1	HEARING OF THE WAIVER OF WASTE DISCHARGE
2	REQUIREMENTS DISCHARGED FROM
3	IRRIGATED LANDS
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	CENTRAL COAST REGIONAL WATER QUALITY CONTROL BOARD
10	PANEL HEARING
11	SAN LUIS OBISPO, CALIFORNIA
12	MARCH 14, 2012
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	ATKINSON-BAKER, INC.
22	COURT REPORTERS (800) 288-3376
23	www.depo.com
24	REPORTED BY: FRANCES M. GARRITY, CSR NO. 8934
25	FILE NO.: A6028BD

1	HEARING OF THE WAIVER OF WASTE DISCHARGE	1	A P P E A R A N C E S	
2	REQUIREMENTS DISCHARGED FROM	2	SPEAKERS (CONTINUED) JEAN-PIERRE WOLFF 199	
3	IRRIGATED LANDS	4	MARC LOS HUERTOS 206	
4			MIKE STOKER 237	
		5	SARA LOPEZ 241 JENNIFER CLEARY 244	
5		6	ROBERT JOHNSON 258	
6		7	STEVE SHIMEK 260 GINA WHITAKER 285	
7		8	NATHAN ALI 287 DANNY MERKLEY 290	
8			KAY MERCER 291	
9		9	DARLENE DIN 293 NORM GROOT 295	
10	CENTRAL COAST REGIONAL WATER QUALITY CONTROL BOARD	10	KIRK SCHMIDT 296 MICHAEL MARSH 298	
11	PANEL HEARING	11	AMY HILL 300	
12	SAN LUIS OBISPO, CALIFORNIA	12	ANDY CALDWELL 302 LISA LURIE 304	
13	MARCH 14, 2012	13	THOMAS VIRSIK 306 MARY HYMAN 307	
14			RICHARD QUANDT 309	
		14	JOY FITZHUGH 310 BILL THOMAS 311	
15		15	LISA BODAGI 315 RANDY SHARE 316	
16		16	RICHARD SALOWSKI 317	
17	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS taken on	17	BOB CAMPBELL318DANA PERLAS320	
18	behalf of the Central Coast Regional Water Quality	18	SARA DAMRON 322 ROSE FRANCIS 323	
19	Control Board, at 333 Madonna Road, San Luis Obispo,		MARCELA MORALES 325	
20	California, 93405, commencing at 9:30 a.m., Wednesday,	19	GABRIELLE SOLIS 326 KAREN ARAUJO 329	
21	March 14, 2012, before FRANCES M. GARRITY,	20	REVEREND LINDSEY RAMPTSON 330 BRAD SNOOK 332	
22	CSR No. 8934.	21	JOE WILEY 333 RICK TOMLINSON 335	
23		22	MIKE BROWN 363	
24		23	DIRK GIANNINI 365 DAVID COSTA 367	
25		24	RICK SWEET 373 MARY JO BUTON 375	
20		25		
	Page 2			Page 4
1				
1 2	APPEARANCES	1	APPEARANCES	
3	FOR THE CENTRAL COAST REGIONAL WATER QUALITY CONTROL BOARD:	2	SPEAKERS (CONTINUED)	
4	CONTROL BOARD.	3	RAY MUNDOS BRITAR	376
5	JEFFREY S. YOUNG, CHAIRMAN RUSSELL M. JEFFRIES, VICE CHAIRMAN		ROBIN CHAPMAN	377
5	FRANCES McCHESNEY, SENIOR COUNSEL	4	JOHN SALSBURY	379
6	Monica S. Hunter Jean-Pierre Wolff	5		
7	MAYOR BRUCE DELGADO	6		
8	MIKE JOHNSTON MICHAEL JORDAN	7		
	HARVEY PACKARD	8		
9 10	LEAD STAFF PERSONS:	9		
11	ROGER W. BRIGGS, EXECUTIVE OFFICER	10		
12	MICHAEL THOMAS, ASSISTANT EXECUTIVE OFFICER LISA HOROWITZ MCCANN, SECTION MANAGER	11		
	ANGELA SCHROETER, AGRICULTURAL REGULATORY	12		
13 14	MATTHEW KEELING, PROGRAM/BASIN PLANNING	13		
15 16	SPEAKERS: PAGE	14		
σı	TESS DUNHAM 17 LISA HOROWITZ McCANN 28	15		
17	MATT KEELING 37	16		
18	KAREN WORCESTER 46 ANGELA SCHROETER 50	17		
19	MICHAEL THOMAS 63 CONGRESSMAN FARR 74	18		
	SERGIO SANCHEZ 92	19		
20	HANS POSHMAN 102 BILL RITZ 107	20		
21	ABBY TAYLOR SILVA 132	21		
22	KARI FISHER 135 MICHAEL JORDAN 189			
	DR. BRAD BARBEAU 191	22		
23	GREG PEPPING 195 BOB MARTIN 197	23		
24		24		
25		25		
	Page 3			Page 5

Atkinson-Baker, Inc. Court Reporters

(800) 288-3376

2 (Pages 2 to 5)

1 2				
2	SAN LUIS OBISPO, CALIFORNIA	1	Mr. Chair, this morning we want to recognize	
4	WEDNESDAY, MARCH 14, 2012	2	seven Staff, representing both the State Board, and	
3		3	that is the Staff from both of State Board and our	
4	MR. YOUNG: Good morning, everyone. I'm	4	region, who were awarded Superior Accomplishment Awards	
5	Jeff Young, Chair of the Central Coast Regional Water	5	for their outstanding job performance for the Water	
6	Control Board. Welcome to San Luis Obispo. It is	6	Board, the bigger Water Board. Their joint effort to	
7	March 14th, and why don't we begin with our roll call.	7	develop and implement the Water Board's Electronic	
8	Mr. Briggs?	8	Notice of Intent, leveraging the Water Board's	
9	MR. BRIGGS: Oh, Harvey is going to call roll.	9	Geotracker Data Management System, that's an existing	
0	Harvey Packard.	10	system, this is in addition to it, for irrigated	
.1	MR. YOUNG: Oh, okay.	11	agriculture, has made an exceptional contribution	
2	MR. PACKARD: Jeffrey Young.	12	towards advancing Water Quality Improvement, improving	
3	MR. YOUNG: Here.	13	the effectiveness and efficiency of the Water Board.	
4	MR. PACKARD: Russell Jeffries?	14	So State Board and/or Staff worked together	
5	MR. JEFFRIES: Yes.	15	and did a really incredible and unprecedented job to	
6	MR. PACKARD: Bruce Delgado.	16	implement this project in a very short window of time.	
7	MR. DELGADO: Here.	17	And that involved coordinating with the agricultural	
8	MR. PACKARD: Monica Hunter.	18	industry, technical assistance providers, working with	
.9	MS. HUNTER: Present.	19	hundreds of growers to provide compliance assistance,	
0	MR. PACKARD: Mike Johnston.	20	and ended up representing over 84 percent enrollment of	
1	MR. JOHNSTON: Here.	21	irrigated agricultural acreage on the Central Coast,	
2	MR. PACKARD: Michael Jordan.	22	which was pretty outstanding for initial rollout.	
3	MR. JORDAN: Here.	23	The team demonstrated a high commitment to	
4	MR. PACKARD: Jean-Pierre Wolff?	24	water quality and exceptional customer service, and	
5	MR. WOLFF: Here.	25	were each integral to the success of the project. This	
	Page 6			Page
				8-
1	MR. YOUNG: Okay. Thank you.	1	system is the first of its kind in California, and very	
2	Mr. Briggs, introductions?	2	possibly the nation, for irrigated agricultural. So we	
3				
	MR. BRIGGS: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.	3	have some plaques here. By the way, the two State	
4	Welcome everybody. We just heard from	3 4	Board representatives were unable to be here today,	
4	Welcome everybody. We just heard from	4	Board representatives were unable to be here today,	
4 5	Welcome everybody. We just heard from Harvey Packard of our Staff over there, our Assistant Executive Officer, Michael Thomas, is standing right there, and to my left is Frances McChesney, our counsel	4 5	Board representatives were unable to be here today, it's my understanding, but if we can have Elaine Shaul, Monica Barricarte, Karen Huckelby, Hector Hernandez and Wey Lu come forward, please.	
4 5 6 7	Welcome everybody. We just heard from Harvey Packard of our Staff over there, our Assistant Executive Officer, Michael Thomas, is standing right	4 5 6	Board representatives were unable to be here today, it's my understanding, but if we can have Elaine Shaul, Monica Barricarte, Karen Huckelby, Hector Hernandez and	
4 5 6	Welcome everybody. We just heard from Harvey Packard of our Staff over there, our Assistant Executive Officer, Michael Thomas, is standing right there, and to my left is Frances McChesney, our counsel	4 5 6 7	Board representatives were unable to be here today, it's my understanding, but if we can have Elaine Shaul, Monica Barricarte, Karen Huckelby, Hector Hernandez and Wey Lu come forward, please.	
4 5 7 8 9	Welcome everybody. We just heard from Harvey Packard of our Staff over there, our Assistant Executive Officer, Michael Thomas, is standing right there, and to my left is Frances McChesney, our counsel from the State Water Resources Control Board, who	4 5 6 7 8	Board representatives were unable to be here today, it's my understanding, but if we can have Elaine Shaul, Monica Barricarte, Karen Huckelby, Hector Hernandez and Wey Lu come forward, please. I feel like we should have some music for	
4 5 7 8 9	Welcome everybody. We just heard from Harvey Packard of our Staff over there, our Assistant Executive Officer, Michael Thomas, is standing right there, and to my left is Frances McChesney, our counsel from the State Water Resources Control Board, who represents the Board.	4 5 6 7 8 9	Board representatives were unable to be here today, it's my understanding, but if we can have Elaine Shaul, Monica Barricarte, Karen Huckelby, Hector Hernandez and Wey Lu come forward, please. I feel like we should have some music for you. Why don't you just come up here, please. Is	
4 5 7 8 9 0	Welcome everybody. We just heard from Harvey Packard of our Staff over there, our Assistant Executive Officer, Michael Thomas, is standing right there, and to my left is Frances McChesney, our counsel from the State Water Resources Control Board, who represents the Board. We have testimony cards available.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Board representatives were unable to be here today, it's my understanding, but if we can have Elaine Shaul, Monica Barricarte, Karen Huckelby, Hector Hernandez and Wey Lu come forward, please. I feel like we should have some music for you. Why don't you just come up here, please. Is Wade not here, too? I guess, John Wade is not here.	
4 5 7 8 9 0 1 2	Welcome everybody. We just heard from Harvey Packard of our Staff over there, our Assistant Executive Officer, Michael Thomas, is standing right there, and to my left is Frances McChesney, our counsel from the State Water Resources Control Board, who represents the Board. We have testimony cards available. John Gonee is at the door, right there, and has those	4 5 7 8 9 10 11	Board representatives were unable to be here today, it's my understanding, but if we can have Elaine Shaul, Monica Barricarte, Karen Huckelby, Hector Hernandez and Wey Lu come forward, please. I feel like we should have some music for you. Why don't you just come up here, please. Is Wade not here, too? I guess, John Wade is not here. Okay. I guess John Wade couldn't be here, but Elaine,	
4 5 7 8 9 0 1 2 3	Welcome everybody. We just heard from Harvey Packard of our Staff over there, our Assistant Executive Officer, Michael Thomas, is standing right there, and to my left is Frances McChesney, our counsel from the State Water Resources Control Board, who represents the Board. We have testimony cards available. John Gonee is at the door, right there, and has those cards available, so if you're interested in speaking on	4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12	Board representatives were unable to be here today, it's my understanding, but if we can have Elaine Shaul, Monica Barricarte, Karen Huckelby, Hector Hernandez and Wey Lu come forward, please. I feel like we should have some music for you. Why don't you just come up here, please. Is Wade not here, too? I guess, John Wade is not here. Okay. I guess John Wade couldn't be here, but Elaine, here's your plaque. Karen, here's your plaque.	
4 5 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4	Welcome everybody. We just heard from Harvey Packard of our Staff over there, our Assistant Executive Officer, Michael Thomas, is standing right there, and to my left is Frances McChesney, our counsel from the State Water Resources Control Board, who represents the Board. We have testimony cards available. John Gonee is at the door, right there, and has those cards available, so if you're interested in speaking on any items today, please fill those out and hand them to	4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Board representatives were unable to be here today, it's my understanding, but if we can have Elaine Shaul, Monica Barricarte, Karen Huckelby, Hector Hernandez and Wey Lu come forward, please. I feel like we should have some music for you. Why don't you just come up here, please. Is Wade not here, too? I guess, John Wade is not here. Okay. I guess John Wade couldn't be here, but Elaine, here's your plaque. Karen, here's your plaque. Monica, here is your plaque, and Hector, last, but not	
4 5 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5	Welcome everybody. We just heard from Harvey Packard of our Staff over there, our Assistant Executive Officer, Michael Thomas, is standing right there, and to my left is Frances McChesney, our counsel from the State Water Resources Control Board, who represents the Board. We have testimony cards available. John Gonee is at the door, right there, and has those cards available, so if you're interested in speaking on any items today, please fill those out and hand them to Staff, so we'll know to call your name.	4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Board representatives were unable to be here today, it's my understanding, but if we can have Elaine Shaul, Monica Barricarte, Karen Huckelby, Hector Hernandez and Wey Lu come forward, please. I feel like we should have some music for you. Why don't you just come up here, please. Is Wade not here, too? I guess, John Wade is not here. Okay. I guess John Wade couldn't be here, but Elaine, here's your plaque. Karen, here's your plaque. Monica, here is your plaque, and Hector, last, but not least, of course, here's your plaque. I want to the	
4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6	Welcome everybody. We just heard from Harvey Packard of our Staff over there, our Assistant Executive Officer, Michael Thomas, is standing right there, and to my left is Frances McChesney, our counsel from the State Water Resources Control Board, who represents the Board. We have testimony cards available. John Gonee is at the door, right there, and has those cards available, so if you're interested in speaking on any items today, please fill those out and hand them to Staff, so we'll know to call your name. Restrooms are out there, down this way, I	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Board representatives were unable to be here today, it's my understanding, but if we can have Elaine Shaul, Monica Barricarte, Karen Huckelby, Hector Hernandez and Wey Lu come forward, please. I feel like we should have some music for you. Why don't you just come up here, please. Is Wade not here, too? I guess, John Wade is not here. Okay. I guess John Wade couldn't be here, but Elaine, here's your plaque. Karen, here's your plaque. Monica, here is your plaque, and Hector, last, but not least, of course, here's your plaque. I want to the Board and I want to thank you very, very much for your	
4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7	Welcome everybody. We just heard from Harvey Packard of our Staff over there, our Assistant Executive Officer, Michael Thomas, is standing right there, and to my left is Frances McChesney, our counsel from the State Water Resources Control Board, who represents the Board. We have testimony cards available. John Gonee is at the door, right there, and has those cards available, so if you're interested in speaking on any items today, please fill those out and hand them to Staff, so we'll know to call your name. Restrooms are out there, down this way, I believe. And we would appreciate if you would turn off	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Board representatives were unable to be here today, it's my understanding, but if we can have Elaine Shaul, Monica Barricarte, Karen Huckelby, Hector Hernandez and Wey Lu come forward, please. I feel like we should have some music for you. Why don't you just come up here, please. Is Wade not here, too? I guess, John Wade is not here. Okay. I guess John Wade couldn't be here, but Elaine, here's your plaque. Karen, here's your plaque. Monica, here is your plaque, and Hector, last, but not least, of course, here's your plaque. I want to the Board and I want to thank you very, very much for your outstanding commitment and your accomplishment, and	
4 5 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Welcome everybody. We just heard from Harvey Packard of our Staff over there, our Assistant Executive Officer, Michael Thomas, is standing right there, and to my left is Frances McChesney, our counsel from the State Water Resources Control Board, who represents the Board. We have testimony cards available. John Gonee is at the door, right there, and has those cards available, so if you're interested in speaking on any items today, please fill those out and hand them to Staff, so we'll know to call your name. Restrooms are out there, down this way, I believe. And we would appreciate if you would turn off your cell phones, or at least so they don't make	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Board representatives were unable to be here today, it's my understanding, but if we can have Elaine Shaul, Monica Barricarte, Karen Huckelby, Hector Hernandez and Wey Lu come forward, please. I feel like we should have some music for you. Why don't you just come up here, please. Is Wade not here, too? I guess, John Wade is not here. Okay. I guess John Wade couldn't be here, but Elaine, here's your plaque. Karen, here's your plaque. Monica, here is your plaque, and Hector, last, but not least, of course, here's your plaque. I want to the Board and I want to thank you very, very much for your outstanding commitment and your accomplishment, and congratulations, and how about a round of applause.	
4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Welcome everybody. We just heard from Harvey Packard of our Staff over there, our Assistant Executive Officer, Michael Thomas, is standing right there, and to my left is Frances McChesney, our counsel from the State Water Resources Control Board, who represents the Board. We have testimony cards available. John Gonee is at the door, right there, and has those cards available, so if you're interested in speaking on any items today, please fill those out and hand them to Staff, so we'll know to call your name. Restrooms are out there, down this way, I believe. And we would appreciate if you would turn off your cell phones, or at least so they don't make noise. I just reminded myself. Let's see. And we'll be hearing is we'll be	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Board representatives were unable to be here today, it's my understanding, but if we can have Elaine Shaul, Monica Barricarte, Karen Huckelby, Hector Hernandez and Wey Lu come forward, please. I feel like we should have some music for you. Why don't you just come up here, please. Is Wade not here, too? I guess, John Wade is not here. Okay. I guess John Wade couldn't be here, but Elaine, here's your plaque. Karen, here's your plaque. Monica, here is your plaque, and Hector, last, but not least, of course, here's your plaque. I want to the Board and I want to thank you very, very much for your outstanding commitment and your accomplishment, and congratulations, and how about a round of applause. Thank you, again.	
4 5 6 7 8	Welcome everybody. We just heard from Harvey Packard of our Staff over there, our Assistant Executive Officer, Michael Thomas, is standing right there, and to my left is Frances McChesney, our counsel from the State Water Resources Control Board, who represents the Board. We have testimony cards available. John Gonee is at the door, right there, and has those cards available, so if you're interested in speaking on any items today, please fill those out and hand them to Staff, so we'll know to call your name. Restrooms are out there, down this way, I believe. And we would appreciate if you would turn off your cell phones, or at least so they don't make noise. I just reminded myself. Let's see. And we'll be hearing is we'll be introducing other Staff as they come up later today.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Board representatives were unable to be here today, it's my understanding, but if we can have Elaine Shaul, Monica Barricarte, Karen Huckelby, Hector Hernandez and Wey Lu come forward, please. I feel like we should have some music for you. Why don't you just come up here, please. Is Wade not here, too? I guess, John Wade is not here. Okay. I guess John Wade couldn't be here, but Elaine, here's your plaque. Karen, here's your plaque. Monica, here is your plaque, and Hector, last, but not least, of course, here's your plaque. I want to the Board and I want to thank you very, very much for your outstanding commitment and your accomplishment, and congratulations, and how about a round of applause. Thank you, again. MR. YOUNG: Okay. Why don't we move on to Item Number 4 in our Agenda, the Conditional Waiver of Waste	
4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1	Welcome everybody. We just heard from Harvey Packard of our Staff over there, our Assistant Executive Officer, Michael Thomas, is standing right there, and to my left is Frances McChesney, our counsel from the State Water Resources Control Board, who represents the Board. We have testimony cards available. John Gonee is at the door, right there, and has those cards available, so if you're interested in speaking on any items today, please fill those out and hand them to Staff, so we'll know to call your name. Restrooms are out there, down this way, I believe. And we would appreciate if you would turn off your cell phones, or at least so they don't make noise. I just reminded myself. Let's see. And we'll be hearing is we'll be introducing other Staff as they come up later today. And, I believe, that's it for now,	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Board representatives were unable to be here today, it's my understanding, but if we can have Elaine Shaul, Monica Barricarte, Karen Huckelby, Hector Hernandez and Wey Lu come forward, please. I feel like we should have some music for you. Why don't you just come up here, please. Is Wade not here, too? I guess, John Wade is not here. Okay. I guess John Wade couldn't be here, but Elaine, here's your plaque. Karen, here's your plaque. Monica, here is your plaque, and Hector, last, but not least, of course, here's your plaque. I want to the Board and I want to thank you very, very much for your outstanding commitment and your accomplishment, and congratulations, and how about a round of applause. Thank you, again. MR. YOUNG: Okay. Why don't we move on to Item Number 4 in our Agenda, the Conditional Waiver of Wastee Discharge Requirements For Irrigated Agricultural.	
4 5 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 9 0 1 2 3 9 1 2 3 5 1 2 3 1 2 3 2 3 1 2 3 2 3 1 2 3 3 2 3 2	Welcome everybody. We just heard from Harvey Packard of our Staff over there, our Assistant Executive Officer, Michael Thomas, is standing right there, and to my left is Frances McChesney, our counsel from the State Water Resources Control Board, who represents the Board. We have testimony cards available. John Gonee is at the door, right there, and has those cards available, so if you're interested in speaking on any items today, please fill those out and hand them to Staff, so we'll know to call your name. Restrooms are out there, down this way, I believe. And we would appreciate if you would turn off your cell phones, or at least so they don't make noise. I just reminded myself. Let's see. And we'll be hearing is we'll be introducing other Staff as they come up later today. And, I believe, that's it for now, Mr. Chairman.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 Board representatives were unable to be here today, it's my understanding, but if we can have Elaine Shaul, Monica Barricarte, Karen Huckelby, Hector Hernandez and Wey Lu come forward, please. I feel like we should have some music for you. Why don't you just come up here, please. Is Wade not here, too? I guess, John Wade is not here. Okay. I guess John Wade couldn't be here, but Elaine, here's your plaque. Karen, here's your plaque. Monica, here is your plaque, and Hector, last, but not least, of course, here's your plaque. I want to the Board and I want to thank you very, very much for your outstanding commitment and your accomplishment, and congratulations, and how about a round of applause. Thank you, again. MR. YOUNG: Okay. Why don't we move on to Item Number 4 in our Agenda, the Conditional Waiver of Waste Discharge Requirements For Irrigated Agricultural. Mr. Briggs. 	
4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3	Welcome everybody. We just heard from Harvey Packard of our Staff over there, our Assistant Executive Officer, Michael Thomas, is standing right there, and to my left is Frances McChesney, our counsel from the State Water Resources Control Board, who represents the Board. We have testimony cards available. John Gonee is at the door, right there, and has those cards available, so if you're interested in speaking on any items today, please fill those out and hand them to Staff, so we'll know to call your name. Restrooms are out there, down this way, I believe. And we would appreciate if you would turn off your cell phones, or at least so they don't make noise. I just reminded myself. Let's see. And we'll be hearing is we'll be introducing other Staff as they come up later today. And, I believe, that's it for now, Mr. Chairman. MR. YOUNG: Okay. Thank you, Mr. Briggs.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 Board representatives were unable to be here today, it's my understanding, but if we can have Elaine Shaul, Monica Barricarte, Karen Huckelby, Hector Hernandez and Wey Lu come forward, please. I feel like we should have some music for you. Why don't you just come up here, please. Is Wade not here, too? I guess, John Wade is not here. Okay. I guess John Wade couldn't be here, but Elaine, here's your plaque. Karen, here's your plaque. Monica, here is your plaque, and Hector, last, but not least, of course, here's your plaque. I want to the Board and I want to thank you very, very much for your outstanding commitment and your accomplishment, and congratulations, and how about a round of applause. Thank you, again. MR. YOUNG: Okay. Why don't we move on to Item Number 4 in our Agenda, the Conditional Waiver of Waste Discharge Requirements For Irrigated Agricultural. Mr. Briggs. MR. BRIGGS: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 	
4 5 7 8 9 .0 1 2 .3 4 .5 6 7 8 9 .0 1 2 .3 4 .5 6 7 8 9 .0 1 2 .3 4 .5 6 .7 8 9 .0 1 2 .3 4 .5 6 .7 8 .9 .0 1 2 .8 9 .0 1 2 .8 9 .0 1 .2 9 .0 1 .2 9 .0 1 .2 9 .0 1 .2 9 .0 1 .2 9 .0 1 .2 9 .0 1 .2 9 .0 1 .2 9 .0 1 .2 9 .0 1 .2 9 .0 1 .2 9 .0 1 .2 9 .0 1 .2 9 .0 1 .2 9 .0 1 .2 9 .0 1 .2 9 .0 1 .2 9 .0 1 .2 9 .0 .0 .2 9 .0 .2 .0 .0 .0 .0 .0 .0 .0 .0 .0 .0 .0 .0 .0	Welcome everybody. We just heard from Harvey Packard of our Staff over there, our Assistant Executive Officer, Michael Thomas, is standing right there, and to my left is Frances McChesney, our counsel from the State Water Resources Control Board, who represents the Board. We have testimony cards available. John Gonee is at the door, right there, and has those cards available, so if you're interested in speaking on any items today, please fill those out and hand them to Staff, so we'll know to call your name. Restrooms are out there, down this way, I believe. And we would appreciate if you would turn off your cell phones, or at least so they don't make noise. I just reminded myself. Let's see. And we'll be hearing is we'll be introducing other Staff as they come up later today. And, I believe, that's it for now, Mr. Chairman.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Board representatives were unable to be here today, it's my understanding, but if we can have Elaine Shaul, Monica Barricarte, Karen Huckelby, Hector Hernandez and Wey Lu come forward, please. I feel like we should have some music for you. Why don't you just come up here, please. Is Wade not here, too? I guess, John Wade is not here. Okay. I guess John Wade couldn't be here, but Elaine, here's your plaque. Karen, here's your plaque. Monica, here is your plaque, and Hector, last, but not least, of course, here's your plaque. I want to the Board and I want to thank you very, very much for your outstanding commitment and your accomplishment, and congratulations, and how about a round of applause. Thank you, again. MR. YOUNG: Okay. Why don't we move on to Item Number 4 in our Agenda, the Conditional Waiver of Waste Discharge Requirements For Irrigated Agricultural. Mr. Briggs. 	

Atkinson-Baker, Inc. Court Reporters

-

1	DR. WOLFF: And, for clarification, I'm going to	1	which we will try to limit to one hour, I will announce
2	recuse myself from participating in the discussion	2	the amount of time I will be able to allocate for
3	pertaining to Item 4 of the Ag Waiver, because I myself	3	public speakers. Please summarize your comments and
4	am an agriculture Discharger; however, I will stay here	4	avoid repetition.
5	in the room, in the front row and listen to all the	5	The Board members have fully reviewed the
6	upcoming comments, but I just wanted to clarify this	6	record, and will consider all comments. The Board has
7	with all of you, thank you.	7	three recently appointed Board members. The new Board
8	MR. BRIGGS: Thank you, Dr. Wolff.	8	members have become thoroughly familiar with the record
9	MR. DELGADO: Mr. Chairman, is this the	9	and are prepared to consider the record and your
10	appropriate time for Ex Parte comments?	10	comments today and deliberate on this matter.
11	MR. YOUNG: It will be, I think, once I get	11	Would any of you, if I call, would you like
12	through this, I've got an opening statement and then	12	to add anything?
13	we'll go ahead and get to Mr. Delgado.	13	Mr. Jeffries?
14	MR. DELGADO: Thank you.	14	MR. JEFFRIES: Mr. Chairman, I know there is some
15	MR. YOUNG: Okay. This is the time and place for	15	concern that I wasn't present at the February meeting
16	a public hearing to consider adoption of a Waiver of	16	and workshops, and I want to make sure to let both the
17	Waste Discharge Requirements for Discharges of Waste	17	Board members and the public know that I have listened
18	from Irrigated Lands. A monitoring and reporting	18	to the audio of that meeting, at least twice, some
19	program and certification of the subject environmental	19	sections more than that. And going back to actually in
20	impact report.	20	the fall, when I was appointed, it was made clear to
21	This hearing is being held before the	21	me, both in the pre-appointment and post-appointment
22	Central Coast Regional Water Quality Control Board. I	22	process with the Governor's office, that I was to keep
23	am Jeff Young, Chair of the Regional Board. I am	23	a clear and open mind on this matter. They knew it was
24	joined by Vice Chair, Russell Jeffries, Monica Hunter,	24	coming up right away and they knew that the
25	Mike Jordan, Mike Johnston and Bruce Delgado.	25	appointments of the three people would immediately lead
	Page 10		Page 12
1	For your information, as you just heard,	1	to a quorum and it would bring the item up
2	Bruce, Board member Dr. Jean-Pierre Wolff, has a	2	immediately. So I'd just like to reassure both the
3	conflict and may not participate on this matter as a	3	public and my Board members that I have reviewed both
4	Board member, in accordance with State Law.	4	of the meeting in February, the past three years' worth
5	The official record of the testimony of this	5	of the documents, both audio and written, and I do have
6	hearing will be created by our court reporter. We are	6	an open mind. Thanks.
7	also using a tape recorder and videotape recording	7	MR. YOUNG: Thank you.
8	today, but the recordings will not be the official	8	Anyone else?
9	record of the hearing.	9	MR. DELGADO: I would like to disclose that
10	At the end of the hearing today, I will close	10	because I have the duty of being major in the city
11	the record in this matter, and this Board will	11	where I live, I have a lot of interactions with public
12	deliberate and arrive at a decision. The Board may	12	and elected officials, and on numerous occasions,
13	adopt, reject or modify the proposed Order. The Board	13	people have made very cursory comments to me regarding
14	will accept oral comments today. As you can see,	14	this issue, and in some cases, they've made negative
15	there's a great deal of interest in this matter. In	15	comments about the process or this Board, and in some
16	Order to allow for an orderly and fair process, and to	16	cases they've just said things like, "Boy, it sounds
17	allow time for Board deliberation, I will limit the	17	like a really tough issue. Wouldn't want to be in your
18	time per speakers. I have allowed extra time to those	18	shoes. How is it going?" that kind of thing. But with
19	persons who have requested extra time in advance, as	19	none of those, have I ever discussed the particulars of
20	set forth in the Public Notice for this item. The rest	20	the Ag Order, and so although it's a very complicated
21	of the public will have up to three minutes, but it may	21	and difficult issue, I remain fully impartial and
22		22	unbiased, and I just wanted to disclose that.
22 23	be less, depending on the number of speakers. If you wish to speak please submit a speaker card now. They	23	
23 24	wish to speak, please submit a speaker card now. They	23	MR. YOUNG: Thank you, Mr. Delgado.
24 25	are available at the back of room, and I will accept	24	Anyone else have anything to add?
20	speaker cards until noon. Following the lunch break,	25	Okay. The hearing will proceed as follows:
	Page 11	1	Page 13

	Page 15	1		Page 17
25	that helps us.	25	number that we think is an improper reference,	
24	questions at the conclusion of that speaker. I think	24	Report I'm sorry, I don't have the exact page	
23	to say and take notes, and then we can all ask	23	State Water Board Report, also within the Staff	
22	regarding speakers. We'll listen to what someone has	22	There is a reference to an October 2011,	
21	I'll do my best not to ask questions	21	improperly referenced within the Staff Report.	
20	everything in a more orderly and timely way.	20	this Ag Waiver, and, therefore, we think it is	
19	conducted it in the past, so we can get through	19	made in conjunction with the hearing or workshop on	
18	little bit different, this Board meeting, as I've	18	Dr. Harter that was made before this Board that was not	
17	And to my colleagues, this is going to be a	17	a reference to the September 2011 presentation by	
16	orderly process.	16	Specifically, in the Staff Report, on Page 8, there is	
15	presentations, to limit repetition and provide for an	15	after the close of the written comment period.	
14	Board members hold questions until the end of	14	the record, in that it is information that came in	
13	may ask questions, at any time. I request that the	13	respect to the agricultural community, and having it in	
12	Board members, Executive Officers and Counsel	12	yesterday that we do believe is prejudicial with	
11	on to the next speaker.	11	Report and some new materials that were just released	
10	Finish your sentence. Let's conclude, so we can move	10	forward, there are some references within the Staff	
9	finish your sentence when you see the red light.	9	ruling with respect to not allowing it in, but going	
8	extra time. Today, I'm going to ask you to please	8	Unfortunately, we do disagree with the Chairman's	
7	goes off and three minutes goes off, to allow people	7	a determination whether it should be in the record.	
6	with the buzzer. Typically, even when the red light	6	appreciate the review of the Los Fuertos report to make	
5	I know that I have been pretty lenient, historically,	5	procedural objections we want to make. First, we	
4	next speaker. We appreciate your cooperation. Folks,	4	Quality Group. We just have a couple of quick	
3	you to complete your sentence and then move on to the	3	Simmons & Dunn here, representing the Farmers for Wate	er
2	timer buzzes and the red light comes on, I will allow	2	For the record, Tess Dunham, with Somach	
1	your comments when your time is complete. When the	1	MS. DUNHAM: Thank you.	
	1 age 14	-		1 age 10
	Page 14			Page 16
25	conduct of the hearing. I've requested that you end	25	Okay. Go ahead.	
24	A timer will be used to allow for the orderly	24	MR. YOUNG: Do you have any extra pads?	
23	summarize and make a recommendation.	23	MR. DELGADO: Before you start, I need a pad.	
22	comments, Staff will be provided an opportunity to	22	that we not make noises during the proceeding.	
21	After the conclusion of testimony and	21	members, in Order to have the recording be accurate,	
20	conclusion comments. That's up to them.	20	MS. McCHESNEY: Okay. Since they're live, Board	
19	additional time, if they choose, as a final rebuttal or	19	MR. BRIGGS: No.	
18	individual speakers, Farmers Bureau can allocate	18	know?	
17	after the conclusion of all of the three minute or less	17	Is there a way to turn them off? Does anyone	
16	commenters have made their statements. And that means	16	are live all the time.	
15	remaining time for a closing statement, after other	15	MS. McCHESNEY: that I think these microphones	
14	Farm Bureau Abatement may use some of their 60 minutes	14	MR. BRIGGS: Speak up.	
13	accommodate everybody. Closing statement rebuttal of	13	MS. McCHESNEY: I just want to say that I think	
12	comments, three minutes or less, if needed, to	12	there's something she wants to say.	
11	Keepers, has 24 minutes, and then we'll have public	11	Before we start the presentation, I think	
10	Action, has 24 minutes. The Otter Project Coast	10	MR. BRIGGS: Thank you.	
9	Group, has eight minutes. Ms. Cleary, Clean Water	9	Mr. Briggs.	
8	has 10 minutes. Ross Clark, Central Coast Weapons	8	We will begin the Staff's presentation,	
7	needs more time. That's up to them. Dr. Los Huertos	7	what part of the presentation process the question is.	
6	pulled into the Ag Working Group 60 minutes, if he	6	easier to remind yourself later of the guestion and	
5	hour. Dr. Barbeau has five minutes, or he could be	5	questions next to the slide in the printout to make it	
4	requested extra time. The Ag Working Group and Farmers for Water Equality will have 60 minutes of time, one	4	outline, the potential question, maybe answer in an upcoming session, and you can easily jot down remaining	
3	and then be followed by the following groups that have	2	and slide printouts so that you could see from the	
2			and all de militates the set that some sould are formable a	

	Page 19		Page 2
25	MS. McCHESNEY: And on the issue of the references	25	assistance with Spanish/English, if that's needed. We
24	MR. YOUNG: Comments?	24	Hector Hernandez, of our Staff, who is available for
23	prior to close of the written comments phase.	23	there in the back corner. We also have
22	have been available for us to provide written comment,	22	are Alejandro Bronco and Afisha Hyatt in there, back
21	respect to evidence and technical information should	21	table. Are they over here? And, um, our interpreters
20	information that this Board is relying upon with	20	room. There are headsets available at the front
19	to that information, at this point in time. Any	19	interpreters' translation assistance in the back of the
18	that it would be prejudicial for inclusion in reference	18	MR. BRIGGS: Let's see. We have Spanish
17	review that information and provide written comments,	17	MR. YOUNG: Okay.
16	with this hearing, nor were we given the opportunity to	16	few more logistic items.
15	Because that information was not presented in context	15	MR. BRIGGS: We'll do that as soon as I cover a
14	findings for information with respect to the Order.	14	Staff presentation, Mr. Briggs.
13	in what appears to me, as justification for certain	13	MR. YOUNG: Okay. Why don't we move forward with
12	the Harbor Report of Lawrence Livermore, and using it,	12	THE REPORTER: Both.
11	information, scientific information, with respect to	11	MR. DELGADO: When you were talking.
10	MS. DUNHAM: Well, you are referencing	10	MR. YOUNG: Okay. When I was talking or Frances?
9	clients?	9	to hear here.
8	referencing of this material is prejudicial to your	8	members to speak into your microphone because it's hard
7	MR. YOUNG: Can you share with us how you feel the	7	MR. DELGADO: I just want to caution the Board
6	the Staff Report, as well.	6	MR. YOUNG: Yes?
5	Report, I don't have the exact page number, but it's in	5	MR. DELGADO: Chairman?
4	And the October 2011 State Water Board	4	MR. YOUNG: Okay. Thank you.
3	document that was released yesterday.	3	and get back to that later.
2	MS. DUNHAM: That is in the question and answer	2	past, previous record, but I'll give it some thought
1	Lawrence Livermore Laboratory. What page?	1	that I totally agree with your objection, as far as the
	Page 18		Page 2
-			
25	MR. YOUNG: And there was reference to the	25	MS. McCHESNEY: Correct. And I just am not sure
24	MS. DUNHAM: Yes.	24	unrelated to either of those.
23	MR. YOUNG: You gave us Page 8. I got that down.	23	yesterday, or circulated yesterday, were excerpts
22	Thank you.	22	MS. DUNHAM: I think what was referenced
21	information.	21	definitely part of the record, so
20	to provide written comment with respect to that	20	part of this record, but at least those two things are
19	Agriculture, as we have not been given the opportunity	19	are any other aspects of the 2004 record that should be
18	information, by allowing it in, would be prejudicial to	18	record is and I have to reconsider more whether there
17	information that is referenced, and we believe that	17	that are in the 2004 Report, so that aspect of the
16	the objections we would like to place with respect to	16	that supports the negative Declaration and are issues
15	not a part of this Administrative Record. So those are	15	today, is the subsequent Environmental Impact Report
14	may include the 2004 Order, the 2004 record itself is	14	item because the Sequa document, before the Board
13	This record begins with the adoption of the 2004 Order,	13	the 2004 Order, and that is part of the record for this
12	The 2004 record stands alone as its own.	12	document refers to the Declaration that is prepared for
11	would be improperly included in this record.	11	record, but there are some. For example, the CEQA
10	excerpts from the 2004 Administrative Record, which	10	least the Order itself, the 2004 Order, is part of the
9	of the written comment period, and also there are	9	Order, I don't think it's quite as clean cut, but at
8	hearing, and, therefore, was also made after the close	8	As far as the 2000 reference to the 2004
7	made not in conjunction with the workshop or the	7	with that comment.
6	was made in September 2011, as well, which again, was	6	referenced are not part of the record, and so I agree
5	presentation by the Lawrence Livermore Laboratory that	5	MS. McCHESNEY: So any documents that were
4	released yesterday, that was circulated to a	4	MS. DUNHAM: August 1st or 2nd.
3	about after the close of the written comment period. There is a reference in materials that were	2	the written record closed, whenever they were closed last summer.
2			

1	have a court reporter, and I'm sorry, I don't have her	1	This is a public health problem, so, consequently, we	
2	name right now, but we will maybe Michael can get	2	say that's a higher priority, the highest priority, and	
3	that, at some point, and then after the break, we will	3	then toxicity nitrate and nitrate in surface water and	
4	provide the information for the reporter, in case you	4	sediments, on down the list. Now, to provide a little	
5	need to contact the court reporter. And I've asked our	5	perspective on these things, and the Board's	
6	court reporter that if you're getting overwhelmed, at	6	involvement, I'll start at the bottom with what I'll	
7	any point, either ask us to slow down or take a break,	7	say is the lowest priority issue, although this is	
8	whatever you need. We appreciate your being here. And	8	still very important, as demonstrated by the fact that	
9	Elaine Staul is going to be helping out with the	9	the Board has, in the recent past, taken some pretty	
10	recording notes, as well.	10	serious enforcement actions for sediment problems, just	:
11	MR. YOUNG: Okay. Mr. Johnston, as a	11	sediment problems, in some pretty small areas. For	
12	MR. JOHNSTON: Perhaps we should announce, in	12	example, some against CalTrans for some construction	
13	Spanish, the availability of Spanish translation	13	projects, for some Ag property owners for grubbing, for	
14	because it doesn't do much to announce it in English.	14	construction sites. And sometimes, these have been at	
15	"El instruccion en Espanol hay unas personas	15	the tune of hundreds of thousands, hundred thousand	
16	alli atras en el cuarto que escuela instruccion."	16	dollars or multiple hundreds of thousands of dollars.	
17	MR. BRIGGS: Thank you, Mr. Johnston.	17	That shows you how serious the Board has taken the	
18	And now one other logistics item. We just	18	product of sediment for some small isolated areas for	
19	have a few people who are standing, maybe that's	19	nutrients in surface water. Similarly, the ordinance	
20	because you want to, but there are plenty of seats	20	required municipalities to spend tens of millions of	
21	available. I see plenty of empty seats, right here, in	21	dollars for upgrading their discharge to meet nutrient	
22	the first five rows, so feel free to take a seat, if	22	requirements for discharged surface water, especially	
23	you'd like to.	23	nitrate and nitrogen. For toxicity, similarly, the	
24	Okay. So go ahead with the clicker.	24	Board has had requirements that have prompted	
25	So our recommendation today is regarding the	25	municipalities to eliminate toxicity from their	
20			municipandes to enminate toxicity non their	
	Page 22			Page 24
1	updated thank you, Lisa is updated additional	1	discharges. For example, ammonia from waste water	
2	Waiver of Waste Discharge Requirements for Discharges	2	treatment plants, to the tune of tens of millions of	
3	from Irrigated Lands. And the action before the Board	3	dollars. And these are in pretty small areas. Short	
4	is to consider voting on adoption of this updated Order	4	segments of streams. So it demonstrates the severity	
5	and there is a number there's part of a guote from	5	of the way the Board's treated these problems as being	
6	our mission statement for the Water Board, "I'm sure	6	serious, even though they're in fairly small areas.	
7	the highest reasonable quality for the water, for the	7	And then, of course, as far as drinking water, the	
8	state, is a good thing to keep in mind." So as an	8	Board has taken action with regard to perchlorate,	
9	overview, after my introduction, we'll talk about the	9	which has a lot of similarities as a nitrate in terms	
10	process that has gone into this Order, a brief summary	10	of the way it acts and it has a maximum contaminate	
11	of the water quality conditions.	11	level, the way it travels in groundwater basin. When	
12		12	we discovered plumes in the north part of the region	
13	We've gone over these things many times in	13		
13 14	the last three and a half years. A summary of the draft Order itself. We'll have a bit more discussion	14	from a few sites, the Board took very swift and	
			stringent action to require replacement water with	
15 16	on implementation and enforcement, followed by our	15	immediate enforcement with time schedules from	
16 17	conclusion. Then will be the opportunity for public	16	remediation, that is, pulling that water out of the	
17	comment, and our chair has outlined how that will work,	17	groundwater, remediating it, cleaning this up at tens	
18	and then after that, public comments, a Staff	18	of millions of dollars per case. So we've had these,	
19	Drocontation	19	and we've had other threatened well examples where from	
0.0	presentation.	0.0		
20	So, again, we've talked about the severity of	20	leak sites relatively small plumes, less than sometimes	
21	So, again, we've talked about the severity of the problems that we have, unfortunately, in the	21	a 10th of an acre as far as the plume, and we have had	
21 22	So, again, we've talked about the severity of the problems that we have, unfortunately, in the Central Coast. I've listed these in a little bit	21 22	a 10th of an acre as far as the plume, and we have had communities come to our Board meeting, like today, but	
21 22 23	So, again, we've talked about the severity of the problems that we have, unfortunately, in the Central Coast. I've listed these in a little bit different way from in the past, in that I'm I've put	21 22 23	a 10th of an acre as far as the plume, and we have had communities come to our Board meeting, like today, but going ballistic about what is the Board going to do	
21 22 23 24	So, again, we've talked about the severity of the problems that we have, unfortunately, in the Central Coast. I've listed these in a little bit different way from in the past, in that I'm I've put these things in pretty much priority Order, with the	21 22 23 24	a 10th of an acre as far as the plume, and we have had communities come to our Board meeting, like today, but going ballistic about what is the Board going to do about our wells that are threatened, and these were	
21 22 23	So, again, we've talked about the severity of the problems that we have, unfortunately, in the Central Coast. I've listed these in a little bit different way from in the past, in that I'm I've put	21 22 23	a 10th of an acre as far as the plume, and we have had communities come to our Board meeting, like today, but going ballistic about what is the Board going to do	

1	constituents. They definitely weren't contaminated,	1	we are making progress, we're monitoring reporting, to	
2	yet the Board took severe and stringent action to those	2	effectively indicate that we have loading reductions in	
3	small cases, so how does that compare with what we have	3	water quality improvement. So now we're going to move	
4	before us today? You've seen this, at least the Board	4	on to the process to renew the agricultural Order, and	
5	has seen this slide several times, where we have those	5	Lisa McCann, which is our section manager, is going to	
6	depicted here different sources that we deal with.	6	be discussing that section.	
7	Timber, for example, where it is actually mostly	7	Lisa McCann.	
8	related to sediment problems, urban storm water, animal	8	MS. McCANN: Thank you, Roger.	
9	problems and our relative degree of regulation, with	9	Board members, you might recall, I used this	
10	really, historically, the most regulation for point	10	timeline at the February Workshop to illustrate the	
11	sources and drinking water pollution cases, again, like	11	process to review the Agricultural Order, and I'm going	
12	I said, the highest priority, because of public health	12	to use it again, just to review and point out, once	
13	threats and landfills in here, but here is where we are	13	again, that this is one of the most extensive public	
14	depicting the degree of regulation for the existing,	14	processes that this Board has used for any decision you	
15	that is the 2004 continuing Waiver.	15	have ever made.	
16	Now, as far as relative degree of water	16	We have been at this process to renew the	
17	quality impacts, timber, we think is down here,	17	Agricultural Order for about three and a half, almost	
18	landfill is down here, municipal waste water a little	18	four years now. We started in July 2008, and we're	
19	bit more of a threat, urban storm water, and again,	19	here today in March 2012, with our 7th Board Workshop	
20	high priority drinking water pollution cases and we see	20	or a Hearing, each of the blue stars on this timeline	
21	irrigated agricultural related problems as being at the	21	represents a Board workshop or a hearing, and in each	
22	far end of the scale, because it has all those problems	22	of those, you've had multiple hours of opportunity for	
23	that are severe and not just in isolated areas. They	23	members of the public to address you and share their	
24	are widespread through numerous areas in our region.	24	views and report on issues related to the item before	
25	So, for example, with drinking water pollution cases,	25	you. The process also involves several written public	
			/··· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Daga 29
	Page 26			Page 28
1	we're not talking about plumes that are a 10th of an	1	comment periods. Those are shown as green boxes along	
2	acre or less than an acre, we're talking about hundreds	2	this timeline, and altogether, we received about 2,000	
3	of square miles that exceeds the maximum contaminant	3	comment letters during all these various written	
4	level. That's why this is such a huge issue of such	4	comment periods.	
5	huge importance and why it's necessary for us to be	5	Staff made multiple revisions to the Draft	
6	acting to do something about it. It's a change that's	6	Order in response to all of these written comments.	
7	mismanagement of what we have now, compared to the	7	You see, here, four different iterations and, in fact,	
8	threat.	8	that makes a fifth as far as the recommendation that	
9	So here's what we're proposing with the 2012	9	you have before you today. Most of those revisions	
10	draft Order, where we still have a low degree of	10	addressed comments predominantly from agricultural	
11	regulation for the Tier 1, which Angela Schroeter will	11	interests. In addition, I'm going to review some	
12	be talking about in a minute, a greater degree for	12	background, a little bit of history, on the engagement	
13	Tier 2 and Tier 3. And these are degree of threat as	13	and cooperative efforts between agriculture and various	
14	we depicted them. So, again, here's here are those	14	state, public and the Water Board prior to adoption of	
15	problems. What are we proposing to do about it?	15	the first Conditional Waiver in 2004.	
16	Without getting specific, it's, basically, the Order	16	In the '90s, Water Board Staff was	
17	includes that we are calling for implementation	17	implementing the State's Nonpoint Source Pollution	
18	practices. That doesn't mean that we're specifying the	18	Control Policy. At that time, that State policy	
19	practices, just the practices that the growers	19	emphasized voluntary efforts and only stepping up to	
20	typically tell us what they are trying to do anyway, or	20	regulatory encouraged efforts or regulatory required	
21	to accomplish groundwater protection, to accomplish	21	actions if it was found that source of pollution was	
22	discharge control, and really, the most important thing	22	contributing to cause water quality problems.	
23	boils down to irrigation nutrient management. There	23	So Staff was very engaged in supporting and	
24	are various ways to do that. We're not specifying	24	funding voluntary efforts, such as education and	
25	how. And then, coupled with that, so that we know that	25	outreach capacity building for local groups and	
				Dec : 20
	Page 27			Page 29

25	milestones, the policy explicitly states that those are	2	25	we've reported to you many times in all of our Staff
24	quantifiable milestones, and on-time schedules and		24	Sustainable Practice and Certification. However, as
23	implementation and verification, time schedules and		23	example is the existing Central Coast Vineyard
22	maintains water quality objectives, management practice	2	22	purposed encourages and allows for such coalitions. An
21	following: Pollution control that achieves and	2	21	meet current requirements. The Draft Order that's
20	by the Water Board. The key elements include the	2	20	structure for controlling nonpoint source pollution to
19	coalition must meet five key elements and be approved	1	19	coalition, as this has been recognized as a valuable
8	third-party coalition. Any program of a third-party	1	18	Farmers for Water Quality efforts to use a third-party
7	comply with these permits or waivers via programs of a	1	17	policy. With that frame of reference, we support the
6	comply with permits or waivers, as individuals, and may	1	16	third-party groups' program meet the terms of the
5	Dischargers to do several things. Dischargers must	1	15	by third-party groups or coalitions as long as those
4	The policy further required Nonpoint Source	1	14	2004, continues to support and encourage implementation
3	permitting authorities to do that.	1	13	The State Nonpoint Service Policy, adopted in
2	regulate all nonpoint sources of pollution, and to use	1	12	enforcement if water quality requirements are not met.
1	Program. That policy required the Regional Boards to	1	11	accountable by adapting their practices or incur
0	Enforcement of the Nonpoint Source Pollution Control	1	10	quality objectives, monitor and report, and be
9	Board adopted the Policy for Implementation and		9	implement and verify their practices, meet water
8	In 2004, the State Water Resources Control		8	established clear requirements for dischargers to
7	agricultural areas.		7	in the policy specifically, those regulated approaches
6	sediment, nutrients and pesticides for toxicity in		6	instead requiring regulated approaches. As described
5	Central Coast Region were and remain, to this day, for		5	technical assistance, education and outreach, to
4	In 2002, the majority of the listings in the		4	that focused more on public funding and providing
3	several different pollutants.		3	shifted the State's emphasis from voluntary approaches
2	adding many impaired waters throughout the region for		2	lands in our region, and the policy fundamentally
1	Coast Region, the results of that evaluation included		1	Waiver that currently applies to irrigated agricultural
	Pa	ige 30		Page
J	Section 303 List of Impaired Waters. In the Central		<u>.</u>)	same time, and in parallel with, the 2004 Conditional
5	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		24 25	The policy was developed and adopted at the same time, and in parallel with the 2004 Conditional
1	identify waterbodies, to add to the Clean Water Act,		23 24	
	of this monitoring data informed or was used to		23	agricultural discharges.
	collecting water quality data, along with other local agencies and citizens' monitoring groups. The results		22	that we have today, that indicates severe and widespread surface and groundwater problems from
	Ambient Monitoring Program was gearing up and started		20 21	problems. This is before we had the monitoring data,
)	Also during the '90s, the Central Coast		19 20	response to increasing evidence of water quality
3	habitat Restoration.		18	In 2004, the policy was also adopted in
7	wetland and other treatment systems, monitoring and		17 10	stakeholders.
5	Erosion Control Demonstrations, and implementation, and		16 17	agriculture, government agencies and other
5	Demonstrations, as well as actual implementation,		15	efforts and water quality improvement efforts between
1	Irrigation and Nutrient Management Practice		14	granted from public funds for various cooperative
3	included agricultural Water Quality Research,		13	20 years after hundreds of millions of dollars were
2	The types of projects funded in this region		12	The policy was adopted in 2004, which was
L	similar Agricultural Water Quality Projects.		11	necessary actions to meet water quality objectives.
) 1	made about \$600 million available statewide, for		10	it clear that individual dischargers must take all
9 n	period, the State Water Board Research Control Board		9	Boards are responsible for identifying those and making
3	apportionment fees. Also, during that same time		8	achieve objectives, the Board is responsible is the
7	and an additional \$12 million in settlement funds or		7	And finally, consequences for failure to
6	allocated out about \$44 million in public grant funds		6	need to be required or adjusted.
5	projects in the Central Coast region, and we spent or		5	achieving their stated goal, or if different actions
4	Coast Water Board funded Agricultural Water Quality		4	and the public can determine if the actions taken are
3	Between 2000 and 2011, the State and Central		3	feedback mechanisms so the Regional Board dischargers
	demonstration projects.		2	to meet water quality objectives. Element 4 is
	domonstration projects			

	-		
1	reports, we continue to find that the Ag Proposal is	1	of the California Department of Public Health,
2	legally inadequate and unenforceable because it's not	2	California Department of Fish and Game, State Parks
3	crafted as required by the Nonpoint Source Policy or	3	Department, State Coastal Commission, National Marine
4	consistent with the Water Code. From our prospective,	4	Fisheries Service, Monterey Bay National Marine
5	the main flaw with the proposal is the proposed	5	Sanctuary, and the US Environmental Protection Agency.
6	reporting elements. These are the annual report	6	Sam Zigler, the manager of the Watersheds
7	elements that have been presented to us in their	7	Office of the US EPA Region 9, Water Division sent this
8	proposal: Names of participants, operations audited,	8	comment: "I've reviewed the Order and find it
9	watershed where audits will be conducted, aggregated	9	to be an excellent program that I hope gets
10	summary of audit results, summary of third-party	10	approved. My support for the program is
11	assistance, and summary of education workshops.	11	based largely on its consistency with the US
12	We find these insufficient, given the	12	EPA approved California Nonpoint Source Program
13	severity of water quality conditions legally	13	that calls for the appropriate use of
14	inadequate, and lacking in accountability. These	14	California's Regulatory authorities to foster
15	reporting elements don't require measurement or	15	more extensive implementation. The program as
16	reporting of any indicators by dischargers that	16	proposed serves as an excellent example of using
17	demonstrate effectiveness of management practices to	17	state authorities to address water quality
18	control waste discharges nor pollution reduction within	18	impairments and focus on protecting public
19	the five-year term of the Order, and most of the	19	health. Furthermore, the program appears to be
20	reporting elements describe activities by the	20	based on extensive data, and documentation is
21	third-party group, and not activities or progress by	21	extremely well developed, and presented and can
22	the actual dischargers. Monitoring and reporting of	22	serve as a national model to address agricultural
23	Discharger effectiveness and pollution reduction are	23	dischargers, particularly those causing water
24	necessary and required by the Water Code and the	24	quality impairments and a threat to public
25	Nonpoint Source Policy.	25	health."
	Page 34		Page 3
1	This slide shows the proposed annual	1	Cindy Forbes, Chief of the Southern
2	reporting requirements for the Staff Draft Order	2	California Field Operation's Branch sent, this
3	compared to the Ag Proposal. And, again, I use this to	3	comment: "The Department of Public Health supports
4	show you that the reporting elements in the Ag Proposal	4	the requirements outlined in the Draft
5	lack meaningful or accountable short term measures of	5	Agricultural Order and encourages the adoption
6	progress because they did not include nitrate loading	6	of the Order by your Board. Protection against
7	indicators, pollution reduction indicators, practice	7	continued nitrate contamination of the
8	effectiveness indicators, or any individual discharge	8	groundwater in the Central Coast region will
9	monitoring.	9	minimize the need for additional treatment of
10	In addition to the comments and alternative	10	public water supply sources from this
11	proposals from Agriculture, we also received many other	11	contaminant which poses a significant public
12	comments on a lot of input on the Order. Environmental	12	health threat."
13	organizations and environmental justice organizations	13	We incorporated most of the comments received
14	have generally been in support of the Order and	14	through the various edits we made to the Draft Order
15	critical that the Order does not adequately protect	15	that is before you today, or we have provided
16	water quality.	16	defensible rationale for maintaining the conditions as
17	Environmental organizations also submitted an	17	written to adequately control waste discharges from
18	alternative proposal, and that proposal was essentially	18	irrigated agricultural operations and to improve water
19	the February 2010 Staff Draft Order, with some	19	quality.
20	additional requirements as shown listed here. We also	20	That concludes my comments, and now
21	engaged with many other government, regulatory and	21	Matthew Keeling will speak about the groundwater
22	resource management agencies, and we specifically	22	quality condition.
23	received letters or comments of support on Drafts of	23	MR. KEELING: Good morning, Mr. Chairman, members
24	received retters of comments of support on Diarts of		of the Board.
	the Order from Staff of Monterey Regional Stormwater	1/4	
	the Order from Staff of Monterey Regional Stormwater	24 25	
25	the Order from Staff of Monterey Regional Stormwater Management Program, both the Regional and State offices Page 35	24	There are approximately 1.5 million people in Page 3

3	water supply. In some areas, like the Salinas Valley,	3	areas where nitrate concentration were above background
4	groundwater accounts for almost 100 percent of the	4	levels. Moreover, the study documented nitrate
5	drinking water supply as well as agricultural and the	5	pollution within the San Jerardo cooperative well was
6	industrial supply. The nitrate pollution is one of the	6	from chemical fertilizer, and also some wells within
7	most widespread and severe public health and water	7	the Salinas Valley that the nitrate pollution is from
8	quality problems in our region as well as the State.	8	recent agricultural discharges and not that of base
9	Major portions of entire groundwater basins and	9	pollution that occurred decades ago.
10	aquifers are polluted with nitrate, and the data	10	MS. DUNHAM: Can we just clarify that the 2011
11	documenting the significance of this problem is	11	report is referring to the Lawrence Livermore, which is
12	overwhelming, along with the numerous lines of evidence	12	footage in the record prior to the close of the written
13	documenting the irrigated agricultural is, by far, the	13	comment period in August?
14	largest source of the ongoing nitrate pollution within	14	MR. KEELING: We have the draft of that report
15	our region as well as the State. Subsequently, there	15	prior to August.
16	are thousands of people within our region that are	16	MS. DUNHAM: You have the draft? Was it made
17	potentially susceptible to having their drinking water	17	publicly available for comment?
18	polluted with nitrate. This is particularly true for	18	MR. YOUNG: Tess, why don't you come up to the
19	people within the Spanish communities that are	19	podium and make your comments.
20	generally in rural agricultural regions of our region.	20	MS. DUNHAM: Sorry about that. I just was asking
21	Although a number of case studies in our region	21	for a clarification whether this 2011 report, that he's
22	indicate that we will see improved drinking water	22	referring to, was available for review and response to
23	conditions in localized areas, in relatively short time	23	before the close of the written comment period?
24	periods. It's likely going to take several decades	24	MS. McCHESNEY: So, Matt, was that what you is
25	before we start to see improving water quality	25	what you just said is, that there's a draft of the
	Page 38		Page 40
1	conditions on a regional scale, in our region as the		
1		1	report and this information was in the draft of the
2	result of reduced nitrate discharges. Consequently,	2	report and when was that draft report available?
2 3	result of reduced nitrate discharges. Consequently, it's going to take a long-term commitment from us and	2 3	report and when was that draft report available? MR. KEELING: When was it publicly available?
2 3 4	result of reduced nitrate discharges. Consequently, it's going to take a long-term commitment from us and various other state agencies, local agencies, if we're	2 3 4	report and when was that draft report available? MR. KEELING: When was it publicly available? MS. McCHESNEY: Yes.
2 3 4 5	result of reduced nitrate discharges. Consequently, it's going to take a long-term commitment from us and various other state agencies, local agencies, if we're going to effectively address the significant water	2 3 4 5	report and when was that draft report available? MR. KEELING: When was it publicly available? MS. McCHESNEY: Yes. MR. KEELING: I'm not sure, but it was available
2 3 4 5 6	result of reduced nitrate discharges. Consequently, it's going to take a long-term commitment from us and various other state agencies, local agencies, if we're going to effectively address the significant water quality possible health problems.	2 3 4 5 6	report and when was that draft report available? MR. KEELING: When was it publicly available? MS. McCHESNEY: Yes. MR. KEELING: I'm not sure, but it was available to us well before August.
2 3 4 5 6 7	result of reduced nitrate discharges. Consequently, it's going to take a long-term commitment from us and various other state agencies, local agencies, if we're going to effectively address the significant water quality possible health problems. The good news is that there are studies,	2 3 4 5 6 7	report and when was that draft report available? MR. KEELING: When was it publicly available? MS. McCHESNEY: Yes. MR. KEELING: I'm not sure, but it was available to us well before August. MS. DUNHAM: Yeah, but available to you, but was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	result of reduced nitrate discharges. Consequently, it's going to take a long-term commitment from us and various other state agencies, local agencies, if we're going to effectively address the significant water quality possible health problems. The good news is that there are studies, available now, that indicate that significant	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	report and when was that draft report available? MR. KEELING: When was it publicly available? MS. McCHESNEY: Yes. MR. KEELING: I'm not sure, but it was available to us well before August. MS. DUNHAM: Yeah, but available to you, but was it available to us?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	result of reduced nitrate discharges. Consequently, it's going to take a long-term commitment from us and various other state agencies, local agencies, if we're going to effectively address the significant water quality possible health problems. The good news is that there are studies, available now, that indicate that significant reductions in fertilizer application and subsequent	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	report and when was that draft report available? MR. KEELING: When was it publicly available? MS. McCHESNEY: Yes. MR. KEELING: I'm not sure, but it was available to us well before August. MS. DUNHAM: Yeah, but available to you, but was it available to us? MR. KEELING: I believe is.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	result of reduced nitrate discharges. Consequently, it's going to take a long-term commitment from us and various other state agencies, local agencies, if we're going to effectively address the significant water quality possible health problems. The good news is that there are studies, available now, that indicate that significant reductions in fertilizer application and subsequent discharge to groundwater are achievable using readily	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	report and when was that draft report available? MR. KEELING: When was it publicly available? MS. McCHESNEY: Yes. MR. KEELING: I'm not sure, but it was available to us well before August. MS. DUNHAM: Yeah, but available to you, but was it available to us? MR. KEELING: I believe is. MS. MCCHESNEY: I believe that it was referenced
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	result of reduced nitrate discharges. Consequently, it's going to take a long-term commitment from us and various other state agencies, local agencies, if we're going to effectively address the significant water quality possible health problems. The good news is that there are studies, available now, that indicate that significant reductions in fertilizer application and subsequent discharge to groundwater are achievable using readily available nitrate management and irrigation management	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	report and when was that draft report available? MR. KEELING: When was it publicly available? MS. McCHESNEY: Yes. MR. KEELING: I'm not sure, but it was available to us well before August. MS. DUNHAM: Yeah, but available to you, but was it available to us? MR. KEELING: I believe is. MS. McCHESNEY: I believe that it was referenced in the Staff reports during the past three years of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	result of reduced nitrate discharges. Consequently, it's going to take a long-term commitment from us and various other state agencies, local agencies, if we're going to effectively address the significant water quality possible health problems. The good news is that there are studies, available now, that indicate that significant reductions in fertilizer application and subsequent discharge to groundwater are achievable using readily available nitrate management and irrigation management techniques. In some cases, implementation of those	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 report and when was that draft report available? MR. KEELING: When was it publicly available? MS. McCHESNEY: Yes. MR. KEELING: I'm not sure, but it was available to us well before August. MS. DUNHAM: Yeah, but available to you, but was it available to us? MR. KEELING: I believe is. MS. McCHESNEY: I believe that it was referenced in the Staff reports during the past three years of this.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	result of reduced nitrate discharges. Consequently, it's going to take a long-term commitment from us and various other state agencies, local agencies, if we're going to effectively address the significant water quality possible health problems. The good news is that there are studies, available now, that indicate that significant reductions in fertilizer application and subsequent discharge to groundwater are achievable using readily available nitrate management and irrigation management techniques. In some cases, implementation of those methods will result in a cost savings to the growers,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 report and when was that draft report available? MR. KEELING: When was it publicly available? MS. McCHESNEY: Yes. MR. KEELING: I'm not sure, but it was available to us well before August. MS. DUNHAM: Yeah, but available to you, but was it available to us? MR. KEELING: I believe is. MS. McCHESNEY: I believe that it was referenced in the Staff reports during the past three years of this. MR. KEELING: Yeah.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	result of reduced nitrate discharges. Consequently, it's going to take a long-term commitment from us and various other state agencies, local agencies, if we're going to effectively address the significant water quality possible health problems. The good news is that there are studies, available now, that indicate that significant reductions in fertilizer application and subsequent discharge to groundwater are achievable using readily available nitrate management and irrigation management techniques. In some cases, implementation of those methods will result in a cost savings to the growers, and for various crops, reduced fertilizer application	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 report and when was that draft report available? MR. KEELING: When was it publicly available? MS. McCHESNEY: Yes. MR. KEELING: I'm not sure, but it was available to us well before August. MS. DUNHAM: Yeah, but available to you, but was it available to us? MR. KEELING: I believe is. MS. McCHESNEY: I believe that it was referenced in the Staff reports during the past three years of this. MR. KEELING: Yeah. MS. DUNHAM: Well, I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	result of reduced nitrate discharges. Consequently, it's going to take a long-term commitment from us and various other state agencies, local agencies, if we're going to effectively address the significant water quality possible health problems. The good news is that there are studies, available now, that indicate that significant reductions in fertilizer application and subsequent discharge to groundwater are achievable using readily available nitrate management and irrigation management techniques. In some cases, implementation of those methods will result in a cost savings to the growers, and for various crops, reduced fertilizer application will also likely achieve drinking water standards in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 report and when was that draft report available? MR. KEELING: When was it publicly available? MS. McCHESNEY: Yes. MR. KEELING: I'm not sure, but it was available to us well before August. MS. DUNHAM: Yeah, but available to you, but was it available to us? MR. KEELING: I believe is. MS. McCHESNEY: I believe that it was referenced in the Staff reports during the past three years of this. MR. KEELING: Yeah. MS. DUNHAM: Well, I THE REPORTER: Excuse me.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	result of reduced nitrate discharges. Consequently, it's going to take a long-term commitment from us and various other state agencies, local agencies, if we're going to effectively address the significant water quality possible health problems. The good news is that there are studies, available now, that indicate that significant reductions in fertilizer application and subsequent discharge to groundwater are achievable using readily available nitrate management and irrigation management techniques. In some cases, implementation of those methods will result in a cost savings to the growers, and for various crops, reduced fertilizer application will also likely achieve drinking water standards in the water that is being discharged from agricultural	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 report and when was that draft report available? MR. KEELING: When was it publicly available? MS. McCHESNEY: Yes. MR. KEELING: I'm not sure, but it was available to us well before August. MS. DUNHAM: Yeah, but available to you, but was it available to us? MR. KEELING: I believe is. MS. McCHESNEY: I believe that it was referenced in the Staff reports during the past three years of this. MR. KEELING: Yeah. MS. DUNHAM: Well, I THE REPORTER: Excuse me. MR. KEELING: It references preliminary is.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	result of reduced nitrate discharges. Consequently, it's going to take a long-term commitment from us and various other state agencies, local agencies, if we're going to effectively address the significant water quality possible health problems. The good news is that there are studies, available now, that indicate that significant reductions in fertilizer application and subsequent discharge to groundwater are achievable using readily available nitrate management and irrigation management techniques. In some cases, implementation of those methods will result in a cost savings to the growers, and for various crops, reduced fertilizer application will also likely achieve drinking water standards in the water that is being discharged from agricultural areas to groundwater. I want you to look away really	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	report and when was that draft report available? MR. KEELING: When was it publicly available? MS. McCHESNEY: Yes. MR. KEELING: I'm not sure, but it was available to us well before August. MS. DUNHAM: Yeah, but available to you, but was it available to us? MR. KEELING: I believe is. MS. McCHESNEY: I believe that it was referenced in the Staff reports during the past three years of this. MR. KEELING: Yeah. MS. DUNHAM: Well, I THE REPORTER: Excuse me. MR. KEELING: It references preliminary is. THE REPORTER: Stop. Hang on a second. You need
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	result of reduced nitrate discharges. Consequently, it's going to take a long-term commitment from us and various other state agencies, local agencies, if we're going to effectively address the significant water quality possible health problems. The good news is that there are studies, available now, that indicate that significant reductions in fertilizer application and subsequent discharge to groundwater are achievable using readily available nitrate management and irrigation management techniques. In some cases, implementation of those methods will result in a cost savings to the growers, and for various crops, reduced fertilizer application will also likely achieve drinking water standards in the water that is being discharged from agricultural areas to groundwater. I want you to look away really quickly. I'm about to skip over this next slide	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 report and when was that draft report available? MR. KEELING: When was it publicly available? MS. McCHESNEY: Yes. MR. KEELING: I'm not sure, but it was available to us well before August. MS. DUNHAM: Yeah, but available to you, but was it available to us? MR. KEELING: I believe is. MS. McCHESNEY: I believe that it was referenced in the Staff reports during the past three years of this. MR. KEELING: Yeah. MS. DUNHAM: Well, I THE REPORTER: Excuse me. MR. KEELING: It references preliminary is. THE REPORTER: Stop. Hang on a second. You need to wait until she finishes talking, please, because I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	result of reduced nitrate discharges. Consequently, it's going to take a long-term commitment from us and various other state agencies, local agencies, if we're going to effectively address the significant water quality possible health problems. The good news is that there are studies, available now, that indicate that significant reductions in fertilizer application and subsequent discharge to groundwater are achievable using readily available nitrate management and irrigation management techniques. In some cases, implementation of those methods will result in a cost savings to the growers, and for various crops, reduced fertilizer application will also likely achieve drinking water standards in the water that is being discharged from agricultural areas to groundwater. I want you to look away really quickly. I'm about to skip over this next slide because it unfortunately contains information from the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 report and when was that draft report available? MR. KEELING: When was it publicly available? MS. McCHESNEY: Yes. MR. KEELING: I'm not sure, but it was available to us well before August. MS. DUNHAM: Yeah, but available to you, but was it available to us? MR. KEELING: I believe is. MS. McCHESNEY: I believe that it was referenced in the Staff reports during the past three years of this. MR. KEELING: Yeah. MS. DUNHAM: Well, I THE REPORTER: Excuse me. MR. KEELING: It references preliminary is. THE REPORTER: Stop. Hang on a second. You need to wait until she finishes talking, please, because I can't get all of you at once. Okay?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	result of reduced nitrate discharges. Consequently, it's going to take a long-term commitment from us and various other state agencies, local agencies, if we're going to effectively address the significant water quality possible health problems. The good news is that there are studies, available now, that indicate that significant reductions in fertilizer application and subsequent discharge to groundwater are achievable using readily available nitrate management and irrigation management techniques. In some cases, implementation of those methods will result in a cost savings to the growers, and for various crops, reduced fertilizer application will also likely achieve drinking water standards in the water that is being discharged from agricultural areas to groundwater. I want you to look away really quickly. I'm about to skip over this next slide because it unfortunately contains information from the recent trip that was referenced by Tess. And, I'm	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 report and when was that draft report available? MR. KEELING: When was it publicly available? MS. McCHESNEY: Yes. MR. KEELING: I'm not sure, but it was available to us well before August. MS. DUNHAM: Yeah, but available to you, but was it available to us? MR. KEELING: I believe is. MS. McCHESNEY: I believe that it was referenced in the Staff reports during the past three years of this. MR. KEELING: Yeah. MS. DUNHAM: Well, I THE REPORTER: Excuse me. MR. KEELING: It references preliminary is. THE REPORTER: Stop. Hang on a second. You need to wait until she finishes talking, please, because I can't get all of you at once. Okay? Can we go with what you said last?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	result of reduced nitrate discharges. Consequently, it's going to take a long-term commitment from us and various other state agencies, local agencies, if we're going to effectively address the significant water quality possible health problems. The good news is that there are studies, available now, that indicate that significant reductions in fertilizer application and subsequent discharge to groundwater are achievable using readily available nitrate management and irrigation management techniques. In some cases, implementation of those methods will result in a cost savings to the growers, and for various crops, reduced fertilizer application will also likely achieve drinking water standards in the water that is being discharged from agricultural areas to groundwater. I want you to look away really quickly. I'm about to skip over this next slide because it unfortunately contains information from the recent trip that was referenced by Tess. And, I'm going to skip right to this one here.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 report and when was that draft report available? MR. KEELING: When was it publicly available? MS. McCHESNEY: Yes. MR. KEELING: I'm not sure, but it was available to us well before August. MS. DUNHAM: Yeah, but available to you, but was it available to us? MR. KEELING: I believe is. MS. McCHESNEY: I believe that it was referenced in the Staff reports during the past three years of this. MR. KEELING: Yeah. MS. DUNHAM: Well, I THE REPORTER: Excuse me. MR. KEELING: It references preliminary is. THE REPORTER: Stop. Hang on a second. You need to wait until she finishes talking, please, because I can't get all of you at once. Okay? Can we go with what you said last? MS. DUNHAM: Just asking for further clarification
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	result of reduced nitrate discharges. Consequently, it's going to take a long-term commitment from us and various other state agencies, local agencies, if we're going to effectively address the significant water quality possible health problems. The good news is that there are studies, available now, that indicate that significant reductions in fertilizer application and subsequent discharge to groundwater are achievable using readily available nitrate management and irrigation management techniques. In some cases, implementation of those methods will result in a cost savings to the growers, and for various crops, reduced fertilizer application will also likely achieve drinking water standards in the water that is being discharged from agricultural areas to groundwater. I want you to look away really quickly. I'm about to skip over this next slide because it unfortunately contains information from the recent trip that was referenced by Tess. And, I'm going to skip right to this one here. Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 report and when was that draft report available? MR. KEELING: When was it publicly available? MS. McCHESNEY: Yes. MR. KEELING: I'm not sure, but it was available to us well before August. MS. DUNHAM: Yeah, but available to you, but was it available to us? MR. KEELING: I believe is. MS. McCHESNEY: I believe that it was referenced in the Staff reports during the past three years of this. MR. KEELING: Yeah. MS. DUNHAM: Well, I THE REPORTER: Excuse me. MR. KEELING: It references preliminary is. THE REPORTER: Stop. Hang on a second. You need to wait until she finishes talking, please, because I can't get all of you at once. Okay? Can we go with what you said last? MS. DUNHAM: Just asking for further clarification as to where and when this draft report was referenced
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	result of reduced nitrate discharges. Consequently, it's going to take a long-term commitment from us and various other state agencies, local agencies, if we're going to effectively address the significant water quality possible health problems. The good news is that there are studies, available now, that indicate that significant reductions in fertilizer application and subsequent discharge to groundwater are achievable using readily available nitrate management and irrigation management techniques. In some cases, implementation of those methods will result in a cost savings to the growers, and for various crops, reduced fertilizer application will also likely achieve drinking water standards in the water that is being discharged from agricultural areas to groundwater. I want you to look away really quickly. I'm about to skip over this next slide because it unfortunately contains information from the recent trip that was referenced by Tess. And, I'm going to skip right to this one here. Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory recently conducted two nitrate driven focus studies in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 report and when was that draft report available? MR. KEELING: When was it publicly available? MS. McCHESNEY: Yes. MR. KEELING: I'm not sure, but it was available to us well before August. MS. DUNHAM: Yeah, but available to you, but was it available to us? MR. KEELING: I believe is. MS. McCHESNEY: I believe that it was referenced in the Staff reports during the past three years of this. MR. KEELING: Yeah. MS. DUNHAM: Well, I THE REPORTER: Excuse me. MR. KEELING: It references preliminary is. THE REPORTER: Stop. Hang on a second. You need to wait until she finishes talking, please, because I can't get all of you at once. Okay? Can we go with what you said last? MS. DUNHAM: Just asking for further clarification as to where and when this draft report was referenced to within, you know, the Staff Report, prior to the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	result of reduced nitrate discharges. Consequently, it's going to take a long-term commitment from us and various other state agencies, local agencies, if we're going to effectively address the significant water quality possible health problems. The good news is that there are studies, available now, that indicate that significant reductions in fertilizer application and subsequent discharge to groundwater are achievable using readily available nitrate management and irrigation management techniques. In some cases, implementation of those methods will result in a cost savings to the growers, and for various crops, reduced fertilizer application will also likely achieve drinking water standards in the water that is being discharged from agricultural areas to groundwater. I want you to look away really quickly. I'm about to skip over this next slide because it unfortunately contains information from the recent trip that was referenced by Tess. And, I'm going to skip right to this one here. Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 report and when was that draft report available? MR. KEELING: When was it publicly available? MS. McCHESNEY: Yes. MR. KEELING: I'm not sure, but it was available to us well before August. MS. DUNHAM: Yeah, but available to you, but was it available to us? MR. KEELING: I believe is. MS. McCHESNEY: I believe that it was referenced in the Staff reports during the past three years of this. MR. KEELING: Yeah. MS. DUNHAM: Well, I THE REPORTER: Excuse me. MR. KEELING: It references preliminary is. THE REPORTER: Stop. Hang on a second. You need to wait until she finishes talking, please, because I can't get all of you at once. Okay? Can we go with what you said last? MS. DUNHAM: Just asking for further clarification as to where and when this draft report was referenced

Atkinson-Baker, Inc. Court Reporters

(800) 288-3376

11 (Pages 38 to 41)

1	results with Appendix G in the March 2011 Staff Report.	1	the domestic and municipal beneficial uses of drinking	
2	MS. DUNHAM: And is the 2011 reference that you're	2	water because of how significant the water quality of	
3	talking about here, the exact same report that was	3	public health, along with the costs associated with	
4	referenced?	4	dealing with it, but we are also responsible for	
5	MS. McCANN: Would you like us to look that up and	5	protecting two other beneficial uses of groundwater,	
6	verify that?	6	which are industrial supply and agricultural supply.	
7	MS. DUNHAM: Yes.	7	Nitrate can also be problematic with certain industrial	
8	MS. McCHESNEY: And while you're doing that, why	8	processes and typically needs to be removed along with	
9	don't we go on, Matt, with the rest of your	9	other minerals, before use.	
0	presentation.	10	Data also showed that groundwater in	
1	MR. KEELING: Well, there was another study within	11	agricultural areas, in some areas, exceeds our water	
2	the August Groundwater Basin which is in the Morgan	12	quality objectives and base and plan for irrigation on	
3	Hill Gilroy areas. It documented similar findings with	13	certain types of crops, like citrus, avocados and	
1	regard to chemical fertilizer, irrigated agriculture	14	grapes, and this is also true for some surface waters	
5	being the primary source of nitrate and nitrogen within	15	in our region.	
6	groundwater, as well as recent discharges from	16	Now, we may be rapidly approaching maximum	
7	agriculture versus base pollution and we also	17	nitrate thresholds for other high valued crops in our	
3	documented increasing nitrate trends at the time, and	18	region, given we're in uncharted territory with	
)	these are very technical and highly personal reports,	19	increasingly high nitrate concentration in	
)	but the bottom line is that they indicate that real	20	groundwater. Nitrate concentration in agricultural	
L	people, like the two women that are depicted here from	21	areas are also at levels that can be harmful to	
2	the San Jerardo Cooperative, are affected by nitrate	22	livestock and other animals. And according to nitrate	
3	pollution from chemical fertilizer. And the costs	23	toxicity guidelines for cattle, there are wells in our	
l	associated with dealing with nitrate polluted drinking	24	region that have nitrate concentrations that are high	
5	water is significant, as you can see through some of	25	enough to kill a cow. So we need to be conscious that	
,	Page 42			Page
1	the real cost examples that we have shown here for our		we've not just leading at protecting the driphing water	
-				
2		1	we're not just looking at protecting the drinking water	
	region. And as you've heard during previous workshops	2	beneficial use, but also the other beneficial uses, to	
3	region. And as you've heard during previous workshops and presentations, that people who live in	2	beneficial use, but also the other beneficial uses, to ensure that we have sustainable agricultural and other	
3	region. And as you've heard during previous workshops and presentations, that people who live in disadvantaged communities are typically paying a higher	2 3 4	beneficial use, but also the other beneficial uses, to ensure that we have sustainable agricultural and other communities in our region.	
3 1 5	region. And as you've heard during previous workshops and presentations, that people who live in disadvantaged communities are typically paying a higher portion of these costs, because they live within,	2 3 4 5	beneficial use, but also the other beneficial uses, to ensure that we have sustainable agricultural and other communities in our region. And that concludes my presentation.	
3 1 5	region. And as you've heard during previous workshops and presentations, that people who live in disadvantaged communities are typically paying a higher portion of these costs, because they live within, usually within rural agricultural areas and they're	2 3 4 5 6	beneficial use, but also the other beneficial uses, to ensure that we have sustainable agricultural and other communities in our region. And that concludes my presentation. MS. McCANN: The 2011 Preliminary Report by	
3 1 5 7	region. And as you've heard during previous workshops and presentations, that people who live in disadvantaged communities are typically paying a higher portion of these costs, because they live within, usually within rural agricultural areas and they're more susceptible to nitrate pollution.	2 3 4 5 6 7	beneficial use, but also the other beneficial uses, to ensure that we have sustainable agricultural and other communities in our region. And that concludes my presentation. MS. McCANN: The 2011 Preliminary Report by Lawrence Livermore Lab was referenced in the March 2011	
3 1 5 7 3	region. And as you've heard during previous workshops and presentations, that people who live in disadvantaged communities are typically paying a higher portion of these costs, because they live within, usually within rural agricultural areas and they're more susceptible to nitrate pollution. In some cases, people in these areas are	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 beneficial use, but also the other beneficial uses, to ensure that we have sustainable agricultural and other communities in our region. And that concludes my presentation. MS. McCANN: The 2011 Preliminary Report by Lawrence Livermore Lab was referenced in the March 2011 Staff Report text, as well as in that Appendix G. 	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	region. And as you've heard during previous workshops and presentations, that people who live in disadvantaged communities are typically paying a higher portion of these costs, because they live within, usually within rural agricultural areas and they're more susceptible to nitrate pollution. In some cases, people in these areas are paying for a drinking water supply that's not safe to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 beneficial use, but also the other beneficial uses, to ensure that we have sustainable agricultural and other communities in our region. And that concludes my presentation. MS. McCANN: The 2011 Preliminary Report by Lawrence Livermore Lab was referenced in the March 2011 Staff Report text, as well as in that Appendix G. MR. YOUNG: I didn't pick all that up, Lisa. 	
3 1 5 7 3 9	region. And as you've heard during previous workshops and presentations, that people who live in disadvantaged communities are typically paying a higher portion of these costs, because they live within, usually within rural agricultural areas and they're more susceptible to nitrate pollution. In some cases, people in these areas are paying for a drinking water supply that's not safe to drink, while also having to pay for bottled water. And	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 beneficial use, but also the other beneficial uses, to ensure that we have sustainable agricultural and other communities in our region. And that concludes my presentation. MS. McCANN: The 2011 Preliminary Report by Lawrence Livermore Lab was referenced in the March 2011 Staff Report text, as well as in that Appendix G. MR. YOUNG: I didn't pick all that up, Lisa. Was this available to the public? 	
3 1 5 7 3 9	region. And as you've heard during previous workshops and presentations, that people who live in disadvantaged communities are typically paying a higher portion of these costs, because they live within, usually within rural agricultural areas and they're more susceptible to nitrate pollution. In some cases, people in these areas are paying for a drinking water supply that's not safe to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 beneficial use, but also the other beneficial uses, to ensure that we have sustainable agricultural and other communities in our region. And that concludes my presentation. MS. McCANN: The 2011 Preliminary Report by Lawrence Livermore Lab was referenced in the March 2011 Staff Report text, as well as in that Appendix G. MR. YOUNG: I didn't pick all that up, Lisa. 	
3 5 5 7 3 9 0 1	region. And as you've heard during previous workshops and presentations, that people who live in disadvantaged communities are typically paying a higher portion of these costs, because they live within, usually within rural agricultural areas and they're more susceptible to nitrate pollution. In some cases, people in these areas are paying for a drinking water supply that's not safe to drink, while also having to pay for bottled water. And	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 beneficial use, but also the other beneficial uses, to ensure that we have sustainable agricultural and other communities in our region. And that concludes my presentation. MS. McCANN: The 2011 Preliminary Report by Lawrence Livermore Lab was referenced in the March 2011 Staff Report text, as well as in that Appendix G. MR. YOUNG: I didn't pick all that up, Lisa. Was this available to the public? MS. McCANN: Yes. Those Staff Reports were made available as part of the Staff Report. 	
3 5 7 3 9 1 2	region. And as you've heard during previous workshops and presentations, that people who live in disadvantaged communities are typically paying a higher portion of these costs, because they live within, usually within rural agricultural areas and they're more susceptible to nitrate pollution. In some cases, people in these areas are paying for a drinking water supply that's not safe to drink, while also having to pay for bottled water. And this is a reality that the people of San Jerardo lived	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 beneficial use, but also the other beneficial uses, to ensure that we have sustainable agricultural and other communities in our region. And that concludes my presentation. MS. McCANN: The 2011 Preliminary Report by Lawrence Livermore Lab was referenced in the March 2011 Staff Report text, as well as in that Appendix G. MR. YOUNG: I didn't pick all that up, Lisa. Was this available to the public? MS. McCANN: Yes. Those Staff Reports were made 	
3 5 7 3 9 1 2 3	region. And as you've heard during previous workshops and presentations, that people who live in disadvantaged communities are typically paying a higher portion of these costs, because they live within, usually within rural agricultural areas and they're more susceptible to nitrate pollution. In some cases, people in these areas are paying for a drinking water supply that's not safe to drink, while also having to pay for bottled water. And this is a reality that the people of San Jerardo lived for several years.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 beneficial use, but also the other beneficial uses, to ensure that we have sustainable agricultural and other communities in our region. And that concludes my presentation. MS. McCANN: The 2011 Preliminary Report by Lawrence Livermore Lab was referenced in the March 2011 Staff Report text, as well as in that Appendix G. MR. YOUNG: I didn't pick all that up, Lisa. Was this available to the public? MS. McCANN: Yes. Those Staff Reports were made available as part of the Staff Report. 	
3 5 7 3 9 0 1 2 3 1	region. And as you've heard during previous workshops and presentations, that people who live in disadvantaged communities are typically paying a higher portion of these costs, because they live within, usually within rural agricultural areas and they're more susceptible to nitrate pollution. In some cases, people in these areas are paying for a drinking water supply that's not safe to drink, while also having to pay for bottled water. And this is a reality that the people of San Jerardo lived for several years. As pointed out here, San Jerardo just got a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 beneficial use, but also the other beneficial uses, to ensure that we have sustainable agricultural and other communities in our region. And that concludes my presentation. MS. McCANN: The 2011 Preliminary Report by Lawrence Livermore Lab was referenced in the March 2011 Staff Report text, as well as in that Appendix G. MR. YOUNG: I didn't pick all that up, Lisa. Was this available to the public? MS. McCANN: Yes. Those Staff Reports were made available as part of the Staff Report. MR. YOUNG: Okay. 	
3 5 7 3 9 0 1 2 3 1 5	region. And as you've heard during previous workshops and presentations, that people who live in disadvantaged communities are typically paying a higher portion of these costs, because they live within, usually within rural agricultural areas and they're more susceptible to nitrate pollution. In some cases, people in these areas are paying for a drinking water supply that's not safe to drink, while also having to pay for bottled water. And this is a reality that the people of San Jerardo lived for several years. As pointed out here, San Jerardo just got a new well at a cost of over \$3 million, but how long is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 beneficial use, but also the other beneficial uses, to ensure that we have sustainable agricultural and other communities in our region. And that concludes my presentation. MS. McCANN: The 2011 Preliminary Report by Lawrence Livermore Lab was referenced in the March 2011 Staff Report text, as well as in that Appendix G. MR. YOUNG: I didn't pick all that up, Lisa. Was this available to the public? MS. McCANN: Yes. Those Staff Reports were made available as part of the Staff Report. MR. YOUNG: Okay. MR. DELGADO: Mr. Chair, I thought there was a 	
3 5 5 7 3 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9	region. And as you've heard during previous workshops and presentations, that people who live in disadvantaged communities are typically paying a higher portion of these costs, because they live within, usually within rural agricultural areas and they're more susceptible to nitrate pollution. In some cases, people in these areas are paying for a drinking water supply that's not safe to drink, while also having to pay for bottled water. And this is a reality that the people of San Jerardo lived for several years. As pointed out here, San Jerardo just got a new well at a cost of over \$3 million, but how long is it going to be before their new well is polluted with	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 beneficial use, but also the other beneficial uses, to ensure that we have sustainable agricultural and other communities in our region. And that concludes my presentation. MS. McCANN: The 2011 Preliminary Report by Lawrence Livermore Lab was referenced in the March 2011 Staff Report text, as well as in that Appendix G. MR. YOUNG: I didn't pick all that up, Lisa. Was this available to the public? MS. McCANN: Yes. Those Staff Reports were made available as part of the Staff Report. MR. YOUNG: Okay. MR. DELGADO: Mr. Chair, I thought there was a follow-up question about whether what we saw just now 	
3 1 5 7 3 3 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9	region. And as you've heard during previous workshops and presentations, that people who live in disadvantaged communities are typically paying a higher portion of these costs, because they live within, usually within rural agricultural areas and they're more susceptible to nitrate pollution. In some cases, people in these areas are paying for a drinking water supply that's not safe to drink, while also having to pay for bottled water. And this is a reality that the people of San Jerardo lived for several years. As pointed out here, San Jerardo just got a new well at a cost of over \$3 million, but how long is it going to be before their new well is polluted with nitrate? How long is it going to take for them to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 beneficial use, but also the other beneficial uses, to ensure that we have sustainable agricultural and other communities in our region. And that concludes my presentation. MS. McCANN: The 2011 Preliminary Report by Lawrence Livermore Lab was referenced in the March 2011 Staff Report text, as well as in that Appendix G. MR. YOUNG: I didn't pick all that up, Lisa. Was this available to the public? MS. McCANN: Yes. Those Staff Reports were made available as part of the Staff Report. MR. YOUNG: Okay. MR. DELGADO: Mr. Chair, I thought there was a follow-up question about whether what we saw just now was exactly what was in that earlier 2011 Report. 	
3 1 5 7 3 9 0 	region. And as you've heard during previous workshops and presentations, that people who live in disadvantaged communities are typically paying a higher portion of these costs, because they live within, usually within rural agricultural areas and they're more susceptible to nitrate pollution. In some cases, people in these areas are paying for a drinking water supply that's not safe to drink, while also having to pay for bottled water. And this is a reality that the people of San Jerardo lived for several years. As pointed out here, San Jerardo just got a new well at a cost of over \$3 million, but how long is it going to be before their new well is polluted with nitrate? How long is it going to take for them to replace it and at what cost?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 beneficial use, but also the other beneficial uses, to ensure that we have sustainable agricultural and other communities in our region. And that concludes my presentation. MS. McCANN: The 2011 Preliminary Report by Lawrence Livermore Lab was referenced in the March 2011 Staff Report text, as well as in that Appendix G. MR. YOUNG: I didn't pick all that up, Lisa. Was this available to the public? MS. McCANN: Yes. Those Staff Reports were made available as part of the Staff Report. MR. YOUNG: Okay. MR. DELGADO: Mr. Chair, I thought there was a follow-up question about whether what we saw just now was exactly what was in that earlier 2011 Report. 	
3 5 7 3 9 1 5 5 7 3 9	region. And as you've heard during previous workshops and presentations, that people who live in disadvantaged communities are typically paying a higher portion of these costs, because they live within, usually within rural agricultural areas and they're more susceptible to nitrate pollution. In some cases, people in these areas are paying for a drinking water supply that's not safe to drink, while also having to pay for bottled water. And this is a reality that the people of San Jerardo lived for several years. As pointed out here, San Jerardo just got a new well at a cost of over \$3 million, but how long is it going to be before their new well is polluted with nitrate? How long is it going to take for them to replace it and at what cost? Our highest priorities are focused on	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 beneficial use, but also the other beneficial uses, to ensure that we have sustainable agricultural and other communities in our region. And that concludes my presentation. MS. McCANN: The 2011 Preliminary Report by Lawrence Livermore Lab was referenced in the March 2011 Staff Report text, as well as in that Appendix G. MR. YOUNG: I didn't pick all that up, Lisa. Was this available to the public? MS. McCANN: Yes. Those Staff Reports were made available as part of the Staff Report. MR. YOUNG: Okay. MR. DELGADO: Mr. Chair, I thought there was a follow-up question about whether what we saw just now was exactly what was in that earlier 2011 Report. Something to that effect. MR. KEELING: Yeah, the data didn't change. It's 	
3 1 5 7 3 9 0 1 2 3 1 5 6 7 3 9 0	region. And as you've heard during previous workshops and presentations, that people who live in disadvantaged communities are typically paying a higher portion of these costs, because they live within, usually within rural agricultural areas and they're more susceptible to nitrate pollution. In some cases, people in these areas are paying for a drinking water supply that's not safe to drink, while also having to pay for bottled water. And this is a reality that the people of San Jerardo lived for several years. As pointed out here, San Jerardo just got a new well at a cost of over \$3 million, but how long is it going to be before their new well is polluted with nitrate? How long is it going to take for them to replace it and at what cost? Our highest priorities are focused on identifying and protecting the most at-risk portions of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 beneficial use, but also the other beneficial uses, to ensure that we have sustainable agricultural and other communities in our region. And that concludes my presentation. MS. McCANN: The 2011 Preliminary Report by Lawrence Livermore Lab was referenced in the March 2011 Staff Report text, as well as in that Appendix G. MR. YOUNG: I didn't pick all that up, Lisa. Was this available to the public? MS. McCANN: Yes. Those Staff Reports were made available as part of the Staff Report. MR. YOUNG: Okay. MR. DELGADO: Mr. Chair, I thought there was a follow-up question about whether what we saw just now was exactly what was in that earlier 2011 Report. Something to that effect. MR. KEELING: Yeah, the data didn't change. It's the same information. 	
3 1 5 5 7 3 9 0 1 2 3 1 5 5 7 3 9 0 1	region. And as you've heard during previous workshops and presentations, that people who live in disadvantaged communities are typically paying a higher portion of these costs, because they live within, usually within rural agricultural areas and they're more susceptible to nitrate pollution. In some cases, people in these areas are paying for a drinking water supply that's not safe to drink, while also having to pay for bottled water. And this is a reality that the people of San Jerardo lived for several years. As pointed out here, San Jerardo just got a new well at a cost of over \$3 million, but how long is it going to be before their new well is polluted with nitrate? How long is it going to take for them to replace it and at what cost? Our highest priorities are focused on identifying and protecting the most at-risk portions of the population from nitrate pollution, who may not be	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 beneficial use, but also the other beneficial uses, to ensure that we have sustainable agricultural and other communities in our region. And that concludes my presentation. MS. McCANN: The 2011 Preliminary Report by Lawrence Livermore Lab was referenced in the March 2011 Staff Report text, as well as in that Appendix G. MR. YOUNG: I didn't pick all that up, Lisa. Was this available to the public? MS. McCANN: Yes. Those Staff Reports were made available as part of the Staff Report. MR. YOUNG: Okay. MR. DELGADO: Mr. Chair, I thought there was a follow-up question about whether what we saw just now was exactly what was in that earlier 2011 Report. Something to that effect. MR. KEELING: Yeah, the data didn't change. It's the same information. MR. YOUNG: All right. Who's up next? 	
3 4 5 5 7 3 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 5 7 3 9 0 1 2 2 1 5 5 7 3 9 0 1 2 2 3 4 5 5 7 3 9 0 1 2 2 3 4 5 5 7 3 9 0 1 2 2 5 7 8 9 0 1 2 9 1 5 7 9 0 1 2 9 1 2 9 1 2 9 1 1 9 1 2 9 1 2 1 5 7 1 9 1 1 2 9 1 1 1 2 1 1 1 1 1 2 1 1 1 1	region. And as you've heard during previous workshops and presentations, that people who live in disadvantaged communities are typically paying a higher portion of these costs, because they live within, usually within rural agricultural areas and they're more susceptible to nitrate pollution. In some cases, people in these areas are paying for a drinking water supply that's not safe to drink, while also having to pay for bottled water. And this is a reality that the people of San Jerardo lived for several years. As pointed out here, San Jerardo just got a new well at a cost of over \$3 million, but how long is it going to be before their new well is polluted with nitrate? How long is it going to take for them to replace it and at what cost? Our highest priorities are focused on identifying and protecting the most at-risk portions of the population from nitrate pollution, who may not be aware of the risk and/or can't afford clean water. And these include domestic well owners, farm labor camps,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 beneficial use, but also the other beneficial uses, to ensure that we have sustainable agricultural and other communities in our region. And that concludes my presentation. MS. McCANN: The 2011 Preliminary Report by Lawrence Livermore Lab was referenced in the March 2011 Staff Report text, as well as in that Appendix G. MR. YOUNG: I didn't pick all that up, Lisa. Was this available to the public? MS. McCANN: Yes. Those Staff Reports were made available as part of the Staff Report. MR. YOUNG: Okay. MR. DELGADO: Mr. Chair, I thought there was a follow-up question about whether what we saw just now was exactly what was in that earlier 2011 Report. Something to that effect. MR. KEELING: Yeah, the data didn't change. It's the same information. MR. YOUNG: All right. Who's up next? MR. BRIGGS: Karen Worcester of our Staff, who is our manager of our Central Coast Regional 	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3	region. And as you've heard during previous workshops and presentations, that people who live in disadvantaged communities are typically paying a higher portion of these costs, because they live within, usually within rural agricultural areas and they're more susceptible to nitrate pollution. In some cases, people in these areas are paying for a drinking water supply that's not safe to drink, while also having to pay for bottled water. And this is a reality that the people of San Jerardo lived for several years. As pointed out here, San Jerardo just got a new well at a cost of over \$3 million, but how long is it going to be before their new well is polluted with nitrate? How long is it going to take for them to replace it and at what cost? Our highest priorities are focused on identifying and protecting the most at-risk portions of the population from nitrate pollution, who may not be aware of the risk and/or can't afford clean water. And these include domestic well owners, farm labor camps, schools and local communities within rural agricultural	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 beneficial use, but also the other beneficial uses, to ensure that we have sustainable agricultural and other communities in our region. And that concludes my presentation. MS. McCANN: The 2011 Preliminary Report by Lawrence Livermore Lab was referenced in the March 2011 Staff Report text, as well as in that Appendix G. MR. YOUNG: I didn't pick all that up, Lisa. Was this available to the public? MS. McCANN: Yes. Those Staff Reports were made available as part of the Staff Report. MR. YOUNG: Okay. MR. DELGADO: Mr. Chair, I thought there was a follow-up question about whether what we saw just now was exactly what was in that earlier 2011 Report. Something to that effect. MR. KEELING: Yeah, the data didn't change. It's the same information. MR. YOUNG: All right. Who's up next? MR. BRIGGS: Karen Worcester of our Staff, who is our manager of our Central Coast Regional Monitoring/Basin Planning Program. 	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 8 9 0 1 2 3 8 9 0 1 2 3 8 9 0 1 2 3 1 2 3 1 2 3 8 9 0 1 2 3 1 2 8 9 0 1 2 3 1 2 3 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 1 2 3 1 2 3 1 2 3 1 2 3 1 2 3 1 2 3 1 2 3 1 2 3 1 2 3 1 2 3 1 2 3 2 3	region. And as you've heard during previous workshops and presentations, that people who live in disadvantaged communities are typically paying a higher portion of these costs, because they live within, usually within rural agricultural areas and they're more susceptible to nitrate pollution. In some cases, people in these areas are paying for a drinking water supply that's not safe to drink, while also having to pay for bottled water. And this is a reality that the people of San Jerardo lived for several years. As pointed out here, San Jerardo just got a new well at a cost of over \$3 million, but how long is it going to be before their new well is polluted with nitrate? How long is it going to take for them to replace it and at what cost? Our highest priorities are focused on identifying and protecting the most at-risk portions of the population from nitrate pollution, who may not be aware of the risk and/or can't afford clean water. And these include domestic well owners, farm labor camps,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 beneficial use, but also the other beneficial uses, to ensure that we have sustainable agricultural and other communities in our region. And that concludes my presentation. MS. McCANN: The 2011 Preliminary Report by Lawrence Livermore Lab was referenced in the March 2011 Staff Report text, as well as in that Appendix G. MR. YOUNG: I didn't pick all that up, Lisa. Was this available to the public? MS. McCANN: Yes. Those Staff Reports were made available as part of the Staff Report. MR. YOUNG: Okay. MR. DELGADO: Mr. Chair, I thought there was a follow-up question about whether what we saw just now was exactly what was in that earlier 2011 Report. Something to that effect. MR. KEELING: Yeah, the data didn't change. It's the same information. MR. YOUNG: All right. Who's up next? MR. BRIGGS: Karen Worcester of our Staff, who is our manager of our Central Coast Regional 	

