEUM-ETHER-EXTRACTABLES  
OLEIC ACID  
ORDRAM (HYDRAM)  
ORGANIC ACTIVE INGREDIENTS (40 CFR 455)  
ORGANIC COMPOUNDS, CHLOROFORM-EXTRACT.  
ORGANIC HALIDES, TOTAL  
ORGANIC PESTICIDE CHEMICALS (40 CFR 455)  
ORGANICS, GASOLINE-RANGE  
ORGANICS, TOTAL  
ORGANICS, TOTAL HALOGENS (TOX)  
ORGANICS, TOTAL PURGE-ABLES (METHOD 624)  
ORGANICS, TOTAL TOXIC (TTO)  
ORGANICS-TOTAL VOLATILE (NJAC REG. 7:23-17E)  
ORGANICS, VOLATILE (NJAC REG. 7:23-17E)  
ORTHENE  
ORTHOCHLOROTOLUENE  
ORTHO-CRESOL  
ORTHO-XYLENE  
O-TOLUIDINE  
OXALIC ACID  
OXYTETRACYCLINE HYDROCHLORIDE  
P,P-DDE-DISSOLVED  
P,P-DDT-DISSOLVED  
PALLADIUM, TOTAL (AS-PD)  
P-AMINOBIPHENYL  
PANTHALIUM, TOTAL  
PARABEN (METHYL AND PROPYL)

PARACHLOROMETA CRESOL  
PARA-DICHLOROBENZENE  
PARAQUAT  
PARATHION  
PCB-1016 (AROCHLOR-1016)  
PCB-1221 (AROCHLOR-1221)  
PCB-1232 (AROCHLOR-1232)  
PCB-1242 (AROCHLOR-1242)  
PCB-1248 (AROCHLOR-1248)  
PCB-1254 (AROCHLOR-1254)  
PCB-1260 (AROCHLOR-1260)  
PCB-1262  
PCB, TOTAL SLUDGE, SCAN CODE  
PCBS IN BOTTOM DEPS. (DRY SOLIDS)  
PCNB, ORGANIC PEST.  
P-CRESOL  
P-DIMETHYLAMINO-AZOBENZENE  
PEBULATE (TILLAM)  
PENDIMETHALIN ORGANIC PESTICIDE  
PENTACHLOROBENZENE  
PENTACHLOROETHANE  
PENTACHLOROPHENOL  
PENTANE, TOTAL EFFLUENT  
PERFLUOROBUTANE SULFONAMIDE  
PERFLUOROBUTANOIC ACID  
PERFLUOROBUTANOIC SULFONATE  
PERFLUOROOCTANE SULFONAMIDE  
PERFLUOROOCTANE SULFONATE  
PERFLUOROOCTANOIC ACID  
PERMETHRIN, TOTAL  
PERTHANE  
PESTICIDES, GENERAL  
P-ETHYLTOLUENE  
PETROL HYDROCARBONS, TOTAL RECOVERABLE  
PHENACETIN  
PHENANTHRENE  
PHENANTHRENE, DRY WEIGHT  
PHENOL, SINGLE COMPOUND  
PHENOLIC COMPOUNDS, SLUDGE TOTAL, DRY WEIGHT  
PHENOLIC COMPOUNDS, UNCHLORINATED  
PHENOLICS IN BOTTOM DEPOSITS (DRY WGT)  
PHENOLICS, TOTAL RECOVERABLE  
PHENOLS  
PHENOLS, CHLORINATED  
PHENOXY ACETIC ACID  
PHENYLPROPANOLAMINE  
PHENYLTOLOXAMINE  
PHORATE  
PHOSMET, ORGANIC PESTICIDE  
PHOSPHATED PESTICIDES  
PHOSPHOROTHIOIC ACID 0,0,0-TRIETHYL-ESTR  
PHTHALATE ESTERS  
PHTHALATES, TOTAL  
PHTHALIC ACID  
PHTHALIC ANHYDRIDE  
PIRIMICARB  
PLATINUM, TOTAL (AS-PT)  
POLONIUM-210  
POLYACRILAMIDE CHLORIDE  
POLYBROMINATED BIPHENYLS

~~POLYBROMINATED-DIPHENYL-OXIDES~~  
~~POLYCHLORINATED-BIPHENYLS-(PCBS)~~  
~~POLYMETHYLACRYLIC-ACID~~  
~~POLY-NUCLEAR-AROMATICS-(POLYRAM)~~  
~~POTASSIUM-40~~  
~~PRIORITY-POLLUTANTS-TOTAL-EFFLUENT~~  
~~PROFENOFOS~~  
~~PROMETON,ORGANIC-PESTICIDE~~  
~~PROMETRYN,ORGANIC-PESTICIDE~~  
~~PRONAMIDE,ORGANIC-PESTICIDE~~  
~~PROPACHLOR-(RAMROD)-DISSOLVED~~  
~~PROPACHLOR,ORGANIC-PESTICIDE~~  
~~PROPANE,2-METHOXY-2-METHYL-(MTBE)~~  
~~PROPANIL~~  
~~PROPAZINE,ORGANIC-PESTICIDE~~  
~~PROPRANE,TOTAL~~  
~~PROPYL-ACETATE~~  
~~PROPYLENE-OXIDE~~  
~~PROPYLENGLYCOL,TOTAL~~  
~~PROTACTINIUM-234,DRY-WEIGHT~~  
~~PURGEABLE-AROMATICS-METHOD-602~~  
~~PURGEABLE-HYDRO-CARBONS,METH.601~~  
~~PURGEABLE-ORGANIC-HALIDES~~  
~~PYMETROZINE~~  
~~PYRENE~~  
~~PYRENE,DRY-WEIGHT~~  
~~PYRETHRINS~~  
~~PYRIDINE~~  
~~PYRIFENOX~~  
~~QUARTERNARY-AMMONIUM-COMPOUNDS~~  
~~QUINOLINE~~  
~~RADIATION-GROSS-ALPHA-TOT-DISSOLVED~~  
