

**01/05/10 BD MEETING - ITEM #8
STAFF CHANGE #1 (CIRCULATED 01/04/10)**

STATE OF CALIFORNIA
STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD

ORDER WQ 2009-

In the Matter of Own Motion Review of

**Waste Discharge Requirements Order No. R5-2008-0162 [NPDES No. CA 0084727] for the
Tuolumne Utilities District, Sonora Regional Wastewater Treatment Plant, and
Jamestown Sanitary District Jamestown Wastewater Treatment Plant, Tuolumne County**

Issued by the
California Regional Water Quality Control Board,
Central Valley Region

SWRCB/OCC FILE A-1967

Page 2, Second Paragraph:

Both TUD and JSD use chlorine ~~When rain causes Quartz reservoir to overflow storage capacity is inadequate to handle inflows, wastewater is released from the reservoir and, the wastewater is discharged into Woods Creek~~

Page 9, Conclusion #4:

To eliminate the potential for short circuiting in Quartz Reservoir, a submerged outlet gate should be used when effluent is released to Woods Creek, because a submerged gate will draw cooler, more well-mixed water from Quartz Reservoir that has spent a sufficient time in the reservoir could be ~~accomplished~~ done in such a way as to facilitate complete mixing and to eliminate the possibility that warm effluent will remain floating on top of the reservoir.

Page 9, Conclusion #5:

Order No. R5-2008-0162 must be revised to ~~restore~~ include the following effluent limitations and monitoring requirements for chlorine residual that are contained in the 2001 Permit, Order No. R5-01-043, until there is sufficient evidence to support an alternative effluent limitation, and any subsequent effluent limitations must be developed consistent with anti-backsliding requirements and exceptions: ~~one-hour average of 0.019 mg/L and four-day average of 0.011 mg/L;~~

Page 9, Conclusion #6:

~~Order No. R5-2008-0162 must be revised to require continuous monitoring for chlorine residual.~~

~~7.6.~~ Order No. R5-2008-0162 must be revised to require new sampling data for chlorine residual using the effluent limitations and frequency of monitoring prescribed above in paragraph 5, and the frequency of monitoring prescribed above in paragraph 6, where these samples must be collected for a period of one year;

DRAFT

January 4, 2010

~~8.~~7. Order No. R5-2008-0162 must be revised to require that, based on the data obtained above in paragraph ~~7~~6, new Hach tests be performed

~~9.~~ 8. Order No. R5-2008-0162 must be revised to include a new reopener clause

~~10.~~ 9. Order No. R5-2008-0162 must be revised to require the development of operating requirements