Atkinson-Baker, Inc. Court Reporters

(800) 288-3376 12 (Pages 42 to 45)

	MS. WORCESTER: Good morning, members of the	1	which is one of our primary indicators of health in a	
2	THE REPORTER: I can't hear you.	2	stream system. So we consider this system extremely	
3	MS. WORCESTER: Okay. How's that?	3	impaired.	
4	THE REPORTER: Okay. Thank you.	4	This is a map of the same area, looking at	
5	MS. WORCESTER: I'm going to be briefly discussing	5	nitrate concentrations and again similar pattern as to	
6	some of the priority water quality issues that Roger	6	toxicity with widespread violations of our drinking	
7	mentioned in his introduction in surface waters of our	7	water standard throughout the area. The darkest red	
8	region, focusing on two high priority areas, Santa	8	dots here, at least half of the samples collected at	
9	Maria and Lower Salinas.	9	these sites, have exceeded the drinking water standard,	
0	Um, a State Board Report entitled "Toxicity	10	and in most cases, this is by multiple fold. The site	
1	in California Waters" describes Central Coast streams	11	circled in pink here, is the same site I just showed	
2	as having the highest percent of toxic sites	12	you at the Santa Maria Estuary, and you can see the	
3	statewide. We're looking at a combined data set of	13	concentration graph at the bottom. The red line is our	
4	information from multiple projects. Over half of the	14	drinking water standard at 10, here.	
5	sites in our region were toxic, and almost a quarter of	15	Um, most EPA and others working on aquatic	
6	these sites were highly toxic. In particular, Salinas	16	life issues would consider 1 protective for aquatic	
7	and Santa Maria areas are severely impaired by	17	life, so it's much lower than the drinking water	
3	toxicity. These maps come off of our website, The	18	standard. You can see, we've had concentrations as	
Э	Central Coast Monitoring Program website. The	19	high as 100 in the site, just above our Estuary,	
)	greyish-blue areas are where irrigated agricultural is	20	extremely impaired. You can also see, just for	
L	located. The brown areas, sort of, see them below the	21	reference, the point at which the (inaudible words)	
2	sites here (indicating), this is the Salinas is	22	agriculture started. So this is a mix of for both	
3	Salinas and Santa Maria. The dots on the map are where	23	our program and their program, very similar results in	
1	we have samples collected, either by our program or by	24	the lower Salinas area.	
5	the Property Monitoring Program for Agriculture. Green	25	In this case, the site I'm highlighting is,	
	Page 4	46		Page
1	dots have shown no toxicity at all, but you can see in	1	the old Salinas River discharges directly into	
2		1	the old Salinas River discharges directly into	
-		2	Mass Landing and then waters are taken up into Oiai	
ξ	these, in the lower Salinas and lower Santa Maria	2	Mass Landing and then waters are taken up into Ojai	
	areas, most of the sites that we have monitored, or	3	slue from there, so similar situation where we have	
1	areas, most of the sites that we have monitored, or that we have data on are highly toxic. These dark red	3 4	slue from there, so similar situation where we have poor estuary resources, that some of the highest	
1	areas, most of the sites that we have monitored, or that we have data on are highly toxic. These dark red dots are toxic, at least half the time they're sampled,	3 4 5	slue from there, so similar situation where we have poor estuary resources, that some of the highest concentrations in our region are discharging to. This	
1 5 5	areas, most of the sites that we have monitored, or that we have data on are highly toxic. These dark red dots are toxic, at least half the time they're sampled, in some cases, always. Just to clarify, toxicity is a	3 4 5 6	slue from there, so similar situation where we have poor estuary resources, that some of the highest concentrations in our region are discharging to. This site, again, averaging twice the drinking water	
1 5 7	areas, most of the sites that we have monitored, or that we have data on are highly toxic. These dark red dots are toxic, at least half the time they're sampled, in some cases, always. Just to clarify, toxicity is a measure of effects to a test organism. So we take a	3 4 5 6 7	slue from there, so similar situation where we have poor estuary resources, that some of the highest concentrations in our region are discharging to. This site, again, averaging twice the drinking water standards and exceeding it by multiple folds.	
4 5 7 8	areas, most of the sites that we have monitored, or that we have data on are highly toxic. These dark red dots are toxic, at least half the time they're sampled, in some cases, always. Just to clarify, toxicity is a measure of effects to a test organism. So we take a sample, take it to the lab, expose the test organism to	3 4 5 6 7 8	slue from there, so similar situation where we have poor estuary resources, that some of the highest concentrations in our region are discharging to. This site, again, averaging twice the drinking water standards and exceeding it by multiple folds. At times we're not this data is not the	
4 5 7 8 9	areas, most of the sites that we have monitored, or that we have data on are highly toxic. These dark red dots are toxic, at least half the time they're sampled, in some cases, always. Just to clarify, toxicity is a measure of effects to a test organism. So we take a sample, take it to the lab, expose the test organism to that water and the organism measures survival. So in	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	slue from there, so similar situation where we have poor estuary resources, that some of the highest concentrations in our region are discharging to. This site, again, averaging twice the drinking water standards and exceeding it by multiple folds. At times we're not this data is not the first to acknowledge these very high concentrations.	
1 5 7 3 9	areas, most of the sites that we have monitored, or that we have data on are highly toxic. These dark red dots are toxic, at least half the time they're sampled, in some cases, always. Just to clarify, toxicity is a measure of effects to a test organism. So we take a sample, take it to the lab, expose the test organism to that water and the organism measures survival. So in these dark red sites, the organisms were dying. One of	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	slue from there, so similar situation where we have poor estuary resources, that some of the highest concentrations in our region are discharging to. This site, again, averaging twice the drinking water standards and exceeding it by multiple folds. At times we're not this data is not the first to acknowledge these very high concentrations. This was a report that came out many years ago, in the	
1 5 7 3 9	areas, most of the sites that we have monitored, or that we have data on are highly toxic. These dark red dots are toxic, at least half the time they're sampled, in some cases, always. Just to clarify, toxicity is a measure of effects to a test organism. So we take a sample, take it to the lab, expose the test organism to that water and the organism measures survival. So in these dark red sites, the organisms were dying. One of those sites that we are particularly concerned about is	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	slue from there, so similar situation where we have poor estuary resources, that some of the highest concentrations in our region are discharging to. This site, again, averaging twice the drinking water standards and exceeding it by multiple folds. At times we're not this data is not the first to acknowledge these very high concentrations. This was a report that came out many years ago, in the mid '90s, and this quote from the report stating that	
1 5 7 3 9 1 2	areas, most of the sites that we have monitored, or that we have data on are highly toxic. These dark red dots are toxic, at least half the time they're sampled, in some cases, always. Just to clarify, toxicity is a measure of effects to a test organism. So we take a sample, take it to the lab, expose the test organism to that water and the organism measures survival. So in these dark red sites, the organisms were dying. One of those sites that we are particularly concerned about is just above this important estuary, Santa Maria River	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	slue from there, so similar situation where we have poor estuary resources, that some of the highest concentrations in our region are discharging to. This site, again, averaging twice the drinking water standards and exceeding it by multiple folds. At times we're not this data is not the first to acknowledge these very high concentrations. This was a report that came out many years ago, in the mid '90s, and this quote from the report stating that the extraordinary high nitrate concentrations in this	
1 5 7 3 9 1 2 3	areas, most of the sites that we have monitored, or that we have data on are highly toxic. These dark red dots are toxic, at least half the time they're sampled, in some cases, always. Just to clarify, toxicity is a measure of effects to a test organism. So we take a sample, take it to the lab, expose the test organism to that water and the organism measures survival. So in these dark red sites, the organisms were dying. One of those sites that we are particularly concerned about is just above this important estuary, Santa Maria River mouth. This location supports several threatened and	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	slue from there, so similar situation where we have poor estuary resources, that some of the highest concentrations in our region are discharging to. This site, again, averaging twice the drinking water standards and exceeding it by multiple folds. At times we're not this data is not the first to acknowledge these very high concentrations. This was a report that came out many years ago, in the mid '90s, and this quote from the report stating that the extraordinary high nitrate concentrations in this system, may be the highest recorded in scientific	
1 5 7 3 9 1 2 3	areas, most of the sites that we have monitored, or that we have data on are highly toxic. These dark red dots are toxic, at least half the time they're sampled, in some cases, always. Just to clarify, toxicity is a measure of effects to a test organism. So we take a sample, take it to the lab, expose the test organism to that water and the organism measures survival. So in these dark red sites, the organisms were dying. One of those sites that we are particularly concerned about is just above this important estuary, Santa Maria River mouth. This location supports several threatened and endangered species, and you could see by the data here,	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	slue from there, so similar situation where we have poor estuary resources, that some of the highest concentrations in our region are discharging to. This site, again, averaging twice the drinking water standards and exceeding it by multiple folds. At times we're not this data is not the first to acknowledge these very high concentrations. This was a report that came out many years ago, in the mid '90s, and this quote from the report stating that the extraordinary high nitrate concentrations in this system, may be the highest recorded in scientific literature for a river or estuary.	
	areas, most of the sites that we have monitored, or that we have data on are highly toxic. These dark red dots are toxic, at least half the time they're sampled, in some cases, always. Just to clarify, toxicity is a measure of effects to a test organism. So we take a sample, take it to the lab, expose the test organism to that water and the organism measures survival. So in these dark red sites, the organisms were dying. One of those sites that we are particularly concerned about is just above this important estuary, Santa Maria River mouth. This location supports several threatened and endangered species, and you could see by the data here, 100 percent of the samples that have been collected	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	slue from there, so similar situation where we have poor estuary resources, that some of the highest concentrations in our region are discharging to. This site, again, averaging twice the drinking water standards and exceeding it by multiple folds. At times we're not this data is not the first to acknowledge these very high concentrations. This was a report that came out many years ago, in the mid '90s, and this quote from the report stating that the extraordinary high nitrate concentrations in this system, may be the highest recorded in scientific literature for a river or estuary. This data is from the Monterey Bay Aquarium	
1 5 7 3 9 0 1 2 3 1 5 5	areas, most of the sites that we have monitored, or that we have data on are highly toxic. These dark red dots are toxic, at least half the time they're sampled, in some cases, always. Just to clarify, toxicity is a measure of effects to a test organism. So we take a sample, take it to the lab, expose the test organism to that water and the organism measures survival. So in these dark red sites, the organisms were dying. One of those sites that we are particularly concerned about is just above this important estuary, Santa Maria River mouth. This location supports several threatened and endangered species, and you could see by the data here, 100 percent of the samples that have been collected there for invertebrates in sediment have been toxic,	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	slue from there, so similar situation where we have poor estuary resources, that some of the highest concentrations in our region are discharging to. This site, again, averaging twice the drinking water standards and exceeding it by multiple folds. At times we're not this data is not the first to acknowledge these very high concentrations. This was a report that came out many years ago, in the mid '90s, and this quote from the report stating that the extraordinary high nitrate concentrations in this system, may be the highest recorded in scientific literature for a river or estuary. This data is from the Monterey Bay Aquarium Research Institute. This is a nitrate probe near the	
1 5 7 3 9 1 5 7 1 5 7	areas, most of the sites that we have monitored, or that we have data on are highly toxic. These dark red dots are toxic, at least half the time they're sampled, in some cases, always. Just to clarify, toxicity is a measure of effects to a test organism. So we take a sample, take it to the lab, expose the test organism to that water and the organism measures survival. So in these dark red sites, the organisms were dying. One of those sites that we are particularly concerned about is just above this important estuary, Santa Maria River mouth. This location supports several threatened and endangered species, and you could see by the data here, 100 percent of the samples that have been collected there for invertebrates in sediment have been toxic, and almost as many have been toxic in water, because of	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	slue from there, so similar situation where we have poor estuary resources, that some of the highest concentrations in our region are discharging to. This site, again, averaging twice the drinking water standards and exceeding it by multiple folds. At times we're not this data is not the first to acknowledge these very high concentrations. This was a report that came out many years ago, in the mid '90s, and this quote from the report stating that the extraordinary high nitrate concentrations in this system, may be the highest recorded in scientific literature for a river or estuary. This data is from the Monterey Bay Aquarium Research Institute. This is a nitrate probe near the Masland Harbor and the old Salinas System, and you can	
1 5 7 3 9 0 1 2 3 1 5 7 3	areas, most of the sites that we have monitored, or that we have data on are highly toxic. These dark red dots are toxic, at least half the time they're sampled, in some cases, always. Just to clarify, toxicity is a measure of effects to a test organism. So we take a sample, take it to the lab, expose the test organism to that water and the organism measures survival. So in these dark red sites, the organisms were dying. One of those sites that we are particularly concerned about is just above this important estuary, Santa Maria River mouth. This location supports several threatened and endangered species, and you could see by the data here, 100 percent of the samples that have been collected there for invertebrates in sediment have been toxic, and almost as many have been toxic in water, because of pesticide concentrations. In addition, we are seeing	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	slue from there, so similar situation where we have poor estuary resources, that some of the highest concentrations in our region are discharging to. This site, again, averaging twice the drinking water standards and exceeding it by multiple folds. At times we're not this data is not the first to acknowledge these very high concentrations. This was a report that came out many years ago, in the mid '90s, and this quote from the report stating that the extraordinary high nitrate concentrations in this system, may be the highest recorded in scientific literature for a river or estuary. This data is from the Monterey Bay Aquarium Research Institute. This is a nitrate probe near the Masland Harbor and the old Salinas System, and you can see there, I'm showing similar trends. This is	
1 5 5 7 7 3 3 9 9 0 0 1 2 2 3 3 1 1 5 5 5 7 7 3 3 9 9	areas, most of the sites that we have monitored, or that we have data on are highly toxic. These dark red dots are toxic, at least half the time they're sampled, in some cases, always. Just to clarify, toxicity is a measure of effects to a test organism. So we take a sample, take it to the lab, expose the test organism to that water and the organism measures survival. So in these dark red sites, the organisms were dying. One of those sites that we are particularly concerned about is just above this important estuary, Santa Maria River mouth. This location supports several threatened and endangered species, and you could see by the data here, 100 percent of the samples that have been collected there for invertebrates in sediment have been toxic, and almost as many have been toxic in water, because of	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	slue from there, so similar situation where we have poor estuary resources, that some of the highest concentrations in our region are discharging to. This site, again, averaging twice the drinking water standards and exceeding it by multiple folds. At times we're not this data is not the first to acknowledge these very high concentrations. This was a report that came out many years ago, in the mid '90s, and this quote from the report stating that the extraordinary high nitrate concentrations in this system, may be the highest recorded in scientific literature for a river or estuary. This data is from the Monterey Bay Aquarium Research Institute. This is a nitrate probe near the Masland Harbor and the old Salinas System, and you can	
1 5 7 3 3 9 0 0 	areas, most of the sites that we have monitored, or that we have data on are highly toxic. These dark red dots are toxic, at least half the time they're sampled, in some cases, always. Just to clarify, toxicity is a measure of effects to a test organism. So we take a sample, take it to the lab, expose the test organism to that water and the organism measures survival. So in these dark red sites, the organisms were dying. One of those sites that we are particularly concerned about is just above this important estuary, Santa Maria River mouth. This location supports several threatened and endangered species, and you could see by the data here, 100 percent of the samples that have been collected there for invertebrates in sediment have been toxic, and almost as many have been toxic in water, because of pesticide concentrations. In addition, we are seeing	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	slue from there, so similar situation where we have poor estuary resources, that some of the highest concentrations in our region are discharging to. This site, again, averaging twice the drinking water standards and exceeding it by multiple folds. At times we're not this data is not the first to acknowledge these very high concentrations. This was a report that came out many years ago, in the mid '90s, and this quote from the report stating that the extraordinary high nitrate concentrations in this system, may be the highest recorded in scientific literature for a river or estuary. This data is from the Monterey Bay Aquarium Research Institute. This is a nitrate probe near the Masland Harbor and the old Salinas System, and you can see there, I'm showing similar trends. This is	
1 5 5 7 7 3 3 9 9 0 0 1 1 5 5 5 7 7 3 3 9 9 0	areas, most of the sites that we have monitored, or that we have data on are highly toxic. These dark red dots are toxic, at least half the time they're sampled, in some cases, always. Just to clarify, toxicity is a measure of effects to a test organism. So we take a sample, take it to the lab, expose the test organism to that water and the organism measures survival. So in these dark red sites, the organisms were dying. One of those sites that we are particularly concerned about is just above this important estuary, Santa Maria River mouth. This location supports several threatened and endangered species, and you could see by the data here, 100 percent of the samples that have been collected there for invertebrates in sediment have been toxic, and almost as many have been toxic in water, because of pesticide concentrations. In addition, we are seeing pesticides and spongicides in fish tissue in this	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	slue from there, so similar situation where we have poor estuary resources, that some of the highest concentrations in our region are discharging to. This site, again, averaging twice the drinking water standards and exceeding it by multiple folds. At times we're not this data is not the first to acknowledge these very high concentrations. This was a report that came out many years ago, in the mid '90s, and this quote from the report stating that the extraordinary high nitrate concentrations in this system, may be the highest recorded in scientific literature for a river or estuary. This data is from the Monterey Bay Aquarium Research Institute. This is a nitrate probe near the Masland Harbor and the old Salinas System, and you can see there, I'm showing similar trends. This is starting in 2004 to the data that we've collected,	
4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 3 4 5 5 6 7 7 8 9 0 0 1	areas, most of the sites that we have monitored, or that we have data on are highly toxic. These dark red dots are toxic, at least half the time they're sampled, in some cases, always. Just to clarify, toxicity is a measure of effects to a test organism. So we take a sample, take it to the lab, expose the test organism to that water and the organism measures survival. So in these dark red sites, the organisms were dying. One of those sites that we are particularly concerned about is just above this important estuary, Santa Maria River mouth. This location supports several threatened and endangered species, and you could see by the data here, 100 percent of the samples that have been collected there for invertebrates in sediment have been toxic, and almost as many have been toxic in water, because of pesticide concentrations. In addition, we are seeing pesticides and spongicides in fish tissue in this lagoon. They are sport fisherman downstream of this	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	slue from there, so similar situation where we have poor estuary resources, that some of the highest concentrations in our region are discharging to. This site, again, averaging twice the drinking water standards and exceeding it by multiple folds. At times we're not this data is not the first to acknowledge these very high concentrations. This was a report that came out many years ago, in the mid '90s, and this quote from the report stating that the extraordinary high nitrate concentrations in this system, may be the highest recorded in scientific literature for a river or estuary. This data is from the Monterey Bay Aquarium Research Institute. This is a nitrate probe near the Masland Harbor and the old Salinas System, and you can see there, I'm showing similar trends. This is starting in 2004 to the data that we've collected, increasing over time.	
4 5 6 7 3 9 9 0 1 2 3 3 4 5 6 7 7 8 9 9 0 1 2 2	areas, most of the sites that we have monitored, or that we have data on are highly toxic. These dark red dots are toxic, at least half the time they're sampled, in some cases, always. Just to clarify, toxicity is a measure of effects to a test organism. So we take a sample, take it to the lab, expose the test organism to that water and the organism measures survival. So in these dark red sites, the organisms were dying. One of those sites that we are particularly concerned about is just above this important estuary, Santa Maria River mouth. This location supports several threatened and endangered species, and you could see by the data here, 100 percent of the samples that have been collected there for invertebrates in sediment have been toxic, and almost as many have been toxic in water, because of pesticide concentrations. In addition, we are seeing pesticides and spongicides in fish tissue in this lagoon. They are sport fisherman downstream of this along the beach in this area. In terms of the benefit	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	slue from there, so similar situation where we have poor estuary resources, that some of the highest concentrations in our region are discharging to. This site, again, averaging twice the drinking water standards and exceeding it by multiple folds. At times we're not this data is not the first to acknowledge these very high concentrations. This was a report that came out many years ago, in the mid '90s, and this quote from the report stating that the extraordinary high nitrate concentrations in this system, may be the highest recorded in scientific literature for a river or estuary. This data is from the Monterey Bay Aquarium Research Institute. This is a nitrate probe near the Masland Harbor and the old Salinas System, and you can see there, I'm showing similar trends. This is starting in 2004 to the data that we've collected, increasing over time. Okay. In summary, particularly in the Lower	
4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3	areas, most of the sites that we have monitored, or that we have data on are highly toxic. These dark red dots are toxic, at least half the time they're sampled, in some cases, always. Just to clarify, toxicity is a measure of effects to a test organism. So we take a sample, take it to the lab, expose the test organism to that water and the organism measures survival. So in these dark red sites, the organisms were dying. One of those sites that we are particularly concerned about is just above this important estuary, Santa Maria River mouth. This location supports several threatened and endangered species, and you could see by the data here, 100 percent of the samples that have been collected there for invertebrates in sediment have been toxic, and almost as many have been toxic in water, because of pesticide concentrations. In addition, we are seeing pesticides and spongicides in fish tissue in this lagoon. They are sport fisherman downstream of this along the beach in this area. In terms of the benefit health, there are no bugs in this system you consider,	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	slue from there, so similar situation where we have poor estuary resources, that some of the highest concentrations in our region are discharging to. This site, again, averaging twice the drinking water standards and exceeding it by multiple folds. At times we're not this data is not the first to acknowledge these very high concentrations. This was a report that came out many years ago, in the mid '90s, and this quote from the report stating that the extraordinary high nitrate concentrations in this system, may be the highest recorded in scientific literature for a river or estuary. This data is from the Monterey Bay Aquarium Research Institute. This is a nitrate probe near the Masland Harbor and the old Salinas System, and you can see there, I'm showing similar trends. This is starting in 2004 to the data that we've collected, increasing over time. Okay. In summary, particularly in the Lower Salinas and Santa Maria areas, we're still seeing	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 5 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 5 6 7 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 5 7 7 8 9 0 7 7 8 9 0 7 1 2 3 7 7 8 9 0 7 7 8 9 0 7 1 2 3 7 7 8 9 0 1 1 2 3 7 7 8 9 0 1 1 2 3 7 8 9 9 0 1 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 9 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 5 5 7 7 8 9 0 0 1 1 2 3 4 4 5 5 7 7 8 9 0 0 1 1 2 3 3 4 5 5 7 7 8 9 0 0 1 1 2 3 3 4 5 5 7 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 3 4 5 7 7 8 9 9 0 1 1 2 3 3 4 5 7 7 8 9 9 0 1 1 2 3 3 4 5 7 7 8 9 9 0 1 1 2 3 3 4 5 7 7 8 9 9 1 2 3 3 7 9 1 2 3 3 4 5 5 7 7 8 9 9 1 2 3 3 5 7 7 8 9 9 1 2 3 3 4 5 7 7 8 9 9 1 1 2 3 3 4 5 7 5 7 8 9 9 1 2 3 3 4 5 7 7 8 9 9 1 1 2 3 3 4 1 2 3 3 4 5 7 7 8 9 9 0 1 1 2 3 3 4 5 7 7 8 9 9 1 1 2 3 3 4 5 7 7 8 9 9 1 1 2 3 3 5 7 8 9 9 1 1 2 3 3 1 1 2 2 3 1 2 3 1 2 3 1 2 3 1 2 3 1 2 3 1 2 3 1 1 2 3 3 1 1 2 3 1 2 3 1 2 3 1 2 3 1 2 3 1 2 3 1 2 3 1 2 3 1 2 3 1 2 3 1 2 3 1 2 3 1 2 3 1 2 3 1 2 1 2	areas, most of the sites that we have monitored, or that we have data on are highly toxic. These dark red dots are toxic, at least half the time they're sampled, in some cases, always. Just to clarify, toxicity is a measure of effects to a test organism. So we take a sample, take it to the lab, expose the test organism to that water and the organism measures survival. So in these dark red sites, the organisms were dying. One of those sites that we are particularly concerned about is just above this important estuary, Santa Maria River mouth. This location supports several threatened and endangered species, and you could see by the data here, 100 percent of the samples that have been collected there for invertebrates in sediment have been toxic, and almost as many have been toxic in water, because of pesticide concentrations. In addition, we are seeing pesticides and spongicides in fish tissue in this lagoon. They are sport fisherman downstream of this along the beach in this area. In terms of the benefit health, there are no bugs in this system you consider, quote, "trout food," May Flies, Caddis Flies, Storm	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	slue from there, so similar situation where we have poor estuary resources, that some of the highest concentrations in our region are discharging to. This site, again, averaging twice the drinking water standards and exceeding it by multiple folds. At times we're not this data is not the first to acknowledge these very high concentrations. This was a report that came out many years ago, in the mid '90s, and this quote from the report stating that the extraordinary high nitrate concentrations in this system, may be the highest recorded in scientific literature for a river or estuary. This data is from the Monterey Bay Aquarium Research Institute. This is a nitrate probe near the Masland Harbor and the old Salinas System, and you can see there, I'm showing similar trends. This is starting in 2004 to the data that we've collected, increasing over time. Okay. In summary, particularly in the Lower Salinas and Santa Maria areas, we're still seeing extremely high nitrate concentration and widespread	

		1	
1	seeing some decreases in loads at some locations,	1	be the prioritization of public health and drinking
2	although, the concentrations remain high. But	2	water protection.
3	generally, these waters are not healthy for aquatic	3	Finally, we also heard that the job
4	life and not healthy are not fully recharged for	4	Agricultural Order should build upon the success of the
5	drinkable groundwater.	5	2004 Conditional Waiver.
6	And now Angela Schroeter is going to present	6	So based upon this input, and the severity of
7	the summary of the document.	7	the water quality conditions that you've heard
8	MR. YOUNG: Mr. Johnston?	8	described by Karen Worester and also Matt Keeling,
9	MR. JOHNSTON: One quick question for Karen.	9	Staff proposed three Tiers, based upon the individual
10	MR. YOUNG: It's got to be quick, because I	10	characteristics of the farms, and threat to water
11	wanted everyone	11	quality.
12	MR. JOHNSTON: Oh, did you want us to wait until	12	Tier 1 are those farms which are the lowest
13	the end of	13	threat to water quality. They are the farms that do
14	MR. YOUNG: Exactly.	14	not use chlorophyll-a phosphor diazinon, are not in an
15	MR. JOHNSTON: Oh, I thought we were waiting for	15	area where surface water is impaired, or near an
16	the end of each individual person.	16	impacted drinking water well. And if those farms
17	MR. YOUNG: No, no.	17	produce crops that are known to load nitrogen to
18	MR. JOHNSTON: I'll wait.	18	groundwater, they must be less than 50 acres.
19	MR. YOUNG: This is one presentation.	19	In addition, Tier 1 also includes those farms
20	MR. JOHNSTON: Okay. I'll wait.	20	which are certified sustainable, such as a sustainable
21	MR. YOUNG: Thank you.	21	practice certification, which is conducted by the
22	Angela Schroeter.	22	Central Coast Vineyard team.
23	MS. SCHROETER: Thank you.	23	Tier 2 includes those farms which are a
24	So good morning, Chair and members of the	24	moderate threat to water quality. They are the other
25	Board. Again, my name is Angela Schroeter. I am the	25	farms that use chlorophyll-a phosphor diazinon in our
	Page 50		Page 52
1	Senior Engineering Geologist and also the	1	apparent surface water area or near an impacted
2	Program manager for the Agriculture Regulatory	2	drinking water well.
3	Program.	3	If those farms produced a crop that is of
4	So as Lisa mentioned, the Water Board	4	those for higher risk for loading nitrogen, those farms
5	received more than 2,000 comment letters from	5	would be between 50 and 500 acres.
6	stakeholders throughout the process, over the last	6	Tier 3 are those farms which we considered to
7	three and half years. While every comment is unique,	7	be the highest threat to water quality. There's two
8	the public comments received consistently focused on a	8	criteria for Tier 3. Those are the farms that use
9	few main issues that the Draft Order should address.	9	chlorophyll-a phosphor diazinon and discharge to an
10	The first comment that we have heard from all	10	impaired surface water body as impaired for toxicity or
11	stakeholders, primarily agriculture, but also	11	pesticides.
12	environmental stakeholders, is that every farm is	12	The second criteria is if that farm is
13	unique and has individual unique threat to water	13	growing crops for loading nitrogen to groundwater.
14	quality based on the characteristics of those farms and	14	Those farms are greater than or equal to 500 acres.
15	that the Draft Order should address those	15	In response to the comment that the Draft
16	characteristics and not be one size fits all.	16	Order should build upon the success of the Conditional
17	In addition, comments also specified that the	17	Waiver adopted by the Board in 2004, I'll just start by
18	Draft Order should focus on the most impaired areas of	18	reminding the Board what those conditions were.
19	the region.	19	So 2004 Conditional Waiver stated that
20	Comments stated that those farms that are in	20	discharges must meet water quality standards. They
21	the unimpaired area of the region should not be subject	21	must file and update the Notice of Intent. It must
22	to the same requirements as those that are the most	22	develop and implement a farm plan. Dischargers must
23	severely impaired.	23	also submit an Annual Management Practice Checklist,
24	In addition, we also heard from stakeholders	24	conduct surface receiving water monitoring, and also
25	that among the highest priority for this Order should	25	comply with education and time schedules.
	Page 51		Page 53
		1	

 that an by the require condition we've at address blue: 0 prevention reportion compliant compliant reportion compliant prevention prevention reportion prevention pr	Again, these requirements in black, are those are part of the 2004 Conditional Waiver, adopted he Board in 2004, that growers are currently uired to comply with. In response to the severe water quality ditions that you've heard about from Matt Keeling, ve also introduced or proposed new requirements to ress threat to groundwater. These are shown here in			
 3 by the 4 require 5 6 condition 7 we've at address 9 blue: 0 10 preven 11 12 reportin 13 complia 14 annual 15 Practica 16 17 you set 18 well as 19 up the 20 Tier 2. 21 modera 22 are the 24 lesser n 25 Annual 1 2 reportin 3 update 4 5 Order state 6 and the 7 Draft C 8 farms, 9 threat state 10 Dischar 11 Dischar 12 have at 13 Irrigati 14 achieve 15 subset 16 temper 17 submit 18 19 the prot 20 center 	he Board in 2004, that growers are currently uired to comply with. In response to the severe water quality ditions that you've heard about from Matt Keeling, ve also introduced or proposed new requirements to	1	to address concerns about reporting, the Draft Order	
 4 require 5 6 condition 7 we've at a address 9 blue: 0 10 preven 11 12 reporting 14 annual 15 Practice 16 17 you set 18 well as 19 up the 20 Tier 2. 21 moderation 22 are the 23 are the 24 lesser noise and the 25 Annual 1 2 reporting 3 update 4 5 Order set 6 and the 7 Draft C 8 farms, 9 threat set 10 11 Dischard 12 have at 13 Irrigati 14 achieve 15 subset 16 temper 17 submit 18 19 the protocol 20 center 	ired to comply with. In response to the severe water quality ditions that you've heard about from Matt Keeling, ve also introduced or proposed new requirements to	2	specifies that the precise locations of groundwater	
56789910111213141415161718191910122021modera2223are the2425Annual1223are the24lesser25Annual1210111213142015subset1617subset18191919101112131420center17subset	In response to the severe water quality ditions that you've heard about from Matt Keeling, ve also introduced or proposed new requirements to	3	wells, and any trade secrets, secret processes or other	
 6 condition 7 we've a 8 address 9 blue: 0 10 preven 11 12 reportin 13 complia 14 annual 15 Practico 16 17 you see 18 well as 19 up the 20 Tier 2. 21 modera 22 are the 23 are the 24 lesser n 25 Annual 1 25 Annual 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 2 2 2 3 4 4 4 <li< td=""><td>ditions that you've heard about from Matt Keeling, ve also introduced or proposed new requirements to</td><td>4</td><td>priority information, are protected from public</td><td></td></li<>	ditions that you've heard about from Matt Keeling, ve also introduced or proposed new requirements to	4	priority information, are protected from public	
 7 we've a address 9 blue: 0 10 preven 11 12 reportin 13 complia 14 annual 15 Practica 16 17 you see 18 well as 19 up the 20 Tier 2. 21 modera 22 23 are the 24 lesser n 25 Annual 1 2 reportin 3 update 4 5 Order s 6 and that 7 Draft C 8 farms, 9 threat f 10 11 Dischar 12 have a 13 Irrigati 14 achieve 15 subset 16 temper 17 submit 18 19 the pro 20 center 	ve also introduced or proposed new requirements to	5	disclosure.	
 8 address 9 blue: 0 10 preven 11 12 reportin 13 complia 14 annual 15 Practico 16 17 you see 18 well as 19 up the 20 Tier 2. 21 modera 22 23 are the 24 lesser n 25 Annual 1 2 reportin 3 update 4 5 Order s 6 and the 7 Draft C 8 farms, 9 threat s 10 11 Dischai 12 have a 13 Irrigati 14 achieve 15 subset 16 temper 17 submit 18 19 the pro 20 center 		6	I also want to mention, at this point, that	
 9 blue: 0 10 preven 11 12 reportin 13 complia 14 annual 15 Practice 16 17 you see 18 well as 19 up the 20 Tier 2. 21 modera 22 are the 23 are the 24 lesser n 25 Annual 1 2 reportin 3 update 4 5 Order s 6 and the 7 Draft C 8 farms, 9 threat f 10 11 Dischar 12 have a 13 Irrigati 14 achieve 15 subset 16 temper 17 submit 18 19 the proc 20 center 	ress threat to groundwater. These are shown here in	7	Staff recognizes that many growers have already taken	
 10 preven 11 12 reportin 13 complia 14 annual 15 Practice 16 17 you see 18 well as 19 up the 20 Tier 2. 21 modera 22 are the 23 are the 24 lesser 1 25 Annual 1 1 1 2 reportin 3 update 4 5 Order s 6 and the 7 Draft C 8 farms, 9 threat f 10 11 Dischar 12 have a 13 Irrigati 14 achieve 15 subset 16 temper 17 submit 18 19 the pro 20 center 		8	proactive action to protect water quality. For	
 reportin complia complia complia annual Practica Practica vou set well as up the up the Tier 2. are the up the Tier 2. are the are the are the are the farms, threat finance farms, threat finance subset subset temper subset temper subset temper subset temper 	: Groundwater monitor reporting, backflow	9	example, the Sustainable Practice Certified Vineyard	
 12 reporting 13 compliant 14 annual 15 Practicant 16 vou see 17 you see 18 well ast 19 up the 20 Tier 2. 21 moderations 22 are the 23 are the 24 lesser in 25 Annual 1 2 reporting 3 update 4 5 Order see 6 and the 7 Draft Ces 8 farms, 9 threat see 10 11 Dischard 12 have at 13 Irrigationt 14 achieved 15 subset 16 temper 17 submit 18 19 the protocol 20 center 	vention, and proper well abandonment.	10	Growers require specific water quality practices. The	
 13 complia 14 annual 15 Practice 16 17 you see 18 well as 19 up the 20 Tier 2. 21 modera 22 23 are the 24 lesser n 25 Annual 25 Annual 1 2 reporting 3 update 4 5 Order s 6 and the 7 Draft C 8 farms, 9 threat 10 11 Dischail 12 have a 13 Irrigati 14 achieve 15 subset 16 temper 17 submit 18 19 the pro 20 center 	In addition, Staff is proposing to improve	11	Avocado Commission has indicated that they encourage	
 14 annual 15 Practice 16 17 you set 18 well as 19 up the 20 Tier 2. 21 modera 22 23 are the 24 lesser 1 25 Annual 1 2 reportin 3 update 4 5 Order s 6 and the 7 Draft C 8 farms, 9 threat 1 10 11 Dischar 12 have a 13 Irrigati 14 achieve 15 subset 16 temper 17 submit 18 19 the prot 20 center 	orting of the Agriculture Order, by adding an annual	12	current control for the storm water protection. The	
 15 Practica 16 17 you set 18 well as 19 up the 20 Tier 2. 21 modera 22 23 are the 24 lesser 1 25 Annual 1 2 reporting 3 update 4 5 Order state 6 and the 7 Draft C 8 farms, 9 threat state 10 11 Dischar 12 have a 13 Irrigati 14 achieve 15 subset 16 temper 17 submit 18 19 the pro 20 center 	pliance form that's submitted online. And this	13	Cut Flower Industry has indicated that they are	
 16 17 you see 18 well as 19 up the 20 Tier 2. 21 modera 22 23 are the 24 lesser 1 25 Annual 1 2 reporting update 4 5 Order see 6 and the 7 Draft C 8 farms, 9 threat see 10 11 Dischard 12 have a 13 Irrigati 14 achieved 15 subset 16 temper 17 submit 18 19 the prot 20 center 	ual compliance form would replace the Management	14	reducing the use of chemicals, and the Strawberry	
 17 you set 18 well as 19 up the 20 Tier 2. 21 modera 22 23 are the 24 lesser n 25 Annual 1 2 reporting 3 update 4 5 Order s 6 and the 7 Draft C 8 farms, 9 threat s 10 11 Dischail 12 have a 13 Irrigati 14 achieve 15 subset 16 temper 17 submit 18 19 the pro 20 center 	tice Checklist.	15	Commission has indicated that many growers already meet	
 18 well as 19 up the 20 Tier 2. 21 modera 22 23 are the 24 lesser n 25 Annual 1 2 reportin 3 update 4 5 Order s 6 and the 7 Draft C 8 farms, 9 threat s 10 11 Dischar 12 have a 13 Irrigati 14 achieve 15 subset 16 temper 17 submit 18 19 the pro 20 center 	So these requirements, the black ones that	16	proposed nutrient balanced targets.	
 19 up the 20 Tier 2. 21 modera 22 23 are the 24 lesser 1 25 Annual 1 2 reporting 3 update 4 5 Order state 6 and the 7 Draft C 8 farms, 9 threat state 10 11 Dischar 12 have ar 13 Irrigati 14 achieve 15 subset 16 temper 17 submit 18 19 the pro 20 center 	see here, from that 2004 Conditional Waiver, as	17	Staff commends these growers and wants to	
 20 Tier 2. 21 modera 22 23 are the 24 lesser 1 25 Annual 1 2 reporting 3 update 4 5 Order state 6 and the 7 Draft C 8 farms, 9 threat state 10 11 Dischar 12 have a 13 Irrigati 14 achieve 15 subset 16 temper 17 submit 18 19 the pro 20 center 	as these new proposed requirements in blue, make	18	ensure that all growers are doing their part to protect	
 21 modera 22 23 are the 24 lesser in 25 Annual 1 2 reporting update 4 5 Order signal 6 and the 7 Draft C 8 farms, 9 threat signal 10 11 Dischail 12 have ai 13 Irrigati 14 achieve 15 subset 16 temper 17 submit 18 19 the pro 20 center 	he requirements for the 2012 Draft Order for	19	water quality.	
 22 23 are the 24 lesser of 25 Annual 1 2 reporting 3 update 4 5 Order signal 6 and the 7 Draft C 8 farms, 9 threat signal 10 11 Dischard 12 have at 13 Irrigati 14 achieve 15 subset 16 temper 17 submit 18 19 the pro 20 center 	2. This is are those farms considered to be a	20	So let's look at the farm's acreage. Tier 1	
 23 are the 24 lesser in 25 Annual 1 2 reportini 3 update 4 5 Order since 6 and the 7 Draft C 8 farms, 9 threat in 10 11 Dischant 12 have an 13 Irrigati 14 achieve 15 subset 16 temper 17 submit 18 19 the pro 20 center 	lerate threat to water quality.	21	and Tier 2 include the most acreage and farms in the	
 24 lesser i 25 Annual 1 2 reporti 3 update 4 5 Order s 6 and the 7 Draft C 8 farms, 9 threat s 10 11 Dischai 12 have a 13 Irrigati 14 achieve 15 subset 16 temper 17 submit 18 19 the pro 20 center 	Also recognizing that Tier 1 growers, those	22	region. And, in fact, Tier 1 includes the most farms	
 25 Annual 1 2 reporting 3 update 4 5 Order set 6 and the 7 Draft C 8 farms, 9 threat set 10 11 Dischard 12 have ar 13 Irrigation 14 achieved 15 subset 16 temper 17 submit 18 19 the processory 20 center 	the lower threat to the water quality, should have	23	in the region, at 55 percent of the region. Tier 3	
12reporting3update45Order s6and the7Draft C8farms,9threat s101111Dischar12have a13Irrigati14achieve15subset16temper17submit181919the pro20center	er requirements, Staff is proposing to remove the	24	includes the least amount of farms. 3 percent of the	
 2 reporting 3 update 4 5 Order et 6 and the 7 Draft C 8 farms, 9 threat et 10 11 Dischar 12 have at 13 Irrigation 14 achieved 15 subset 16 temper 17 submit 18 19 the processory 20 center 	ual Compliance Form from the Tier 1 requirements.	25	farms, have 103 and 51,000 acres. This acreage of	
 2 reporting 3 update 4 5 Order et 6 and the 7 Draft C 8 farms, 9 threat et 10 11 Dischar 12 have at 13 Irrigation 14 achieved 15 subset 16 temper 17 submit 18 19 the processory 20 center 	Page 54			Page 56
 2 reporting 3 update 4 5 Order et 6 and the 7 Draft C 8 farms, 9 threat et 10 11 Dischar 12 have at 13 Irrigati 14 achieve 15 subset 16 temper 17 submit 18 19 the pro 20 center 	1 uge 34			Tuge 50
 3 update 4 5 Orders 6 and the 7 Draft C 8 farms, 9 threat 10 11 Dischar 12 have a 13 Irrigati 14 achieve 15 subset 16 temper 17 submit 18 19 the pro 20 center 	So Tier 1 requirements would have no annual	1	farms is based upon information submitted by growers in	
4 5 Order s 6 and the 7 Draft C 8 farms, 9 threat s 10 11 Dischar 12 have a 13 Irrigati 14 achieve 15 subset 16 temper 17 submit 18 19 the pro 20 center	prting for on farm, with the exception of filing an	2	the electronic Notices of Intent.	
 5 Order s and the 7 Draft C 8 farms, 9 threat s 10 11 Dischait 12 have a 13 Irrigati 14 achieve 15 subset 16 temper 17 submit 18 19 the pro 20 center 	ated Notice of Intent.	3	The Draft Order also includes incentives for	
 and the Draft C farms, threat f Dischait Dischait Anve at International content International content temper subset temper submitting the protocontent center 	In response to the comments that the Draft	4	those growers who can demonstrate efforts to reduce the	
 7 Draft C 8 farms, 9 threat 1 10 11 Dischar 12 have a 13 Irrigati 14 achieve 15 subset 16 temper 17 submit 18 19 the pro 20 center 	er should focus on the areas that are most impaired,	5	threat to our quality and implement our water quality	
 8 farms, 9 threat 10 11 Dischai 12 have a 13 Irrigati 14 achieve 15 subset 16 temper 17 submit 18 19 the pro 20 center 	those farms which are in the highest risk, the	6	improvements.	
 9 threat f 10 11 Dischai 12 have a 13 Irrigati 14 achieve 15 subset 16 temper 17 submit 18 19 the pro 20 center 	ft Order also proposes new requirements for Tier 3	7	For example, sustainable certifications,	
 10 11 Dischar 12 have a 13 Irrigati 14 achieve 15 subset 16 temper 17 submit 18 19 the pro 20 center 	ns, for those farms that are the relatively highest	8	being able to transfer to a lower Tier, as well as	
 11 Dischart 12 have a 13 Irrigati 14 achieve 15 subset 16 temper 17 submit 18 19 the pro 20 center 	at to water quality.	9	encouraging cooperative monitoring projects, or I'm	
 12 have a 13 Irrigati 14 achieve 15 subset 16 temper 17 submit 18 19 the pro 20 center 	Those new requirements are: Individual	10	sorry, cooperative water quality treatment projects,	
 13 Irrigati 14 achieve 15 subset 16 temper 17 submit 18 19 the pro 20 center 	harge monitoring. Also for subset of Tier 3, which	11	such as treatment wetlands or management aquatic	
 14 achieve 15 subset 16 temper 17 submit 18 19 the pro 20 center 	e an increased nitrate loading risk, to develop an	12	recharge or other efforts, for example, by a watershed	
 subset temper submit submit the pro center 	ation Treatment Management Plan, which is to	13	group. These projects could propose alternative	
 16 temper 17 submit 18 19 the pro 20 center 	action in a second real and a second real of the second real secon	14	monitoring or reporting, as well as alternative time	
 submit submit the pro center 	eve certain Nutrient Balance Targets, as well as a	15	schedules.	
18 19 the pro 20 center		16	In addition, the Draft Order also includes	
19the pro20center	eve certain Nutrient Balance Targets, as well as a	17	flexibility and alternatives. Individuals or groups	
20 center	eve certain Nutrient Balance Targets, as well as a set of Tier 3 farms that are adjacent to a sediment	18	can request specific Orders for commodity or for a	
20 center	eve certain Nutrient Balance Targets, as well as a set of Tier 3 farms that are adjacent to a sediment perature or to an impaired creek, would also have to	19	specific individual farming operation. It specifies	
	eve certain Nutrient Balance Targets, as well as a set of Tier 3 farms that are adjacent to a sediment perature or to an impaired creek, would also have to mit the Water Quality Buffer Plan.	20	that third-party groups are acceptable, and also	
	eve certain Nutrient Balance Targets, as well as a set of Tier 3 farms that are adjacent to a sediment perature or to an impaired creek, would also have to mit the Water Quality Buffer Plan. So, again, these are the proposed is some of	21	provides the flexibility for dischargers to comply with	
22 are the	eve certain Nutrient Balance Targets, as well as a set of Tier 3 farms that are adjacent to a sediment perature or to an impaired creek, would also have to mit the Water Quality Buffer Plan. So, again, these are the proposed is some of proposed requirements in the Draft Order. The		both surface receiving water monitoring as well as	
	eve certain Nutrient Balance Targets, as well as a set of Tier 3 farms that are adjacent to a sediment perature or to an impaired creek, would also have to mit the Water Quality Buffer Plan. So, again, these are the proposed is some of proposed requirements in the Draft Order. The ter is Tier 2, which is the more threat. On the	22		
•	eve certain Nutrient Balance Targets, as well as a set of Tier 3 farms that are adjacent to a sediment perature or to an impaired creek, would also have to mit the Water Quality Buffer Plan. So, again, these are the proposed is some of proposed requirements in the Draft Order. The ter is Tier 2, which is the more threat. On the you see Tier 1, which is the lower threat, which the farms that we are proposing to reduce the	22	groundwater monitoring, either individually, or as a	
•	eve certain Nutrient Balance Targets, as well as a set of Tier 3 farms that are adjacent to a sediment perature or to an impaired creek, would also have to mit the Water Quality Buffer Plan. So, again, these are the proposed is some of proposed requirements in the Draft Order. The ter is Tier 2, which is the more threat. On the you see Tier 1, which is the lower threat, which the farms that we are proposing to reduce the orting requirements for, and Tier 3, increased		groundwater monitoring, either individually, or as a cooperative effort.	
	eve certain Nutrient Balance Targets, as well as a set of Tier 3 farms that are adjacent to a sediment perature or to an impaired creek, would also have to mit the Water Quality Buffer Plan. So, again, these are the proposed is some of proposed requirements in the Draft Order. The ter is Tier 2, which is the more threat. On the you see Tier 1, which is the lower threat, which the farms that we are proposing to reduce the	23		

		1		
1	with groundwater monitoring by submitting existing	1	So this is a summary of the Tier farms	
2	groundwater data, if it's available.	2	again. The Tier 1 in blue, the Tier 2 is in green, and	
3	The Draft Order also includes flexibility for	3	the Tier 3 is in red. Again, 97 percent of the farms	
4	growers to evaluate nitrate risk by farm or by unit.	4	are Tier 1 and Tier 2 and 3 percent of the farms are in	
5	For example, if a grower has numerous crop types on a	5	Tier 3. So let's take a closer look at the Tier 3	
6	farm, and nitrate loading risk is only high for a	6	requirements. This is for the 103 farms in the	
7	particular area, it could evaluate that nitrate loading	7	actually, it's I'm sorry, there's 51,000 acres.	
8	risk by unit.	8	The subset, the Tier 3 farms have to conduct	
9	The Draft Order also includes alternatives to	9	individual discharge monitoring, as well as Irrigation	
10	the Tier 2 reporting of how nitrogen applied, the	10	Treatment Management Plan, and a Water Quality Buffer	
11	Tier 3 Certified Irrigation Treatment Plan, as well as	11	Plan. If we look at the subset of the Tier 3 farms	
12	the Tier 3 Water Quality Buffer Plan.	12	that would have to prepare an Irrigation Treatment	
13	So this, take a closer look at the farms and	13	Management Plan, Staff estimates that about 61 of the	
14	the tiers. This is a map of the Central Coast	14	103 farms have to prepare Irrigation Treatment	
15	Regions. The farms, Tier 1 farms, again, are the	15	Management Plan.	
16	lowest relative threat to water quality. They're shown	16	Similarly, Staff estimates that approximately	
17	here, blue dots. Notice that Tier 1 farms are located	17	58 farms would have to prepare a Water Buffer Plan.	
18	throughout the region. They really are not	18	And this is based on looking at the information in the	
19	concentrated in any particular one area.	19	electronic Notice of Intent as well as the location of	
20	The crop types, Tier 1 farms predominantly	20	those specific farms. So one of the most significant	
21	include vineyards, also orchards, as well as several	21	improvements of the Draft Order is improved monitoring	
22	crops, both berries, vegetables, and nurseries and	22	and reporting to evaluate the progress towards water	
23	greenhouses, but predominantly the crop type in this	23	quality improvement and verify the effectiveness of the	
24	Tier are the vineyards.	24	Order.	
25	Moving on to Tier 2, this is those farms	25	So what new information is gained? Well,	
	Page 58			Page 60
1	which would be considered a moderate threat to water	1	from all farms, we get data to identify groundwater	
2	quality. These are shown here in green. So in	2	impacted by nitrate. Get data to protect drinking	
3	response to comments that the Draft Order focus on this	3	water, beneficial uses. We also receive new	
4	impaired areas of region, notice that Tier 2 are	4	information on individual pesticides protected surface	
5	starting to get a closer focus on the areas where we	5	water. As well as get an identification of farms that	
6	see the most impairment, as described by Matt Keeling	6	are certified sustainable. There are many farms we	
7	and Karen Worcester, so predominantly in the	7	also get information to identify those that have an	
8	Salinas Valley as well as the Santa Maria area.	8	increased nitrate loading risk. We get the	
9	In terms of types of farms we see in Tier 2,	9	identification of practices that are implemented, as	
10	we see predominantly road crops in Tier 2, for the	10	well as indicators that practices are effective and	
11	berries and vegetables. We still also see orchards,	11	blue loads reduced. From a few farms, those	
12	nurseries, greenhouses and some vineyards in Tier 2.	12	higher-risk farms, we also get a certification is the	
13	Again, this is based upon information submitted by	13	certification of Irrigation and Nutrient Land Plans.	
14	growers in the electronic Notice of Intent.	14	Data to evaluate the quality of individual discharges,	
15	So finally, this is a map of the Tier 3	15	protection of adjacent surface water, and verification	
16	farms. They are shown here in red, and now we are	16	of progress and effectiveness, according to specific	
17	focusing, almost exclusively, in the areas where we see	17	indicators and milestones.	
18	the most severe impairment. The Salinas Valley, and	18	This new information will enable the Water	
19	also lower parts of Santa Maria. In terms of the types	19	Board to efficiently and effectively evaluate	
20	of farms in Tier 3, again, there's only 103 farms so	20	compliance and prioritize farms for appropriate	
21	now we can start getting very specific. In this Tier,	21	follow-up, based on water quality, and ensure the	
22	we see 96 farms that grow road crops, primarily	22	protection of safe drinking water sources. It will	
23	vegetables, but also see just a handful of other farm	23	also allow the Water Board to evaluate the	
24	types, approximately five strawberry farms, one nursery	24	effectiveness of the Order. Most importantly, this new	
25	and one greenhouse.	25	information will help us to learn more and adapt as a	
	Page 59			Page 61
1		J.		-

1	Board to continuously improve the Order and its	1	taking further action. If we need to, we'll send a
2	implementation.	2	letter to the Discharger, if we can't get a hold of
3	So, in conclusion, the Draft Order is	3	them, otherwise, if the letter does not work, we send a
4	responsive to the input from State Boards. It does	4	Notice of Violation. Again, the majority of violation
5	address comments that requirements should be based on	5	issues are resolved at that point. Rarely, do we have
6	individual farms and not one size fits all. The Draft	6	to send a notice, Second Notice of Violation or move on
7	Order does focus on the areas of the region that are	7	to proposed fines. If we do move on to proposed fines,
8	most impaired. It does include requirements	8	we usually offer a settlement as a fraction of the
9	prioritizing the protection of drinking water and	9	maximum allowable and the majority of those cases are
10	groundwater and Draft Order does build on the 2004	10	settled without coming to the Board. But if the
11	Conditional Waiver, and maintains similar requirements	11	Discharger would like to, they can come to the Board
12	for a large percentage of growers and reduced the	12	and have a hearing before the Board, and that has
13	reporting for more than half. It increased	13	happened, I think, if I remember correctly, once with
14	requirements for a small percentage of growers	14	respect to 2004 Order, in eight years. And when it
15	exhibiting an increased threat to water quality.	15	does come to the Board, if it comes to the Board, the
16	The Draft Order complies with the Water Code	16	Board can dismiss the proposal of fines, or they could
17	Plans and Policies and is reasonable, given the	17	decrease the proposed amount, or increase.
18	severity of water quality conditions and tap and	18	At the last workshop in February, we heard a
19	drinking water.	19	lot about this fear factor. What about enforcement of
20	And now Michael Thomas will list for you	20	Water Quality Standards? When the Order is adopted,
21	about implementation and reports.	21	will Staff pursue enforcement action immediately
22	MR. THOMAS: Good afternoon, Mr. Chair and members	22	against growers for violation of Water Quality
23	of the Board.	23	Standards? The answer to that is no, period. The
24	THE REPORTER: I can't hear you.	24	permits, the Draft permit states in Attachment A,
25	MR. THOMAS: How about that?	25	Page 2, "The Central Coast Water Board recognizes
	Page 62		Page 64
1	MR. YOUNG: Hang on one second, Michael. Madam	1	that dischargers may not achieve immediate
2	Reporter, can you hear everything okay?	2	compliance with all the requirements. Thus
3	THE REPORTER: Right now I am, yeah. I'm letting	3	this Order provides reasonable schedules for
4	them know when I can't.	4	discharge to reach full compliance, over
5	MR. YOUNG: Would you prefer being in a different	5	many years, by implementing management
6	location?	6	practices and monitoring and reporting
7	THE REPORTER: Maybe after the break, I'll move.	7	programs that demonstrate and verify
8	Right now, we're good.	8	measurable progress annually."
9	MR. YOUNG: We'll take a break in a bit and if you	9	And that's a quote.
10	want to move anywhere, well, just let me know.	10	So what does it look like, if we obviously
11	THE REPORTER: Okay. Thanks.	11	want growers to eventually achieve water quality
12	MR. THOMAS: Good afternoon or good morning,	12	standards? What's that look like? It's a difficult
13	Mr. Chair and members of the Board. I'm going to talk	13	process. The growers have to implement management
14	a little bit about enforcement related to this	14	practices, monitor and report effectiveness to the
15	Conditional Waiver of Waste Discharge Requirements, and	15	Board, and then adjust, based on those results, and
16	the Order that is before the Board today.	16	then keep improving those management practices and
17	This 2012 Conditional Waiver has	17	eventually achieve the water quality. It is possible
18	administrative type requirements, and that is,	18	that some growers will not do anything, will do little
19	enrollment fees and online reporting and submittal due	19	or no implementation. They believe that the Water
20	dates and reporting. And Staff can pursue enforcement	20	Board doesn't have authority to regulate them, so they
21	action for violations of those administrative type	21	will choose not to. And in that case, we will pursue a
1		1	
22		22	different avenue, and that is waste discharge
	actions. And the typical sequence that we would follow	22 23	different avenue, and that is Waste Discharge Requirements. Waste Discharge Requirements is a
23	actions. And the typical sequence that we would follow is when we find that there is a violation, I usually	23	Requirements. Waste Discharge Requirements is a
23 24	actions. And the typical sequence that we would follow is when we find that there is a violation, I usually make a phone call or I send an email, and the majority	23 24	Requirements. Waste Discharge Requirements is a different kind of Order, and so if growers are not
23	actions. And the typical sequence that we would follow is when we find that there is a violation, I usually	23	Requirements. Waste Discharge Requirements is a

Atkinson-Baker, Inc. Court Reporters

-

1	consider Waste Discharge Requirement. Waste Discharge	1	automatically in Tier 1. And the Ag industry, other	
2	Requirements often do have Water Quality Standards in	2	segments of the Ag industry are free to pursue and	
3	them, and they are enforceable. And there are cases	3	develop these kinds of programs and this Order, this	
4	right now that we are considering for pursuing Waste	4	Draft Order, encourages them to do so.	
5	Discharge Requirements. We are not working on those,	5	Briefly, I want to mention the amount of	
6	because we have been working on this Order continuously	6	resources that we've allocated to this effort. All of	
7	for three and a half years.	7	the people listed here have been working on this	
8	MR. YOUNG: Well, can you just quickly clarify,	8	project over the past three and a half years now.	
9	what do you mean cases? Agricultural	9	Those that are listed in bold, at the beginning, have	
10	MR. THOMAS: Yes.	10	been spending almost 100 percent of their time on this	
11	MR. YOUNG: cases?	11	project. It does not include Executive Officers, and	
12	MR. THOMAS: There are cases where operations that	12	Assistant Executive Officers or Board time. We have	
13	are not complying with the existing Order, in our	13	spent more time on this project, than any other project	
14	opinion, and I can't go into details about it, because	14	on the Board, and we are tying up resources and not	
15	it could be a pending action before the Board. But	15	doing other things that we should be doing, that we are	
16	there are such cases and we will pursue these.	16	responsible for doing. That includes implementation of	
17	We need to move from the condition on the	17	the Ag Program.	
18	left here, which is not protected with benefits for	18	The Board adopted an Order in 2004, and we	
19	uses, which is causing the kind of pollutions that we	19	are not implementing the things that we need to	
20	have heard about extensively today, and in every	20	implement to deal with the severe water quality	
21	previous workshop and hearing that we've had. We need	21	pollution problem, because we are spending time on	
22	to move for the conditions on the right. There are	22	bringing this forward to the Board again and again, in	
23	solutions available and we can do this.	23	workshops and hearings.	
24	You've heard that people or growers are	24	We are not taking action on Public Health	
25	worried about complying with water quality objectives	25	Protection. There are drinking water problems that we	
	Page 66			Page 68
1	as long as the record is adopted. I just explained	1	need to be addressed. We have identified several. We	
2	that that is not the case. We will not be taking	2	know there are several more. We need to be taking	
3	forcible action on Water Quality Objectives, but what	3	action on this, and we haven't been doing it because	
4	we talk about internally is not how we are going to	4	our resources are tied up on this delay.	
5	enforce Water Quality Objectives. What we talk about	5	There are also total maximum daily load	
6	is the best defense for growers is a good offense.	6	reports that we are required to do by law. These	
7	What they need to be doing is demonstrating the	7	Orders, many of these Orders, address the most severe	
8	implementation of practices, and providing the	8	agricultural water quality pollution issues and they	
9	information that verifies the effectiveness of those	9	rely on this Order. They refer to this Order and they	
10	practices. That is, by far, the best defense that they	10	rely on this Order for implementation. We need to get	
11	could possibly have.	11	this Order adopted because it affects many other things	
12	Again, these programs are available. There	12	that we do.	
13	are places in our region that this Board has spent is	13	We're also planning to bring basic plan	
14	not spent, allocated tens of millions of dollars	14	amendments to the Board. Our basic plan describes the	
15	towards projects to demonstrate that there are	15	water quality conditions in our regions, and our	
16	practices available, and that they work.	16	resources, and the programs are in place to address the	
17	There are also Ag developed programs, like	17	most severe problems. We have major basic plan	
18	the sustainability and practice certification. We	18	amendments that we need to bring before this Board, and	1
19	didn't develop this. The Ag industry did. The	19	we're not.	
20	standards or Sustainability In Practice are, they look	20	The Water Board's mission, as a reminder, is	
21	at the farm in its entirety and they consider the	21	preserve, enhance, and restore the quality of	
22	orchards, soil fertility, cover crop, wildlife, native	22	California Water Resources, for the benefit of the	
23	plants, and irrigation, and they look at the whole	23	present and future generations. We're not doing it.	
24	system.	24	These conditions do not protect resources for	
25	Operations that are SIP Certified are	25	this generation or future generations. Water quality	
	Page 67			Page 69
L	1 450 07	1		

25		17.0		
24	us. We cannot negotiate away protection of public health and public resources. We often hear that we	24	until later, that's also okay. I'll leave it up to you.	
23	our plans, and our policies to do what is required of	23	····	
22	is paramount. We must act now to comply with our laws,	22	·····	
21	get, the worse it looks. The threat to public health	21	of our other elective representatives would like to	
20	is severe, and it's getting worse. The more data we	20	you had also requested time. And I will invite, if any	
19	In conclusion, the Water Quality Degradation	19		
18	that, and to protect them. We're not doing it.	18	give Congressman Farr the opportunity to approach the	
17	Water Board and Water Board Staff, to try to provide	17	MR. YOUNG: Any questions of Staff? I wanted to	
16	have a right to clean water. It's our job, as the	16	()	
15	it up if they want to use it. It's not true. They do	15	speaking and asking you to take your seats.	
14	right to clean water. We have an obligation to clean	14	Believe it or not, at 11:20, I'm going to start	
13	tell us it's not true, that the public does not have a	13		
12	a half years. We have been meeting with people who	12	5 /	
11	We have been arguing about this for three and	11	will make a recommendation.	
10	water."	10	other presentations are made, and the Executive Officer	
9	California has a right to pure and sanitary	9	······································	
8	The Health and Safety Code: "Every citizen of	8	not see. Do you want to do that now or later?	
7	As Matt pointed out earlier, this quote from	7	On the packet, there's an irrigation slide that we did	
6	We are not doing this.	6	MR. DELGADO: I just have a very brief question.	
5	degradation."	5	ten-minute break right now.	
4	quality of waters in the state from	4	to our own questions of Staff now or we can take a	
3	full power and jurisdiction to protect the	3	has to is it leave by noon? Okay. Um, we can go on	
2	says, "The Board must be prepared to exercise its	2	break some time before noon. I know Congressman Farr	
1	quality problem. Porter-Cologne Water Quality Act	1	For the rest of you, we are going to take a	
	Page 7	0		Page 72
20	authority and the responsibility to address this water		MR. YOUNG: Okay. Thank you, Mr. Thomas.	
24 25		24		
23 24	The Water Board is the only agency with the	23		
22	of our communities to do something about it." That's us.	22		
21 22	are solutions, but we need the people in charge	21	Board has allocated, as I have said, tens of millions	
	your problem. It's everyone's problem. There	20	reason to delay. The solutions are available. This	
19 20	better than anyone, "Our problem is going to be	19	demonstrate a reduction in the pollution load is not a	
18	are being incurred by that community. She said it	18	information. An unwillingness to submit information to	
17 10	groundwater pollution, and the extraordinary costs that	17	submit information to this Board. That is public	
16 17	San Jerardo area. They're affected by the pollution,	16	····· F.······ ···· ····· ·············	
15	Sonia Lopez, and her son, Leonardo, from that	15		
14	Sonia Lopez. This is a picture of	14	An unwillingness to submit data or specified	
13	We are not doing this.	13		
12	of the Board's decisions, regulations, and policies.	12	a real delay. It's a real delay of these other	
11	development, adoption, implementation, and enforcement	11	our priority cases, as I have already mentioned. It's	
10	integrate Environmental Justice Consideration into the	10	Doctrine. Delay prevents implementation and action on	
9	Justice Policy, and the number one goal listed is	9	protecting the public trust and implementing that	
8	The State Water Board has an Environmental	8	Trust Doctrine Policy and we are responsible for	
7	on the left to the condition on the right.	7	The California Constitution has a Public	
6	is extraordinary. We need to move from the condition	6	resources.	
5	of people that are affected, and public health, which	5	negotiating away protection of public health or public	
4	water pollution problems in our regions, and the number	4	threat and the pollution problem. It is not	
3	and in the literature, demonstrates the severity of the	3	this. Resolving this is dealing with the public health	
	• •	1 -	negotiate a little while longer, perhaps we can resolve	
2	multiple times, that can be established in the record	2	negotiate a little while longer, perhaps we can resolve	

1	Congressmen	1	Pruce Delande, really knows that system from Fort Ord	
2	Congressman.	1	Bruce Delgado, really knows that system from Fort Ord,	
	MR. FARR: Thank you very much, Chairman Young,	3	because we had contaminates in the groundwater there.	
3	and thank you, members of the Board. Thank you for		We had a pumping and we'd clean up the water and	
4	your public service.	4	recharge it and it's going to probably take 20 or 30	
5	My name is Sam Farr. I'm a member of	5	years to clean up that phosphor.	
6	Congress and I represent Monterey, Santa Cruz and San	6	We also had huge problems with unexploding	
7	Benito in the 17th Congressional District. One of my	7	ordinances, and haven't been able to solve those at the	
8	roles, in Congress, is to serve as the ranking member	8	moment, and I really felt the Board's or the Staff's	
9	on the Ag Appropriations Committee. That's the	9	passion in telling you, you know, we got to get this	
10	committee that funds all of the Department of	10	done, but frankly, this isn't a Staff driven project.	
11	Agriculture and the FDA. And in that role, I've heard	11	You're the political responsibility to listen to the	
12	every single issue there is about Agriculture in	12	public and get it done and we've got to get it done in	
13	America. In particular, the interest now in water	13	a collaboration. I mean, as pointed out, it only will	
14	quality in other States. Big huge problems in the	14	work, all this contamination in your mandate, your	
15	Mississippi Delta, Mississippi River Basin,	15	mandate, it seems to me is the mandate about	
16	Chesapeake Bay. One of the things I've seen in my	16	restoring. I saw that in your responsibilities	
17	public service of being a County Supervisor, a State	17	regarding water quality, but also restoring. And this	
18	Assembly member, a member of Congress since in public	18	can't be done overnight. It can't be done at all	
19	office since 1975, is that what we really have here in	19	without the landowners and the growers on that land.	
20	Central Coast, not only incredible amount of	20	They have got to be part of this solution. And all	
21	agriculture that is feeding its nations, about 70	21	I've learned in my life of politics, and certainly	
22	percent of all the fresh fruits and vegetables in the	22	being a father and grandfather, is there are no	
23	world, let alone, the United States come from here.	23	absolutes. We can work down and work it out. And my	1
24	And it's a huge, huge economic engine. But it only	24	coming down here today was just to urge you to take	
25	works, when we work together. And I brag about the	25	whatever time you need to get this, so that it will	
	Page 74			Page 76
1	fact that we, here in the Central Coast, we've been	1	work. It's not going work in a penalistic way. Leafy	
2	able to come up with solutions. Like the is it's	2	Green Marking Order is a great example of a thorough is	
3	mentioned by the Staff, the Central Coast Vineyard	3	through examination reporting. All voluntary. But	
4	Team. The Leafy Green Marketing Order, which,	4	that, now, is the model to the whole nation. And you	
5	essentially, was all private sector driven, came up	5	ought to see the push back from other states. Oh, we	
6	overnight, incredible enforcement program on, you know,	6		
		6	can't do that. And the industry came up with that. In	
7	quality, on health quality of leafy greens. The	7	can't do that. And the industry came up with that. In fact, the industry came before our committee and said,	
7 8	quality, on health quality of leafy greens. The Waiver, when we first started that, it was all		fact, the industry came before our committee and said,	
8	Waiver, when we first started that, it was all	7	fact, the industry came before our committee and said, "Please regulate us. We'll give you some suggestions."	
8 9	Waiver, when we first started that, it was all voluntary, and it ended up being nine counties wide.	7 8 9	fact, the industry came before our committee and said, "Please regulate us. We'll give you some suggestions." And now we hope that that will become a National	
8 9 10	Waiver, when we first started that, it was all voluntary, and it ended up being nine counties wide. The program was the first flush in studying all the	7 8 9 10	fact, the industry came before our committee and said, "Please regulate us. We'll give you some suggestions." And now we hope that that will become a National Order. We can do it here. We have the capability. We	
8 9 10 11	Waiver, when we first started that, it was all voluntary, and it ended up being nine counties wide. The program was the first flush in studying all the streams and all the other additional programs that have	7 8 9	fact, the industry came before our committee and said, "Please regulate us. We'll give you some suggestions." And now we hope that that will become a National Order. We can do it here. We have the capability. We have the wherewithal, and the growers that are sitting	
8 9 10 11 12	Waiver, when we first started that, it was all voluntary, and it ended up being nine counties wide. The program was the first flush in studying all the streams and all the other additional programs that have worked very successfully, that the Staff just	7 8 9 10 11 12	fact, the industry came before our committee and said, "Please regulate us. We'll give you some suggestions." And now we hope that that will become a National Order. We can do it here. We have the capability. We have the wherewithal, and the growers that are sitting behind me and others in our environmental community and	
8 9 10 11 12 13	Waiver, when we first started that, it was all voluntary, and it ended up being nine counties wide. The program was the first flush in studying all the streams and all the other additional programs that have worked very successfully, that the Staff just mentioned.	7 8 9 10 11 12 13	fact, the industry came before our committee and said, "Please regulate us. We'll give you some suggestions." And now we hope that that will become a National Order. We can do it here. We have the capability. We have the wherewithal, and the growers that are sitting behind me and others in our environmental community and I just know the only time these things actually work is	
8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Waiver, when we first started that, it was all voluntary, and it ended up being nine counties wide. The program was the first flush in studying all the streams and all the other additional programs that have worked very successfully, that the Staff just mentioned. Nitrate contamination is a huge problem.	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	fact, the industry came before our committee and said, "Please regulate us. We'll give you some suggestions." And now we hope that that will become a National Order. We can do it here. We have the capability. We have the wherewithal, and the growers that are sitting behind me and others in our environmental community and I just know the only time these things actually work is when you use a carrot and a stick. But in this case,	
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Waiver, when we first started that, it was all voluntary, and it ended up being nine counties wide. The program was the first flush in studying all the streams and all the other additional programs that have worked very successfully, that the Staff just mentioned. Nitrate contamination is a huge problem. It's a serious problem. But, remember, the Salinas	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	fact, the industry came before our committee and said, "Please regulate us. We'll give you some suggestions." And now we hope that that will become a National Order. We can do it here. We have the capability. We have the wherewithal, and the growers that are sitting behind me and others in our environmental community and I just know the only time these things actually work is when you use a carrot and a stick. But in this case, restoration is going to take some time, and a lot of	
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Waiver, when we first started that, it was all voluntary, and it ended up being nine counties wide. The program was the first flush in studying all the streams and all the other additional programs that have worked very successfully, that the Staff just mentioned. Nitrate contamination is a huge problem. It's a serious problem. But, remember, the Salinas Valley was first farmed by dairies. Hundreds of	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	fact, the industry came before our committee and said, "Please regulate us. We'll give you some suggestions." And now we hope that that will become a National Order. We can do it here. We have the capability. We have the wherewithal, and the growers that are sitting behind me and others in our environmental community and I just know the only time these things actually work is when you use a carrot and a stick. But in this case, restoration is going to take some time, and a lot of carrots. It's going to take cooperation of the	
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Waiver, when we first started that, it was all voluntary, and it ended up being nine counties wide. The program was the first flush in studying all the streams and all the other additional programs that have worked very successfully, that the Staff just mentioned. Nitrate contamination is a huge problem. It's a serious problem. But, remember, the Salinas Valley was first farmed by dairies. Hundreds of dairies, wall-to-wall dairy products. That dates back	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	fact, the industry came before our committee and said, "Please regulate us. We'll give you some suggestions." And now we hope that that will become a National Order. We can do it here. We have the capability. We have the wherewithal, and the growers that are sitting behind me and others in our environmental community and I just know the only time these things actually work is when you use a carrot and a stick. But in this case, restoration is going to take some time, and a lot of carrots. It's going to take cooperation of the farmers. And I urge whatever Order you come up with,	
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Waiver, when we first started that, it was all voluntary, and it ended up being nine counties wide. The program was the first flush in studying all the streams and all the other additional programs that have worked very successfully, that the Staff just mentioned. Nitrate contamination is a huge problem. It's a serious problem. But, remember, the Salinas Valley was first farmed by dairies. Hundreds of dairies, wall-to-wall dairy products. That dates back 160 years. There's been a lot of build-up since then,	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	fact, the industry came before our committee and said, "Please regulate us. We'll give you some suggestions." And now we hope that that will become a National Order. We can do it here. We have the capability. We have the wherewithal, and the growers that are sitting behind me and others in our environmental community and I just know the only time these things actually work is when you use a carrot and a stick. But in this case, restoration is going to take some time, and a lot of carrots. It's going to take cooperation of the farmers. And I urge whatever Order you come up with, that it works for them.	
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Waiver, when we first started that, it was all voluntary, and it ended up being nine counties wide. The program was the first flush in studying all the streams and all the other additional programs that have worked very successfully, that the Staff just mentioned. Nitrate contamination is a huge problem. It's a serious problem. But, remember, the Salinas Valley was first farmed by dairies. Hundreds of dairies, wall-to-wall dairy products. That dates back 160 years. There's been a lot of build-up since then, and I remember this discussion when I was on the Board	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	fact, the industry came before our committee and said, "Please regulate us. We'll give you some suggestions." And now we hope that that will become a National Order. We can do it here. We have the capability. We have the wherewithal, and the growers that are sitting behind me and others in our environmental community and I just know the only time these things actually work is when you use a carrot and a stick. But in this case, restoration is going to take some time, and a lot of carrots. It's going to take cooperation of the farmers. And I urge whatever Order you come up with, that it works for them. Thank you very much.	
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Waiver, when we first started that, it was all voluntary, and it ended up being nine counties wide. The program was the first flush in studying all the streams and all the other additional programs that have worked very successfully, that the Staff just mentioned. Nitrate contamination is a huge problem. It's a serious problem. But, remember, the Salinas Valley was first farmed by dairies. Hundreds of dairies, wall-to-wall dairy products. That dates back 160 years. There's been a lot of build-up since then, and I remember this discussion when I was on the Board of Supervisors in Monterey County in the 1970s. It's a	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	fact, the industry came before our committee and said, "Please regulate us. We'll give you some suggestions." And now we hope that that will become a National Order. We can do it here. We have the capability. We have the wherewithal, and the growers that are sitting behind me and others in our environmental community and I just know the only time these things actually work is when you use a carrot and a stick. But in this case, restoration is going to take some time, and a lot of carrots. It's going to take cooperation of the farmers. And I urge whatever Order you come up with, that it works for them. Thank you very much. MR. YOUNG: Thank you for your comments.	
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Waiver, when we first started that, it was all voluntary, and it ended up being nine counties wide. The program was the first flush in studying all the streams and all the other additional programs that have worked very successfully, that the Staff just mentioned. Nitrate contamination is a huge problem. It's a serious problem. But, remember, the Salinas Valley was first farmed by dairies. Hundreds of dairies, wall-to-wall dairy products. That dates back 160 years. There's been a lot of build-up since then, and I remember this discussion when I was on the Board of Supervisors in Monterey County in the 1970s. It's a problem that's going to take, as Staff has suggested,	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	fact, the industry came before our committee and said, "Please regulate us. We'll give you some suggestions." And now we hope that that will become a National Order. We can do it here. We have the capability. We have the wherewithal, and the growers that are sitting behind me and others in our environmental community and I just know the only time these things actually work is when you use a carrot and a stick. But in this case, restoration is going to take some time, and a lot of carrots. It's going to take cooperation of the farmers. And I urge whatever Order you come up with, that it works for them. Thank you very much. MR. YOUNG: Thank you for your comments. Mayor Delgado.	
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Waiver, when we first started that, it was all voluntary, and it ended up being nine counties wide. The program was the first flush in studying all the streams and all the other additional programs that have worked very successfully, that the Staff just mentioned. Nitrate contamination is a huge problem. It's a serious problem. But, remember, the Salinas Valley was first farmed by dairies. Hundreds of dairies, wall-to-wall dairy products. That dates back 160 years. There's been a lot of build-up since then, and I remember this discussion when I was on the Board of Supervisors in Monterey County in the 1970s. It's a problem that's going to take, as Staff has suggested, it's going to take, and the reports that you've just	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 fact, the industry came before our committee and said, "Please regulate us. We'll give you some suggestions." And now we hope that that will become a National Order. We can do it here. We have the capability. We have the wherewithal, and the growers that are sitting behind me and others in our environmental community and I just know the only time these things actually work is when you use a carrot and a stick. But in this case, restoration is going to take some time, and a lot of carrots. It's going to take cooperation of the farmers. And I urge whatever Order you come up with, that it works for them. Thank you very much. MR. YOUNG: Thank you for your comments. Mayor Delgado. MR. DELGADO: Yeah. Can I ask you a couple 	
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Waiver, when we first started that, it was all voluntary, and it ended up being nine counties wide. The program was the first flush in studying all the streams and all the other additional programs that have worked very successfully, that the Staff just mentioned. Nitrate contamination is a huge problem. It's a serious problem. But, remember, the Salinas Valley was first farmed by dairies. Hundreds of dairies, wall-to-wall dairy products. That dates back 160 years. There's been a lot of build-up since then, and I remember this discussion when I was on the Board of Supervisors in Monterey County in the 1970s. It's a problem that's going to take, as Staff has suggested, it's going to take, and the reports that you've just seen, the Davis Report and the Huertos Report, it takes	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 fact, the industry came before our committee and said, "Please regulate us. We'll give you some suggestions." And now we hope that that will become a National Order. We can do it here. We have the capability. We have the wherewithal, and the growers that are sitting behind me and others in our environmental community and I just know the only time these things actually work is when you use a carrot and a stick. But in this case, restoration is going to take some time, and a lot of carrots. It's going to take cooperation of the farmers. And I urge whatever Order you come up with, that it works for them. Thank you very much. MR. YOUNG: Thank you for your comments. Mayor Delgado. MR. DELGADO: Yeah. Can I ask you a couple questions, Sam? 	
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Waiver, when we first started that, it was all voluntary, and it ended up being nine counties wide. The program was the first flush in studying all the streams and all the other additional programs that have worked very successfully, that the Staff just mentioned. Nitrate contamination is a huge problem. It's a serious problem. But, remember, the Salinas Valley was first farmed by dairies. Hundreds of dairies, wall-to-wall dairy products. That dates back 160 years. There's been a lot of build-up since then, and I remember this discussion when I was on the Board of Supervisors in Monterey County in the 1970s. It's a problem that's going to take, as Staff has suggested, it's going to take, and the reports that you've just seen, the Davis Report and the Huertos Report, it takes good science to know where the problem is, and it takes	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 fact, the industry came before our committee and said, "Please regulate us. We'll give you some suggestions." And now we hope that that will become a National Order. We can do it here. We have the capability. We have the wherewithal, and the growers that are sitting behind me and others in our environmental community and I just know the only time these things actually work is when you use a carrot and a stick. But in this case, restoration is going to take some time, and a lot of carrots. It's going to take cooperation of the farmers. And I urge whatever Order you come up with, that it works for them. Thank you very much. MR. YOUNG: Thank you for your comments. Mayor Delgado. MR. DELGADO: Yeah. Can I ask you a couple questions, Sam? MR. FARR: I don't know. In my business, you have 	
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Waiver, when we first started that, it was all voluntary, and it ended up being nine counties wide. The program was the first flush in studying all the streams and all the other additional programs that have worked very successfully, that the Staff just mentioned. Nitrate contamination is a huge problem. It's a serious problem. But, remember, the Salinas Valley was first farmed by dairies. Hundreds of dairies, wall-to-wall dairy products. That dates back 160 years. There's been a lot of build-up since then, and I remember this discussion when I was on the Board of Supervisors in Monterey County in the 1970s. It's a problem that's going to take, as Staff has suggested, it's going to take, and the reports that you've just seen, the Davis Report and the Huertos Report, it takes	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	 fact, the industry came before our committee and said, "Please regulate us. We'll give you some suggestions." And now we hope that that will become a National Order. We can do it here. We have the capability. We have the wherewithal, and the growers that are sitting behind me and others in our environmental community and I just know the only time these things actually work is when you use a carrot and a stick. But in this case, restoration is going to take some time, and a lot of carrots. It's going to take cooperation of the farmers. And I urge whatever Order you come up with, that it works for them. Thank you very much. MR. YOUNG: Thank you for your comments. Mayor Delgado. MR. DELGADO: Yeah. Can I ask you a couple questions, Sam? 	Page 7