~~RADIATION-GROSS-ALPHA-TOT-SUSPENDED~~  
~~RADIATION,GROSS-BETA~~  
~~RADIATION,GROSS-ALPHA~~  
~~RADIOACTIVITY~~  
~~RADIOACTIVITY,GROSS~~  
~~RADIUM-224~~  
~~RADIUM-226+RADIUM-228,TOTAL~~  
~~RADIUM-226,DISSOLVED~~  
~~RADIUM-228,TOTAL~~  
~~RARE-EARTH-METALS,TOTAL~~  
~~RATIO-OF-FECAL-COLIFORM-TO-FECAL-STREPOC~~  
~~R-BHC-(LINDANE)-GAMMA~~  
~~RDX,DISSOLVED~~  
~~RDX,TOTAL~~  
~~RESIN-ACIDS,TOTAL~~  
~~RESORCINOL~~  
~~RHODIUM,TOTAL~~  
~~ROTENONE~~  
~~ROUNDUP~~  
~~ROVRAL~~  
~~RUBIDIUM,TOTAL-(AS-RB)~~  
~~SAFROLE~~  
~~SAMARIUM,TOTAL-(AS-SM-IN-WATER)~~  
~~SELENIUM-SLUDGE-SOLID~~  
~~SELENIUM,ACID-SOLUBLE~~  
~~SELENIUM,DISSOLVED-(AS-SE)~~  
~~SELENIUM,DRY-WEIGHT~~  
~~SELENIUM,POTENTIALLY-DISSOLVD~~

SELENIUM, SLUDGE, TOTAL DRY WEIGHT  
SELENIUM, TOTAL (AS SE)  
SELENIUM, TOTAL RECOVERABLE  
SEVIN (CARBARYL) IN TISSUE  
SEVIN (CARBRYL)  
SILVER  
SILVER TOTAL RECOVERABLE  
SILVER IN BOTTOM DEPOSITS (DRY WGT)  
SILVER, DISSOLVED (AS AG)  
SILVER, IONIC  
SILVER, POTENTIALLY DISSOLVED  
SILVER, TOTAL (AS AG)  
SILVER, TOTAL PER BATCH  
SILVEX  
SODIUM CHLORATE  
SODIUM DICHROMATE  
SODIUM DIMETHYL-DITHIOCARBAMATE, TOTAL  
SODIUM O-PPTH  
SODIUM PENTACHLORO-PHENATE  
SODIUM POLYACRYLATE, TOTAL  
SOPP  
SOPP, LOADING RATE  
STIROFOS  
STROBANE  
STRONTIUM 90, TOTAL  
STRONTIUM, DISSOLVED  
STRONTIUM, TOTAL (AS SR)  
STYRENE  
STYRENE, TOTAL  
SULFABENZAMIDE  
SULFACETAMIDE  
SULFATHIAZOLE  
SULFOTEPP (BLADAFUME)  
TANNIN AND LIGNIN  
TCDD EQUIVALENTS  
TCMTB  
TEBUCONAZOLE  
TEBUPIRIMFOS  
TEBUTHIURON ORGANIC PESTICIDE  
TECHNETIUM-99  
TEFLUTHRIN  
TELLURIUM, TOTAL  
TEMEPHOS  
TERBACIL  
TERBUFOS  
TERBUFOS (COUNTER) TOTAL  
TERBUTHYLAZINE ORGANIC PESTICIDE  
TERBUTRYN, ORGANIC PESTICIDE  
TETRA SODIUM EDTA  
TETRACHLORDIBENZOFURAN, 2378-(TCDF) SED,  
TETRACHLORO BENZENE  
TETRACHLOROETHANE, TOTAL  
TETRACHLOROETHENE  
TETRACHLOROETHYLENE  
TETRACHLOROETHYLENE, DRY WEIGHT  
TETRACHLOROGUAIACOL (4CG) IN WHOLE WATER  
TETRAHYDRO-3,5-DIMETHYL-2-HYDRO-1,3,5-TH  
TETRAHYDROFURAN  
TETRAMETHYL AMMONIUM HYDROXIDE  
TETRAMETHYLBENZENE

THALLIUM-208  
THALLIUM IN BOTTOM DEPOSITS (DRY WGT)  
THALLIUM, ACID SOLUBLE  
THALLIUM, DISSOLVED (AS TL)  
THALLIUM, POTENTIALLY DISSOLVED  
THALLIUM, TOTAL (AS TL)  
THALLIUM, TOTAL RECOVERABLE  
THC, DRY & 02  
THEOPHYLLINE  
THIABENDAZOLE  
THIOBENDAZOLE  
THIOCARBAMATES  
THIOCYANATE (AS SCN)  
THIOSULFATE ION(2-)  
THORIUM-230  
THORIUM-232  
THORIUM-232 PCI/G OF DRY SOLIDS  
THORIUM-234  
TIN  
TIN, DISSOLVED (AS SN)  
TIN, TOTAL (AS SN)  
TIN, TOTAL RECOVERABLE  
TIN, TRI-ORGANO-  
TITANIUM, DISSOLVED (AS TI)  
TITANIUM, TOTAL (AS TI)  
TITANIUM, TOTAL DRY WEIGHT (AS TI)  
TOLUENE  
TOLUENE, DISSOLVED  
TOLUENE, DRY WEIGHT  
TOLUENE 2,4-DIISOCYANITE  
TOLYTRIAZOLE  
TOPSIN  
TOTAL ACID PRIORITY POLLUTANTS  
TOTAL BASE/NEUTRAL PRIORITY POLLUTANTS  
TOTAL PESTICIDES  
TOTAL PHENOLS  
TOTAL POLONIUM  
TOTAL PURGEABLE HALOCARBONS  
TOTAL TOXIC ORGANICS (TTO) (40 CFR 413)  
TOTAL TOXIC ORGANICS (TTO) (40 CFR 433)  