1	MR. DELGADO: I did that already.		1	I think, of walnuts in the United States. So there's	
2	MR. YOUNG: Yeah, he did. But please refer to him		2	huge, huge crops and big international markets. China	
3	as Congressman Farr, sir.		3	is running out of land. The future is to grow in	
4	MR. FARR: No, you can drop all that.		4	California, if you can afford to be in agriculture. So	
5	MR. DELGADO: Sam, you mentioned the legacy of		5	all this regulatory process, as you know, is in balance	
6	decades of land use leading up to today. And I don't		6	with how do you make it work, and my suggestion, and I	
7	know if you were here earlier, but were you here when		7	don't know all the specifics of it, but it seems to me	
8	you heard the 2011 Lawrence Livermore Study cited,		8	that agriculture isn't trying to deny there's a	
9	saying that the pollution is legacy, as you mentioned,		9	problem. They're trying, you know, they're working	
10	and also recent, as the contamination levels they are		10	with you to try to figure out, how do we get a workable	
11	finding are in part due to ongoing land use, in		11	solution so we can both win.	
12	addition to the legacy. I'm just wondering if you		12	MR. DELGADO: Sam, Congressman Farr, when you	
13	caught that.		13	mention that it's we don't want to be too penalistic,	
14	MR. FARR: Yes. And, in fact, looking at the		14	are there any examples of the kinds of penalties that	
15	Davis Report and the Executive Summary is it looks like		15	you think are the problem, or by penalistic, are you	
16	I tore that page out and didn't bring it in is but I		16	suggesting that the whole process is so onerous that	
17	remember that of the last recommendations on that, the		17	it's sort of a it's a surrogate for a penalty, even	
18	ninth one talks about is here it is. One of the		18	though or are you actually worried about actual	
19	problems is inconsistency and inaccessibility of data		19	penalties.	
20	prevent an effective and continuous assessment. A		20	MR. FARR: No, it's the onerous part of it. It	
21	statewide effort is needed to integrate diverse water		21	seems to me, there's more stick than carrot.	
22	related data collection activities by many state and		22	MR. DELGADO: All right.	
23	local agencies. Many of the issues that were shown by		23	MR. FARR: And I think it's going to work when you	
24	Staff of requiring plans and submission of data is done		24	get more carrot.	
25	also by Leafy Green Marketing Order, done by different		25	MR. DELGADO: Okay. And I	
	Pa	ge 78			Page 80
					1 480 00
1	entities that is the Vineyards is Sustainable Vineyards		1	MR. FARR: And the Waiver, you've been giving all	
2	project Organic Standards Project and on and on and I		2	these years, has been phenomenal. Remember, that all	
3	think that there's, there's a lot of successes out		3	started off voluntarily. That was not something that	
4	there that seems to be less penalistic than this one.		4	the Board came up with and said you got to do this.	
5	And I'm not a grower, and, you know, part of it is		5	The regulations were out there and they said here's how	
6	that is sustainability. That's what we talked about.		6	we can do it. We'll need some waivers, in order to do	
7	We all want that. It's an easy word to use. It's a		7	it, but we're working on it. So I think you've had a	
8	hard word to implement. But the reality is, if indeed		8	history, that it's a very cooperative effort. It's	
9	we want to sustain fresh nutritious agriculture, which		9	successful.	
10	is what we're supposed to be eating in this country.		10	MR. DELGADO: Thanks. My last question, and I	
11	Part of our health care problems is to, you know is I		11	know your time is valuable, last year in February, you	
12	say, the farmers are the first responders to this new		12	sent a very thorough letter to our Board I wasn't	
13	health care plan, because the health care plan assumes		13	here then and a couple months later, in April, the	
14	that Americans will grow up healthy. Water quality is		14	Board Staff responded to your letter and that back and	
15	a big issue, but if we don't sustain these growers in		15	forth communication, including some of the concerns	
16	some cost effective way, through regulation, we're		16	that you mentioned today, and it also included some	
17	going to lose them. I think that would be is I mean,		17	others that you didn't mention today, probably because	
18	we grew up with agriculture. I think we take so much		18	you're not going to repeat everything you said a year	
19	for granted. Sometimes you have to get out of this		19	ago, but my question is, since I thought that the	
20	system realizing how big it is. You know, California		20	response to your letter was a pretty good response, I'm	
21	is still the number one Ag state. Nobody else in the		21	wondering if, since then, if your Staff decided to	
22	United States believes that. They don't think		22	engage or not engage in further discussions, since you	
23	California is an Ag state. You know, we grow about 200		23	got the response?	
24	crops in this state that no other state grows. We're		24	MR. FARR: I'm not sure I understand the	
25	the only producer of almonds. We're the only producer,		25	question.	
	Pa	ge 79			Page 81
	1 u	0			

1	MR. DELGADO: That you had some concerns expressed	1	dischargers to be accountable, what I'm being told by	
2	last year, and then there was a response to those	2	legal staff, and or what we're being told by legal	
3	concerns. Since then, has there been any discussion or	3	staff is that those are violative of the law. And $\ensuremath{\mathrm{I}}$	
4	desire for discussion?	4	got my law degree at K-Mart. I mean, I'm not in much	
5	MR. FARR: Yes. I think, frankly, the work that	5	position to argue those questions, unless it's I	
6	the Staff has been doing with the community has been	6	understand they're legal opinions, legal advice, but	
7	very progressive. It's been a work in progress. I	7	still, it's pretty high bar for us, as a Board, to say	
8	think the frustration now is this, sort of, mandate	8	we disagree with that. So I just want to make it	
9	that we got to do it now. I mean, the Staff works for	9	clear, I mean, our problem is that it does no good to	
10	you, not the other way around. And it's your decision,	10	say, or at least my view of our problem, it does no	
11	is this good enough to be adopted? When you have that	11	good to say, let's give a bunch of additional time to	
12	feeling, and it will work. The bottom line here is not	12	negotiate, if there are fundamental issues that appear	
13	coming up with a regulatory system, it's coming up with	13	to be nonnegotiable in terms of Ag's view, as far as	
14	a process that will work. That's that may take more	14	what they're willing to do, and in terms of law, as far	
15	time. It may take tweaking. I think there's been some	15	as what it requires. So I'm looking for some help	
16	good progress made, and I'm not sure that today is.	16	here. I'd like to hear it.	
17	That's all. This is not your final day.	17	MR. FARR: Well, I always believe there's never	
18	MR. DELGADO: Thank you very much.	18	nothing that's you're a labor leader there is	
19	MR. YOUNG: Congressman, I guess some of them are	19	nothing that's nonnegotiable. There are standard	
20	not done with you yet.	20	practices in what is priority information and how to	
21	Mr. Johnston.	21	handle that. There's lots of requirements in law for	
22	MR. FARR: This is what happens when you're	22	proprietary information to be filed, and you just have	
23	responsible for a hundred billion dollars of your	23	to make sure that whatever standards I think, what	
24	taxpayer's money.	24	the concerns I hear is more that the paperwork it takes	
25	MR. YOUNG: Did you bring a bag with you today?	25	to do, that is just so onerous. It's not sort of	
	Page 82		Pa	age 84
1	MR. FARR: No. You usually have to ask me for	1	you know, we're are not the they're not CPAs,	
2	it.	2	they're growers. And, uh, how do you make a project	
3	MR. JOHNSTON: You brought the checkbook, right,	3	that allows them to be cost effective in growing	
4	Congressman? Um, look, Congressman Farr, I while I	4	things, rather than just having to be paper managers.	
5	very much understand Staff's frustration with the fact	5	And I don't know the answer. There is a way. There's,	
6	that resources have been diverted for a long time on	6	there's but I always go to, and I think this whole	
7	this, it's been a very difficult process, and I, as	7	issue is about what are the best management practices?	
8				
	much as anyone, want to get this resolved.	8	You're in a new frontier. If there was some place in	
9	much as anyone, want to get this resolved. I agree with you, we should approve it when	8 9		
10	I agree with you, we should approve it when we have the right Order. I guess my concern is, and I		You're in a new frontier. If there was some place in the United States that had solved this problem, you would be looking at it for models and for history. But	
	I agree with you, we should approve it when	9	You're in a new frontier. If there was some place in the United States that had solved this problem, you would be looking at it for models and for history. But you're you're the first instance here. You're the	
10	I agree with you, we should approve it when we have the right Order. I guess my concern is, and I	9 10 11 12	You're in a new frontier. If there was some place in the United States that had solved this problem, you would be looking at it for models and for history. But	
10 11 12 13	I agree with you, we should approve it when we have the right Order. I guess my concern is, and I agree with you, frankly, that to the extent that we can	9 10 11 12 13	You're in a new frontier. If there was some place in the United States that had solved this problem, you would be looking at it for models and for history. But you're you're the first instance here. You're the	
10 11 12 13 14	I agree with you, we should approve it when we have the right Order. I guess my concern is, and I agree with you, frankly, that to the extent that we can promote collaboration, it's better. My concern is, as I've been trying to review a two-foot tall stack of records from the last four years, and going back and	9 10 11 12	You're in a new frontier. If there was some place in the United States that had solved this problem, you would be looking at it for models and for history. But you're you're the first instance here. You're the first responders. Now, how do we deal with that	
10 11 12 13 14 15	I agree with you, we should approve it when we have the right Order. I guess my concern is, and I agree with you, frankly, that to the extent that we can promote collaboration, it's better. My concern is, as I've been trying to review a two-foot tall stack of records from the last four years, and going back and forth with our legal counsel, what I'm hearing and what	9 10 11 12 13	You're in a new frontier. If there was some place in the United States that had solved this problem, you would be looking at it for models and for history. But you're you're the first instance here. You're the first responders. Now, how do we deal with that groundwater contamination, a very serious problem? And	
10 11 12 13 14 15 16	I agree with you, we should approve it when we have the right Order. I guess my concern is, and I agree with you, frankly, that to the extent that we can promote collaboration, it's better. My concern is, as I've been trying to review a two-foot tall stack of records from the last four years, and going back and forth with our legal counsel, what I'm hearing and what I'm seeing is that some elements of of what Ag is	9 10 11 12 13 14	You're in a new frontier. If there was some place in the United States that had solved this problem, you would be looking at it for models and for history. But you're you're the first instance here. You're the first responders. Now, how do we deal with that groundwater contamination, a very serious problem? And I think you just have to make sure that you can get it	
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	I agree with you, we should approve it when we have the right Order. I guess my concern is, and I agree with you, frankly, that to the extent that we can promote collaboration, it's better. My concern is, as I've been trying to review a two-foot tall stack of records from the last four years, and going back and forth with our legal counsel, what I'm hearing and what I'm seeing is that some elements of of what Ag is proposing appear to be nonnegotiable. Elements that	9 10 11 12 13 14 15	You're in a new frontier. If there was some place in the United States that had solved this problem, you would be looking at it for models and for history. But you're you're the first instance here. You're the first responders. Now, how do we deal with that groundwater contamination, a very serious problem? And I think you just have to make sure that you can get it as good as you possibly get. It's never perfect.	
10 11 12 13 14 15 16	I agree with you, we should approve it when we have the right Order. I guess my concern is, and I agree with you, frankly, that to the extent that we can promote collaboration, it's better. My concern is, as I've been trying to review a two-foot tall stack of records from the last four years, and going back and forth with our legal counsel, what I'm hearing and what I'm seeing is that some elements of of what Ag is	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	You're in a new frontier. If there was some place in the United States that had solved this problem, you would be looking at it for models and for history. But you're you're the first instance here. You're the first responders. Now, how do we deal with that groundwater contamination, a very serious problem? And I think you just have to make sure that you can get it as good as you possibly get. It's never perfect. That's why you have amending process that comes back.	
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	I agree with you, we should approve it when we have the right Order. I guess my concern is, and I agree with you, frankly, that to the extent that we can promote collaboration, it's better. My concern is, as I've been trying to review a two-foot tall stack of records from the last four years, and going back and forth with our legal counsel, what I'm hearing and what I'm seeing is that some elements of of what Ag is proposing appear to be nonnegotiable. Elements that	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	You're in a new frontier. If there was some place in the United States that had solved this problem, you would be looking at it for models and for history. But you're you're the first instance here. You're the first responders. Now, how do we deal with that groundwater contamination, a very serious problem? And I think you just have to make sure that you can get it as good as you possibly get. It's never perfect. That's why you have amending process that comes back. And now is the time to really try to make sure if	
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	I agree with you, we should approve it when we have the right Order. I guess my concern is, and I agree with you, frankly, that to the extent that we can promote collaboration, it's better. My concern is, as I've been trying to review a two-foot tall stack of records from the last four years, and going back and forth with our legal counsel, what I'm hearing and what I'm seeing is that some elements of of what Ag is proposing appear to be nonnegotiable. Elements that involve withholding virtually all information about	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	You're in a new frontier. If there was some place in the United States that had solved this problem, you would be looking at it for models and for history. But you're you're the first instance here. You're the first responders. Now, how do we deal with that groundwater contamination, a very serious problem? And I think you just have to make sure that you can get it as good as you possibly get. It's never perfect. That's why you have amending process that comes back. And now is the time to really try to make sure if you're going to do this for the first time out, that	
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	I agree with you, we should approve it when we have the right Order. I guess my concern is, and I agree with you, frankly, that to the extent that we can promote collaboration, it's better. My concern is, as I've been trying to review a two-foot tall stack of records from the last four years, and going back and forth with our legal counsel, what I'm hearing and what I'm seeing is that some elements of of what Ag is proposing appear to be nonnegotiable. Elements that involve withholding virtually all information about what's actually being done in terms of management	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	You're in a new frontier. If there was some place in the United States that had solved this problem, you would be looking at it for models and for history. But you're you're the first instance here. You're the first responders. Now, how do we deal with that groundwater contamination, a very serious problem? And I think you just have to make sure that you can get it as good as you possibly get. It's never perfect. That's why you have amending process that comes back. And now is the time to really try to make sure if you're going to do this for the first time out, that you have a collaboration because you would admit and	
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	I agree with you, we should approve it when we have the right Order. I guess my concern is, and I agree with you, frankly, that to the extent that we can promote collaboration, it's better. My concern is, as I've been trying to review a two-foot tall stack of records from the last four years, and going back and forth with our legal counsel, what I'm hearing and what I'm seeing is that some elements of of what Ag is proposing appear to be nonnegotiable. Elements that involve withholding virtually all information about what's actually being done in terms of management practices from the Board, no real way that the Board	 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 	You're in a new frontier. If there was some place in the United States that had solved this problem, you would be looking at it for models and for history. But you're you're the first instance here. You're the first responders. Now, how do we deal with that groundwater contamination, a very serious problem? And I think you just have to make sure that you can get it as good as you possibly get. It's never perfect. That's why you have amending process that comes back. And now is the time to really try to make sure if you're going to do this for the first time out, that you have a collaboration because you would admit and say that the only way it could be solved is if the	
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	I agree with you, we should approve it when we have the right Order. I guess my concern is, and I agree with you, frankly, that to the extent that we can promote collaboration, it's better. My concern is, as I've been trying to review a two-foot tall stack of records from the last four years, and going back and forth with our legal counsel, what I'm hearing and what I'm seeing is that some elements of of what Ag is proposing appear to be nonnegotiable. Elements that involve withholding virtually all information about what's actually being done in terms of management practices from the Board, no real way that the Board can participate in a feedback mechanism to figure out	 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 	You're in a new frontier. If there was some place in the United States that had solved this problem, you would be looking at it for models and for history. But you're you're the first instance here. You're the first responders. Now, how do we deal with that groundwater contamination, a very serious problem? And I think you just have to make sure that you can get it as good as you possibly get. It's never perfect. That's why you have amending process that comes back. And now is the time to really try to make sure if you're going to do this for the first time out, that you have a collaboration because you would admit and say that the only way it could be solved is if the people who are applying nitrates, or monitoring water,	
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	I agree with you, we should approve it when we have the right Order. I guess my concern is, and I agree with you, frankly, that to the extent that we can promote collaboration, it's better. My concern is, as I've been trying to review a two-foot tall stack of records from the last four years, and going back and forth with our legal counsel, what I'm hearing and what I'm seeing is that some elements of of what Ag is proposing appear to be nonnegotiable. Elements that involve withholding virtually all information about what's actually being done in terms of management practices from the Board, no real way that the Board can participate in a feedback mechanism to figure out what's working, and what isn't, and to understand that,	 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 	You're in a new frontier. If there was some place in the United States that had solved this problem, you would be looking at it for models and for history. But you're you're the first instance here. You're the first responders. Now, how do we deal with that groundwater contamination, a very serious problem? And I think you just have to make sure that you can get it as good as you possibly get. It's never perfect. That's why you have amending process that comes back. And now is the time to really try to make sure if you're going to do this for the first time out, that you have a collaboration because you would admit and say that the only way it could be solved is if the people who are applying nitrates, or monitoring water, or applying water, or own the land where it's been	
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	I agree with you, we should approve it when we have the right Order. I guess my concern is, and I agree with you, frankly, that to the extent that we can promote collaboration, it's better. My concern is, as I've been trying to review a two-foot tall stack of records from the last four years, and going back and forth with our legal counsel, what I'm hearing and what I'm seeing is that some elements of of what Ag is proposing appear to be nonnegotiable. Elements that involve withholding virtually all information about what's actually being done in terms of management practices from the Board, no real way that the Board can participate in a feedback mechanism to figure out what's working, and what isn't, and to understand that, and to understand who's implementing, and who isn't	 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 	You're in a new frontier. If there was some place in the United States that had solved this problem, you would be looking at it for models and for history. But you're you're the first instance here. You're the first responders. Now, how do we deal with that groundwater contamination, a very serious problem? And I think you just have to make sure that you can get it as good as you possibly get. It's never perfect. That's why you have amending process that comes back. And now is the time to really try to make sure if you're going to do this for the first time out, that you have a collaboration because you would admit and say that the only way it could be solved is if the people who are applying nitrates, or monitoring water, or applying water, or own the land where it's been contaminated for 100 years, how do you get that cleaned	

Atkinson-Baker, Inc. Court Reporters

1 2				
2	and get their suggestions on how to solve them.	1	provision in Federal Law, if you're in the commodities	
4	MR. JOHNSTON: Well, maybe, we'll hear today that	2	business, you're receiving some subsidies from that	
3	some stuff that we think is not negotiable is	3	Federal Government, you're not allowed to go out and	
4	negotiable. I haven't heard it yet.	4	grow a specialty crop because you would have you	
5	MR. YOUNG: Okay.	5	have this safety net, this insurance. Well, I'll	
6	Mr. Jeffries.	6	harvest my wheat or my cotton or my rice and just try	
7	MR. JEFFRIES: Thank you. And I can call him Sam	7	the fresh agriculture on the side. I'll try to grow	
8	because I know him. I've known Sam	8	strawberries. We can't do that. We prohibit you	
9	MR. FARR: And I'll call you Mr. Mayor.	9	that. You either have to go into agriculture without	
10	MR. JEFFRIES: We did the political stuff a couple	10	any subsidies or stay in the commodities program.	
11	years ago. But I'll make my question very short to	11	Remember, commodities can all be stored in	
12	you.	12	silos. That's the reason you have the commodities	
13	Is it your fear that and I agree with you,	13	program anywhere. You store cotton, you store wheat,	
14	we need to offer more carrots than sticks and I	14	you store beans, you store rice, and so what happens is	
15	think I'm the only Board member who was here when we	15	that the people who were storing those crops told the	
16	developed the 2004 Order, except you, Mr. Chairman.	16	farmers, we don't need your crop this year. We have	
17	That's why you're chairing. I did a lousy job in	17	enough from last year. So they had so we were	
18	2004. But it was worth it was the environmental	18	trying it was, you know, a food safety issue. How	
19	group. It was the agriculture group and it was the	19	do we ensure that we'll have farmers there on the land,	
20	Staff that worked together and drove to complete the	20	so we subsidized in the commodity programs. We don't	
21	2004 Order.	21	have that in agriculture. This is huge competition,	
22	MR. FARR: Um-hmm.	22	huge competition. So everything that goes into it is a	
23	MR. JEFFRIES: And you said that through the	23	cost, and it's not just water quality. You want to	
24	response of Mr. Johnston that there was a lot of	24	I think what I'm trying to say, Mr. Jeffries, is that	
25	people that worked together and a lot of people looked	25	look at the success we built on. That was voluntary.	
	Page	86		Page 88
1	at us, at that particular time, because we were able to	1	Because one stated, here's the problem, and we've got	
2	achieve that Order, and it wasn't something that we hit	2	to solve it.	
3	them over the head to make it happen.	3	Will you come up with your will you	
4	My question to you is your fear that if we	4	organize among the growers and meet these goals, these	
5	overregulate the Ag culture community, and it's a very	5	standards, that you've created, the Board created? And	
6	important economic business within the state, and	6	they did. In fact, all these other counties that were	
7	especially in Monterey County, and all the counties in	7		
0			skeptical at first, joined. They looked at Monterey	
8	this area, that it will stymie the Ag culture community	8	skeptical at first, joined. They looked at Monterey County and said, "It's working. They're not coming	
	this area, that it will stymie the Ag culture community and leave the State of California, or even leave the	8	County and said, "It's working. They're not coming	
9	and leave the State of California, or even leave the		County and said, "It's working. They're not coming out and arresting us. They're not fining us.	
9 10	and leave the State of California, or even leave the United States?	9	County and said, "It's working. They're not coming out and arresting us. They're not fining us. We're not going to jail. We'll get into this	
9 10 11	and leave the State of California, or even leave the United States? MR. FARR: Well, I don't think this alone will	9 10	County and said, "It's working. They're not coming out and arresting us. They're not fining us. We're not going to jail. We'll get into this program."	
9 10 11 12	and leave the State of California, or even leave the United States? MR. FARR: Well, I don't think this alone will drive that, but it's there's a lot of issues.	9 10 11 12	County and said, "It's working. They're not coming out and arresting us. They're not fining us. We're not going to jail. We'll get into this program." The only reason I'm here today I want to	
9 10 11 12 13	and leave the State of California, or even leave the United States? MR. FARR: Well, I don't think this alone will drive that, but it's there's a lot of issues. There's accessibility of labor here. As my grower	9 10 11 12 13	County and said, "It's working. They're not coming out and arresting us. They're not fining us. We're not going to jail. We'll get into this program." The only reason I'm here today I want to continue that success.	
9 10 11 12 13 14	and leave the State of California, or even leave the United States? MR. FARR: Well, I don't think this alone will drive that, but it's there's a lot of issues. There's accessibility of labor here. As my grower friends tell me, there's no labor problems in Mexico.	9 10 11 12 13 14	County and said, "It's working. They're not coming out and arresting us. They're not fining us. We're not going to jail. We'll get into this program." The only reason I'm here today I want to continue that success. MR. YOUNG: Dr. Hunter.	
9 10 11 12 13 14 15	and leave the State of California, or even leave the United States? MR. FARR: Well, I don't think this alone will drive that, but it's there's a lot of issues. There's accessibility of labor here. As my grower friends tell me, there's no labor problems in Mexico. We can go there without having any shortage of labor.	9 10 11 12 13 14 15	County and said, "It's working. They're not coming out and arresting us. They're not fining us. We're not going to jail. We'll get into this program." The only reason I'm here today I want to continue that success. MR. YOUNG: Dr. Hunter. DR. HUNTER: Congressman Farr, and I really do	
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	and leave the State of California, or even leave the United States? MR. FARR: Well, I don't think this alone will drive that, but it's there's a lot of issues. There's accessibility of labor here. As my grower friends tell me, there's no labor problems in Mexico. We can go there without having any shortage of labor. Mr. Meyers moved his tomato operation to Mexico, and he	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	County and said, "It's working. They're not coming out and arresting us. They're not fining us. We're not going to jail. We'll get into this program." The only reason I'm here today I want to continue that success. MR. YOUNG: Dr. Hunter. DR. HUNTER: Congressman Farr, and I really do appreciate having your time today, and your prospective	
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	and leave the State of California, or even leave the United States? MR. FARR: Well, I don't think this alone will drive that, but it's there's a lot of issues. There's accessibility of labor here. As my grower friends tell me, there's no labor problems in Mexico. We can go there without having any shortage of labor. Mr. Meyers moved his tomato operation to Mexico, and he told me, at one time, he had 70,000 employees. Those	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	County and said, "It's working. They're not coming out and arresting us. They're not fining us. We're not going to jail. We'll get into this program." The only reason I'm here today I want to continue that success. MR. YOUNG: Dr. Hunter. DR. HUNTER: Congressman Farr, and I really do appreciate having your time today, and your prospective on these issues. And while I fully, fully appreciate	
9 110 111 112 113 114 115 116 117 118	and leave the State of California, or even leave the United States? MR. FARR: Well, I don't think this alone will drive that, but it's there's a lot of issues. There's accessibility of labor here. As my grower friends tell me, there's no labor problems in Mexico. We can go there without having any shortage of labor. Mr. Meyers moved his tomato operation to Mexico, and he told me, at one time, he had 70,000 employees. Those 70,000 could be here in the Central Coast. For	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	County and said, "It's working. They're not coming out and arresting us. They're not fining us. We're not going to jail. We'll get into this program." The only reason I'm here today I want to continue that success. MR. YOUNG: Dr. Hunter. DR. HUNTER: Congressman Farr, and I really do appreciate having your time today, and your prospective on these issues. And while I fully, fully appreciate the economic issues at stake, I would really appreciate	
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	and leave the State of California, or even leave the United States? MR. FARR: Well, I don't think this alone will drive that, but it's there's a lot of issues. There's accessibility of labor here. As my grower friends tell me, there's no labor problems in Mexico. We can go there without having any shortage of labor. Mr. Meyers moved his tomato operation to Mexico, and he told me, at one time, he had 70,000 employees. Those 70,000 could be here in the Central Coast. For whatever reason, he chose to go to Mexico. So it's	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	County and said, "It's working. They're not coming out and arresting us. They're not fining us. We're not going to jail. We'll get into this program." The only reason I'm here today I want to continue that success. MR. YOUNG: Dr. Hunter. DR. HUNTER: Congressman Farr, and I really do appreciate having your time today, and your prospective on these issues. And while I fully, fully appreciate the economic issues at stake, I would really appreciate hearing from you regarding the other dimension of this,	
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	and leave the State of California, or even leave the United States? MR. FARR: Well, I don't think this alone will drive that, but it's there's a lot of issues. There's accessibility of labor here. As my grower friends tell me, there's no labor problems in Mexico. We can go there without having any shortage of labor. Mr. Meyers moved his tomato operation to Mexico, and he told me, at one time, he had 70,000 employees. Those 70,000 could be here in the Central Coast. For whatever reason, he chose to go to Mexico. So it's just it's a cumulative of issues. And obviously,	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	County and said, "It's working. They're not coming out and arresting us. They're not fining us. We're not going to jail. We'll get into this program." The only reason I'm here today I want to continue that success. MR. YOUNG: Dr. Hunter. DR. HUNTER: Congressman Farr, and I really do appreciate having your time today, and your prospective on these issues. And while I fully, fully appreciate the economic issues at stake, I would really appreciate hearing from you regarding the other dimension of this, which weighs heavily before the Board, in terms of the	
9 110 111 12 113 114 115 116 117 118 119 220 221	and leave the State of California, or even leave the United States? MR. FARR: Well, I don't think this alone will drive that, but it's there's a lot of issues. There's accessibility of labor here. As my grower friends tell me, there's no labor problems in Mexico. We can go there without having any shortage of labor. Mr. Meyers moved his tomato operation to Mexico, and he told me, at one time, he had 70,000 employees. Those 70,000 could be here in the Central Coast. For whatever reason, he chose to go to Mexico. So it's just it's a cumulative of issues. And obviously, you know, we get better, higher quality in California,	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	County and said, "It's working. They're not coming out and arresting us. They're not fining us. We're not going to jail. We'll get into this program." The only reason I'm here today I want to continue that success. MR. YOUNG: Dr. Hunter. DR. HUNTER: Congressman Farr, and I really do appreciate having your time today, and your prospective on these issues. And while I fully, fully appreciate the economic issues at stake, I would really appreciate hearing from you regarding the other dimension of this, which weighs heavily before the Board, in terms of the decisions we have to make. And that concerns the	
9 110 111 112 113 114 115 116 117 118 119 220 221 222	and leave the State of California, or even leave the United States? MR. FARR: Well, I don't think this alone will drive that, but it's there's a lot of issues. There's accessibility of labor here. As my grower friends tell me, there's no labor problems in Mexico. We can go there without having any shortage of labor. Mr. Meyers moved his tomato operation to Mexico, and he told me, at one time, he had 70,000 employees. Those 70,000 could be here in the Central Coast. For whatever reason, he chose to go to Mexico. So it's just it's a cumulative of issues. And obviously, you know, we get better, higher quality in California, and we got a micro climate that you're not going to	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	County and said, "It's working. They're not coming out and arresting us. They're not fining us. We're not going to jail. We'll get into this program." The only reason I'm here today I want to continue that success. MR. YOUNG: Dr. Hunter. DR. HUNTER: Congressman Farr, and I really do appreciate having your time today, and your prospective on these issues. And while I fully, fully appreciate the economic issues at stake, I would really appreciate hearing from you regarding the other dimension of this, which weighs heavily before the Board, in terms of the decisions we have to make. And that concerns the health impacts of contaminated groundwater, and	
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	and leave the State of California, or even leave the United States? MR. FARR: Well, I don't think this alone will drive that, but it's there's a lot of issues. There's accessibility of labor here. As my grower friends tell me, there's no labor problems in Mexico. We can go there without having any shortage of labor. Mr. Meyers moved his tomato operation to Mexico, and he told me, at one time, he had 70,000 employees. Those 70,000 could be here in the Central Coast. For whatever reason, he chose to go to Mexico. So it's just it's a cumulative of issues. And obviously, you know, we get better, higher quality in California, and we got a micro climate that you're not going to have a lot of states being able to grow these	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	County and said, "It's working. They're not coming out and arresting us. They're not fining us. We're not going to jail. We'll get into this program." The only reason I'm here today I want to continue that success. MR. YOUNG: Dr. Hunter. DR. HUNTER: Congressman Farr, and I really do appreciate having your time today, and your prospective on these issues. And while I fully, fully appreciate the economic issues at stake, I would really appreciate hearing from you regarding the other dimension of this, which weighs heavily before the Board, in terms of the health impacts of contaminated groundwater, and especially considering that we have more information	
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 22 23	and leave the State of California, or even leave the United States? MR. FARR: Well, I don't think this alone will drive that, but it's there's a lot of issues. There's accessibility of labor here. As my grower friends tell me, there's no labor problems in Mexico. We can go there without having any shortage of labor. Mr. Meyers moved his tomato operation to Mexico, and he told me, at one time, he had 70,000 employees. Those 70,000 could be here in the Central Coast. For whatever reason, he chose to go to Mexico. So it's just it's a cumulative of issues. And obviously, you know, we get better, higher quality in California, and we got a micro climate that you're not going to have a lot of states being able to grow these products. But you're in a world competitive market and	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	County and said, "It's working. They're not coming out and arresting us. They're not fining us. We're not going to jail. We'll get into this program." The only reason I'm here today I want to continue that success. MR. YOUNG: Dr. Hunter. DR. HUNTER: Congressman Farr, and I really do appreciate having your time today, and your prospective on these issues. And while I fully, fully appreciate the economic issues at stake, I would really appreciate hearing from you regarding the other dimension of this, which weighs heavily before the Board, in terms of the decisions we have to make. And that concerns the health impacts of contaminated groundwater, and especially considering that we have more information now about where these sites are, and relative to	
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	and leave the State of California, or even leave the United States? MR. FARR: Well, I don't think this alone will drive that, but it's there's a lot of issues. There's accessibility of labor here. As my grower friends tell me, there's no labor problems in Mexico. We can go there without having any shortage of labor. Mr. Meyers moved his tomato operation to Mexico, and he told me, at one time, he had 70,000 employees. Those 70,000 could be here in the Central Coast. For whatever reason, he chose to go to Mexico. So it's just it's a cumulative of issues. And obviously, you know, we get better, higher quality in California, and we got a micro climate that you're not going to have a lot of states being able to grow these	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	County and said, "It's working. They're not coming out and arresting us. They're not fining us. We're not going to jail. We'll get into this program." The only reason I'm here today I want to continue that success. MR. YOUNG: Dr. Hunter. DR. HUNTER: Congressman Farr, and I really do appreciate having your time today, and your prospective on these issues. And while I fully, fully appreciate the economic issues at stake, I would really appreciate hearing from you regarding the other dimension of this, which weighs heavily before the Board, in terms of the health impacts of contaminated groundwater, and especially considering that we have more information	

1	cases, people relying on drinking water, private small	1	As to the legacy problems, we know they're
2	drinking water, domestic wells, they're not even aware	2	there. They've been building up for decades. This is
3	that they may be drinking contaminated water, because	3	going to take time. None of us is going to expect
4	we have no information. We have elders. We have	4	immediate change in water quality data. This is a
5	children. We have mothers. And these people are now,	5	long-term process. We're aware of that. Nothing is
6	have recently, in the last year to two years, joined	6	probably going to show up in the next in this permit
7	this discussion and brought these issues into full	7	cycle, except the BMPs will get implemented and, in
8	detail for us. And so my concern how do we find the	8	time, we would hope to see improvements to water
9	balance in implementing changes that are going to have	9	quality, but we're not sitting here thinking, boom, in
10	effects that we need to see to ensure that people are	10	five years we better see, you know, that kind of
11	drinking safe water?	11	improvement. We know it's going to take a long time.
12	So please help me understand how where can	12	MR. FARR: Well, I appreciate the role you have to
13	we find that path to assure that we are protecting	13	play. It's a tough role. You've been appointed to
14	those with the least ability to speak in this room?	14	have this awesome responsibility. I wish you well. As
15	MR. FARR: Well, I've had the pleasure, when I was	15	I said, it's the first instance and the nation will be
16	the County Supervisor, sitting on the California	16	watching you, so I hope you can get it right.
17	Coastal Commission Air Resources Board, The Waste Water	17	MR. YOUNG: Thank you for your comments.
18	Board, um, which was our reclamation project, and some	18	Okay. Mr. Sanchez.
19	other Regional Environmental Monitoring Boards. I	19	MR. SANCHEZ: Good morning.
20	also, as my first job on the Board, had the pleasure of	20	Thank you very much for the courtesy for
21	dedicating a remarkable farm housing unit, an old farm	21	allowing us the opportunity to address you. I know you
22	labor camp called San Jerardo. Jerardo had awful	22	have a long day, so we really appreciate that.
23	water, it's contaminated. And it's taken, until, I	23	My name is Sergio Sanchez. I am the District
24	think, just these last few years, to find a process to	24	Director for Assemblyman Raul Luis Alejo. He
25	clean it up.	25	represents over half a million people in the counties
	Page 9	0	Page 92
1	So the response to your question is yes,	1	of Monterey, San Benito, Santa Clara and Santa Cruz
2	they're incredibly serious. What we ought to be	2	County. A good majority of them farm workers; a good
3	doing is setting goals, objectives, and being able to	3	majority of them farmers. This is definitely an issue
4	use our grant process and our funding process to make	4	we are concerned about, and with your permission and
5	sure that the project to clean up those individual	5	indulgence, I'd like to read you some of his comments,
6	wells, in rural areas, I mean, big commercial people	6	and share some additional information with you.
7	can do it, in the urban area. That's part of their	7	This is a letter addressed to you,
8	rate. But these Monterey County has more mutual	8	Mr. Young.
9	water companies and water purveyors than any other	9	"Chairman Young, thank you for the
10	county in the United States. They have to meet the	10	opportunity to address you one more time
11	National Water Quality Standard, which California has	11	before you make the decision on the Ag
12	implemented. So I think that you have, in that law,	12	Waiver.
13	ways of addressing goals and objectives to address it.	13	"In my previous communications to you,
14	Nitrate is going to have to be cleaned up. It's going	14	I have shared my concerns regarding the
15	to have to be extracted from the water, and that's	15	proposed regulations, it's impact in our
16	going to take very expensive, filtering processes and	16	region's agricultural industry, but I'm
17	other science that I'm not aware of. But you have some	17	especially concerned about the impact to
18	suggestions here, the Los Huertos Report, and I think	18	small farmers and their future should
19	this Davis Report speaks to some ideas of how that can	19	this regulation be approved, as proposed."
20	be done.	20	Specifically, Assemblyman Alejo, myself,
21	DR. HUNTER: Thank you.	21	and other staff, have met with small farmers, and all
22	MR. YOUNG: Okay. Thank you.	22	
23		22	kinds of farmers "and the biggest fear" is and I'm
23	And, Congressman, just let me say, on behalf	23	going to share a little bit of a comment from one of
	of the Board, I don't think any of us suffer from an	24	them, that said, "In the days when I used to
25	illusion that we're going to see immediate results.		be a farm worker, and I was undocumented,
1	Page 9)1	Page 93

1		1			
1	working in this area, I feared being picked		1	unthinkable. I have also shared with you my support	
2	up by the immigration" is what we call "Migra" is		2	for the coalition by the agriculture representatives	
3	"and it's just, I always knew where I was		3	and also by the Los Huertos Study Report. I truly	
4	going to end up. I was going to end up		4	believe this approach is the most beneficial to the	
5	back in Mexico and I knew how I could		5	environment and our region's water quality. It really	
6	come back. So my fear was short term.		6	addresses the issue of water quality. This approach	
7	That fear compared to my fear now, as		7	allows for a collaborative effort among farmers,	
8	a farmer, that I could eventually lose		8	environmental advocates, and research community. As a	
9	my farm, and not know where the decisions		9	region, we must do more than just monitor water, but	
10	are going to take me. That's the biggest		10	rather learn and apply new innovative practices that	
11	fear that I've faced, because I don't know		11	truly improve water quality.	
12	where I'm going to go. I don't know when		12	This approach is also the most recent and	
13	I'm going to return, and I don't know how		13	economical for all. The need for technical staff to	
14	I'm going to get back."		14	monitor water quality and runoff, as proposed by Staff,	
15	And that's just directly from one other		15	is unreasonable due to the lack of available technical	
16	comment. What Staff fails to address, and to inform		16	staff to manage such a huge program. The coalition	
17	you of is the impact on those folks that are sitting on		17	approach allows a different way to what the Board wants	
18	the Tier 2 and Tier 3, that are going to be the most		18	to do, which is to improve water quality, but in a	
19	impacted. And that is the small farmer. That is the		19	reasonable and achievable manner. This is really a	
20	farm worker that made it. Now he's got a little piece		20	win, win situation for all. I would sincerely	
21	of land or bigger and now he's an employer, and now		21	appreciate that you seriously consider this approach,	
22	he's an entrepreneur and trying to make ends meet.		22	as suggested by agriculture representatives, and by	
23	What Staff fails to address is that sometimes		23	Dr. Los Huertos, in your deliberations today.	
24	language is a barrier, technology is a barrier. I		24	Again, thank you for your time and attention	
25	think the small farmer the bigger farmer is going to		25	to this very important issue. I look forward to a	
		D04			D0(
		Page 94			Page 96
1	be able to deal with a lot of this stuff, and they're		1	positive decision for you and your Board.	
2	the ones possibly not in this situation, but the		2	And if you have a question, you can always	
3	small farmer is the one that is already on Tier 3 and		3	call him. Thank you very much for this opportunity.	
4	is going to be devastated by not I mean, just the		4	Have a good day.	
5	fear of filling out all of that paperwork online is		5	MR. YOUNG: Thank you, Mr. Sanchez.	
6	causing tremendous chaos within that community. That		6	Any questions or comments?	
7	becomes a real issue, and those are the folks that your		7	MR. DELGADO: Yeah. Sergio, do you want to take	
8	Staff has failed to inform you. Because they have not		8	any questions?	
9	shared the communication with them. They have not		9	MR. SANCHEZ: If you ask me tough questions,	
1 0					
10	talked to them, at length, to figure out their fears		10	Mr. Mayor, I will defer them. You can go ahead and ask	
10 11	talked to them, at length, to figure out their fears and figure out how they're going to address their		10 11	Mr. Mayor, I will defer them. You can go ahead and ask me.	
	, , , ,				
11	and figure out how they're going to address their		11	me.	
11 12	and figure out how they're going to address their concerns, because literally, what they're saying should		11 12	me. MR. DELGADO: I think everyone is concerned about	
11 12 13	and figure out how they're going to address their concerns, because literally, what they're saying should the regulations go as proposed, they're going to be		11 12 13	me. MR. DELGADO: I think everyone is concerned about the small farmers. We understand that they have less	
11 12 13 14	and figure out how they're going to address their concerns, because literally, what they're saying should the regulations go as proposed, they're going to be wiped out. They're going to close their farms and		11 12 13 14	me. MR. DELGADO: I think everyone is concerned about the small farmers. We understand that they have less resources. Do you think that there's a lot of small	
11 12 13 14 15	and figure out how they're going to address their concerns, because literally, what they're saying should the regulations go as proposed, they're going to be wiped out. They're going to close their farms and they're gonna do exactly what Congressman Farr		11 12 13 14 15	me. MR. DELGADO: I think everyone is concerned about the small farmers. We understand that they have less resources. Do you think that there's a lot of small farmers that would be in Tier 3?	
11 12 13 14 15 16	and figure out how they're going to address their concerns, because literally, what they're saying should the regulations go as proposed, they're going to be wiped out. They're going to close their farms and they're gonna do exactly what Congressman Farr thought. They're going to move down south, in Mexico,		11 12 13 14 15 16	me. MR. DELGADO: I think everyone is concerned about the small farmers. We understand that they have less resources. Do you think that there's a lot of small farmers that would be in Tier 3? MR. SANCHEZ: I know there is. There is at least	
11 12 13 14 15 16 17	and figure out how they're going to address their concerns, because literally, what they're saying should the regulations go as proposed, they're going to be wiped out. They're going to close their farms and they're gonna do exactly what Congressman Farr thought. They're going to move down south, in Mexico, and start their farms, because there is a little bit		11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 me. MR. DELGADO: I think everyone is concerned about the small farmers. We understand that they have less resources. Do you think that there's a lot of small farmers that would be in Tier 3? MR. SANCHEZ: I know there is. There is at least 300 farmers between 2 and 3 and the majority are ethnic 	
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	and figure out how they're going to address their concerns, because literally, what they're saying should the regulations go as proposed, they're going to be wiped out. They're going to close their farms and they're gonna do exactly what Congressman Farr thought. They're going to move down south, in Mexico, and start their farms, because there is a little bit more flexibility there.		11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	me. MR. DELGADO: I think everyone is concerned about the small farmers. We understand that they have less resources. Do you think that there's a lot of small farmers that would be in Tier 3? MR. SANCHEZ: I know there is. There is at least 300 farmers between 2 and 3 and the majority are ethnic minorities, either Hmong or Filipino or Latino, but the	
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	and figure out how they're going to address their concerns, because literally, what they're saying should the regulations go as proposed, they're going to be wiped out. They're going to close their farms and they're gonna do exactly what Congressman Farr thought. They're going to move down south, in Mexico, and start their farms, because there is a little bit more flexibility there. My final thoughts and concerns remain the		11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	me. MR. DELGADO: I think everyone is concerned about the small farmers. We understand that they have less resources. Do you think that there's a lot of small farmers that would be in Tier 3? MR. SANCHEZ: I know there is. There is at least 300 farmers between 2 and 3 and the majority are ethnic minorities, either Hmong or Filipino or Latino, but the majority of them Latino, yes. And that's a fact, it's	
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	and figure out how they're going to address their concerns, because literally, what they're saying should the regulations go as proposed, they're going to be wiped out. They're going to close their farms and they're gonna do exactly what Congressman Farr thought. They're going to move down south, in Mexico, and start their farms, because there is a little bit more flexibility there. My final thoughts and concerns remain the same. I appreciate the changes to the proposed, regulations your Staff has suggested is as suggested by		11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	me. MR. DELGADO: I think everyone is concerned about the small farmers. We understand that they have less resources. Do you think that there's a lot of small farmers that would be in Tier 3? MR. SANCHEZ: I know there is. There is at least 300 farmers between 2 and 3 and the majority are ethnic minorities, either Hmong or Filipino or Latino, but the majority of them Latino, yes. And that's a fact, it's not a we have already we have ID'd those	
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	and figure out how they're going to address their concerns, because literally, what they're saying should the regulations go as proposed, they're going to be wiped out. They're going to close their farms and they're gonna do exactly what Congressman Farr thought. They're going to move down south, in Mexico, and start their farms, because there is a little bit more flexibility there. My final thoughts and concerns remain the same. I appreciate the changes to the proposed, regulations your Staff has suggested is as suggested by concerned parties, but the regulations, as proposed,		11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 me. MR. DELGADO: I think everyone is concerned about the small farmers. We understand that they have less resources. Do you think that there's a lot of small farmers that would be in Tier 3? MR. SANCHEZ: I know there is. There is at least 300 farmers between 2 and 3 and the majority are ethnic minorities, either Hmong or Filipino or Latino, but the majority of them Latino, yes. And that's a fact, it's not a we have already we have ID'd those individuals. MR. DELGADO: Okay. And then it seems like a 	
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	and figure out how they're going to address their concerns, because literally, what they're saying should the regulations go as proposed, they're going to be wiped out. They're going to close their farms and they're gonna do exactly what Congressman Farr thought. They're going to move down south, in Mexico, and start their farms, because there is a little bit more flexibility there. My final thoughts and concerns remain the same. I appreciate the changes to the proposed, regulations your Staff has suggested is as suggested by concerned parties, but the regulations, as proposed, will impact agriculture significantly. Our region		11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 me. MR. DELGADO: I think everyone is concerned about the small farmers. We understand that they have less resources. Do you think that there's a lot of small farmers that would be in Tier 3? MR. SANCHEZ: I know there is. There is at least 300 farmers between 2 and 3 and the majority are ethnic minorities, either Hmong or Filipino or Latino, but the majority of them Latino, yes. And that's a fact, it's not a we have already we have ID'd those individuals. MR. DELGADO: Okay. And then it seems like a major point in Lewis and Lake and Simmon and Alejo's 	
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	and figure out how they're going to address their concerns, because literally, what they're saying should the regulations go as proposed, they're going to be wiped out. They're going to close their farms and they're gonna do exactly what Congressman Farr thought. They're going to move down south, in Mexico, and start their farms, because there is a little bit more flexibility there. My final thoughts and concerns remain the same. I appreciate the changes to the proposed, regulations your Staff has suggested is as suggested by concerned parties, but the regulations, as proposed,		111 122 133 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 me. MR. DELGADO: I think everyone is concerned about the small farmers. We understand that they have less resources. Do you think that there's a lot of small farmers that would be in Tier 3? MR. SANCHEZ: I know there is. There is at least 300 farmers between 2 and 3 and the majority are ethnic minorities, either Hmong or Filipino or Latino, but the majority of them Latino, yes. And that's a fact, it's not a we have already we have ID'd those individuals. MR. DELGADO: Okay. And then it seems like a 	

Atkinson-Baker, Inc. Court Reporters

		1	
1	this a major point is that I'm losing my thought	1	because it's a huge fear and nightmare for them to
2	process here. That what's being proposed by	2	begin this process and hiring the different technical
3	agricultural community is more workable than the	3	staff. Just the fact that it went from a paper report
4	Draft Ag Order that is before us. And so my question	4	to actually going online, that created humongous fear
5	is, because the Ag alternative relies heavy on	5	and chaos amongst them because they don't have
6	aggregated monitoring, and that's a major sticking	6	computers like that. They don't people think that
7	point, whereas the Ag Order Draft relies mostly on	7	everybody has email and everybody does website stuff.
8	monitoring that can eventually be attributed to	8	Not everybody does that. And it's very hard for those
9	individual farms, that we're hearing it's not workable	9	from so this approach actually brings them together,
10	to do it in aggregate because we'll never know where	10	but what they really get excited about, they can
11	the problem is coming from, and the law requires that	11	actually learn from each other. And you're right about
12	we're able to track it to individual farms, to be able	12	the fact that it doesn't go individually, but they
13	to monitor the effectiveness that each farm is	13	learn together, because they would actually, for once,
14	implementing new practices to reduce their	14	as a small agriculture and ethnic minorities belonging
15	contributions, if they're going to an impaired water	15	to this industry, they would actually be included into
16	body, for instance.	16	this kind of group, and learn from each other, and even
17	So my question is, how is workable, in your	17	if they know that the neighbor there's a lot of
18	mind, to do an aggregate monitoring effort, if our	18	communication amongst them, and for the first time
19	laws, that we're required to follow, require us to know	19	ever, they're coming together as Latino strawberry
20	on an advantage basis?	20	growers and some different minority groups, they're
21	MR. SANCHEZ: Thank you for the question.	21	coming together because of this. So it forces them to
22	I think that we have found	22	come together, and now, for the first time, are talking
23	MR. YOUNG: You know, Mr. Sanchez, you don't have	23	to each other and so, as they learn what their
24	to answer that.	24	experiences, and how they monitor, and how it goes
25	MR. SANCHEZ: I would like to.	25	through the rotation. They are going to learn from
	Page 98		Page 100
1	MR. YOUNG: Okay.	1	each other because they will share information and this
2	MR. DELGADO: It wasn't a tough question.	2	is the best way that they could see that is more
3	MR. YOUNG: I know, but here's the thing. As to	3	affordable, more economical, and more efficient and
4	the nonparties, and these are people giving us, kind	4	they can actually get them to do, because if they are
5	of, public comments, more policy statements, I think	5	the ones that are at the Tier 3, which is the most,
6	that we should not really engage in a kind of	6	creates the most impact on the environment, then those
7	cross-examination, asking them to respond to our	7	are the ones we need to address, and you need to
8	questions so much.	8	really go out of your way on how do you bring them in
9	MR. DELGADO: Well, it's really important to me	9	rather than putting them in an individual monitoring
10	that that our elected officials are telling us	10	process.
11	something is unworkable, so I'm just trying to flush it	11	I hope I addressed your question.
12	out.	12	MR. DELGADO: Thank you very much.
13	MR. YOUNG: Go ahead.	13	MR. YOUNG: Let me suggest this. Do you have a
14	MR. SANCHEZ: With your permission, I can answer.	14	list of the 300 small farmers?
15	MR. YOUNG: Sure.	15	MR. SANCHEZ: We can get that to you.
16	MR. SANCHEZ: What we have found is a lot of these	16	MR. YOUNG: Why don't you provide it to Staff and
17	folks, that I addressed in the letter, do not belong to	17	they can, you know, make sure well, if they want
18	the big groups, like The Farm Growers or The Grocery	18	help, if they want assistance, okay, and based on the
19	Shippers or different associations, just because of the	19	concerns you've expressed, to make sure there is no
20	resources, because of the outreach, just like it	20	communication lapses, that they understand that they
21	happens with any other service, any other program.	21	can get questions answered, and that would be my
22	It's hard for them to get involved in this kind of	22	recommendations, to make sure that Staff knows who
23	stuff. This approach was explained to them by	23	you're concerned about. Especially if there's people
24	different people. They get this, and they find it	24	who have contacted your office and felt out of the
1 - 1	and the peopler they get this, the they find it	1-1	The name conductor your office and foil out of the
2.5	easier to begin the compliance and to begin the process	2.5	loop. That's what I'm trying to address
25	easier to begin the compliance and to begin the process $Page \ 99$	25	loop. That's what I'm trying to address. Page 101

Atkinson-Baker, Inc. Court Reporters

1	MR. SANCHEZ: Okay. We can definitely work with	1	has not significantly changed over the
2	you on that.	2	last 16 months, we are forced to draw
3	MR. YOUNG: Thank you very much.	3	one of two conclusions: Either the
4	Okay. All right. I have some other speaker	4	Staff Proposal was superior in every
5	cards here. Anyone from Senator Blakelee and	5	way to the agricultural ideas and so
6	Senator Striklin's office? Okay.	6	very little is worth including or other
7	Would you like to address us now?	7	potential terms were presented, but the
8	MR. POSHMAN: Good morning, almost afternoon, to	8	Regional Water Board Staff have already
9	the Water Board. Last time I spoke before you in	9	predetermined what should be in the final
10	Salinas, I was representing solely Senator Blakelee.	10	product.
11	Today, I have the pleasure of representing both Senator	11	"No one is suggesting that improved
12	Blakeslee and Senator Stricklin in a joint statement.	12	water quality should not be vigorously
13	I'll supply a copy of the statement to you and your	13	pursued, but we continue to argue, as we
14	Staff after I read it to you.	14	have for years at this point, that
15	"Regional Water Quality Board	15	incorporating feedback from the
16	members, in November 2010, Staff released	16	agricultural community is critical to
17	a Draft Agricultural Order, which was the	17	developing an effective program. This
18	subject of many public hearings. Today you	18	proposal fails to sufficiently do so. We
19	are considering adoption of an updated	19	strongly urge the Water Board to delay a
20	Agricultural Order that is substantively	20	vote on the updated Agriculture Order, and
21	similar to proposed is proposal issued	21	instead, engage the agricultural community
22	16 months ago. Much has transpired in	22	to develop a proposal that satisfies your
23	those 16 months. Dozens of community	23	statutory obligations, advances water quality
24	members provided comments and testified	24	improvement, as well as enables Central Coast
25	at public workshops in San Luis Obispo,	25	farms and ranches to continue to providing
	Page 102		Page 104
	1 age 102		1 age 104
1	Watsonville and Salinas. Working groups	1	food to our local communities and to families
2	were convened to solicit input from	2	across the nation."
3	subsets of the stakeholder community	3	And that's from Senator Blakeslee
4	including agriculture, elected officials,	4	and Senator Stricklin who represent about 1.8 million
5	Republicans and Democrats from the State	5	people in your district.
6	Legislature, and Congress, repeatedly	6	MR. YOUNG: Any comments or questions for
7	submitted letters expressing their	7	Mr. Poshman? Thank you very much.
8	concerns with the Staff Proposal, and	8	Bill Ritz, from Senator Cannella's office.
9	urging greater consideration of the info	9	MR. DELGADO: I have a question for Staff, I
10	from the agricultural community.	10	guess.
11	The agriculture community went so far	11	MR. YOUNG: Okay.
12	as to submit a comprehensive alternative to	12	MR. DELGADO: Part of the thank you, Hans.
13	the Staff Proposal. On paper, this appears	13	Part of Hans' comment was that apparently, in
14	to be a process designed to incorporate	14	every way, the Ag Alternative was not as good as the
15	input from stakeholders. Unfortunately,	15	Draft Ag Order, because in the last 16 months, there's
16	the proposal before you does not include	16	been no significant changes.
17	much of the important effect provided that	17	So my question is I can't recall the
18	the agriculture community is supported by	18	timeline. When did the three Tiers, when were they
19	the officials who represent them.	19	created in response to a public input? Was that more
20	"The Water Board can check the box	20	than 16 months ago, or less than 16 months ago?
21	on their regulatory to do list to claim	21	MS. SCHROETER: I believe the Tiering was a
22	that they have asked for state input.	22	change, but a change that was adopted in November of
23	However, the purpose of attaining that	23	2010. However, since then, the Tiering fundamentally
24	input is to incorporate the best ideas	24	changed because we went operation to farm, in response
25	into the proposal. Because the proposal	25	to concern that the Tiering criteria, and we actually
Γ			
	Page 103		Page 105

1 (got positive comments about the Tiering criteria, but	1	hearing and for providing very thoughtful
	that they should be based on the individual farms.	2	testimony. I have" he included an audio
		3	
4	That happened, actually, in May of 2011.		portion of this that should have been sent to
	MR. DELGADO: So maybe later today, we can hear if	4	Mr. Grayson. I think we talked about that. I'll skip
	there's been other changes in response or not. I know	5	over that.
6 I 7	now is not the time.	6	"The Senate hearing raised a number of
8	MR. YOUNG: Okay. All right. Mr. Ritz.		remaining issues concerning the Draft Order,
	MR. DELGADO: That would be a concern, right, if	8	which I urge the Board to address prior to
	we had a volume of input that was not reflected in	9	finalizing the Agricultural Waiver.
10 I 11	modifications.	10	"First, new members have recently been
12	MR. YOUNG: Yeah.	11	added to the Board, establishing a quorum and
	MR. DELGADO: Okay.	12	enabling the Board to now act on the Ag Waiver.
13	MR. YOUNG: I mean, I note that the Tiering took	13	After only a few months, these new members are
	place after we had that meeting in the large hall in	14	expected to fully understand a very complex
	San Luis Obispo at the Elk's Club, and that was an	15	set of issues that have evolved over several
	immediate outgrowth of that meeting.	16	years. Many of the Senate committee hearing
17	MR. JEFFRIES: The Tiering is based on the size of	17	participants expressed concern that these
	the farms, and 1,000 acres of farming put them in a	18	board members will not have had sufficient
	certain plateau, compared to the others. So if	19	opportunity to appreciate the complexities
	somebody did 199 acres, they're one category, and the	20	associated with compliance with these
	person did 1,000 plus, they're in another category.	21	regulations before being asked to vote on
	So, I think, that's the reason Staff I didn't read	22	them. I also share this concern. It is
	into what Staff is proposing on the Tiers, but that was	23	my understanding that the existing waiver
	a lot of the concern from the agriculture community is	24	has been extended to September. I would
25 i	the size of the farm. And, collectively, if they have	25	ask that any Board decision be postponed
	Page 106		Page 108
1 !	500 acres at one location, 250 at another and 300 at	1	from this hearing in March to allow new
2 8	another, it was all considered 1,000 acres plus one.	2	members more time to study and appreciate
3	And that was a concern for me, as well.	3	the complex issues underpinning the
4	MR. YOUNG: Okay. Mr. Ritz.	4	development of an achievable regulatory
5	MR. RITZ: Good afternoon, Chairman and Board	5	policy. A farm tour should be arranged
6 1	members. I'm Bill Ritz, District Representative for	6	for new members so they can hear of and
7 5	Senator Anthony Cannella at District 12. He represents	7	witness some of the practical issues
8 9	900-plus thousand people. I'll get those figures up	8	associated with several of the draft
9 t	there for you. I'd like to read a letter this morning,	9	recommendations first-hand. In his
10 0	or this afternoon. It's kind of a recap. The Senator	10	testimony, Mr. Thomas indicated that a tour
11	held an Ag hearing, an agricultural hearing, he's the	11	of this nature for new members could be
12 (chair of the Senate Ag Committee. He held this meeting	12	possible or would be possible.
13 i	in Salinas, on February 24th, and I'd just like to read	13	"Secondly, I am concerned that many of
14 t	this to you, from the Senator.	14	the draft regulations have been developed
15	"On February 24, 2012, I convened a	15	without proper awareness of other
16	meeting of the Senate Agriculture Committee	16	agricultural initiatives and regulations
17	in Salinas to discuss the impacts of regulation	17	and, therefore, may be in conflict with
18	on the agricultural industry. One of the	18	other practices. One example that emerged
19	hearing's panel discussions covered the impact	19	at the Senate hearing concerned the Draft
20	that the Draft Staff Conditional Discharge	20	Order's mandates for the creation of
21	Waiver for Irrigated Lands on the Draft Order	21	riparian buffers. Several participants
22	would have on agriculture. I wanted to express	22	pointed out that these buffers would be in
23	my gratitude to Mr. Michael Thomas, Assistant	23	conflict with food and safety practices
24	Executive Officer, Central Coast Regional	24	outlined in the Leafy Greens Marketing
25	Water Quality Control Board, for attending the	25	Agreement, which are meant to prevent
1			

1	contamination of food by pests and	1	agriculture comply with the new technical
2	animals. This is just one example of	2	on-farm data collection and regulations, with
3	possible conflicts with other initiatives or	3	further training. Although this may sound
4	regulations which may be created by the new	4	like a significant number of CCAs, this is
5	Ag Order. These conflicts should be surfaced,	5	still a shortage of CCAs as compared to the
6	analyzed and eliminated before adoption of	6	large number of growers on the Central Coast.
7	the final regulations.	7	Statements from grower representatives that,
8	"Thirdly, there seems to be a significant	8	we are being set up for failure from the
9	difference of opinion as to how many farms	9	beginning were met by assurances from
10	would fall into each of the three proposed	10	Mr. Thomas that the Board's goal was not
11	tiers of the new Ag Order. In his response	11	to be punitive, but rather to work with
12	letter to me dated April 4, 2011, Executive	12	growers toward achieving compliance. In that
13	Director Roger Briggs indicated that Board	13	same regard, several participants at the
14	Staff estimates that 42 percent of growers	14	committee hearings discussed the difference
15	would fall into Tier 1, 46 into Tier 2 and	15	in approach in developing this new Ag Order
16	12 percent of all growers would fall into	16	from which occurred in 2004 when the
17	the more onerous Tier 3. In their testimony,	17	agricultural community worked collaboratively
18	the Monterey County Farm Bureau and	18	with the Board to develop the current
19	Grower/Shipper Association of Central	19	Agricultural Waiver.
20	California estimated the number of growers	20	"While I will appreciate the expression
21	and farms which would be clarifies as	21	of goodwill conveyed by Mr. Thomas, I think
22	Tier 3 would be much higher. Neither	22	it prudent that regulator and regulated agree
23	Mr. Thomas nor the agricultural industry	23	at the outset on an achievable pathway to
24	representatives could answer the question	24	compliance for any new regulatory change.
25	of how many acres would fall into each	25	The limited number of CCAs available to
	Page	110	Page 112
1	category. I would hope that Board Staff	1	assist growers with compliance is merely
2	could provide both reconciliation between	2	one example of a myriad of concerns that
3	Staff's calculations and that of the	3	exist and which should be fully understand
4	agricultural industry for a number of	4	by the Board.
5	farms/growers and compute an estimate of	5	"Finally, at the Senate hearing, the
6	total acreage, which would be classified	6	Dr. Marc Los Huertos report, "A proposed
7	as Tier 3. Farmers for Water Quality has	7	Model to Implement the Conditional Discharge
8	estimated the cost of Tier 3 compliance	8	Waiver for Irrigated Farms" was referenced
9	at approximately \$600 per acre per year	9	by the agricultural panelists as presenting
10	making that land infeasible for farming	10	ideas that warrant substantial study and
11	based on row crops economics. I think	11	consideration by the Board as an alternative
12	it is imperative that we reconcile the	12	to the Draft Order. While this report was
13	percentage of our agricultural land that	13	discussed in the last Board workshop in
14	may be forced out of production under the	14	early February, it is my understanding that
15	provisions of the Tier 3 regulatory	15	the document has not yet been allowed into
16	requirements contained in the Draft Order.	16	the public record and, therefore, has not
17	"Fourthly, agricultural expressed grave	17	been viewed by the Board members. Again,
18	concerned about the ability to implement the	18	this issue is critical to the health of
19	proposed program according to the time lines	19	the environment and the public, as well
20	that have been outlined in the Draft Ag Order.	20	as the health of the economy for the
	I am told that although there are	21	entire region. It would be far better
21	I am told that although there are		
	approximately 40 Certified Crop Advisors (CCAs)	22	to be open to all information, than to
22	-	22 23	to be open to all information, than to rush to a decision. I encourage the
22 23	approximately 40 Certified Crop Advisors (CCAs)		
21 22 23 24 25	approximately 40 Certified Crop Advisors (CCAs) in the central coast, there are only five or	23	rush to a decision. I encourage the

		1	
1 into the re	ecord prior to making any	1	SAN LUIS OBISPO CALIFORNIA
2 decisions.		2	WEDNESDAY, MARCH 14, 2012
3 "I und	erstand that all sides of	3	1:20 P.M.
4 this issue	believe that clean water	4	
5 is very im	portant to maintaining a	5	HEARING RESUMED
	nvironment. Indeed,	6	MR. YOUNG: We are now going to have questions by
-	e has worked closely with	7	Board members of Staff. Folks, that's it for the
-	to improve water quality	8	public testimony cards. I'm not going to be accepting
	ion since adoption of	9	any more after this moment. We have about 56. So if
5	waiver. If there was a	10	everyone was to get three minutes, it would push three
	ng in the 2004 program, it	11	hours alone, so I may cut the time down a little bit.
	the program may not have	12	I want to see where we end up with our prepared
	quately enforced against	13	presentations first.
	hat were not enrolled. That	14	Okay. This is the time for the Board to ask
5	addressed so that the good	15	any questions of the Staff, the Staff Presentation.
	5	16	Any Board member questions? Okay.
	not punished for the	17	Mr. Jeffries.
	growers who did not	18	MR. JEFFRIES: Thank you.
	owever, realistic goals and	19	I don't know which Staff member, but I'll
	ion between the Board and	20	just read these off. On the Slide Number 29 that talks
-	Itural community is essential	21	-
	ping a feasible and	22	about "Impacts of Nitrate Pollution," and it shows
-	table solution which achieves		different cities and so forth, where did that
-	objectives of preserving our	23	information come from that gave the cost? And was that
-	al economy in Monterey County	24	cost strictly for nitrates, or other contaminants as
25 while prot	ecting our precious water	25	well?
	Page 114		Page 1
1 supplies from	pollution.	1	What's that, Matt?
	bu for your continued work	2	MR. DELGADO: Through the Chair, while we're
	and for your consideration	3	waiting, can we also ask questions on the Ag Order that
	ns expressed at the	4	were not part of the presentation, or is there another
	2012 Senate Committee on	5	time for that?
, , ,	earing as summarized herein	6	MR. YOUNG: You know, I mean, we can. I'm just
	ned in the transcript that	7	thinking, perhaps, we should wait until we've heard
	. Sincerely, Anthony Cannella,	8	from everybody first.
 9 Senator, Dist 		9	MR. DELGADO: Sure.
,	nk you for your time.	10	MR. YOUNG: I think that would help the flow of
	: Thank you, Mr. Ritz.	11	things. Jot it down and we'll get to it in the end.
•	Staff could comment, as part of your	12	MR. DELGADO: Thank you. MS. McCANN: I can answer your question,
I DIDUDITION TO	come of the points raised by Mr. Dit-	110	
i ,	some of the points raised by Mr. Ritz.	13	
14 Okay. At	least that concludes elected	14	Mr. Jeffries.
14 Okay. At 15 officials comment	least that concludes elected ts, and it's 12:15, so we are going to	14 15	Mr. Jeffries. This is the slide you're referring to
 Okay. At officials commen take a break for 	least that concludes elected ts, and it's 12:15, so we are going to lunch. We're going to try to limit it	14 15 16	Mr. Jeffries. This is the slide you're referring to MR. JEFFRIES: Yes.
14Okay. At15officials comment16take a break for17to one hour as b	least that concludes elected ts, and it's 12:15, so we are going to lunch. We're going to try to limit it est we can. I urge everybody that	14 15 16 17	Mr. Jeffries. This is the slide you're referring to MR. JEFFRIES: Yes. MS. McCANN: here?
14 Okay. At 15 officials comment 16 take a break for 17 to one hour as b 18 wishes to address	least that concludes elected ts, and it's 12:15, so we are going to lunch. We're going to try to limit it est we can. I urge everybody that s the Board to submit a speaker card	14 15 16 17 18	Mr. Jeffries. This is the slide you're referring to MR. JEFFRIES: Yes. MS. McCANN: here? So on the left side you have public drinking
 Okay. At Officials comment take a break for to one hour as b wishes to address before you leave 	least that concludes elected ts, and it's 12:15, so we are going to lunch. We're going to try to limit it est we can. I urge everybody that s the Board to submit a speaker card . Because once I come back from lunch,	14 15 16 17 18 19	Mr. Jeffries. This is the slide you're referring to MR. JEFFRIES: Yes. MS. McCANN: here? So on the left side you have public drinking water bottles with the cost to King City and Salinas.
14 Okay. At 15 officials comment 16 take a break for 17 to one hour as b 18 wishes to address 19 before you leave 20 I'll look up I'll	least that concludes elected ts, and it's 12:15, so we are going to unch. We're going to try to limit it est we can. I urge everybody that s the Board to submit a speaker card . Because once I come back from lunch, look at all the cards, count them	14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 Mr. Jeffries. This is the slide you're referring to MR. JEFFRIES: Yes. MS. McCANN: here? So on the left side you have public drinking water bottles with the cost to King City and Salinas. Those numbers are based upon our discussions with the
14 Okay. At 15 officials comment 16 take a break for 17 to one hour as b 18 wishes to address 19 before you leave 20 I'll look up I'll	least that concludes elected ts, and it's 12:15, so we are going to lunch. We're going to try to limit it est we can. I urge everybody that s the Board to submit a speaker card . Because once I come back from lunch,	14 15 16 17 18 19	Mr. Jeffries. This is the slide you're referring to MR. JEFFRIES: Yes. MS. McCANN: here? So on the left side you have public drinking water bottles with the cost to King City and Salinas.
14 Okay. At 15 officials comment 16 take a break for 17 to one hour as b 18 wishes to address 19 before you leave 20 I'll look up I'll 21 up, and we're go	least that concludes elected ts, and it's 12:15, so we are going to unch. We're going to try to limit it est we can. I urge everybody that s the Board to submit a speaker card . Because once I come back from lunch, look at all the cards, count them	14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 Mr. Jeffries. This is the slide you're referring to MR. JEFFRIES: Yes. MS. McCANN: here? So on the left side you have public drinking water bottles with the cost to King City and Salinas. Those numbers are based upon our discussions with the
14 Okay. At 15 officials comment 16 take a break for 17 to one hour as b 18 wishes to address 19 before you leave 20 I'll look up I'll 21 up, and we're go 22 please get a specifier	least that concludes elected ts, and it's 12:15, so we are going to lunch. We're going to try to limit it est we can. I urge everybody that s the Board to submit a speaker card . Because once I come back from lunch, look at all the cards, count them ing to allocate time accordingly. So	14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Mr. Jeffries. This is the slide you're referring to MR. JEFFRIES: Yes. MS. McCANN: here? So on the left side you have public drinking water bottles with the cost to King City and Salinas. Those numbers are based upon our discussions with the Water Purveyor, Cal-M Water. So this is only this
14 Okay. At 15 officials comment 16 take a break for 17 to one hour as b 18 wishes to address 19 before you leave 20 I'll look up I'll 21 up, and we're go 22 please get a spec 23 So let's convene	least that concludes elected ts, and it's 12:15, so we are going to lunch. We're going to try to limit it est we can. I urge everybody that s the Board to submit a speaker card . Because once I come back from lunch, look at all the cards, count them ing to allocate time accordingly. So aker card and submit it. Thank you.	14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Mr. Jeffries. This is the slide you're referring to MR. JEFFRIES: Yes. MS. McCANN: here? So on the left side you have public drinking water bottles with the cost to King City and Salinas. Those numbers are based upon our discussions with the Water Purveyor, Cal-M Water. So this is only this is not for the entire city, King City and Salinas, but
14 Okay. At 15 officials comment 16 take a break for 17 to one hour as b 18 wishes to address 19 before you leave 20 I'll look up I'll 21 up, and we're go 22 please get a spect 23 So let's convene	least that concludes elected ts, and it's 12:15, so we are going to lunch. We're going to try to limit it est we can. I urge everybody that is the Board to submit a speaker card . Because once I come back from lunch, look at all the cards, count them ing to allocate time accordingly. So aker card and submit it. Thank you. back here at 1:20.	14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 Mr. Jeffries. This is the slide you're referring to MR. JEFFRIES: Yes. MS. McCANN: here? So on the left side you have public drinking water bottles with the cost to King City and Salinas. Those numbers are based upon our discussions with the Water Purveyor, Cal-M Water. So this is only this is not for the entire city, King City and Salinas, but just those wells that

1	MS. McCANN: Yes.	1	been able to put this story together. The nitrate
2	MR. JEFFRIES: Directly?	2	plumes were coming up on the incoming tides.
3	MS. McCANN: Directly, yes. These are wells going	3	MR. JEFFRIES: Okay. My next question is probably
4	out of production or requiring treatment because of the	4	to Michael Thomas, when he talked about the amount of
5	nitrates exceeded in a violation.	5	people working on this Ag Order.
6	MR. JEFFRIES: Part B of my question is a little	6	My question is if the Board approved this Ag
7	bit further down. It says "Monterey County Elementary	7	Order today, would you have enough Staffing to be able
8	Schools."	8	to mandate and to enforce the Order?
9	Is that all of the county schools?	9	MR. THOMAS: Yes.
LO	MS. McCANN: No. That's one school in San Lucas.	10	MR. JEFFRIES: Yes?
.1	MR. JEFFRIES: One school?	11	MR. THOMAS: Yes.
12	What school was that?	12	MR. JEFFRIES: And still do all the rest of the
13	MS. McCANN: San Lucas Elementary School.	13	business the Board does?
4	MR. JEFFRIES: I didn't hear you.	14	MR. THOMAS: Yes.
.5	MS. McCANN: San Lucas.	15	
.6	MR. JEFFRIES: San Lucas. Okay. So that's we	16	The way we designed this Order and the online
			enrollment data base, we now have a data base designed
.7	have and then my next question is I don't know	17	to be able to manage the problem as it exists. And
.8	what slide it was, but it dealt with the "Nitrates in	18	we're bringing this program online with the other
.9	Old Salinas River Channel." I think Karen touched	19	programs. For example, we have our underground tank
20	that.	20	program, which has far more responsible parties then we
21	I wasn't challenging that, but you did say	21	have in the Ag Program. And the Ag Program has
22	something about Elk Horn Saloon, and the report was	22	approximately 6,000 cases. We manage those cases
3	I believe you showed from 1988 to mid-1996. You didn't	23	through a database system. That is similar to what we
24	show anything since then because there's been	24	have created for the Ag Program. And we bring in this
25	considerable improvement on the Elk Horn Saloon on	25	program, modernizing it and bringing it in mind with
	Page 118		Page 1
1	nitrates bulk, because of the Elk Horn Saloon	1	several of our other programs. The way that it is
2	Foundation buying of the property around the saloon.	2	designed today we would be able to manage it far more
3	MS. WORCESTER: The nitrate is being pulled in on	3	effectively than in the past.
4	the incoming tide, and this channel, and is going up	4	MR. JEFFRIES: Okay. That's all the questions I
5	into the slue.	5	have.
6	MR. JEFFRIES: Okay.	6	MR. YOUNG: Mr. Johnston.
7	MS. WORCESTER: I have some data showing extremely	7	MR. JOHNSTON: Yeah, a couple of questions. One
8	low oxygen in some of the shallow areas off the main	8	for Karen.
9	channel, so it is having problems associated with	9	I'm looking at Slide 32, "Toxicity in
LO	nutrient enrichment.	10	California Waters," and it talks about the highest
11	MR. JEFFRIES: But you don't have a report since	11	percentage of toxic sites, Statewide California Central
.2	1996?	12	Coast Streams and 56 percent of region 3 sites are
.3	MS. WORESTER: The data I showed you before the	13	toxic, 22 percent of the Region 3 sites are highly
L4	slide was all collected recently through our program,	14	toxic.
15	or the Ag Program.	15	Just so I understand, are these sites
.6	MR. JEFFRIES: Was that included in the graph that	16	throughout the region or are these sites concentrated
.7	was included in the Old Salinas River Channel? Is that	17	in the impacted parts of these water sheds where we are
8	all	18	expecting to find the problem, and we are looking for
	MS. WORCESTER: Yeah. This graph on this	19	the problem?
	particular slide goes through 2011. This is	20	MS. WORCESTER: That's a good question.
20		21	There is several sets of data, so they
20	MR. JEFFRIES: Yes, I saw that, but I thought that	21	
19 20 21 22		22	basically pulled all the data sets they could together,
20 21 22	MR. JEFFRIES: Yes, I saw that, but I thought that		basically pulled all the data sets they could together, and so the Ag data is in there, our data is in there,
20 21	MR. JEFFRIES: Yes, I saw that, but I thought that was pertaining to the Old Salinas River Channel itself	22	
20 21 22 23	MR. JEFFRIES: Yes, I saw that, but I thought that was pertaining to the Old Salinas River Channel itself and not the Elk Horn Saloon.	22 23	and so the Ag data is in there, our data is in there,

Atkinson-Baker, Inc. Court Reporters

-

-			
1	the Ag data does. And then there's a couple of other	1	And correct me if I'm wrong, but the Porter-Cologne Act
2	data sets, and some of that research was done in	2	starts out protecting from degradation rather than
3	Agriculture data. There was an urban study also that	3	restoration; is that true?
4	looked at urban areas throughout the State. So it's a	4	MR. KEELING: Yes.
5	mix of data sets, and obviously, that is going to	5	MR. JORDAN: Would you call the 2004 Waiver a
6	affect a percent-type calculation.	6	program, up to now, successful, given that frame of
7	MR. JOHNSTON: So it's a mix of data sets. Would	8	reference? MR. KEELING: I think the 2004 Order was
8	it be safe to say its skewed to the heavily impacted	9	
9 10	areas?	10	appropriate. Its level of regulation was appropriate at the time it was adopted because of the information
11	MS. WORCESTER: Yes. It's expensive to do, but it's higher risk.	11	we had then. Given the data that we have, it is not
12	MR. JOHNSTON: And the other question is for	12	appropriate to continue to regulate this issue with
13	Matt.	13	that kind of Order, with the same level of
14	Um, and you talked about the blurring of well	14	requirements. At the time, I think it was an
15	locations for the groundwater monitoring, and that	15	appropriate Order. Today, with the information we
16		16	have, I don't think it's appropriate.
17	would essentially not reveal the location of a well, just the general area.	17	MR. JORDAN: Okay. And then I had one other
18	Does that also mask the identity of the well	18	question on SIPs and KEM, because they've been referred
19	owner or operator who's reporting?	19	to a couple of times from the Staff presentation.
20	Maybe it wasn't Matt.	20	Can those be implemented during the term of a
21	MS. McCANN: That's a question for me.	21	Waiver Program?
22	We did talk to our counsel about that, and,	22	MR. KEELING: Yes.
23	yes, both the location and the identity of the well	23	MR. JORDAN: Okay. Thanks.
24	could be used to locate it, so both of these would be	24	MR. YOUNG: Okay. The question we have is let's
25	examples of disclosure.	25	see Page 17. I think Karen put the slide up. I think
	Page 122		Page 124
1	MR. JOHNSTON: So if an individual farmer is	1	it's the estuary. And I want to find out how close to
2	reporting groundwater data, there will be a what	2	the ocean it is, the Santa Maria River. Yeah, that
3	will be public data will be the general area of the	3	one.
4	well, but what will not be public data is either the	4	MS. McCANN: Half a mile from that. Less than
5	specific location of the well or the identity of the	5	half a mile from that ocean.
6	individual farmer who's reporting the data; is that	6	MR. YOUNG: And does the arrow point to the
7 8	correct?	7	sampling station?
	MS. McCANN: That's correct.		MS. McCANN: Right.
9	MR. JOHNSTON: Thank you.	9	MR. YOUNG: For that data?
10 11	MR. YOUNG: Mr. Jordan.	10	MS. McCANN: Right. That is the ocean. You could
12	MR. JORDAN: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.	12	see it in the background.
13	These are for the report of Mr. Tomlinson. I kind of like the end of the report wrap up, and just	13	MR. YOUNG: Okay. Mr. Delaado and Dr. Hunter
14	for some clarification in terms of what I heard from	14	Mr. Delgado and Dr. Hunter. MR. DELGADO: Thank you. I believe it was
15	some of the testimony up to now, and that was one that	15	Senator Cannella's representative that expressed a
16	the process leading to the 2004 Waiver was deemed a	16	concern of confusion about the Tier percentages and the
17	collaborative process.	17	acres. And he referred to his own letter of back in
18	Would you generally agree with that?	18	2011, where 13 percent were in Tier 3, but there were
19	MR. KEELING: It was a collaborative process among	19	no acreages given then. And I note that the 13 percent
20	a very small group of people, small group of select	20	has changed to 3 percent, perhaps in response to that
21	stakeholders. It did not include all stakeholders and	21	communication. But my question is can you give us the
22	including those people who were affected by the	22	reason for the change from 13 to 3, and whether you
23	pollution.	23	believe the acreages now, and the Tier percentages
24	MR. JORDAN: And then Congressman Farr quickly	24	probably would satisfy Senator Cannella or, I'm just
25	jumped over protect and went straight to restoration.	25	concerned because that was a concern that he
	Page 123		Page 125
	1 460 125	1	1 460 125

1	expressed.	1	quorum of Board members go on a tour, we would have to
2	MS. SCHROETER: So the numbers that we have	2	Public Notice them, and we've done that before. So we
3	currently, I absolutely do think that those are	3	can do it. As far as modifying the Order, the Board
4	accurate numbers as reflected here, because those are	4	can modify the Order at any time, or schedule a
5	based upon the information submitted by growers, the	5	hearing, direct Staff can schedule a hearing to modify
6	electronic Notice of Intent. So there is two reasons	6	the Order, at any time.
7	why they changed from previously reported. One was	7	MR. DELGADO: And how I mean, it's been very
8	because there was a fundamental change in the Order.	8	complicated, so how many months does it take for this
9	It went from "operations" to "farms," so it got more	9	Board to modify?
10	specific. So farmers requested that Tiering be based	10	MR. KEELING: So far, the case we have so far, is
11	on characteristic individual farms, instead of by	11	three and a half years.
12	association of an operation.	12	MR. DELGADO: But that's for a wholesale
13	So, for example, an operation could have been	13	revision?
14	five farms, all with various acreage, and maybe three	14	MR. KEELING: Yes. I was being witty there.
15	of those farms now are only in Tier 3 and the other two	15	The if the Board had a specific change they wanted
16	went to a lower Tier. In addition, at the time of that	16	to make to the Order, I think that would be done in a
17	statistic, we were in the middle of the process of	17	reasonable amount of time. As you know, our Board
18 19	having the growers update their electronic Notice of	18	meetings are scheduled throughout the year,
19 20	Intent. So not all growers were reflected in the	19 20	approximately six meetings per year, and so if the
20 21	electronic data base. Some of them were only in hard	20	Board decided they wanted to make a change to the
	copy, and so we were just doing our best guess to	21	Order, we would schedule it out a few months and
22	estimate those numbers. But primarily it went down	23	present the proposed changes.
23 24	because of the change from operation to farm.	24	MR. DELGADO: All right. Thank you. MR. YOUNG: Okay. Dr. Hunter.
24	MR. DELGADO: Okay. Last month, we heard a	25	DR. HUNTER: Thank you.
2.5	presentation by Dr. Marc De Los Huertos, and it has		·
	Page 126	• 	Page 128
1	been referred to since then, including today.	1	We heard from elected officials this morning,
2	My question is how much of that proposal is	2	and their representatives, the concerns that they have
3	implementable with the Draft Ag Order or the Draft Ag	3	for economic impacts, and so I wanted to get some
4	Order to be approved? How much overlap is there?	4	clarification from you on the Staff Report, which,
5	MS. McCANN: I'd say everything about this is	5	sorry, I think is on Page 16 and 17, that notes some of
6	implementable, with the exception of the reporting	6	the differences in the cost analyses that were
7	elements.	7	submitted in the alternative proposal, submitted by the
8	So the Order would still require that the	8	Ag community.
9	reporting elements that are in the Order, but the	9	And I also note that Staff is not able to
10	coalitions, the audits, the surveys, the technical	10	clearly say who's represented in that alternative plan,
11	assistance provided, the types of management practices,	11	so that is something I'm interested in. But the
12	the assessing risks of farms, that is all	12	question I have for you right now is it seems that in
13	implementable, as presented in the Ag Proposal, with	13	the Staff discussion, um, there is some gaps between
14	the current Ag Order or the Draft.	14	what costs were included in the analysis conducted by
15	MR. DELGADO: Last question.	15	the Ag Plan, versus the cost that, um, you laid out,
		16	which included the cost of implementing practices.
16	I think it's a great idea the more we up here		
17	see on the ground what's happening, and what doesn't	17	So can you make up, make that clear, for me,
17 18	see on the ground what's happening, and what doesn't make sense, and what does make sense, that kind of	18	so I'm sure that I understand what that is. It seems
17 18 19	see on the ground what's happening, and what doesn't make sense, and what does make sense, that kind of thing, so it was mentioned today, and previously, the	18 19	so I'm sure that I understand what that is. It seems like we've been given two different sets and comparing
17 18 19 20	see on the ground what's happening, and what doesn't make sense, and what does make sense, that kind of thing, so it was mentioned today, and previously, the potential for tours. If such tours were to happen	18 19 20	so I'm sure that I understand what that is. It seems like we've been given two different sets and comparing the bottom line.
17 18 19 20 21	see on the ground what's happening, and what doesn't make sense, and what does make sense, that kind of thing, so it was mentioned today, and previously, the potential for tours. If such tours were to happen after today, how complicated is it to modify the Order	18 19 20 21	so I'm sure that I understand what that is. It seems like we've been given two different sets and comparing the bottom line. MS. McCANN: So both proposals, the primary cost
17 18 19 20 21 22	see on the ground what's happening, and what doesn't make sense, and what does make sense, that kind of thing, so it was mentioned today, and previously, the potential for tours. If such tours were to happen after today, how complicated is it to modify the Order in ensuing years, when we learn by trying to implement	18 19 20 21 22	so I'm sure that I understand what that is. It seems like we've been given two different sets and comparing the bottom line. MS. McCANN: So both proposals, the primary cost to implement is from management measures, so the
17 18 19 20 21 22 23	see on the ground what's happening, and what doesn't make sense, and what does make sense, that kind of thing, so it was mentioned today, and previously, the potential for tours. If such tours were to happen after today, how complicated is it to modify the Order in ensuing years, when we learn by trying to implement it, that there is better ways of doing pieces of it?	18 19 20 21 22 23	so I'm sure that I understand what that is. It seems like we've been given two different sets and comparing the bottom line. MS. McCANN: So both proposals, the primary cost to implement is from management measures, so the individual cost of the management measures for a farm
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	see on the ground what's happening, and what doesn't make sense, and what does make sense, that kind of thing, so it was mentioned today, and previously, the potential for tours. If such tours were to happen after today, how complicated is it to modify the Order in ensuing years, when we learn by trying to implement it, that there is better ways of doing pieces of it? MR. KEELING: Tours are certainly possible. We've	18 19 20 21 22 23 24	so I'm sure that I understand what that is. It seems like we've been given two different sets and comparing the bottom line. MS. McCANN: So both proposals, the primary cost to implement is from management measures, so the individual cost of the management measures for a farm depends on that farm, and that's hard for us to predict
17 18 19 20 21 22 23	see on the ground what's happening, and what doesn't make sense, and what does make sense, that kind of thing, so it was mentioned today, and previously, the potential for tours. If such tours were to happen after today, how complicated is it to modify the Order in ensuing years, when we learn by trying to implement it, that there is better ways of doing pieces of it?	18 19 20 21 22 23	so I'm sure that I understand what that is. It seems like we've been given two different sets and comparing the bottom line. MS. McCANN: So both proposals, the primary cost to implement is from management measures, so the individual cost of the management measures for a farm