TOTAL TOXIC ORGANICS (TTO) (40 CFR 464A)  
TOTAL TOXIC ORGANICS (TTO) (40 CFR 464B)  
TOTAL TOXIC ORGANICS (TTO) (40 CFR 464C)  
TOTAL TOXIC ORGANICS (TTO) (40 CFR 464D)  
TOTAL TOXIC ORGANICS (TTO) (40 CFR 465)  
TOTAL TOXIC ORGANICS (TTO) (40 CFR 467)  
TOTAL TOXIC ORGANICS (TTO) (40 CFR 468)  
TOTAL TOXIC ORGANICS (TTO) (40 CFR 469)  
TOTAL VOLATILE PRIORITY POLLUTANTS  
TOXAPHENE  
TOXAPHENE, DRY WEIGHT  
TOXICS, PERCENT REMOVAL  
TRANS-1,2-DICHLORO-ETHYLENE  
TRANS-1,3-DICHLORO-PROPENE  
TREFLAN (TRIFLURALIN)  
TRIADIMEFON ORGANIC PESTICIDE  
TRIBUTYLAMINE  
TRIBUTYLTIN  
TRICHLOROBENZENE  
TRICHLOROBENZENE 1,2,4 TOTAL

TRICHLOROETHANE  
TRICHLOROETHENE  
TRICHLOROETHYLENE  
TRICHLOROETHYLENE, DISSOLVED  
TRICHLOROETHYLENE, DRY WEIGHT  
TRICHLOROFLUORO-METHANE  
TRICHLOROGUAIACOL  
TRICHLOROMETHANE  
TRICHLOROPHENATE-(ISOMERS)  
TRICHLOROPHENOL  
TRICHLOROTOLUENE  
TRICHLOROTRIFLUORO-ETHANE  
TRICHLOROFON  
TRIETHANOLAMINE  
TRIETHYLAMINE  
TRIFLURALIN (C13H16F3N3O4)  
TRIHALOMETHANE, TOT.  
TRIMETHYL-BENZENE  
TRINITROTOLUENE (TNT), DISSOLVED  
TRINITROTOLUENE (TNT), TOTAL  
TRIPHENYL PHOSPHATE  
TRITHION  
TRITIUM (1 H3), TOTAL  
TRITIUM, TOTAL  
TRITIUM, TOTAL COUNTING ERROR (PC/L)  
TRITIUM, TOTAL NET INCREASE H-3 UNITS  
TUNGSTEN, DISSOLVED  
TUNGSTEN, TOTAL  
U-236 TOTAL WTR  
URANIUM 235, DRY WEIGHT  
URANIUM 238  
URANIUM, POTENTIALLY DISSOLVD  
URANIUM, 235 TOTAL  
URANIUM, 238 TOTAL  
URANIUM, NATURAL, DISSOLVED  
URANIUM, NATURAL, TOTAL  
URANIUM, NATURAL, TOTAL (IN PC/L)  
URANIUM, TOTAL AS U308  
URANYL-ION  
UREA  
VERNAM (S-PROPYLDI-PROPYLTHIOCARBAMATE)  
VINYL ACETATE  
VINYL CHLORIDE  
VINYL CHLORIDE, DRY WEIGHT  
VOLATILE COMPOUNDS (GC/MS)  
VOLATILE FRACTION ORGANICS (EPA 624)  
VOLATILE HALOGENATED HYDROCARBONS  
VOLATILE HALOGENATED ORGANICS (VHO), TOT  
VOLATILE HYDROCARBONS  
VOLATILE ORGANIC COMPOUND (VOC)  
VOLATILE ORGANICS DETECTED  
XANTHATES  
XC-POLYMER IN DRILLING FLUIDS  
XYLENE  
XYLENE, PARA-TOTAL  
ZINC  
ZINC IN BOTTOM DEPOSITS (DRY WGT)  
ZINC SLUDGE SOLID  
ZINC SLUDGE TOTAL  
ZINC TOTAL RECOVERABLE  
ZINC, DISSOLVED (AS ZN)



ZINC, DRY WEIGHT  
 ZINC, PERCENT REMOVAL  
 ZINC, POTENTIALLY DISSOLVED  
 ZINC, TOTAL  
 ZINC, TOTAL (AS ZN)  
 ZIRAM, ORGANIC PESTICIDE  
 ZIRCONIUM, TOTAL

## REFERENCES

<u>Water Code section authorizing the imposition of liability</u>	<u>Entity authorized to impose liability</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Water Code section directing deposit of funds</u>	<u>Account</u>
<a href="#">§ 13261(b)(1)</a>	<a href="#">Water Boards</a>	<a href="#">Civil liability up to \$1,000 per day for failure to furnish reports of waste discharge or failure to pay annual program fees.</a>	<a href="#">§ 13441(c)</a>	<a href="#">CAA</a>
<a href="#">§ 13261(b)(2)</a>	<a href="#">superior court</a>	<a href="#">Civil liability up to \$5,000 per day for failure to furnish reports of waste discharge or failure to pay annual program fee</a>	<a href="#">§ 13441(c)</a>	<a href="#">CAA</a>