2	DR. HUNTER: Right.	1	each, because some of those are not able to read, so to
	MS. McCANN: So those costs should be similar,	2	make it easier for everybody, because I can do that.
3	between the Ag proposal and compliance with the Draft	3	MR. YOUNG: Go ahead.
4	Order, and management orders will be implemented with	4	MS. McCHESNEY: Are these two different
5	the Draft Order.	5	presentations?
6	The written economic report or cost report	6	MR. DUNHAM: What?
7	that we received, did not appear to include actual	7	MS. McCHESNEY: Are these two different
8	estimates of costs to, um, for implementing management	8	presentations?
9	practices. It estimated costs for the administrative	9	MR. DUNHAM: They're the exact same presentation,
10	arrangement of a third-party group, and the activities	10	but you'll see that we have some slides with a lot of
11	that the third-party group would provide, in terms of	11	text so we wanted you to be able to use it.
12	the audits, and that kind of activity.	12	MS. McCHESNEY: Okay.
13	Subsequently, they estimated some costs.	13	MR. DUNHAM: And we wanted to make sure the court
14	They attempted to estimate some costs for management	14	reporter has one, that way she has one for the record.
15	measure implementation, used Tier 3 type requirements,	15	MR. YOUNG: Go ahead.
L6	in our opinion, overestimated the application of those	16	MS. SILVA: Good afternoon, Chairman Young and
7	Tier 3 requirements, to all farms, when there are only	17	fellow Board members. My name is Abby Taylor Silva.
18	subsets of farms that we have to implement those areas.	18	Today, I represent the Growers Shippers Association of
L 9	DR. HUNTER: Thank you. I also understand, that	19	Central California and Farmers for Water Quality, a
20	by not including the management practices, it leads to	20	collaborative that includes my organization
21	the idea that the alternative plan would actually be a	21	Western Growers, The California Strawberry Commission,
22	lower cost implementation process, but if you consider	22	The Grower-Shipper Association of Santa Barbara and San
23	management practices as part of the fundamental cost of	23	Luis Obispo Counties, and The Farm Growers of Monterey,
24	all farms coming into compliance, then we're talking	24	San Benito, Santa Clara and San Luis Obispo Counties.
25	about a higher cost, actually, where coalitions may	25	Today, you'll also hear from Kari Fisher, The
	Page 13	0	Page 132
	C C		
1	actually Order the third-party option, and actually add	1	California Farm Bureau Coalition, representing the Ag
2	to the cost.	2	working group, which is made up of all farm growers in
3	Am I getting that right?		
	An I getting that right:	3	the region, the other groups I just mentioned, and many
4	MS. McCANN: Yes. That's essentially what we	3 4	the region, the other groups I just mentioned, and many more agricultural groups. You will also hear from Tess
4 5			
	MS. McCANN: Yes. That's essentially what we	4	more agricultural groups. You will also hear from Tess Dunham on behalf of Farmers for Water Quality. Our presentation will provide a response to Staff's
5	MS. McCANN: Yes. That's essentially what we concluded in the Staff report. That it's essentially	4 5	more agricultural groups. You will also hear from Tess Dunham on behalf of Farmers for Water Quality. Our
5 6	MS. McCANN: Yes. That's essentially what we concluded in the Staff report. That it's essentially apples and oranges, if you're only going to compare	4 5 6	more agricultural groups. You will also hear from Tess Dunham on behalf of Farmers for Water Quality. Our presentation will provide a response to Staff's
5 6 7	MS. McCANN: Yes. That's essentially what we concluded in the Staff report. That it's essentially apples and oranges, if you're only going to compare cost of the administrative cost in one proposal to	4 5 6 7	more agricultural groups. You will also hear from Tess Dunham on behalf of Farmers for Water Quality. Our presentation will provide a response to Staff's representation of their report, and how, at times, it
5 6 7 8 9	MS. McCANN: Yes. That's essentially what we concluded in the Staff report. That it's essentially apples and oranges, if you're only going to compare cost of the administrative cost in one proposal to the implementation costs in another proposal.	4 5 6 7 8	more agricultural groups. You will also hear from Tess Dunham on behalf of Farmers for Water Quality. Our presentation will provide a response to Staff's representation of their report, and how, at times, it contradicts the reports written. We will provide
5 7 8 9	MS. McCANN: Yes. That's essentially what we concluded in the Staff report. That it's essentially apples and oranges, if you're only going to compare cost of the administrative cost in one proposal to the implementation costs in another proposal. DR. HUNTER: Okay.	4 5 6 7 8 9	more agricultural groups. You will also hear from Tess Dunham on behalf of Farmers for Water Quality. Our presentation will provide a response to Staff's representation of their report, and how, at times, it contradicts the reports written. We will provide recommended changes as to the September 1 Draft Order
5 7 8 9 10	 MS. McCANN: Yes. That's essentially what we concluded in the Staff report. That it's essentially apples and oranges, if you're only going to compare cost of the administrative cost in one proposal to the implementation costs in another proposal. DR. HUNTER: Okay. MR. YOUNG: Okay. Looks like that concludes our 	4 5 7 8 9 10	more agricultural groups. You will also hear from Tess Dunham on behalf of Farmers for Water Quality. Our presentation will provide a response to Staff's representation of their report, and how, at times, it contradicts the reports written. We will provide recommended changes as to the September 1 Draft Order to Draft MRPs, Tier comparisons between Draft Order and
5 7 8 9 10	 MS. McCANN: Yes. That's essentially what we concluded in the Staff report. That it's essentially apples and oranges, if you're only going to compare cost of the administrative cost in one proposal to the implementation costs in another proposal. DR. HUNTER: Okay. MR. YOUNG: Okay. Looks like that concludes our questions of Staff on implementation. 	4 5 7 8 9 10 11	more agricultural groups. You will also hear from Tess Dunham on behalf of Farmers for Water Quality. Our presentation will provide a response to Staff's representation of their report, and how, at times, it contradicts the reports written. We will provide recommended changes as to the September 1 Draft Order to Draft MRPs, Tier comparisons between Draft Order and the Agricultural Alternative and a case of the legality
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 MS. McCANN: Yes. That's essentially what we concluded in the Staff report. That it's essentially apples and oranges, if you're only going to compare cost of the administrative cost in one proposal to the implementation costs in another proposal. DR. HUNTER: Okay. MR. YOUNG: Okay. Looks like that concludes our questions of Staff on implementation. Let's move to our prepared presentations. 	4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12	more agricultural groups. You will also hear from Tess Dunham on behalf of Farmers for Water Quality. Our presentation will provide a response to Staff's representation of their report, and how, at times, it contradicts the reports written. We will provide recommended changes as to the September 1 Draft Order to Draft MRPs, Tier comparisons between Draft Order and the Agricultural Alternative and a case of the legality of Agricultural Alternative.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 MS. McCANN: Yes. That's essentially what we concluded in the Staff report. That it's essentially apples and oranges, if you're only going to compare cost of the administrative cost in one proposal to the implementation costs in another proposal. DR. HUNTER: Okay. MR. YOUNG: Okay. Looks like that concludes our questions of Staff on implementation. Let's move to our prepared presentations. And we'll start with the Working Group Farmers for 	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	more agricultural groups. You will also hear from Tess Dunham on behalf of Farmers for Water Quality. Our presentation will provide a response to Staff's representation of their report, and how, at times, it contradicts the reports written. We will provide recommended changes as to the September 1 Draft Order to Draft MRPs, Tier comparisons between Draft Order and the Agricultural Alternative and a case of the legality of Agricultural Alternative. THE REPORTER: Excuse me. Can you slow down just
5 6 7 8 9 .0 .1 .2 .3 .4	 MS. McCANN: Yes. That's essentially what we concluded in the Staff report. That it's essentially apples and oranges, if you're only going to compare cost of the administrative cost in one proposal to the implementation costs in another proposal. DR. HUNTER: Okay. MR. YOUNG: Okay. Looks like that concludes our questions of Staff on implementation. Let's move to our prepared presentations. And we'll start with the Working Group Farmers for Water Quality. They have one hour to give us their 	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	more agricultural groups. You will also hear from Tess Dunham on behalf of Farmers for Water Quality. Our presentation will provide a response to Staff's representation of their report, and how, at times, it contradicts the reports written. We will provide recommended changes as to the September 1 Draft Order to Draft MRPs, Tier comparisons between Draft Order and the Agricultural Alternative and a case of the legality of Agricultural Alternative. THE REPORTER: Excuse me. Can you slow down just a touch and speak up a little bit louder?
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 MS. McCANN: Yes. That's essentially what we concluded in the Staff report. That it's essentially apples and oranges, if you're only going to compare cost of the administrative cost in one proposal to the implementation costs in another proposal. DR. HUNTER: Okay. MR. YOUNG: Okay. Looks like that concludes our questions of Staff on implementation. Let's move to our prepared presentations. And we'll start with the Working Group Farmers for Water Quality. They have one hour to give us their presentation. 	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	more agricultural groups. You will also hear from Tess Dunham on behalf of Farmers for Water Quality. Our presentation will provide a response to Staff's representation of their report, and how, at times, it contradicts the reports written. We will provide recommended changes as to the September 1 Draft Order to Draft MRPs, Tier comparisons between Draft Order and the Agricultural Alternative and a case of the legality of Agricultural Alternative. THE REPORTER: Excuse me. Can you slow down just a touch and speak up a little bit louder? MS. SILVA: Absolutely.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 MS. McCANN: Yes. That's essentially what we concluded in the Staff report. That it's essentially apples and oranges, if you're only going to compare cost of the administrative cost in one proposal to the implementation costs in another proposal. DR. HUNTER: Okay. MR. YOUNG: Okay. Looks like that concludes our questions of Staff on implementation. Let's move to our prepared presentations. And we'll start with the Working Group Farmers for Water Quality. They have one hour to give us their presentation. MS. DUNHAM: We have copies, as requested. 	4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	more agricultural groups. You will also hear from Tess Dunham on behalf of Farmers for Water Quality. Our presentation will provide a response to Staff's representation of their report, and how, at times, it contradicts the reports written. We will provide recommended changes as to the September 1 Draft Order to Draft MRPs, Tier comparisons between Draft Order and the Agricultural Alternative and a case of the legality of Agricultural Alternative. THE REPORTER: Excuse me. Can you slow down just a touch and speak up a little bit louder? MS. SILVA: Absolutely. THE REPORTER: Thank you, so much.
5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 MS. McCANN: Yes. That's essentially what we concluded in the Staff report. That it's essentially apples and oranges, if you're only going to compare cost of the administrative cost in one proposal to the implementation costs in another proposal. DR. HUNTER: Okay. MR. YOUNG: Okay. Looks like that concludes our questions of Staff on implementation. Let's move to our prepared presentations. And we'll start with the Working Group Farmers for Water Quality. They have one hour to give us their presentation. MS. DUNHAM: We have copies, as requested. MS. MCCHESNEY: Tess, and how about do you have 	4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	more agricultural groups. You will also hear from Tess Dunham on behalf of Farmers for Water Quality. Our presentation will provide a response to Staff's representation of their report, and how, at times, it contradicts the reports written. We will provide recommended changes as to the September 1 Draft Order to Draft MRPs, Tier comparisons between Draft Order and the Agricultural Alternative and a case of the legality of Agricultural Alternative. THE REPORTER: Excuse me. Can you slow down just a touch and speak up a little bit louder? MS. SILVA: Absolutely. THE REPORTER: Thank you, so much. MS. SILVA: I'll get this to my height. Okay.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 MS. McCANN: Yes. That's essentially what we concluded in the Staff report. That it's essentially apples and oranges, if you're only going to compare cost of the administrative cost in one proposal to the implementation costs in another proposal. DR. HUNTER: Okay. MR. YOUNG: Okay. Looks like that concludes our questions of Staff on implementation. Let's move to our prepared presentations. And we'll start with the Working Group Farmers for Water Quality. They have one hour to give us their presentation. MS. DUNHAM: We have copies, as requested. MS. McCHESNEY: Tess, and how about do you have an idea of how much time you want to reserve for the 	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	more agricultural groups. You will also hear from Tess Dunham on behalf of Farmers for Water Quality. Our presentation will provide a response to Staff's representation of their report, and how, at times, it contradicts the reports written. We will provide recommended changes as to the September 1 Draft Order to Draft MRPs, Tier comparisons between Draft Order and the Agricultural Alternative and a case of the legality of Agricultural Alternative. THE REPORTER: Excuse me. Can you slow down just a touch and speak up a little bit louder? MS. SILVA: Absolutely. THE REPORTER: Thank you, so much. MS. SILVA: I'll get this to my height. Okay. THE REPORTER: Thank you.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 MS. McCANN: Yes. That's essentially what we concluded in the Staff report. That it's essentially apples and oranges, if you're only going to compare cost of the administrative cost in one proposal to the implementation costs in another proposal. DR. HUNTER: Okay. MR. YOUNG: Okay. Looks like that concludes our questions of Staff on implementation. Let's move to our prepared presentations. And we'll start with the Working Group Farmers for Water Quality. They have one hour to give us their presentation. MS. DUNHAM: We have copies, as requested. MS. McCHESNEY: Tess, and how about do you have an idea of how much time you want to reserve for the close? 	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	more agricultural groups. You will also hear from Tess Dunham on behalf of Farmers for Water Quality. Our presentation will provide a response to Staff's representation of their report, and how, at times, it contradicts the reports written. We will provide recommended changes as to the September 1 Draft Order to Draft MRPs, Tier comparisons between Draft Order and the Agricultural Alternative and a case of the legality of Agricultural Alternative. THE REPORTER: Excuse me. Can you slow down just a touch and speak up a little bit louder? MS. SILVA: Absolutely. THE REPORTER: Thank you, so much. MS. SILVA: I'll get this to my height. Okay. THE REPORTER: Thank you. MS. SILVA: Some have said that agriculture hasn't
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 MS. McCANN: Yes. That's essentially what we concluded in the Staff report. That it's essentially apples and oranges, if you're only going to compare cost of the administrative cost in one proposal to the implementation costs in another proposal. DR. HUNTER: Okay. MR. YOUNG: Okay. Looks like that concludes our questions of Staff on implementation. Let's move to our prepared presentations. And we'll start with the Working Group Farmers for Water Quality. They have one hour to give us their presentation. MS. DUNHAM: We have copies, as requested. MS. McCHESNEY: Tess, and how about do you have an idea of how much time you want to reserve for the close? MR. DUNHAM: Probably five minutes for closing. 	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	more agricultural groups. You will also hear from Tess Dunham on behalf of Farmers for Water Quality. Our presentation will provide a response to Staff's representation of their report, and how, at times, it contradicts the reports written. We will provide recommended changes as to the September 1 Draft Order to Draft MRPs, Tier comparisons between Draft Order and the Agricultural Alternative and a case of the legality of Agricultural Alternative. THE REPORTER: Excuse me. Can you slow down just a touch and speak up a little bit louder? MS. SILVA: Absolutely. THE REPORTER: Thank you, so much. MS. SILVA: I'll get this to my height. Okay. THE REPORTER: Thank you. MS. SILVA: Some have said that agriculture hasn't been (inaudible word). On the contrary, following the
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 MS. McCANN: Yes. That's essentially what we concluded in the Staff report. That it's essentially apples and oranges, if you're only going to compare cost of the administrative cost in one proposal to the implementation costs in another proposal. DR. HUNTER: Okay. MR. YOUNG: Okay. Looks like that concludes our questions of Staff on implementation. Let's move to our prepared presentations. And we'll start with the Working Group Farmers for Water Quality. They have one hour to give us their presentation. MS. DUNHAM: We have copies, as requested. MS. McCHESNEY: Tess, and how about do you have an idea of how much time you want to reserve for the close? MR. DUNHAM: Probably five minutes for closing. MR. MORROW: Okay. You want us to help you with 	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	more agricultural groups. You will also hear from Tess Dunham on behalf of Farmers for Water Quality. Our presentation will provide a response to Staff's representation of their report, and how, at times, it contradicts the reports written. We will provide recommended changes as to the September 1 Draft Order to Draft MRPs, Tier comparisons between Draft Order and the Agricultural Alternative and a case of the legality of Agricultural Alternative. THE REPORTER: Excuse me. Can you slow down just a touch and speak up a little bit louder? MS. SILVA: Absolutely. THE REPORTER: Thank you, so much. MS. SILVA: I'll get this to my height. Okay. THE REPORTER: Thank you. MS. SILVA: Some have said that agriculture hasn't been (inaudible word). On the contrary, following the dissolution of the Ag Program, agriculture's interest
5 6 7 8	 MS. McCANN: Yes. That's essentially what we concluded in the Staff report. That it's essentially apples and oranges, if you're only going to compare cost of the administrative cost in one proposal to the implementation costs in another proposal. DR. HUNTER: Okay. MR. YOUNG: Okay. Looks like that concludes our questions of Staff on implementation. Let's move to our prepared presentations. And we'll start with the Working Group Farmers for Water Quality. They have one hour to give us their presentation. MS. DUNHAM: We have copies, as requested. MS. McCHESNEY: Tess, and how about do you have an idea of how much time you want to reserve for the close? MR. DUNHAM: Probably five minutes for closing. MR. MORROW: Okay. You want us to help you with the clock? 	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	more agricultural groups. You will also hear from Tess Dunham on behalf of Farmers for Water Quality. Our presentation will provide a response to Staff's representation of their report, and how, at times, it contradicts the reports written. We will provide recommended changes as to the September 1 Draft Order to Draft MRPs, Tier comparisons between Draft Order and the Agricultural Alternative and a case of the legality of Agricultural Alternative. THE REPORTER: Excuse me. Can you slow down just a touch and speak up a little bit louder? MS. SILVA: Absolutely. THE REPORTER: Thank you, so much. MS. SILVA: I'll get this to my height. Okay. THE REPORTER: Thank you. MS. SILVA: Some have said that agriculture hasn't been (inaudible word). On the contrary, following the dissolution of the Ag Program, agriculture's interest in finding a solution has only increased.

1	the Packard Foundation last spring to facilitate a	1	Additional examples of where the Staff Report
2	dialogue with environmental community leaders.	2	contradicts itself, are put on our slides. We just
3	We agreed that we all wanted to see water	3	pulled up, excuse me, four of them. There are many
4	quality improvement on the Central Coast and were all	4	more, but these, right here, talk about Tiering, and
5	similarly interested in ensuring the continual	5	how growers will actually be put into different Tiers.
6	viability of commercial agriculture on the Central	6	As you can see, I highlighted the Executive Officer
7	Coast.	7	maintains a lot of discretion with regard to where a
8	Unfortunately, despite review of many ideas	8	grower will be placed in a Tier, and being able to move
9	presented by both the agricultural and environmental	9	the grower, from one Tier to a higher Tier. We've
10	community, we were unable to find a solution we could	10	also also, it depends on the definition of operation
11	all agree to.	11	or farm, and there is conflicting information with
12	Additionally, we then began working with	12	regard to what exactly is an operation. What will be
13	Dr. Los Huertos in an effort to find more science and	13	characterized as the operation? It's a broad statement
14	on the ground intervention strategy to our original	14	that is in itself, vague and open-ended. The wide
15	proposal. Although Dr. Los Huertos' written report, as	15	discretion that's also given to the Executive Officer
16	expressed to you, verbally, in February, was not	16	to change who falls within each Tier really hampers the
17	allowed admittance into the record.	17	ability to come before the Board and tell you exactly
18	For the past two months, it has been public	18	how many growers will be in Tiers 1, 2 or 3.
19	and we've actively solicited input from all	19	Right now, you have Staff estimation. Again,
20	stakeholders, including agriculture, conservation,	20	that's an estimation that we don't agree with. And,
21	scientific and environmental communities.	21	really, you guys will not know, until the end of the
22	Our solution-oriented focus has not dimmed.	22	day, where growers fall, in Tiers 1, 2 or 3, and that
23	Today, you'll hear more about why we believe ours is	23	will change, again, throughout, depending on the EO's
24	the best option in meeting our mutual goal in improving	24	discretion, at any time, to move folks.
25	water quality.	25	Just briefly, for Misconception 3, with
	Page 134		Page 136
	1460131		1450130
1	Thank you for providing us the time to speak	1	regard to what was previously talked about, the
2	to you today.	2	figures, the 3 percent versus other percentages that
3	Thank you.	3	were thrown out earlier, what should be also pointed
4	MS. FISHER: Kari Fisher of California Farm Bureau	4	out, is that the 3 percent is just the number of
5	Federation.	5	growers, and there's also the 14 percent figure that is
6	I'm going to go over some of the responses to	6	never mentioned, that is, kind of, put in there, in the
7	misconceptions that were in the latest Staff Report,	7	Staff Report, and that's the number of acres. And that
8	and some legal issues that we feel are key to reiterate	8	really needs to be highlighted, so folks understand
9	to you guys today.	9	that there is a big difference between the 3 percent
10	Approaching Misconception 1 and 2 regarding	10	figure and the 14 percent figure.
11	if growers are treated the same or different under the	11	With regard to Misconception Number 4, on the
12	Waiver, we agree with Staff that, yes, growers are	12	Draft Order, not specifying how a grower must comply
13	treated differently within Staff's Order. However,	13	with the Order, we disagree with this statement and
14	there's a key designation with regard to how growers	14	certain examples include Paragraph 35, Page 19, of the
15	are actually treated under Staff's Waiver.	15	Staff Order, which says, "Dischargers must implement
16	•	1	source control or treatment management practices to
1	Growers are treated differently, but the	16	source condict of a cathlene management practices to
17	Growers are treated differently, but the treatment is based on size of operation, and not threat	16 17	prevent erosion" and goes on to talk about Treatment
17 18			
	treatment is based on size of operation, and not threat	17	prevent erosion" and goes on to talk about Treatment
18	treatment is based on size of operation, and not threat to water quality. And if the key of this Order here,	17 18	prevent erosion" and goes on to talk about Treatment and Control of Stormwater Runoff, as you could see the
18 19	treatment is based on size of operation, and not threat to water quality. And if the key of this Order here, for the last three and a half years, is to prioritize	17 18 19	prevent erosion" and goes on to talk about Treatment and Control of Stormwater Runoff, as you could see the first, on the first line on the bullet point says the
18 19 20	treatment is based on size of operation, and not threat to water quality. And if the key of this Order here, for the last three and a half years, is to prioritize specifically on threats to water quality, it seems	17 18 19 20	prevent erosion" and goes on to talk about Treatment and Control of Stormwater Runoff, as you could see the first, on the first line on the bullet point says the words "Discharger must implement."
18 19 20 21	treatment is based on size of operation, and not threat to water quality. And if the key of this Order here, for the last three and a half years, is to prioritize specifically on threats to water quality, it seems logical that the treatment of Tiers should be on actual	17 18 19 20 21	prevent erosion" and goes on to talk about Treatment and Control of Stormwater Runoff, as you could see the first, on the first line on the bullet point says the words "Discharger must implement." This is very specific language that dictates
18 19 20 21 22	treatment is based on size of operation, and not threat to water quality. And if the key of this Order here, for the last three and a half years, is to prioritize specifically on threats to water quality, it seems logical that the treatment of Tiers should be on actual threat to water quality, and not arbitrary designations	17 18 19 20 21 22	prevent erosion" and goes on to talk about Treatment and Control of Stormwater Runoff, as you could see the first, on the first line on the bullet point says the words "Discharger must implement." This is very specific language that dictates mandate practices with regard to erosion and stormwater
18 19 20 21 22 23	treatment is based on size of operation, and not threat to water quality. And if the key of this Order here, for the last three and a half years, is to prioritize specifically on threats to water quality, it seems logical that the treatment of Tiers should be on actual threat to water quality, and not arbitrary designations and open-ended determination of size of operation, as	17 18 19 20 21 22 23	prevent erosion" and goes on to talk about Treatment and Control of Stormwater Runoff, as you could see the first, on the first line on the bullet point says the words "Discharger must implement." This is very specific language that dictates mandate practices with regard to erosion and stormwater runoff. And the Basin Plan, your own Basin Plan says,
18 19 20 21 22 23 24	treatment is based on size of operation, and not threat to water quality. And if the key of this Order here, for the last three and a half years, is to prioritize specifically on threats to water quality, it seems logical that the treatment of Tiers should be on actual threat to water quality, and not arbitrary designations and open-ended determination of size of operation, as determined by the Executive Officer, as we'll go into	17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	prevent erosion" and goes on to talk about Treatment and Control of Stormwater Runoff, as you could see the first, on the first line on the bullet point says the words "Discharger must implement." This is very specific language that dictates mandate practices with regard to erosion and stormwater runoff. And the Basin Plan, your own Basin Plan says, on Page 512, "Erosion shall be minimized through

1	With regard to Page 38, or excuse me,	1	Order, a third-party can come to the Board and petition
2	Paragraph 38, Page 19, the Order says, "Dischargers	2	the Board to enforce water quality standards on any
3	must maintain existing, naturally occurring riparian	3	farmer immediately, as currently proposed in the
4	vegetation and maintain riparian areas."	4	Order. So, therefore, the conclusion that has put
5	The Basin Plan actually used the language	5	forth today is that the Order's direct language must
6	"shall be maintained" when excuse me "wherever	6	comply with water quality standards, does not apply, is
7	possible."	7	false.
8	As you could see, "maintain wherever	8	With regard to Table 4 and this is on
9	possible" is very different than "must maintain	9	Page 38 of the Order there's a table that has
10	existing naturally occurring and maintain riparian	10	milestones. Again, with the interpretation of not
11	areas."	11	having to meet water quality standards, Table 4, as
12	With Attachment 2C, Page 20, which is the MRP	12	pointed out, as this is what folks should achieve in
13	for Tier 3, the Staff Report dictates that these folks	13	their milestones until they are able to meet the water
14	will have to have Buffer Plans. Growers must submit a	14	quality standards.
15	Water Quality Buffer Plan, which must include the	15	The problem with Table 4 is simply, it is a
16	following three bullet points a, b and c, as you see up	16	list of milestones. As stated in the Staff Report, the
17	there.	17	milestones themselves are not complying with
18	The requirement of a Buffer Plan and we've	18	conditions, and they are not enforceable.
19	heard otherwise from the Staff Report but the	19	So, therefore, these milestones are not
20	requirement, as written in the language in this MRP, is	20	enforceable, and immediate compliance of water quality
21	a dictation of a management practice.	21	standards, as stated in the Order, will comply.
22	If the true intent of the Water Quality	22	With regard to Misconception 6, regarding
23	Buffer Plan is to protect riparian habitats, the MRP	23	growers having to line ponds, we disagree with the
24	and the Order should state this and allow growers to	24	statement that this is not a requirement. As you can
25	choose the appropriate implement practice that is	25	see, pointed out in the language in Paragraph 32, and
	Page 138		Page 14
1	applicable to their Tier, whether it be a riparian	1	86, the use of the words, "must construct and maintain
2	buffer, or something else beneficial for their land.	2	such containment structures to avoid percolation of
3	With regard to Misconception 5, the "Draft	3	waste to groundwater" was a dictation preventing
4	Order requires growers to implement management	4	percolation and the requirements for complying with.
5	practices to minimize waste."	5	Regarding Misconception 7, regarding the use
6	We disagree with this conclusion, as the	6	of tile drains, tile drains within this Order, as well
7	"Draft Order contains many provisions that require	7	as Staff Reports, contain information that is
8	immediate compliance with water quality standards even	8	conflicting. The Draft Order previously indicated, and
9	if effective management practices have yet to be	9	in one place still indicates, that tile drains will be
10	developed."	10	addressed in subsequent Orders. In another place in
11	On this slide right here, I'll get into more	11	the Order, it says tile drains must be in compliance
12	detail. As we heard earlier today, it was told that	12	and must meet all monitoring and reporting requirements
13	notwithstanding the fact that the Order specifically	13	of any other discharge.
14	says, must meet water quality standards, Staff will not	14	So, therefore, a grower is left to wonder
15	enforce the water quality standards. However, that's	15	what do they have to do in order for them to be in
16	not what the plain language of your Order says.	16	compliance?
17	Additionally, Staff put up a slide that	17	With regard to Misconception 8, the Draft
18	showed Attachment A, and said that Attachment A would	18	Order says that 100 percent profit efficiency is not
19	allow discretion with water quality standard	19	required. However, if you read Tier 3, for Tier 3
20	achievements.	20	dischargers, the Draft Order clearly requires crops in
21	However, Attachment A is not within the	21	annual rotation to achieve 100 percent crop
22	Order. Attachment A is off Attachment A is, I	22	efficiency. The exact language is provided for you
23	believe, Paragraph 2, which is cited is a filing	23	here.
	senere, ranagraph 2, milen is cited is a filling		Therefore, we question the determination upon
2.4	provision. It's not a requirement of the Order	124	
24 25	provision. It's not a requirement of the Order.	24 25	
24 25	provision. It's not a requirement of the Order. Additionally, as currently drafted in the Page 139	24	reading Bullet a, about meeting the ratio target equal Page 14

		1		
1	to one. How it does not require the dictation of	1	they are currently going to develop, if they haven't	
2	meeting a 100 percent proficiency.	2	already, a nutrient objectives with respect to nitrate	
3	With regard to Misconception 10, regarding	3	levels in the surface water.	
4	combining monitoring proposals and combined practices.	4	And briefly, we would also just mention that	
5	"While the Draft Order suggests that combined	5	we still have continue to maintain our concerns with	
6	monitoring may be allowed, the cooperative groundwater	6	regard to CEQA compliance with your environmental	
7	language as proposed provides no opportunity to	7	review and those comments that refer to all the letters	
8	implement such an option. Further, to support its	8	we have submitted thus far.	
9	response to this misconception, the Staff Report cites	9	MS. DUNHAM: Thank you. Tess Dunham, Somach	า
10	a finding of the Order, not an actual provision of this	10	Simmons & Dunn. I'm going to continue with our	
11	Order."	11	presentation.	
12	To address this, I'll actually propose actual	12	In at the February workshop, I believe,	
13	provisions to the Order a little bit later on in the	13	that we talked about, you know, the fact that we had	
14	presentation.	14	the new September 2011 Order, much of what we had	
15	With regard to Misconception 11, the Draft	15	submitted previously was based on previous orders and	
16	Order states that there are not issues with proprietary	16	there seemed to be an interest in looking at what	
17	information and that proprietary information will be	17	changes the Staff had made, what changes did we still	
18	protected. Well, technically, we agree with the	18	feel absolutely necessary.	
19	statement, there is a prior issue that has been, um,	19	So we've gone back. We reevaluated the	
20	been passed up. In reality, when we look at the MRP,	20	September 2011 Order, as well as what we have	
21	the reporting requirements for Tiers 2 and 3, certain	21	proposed. We have tried to really prioritize and	
22	reporting requirements will make will be, uh,	22	select in the, you know, auspice of negotiation, as	
23	reported in a public manner. Therefore, the	23	discussed earlier, what we think would be the	
24	information will actually be of a public release.	24	necessary, appropriate changes in law. It will look	
25	In Attachment 1B, which used to be referred	25	like there's a lot of slides. When you think,	
			• •	D 111
	Page 142			Page 144
1	to as Attachment A, Additional Findings, the Staff	1	conceptually, of everything that is within this Order,	
2	includes 140 Findings. However, they do not comply	2	we really tried to limit it to a few number of	
3	with case law that requires Findings to bridge the	3	significant changes for us. So with that I'm going	
4	analytical gap between supporting facts and the Board's	4	to see if I can get this out.	
5	ultimate decision.	5	Okay. I had put them in order of the Draft	
6	These Findings are merely recitations and	6	Order and paragraph of the and identified the	
7	summaries of studies and statements made by staff. In	7	paragraph and the page number. This is off of the	
8	order to remedy this, we propose the Board not adopt	8	September 2011, so hopefully we can follow.	
9	Attachment 1B in its current state.	9	The first is you know, we originally had our	
10	A large flaw in Attachment 1A, or Table 1A in	10	Ag proposal discussed within what we called the	
11	Attachment 1B is the use of Indicators of Narrative	11	Attachment B. And in reevaluating everything, it seems	
12	Objectives within this Table. These Indicators of	12	that it might be more appropriate if the Ag	
13	Narrative Objectives are not adopted numeric	13	Alternative, as we proposed, was included as a specific	
14	objectives, rather, within this Order, the Indicators	14	provision, or part, within the Order. And so we are	
15	have been interpreted and translated into	15	proposing that today, um, in showing us how that would	
16	biostimulation objectives. These objectives are not	16	fit within the Order itself, within the specific	
17	within your Basin Plan. You have not adopted these.	17	language.	
18	Further, the use of such numbers will	18	This is your Paragraph 10, where the Staff is	
19	conflict with what the State Board is currently doing	19	starting to refer to a cooperative program. And we	
20	as they are proposing and currently developing a	20	have modified this slightly, to reflect that, what we	
21	Statewide nutrient policy. In order to remedy this, we	21	call Part B, and which we'll get to, where I have a	
22	propose deleting the Table 1A. The Order already	22	Part B here, that will go through to explain what the	
23	requires compliance with Basin Plan, including those	23	Ag proposal would be within this context, which is not	
24		24		
24 25	adopted objectives, which are in your Basin Plan. We	24	much different than what we put to you before. MR. KEELING: Ms. Dunham?	
2.5	also suggest deferring to the State Board's policy as			
1	Page 143			Page 145

		1	
1	MS. DUNHAM: Yes?	1	they should have the opportunity to get that put in at
2	MR. KEELING: I'm sorry to interrupt you, but just	2	that point in time. So it's to recognize a change in
3	for clarification, Mr. Chairman and Frances, is this	3	Tiers based upon the EOs discretion.
4	new information? Do we need a ruling, or discuss	4	The next portions are more about Ms. Fisher
5	whether it's new information?	5	discussed the immediate compliance with Board Quality
6	How do we handle this?	6	Standards and the current language from Paragraph 21,
7	MS. McCHESNEY: No. It's going it's okay if	7	which we have expressed considerable concern with over
8	she presents this information and the Board will take	8	time. And the fact that Staff has indicated that they
9	it under consideration.	9	would use discretion with respect to enforcement is
0	MR. KEELING: It's okay to present new	10	fine, but it doesn't provide me or my clients with much
1	information?	11	comfort considering the fact that, you know, the
2	MS. McCHESNEY: There's no new information.	12	Board others could petition the Board and force them
3	MR. KEELING: What is Part B?	13	to require immediately compliance for quality
1	MS. DUNHAM: Part B is our former Attachment B.	14	standards, with the provision written as it currently
5	It's essentially the same thing, reformatted. There is	15	stands.
5	no new information being presented.	16	So what we have done is actually propose a
7	MR. KEELING: Okay. Thank you.	17	rewriting of the Water Standard Requirement, to where
3	DR. HUNTER: I'm sorry. I just want to be sure is	18	it you divide it up between surface water
)	am I on? I just want to be sure I understood that.	19	limitations and groundwater limitations, recognizing
)	You have a section of your proposed Order,	20	that there's a difference between the two, and that,
	um, that was called something else prior and now is	21	basically and the accept is authorized within the
2	being referred to as part B.	22	time schedule provisions. We're going to show you a
3	MS. DUNHAM: That's correct.	23	little bit later on.
ł	DR. HUNTER: And what was it formally called?	24	But we are proposing that the Order
5	MS. DUNHAM: Attachment B in the Ag Proposal.	25	specifically include time schedules that will allow
,			
	Page 146	<u> </u>	Page
1	DR. HUNTER: Attachment B. Okay. Very good.	1	eight years of surface water and 15 years for surface
2	MS. DUNHAM: So this is a modification to the	2	and 50 percent for groundwater.
3	current September 11th pre-Ag Order Paragraph 10 to	3	And with respect to the Water Quality
1	recognize the parking. This is would be a new	4	Standards, to give us that time, until the implement of
5	paragraph that just provides further clarification as	5	management practices, so there is not that fear on our
5	to which general conditions apply to the various	6	part of potential requirements with respect to
7	Tiers. One of the and some of the things we're	7	compliance, and so this does that.
3	proposing things, here, is to express some of the	8	And also because we have expressed our
)	concerns of Staff. Staff expressed concerns that it	9	concerns with how the Table 1A has been identified, as
0	wasn't clear what provisions applied to which Tiers	10	Ms. Fisher just did, instead referencing that Table, we
1	within the Ag proposal, so this new Paragraph would	11	suggested that you reference just the applicable
2	clarify that the provisions in Parts E, C, D, and H	12	standards to the basic plan which is where all the
3	would apply to everybody, those including the Notice of	13	standards are. Those would be groundwater limitations
1	Intent, the farm plants, all of the management, the	14	that nears the surface water limitation. You will see
5	general conditions, the management practices, etc.	15	the deletion of Paragraph 22. To me frankly, I didn't
6	would all apply and what provisions would not, due to	16	see a lot of difference between Paragraph 21 and 22.
7	selecting the option Part E. And, also, if we get	17	It appeared to me that Paragraph 22 was duplicative of
, 3	later on, but we've also included some time schedule	18	the water quality standards with an abatement Plan
		19	
9 0	provisions that we'll talk about.		instead we limited it.
	Next, is again to recognize if the Board were	20	This is a small change, just to recognize
L	to choose to adopt the Ag Alternative, there would need	21	that Board the type of discharges that are covered by
~	to be a change. That's in Paragraph 18, where if the	22	the Order, it had organic materials, such as organic
			pesticides. It is my understanding in talking with a
3	executive officer elevated someone from a current	23	
2 3 4	Tier 1 to Tier 2, to an higher Tier, and someone had	24	few folks in the industry that there are a few
3			

1	organic so this is a small change, just to change it to	1	dealing with some of the riparian corridor issues, and
2	recognize that it covered registered pesticides.	2	we currently says that, you know, where disturbance
3	Now, we get into the stormwater runoff issue,	3	of aquatic habitat is necessary, but it limits it only
4	which there was some considerable discussion with at	4	for the purpose of water quality improvement or
5	the last hearing, with respect to the controlling that	5	restoration activities and we would contend that, well,
6	one half inch of storm, and some concern for many they	6	you may to disturb aquatic habitat for other purposes
7	were concerned how they would accomplish that. So in	7	as well, and, in fact, there were many other regulatory
8	order to address that concern and issue, we would	8	programs that are there to protect the aquatic habitat
9	recommend that we, first of all, strike the word	9	such as the 404 Permitting process, the street and
10	treatment because treatment, you know, kind of	10	alteration process. Some counties have ordinances, so
11	signifies more of a points verse type operation that we	11	there would still be significant protection for aquatic
12	are going to have some type of facility to treat	12	habitat for any type of disturbances.
13	something, and instead replace with water quality	13	Page 40 Paragraph 43, Page 20, this is a
14	management practices, which is what I think we were all	14	change to the Farm Plan, and this is I would
15	hoping for. And now we just removed the reference with	15	classify it as a minor clarification, but a key
16	respect to the controlling of the half-inch storm.	16	clarification. And currently, you know, there has
17	MS. McCHESNEY: I just thought I'd interrupt for a	17	always been our expectation that the Farm Plans will
18	second. I think some of the things you are proposing	18	remain on site and they would be convenient to Regional
19	are reasonable alternatives and but when I look	19	Staff upon request at the farm or at site, and they
20	ahead of your presentation, it seems like a lot of very	20	would not be submitted to the Regional Board because at
21	significant changes and not having had the opportunity	21	that point it would become a public document.
22	for other parties or the Board to even look at this, I	22	As currently worded, the Draft Order just
23	think will create an issue, and you know I think you	23	says they have to be presented upon request, which to
24	need to explain why you think that is allowed.	24	me, can indicate the request could be submitted to the
25	,	25	
23	MS. DUNHAM: Do you want me to explain when I get	25	Regional Board, automatically making it public.
	Page 150		Page 15
1	to those, or do you want me to do that now?	1	Our clarification is to ensure that what we
2	MS. McCHESNEY: Let me look to see where I think	2	all know and understand, that the intent is that they
3	it	3	remain on the farm and that they are given at the time
4	MS. DUNHAM: I think I'm okay for the next couple,	4	that the inspection occurs on the farm.
5	so should I go ahead?	5	Paragraph 46, 21, I actually recommend that
6	MR. YOUNG: Let's let her identify this page.	6	we delete this whole paragraph, primarily because it's
7	MS. McCHESNEY: I think the new stuff, Part A, I	7	recitation of existing law. It's not a condition of a
8	think this part is addressing some of those issues that	8	waiver of the Order. It's basically a summarizing of
9	have been having a whole new subpart and it looks	9	······································
		1 9	what the Executive Officer's authority might be under
110		10	what the Executive Officer's authority might be under 13267 and what could happen under 13304, which is a
10 11	like it's quite new and of concern.	10	13267 and what could happen under 13304, which is a
11	like it's quite new and of concern. MS. DUNHAM: Well, we would disagree with that.	10 11	13267 and what could happen under 13304, which is a Cleanup and Abatement Order process, which is a whole
11 12	like it's quite new and of concern. MS. DUNHAM: Well, we would disagree with that. And, actually, a lot of the changes we've made to the	10 11 12	13267 and what could happen under 13304, which is a Cleanup and Abatement Order process, which is a whole other different process, so it seemed inappropriate to
11 12 13	like it's quite new and of concern. MS. DUNHAM: Well, we would disagree with that. And, actually, a lot of the changes we've made to the Part A, which address some of the concerns expressed by	10 11 12 13	13267 and what could happen under 13304, which is a Cleanup and Abatement Order process, which is a whole other different process, so it seemed inappropriate to include as a Condition of the Waiver so, you know, we
11 12 13 14	like it's quite new and of concern. MS. DUNHAM: Well, we would disagree with that. And, actually, a lot of the changes we've made to the Part A, which address some of the concerns expressed by Staff, in the Staff Report.	10 11 12 13 14	13267 and what could happen under 13304, which is a Cleanup and Abatement Order process, which is a whole other different process, so it seemed inappropriate to include as a Condition of the Waiver so, you know, we recommend it be deleted because it serves no purpose
11 12 13 14 15	like it's quite new and of concern. MS. DUNHAM: Well, we would disagree with that. And, actually, a lot of the changes we've made to the Part A, which address some of the concerns expressed by Staff, in the Staff Report. MS. MCCHESNEY: Okay. Why don't you give more	10 11 12 13 14 15	13267 and what could happen under 13304, which is a Cleanup and Abatement Order process, which is a whole other different process, so it seemed inappropriate to include as a Condition of the Waiver so, you know, we recommend it be deleted because it serves no purpose with respect to the condition of the waiver.
11 12 13 14 15 16	 like it's quite new and of concern. MS. DUNHAM: Well, we would disagree with that. And, actually, a lot of the changes we've made to the Part A, which address some of the concerns expressed by Staff, in the Staff Report. MS. McCHESNEY: Okay. Why don't you give more about that when you get to that. 	10 11 12 13 14 15 16	13267 and what could happen under 13304, which is a Cleanup and Abatement Order process, which is a whole other different process, so it seemed inappropriate to include as a Condition of the Waiver so, you know, we recommend it be deleted because it serves no purpose with respect to the condition of the waiver. Paragraph 50, Page 22, um, originally we had
11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 like it's quite new and of concern. MS. DUNHAM: Well, we would disagree with that. And, actually, a lot of the changes we've made to the Part A, which address some of the concerns expressed by Staff, in the Staff Report. MS. McCHESNEY: Okay. Why don't you give more about that when you get to that. MS. DUNHAM: Okay. So this changed Paragraph 38 	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	13267 and what could happen under 13304, which is a Cleanup and Abatement Order process, which is a whole other different process, so it seemed inappropriate to include as a Condition of the Waiver so, you know, we recommend it be deleted because it serves no purpose with respect to the condition of the waiver. Paragraph 50, Page 22, um, originally we had suggested that there be a completely different
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 like it's quite new and of concern. MS. DUNHAM: Well, we would disagree with that. And, actually, a lot of the changes we've made to the Part A, which address some of the concerns expressed by Staff, in the Staff Report. MS. McCHESNEY: Okay. Why don't you give more about that when you get to that. MS. DUNHAM: Okay. So this changed Paragraph 38 is talking about the riparian corridor issue, and we 	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	13267 and what could happen under 13304, which is a Cleanup and Abatement Order process, which is a whole other different process, so it seemed inappropriate to include as a Condition of the Waiver so, you know, we recommend it be deleted because it serves no purpose with respect to the condition of the waiver. Paragraph 50, Page 22, um, originally we had suggested that there be a completely different groundwater monitoring, and a different monitoring MRP
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 like it's quite new and of concern. MS. DUNHAM: Well, we would disagree with that. And, actually, a lot of the changes we've made to the Part A, which address some of the concerns expressed by Staff, in the Staff Report. MS. MCCHESNEY: Okay. Why don't you give more about that when you get to that. MS. DUNHAM: Okay. So this changed Paragraph 38 is talking about the riparian corridor issue, and we are looking for a clarification that basically says 	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	13267 and what could happen under 13304, which is a Cleanup and Abatement Order process, which is a whole other different process, so it seemed inappropriate to include as a Condition of the Waiver so, you know, we recommend it be deleted because it serves no purpose with respect to the condition of the waiver. Paragraph 50, Page 22, um, originally we had suggested that there be a completely different groundwater monitoring, and a different monitoring MRP for those that select the Ag Alternative. We have
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 like it's quite new and of concern. MS. DUNHAM: Well, we would disagree with that. And, actually, a lot of the changes we've made to the Part A, which address some of the concerns expressed by Staff, in the Staff Report. MS. McCHESNEY: Okay. Why don't you give more about that when you get to that. MS. DUNHAM: Okay. So this changed Paragraph 38 is talking about the riparian corridor issue, and we are looking for a clarification that basically says that we should be maintaining to the extent feasible, 	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	13267 and what could happen under 13304, which is a Cleanup and Abatement Order process, which is a whole other different process, so it seemed inappropriate to include as a Condition of the Waiver so, you know, we recommend it be deleted because it serves no purpose with respect to the condition of the waiver. Paragraph 50, Page 22, um, originally we had suggested that there be a completely different groundwater monitoring, and a different monitoring MRP for those that select the Ag Alternative. We have rethought that, and we think this is not necessary, and
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 like it's quite new and of concern. MS. DUNHAM: Well, we would disagree with that. And, actually, a lot of the changes we've made to the Part A, which address some of the concerns expressed by Staff, in the Staff Report. MS. McCHESNEY: Okay. Why don't you give more about that when you get to that. MS. DUNHAM: Okay. So this changed Paragraph 38 is talking about the riparian corridor issue, and we are looking for a clarification that basically says that we should be maintaining to the extent feasible, to have a requirement that seems to be an absolute, as 	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	13267 and what could happen under 13304, which is a Cleanup and Abatement Order process, which is a whole other different process, so it seemed inappropriate to include as a Condition of the Waiver so, you know, we recommend it be deleted because it serves no purpose with respect to the condition of the waiver. Paragraph 50, Page 22, um, originally we had suggested that there be a completely different groundwater monitoring, and a different monitoring MRP for those that select the Ag Alternative. We have rethought that, and we think this is not necessary, and instead we would maintain the MRP as currently exists
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 like it's quite new and of concern. MS. DUNHAM: Well, we would disagree with that. And, actually, a lot of the changes we've made to the Part A, which address some of the concerns expressed by Staff, in the Staff Report. MS. McCHESNEY: Okay. Why don't you give more about that when you get to that. MS. DUNHAM: Okay. So this changed Paragraph 38 is talking about the riparian corridor issue, and we are looking for a clarification that basically says that we should be maintaining to the extent feasible, to have a requirement that seems to be an absolute, as a must, without some recognition that there has to be 	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	13267 and what could happen under 13304, which is a Cleanup and Abatement Order process, which is a whole other different process, so it seemed inappropriate to include as a Condition of the Waiver so, you know, we recommend it be deleted because it serves no purpose with respect to the condition of the waiver. Paragraph 50, Page 22, um, originally we had suggested that there be a completely different groundwater monitoring, and a different monitoring MRP for those that select the Ag Alternative. We have rethought that, and we think this is not necessary, and instead we would maintain the MRP as currently exists for all three Tiers with some adjustments for those
111 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 like it's quite new and of concern. MS. DUNHAM: Well, we would disagree with that. And, actually, a lot of the changes we've made to the Part A, which address some of the concerns expressed by Staff, in the Staff Report. MS. MCCHESNEY: Okay. Why don't you give more about that when you get to that. MS. DUNHAM: Okay. So this changed Paragraph 38 is talking about the riparian corridor issue, and we are looking for a clarification that basically says that we should be maintaining to the extent feasible, to have a requirement that seems to be an absolute, as a must, without some recognition that there has to be some feasibility associated with it, so we recommend 	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	13267 and what could happen under 13304, which is a Cleanup and Abatement Order process, which is a whole other different process, so it seemed inappropriate to include as a Condition of the Waiver so, you know, we recommend it be deleted because it serves no purpose with respect to the condition of the waiver. Paragraph 50, Page 22, um, originally we had suggested that there be a completely different groundwater monitoring, and a different monitoring MRP for those that select the Ag Alternative. We have rethought that, and we think this is not necessary, and instead we would maintain the MRP as currently exists for all three Tiers with some adjustments for those that would select the Ag Alternative. The adjustments
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 like it's quite new and of concern. MS. DUNHAM: Well, we would disagree with that. And, actually, a lot of the changes we've made to the Part A, which address some of the concerns expressed by Staff, in the Staff Report. MS. MCCHESNEY: Okay. Why don't you give more about that when you get to that. MS. DUNHAM: Okay. So this changed Paragraph 38 is talking about the riparian corridor issue, and we are looking for a clarification that basically says that we should be maintaining to the extent feasible, to have a requirement that seems to be an absolute, as a must, without some recognition that there has to be some feasibility associated with it, so we recommend that you make it feasible. 	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	13267 and what could happen under 13304, which is a Cleanup and Abatement Order process, which is a whole other different process, so it seemed inappropriate to include as a Condition of the Waiver so, you know, we recommend it be deleted because it serves no purpose with respect to the condition of the waiver. Paragraph 50, Page 22, um, originally we had suggested that there be a completely different groundwater monitoring, and a different monitoring MRP for those that select the Ag Alternative. We have rethought that, and we think this is not necessary, and instead we would maintain the MRP as currently exists for all three Tiers with some adjustments for those that would select the Ag Alternative. The adjustments being that some of the reporting requirements for Tier
111 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 like it's quite new and of concern. MS. DUNHAM: Well, we would disagree with that. And, actually, a lot of the changes we've made to the Part A, which address some of the concerns expressed by Staff, in the Staff Report. MS. MCCHESNEY: Okay. Why don't you give more about that when you get to that. MS. DUNHAM: Okay. So this changed Paragraph 38 is talking about the riparian corridor issue, and we are looking for a clarification that basically says that we should be maintaining to the extent feasible, to have a requirement that seems to be an absolute, as a must, without some recognition that there has to be some feasibility associated with it, so we recommend 	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	13267 and what could happen under 13304, which is a Cleanup and Abatement Order process, which is a whole other different process, so it seemed inappropriate to include as a Condition of the Waiver so, you know, we recommend it be deleted because it serves no purpose with respect to the condition of the waiver. Paragraph 50, Page 22, um, originally we had suggested that there be a completely different groundwater monitoring, and a different monitoring MRP for those that select the Ag Alternative. We have rethought that, and we think this is not necessary, and instead we would maintain the MRP as currently exists for all three Tiers with some adjustments for those that would select the Ag Alternative. The adjustments

1	Alternative would no longer apply so we have specified	1	In order for the executive officer to make this
2	which parts of the Tier 2 MRP and which parts of the	2	determination whether he thinks, he or she thinks that
3	Tier 3 MRP would apply to those who elect to Part E and	3	the third-party is actually able to conduct the work
4	those who do not and I would also say as we get through	4	that would need to be conducted, so that continues to
5	you're going to see that we have withdrawn or we are	5	exist. There is still the requirement that all farms
6	suggesting instead of original groundwater monitoring	6	be audited within the term of the Order. At least 20
7	alternative, we have taken steps currently, which as	7	percent would have to be done. We have included some
8	proposed in the September 2011, and we are suggesting	8	new reporting requirements, because the Board has
9	some modifications instead of something completely	9	indicated they wanted some additional reporting
10	new.	10	requirements. So in explanation with respect to
11	Page 52 is just to recognize that the MRPs do	11	reporting requirements, we have incorporated some of
12	have a cooperative groundwater monitoring program or	12	the reporting elements as testified to by for Marc Los
13	alternative groundwater and so see this is a change to	13	Huertos at the hearing orally. So that is why we
14	reflect that.	14	didn't think what we doing is anything beyond what has
15	Page 56, Paragraph 24, I know this is	15	already been discussed with him.
16	difficult to read what's on the slide, I apologize to	16	We have also made changes to address one of
17	those in the audience, in particular.	17	the fundamental concerns that the Board Staff has had
18	If the Board were to adopt the Ag Alternative	18	with respect to requirements of Water Quality
19	in Part E, then there will need have a need for	19	Standards. We removed language talking about working
20	people to select that option within their Notice of	20	towards Water Quality Standards, and has a provision
21	Intent process. And also if there was a potential for	21	specific to dealing with and implementing management
22	aquatic groundwater monitoring, like there is for	22	practices for Water Quality Standards. So those would
23	surface water, then the people would need to be able to	23	be the reason why we didn't believe that our Part B and
24	select that option. So the first two changes are in	24	proposed here is fundamentally different from what was
25	order to indicate those options, and so people would	25	in that original Part B.
			-
	Page 154		Page 156
1	have to let the Board know which option they were	1	The process has changed slightly, to reflect
2	selecting.	2	with respect to timing, but again, it's still not a
3	The deletion of the last one, we suggested it	3	program with reporting summarized and, you know,
4	to be deleted for a couple of reasons. One, you're	4	accountability to the Board.
5	already requiring a farm and ranch map, so I would	5	So with that, do you want to me to go ahead
6	assume that is part of the map. Any type of springs	6	and go through the text? Am I allowed?
7	would already be identified on the map so there is no	7	MS. McCHESNEY: Without having to be able to go
8	need to have this requirement with respect to requiring	8	through it, it's hard to say if what you just said was
9	an indication of the presence of springs on the	9	accurate, but generally the rule is if there is no
10	property.	10	written evidence, it needs to it's
11	I also suggested leaving this last one more,	11	MS. DUNHAM: I don't believe this is evidence.
12	because it also talks about identification of any	12	This is suggestive language changes to incorporate our
13	wetlands area. Well, as many of us know, sometimes it	13	proposal, as we discussed previously, in a slightly
14	takes a biologist and a complete wetland delineation to	14	different format, and with some new provisions that
15	determine what is an actual wetland, and I don't think	15	addressed Staff's concerns.
16	this is something we want to required growers to hire	16	MS. McCHESNEY: Well, I think it's up to the
17	geologists to conduct all the wetlands delineations on	17	Chair.
18	the property.	18	MR. YOUNG: It's fine with me. I'd rather her go
19	Part E with respect to Part E, why we	19	ahead and discuss what's in here. There's a lot of
20	don't believe it makes any substantive changes.	20	material. The Staff is going to have to respond to it.
21	First of all, it is absolutely,	21	MS. McCHESNEY: And what I would suggest is that
22	fundamentally, still a third-party program that people	22	if there are any other party that want to have a little
23	elect and have an option to join. We have been asked	23	bit more time to also respond, we have to take that
24	whether there are criteria for the third-party. We	24	time.
25		25	MR. YOUNG: I don't see it as evidence, per se.
	have now developed some criteria for the third-party.	120	
	have now developed some criteria for the third-party. Page 155	25	Page 157

1	MS. DUNHAM: I don't see it as evidence.	1	DR. HUNTER: Can you characterize for us what your
2	MR. YOUNG: It's a proposal on language changes.	2	presenting? You say you've renamed Attachment B?
3	MS. McCHESNEY: Right.	3	MS. DUNHAM: Yes.
4	But the question is does it prejudice any	4	DR. HUNTER: So can you characterize for us how
5	party to the proceeding, and without having seen it	5	much of Attachment B survived this transition to the
6	ahead of time, I think it's okay to go ahead, but just	6	new Part B? Are we looking at have you dropped half of
7	provide additional time for Staff and other parties to	7	the what you proposed before, and are you inserting
8	provide response.	8	additional language that we really haven't read
9	MR. YOUNG: Okay. If anyone feels that they're	9	before? Because I don't have any way of understanding
10	prejudiced by it, let us know and if they need	10	how much of a shift this could make at this point in
11	additional time, we'll deal with that request at that	11	time.
12	moment.	12	MS. DUNHAM: I have to say, I actually don't think
13	So you go ahead.	13	it fundamentally makes a huge difference. Okay.
14	MS. DUNHAM: All right. Here we go. Okay.	14	DR. HUNTER: But what does that is what do you
15	So how this would work	15	mean by "fundamentally"?
16	MR. YOUNG: Excuse me, Ms. Dunham.	16	MS. DUNHAM: So is it still an audit program?
17	Yes, Mr. Johnston?	17	Absolutely. Is it still an alternative to the Tier 2
18	MR. JOHNSTON: My only question is if we're going	18	and Tier 3 report requirements? Absolutely. Is there
19	to allow Staff and other stakeholders to respond to	19	still a requirement that all farms that have been
20	this I know there's a limited number of copies, but	20	audited within the term of the Order? Yes. Is there a
21	I'm just wondering if there are I see Staff is	21	third-party that conducts that? Yes. Does it better
22	working on copies of it I'm wondering if there are	22	clarify that the audits are independent then what the
23	any other stakeholders that need copies of this to	23	Attachment B did? It's part of our text to make sure
24	follow along on, so they could intelligently respond to	24	it's independent. Attachment B included requirements
25	it, because it's certainly easier for me follow this on	25	for a Technical Advisory Committee, as well as a Public
	Page 15	8	Page 160
1	the piece of paper than to track it on the series	1	Advisory Committee That's in here as well. It does
1 2	the piece of paper then to track it on the screen	2	Advisory Committee. That's in here, as well. It does,
	there.		I think it probably puts a little bit more discretion
3	MS. DUNHAM: I have a few more.	3	to the Executive Officer to approve a third-party, as
4	MR. YOUNG: Nathan Allen; right? Mr. Shimek.	4	well as approving the work plan in the process of the
5	MS. DUNHAM: I have two more.	5	third-party for the Executive Officer of the Regional
6	MS. McCHESNEY: Well, Jennifer Scottland.	6	Board? It does, within the original Attachment B
7	Anyone else? I got one more. So that	7	there's this whole uphill process for members of the
8	MS. DUNHAM: And I am going to apologize, in	8	group. That is not specified in here because it seems
9	advance, that I have broke absolutely every rule that	9	like it's more an internal issue for any third-party
10	exists without protocol with respect to how much	10	and it's not necessarily a Board issue. Have we
11	verbiage is on this slide. It is the only way I could	11	clarified what the third-party requirements are to the
12	do it.	12	Board, that you get a list of those that are not of
13	MR. KEELING: Mr. Chairman, if as we go through	13	good standing.
14	this, if we Staff believe that this is new information,	14	We've included some new reporting
15	what are our options? We couldn't undo it. We can't	15	requirements because it appeared that there was in
16	put toothpaste back in the tube.	16	interest in that. We've included some new requirements
17	MR. YOUNG: You can let me know if you think that	17	specific to nutrient management because of such
18	that is happening, but I perused this very quickly, and	18	concerns associated with nutrient management. So
19	this is language, proposed language changes, so, I	19	they're clear that nutrient management is a key
20	mean, first blush that's the way I'm referring it. If	20	provision versus just a part the farm plan that was
21	there is that information you can interrupt the speaker	21	original there. So we've tried to make some
22	and let me know, and we'll stop the clock and I'll hear	22	clarifications to make it a little clearer as to what
23	you out.	23	that process would entail.
24	DR. HUNTER: I have a question.	24	DR. HUNTER: Thank you.
		25	MR. YOUNG: May I ask this: Is there any feeling
25	MR. YOUNG: Dr. Hunter.	25	MR. TOONS. May I ask unis. Is there any recimy

	Page 163		Page 165
25	MR. YOUNG: You're going to have to come up to the	25	indicate to the Board that they will select this
24	MR. SHIMEK: My	24	recognize that people have to have time in order to
23	MR. YOUNG: Well	23	Okay. So on this Part E. First, we
22	first and then I'll speak after he	22	MS. DUNHAM: I will try.
21	MR. JEFFRIES: I'm going to go with Mr. Shimek	21	21 and a half minutes left.
20	Mr. Jeffries.	20	MR. YOUNG: Okay. And just so you know, there is
19	MR. YOUNG: Okay.	19	MS. DUNHAM: Okay.
18	changes that you believe should be made.	18	Shall we proceed?
17	in general, not just yeah or nay, but if there were	17	MR. YOUNG: Okay.
16	to listen to you folks, is to hear from stakeholders,	16	that way.
15	the whole point of this discussion here today is for us	15	MS. DUNHAM: I do not. I'm sorry. I don't do it
14	have an issue with seeing what people think I think	14	changes are?
13	would not have been appropriate. So, yeah, I don't	13	former Attachment B that would help us see what the
12	that I haven't shared with the other Board members that	12	Do you have a red line strike out of your
11	frankly, I have some revisions in my back pocket, too,	11	MR. THOMAS: Just a request of Ms. Dunham.
10	their conditional support of it, I would also say that	10	Mr. Thomas.
9	would be appropriate to the Order. When talking about	9	MR. YOUNG: Okay. All right.
8	Mr. Shimek, proposed some changes that they thought	8	their response.
7	workshop in Salinas, I forget who it was, Mr. Ali or	7	other than that and for the Staff to digest and give us
6	MR. JOHNSTON: I would point out that at our last	6	Mr. Chair, there will be a lots of things to consider,
5	MR. YOUNG: Mr. Johnston and Mr. Jeffries.	5	MR. JEFFRIES: I'm sure by the end of the day,
4	MR. DELGADO: Thank you.	4	whatever you mean.
3	well.	3	proposed, so we can have an educated discussion about
2	opportunity to present the same language today, as	2	has an adequate amount of time to digest what's being
1	to speak for them, and they would have the same	1	MR. YOUNG: Okay. I just want to make sure Staff
	rage 102		
	Page 162		Page 164
25	there may be others that have language. I don't want	25	these and let her present the rest of it.
24	shared with folks that may be presented as well. So	24	MR. JEFFRIES: And I encourage the Board to accept
23	shopping around some alternate language that they	23	MR. YOUNG: Okay.
22	environmental community who were, for better term,	22	detail.
21	today. I know there are some folks in the	21	considered, but I would like to hear them all in
20	have alternate language that they could have presented	20	but it looks like there is some changes that may be
19	And I believe there may be others that might	19	don't see, and I haven't looked at all of it in detail,
18	have only the opportunity to present it to you today.	18	because I think it's important. In the changes, I
17	allowance for additional written communication. So I	17	encourage the Board to listen to the rest of this
16	gotten it three days ago, because there wasn't any	16	MR. JEFFRIES: This is a public hearing, and I
15	MS. DUNHAM: Well, I doubt that you could have	15	MR. YOUNG: Mr. Jeffries.
14	this a week ago or three days ago?	14	one alternative or the other.
13	My last question is, could we have gotten	13	that's expected. It isn't a question of up or down on
12	consider those changes in advance?	12	comments, and it's part of the delivery process. So
11	it's unfair because they didn't have a chance to	11	about changes to the Order, to be responsive to
10	would we have heard today? Would we have heard that	10	appropriate for Board members in deliberation to talk
9	Order, that had new changes that no one had seen, what	9	much what Board member Johnston said. It is
8	had come up yesterday with a new copy of the Draft Ag	8	MR. JEFFRIES: Mr. Chairman, just in response to comments from Board member Delgado, and it's pretty
6 7	number one. And, number two, if we the Board or the Staff	6	nothing new there.
5	other third-parties have brought forth new language,	5	brought last time have be shown before, so there is
4	wondering if everyone knew this was allowable, might	4	MR. SHIMEK: Okay. Thank you. The changes we
3	MR. DELGADO: Just in the sense of fairness, I'm	3	THE REPORTER: I can't hear you.
-	the presentation of this Part B?	2	MR. SHIMEK: Steve Shimek, with Monterey
2			

1	option, so we have identified that they would have	1	a long-term Irrigated Lands Program Proposal for the
2	basically 60 days, which I think is is matches the	2	Central Valley Region. So these are basically
3	complete the Notice of Intent time frame. If it	3	reflective of what the Central Valley is looking into
4	doesn't match exactly, we can change that, but that was	4	and doing in requirement of observed third-parties.
5	the intent.	5	The next requirement, after the government
6	The second part is that in order to continue	6	structure, is that you have to show that you have
7	to fall and remain under the third-party program, all	7	developed a Technical Advisor Committee and that that
8	of the conditions in the Subpart E must be met. And	8	Technical Advisory is capable of helping the
9	those are condition requirements upon third-party as	9	third-party to develop the content of the auditable
10	well as the Discharger and also ultimately the	10	program, and throughout the whole complete independent
11	Discharger is the one responsible and which is one of	11	audit process.
12	main concerns that the Staff report often had was,	12	The third-party also has to develop a Public
13	well, who's responsible for the discharge of the	13	Advisory Committee. It is something we have talked
14	third-party. If a third-party doesn't do what it's	14	about previously, in order to provide inputted feedback
15	supposed to do, then the problem comes to the	15	to the third-party, and that's a key provision, and
16	Discharger and, therefore, they are responsible. And I	16	that Public Advisory is Ag, its regulators, its
17	will just add that that is the process of how it works	17	Monterey County Water Resources Agency, its Public
18	with Region 5. I think it's important for this Board	18	Health. It's whoever may be appropriate as another
19	to understand that coalition and third-party encroaches	19	stakeholder to ensure the transparency accountability
20	have been going on in Region 5, since 2003. Those	20	during the process.
21	approaches have been upheld by the State Water Board in	21	Two, then in Subdivision t2, we actually give
22	a Presidential Order, and they do not require an	22	the Executive Officer 30 days to approve the
23	individual reporting, so just keep that in mind, as we	23	application for the third-party. If you know, if
24	go through this.	24	the Executive Officer of the Staff thinks 30 days is
25	So first, within the first six months a	25	too short, we will have no problem extending it, but we
	Page 166		Page 168
			C
1	third-party that is going to administer this program,	1	know we're on a vigorous time frame, and we wanted to
2	would basically need to provide an application to the	2	keep timing aggressive, in order for the third-party to
3	Executive Officer for approval, and there are some	3	get formed and start to develop in as quickly a time
4	criteria here in order for the third-party as to be	4	frame as possible.
5	what they need to be meet.	5	Then, six months later, that third-party,
6	First, they have to clearly show to the	6	that's been approved by the EO, has to basically submit
7	Executive Officer, and this is Subdivision B1	7	their work plan, which was a part of the Attachment B,
8	of Roman Et1, that the ability that they have the	8	but we have some more classifications as to what has to
9	third-party to carry out these responsibilities. So	9	be in that work plan. They have to have developed an
10	it's not any fly by night group. It's not just, you	10	audible time plan. So they're going to create an
11	know it's got to be a credible group or organization	11	electronic template for growers to fill out all of it
12	that's going to be able to take on the	12	to be audited for the independent audit program with
13	responsibilities. We believe that that is important.	13	all the appropriate information. They have to
14	That, two, this new third-party is some type	14	absolutely explain what that independent audit program
15	of either a legally defined entity or working towards a	15	structure is, and how it would work. All this goes to
16	legally defined entity. So there is some, you know,	16	the Executive Officer for approval. They need to
17	obligations and professional obligations to assure	17	propose here a new addition for those of you wanting
18	accountability, or that there is some type of	18	to know where the new addition goes that there is a
19	memorandum agreement to an organization.	19	specific template for nutrient management. We
20	Three, if there is any subsidiary group,	20	understand the Board's concern with respect to
21	that's a part of this, then they need to comply with	21	nutrients and nitrates, and we think it's important
22	all the same provisions, as well.	22	that we have our growers, specifically, in nutrient
23	Next, the third-party has to show what their	23	management so included the fact that they need to
24	government structure is and I will note these	24	develop a template for nutrient management, that is
25	requirements, I didn't make them up these come from	25	then reported to the third-party.
l I	Page 167		Page 169

1	That there is a process for prioritizing for	1	There would also be a risk self-assessment summary that
2	practice and practice evaluation. That means taking	2	takes the data from the farms and tries to capture the
3	the highest risk farms, as determined from the	3	types of risks that we find within the farms, so the
4	templates in the nutrient management program and	4	Regional Board has more information, and we all have
5	determining which ones are at the highest risk, so we	5	more information.
6	can go forward and actually evaluate their practices on	6	There are Farm Water Policy Plan Summaries.
7	their farm in order to determine if they're effective.	7	This would be taking up farm water quality from a
8	And with that, we are also, in a new addition, is that	8	template and providing some summaries to the Regional
9	with that, every farmer, would have to have one	9	Board with respect to what actual practices were being
10	representative soil sampled, in order to indicate with	10	implemented on the farm and which ones aren't.
11	respect to, you know, residual nutrient levels within	11	Most importantly, and each annual report, the
12	the soil, in order to help us determine risk.	12	Regional Board receive a list of those participants who
13	Then there is, of course, the Practice	13	are in good standing and those that are not. And I
14	Effectiveness Evaluation Program. We think this is	14	have to be honest, this is something that the Central
15	absolutely key am I on the right slide? Sorry about	15	Valley Board and their third-party program really
16	that that we think this is a key provision, because	16	wishes they did have. They don't even have this much
17	it clearly, actually starts evaluating the programs the	17	of an individual reporting requirement. We do believe
18	third-party evaluates, the Effectiveness and Management	18	that it's important, and we provide this so the
19	Practices, in order to determine which ones are working	19	Regional Board knows who in the third-party continues
20	and which ones aren't, so we can better help growers,	20	to be working in good faith and moving forward.
21	whether it's big growers or little growers, make	21	All of this would be spelled out in the work
22	changes to their operations, in order so they can be	22	plan to the Executive Officer and the Executive Officer
23	more effective.	23	would make the determination. And I would imagine
24	And we will all learn from that. And with	24	there would be some collaboration back and forth as to
25	that reporting, we also need to identify who are the	25	the robustness of the program being proposed, in order
	Page 170		Page 172
1		1	
1	enrolled growers so we can make sure your list at the	1	to approve this program as the third-party program.
2	Water Board matches our list, so people aren't lost in		There is, then, a subsequent report within
3	the shuffle, somewhere, saying that they notified you	3	three years, with respect to the practice evaluations,
4	60 days later, but they never enrolled as a	4	because we need more than one year to really determine
5	third-party. So it's important that we make sure that	5	if the practices are effective. After three years of
6 7	we keep everybody on it.	6	doing it, there would be a practice evaluation.
	We then have the end reporting requirements,		So now, then, there is also here are some
8	which we talked about previously, with some new	8	new things, as well. Actually, I don't think this is
9	additions, in order to make it more robust. We, of	9	new, but anyway, the Discharger has to also continue to
10	course, have that you have to continue to audit at	10	comply with the monitoring requirements, which are
11	least 20 percent, and I want to say farms. There was	11	still within the Order, surface water, as well as
12 13	some discussion as far as auditing growers, auditing	12	groundwater. Obviously, not the individual surface
13	farms. One grower may have three farms. We are not	13	water monitoring requirement, but the cooperative
14 15	saying that the grower may get one part out of three farms get audited. All three farms have to be	14	surface water, receiving water monitoring, and the
15 16	-	15	groundwater. That is a condition of the maintaining
10 17	audited. So it's an important distinction that in order to know if it's 20 percent of the farms, at a	16 17	eligibility for the program. The Discharger obviously has to make sure
18	order to know if it's 20 percent of the farms, at a	18	2 ,
18 19	minimum, likely the program is going to have to be	19	that any information requested by the third-party, they
20	doing 25 percent per year, in order to make sure to get	20	have to provide it. If they're not going to cooperate
20	to every farm within the term of the Order.	21	with the third-party, then it doesn't do us any good,
	Also, the end report with the summary of		and they will no longer be eligible for the
22 23	independent auditor reports, and the summary report of	22	third-party. They would be told their name would
	the number of growers and farms participating, the	23	be a letter would be sent to the Regional Board
24 25	number of growers and farms that fail the audit, and	24	saying grower x, y, and z is no longer a participant in
25	the summary of corrective actions taken by growers.	25	the third-party or is not providing appropriate
	Page 171		Page 173

1	information subject to the Draft Order as proposed.	1	try to meet one quality. Make sure that their	
2	And here, Number E is probably one of the key	2	Dischargers do not cause Water Quality Standards to be	
3	provisions changes with respect to trying to	3	exceeded with an eight-year surface water, 15 years for	
4	acknowledge, or at least respond, to some concerns	4	tile and drain, and 15 years for groundwater. Now, of	
5	expressed by Staff, and that's with the respect to the	5	course, as we all develop more information with ADA, it	
6	confines of Water Policy Standards.	6	is better to find what the appropriate timelines are.	
7	So we have drastically changed the language	7	You know, we are all just kind of trying to go based	
8	here, and it basically now requires Dischargers to	8	upon what we know, when, at this point in time, there's	
9	implement Water Quality Management Practices, as	9	a lot more to learn.	
10	identified through the audit process or even, as	10	That is what we currently propose with	
11	necessary, in order to improve and protect water	11	respect to time frames or time schedules.	
12	quality and to achieve compliance with the Water	12	And Paragraph 82 and paragraph is again a	
13	Quality Standards.	13	continuation of those. I'm starting to run out of time	
14	Recognizing the time schedule for ten minutes	14	here, so I'm going to try to go right along without	
15	that we've been talking about, I'll make this short.	15	hopefully, not to lose the court reporter.	
16	The next thing would be in the development of	16	Paragraph 82 is your existing time schedule	
17	the third-party, the Executive Officer denies a	17	language and, basically, it clarifies the application	
18	third-party, we have built in a provision that would	18	of the time schedules that we proposed.	
19	allow the third-party to go to the Board, at the next	19	The last couple of changes, I do propose	
20	reasonably available meeting, to see if the Board	20	deleting Paragraphs 84, 85, and 86 primary and	
21	agrees with the Executive Officer's determination or	21	Paragraph 87, primarily because I don't know that	
22	not.	22	"effectively control" is a legal standard. Having the	
23	And, finally D, failure by anybody, whether	23	requirement says that a Discharger must effectively	
24	it's the third-party or the Discharger, will cause	24	control individual waste discharges, to me, is not a	
25	those Dischargers and that third-party to no longer be	25	legal standard, is not a type of Water Quality	
				1
	Page 174		Pag	ge 176
1	eligible. That's the catchall provision to make sure	1	Standard, it's not a type of management practice. It's	
2	that everybody does what they're supposed to be doing.	2	a statement that I'm not even sure how one would	
3	That's it. I tried to simplify it to make it easier to	3	continue or determine compliance. So I suggest	
4	understand.	4	deleting this.	
5	Going forward. So on Paragraph 61, Page 25,	5	Table 4, as we talked earlier, we've got	
6	the changes here are, again, to recognize if there is a	6	Table 4 really isn't a time schedule and, I believe in	
7	party that the Board chooses to go that way, then we	7	the Staff Report, it says that Table 4 is not	
8	need a termination provision in order to allow those	8	enforceable. It would be used by Staff to determine	
9	that if they want to terminate or if they decide they	9	discretion and enforcement; however, even with that, we	
10	no longer want to participate in the third-party, what	10	think it's important to make important changes in order	
11	they need to do. So this is it.	11	to recognize the election opportunity with Part E,	
12	So we have to Notice the Regional Board and	12	should the Board decide to adopt it.	
13	then be subject to Part G, unless they're no longer in	13	And lastly, this big, really long, long slide	
14	Tier 2 or 3, then they would be subject to Tier 1.	14	and, again, my apologies. This is the groundwater	
15	Paragraph new Paragraph 82. Um, this	15	cooperative paragraph that appears in all three MRPs	
16	would be new language that, basically, provides for	16	and this language basically is Staff's language. We've	
17	time schedules for water quality standards instead of	17	added some changes in order to allow it to be a	
18	the absolute language that currently exists, we would	18	practical alternative. One, we have identified that	
19	recommend that there be included time schedules that	19	there may be other types of qualifying cooperative	
20	may be extended, but at the first outset would require	20	programs that might want to try to see if it fits into	
21	that discharges from Agriculture and is broken up into	21	the Regional Board's program. All would still have to	
22	three categories: There's discharges to surface water,	22	be the objectives. All still subject to the Executive	
23	excepting those to tile drains, Discharge from tile	23	Officer's approval.	
24	drains, and then discharges to groundwater.	24	The last parts in red, basically, put forward	
25				
4 1	And, basically, we are proposing that Ag will	25	a process in order to allow it to happen. It allows	
1	And, basically, we are proposing that Ag will Page 175	25	a process in order to allow it to happen. It allows	ge 177

1	folks to elect this as an option and gives a year in	1	the Water Code that requires individual reporting.	
2	order for this option to be to come forward and	2	Water Code Section 13269 clearly discusses the fact	
3	develop and approved by the Executive Officer. Without	3	that waivers can be for a type of discharge and the	
4	these changes, we are concerned that the Staff	4	monitoring provisions of 13269 specifically say that	
5	Alternative is an option with no limitation or with no	5	monitoring can be done individually or cooperatively.	
6	availability, so we were hoping that these changes	6	The Central Valley Board Program that has	
7	would make it a viable option for those who want to try	7	been going on since 2003, and as it's being proposed to	
8	to put together a Cooperative Monitoring Groundwater in	8	be changed here within the next year, would not require	
9	lieu of the Individual Groundwater Monitoring	9	individual reporting at the type that is discussed in	
LO	requirements that currently exists, but they would have	10	this Draft Order. It may be that Staff here decides	
11	the option. We haven't made any other changes to the	11	they found it the most effective way toward them, but	
2	groundwater monitoring requirements.	12	that is a completely different question as compared to	
3	Those are the end of my suggestive changes.	13	whether it is legal.	
4	In the interest of time, I'm going to skip over I	14	Summary reports are often done within this	
5	have some slides that have comparisons that we can go	15	type of a program. And, again, the State Water Board	
6	into, but I'm going to go one is a timeline of	16	has approved a coalition approach, the Nonpoint Source	
7	comparison. There's been some concerns that the Ag	17	Policy encourages a coalition approach, and therefore,	
.8	Alternative is going to take too long and, I believe	18	there's no legal impediment to adopting this our	
9	there was some question and answer with respect that	19	Alternative today that would include summary reporting,	
20	went out yesterday talking about time frames. So we	20	and not individual reporting.	
21	wanted to provide just a side by side comparison of the	21	I also want to remind you that I think	
2	time frames within the Draft Order as compared to the	22	earlier there was a slide, with respect to the	
3	time frames if the Board was to adopt the Ag	23	legislative intent of Porter-Cologne. Well, there is	
4	Alternative. So, you know, obviously the requirements	24	another provision in that same legislation that also	
5	are different, but the time frames show that we are	25	clearly says, it's the Regional Board's obligation to	
	Page 178			Page 18
1		1		
1	trying to put forth an Ag Alternative that has a very	1	regulate to attain the highest water quality, which is	
2	aggressive timeline and that's the first, you know,	2	reasonable considering the law of demand. I think the	
3	there were 16 days from you making the election. Six	3	drafters of Porter-Cologne were, frankly, brilliant.	
4	months you have the EO, hopefully, looking at an	4	When you look at the policy, the statute as a whole, it	
5	application. You have, then, the EO approving it by	5	talks about balancing and reasonableness and when you	u
6	October of 2012. It's not very far from now. So we're	6	look back at all the legislative history that goes	
7	not talking a long time here trying to get this up and	7	along with it, and I read it all, everything was about	
8	running.	8	balancing all the different needs to be placed upon the	
9	Next, we have a question, you know, we have	9	water. Not one goes over the other. It's balancing	
0	six months after the Notice of Applicability is issued,	10	all of them, and everything that we do, you do as the	
1	assuming that the EO took 30 days, we are talking by	11	Regional Board, you need to make a balancing	
2	May of next year, you'd have the third-party up and	12	determination with respect to all the different needs.	
3	naina and the audited hasing way they would have	13	So I would also say, to you, that, you know,	
	going, and the audits beginning. You, then, would have			
	your first set of audits by May 14th of 2014, which is	14	it is your job to determine the validity of the	
4		14 15	third-party, and it's not determining whether, yes, our	
4	your first set of audits by May 14th of 2014, which is		third-party, and it's not determining whether, yes, our proposal is different than the Draft Order. Yes, it	
4 5 6	your first set of audits by May 14th of 2014, which is before you start getting reports as far as nutrient	15	third-party, and it's not determining whether, yes, our proposal is different than the Draft Order. Yes, it does remove some of the individual requirements, which	h
4 5 6 7	your first set of audits by May 14th of 2014, which is before you start getting reports as far as nutrient balance ratios under the Draft Order. You would also	15 16	third-party, and it's not determining whether, yes, our proposal is different than the Draft Order. Yes, it	h
4 5 7 8 9	your first set of audits by May 14th of 2014, which is before you start getting reports as far as nutrient balance ratios under the Draft Order. You would also have your first Practice Effectiveness Evaluation	15 16 17	third-party, and it's not determining whether, yes, our proposal is different than the Draft Order. Yes, it does remove some of the individual requirements, which	h
.4 .5 .7 .8	your first set of audits by May 14th of 2014, which is before you start getting reports as far as nutrient balance ratios under the Draft Order. You would also have your first Practice Effectiveness Evaluation Summary by May of 2016, which is before you start	15 16 17 18	third-party, and it's not determining whether, yes, our proposal is different than the Draft Order. Yes, it does remove some of the individual requirements, whic is why we're proposing it. There are, I think, some	h
4 5 7 8 9	your first set of audits by May 14th of 2014, which is before you start getting reports as far as nutrient balance ratios under the Draft Order. You would also have your first Practice Effectiveness Evaluation Summary by May of 2016, which is before you start getting the Water Quality Buffer Plan. So we do think	15 16 17 18 19	third-party, and it's not determining whether, yes, our proposal is different than the Draft Order. Yes, it does remove some of the individual requirements, whic is why we're proposing it. There are, I think, some unfair comparisons that say, but it has a different	h
.4 .5 .6 .7 .8 .9 .0 .1 .2	your first set of audits by May 14th of 2014, which is before you start getting reports as far as nutrient balance ratios under the Draft Order. You would also have your first Practice Effectiveness Evaluation Summary by May of 2016, which is before you start getting the Water Quality Buffer Plan. So we do think that this is aggressive and we do think things are	15 16 17 18 19 20	third-party, and it's not determining whether, yes, our proposal is different than the Draft Order. Yes, it does remove some of the individual requirements, whic is why we're proposing it. There are, I think, some unfair comparisons that say, but it has a different standard for those under the third-party versus those	h
.4 .5 .7 .8 .9 .1	your first set of audits by May 14th of 2014, which is before you start getting reports as far as nutrient balance ratios under the Draft Order. You would also have your first Practice Effectiveness Evaluation Summary by May of 2016, which is before you start getting the Water Quality Buffer Plan. So we do think that this is aggressive and we do think things are going to happen on a very quick time frame.	15 16 17 18 19 20 21	third-party, and it's not determining whether, yes, our proposal is different than the Draft Order. Yes, it does remove some of the individual requirements, whic is why we're proposing it. There are, I think, some unfair comparisons that say, but it has a different standard for those under the third-party versus those not under the third-party. That's the idea. That's	h
4 5 6 7 8 9 0	your first set of audits by May 14th of 2014, which is before you start getting reports as far as nutrient balance ratios under the Draft Order. You would also have your first Practice Effectiveness Evaluation Summary by May of 2016, which is before you start getting the Water Quality Buffer Plan. So we do think that this is aggressive and we do think things are going to happen on a very quick time frame. I want to go quickly into the legality of the	15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	third-party, and it's not determining whether, yes, our proposal is different than the Draft Order. Yes, it does remove some of the individual requirements, which is why we're proposing it. There are, I think, some unfair comparisons that say, but it has a different standard for those under the third-party versus those not under the third-party. That's the idea. That's the purpose of it, is to create a different standard	h

1			
	think it's consistent with the Water Code, the basic	1	MR. YOUNG: Speak up.
2	plan, the Nonpoints Source Policy and State Board	2	MS. McCHESNEY: The Region 5 Order authorizes the
3	Orders. I would absolutely contend that it does and	3	Executive Officer and the Board to request our plans
4	that it is consistent. As I noted, some of the State	4	that are then turned into the Regional Board, so that
5	Board Presidential Orders and other programs that are	5	is a difference than the proposal of Ms. Dunham. The
6	very similar to what we've talked about.	6	plans are actually submitted to the Regional Board.
7	And, again, this is it is a different	7	MS. DUNHAM: There is no generic requirement that
8	standard, it is not a less stringent standard. And,	8	that be done. That is may be a discretionary
9	again, one of the other criticism we talked about does	9	element
0	it required compliance for water quality standards?	10	MS. McCHESNEY: Yeah, I agree.
1	Yes, it does. We actually made some significant	11	MS. DUNHAM: that each grower
2	changes in trying to address that concern of the Staff,	12	MS. McCHESNEY: I just wanted
3	and, of course, we are suggesting some time schedules	13	MS. DUNHAM: it isn't a requirement that each
4	that don't currently exist.	14	grower report to the Regional Board.
5	Does it protect sources of drinking water?	15	MS. McCHESNEY: I just want to make sure that the
6	Yes, we believe it does, or will start working in that	16	Board knows that there's one, but you proposed to
7	area by calling out the need for nutrient management,	17	delete the requirement that farm Plans be submitted to
8	working with the growers and technical advisory	18	the Regional Board, Region 5's Order
9	committee to make sure that that occurs.	19	THE REPORTER: Can you hold on for a second. I
0		20	just need to change my paper. I just ran out of
	I will wrap it up, I'm on the last couple of	21	paper. One second.
1	slides. We talk about all the reporting elements and		
2	the time frames and the conclusions.	22	(Brief Interruption.)
3	MR. YOUNG: Okay. Time is up.	23	MS. McCHESNEY: Okay. I just want to make sure
4	MS. DUNHAM: Does that still leave me the five	24	that it's clarified that Ms. Dunham proposes to delete
5	minutes?	25	a requirement that people turn in their Farm Plans to
	Page 182		Page 18
1	MR. YOUNG: There is no five minutes left. Okay.	1	the Regional Board, but the Region 5 Order allows the
2	The question I have for you, Ms. Dunham, does the	2	Regional Board to
3	current Region 5 Order allow for reporting to a	3	MS. DUNHAM: But right now, Region 5 doesn't even
4	coalition and not directly to the Water Board? You	4	require the zone.
5	said something was being proposed, but I'd like you to	5	MR. YOUNG: Is this Region 5's first Ag Order?
6	clarify that. Who is proposing what and what currently	6	MS. DUNHAM: It's a convoluted process, but they
7	exists?	7	started in 2003. It's been amended a few times, and
8	MS. DUNHAM: What currently exists in Region 5,	8	they are now in the process of going from their base
9	actually is even less than what your 2004 Order has.	9	program that's been in place since 2003, with some
	Under the Region 5 Orders, people don't even	10	
U		1 T U	tweaks along the way, to what we're calling what
		10	tweaks along the way, to what we're calling what they call, the long-term Irrigative Lands Program, and
1	file Notice of Intent with the Regional Board, they	11	they call, the long-term Irrigative Lands Program, and
1 2	file Notice of Intent with the Regional Board, they file with the coalition, and the coalition then tells	11 12	they call, the long-term Irrigative Lands Program, and they're in the process of developing WDRs for different
1 2 3	file Notice of Intent with the Regional Board, they file with the coalition, and the coalition then tells the Regional Board what parcels are covered within that	11 12 13	they call, the long-term Irrigative Lands Program, and they're in the process of developing WDRs for different coalitions at this moment in time.
1 2 3 4	file Notice of Intent with the Regional Board, they file with the coalition, and the coalition then tells the Regional Board what parcels are covered within that program. There is no direct reporting currently	11 12 13 14	they call, the long-term Irrigative Lands Program, and they're in the process of developing WDRs for different coalitions at this moment in time. They did a very long facilitated stakeholder
1 2 3 4 5	file Notice of Intent with the Regional Board, they file with the coalition, and the coalition then tells the Regional Board what parcels are covered within that program. There is no direct reporting currently between the individuals and the Central Valley Regional	11 12 13 14 15	they call, the long-term Irrigative Lands Program, and they're in the process of developing WDRs for different coalitions at this moment in time. They did a very long facilitated stakeholder process with a professionally hired facilitator and
1 2 3 4 5 6	file Notice of Intent with the Regional Board, they file with the coalition, and the coalition then tells the Regional Board what parcels are covered within that program. There is no direct reporting currently between the individuals and the Central Valley Regional Board. And I will say that this has not hampered	11 12 13 14 15 16	they call, the long-term Irrigative Lands Program, and they're in the process of developing WDRs for different coalitions at this moment in time. They did a very long facilitated stakeholder process with a professionally hired facilitator and came out with a long-term Irrigated Lands Program.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7	file Notice of Intent with the Regional Board, they file with the coalition, and the coalition then tells the Regional Board what parcels are covered within that program. There is no direct reporting currently between the individuals and the Central Valley Regional Board. And I will say that this has not hampered Region 5's ability to bring enforcement actions. They	11 12 13 14 15 16 17	they call, the long-term Irrigative Lands Program, and they're in the process of developing WDRs for different coalitions at this moment in time. They did a very long facilitated stakeholder process with a professionally hired facilitator and came out with a long-term Irrigated Lands Program. They did an Environmental Impact Report that is now
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	file Notice of Intent with the Regional Board, they file with the coalition, and the coalition then tells the Regional Board what parcels are covered within that program. There is no direct reporting currently between the individuals and the Central Valley Regional Board. And I will say that this has not hampered Region 5's ability to bring enforcement actions. They have brought several enforcement actions against	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	they call, the long-term Irrigative Lands Program, and they're in the process of developing WDRs for different coalitions at this moment in time. They did a very long facilitated stakeholder process with a professionally hired facilitator and came out with a long-term Irrigated Lands Program. They did an Environmental Impact Report that is now just starting to come forward with those changes, and
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	file Notice of Intent with the Regional Board, they file with the coalition, and the coalition then tells the Regional Board what parcels are covered within that program. There is no direct reporting currently between the individuals and the Central Valley Regional Board. And I will say that this has not hampered Region 5's ability to bring enforcement actions. They have brought several enforcement actions against individual growers who have had excessive sediment	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	they call, the long-term Irrigative Lands Program, and they're in the process of developing WDRs for different coalitions at this moment in time. They did a very long facilitated stakeholder process with a professionally hired facilitator and came out with a long-term Irrigated Lands Program. They did an Environmental Impact Report that is now just starting to come forward with those changes, and there is no there is no farmland specific
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0	file Notice of Intent with the Regional Board, they file with the coalition, and the coalition then tells the Regional Board what parcels are covered within that program. There is no direct reporting currently between the individuals and the Central Valley Regional Board. And I will say that this has not hampered Region 5's ability to bring enforcement actions. They have brought several enforcement actions against individual growers who have had excessive sediment leaving their property. And a large part is	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	they call, the long-term Irrigative Lands Program, and they're in the process of developing WDRs for different coalitions at this moment in time. They did a very long facilitated stakeholder process with a professionally hired facilitator and came out with a long-term Irrigated Lands Program. They did an Environmental Impact Report that is now just starting to come forward with those changes, and there is no there is no farmland specific requirement in Region 5, whether, you know, the Board
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0	file Notice of Intent with the Regional Board, they file with the coalition, and the coalition then tells the Regional Board what parcels are covered within that program. There is no direct reporting currently between the individuals and the Central Valley Regional Board. And I will say that this has not hampered Region 5's ability to bring enforcement actions. They have brought several enforcement actions against individual growers who have had excessive sediment leaving their property. And a large part is coalitions, but basically it had concerns with growers	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	they call, the long-term Irrigative Lands Program, and they're in the process of developing WDRs for different coalitions at this moment in time. They did a very long facilitated stakeholder process with a professionally hired facilitator and came out with a long-term Irrigated Lands Program. They did an Environmental Impact Report that is now just starting to come forward with those changes, and there is no there is no farmland specific requirement in Region 5, whether, you know, the Board may request one of some individuals, which this Board,
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2	file Notice of Intent with the Regional Board, they file with the coalition, and the coalition then tells the Regional Board what parcels are covered within that program. There is no direct reporting currently between the individuals and the Central Valley Regional Board. And I will say that this has not hampered Region 5's ability to bring enforcement actions. They have brought several enforcement actions against individual growers who have had excessive sediment leaving their property. And a large part is coalitions, but basically it had concerns with growers within their coalition who have suggested the Regional	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	they call, the long-term Irrigative Lands Program, and they're in the process of developing WDRs for different coalitions at this moment in time. They did a very long facilitated stakeholder process with a professionally hired facilitator and came out with a long-term Irrigated Lands Program. They did an Environmental Impact Report that is now just starting to come forward with those changes, and there is no there is no farmland specific requirement in Region 5, whether, you know, the Board may request one of some individuals, which this Board, anybody can do, any Board can do, under 13267
1 2 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3	file Notice of Intent with the Regional Board, they file with the coalition, and the coalition then tells the Regional Board what parcels are covered within that program. There is no direct reporting currently between the individuals and the Central Valley Regional Board. And I will say that this has not hampered Region 5's ability to bring enforcement actions. They have brought several enforcement actions against individual growers who have had excessive sediment leaving their property. And a large part is coalitions, but basically it had concerns with growers	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	they call, the long-term Irrigative Lands Program, and they're in the process of developing WDRs for different coalitions at this moment in time. They did a very long facilitated stakeholder process with a professionally hired facilitator and came out with a long-term Irrigated Lands Program. They did an Environmental Impact Report that is now just starting to come forward with those changes, and there is no there is no farmland specific requirement in Region 5, whether, you know, the Board may request one of some individuals, which this Board,
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 22 3	file Notice of Intent with the Regional Board, they file with the coalition, and the coalition then tells the Regional Board what parcels are covered within that program. There is no direct reporting currently between the individuals and the Central Valley Regional Board. And I will say that this has not hampered Region 5's ability to bring enforcement actions. They have brought several enforcement actions against individual growers who have had excessive sediment leaving their property. And a large part is coalitions, but basically it had concerns with growers within their coalition who have suggested the Regional	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	they call, the long-term Irrigative Lands Program, and they're in the process of developing WDRs for different coalitions at this moment in time. They did a very long facilitated stakeholder process with a professionally hired facilitator and came out with a long-term Irrigated Lands Program. They did an Environmental Impact Report that is now just starting to come forward with those changes, and there is no there is no farmland specific requirement in Region 5, whether, you know, the Board may request one of some individuals, which this Board, anybody can do, any Board can do, under 13267
.0 .1 .2 .3 .4 .5 .6 .7 .8 .9 .0 .1 .2 .3 .4 .5 .2 .3 .4 .5 .2 .3 .4 .5 .6 .7 .8 .9 .0 .1 .2 .3 .2 .3 .4 .5 .5 .5 .5 .5 .5 .5 .5 .5 .5 .5 .5 .5	file Notice of Intent with the Regional Board, they file with the coalition, and the coalition then tells the Regional Board what parcels are covered within that program. There is no direct reporting currently between the individuals and the Central Valley Regional Board. And I will say that this has not hampered Region 5's ability to bring enforcement actions. They have brought several enforcement actions against individual growers who have had excessive sediment leaving their property. And a large part is coalitions, but basically it had concerns with growers within their coalition who have suggested the Regional Board do something with respect to enforcement.	111 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	they call, the long-term Irrigative Lands Program, and they're in the process of developing WDRs for different coalitions at this moment in time. They did a very long facilitated stakeholder process with a professionally hired facilitator and came out with a long-term Irrigated Lands Program. They did an Environmental Impact Report that is now just starting to come forward with those changes, and there is no there is no farmland specific requirement in Region 5, whether, you know, the Board may request one of some individuals, which this Board, anybody can do, any Board can do, under 13267 Authority. There is no specific requirement that says

Atkinson-Baker, Inc. Court Reporters

-

	MR. YOUNG: Okay. All right.	1	approach?
2	Mr. Johnston.	2	MS. DUNHAM: The coalition actually and my
3	MR. JOHNSTON: On the subject of Region 5 I	3	the coalition that I work with actually, especially the
4	don't have it here, I tried to pull it up as I	4	pesticide exceedance. They'll go to the county, the
5	recall, it does require the coalition to report to the	5	County Ag Commissioner. They will find out who filed
6	Board the management practices that are being	6	pesticide use reports for that specific pesticide, and
7	performed, and the specific areas where they're being	7	applied it at that time period, and they'll go focus
8	performed, the specific geographic areas, and then if	8	and talk to that individual and say, "Hey, what are you
9	the Board then has questions about the adequacy, they	9	doing? Did you do it right? Did you do this? Did you
)	could request a management plan from the individual	10	control this?" To make sure that next time, you know,
L	Dischargers. Because it seems like there's a higher	11	to see if they might be the person, or to make sure
2	level of reporting, and it seems like what's being	12	their implementing appropriate management practices.
3	proposed here is summary reporting, further down the	13	So they kind of specific, with respect to use of
1	road, later in the process, I think that's the little	14	pesticide use reports in order to get at that. To go
5	difference between	15	to the watershed in order to keep point in on the
5	MS. DUNHAM: I actually	16	individuals that it might be.
,	MR. JOHNSTON: as long as we're citing	17	DR. HUNTER: So, in the context of looking at
3	Region 5.	18	subwatershed area with four growers, would all four
)	MS. DUNHAM: Well, I would disagree with that	19	growers be approached and asked to do the same
)	characterization, I'm sure, and Mr. Thomas who	20	process?
-	represents one of the specific coalitions could	21	MS. DUNHAM: Yes, yes.
2	probably help us download that as well, but how Region	22	Well, if all four growers had applied
3	5 Order works is first if there's trigger. And right	23	pesticide concern at that point in time, yes, all four
	now, the Region 5 is surface water only and is specific	24	growers would receive a visit and would be talked to
5	to surface water only. The coalitions conduct surface	25	about this with respect to
	Page 186		Page 1
1	water monitoring. If there is an exceedance of a Water	1	DR. HUNTER: And what if all four growers said,
2	Quality Standard, then the coalition, basically, might	2	gee, I don't think I'm doing anything that's
			g,
3	be triggered, the EO says this is significant enough.	3	contributing to that? Is that the end of it, or
	be triggered, the EO says this is significant enough, may be required to prepare a management plan. And part	3	contributing to that? Is that the end of it, or MS. DUNHAM: Well, once a management plan is
1	may be required to prepare a management plan. And part	4	MS. DUNHAM: Well, once a management plan is
1 5	may be required to prepare a management plan. And part of that management plan requires the coalition to go	4 5	MS. DUNHAM: Well, once a management plan is developed, there's continued follow-up monitoring, and
1 5 5	may be required to prepare a management plan. And part of that management plan requires the coalition to go out and discuss and survey the management practices	4 5 6	MS. DUNHAM: Well, once a management plan is developed, there's continued follow-up monitoring, and more specific monitoring to that management plan, so
1 5 5 7	may be required to prepare a management plan. And part of that management plan requires the coalition to go out and discuss and survey the management practices within that watershed or that subwatershed area. And	4 5 6 7	MS. DUNHAM: Well, once a management plan is developed, there's continued follow-up monitoring, and more specific monitoring to that management plan, so you can see whether people are actually doing what
4 5 7 3	may be required to prepare a management plan. And part of that management plan requires the coalition to go out and discuss and survey the management practices within that watershed or that subwatershed area. And then the coalition has to develop and report back with	4 5 6 7 8	MS. DUNHAM: Well, once a management plan is developed, there's continued follow-up monitoring, and more specific monitoring to that management plan, so you can see whether people are actually doing what they're supposed to be doing and eventually there would
1 5 7 3	may be required to prepare a management plan. And part of that management plan requires the coalition to go out and discuss and survey the management practices within that watershed or that subwatershed area. And then the coalition has to develop and report back with respect to this management practices in a summary	4 5 6 7 8 9	MS. DUNHAM: Well, once a management plan is developed, there's continued follow-up monitoring, and more specific monitoring to that management plan, so you can see whether people are actually doing what they're supposed to be doing and eventually there would be if you think someone is in complete violation of
1 5 7 3 9	may be required to prepare a management plan. And part of that management plan requires the coalition to go out and discuss and survey the management practices within that watershed or that subwatershed area. And then the coalition has to develop and report back with respect to this management practices in a summary fashion. I don't believe they're parcel specific.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MS. DUNHAM: Well, once a management plan is developed, there's continued follow-up monitoring, and more specific monitoring to that management plan, so you can see whether people are actually doing what they're supposed to be doing and eventually there would be if you think someone is in complete violation of the coalition they would probably say something to the
1 5 7 3 9	may be required to prepare a management plan. And part of that management plan requires the coalition to go out and discuss and survey the management practices within that watershed or that subwatershed area. And then the coalition has to develop and report back with respect to this management practices in a summary fashion. I don't believe they're parcel specific. MS. JOHNSTON: No farm plans	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MS. DUNHAM: Well, once a management plan is developed, there's continued follow-up monitoring, and more specific monitoring to that management plan, so you can see whether people are actually doing what they're supposed to be doing and eventually there would be if you think someone is in complete violation of the coalition they would probably say something to the Regional Board, hey, you know, we've got some folks
1 5 7 3 9 1	may be required to prepare a management plan. And part of that management plan requires the coalition to go out and discuss and survey the management practices within that watershed or that subwatershed area. And then the coalition has to develop and report back with respect to this management practices in a summary fashion. I don't believe they're parcel specific. MS. JOHNSTON: No farm plans MS. DUNHAM: No farm plans not parcel	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MS. DUNHAM: Well, once a management plan is developed, there's continued follow-up monitoring, and more specific monitoring to that management plan, so you can see whether people are actually doing what they're supposed to be doing and eventually there would be if you think someone is in complete violation of the coalition they would probably say something to the Regional Board, hey, you know, we've got some folks here that aren't necessarily implementing management
	may be required to prepare a management plan. And part of that management plan requires the coalition to go out and discuss and survey the management practices within that watershed or that subwatershed area. And then the coalition has to develop and report back with respect to this management practices in a summary fashion. I don't believe they're parcel specific. MS. JOHNSTON: No farm plans MS. DUNHAM: No farm plans not parcel specific. The coalition does all of that. In fact,	4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MS. DUNHAM: Well, once a management plan is developed, there's continued follow-up monitoring, and more specific monitoring to that management plan, so you can see whether people are actually doing what they're supposed to be doing and eventually there would be if you think someone is in complete violation of the coalition they would probably say something to the Regional Board, hey, you know, we've got some folks here that aren't necessarily implementing management practices.
1 5 7 3 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9	may be required to prepare a management plan. And part of that management plan requires the coalition to go out and discuss and survey the management practices within that watershed or that subwatershed area. And then the coalition has to develop and report back with respect to this management practices in a summary fashion. I don't believe they're parcel specific. MS. JOHNSTON: No farm plans MS. DUNHAM: No farm plans not parcel specific. The coalition does all of that. In fact, individuals in the coalition that I work with, will go	4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MS. DUNHAM: Well, once a management plan is developed, there's continued follow-up monitoring, and more specific monitoring to that management plan, so you can see whether people are actually doing what they're supposed to be doing and eventually there would be if you think someone is in complete violation of the coalition they would probably say something to the Regional Board, hey, you know, we've got some folks here that aren't necessarily implementing management practices. MR. YOUNG: Mr. Johnston, were you done with your
	may be required to prepare a management plan. And part of that management plan requires the coalition to go out and discuss and survey the management practices within that watershed or that subwatershed area. And then the coalition has to develop and report back with respect to this management practices in a summary fashion. I don't believe they're parcel specific. MS. JOHNSTON: No farm plans MS. DUNHAM: No farm plans not parcel specific. The coalition does all of that. In fact, individuals in the coalition that I work with, will go in and greet and meet with every grower, but, again,	4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MS. DUNHAM: Well, once a management plan is developed, there's continued follow-up monitoring, and more specific monitoring to that management plan, so you can see whether people are actually doing what they're supposed to be doing and eventually there would be if you think someone is in complete violation of the coalition they would probably say something to the Regional Board, hey, you know, we've got some folks here that aren't necessarily implementing management practices. MR. YOUNG: Mr. Johnston, were you done with your questions?
	may be required to prepare a management plan. And part of that management plan requires the coalition to go out and discuss and survey the management practices within that watershed or that subwatershed area. And then the coalition has to develop and report back with respect to this management practices in a summary fashion. I don't believe they're parcel specific. MS. JOHNSTON: No farm plans MS. DUNHAM: No farm plans not parcel specific. The coalition does all of that. In fact, individuals in the coalition that I work with, will go in and greet and meet with every grower, but, again, that's part of their management plan. That is done	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MS. DUNHAM: Well, once a management plan is developed, there's continued follow-up monitoring, and more specific monitoring to that management plan, so you can see whether people are actually doing what they're supposed to be doing and eventually there would be if you think someone is in complete violation of the coalition they would probably say something to the Regional Board, hey, you know, we've got some folks here that aren't necessarily implementing management practices. MR. YOUNG: Mr. Johnston, were you done with your questions? MR. JOHNSTON: For the moment.
1 5 7 3 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9	may be required to prepare a management plan. And part of that management plan requires the coalition to go out and discuss and survey the management practices within that watershed or that subwatershed area. And then the coalition has to develop and report back with respect to this management practices in a summary fashion. I don't believe they're parcel specific. MS. JOHNSTON: No farm plans MS. DUNHAM: No farm plans MS. DUNHAM: No farm plans not parcel specific. The coalition does all of that. In fact, individuals in the coalition that I work with, will go in and greet and meet with every grower, but, again, that's part of their management plan. That is done within the development of the management plan. So an	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MS. DUNHAM: Well, once a management plan is developed, there's continued follow-up monitoring, and more specific monitoring to that management plan, so you can see whether people are actually doing what they're supposed to be doing and eventually there would be if you think someone is in complete violation of the coalition they would probably say something to the Regional Board, hey, you know, we've got some folks here that aren't necessarily implementing management practices. MR. YOUNG: Mr. Johnston, were you done with your questions? MR. JOHNSTON: For the moment. MR. YOUNG: Okay.
	may be required to prepare a management plan. And part of that management plan requires the coalition to go out and discuss and survey the management practices within that watershed or that subwatershed area. And then the coalition has to develop and report back with respect to this management practices in a summary fashion. I don't believe they're parcel specific. MS. JOHNSTON: No farm plans MS. DUNHAM: No farm plans MS. DUNHAM: No farm plans not parcel specific. The coalition does all of that. In fact, individuals in the coalition that I work with, will go in and greet and meet with every grower, but, again, that's part of their management plan. That is done within the development of the management plan. So an all that report, again, is summary, if the	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MS. DUNHAM: Well, once a management plan is developed, there's continued follow-up monitoring, and more specific monitoring to that management plan, so you can see whether people are actually doing what they're supposed to be doing and eventually there would be if you think someone is in complete violation of the coalition they would probably say something to the Regional Board, hey, you know, we've got some folks here that aren't necessarily implementing management practices. MR. YOUNG: Mr. Johnston, were you done with your questions? MR. JOHNSTON: For the moment. MR. YOUNG: Okay. Mr. Jordan.
1 5 7 3 9 0 1 2 3 1 5 7 3 9	may be required to prepare a management plan. And part of that management plan requires the coalition to go out and discuss and survey the management practices within that watershed or that subwatershed area. And then the coalition has to develop and report back with respect to this management practices in a summary fashion. I don't believe they're parcel specific. MS. JOHNSTON: No farm plans MS. DUNHAM: No farm plans MS. DUNHAM: No farm plans not parcel specific. The coalition does all of that. In fact, individuals in the coalition that I work with, will go in and greet and meet with every grower, but, again, that's part of their management plan. That is done within the development of the management plan. So an all that report, again, is summary, if the management plan has been triggered.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 MS. DUNHAM: Well, once a management plan is developed, there's continued follow-up monitoring, and more specific monitoring to that management plan, so you can see whether people are actually doing what they're supposed to be doing and eventually there would be if you think someone is in complete violation of the coalition they would probably say something to the Regional Board, hey, you know, we've got some folks here that aren't necessarily implementing management practices. MR. YOUNG: Mr. Johnston, were you done with your questions? MR. JOHNSTON: For the moment. MR. YOUNG: Okay. Mr. Jordan. MR. JORDAN: Gentlemen, I'm just curious, I don't
3 1 5 7 3 9 0 1 2 3 1 5 7 3 9 0	may be required to prepare a management plan. And part of that management plan requires the coalition to go out and discuss and survey the management practices within that watershed or that subwatershed area. And then the coalition has to develop and report back with respect to this management practices in a summary fashion. I don't believe they're parcel specific. MS. JOHNSTON: No farm plans MS. DUNHAM: No farm plans not parcel specific. The coalition does all of that. In fact, individuals in the coalition that I work with, will go in and greet and meet with every grower, but, again, that's part of their management plan. That is done within the development of the management plan. So an all that report, again, is summary, if the management plan has been triggered. DR. HUNTER: Can I ask a quick follow-up?	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 MS. DUNHAM: Well, once a management plan is developed, there's continued follow-up monitoring, and more specific monitoring to that management plan, so you can see whether people are actually doing what they're supposed to be doing and eventually there would be if you think someone is in complete violation of the coalition they would probably say something to the Regional Board, hey, you know, we've got some folks here that aren't necessarily implementing management practices. MR. YOUNG: Mr. Johnston, were you done with your questions? MR. JOHNSTON: For the moment. MR. YOUNG: Okay. Mr. Jordan. MR. JORDAN: Gentlemen, I'm just curious, I don't know about heating time either, so if we used one of
1 5 7 3 9 1 2 3 1 5 5 7 3 9 0 1	 may be required to prepare a management plan. And part of that management plan requires the coalition to go out and discuss and survey the management practices within that watershed or that subwatershed area. And then the coalition has to develop and report back with respect to this management practices in a summary fashion. I don't believe they're parcel specific. MS. JOHNSTON: No farm plans MS. DUNHAM: No farm plans not parcel specific. The coalition that I work with, will go in and greet and meet with every grower, but, again, that's part of their management plan. That is done within the development of the management plan. So an all that report, again, is summary, if the management plan has been triggered. DR. HUNTER: Can I ask a quick follow-up? MR. YOUNG: Go ahead. 	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 MS. DUNHAM: Well, once a management plan is developed, there's continued follow-up monitoring, and more specific monitoring to that management plan, so you can see whether people are actually doing what they're supposed to be doing and eventually there would be if you think someone is in complete violation of the coalition they would probably say something to the Regional Board, hey, you know, we've got some folks here that aren't necessarily implementing management practices. MR. YOUNG: Mr. Johnston, were you done with your questions? MR. JOHNSTON: For the moment. MR. YOUNG: Okay. Mr. Jordan. MR. JORDAN: Gentlemen, I'm just curious, I don't know about heating time either, so if we used one of these color coded maps that you've put up there, would
1 5 7 3 9 0 1 2 3 1 5 5 7 3 9 0 1 2	 may be required to prepare a management plan. And part of that management plan requires the coalition to go out and discuss and survey the management practices within that watershed or that subwatershed area. And then the coalition has to develop and report back with respect to this management practices in a summary fashion. I don't believe they're parcel specific. MS. JOHNSTON: No farm plans MS. DUNHAM: No farm plans not parcel specific. The coalition that I work with, will go in and greet and meet with every grower, but, again, that's part of their management plan. That is done within the development of the management plan. So an all that report, again, is summary, if the management plan has been triggered. DR. HUNTER: Can I ask a quick follow-up? MR. YOUNG: Go ahead. DR. HUNTER: So if there is an exceedance, but the 	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 MS. DUNHAM: Well, once a management plan is developed, there's continued follow-up monitoring, and more specific monitoring to that management plan, so you can see whether people are actually doing what they're supposed to be doing and eventually there would be if you think someone is in complete violation of the coalition they would probably say something to the Regional Board, hey, you know, we've got some folks here that aren't necessarily implementing management practices. MR. YOUNG: Mr. Johnston, were you done with your questions? MR. JOHNSTON: For the moment. MR. YOUNG: Okay. Mr. Jordan. MR. JORDAN: Gentlemen, I'm just curious, I don't know about heating time either, so if we used one of these color coded maps that you've put up there, would it be apples to apples in comparison to the nitrate
1 5 5 7 7 3 9 0 1 2 3 1 5 5 7 7 3 9 0 1 2 3 1 1 5 5 7 7 3 9 0 1 1 2 3 9 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	 may be required to prepare a management plan. And part of that management plan requires the coalition to go out and discuss and survey the management practices within that watershed or that subwatershed area. And then the coalition has to develop and report back with respect to this management practices in a summary fashion. I don't believe they're parcel specific. MS. JOHNSTON: No farm plans MS. DUNHAM: No farm plans not parcel specific. The coalition does all of that. In fact, individuals in the coalition that I work with, will go in and greet and meet with every grower, but, again, that's part of their management plan. That is done within the development of the management plan. So an all that report, again, is summary, if the management plan has been triggered. DR. HUNTER: Can I ask a quick follow-up? MR. YOUNG: Go ahead. DR. HUNTER: So if there is an exceedance, but the data then, or the actions that are taken with the 	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 MS. DUNHAM: Well, once a management plan is developed, there's continued follow-up monitoring, and more specific monitoring to that management plan, so you can see whether people are actually doing what they're supposed to be doing and eventually there would be if you think someone is in complete violation of the coalition they would probably say something to the Regional Board, hey, you know, we've got some folks here that aren't necessarily implementing management practices. MR. YOUNG: Mr. Johnston, were you done with your questions? MR. JOHNSTON: For the moment. MR. JORDAN: Gentlemen, I'm just curious, I don't know about heating time either, so if we used one of these color coded maps that you've put up there, would it be apples to apples in comparison to the nitrate issues here and the toxicity issues?
1 5 7 3 9 1 2 3 1 5 5 7 3 9	 may be required to prepare a management plan. And part of that management plan requires the coalition to go out and discuss and survey the management practices within that watershed or that subwatershed area. And then the coalition has to develop and report back with respect to this management practices in a summary fashion. I don't believe they're parcel specific. MS. JOHNSTON: No farm plans MS. DUNHAM: No farm plans not parcel specific. The coalition that I work with, will go in and greet and meet with every grower, but, again, that's part of their management plan. That is done within the development of the management plan. So an all that report, again, is summary, if the management plan has been triggered. DR. HUNTER: Can I ask a quick follow-up? MR. YOUNG: Go ahead. DR. HUNTER: So if there is an exceedance, but the 	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 MS. DUNHAM: Well, once a management plan is developed, there's continued follow-up monitoring, and more specific monitoring to that management plan, so you can see whether people are actually doing what they're supposed to be doing and eventually there would be if you think someone is in complete violation of the coalition they would probably say something to the Regional Board, hey, you know, we've got some folks here that aren't necessarily implementing management practices. MR. YOUNG: Mr. Johnston, were you done with your questions? MR. JOHNSTON: For the moment. MR. YOUNG: Okay. Mr. Jordan. MR. JORDAN: Gentlemen, I'm just curious, I don't know about heating time either, so if we used one of these color coded maps that you've put up there, would it be apples to apples in comparison to the nitrate

6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Mercer Cost Study. I'll try to make this brief. There are three costs studies, if you will, sitting out there right now. There is an Appendix document, a Waiver document that was done by the Staff, there's the Barbeau & Mercer study of the cost of the Ag Waiver, and then there are some numbers out there also about the Ag Alternative. And primarily, what I	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	way this has not been done in any systematic way, at all, and it's not easy to do the gains and benefits of the regulation. That would be a complete economic impact study, and as Kaye suggested to me in a conversation earlier today, if you're interested, we would be very interested in working on that, if you would like that study to be done. That's in the future. I think the conclusion that I would want to
6 7 8 9 10	Mercer Cost Study. I'll try to make this brief. There are three costs studies, if you will, sitting out there right now. There is an Appendix document, a Waiver document that was done by the Staff,	6 7 8 9 10	way this has not been done in any systematic way, at all, and it's not easy to do the gains and benefits of the regulation. That would be a complete economic impact study, and as Kaye suggested to me in a conversation earlier today, if you're interested, we would be very interested in working on that, if you
6 7 8	Mercer Cost Study. I'll try to make this brief. There are three costs studies, if you will,	6 7 8	way this has not been done in any systematic way, at all, and it's not easy to do the gains and benefits of the regulation. That would be a complete economic impact study, and as Kaye suggested to me in a
6	Mercer Cost Study. I'll try to make this brief.	6	way this has not been done in any systematic way, at all, and it's not easy to do the gains and benefits of the regulation. That would be a complete economic
			way this has not been done in any systematic way, at
5	Monterey Bay, and one of authors of the Barbeau and	1	
4	a member of the faculty of Cal State University	4	regulation, and it would also look at, in a systematic
3	MR. BARBEAU: Okay. My name is Brad Barbeau. I'm	3	and induced impacts economic impacts of the
1 2	to you. Dr. Barbeau, go ahead.	1 2	report, that would include cost and losses to the growers, to the taxpayers, and looking at the indirect
	Page 190		Page 192
25	please let us know, and I'll make sure that a copy gets	23	regulation requires that a complete economic impact
24 25	anybody doesn't get a copy that wishes one, would you	24 25	So it is our feeling that doing responsible
23	Okay. Dr. Barbeau, you are up next. And if	23	since then.
22	presentation?	22	Alternative. As you know, a lot of work has been done
21	the audience that wishes a copy of Ms. Dunham's	21	working with a very early version of the Ag
20	MR. YOUNG: Wonderful. Is there anybody else in	20	proposal. And, also with the Ag Alternative, we were
19	copies, thanks to our colleagues.	19	target, as Staff was working to adjust the their
18	MS. DUNHAM: And I believe we do have some extra	18	one being that we were all working with a moving
17	All right.	17	uncertainty is coming from several places, one big
16	MR. YOUNG: Okay. Thank you very much.	16	uncertainty in these cost estimates, and the
15	MS. DUNHAM: Thank you.	15	information from. So there's a good deal of
14	region.	14	interviews, so that's that's where we got our
13	presentation is a pretty chilling factor in this	13	Our data source was primarily grower
12	of all the impaired sites up on a PowerPoint	12	also.
11	want to look at it side by side, but the visual picture	11	incorporate and there have been changes since then,
10	to provide in the future, just because, you may say, I	10	adjust as best we could, but were not able to entirely
9	credible alternative, that might be a reference point	9	collection, the May document came out. We tried to
8	MR. JORDAN: While you're saying that, as a	8	with the March documents, and in the middle of our data
7	areas in the Valley that have serious concerns.	7	moving target, as we were looking at it. We started
6	know. I can't answer that directly, but there are	6	compliance, and, by the way, this was a very definite
5	have higher issues with respect to nitrates. I don't	5	Our study tried to estimate the cost of
	higher issues with respect to toxicity and some that	4	like.
4	there are definitely some areas in Region 5 that have	3	used in understanding what the whole elephant looks
2 3 4	right. You know, I think you've got to look at everything on a constituent by constituent basis, and	1 2	parts of the elephant, so to speak, and provides additional information that can be used and should be

Atkinson-Baker, Inc. Court Reporters

1 2	region and also, also achieve our desired water	1	the State Water Board, perhaps, maybe to the Supreme
	quality, this requires cooperative solutions, with	2	Court, and does not result in water quality
	cooperative implementation.	3	improvement. You do nothing, and you're accused of
4	MR. YOUNG: Thank you. Okay.	4	kicking a can down the road and, obviously, that is not
5	THE REPORTER: Mr. Chairman, can we have a quick,	5	improvement to water quality.
6	little break?	6	In my opinion, one middle ground that would
7	MR. YOUNG: Sure.	7	avoid litigation, more likely, and likely have one of
8	THE REPORTER: Just five minutes.	8	the best chances of improving water quality is so
9	MR. YOUNG: Five minutes is fine.	9	middle ground, that is, approval of the Draft Ag Waiver
0	(Brief recess.)	10	Order as is, with 90 days for Staff to incorporate
1	MR. YOUNG: Anyone locally who needs to get out of	11	alternative proposals such as Marc De Los Huertos and
	here at a certain time, I'll give those people two	12	some other alternative approaches.
3	minutes each to address us now.	13	That's what I recommend that you do. I
4	Greg Pepping gave me his card. He can come	14	encourage you to make a vote today, because I think
	forward now. And he did say he submitted a speaker	15	
	card. Okay.	16	that's important. And I thank you for your work, I
7	THE REPORTER: Mr. Chairman.	17	know it's a challenging decision. Thank you.
8			
8 9	MR. YOUNG: Yes.	18 19	MR. YOUNG: Thank you for your comments.
	THE REPORTER: If you could have them, for me	20	Kent Hibbin have I pronounced the last
	the people that are coming up there, if they could		name correctly? Is he coming back?
1 2	please state their name and spell their last name, for	21	Nathan Allen.
	me, so that I have it on the record. I would	22	MR. ALLEN: Thank you, Mr. Chair. I actually got
	appreciate it.	23	someone to cover my evening commitment, so I'll stick
4	MR. YOUNG: Okay. Dr. Hunter, what we are doing	24	around and go when it's my turn later.
5	is that there are some people that need to leave so in	25	Thank you so much.
	Page 19	/4	Page 1
1	exchange for going down, they have two minutes.	1	MR. YOUNG: Charles Whitney? He left?
2	DR. HUNTER: Okay.	2	Okay. George Gutman? Mr. Gutman?
3	MR. YOUNG: All right, Kent Hibben, Ethan Allan,	3	Okay. Mr. Martin.
4			Okdy. Mil. Maluli.
	Charles Whitney, George Cutman. Okay. Let's go.	4	MR. MARTIN: Thank you. My name is Bob Martin,
	Charles Whitney, George Cutman. Okay. Let's go. MR. PEPPING: My name is Greg Pepping.	4 5	
5			MR. MARTIN: Thank you. My name is Bob Martin,
5 6	MR. PEPPING: My name is Greg Pepping.	5	MR. MARTIN: Thank you. My name is Bob Martin, M-a-r-t-i-n.
5 6 7	MR. PEPPING: My name is Greg Pepping. P-e-p-p-i-n-g. Executive Director of The Coastal	5 6	MR. MARTIN: Thank you. My name is Bob Martin, M-a-r-t-i-n. Actually, I'm here today representing
5 6 7 8	MR. PEPPING: My name is Greg Pepping. P-e-p-p-i-n-g. Executive Director of The Coastal Watershed Counsel. Thank you for taking my comments.	5 6 7	MR. MARTIN: Thank you. My name is Bob Martin, M-a-r-t-i-n. Actually, I'm here today representing Western Growers Association. They asked me to speak
5 6 7 8 9	MR. PEPPING: My name is Greg Pepping.P-e-p-p-i-n-g. Executive Director of The CoastalWatershed Counsel. Thank you for taking my comments.The Coastal Watershed Counsel is a nonprofit	5 6 7 8	MR. MARTIN: Thank you. My name is Bob Martin, M-a-r-t-i-n. Actually, I'm here today representing Western Growers Association. They asked me to speak for them today. And Western Growers is an association
5 6 7 8 9	 MR. PEPPING: My name is Greg Pepping. P-e-p-p-i-n-g. Executive Director of The Coastal Watershed Counsel. Thank you for taking my comments. The Coastal Watershed Counsel is a nonprofit based in Santa Cruz. We've been around since 1995. 	5 6 7 8 9	MR. MARTIN: Thank you. My name is Bob Martin, M-a-r-t-i-n. Actually, I'm here today representing Western Growers Association. They asked me to speak for them today. And Western Growers is an association of about 500 growers in the Central Coast Region,
5 6 7 8 9 0 1	 MR. PEPPING: My name is Greg Pepping. P-e-p-p-i-n-g. Executive Director of The Coastal Watershed Counsel. Thank you for taking my comments. The Coastal Watershed Counsel is a nonprofit based in Santa Cruz. We've been around since 1995. Our mission is to preserve and protect coastal 	5 6 7 8 9 10	MR. MARTIN: Thank you. My name is Bob Martin, M-a-r-t-i-n. Actually, I'm here today representing Western Growers Association. They asked me to speak for them today. And Western Growers is an association of about 500 growers in the Central Coast Region, including me, to express the comments made today by
5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2	 MR. PEPPING: My name is Greg Pepping. P-e-p-p-i-n-g. Executive Director of The Coastal Watershed Counsel. Thank you for taking my comments. The Coastal Watershed Counsel is a nonprofit based in Santa Cruz. We've been around since 1995. Our mission is to preserve and protect coastal watersheds through stewardship, education, and 	5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MR. MARTIN: Thank you. My name is Bob Martin, M-a-r-t-i-n. Actually, I'm here today representing Western Growers Association. They asked me to speak for them today. And Western Growers is an association of about 500 growers in the Central Coast Region, including me, to express the comments made today by Farmers for Water Quality, for The California Farm
5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3	 MR. PEPPING: My name is Greg Pepping. P-e-p-p-i-n-g. Executive Director of The Coastal Watershed Counsel. Thank you for taking my comments. The Coastal Watershed Counsel is a nonprofit based in Santa Cruz. We've been around since 1995. Our mission is to preserve and protect coastal watersheds through stewardship, education, and monitoring. Our monitoring work includes Snapshot 	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MR. MARTIN: Thank you. My name is Bob Martin, M-a-r-t-i-n. Actually, I'm here today representing Western Growers Association. They asked me to speak for them today. And Western Growers is an association of about 500 growers in the Central Coast Region, including me, to express the comments made today by Farmers for Water Quality, for The California Farm Growers and Dr. Marc Los Huertos.
5 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4	 MR. PEPPING: My name is Greg Pepping. P-e-p-p-i-n-g. Executive Director of The Coastal Watershed Counsel. Thank you for taking my comments. The Coastal Watershed Counsel is a nonprofit based in Santa Cruz. We've been around since 1995. Our mission is to preserve and protect coastal watersheds through stewardship, education, and monitoring. Our monitoring work includes Snapshot Dave, First Flush, Urban Wash, effectiveness assessment 	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR. MARTIN: Thank you. My name is Bob Martin, M-a-r-t-i-n. Actually, I'm here today representing Western Growers Association. They asked me to speak for them today. And Western Growers is an association of about 500 growers in the Central Coast Region, including me, to express the comments made today by Farmers for Water Quality, for The California Farm Growers and Dr. Marc Los Huertos. Instead of talking about the Valley of
5 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5	 MR. PEPPING: My name is Greg Pepping. P-e-p-p-i-n-g. Executive Director of The Coastal Watershed Counsel. Thank you for taking my comments. The Coastal Watershed Counsel is a nonprofit based in Santa Cruz. We've been around since 1995. Our mission is to preserve and protect coastal watersheds through stewardship, education, and monitoring. Our monitoring work includes Snapshot Dave, First Flush, Urban Wash, effectiveness assessment on restored and constructed wetlands, as well as 	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR. MARTIN: Thank you. My name is Bob Martin, M-a-r-t-i-n. Actually, I'm here today representing Western Growers Association. They asked me to speak for them today. And Western Growers is an association of about 500 growers in the Central Coast Region, including me, to express the comments made today by Farmers for Water Quality, for The California Farm Growers and Dr. Marc Los Huertos. Instead of talking about the Valley of Central Coast Ag Region, given the fact that I only
5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6	 MR. PEPPING: My name is Greg Pepping. P-e-p-p-i-n-g. Executive Director of The Coastal Watershed Counsel. Thank you for taking my comments. The Coastal Watershed Counsel is a nonprofit based in Santa Cruz. We've been around since 1995. Our mission is to preserve and protect coastal watersheds through stewardship, education, and monitoring. Our monitoring work includes Snapshot Dave, First Flush, Urban Wash, effectiveness assessment on restored and constructed wetlands, as well as organic and sustainable current practices. Now for the 	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR. MARTIN: Thank you. My name is Bob Martin, M-a-r-t-i-n. Actually, I'm here today representing Western Growers Association. They asked me to speak for them today. And Western Growers is an association of about 500 growers in the Central Coast Region, including me, to express the comments made today by Farmers for Water Quality, for The California Farm Growers and Dr. Marc Los Huertos. Instead of talking about the Valley of Central Coast Ag Region, given the fact that I only have two minutes, I decided to focus more on the Q and
5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7	 MR. PEPPING: My name is Greg Pepping. P-e-p-p-i-n-g. Executive Director of The Coastal Watershed Counsel. Thank you for taking my comments. The Coastal Watershed Counsel is a nonprofit based in Santa Cruz. We've been around since 1995. Our mission is to preserve and protect coastal watersheds through stewardship, education, and monitoring. Our monitoring work includes Snapshot Dave, First Flush, Urban Wash, effectiveness assessment on restored and constructed wetlands, as well as organic and sustainable current practices. Now for the contacts so that you have background from which my 	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR. MARTIN: Thank you. My name is Bob Martin, M-a-r-t-i-n. Actually, I'm here today representing Western Growers Association. They asked me to speak for them today. And Western Growers is an association of about 500 growers in the Central Coast Region, including me, to express the comments made today by Farmers for Water Quality, for The California Farm Growers and Dr. Marc Los Huertos. Instead of talking about the Valley of Central Coast Ag Region, given the fact that I only have two minutes, I decided to focus more on the Q and A response that the Board had for Staff, in yesterday's
5 7 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 MR. PEPPING: My name is Greg Pepping. P-e-p-p-i-n-g. Executive Director of The Coastal Watershed Counsel. Thank you for taking my comments. The Coastal Watershed Counsel is a nonprofit based in Santa Cruz. We've been around since 1995. Our mission is to preserve and protect coastal watersheds through stewardship, education, and monitoring. Our monitoring work includes Snapshot Dave, First Flush, Urban Wash, effectiveness assessment on restored and constructed wetlands, as well as organic and sustainable current practices. Now for the contacts so that you have background from which my comments on coming. 	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. MARTIN: Thank you. My name is Bob Martin, M-a-r-t-i-n. Actually, I'm here today representing Western Growers Association. They asked me to speak for them today. And Western Growers is an association of about 500 growers in the Central Coast Region, including me, to express the comments made today by Farmers for Water Quality, for The California Farm Growers and Dr. Marc Los Huertos. Instead of talking about the Valley of Central Coast Ag Region, given the fact that I only have two minutes, I decided to focus more on the Q and A response that the Board had for Staff, in yesterday's email that I saw, in particular Question Number 5
5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. PEPPING: My name is Greg Pepping. P-e-p-p-i-n-g. Executive Director of The Coastal Watershed Counsel. Thank you for taking my comments. The Coastal Watershed Counsel is a nonprofit based in Santa Cruz. We've been around since 1995. Our mission is to preserve and protect coastal watersheds through stewardship, education, and monitoring. Our monitoring work includes Snapshot Dave, First Flush, Urban Wash, effectiveness assessment on restored and constructed wetlands, as well as organic and sustainable current practices. Now for the contacts so that you have background from which my comments on coming. We acknowledge that the Water Board Staff has	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. MARTIN: Thank you. My name is Bob Martin, M-a-r-t-i-n. Actually, I'm here today representing Western Growers Association. They asked me to speak for them today. And Western Growers is an association of about 500 growers in the Central Coast Region, including me, to express the comments made today by Farmers for Water Quality, for The California Farm Growers and Dr. Marc Los Huertos. Instead of talking about the Valley of Central Coast Ag Region, given the fact that I only have two minutes, I decided to focus more on the Q and A response that the Board had for Staff, in yesterday's email that I saw, in particular Question Number 5 and is regarding groundwater.
5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0	MR. PEPPING: My name is Greg Pepping. P-e-p-p-i-n-g. Executive Director of The Coastal Watershed Counsel. Thank you for taking my comments. The Coastal Watershed Counsel is a nonprofit based in Santa Cruz. We've been around since 1995. Our mission is to preserve and protect coastal watersheds through stewardship, education, and monitoring. Our monitoring work includes Snapshot Dave, First Flush, Urban Wash, effectiveness assessment on restored and constructed wetlands, as well as organic and sustainable current practices. Now for the contacts so that you have background from which my comments on coming. We acknowledge that the Water Board Staff has exercised due diligence in the process to date and the	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MR. MARTIN: Thank you. My name is Bob Martin, M-a-r-t-i-n. Actually, I'm here today representing Western Growers Association. They asked me to speak for them today. And Western Growers is an association of about 500 growers in the Central Coast Region, including me, to express the comments made today by Farmers for Water Quality, for The California Farm Growers and Dr. Marc Los Huertos. Instead of talking about the Valley of Central Coast Ag Region, given the fact that I only have two minutes, I decided to focus more on the Q and A response that the Board had for Staff, in yesterday's email that I saw, in particular Question Number 5 and is regarding groundwater. And, Chairman, you mentioned something
5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1	 MR. PEPPING: My name is Greg Pepping. P-e-p-p-i-n-g. Executive Director of The Coastal Watershed Counsel. Thank you for taking my comments. The Coastal Watershed Counsel is a nonprofit based in Santa Cruz. We've been around since 1995. Our mission is to preserve and protect coastal watersheds through stewardship, education, and monitoring. Our monitoring work includes Snapshot Dave, First Flush, Urban Wash, effectiveness assessment on restored and constructed wetlands, as well as organic and sustainable current practices. Now for the contacts so that you have background from which my comments on coming. We acknowledge that the Water Board Staff has exercised due diligence in the process to date and the net result of that process is what you're considering 	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. MARTIN: Thank you. My name is Bob Martin, M-a-r-t-i-n. Actually, I'm here today representing Western Growers Association. They asked me to speak for them today. And Western Growers is an association of about 500 growers in the Central Coast Region, including me, to express the comments made today by Farmers for Water Quality, for The California Farm Growers and Dr. Marc Los Huertos. Instead of talking about the Valley of Central Coast Ag Region, given the fact that I only have two minutes, I decided to focus more on the Q and A response that the Board had for Staff, in yesterday's email that I saw, in particular Question Number 5 and is regarding groundwater. And, Chairman, you mentioned something earlier, kind of took a little wind out of my sails and
5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2	MR. PEPPING: My name is Greg Pepping. P-e-p-p-i-n-g. Executive Director of The Coastal Watershed Counsel. Thank you for taking my comments. The Coastal Watershed Counsel is a nonprofit based in Santa Cruz. We've been around since 1995. Our mission is to preserve and protect coastal watersheds through stewardship, education, and monitoring. Our monitoring work includes Snapshot Dave, First Flush, Urban Wash, effectiveness assessment on restored and constructed wetlands, as well as organic and sustainable current practices. Now for the contacts so that you have background from which my comments on coming. We acknowledge that the Water Board Staff has exercised due diligence in the process to date and the net result of that process is what you're considering voting on today, that seemed to polarize many people,	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. MARTIN: Thank you. My name is Bob Martin, M-a-r-t-i-n. Actually, I'm here today representing Western Growers Association. They asked me to speak for them today. And Western Growers is an association of about 500 growers in the Central Coast Region, including me, to express the comments made today by Farmers for Water Quality, for The California Farm Growers and Dr. Marc Los Huertos. Instead of talking about the Valley of Central Coast Ag Region, given the fact that I only have two minutes, I decided to focus more on the Q and A response that the Board had for Staff, in yesterday's email that I saw, in particular Question Number 5 and is regarding groundwater. And, Chairman, you mentioned something earlier, kind of took a little wind out of my sails and I wanted to maybe sure that everyone was on the same
5 6	MR. PEPPING: My name is Greg Pepping. P-e-p-p-i-n-g. Executive Director of The Coastal Watershed Counsel. Thank you for taking my comments. The Coastal Watershed Counsel is a nonprofit based in Santa Cruz. We've been around since 1995. Our mission is to preserve and protect coastal watersheds through stewardship, education, and monitoring. Our monitoring work includes Snapshot Dave, First Flush, Urban Wash, effectiveness assessment on restored and constructed wetlands, as well as organic and sustainable current practices. Now for the contacts so that you have background from which my comments on coming. We acknowledge that the Water Board Staff has exercised due diligence in the process to date and the net result of that process is what you're considering voting on today, that seemed to polarize many people, whether one considers themselves, a environmentalist, a	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. MARTIN: Thank you. My name is Bob Martin, M-a-r-t-in. Actually, I'm here today representing Western Growers Association. They asked me to speak for them today. And Western Growers is an association of about 500 growers in the Central Coast Region, including me, to express the comments made today by Farmers for Water Quality, for The California Farm Growers and Dr. Marc Los Huertos. Instead of talking about the Valley of Central Coast Ag Region, given the fact that I only have two minutes, I decided to focus more on the Q and A response that the Board had for Staff, in yesterday's email that I saw, in particular Question Number 5 and is regarding groundwater. And, Chairman, you mentioned something earlier, kind of took a little wind out of my sails and I wanted to maybe sure that everyone was on the same plane with this.
5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3	MR. PEPPING: My name is Greg Pepping. P-e-p-p-i-n-g. Executive Director of The Coastal Watershed Counsel. Thank you for taking my comments. The Coastal Watershed Counsel is a nonprofit based in Santa Cruz. We've been around since 1995. Our mission is to preserve and protect coastal watersheds through stewardship, education, and monitoring. Our monitoring work includes Snapshot Dave, First Flush, Urban Wash, effectiveness assessment on restored and constructed wetlands, as well as organic and sustainable current practices. Now for the contacts so that you have background from which my comments on coming. We acknowledge that the Water Board Staff has exercised due diligence in the process to date and the net result of that process is what you're considering voting on today, that seemed to polarize many people, whether one considers themselves, a environmentalist, a grower, or neither, you folks have a tough one today,	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. MARTIN: Thank you. My name is Bob Martin, M-a-r-t-in. Actually, I'm here today representing Western Growers Association. They asked me to speak for them today. And Western Growers is an association of about 500 growers in the Central Coast Region, including me, to express the comments made today by Farmers for Water Quality, for The California Farm Growers and Dr. Marc Los Huertos. Instead of talking about the Valley of Central Coast Ag Region, given the fact that I only have two minutes, I decided to focus more on the Q and A response that the Board had for Staff, in yesterday's email that I saw, in particular Question Number 5 and is regarding groundwater. And, Chairman, you mentioned something earlier, kind of took a little wind out of my sails and I wanted to maybe sure that everyone was on the same plane with this.

1	levels in the coming years, is problematic and places	1	similar on all three Tiers to work in streamlining,
2	all farmers on a noncompliance mode.	2	making it a little more simple, the process.
3	How does the Regional Board intend on dealing	3	For example, I suggest, in addition to the
4	with this topic down the road?	4	regulatory document, that we develop more templates
5	Many farmers like myself are attempting to	5	which are user friendly, and add to the regulatory
6	tackle this problem, and fully intend on minimizing the	6	document a user friendly version, because, yes, a
7	nutrient input to increase or eliminate nitrates in the	7	regulatory document has to be written in a certain
8	groundwater. Immediate implementation is possible, but	8	legalese language, but it doesn't necessarily make it a
9	the ensuing results although inevitable are extremely	9	very easy document for a farmer. So I suggest that you
10	slow in coming. In other words, it's going to get	10	translate, a little bit, some of the regulatory
11	worse before it gets better. And even a Staff member,	11	language into something that's a little more user
12	Matt Keeling, acknowledged several decades, possibly.	12	friendly.
13	I believe it would be more effective to	13	The Cooperative Monitoring Program, I
14	measure the documentative efforts of these farmers,	14	think is very beneficial. Third-party groups, I think,
15	rather than just the nitrates in the groundwater. That	15	will inherently add some costs to the program, and I
16	will be the only true measure of what will occur in the	16	think what you want to be cautious is not to add
17	far future, not just the next few years.	17	another layer of administrative body, and further
18	I also take serious offense to the way the	18	distance yourself from the agriculturist.
19	Staff opted to classify farmers in a Tiering systems,	19	Tier 1, 2 and 3, if I take the reporting of
20	stating that large operations have the potential to	20	data, I think you probably have close to 80,000 data
21	pollute as the leading criteria for selection. The	21	points between Tier 1, 2 and 3. And you've got about
22	shear size of our operation is the only thing that	22	2,000 in Tier 1. That's 15 points per. Tier 2 you
23	allows us to spend the needed resources on accurate,	23	have 1500, um, that's that was 25 points per, and
24	scientific equipment to accomplish goals of improving	24	then the balance is Tier 3. Well, that gets you right
25	the quality of groundwater.	25	to 80,000.
	Page 198		Page 200
	l age 198		Tage 200
1	MR. YOUNG: Mr. Martin your time is a up.	1	Now, I know we talked about geotrack, but,
2	MR. MARTIN: If I was a small farm those resources	2	you know, geotrack is not a smart system. Geotrack
3	would be extremely limited or nonexistent.	3	takes data, and it doesn't have a very sophisticated
4	MR. YOUNG: Thank you for your comments.	4	problemistic software, trendy program, monte carlo
5	Okay. Dr. Jean-Pierre Wolff.	5	equations, et cetera. And I think the big question is,
6	MR. WOLFF: I feel funny being on this side.	6	it is very important to measure and get the
7	I am Jean-Pierre Wolff, W-o-l-f-f, and I'm	7	information, but what are we going to do with it? And
8	here representing myself as an agriculturist, a	8	I think what we got to do with the information is make
9	Certified Sustainable Vineyard, and I have a few	9	progress in water quality.
10	observations and suggestions that I'd like to make.	10	So that brings me to the concern about
11	I'll start with a little caption here that says, "It is	11	availability of Staff. Mr. Jeffries you asked the
12	much harder to break old habits than it is to learn new	12	question, do you have adequate Staff. The answer was,
13	skills." And I think part of the discussions that	13	yes. But we heard earlier, a recommendation to move on
14	we're hearing and seeing in this is the fear of	14	with the Ag Order, and then we can start working on
15	changes.	15	other important issues. Well, the fact of the matter,
16	My comments are going to be both on a macro	16	is that I do believe that administrating this Ag Order
17	level and a micro level, so I'm going to give you some	17	is requiring a fair amount of Staff time, and you need
18	20,000 foot observation, and some that are very	18	to assure yourself that you do have the Staff
19	specific.	19	available. That's not a criticism, at all, to Staff.
20	Firstly, I suggest that we really try hard to	20	I think the Staff has done a very good job delving into
21	keep the reporting as simple as possible. Efforts are	21	the issue. In fact, some people who argue too good of
22	being made with the Notice of Intent to streamline it.	22	a job, and others not enough, that's neither here nor
22	But I really feel we need to avoid using the analogy of	23	there. I think you have done a great job. And I think
24	the tax code, because it's so complex that you have to	24	Mr. Briggs, likewise, in managing the program, but if
25	have a CPA to do your taxes. And I think it's so	25	we look at over 175 pages of the regulatory document,
2.5	HUVE U CIA LO UO YOUI LAKES. AHU I LIHINK ILS SU	1-2	the restrict over 175 pages of the regulatory document,
	Page 199		Page 201

1			
	you know, the EO is peppered all over the place. In	1	opportunity to promulgate best management practice,
2	fact, if you do a word search, you will find probably	2	innovation, technology, new science, collaboration,
3	100 times EO, in terms of alternatives and other	3	including environmental communities. So if we leave
4	proposals, et cetera. That's going to take time and	4	the educational as voluntary, it's going to be a
5	resources.	5	hodgepodge of different parties having their own
6	So my big concern is under the current budget	6	interpretation.
7	cuts and limited Staff, you do not want to set yourself	7	Another point to make pertaining to the
8	up for failure. As a Board, you know, the analogy is	8	importance of assuring that you have provided the right
9	that you're impacting a multi-billion dollar business.	9	amount of staff. Item 4, Figure 1, Page 7, which you
0	So put yourself in the shoes of being the CEO and Board	10	showed earlier on the slide, shows irrigated Ag having
1	member of a publicly operated company. And I don't	11	the highest degree of water quality we have.
2	think as a Board member you would say, "Oh, Staff is	12	We got landfill, municipal and urban
	adequate." Okay. All right. Let's move on.	13	stormwater, way to the right. That would tell me that
4	I think you would want to have a plan. So my	14	we need you need, pardon me, to put a lot more
	suggestion is you have, currently, a plan that is	15	effort in that area. And so there has been not
	basically a management plan, showing what resources	16	billions of dollars of grants that have been provided
	will be allocated to administer and implement this	17	to help agriculture, and so I think in this case it
	program. And , therefore, assure that the	18	would behoove you to consider committing the Regional
	environmental side and water quality side and also for	19	Water Board to allocating resources. Add up analogous
		20	to Central Coast hydro modification control and low
	the agriculturist, that we do have a successful story	21	impact development, when you have a whole specific set
1 2	at the end.	22	
	A couple additional comments is that the		up program to help assure or support the success of
	water quality degradation has taken place over a long	23	these proposed Ag Waivers.
	period of time. Now I think we all agree there's a	24	So, in summary, I think that parallel to the
5	legacy associated with it. And surface water probably	25	regulatory language, there is a need to have a plan on
	Page 202		Page 2
1	can be mitigated, a lot quicker than groundwater, and	1	how you're going to roll this ensure success, and I
2	what I would suggest is that you consider the deadlines	2	would think and I can't speak for my colleague
3	that we have issued, and maybe have some deadlines and	3	agriculturists but you're going to have a lot of
,			
ŧ	milestones that are slightly extended. I'm not	4	help if people see this is not just about regulation,
	milestones that are slightly extended. I'm not suggesting 8 years and 15 years, as I heard earlier		
5		4	help if people see this is not just about regulation,
5	suggesting 8 years and 15 years, as I heard earlier	4 5	help if people see this is not just about regulation, it's also about working together to make progress.
5 5 7	suggesting 8 years and 15 years, as I heard earlier with some of the improvements, but, you know, you do have deadlines of 2014, for example, and we're in 2012,	4 5 6	help if people see this is not just about regulation, it's also about working together to make progress. One little item that I think perhaps should be modified, is currently there is a requirement for
5 5 7 3	suggesting 8 years and 15 years, as I heard earlier with some of the improvements, but, you know, you do have deadlines of 2014, for example, and we're in 2012, first quarter is over. So I think, you want to give	4 5 6 7	help if people see this is not just about regulation, it's also about working together to make progress. One little item that I think perhaps should be modified, is currently there is a requirement for Tier 1 and 2 and 3, for groundwater sampling, to hire a
5 7 8	suggesting 8 years and 15 years, as I heard earlier with some of the improvements, but, you know, you do have deadlines of 2014, for example, and we're in 2012, first quarter is over. So I think, you want to give yourself a little more elasticity there.	4 5 6 7 8 9	help if people see this is not just about regulation, it's also about working together to make progress. One little item that I think perhaps should be modified, is currently there is a requirement for Tier 1 and 2 and 3, for groundwater sampling, to hire a registered professional engineer, such an a hydrologist
5 7 3 9	suggesting 8 years and 15 years, as I heard earlier with some of the improvements, but, you know, you do have deadlines of 2014, for example, and we're in 2012, first quarter is over. So I think, you want to give yourself a little more elasticity there. I think, concurrently, with the Ag Order and	4 5 7 8 9 10	help if people see this is not just about regulation, it's also about working together to make progress. One little item that I think perhaps should be modified, is currently there is a requirement for Tier 1 and 2 and 3, for groundwater sampling, to hire a registered professional engineer, such an a hydrologist or geologist to take the sample and take it to a lab.
5 5 7 3 3 9 9 9	suggesting 8 years and 15 years, as I heard earlier with some of the improvements, but, you know, you do have deadlines of 2014, for example, and we're in 2012, first quarter is over. So I think, you want to give yourself a little more elasticity there. I think, concurrently, with the Ag Order and the regulatory side, you need to take a holistic	4 5 7 8 9 10 11	help if people see this is not just about regulation, it's also about working together to make progress. One little item that I think perhaps should be modified, is currently there is a requirement for Tier 1 and 2 and 3, for groundwater sampling, to hire a registered professional engineer, such an a hydrologist or geologist to take the sample and take it to a lab. You know, agriculturists routinely take water samples.
5 5 7 3 3 9 9 9 9	suggesting 8 years and 15 years, as I heard earlier with some of the improvements, but, you know, you do have deadlines of 2014, for example, and we're in 2012, first quarter is over. So I think, you want to give yourself a little more elasticity there. I think, concurrently, with the Ag Order and the regulatory side, you need to take a holistic approach to this. Regulation, by itself, will not	4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12	help if people see this is not just about regulation, it's also about working together to make progress. One little item that I think perhaps should be modified, is currently there is a requirement for Tier 1 and 2 and 3, for groundwater sampling, to hire a registered professional engineer, such an a hydrologist or geologist to take the sample and take it to a lab. You know, agriculturists routinely take water samples. We know how to take water samples. We knew how to take
5 5 7 3 3 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9	suggesting 8 years and 15 years, as I heard earlier with some of the improvements, but, you know, you do have deadlines of 2014, for example, and we're in 2012, first quarter is over. So I think, you want to give yourself a little more elasticity there. I think, concurrently, with the Ag Order and the regulatory side, you need to take a holistic approach to this. Regulation, by itself, will not solve our problem. It's going to require regulation	4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	help if people see this is not just about regulation, it's also about working together to make progress. One little item that I think perhaps should be modified, is currently there is a requirement for Tier 1 and 2 and 3, for groundwater sampling, to hire a registered professional engineer, such an a hydrologist or geologist to take the sample and take it to a lab. You know, agriculturists routinely take water samples. We know how to take water samples. We knew how to take a sample in a cook bottle and take it to a lab. I
5 5 7 3 3 9 9 0 1 2 2 3 3	suggesting 8 years and 15 years, as I heard earlier with some of the improvements, but, you know, you do have deadlines of 2014, for example, and we're in 2012, first quarter is over. So I think, you want to give yourself a little more elasticity there. I think, concurrently, with the Ag Order and the regulatory side, you need to take a holistic approach to this. Regulation, by itself, will not solve our problem. It's going to require regulation collaboration, education, innovation, research and	4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	help if people see this is not just about regulation, it's also about working together to make progress. One little item that I think perhaps should be modified, is currently there is a requirement for Tier 1 and 2 and 3, for groundwater sampling, to hire a registered professional engineer, such an a hydrologist or geologist to take the sample and take it to a lab. You know, agriculturists routinely take water samples. We know how to take water samples. We knew how to take a sample in a cook bottle and take it to a lab. I don't quite understand the logic in making that
5 5 7 3 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9	suggesting 8 years and 15 years, as I heard earlier with some of the improvements, but, you know, you do have deadlines of 2014, for example, and we're in 2012, first quarter is over. So I think, you want to give yourself a little more elasticity there. I think, concurrently, with the Ag Order and the regulatory side, you need to take a holistic approach to this. Regulation, by itself, will not solve our problem. It's going to require regulation collaboration, education, innovation, research and trust. But with a caveat on trust and I'll paraphrase	4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	help if people see this is not just about regulation, it's also about working together to make progress. One little item that I think perhaps should be modified, is currently there is a requirement for Tier 1 and 2 and 3, for groundwater sampling, to hire a registered professional engineer, such an a hydrologist or geologist to take the sample and take it to a lab. You know, agriculturists routinely take water samples. We know how to take water samples. We knew how to take a sample in a cook bottle and take it to a lab. I don't quite understand the logic in making that requirement. Particularly since with your MPS permit
5 7 3 3 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9	suggesting 8 years and 15 years, as I heard earlier with some of the improvements, but, you know, you do have deadlines of 2014, for example, and we're in 2012, first quarter is over. So I think, you want to give yourself a little more elasticity there. I think, concurrently, with the Ag Order and the regulatory side, you need to take a holistic approach to this. Regulation, by itself, will not solve our problem. It's going to require regulation collaboration, education, innovation, research and trust. But with a caveat on trust and I'll paraphrase President Reagan, "trust but verified."	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	help if people see this is not just about regulation, it's also about working together to make progress. One little item that I think perhaps should be modified, is currently there is a requirement for Tier 1 and 2 and 3, for groundwater sampling, to hire a registered professional engineer, such an a hydrologist or geologist to take the sample and take it to a lab. You know, agriculturists routinely take water samples. We know how to take water samples. We knew how to take a sample in a cook bottle and take it to a lab. I don't quite understand the logic in making that requirement. Particularly since with your MPS permit holders you allow them to take samples themselves. You
5 5 7 3 3 3 3 3 3 5 5 7	suggesting 8 years and 15 years, as I heard earlier with some of the improvements, but, you know, you do have deadlines of 2014, for example, and we're in 2012, first quarter is over. So I think, you want to give yourself a little more elasticity there. I think, concurrently, with the Ag Order and the regulatory side, you need to take a holistic approach to this. Regulation, by itself, will not solve our problem. It's going to require regulation collaboration, education, innovation, research and trust. But with a caveat on trust and I'll paraphrase President Reagan, "trust but verified." I think education was originally a	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	help if people see this is not just about regulation, it's also about working together to make progress. One little item that I think perhaps should be modified, is currently there is a requirement for Tier 1 and 2 and 3, for groundwater sampling, to hire a registered professional engineer, such an a hydrologist or geologist to take the sample and take it to a lab. You know, agriculturists routinely take water samples. We know how to take water samples. We knew how to take a sample in a cook bottle and take it to a lab. I don't quite understand the logic in making that requirement. Particularly since with your MPS permit holders you allow them to take samples themselves. You allow technicians in water treatment plants to take
5 7 3 3 9 9 0 1 5 5 5 7 3	suggesting 8 years and 15 years, as I heard earlier with some of the improvements, but, you know, you do have deadlines of 2014, for example, and we're in 2012, first quarter is over. So I think, you want to give yourself a little more elasticity there. I think, concurrently, with the Ag Order and the regulatory side, you need to take a holistic approach to this. Regulation, by itself, will not solve our problem. It's going to require regulation collaboration, education, innovation, research and trust. But with a caveat on trust and I'll paraphrase President Reagan, "trust but verified." I think education was originally a requirement in the Ag Waiver, and now, it is	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	help if people see this is not just about regulation, it's also about working together to make progress. One little item that I think perhaps should be modified, is currently there is a requirement for Tier 1 and 2 and 3, for groundwater sampling, to hire a registered professional engineer, such an a hydrologist or geologist to take the sample and take it to a lab. You know, agriculturists routinely take water samples. We know how to take water samples. We knew how to take a sample in a cook bottle and take it to a lab. I don't quite understand the logic in making that requirement. Particularly since with your MPS permit holders you allow them to take samples themselves. You allow technicians in water treatment plants to take samples. It doesn't have to be done by a
5 5 7 3 3 9 9 9 5 5 7 7 3 9	suggesting 8 years and 15 years, as I heard earlier with some of the improvements, but, you know, you do have deadlines of 2014, for example, and we're in 2012, first quarter is over. So I think, you want to give yourself a little more elasticity there. I think, concurrently, with the Ag Order and the regulatory side, you need to take a holistic approach to this. Regulation, by itself, will not solve our problem. It's going to require regulation collaboration, education, innovation, research and trust. But with a caveat on trust and I'll paraphrase President Reagan, "trust but verified." I think education was originally a requirement in the Ag Waiver, and now, it is voluntary. There is a missed golden opportunity to	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	help if people see this is not just about regulation, it's also about working together to make progress. One little item that I think perhaps should be modified, is currently there is a requirement for Tier 1 and 2 and 3, for groundwater sampling, to hire a registered professional engineer, such an a hydrologist or geologist to take the sample and take it to a lab. You know, agriculturists routinely take water samples. We know how to take water samples. We knew how to take a sample in a cook bottle and take it to a lab. I don't quite understand the logic in making that requirement. Particularly since with your MPS permit holders you allow them to take samples themselves. You allow technicians in water treatment plants to take samples. It doesn't have to be done by a four-year degreed engineer. So I'm kind of missing
5 5 7 3 3 9 0 1 2 2 3 3 1 5 5 5 7 7 3 9 0 0	suggesting 8 years and 15 years, as I heard earlier with some of the improvements, but, you know, you do have deadlines of 2014, for example, and we're in 2012, first quarter is over. So I think, you want to give yourself a little more elasticity there. I think, concurrently, with the Ag Order and the regulatory side, you need to take a holistic approach to this. Regulation, by itself, will not solve our problem. It's going to require regulation collaboration, education, innovation, research and trust. But with a caveat on trust and I'll paraphrase President Reagan, "trust but verified." I think education was originally a requirement in the Ag Waiver, and now, it is voluntary. There is a missed golden opportunity to bring together a little bit more of the Ag community	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	help if people see this is not just about regulation, it's also about working together to make progress. One little item that I think perhaps should be modified, is currently there is a requirement for Tier 1 and 2 and 3, for groundwater sampling, to hire a registered professional engineer, such an a hydrologist or geologist to take the sample and take it to a lab. You know, agriculturists routinely take water samples. We know how to take water samples. We knew how to take a sample in a cook bottle and take it to a lab. I don't quite understand the logic in making that requirement. Particularly since with your MPS permit holders you allow them to take samples themselves. You allow technicians in water treatment plants to take samples. It doesn't have to be done by a four-year degreed engineer. So I'm kind of missing that requirement, and if you are concerned about the
5 5 7 3 3 9 9 1 5 5 5 7 3 9 9 1 1	suggesting 8 years and 15 years, as I heard earlier with some of the improvements, but, you know, you do have deadlines of 2014, for example, and we're in 2012, first quarter is over. So I think, you want to give yourself a little more elasticity there. I think, concurrently, with the Ag Order and the regulatory side, you need to take a holistic approach to this. Regulation, by itself, will not solve our problem. It's going to require regulation collaboration, education, innovation, research and trust. But with a caveat on trust and I'll paraphrase President Reagan, "trust but verified." I think education was originally a requirement in the Ag Waiver, and now, it is voluntary. There is a missed golden opportunity to	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	help if people see this is not just about regulation, it's also about working together to make progress. One little item that I think perhaps should be modified, is currently there is a requirement for Tier 1 and 2 and 3, for groundwater sampling, to hire a registered professional engineer, such an a hydrologist or geologist to take the sample and take it to a lab. You know, agriculturists routinely take water samples. We know how to take water samples. We knew how to take a sample in a cook bottle and take it to a lab. I don't quite understand the logic in making that requirement. Particularly since with your MPS permit holders you allow them to take samples themselves. You allow technicians in water treatment plants to take samples. It doesn't have to be done by a four-year degreed engineer. So I'm kind of missing
5 6 7 3 3 9 0 1 2 2 3 4 1 5 6 7 3 9 0 1 2 2	suggesting 8 years and 15 years, as I heard earlier with some of the improvements, but, you know, you do have deadlines of 2014, for example, and we're in 2012, first quarter is over. So I think, you want to give yourself a little more elasticity there. I think, concurrently, with the Ag Order and the regulatory side, you need to take a holistic approach to this. Regulation, by itself, will not solve our problem. It's going to require regulation collaboration, education, innovation, research and trust. But with a caveat on trust and I'll paraphrase President Reagan, "trust but verified." I think education was originally a requirement in the Ag Waiver, and now, it is voluntary. There is a missed golden opportunity to bring together a little bit more of the Ag community	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	help if people see this is not just about regulation, it's also about working together to make progress. One little item that I think perhaps should be modified, is currently there is a requirement for Tier 1 and 2 and 3, for groundwater sampling, to hire a registered professional engineer, such an a hydrologist or geologist to take the sample and take it to a lab. You know, agriculturists routinely take water samples. We know how to take water samples. We knew how to take a sample in a cook bottle and take it to a lab. I don't quite understand the logic in making that requirement. Particularly since with your MPS permit holders you allow them to take samples themselves. You allow technicians in water treatment plants to take samples. It doesn't have to be done by a four-year degreed engineer. So I'm kind of missing that requirement, and if you are concerned about the
5 7 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 1 1 5 5 7 3 3 3 3 1 1 5 5 7 7 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3	suggesting 8 years and 15 years, as I heard earlier with some of the improvements, but, you know, you do have deadlines of 2014, for example, and we're in 2012, first quarter is over. So I think, you want to give yourself a little more elasticity there. I think, concurrently, with the Ag Order and the regulatory side, you need to take a holistic approach to this. Regulation, by itself, will not solve our problem. It's going to require regulation collaboration, education, innovation, research and trust. But with a caveat on trust and I'll paraphrase President Reagan, "trust but verified." I think education was originally a requirement in the Ag Waiver, and now, it is voluntary. There is a missed golden opportunity to bring together a little bit more of the Ag community here with some program. Comments that I heard, the	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	help if people see this is not just about regulation, it's also about working together to make progress. One little item that I think perhaps should be modified, is currently there is a requirement for Tier 1 and 2 and 3, for groundwater sampling, to hire a registered professional engineer, such an a hydrologist or geologist to take the sample and take it to a lab. You know, agriculturists routinely take water samples. We know how to take water samples. We knew how to take a sample in a cook bottle and take it to a lab. I don't quite understand the logic in making that requirement. Particularly since with your MPS permit holders you allow them to take samples themselves. You allow technicians in water treatment plants to take samples. It doesn't have to be done by a four-year degreed engineer. So I'm kind of missing that requirement, and if you are concerned about the chain of custody and proper protocol to take the
5 6 7 3 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3	suggesting 8 years and 15 years, as I heard earlier with some of the improvements, but, you know, you do have deadlines of 2014, for example, and we're in 2012, first quarter is over. So I think, you want to give yourself a little more elasticity there. I think, concurrently, with the Ag Order and the regulatory side, you need to take a holistic approach to this. Regulation, by itself, will not solve our problem. It's going to require regulation collaboration, education, innovation, research and trust. But with a caveat on trust and I'll paraphrase President Reagan, "trust but verified." I think education was originally a requirement in the Ag Waiver, and now, it is voluntary. There is a missed golden opportunity to bring together a little bit more of the Ag community here with some program. Comments that I heard, the reason why education has been dropped, it was not very	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	help if people see this is not just about regulation, it's also about working together to make progress. One little item that I think perhaps should be modified, is currently there is a requirement for Tier 1 and 2 and 3, for groundwater sampling, to hire a registered professional engineer, such an a hydrologist or geologist to take the sample and take it to a lab. You know, agriculturists routinely take water samples. We know how to take water samples. We knew how to take a sample in a cook bottle and take it to a lab. I don't quite understand the logic in making that requirement. Particularly since with your MPS permit holders you allow them to take samples themselves. You allow technicians in water treatment plants to take samples. It doesn't have to be done by a four-year degreed engineer. So I'm kind of missing that requirement, and if you are concerned about the chain of custody and proper protocol to take the sample, well, there is your golden opportunity of
5 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4	suggesting 8 years and 15 years, as I heard earlier with some of the improvements, but, you know, you do have deadlines of 2014, for example, and we're in 2012, first quarter is over. So I think, you want to give yourself a little more elasticity there. I think, concurrently, with the Ag Order and the regulatory side, you need to take a holistic approach to this. Regulation, by itself, will not solve our problem. It's going to require regulation collaboration, education, innovation, research and trust. But with a caveat on trust and I'll paraphrase President Reagan, "trust but verified." I think education was originally a requirement in the Ag Waiver, and now, it is voluntary. There is a missed golden opportunity to bring together a little bit more of the Ag community here with some program. Comments that I heard, the reason why education has been dropped, it was not very successful in the Ag Waiver. Well, that's because	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	help if people see this is not just about regulation, it's also about working together to make progress. One little item that I think perhaps should be modified, is currently there is a requirement for Tier 1 and 2 and 3, for groundwater sampling, to hire a registered professional engineer, such an a hydrologist or geologist to take the sample and take it to a lab. You know, agriculturists routinely take water samples. We know how to take water samples. We knew how to take a sample in a cook bottle and take it to a lab. I don't quite understand the logic in making that requirement. Particularly since with your MPS permit holders you allow them to take samples themselves. You allow technicians in water treatment plants to take samples. It doesn't have to be done by a four-year degreed engineer. So I'm kind of missing that requirement, and if you are concerned about the chain of custody and proper protocol to take the sample, well, there is your golden opportunity of continued education, a short course on water sampling.

1	gave me with the red light here, but I wanted to give	1	To me, this was probably one of those	
2	you a few views, and I apologize for my lateness in	2	take-home messages of the 2004 Ag Waiver, was a	
3	giving you feedback, but as you know, this has been a	3	profound and successful program that could engage	
4	difficult position for me, under the current laws of	4	growers in a way that had both a stick and a carrot, in	
5	not having recused myself from speaking.	5	a way that allowed the growers to respond, in a way	
6		6		
7	Thank you very much for your attention.	7	that they could feel as if their laundry was hanging	
8	MR. YOUNG: Thank you. Okay.	8	out for everybody, in a way that they could address	
	Dr. Los Huertos.		water quality issues by installing, testing, various	
9	DR. LOS HUERTOS: Thanks for being able to say my	9	management practices.	
LO	name.	10	And, hopefully, you could see that I tried to	
11	MR. YOUNG: I grew up in California. A little bit	11	use that model to continue to develop and refine the Ag	J
12	of an easy thing to do. Well, no comment,	12	Alternative Proposal with more detail. So my initial	
13	Mr. Jeffries.	13	proposal was to create a collaborative constant for	
14	DR. LOS HUERTOS: So my name is Marc Los Huertos,	14	growers and technical advisor resources to improve	
15	L-o-s H-u-e-r-t-o-s. I'm a faculty member at	15	water quality based on reliable risk assessment.	
16	California State University Monterey Bay. I've been	16	And I will have to diverge here slightly. I	
L7	working on water quality issues since 1992, in	17	was actually quite surprised after Karen Worcester	
18	particular, I've been working on the Penn State Buffer	18	talked for five minutes about the importance of the	
19	strips and nitrogen removal of the buffer strips and	19	Santa Maria Basin with serious water quality issues and	
20	see how effective they work.	20	then to see Tier 3 growers the "most risk causing	
21	Then, recently, I just finished, almost	21	growers" to be almost absent in that base of only three	
22	finished the contract manager looking at bioassessment,	22	or four points. I'm not sure how many, but	
23	bringing algae to the merit standards, which is a	23	somewhere that's a very small number and, to me,	
24	really hard thing to do, and we just completed that	24	that speaks to the lack of reliability of the insuring	
25	last year and or this year. And I think, I will	25	system. I think it's problematic and I spoke to that	
	Page 206			Page 20
1	have to say that after 15, 20 years of talking about	1	last year. I think it's based on assumptions about how	
2	the problems of nitrogen, that I am actually quite	2	water quality moves, and, for me, as a scientist, I	
3	impressed that farmers that I interviewed during the	3	find that that approach is not only turning into	
4	summer, to help them with all the things that they need	4	superlative vice of this program, but it also sets a	
5	to do to improve water quality, I think it speaks to	5	target on some of potentially the most innovative and	
6	the risk they've taken by hiring someone that's been	6	capable growers that we have in our region, so I don't	
7	critical of them for a long time, and on some level	7	want to belabor that point, but I think that, though,	
8	getting ready to propose a very scientific background			
		8	the Staff presentation on that contrast of those few	
9		8	the Staff presentation on that contrast of those few things is a little bit symptomatic of the way the	
9 10	why nitrates standard may need to be met. They don't	9	things is a little bit symptomatic of the way the	
LO	why nitrates standard may need to be met. They don't like hearing that.	9 10	things is a little bit symptomatic of the way the Tiering system has been developed.	
10 11	why nitrates standard may need to be met. They don't like hearing that. They also don't enjoy a lot of the	9 10 11	things is a little bit symptomatic of the way the Tiering system has been developed. Second, I want to provide a public and	
10 11 12	why nitrates standard may need to be met. They don't like hearing that. They also don't enjoy a lot of the conversations we have with them, but it's been, I	9 10 11 12	things is a little bit symptomatic of the way the Tiering system has been developed. Second, I want to provide a public and Regional Board evidence that growers are effectively	
10 11 12 13	why nitrates standard may need to be met. They don't like hearing that. They also don't enjoy a lot of the conversations we have with them, but it's been, I think, one of the most provocative conversations I've	9 10 11 12 13	things is a little bit symptomatic of the way the Tiering system has been developed. Second, I want to provide a public and Regional Board evidence that growers are effectively implementing management practices. I really like the	
10 11 12 13 14	why nitrates standard may need to be met. They don't like hearing that. They also don't enjoy a lot of the conversations we have with them, but it's been, I think, one of the most provocative conversations I've had with farmers in 20 years. I think it says a lot to	9 10 11 12 13 14	things is a little bit symptomatic of the way the Tiering system has been developed. Second, I want to provide a public and Regional Board evidence that growers are effectively implementing management practices. I really like the term, trust and verify. I think that's a key I	
10 11 12 13 14	why nitrates standard may need to be met. They don't like hearing that. They also don't enjoy a lot of the conversations we have with them, but it's been, I think, one of the most provocative conversations I've had with farmers in 20 years. I think it says a lot to the seriousness of the region and the attention they	9 10 11 12 13 14 15	things is a little bit symptomatic of the way the Tiering system has been developed. Second, I want to provide a public and Regional Board evidence that growers are effectively implementing management practices. I really like the term, trust and verify. I think that's a key I think that's a central component of what farmers need	
10 11 12 13 14 15 16	why nitrates standard may need to be met. They don't like hearing that. They also don't enjoy a lot of the conversations we have with them, but it's been, I think, one of the most provocative conversations I've had with farmers in 20 years. I think it says a lot to the seriousness of the region and the attention they have to this issue with regard to water quality.	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	things is a little bit symptomatic of the way the Tiering system has been developed. Second, I want to provide a public and Regional Board evidence that growers are effectively implementing management practices. I really like the term, trust and verify. I think that's a key I think that's a central component of what farmers need to do and I have worked very hard with the growers,	
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	why nitrates standard may need to be met. They don't like hearing that. They also don't enjoy a lot of the conversations we have with them, but it's been, I think, one of the most provocative conversations I've had with farmers in 20 years. I think it says a lot to the seriousness of the region and the attention they have to this issue with regard to water quality. I also want to back up a little bit, on	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	things is a little bit symptomatic of the way the Tiering system has been developed. Second, I want to provide a public and Regional Board evidence that growers are effectively implementing management practices. I really like the term, trust and verify. I think that's a key I think that's a central component of what farmers need to do and I have worked very hard with the growers, driving up and down the coast, talking and meeting with	
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	why nitrates standard may need to be met. They don't like hearing that. They also don't enjoy a lot of the conversations we have with them, but it's been, I think, one of the most provocative conversations I've had with farmers in 20 years. I think it says a lot to the seriousness of the region and the attention they have to this issue with regard to water quality. I also want to back up a little bit, on another key experience that I've had, and although I	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	things is a little bit symptomatic of the way the Tiering system has been developed. Second, I want to provide a public and Regional Board evidence that growers are effectively implementing management practices. I really like the term, trust and verify. I think that's a key I think that's a central component of what farmers need to do and I have worked very hard with the growers, driving up and down the coast, talking and meeting with them to find out how far I could push or how far they	
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	why nitrates standard may need to be met. They don't like hearing that. They also don't enjoy a lot of the conversations we have with them, but it's been, I think, one of the most provocative conversations I've had with farmers in 20 years. I think it says a lot to the seriousness of the region and the attention they have to this issue with regard to water quality. I also want to back up a little bit, on another key experience that I've had, and although I was not directly involved, a group of growers in Quail	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	things is a little bit symptomatic of the way the Tiering system has been developed. Second, I want to provide a public and Regional Board evidence that growers are effectively implementing management practices. I really like the term, trust and verify. I think that's a key I think that's a central component of what farmers need to do and I have worked very hard with the growers, driving up and down the coast, talking and meeting with them to find out how far I could push or how far they would be willing to go, in terms of verifying their	
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	why nitrates standard may need to be met. They don't like hearing that. They also don't enjoy a lot of the conversations we have with them, but it's been, I think, one of the most provocative conversations I've had with farmers in 20 years. I think it says a lot to the seriousness of the region and the attention they have to this issue with regard to water quality. I also want to back up a little bit, on another key experience that I've had, and although I was not directly involved, a group of growers in Quail Creek decided or worked with Mark at Restoration, Inc.	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	things is a little bit symptomatic of the way the Tiering system has been developed. Second, I want to provide a public and Regional Board evidence that growers are effectively implementing management practices. I really like the term, trust and verify. I think that's a key I think that's a central component of what farmers need to do and I have worked very hard with the growers, driving up and down the coast, talking and meeting with them to find out how far I could push or how far they would be willing to go, in terms of verifying their activity. And I think you'll see that they've come	
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	why nitrates standard may need to be met. They don't like hearing that. They also don't enjoy a lot of the conversations we have with them, but it's been, I think, one of the most provocative conversations I've had with farmers in 20 years. I think it says a lot to the seriousness of the region and the attention they have to this issue with regard to water quality. I also want to back up a little bit, on another key experience that I've had, and although I was not directly involved, a group of growers in Quail Creek decided or worked with Mark at Restoration, Inc. They did an independent and anonymous reporting of the	 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 	things is a little bit symptomatic of the way the Tiering system has been developed. Second, I want to provide a public and Regional Board evidence that growers are effectively implementing management practices. I really like the term, trust and verify. I think that's a key I think that's a central component of what farmers need to do and I have worked very hard with the growers, driving up and down the coast, talking and meeting with them to find out how far I could push or how far they would be willing to go, in terms of verifying their activity. And I think you'll see that they've come very far, and I will say that they have created, on	
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	why nitrates standard may need to be met. They don't like hearing that. They also don't enjoy a lot of the conversations we have with them, but it's been, I think, one of the most provocative conversations I've had with farmers in 20 years. I think it says a lot to the seriousness of the region and the attention they have to this issue with regard to water quality. I also want to back up a little bit, on another key experience that I've had, and although I was not directly involved, a group of growers in Quail Creek decided or worked with Mark at Restoration, Inc. They did an independent and anonymous reporting of the water quality coming off their farms. They had,	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	things is a little bit symptomatic of the way the Tiering system has been developed. Second, I want to provide a public and Regional Board evidence that growers are effectively implementing management practices. I really like the term, trust and verify. I think that's a key I think that's a central component of what farmers need to do and I have worked very hard with the growers, driving up and down the coast, talking and meeting with them to find out how far I could push or how far they would be willing to go, in terms of verifying their activity. And I think you'll see that they've come very far, and I will say that they have created, on some level I mostly facilitated conversation.	
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 22 23	why nitrates standard may need to be met. They don't like hearing that. They also don't enjoy a lot of the conversations we have with them, but it's been, I think, one of the most provocative conversations I've had with farmers in 20 years. I think it says a lot to the seriousness of the region and the attention they have to this issue with regard to water quality. I also want to back up a little bit, on another key experience that I've had, and although I was not directly involved, a group of growers in Quail Creek decided or worked with Mark at Restoration, Inc. They did an independent and anonymous reporting of the water quality coming off their farms. They had, actually, a court Staff inspecting the farms and going	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	things is a little bit symptomatic of the way the Tiering system has been developed. Second, I want to provide a public and Regional Board evidence that growers are effectively implementing management practices. I really like the term, trust and verify. I think that's a key I think that's a central component of what farmers need to do and I have worked very hard with the growers, driving up and down the coast, talking and meeting with them to find out how far I could push or how far they would be willing to go, in terms of verifying their activity. And I think you'll see that they've come very far, and I will say that they have created, on some level I mostly facilitated conversation. They've created, I think, one of the most provocative,	
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 22	why nitrates standard may need to be met. They don't like hearing that. They also don't enjoy a lot of the conversations we have with them, but it's been, I think, one of the most provocative conversations I've had with farmers in 20 years. I think it says a lot to the seriousness of the region and the attention they have to this issue with regard to water quality. I also want to back up a little bit, on another key experience that I've had, and although I was not directly involved, a group of growers in Quail Creek decided or worked with Mark at Restoration, Inc. They did an independent and anonymous reporting of the water quality coming off their farms. They had, actually, a court Staff inspecting the farms and going through their farm plans at the same time, and they had	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	things is a little bit symptomatic of the way the Tiering system has been developed. Second, I want to provide a public and Regional Board evidence that growers are effectively implementing management practices. I really like the term, trust and verify. I think that's a key I think that's a central component of what farmers need to do and I have worked very hard with the growers, driving up and down the coast, talking and meeting with them to find out how far I could push or how far they would be willing to go, in terms of verifying their activity. And I think you'll see that they've come very far, and I will say that they have created, on some level I mostly facilitated conversation. They've created, I think, one of the most provocative, but progressive, water quality protection programs in	
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 22 23	why nitrates standard may need to be met. They don't like hearing that. They also don't enjoy a lot of the conversations we have with them, but it's been, I think, one of the most provocative conversations I've had with farmers in 20 years. I think it says a lot to the seriousness of the region and the attention they have to this issue with regard to water quality. I also want to back up a little bit, on another key experience that I've had, and although I was not directly involved, a group of growers in Quail Creek decided or worked with Mark at Restoration, Inc. They did an independent and anonymous reporting of the water quality coming off their farms. They had, actually, a court Staff inspecting the farms and going	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	things is a little bit symptomatic of the way the Tiering system has been developed. Second, I want to provide a public and Regional Board evidence that growers are effectively implementing management practices. I really like the term, trust and verify. I think that's a key I think that's a central component of what farmers need to do and I have worked very hard with the growers, driving up and down the coast, talking and meeting with them to find out how far I could push or how far they would be willing to go, in terms of verifying their activity. And I think you'll see that they've come very far, and I will say that they have created, on some level I mostly facilitated conversation. They've created, I think, one of the most provocative,	

		1	
1	And, finally, to meet the California Water	1	five and eight, you have all the raw data. It would
2	Code, so it's on some level, it's a question of law	2	not be masked into some kind of average. You would
3	and a question of water quality. I believe that the	3	have the potential for risk, in terms of pollution
4	proposed third-party option is a robust mechanism to	4	load, and hard, yucky colors, red is bad, white is not
5	improve and to promote water quality improvement.	5	so bad. And then at the bottom, an actual measurement
6	I don't think that the Draft Order, as	6	of the practice effectiveness. And the practice
7	currently written, will address and make tangible water	7	effectiveness would basically be used to demonstrate
8	quality improvements. I do not believe that Draft	8	how well farms are doing. There would be associated
9	Order I do think the Draft Order and associated	9	reports, in terms of lessons learned, what we could do
10	reports are confusing. I think there are a lot of	10	to implement better strategies, what we could do to
11	internal conflicts, and, frankly, they're very hard to	11	implementation, and probably the most important part of
12	figure out how to implement.	12	this is that this is actually going to create a
13	I think Kaye and Brad don't have the time to	13	capacity for farm and farmers to develop a program to
14	talk about this at times, but trying to work with	14	look at what research areas need to be developed to
15	growers and figure out what Tier they're in, was	15	promote water quality.
16	extraordinarily difficult. It was confusing. It was	16	One of the fundamental problems in
17	very hard to figure out. Especially when you're	17	agricultural research over the last, probably, 50 years
18	talking about growers that are changing land tenancies	18	is they have been focused on yield, to the exclusion of
19	from small to large farms, their rents are changing,	19	environmental quality. And this is even if the
20	and the structure of their actual properties of where	20	University of California, they still have trouble with
21	they are. Now, that is a subset of the growers, and I	21	this, and that's because their mandate is to make sure
22	understand that that's not consistent throughout all	22	growers are productive and making money and so the
23	growers.	23	growers are really stuck.
24	Finally, the Draft Order, I think, does not	24	They don't have a dedicated fund to look at
25	reflect the state forward engagement for the high-risk	25	research to test different management practices and so
20			
	Page 210		Page 212
1	growers. So I'm going to go on. We're not going to go	1	this program by collecting fees and the growers are
2	there.	2	not excited about this it's 8 and 10 bucks an acre.
3	So the bulb growers begin by submitting a	3	And half of those fees, approximately, will be use to
4	farm plan. The idea of a farm plan is. It's	4	make sure that we develop a program that actually
5	auditable. This is not the same as the farm plan that	5	improved water quality by testing the practices on the
6	was, historically used, that was very hard to figure	6	ground, a regular yearly test. So things like, if we
7	out what compliance meant.	7	wanted to focus priorities for one year or next, we can
8	The bulb growers, both, do a practice	8	focus on groundwater, for example, and look at leaching
9	effectiveness and an action plan on their farm that	9	and try to come up with practices that are really
10	independently audited 20 percent per year, minimum, a	10	better at preventing groundwater leaching. We can
11	third-party group or rank that prioritized growers, and	11	prioritize by watershed. We can prioritize by some
12	the idea is that I'm going to go through the report	12	groundwater basin. We can prioritize a specific toxic
13	here. There is no way I'm going to figure there is	13	or pesticide. We can even prioritize by repairing
14	a report on each one of the these little steps. I want	14	restoration. And, at some level, I would be interested
15	to thank you for your circle I could copyright this	15	in having a Staff helping us prioritize different kinds
16	before you guys got there but that each step there's	16	of things to do every couple of years. I think that
17	a reporting, and I'm going to hustle here because I	17	would be a reasonable thing. I think there is and
18	think this is really important. That this is not	18	we've spoken about this in the past.
19	aggregate reporting in the way that the Staff	19	There's a fair amount of distrust, and it's
20	characterized it. That the most important thing that	20	going to take some time to build that trust back up and
21	you need to see as a Board member is are the	21	I'm hoping that that's going to happen. I'd love to
21	practices working, in terms of water quality?	22	see that happen.
22	And so, what I tried to do here is give you	23	MR. YOUNG: Mr. Jeffries.
23 24	an idea of what the reporting would look like. This is	24	MR. JEFFRIES: Well, you went through that pretty
24		25	
20	slide 12 or something. Grower one, two, three, four,		fast.
	Page 211		Page 213