<a href="#">§ 13261(d)(1)</a>	<a href="#">regional water board</a>	<a href="#">Civil liability up to \$5,000 per day for knowingly furnishing a false report of waste discharge, willfully failing to furnish a report of waste discharge, or willfully withholding material information on a report of waste discharge, against any person discharging or proposing to discharge hazardous waste, as defined by the Health and Safety Code.</a>	<a href="#">§ 13441(c)</a>	<a href="#">CAA</a>
<a href="#">§ 13261(d)(2)</a>	<a href="#">superior court</a>	<a href="#">Civil liability up to \$25,000 for knowingly furnishing a false report of waste discharge, willfully failing to furnish a report of waste discharge, or willfully withholding material information on a report of waste discharge, against any person discharging or proposing to discharge hazardous waste, as defined by the Health and Safety Code.</a>	<a href="#">§ 13441(c)</a>	<a href="#">CAA</a>
<a href="#">§ 13263.3 (g); § 13385(c)(1)</a>	<a href="#">Water Boards</a>	<a href="#">Civil liability may be imposed in an amount not to exceed ten thousand dollars (\$10,000) for each day in which the violation occurs for failure to for failure to complete a pollution prevention plan required by the State Water Board or a regional water board, for submitting a plan that does not comply with the act, or for not implementing a plan.</a>	<a href="#">§ 13385(n)(1)</a>	<a href="#">CAA</a>
<a href="#">§ 13264 (a)(2); §§ 13265(a), (b)(1)</a>	<a href="#">regional water board</a>	<a href="#">Civil liability up to \$1,000 per day for initiating a new discharge of waste, or making a material change to a discharge of waste, or initiating a new discharge to, making a material change in a discharge to, or constructing an injection well after filing a report of waste discharge but before 140 days has expired, where no WDRs have been issued and where the violation has been called to the discharger's attention, in writing, by the regional water board.</a>	<a href="#">§ 13264(c)(1)</a>	<a href="#">WDPF</a>

<u>Water Code section authorizing the imposition of liability</u>	<u>Entity authorized to impose liability</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Water Code section directing deposit of funds</u>	<u>Account</u>
<a href="#">§ 13265(b)(1)</a>	<a href="#">regional water board</a>	<a href="#">Civil liability up to \$1,000 per day for discharging waste in violation of § 13264, after such violation has been called to the discharger's attention, in writing, by the regional water board.</a>	<a href="#">§ 13441(c)</a>	<a href="#">CAA</a>
<a href="#">§ 13265(b)(2)</a>	<a href="#">superior court</a>	<a href="#">Civil liability up to \$5,000 per day for discharging waste in violation of § 13264, after such violation has been called to the discharger's attention, in writing, by the regional water board.</a>	<a href="#">§ 13441(c)</a>	<a href="#">CAA</a>
<a href="#">§ 13265(d)(1)</a>	<a href="#">regional water board</a>	<a href="#">Civil liability up to \$5,000 per day for negligently discharging hazardous waste, as defined by the Health and Safety Code, in violation of § 13264.</a>	<a href="#">§ 13441(c)</a>	<a href="#">CAA</a>
<a href="#">§ 13265(d)(2)</a>	<a href="#">superior court</a>	<a href="#">Civil liability up to \$25,000 per day for negligently discharging hazardous waste, as defined by the Health and Safety Code, in violation of § 13264.</a>	<a href="#">§ 13441(c)</a>	<a href="#">CAA</a>
<a href="#">§ 13268(b)(1)</a>	<a href="#">regional water board (or State Water Board if no duplication of efforts)</a>	<a href="#">Civil liability up to \$1,000 per day for failing or refusing to furnish technical or monitoring reports or falsifying information therein.</a>	<a href="#">§ 13441(c)</a>	<a href="#">CAA</a>
<a href="#">§ 13268(b)(2)</a>	<a href="#">superior court</a>	<a href="#">Civil liability up to \$5,000 per day for failing or refusing to furnish technical or monitoring reports or falsifying information therein.</a>	<a href="#">§ 13441(c)</a>	<a href="#">CAA</a>
<a href="#">§ 13268(d)(1)</a>	<a href="#">regional water board (or State Water Board if no duplication of efforts)</a>	<a href="#">Civil liability up to \$5,000 per day against any person discharging hazardous waste, as defined in the Health and Safety Code, for failure to furnish technical report or knowingly falsifying information therein.</a>	<a href="#">§ 13441(c)</a>	<a href="#">CAA</a>
<a href="#">§ 13268(d)(2)</a>	<a href="#">superior court</a>	<a href="#">Civil liability up to \$25,000 per day against any person discharging hazardous waste, as defined in the Health and Safety Code, for failure to furnish technical reports or knowingly falsifying information therein.</a>	<a href="#">§ 13441(c)</a>	<a href="#">CAA</a>
<a href="#">§ 13268(e)(1)</a>	<a href="#">superior court</a>	<a href="#">Criminal penalties up to \$25,000 for knowingly failing or refusing to furnish technical or monitoring program reports, or failing or knowingly falsifying information therein.</a>	<a href="#">§ 13268(f)(1)</a>	<a href="#">WDPF</a>
<a href="#">§ 13268(e)(2)</a>	<a href="#">superior court</a>	<a href="#">If person previously violated § 13268(a) or (c), up to \$25,000 per day in criminal penalties for knowingly failing or refusing to furnish technical or monitoring program reports, or knowingly falsifying information therein.</a>	<a href="#">§ 13268(f)(1)</a>	<a href="#">WDPF</a>
<a href="#">§ 13271(c)</a>	<a href="#">superior court</a>	<a href="#">Criminal penalties up to \$20,000 in criminal penalties for failure to provide notice after causing or permitting hazardous substance or sewage to be discharged in or on any waters of the state or discharged or deposited where it is, or probably will be, discharged in or on any waters of the state.</a>	<a href="#">§ 13441(c)</a>	<a href="#">.5 to CAA</a>

<u>Water Code section authorizing the imposition of liability</u>	<u>Entity authorized to impose liability</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Water Code section directing deposit of funds</u>	<u>Account</u>