1	DR. LOS HUERTOS: Yeah. I have 80 more.	1	continuing to fund you in this program.
2	MR. JEFFRIES: On your handout, you talked about	2	DR. LOS HUERTOS: No. No, I'm an idiot. I guess
3	Draft Order will not be sold some Tangible Water	3	they hired me in the summer to write a report and meet
4	Quality equivalent. Can you expand on that a little	4	with growers and I kind of fell in love with my idea
5	bit?	5	and I've kind of been working on my own since. I also
6	DR. LOS HUERTOS: Yeah. So it relies on a couple	6	stopped for various reasons. One of the reasons, I
7	of things. The assumption and I'm going to jump	7	don't have a contract with Quality Restoration either
8	right into the shoes of the Draft Order, because it	8	anymore because I felt that was a conflict of interest.
9	doesn't say what the assumptions are, per se. The	9	MR. JEFFRIES: And if you to do an analysis for
10	assumption is that we can use on-farm monitoring to	10	this whole Ag Order, how much time would it take and
11	characterize water quality, and then use that to	11	what kind of dollars are you looking at?
12	prioritize which farms to visit and then, maybe, make	12	DR. LOS HUERTOS: In terms of, from a scientific
13	some enforcements of the problem areas.	13	perspective or policy perspective?
14	The problem is that the on-farm monitoring,	14	MR. JEFFRIES: Well, you're looking at scientific
15	four samples per year, cannot adequately describe water	15	as well as policy because you're integrating both ways.
16	quality on the farm. It doesn't describe water	16	DR. LOS HUERTOS: It's a little hard to predict.
17	quality. It doesn't describe practice effectiveness	17	For example, many of the findings I have trouble with.
18	and it doesn't describe any kind of trend analysis.	18	So, for example, the idea that we are having Water
19	To do those things, it's a very different	19	Quality problems and they're getting worse, that may
20	kind of sample. A sampling that kind of I like to	20	be, but trend analysis is extremely hard to do,
21	use the student, it costs 30- or 40,000 dollars a	21	especially when you have improper data. What
22	year. You have your APs, and you have your statistics,	22	environmental science is really good at is finding a
23	anthem program, et cetera, et cetera.	23	better way to measure pollution and detect pollution as
24	So, um, and I can do the same thing with the	24	a problem. So I can say, for sure, we are getting
25	nitrate management plan. The nitrate management plan	25	better at detecting pollution in the last ten years
20		25	
	Page 214		Page 216
1	in the Draft Order is so vague. It's so hard to	1	than ever before. Granted. I also know, starting in
2	interpret what it means, that the implementation of	2	1910 to now, we've got a significant a lot more
3	those two things alone will create an avalanche of	3	agricultural chemicals and a lot more pollution. I
4	reports that the Staff are not one they are very	4	can't say that between 1995 and 2005, that when you
5	qualified in a lot of areas, but interpreting agronomic	5	decide that time zero is, makes a huge difference in
6	use of agricultural products, like fertilizer, and	6	trend analysis, so a lot of findings are would have
7	making a reasonable assessment that the pollution load,	7	specific trends in mind, but it's not clear how those
8	based on the reports is impossible. I cannot do it. I	8	are generated, so analysis that far back, could take
9	don't know anyone that can do it from the academic	9	six months and \$300,000 and sorry.
10	standpoint, and I know, in terms of a regulatory	10	MR. JEFFRIES: No, that's all right. Finish your
11	context, you're going to generate a lot of paperwork to	11	sentence.
12	prioritize a lot of farms, people are going to make a	12	DR. LOS HUERTOS: If it was more on an
13	lot of visits and they're going to say, what happened?	13	implementation of a grant here, I'm already writing
14	These reports didn't tell us anything. And I'm	14	the grant. Um, I'm hoping someone will stand up and
15	absolutely sure of that.	15	say, I'll fund the grant.
16	I'm also sure that you can sample a farm	16	In terms of the scientific capacity of Water
17	almost a dozen different ways and get different kinds	17	Quality to pick let's say, if we implement the Water
18	of water quality. Again, I don't know how improved	18	Quality Ag Order right now, and we spend a year
19	water quality, if we're getting data from a farm that	19	collecting data to see how that would do, so about
20	doesn't mean anything.	20	probably \$100,000. So go around, collect a bunch of
21	MR. JEFFRIES: A few months ago, you gave us	21	water quality data on farms, measure the water falling
22	presentation. You gave us a lot of boxes, but you	22	the growers get, and then compare that to what you
23	didn't give us much information within those boxes, and	23	might get with a more standardized approach. There may
24	there was a question of funding, at that point in	24	be 30 parcels or so you could do that and then compare
0.5	time. Has the agricultural community are they	25	what they get versus what you get. My guess is it
25	, , ,		

1	would be about 50 percent would be right on. And	1	jot them down to address at the time.
2	the other 50 percent would be, God, that's way off.	2	MR. JEFFRIES: And I'm just wondering what your
3	But what it wouldn't do is tell you about practice	3	opinion was of those five key elements.
1	effectiveness. And that would really have to be on	4	DR. LOS HUERTOS: I think they were fine. I don't
5	each farm separate, and that's a huge project.	5	remember the details. I think they set the bar at a
5	Probably \$50,000 per farm, per practice.	6	bar that I thought was reasonable and important and
7	MR. YOUNG: Per practice?	7	worthy of consideration. Nothing. I don't remember
8	DR. LOS HUERTOS: Because you have to have	8	anything jumping out of at me.
Э	controls, right? You have to have practice and	9	MR. JEFFRIES: So I think they're all attainable,
0	control, which is you know, science sucks. It's a	10	then?
1	lot of money. And, well	11	DR. LOS HUERTOS: Yeah. I wish I didn't write
2	MR. JEFFRIES: Well, talking about funding. How	12	them down.
3	much would you anticipate, if you took all acreage, how	13	MR. JEFFRIES: I know.
4	much would each farmer have to contribute to do all	14	DR. LOS HUERTOS: I thought they were pretty
5	this if you're saying \$50,000?	15	cool.
6	DR. LOS HUERTOS: For the research itself?	16	MR. JEFFRIES: Well, I don't want to go too far
7	MR. JEFFRIES: Well, the practice.	17	into this.
3	DR. LOS HUERTOS: So per farm?	18	DR. LOS HUERTOS: Do you want me to pull it up and
9	MR. JEFFRIES: For one farm is	19	we can look at it, if that will help?
)	DR. LOS HUERTOS: 50k or so.	20	MR. JEFFRIES: Yeah, please.
1	MR. JEFFRIES: So if it was 1,000 acres, I didn't	21	MS. McCHESNEY: Well, Mr. Jeffries. I just want
2	bringing my calculator.	22	to say that those five key elements are the key
3	DR. LOS HUERTOS: I can't do math standing up.	23	elements in the State Board 9-point source documents
1	MR. JEFFRIES: I'll go on to my next question.	24	and are required to be
5	DR. LOS HUERTOS: Let me say that was part of why	25	MR. JEFFRIES: I understand.
	Page 218		Page 2
	1490 210		1 450 2
	I created this \$500,000 budget, within this program, is	1	DR. LOS HUERTOS: They're nice bullets to talk
2	that we would be able to do these kinds of on-farm	2	about, yeah.
2	that we would be able to do these kinds of on-farm tests, and I actually forced myself to say, you know,		about, yeah. MR. JEFFRIES: Let's just get his analogy of it
2 3 4	that we would be able to do these kinds of on-farm tests, and I actually forced myself to say, you know, what we are going to need? We're going to get the	2 3 4	about, yeah. MR. JEFFRIES: Let's just get his analogy of it I'm not disputing the elements.
2 3 4	that we would be able to do these kinds of on-farm tests, and I actually forced myself to say, you know,	2	about, yeah. MR. JEFFRIES: Let's just get his analogy of it
2 3 4 5	that we would be able to do these kinds of on-farm tests, and I actually forced myself to say, you know, what we are going to need? We're going to get the	2 3 4	about, yeah. MR. JEFFRIES: Let's just get his analogy of it I'm not disputing the elements.
2 3 1 5	that we would be able to do these kinds of on-farm tests, and I actually forced myself to say, you know, what we are going to need? We're going to get the PCA's involved, we're going to get the fertilizer	2 3 4 5	about, yeah. MR. JEFFRIES: Let's just get his analogy of it I'm not disputing the elements. DR. LOS HUERTOS: Okay. So are you ready?
2 3 5 5 7	that we would be able to do these kinds of on-farm tests, and I actually forced myself to say, you know, what we are going to need? We're going to get the PCA's involved, we're going to get the fertilizer companies, we're going to get the pesticide companies,	2 3 4 5 6	about, yeah. MR. JEFFRIES: Let's just get his analogy of it I'm not disputing the elements. DR. LOS HUERTOS: Okay. So are you ready? MR. JEFFRIES: Yep.
2 3 5 5 7 3	that we would be able to do these kinds of on-farm tests, and I actually forced myself to say, you know, what we are going to need? We're going to get the PCA's involved, we're going to get the fertilizer companies, we're going to get the pesticide companies, we're going to get a lot of them, we're going to have	2 3 4 5 6 7	about, yeah. MR. JEFFRIES: Let's just get his analogy of it I'm not disputing the elements. DR. LOS HUERTOS: Okay. So are you ready? MR. JEFFRIES: Yep. DR. LOS HUERTOS: Practice management
2 3 5 5 7 3	that we would be able to do these kinds of on-farm tests, and I actually forced myself to say, you know, what we are going to need? We're going to get the PCA's involved, we're going to get the fertilizer companies, we're going to get the pesticide companies, we're going to get a lot of them, we're going to have to force them, get a lot of match money. Get UC on	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	about, yeah. MR. JEFFRIES: Let's just get his analogy of it I'm not disputing the elements. DR. LOS HUERTOS: Okay. So are you ready? MR. JEFFRIES: Yep. DR. LOS HUERTOS: Practice management implementation verification. The verification process
2 3 5 5 7 3 9 0	that we would be able to do these kinds of on-farm tests, and I actually forced myself to say, you know, what we are going to need? We're going to get the PCA's involved, we're going to get the fertilizer companies, we're going to get the pesticide companies, we're going to get a lot of them, we're going to have to force them, get a lot of match money. Get UC on board, get a ton of research we need from them not the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 about, yeah. MR. JEFFRIES: Let's just get his analogy of it I'm not disputing the elements. DR. LOS HUERTOS: Okay. So are you ready? MR. JEFFRIES: Yep. DR. LOS HUERTOS: Practice management implementation verification. The verification process is the audit process and that creating the audit
2 3 5 5 7 8 9 0	that we would be able to do these kinds of on-farm tests, and I actually forced myself to say, you know, what we are going to need? We're going to get the PCA's involved, we're going to get the fertilizer companies, we're going to get the pesticide companies, we're going to get a lot of them, we're going to have to force them, get a lot of match money. Get UC on board, get a ton of research we need from them not the utility trials and really create a collaborative	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 about, yeah. MR. JEFFRIES: Let's just get his analogy of it I'm not disputing the elements. DR. LOS HUERTOS: Okay. So are you ready? MR. JEFFRIES: Yep. DR. LOS HUERTOS: Practice management implementation verification. The verification process is the audit process and that creating the audit process is having the external independent was the
2 3 5 5 7 3 9 0 1	that we would be able to do these kinds of on-farm tests, and I actually forced myself to say, you know, what we are going to need? We're going to get the PCA's involved, we're going to get the fertilizer companies, we're going to get the pesticide companies, we're going to get a lot of them, we're going to have to force them, get a lot of match money. Get UC on board, get a ton of research we need from them not the utility trials and really create a collaborative project that the growers can get behind and trust. And	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	about, yeah. MR. JEFFRIES: Let's just get his analogy of it I'm not disputing the elements. DR. LOS HUERTOS: Okay. So are you ready? MR. JEFFRIES: Yep. DR. LOS HUERTOS: Practice management implementation verification. The verification process is the audit process and that creating the audit process is having the external independent was the idea, and tell you the truth half of this, the major
2 3 5 5 7 3 9 0 1 2 3	that we would be able to do these kinds of on-farm tests, and I actually forced myself to say, you know, what we are going to need? We're going to get the PCA's involved, we're going to get the fertilizer companies, we're going to get the pesticide companies, we're going to get a lot of them, we're going to have to force them, get a lot of match money. Get UC on board, get a ton of research we need from them not the utility trials and really create a collaborative project that the growers can get behind and trust. And I will say, people like Bobby Martin. He spent a fair	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 about, yeah. MR. JEFFRIES: Let's just get his analogy of it I'm not disputing the elements. DR. LOS HUERTOS: Okay. So are you ready? MR. JEFFRIES: Yep. DR. LOS HUERTOS: Practice management implementation verification. The verification process is the audit process and that creating the audit process is having the external independent was the idea, and tell you the truth half of this, the major portion of this project could create a context where
2 3 5 5 7 3 9 0 1 2 3 4	that we would be able to do these kinds of on-farm tests, and I actually forced myself to say, you know, what we are going to need? We're going to get the PCA's involved, we're going to get the fertilizer companies, we're going to get the pesticide companies, we're going to get a lot of them, we're going to have to force them, get a lot of match money. Get UC on board, get a ton of research we need from them not the utility trials and really create a collaborative project that the growers can get behind and trust. And I will say, people like Bobby Martin. He spent a fair amount of money. He's testing a whole lot of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 about, yeah. MR. JEFFRIES: Let's just get his analogy of it I'm not disputing the elements. DR. LOS HUERTOS: Okay. So are you ready? MR. JEFFRIES: Yep. DR. LOS HUERTOS: Practice management implementation verification. The verification process is the audit process and that creating the audit process is having the external independent was the idea, and tell you the truth half of this, the major portion of this project could create a context where verification can take place. And the growers are
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5	that we would be able to do these kinds of on-farm tests, and I actually forced myself to say, you know, what we are going to need? We're going to get the PCA's involved, we're going to get the fertilizer companies, we're going to get the pesticide companies, we're going to get a lot of them, we're going to have to force them, get a lot of match money. Get UC on board, get a ton of research we need from them not the utility trials and really create a collaborative project that the growers can get behind and trust. And I will say, people like Bobby Martin. He spent a fair amount of money. He's testing a whole lot of lysimeters on his farm. He spent probably 20- or	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 about, yeah. MR. JEFFRIES: Let's just get his analogy of it I'm not disputing the elements. DR. LOS HUERTOS: Okay. So are you ready? MR. JEFFRIES: Yep. DR. LOS HUERTOS: Practice management implementation verification. The verification process is the audit process and that creating the audit process is having the external independent was the idea, and tell you the truth half of this, the major portion of this project could create a context where verification can take place. And the growers are actually very sophisticated in thinking about this in a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6	that we would be able to do these kinds of on-farm tests, and I actually forced myself to say, you know, what we are going to need? We're going to get the PCA's involved, we're going to get the fertilizer companies, we're going to get the pesticide companies, we're going to get a lot of them, we're going to have to force them, get a lot of match money. Get UC on board, get a ton of research we need from them not the utility trials and really create a collaborative project that the growers can get behind and trust. And I will say, people like Bobby Martin. He spent a fair amount of money. He's testing a whole lot of lysimeters on his farm. He spent probably 20- or \$30,000 of his money testing. I think we can leverage	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	about, yeah. MR. JEFFRIES: Let's just get his analogy of it I'm not disputing the elements. DR. LOS HUERTOS: Okay. So are you ready? MR. JEFFRIES: Yep. DR. LOS HUERTOS: Practice management implementation verification. The verification process is the audit process and that creating the audit process is having the external independent was the idea, and tell you the truth half of this, the major portion of this project could create a context where verification can take place. And the growers are actually very sophisticated in thinking about this in a way that surprised me. When I said well, how do you
2 3 5 5 7 3 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 5 7	that we would be able to do these kinds of on-farm tests, and I actually forced myself to say, you know, what we are going to need? We're going to get the PCA's involved, we're going to get the fertilizer companies, we're going to get the pesticide companies, we're going to get a lot of them, we're going to have to force them, get a lot of match money. Get UC on board, get a ton of research we need from them not the utility trials and really create a collaborative project that the growers can get behind and trust. And I will say, people like Bobby Martin. He spent a fair amount of money. He's testing a whole lot of lysimeters on his farm. He spent probably 20- or \$30,000 of his money testing. I think we can leverage a lot of that.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	about, yeah. MR. JEFFRIES: Let's just get his analogy of it I'm not disputing the elements. DR. LOS HUERTOS: Okay. So are you ready? MR. JEFFRIES: Yep. DR. LOS HUERTOS: Practice management implementation verification. The verification process is the audit process and that creating the audit process is having the external independent was the idea, and tell you the truth half of this, the major portion of this project could create a context where verification can take place. And the growers are actually very sophisticated in thinking about this in a way that surprised me. When I said well, how do you want to do the audit? They said it has to be
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	that we would be able to do these kinds of on-farm tests, and I actually forced myself to say, you know, what we are going to need? We're going to get the PCA's involved, we're going to get the fertilizer companies, we're going to get the pesticide companies, we're going to get a lot of them, we're going to have to force them, get a lot of match money. Get UC on board, get a ton of research we need from them not the utility trials and really create a collaborative project that the growers can get behind and trust. And I will say, people like Bobby Martin. He spent a fair amount of money. He's testing a whole lot of lysimeters on his farm. He spent probably 20- or \$30,000 of his money testing. I think we can leverage a lot of that. MR. JEFFRIES: I think that's the reason he left	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	about, yeah. MR. JEFFRIES: Let's just get his analogy of it I'm not disputing the elements. DR. LOS HUERTOS: Okay. So are you ready? MR. JEFFRIES: Yep. DR. LOS HUERTOS: Practice management implementation verification. The verification process is the audit process and that creating the audit process is having the external independent was the idea, and tell you the truth half of this, the major portion of this project could create a context where verification can take place. And the growers are actually very sophisticated in thinking about this in a way that surprised me. When I said well, how do you want to do the audit? They said it has to be independent, has to stand alone, it's got to be
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	that we would be able to do these kinds of on-farm tests, and I actually forced myself to say, you know, what we are going to need? We're going to get the PCA's involved, we're going to get the fertilizer companies, we're going to get the pesticide companies, we're going to get a lot of them, we're going to have to force them, get a lot of match money. Get UC on board, get a ton of research we need from them not the utility trials and really create a collaborative project that the growers can get behind and trust. And I will say, people like Bobby Martin. He spent a fair amount of money. He's testing a whole lot of lysimeters on his farm. He spent probably 20- or \$30,000 of his money testing. I think we can leverage a lot of that. MR. JEFFRIES: I think that's the reason he left before you spoke so you couldn't ask about his wallet.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	about, yeah. MR. JEFFRIES: Let's just get his analogy of it I'm not disputing the elements. DR. LOS HUERTOS: Okay. So are you ready? MR. JEFFRIES: Yep. DR. LOS HUERTOS: Practice management implementation verification. The verification process is the audit process and that creating the audit process is having the external independent was the idea, and tell you the truth half of this, the major portion of this project could create a context where verification can take place. And the growers are actually very sophisticated in thinking about this in a way that surprised me. When I said well, how do you want to do the audit? They said it has to be independent, has to stand alone, it's got to be completely transparent in terms of the criteria, that
2 3 4 5 5 7 3 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 5 7 3 9 0	that we would be able to do these kinds of on-farm tests, and I actually forced myself to say, you know, what we are going to need? We're going to get the PCA's involved, we're going to get the fertilizer companies, we're going to get the pesticide companies, we're going to get a lot of them, we're going to have to force them, get a lot of match money. Get UC on board, get a ton of research we need from them not the utility trials and really create a collaborative project that the growers can get behind and trust. And I will say, people like Bobby Martin. He spent a fair amount of money. He's testing a whole lot of lysimeters on his farm. He spent probably 20- or \$30,000 of his money testing. I think we can leverage a lot of that. MR. JEFFRIES: I think that's the reason he left before you spoke so you couldn't ask about his wallet. But my next question is in the Staff Report,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	about, yeah. MR. JEFFRIES: Let's just get his analogy of it I'm not disputing the elements. DR. LOS HUERTOS: Okay. So are you ready? MR. JEFFRIES: Yep. DR. LOS HUERTOS: Practice management implementation verification. The verification process is the audit process and that creating the audit process is having the external independent was the idea, and tell you the truth half of this, the major portion of this project could create a context where verification can take place. And the growers are actually very sophisticated in thinking about this in a way that surprised me. When I said well, how do you want to do the audit? They said it has to be independent, has to stand alone, it's got to be completely transparent in terms of the criteria, that people have to be able to evaluate that. We talked
2 3 4 5 7 3 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 3 9 0 1	that we would be able to do these kinds of on-farm tests, and I actually forced myself to say, you know, what we are going to need? We're going to get the PCA's involved, we're going to get the fertilizer companies, we're going to get the pesticide companies, we're going to get a lot of them, we're going to have to force them, get a lot of match money. Get UC on board, get a ton of research we need from them not the utility trials and really create a collaborative project that the growers can get behind and trust. And I will say, people like Bobby Martin. He spent a fair amount of money. He's testing a whole lot of lysimeters on his farm. He spent probably 20- or \$30,000 of his money testing. I think we can leverage a lot of that. MR. JEFFRIES: I think that's the reason he left before you spoke so you couldn't ask about his wallet. But my next question is in the Staff Report, they talked about five key elements. Do you remember	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	about, yeah. MR. JEFFRIES: Let's just get his analogy of it I'm not disputing the elements. DR. LOS HUERTOS: Okay. So are you ready? MR. JEFFRIES: Yep. DR. LOS HUERTOS: Practice management implementation verification. The verification process is the audit process and that creating the audit process is having the external independent was the idea, and tell you the truth half of this, the major portion of this project could create a context where verification can take place. And the growers are actually very sophisticated in thinking about this in a way that surprised me. When I said well, how do you want to do the audit? They said it has to be independent, has to stand alone, it's got to be completely transparent in terms of the criteria, that people have to be able to evaluate that. We talked about maybe having staff help and involved in
234567890123456789012	that we would be able to do these kinds of on-farm tests, and I actually forced myself to say, you know, what we are going to need? We're going to get the PCA's involved, we're going to get the fertilizer companies, we're going to get the pesticide companies, we're going to get a lot of them, we're going to have to force them, get a lot of match money. Get UC on board, get a ton of research we need from them not the utility trials and really create a collaborative project that the growers can get behind and trust. And I will say, people like Bobby Martin. He spent a fair amount of money. He's testing a whole lot of lysimeters on his farm. He spent probably 20- or \$30,000 of his money testing. I think we can leverage a lot of that. MR. JEFFRIES: I think that's the reason he left before you spoke so you couldn't ask about his wallet. But my next question is in the Staff Report, they talked about five key elements. Do you remember what these are? DR. LOS HUERTOS: Yeah, they were really good.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	about, yeah. MR. JEFFRIES: Let's just get his analogy of it I'm not disputing the elements. DR. LOS HUERTOS: Okay. So are you ready? MR. JEFFRIES: Yep. DR. LOS HUERTOS: Practice management implementation verification. The verification process is the audit process and that creating the audit process is having the external independent was the idea, and tell you the truth half of this, the major portion of this project could create a context where verification can take place. And the growers are actually very sophisticated in thinking about this in a way that surprised me. When I said well, how do you want to do the audit? They said it has to be independent, has to stand alone, it's got to be completely transparent in terms of the criteria, that people have to be able to evaluate that. We talked about maybe having staff help and involved in developing the audit. They were queasy about that, but
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3	that we would be able to do these kinds of on-farm tests, and I actually forced myself to say, you know, what we are going to need? We're going to get the PCA's involved, we're going to get the fertilizer companies, we're going to get the pesticide companies, we're going to get a lot of them, we're going to have to force them, get a lot of match money. Get UC on board, get a ton of research we need from them not the utility trials and really create a collaborative project that the growers can get behind and trust. And I will say, people like Bobby Martin. He spent a fair amount of money. He's testing a whole lot of lysimeters on his farm. He spent probably 20- or \$30,000 of his money testing. I think we can leverage a lot of that. MR. JEFFRIES: I think that's the reason he left before you spoke so you couldn't ask about his wallet. But my next question is in the Staff Report, they talked about five key elements. Do you remember what these are? DR. LOS HUERTOS: Yeah, they were really good. I'd like to address them, one at a time, with more time	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	about, yeah. MR. JEFFRIES: Let's just get his analogy of it I'm not disputing the elements. DR. LOS HUERTOS: Okay. So are you ready? MR. JEFFRIES: Yep. DR. LOS HUERTOS: Practice management implementation verification. The verification process is the audit process and that creating the audit process is having the external independent was the idea, and tell you the truth half of this, the major portion of this project could create a context where verification can take place. And the growers are actually very sophisticated in thinking about this in a way that surprised me. When I said well, how do you want to do the audit? They said it has to be independent, has to stand alone, it's got to be completely transparent in terms of the criteria, that people have to be able to evaluate that. We talked about maybe having staff help and involved in developing the audit. They were queasy about that, but I think if they didn't have a choice they could go there.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5	that we would be able to do these kinds of on-farm tests, and I actually forced myself to say, you know, what we are going to need? We're going to get the PCA's involved, we're going to get the fertilizer companies, we're going to get the pesticide companies, we're going to get a lot of them, we're going to have to force them, get a lot of match money. Get UC on board, get a ton of research we need from them not the utility trials and really create a collaborative project that the growers can get behind and trust. And I will say, people like Bobby Martin. He spent a fair amount of money. He's testing a whole lot of lysimeters on his farm. He spent probably 20- or \$30,000 of his money testing. I think we can leverage a lot of that. MR. JEFFRIES: I think that's the reason he left before you spoke so you couldn't ask about his wallet. But my next question is in the Staff Report, they talked about five key elements. Do you remember what these are? DR. LOS HUERTOS: Yeah, they were really good.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	about, yeah. MR. JEFFRIES: Let's just get his analogy of it I'm not disputing the elements. DR. LOS HUERTOS: Okay. So are you ready? MR. JEFFRIES: Yep. DR. LOS HUERTOS: Practice management implementation verification. The verification process is the audit process and that creating the audit process is having the external independent was the idea, and tell you the truth half of this, the major portion of this project could create a context where verification can take place. And the growers are actually very sophisticated in thinking about this in a way that surprised me. When I said well, how do you want to do the audit? They said it has to be independent, has to stand alone, it's got to be completely transparent in terms of the criteria, that people have to be able to evaluate that. We talked about maybe having staff help and involved in developing the audit. They were queasy about that, but I think if they didn't have a choice they could go

1	overstepping here, the results wouldn't necessarily be	1	their competitors to see it and here it's because they
2	transparent, but the audit criteria standards.	2	don't want us, the Board, and the public to see it.
3	MR. JEFFRIES: That's what I was referring to the	3	And it certainly does give us a queasy feeling, you
4	transparent at all stages to the public.	4	know, in terms of how do we do the verify part of the
5	DR. LOS HUERTOS: Yeah, so the way we talked about	5	Trust but verify. And I'm looking at those key
6	it, in terms of the audit, was it could change. These	6	elements over there and management practice
7	are proposals that I'm negotiating with Farmers for a	7	implementation and verification. And I understand
8	three-month period. The way we talked about it was	8	you're saying the audits would verify, but what of any
9	that those audit results would basically be sort of a	9	the folks who swore an oath to actually uphold the law
10	pass fail, but then that would be passed on to the	10	and to make sure that this stuff is getting done, and
11	Water Board in terms of well, yeah to show that they	11	there's so I have questions about what we can
12	have actually met their criteria to meet the auditing	12	delegate about that. Similarly the and it has a
13	and frankly, I will say, coming back to the issue of	13	little bit to do with all five of those, but similarly
14	the Staff time I thought frankly, I thought two	14	in Number 5 consequences for failure to achieve
15	things: One, it would lead to the amount of Staff time	15	objectives and individual Dischargers really having the
16	to try to figure out what's going on, on all of these	16	responsibility and being accountable. And so, I mean,
17	farms. Two, and I don't think the growers are	17	I really get the concept that we can accomplish some
18	appreciating us very much, but on some level, now you	18	things collaboratively that we can not accomplish with
19	have someone that speaks for the growers for the	19	a straight stick approach. I get that. But I'm just
20	ongoing issue in terms of negotiation for the Ag	20	wondering and I understand that you don't speak for
21	Waiver.	21	agriculture, that you're simply speaking as, in a
22	Every time he talks to the growers, we have a	22	sense, an expert because you, as an outsider, have done
23	different group of growers saying, well, that's not	23	a lot of interviewing, so I'm just looking for your
24	us. And here you have a group of growers that are in a	24	opinion here and hoping I don't mess you up on your
25	group that actually have the capacity to negotiate. If	25	future bid to contract with some farmers, but, I mean,
	Page 222		Page 224
1	there's still problems and the capacity to come to the	1	do you see some creative ways to deal with that
2	table when the staff are seeing problems and continue	2	conundrum? Because right now, we've got really got,
3	to exist which I think has been really says	3	kind of, an immovable object and unstoppable force on
4	something strong about our region, because the growers	4	this question. Is it having Staff that can review the
5	in this region are very, very vested and very	5	audits, but the audits aren't turned in? I mean, that
6	thoughtful about maintaining a professional	6	answers one piece of it. It doesn't answer the
7	organization.	7	public's piece of it. Is it having the audits
8	I think Water Quality Preservation, Inc., is	8	published, but and, maybe, Staff on a farm basis
9	an outstanding example that I think, in most cases,	9	looked at them, and know where their applicable, but
10	it's extremely professional.	10	they're published without an identity. I don't know,
11	MR. JEFFRIES: That ought to be worth a few	11	but if we can't work through that one, it's not clear
12	bucks.	12	to me how we come to resolution on this. And I've
13	MR. YOUNG: Any other questions for Mr. Jeffries?	13	heard the legal arguments from agriculture as to why
14	MR. JEFFRIES: I had one more question, but I'm	14	that's not necessary and I've heard the legal arguments
15	sure it will take a couple hours.	15	from our counsel as to why it is, and personally, I
16	MR. YOUNG: Mr. Johnston.	16	can't speak for any of the Board members, but it's a
17	MR. JOHNSTON: Mr. Los Huertos, you know, trust	17	pretty high threshold for me to simply discount our
18	but verify. And I think that's a lot of what the whole	18	counsel's argument and say, okay. Fine. I'm going to
19	conundrum is coming down to.	19	go with what they say. They have an interest.
20	I mean, that's one of the big issues. It's	20	Well, you know, that's another there's a
21	not the biggest in this whole back and forth and back	21	lot in here. Let me begin with something that I was
22	and forth between the Staff Draft and the Ag	22	reflecting on with Daniel Perez, two nights ago, about
23	Alternative, and it's kind of funny because usually	23	this issue, for a couple of hours and he talked about
24	farmers and business people in general are protective	24	that really Porter-Cologne and Green Water Agri Policy
25	of proprietary information because they don't want	25	failures, they're ambient Water Quality Programs with
1	Page 223		Page 225

Atkinson-Baker, Inc. Court Reporters

-

1	quick source regulatory rules and, on some level, Don	1	MR. YOUNG: I don't know if that answers your
2	Poins got stuck in the middle. It is an awkward,	2	question.
3	uncharted territory and, I thought, that's pretty	3	MR. JOHNSTON: It didn't answer my question at
4	compelling. I didn't know what to do with it, but I	4	all, but it was interesting.
5	thought it was compelling. When I thought about it, it	5	MR. JEFFRIES: It sounds good.
6	turns out that when you think about the sort of land	6	MR. YOUNG: Dr. Hunter, did you have any
7	that we don't know what to do with yet, we're really	7	questions.
8	need to step back and look at, sort of, the long-term	8	DR. HUNTER: No.
9	goals of what we're trying to accomplish. We have a	9	MR. YOUNG: Okay. All right. Thank you very
10	huge number of people that are managing a lot of land	10	much.
11	in our region and, on some level, we're looking for two	11	Ross Clark and then Ms. Cleary. And then
12	things to happen besides Water Quality improvement. To	12	Mr. Hamid. Mr. Stoker, I see you in the back. You
13	get there, we need cooperation from them. So I have to	13	don't want to speak, but I do have a card.
14	admit, it seems to me, that on some level, this is not	14	MR. STOKER: I turned that in because I had to
15	necessarily a regulatory issue, but a political issue.	15	leave early.
16	And as a political issue, we probably need to think in	16	MR. YOUNG: Did you want to speak?
17	terms of long-term goals. So I would say the 2004 was	17	MR. STOKER: Yeah. I wanted yeah. I had to
18	a very good start. It got people regulated, used to	18	leave early and I gave it for the purpose of leaving
19	being regulated, and it put them in a place where they	19	early when you had asked for people.
20	understood Water Quality problems were in the region.	20	MR. YOUNG: Maybe after the speaker, if you want
20	When they start paying the bill to see Sara Daphnia die	21	to come up, you can have two minutes, okay?
22	from their water, they don't know what to do with it	22	Go ahead. All right.
23	yet. We do not have the practices available to make	23	MR. CLARK: Good afternoon. My name is Ross
24	the water nontoxic. I don't know how to farm and	24	Clark, C-l-a-r-k. And I'm the Director of the Central
25	fertilize a field without nitrate leaving the soil.	25	Coast Wetlands Group at Moss Landing Marine Labs.
20	-		
	Page 226		Page 223
1	That's how Ag works. You have to the salts don't	1	Thank you very much for this opportunity to
2	get taken up, the nitrogen does. You can't get	2	present some of our work to you today and discuss how
3	100 percent usually it's around at the best, we can	3	it may be integrated into the Agri process. The
4	get about 80 percent. It's a US EPA studies are not	4	Central Coast Wetlands Group has worked with
5	80 percent, in that context. We are in a very stuck	5	landowners, local agencies, the Monterey Bay National
6	place. We want agriculture to remain present and	6	Marine Sanctuary, the California Coastal Commission,
7	productive and we want very high water quality that we	7	and the Regional Board to restore over 150 acres of
8	are, frankly, not just deserve, I think we have a right	8	coastal wetlands and creek habitat in the Salinas
9	to. Getting there is going to take some creativity	9	Valley.
10	and, I would say, that the creativity that we're trying	10	THE REPORTER: Can you slow down just a touch?
11	that we are trying to create some space for, is it	11	MR. CLARK: Slower?
12	creativity to say, let's work on practices that really	12	THE REPORTER: Just a little bit. Thanks.
13	are getting there and I want that stick of the Regional	13	MR. CLARK: Our partners have also documented the
14	Board Staff to enforce the Waiver in the Alternative	14	improvements to Water Quality and the reductions in
15	Proposal in the way they have and can, and enforce even	15	nutrient and pollutant loads associated with those
16	the 2004 Waiver.	16	projects. Today, I am here to introduce to an effort
1 -	I think the most offective enforcement is	17	supported by the DWR to integrate the construction of
τ7	I think the most effective enforcement is		two stresses to use the set of a second set of a subscription of
	random inspections on farms wherever you are. I think	18	treatment wetlands as a component of agricultural
18		18 19	water management strategies and provide input from the
18 19	random inspections on farms wherever you are. I think		
18 19 20	random inspections on farms wherever you are. I think it sends a message to Tiers 1, 2, and 3, you don't get	19	water management strategies and provide input from the
18 19 20 21	random inspections on farms wherever you are. I think it sends a message to Tiers 1, 2, and 3, you don't get a pass at any level. You only have to do a few in	19 20	water management strategies and provide input from the farmers on the possibilities of using these strategies
18 19 20 21 22	random inspections on farms wherever you are. I think it sends a message to Tiers 1, 2, and 3, you don't get a pass at any level. You only have to do a few in every watershed, and believe me, the word gets out.	19 20 21	water management strategies and provide input from the farmers on the possibilities of using these strategies as a component of their water quality management
18 19 20 21 22 23	random inspections on farms wherever you are. I think it sends a message to Tiers 1, 2, and 3, you don't get a pass at any level. You only have to do a few in every watershed, and believe me, the word gets out. MR. YOUNG: Dr. Los Huertos, could you quickly	19 20 21 22	water management strategies and provide input from the farmers on the possibilities of using these strategies as a component of their water quality management strategies for on farm practices.
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	random inspections on farms wherever you are. I think it sends a message to Tiers 1, 2, and 3, you don't get a pass at any level. You only have to do a few in every watershed, and believe me, the word gets out. MR. YOUNG: Dr. Los Huertos, could you quickly conclude? We can go on and on.	19 20 21 22 23	water management strategies and provide input from the farmers on the possibilities of using these strategies as a component of their water quality management strategies for on farm practices. The Greater Monterey County Irrigated

		1	
1	address the multiple water resource objectives of the	1	Farmers are very enthusiastic and interested in
2	region.	2	integrating this type of off farm treatment into their
3	In 2005, the Central Coast Wetlands Group and	3	programs, because it could being very cost effective,
4	Cal State Monterey Bay constructed the Molera Road	4	but they need the assurance that such actions will
5	Treatment Wetland here on the slide at the Confluence	5	provide a benefit to permit compliance.
6	of the Tembladero Slough and the Old Salinas River	6	Before we'll be able to implement such
7	Channel to evaluate the water quality value of	7	strategies, we will need to identify the value of this
8	constructed wetlands in unison with on field farming	8	business strategy. A critical component of a
9	management practices. The results of these studies	9	Agricultural Business Plan is the identification of the
10	document the nutrient load reductions and overall water	10	costs and risks of proposed actions as well as the
11	quality improvements provided by the combination of	11	estimated value of these actions to the business.
12	sound farm management practices and constructed	12	We've identified some of the cost and benefits for
13	wetlands. Dr. Fred Watson, at CSUMB, calculated that	13	treatment right here, including a cost and liability
14	approximately 450 acres of restored creek wetland,	14	fees, conversion of some of their lands to wetlands,
15	within the lower Salinas Valley, in combination with	15	implications of having wetlands on their property, the
16	sound farm practices, would be significant in reducing	16	cost of construction, maintenance, and monitoring the
L7	pollutant loads within the Gabilan Watershed, to a	17	implementation of these measures as well as liabilities
18	point where we should see a response in water quality	18	of other regulatory programs, including threatening of
19	data collected by the Central Coast Ambient Water	19	endangered species, 401 permits, et cetera. They have
20	Quality Program.	20	identified numerous benefits to adopting this into
21	We are using the results of these studies and	21	their farming plans, including priority issues at
22	our relationship with local farmers to identify	22	superior cost benefits of taking these actions. Many
23	opportunity sites for the construction of additional	23	farmers are very interested in spending money to fix
24	treatment wetlands. I provided a handout for an	24	the problems and improve water quality instead of
25	example of one of those projects.	25	additional costs associated with reporting and
	Page 230		Page 23
1	To achieve our achieve our combined	1	monitoring. The other benefits are that,
2	objectives of improving water quality and wetland	2	hypothetically, this will reduce all permit compliance
3	habitat, we rely on the support of the regulatory	3	costs. There will be an effective use of opportunity
4	agencies to develop policies that highlight the	4	sites on underused portions of their properties. They
5	importance of wetland construction as a management	5	will be seen as environmental leaders in the industry.
6	strategy. Similarly we rely on the interest of the	6	There's a collaborative opportunity to partner with
7	landowners to provide us access to areas on their	7	neighboring landowners on these projects and it
8	properties appropriate for wetland creation.	8	instills a watershed approach to addressing water
9	We hope that the end product of the Ag waiver	9	quality issues.
LO	process provides the flexibility to adopt Watershed	10	Key issues to address, though, through this
11	Specific Strategies that integrate off site management	11	planning process before we can create these types of
12	strategies including the construction of these	12	treatment wetlands include a mechanisms to ensure that
13	treatment wetlands.	13	participating landowners sufficient regulatory credit
L 4	Through the Irrigated Water Management Claim	14	for these actions to offset the costs and liabilities
L 5	process and its funding, we are developing a watershed	15	of these unique approaches. We need to identify
16	strategy that supports the construction of treatment	16	adaptive management processes for projects that miss
L 7	wetlands as a component of individual and multiple	17	interim or quality objectives. We need to allocate
18	landowner water quality management strategies within	18	costs among landowners. We need to establish safe
19	the lower Salinas Valley.	19	Harbor agreements and maintenance plans so these
20	We have identified multiple opportunity areas	20	treatment plans can be maintained over time. We have a
21	within the Tembladero/Blano Drain area, including a	21	need to address other food safety concerns and we'll
22	9-acre project that you have a handout for, where	22	need to integrate these projects with the best of our
		23	
23 24	treatment wetlands of various designs could be	23	ability.
	constructed to provide water quality benefits as well as increase the amount of freshwater wetland habitat.		All issues that we were prepared to address
25	as increase the amount of freshwater weiland habitat.	25	and, hopefully, can use this forum and working with
	Page 231		Page 23

1			
1	Staff to accomplish this.	1	especially since many of the landowners are very
2	Farmers have raised several issues that I	2	interested to work with us.
3	have brought up here and we must work to address them	3	DR. HUNTER: Okay. Good.
4	before we can get access to and create these wetlands,	4	MR. YOUNG: Okay. Mr. Jeffries?
5	but we are very encouraged by their current interest in	5	MR. JEFFRIES: Yes. Well, I had a question, but
6	working with us to the fact that they have reached out	6	some of us Board members did tour the Molera site,
7	to us to talk about and take us out to the opportunity	7	approximately a year or so ago, and was very interested
8	sites on their land. It's an extreme change in the way	8	and was very productive. My question to you is that it
9	that we have been interacting with farmers in the last	9	appears to me, for this to work, you're going to have
10	few years. If we can minimize the liabilities and make	10	to take the land out of production, is that correct, in
11	treatment wetlands a cost effective business strategy	11	some areas?
12	for sustainable agriculture, we will be better prepared	12	MR. CLARK: In some areas if we can address the
13	to meet our region's Water Quality and wetland	13	cost issues, some farmers have said that they would be
14	management objectives. In conversations with Regional	14	willing to do that.
15	Board Staff, we have been insured that the current Ag	15	MR. JEFFRIES: Oh.
16	Waiver process can does provide avenues to integrate	16	MR. CLARK: We have identified many locations
17	this type of off site wetland treatment into the Ag	17	where the land is too wet to farm and it's currently
18	permit process, but there are lots of questions	18	just destined for weed management. We are going to
19	outstanding on how that compliance can be achieved and	19	focus primarily on those as part of the pilot project.
20	how we can address these other costs and liabilities	20	MR. JEFFRIES: My next question if you can set
21	that the farmers have identified. They hope to work	21	more of these up in wetlands, this will create more of
22	with Staff over the next year to identify opportunities	22	a Riparian corridors. How does this work with the food
23	to integrate these practices.	23	safety issue?
24	MR. YOUNG: Thank you for your comments.	24	MR. CLARK: We have funding within the IRWMP to
25	Mr. Jeffries.	25	ask that question and utilize some of our partner
20		25	
	Page 234		Page 236
1	DR. HUNTER: Just quickly, Ross. Thank you,	1	organizations that are at the forefront of the food
2	today, for coming	2	safety process to identify the appropriate management
3	MR. YOUNG: Speak up.		
4		3	strategies to ensure that farmers aren't, in some way,
	DR. HUNTER: in and helping us to gain a	3	strategies to ensure that farmers aren't, in some way, penalized for having this type of treatment done on
5	DR. HUNTER: in and helping us to gain a		penalized for having this type of treatment done on
5 6		4	
	DR. HUNTER: in and helping us to gain a perspective on the work of the Central Coast Water	4 5	penalized for having this type of treatment done on their sites. We have identified woven and bunny fences, use of certain types of plants over others, but
6	DR. HUNTER: in and helping us to gain a perspective on the work of the Central Coast Water Project and how you might fit in to contributing to technical solutions we need. So just so I'm clear,	4 5 6	penalized for having this type of treatment done on their sites. We have identified woven and bunny
6 7	DR. HUNTER: in and helping us to gain a perspective on the work of the Central Coast Water Project and how you might fit in to contributing to technical solutions we need. So just so I'm clear, your second to the last slide was referring to the	4 5 6 7	penalized for having this type of treatment done on their sites. We have identified woven and bunny fences, use of certain types of plants over others, but we are going to work through that process to ensure that the systems we put out will not post additional
6 7 8 9	DR. HUNTER: in and helping us to gain a perspective on the work of the Central Coast Water Project and how you might fit in to contributing to technical solutions we need. So just so I'm clear, your second to the last slide was referring to the IRWMP planning process. Does that reflect the	4 5 6 7 8 9	penalized for having this type of treatment done on their sites. We have identified woven and bunny fences, use of certain types of plants over others, but we are going to work through that process to ensure that the systems we put out will not post additional food safety liabilities.
6 7 8	DR. HUNTER: in and helping us to gain a perspective on the work of the Central Coast Water Project and how you might fit in to contributing to technical solutions we need. So just so I'm clear, your second to the last slide was referring to the IRWMP planning process. Does that reflect the possibility that IRWMP monies are going to go into	4 5 6 7 8	penalized for having this type of treatment done on their sites. We have identified woven and bunny fences, use of certain types of plants over others, but we are going to work through that process to ensure that the systems we put out will not post additional food safety liabilities. MR. JEFFRIES: Are you only looking to do this at
6 7 8 9 10 11	DR. HUNTER: in and helping us to gain a perspective on the work of the Central Coast Water Project and how you might fit in to contributing to technical solutions we need. So just so I'm clear, your second to the last slide was referring to the IRWMP planning process. Does that reflect the possibility that IRWMP monies are going to go into supporting this or what is the connection to IRWMP?	4 5 7 8 9 10 11	penalized for having this type of treatment done on their sites. We have identified woven and bunny fences, use of certain types of plants over others, but we are going to work through that process to ensure that the systems we put out will not post additional food safety liabilities. MR. JEFFRIES: Are you only looking to do this at the lower Salinas Valley or are you looking to do
6 7 8 9 10 11 12	DR. HUNTER: in and helping us to gain a perspective on the work of the Central Coast Water Project and how you might fit in to contributing to technical solutions we need. So just so I'm clear, your second to the last slide was referring to the IRWMP planning process. Does that reflect the possibility that IRWMP monies are going to go into supporting this or what is the connection to IRWMP? MR. CLARK: We do have planning funds currently	4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12	 penalized for having this type of treatment done on their sites. We have identified woven and bunny fences, use of certain types of plants over others, but we are going to work through that process to ensure that the systems we put out will not post additional food safety liabilities. MR. JEFFRIES: Are you only looking to do this at the lower Salinas Valley or are you looking to do throughout Salinas, Santa Maria and throughout the
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	DR. HUNTER: in and helping us to gain a perspective on the work of the Central Coast Water Project and how you might fit in to contributing to technical solutions we need. So just so I'm clear, your second to the last slide was referring to the IRWMP planning process. Does that reflect the possibility that IRWMP monies are going to go into supporting this or what is the connection to IRWMP? MR. CLARK: We do have planning funds currently through greater Monterey IRWMP to provide technical	4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	penalized for having this type of treatment done on their sites. We have identified woven and bunny fences, use of certain types of plants over others, but we are going to work through that process to ensure that the systems we put out will not post additional food safety liabilities. MR. JEFFRIES: Are you only looking to do this at the lower Salinas Valley or are you looking to do throughout Salinas, Santa Maria and throughout the whole region?
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	DR. HUNTER: in and helping us to gain a perspective on the work of the Central Coast Water Project and how you might fit in to contributing to technical solutions we need. So just so I'm clear, your second to the last slide was referring to the IRWMP planning process. Does that reflect the possibility that IRWMP monies are going to go into supporting this or what is the connection to IRWMP? MR. CLARK: We do have planning funds currently through greater Monterey IRWMP to provide technical support to design treatment wetlands identified	4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 penalized for having this type of treatment done on their sites. We have identified woven and bunny fences, use of certain types of plants over others, but we are going to work through that process to ensure that the systems we put out will not post additional food safety liabilities. MR. JEFFRIES: Are you only looking to do this at the lower Salinas Valley or are you looking to do throughout Salinas, Santa Maria and throughout the whole region? MR. CLARK: We have money to do it in the lower
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	DR. HUNTER: in and helping us to gain a perspective on the work of the Central Coast Water Project and how you might fit in to contributing to technical solutions we need. So just so I'm clear, your second to the last slide was referring to the IRWMP planning process. Does that reflect the possibility that IRWMP monies are going to go into supporting this or what is the connection to IRWMP? MR. CLARK: We do have planning funds currently through greater Monterey IRWMP to provide technical support to design treatment wetlands identified opportunity sites and use some of those resources to	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 penalized for having this type of treatment done on their sites. We have identified woven and bunny fences, use of certain types of plants over others, but we are going to work through that process to ensure that the systems we put out will not post additional food safety liabilities. MR. JEFFRIES: Are you only looking to do this at the lower Salinas Valley or are you looking to do throughout Salinas, Santa Maria and throughout the whole region? MR. CLARK: We have money to do it in the lower Salinas Valley, but we have addressed Staff questions
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	DR. HUNTER: in and helping us to gain a perspective on the work of the Central Coast Water Project and how you might fit in to contributing to technical solutions we need. So just so I'm clear, your second to the last slide was referring to the IRWMP planning process. Does that reflect the possibility that IRWMP monies are going to go into supporting this or what is the connection to IRWMP? MR. CLARK: We do have planning funds currently through greater Monterey IRWMP to provide technical support to design treatment wetlands identified opportunity sites and use some of those resources to help address some of these outstanding questions on how	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 penalized for having this type of treatment done on their sites. We have identified woven and bunny fences, use of certain types of plants over others, but we are going to work through that process to ensure that the systems we put out will not post additional food safety liabilities. MR. JEFFRIES: Are you only looking to do this at the lower Salinas Valley or are you looking to do throughout Salinas, Santa Maria and throughout the whole region? MR. CLARK: We have money to do it in the lower Salinas Valley, but we have addressed Staff questions and we would to be happy to partner with other areas
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	DR. HUNTER: in and helping us to gain a perspective on the work of the Central Coast Water Project and how you might fit in to contributing to technical solutions we need. So just so I'm clear, your second to the last slide was referring to the IRWMP planning process. Does that reflect the possibility that IRWMP monies are going to go into supporting this or what is the connection to IRWMP? MR. CLARK: We do have planning funds currently through greater Monterey IRWMP to provide technical support to design treatment wetlands identified opportunity sites and use some of those resources to help address some of these outstanding questions on how these types of wetland projects can be integrated into	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 penalized for having this type of treatment done on their sites. We have identified woven and bunny fences, use of certain types of plants over others, but we are going to work through that process to ensure that the systems we put out will not post additional food safety liabilities. MR. JEFFRIES: Are you only looking to do this at the lower Salinas Valley or are you looking to do throughout Salinas, Santa Maria and throughout the whole region? MR. CLARK: We have money to do it in the lower Salinas Valley, but we have addressed Staff questions and we would to be happy to partner with other areas that want to incorporate the same ideas and we'll look
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	DR. HUNTER: in and helping us to gain a perspective on the work of the Central Coast Water Project and how you might fit in to contributing to technical solutions we need. So just so I'm clear, your second to the last slide was referring to the IRWMP planning process. Does that reflect the possibility that IRWMP monies are going to go into supporting this or what is the connection to IRWMP? MR. CLARK: We do have planning funds currently through greater Monterey IRWMP to provide technical support to design treatment wetlands identified opportunity sites and use some of those resources to help address some of these outstanding questions on how these types of wetland projects can be integrated into whatever type of regulatory process is adopted.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 penalized for having this type of treatment done on their sites. We have identified woven and bunny fences, use of certain types of plants over others, but we are going to work through that process to ensure that the systems we put out will not post additional food safety liabilities. MR. JEFFRIES: Are you only looking to do this at the lower Salinas Valley or are you looking to do throughout Salinas, Santa Maria and throughout the whole region? MR. CLARK: We have money to do it in the lower Salinas Valley, but we have addressed Staff questions and we would to be happy to partner with other areas that want to incorporate the same ideas and we'll look for opportunity funding or do as much as we can to help
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 DR. HUNTER: in and helping us to gain a perspective on the work of the Central Coast Water Project and how you might fit in to contributing to technical solutions we need. So just so I'm clear, your second to the last slide was referring to the IRWMP planning process. Does that reflect the possibility that IRWMP monies are going to go into supporting this or what is the connection to IRWMP? MR. CLARK: We do have planning funds currently through greater Monterey IRWMP to provide technical support to design treatment wetlands identified opportunity sites and use some of those resources to help address some of these outstanding questions on how these types of wetland projects can be integrated into whatever type of regulatory process is adopted. DR. HUNTER: Okay. So this is still a pilot 	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 penalized for having this type of treatment done on their sites. We have identified woven and bunny fences, use of certain types of plants over others, but we are going to work through that process to ensure that the systems we put out will not post additional food safety liabilities. MR. JEFFRIES: Are you only looking to do this at the lower Salinas Valley or are you looking to do throughout Salinas, Santa Maria and throughout the whole region? MR. CLARK: We have money to do it in the lower Salinas Valley, but we have addressed Staff questions and we would to be happy to partner with other areas that want to incorporate the same ideas and we'll look for opportunity funding or do as much as we can to help move this idea forward throughout the region.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 DR. HUNTER: in and helping us to gain a perspective on the work of the Central Coast Water Project and how you might fit in to contributing to technical solutions we need. So just so I'm clear, your second to the last slide was referring to the IRWMP planning process. Does that reflect the possibility that IRWMP monies are going to go into supporting this or what is the connection to IRWMP? MR. CLARK: We do have planning funds currently through greater Monterey IRWMP to provide technical support to design treatment wetlands identified opportunity sites and use some of those resources to help address some of these outstanding questions on how these types of wetland projects can be integrated into whatever type of regulatory process is adopted. DR. HUNTER: Okay. So this is still a pilot program level or is it more of a the ability to go 	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 penalized for having this type of treatment done on their sites. We have identified woven and bunny fences, use of certain types of plants over others, but we are going to work through that process to ensure that the systems we put out will not post additional food safety liabilities. MR. JEFFRIES: Are you only looking to do this at the lower Salinas Valley or are you looking to do throughout Salinas, Santa Maria and throughout the whole region? MR. CLARK: We have money to do it in the lower Salinas Valley, but we have addressed Staff questions and we would to be happy to partner with other areas that want to incorporate the same ideas and we'll look for opportunity funding or do as much as we can to help move this idea forward throughout the region. MR. JEFFRIES: Thank you.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 DR. HUNTER: in and helping us to gain a perspective on the work of the Central Coast Water Project and how you might fit in to contributing to technical solutions we need. So just so I'm clear, your second to the last slide was referring to the IRWMP planning process. Does that reflect the possibility that IRWMP monies are going to go into supporting this or what is the connection to IRWMP? MR. CLARK: We do have planning funds currently through greater Monterey IRWMP to provide technical support to design treatment wetlands identified opportunity sites and use some of those resources to help address some of these outstanding questions on how these types of wetland projects can be integrated into whatever type of regulatory process is adopted. DR. HUNTER: Okay. So this is still a pilot program level or is it more of a the ability to go and assess unique, you know, one site does not fill all 	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 penalized for having this type of treatment done on their sites. We have identified woven and bunny fences, use of certain types of plants over others, but we are going to work through that process to ensure that the systems we put out will not post additional food safety liabilities. MR. JEFFRIES: Are you only looking to do this at the lower Salinas Valley or are you looking to do throughout Salinas, Santa Maria and throughout the whole region? MR. CLARK: We have money to do it in the lower Salinas Valley, but we have addressed Staff questions and we would to be happy to partner with other areas that want to incorporate the same ideas and we'll look for opportunity funding or do as much as we can to help move this idea forward throughout the region. MR. JEFFRIES: Thank you. MR. YOUNG: Thank you for your comments.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 DR. HUNTER: in and helping us to gain a perspective on the work of the Central Coast Water Project and how you might fit in to contributing to technical solutions we need. So just so I'm clear, your second to the last slide was referring to the IRWMP planning process. Does that reflect the possibility that IRWMP monies are going to go into supporting this or what is the connection to IRWMP? MR. CLARK: We do have planning funds currently through greater Monterey IRWMP to provide technical support to design treatment wetlands identified opportunity sites and use some of those resources to help address some of these outstanding questions on how these types of wetland projects can be integrated into whatever type of regulatory process is adopted. DR. HUNTER: Okay. So this is still a pilot program level or is it more of a the ability to go and assess unique, you know, one site does not fill all kind of approach. 	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 penalized for having this type of treatment done on their sites. We have identified woven and bunny fences, use of certain types of plants over others, but we are going to work through that process to ensure that the systems we put out will not post additional food safety liabilities. MR. JEFFRIES: Are you only looking to do this at the lower Salinas Valley or are you looking to do throughout Salinas, Santa Maria and throughout the whole region? MR. CLARK: We have money to do it in the lower Salinas Valley, but we have addressed Staff questions and we would to be happy to partner with other areas that want to incorporate the same ideas and we'll look for opportunity funding or do as much as we can to help move this idea forward throughout the region. MR. JEFFRIES: Thank you. MR. YOUNG: Thank you for your comments. MR. CLARK: Thank you very much.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 DR. HUNTER: in and helping us to gain a perspective on the work of the Central Coast Water Project and how you might fit in to contributing to technical solutions we need. So just so I'm clear, your second to the last slide was referring to the IRWMP planning process. Does that reflect the possibility that IRWMP monies are going to go into supporting this or what is the connection to IRWMP? MR. CLARK: We do have planning funds currently through greater Monterey IRWMP to provide technical support to design treatment wetlands identified opportunity sites and use some of those resources to help address some of these outstanding questions on how these types of wetland projects can be integrated into whatever type of regulatory process is adopted. DR. HUNTER: Okay. So this is still a pilot program level or is it more of a the ability to go and assess unique, you know, one site does not fill all kind of approach. 	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 penalized for having this type of treatment done on their sites. We have identified woven and bunny fences, use of certain types of plants over others, but we are going to work through that process to ensure that the systems we put out will not post additional food safety liabilities. MR. JEFFRIES: Are you only looking to do this at the lower Salinas Valley or are you looking to do throughout Salinas, Santa Maria and throughout the whole region? MR. CLARK: We have money to do it in the lower Salinas Valley, but we have addressed Staff questions and we would to be happy to partner with other areas that want to incorporate the same ideas and we'll look for opportunity funding or do as much as we can to help move this idea forward throughout the region. MR. JEFFRIES: Thank you. MR. YOUNG: Thank you for your comments. MR. YOUNG: I'm taking two people out of order,
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 DR. HUNTER: in and helping us to gain a perspective on the work of the Central Coast Water Project and how you might fit in to contributing to technical solutions we need. So just so I'm clear, your second to the last slide was referring to the IRWMP planning process. Does that reflect the possibility that IRWMP monies are going to go into supporting this or what is the connection to IRWMP? MR. CLARK: We do have planning funds currently through greater Monterey IRWMP to provide technical support to design treatment wetlands identified opportunity sites and use some of those resources to help address some of these outstanding questions on how these types of wetland projects can be integrated into whatever type of regulatory process is adopted. DR. HUNTER: Okay. So this is still a pilot program level or is it more of a the ability to go and assess unique, you know, one site does not fill all kind of approach. 	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 penalized for having this type of treatment done on their sites. We have identified woven and bunny fences, use of certain types of plants over others, but we are going to work through that process to ensure that the systems we put out will not post additional food safety liabilities. MR. JEFFRIES: Are you only looking to do this at the lower Salinas Valley or are you looking to do throughout Salinas, Santa Maria and throughout the whole region? MR. CLARK: We have money to do it in the lower Salinas Valley, but we have addressed Staff questions and we would to be happy to partner with other areas that want to incorporate the same ideas and we'll look for opportunity funding or do as much as we can to help move this idea forward throughout the region. MR. YOUNG: Thank you for your comments. MR. YOUNG: Thank you very much. MR. YOUNG: I'm taking two people out of order, Mr. Stoker, come up if you would, and then Ms. Lopez.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 DR. HUNTER: in and helping us to gain a perspective on the work of the Central Coast Water Project and how you might fit in to contributing to technical solutions we need. So just so I'm clear, your second to the last slide was referring to the IRWMP planning process. Does that reflect the possibility that IRWMP monies are going to go into supporting this or what is the connection to IRWMP? MR. CLARK: We do have planning funds currently through greater Monterey IRWMP to provide technical support to design treatment wetlands identified opportunity sites and use some of those resources to help address some of these outstanding questions on how these types of wetland projects can be integrated into whatever type of regulatory process is adopted. DR. HUNTER: Okay. So this is still a pilot program level or is it more of a the ability to go and assess unique, you know, one site does not fill all kind of approach. 	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 penalized for having this type of treatment done on their sites. We have identified woven and bunny fences, use of certain types of plants over others, but we are going to work through that process to ensure that the systems we put out will not post additional food safety liabilities. MR. JEFFRIES: Are you only looking to do this at the lower Salinas Valley or are you looking to do throughout Salinas, Santa Maria and throughout the whole region? MR. CLARK: We have money to do it in the lower Salinas Valley, but we have addressed Staff questions and we would to be happy to partner with other areas that want to incorporate the same ideas and we'll look for opportunity funding or do as much as we can to help move this idea forward throughout the region. MR. JEFFRIES: Thank you. MR. YOUNG: Thank you for your comments. MR. YOUNG: I'm taking two people out of order,

1 2 3 4 5 6	Mr. Chair, honorable members of the Board. My name is Mike Stoker, S-t-o-k-e-r. I'm here today representing the United Agribusiness League. The	1 2	Alternative. And Senator Strickland wanted me to communicate to this Board, when you have that group of	
3 4 5 6	, , ,	2	communicate to this Board, when you have that group of	-
4 5 6	representing the United Agribusiness League The		communicate to this board, when you have that group of	T
5 6	Tepresenting the onited Agribusiness League. The	3	representatives, all agree on the same thing, which	
6	United Agribusiness League is an agricultural	4	varies I can't remember a time that you would see	
	association that provides multiple employer benefits	5	that group agreeing on something. That's pretty	
7	through its over 1400 employer members. The League	6	telling testimony of a perhaps a win-win direction in	
7	provides health insurance for over 42,000 agriculture	7	terms of how to deal with this situation. And I agree	
8	employees. On behalf of those employers and employees,	8	with the Senator and would urge you to direct Staff to	
9	the League strongly urges the Board to reject Staff's	9	try to pursue the Agriculture Alternative and I'm	
10	recommendation. The fact is that California is grossly	10	completely convinced as Congressman Farr said earlier	
11	overregulated which impacts were recently documented in	11	today, you're going to be able to work out those	
12	the Barsney report.	12	issues.	
13	In that report, Professor Barsney, the Dean	13	Mr. Chairman, I want to thank you again for	
14	of Business School for Cal State Sacramento with	14	allowing me some time so I can make an obligation in	
15	empirical data demonstrated that California since 2000	15	Santa Barbara this evening.	
16	has lost over 4,000 jobs due to overregulation.	16	MR. YOUNG: Thank you for your comments.	
17	Regulations that were passed by regulatory agencies,	17	Okay. Sara Lopez. Oh, I should have known	
18	like this Board, regulations that are not on the books	18	we have two Lopezes; right? Sara Lopez, Preservation,	
19	in any other states or any other countries.	19	Inc. Okay. Do you need to leave early? Well, that's	
20	Regulations as as the report proves cost the state over	20	a good one.	
20	\$17 billion dollars in lost revenues last year alone.	21	MR. JOHNSTON: It may last until midnight.	
22	Today, we have another example of a proposed	22		
23		23	MR. YOUNG: Do you need to leave today early?	
24	legislation that is not on the books in any other state	24	That's what I thought this was about. Okay. You need	
24	or any other country. A regulation that will cost	25	to leave early. Go ahead. You have two minutes.	
20	Agribusiness more money to operate and a regulation	25	MS. LOPEZ: Thank you. Okay.	
	Page 238			Page 240
1	that will cost more jobs to be lost and a regulation	1	Good afternoon, Chairman Young and members o	f
2	that will cost California to most likely lose more	2	the Board. My name is Sara Lopez and I am the program	
3	money in revenues. In trying economic times like	3	manager of Preservation, Inc.	•
4	these, for all California businesses, but especially	4	MR. YOUNG: Speak into the mike.	
5	Agri businesses, the more and more finds itself on the	5	THE REPORTER: Yeah, you need to speak a little	
6	threshold of not being able to compete in the global	6	bit louder.	
7	market where the foreign competition has very little,	7	MR. YOUNG: Real loud, project. Something I don't	
8		8	do.	
9	if any, of regulatory burdens like California farmers	9		
10	face.	10	MS. LOPEZ: My name is Sara Lopez. MR. YOUNG: Speak into the mike.	
11	United Agribusiness League would ask the	11		
	Board to move in a direction and adopt the coalition's		MS. LOPEZ: My name is Sara Lopez	
12	Agricultural Alternative previously presented to this	12	THE REPORTER: Much better.	
13	Board. The alternative is based on the successful	13	MS. LOPEZ: and I'm going to sing a song.	
14	mileages of sure foot safety, that alternative, while	14	MR. YOUNG: You've got one minute left.	
15	still imposing additional regulatory burdens on	15	MS. LOPEZ: I'm the technical program manager for	
16	agriculture, that agriculture in other states will not	16	Preservation, Inc. We manage the Cooperative	
17	face, provides a win-win to help this Board meet its	17	Monitoring Program on behalf of the agricultural	
18	goals, but also help agriculture help meet your goals,	18	industry. I wanted to talk quickly about one of our	
19	in a less costly and offensive manner.	19	cooperative monitoring sites which is Quail Creek,	
20	And finally, I would just like to point out	20	that's just south of Salinas. It's a tributary to	
21	to the Board, I called Senator Strickland after lunch	21	Salinas River. This monitoring site has shown	
22	and I told him, Tony, Congressman Farr and State	22	significant reductions of nitrogen to the Salinas	
23	Legislators, Alejo, yourself, Blakely, Cannella have	23	River. By significant, I mean that, at the beginning	
24	all recommended the same recommendation of moving force	24	of the waiver period 2005, -06, and -07, the	
1	in one form or another of the Agricultural	25	instantaneous nitrogen loads at that monitoring site	
25				

Atkinson-Baker, Inc. Court Reporters

(800) 288-3376 61 (Pages 238 to 241)

1	were 4.2, 12.8, and 5.5 pounds of nitrogen per hour.	1	that correct.	
2	In 2009, -10, and -11, the nitrogen levels were 0.00,	2	MS. LOPEZ: At the beginning of the Waiver periods	
3	0.9, and 0.00 pounds of nitrogen per hour. The load	3	there was flow roughly 12 months a year. In more	
4	reductions are the direct result of actions taken by	4	recent years, many months have no flow at all.	
5	farmers on the watershed for tail water run-off. These	5	MR. JEFFRIES: Okay. I was more interested in	
6	efforts began when Water Board Staff began focusing	6	where the marking was, above the greenhouses, below the	
7	inspections on the watershed.	7	greenhouses.	
8	The growers received confidential edge a	8	MS. LOPEZ: Below the greenhouses.	
9	field Water Quality information from Preservation,	9	MR. YOUNG: Okay. Thank you for your comments.	
10	Inc., and coordination from Monterey County Farm	10	All right. Back to the list I have in front	
11	Bureau. All involved received an award from the	11	of me.	
12	Monterey Bay National Marine Sanctuary.	12	Is Jennifer Cleary, Clean Water Action.	
13	Water Quality issues in the creek remain and	13	MS. CLEARY: While I'm waiting for my presentation	
14	this is but one of 50 monitoring sites. I think this	14	to come up, I'd just like to thank Sara Lopez for	
15	is an example of the Ag Waiver and the cooperative	15	taking care of the microphone.	
16	monitoring programs doing their jobs. The Water Board	16	So my name is Jennifer Cleary. I am program	
17	Staff used CIP data to prioritize inspection. The	17	associated at Clean Water Action. I've been working on	
18	growers used water quality data to format agronomic	18	irrigated Ag Programs in the Central Valley for several	
19	practices. We had a measurable change at the	19	years.	
20	cooperative monitoring point.	20	I'm also a member of our organization is	
21	I think change will look a little different	21	also a member of Environment Justice Coalition for	
22	on every watershed, but I hope to have more data like	22	Water, and so they asked us to step in here, and since	
23	this to share in the future. All right.	23	they don't have a staff, we've taken a larger role.	
24	MR. YOUNG: Mr. Jeffries.	24	And I do want to thank the Board, and the staff for	
25	MR. JEFFRIES: Yes. Can you tell me where your	25	being so helpful in allowing me time to speak, I'll do	
2.5				
	Page 242		Ра	ige 244
1	monitoring location was? Is it up, far up Quail Creek	1	my best to take as little time as possible, because	
2	or is it below the greenhouses? Where is it located?	2	it's getting very late, and I know there's a lot of	
3	MS. LOPEZ: It's below the greenhouses. It's	3	problems to cover. ;.	
4	where the just before the Creek crosses under	4	I'd also like to thank the members of the Ag	
5	Highway 101.	5	Community that met with me and have been very	
6	MR. JEFFRIES: Way down? Okay.	6	sympathetic and respectful of the communities I'm	
7	MS. LOPEZ: It's not quite	7	trying to represent, so it's very important to me.	
8	MR. JEFFRIES: And did you determine the type of	8	So at this moment, so first the Environment	
9	nitrates that you had back in the original monitoring.	9	Justice Coalition for Water and they brought people to	
10	MS. LOPEZ: The type of nitrate?	10	meetings since 2009, and just to say these are some of	
11	MR. JEFFRIES: Because the upper portion of Quail	11	the community members we brought. We've got poor	
12	Creek is a cattle ranch. Was the nitrates from nature	12	turnout today, and I have to tell you that this has	
13	manure or was it from manufactured fertilizer.	13	been a very difficult process. I know it's been a	
14	MS. LOPEZ: I, so in the absence of a major storm	14	difficult process for everyone, but when you're working	
14 15	event, there's no water in Quail Creek except for	15	on farms you have work whenever you're scheduled, to	
16	irrigated agriculture discharges. The discharges start	16	come to a meeting, you're not going to get paid, you	
17	just above Old Stage Road, so up in the portion of	17		
18		18	already got issues of poverty, this has been very	
10 19	watershed is the cattle ranch, and absent a storm there	19	difficult, and I would just say that process is an	
	is actually no water.		environmental justice issue, as well, and I think that	
20	MR. JEFFRIES: So your measurement was during the	20	this process has not been kind to some of these	
21	dry period?	21	communities.	
22	MS. LOPEZ: We measure once per month. So it's	22	Then, just to move on from that, just to give	
23	12, um, it's measurements per year.	23	you a map that you may already have, some existing	
24	MR. JEFFRIES: But the Quail Creek does runs	24	information. A disadvantaged communities in Monterey	
25	probably until March/April normal rainfall period; is	25	County, it's a pretty significant chunk of the County,	
	Page 243		Pa	ige 245

1	I do want to talk about San Jerardo.	1	increased costs. So the costs go up and up and up. So	
2	San Jerardo is a fellow member of The	2	what I want to point out is you might think these	
3	Environmental Justice Coalition for Water, and I want	3	communities get a free ride, but San Jerardo is paying	
4	to say one of the silver linings about doing this kind	4	about 120 to 130 dollars a month for their water.	
5	of work, there's a lot of problems with it, but you get	5	They US EPA says that affordable water rate	
6	to meet amazing people and work with them for many	6	is about 1 and a half percent of median household	
7	years, like Horacio and Mesquita, who sent me a bunch	7	income. The median household income in San Jerardo is	5
8	of texts today. The most recent of which said, "Take a	8	\$32,000 a year. And so their affordable water rate is	
9	deep breath and talk slowly." And he also said to ask	9	\$37.50, so just so you understand the difference	
10	the Water Board to please vote for the program today.	10	between the water, what they should be paying, and wh	at
11	But I want to stop a minute on this slide,	11	they want to pay and just moving on from that, this	
12	and I'm not an agricultural expert, and I don't pretend	12	is what I know. When you sit down with the community	
13	to be, but I work on water quality a lot, and I work on	13	and you say, we can't drink our water, we're paying $\$35$	
14	drinking water a lot, and the cost to communities is a	14	a month for our tap water, we can't drink it, and your	
15	lot.	15	choice is okay for \$100 a month you can have safe	
16	So using San Jerardo as an example,	16	drinking water. I mean try sitting down and telling	
17	San Jerardo had wells one after another go out of	17	someone that. It really is a tough conversation.	
18	commission. And, you know, the first thing you try to	18	And Horacio wanted me to let you know it's	
19	do when your well goes out is dig a deeper well,	19	not just San Jerardo because we, after all, have safe	
20	because that's the cheapest alternative, and if you can	20	drinking water, and he specifically mentioned the	
21	get clean water, and you don't have to treat it, you're	21	communities of Tulare, San Lucas and Prunedale. And,	
22	in good shape.	22	in fact, if you look at the gamma database over the	
23	And so San Jerardo tried that in 2001 when	23	past year, 62 public supply wells in Central Coast are	
24	their last well went bad and so until 2006 they didn't	24	over the nitrate standard. And so this is what Horacio	
25	have safe drinking water. And they were on the	25	told me in a very short form of a text. It makes for a	
	Page 246			Page 248
1	priority list for the drinking water State Revolving	1	shorter presentation. But, basically, they support the	
2	Fund, on the priority list for funding, for about six	2	Staff. This slide is a little out of order. That's	
3	years. And, somehow, they never got funded, and that's	3	what happens when you do think at 5:00 in the morning.	
4	a whole other story.	4	Basically, the Staff has told you the health threats.	
5	The county very kindly stepped in and they	5	There are a lot of serious health effects in the	
6	had paid \$15,000 a month to filter that well water for	6	nitrates, and that's why we need to change it.	
7	the community. And I really give them kudos for that	7	Now I understand that folks don't want to	
8	because a lot of communities couldn't match that cost.	8	talk about the report that was released yesterday and	
9	So finally with Prop 84 we were able to get	9	that's the good and the bad news is that we don't have	
10	funding to get the well, and get a new well for	10	to, because there are plenty of reports over many	
11	San Jerardo.	11	decades showing that agriculture impacts groundwater,	
12	Unfortunately, it's two miles away, so you	12	and so I'm referring you one that was published in	
13	have the cost of pumping. It's a deeper well. And	13	1989, by the Health Department of Gunenad identified	
14	also, you know, the reason why you have groundwater is	14	several problems areas for nitrates on the Central	
15	because in a good world it's cheaper. Surface water	15	Coast that showed that 48 percent of all monitored	
16	you always have to filter and disinfect. Groundwater,	16	wells in the unconfined ocifers of the Salinas Valley	
17	if it's clean, you just pump and serve it. But the	17	exceed the nitrate NCL and that the 154 wells in	
18	minute something goes wrong, you're entire economic	18	Prunedale, 27 percent exceeded the NCLs. So this is	
19	model good out the window, because the state comes in	19	nothing new and one difficulty with this is there was	
20	model goes out the window, because the state comes in		a success of the second state of the second st	
	and says before we give you money, you have to prove	20	some recommendations in 1989, and they didn't go	
21	and says before we give you money, you have to prove you have the capacity to use it. So your budget has to	21	anywhere. All these recommendations for voluntary	
21 22	and says before we give you money, you have to prove you have the capacity to use it. So your budget has to be enough to cover half of what you serve.	21 22	anywhere. All these recommendations for voluntary BMPs, and as far as I know, if anything happened, we	
21 22 23	and says before we give you money, you have to prove you have the capacity to use it. So your budget has to be enough to cover half of what you serve. So for the first time these communities have	21 22 23	anywhere. All these recommendations for voluntary BMPs, and as far as I know, if anything happened, we didn't know about since they were voluntary.	
21 22 23 24	and says before we give you money, you have to prove you have the capacity to use it. So your budget has to be enough to cover half of what you serve. So for the first time these communities have to, if you add treatment, they have to pay for	21 22 23 24	anywhere. All these recommendations for voluntary BMPs, and as far as I know, if anything happened, we didn't know about since they were voluntary. The Board chose to adopt the waiver in 2004,	
21 22 23	and says before we give you money, you have to prove you have the capacity to use it. So your budget has to be enough to cover half of what you serve. So for the first time these communities have	21 22 23	anywhere. All these recommendations for voluntary BMPs, and as far as I know, if anything happened, we didn't know about since they were voluntary.	

1	doesn't require any groundwater monitoring at this	1	Order, where unfortunately once more I differ from
2	point.	2	tests, is the idea of having a replacement water charge
3	So now it's now 2012. So you adopted a	3	inside the Order. It just makes things cleaner and
4	five-year waiver in 2004 that didn't cover	4	easier and you have less process to go through because,
5	groundwater. Now you've extended it for three more	5	you know, there's going to be a lot. And for all I
6	years. So you've had eight years in which you've had	6	know, I don't understand how difficult it is going to
7	the opportunity to at least collect information, and it	7	be to implement that requirement, but having it in
8	hasn't happened.	8	there, gives you a chance to address the most trivial
9	So now, if you start today, it's going to	9	issues.
10	take you five years to get it. So while I'm not	10	Groundwater monitoring is another big issue,
11	thrilled with the proposal, I just think you have to	11	and one I have already stated. We really need to start
12	start somewhere. And the fact that we've figured	12	getting data, particularly on Channel ocifer, which is
13	another way to push it off, it just isn't in the best	13	one of the which we would think would be the more
14	interest of the communities in the Central Coast. Just	14	vulnerable area, and also an area that would be more
15	get more information. The Monterey County	15	likely to show changes over time. We strongly agree
16	Environmental Health Department 2001, 2002 sampling	16	with the proposal that over the first year every
17	found eight water systems over the limit of salt water,	17	every farm is going to be testing at the irrigation
18	16 percent of the wells tested and, you know, a lot of	18	well, and this will be history breaking.
19	these exceedances are marked water systems, so Morro	19	And I understand that one of your experts
20	Bay, Salinas, Santa Maria, and so they have the ability	20	told you that that wasn't going to be useful data, but
21	to treat their water, so it's an expense, not	21	I have to tell you that no data isn't very useful,
22	necessarily a health issue. And so if you look at this	22	either.
23	you're looking at small systems with nitrate	23	So we have concerns just like the Ag folks
24	exceedances and you can pretty much see how serious the	24	do. We're concerned that relegating our requirement
25	problem is. If you go down the scale those are higher	25	for Nutrient Management Plan to Tier 3, may not be
	Page 250		Page 25
1	and higher nitrate concentrations. So the first one,	1	sufficient. We're concerned we think that all
2	only the first bar, is under the legal limit. All the	2	fertilizer applications should be monitored. We think
3	other communities, if you go to the right they aren't.	3	there should be a requirement that you report
4	So, basically what we're asking is take action now.	4	fertilizer application, just like you report pesticide
5	Some of the things we like about the proposal, just	5	application. That would allow the Board to focus their
6	pulling out a few items, honestly I'm not absolutely	6	enforcement efforts because, frankly, it's you know,
7	certain I can pull them out of the right order because	7	I know there's a lot of difficult things about nitrate
8	there are so many now I'm having a hard time keeping	8	contamination, but, you know, simple math is simple
9	track of them, but basically, you have finding that I	9	math. If you're applying a lot of fertilizer, there is
9 10	agree with that fertilizer from irrigated agriculture	10	
10 11		11	more likely to be leaching.
	is the largest primary source of nitrate pollution in	12	Also, the Board says only about 100
12	the Central Coast. Existing and potential water		operations would as currently applied under Tier 3,
13	quality impairment takes on added significance and	13	and that number could go down under if you had
14	urgency, my emphasis added it impacts the public	14	different cooperative arrangements, developed a
15	health. More information on public health, but there	15	sustainability plan. There's limited pesticide
L6	are a lot of health defects that have been uncovered	16	requirements and enforcements, and I'm not there on the
L7	through research.	17	reinforcement program. But having said all that,
18	Something that we think is very important is	18	inaction is worse, and the longer you wait, the more
19	the protection of private wells, because significant	19	problems you'll have.
20	populations along the Central Coast are relying on	20	And the Human Rights for Water says that
21	private wells, and there is virtually no regulation on	21	every person has a right to a reasonable supply of
	All and the set of a set of a set of the law set of the state of the set of t	22	water for their personal and consumable uses.
22	them, so those people don't know their problems, and	0	
22 23	that shallow groundwater is susceptible to	23	And I just have to say the silver lining of
22 23 24	that shallow groundwater is susceptible to contamination.	24	your not acting on this program is that I'll get to
22 23	that shallow groundwater is susceptible to		

1	unfortunately, we know that the drinking water is going	1	upper bound, the Central Valley Regional Water Quality
2	to get worse, whatever you do. If you don't take	2	Control Board has interpreted ten years as a reasonable
3	action, it's going to get worse longer. You're going	3	compliance period.
4	to have more problems, you going to have more	4	These terms are counted from the date that a
5	communities, and we are going to get more sick people.	5	water quality standard is adopted; however, not from
6	So maybe if that's what it takes, that's what it	6	the commencement date of a program implementing those
7	takes, but I hope that we don't have to do that. What	7	standards, such as the IRWMP. Thus, for long-standing
8	I hope is that you'll adopt something today, and we can	8	Water Quality Objectives, such as that of nitrate, even
9	start moving towards implementation, talk about	9	with a ten even a ten-year even with ten years
0	adaptive management, and we can start thinking of still	10	compliance period, it should probably be immediate, and
1	moving forward, because we can't just go from here. I	11	I don't think I have this written out for you, because
2	did want to I'm not sure what my time is. I want to	12	I didn't know you'd have to have it.
3	quickly	13	If you have any questions about my
4	MR. JEFFRIES: How about 11 minutes.	14	presentation, I'm open for questions or we can move on
5	MS. LOPEZ: I'm doing my best. I hope I didn't	15	to the next.
6	reach high enough and I talk a little too fast, but	16	MR. YOUNG: Mr. Jeffries?
7	don't tell Horacio.	17	MR. JEFFRIES: I agree with you about the
8	I've had a chance and my attorney had a	18	San Jerardo issues and problems that they've had out
9	chance to scan the Ag Alternative and there I have a	19	there and, of course, I noticed Camp McClellan built
20	few comments on it, but in general, the important thing	20	back in the '40s for World War II, and historically
21	to remember is this: The idea behind a third-party	21	they have had water problems there throughout.
2	system, which is something that they are currently	22	You mentioned Prunedale in your slides
3	using and also considering for the long-term for the	23	there. Are you inferring that the nitrate problem in
4	Central Valley, is you don't want them to hide. You	24	Prunedale is from chemical fertilizers or is it from
25	don't use them as a barrier between enforcement and	25	nitrates from septic tanks in the Prunedale area?
	Page 254		Page 25
1	individual grower. They should be a means to assist a	1	MS. LOPEZ: As I think I told you earlier, I'm a
2	grower in complying with the Order, and I think the	2	carpetbagger, so I can't tell you specific information
3	difficulty that I continue to have with the Ag Proposal	3	about a specific community. I will say it was a
4	is that it reduces monitoring requirements, it reduces	4	community that was specifically listed as being
5	reporting requirements, so we'll have less	5	having problems for nitrates in 1989 nitrate report.
6	understanding of what's going on.	6	It continues to be in violation of the nitrate
7	Delays in implementation of groundwater	7	standards. I notice they have a well over the NCL on
8	monitoring, so you're not going to get that first list	8	the most recent report I read.
9	of things in five years, and I think I had one other	9	MR. JEFFRIES: Most of the wells in Prunedale are
.0	oh, yeah, a little bit of tape, then the other then	10	not meeting the standards, but most of it's to do with
.1	I have a specific issue with so under the idea of	11	septic tanks in that specific area.
.2	meeting Water Quality Objectives and the idea of doing	12	MS. LOPEZ: Well, you can say that, and I can't
.3	that in 8 or 16 years, I just have a little legal	13	prove you wrong with this specific answers, and I'm
.4	finding that Rose wrote up, and if you'll forgive me,	14	sure, in some cases, that is the case. However,
.5	it says, An unnecessarily prolonged time schedule for	15	overwhelmingly, the problem is due to agriculture, and
.6	compliance effectively constitutes the de facto change	16	I think the idea that you keep saying it's septic is
.7	in water quality standards. If that interim period	17	disingenuous, and I think that we have septic
. 8	extends beyond what is reasonable and necessary, this	18	regulations coming down. I wish they were stronger,
.9	effectively authorizes ongoing violation of Water	19	but we are going to have septic regulations. And I
0	Quality Objectives. The clear force of such a program	20	think what we need to do is not is not say we're not
21	is to alter the Water Quality Standards in this	21	going to do anything, because they have a problem. I
22	region."	22	think we have to make everything work.
23	This is an unpublished judgment.	23	MR. JEFFRIES: I wasn't questioning whether it
24	At the lower bound, the EPA as interpreted	24	should work or not. I'm just trying to clarify what
25	three years as a reasonable compliance period. At the	25	you were proposing, and to clarify what type of
	Page 255		Page 25

1	nitrates contamination was apt to occur.	1	gone on in the past related to nitrate information and
2	MS. LOPEZ: And if you think that, I could	2	the third, I'll rap it up, is to recognize. Recognize
3	probably find out if someone has done an analysis, if	3	the strength, power and value of collaboration. I'll
4	they thought I suspected anything.	4	just stop there.
5	MR. JEFFRIES: Yeah, I'm already counting water	5	Thank you very much.
6	resources agencies.	6	MR. YOUNG: Any questions? Thank you for your
7	MS. LOPEZ: I'm not sure that I contributed to	7	time.
8	that, though.	8	We're going to have a break for ten minutes.
9	MR. YOUNG: Okay. Any other more questions?	9	(Brief recess.)
10	Okay. Thank you very much for your	10	MR. YOUNG: Mr. Shimek, you're up next.
11	comments.	11	MR. SHIMEK: All right.
12	MR. YOUNG: I think I've been handed another card	12	My name is Steve Shimek, S-h-i-m-e-k. And
13	to speak out of order. Robert Johnson.	13	I'm with Monterey Coast Keeper and the Otter Project,
14	MR. JOHNSON: Thank you Chair Young and Board	14	and I'm also up here with several other environmental
15	members, my name is Rob Johnson, Assistant	15	groups that I'm working together with.
16	General Manager with Monterey County Resource Agency	16	Before I kind of begin and start rolling into
17		17	
L / L 8	so to answer the question of a good deal of data has been collected in Prunedale, has you know from the	18	the slides, I want to address that issue of, you know,
10 19		19	gosh, why couldn't we all come together like 2004 and
20	environmental health has been from septic, at least in	20	sing Kumbaya?
20	that area. That data was also included along with some other studies that was released yesterday.	20	And, you know, I think the reason for that is
22		22	because that group, that was the first Waiver, and I
23	THE REPORTER: Can you speak up a little, please.		have knowledge of this because Kaitlin Gafney's
24	MR. JOHNSON: Sure. I'm trying to move this	23	probably my best friend in the whole wide world, and
24	close.	24	she was on that group, and one of things that she
20	THE REPORTER: Okay.	25	mentioned was we put things aside. We deliberately
	Page 258		Page 26
1	MR. YOUNG: The clock is stopped, I just wanted to	1	said, you know, this is too difficult. We're gonna set
2	let you know you have two minutes.	2	it aside. It's easy to sing Kumbaya if you set the
3	MR. JOHNSON: No problem. Two minutes is fine.	3	hardest issues aside. And we've decided to take those
4	Your Board and our agency have similar mission	4	issues up in this Board. So that's a little
5	statements. Both focus on preserving water resources	5	background.
6	for future and present generations our agency is a bit	6	So, first of all, let's see. Let me clear
7	different, though, we're not regulatory however our	7	up what's this? You've seen many of these slides
8	strength is working with the community, ag and urban to	8	before. I'm not a Restorationist, as some people have
9	find solutions. I understand nitrate and groundwater	9	said, you know, wanting to go in the way back machine
10	is an issue. Nitrate take a long time to show up in	10	and get back here, but I do want to say that this is
11	the water systems, so what we're seeing now could be	11	the Lower Salinas River many years ago, water, riparian
12	the result of something in the water system for many	12	vegetation, and fish.
13	decades I agree with Mr. Thomas' slide that solutions	13	MR. JEFFRIES: That was me, fishing.
	are available the agency has done a lot of work with	14	MR. SHIMEK: I just turned 60, Russ, so I'm right
14	-	14 15	MR. SHIMEK: I just turned 60, Russ, so I'm right up there.
14 15	are available the agency has done a lot of work with		
14 15 16	are available the agency has done a lot of work with nitrates over the years and basically when I think	15	up there.
14 15 16 17	are available the agency has done a lot of work with nitrates over the years and basically when I think about this Ag Order I think about the three r's,	15 16	up there. MR. JEFFRIES: I'm a couple days ahead of you.
14 15 16 17 18	are available the agency has done a lot of work with nitrates over the years and basically when I think about this Ag Order I think about the three r's, reading, writing, arithmetic. The three r's here is to	15 16 17	up there. MR. JEFFRIES: I'm a couple days ahead of you. MR. SHIMEK: And here's some of the water that we
14 15 16 17 18 19	are available the agency has done a lot of work with nitrates over the years and basically when I think about this Ag Order I think about the three r's, reading, writing, arithmetic. The three r's here is to rely. To rely on good unbiased signs be wary of gross	15 16 17 18	up there. MR. JEFFRIES: I'm a couple days ahead of you. MR. SHIMEK: And here's some of the water that we have today. It is bad. It's really bad. And the
L4 L5 L6 L7 L8 L9 20	are available the agency has done a lot of work with nitrates over the years and basically when I think about this Ag Order I think about the three r's, reading, writing, arithmetic. The three r's here is to rely. To rely on good unbiased signs be wary of gross overgeneralizations that come from comparing and using	15 16 17 18 19	up there. MR. JEFFRIES: I'm a couple days ahead of you. MR. SHIMEK: And here's some of the water that we have today. It is bad. It's really bad. And the practices that we have out there today, some of them
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	are available the agency has done a lot of work with nitrates over the years and basically when I think about this Ag Order I think about the three r's, reading, writing, arithmetic. The three r's here is to rely. To rely on good unbiased signs be wary of gross overgeneralizations that come from comparing and using data that may not be apples to apples, if you will.	15 16 17 18 19 20	up there. MR. JEFFRIES: I'm a couple days ahead of you. MR. SHIMEK: And here's some of the water that we have today. It is bad. It's really bad. And the practices that we have out there today, some of them are just atrocious. Some of them are really good, but
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	are available the agency has done a lot of work with nitrates over the years and basically when I think about this Ag Order I think about the three r's, reading, writing, arithmetic. The three r's here is to rely. To rely on good unbiased signs be wary of gross overgeneralizations that come from comparing and using data that may not be apples to apples, if you will. Also be careful not to add more to this order than you	15 16 17 18 19 20 21	up there. MR. JEFFRIES: I'm a couple days ahead of you. MR. SHIMEK: And here's some of the water that we have today. It is bad. It's really bad. And the practices that we have out there today, some of them are just atrocious. Some of them are really good, but some of them are really bad.
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	are available the agency has done a lot of work with nitrates over the years and basically when I think about this Ag Order I think about the three r's, reading, writing, arithmetic. The three r's here is to rely. To rely on good unbiased signs be wary of gross overgeneralizations that come from comparing and using data that may not be apples to apples, if you will. Also be careful not to add more to this order than you really should have. Or that can impinge on routine	15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	up there. MR. JEFFRIES: I'm a couple days ahead of you. MR. SHIMEK: And here's some of the water that we have today. It is bad. It's really bad. And the practices that we have out there today, some of them are just atrocious. Some of them are really good, but some of them are really bad. This is runoff from, you know, into a ditch
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	are available the agency has done a lot of work with nitrates over the years and basically when I think about this Ag Order I think about the three r's, reading, writing, arithmetic. The three r's here is to rely. To rely on good unbiased signs be wary of gross overgeneralizations that come from comparing and using data that may not be apples to apples, if you will. Also be careful not to add more to this order than you really should have. Or that can impinge on routine flood control activities, for example. So the first is	15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	up there. MR. JEFFRIES: I'm a couple days ahead of you. MR. SHIMEK: And here's some of the water that we have today. It is bad. It's really bad. And the practices that we have out there today, some of them are just atrocious. Some of them are really good, but some of them are really bad. This is runoff from, you know, into a ditch and the water is just gone, you know, I mean, it's got

	nce respected for water.	1	When we talked about the Tiering system, I
2	And I think, that has led us to the problem	2	mean, I know, that this was wishful thinking, but my
	hat we have today. It was in many of the agricultural	3	thought was, you know, we've gone through all this kind
	reas, the only beneficial use that has been respected	4	of machination to try to figure out who's in Tier 1,
	or water has been use for agriculture. And I think	5	who's in Tier 2, and who's in Tier 3. Let's just draw
	hat part of the push back right now is that many of	6	a circle around the Lower Salinas and the Lower Santa
	he groups that I'm talking to, are seeing that there	7	Maria and throw them all into Tier 3. That's our
	re other beneficial uses, habitat, recreation,	8	problem area. We know it.
9 d	Irinking water. Those are values that are important,	9	Frankly, that would be the most fair
0 a	nd those are values this Board protects.	10	approach, but we made some decisions through this
1	We also have problems with sedimentation.	11	process whether it be to protect the small grower or
2 V	Ve've heard some stuff about, oh, your numbers as far	12	whatever that it led us to this Tiering structure,
3 a	is stormwater are really bad, and you've got to do	13	which makes us rely on the larger operations. That was
4 s	omething different. You've got to do something.	14	a decision made long ago. We're living with it now.
5 Y	'ou've got it do something, because we've got serious	15	Before now, the same people that agreed to that are now
6 s	edimentation problems that are flowing into our rivers	16	calling it arbitrary. Yesterday, when the court came
7 a	nd streams, clogging them, choking them, making them	17	out and it was a Harter report
³ u	nusable for other beneficial uses. We haven't valued	18	MS. McCHESNEY: Excuse me?
9 o	our riparian and wetland habitats. This is Winds River	19	MR. SHIMEK: Yes.
) C	Channel. This was done I don't know if this was	20	MS. McCHESNEY: Are you reporting on a ruling of
L d	lone with or without a permit. I was told it was done	21	the Harter report?
2 v	vith a permit, but, you know, there's people that say,	22	MR. SHIMEK: Mr. Barbeau's Harter report, and,
3 d	lefer to the other agencies. Well, this was apparently	23	excuse me, but are you suggesting that this is not new
4 a	permitted bulldozing of a river channel. Our water	24	information?
5 is	s suffering because of it. This is that same	25	MS. McCHESNEY: It's not.
	Page 262		Page 2
1 s	tatewide report that was referred to earlier and,	1	MR. SHIMEK: You actually said in the record that
	Ir. Johnston, I do believe that it is skewed toward the	2	if some of things that were in this report were based
	problem areas. I don't believe that it's a sampling of	3	upon the Los Huertos report, which wasn't in the record
	ust the problem areas, though. Big Sur is part of the	4	at all.
,	ample, Carmel, many rivers that are clean.	5	MS. McCHESNEY: And the Los Huertos' oral comments
5 5 6	In other words, it's a snapshot with a little	6	were in the February workshop, so it appears that
	it of extra emphasis on the problem areas, but when	7	Mr. Shimek wants to discuss the report. And I don't
		8	·
,	ou look at this, 22 percent of our waters are highly	9	know how much you want to discuss it.
	oxic. 22 percent of those samples. Many of those		MR. SHIMEK: I think I have three or four slides.
	ites are toxic every single time that they are	10	MR. YOUNG: I prefer that you don't.
	ampled.	11	MR. SHIMEK: I guess I
2	Mr. Los Huertos said, well, you know, you	12	MR. YOUNG: So that's it. I mean, it's just best
	an the water quality will change over time. We're	13	that we avoid it.
	alking places that are sampled and every single time,	14	MR. SHIMEK: So the most recent information you
	hey are toxic. It doesn't get much more cut and dried	15	don't want to allow into the record?
	han that, I agree with Ms. Cleary when she says, well,	16	MR. YOUNG: It's not that. It's what is in the
	he alternative is that we have no deal.	17	record is in the records, so you just have to stick
3	This is, again, you've seen this. I think,	18	with that.
	Caren Worcester, actually put this up. This is	19	MR. SHIMEK: One more sentence?
		20	MR. YOUNG: Sure.
t	oxicity in the Lower Salinas. This is toxicity in the		MR. SHIMEK: My one more sentence would be that,
) to L L	oxicity in the Lower Salinas. This is toxicity in the ower Santa Maria. I haven't seen many arrows pointing	21	
) to 1 L		22	to me, and I think what Mr. Jeffries was alluding to is
) ta 1 L 2 u	ower Santa Maria. I haven't seen many arrows pointing		•
) ta 1 L 2 u 3 p	ower Santa Maria. I haven't seen many arrows pointing p. It's getting worse. I don't see many arrows	22	to me, and I think what Mr. Jeffries was alluding to is
0 ta 1 L 2 u 3 p 4 a	ower Santa Maria. I haven't seen many arrows pointing p. It's getting worse. I don't see many arrows pointing down. This is nitrate in the Lower Salinas	22 23	to me, and I think what Mr. Jeffries was alluding to is that the fact that the entire intent of public content