<u>§ 13272(c)</u>	<u>superior court</u>	<u>No less than \$500 or more than \$5,000 per day for failure to provide notice after causing or permitting oil or petroleum product to be discharged in or on any waters of the state or discharged or deposited where it is, or probably will be, discharged in or on any waters of the state.</u>	<u>§ 13441(c)</u>	<u>.5 to CAA</u>
<u>§ 13308</u>	<u>regional water board (or State Water Board if violation of State Water Board order)</u>	<u>Civil liability up to \$10,000 per day for violation of a time schedule order.</u>	<u>§ 13308(e)</u>	<u>CAA</u>
<u>§ 13350(d)</u>	<u>superior court</u>	<u>Civil liability up to \$15,000 per day or \$20 per gallon for violation pursuant to § 13350(a) or (b).</u>	<u>§ 13350(k)</u>	<u>WDPF</u>
<u>§ 13350(e)</u>	<u>Water Boards</u>	<u>Civil liability up to \$5,000 per day* or \$10 per gallon for violation pursuant to § 13350(a) or (b). *When there is a discharge and a CAO is issued, civil liability shall not be less than \$500 per day in which the discharge occurs and the CAO is violated. When there is no discharge, but an order issued by the regional water board is violated, the civil liability shall be not less than \$100 per day.</u>	<u>§ 13350(k)</u>	<u>WDPF</u>
<u>§ 13385(b)</u>	<u>superior court</u>	<u>Civil liability not to exceed \$25,000 per day for violations of § 13385(a)(1)(2*)[*other than a violation of a water quality certification](3)(4)(5*)[*other than violations of CWA Section 401] or (6), and up \$25 per gallon for discharge in excess of 1,000 gallons that is not cleaned up or is not susceptible to cleanup.</u>	<u>§ 13385(n)(1)</u>	<u>CAA</u>
<u>§ 13385(b)</u>	<u>superior court</u>	<u>Civil liability not to exceed \$25,000 per day for § 13385 (a)(2*)[*only for a violation of a water quality certification] or (5*)[*only for violations of CWA Section 401], and up to \$25 per gallon for discharge in excess of 1,000 gallons that is not cleaned up or is not susceptible to cleanup.</u>	<u>§ 13385(n)(2)</u>	<u>WDPF</u>
<u>§ 13385(c)</u>	<u>Water Boards</u>	<u>Civil liability not to exceed \$10,000 per day for violations of § 13385(a)(1)(2*)[*other than a violation of a water quality certification](3)(4)(5*)[*other than violations of CWA Section 401] or (6), and up \$10 per gallon for discharge in excess of 1,000 gallons that is not cleaned up or is not susceptible to cleanup.</u>	<u>§ 13385(n)(1)</u>	<u>CAA</u>
<u>§ 13385(c)</u>	<u>Water Boards</u>	<u>Civil liability not to exceed \$10,000 per day for § 13385 (a)(2*)[*only for a violation of a water quality certification] or (5*)[*only for violations of CWA Section 401], and up to \$10 per gallon for discharge in excess of 1,000 gallons that is not cleaned up or is not susceptible to cleanup.</u>	<u>§ 13385(n)(2)</u>	<u>WDPF</u>

<u>Water Code section authorizing the imposition of liability</u>	<u>Entity authorized to impose liability</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Water Code section directing deposit of funds</u>	<u>Account</u>