Atkinson-Baker, Inc. Court Reporters

(800) 288-3376 67 (Pages 262 to 265)

1	huinging in	1	
2	bringing in		of people.
2	MR. YOUNG: I would say, it's not to bring us new	2	MS. DUNHAM: May I make a comment?
3	information, it's to comment on what's in the record,	3	MR. SHIMEK: Sure.
4	and what's been provided.	4	MS. DUNHAM: Thank you.
5	MS. McCHESNEY: Well, Mr. Young, what I had said	5	Tess Dunham for the record. With respect to
6	earlier, was that I agreed with Ms. Dunham the report	6	our objection, I would contend that what, as Mr. Shimek
7	itself should not be in the record, because it was not	7	has just described, what he intends to discuss is new
8	provided before the cutoff date, but there is also, in	8	evidence, and to me, that is a huge demarkation between
9	the provision of the Water Code that governs this kind	9	what we had done and what he is looking to put into the
10	of procedure, that parties can provide rebuttal	10	record. And because this is new evidence, technical
11	information and can provide, um, you know, information	11	information, and evidentiary, you know, being used in
12	to support their statements, so it kind of depends on	12	the matter of evidence in order to rebut and that is
13	what Mr. Shimek wants to talk about, but he is not the	13	why we would have an objection to its inclusion even in
14	person to put it in the record. But there is, you	14	an oral format. This is a huge report. It's just been
15	know, discussions about Mr. Farr, Congressman Farr, and	15	released, and no one has had the opportunity to review
16	his report, and it seems reasonable to at least talk	16	it in its entirety in order to actually rebut any of
17	about it a little bit, but I don't know how much you	17	the information in it.
18	want to talk about it.	18	Thank you.
19	MR. YOUNG: Where do you draw the line?	19	MS. McCHESNEY: I just want to add if you will let
20	MS. McCHESNEY: Um	20	me read from the regulations that apply to this type of
21	MR. YOUNG: Maybe to the extent you're proposing	21	proceeding.
22	to show some slides that are actually from the report	22	MR. YOUNG: Go ahead.
23	would be actually introducing part of the report, so it	23	THE REPORTER: Can you speak up a little bit?
24	would be better to talk about the report without	24	MS. McCHESNEY: Sorry. Rebuttal testimony
25	showing pages from the report.	25	generally
	Page 266		Page 268
			ŭ
1	MR. SHIMEK: I would be I'm looking to the	1	MR. YOUNG: What are you reading from?
1 2	MR. SHIMEK: I would be I'm looking to the chair.	1 2	
			MR. YOUNG: What are you reading from?
2	chair.	2	MR. YOUNG: What are you reading from? MS. McCHESNEY: I'm reading from the State Board
2 3	chair. MR. YOUNG: I think that's reasonable.	2 3	MR. YOUNG: What are you reading from? MS. McCHESNEY: I'm reading from the State Board Meeting Regulations that apply to adjudicative
2 3 4	chair.MR. YOUNG: I think that's reasonable.MR. SHIMEK: Okay. Maybe, how are we going to do this? Click through them? I'm asking for solutions.	2 3 4	MR. YOUNG: What are you reading from? MS. McCHESNEY: I'm reading from the State Board Meeting Regulations that apply to adjudicative proceedings at the Regional Water Quality Control
2 3 4 5	chair. MR. YOUNG: I think that's reasonable. MR. SHIMEK: Okay. Maybe, how are we going to do	2 3 4 5	MR. YOUNG: What are you reading from? MS. McCHESNEY: I'm reading from the State Board Meeting Regulations that apply to adjudicative proceedings at the Regional Water Quality Control Board.
2 3 4 5 6	 chair. MR. YOUNG: I think that's reasonable. MR. SHIMEK: Okay. Maybe, how are we going to do this? Click through them? I'm asking for solutions. MS. McCHESNEY: Yes, just click through them. My 	2 3 4 5 6	 MR. YOUNG: What are you reading from? MS. McCHESNEY: I'm reading from the State Board Meeting Regulations that apply to adjudicative proceedings at the Regional Water Quality Control Board. MR. YOUNG: Which number, which section number?
2 3 4 5 6 7	 chair. MR. YOUNG: I think that's reasonable. MR. SHIMEK: Okay. Maybe, how are we going to do this? Click through them? I'm asking for solutions. MS. McCHESNEY: Yes, just click through them. My suggestion is that we will make sure that the record 	2 3 4 5 6 7	 MR. YOUNG: What are you reading from? MS. McCHESNEY: I'm reading from the State Board Meeting Regulations that apply to adjudicative proceedings at the Regional Water Quality Control Board. MR. YOUNG: Which number, which section number? McCHESNEY: Section 684.4 (f).
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 chair. MR. YOUNG: I think that's reasonable. MR. SHIMEK: Okay. Maybe, how are we going to do this? Click through them? I'm asking for solutions. MS. McCHESNEY: Yes, just click through them. My suggestion is that we will make sure that the record does not include those slides, as well, and you can say 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. YOUNG: What are you reading from? MS. McCHESNEY: I'm reading from the State Board Meeting Regulations that apply to adjudicative proceedings at the Regional Water Quality Control Board. MR. YOUNG: Which number, which section number? McCHESNEY: Section 684.4 (f). MR. YOUNG: (f)?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 chair. MR. YOUNG: I think that's reasonable. MR. SHIMEK: Okay. Maybe, how are we going to do this? Click through them? I'm asking for solutions. MS. McCHESNEY: Yes, just click through them. My suggestion is that we will make sure that the record does not include those slides, as well, and you can say whatever you want to say. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. YOUNG: What are you reading from? MS. McCHESNEY: I'm reading from the State Board Meeting Regulations that apply to adjudicative proceedings at the Regional Water Quality Control Board. MR. YOUNG: Which number, which section number? McCHESNEY: Section 684.4 (f). MR. YOUNG: (f)? MS. McCHESNEY: Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 chair. MR. YOUNG: I think that's reasonable. MR. SHIMEK: Okay. Maybe, how are we going to do this? Click through them? I'm asking for solutions. MS. McCHESNEY: Yes, just click through them. My suggestion is that we will make sure that the record does not include those slides, as well, and you can say whatever you want to say. MR. SHIMEK: Okay. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MR. YOUNG: What are you reading from? MS. McCHESNEY: I'm reading from the State Board Meeting Regulations that apply to adjudicative proceedings at the Regional Water Quality Control Board. MR. YOUNG: Which number, which section number? McCHESNEY: Section 684.4 (f). MR. YOUNG: (f)? MS. McCHESNEY: Yes. "Rebuttal testimony generally will
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 chair. MR. YOUNG: I think that's reasonable. MR. SHIMEK: Okay. Maybe, how are we going to do this? Click through them? I'm asking for solutions. MS. McCHESNEY: Yes, just click through them. My suggestion is that we will make sure that the record does not include those slides, as well, and you can say whatever you want to say. MR. SHIMEK: Okay. MR. YOUNG: Do you really need them? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 MR. YOUNG: What are you reading from? MS. McCHESNEY: I'm reading from the State Board Meeting Regulations that apply to adjudicative proceedings at the Regional Water Quality Control Board. MR. YOUNG: Which number, which section number? McCHESNEY: Section 684.4 (f). MR. YOUNG: (f)? MS. McCHESNEY: Yes. "Rebuttal testimony generally will not be required to be submitted in writing,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 chair. MR. YOUNG: I think that's reasonable. MR. SHIMEK: Okay. Maybe, how are we going to do this? Click through them? I'm asking for solutions. MS. McCHESNEY: Yes, just click through them. My suggestion is that we will make sure that the record does not include those slides, as well, and you can say whatever you want to say. MR. SHIMEK: Okay. MR. YOUNG: Do you really need them? MR. SHIMEK: I think I need them to rebut some of 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 MR. YOUNG: What are you reading from? MS. McCHESNEY: I'm reading from the State Board Meeting Regulations that apply to adjudicative proceedings at the Regional Water Quality Control Board. MR. YOUNG: Which number, which section number? McCHESNEY: Section 684.4 (f). MR. YOUNG: (f)? MS. McCHESNEY: Yes. "Rebuttal testimony generally will not be required to be submitted in writing, nor will rebuttal testimony and exhibits be
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 chair. MR. YOUNG: I think that's reasonable. MR. SHIMEK: Okay. Maybe, how are we going to do this? Click through them? I'm asking for solutions. MS. McCHESNEY: Yes, just click through them. My suggestion is that we will make sure that the record does not include those slides, as well, and you can say whatever you want to say. MR. SHIMEK: Okay. MR. YOUNG: Do you really need them? MR. SHIMEK: I think I need them to rebut some of the things that have been said. In other words, some 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 MR. YOUNG: What are you reading from? MS. McCHESNEY: I'm reading from the State Board Meeting Regulations that apply to adjudicative proceedings at the Regional Water Quality Control Board. MR. YOUNG: Which number, which section number? McCHESNEY: Section 684.4 (f). MR. YOUNG: (f)? MS. McCHESNEY: Yes. "Rebuttal testimony generally will not be required to be submitted in writing, nor will rebuttal testimony and exhibits be required to be submitted prior to the start
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 chair. MR. YOUNG: I think that's reasonable. MR. SHIMEK: Okay. Maybe, how are we going to do this? Click through them? I'm asking for solutions. MS. McCHESNEY: Yes, just click through them. My suggestion is that we will make sure that the record does not include those slides, as well, and you can say whatever you want to say. MR. SHIMEK: Okay. MR. SHIMEK: Okay. MR. SHIMEK: I think I need them to rebut some of the things that have been said. In other words, some things that have been said are things, such as, it's 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 MR. YOUNG: What are you reading from? MS. McCHESNEY: I'm reading from the State Board Meeting Regulations that apply to adjudicative proceedings at the Regional Water Quality Control Board. MR. YOUNG: Which number, which section number? McCHESNEY: Section 684.4 (f). MR. YOUNG: (f)? MS. McCHESNEY: Yes. "Rebuttal testimony generally will not be required to be submitted in writing, nor will rebuttal testimony and exhibits be required to be submitted prior to the start of the hearing."
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 chair. MR. YOUNG: I think that's reasonable. MR. SHIMEK: Okay. Maybe, how are we going to do this? Click through them? I'm asking for solutions. MS. McCHESNEY: Yes, just click through them. My suggestion is that we will make sure that the record does not include those slides, as well, and you can say whatever you want to say. MR. SHIMEK: Okay. MR. YOUNG: Do you really need them? MR. SHIMEK: I think I need them to rebut some of the things that have been said are things, such as, it's not agriculture. That's the problem. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 MR. YOUNG: What are you reading from? MS. McCHESNEY: I'm reading from the State Board Meeting Regulations that apply to adjudicative proceedings at the Regional Water Quality Control Board. MR. YOUNG: Which number, which section number? McCHESNEY: Section 684.4 (f). MR. YOUNG: (f)? MS. McCHESNEY: Yes. "Rebuttal testimony generally will not be required to be submitted in writing, nor will rebuttal testimony and exhibits be required to be submitted prior to the start of the hearing." So Mr. Shimek describes the purpose of his
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 chair. MR. YOUNG: I think that's reasonable. MR. SHIMEK: Okay. Maybe, how are we going to do this? Click through them? I'm asking for solutions. MS. McCHESNEY: Yes, just click through them. My suggestion is that we will make sure that the record does not include those slides, as well, and you can say whatever you want to say. MR. SHIMEK: Okay. MR. YOUNG: Do you really need them? MR. SHIMEK: I think I need them to rebut some of the things that have been said. In other words, some things that have been said are things, such as, it's not agriculture. That's the problem. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 MR. YOUNG: What are you reading from? MS. McCHESNEY: I'm reading from the State Board Meeting Regulations that apply to adjudicative proceedings at the Regional Water Quality Control Board. MR. YOUNG: Which number, which section number? McCHESNEY: Section 684.4 (f). MR. YOUNG: (f)? MS. McCHESNEY: Yes. "Rebuttal testimony generally will not be required to be submitted in writing, nor will rebuttal testimony and exhibits be required to be submitted prior to the start of the hearing." So Mr. Shimek describes the purpose of his
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 chair. MR. YOUNG: I think that's reasonable. MR. SHIMEK: Okay. Maybe, how are we going to do this? Click through them? I'm asking for solutions. MS. McCHESNEY: Yes, just click through them. My suggestion is that we will make sure that the record does not include those slides, as well, and you can say whatever you want to say. MR. SHIMEK: Okay. MR. YOUNG: Do you really need them? MR. SHIMEK: I think I need them to rebut some of the things that have been said. In other words, some things that have been said are things, such as, it's not agriculture. That's the problem. And some of the things that have been said is that, you know, that the nitrate problem is the legacy 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 MR. YOUNG: What are you reading from? MS. McCHESNEY: I'm reading from the State Board Meeting Regulations that apply to adjudicative proceedings at the Regional Water Quality Control Board. MR. YOUNG: Which number, which section number? McCHESNEY: Section 684.4 (f). MR. YOUNG: (f)? MS. McCHESNEY: Yes. "Rebuttal testimony generally will not be required to be submitted in writing, nor will rebuttal testimony and exhibits be required to be submitted prior to the start of the hearing." So Mr. Shimek describes the purpose of his wanting to talk about that as making a decision whether that is a reasonable rebuttal.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 chair. MR. YOUNG: I think that's reasonable. MR. SHIMEK: Okay. Maybe, how are we going to do this? Click through them? I'm asking for solutions. MS. McCHESNEY: Yes, just click through them. My suggestion is that we will make sure that the record does not include those slides, as well, and you can say whatever you want to say. MR. SHIMEK: Okay. MR. YOUNG: Do you really need them? MR. SHIMEK: I think I need them to rebut some of the things that have been said. In other words, some things that have been said are things, such as, it's not agriculture. That's the problem. And some of the things that have been said is that, you know, that the nitrate problem is the legacy and it's not getting worse, that we don't have data, you know, and some of the things said are that, you 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 MR. YOUNG: What are you reading from? MS. McCHESNEY: I'm reading from the State Board Meeting Regulations that apply to adjudicative proceedings at the Regional Water Quality Control Board. MR. YOUNG: Which number, which section number? McCHESNEY: Section 684.4 (f). MR. YOUNG: (f)? MS. McCHESNEY: Yes. "Rebuttal testimony generally will not be required to be submitted in writing, nor will rebuttal testimony and exhibits be required to be submitted prior to the start of the hearing." So Mr. Shimek describes the purpose of his wanting to talk about that as making a decision whether that is a reasonable rebuttal. MR. YOUNG: Well, I think rebuttal testimony, he
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 chair. MR. YOUNG: I think that's reasonable. MR. SHIMEK: Okay. Maybe, how are we going to do this? Click through them? I'm asking for solutions. MS. McCHESNEY: Yes, just click through them. My suggestion is that we will make sure that the record does not include those slides, as well, and you can say whatever you want to say. MR. SHIMEK: Okay. MR. YOUNG: Do you really need them? MR. SHIMEK: I think I need them to rebut some of the things that have been said. In other words, some things that have been said are things, such as, it's not agriculture. That's the problem. And some of the things that have been said is that, you know, that the nitrate problem is the legacy and it's not getting worse, that we don't have data, you know, and some of the things like that, and 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 MR. YOUNG: What are you reading from? MS. McCHESNEY: I'm reading from the State Board Meeting Regulations that apply to adjudicative proceedings at the Regional Water Quality Control Board. MR. YOUNG: Which number, which section number? McCHESNEY: Section 684.4 (f). MR. YOUNG: (f)? MS. McCHESNEY: Yes. "Rebuttal testimony generally will not be required to be submitted in writing, nor will rebuttal testimony and exhibits be required to be submitted prior to the start of the hearing." So Mr. Shimek describes the purpose of his wanting to talk about that as making a decision whether that is a reasonable rebuttal. MR. YOUNG: Well, I think rebuttal testimony, he can come in, but still, I think, the details of the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 chair. MR. YOUNG: I think that's reasonable. MR. SHIMEK: Okay. Maybe, how are we going to do this? Click through them? I'm asking for solutions. MS. McCHESNEY: Yes, just click through them. My suggestion is that we will make sure that the record does not include those slides, as well, and you can say whatever you want to say. MR. SHIMEK: Okay. MR. YOUNG: Do you really need them? MR. SHIMEK: I think I need them to rebut some of the things that have been said. In other words, some things that have been said are things, such as, it's not agriculture. That's the problem. And some of the things that have been said is that, you know, that the nitrate problem is the legacy and it's not getting worse, that we don't have data, you know, and some of the things like that, and there's been not clarity on which water systems and 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 MR. YOUNG: What are you reading from? MS. McCHESNEY: I'm reading from the State Board Meeting Regulations that apply to adjudicative proceedings at the Regional Water Quality Control Board. MR. YOUNG: Which number, which section number? McCHESNEY: Section 684.4 (f). MR. YOUNG: (f)? MS. McCHESNEY: Yes. "Rebuttal testimony generally will not be required to be submitted in writing, nor will rebuttal testimony and exhibits be required to be submitted prior to the start of the hearing." So Mr. Shimek describes the purpose of his wanting to talk about that as making a decision whether that is a reasonable rebuttal. MR. YOUNG: Well, I think, the details of the report should not come in. I think, if you want to draw a conclusion to the report to support your
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 chair. MR. YOUNG: I think that's reasonable. MR. SHIMEK: Okay. Maybe, how are we going to do this? Click through them? I'm asking for solutions. MS. McCHESNEY: Yes, just click through them. My suggestion is that we will make sure that the record does not include those slides, as well, and you can say whatever you want to say. MR. SHIMEK: Okay. MR. YOUNG: Do you really need them? MR. SHIMEK: I think I need them to rebut some of the things that have been said. In other words, some things that have been said are things, such as, it's not agriculture. That's the problem. And some of the things that have been said is that, you know, that the nitrate problem is the legacy and it's not getting worse, that we don't have data, you know, and some of the things like that, and there's been not clarity on which water systems and stuff. And I think we can talk about the what I 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 MR. YOUNG: What are you reading from? MS. McCHESNEY: I'm reading from the State Board Meeting Regulations that apply to adjudicative proceedings at the Regional Water Quality Control Board. MR. YOUNG: Which number, which section number? McCHESNEY: Section 684.4 (f). MR. YOUNG: (f)? MS. McCHESNEY: Yes. "Rebuttal testimony generally will not be required to be submitted in writing, nor will rebuttal testimony and exhibits be required to be submitted prior to the start of the hearing." So Mr. Shimek describes the purpose of his wanting to talk about that as making a decision whether that is a reasonable rebuttal. MR. YOUNG: Well, I think rebuttal testimony, he can come in, but still, I think, the details of the report should not come in. I think, if you want to draw a conclusion to the report to support your position, I think that's fine and likewise, if you're
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 chair. MR. YOUNG: I think that's reasonable. MR. SHIMEK: Okay. Maybe, how are we going to do this? Click through them? I'm asking for solutions. MS. McCHESNEY: Yes, just click through them. My suggestion is that we will make sure that the record does not include those slides, as well, and you can say whatever you want to say. MR. SHIMEK: Okay. MR. YOUNG: Do you really need them? MR. SHIMEK: I think I need them to rebut some of the things that have been said. In other words, some things that have been said are things, such as, it's not agriculture. That's the problem. And some of the things that have been said is that, you know, that the nitrate problem is the legacy and it's not getting worse, that we don't have data, you know, and some of the things like that, and there's been not clarity on which water systems and stuff. And I think we can talk about the what I want to talk about is the trends. I want to talk about 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 MR. YOUNG: What are you reading from? MS. McCHESNEY: I'm reading from the State Board Meeting Regulations that apply to adjudicative proceedings at the Regional Water Quality Control Board. MR. YOUNG: Which number, which section number? McCHESNEY: Section 684.4 (f). MR. YOUNG: (f)? MS. McCHESNEY: Yes. "Rebuttal testimony generally will not be required to be submitted in writing, nor will rebuttal testimony and exhibits be required to be submitted prior to the start of the hearing." So Mr. Shimek describes the purpose of his wanting to talk about that as making a decision whether that is a reasonable rebuttal. MR. YOUNG: Well, I think rebuttal testimony, he can come in, but still, I think, the details of the report should not come in. I think, if you want to draw a conclusion to the report to support your position, I think that's fine and likewise, if you're done, you have another five minutes of rebuttal time if
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 chair. MR. YOUNG: I think that's reasonable. MR. SHIMEK: Okay. Maybe, how are we going to do this? Click through them? I'm asking for solutions. MS. McCHESNEY: Yes, just click through them. My suggestion is that we will make sure that the record does not include those slides, as well, and you can say whatever you want to say. MR. SHIMEK: Okay. MR. YOUNG: Do you really need them? MR. SHIMEK: I think I need them to rebut some of the things that have been said. In other words, some things that have been said are things, such as, it's not agriculture. That's the problem. And some of the things that have been said is that, you know, that the nitrate problem is the legacy and it's not getting worse, that we don't have data, you know, and some of the things like that, and there's been not clarity on which water systems and stuff. And I think we can talk about the what I 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 MR. YOUNG: What are you reading from? MS. McCHESNEY: I'm reading from the State Board Meeting Regulations that apply to adjudicative proceedings at the Regional Water Quality Control Board. MR. YOUNG: Which number, which section number? McCHESNEY: Section 684.4 (f). MR. YOUNG: (f)? MS. McCHESNEY: Yes. "Rebuttal testimony generally will not be required to be submitted in writing, nor will rebuttal testimony and exhibits be required to be submitted prior to the start of the hearing." So Mr. Shimek describes the purpose of his wanting to talk about that as making a decision whether that is a reasonable rebuttal. MR. YOUNG: Well, I think rebuttal testimony, he can come in, but still, I think, the details of the report should not come in. I think, if you want to draw a conclusion to the report to support your position, I think that's fine and likewise, if you're

1	it. I don't know.	1	Valley, but the same information is essentially true,
2	MS. DUNHAM: Okay.	2	and we all know it, for the Salinas and Santa Maria
3	MR. YOUNG: I'm just trying to call the balls and	3	Valleys.
4	strikes here. All right. It's not easy.	4	People are getting hurt while you delay. The
5	Okay. So the details I'd like to just leave	5	environment is degrading while you delay. I truly
6	out, but if you want to draw or want to tell us about	6	believe that. This a problem, and it's got to be
7	the conclusion in the report to support your position,	7	addressed.
8	I think that's fair game.	8	I'll be quick through one more slide.
9	MR. SHIMEK: All right. Now I'm trying to force	9	The Central Coast Regional Water Quality
LO	through, I truly am.	10	Control Board sent us a letter back in 2008 and said,
L1	MR. YOUNG: I don't want to see tables from the	11	"Here are the things that we want to do with this
12	report and that kind of evidence in detail, but if you	12	Order." And it was a and I just want I won't
L3	want to give us conclusions from the report that	13	read it, I want everyone to read it here: And it is my
L4	support your rebuttal testimony, to counter what has	14	belief that we can all stand back and we can, kind of,
5	been said, okay.	15	salute the flag and say that these are all good things
6	MR. SHIMEK: Okay. I'll avert your eyes. I	16	and yet when I was part of the panel discuss, just last
17	don't I mean, how am I supposed to do it?	17	week, I think it was, an agricultural representative
8	MR. YOUNG: Just go through it.	18	said, "These are the things that got us mad." This is
9	MR. SHIMEK: You want me to go through it?	19	apple pie. This is what you are sworn to protect:
20	MR. YOUNG: No. No.	20	Aquatic habitats, toxicity, nutrients, people's
21	MR. SHIMEK: I'm trying to figure out what	21	health. This is important stuff. This is what we
22	MS. McCHESNEY: Now summarize your	22	should be about. But I guess, we can't agree on this.
23	MR. SHIMEK: Okay. Thank you.	23	While Congressman Farr's comments he stood up and
24	My summary of what I had hoped to show you	24	talked about how important this it, and while I respect
25	and illustrate to you was that nitrate pollution in	25	his comments and take them to heart, this process is
	Page 270)	Page 27
		-	
1	groundwells and in wells, both drinking water wells and	1	happening under Porter-Cologne. It's not happening
1 2	groundwells and in wells, both drinking water wells and in irrigation wells is widespread and supported by many	1 2	happening under Porter-Cologne. It's not happening under the Clean Water Act. And he's just another guy,
2	in irrigation wells is widespread and supported by many	2	under the Clean Water Act. And he's just another guy,
2 3	in irrigation wells is widespread and supported by many studies, including recent studies.	2	under the Clean Water Act. And he's just another guy, once it turns into this process. And I know he's not,
2 3 4	in irrigation wells is widespread and supported by many studies, including recent studies. Um, the other thing that I want to suggest to	2 3 4	under the Clean Water Act. And he's just another guy, once it turns into this process. And I know he's not, but he should be. This is not a Federal process. This
2 3 4 5	in irrigation wells is widespread and supported by many studies, including recent studies. Um, the other thing that I want to suggest to you is that there are there's information out there	2 3 4 5	under the Clean Water Act. And he's just another guy, once it turns into this process. And I know he's not, but he should be. This is not a Federal process. This is what we should be focusing on.
2 3 4 5 6	in irrigation wells is widespread and supported by many studies, including recent studies. Um, the other thing that I want to suggest to you is that there are there's information out there about inputs and outputs. And that material, the	2 3 4 5 6	under the Clean Water Act. And he's just another guy, once it turns into this process. And I know he's not, but he should be. This is not a Federal process. This is what we should be focusing on. I've also shown this before. This is in a
2 3 4 5 6 7	in irrigation wells is widespread and supported by many studies, including recent studies. Um, the other thing that I want to suggest to you is that there are there's information out there about inputs and outputs. And that material, the inputs, is by far the vast majority is agricultural	2 3 4 5 6 7	under the Clean Water Act. And he's just another guy, once it turns into this process. And I know he's not, but he should be. This is not a Federal process. This is what we should be focusing on. I've also shown this before. This is in a staff report This was September. And this, basically
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	in irrigation wells is widespread and supported by many studies, including recent studies. Um, the other thing that I want to suggest to you is that there are there's information out there about inputs and outputs. And that material, the inputs, is by far the vast majority is agricultural irrigated, not cattle not it's irrigated ag inputs.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	under the Clean Water Act. And he's just another guy, once it turns into this process. And I know he's not, but he should be. This is not a Federal process. This is what we should be focusing on. I've also shown this before. This is in a staff report This was September. And this, basically says, so in other words, if you stand back and you say,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	in irrigation wells is widespread and supported by many studies, including recent studies. Um, the other thing that I want to suggest to you is that there are there's information out there about inputs and outputs. And that material, the inputs, is by far the vast majority is agricultural irrigated, not cattle not it's irrigated ag inputs. There is information, both recent and old that shows	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	under the Clean Water Act. And he's just another guy, once it turns into this process. And I know he's not, but he should be. This is not a Federal process. This is what we should be focusing on. I've also shown this before. This is in a staff report This was September. And this, basically says, so in other words, if you stand back and you say, okay, that previous slide was apple pie, then the only
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	in irrigation wells is widespread and supported by many studies, including recent studies. Um, the other thing that I want to suggest to you is that there are there's information out there about inputs and outputs. And that material, the inputs, is by far the vast majority is agricultural irrigated, not cattle not it's irrigated ag inputs. There is information, both recent and old that shows that agriculture is the problem when it comes to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	under the Clean Water Act. And he's just another guy, once it turns into this process. And I know he's not, but he should be. This is not a Federal process. This is what we should be focusing on. I've also shown this before. This is in a staff report This was September. And this, basically says, so in other words, if you stand back and you say, okay, that previous slide was apple pie, then the only conclusion that you could come to is if the only
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	in irrigation wells is widespread and supported by many studies, including recent studies. Um, the other thing that I want to suggest to you is that there are there's information out there about inputs and outputs. And that material, the inputs, is by far the vast majority is agricultural irrigated, not cattle not it's irrigated ag inputs. There is information, both recent and old that shows that agriculture is the problem when it comes to nutrient loading into surface waters and into	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	under the Clean Water Act. And he's just another guy, once it turns into this process. And I know he's not, but he should be. This is not a Federal process. This is what we should be focusing on. I've also shown this before. This is in a staff report This was September. And this, basically says, so in other words, if you stand back and you say, okay, that previous slide was apple pie, then the only conclusion that you could come to is if the only proposals that serve up that apple pie are the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	in irrigation wells is widespread and supported by many studies, including recent studies. Um, the other thing that I want to suggest to you is that there are there's information out there about inputs and outputs. And that material, the inputs, is by far the vast majority is agricultural irrigated, not cattle not it's irrigated ag inputs. There is information, both recent and old that shows that agriculture is the problem when it comes to nutrient loading into surface waters and into groundwaters.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	under the Clean Water Act. And he's just another guy, once it turns into this process. And I know he's not, but he should be. This is not a Federal process. This is what we should be focusing on. I've also shown this before. This is in a staff report This was September. And this, basically says, so in other words, if you stand back and you say, okay, that previous slide was apple pie, then the only conclusion that you could come to is if the only proposals that serve up that apple pie are the environmental proposal that I was a part of, and Staff
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	in irrigation wells is widespread and supported by many studies, including recent studies. Um, the other thing that I want to suggest to you is that there are there's information out there about inputs and outputs. And that material, the inputs, is by far the vast majority is agricultural irrigated, not cattle not it's irrigated ag inputs. There is information, both recent and old that shows that agriculture is the problem when it comes to nutrient loading into surface waters and into groundwaters. We have seen this slide. This slide is of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	under the Clean Water Act. And he's just another guy, once it turns into this process. And I know he's not, but he should be. This is not a Federal process. This is what we should be focusing on. I've also shown this before. This is in a staff report This was September. And this, basically says, so in other words, if you stand back and you say, okay, that previous slide was apple pie, then the only conclusion that you could come to is if the only proposals that serve up that apple pie are the environmental proposal that I was a part of, and Staff Proposal. Those the only ones that do. The other
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	in irrigation wells is widespread and supported by many studies, including recent studies. Um, the other thing that I want to suggest to you is that there are there's information out there about inputs and outputs. And that material, the inputs, is by far the vast majority is agricultural irrigated, not cattle not it's irrigated ag inputs. There is information, both recent and old that shows that agriculture is the problem when it comes to nutrient loading into surface waters and into groundwaters. We have seen this slide. This slide is of what's happening in our environment. In our	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	under the Clean Water Act. And he's just another guy, once it turns into this process. And I know he's not, but he should be. This is not a Federal process. This is what we should be focusing on. I've also shown this before. This is in a staff report This was September. And this, basically says, so in other words, if you stand back and you say, okay, that previous slide was apple pie, then the only conclusion that you could come to is if the only proposals that serve up that apple pie are the environmental proposal that I was a part of, and Staff Proposal. Those the only ones that do. The other proposals do not.
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	in irrigation wells is widespread and supported by many studies, including recent studies. Um, the other thing that I want to suggest to you is that there are there's information out there about inputs and outputs. And that material, the inputs, is by far the vast majority is agricultural irrigated, not cattle not it's irrigated ag inputs. There is information, both recent and old that shows that agriculture is the problem when it comes to nutrient loading into surface waters and into groundwaters. We have seen this slide. This slide is of what's happening in our environment. In our environment, there are animals that are dying, and they	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	under the Clean Water Act. And he's just another guy, once it turns into this process. And I know he's not, but he should be. This is not a Federal process. This is what we should be focusing on. I've also shown this before. This is in a staff report This was September. And this, basically says, so in other words, if you stand back and you say, okay, that previous slide was apple pie, then the only conclusion that you could come to is if the only proposals that serve up that apple pie are the environmental proposal that I was a part of, and Staff Proposal. Those the only ones that do. The other proposals do not. There was an opinion, I assume Frances wrote it. I'm not sure, but that was in the Staff report of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	in irrigation wells is widespread and supported by many studies, including recent studies. Um, the other thing that I want to suggest to you is that there are there's information out there about inputs and outputs. And that material, the inputs, is by far the vast majority is agricultural irrigated, not cattle not it's irrigated ag inputs. There is information, both recent and old that shows that agriculture is the problem when it comes to nutrient loading into surface waters and into groundwaters. We have seen this slide. This slide is of what's happening in our environment. In our environment, there are animals that are dying, and they are dying because nutrient-rich water is blooming in fresh water systems, washing out to sea and killing	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	under the Clean Water Act. And he's just another guy, once it turns into this process. And I know he's not, but he should be. This is not a Federal process. This is what we should be focusing on. I've also shown this before. This is in a staff report This was September. And this, basically says, so in other words, if you stand back and you say, okay, that previous slide was apple pie, then the only conclusion that you could come to is if the only proposals that serve up that apple pie are the environmental proposal that I was a part of, and Staff Proposal. Those the only ones that do. The other proposals do not. There was an opinion, I assume Frances wrote it. I'm not sure, but that was in the Staff report of which was a legal analysis of the Ag Alternative and,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	in irrigation wells is widespread and supported by many studies, including recent studies. Um, the other thing that I want to suggest to you is that there are there's information out there about inputs and outputs. And that material, the inputs, is by far the vast majority is agricultural irrigated, not cattle not it's irrigated ag inputs. There is information, both recent and old that shows that agriculture is the problem when it comes to nutrient loading into surface waters and into groundwaters. We have seen this slide. This slide is of what's happening in our environment. In our environment, there are animals that are dying, and they are dying because nutrient-rich water is blooming in fresh water systems, washing out to sea and killing those animals. These are problems in our environment.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	under the Clean Water Act. And he's just another guy, once it turns into this process. And I know he's not, but he should be. This is not a Federal process. This is what we should be focusing on. I've also shown this before. This is in a staff report This was September. And this, basically says, so in other words, if you stand back and you say, okay, that previous slide was apple pie, then the only conclusion that you could come to is if the only proposals that serve up that apple pie are the environmental proposal that I was a part of, and Staff Proposal. Those the only ones that do. The other proposals do not. There was an opinion, I assume Frances wrote it. I'm not sure, but that was in the Staff report of which was a legal analysis of the Ag Alternative and, basically, it says that it's not legal for a couple of
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 .0 .1 .2 .3 .4 .5 .6 .7 .8 .9	in irrigation wells is widespread and supported by many studies, including recent studies. Um, the other thing that I want to suggest to you is that there are there's information out there about inputs and outputs. And that material, the inputs, is by far the vast majority is agricultural irrigated, not cattle not it's irrigated ag inputs. There is information, both recent and old that shows that agriculture is the problem when it comes to nutrient loading into surface waters and into groundwaters. We have seen this slide. This slide is of what's happening in our environment. In our environment, there are animals that are dying, and they are dying because nutrient-rich water is blooming in fresh water systems, washing out to sea and killing those animals. These are problems in our environment. One of big changes that has happened within me, as a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	under the Clean Water Act. And he's just another guy, once it turns into this process. And I know he's not, but he should be. This is not a Federal process. This is what we should be focusing on. I've also shown this before. This is in a staff report This was September. And this, basically says, so in other words, if you stand back and you say, okay, that previous slide was apple pie, then the only conclusion that you could come to is if the only proposals that serve up that apple pie are the environmental proposal that I was a part of, and Staff Proposal. Those the only ones that do. The other proposals do not. There was an opinion, I assume Frances wrote it. I'm not sure, but that was in the Staff report of which was a legal analysis of the Ag Alternative and, basically, it says that it's not legal for a couple of different reasons. One reason was it creates disparity
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	in irrigation wells is widespread and supported by many studies, including recent studies. Um, the other thing that I want to suggest to you is that there are there's information out there about inputs and outputs. And that material, the inputs, is by far the vast majority is agricultural irrigated, not cattle not it's irrigated ag inputs. There is information, both recent and old that shows that agriculture is the problem when it comes to nutrient loading into surface waters and into groundwaters. We have seen this slide. This slide is of what's happening in our environment. In our environment, there are animals that are dying, and they are dying because nutrient-rich water is blooming in fresh water systems, washing out to sea and killing those animals. These are problems in our environment. One of big changes that has happened within me, as a result of this process, is a greater appreciation,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	under the Clean Water Act. And he's just another guy, once it turns into this process. And I know he's not, but he should be. This is not a Federal process. This is what we should be focusing on. I've also shown this before. This is in a staff report This was September. And this, basically says, so in other words, if you stand back and you say, okay, that previous slide was apple pie, then the only conclusion that you could come to is if the only proposals that serve up that apple pie are the environmental proposal that I was a part of, and Staff Proposal. Those the only ones that do. The other proposals do not. There was an opinion, I assume Frances wrote it. I'm not sure, but that was in the Staff report of which was a legal analysis of the Ag Alternative and, basically, it says that it's not legal for a couple of different reasons. One reason was it creates disparity in group and in equities. The other reason that it,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	in irrigation wells is widespread and supported by many studies, including recent studies. Um, the other thing that I want to suggest to you is that there are there's information out there about inputs and outputs. And that material, the inputs, is by far the vast majority is agricultural irrigated, not cattle not it's irrigated ag inputs. There is information, both recent and old that shows that agriculture is the problem when it comes to nutrient loading into surface waters and into groundwaters. We have seen this slide. This slide is of what's happening in our environment. In our environment, there are animals that are dying, and they are dying because nutrient-rich water is blooming in fresh water systems, washing out to sea and killing those animals. These are problems in our environment. One of big changes that has happened within me, as a result of this process, is a greater appreciation, frankly, for the human impacts of this pollution.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	under the Clean Water Act. And he's just another guy, once it turns into this process. And I know he's not, but he should be. This is not a Federal process. This is what we should be focusing on. I've also shown this before. This is in a staff report This was September. And this, basically says, so in other words, if you stand back and you say, okay, that previous slide was apple pie, then the only conclusion that you could come to is if the only proposals that serve up that apple pie are the environmental proposal that I was a part of, and Staff Proposal. Those the only ones that do. The other proposals do not. There was an opinion, I assume Frances wrote it. I'm not sure, but that was in the Staff report of which was a legal analysis of the Ag Alternative and, basically, it says that it's not legal for a couple of different reasons. One reason was it creates disparity in group and in equities. The other reason that it, basically, says is because it doesn't comply with Water
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	in irrigation wells is widespread and supported by many studies, including recent studies. Um, the other thing that I want to suggest to you is that there are there's information out there about inputs and outputs. And that material, the inputs, is by far the vast majority is agricultural irrigated, not cattle not it's irrigated ag inputs. There is information, both recent and old that shows that agriculture is the problem when it comes to nutrient loading into surface waters and into groundwaters. We have seen this slide. This slide is of what's happening in our environment. In our environment, there are animals that are dying, and they are dying because nutrient-rich water is blooming in fresh water systems, washing out to sea and killing those animals. These are problems in our environment. One of big changes that has happened within me, as a result of this process, is a greater appreciation, frankly, for the human impacts of this pollution. This was a study that was done earlier, and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	under the Clean Water Act. And he's just another guy, once it turns into this process. And I know he's not, but he should be. This is not a Federal process. This is what we should be focusing on. I've also shown this before. This is in a staff report This was September. And this, basically says, so in other words, if you stand back and you say, okay, that previous slide was apple pie, then the only conclusion that you could come to is if the only proposals that serve up that apple pie are the environmental proposal that I was a part of, and Staff Proposal. Those the only ones that do. The other proposals do not. There was an opinion, I assume Frances wrote it. I'm not sure, but that was in the Staff report of which was a legal analysis of the Ag Alternative and, basically, it says that it's not legal for a couple of different reasons. One reason was it creates disparity in group and in equities. The other reason that it, basically, says is because it doesn't comply with Water Code, which was one of the things that we are
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 22 3	in irrigation wells is widespread and supported by many studies, including recent studies. Um, the other thing that I want to suggest to you is that there are there's information out there about inputs and outputs. And that material, the inputs, is by far the vast majority is agricultural irrigated, not cattle not it's irrigated ag inputs. There is information, both recent and old that shows that agriculture is the problem when it comes to nutrient loading into surface waters and into groundwaters. We have seen this slide. This slide is of what's happening in our environment. In our environment, there are animals that are dying, and they are dying because nutrient-rich water is blooming in fresh water systems, washing out to sea and killing those animals. These are problems in our environment. One of big changes that has happened within me, as a result of this process, is a greater appreciation, frankly, for the human impacts of this pollution.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	under the Clean Water Act. And he's just another guy, once it turns into this process. And I know he's not, but he should be. This is not a Federal process. This is what we should be focusing on. I've also shown this before. This is in a staff report This was September. And this, basically says, so in other words, if you stand back and you say, okay, that previous slide was apple pie, then the only conclusion that you could come to is if the only proposals that serve up that apple pie are the environmental proposal that I was a part of, and Staff Proposal. Those the only ones that do. The other proposals do not. There was an opinion, I assume Frances wrote it. I'm not sure, but that was in the Staff report of which was a legal analysis of the Ag Alternative and, basically, it says that it's not legal for a couple of different reasons. One reason was it creates disparity in group and in equities. The other reason that it, basically, says is because it doesn't comply with Water Code, which was one of the things that we are talking that we were discussing earlier.
2 4 5 6 7 8	in irrigation wells is widespread and supported by many studies, including recent studies. Um, the other thing that I want to suggest to you is that there are there's information out there about inputs and outputs. And that material, the inputs, is by far the vast majority is agricultural irrigated, not cattle not it's irrigated ag inputs. There is information, both recent and old that shows that agriculture is the problem when it comes to nutrient loading into surface waters and into groundwaters. We have seen this slide. This slide is of what's happening in our environment. In our environment, there are animals that are dying, and they are dying because nutrient-rich water is blooming in fresh water systems, washing out to sea and killing those animals. These are problems in our environment. One of big changes that has happened within me, as a result of this process, is a greater appreciation, frankly, for the human impacts of this pollution. This was a study that was done earlier, and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	under the Clean Water Act. And he's just another guy, once it turns into this process. And I know he's not, but he should be. This is not a Federal process. This is what we should be focusing on. I've also shown this before. This is in a staff report This was September. And this, basically says, so in other words, if you stand back and you say, okay, that previous slide was apple pie, then the only conclusion that you could come to is if the only proposals that serve up that apple pie are the environmental proposal that I was a part of, and Staff Proposal. Those the only ones that do. The other proposals do not. There was an opinion, I assume Frances wrote it. I'm not sure, but that was in the Staff report of which was a legal analysis of the Ag Alternative and, basically, it says that it's not legal for a couple of different reasons. One reason was it creates disparity in group and in equities. The other reason that it, basically, says is because it doesn't comply with Water Code, which was one of the things that we are

Atkinson-Baker, Inc. Court Reporters

		1	
1	that half of the sentence out. It also says that	1	So you have a municipality that's standing
2	monitoring must be appropriate, and I'm using plain	2	back and saying, why are you picking on us when you're
3	language here, it must be appropriate to actually	3	giving somebody else a free pass? And that letter is
4	assign, you know, who's responsible. It does talk	4	in my slides, so you can read it. And you've got
5	about cooperative monitoring, but it addresses	5	California Department of Public Health saying the
6	cooperative monitoring in a sense that it still must be	6	problem is serious, and you need to go ahead.
7	scaled in a way that you can assign who is the	7	The environment is suffering, people are
8	problem. But she left that out, that's important	8	at-risk, and you need to pass this Order. You need to
9	stuff.	9	pass it at this meeting. I just I can't stress that
10	Many growers Bob Horn has become a good	10	enough. I've got a ton of passion for this, but this
11	friend of mine and many growers are committed to	11	has gone on long enough. If you think that between now
12	good water quality and he's one of them, but Farmers	12	and September, the political system, it's going to get
13	for Water Quality is doing a number of things that I	13	easier for you to pass something in September, you're
14	think is important.	14	crazy. I have been called in to departments and
15	First of all, they have actually said that	15	agencies that I've never been called in before to talk
16		16	about this. It's going to get harder in September.
10 17	their strategy some of them have actually said that	17	This is your moment. The time is now. The Staff
	their strategy is to get the 2004 Order back. I	18	
18	maintain, yes. And on their websites, it actually says		Proposal has been watered down enough. The Staff
19	their petition and ask for a stay. It says that.	19	Proposal allows Mr. Los Huertos and Mr. Clark to do
20	So my point being, if the end game here is to	20	their projects. Their projects are good. They can be
21	go back to 2004, how are you going to get the good	21	allowed in the Staff Proposal. Let's move ahead.
22	ideas of Marc Los Huertos and Ross Porter? There will	22	Let's start protecting the environment. Let's start
23	be no incentive for those ideas under the 2004 Order.	23	protecting people.
24	If we have good projects stripped out of the	24	Does anyone have any questions?
25	frame of that, you know, thing that says, okay, you're	25	MR. YOUNG: Mr. Delgado and then Mr. Johnston.
	Page 274		Page 276
1	not going to have to report, I think we'll have	1	MR. DELGADO: Steve. That's you, Steve.
2	success. That is encapsulated in the current Order.	2	The point on the monitoring, you said
3	It allows for these projects to come forward, and be	3	monitoring has to be recorded, and it has to be
4	proposed, be evaluated, and be approved. It's in	4	reported, and it has to be appropriate to assign who is
5	there. I want Ross Port's wetlands. Frankly, I want	5	responsible. And is that specified in the Water Code
6	Mr. Los Huertos' to have some successful projects.	6	sections that, or in the MPS Policy that it has to be
7	They need to be monitored. They need to be written	7	reported to an individual basis?
8	down. They need to be properly scaled. They need to	8	-
			MR. SHIMEK: No. So, first of all, I'm not a
9	be properly submitted to. But I want those projects	9	lawyer, Mr. Delgado, and I think Mr. Ali comes up and
10	the Staff Proposal allows those projects. The two most	10	speaks in a few minutes, and will cover this directly,
11	important letters that have been submitted so far, in	11	but I read the letterhead, and at least the way I read
12	my opinion, are the Monterey City letter, which	12	it, is it says that "Water Quality Monitoring results
13	basically says, why in the heck should we do stuff	13	will be recorded." And I think that's an important
14	if stormwater stuff, when Ag is getting a free	14	distinction. And I just take it as plain face.
15	pass? I think that's an important question, and I hear	15	The second thing is that I think that it does
16	that from ASPS people as well.	16	say, and again I'm offering plain English kind of
17	It makes it impossible for you to govern and	17	reading of, and the way I read it, it basically says
18	regulate if you do not regulate the biggest	18	that, yes, third-party monitoring is appropriate, and
19	discharger.	19	that's how they got the third-party monitoring. Water
20	The second most important letter, I think, is	20	Quality Preservation Inc., is a third party. They did
21	the GPR letter, not the GPR The California	21	the monitoring program and they reported the results to
22	Department of Public Health where they said, they think	22	the public and the Regional Board. And it's and I
23	that this is good idea. They think the problem is	23	think it's that transparency that leads to
24	serious. They think you need to go ahead and pass this	24	accountability. It's my belief that the only way that
25	Order	25	what Mr. Clark and what Mr. Los Huertos wants to do,
	Order.	1	
	Page 275		Page 277

<u> </u>			
1	what they want to do, the only way they get to do it is	1	that stuff moves sideways, when we're doing
2	if there's the back stop of good regulation.	2	groundwater.
3	Now, the point of, is there a way around	3	MR. SHIMEK: I agree.
4	individual reporting? I believe that if a project	4	MR. JOHNSTON: So one of the concepts that I was
5	comes forward, and let's just say it's an engineered	5	thinking about and talking about with Staff is
6	wetland, because that's easy to think of.	6	aggregated groundwater monitoring, as not necessarily
7	Let's just say an engineered wetland comes	7	every single well, not necessarily every single farmer,
8	forward and says, we are going to take care of the	8	as long as it gives us a fine enough detail,
9	discharge of these eight farms. And so you've	9	geographic, and it's really a three-dimensional
10	identified the eight farms, and those people have	10	geographic detail, to understand what's going on down
11	signed on, I think you can water quality monitoring	11	there in terms of nitrates and sets some baselines.
12	that moves off the edge of the farm and becomes	12	Do you see a problem with that?
13	ethicacy of the project.	13	MR. SHIMEK: Not in the way you've described it.
14	You still have accountability because those	14	There are cautions that I would have.
15	eight farms, you know, have signed on the dotted line.	15	One caution is that I don't think that all
16	You're still doing monitoring that's measuring how well	16	groundwater is old groundwater. I think some of it
17	they're performing. That's my solution to moving off	17	responds some groundwater responds very quickly.
18	of the farm.	18	The San Jerardo experience taught us that
19	MR. DELGADO: And in that example the monitoring	19	San Jerardo, as I've heard it called, is when the field
20	would be above, inside, and below the wetland	20	went fallow above it and this could have been about the
21	treatment?	21	well, you know, back flows in the well or something.
22	MR. SHIMEK: You know, I think inside is so I	22	But when the field went fallow, the water quality
22	think above and below. I don't know about inside.	23	improved. It was just that fast. So I think that as
24		24	
24 25	MR. DELGADO: Okay.	25	an approach, I agree with you. I think that there are
25	MR. YOUNG: Mr. Johnston.	25	instances where you're going to want to know the
	Page 278		Page 280
1	MR. JOHNSTON: I was actually curious about what	1	ethicacy of what's going on with them.
2	you were saying about monitoring, too. And were you	2	MR. JOHNSTON: I just have to say, it could well
3	applying that groundwater monitoring, as well? The	3	have been at San Jerardo that it was a field four farms
4	groundwater monitoring need to be reported it needs to	4	over going fallow that did that, too. I mean, I
5	be reportee to individual operations?	5	understand there was a time connection to the fallow
6	MR. SHIMEK: I think that there's additional	6	season.
7	so, again, I'm going to throw in the caveat again, that	7	MR. YOUNG: Okay.
8	I'm not a lawyer. But, I think, that there is	8	MR. JOHNSTON: Done.
9	additional law on water quality monitoring, basically,	9	MR. SHIMEK: So Water Code section?
10	the location of the well cannot be reported and so	10	MR. YOUNG: Okay. You're done.
11	and I agree that the individual people, um, that could	11	MR. SHIMEK: Unless there are any other questions
12	lead to the location, so in other words, as I	12	for me.
13	understand it, what that's moved to is a	13	MR. YOUNG: There aren't any.
14	quarter-by-quarter mile square, and you have to refer	14	MR. DELGADO: You want to ask him about the Water
15	to it within the square somehow. That's the way it's	15	Code?
15 16	been interpreted so there is additional law about that.	16	MR. YOUNG: I'm going to call him next. Now let
± 0	been interpreted by there is additional law about that.		
17	MP IOHNSTON: As a practical matter not a local		him give us the Water Code presentation, okay.
	MR. JOHNSTON: As a practical matter, not a legal	17	MP DELCADO: Thank you
18	matter, one of the things I've been thinking about is	18	MR. DELGADO: Thank you.
18 19	matter, one of the things I've been thinking about is aggregated groundwater monitoring, as long as it's what	18 19	MR. YOUNG: I'm trying to retain one set. What
18 19 20	matter, one of the things I've been thinking about is aggregated groundwater monitoring, as long as it's what we need we, the Regional Water Quality Control	18 19 20	MR. YOUNG: I'm trying to retain one set. What good would it do you now?
18 19 20 21	matter, one of the things I've been thinking about is aggregated groundwater monitoring, as long as it's what we need we, the Regional Water Quality Control Board, from groundwater monitoring, is to really	18 19 20 21	MR. YOUNG: I'm trying to retain one set. What good would it do you now? All right. So that concludes the
18 19 20 21 22	matter, one of the things I've been thinking about is aggregated groundwater monitoring, as long as it's what we need we, the Regional Water Quality Control Board, from groundwater monitoring, is to really understand what's going on down there and knowing the	18 19 20 21 22	MR. YOUNG: I'm trying to retain one set. What good would it do you now? All right. So that concludes the presentations that asked for additional time, with
18 19 20 21 22 23	matter, one of the things I've been thinking about is aggregated groundwater monitoring, as long as it's what we need we, the Regional Water Quality Control Board, from groundwater monitoring, is to really understand what's going on down there and knowing the results from underneath an individual farmer's farm	18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. YOUNG: I'm trying to retain one set. What good would it do you now? All right. So that concludes the presentations that asked for additional time, with people mixed in who had to leave early.
18 19 20 21 22 23 24	matter, one of the things I've been thinking about is aggregated groundwater monitoring, as long as it's what we need we, the Regional Water Quality Control Board, from groundwater monitoring, is to really understand what's going on down there and knowing the results from underneath an individual farmer's farm doesn't necessarily assign accountability, because that	 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 	MR. YOUNG: I'm trying to retain one set. What good would it do you now? All right. So that concludes the presentations that asked for additional time, with people mixed in who had to leave early. What we are going to do now is I think, I
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	matter, one of the things I've been thinking about is aggregated groundwater monitoring, as long as it's what we need we, the Regional Water Quality Control Board, from groundwater monitoring, is to really understand what's going on down there and knowing the results from underneath an individual farmer's farm	18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. YOUNG: I'm trying to retain one set. What good would it do you now? All right. So that concludes the presentations that asked for additional time, with people mixed in who had to leave early.

1	know, 100 minutes. We figured two hours to complete	1	the Ag Folks can expect to be back? I don't
2	this portion. We're going to probably end tonight at	2	particularly want to get people here at 9:00, if we are
3	that point. It's just too much, I think, for us to sit	3	not going to get to them until 1:00 in the afternoon.
4	up here and be able to have staff take some time to	4	MR. KEELING: Right. Um, I'm the optimist. I'd
5	review Ms. Dunham's presentation, which they're going	5	say there wouldn't be need to show up, say, until
6	to want to do, so we could have a discussion about it.	6	10:30.
7	I would propose to you, we continue this	7	MR. YOUNG: Yeah. It's a guess. And if you
8	first thing in the morning and we would go right to the	8	MR. KEELING: Show up at 10:30, and we may have
9	Staff's presentation and recommendations on what they	9	already started.
10	heard. Ms. Dunham will have her five-minute rebuttal.	10	MR. YOUNG: It's just a guess. That's
11	Then the Board	11	MR. KEELING: At least 10:00.
12	MS. McCHESNEY: She has to rebuttal first, and	12	MR. YOUNG: It's a reasonable guess.
13	then Staff's recommendations.	13	MR. KEELING: And the hope, Mr. Chairman, again,
14	MS. DUNHAM: Staff always gets the last word,	14	would be that we conclude the public comments tonight.
15	Chair.	15	MR. YOUNG: That's what we're going to do.
16	MR. JEFFRIES: Get used to it.	16	MR. BRIGGS: All right.
17	MR. YOUNG: Okay.	17	MR. YOUNG: All right.
18	MS. DUNHAM: But I like your process, Sir.	18	Mr. Schmidt?
19	MR. YOUNG: All right. So that's what we are	19	MR. SCHMIDT: It makes a larger difference whether
20	going to do.	20	you take this up early tomorrow morning and we book a
21	Everyone that's still here is going to speak,	21	hotel room, or you take it up tomorrow afternoon and we
22	unless someone is are going to leave at the break. And	22	go home and come back. Because while I truly enjoy
23	then just going to have dinner and that's it for	23	San Luis Obispo, and it's a wonderful tourist town, I
24	tonight.	24	didn't come prepared to enjoy the sites while you take
25	So we will now proceed with our quest	25	up your action, if you're not going to get to this
20			
	Page 282		Page 284
1	speakers.	1	until 4:00 in the afternoon, tomorrow.
2	MR. JEFFRIES: I have a request here.	2	MR. YOUNG: We are not going to start this at
3	MR. YOUNG: Yes?	3	4:00 o'clock tomorrow. I'll tell you that.
4	MS. WHITAKER: I have to leave for another meeting	4	MS. McCHESNEY: Well, you could pick a time
5	So if you wouldn't mind pulling my card out of there.	5	certain that it wouldn't start before, you know.
6	MR. YOUNG: Okay. And your name?	6	MR. BRIGGS: Not before 10:00.
7	MS. WHITAKER: Gina Whitaker.	7	MR. YOUNG: Not before 10:00, but the action item
8	MR. YOUNG: Okay.	8	will take around
9	MR. JOHNSTON: Mr. Chairman	9	MR. KEELING: Actually, Roger is in a better
10	MR. YOUNG: Yes?	10	position to answer that than me.
11	MR. JOHNSTON: you may want to state where the	11	MR. BRIGGS: Initially, I said 10:30, to make sure
12	meeting is going to be tomorrow.	12	we don't start before. I would say 10:00, then. We
13	MR. YOUNG: That's a great question.	13	wouldn't start before then. But I think 10:30 is more
14	MR. JOHNSTON: It's Hector Hernandez' question.	14	realistic.
15	I can't take credit.	15	MR. YOUNG: Okay.
16	And to clarify I was suggesting that we take	16	10:30.
17	care of our action items tomorrow first, because we	17	MS. McCHESNEY: We can say we won't begin before
18	will have people showing up for that, as a noticed	18	10:30.
19	meeting. And then the Board would take up those issues	19	MR. YOUNG: Okay. All right. Come on up,
20	at our office conference room, which is 895 Aerovista,	20	Ms. Whitaker. And then Nathan Ali.
21	the address is in the Agenda, but notice for	21	Is Mr. Merkley still here? He'll be next,
22	continuation for tomorrow's meeting, regular meeting,	22	and then Kay Mercer.
23	starts at 8:30. Like I said, we'll take care of the	23	Go ahead. Gina Whitaker; right?
24	action items tomorrow first.	24	MS. WHITAKER: Yes. Thank you very much.
25	Do we have an approximate sense, then, when	25	Pleasure to address the Board.
1	Page 283		Page 285

1	Page 287		Page 289
25	to improve it. So with those two things in mind, I	25	MR. JEFFRIES: Before we go forward, can I have a
24	is no longer adequate to protect waterfall, and we need	24	Norm Groot.
23	your Staff and others have pointed out, the old Waiver	23	Danny Merkley, Kay Mercer, Darlene Din and
22	has expired. It did so almost three years ago. And as	22	MR. YOUNG: Thank you.
21	We're here for two reasons. The old Waiver	21	Thank you very much.
20	potential resolution tomorrow.	20	of the law.
19	this issue for quite some time, and looking for a	19	them as a whole, we believe does not follow the letter
18	The Environmental Defense Center. I've been working on	18	results, messing around with them, and then presenting
17	My name is Nathan Ali. I'm an attorney with	17	specific, the idea of monitoring, collecting those
16	That will be better for my posture.	16	earlier, might be a different scenario. But, in
15	My name is Nathan Ali thank you so much.	15	Monitoring the aggregate, as Mr. Johnson mentioned
14	scoot down a little bit.	14	them, that we feel runs into legal problems.
13	MR. ALI: Thank you, Mr. Chair. I'm going to	13	aggregating the margin results, and then reporting
12	Darlene Din.	12	public and it's the best scenario where you are
11	Okay. Nathan Ali, Danny Merkley, Kay Mercer	11	monitoring results shall be made available to the
10	MR. YOUNG: Thank you for your comments.	10	our biggest sticking points is this, again, requirement
9	Thank you.	9	full legality of the Ag Proposal, but I think one of
8	possible and avoid further delays.	8	we agree with counsel's analysis of the legality
7	in voting for the most effective and protected way	7	MR. ALI: to answer the previous question. So
6	Please do the right thing today for tomorrow	6	MR. YOUNG: One second. Tell us.
5	our drinking water supplies.	5	And if I may take just one more second
4	our land ethic, as that relates to our use and abuse of	4	Monitoring conditions must be such to actually verify.
3	In this dilemma, I believe we need to carefully examine	3	conditions" and that's what he was getting at.
2	ethic, living with the land, rather than merely on it.	2	verify the adequacy and effectiveness of the Waiver
1	Dr. Leopold wrote about developing a land	1	quote, "monitoring requirements shall be designed to
-	-	-	-
	Page 286		Page 288
25	Aldo Leopold, American Conservationist.	25	first thing is simply that, I'm going to
24	attending a moving film about The Life and Work of	24	believe that Mr. Shimek was referring to earlier. That
23	this Board. This past weekend, I had the privilege of	23	earlier. I'll just quote the Water Code. What I
22	municipalities. It lies squarely on the shoulders of	22	briefly address the questions that were raised
21	does not lie with health departments or	21	That's really my message. I will very
20	The responsibility to protect water safety	20	now.
19	economic status or ethnicity.	19	months talking about them, we want to do something
18	right for any community, regardless of its social or	18	forward. We didn't want to spend the next six to nine
17	imperative to support clean, safe water, as a basic	17	some merit and we'd like to see them potentially moved
16	As Unitarian Universalists it's our moral	16	in this room, a lot of good proposals that may have
15	Central Coast or in the Central Valley.	15	stand ready to do that. There are a lot of good ideas
14	important as the health of any population in the	14	well start getting working on it immediately and we
13	marginalized in many ways, but their health is as	13	three or four or five years down the road. We might as
12	Traditionally, these populations have been	12	very happy to look forward to the next Waiver. It's
11	represented here today.	11	comments in February, once you make a decision, we're
10	immigrant agriculture workers. I'm not sure they're	10	That being said, as I mentioned in my
9	by your decision today is made up poor Latino and	9	road.
8	Much of the population that will be affected	8	water quality, and it's time for the rubber to meet the
7	right for everyone.	7	three years, hasn't gone anywhere to directly improving
6	that safe, affordable and accessible water is a basic	6	agreed on, is all the talk we've done, in the last
5	religious community can bring to this discussion is	5	want to improve water quality. One thing we haven't
4	I'm but I believe the most important voice the	4	One thing, I think, we can all agree on is we
3	particular. I'm not a farmer. I'm not a regulator.	3	very least, please keep the ball moving forward.
2	voice from the faith community Unitary Universalism, in	2	vote, specifically, for the Staff Proposal, but at the
	My name is Gina Whitaker. I represent a	1	once again urge you to take some action tomorrow, to

int of clarification with counsel?	1	the Tier requirements. Now, granted, scaling back on
MR. YOUNG: Yes.	2	the number of Tier 3 growers did reduce the acute
MR. JEFFRIES: The gentleman just mentioned that	3	impact, but the number of the Tier 3 growers cannot be
2 2004 Order is expired. It has been continued. Is	4	verified, and there is considerable Staff discretion to
at correct?	5	add more growers during the Tier.
MS. McCHESNEY: Yes. The board continued	6	Hence, my criticism stands. It is assumed
newed it for a certain period of time and the	7	that the growers, with infinite practices, Marc
ecutive Officer renewed it and Mr. Ali and his	8	Los Huertos talked about how we don't have sufficient
ents have filed a petition with the State Board of	9	practices.
sources Control Board challenging the Executive	10	Um, March 9th, 2011, I sent a letter to the
icer's Extension of Waiver, so I think he's just	11	Board, basically, quantifying the positive things that
ting his legal opinion about that.	12	growers have done, and that was I used three files
MR. JEFFRIES: Well, I didn't want to focus things	13	of evidence to collaborate that information.
he Order had expired.	14	I also identified the 11 barriers to a
MS. McCHESNEY: No. It's still in effect.	15	management practices, implementation, and development.
MR. JEFFRIES: Okay. Thank you.	16	This information was considered to be credible enough
MR. YOUNG: Okay. Mr. Merkley.	17	that it formed a basis for a good chapter that was
MR. MERKLEY: With the exception of oh, Danny	18	published by the American Chemical Society.
rkley, California Farm Bureau.	19	As you move forward, you may want to go back
With the exception of moustaches, I believe	20	to that and talk about, why are we having so much
s is more, so I will be extremely brief, and just	21	difficulty matching management practices with our
nment on the fact that all the Staff's time, all the	22	problems?
e that has been expended to get us to here is simply	23	The bottom line to my review was that in
esult of a thought process. Not necessarily any one	24	spite of presentations, the State quarter input has not
rson's thought, but it is a thought process that has	25	necessarily been reflected in the changes in the
Page 290		Page 29
used us all to bump around like a bunch of blind dogs	1	Order.
a meat house. And this is why, every single day,	2	So the last thing I want to say is what if
in legislature, I'm hearing criticism and snide	3	the waiver process was improperly implemented, the
narks about the Regional Water Quality Control Board	4	point source approach fails to deliver, there's not
those legislators and legislative Staff, and that	5	enough technical capacity, what then is the Board's
ncerns me.	6	legacy? Please consider Marc De Los Huertos'
Thank you.	7	presentation and proposal, as you make your decision.
MR. YOUNG: Thank you for your comments.	8	Thank you.
Kay Mercer, Darlene Din.	9	MR. YOUNG: Thank you.
MS. MERCER: Before I begin, I had a request from	10	Darlene Din and Norm Groot, and Kirk Schmidt,
nember of the audience, if we can remove photos of	11	Michael Marsh.
Shimek's presentation.	12	MS. DIN: Sorry, Good afternoon, Board members.
MR. YOUNG: I haven't looked at it in 20 minutes	13	First of all, I'd like to open my comments
il you mentioned that. Now I'm looking at it	14	with, everyone deserves the right to an access of safe
	15	and clean drinking water, and no one in agriculture has
	16	ever made any other statement than that. Why the
ain. MS MERCER: Sorry Okay	17	
MS. MERCER: Sorry. Okay.		coalition approach will meet water quality improvement,
MS. MERCER: Sorry. Okay. Hello, my name is Kay Mercer and I am	18	from my point of view is there's been a lot of
MS. MERCER: Sorry. Okay. Hello, my name is Kay Mercer and I am In Finance. I was reviewing past letters from the	10	discussion on on-farm monitoring, meaning water quality
MS. MERCER: Sorry. Okay. Hello, my name is Kay Mercer and I am In Finance. I was reviewing past letters from the st three years.	19	improvements And I just want to hit one paint that
MS. MERCER: Sorry. Okay. Hello, my name is Kay Mercer and I am 'n Finance. I was reviewing past letters from the st three years. In my letter of April 10th, I identified 2	20	improvements. And I just want to hit one point that
MS. MERCER: Sorry. Okay. Hello, my name is Kay Mercer and I am In Finance. I was reviewing past letters from the st three years. In my letter of April 10th, I identified 2 egories for program deficiencies. I found the	20 21	really hits to the heart of some of this challenge.
MS. MERCER: Sorry. Okay. Hello, my name is Kay Mercer and I am In Finance. I was reviewing past letters from the st three years. In my letter of April 10th, I identified 2 egories for program deficiencies. I found the initoring requirement in six areas of data	20 21 22	really hits to the heart of some of this challenge. Farming is fluid, and we have multiple master
MS. MERCER: Sorry. Okay. Hello, my name is Kay Mercer and I am In Finance. I was reviewing past letters from the st three years. In my letter of April 10th, I identified 2 egories for program deficiencies. I found the initoring requirement in six areas of data ficiencies with the proposed Draft Order.	20 21 22 23	really hits to the heart of some of this challenge. Farming is fluid, and we have multiple master leases, and then we have subleasing, we flip and rotate
MS. MERCER: Sorry. Okay. Hello, my name is Kay Mercer and I am In Finance. I was reviewing past letters from the st three years. In my letter of April 10th, I identified 2 egories for program deficiencies. I found the initoring requirement in six areas of data	20 21 22	really hits to the heart of some of this challenge. Farming is fluid, and we have multiple master
MS. I H m Fina st thre Ir egorie	my letter of April 10th, I identified 2 s for program deficiencies. I found the	is for program deficiencies. I found the

1	Part of why Dr. Los Huertos' work is so	1	And, obviously, creativity is being stifled
2	important, because it's an approach in which you'll	2	through this process. The regulated community is here
3	have print analysis, and you'll apply it to a systems	3	telling you that this won't work, and set us up for
4	approach, where the Staff's approach while they were	4	failure. We have a proposal that is widely supported
5	dealing with issues, the Tiering system inherently is	5	within our community and will achieve water quality
6	flawed.	6	improvement. Instead of regulating water quality and
7	If you have a strawberry grower that's maybe	7	every ditch and retention on them, let's presume that
8	a Tier 2, and flips in with a vegetable grower the next	8	there's a larger picture here, that of watershed water
9	year that's 3, that has different, maybe you follow one	9	quality that could be achieved through growers
10	here, maybe you do another crop, had a Tier 1 in	10	cooperatively working together to manage solutions,
11	there. Over a ten-year period, you may have one or two	11	individual monitoring and reporting and reporting does
12	years sporadically by two different operators of	12	not achieve cooperative solutions.
13	monitoring. Explain to me why that data has value?	13	Please consider the Ag Alternative and
14	It's in a vacuum. It has no basis to cause and effect,	14	included in the agricultural Order so that with that
15	and actually do roots on the ground improvement.	15	language, so that growers can have a choice in how they
16	The other issue I want you to let you know	16	manage their water quality improvements. Thank you.
17	I personally spent a lot of time with the environmental	17	MR. YOUNG: Thank you, Mr. Groot.
18	community, in different aspects of conservation,	18	Kirk Schmidt.
19	community and whatnot, and I think working in teams is	19	MR. SCHMIDT: Thank you. I'm Kirk Schmidt,
20	really helpful. I appreciate, I learned from them, I	20	S-c-h-m-i-d-t. I'm the director of the Central Coast
21	appreciate work with labor.	21	Water Quality Preservation Preservation, Inc.
22	I'm sorry that at this stage I learned that	22	That's the cooperative monitoring program on behalf of
23	one of my best allied partners advocate on the other	23	the agriculture for the present waiver and the future
24	side, I can't sit at the table with, and that would be	24	waiver, and we have been working with the Staff in
25	helpful to make it happen. Make the legacy to improve,	25	detail and repeatedly since 2005.
20			
	Page 294		Page 296
1	please consider the Marc Los Huertos recommendation.	1	Starting in August of last year, we started
2	And thank you all.	2	working with Staff to see if we can get the adoption of
3	MR. YOUNG: Thank you, Ms. Din.	3	the MRP for the Cooperative Monitoring Program to be
4	Norm Groot.	4	inactive, so we could start that program this January.
5	MR. GROOT: Good morning.	5	If took us six months, between August and January, to
6	My name is Norm Groot, G-r-o-o-t. I'm the	6	work out the details so we can get it started in time.
7	Executive Director for Monterey County Farm Bureau, and	7	We have not commented on the scope of the present
8	I think we all want the same thing, and we're all	8	Draft, but we have commented on monitoring issues and
9	trying to get to that point.	9	you have a very difficult monitoring program envisioned
10	There are so many issues involved in this	10	in Tier 2 and Tier 3. It's difficult to apply if you
11	Ag Order that remain in question, and I just want to	11	have a 200-acre farm, you may have 20 10-acre plus,
12	point a few of those.	12	each farm two and a half times per season, that's 50
13	There is conflicting data sets that	13	plus. It's difficult to see how the farmers will have
14	mischaracterize water quality, particularly in Salinas	14	time between now and October, which is when the Waiver
15	Valley, in particularly the groundwater. There's	15	calls for the MRP, which is a monitoring program for
16		16	individual monitoring to be enacted.
1	widely varying estimates as to costs, as to how farmers	1 10	
17	widely varying estimates as to costs, as to how farmers will be impacted and how much it will cost them.	17	If you adopt the Waiver now, the dilemma is
17 18			If you adopt the Waiver now, the dilemma is that no matter how farmers read this, they still have
	will be impacted and how much it will cost them.	17	
18	will be impacted and how much it will cost them. There's unachievable targets for compliance	17 18	that no matter how farmers read this, they still have
18 19	will be impacted and how much it will cost them. There's unachievable targets for compliance not based on size or sound, or proven BMPs. There is	17 18 19	that no matter how farmers read this, they still have to interact with Staff to come up with a monitoring
18 19 20	will be impacted and how much it will cost them. There's unachievable targets for compliance not based on size or sound, or proven BMPs. There is prescribed buffers that are interpreted as the only	17 18 19 20	that no matter how farmers read this, they still have to interact with Staff to come up with a monitoring program that is consistent with what Staff envisions.
18 19 20 21	will be impacted and how much it will cost them. There's unachievable targets for compliance not based on size or sound, or proven BMPs. There is prescribed buffers that are interpreted as the only option that Farmers will have, and operators that have	17 18 19 20 21	that no matter how farmers read this, they still have to interact with Staff to come up with a monitoring program that is consistent with what Staff envisions. This means that they're asking for lots of
18 19 20 21 22	will be impacted and how much it will cost them. There's unachievable targets for compliance not based on size or sound, or proven BMPs. There is prescribed buffers that are interpreted as the only option that Farmers will have, and operators that have been the most proactive in the last ten years and have	17 18 19 20 21 22	that no matter how farmers read this, they still have to interact with Staff to come up with a monitoring program that is consistent with what Staff envisions. This means that they're asking for lots of communication. This has been difficult during this
18 19 20 21 22 23	will be impacted and how much it will cost them. There's unachievable targets for compliance not based on size or sound, or proven BMPs. There is prescribed buffers that are interpreted as the only option that Farmers will have, and operators that have been the most proactive in the last ten years and have improved water quality will be penalized the most,	17 18 19 20 21 22 23	that no matter how farmers read this, they still have to interact with Staff to come up with a monitoring program that is consistent with what Staff envisions. This means that they're asking for lots of communication. This has been difficult during this period of time because there's been an inability for

1			
	however, that also puts the farmers behind the curve as	1	eight-year-old to explain to them why they can't touch
2	far as the ability to develop a monitoring program that	2	the water. Don't go in it. Don't touch that. Don't
3	could be in place in October. It's very important that	3	splash in it. And it's very difficult, and I look
4	Staff and growers have an opportunity to consult with	4	forward to the day that I can let my boys play in those
5	each other on the scope of the Tier 2 and Tier 3	5	two water bodies.
6	monitoring in time to get this rolled out so there is	6	Thank you very much.
7	certainty about what they're expected to do.	7	MR. YOUNG: Thank you, Mr. Marsh.
8	Thank you very much.	8	Amy Hill, Andy Caldwell, Lisa Bucher, Tom
9	MR. YOUNG: Thank you for your comments.	9	MacPhearson.
0	Michael March.	10	MS. HILL: Hello. My name is Amy Petre Hill.
1	MR. JOHNSTON: Can I ask a question, is everybody	11	That's P-e-t-r-e, space, H-i-l-l. Thank you.
2	getting two minutes now or do they	12	I appreciate the opportunity to speak with
3	MR. YOUNG: Yes. No, they're getting two minutes.	13	you today. I'm on the Board of Trustees of the
4	MR. JOHNSTON: Oh, okay. I had thought the early	14	Unitarian Universalists Legislative Ministry. We even
5	people were getting two minutes and everybody else was	15	have a cool shirt.
6	getting three.	16	We are a state-wide organization of Unitarian
7	MR. YOUNG: Not with the number of cards we ended	17	Universalists congregations, bringing our voices to
8	up with and those are people that had to leave.	18	public issues we feel strongly about and this is one
9	MR. JOHNSTON: All right. You're the chair.	19	that our members particularly the Central Coast have
0	MR. YOUNG: Yes. Go ahead.	20	told us to fight. So I've driven down here to give
1		21	
2	MR. MARSH: Hi. My name is Michael Marsh,	22	testimony on behalf of another Board member, who is a
	M-a-r-s-h. I'm directing attorney of California Rural		pastor in Santa Barbara, who can't be here because of a
3	Legal Assistants in Salinas. We represent farm workers	23	pastorial issue. She needed to do what she needed to
4	and other low income people.	24	do. So I'm going to go ahead and read this, and then
5	Most of clients earn between 12- to \$15,000 a	25	I'm going to provide it to the secretary so they have
	Page 298		Page 30
1	year. They are in a very vulnerable, precarious	1	this. This is from Reverend Julie Hamilton. She's a
2	position in terms of their housing, in terms of their	2	minister at Santa Barbara Church, which has a very
3	employment, in terms of their educational	3	large meeting congregation.
4	opportunities, and really, they're innocent victims in	4	To the members of the Central Coast Water
5	this water battle. They're the people who have the	5	
	this water battle. They is the people who have the		Board Water has been an important issue in the lives
ĥ	most at rick. They are the people that will suffer the		Board. Water has been an important issue in the lives
	most at risk. They are the people that will suffer the	6	of those of us on the Central Coast. Every so often,
7	most because they have very, very limited options.	6 7	of those of us on the Central Coast. Every so often, we have the opportunity to take action in such a way
7 8	most because they have very, very limited options. They can't afford bottled water. They can't afford	6 7 8	of those of us on the Central Coast. Every so often, we have the opportunity to take action in such a way that insures future generations will continue to have
7 8 9	most because they have very, very limited options. They can't afford bottled water. They can't afford home filtration systems, water testing, and most of	6 7 8 9	of those of us on the Central Coast. Every so often, we have the opportunity to take action in such a way that insures future generations will continue to have access to this precious resource that we all must
7 8 9 0	most because they have very, very limited options. They can't afford bottled water. They can't afford home filtration systems, water testing, and most of them can't move anywhere unless it's to chase a crop	6 7 8 9 10	of those of us on the Central Coast. Every so often, we have the opportunity to take action in such a way that insures future generations will continue to have access to this precious resource that we all must share.
7 3 9 0	most because they have very, very limited options. They can't afford bottled water. They can't afford home filtration systems, water testing, and most of them can't move anywhere unless it's to chase a crop from one county to the next.	6 7 8 9 10 11	of those of us on the Central Coast. Every so often, we have the opportunity to take action in such a way that insures future generations will continue to have access to this precious resource that we all must share. Right now, there are people in our
7 8 9 0 1 2	most because they have very, very limited options. They can't afford bottled water. They can't afford home filtration systems, water testing, and most of them can't move anywhere unless it's to chase a crop from one county to the next. So those are the people who see this as a	6 7 8 9 10 11 12	of those of us on the Central Coast. Every so often, we have the opportunity to take action in such a way that insures future generations will continue to have access to this precious resource that we all must share. Right now, there are people in our communities that have no clean drinking water through
7 9 0 1 2 3	most because they have very, very limited options. They can't afford bottled water. They can't afford home filtration systems, water testing, and most of them can't move anywhere unless it's to chase a crop from one county to the next. So those are the people who see this as a very urgent issue. And I've sat here all day long.	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	of those of us on the Central Coast. Every so often, we have the opportunity to take action in such a way that insures future generations will continue to have access to this precious resource that we all must share. Right now, there are people in our communities that have no clean drinking water through contamination of local wells from agricultural run-off,
7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4	most because they have very, very limited options. They can't afford bottled water. They can't afford home filtration systems, water testing, and most of them can't move anywhere unless it's to chase a crop from one county to the next. So those are the people who see this as a very urgent issue. And I've sat here all day long. This is the first time I have been a part of this	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	of those of us on the Central Coast. Every so often, we have the opportunity to take action in such a way that insures future generations will continue to have access to this precious resource that we all must share. Right now, there are people in our communities that have no clean drinking water through contamination of local wells from agricultural run-off, particularly nitrates. There are people spending 10
7 9 0 1 2 3 4 5	most because they have very, very limited options. They can't afford bottled water. They can't afford home filtration systems, water testing, and most of them can't move anywhere unless it's to chase a crop from one county to the next. So those are the people who see this as a very urgent issue. And I've sat here all day long. This is the first time I have been a part of this process. My organization can get a lot more involved	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	of those of us on the Central Coast. Every so often, we have the opportunity to take action in such a way that insures future generations will continue to have access to this precious resource that we all must share. Right now, there are people in our communities that have no clean drinking water through contamination of local wells from agricultural run-off, particularly nitrates. There are people spending 10 percent of their income on safe water. The present
7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6	most because they have very, very limited options. They can't afford bottled water. They can't afford home filtration systems, water testing, and most of them can't move anywhere unless it's to chase a crop from one county to the next. So those are the people who see this as a very urgent issue. And I've sat here all day long. This is the first time I have been a part of this process. My organization can get a lot more involved in this process. And I just don't hear the urgency in	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	of those of us on the Central Coast. Every so often, we have the opportunity to take action in such a way that insures future generations will continue to have access to this precious resource that we all must share. Right now, there are people in our communities that have no clean drinking water through contamination of local wells from agricultural run-off, particularly nitrates. There are people spending 10 percent of their income on safe water. The present health risks are bad enough, but the situation will
7 3 9 0 1 2 3 1 5 5 7	most because they have very, very limited options. They can't afford bottled water. They can't afford home filtration systems, water testing, and most of them can't move anywhere unless it's to chase a crop from one county to the next. So those are the people who see this as a very urgent issue. And I've sat here all day long. This is the first time I have been a part of this process. My organization can get a lot more involved in this process. And I just don't hear the urgency in people's voices and I really expected to hear a lot	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	of those of us on the Central Coast. Every so often, we have the opportunity to take action in such a way that insures future generations will continue to have access to this precious resource that we all must share. Right now, there are people in our communities that have no clean drinking water through contamination of local wells from agricultural run-off, particularly nitrates. There are people spending 10 percent of their income on safe water. The present health risks are bad enough, but the situation will only get worse unless some reasonable action is taken
7 3 9 0 1 2 3 1 5 5 7	most because they have very, very limited options. They can't afford bottled water. They can't afford home filtration systems, water testing, and most of them can't move anywhere unless it's to chase a crop from one county to the next. So those are the people who see this as a very urgent issue. And I've sat here all day long. This is the first time I have been a part of this process. My organization can get a lot more involved in this process. And I just don't hear the urgency in	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	of those of us on the Central Coast. Every so often, we have the opportunity to take action in such a way that insures future generations will continue to have access to this precious resource that we all must share. Right now, there are people in our communities that have no clean drinking water through contamination of local wells from agricultural run-off, particularly nitrates. There are people spending 10 percent of their income on safe water. The present health risks are bad enough, but the situation will
7 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 5 7 3	most because they have very, very limited options. They can't afford bottled water. They can't afford home filtration systems, water testing, and most of them can't move anywhere unless it's to chase a crop from one county to the next. So those are the people who see this as a very urgent issue. And I've sat here all day long. This is the first time I have been a part of this process. My organization can get a lot more involved in this process. And I just don't hear the urgency in people's voices and I really expected to hear a lot	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	of those of us on the Central Coast. Every so often, we have the opportunity to take action in such a way that insures future generations will continue to have access to this precious resource that we all must share. Right now, there are people in our communities that have no clean drinking water through contamination of local wells from agricultural run-off, particularly nitrates. There are people spending 10 percent of their income on safe water. The present health risks are bad enough, but the situation will only get worse unless some reasonable action is taken
7 3 9 0 1 2 3 1 5 5 7 3 9	most because they have very, very limited options. They can't afford bottled water. They can't afford home filtration systems, water testing, and most of them can't move anywhere unless it's to chase a crop from one county to the next. So those are the people who see this as a very urgent issue. And I've sat here all day long. This is the first time I have been a part of this process. My organization can get a lot more involved in this process. And I just don't hear the urgency in people's voices and I really expected to hear a lot more urgency. I think this is something that we have	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	of those of us on the Central Coast. Every so often, we have the opportunity to take action in such a way that insures future generations will continue to have access to this precious resource that we all must share. Right now, there are people in our communities that have no clean drinking water through contamination of local wells from agricultural run-off, particularly nitrates. There are people spending 10 percent of their income on safe water. The present health risks are bad enough, but the situation will only get worse unless some reasonable action is taken quickly.
7 3 2 2 3 4 5 5 7 3 9 0	most because they have very, very limited options. They can't afford bottled water. They can't afford home filtration systems, water testing, and most of them can't move anywhere unless it's to chase a crop from one county to the next. So those are the people who see this as a very urgent issue. And I've sat here all day long. This is the first time I have been a part of this process. My organization can get a lot more involved in this process. And I just don't hear the urgency in people's voices and I really expected to hear a lot more urgency. I think this is something that we have to do and we have to do it now. And I urge the Board	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	of those of us on the Central Coast. Every so often, we have the opportunity to take action in such a way that insures future generations will continue to have access to this precious resource that we all must share. Right now, there are people in our communities that have no clean drinking water through contamination of local wells from agricultural run-off, particularly nitrates. There are people spending 10 percent of their income on safe water. The present health risks are bad enough, but the situation will only get worse unless some reasonable action is taken quickly. Soon you'll be deciding on whether or not to
7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1	most because they have very, very limited options. They can't afford bottled water. They can't afford home filtration systems, water testing, and most of them can't move anywhere unless it's to chase a crop from one county to the next. So those are the people who see this as a very urgent issue. And I've sat here all day long. This is the first time I have been a part of this process. My organization can get a lot more involved in this process. And I just don't hear the urgency in people's voices and I really expected to hear a lot more urgency. I think this is something that we have to do and we have to do it now. And I urge the Board to pass the proposal by the Staff.	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	of those of us on the Central Coast. Every so often, we have the opportunity to take action in such a way that insures future generations will continue to have access to this precious resource that we all must share. Right now, there are people in our communities that have no clean drinking water through contamination of local wells from agricultural run-off, particularly nitrates. There are people spending 10 percent of their income on safe water. The present health risks are bad enough, but the situation will only get worse unless some reasonable action is taken quickly. Soon you'll be deciding on whether or not to require the monitoring and protecting of groundwater
7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2	most because they have very, very limited options. They can't afford bottled water. They can't afford home filtration systems, water testing, and most of them can't move anywhere unless it's to chase a crop from one county to the next. So those are the people who see this as a very urgent issue. And I've sat here all day long. This is the first time I have been a part of this process. My organization can get a lot more involved in this process. And I just don't hear the urgency in people's voices and I really expected to hear a lot more urgency. I think this is something that we have to do and we have to do it now. And I urge the Board to pass the proposal by the Staff. And then just on a personal front, I live	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	of those of us on the Central Coast. Every so often, we have the opportunity to take action in such a way that insures future generations will continue to have access to this precious resource that we all must share. Right now, there are people in our communities that have no clean drinking water through contamination of local wells from agricultural run-off, particularly nitrates. There are people spending 10 percent of their income on safe water. The present health risks are bad enough, but the situation will only get worse unless some reasonable action is taken quickly. Soon you'll be deciding on whether or not to require the monitoring and protecting of groundwater quality in our communities. Currently, those who create
6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4	most because they have very, very limited options. They can't afford bottled water. They can't afford home filtration systems, water testing, and most of them can't move anywhere unless it's to chase a crop from one county to the next. So those are the people who see this as a very urgent issue. And I've sat here all day long. This is the first time I have been a part of this process. My organization can get a lot more involved in this process. And I just don't hear the urgency in people's voices and I really expected to hear a lot more urgency. I think this is something that we have to do and we have to do it now. And I urge the Board to pass the proposal by the Staff. And then just on a personal front, I live very close to Upper Carr Lake. I run around it two or	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	of those of us on the Central Coast. Every so often, we have the opportunity to take action in such a way that insures future generations will continue to have access to this precious resource that we all must share. Right now, there are people in our communities that have no clean drinking water through contamination of local wells from agricultural run-off, particularly nitrates. There are people spending 10 percent of their income on safe water. The present health risks are bad enough, but the situation will only get worse unless some reasonable action is taken quickly. Soon you'll be deciding on whether or not to require the monitoring and protecting of groundwater quality in our communities. Currently, those who create this pollution are not required to pay the costs.
7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3	most because they have very, very limited options. They can't afford bottled water. They can't afford home filtration systems, water testing, and most of them can't move anywhere unless it's to chase a crop from one county to the next. So those are the people who see this as a very urgent issue. And I've sat here all day long. This is the first time I have been a part of this process. My organization can get a lot more involved in this process. And I just don't hear the urgency in people's voices and I really expected to hear a lot more urgency. I think this is something that we have to do and we have to do it now. And I urge the Board to pass the proposal by the Staff. And then just on a personal front, I live very close to Upper Carr Lake. I run around it two or three times a week. I run along with my boys on	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	of those of us on the Central Coast. Every so often, we have the opportunity to take action in such a way that insures future generations will continue to have access to this precious resource that we all must share. Right now, there are people in our communities that have no clean drinking water through contamination of local wells from agricultural run-off, particularly nitrates. There are people spending 10 percent of their income on safe water. The present health risks are bad enough, but the situation will only get worse unless some reasonable action is taken quickly. Soon you'll be deciding on whether or not to require the monitoring and protecting of groundwater quality in our communities. Currently, those who create this pollution are not required to pay the costs. Farmers do not have to monitor the levels of nitrates

1		1		
1	low-wage workers in the same fields that produce the		easier to talk.	
2	pollution are required to bear the brunt of the cost of	2	Thank you.	
3	a new well	3	MR. YOUNG: Thank you, Mr. Caldwell.	
4	MR. YOUNG: Ms. Hill	4	Lisa Lurie, Thomas Virsik, Marilee Hyman,	
5	MS. HILL: to the West	5	Richard Quandt.	
6	MR. YOUNG: give us your conclusion	6	MS. LURIE: Good afternoon. I'm Lisa Lurie.	
7	MS. HILL: Sure.	7	That's L-u-r-i-e, with the Monterey Bay National Marine	
8	MR. YOUNG: Sentence.	8	Sanctuary. Sanctuary Staff appreciate the time and	
9	MS. HILL: As communities of faith, we want to be	9	energy that all parties have committed to solving the	
10	able to purchase produce and not feel bad that other	10	challenge of improving water quality. We believe there	
11	people that are in our community are being harmed in	11	are examples in the proposed Order that allow for the	
12	its production. Thank you.	12	Regional Board and industry to work together.	
13	MR. YOUNG: Thank you.	13	Specifically, the Staff Proposal allows approved	
14	Andy Caldwell.	14	third-party certification programs as a means for	
15	MR. CALDWELL: Chairman Young Caldwell is spelled,	15	demonstrating Tier 1 low-risk status. Additionally,	
16	C-a-I-d-w-e-I-I. I represent the Coalition of	16	dischargers can comply with the Order by participating	
17	Agriculture Business of Santa Barbara and San Luis	17	in third-party groups approved by the Water Board. Ag	
18	Obispo County. There are currently 1800 members who	18	industry groups have proposed a similar coalition	
19	probably employ about 60,000 people who represent most	19	approach using third-party audits to verify practice	
20	of the farmers in their region as part of our	20	implementation and effectiveness. We are pleased to	
21	coalition.	21	see flexibility in for a verification base, coalition	
22	I want to get back to the beginning. The	22	approach in the Staff Proposal as it offers a means of	
23	charges, the highest reasonable water quality, and	23	resolving many issues.	
24	certainly, in the areas where people's health is being	24	We encourage the Board to provide clear	
25	threatened should be the priority of this Board. And	25	guidance, either within the Order, or through other	
	Page 302		Page 3	04
1	it's hard for us, in the public, to understand if	1	means, as to the standards that such coalitions and	
2	hundreds of millions of dollars of expended grants	2	certification programs must achieve in order to be	
3	where that didn't go to those vulnerable populations in	3	approved. There are existing examples to draw from,	
4	terms of if your Staff knows what needs to be done,	4	such as the SIP program, Protective Harvest Standards,	
5	how come they haven't been doing it for the last 10 to	5	the Sustainability Index and Specialty Crops, and the	
6	15 years?	6	Fields to Ocean Program.	
7	The question is to how to do this. The	7	Both the Staff Proposal and the Ag proposed	
8	question is how do you accomplish this. It's one thing	8	coalition approach point towards a potential role for	
9	to promulgate. It's another thing to figure how to do	9	third-party audit certification programs. We encourage	
10	it. If it was easy, it would have been done already.	10	agreement to employ such programs and the Monterey Bay	
11	If it was easy, it would be being done all over the	11	National Marine Sanctuary stands ready to help in the	
12	State of California and you wouldn't be the first. The	12	collaborative development of an Ag Water Quality	
13	question is what's reasonable. What's achievable and	13	Certification Program as a tool to strengthen	
14	how do we accomplish that. The Ag community has hired	14	accountability and demonstrating transparency in	
15	an expert that's actually been doing this kind of work	15	demonstrating regulatory compliance.	
16	and he was here to tell you, it's not easy, but we are	16	The Staff Proposal provides an opportunity	
17	willing to try. But your Staff has pushed the Ag	17	for certification programs to incentivize water quality	
18	community in different ways and closed the record.	18	improvement and Board innovation. Clear guidance to	
19	When in reality, the community was trying to respond to	19	define what constitutes an acceptable program will	
20	questions from your Board as to what we need more	20	provide the assurance that the Regional Board is	
21	detail and to provide the details, and just the record	21	committed to a successful outcome.	
22	is closed. Work with us. What is reasonable? What is	22	This is critical for industry and interested	
23	achievable? Focus on those areas that are the highest	23	parties to move forward in confidence to develop a	
24	threat first. Capture the rest later. Ask your Staff	24	meaningful certification program.	
25	how they can prove what they want is achievable. It's	25	MR. YOUNG: Thank you for your comments.	
	Page 303			0.7
		1	Page 3	115

1Thomas Virsik, Marilee Hyman, Richard Quandt.1they cannot analyze the data that you a2MR. VIRSIK: Thomas Virsik, V-i-r-s-i-k.2with the health department data, much3THE REPORTER: I can't hear you. Can you speak3mountain of data that you've acquired.4up?4I carry three comments from Mill	
3 THE REPORTER: I can't hear you. Can you speak 3 mountain of data that you've acquired.	1 less this new
4 up? 4 I carry three comments from Mil	
	ke Rockhurst, a
5 MR. VIRSIK: Thomas Virsik, V-i-r is this 5 farmer, and a rarity.	
6 better? 6 First, your Staff still insists on a	one size
7 THE REPORTER: Yes. 7 fits all, in that it punishes farmers in no	onpolluted
8 MR. VIRSIK: V-i-r-s-i-k, from the Law Office of 8 watersheds. Growers would have been	
9 Patrick Maloney. 9 the proposed regulations concentrated	
10 Chair and members of the Board and Staff, I'm 10 problems. We growers cannot easily p.	
11 going to cut to the chase. It would be wrong for you 11 increased costs. Food companies will ju	
12 to ignore the report from UC Davis issued last night. 12 elsewhere.	5-
13 I'm going to give you two independent reasons and I'm 13 Second, the amount of paperwo	ork vour Staff
14 going to do it two minutes. 14 generates is hard on individual farmers	
15 The first independent reason is on Page 11 of 15 don't want to hear, well, it's in the last	
16 that report. We submitted a letter on this, but I 16 sorry, but I have a job producing food a	
	-
21 the purpose of SBX2, and it includes a report for you 21 minimize the use of fertilizer and pestic 22 For this 22 The desire Leader to pertic	
22 guys, for this purpose being quoted in our letter your 22 In closing, I urge you to conside	
23 Board, by name, the other, it's a gift. The 23 impact on a local farmer and Ag econol 24 bit is in the other, it's a gift. The 24 bit is in the other, it's a gift. The	
24 legislator, the governor, is giving you a gift. It's 24 costly relations created in a vacuum of	
25 giving you a whole, separate analysis to use. You get 25 not be the approach of the governing b	odles.
Page 306	Page 308
1 to use your Staff, and Davis, so you could lose 1 To be effective, your Order sho	ould be
2 creditability or you could take it. 2 realistic, obtainable, and affordable. F	
3 The second reason because we this has come 3 to help out. Figure out how to best us	
4 up, you have every legal reason to accept this and I'll 4 cooperatively. Thank you.	
5 give you a citation, Western States Petroleum 5 MR. YOUNG: Thank you for your	r comments.
6 Association vs. The Superior Court 9CAL 4th 559. If 6 Mr. Quandt and then John Tes.	
7 you'll look at the last page, there is two factors, 7 Merrill, Bill Thomas.	
8 only two. Timeliness and diligent. I'm timely. 8 MR. YOUNG: Thank you.	
9 The report came out last night. I'm 9 MR. QUANDT: My name is Richa	ard Quandt
10 diligent. I'm here, so the Court is going to make you 10 Q-u-a-n-d-t. I'm testifying today on b	
11 take a look at this or you could do it yourself, I have 11 Growers Shippers Association, Santa E	
12 about five seconds. It's a wonderful. Sorry to be so 12 Obispo Counties. ;.	
12 about twe seconds. It's a wonderful. Softy to be so 12 Obispo Countes. ,. 13 short, but you gave me two minutes, I'll take them. 13 Our organization represents St	rawberny and
13 Short, but you gave me two minutes, fin take them. 13 Our organization represents su 14 Thank you. 14 vegetables growers, some will be in Ti	•
18 Mr. Chairman, I'm not sure how we got here, but 18 Tiers whether or not the requirements 19 it's chameful that you brand all of our valued formers 19 requirements	_
19 it's shameful that you brand all of our valued farmers 19 requirements, proportional to the thre	al of water
20 as polluters, guilty until they prove themselves 20 quality. 21 images and surgering. Surthan surgering.	al a channa a ch
21 innocent at their own time and expense. Further, you 21 And I'm here to submit that I d	
22 impose an unfounded mandate for information that can be 22 that process has taken place. I think t	
23 used against them. 23 more than a fortunate tool to direct er	
24 The only data we struggle to get, but 24 against larger growers and don't nece	ssarily reflect
25previously your own Staff admitted, in writing, that25the threat to water quality.	