<a href="#">§ 13385 (h) through (l)</a>	<a href="#">superior court or state or regional water board</a>	<a href="#">Mandatory minimum penalties of \$3,000 for certain NPDES violations. Compliance with a cease and desist order or time schedule or violations from a single operational upset of a biological treatment process will, in some instances, limit the imposition of penalties. Violations occurring at a new or reconstructed POTW and from POTWs in Orange county may be exempt from MMPs. Compliance projects for POTWs serving small communities may be considered in lieu of penalties. SEPs may be funded in lieu of an MMP</a>	<a href="#">§ 13385(n)(1)</a>	<a href="#">CAA</a>
<a href="#">§ 13385 (h) through (l)</a>	<a href="#">superior court or state or regional water board</a>	<a href="#">Mandatory minimum penalties of \$3,000 for violations falling under §13385(a)(2*)[*only for a violation of a water quality certification] or (5*)[*only for violations of CWA Section 401].</a>	<a href="#">§ 13385(n)(2)</a>	<a href="#">WDPF</a>
<a href="#">§ 13385.1; § 13385 (h)</a>	<a href="#">superior court or state or regional water board</a>	<a href="#">Mandatory minimum penalty of \$3,000 for failure to timely file a discharge monitoring report required pursuant to § 13383 for each complete period of 30 days following the deadline for submitting the report, if the report is designed to ensure compliance with limitations contained in WDRs that contain effluent limitations.</a>	<a href="#">§ 13385.1(b)(1)</a>	<a href="#">WDPF</a>
<a href="#">§ 13387 (b),(c) and (d)</a>	<a href="#">superior court</a>	<a href="#">Criminal penalties for knowing or negligent violation of various sections of the Water Code resulting in fines ranging from \$5,000 per day for a negligent violation to \$1,000,000 for knowingly putting another person in imminent danger of death or serious bodily injury. (not including a violation of water quality certification, or violations of CWA Section 401)</a>	<a href="#">§ 13387(h)(1)</a>	<a href="#">CAA</a>
<a href="#">§ 13387 (b),(c) and (d)</a>	<a href="#">superior court</a>	<a href="#">Criminal penalties for a violation of water quality certification or violations of CWA Section 401.</a>	<a href="#">§ 13387(h)(2)</a>	<a href="#">WDPF</a>
<a href="#">§ 13399.33(a)</a>	<a href="#">regional water board</a>	<a href="#">Civil liability of not less than \$5,000 per year of noncompliance for failure to submit a notice of intent to obtain coverage under the storm water NPDES permit in accordance with section 13399.30.</a>	<a href="#">§ 13399.37(a)</a>	<a href="#">WDPF</a>
<a href="#">§ 13399.33(b)</a>	<a href="#">regional water board</a>	<a href="#">Civil liability of \$1,000 for failure to submit the required notice of nonapplicability in accordance with section 13399.30.</a>	<a href="#">§ 13399.37(a)</a>	<a href="#">WDPF</a>
<a href="#">§ 13399.33(c)</a>	<a href="#">regional water board</a>	<a href="#">Civil liability of not less than \$1,000 for failure to submit an annual report or construction certification in accordance with section 13399.1.</a>	<a href="#">§ 13399.37(a)</a>	<a href="#">WDPF</a>
<a href="#">§ 13529.4(a)</a>	<a href="#">regional water board</a>	<a href="#">Civil liability ranging from \$5,000 to \$25,000 (depending on whether the violation is the first, second, third, or more) for refusing or failing to provide notice required under section 13529.2, or as required by a condition of WDRs requiring notification of unauthorized releases of recycled water.</a>	<a href="#">§ 13441(c)</a>	<a href="#">CAA</a>

<u>Water Code section authorizing the imposition of liability</u>	<u>Entity authorized to impose liability</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Water Code section directing deposit of funds</u>	<u>Account</u>
<a href="#">§ 13611(c)(1)</a>	<a href="#">regional water board</a>	<a href="#">Civil liability up to \$1,000 per day for failure to provide the notifications required by section 13271 relating to perchlorate or by section 13611.5.</a>	<a href="#">§ 13611(d)</a>	<a href="#">CAA (available upon appropriation by the Legislature)</a>
<a href="#">§ 13611(c)(2)</a>	<a href="#">superior court</a>	<a href="#">Civil liability not less than \$500 and not more than \$5,000 for failure to provide the notifications required by section 13271 relating to perchlorate or by section 13611.5.</a>	<a href="#">§ 13611(d)</a>	<a href="#">CAA (available upon appropriation by the Legislature)</a>
<a href="#">§ 13627.1(a)</a>	<a href="#">State Water Board</a>	<a href="#">Civil liability of not more than \$100 against any person who operates a wastewater treatment plant who does not hold a valid, unexpired certificate of the appropriate grade.</a>	<a href="#">§ 13441(c)</a>	<a href="#">CAA</a>
<a href="#">§ 13627.1(b)</a>	<a href="#">State Water Board</a>	<a href="#">Civil liability of not more than \$100 per day against any person that owns or operates a wastewater treatment plant that employs, or allows the employment of, any person as a wastewater treatment plant operator who does not hold a valid, unexpired certificate of the appropriate grade.</a>	<a href="#">§ 13441(c)</a>	<a href="#">CAA</a>
<a href="#">§ 13627.1(c)</a>	<a href="#">State Water Board</a>	<a href="#">Civil liability up to \$5,000 for each violation of certain specified acts related to wastewater treatment plant operators.</a>	<a href="#">§ 13441(c)</a>	<a href="#">CAA</a>
<a href="#">§ 13627.2</a>	<a href="#">State Water Board</a>	<a href="#">Civil liability up to \$5,000 against any person who submits false or misleading information on an application for certification as a wastewater treatment plant operator or on an application for registration as a contract operator.</a>	<a href="#">§ 13441(c)</a>	<a href="#">CAA</a>
<a href="#">§ 13627.3</a>	<a href="#">State Water Board</a>	<a href="#">Civil liability up to \$1,000 against any person who contracts to operate a wastewater treatment plant without having valid registration as a contract operator.</a>	<a href="#">§ 13441(c)</a>	<a href="#">CAA</a>