1	Earlier today the highest priority, in terms	1	There's lots of promising things out there, and I think
2	of addressing water quality, was nitrates in the	2	we all share responsibility to work now, in front of
3	groundwater, is what I heard. To achieve that goal,	3	the Board, where you have a very tough decision. I was
4	Farmers will need to develop Irrigation Nutrient	4	going to say today, but I guess tomorrow, and to adopt
5	Management Plans under the Staff Proposal, based on the	5	this severe Staff Proposal, which will just kick this
6	estimates, I heard today, there would be a total of 61	6	to the State Board, or find a way to find some last
7	farms in the region that would require to develop	7	minute opportunity here.
8	Irrigation Nutrient Management Programs.	8	The problems in the Staff Report, with
9	The good news is under the Los Huertos Ag	9	Tiering we know what Tiers we're in. We're large
10	Alternative 70 percent enrollment of Tiers 2 and 3	10	vegetable growers. There is no way to get out of the
11	would have 1,300 farms working to develop irrigation	11	Tier 3. The monitoring the field water, right when it
12	Nutrient Management Programs, effect actually 176,000	12	leaves the field, within the prescribed period of time
13	irrigated acres in this region.	13	of the use of your insecticides is just a way to target
14	MR. YOUNG: Thank you for your comments.	14	the insecticide.
15	Joy Fitzhugh.	15	The tile drains, which were supposed to come
16	MS. FITZHUGH: Good morning. Joy, F-i-t-z as in,	16	out of this Order at one time, keep getting back in
17	zebra, h-u-g-h.	17	it. That's a major problem. The nutrient limit, the
18	And I am a very small orchardist, but more	18	encircled nutrient management plans are appropriate.
19	importantly, I am the legislative analyst for the San	19	You should know the amount of nitrate in the water, in
20	Luis Obispo Farm Bureau, and I really liked following	20	the soil and make the determination of your need.
21	Mr. Quandt, because he pretty much said what I want to	21	That's appropriate. That's asking for proper
22	say.	22	management, but this goes beyond that and puts a limit
23	It is the base on we need to deal with the	23	on the amount of nitrogen you could use. That's making
24	base on threat to water quality, not size. And	24	a management decision. That's beyond your capability.
25	obviously, my buddy, Chris Borchard, is not in sight,	25	The Ag Alternative can easily be merged in here.
	Page 310		Page 312
	6		<u> </u>
1	but I also feel that I want to let you know that our Ag	1	Thank you.
2	members, well over a thousand in our County, are	2	MR. YOUNG: Thank you.
3	supporting the Ag Alternative with the Los Huertos, and	3	Yes, Dr. Hunter.
4	we feel very sure this system.	4	MS. HUNTER: Question to Staff. That's second
5	We need the cooperative system and this	5	time today we've heard the reference to tile drains
6	system is the one that will be able to help get our	6	being included, and I saw in the Staff Report that it,
7	water quality back to where it should be.	7	that these issues or tile drains, specifically, have
8	Thank you.	8	been removed.
9	MR. YOUNG: Thank you for your comments.	9	Can you clear that up for me, please?
10	Is Mr. Merrill still here? Kevin Merrill?	10	MS. SCHROETER: You could see it's late in the
11	Bill Thomas, and then Lisa Bodrogi? She's here?	11	day. I've got to get my head wrapped around this.
12	Go ahead.	12	So tile drains. There's a couple of things
13	MR. THOMAS: Bill Thomas, T-h-o-m-a-s.	13	to be clarified. One in the misconception session of
14	Mr. Chair and members. I represent	14	the Staff Report as well was in the Order.
15	Ocean Mist Farms and R & C Farms, two of the largest	15	So first, let me go to the Staff report.
16	vegetable operations in the Lower Salinas Valley,	16	We've received many, many comments that stated that the
17	Casterville areas.	17	Draft Order, um, states that growers can no longer use
18	We've been frustrated for some time that	18	tile drains. That is incorrect, inaccurate, there's no
19	we have been squandering this time period this Order	19	place in the Order where it says you can no longer use
20	this Order has been before us. We've had meetings	20	tile drain.
21	with Mr. Briggs and Staff. Those meetings had showed	21	What the Order does, specifically, say about
22	that there was real opportunity to find some middle	22	tile drains, um, one, it states the intent that the
23	ground.	23	need to make improvements in tile drains, in the
24	More recently, the Marc's study, even some	24	future, we're not prioritizing, addressing impairments
25	things that Steve Shimek has advised in Sacramento.	25	caused by tile drains immediately.
	Page 311		Page 313
	1 450 511	1	1 450 515

1			
1	What it does also say is that we have to	1	role in Water Quality Management.
2	start better understanding, um, how tile drains	2	We do support the Ag Alternative and question
3	contribute to our water quality impairment, so there	3	why this third-party audits concept is not as better
4	are two places where we put requirements related tile	4	received because it is basically a grower-based
5	drains.	5	approach, which as are these other certification
6	One stated the sampling analysis design had	6	programs.
7	to be such that we can evaluate the contribution of	7	I see my light is on, so I will conclude with
8	water quality impairment on tile drains, and, two, if a	8	my last sentence which is, if you eat food or drink
9	tile drain exists at discharge on a particular	9	water, you have an interest in creating common ground
10	property, that would be included as part of the	10	and public private partnerships, based on sound,
11	individual discharge monitoring for a tear Discharger.	11	science and to obtain long-term results.
12	But there is no stated requirement that you	12	MR. YOUNG: Thank you.
13	could not. That would effect the use of the tile	13	Randy Share, Gail Pratt, Richard Sadowski
14	drain.	14	Jeanette Watson.
15	DR. HUNTER: Thank you.	15	MR. SHARE: Randy Share, Share Brothers Farms,
16	MR. YOUNG: Okay.	16	Santa Maria.
17	MR. DELGADO: Can I ask a follow-up to that?	17	I'm also the stipulating landowner
18	MR. YOUNG: Sure.	18	representative on the Kutchell Management Agency.
19	MR. DELGADO: Very briefly. To me, in simple	19	Santa Maria is the adjudicated basin.
20	English, what you just said was tile drains are allowed	20	We do monitoring of groundwater, which we put
21	just like they always have been and like any other	21	in our annual report, which in a few six weeks,
22	discharging mechanism, they need to be monitored.	22	we'll be having public hearing on our health, quality
23	Is that approximately what you said?	23	and quantity sector base, so we do already monitor
24	MS. SCHROETER: That's correct.	24	groundwater and make it public in Santa Maria.
25	MR. DELGADO: Okay. Thank you.	25	Thank you.
	Page 314		Page 316
1	MR. YOUNG: Lisa Bodrogi.	1	MR. YOUNG: Thank you for your comments.
2	MS. BODROGI: Thank you, Chairman Young.	2	Gail Pratt, Richard Sadowski, Jeannette
3	Lisa Bodrogi. I'm the Government Affairs	3	Watson, Bob Campbell.
4			
1 -	Coordinator for the Paso Robles Wine Country Alliance.	4	MR. SADOWSKI: Richard Sadowski. That's
5	We represent 500 members, comprised of	5	MR. SADOWSKI: Richard Sadowski. That's S-a-d-o-w-s-k-i.
6	We represent 500 members, comprised of wineries and growers, hospitality partners and related	5 6	MR. SADOWSKI: Richard Sadowski. That's S-a-d-o-w-s-k-i. I'm a concerned citizen in Morro Bay,
6 7	We represent 500 members, comprised of wineries and growers, hospitality partners and related businesses. We are all stakeholders and drink water	5 6 7	MR. SADOWSKI: Richard Sadowski. That's S-a-d-o-w-s-k-i. I'm a concerned citizen in Morro Bay, certified waste water production operator. I'm a first
6 7 8	We represent 500 members, comprised of wineries and growers, hospitality partners and related	5 6 7 8	MR. SADOWSKI: Richard Sadowski. That's S-a-d-o-w-s-k-i. I'm a concerned citizen in Morro Bay, certified waste water production operator. I'm a first responder of sewage spillage emergencies.
6 7 8 9	We represent 500 members, comprised of wineries and growers, hospitality partners and related businesses. We are all stakeholders and drink water	5 6 7	MR. SADOWSKI: Richard Sadowski. That's S-a-d-o-w-s-k-i. I'm a concerned citizen in Morro Bay, certified waste water production operator. I'm a first responder of sewage spillage emergencies. One of the things that I think that should be
6 7 8 9 10	We represent 500 members, comprised of wineries and growers, hospitality partners and related businesses. We are all stakeholders and drink water and eat foot and we do consider wine as food. The wine industry here in San Luis Obispo contributes \$1.8 billion locally and pays more than 86 million in local	5 6 7 8 9 10	MR. SADOWSKI: Richard Sadowski. That's S-a-d-o-w-s-k-i. I'm a concerned citizen in Morro Bay, certified waste water production operator. I'm a first responder of sewage spillage emergencies. One of the things that I think that should be considered is that we have urban areas near outlying Ag
6 7 8 9 10 11	We represent 500 members, comprised of wineries and growers, hospitality partners and related businesses. We are all stakeholders and drink water and eat foot and we do consider wine as food. The wine industry here in San Luis Obispo contributes \$1.8 billion locally and pays more than 86 million in local and state taxes.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MR. SADOWSKI: Richard Sadowski. That's S-a-d-o-w-s-k-i. I'm a concerned citizen in Morro Bay, certified waste water production operator. I'm a first responder of sewage spillage emergencies. One of the things that I think that should be considered is that we have urban areas near outlying Ag labs. Um, taking very careful look at the waste water
6 7 8 9 10 11 12	We represent 500 members, comprised of wineries and growers, hospitality partners and related businesses. We are all stakeholders and drink water and eat foot and we do consider wine as food. The wine industry here in San Luis Obispo contributes \$1.8 billion locally and pays more than 86 million in local and state taxes. The wine grapes are the highest economic	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MR. SADOWSKI: Richard Sadowski. That's S-a-d-o-w-s-k-i. I'm a concerned citizen in Morro Bay, certified waste water production operator. I'm a first responder of sewage spillage emergencies. One of the things that I think that should be considered is that we have urban areas near outlying Ag
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	We represent 500 members, comprised of wineries and growers, hospitality partners and related businesses. We are all stakeholders and drink water and eat foot and we do consider wine as food. The wine industry here in San Luis Obispo contributes \$1.8 billion locally and pays more than 86 million in local and state taxes.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MR. SADOWSKI: Richard Sadowski. That's S-a-d-o-w-s-k-i. I'm a concerned citizen in Morro Bay, certified waste water production operator. I'm a first responder of sewage spillage emergencies. One of the things that I think that should be considered is that we have urban areas near outlying Ag labs. Um, taking very careful look at the waste water
6 7 8 9 10 11 12	We represent 500 members, comprised of wineries and growers, hospitality partners and related businesses. We are all stakeholders and drink water and eat foot and we do consider wine as food. The wine industry here in San Luis Obispo contributes \$1.8 billion locally and pays more than 86 million in local and state taxes. The wine grapes are the highest economic contributor agricultural crop in San Luis Obispo County. And for this reason, our organization has made	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MR. SADOWSKI: Richard Sadowski. That's S-a-d-o-w-s-k-i. I'm a concerned citizen in Morro Bay, certified waste water production operator. I'm a first responder of sewage spillage emergencies. One of the things that I think that should be considered is that we have urban areas near outlying Ag labs. Um, taking very careful look at the waste water collection systems, um, as a nitrate source. For instance, in our Morrow Bay Basin Aquafur, a predetermined conclusion by local city staff
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	We represent 500 members, comprised of wineries and growers, hospitality partners and related businesses. We are all stakeholders and drink water and eat foot and we do consider wine as food. The wine industry here in San Luis Obispo contributes \$1.8 billion locally and pays more than 86 million in local and state taxes. The wine grapes are the highest economic contributor agricultural crop in San Luis Obispo	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR. SADOWSKI: Richard Sadowski. That's S-a-d-o-w-s-k-i. I'm a concerned citizen in Morro Bay, certified waste water production operator. I'm a first responder of sewage spillage emergencies. One of the things that I think that should be considered is that we have urban areas near outlying Ag labs. Um, taking very careful look at the waste water collection systems, um, as a nitrate source. For instance, in our Morrow Bay Basin Aquafur, a predetermined conclusion by local city staff was, kind of, blaming farmers about a mile away while
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	We represent 500 members, comprised of wineries and growers, hospitality partners and related businesses. We are all stakeholders and drink water and eat foot and we do consider wine as food. The wine industry here in San Luis Obispo contributes \$1.8 billion locally and pays more than 86 million in local and state taxes. The wine grapes are the highest economic contributor agricultural crop in San Luis Obispo County. And for this reason, our organization has made	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR. SADOWSKI: Richard Sadowski. That's S-a-d-o-w-s-k-i. I'm a concerned citizen in Morro Bay, certified waste water production operator. I'm a first responder of sewage spillage emergencies. One of the things that I think that should be considered is that we have urban areas near outlying Ag labs. Um, taking very careful look at the waste water collection systems, um, as a nitrate source. For instance, in our Morrow Bay Basin Aquafur, a predetermined conclusion by local city staff was, kind of, blaming farmers about a mile away while we have huge sewage collection nights, totalling 15- to
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	We represent 500 members, comprised of wineries and growers, hospitality partners and related businesses. We are all stakeholders and drink water and eat foot and we do consider wine as food. The wine industry here in San Luis Obispo contributes \$1.8 billion locally and pays more than 86 million in local and state taxes. The wine grapes are the highest economic contributor agricultural crop in San Luis Obispo County. And for this reason, our organization has made a concerted effort to work our local government,	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR. SADOWSKI: Richard Sadowski. That's S-a-d-o-w-s-k-i. I'm a concerned citizen in Morro Bay, certified waste water production operator. I'm a first responder of sewage spillage emergencies. One of the things that I think that should be considered is that we have urban areas near outlying Ag labs. Um, taking very careful look at the waste water collection systems, um, as a nitrate source. For instance, in our Morrow Bay Basin Aquafur, a predetermined conclusion by local city staff was, kind of, blaming farmers about a mile away while we have huge sewage collection nights, totalling 15- to 20 inch collection nights, leaking sewage right into
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	We represent 500 members, comprised of wineries and growers, hospitality partners and related businesses. We are all stakeholders and drink water and eat foot and we do consider wine as food. The wine industry here in San Luis Obispo contributes \$1.8 billion locally and pays more than 86 million in local and state taxes. The wine grapes are the highest economic contributor agricultural crop in San Luis Obispo County. And for this reason, our organization has made a concerted effort to work our local government, neighbors and communities to expand education awareness	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. SADOWSKI: Richard Sadowski. That's S-a-d-o-w-s-k-i. I'm a concerned citizen in Morro Bay, certified waste water production operator. I'm a first responder of sewage spillage emergencies. One of the things that I think that should be considered is that we have urban areas near outlying Ag labs. Um, taking very careful look at the waste water collection systems, um, as a nitrate source. For instance, in our Morrow Bay Basin Aquafur, a predetermined conclusion by local city staff was, kind of, blaming farmers about a mile away while we have huge sewage collection nights, totalling 15- to 20 inch collection nights, leaking sewage right into the Morrow Bay Basin Aquifer.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	We represent 500 members, comprised of wineries and growers, hospitality partners and related businesses. We are all stakeholders and drink water and eat foot and we do consider wine as food. The wine industry here in San Luis Obispo contributes \$1.8 billion locally and pays more than 86 million in local and state taxes. The wine grapes are the highest economic contributor agricultural crop in San Luis Obispo County. And for this reason, our organization has made a concerted effort to work our local government, neighbors and communities to expand education awareness and collaboration on matters that affect our industry.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MR. SADOWSKI: Richard Sadowski. That's S-a-d-o-w-s-k-i. I'm a concerned citizen in Morro Bay, certified waste water production operator. I'm a first responder of sewage spillage emergencies. One of the things that I think that should be considered is that we have urban areas near outlying Ag labs. Um, taking very careful look at the waste water collection systems, um, as a nitrate source. For instance, in our Morrow Bay Basin Aquafur, a predetermined conclusion by local city staff was, kind of, blaming farmers about a mile away while we have huge sewage collection nights, totalling 15- to 20 inch collection nights, leaking sewage right into
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	We represent 500 members, comprised of wineries and growers, hospitality partners and related businesses. We are all stakeholders and drink water and eat foot and we do consider wine as food. The wine industry here in San Luis Obispo contributes \$1.8 billion locally and pays more than 86 million in local and state taxes. The wine grapes are the highest economic contributor agricultural crop in San Luis Obispo County. And for this reason, our organization has made a concerted effort to work our local government, neighbors and communities to expand education awareness and collaboration on matters that affect our industry. While we appreciate the recognition of the SIP	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. SADOWSKI: Richard Sadowski. That's S-a-d-o-w-s-k-i. I'm a concerned citizen in Morro Bay, certified waste water production operator. I'm a first responder of sewage spillage emergencies. One of the things that I think that should be considered is that we have urban areas near outlying Ag labs. Um, taking very careful look at the waste water collection systems, um, as a nitrate source. For instance, in our Morrow Bay Basin Aquafur, a predetermined conclusion by local city staff was, kind of, blaming farmers about a mile away while we have huge sewage collection nights, totalling 15- to 20 inch collection nights, leaking sewage right into the Morrow Bay Basin Aquifer.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	We represent 500 members, comprised of wineries and growers, hospitality partners and related businesses. We are all stakeholders and drink water and eat foot and we do consider wine as food. The wine industry here in San Luis Obispo contributes \$1.8 billion locally and pays more than 86 million in local and state taxes. The wine grapes are the highest economic contributor agricultural crop in San Luis Obispo County. And for this reason, our organization has made a concerted effort to work our local government, neighbors and communities to expand education awareness and collaboration on matters that affect our industry. While we appreciate the recognition of the SIP Certification Program developed by the Central Coast	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MR. SADOWSKI: Richard Sadowski. That's S-a-d-o-w-s-k-i. I'm a concerned citizen in Morro Bay, certified waste water production operator. I'm a first responder of sewage spillage emergencies. One of the things that I think that should be considered is that we have urban areas near outlying Ag labs. Um, taking very careful look at the waste water collection systems, um, as a nitrate source. For instance, in our Morrow Bay Basin Aquafur, a predetermined conclusion by local city staff was, kind of, blaming farmers about a mile away while we have huge sewage collection nights, totalling 15- to 20 inch collection nights, leaking sewage right into the Morrow Bay Basin Aquifer. I did a report that I submitted to your Staff
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	We represent 500 members, comprised of wineries and growers, hospitality partners and related businesses. We are all stakeholders and drink water and eat foot and we do consider wine as food. The wine industry here in San Luis Obispo contributes \$1.8 billion locally and pays more than 86 million in local and state taxes. The wine grapes are the highest economic contributor agricultural crop in San Luis Obispo County. And for this reason, our organization has made a concerted effort to work our local government, neighbors and communities to expand education awareness and collaboration on matters that affect our industry. While we appreciate the recognition of the SIP Certification Program developed by the Central Coast Vineyard Team.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. SADOWSKI: Richard Sadowski. That's S-a-d-o-w-s-k-i. I'm a concerned citizen in Morro Bay, certified waste water production operator. I'm a first responder of sewage spillage emergencies. One of the things that I think that should be considered is that we have urban areas near outlying Ag labs. Um, taking very careful look at the waste water collection systems, um, as a nitrate source. For instance, in our Morrow Bay Basin Aquafur, a predetermined conclusion by local city staff was, kind of, blaming farmers about a mile away while we have huge sewage collection nights, totalling 15- to 20 inch collection nights, leaking sewage right into the Morrow Bay Basin Aquifer. I did a report that I submitted to your Staff years ago and follow-up data has shown that our
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	We represent 500 members, comprised of wineries and growers, hospitality partners and related businesses. We are all stakeholders and drink water and eat foot and we do consider wine as food. The wine industry here in San Luis Obispo contributes \$1.8 billion locally and pays more than 86 million in local and state taxes. The wine grapes are the highest economic contributor agricultural crop in San Luis Obispo County. And for this reason, our organization has made a concerted effort to work our local government, neighbors and communities to expand education awareness and collaboration on matters that affect our industry. While we appreciate the recognition of the SIP Certification Program developed by the Central Coast Vineyard Team. We remind the Board that there are programs	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. SADOWSKI: Richard Sadowski. That's S-a-d-o-w-s-k-i. I'm a concerned citizen in Morro Bay, certified waste water production operator. I'm a first responder of sewage spillage emergencies. One of the things that I think that should be considered is that we have urban areas near outlying Ag labs. Um, taking very careful look at the waste water collection systems, um, as a nitrate source. For instance, in our Morrow Bay Basin Aquafur, a predetermined conclusion by local city staff was, kind of, blaming farmers about a mile away while we have huge sewage collection nights, totalling 15- to 20 inch collection nights, leaking sewage right into the Morrow Bay Basin Aquifer. I did a report that I submitted to your Staff years ago and follow-up data has shown that our conclusions are more likely that it's from sewage than
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	We represent 500 members, comprised of wineries and growers, hospitality partners and related businesses. We are all stakeholders and drink water and eat foot and we do consider wine as food. The wine industry here in San Luis Obispo contributes \$1.8 billion locally and pays more than 86 million in local and state taxes. The wine grapes are the highest economic contributor agricultural crop in San Luis Obispo County. And for this reason, our organization has made a concerted effort to work our local government, neighbors and communities to expand education awareness and collaboration on matters that affect our industry. While we appreciate the recognition of the SIP Certification Program developed by the Central Coast Vineyard Team. We remind the Board that there are programs including California Association of Wine Grape Growers	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. SADOWSKI: Richard Sadowski. That's S-a-d-o-w-s-k-i. I'm a concerned citizen in Morro Bay, certified waste water production operator. I'm a first responder of sewage spillage emergencies. One of the things that I think that should be considered is that we have urban areas near outlying Ag labs. Um, taking very careful look at the waste water collection systems, um, as a nitrate source. For instance, in our Morrow Bay Basin Aquafur, a predetermined conclusion by local city staff was, kind of, blaming farmers about a mile away while we have huge sewage collection nights, totalling 15- to 20 inch collection nights, leaking sewage right into the Morrow Bay Basin Aquifer. I did a report that I submitted to your Staff years ago and follow-up data has shown that our conclusions are more likely that it's from sewage than it is from Ag lands.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	We represent 500 members, comprised of wineries and growers, hospitality partners and related businesses. We are all stakeholders and drink water and eat foot and we do consider wine as food. The wine industry here in San Luis Obispo contributes \$1.8 billion locally and pays more than 86 million in local and state taxes. The wine grapes are the highest economic contributor agricultural crop in San Luis Obispo County. And for this reason, our organization has made a concerted effort to work our local government, neighbors and communities to expand education awareness and collaboration on matters that affect our industry. While we appreciate the recognition of the SIP Certification Program developed by the Central Coast Vineyard Team. We remind the Board that there are programs including California Association of Wine Grape Growers of Sustainable Wine Grape Practices UC Extension,	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. SADOWSKI: Richard Sadowski. That's S-a-d-o-w-s-k-i. I'm a concerned citizen in Morro Bay, certified waste water production operator. I'm a first responder of sewage spillage emergencies. One of the things that I think that should be considered is that we have urban areas near outlying Ag labs. Um, taking very careful look at the waste water collection systems, um, as a nitrate source. For instance, in our Morrow Bay Basin Aquafur, a predetermined conclusion by local city staff was, kind of, blaming farmers about a mile away while we have huge sewage collection nights, totalling 15- to 20 inch collection nights, leaking sewage right into the Morrow Bay Basin Aquifer. I did a report that I submitted to your Staff years ago and follow-up data has shown that our conclusions are more likely that it's from sewage than it is from Ag lands. So, and regarding staffing, I think, that the
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	We represent 500 members, comprised of wineries and growers, hospitality partners and related businesses. We are all stakeholders and drink water and eat foot and we do consider wine as food. The wine industry here in San Luis Obispo contributes \$1.8 billion locally and pays more than 86 million in local and state taxes. The wine grapes are the highest economic contributor agricultural crop in San Luis Obispo County. And for this reason, our organization has made a concerted effort to work our local government, neighbors and communities to expand education awareness and collaboration on matters that affect our industry. While we appreciate the recognition of the SIP Certification Program developed by the Central Coast Vineyard Team. We remind the Board that there are programs including California Association of Wine Grape Growers of Sustainable Wine Grape Practices UC Extension, University Research and Development Programs and	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MR. SADOWSKI: Richard Sadowski. That's S-a-d-o-w-s-k-i. I'm a concerned citizen in Morro Bay, certified waste water production operator. I'm a first responder of sewage spillage emergencies. One of the things that I think that should be considered is that we have urban areas near outlying Ag labs. Um, taking very careful look at the waste water collection systems, um, as a nitrate source. For instance, in our Morrow Bay Basin Aquafur, a predetermined conclusion by local city staff was, kind of, blaming farmers about a mile away while we have huge sewage collection nights, totalling 15- to 20 inch collection nights, leaking sewage right into the Morrow Bay Basin Aquifer. I did a report that I submitted to your Staff years ago and follow-up data has shown that our conclusions are more likely that it's from sewage than it is from Ag lands. So, and regarding staffing, I think, that the Regional Board should really consider Staffing and

1	going to be doing their water samples. There are some	1	a proposal to you about helping and taking a leadership	
2	issues on regarding how samples are taken.	2	role in Water Quality Improvement.	
3	Thank you.	3	Please consider what we've proposed to you	
4	MR. YOUNG: Thank you for your comments.	4	because it can work, it's worked in other places and it	
5	Jeanette Watson. Bob Campbell. This close	5	can work here.	
6	enough?	6	MR. YOUNG: Thank you, Mr. Campbell.	
7	MR. CAMPBELL: Good afternoon. My name is	7	Maryann Pickman, Sara Dayman, Abigail Solis,	
8	Bob Campbell and I am a third generation grower in the	8	Dana Perlas, Rose Frances, Marcela Morales.	
9	Central Coast here, small family operation.	9	MR. YOUNG: First name. Go ahead.	
.0	And I raised my kids and now our grandkids go	10	MS. PERLAS: My name is Dana Perlas, P-e-r-l-a-s.	
1	on the ranch and drink tap water out of the well, so, I	11	I'm Community Organizer and Policy Advocate	
2	too, am interested in clean groundwater, so that's not	12	for the Nonprofit Organization Pesticide Watch	
3	the issue. I think it's how we approach it and how we	13	Education Fund.	
4	get there, that's the problem.	14	I've specifically worked on the Central Coast	
5	And I think if I were a Board member today,	15	and worked with over 200 community organizations and	
6	after hearing some of speakers talk about the	16	community members who are concerned about the	
7	cooperative efforts that are taking place around the	17	contamination of pesticides in their air and	
8		18	groundwater.	
o 9	state with agriculturists and government agencies, some	19	All of these people I've been working with,	
9	of the environmental groups, I guess I'd be asking why aren't the farmers and the growers wanting to work with	20	specifically on issues of Methyl Iodine, which your	
1		21		
1 2	our Staff. And the answer is your Staff, it's about	22	Board has been very supportive, and wrote a letter	
2 3	mistrust.	23	encouraging against the registration of Methyl Iodine,	
	I heard them, just today, and I have attended	24	specifically citing the fact that you're already	-
4 5	all these hearings a of years and I go home, without	25	concerned enough about the contamination of groundwate	ſ
5	fail, totally frustrated. I heard them sit here today Page 318	2.5	and surface water in the Central Coast.	Page 32
	1 4 5 1 6			1 450 52
1	and whine, by the way, diplomacy is not one my strong	1	I want you to think about the issues that	
2	suits, but I heard them whine about the three or four	2	have been raised today with a lens of public health.	
3	years it's taken to get here.	3	Think about not just the costs to agriculture, but of	
4	Well, I would hope something this big and	4	the lives of the thousands of people in the Central	
5	this complex would take whatever time it takes, so we	5	Coast.	
6	get it the right the first time. And I, quite frankly,	6	Think about the costs, the impacts that it	
7	am not happy with their attitude about this.	7	will have on the health clinics and schools, should	
8	Our coalition representatives got here today	8	people drinking their groundwater drinking the surface	
9	to try and clarify why our proposal will work and they	9	water, playing in the water, being impacted by the	
0	sat there and tried to throw roadblocks and not allow	10	pesticides, by the nitrates which affects their body	
1	them to present that and they talked about individual	11	neurologically, cancer, thyroid disease, the list goes	
2	well monitoring. And they have to give a general	12	on and on you're well aware of risks that happen when	
3	location to the public where that well might be, but	13	contaminated with pesticides.	
4	the owner knows the exact location of that will not be	14	I want to encourage the Board to take a vote	
5	made public.	15	on this waiver and not wait any longer. It's been way	
6	No reasonable person buys that argument or	16	too long, and the longer you wait, the longer these	
7	that statement. That information will become public	17	impacts will have on community members.	
8	and individual growers will be faced with certain	18	The Staff has done a very good job at the	
9	groups targeting them because of some issues that they	19	report so far. It's flexible, and I think that this	
0	may or may not have.	20	report can take into consideration the importance of	
1	I've got so many notes here, I'm not sure	21	monitoring and evaluation of groundwater and of surface	e
2	where it stops. Sustainable agriculture, your Staff	22	water.	
	talks about what a great program that is and I want to	23	Don't weaken the Pesticide regulations. We	
3		24	want monitoring. We want regulation, enforcement, and	ł
3 4	say that that's not government. That came from	24	mane monitoring. The mane regulation, embredinend, and	
	say that that's not government. That came from Agriculture. That's great. When agriculture presents	24	furthermore we want transparency. We want this	

	Page 323		Page 32
25	MS. FRANCIS: Good afternoon. Rose Francis,	25	And our concern and our support is for the
24	Karen Araujo. Reverend Lindsey Ramptson.	24	business community as a whole.
23	Rose Francis, and then Marcela Morales,	23	the agricultural community in Ventura County and the
22	MR. YOUNG: Thank you for your comments.	22	environmental responsibility, so we are partnering with
21	Thank you.	21	business problem forum integrating social and
20	toward the next five years.	20	Channel Islands on a business forum and commercial
19	tomorrow, so we can get back on track and begin working	19	We are partnering with UCI in the City of
18	proposed Waiver right now, we urge you to adopt that	18	the business community.
17	That said, we do support the Waiver, the	17	history of working with diverse coalitions including
16	individual monitoring of the high risk dischargers.	16	with color and low income communities. Positive
15	we appreciate that the latest Draft does require	15	including the elimination of burdens for communities
14	that is the most effective way to pinpoint pollution,	14	equal and public access to help the environment,
13	monitoring of the farms and ranches because we believe	13	2010. And, in brief, the environmental justice is
12	While we continue to support individual	12	Environmental Justice provided to the Board in May of
11	continuing pollution of our waters.	11	Economy. And I'm referencing the definition for
LO	taking action all together and perpetuate the	10	Central Coast Alliance for United Work & Sustainable
9	high risk farms or to continue to be dissuaded from	9	I'm Marcela Morales, M-o-r-a-l-e-s, of the
8	likely contributors to the impairments, which would be	8	Board.
7	program designed to address impairments and the most	7	MS. MORALES: Thank you, Chair, and members of the
6	It's up to you to choose to implement a	6	Marcela Morales.
5	these impairments.	5	MR. YOUNG: Thank you for your comments.
4	Coast, and irrigated agriculture's contribution to	4	requirements to protect public health.
3	documenting water quality issues faced in the Central	3	they're not capable ever meeting basic reporting
2	Your Staff has provided ample evidence	2	however, I believe it's disrespectful to suggest that
1	pressures that may obscure or focus elsewhere.	1	2 and Tier 3 region, specifically on small growers,
	Page 322		Page 32
25	you, in front of your mind, and to overcome the	25	program places on growers and in particular in the Tier
4	We are going to keep these duties in front	24	I appreciate the burden that a regulatory
3	those beneficial uses and water quality objectives.	23	have met these requirements.
2	regulating dischargers whose Discharge could impact	22	to operate safely and effectively and the vast majority
1	all beneficial uses, and you are also tasked with	21	a minimum of technical and managerial capacity in order
0	tasked protecting the waters of our region to support	20	annual basis. They are required to attend and maintain
9	What we wanted to point out is this Board is	19	the submission of date data and numerous reports on an
. 8	well.	18	regulatory standards to protect public health including
.7	are many other beneficial uses of the watershed, as	17	and here on the Central Coast are held to strict
. 5	out to the ocean forming sand bars, and obviously there	16	the small public water systems, both in the San Joaquin
. 4	Salinas River and the Santa Maria River, actually empty	14	community the public water system serves, and all of
_3 _4	which our organization was founded. And, of course, some of the most toxic water bodies in this region, the	13	Board. The Board members are residents of the
12	treatment of coastal water quality is a key issue upon	12 13	valleys. They are run by an all volunteer Water
11	We wish to comment on the Order because the	11	water providers serve Salinas and the San Joaquin
			water utilities in the San Joaquin Valley. And these
9 10	can't be here.	10	
8 9	be here today, so I'll speak for the other four that	9	I'm an attorney for a number of small public
8	Good evening, Chair, Board members. We have five chapters here in the region, and one chapter could	8	provides a number of parallels between Tulare's agricultural and that of the Central Coast.
6 7	Surfrider Foundation.	6	risk for future contamination of their drinking water
5	MS. DAMRON: Sara Damron, D-a-m-r-o-n, for the	5	currently drinking water in, in the Central Coast is at
4	Rose Francis, Marcela Morales.	4	here today to represent not the community, but
3	MR. YOUNG: Thank you for your comments.	3	nonprofit organization based in Tulare County, and I'm
	Thank you.	2	I'm an attorney with Community Water Plan, a
2			

1			
	Staff's recommendations, because of the environmental	1	that this water issue is for the low income. ;.
2	justice urgency. And I want to echo Mr. Marsh's quote	2	I just want to say, on a personal note, my
3	earlier about the frustration about what seems to be a	3	daughter goes to a school that is has water that is
4	lack of sense of urgency, for us, this is an issue for	4	highly contaminated with arsenic. My daughter.
5	racial justice. We have communities which is almost	5	So this means every day when she goes to
6	100 percent Latino communities.	6	school, she must take an alternative source of water
7	This is not just an environmental issue, it's	7	with her. If she forgets her bottle of water, which
8	a racial justice issue. It's difficult to come here	8	kids do, this means two things, one, do I am I
9	year after year, at this point for me, only two years,	9	thirsty all day, or, two, um, do I drink this poison
0	and see quite frankly a sea of white, knowing that the	10	water.
1	communities that are bearing the disproportionate	11	Now no child should have to ask themselves
2	burden are almost 75 percent to 100 percent people of	12	this question. So I ask you this. What would you
3	color.	13	choose? What would you do?
4	And so I want to raise the issue of racial	14	And, lastly, I want to say to a comment that
5	justice and say that enough delay. Justice delayed is	15	was made earlier, how we've been whining all morning
6	justice denied. It's time to make a decision, and move	16	about spending three or four years talking about this
7	forward. We all agree this is no where near any	17	decision. How it's been too long, and how he hopes
8	perfect solution, but we must move on to take the next	18	that we would take at least this long, while we wait
9	step and start day one, after it's approved, working on	19	and while he thinks we whine our kids, our students, in
0	the next better step.	20	our California schools are getting sick.
1	Thank you.	21	Thank you.
2	MR. YOUNG: Thank you.	22	MR. YOUNG: Thank you for your comments.
3	THE REPORTER: I need to change my paper, please.	23	Now we have Karen Araujo?
4	(Brief interruption.)	24	MS. ARAUJO: Araujo.
5	MS. SOLIS: Gabrielle Solis. I was called	25	MR. YOUNG: Araujo?
0			-
	Page 326		Page 32
1	earlier.	1	MS. ARAUJO: A-r-a-u-j-o.
	earlier. MR. YOUNG: All right.	1 2	MS. ARAUJO: A-r-a-u-j-o. I'm with the Unitarian Universalist Legislate
2			-
2 3	MR. YOUNG: All right.	2	I'm with the Unitarian Universalist Legislate
2 3 4	MR. YOUNG: All right. Ms. Solis.	2 3	I'm with the Unitarian Universalist Legislate Ministry. I'm a volunteer here, on my own time, and my
2 3 4 5	MR. YOUNG: All right.Ms. Solis.MS. SOLIS: Gabrielle Solis. I was called	2 3 4	I'm with the Unitarian Universalist Legislate Ministry. I'm a volunteer here, on my own time, and my own dime today because this is what my faith in action
2 3 4 5 6	MR. YOUNG: All right.Ms. Solis.MS. SOLIS: Gabrielle Solis. I was called earlier.	2 3 4 5	I'm with the Unitarian Universalist Legislate Ministry. I'm a volunteer here, on my own time, and my own dime today because this is what my faith in action looks like.
2 3 4 5 6 7	MR. YOUNG: All right. Ms. Solis. MS. SOLIS: Gabrielle Solis. I was called earlier. MR. YOUNG: All right.	2 3 4 5 6	I'm with the Unitarian Universalist Legislate Ministry. I'm a volunteer here, on my own time, and my own dime today because this is what my faith in action looks like. Thank you all for taking on this huge task,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 MR. YOUNG: All right. Ms. Solis. MS. SOLIS: Gabrielle Solis. I was called earlier. MR. YOUNG: All right. MS. SOLIS: My name is Gabrielle Solis. I am the 	2 3 4 5 6 7	I'm with the Unitarian Universalist Legislate Ministry. I'm a volunteer here, on my own time, and my own dime today because this is what my faith in action looks like. Thank you all for taking on this huge task, those of you who are paid Staff and those of you who
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 MR. YOUNG: All right. Ms. Solis. MS. SOLIS: Gabrielle Solis. I was called earlier. MR. YOUNG: All right. MS. SOLIS: My name is Gabrielle Solis. I am the community organizer for the Community Water Center of 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	I'm with the Unitarian Universalist Legislate Ministry. I'm a volunteer here, on my own time, and my own dime today because this is what my faith in action looks like. Thank you all for taking on this huge task, those of you who are paid Staff and those of you who are volunteers, members of this Board.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0	 MR. YOUNG: All right. Ms. Solis. MS. SOLIS: Gabrielle Solis. I was called earlier. MR. YOUNG: All right. MS. SOLIS: My name is Gabrielle Solis. I am the community organizer for the Community Water Center of the Central Valley, and as the organizer there, I can 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	I'm with the Unitarian Universalist Legislate Ministry. I'm a volunteer here, on my own time, and my own dime today because this is what my faith in action looks like. Thank you all for taking on this huge task, those of you who are paid Staff and those of you who are volunteers, members of this Board. Mr. Wolff quoted earlier something about
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0	 MR. YOUNG: All right. Ms. Solis. MS. SOLIS: Gabrielle Solis. I was called earlier. MR. YOUNG: All right. MS. SOLIS: My name is Gabrielle Solis. I am the community organizer for the Community Water Center of the Central Valley, and as the organizer there, I can stand here and tell you about the many families we are 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	I'm with the Unitarian Universalist Legislate Ministry. I'm a volunteer here, on my own time, and my own dime today because this is what my faith in action looks like. Thank you all for taking on this huge task, those of you who are paid Staff and those of you who are volunteers, members of this Board. Mr. Wolff quoted earlier something about change, the difficulty of change. As Unitarian
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2	 MR. YOUNG: All right. Ms. Solis. MS. SOLIS: Gabrielle Solis. I was called earlier. MR. YOUNG: All right. MS. SOLIS: My name is Gabrielle Solis. I am the community organizer for the Community Water Center of the Central Valley, and as the organizer there, I can stand here and tell you about the many families we are with on a daily basis that are impacted by water. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	I'm with the Unitarian Universalist Legislate Ministry. I'm a volunteer here, on my own time, and my own dime today because this is what my faith in action looks like. Thank you all for taking on this huge task, those of you who are paid Staff and those of you who are volunteers, members of this Board. Mr. Wolff quoted earlier something about change, the difficulty of change. As Unitarian Charles Darwin said, it wasn't survival of the fittest that made a difference. It was the adaptability. How
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3	 MR. YOUNG: All right. Ms. Solis. MS. SOLIS: Gabrielle Solis. I was called earlier. MR. YOUNG: All right. MS. SOLIS: My name is Gabrielle Solis. I am the community organizer for the Community Water Center of the Central Valley, and as the organizer there, I can stand here and tell you about the many families we are with on a daily basis that are impacted by water. But we have heard that today. I do want to 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	I'm with the Unitarian Universalist Legislate Ministry. I'm a volunteer here, on my own time, and my own dime today because this is what my faith in action looks like. Thank you all for taking on this huge task, those of you who are paid Staff and those of you who are volunteers, members of this Board. Mr. Wolff quoted earlier something about change, the difficulty of change. As Unitarian Charles Darwin said, it wasn't survival of the fittest that made a difference. It was the adaptability. How much, how much we can change? That's what makes a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4	 MR. YOUNG: All right. Ms. Solis. MS. SOLIS: Gabrielle Solis. I was called earlier. MR. YOUNG: All right. MS. SOLIS: My name is Gabrielle Solis. I am the community organizer for the Community Water Center of the Central Valley, and as the organizer there, I can stand here and tell you about the many families we are with on a daily basis that are impacted by water. But we have heard that today. I do want to mention that our work at the center has shifted from just the families, to working a lot of school districts 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	I'm with the Unitarian Universalist Legislate Ministry. I'm a volunteer here, on my own time, and my own dime today because this is what my faith in action looks like. Thank you all for taking on this huge task, those of you who are paid Staff and those of you who are volunteers, members of this Board. Mr. Wolff quoted earlier something about change, the difficulty of change. As Unitarian Charles Darwin said, it wasn't survival of the fittest that made a difference. It was the adaptability. How
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5	 MR. YOUNG: All right. Ms. Solis. MS. SOLIS: Gabrielle Solis. I was called earlier. MR. YOUNG: All right. MS. SOLIS: My name is Gabrielle Solis. I am the community organizer for the Community Water Center of the Central Valley, and as the organizer there, I can stand here and tell you about the many families we are with on a daily basis that are impacted by water. But we have heard that today. I do want to mention that our work at the center has shifted from just the families, to working a lot of school districts that have this problem. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	I'm with the Unitarian Universalist Legislate Ministry. I'm a volunteer here, on my own time, and my own dime today because this is what my faith in action looks like. Thank you all for taking on this huge task, those of you who are paid Staff and those of you who are volunteers, members of this Board. Mr. Wolff quoted earlier something about change, the difficulty of change. As Unitarian Charles Darwin said, it wasn't survival of the fittest that made a difference. It was the adaptability. How much, how much we can change? That's what makes a difference. This is a key moment for us here. We must be
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6	 MR. YOUNG: All right. Ms. Solis. MS. SOLIS: Gabrielle Solis. I was called earlier. MR. YOUNG: All right. MS. SOLIS: My name is Gabrielle Solis. I am the community organizer for the Community Water Center of the Central Valley, and as the organizer there, I can stand here and tell you about the many families we are with on a daily basis that are impacted by water. But we have heard that today. I do want to mention that our work at the center has shifted from just the families, to working a lot of school districts that have this problem. School districts that are now faced with 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	I'm with the Unitarian Universalist Legislate Ministry. I'm a volunteer here, on my own time, and my own dime today because this is what my faith in action looks like. Thank you all for taking on this huge task, those of you who are paid Staff and those of you who are volunteers, members of this Board. Mr. Wolff quoted earlier something about change, the difficulty of change. As Unitarian Charles Darwin said, it wasn't survival of the fittest that made a difference. It was the adaptability. How much, how much we can change? That's what makes a difference. This is a key moment for us here. We must be able to change. It's on you. You have a tough row to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7	 MR. YOUNG: All right. Ms. Solis. MS. SOLIS: Gabrielle Solis. I was called earlier. MR. YOUNG: All right. MS. SOLIS: My name is Gabrielle Solis. I am the community organizer for the Community Water Center of the Central Valley, and as the organizer there, I can stand here and tell you about the many families we are with on a daily basis that are impacted by water. But we have heard that today. I do want to mention that our work at the center has shifted from just the families, to working a lot of school districts that have this problem. School districts that are now faced with dealing with the fact of how are we going to pay to 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	I'm with the Unitarian Universalist Legislate Ministry. I'm a volunteer here, on my own time, and my own dime today because this is what my faith in action looks like. Thank you all for taking on this huge task, those of you who are paid Staff and those of you who are volunteers, members of this Board. Mr. Wolff quoted earlier something about change, the difficulty of change. As Unitarian Charles Darwin said, it wasn't survival of the fittest that made a difference. It was the adaptability. How much, how much we can change? That's what makes a difference. This is a key moment for us here. We must be able to change. It's on you. You have a tough row to hoe, because people before did not make the toughest
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. YOUNG: All right. Ms. Solis. MS. SOLIS: Gabrielle Solis. I was called earlier. MR. YOUNG: All right. MS. SOLIS: My name is Gabrielle Solis. I am the community organizer for the Community Water Center of the Central Valley, and as the organizer there, I can stand here and tell you about the many families we are with on a daily basis that are impacted by water. But we have heard that today. I do want to mention that our work at the center has shifted from just the families, to working a lot of school districts that have this problem. School districts that are now faced with dealing with the fact of how are we going to pay to supply clean drinking water to our hundreds and even	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	I'm with the Unitarian Universalist Legislate Ministry. I'm a volunteer here, on my own time, and my own dime today because this is what my faith in action looks like. Thank you all for taking on this huge task, those of you who are paid Staff and those of you who are volunteers, members of this Board. Mr. Wolff quoted earlier something about change, the difficulty of change. As Unitarian Charles Darwin said, it wasn't survival of the fittest that made a difference. It was the adaptability. How much, how much we can change? That's what makes a difference. This is a key moment for us here. We must be able to change. It's on you. You have a tough row to hoe, because people before did not make the toughest decisions they could, because of whatever pressure they
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. YOUNG: All right. Ms. Solis. MS. SOLIS: Gabrielle Solis. I was called earlier. MR. YOUNG: All right. MS. SOLIS: My name is Gabrielle Solis. I am the community organizer for the Community Water Center of the Central Valley, and as the organizer there, I can stand here and tell you about the many families we are with on a daily basis that are impacted by water. But we have heard that today. I do want to mention that our work at the center has shifted from just the families, to working a lot of school districts that have this problem. School districts that are now faced with dealing with the fact of how are we going to pay to supply clean drinking water to our hundreds and even thousands of students. And I'm sure you know this	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	I'm with the Unitarian Universalist Legislate Ministry. I'm a volunteer here, on my own time, and my own dime today because this is what my faith in action looks like. Thank you all for taking on this huge task, those of you who are paid Staff and those of you who are volunteers, members of this Board. Mr. Wolff quoted earlier something about change, the difficulty of change. As Unitarian Charles Darwin said, it wasn't survival of the fittest that made a difference. It was the adaptability. How much, how much we can change? That's what makes a difference. This is a key moment for us here. We must be able to change. It's on you. You have a tough row to hoe, because people before did not make the toughest decisions they could, because of whatever pressure they endured or faced.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0	MR. YOUNG: All right. Ms. Solis. MS. SOLIS: Gabrielle Solis. I was called earlier. MR. YOUNG: All right. MS. SOLIS: My name is Gabrielle Solis. I am the community organizer for the Community Water Center of the Central Valley, and as the organizer there, I can stand here and tell you about the many families we are with on a daily basis that are impacted by water. But we have heard that today. I do want to mention that our work at the center has shifted from just the families, to working a lot of school districts that have this problem. School districts that are now faced with dealing with the fact of how are we going to pay to supply clean drinking water to our hundreds and even thousands of students. And I'm sure you know this perhaps you don't but California has the most number	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	I'm with the Unitarian Universalist Legislate Ministry. I'm a volunteer here, on my own time, and my own dime today because this is what my faith in action looks like. Thank you all for taking on this huge task, those of you who are paid Staff and those of you who are volunteers, members of this Board. Mr. Wolff quoted earlier something about change, the difficulty of change. As Unitarian Charles Darwin said, it wasn't survival of the fittest that made a difference. It was the adaptability. How much, how much we can change? That's what makes a difference. This is a key moment for us here. We must be able to change. It's on you. You have a tough row to hoe, because people before did not make the toughest decisions they could, because of whatever pressure they endured or faced. Five million dollars in my neighborhood to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1	MR. YOUNG: All right. Ms. Solis. MS. SOLIS: Gabrielle Solis. I was called earlier. MR. YOUNG: All right. MS. SOLIS: My name is Gabrielle Solis. I am the community organizer for the Community Water Center of the Central Valley, and as the organizer there, I can stand here and tell you about the many families we are with on a daily basis that are impacted by water. But we have heard that today. I do want to mention that our work at the center has shifted from just the families, to working a lot of school districts that have this problem. School districts that are now faced with dealing with the fact of how are we going to pay to supply clean drinking water to our hundreds and even thousands of students. And I'm sure you know this perhaps you don't but California has the most number of schools without safe water in the nation.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	I'm with the Unitarian Universalist Legislate Ministry. I'm a volunteer here, on my own time, and my own dime today because this is what my faith in action looks like. Thank you all for taking on this huge task, those of you who are paid Staff and those of you who are volunteers, members of this Board. Mr. Wolff quoted earlier something about change, the difficulty of change. As Unitarian Charles Darwin said, it wasn't survival of the fittest that made a difference. It was the adaptability. How much, how much we can change? That's what makes a difference. This is a key moment for us here. We must be able to change. It's on you. You have a tough row to hoe, because people before did not make the toughest decisions they could, because of whatever pressure they endured or faced. Five million dollars in my neighborhood to provide safe water for the San Jerardo folks. This is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2	 MR. YOUNG: All right. Ms. Solis. MS. SOLIS: Gabrielle Solis. I was called earlier. MR. YOUNG: All right. MS. SOLIS: My name is Gabrielle Solis. I am the community organizer for the Community Water Center of the Central Valley, and as the organizer there, I can stand here and tell you about the many families we are with on a daily basis that are impacted by water. But we have heard that today. I do want to mention that our work at the center has shifted from just the families, to working a lot of school districts that have this problem. School districts that are now faced with dealing with the fact of how are we going to pay to supply clean drinking water to our hundreds and even thousands of students. And I'm sure you know this perhaps you don't but California has the most number of schools without safe water in the nation. California. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	I'm with the Unitarian Universalist Legislate Ministry. I'm a volunteer here, on my own time, and my own dime today because this is what my faith in action looks like. Thank you all for taking on this huge task, those of you who are paid Staff and those of you who are volunteers, members of this Board. Mr. Wolff quoted earlier something about change, the difficulty of change. As Unitarian Charles Darwin said, it wasn't survival of the fittest that made a difference. It was the adaptability. How much, how much we can change? That's what makes a difference. This is a key moment for us here. We must be able to change. It's on you. You have a tough row to hoe, because people before did not make the toughest decisions they could, because of whatever pressure they endured or faced. Five million dollars in my neighborhood to provide safe water for the San Jerardo folks. This is only one group. If these statistics that quoted
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4	MR. YOUNG: All right. Ms. Solis. MS. SOLIS: Gabrielle Solis. I was called earlier. MR. YOUNG: All right. MS. SOLIS: My name is Gabrielle Solis. I am the community organizer for the Community Water Center of the Central Valley, and as the organizer there, I can stand here and tell you about the many families we are with on a daily basis that are impacted by water. But we have heard that today. I do want to mention that our work at the center has shifted from just the families, to working a lot of school districts that have this problem. School districts that are now faced with dealing with the fact of how are we going to pay to supply clean drinking water to our hundreds and even thousands of students. And I'm sure you know this perhaps you don't but California has the most number of schools without safe water in the nation. California. And it just astounds me to stand here and say	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	I'm with the Unitarian Universalist Legislate Ministry. I'm a volunteer here, on my own time, and my own dime today because this is what my faith in action looks like. Thank you all for taking on this huge task, those of you who are paid Staff and those of you who are volunteers, members of this Board. Mr. Wolff quoted earlier something about change, the difficulty of change. As Unitarian Charles Darwin said, it wasn't survival of the fittest that made a difference. It was the adaptability. How much, how much we can change? That's what makes a difference. This is a key moment for us here. We must be able to change. It's on you. You have a tough row to hoe, because people before did not make the toughest decisions they could, because of whatever pressure they endured or faced. Five million dollars in my neighborhood to provide safe water for the San Jerardo folks. This is only one group. If these statistics that quoted earlier, pan out, through out our area, about 60,000
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2	 MR. YOUNG: All right. Ms. Solis. MS. SOLIS: Gabrielle Solis. I was called earlier. MR. YOUNG: All right. MS. SOLIS: My name is Gabrielle Solis. I am the community organizer for the Community Water Center of the Central Valley, and as the organizer there, I can stand here and tell you about the many families we are with on a daily basis that are impacted by water. But we have heard that today. I do want to mention that our work at the center has shifted from just the families, to working a lot of school districts that have this problem. School districts that are now faced with dealing with the fact of how are we going to pay to supply clean drinking water to our hundreds and even thousands of students. And I'm sure you know this perhaps you don't but California has the most number of schools without safe water in the nation. California. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	I'm with the Unitarian Universalist Legislate Ministry. I'm a volunteer here, on my own time, and my own dime today because this is what my faith in action looks like. Thank you all for taking on this huge task, those of you who are paid Staff and those of you who are volunteers, members of this Board. Mr. Wolff quoted earlier something about change, the difficulty of change. As Unitarian Charles Darwin said, it wasn't survival of the fittest that made a difference. It was the adaptability. How much, how much we can change? That's what makes a difference. This is a key moment for us here. We must be able to change. It's on you. You have a tough row to hoe, because people before did not make the toughest decisions they could, because of whatever pressure they endured or faced. Five million dollars in my neighborhood to provide safe water for the San Jerardo folks. This is only one group. If these statistics that quoted

		<u> </u>	
1	It's your responsibility, as you know, to	1	finally realized they need to go upstream and deal with
2	protect the water quality. Please pass this. Vote on	2	the root causes, is appropriate here.
3	it tomorrow. We are always going to have more	3	We can't deal with this community by
4	information, and if we're doing things correctly, we	4	community by community. We ask that you go forward
5	will have processes in place as of this year, in this	5	with an Ag Order that sets a regulatory foundation that
6	great proposal by the Staff, the mechanisms to address	6	is usable, that brings in widespread data, that still
7	needed modifications and change, but it's in the doing	7	allows for continued, creative, collaborative effort
8	that we're really going to learn what they did in	8	and new information.
9	practice, in the implementation there will be	9	Continuing to postpone a vote not only
10	innovation. We're going to be okay. We're going to be	10	threatens community help, but it displaces economic
11	okay.	11	responsibility burdening the most vulnerable and it
12	Was that my time?	12	undermines trust.
13	MR. YOUNG: It was.	13	Thank you for your service. Thank you for
14	MS. ARAUJO: Please vote tomorrow, thank you.	14	the service of those in your Staff who are dedicated to
15	MR. YOUNG: Thank you for your comment.	15	your mission, and thank you to all of the farmers who
16			
	Reverend Lindsay Rampston and then Brad	16	are working so hard to figure out how to grow this
17	Snook, Carl Wiley.	17	without damaging the gift of creation that blesses all
18	MS. RAMPSTON: Chairman, members of the Board, I	18	of our lives.
19	am Reverend Lindsay Rampston.	19	MR. YOUNG: Thank you for your comments.
20	I'm the Senior Minister at Unitarian	20	Brad Snook, Joel Wiley.
21	Universalist Legislative Ministry which coordinates	21	MR. SNOOK: My name is Brad Snook, S-n-o-o-k.
22	collaborative ministries and congregation in	22	I'm the Chair of San Luis Obispo Chapter of
23	California.	23	Surfrider Foundation. Welcome to our County. I do
24	Last March we hosted the United Nations	24	want to state a little observation on the Farm Bureau,
25	Independent Expert on the Human Right for Water	25	the CFBF presentation earlier.
	Page 330		Page 332
1	Sanitation here in California.	1	I'm a little bit concerned that perhaps that
2	She was here to listen to communities and	2	information could have been brought in front of the
3	receive testimony, but she was also here to look at	3	Board earlier. That questioning whether this was the
4	good practices that she could take back into the larger	4	time or place to bring out all that information.
5	framework internationally, as all of us together are	5	The Surfrider values environmentally
6		6	,
7	trying to figure out how to actually implement and	7	responsible agriculture, but who are the responsible
	realize the human right for water, for safe, affordable		ones? No one argues the existence of a problem. It's
8	accessible water for daily human needs.	8	obvious that adoption of this Agricultural Order will
9	The problem with nitrates and unregulated	9	help address water quality problems in our County.
10	groundwater is indeed profound. But just as California	10	The Water Board has created a workable
11	established new omissions standards for cars, which has	11	solution and to a delay to implementation by just
12	generated innovations for jobs as well as cleaner air.	12	simply ignoring the problems of mismanagement that got
13	I think California can take the leadership role in	13	us here. To delay implementation will continue to put
14	establishing a regulatory foundation, as well as to	14	mental health at risk and it, potentially, could invite
15	collaborative strategies to address this serious threat	15	new risk.
16	to our shared existence.	16	Please vote to authorize the Ag Order today
17	I was concerned by Congressman Farr's	17	or tomorrow. Thank you.
18	testimony that San Jerardo might be the example of a	18	MR. YOUNG: Thank you for your comments.
19	success story. San Jerardo is unique. It is a	19	Joel Wiley.
20	cooperative, it has central meeting place, a talented	20	MR. WILEY: Good evening. My name is Joel Wiley.
21	manager, allies in government, a new profit center, but	21	That's W-i-I-e-y. I'm a CCA and been practicing crop
22	five million dollars later and taxpayer funds and \$120	22	nutrition for 33 years, and I see the proposal that's
23	a month is not something that's sustainable.	23	in front of you, that you're asking to propose to and
24	The story that a baby's coming down the river	24	vote on.
25	and the community trying to save them until they	25	It's incredibly overwhelming. I've been
1	Page 331		Page 333
	1 age 551	1	r age 555

1	doing this for 33 years and it's like, Wow! It's a	1	to regulate use is an effective control of discharge.
2	pretty big project. There's going to be a lot of	2	As we indicated in a previous hearing, research jointly
3	information that's brought up in front of you that this	3	funded by the Regional Board and the Strawberry
4	Staff is going to have to address.	4	Commission demonstrates, on average, most strawberry
5	I don't know how you're going to do it. The	5	farmers already have adopted this BMP of 120 percent
6	hydrologist the hydrology requirement as CCA having	6	and combined with strip irrigation are not high risk
7	that certification, I don't know if there is very many	7	for leaching.
8	of those available in the State of California that have	8	In previous comments, we requested that
9	that certification. I think you should look into	9	strawberries be moved to a lower designation. Staff
10	that. That's something that's really an overwhelming	10	showed a slide that indicated that 20 to 40 percent of
11	certification that you're asking people to have, if you	11	wells are affected by nitrates.
12	want to include CCA certification in this process.	12	Some of the previous reports and USDS reports
13	I am trying to figure out the Tier process,	13	that Staff has referenced indicate that the correct
14	because I can identify one acre parcel that has as much	14	number at face value is 9 percent.
15	influence on groundwater than a 500-acre parcel would	15	Last year we presented data on the
16	have, a greenhouse. There's a lot of down pressure	16	groundwater analysis. The data shows that about
17	from water, with very little evaporation, and it just	17	50 percent of small disadvantaged communities, those
18	keeps pushing nitrogen down. Okay?	18	that are on systems that serve between 2 and 14 homes
19	So I think the Tier process comes with and	19	are in areas where no commercial agriculture. Half of
20	·	20	the affected wells are in areas with no farms.
21	it's, kind of, complicated, and I could see why people would have a hard time figuring it out.	20	
21		22	Staff described the Central Coast Vineyard
23	The last thing I want to say is that if you		Team SIP program that you could move from Tier 3 down
	vote on, what I would call, the Alternative Ag	23	to Tier 1 if you're a member of SIP, but then they also
24	Proposal, the Marc Los Huertos Proposal, there's	24	talked about the Farmers Water Quality Program, that
25	collaboration that I heard overwhelmingly pushed by our	25	our reports aren't publicly available. They're not
	Page 334		Page 336
1	legislators, and then there's this question about	1	publicly available with SIP, either. The criteria is
2	creativity. And I don't want to see creativity stuck,	2	not available, the audits aren't available. More
3	and I think with the allowing of an alternative	3	importantly, the Staff Report doesn't even require them
4	approach to what we're here voting on, potentially	4	to be available.
5	tomorrow, I think it's really important we look at the	5	That's the type of different standard that is
6	alternative.	6	being proposed for farmers for Water Quality versus
7	Thank you.	7	everything else.
8	MR. YOUNG: Thank you for your comments,	8	So I'll just conclude, because I have to say
9	Mr. Wiley.	9	this, I agree with Staff that vineyards are low risk,
10	Mr. Tomlinson (inaudible names).	10	and that the SIP program is a good program. I'm just
11	MR. TOMLINSON: Rick Tomlinson. I am from the	11	using it as an example to state differences in
12	California Strawberry Commission. We support clean	12	standards.
13		12	
		12	
11/1	water. I have to rush through and correct the record	13 14	Lastly, I'll tell you that Ventura has a
14 15	water. I have to rush through and correct the record on a few things.	14	coalition, and it's working great.
15	water. I have to rush through and correct the record on a few things. First, we agree with Staff that most	14 15	coalition, and it's working great. MR. YOUNG: Thank you for your comments.
15 16	water. I have to rush through and correct the record on a few things. First, we agree with Staff that most strawberry farms will fall into Tier 2. Our main	14 15 16	coalition, and it's working great. MR. YOUNG: Thank you for your comments. MR. JEFFRIES: Can I ask a question?
15 16 17	water. I have to rush through and correct the record on a few things. First, we agree with Staff that most strawberry farms will fall into Tier 2. Our main concern with the Tiers is that no matter what a	14 15 16 17	coalition, and it's working great.MR. YOUNG: Thank you for your comments.MR. JEFFRIES: Can I ask a question?MR. YOUNG: Go ahead.
15 16 17 18	water. I have to rush through and correct the record on a few things. First, we agree with Staff that most strawberry farms will fall into Tier 2. Our main concern with the Tiers is that no matter what a strawberry grower does to improve water quality, most	14 15 16 17 18	 coalition, and it's working great. MR. YOUNG: Thank you for your comments. MR. JEFFRIES: Can I ask a question? MR. YOUNG: Go ahead. MR. JEFFRIES: Mr. Tomlinson, do you know, off the
15 16 17 18 19	 water. I have to rush through and correct the record on a few things. First, we agree with Staff that most strawberry farms will fall into Tier 2. Our main concern with the Tiers is that no matter what a strawberry grower does to improve water quality, most will always be stuck in Tier 2. 	14 15 16 17 18 19	 coalition, and it's working great. MR. YOUNG: Thank you for your comments. MR. JEFFRIES: Can I ask a question? MR. YOUNG: Go ahead. MR. JEFFRIES: Mr. Tomlinson, do you know, off the top of your head, in this region, how many acres of
15 16 17 18 19 20	 water. I have to rush through and correct the record on a few things. First, we agree with Staff that most strawberry farms will fall into Tier 2. Our main concern with the Tiers is that no matter what a strawberry grower does to improve water quality, most will always be stuck in Tier 2. In short, there is no carrot, there is no 	14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 coalition, and it's working great. MR. YOUNG: Thank you for your comments. MR. JEFFRIES: Can I ask a question? MR. YOUNG: Go ahead. MR. JEFFRIES: Mr. Tomlinson, do you know, off the top of your head, in this region, how many acres of strawberries are under irrigation?
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 water. I have to rush through and correct the record on a few things. First, we agree with Staff that most strawberry farms will fall into Tier 2. Our main concern with the Tiers is that no matter what a strawberry grower does to improve water quality, most will always be stuck in Tier 2. In short, there is no carrot, there is no reward for doing well. A grower gets stuck and they 	14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 coalition, and it's working great. MR. YOUNG: Thank you for your comments. MR. JEFFRIES: Can I ask a question? MR. YOUNG: Go ahead. MR. JEFFRIES: Mr. Tomlinson, do you know, off the top of your head, in this region, how many acres of strawberries are under irrigation? MR. TOMLINSON: 100 percent.
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 water. I have to rush through and correct the record on a few things. First, we agree with Staff that most strawberry farms will fall into Tier 2. Our main concern with the Tiers is that no matter what a strawberry grower does to improve water quality, most will always be stuck in Tier 2. In short, there is no carrot, there is no reward for doing well. A grower gets stuck and they stay in a Tier. We agree with Staff that a fertilizer 	14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 coalition, and it's working great. MR. YOUNG: Thank you for your comments. MR. JEFFRIES: Can I ask a question? MR. YOUNG: Go ahead. MR. JEFFRIES: Mr. Tomlinson, do you know, off the top of your head, in this region, how many acres of strawberries are under irrigation? MR. TOMLINSON: 100 percent. MR. JEFFRIES: 100 percent?
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 water. I have to rush through and correct the record on a few things. First, we agree with Staff that most strawberry farms will fall into Tier 2. Our main concern with the Tiers is that no matter what a strawberry grower does to improve water quality, most will always be stuck in Tier 2. In short, there is no carrot, there is no reward for doing well. A grower gets stuck and they stay in a Tier. We agree with Staff that a fertilizer cap of a 120 percent is an achievable BMP for most 	14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 coalition, and it's working great. MR. YOUNG: Thank you for your comments. MR. JEFFRIES: Can I ask a question? MR. YOUNG: Go ahead. MR. JEFFRIES: Mr. Tomlinson, do you know, off the top of your head, in this region, how many acres of strawberries are under irrigation? MR. TOMLINSON: 100 percent. MR. JEFFRIES: 100 percent? MR. TOMLINSON: All strawberries, we only occupy
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 water. I have to rush through and correct the record on a few things. First, we agree with Staff that most strawberry farms will fall into Tier 2. Our main concern with the Tiers is that no matter what a strawberry grower does to improve water quality, most will always be stuck in Tier 2. In short, there is no carrot, there is no reward for doing well. A grower gets stuck and they stay in a Tier. We agree with Staff that a fertilizer cap of a 120 percent is an achievable BMP for most strawberry farms. Not all, but most. 	14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 coalition, and it's working great. MR. YOUNG: Thank you for your comments. MR. JEFFRIES: Can I ask a question? MR. YOUNG: Go ahead. MR. JEFFRIES: Mr. Tomlinson, do you know, off the top of your head, in this region, how many acres of strawberries are under irrigation? MR. TOMLINSON: 100 percent. MR. JEFFRIES: 100 percent? MR. TOMLINSON: All strawberries, we only occupy about 6 percent of the plant area, and they're all
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 water. I have to rush through and correct the record on a few things. First, we agree with Staff that most strawberry farms will fall into Tier 2. Our main concern with the Tiers is that no matter what a strawberry grower does to improve water quality, most will always be stuck in Tier 2. In short, there is no carrot, there is no reward for doing well. A grower gets stuck and they stay in a Tier. We agree with Staff that a fertilizer cap of a 120 percent is an achievable BMP for most 	14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 coalition, and it's working great. MR. YOUNG: Thank you for your comments. MR. JEFFRIES: Can I ask a question? MR. YOUNG: Go ahead. MR. JEFFRIES: Mr. Tomlinson, do you know, off the top of your head, in this region, how many acres of strawberries are under irrigation? MR. TOMLINSON: 100 percent. MR. JEFFRIES: 100 percent? MR. TOMLINSON: All strawberries, we only occupy

1	showed that at the very beginning of the season you	1	percolation.
2	have to move the salt away from the root zone to allow	2	MR. TOMLINSON: Yeah. The average strawberry farm
3	that first 12 inches, and after the plant gets	3	is 73 acres, it's small, but we're designated high
4	established, you fertilize, and that's how we're able	4	potential to leach even though we have the study that
5	to achieve that 120 percent, because no plant can	5	says that's not accurate.
6	absorb 100 percent. There's inefficiencies, then	6	Everything I've told you about the
7	there's laws of nature.	7	groundwater, the wells that are effected, and where
8	MR. JEFFRIES: I'm trying to think of what	8	they're at and the studies, all the most current
9	question I had for you. Oh, crop rotation.	9	reports are all consistent with everything I've said.
0	How many years does the strawberry farmer	10	There is nothing that you will read about later and
1	keep the present farm or present piece of land on	11	find that so there is new information that changed.
2	strawberries.	12	MR. JEFFRIES: Do strawberry farmers use diazinon?
3	MR. TOMLINSON: Strawberries are constantly	13	MR. TOMLINSON: A small percentage, maybe about
4	rotating. It's a 14-month crop. So you can't grow	14	two or three percent of the acreage will use it because
5	back to back, so you're I mean, you could, but	15	some farms will plant and leave the plants in for two
6	you're going to cut your season short. A few might who	16	years. And if that pest pressure builds up, that's
7	own their land, but most strawberry folks lease.	17	when they use it.
8	They're in a constant rotation with vegetables. Part	18	So I think that's why I said Staff actually
9	of the grievance of the Tier system is one year that	19	characterized about five farms in Tier 3. I think, you
0	farm might be a Tier 2 and the next year it might be a	20	know, if they use chlorophyll-a phosphor diazinon, it's
1	Tier 3 vegetable farm, and then next year might be a	21	going to put them in Tier 3.
2	Tier 1 farm. That's going to change annually as the	22	MR. JEFFRIES: Thank you.
3	crop changes.	23	MR. YOUNG: Thank you.
4	MR. YOUNG: Is that the same owner; the same farm	24	MR. BRIGGS: You just said, you know, no plan can
5	operator.	25	be 100 percent efficient and somebody else represented
	Page 338		Page 34
	1 450 550		
			1.66.0
1	MR. TOMLINSON: Not landowner.	1	earlier, as to our Staff Proposal is requiring that,
1 2	MR. YOUNG: No, I mean the farmer.	1 2	earlier, as to our Staff Proposal is requiring that, you're not implying that you think the proposed Order
	MR. YOUNG: No, I mean the farmer. MR. TOMLINSON: No, all different farmers. The		earlier, as to our Staff Proposal is requiring that,
2	MR. YOUNG: No, I mean the farmer.	2	earlier, as to our Staff Proposal is requiring that, you're not implying that you think the proposed Order
2 3	MR. YOUNG: No, I mean the farmer. MR. TOMLINSON: No, all different farmers. The	2 3	earlier, as to our Staff Proposal is requiring that, you're not implying that you think the proposed Order requires 100 percent efficiency, are you?
2 3 4	MR. YOUNG: No, I mean the farmer. MR. TOMLINSON: No, all different farmers. The land is being leased, so you're constantly moving	2 3 4	earlier, as to our Staff Proposal is requiring that, you're not implying that you think the proposed Order requires 100 percent efficiency, are you? MR. TOMLINSON: Not for strawberries, but I read
2 3 4 5	MR. YOUNG: No, I mean the farmer. MR. TOMLINSON: No, all different farmers. The land is being leased, so you're constantly moving farmers and crop.	2 3 4 5	earlier, as to our Staff Proposal is requiring that, you're not implying that you think the proposed Order requires 100 percent efficiency, are you? MR. TOMLINSON: Not for strawberries, but I read certain crops that it required that.
2 3 4 5 6	 MR. YOUNG: No, I mean the farmer. MR. TOMLINSON: No, all different farmers. The land is being leased, so you're constantly moving farmers and crop. MR. YOUNG: You answered my next question. 	2 3 4 5 6	 earlier, as to our Staff Proposal is requiring that, you're not implying that you think the proposed Order requires 100 percent efficiency, are you? MR. TOMLINSON: Not for strawberries, but I read certain crops that it required that. MR. BRIGGS: You think the Board requires 100
2 3 4 5 6 7	 MR. YOUNG: No, I mean the farmer. MR. TOMLINSON: No, all different farmers. The land is being leased, so you're constantly moving farmers and crop. MR. YOUNG: You answered my next question. MR. JEFFRIES: Since you had a 14-month rotation, 	2 3 4 5 6 7	earlier, as to our Staff Proposal is requiring that, you're not implying that you think the proposed Order requires 100 percent efficiency, are you? MR. TOMLINSON: Not for strawberries, but I read certain crops that it required that. MR. BRIGGS: You think the Board requires 100 percent?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 MR. YOUNG: No, I mean the farmer. MR. TOMLINSON: No, all different farmers. The land is being leased, so you're constantly moving farmers and crop. MR. YOUNG: You answered my next question. MR. JEFFRIES: Since you had a 14-month rotation, consequently the nitrate contamination wouldn't 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	earlier, as to our Staff Proposal is requiring that, you're not implying that you think the proposed Order requires 100 percent efficiency, are you? MR. TOMLINSON: Not for strawberries, but I read certain crops that it required that. MR. BRIGGS: You think the Board requires 100 percent? MR. TOMLINSON: It says it. It says there's a cap
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 MR. YOUNG: No, I mean the farmer. MR. TOMLINSON: No, all different farmers. The land is being leased, so you're constantly moving farmers and crop. MR. YOUNG: You answered my next question. MR. JEFFRIES: Since you had a 14-month rotation, consequently the nitrate contamination wouldn't necessarily be from your crop or the crop before, it 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 earlier, as to our Staff Proposal is requiring that, you're not implying that you think the proposed Order requires 100 percent efficiency, are you? MR. TOMLINSON: Not for strawberries, but I read certain crops that it required that. MR. BRIGGS: You think the Board requires 100 percent? MR. TOMLINSON: It says it. It says there's a cap for farms in Tier 3, on certain vegetables that the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 .0	 MR. YOUNG: No, I mean the farmer. MR. TOMLINSON: No, all different farmers. The land is being leased, so you're constantly moving farmers and crop. MR. YOUNG: You answered my next question. MR. JEFFRIES: Since you had a 14-month rotation, consequently the nitrate contamination wouldn't necessarily be from your crop or the crop before, it could have been several crops back? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 earlier, as to our Staff Proposal is requiring that, you're not implying that you think the proposed Order requires 100 percent efficiency, are you? MR. TOMLINSON: Not for strawberries, but I read certain crops that it required that. MR. BRIGGS: You think the Board requires 100 percent? MR. TOMLINSON: It says it. It says there's a cap for farms in Tier 3, on certain vegetables that the most you could apply is 100 percent; right. So if they
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 .0	 MR. YOUNG: No, I mean the farmer. MR. TOMLINSON: No, all different farmers. The land is being leased, so you're constantly moving farmers and crop. MR. YOUNG: You answered my next question. MR. JEFFRIES: Since you had a 14-month rotation, consequently the nitrate contamination wouldn't necessarily be from your crop or the crop before, it could have been several crops back? MR. TOMLINSON: This is the challenge. That's why 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 earlier, as to our Staff Proposal is requiring that, you're not implying that you think the proposed Order requires 100 percent efficiency, are you? MR. TOMLINSON: Not for strawberries, but I read certain crops that it required that. MR. BRIGGS: You think the Board requires 100 percent? MR. TOMLINSON: It says it. It says there's a cap for farms in Tier 3, on certain vegetables that the most you could apply is 100 percent; right. So if they plant as far as 100 pounds the most they could apply is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3	 MR. YOUNG: No, I mean the farmer. MR. TOMLINSON: No, all different farmers. The land is being leased, so you're constantly moving farmers and crop. MR. YOUNG: You answered my next question. MR. JEFFRIES: Since you had a 14-month rotation, consequently the nitrate contamination wouldn't necessarily be from your crop or the crop before, it could have been several crops back? MR. TOMLINSON: This is the challenge. That's why we came up with the proposal that Dr. Los Huertos 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 earlier, as to our Staff Proposal is requiring that, you're not implying that you think the proposed Order requires 100 percent efficiency, are you? MR. TOMLINSON: Not for strawberries, but I read certain crops that it required that. MR. BRIGGS: You think the Board requires 100 percent? MR. TOMLINSON: It says it. It says there's a cap for farms in Tier 3, on certain vegetables that the most you could apply is 100 percent; right. So if they plant as far as 100 pounds the most they could apply is 100 pounds.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4	 MR. YOUNG: No, I mean the farmer. MR. TOMLINSON: No, all different farmers. The land is being leased, so you're constantly moving farmers and crop. MR. YOUNG: You answered my next question. MR. JEFFRIES: Since you had a 14-month rotation, consequently the nitrate contamination wouldn't necessarily be from your crop or the crop before, it could have been several crops back? MR. TOMLINSON: This is the challenge. That's why we came up with the proposal that Dr. Los Huertos elaborated on, trying to figure out a legitimate way to 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 earlier, as to our Staff Proposal is requiring that, you're not implying that you think the proposed Order requires 100 percent efficiency, are you? MR. TOMLINSON: Not for strawberries, but I read certain crops that it required that. MR. BRIGGS: You think the Board requires 100 percent? MR. TOMLINSON: It says it. It says there's a cap for farms in Tier 3, on certain vegetables that the most you could apply is 100 percent; right. So if they plant as far as 100 pounds the most they could apply is 100 pounds. MR. BRIGGS: Are you referring to the 1.0 balance
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5	 MR. YOUNG: No, I mean the farmer. MR. TOMLINSON: No, all different farmers. The land is being leased, so you're constantly moving farmers and crop. MR. YOUNG: You answered my next question. MR. JEFFRIES: Since you had a 14-month rotation, consequently the nitrate contamination wouldn't necessarily be from your crop or the crop before, it could have been several crops back? MR. TOMLINSON: This is the challenge. That's why we came up with the proposal that Dr. Los Huertos elaborated on, trying to figure out a legitimate way to clean up water and deal with our whole system 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 earlier, as to our Staff Proposal is requiring that, you're not implying that you think the proposed Order requires 100 percent efficiency, are you? MR. TOMLINSON: Not for strawberries, but I read certain crops that it required that. MR. BRIGGS: You think the Board requires 100 percent? MR. TOMLINSON: It says it. It says there's a cap for farms in Tier 3, on certain vegetables that the most you could apply is 100 percent; right. So if they plant as far as 100 pounds the most they could apply is 100 pounds. MR. BRIGGS: Are you referring to the 1.0 balance issue?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6	 MR. YOUNG: No, I mean the farmer. MR. TOMLINSON: No, all different farmers. The land is being leased, so you're constantly moving farmers and crop. MR. YOUNG: You answered my next question. MR. JEFFRIES: Since you had a 14-month rotation, consequently the nitrate contamination wouldn't necessarily be from your crop or the crop before, it could have been several crops back? MR. TOMLINSON: This is the challenge. That's why we came up with the proposal that Dr. Los Huertos elaborated on, trying to figure out a legitimate way to clean up water and deal with our whole system approach. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 earlier, as to our Staff Proposal is requiring that, you're not implying that you think the proposed Order requires 100 percent efficiency, are you? MR. TOMLINSON: Not for strawberries, but I read certain crops that it required that. MR. BRIGGS: You think the Board requires 100 percent? MR. TOMLINSON: It says it. It says there's a cap for farms in Tier 3, on certain vegetables that the most you could apply is 100 percent; right. So if they plant as far as 100 pounds the most they could apply is 100 pounds. MR. BRIGGS: Are you referring to the 1.0 balance issue? MR. TOMLINSON: Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7	 MR. YOUNG: No, I mean the farmer. MR. TOMLINSON: No, all different farmers. The land is being leased, so you're constantly moving farmers and crop. MR. YOUNG: You answered my next question. MR. JEFFRIES: Since you had a 14-month rotation, consequently the nitrate contamination wouldn't necessarily be from your crop or the crop before, it could have been several crops back? MR. TOMLINSON: This is the challenge. That's why we came up with the proposal that Dr. Los Huertos elaborated on, trying to figure out a legitimate way to clean up water and deal with our whole system approach. And that's why you hear so many people talk 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 earlier, as to our Staff Proposal is requiring that, you're not implying that you think the proposed Order requires 100 percent efficiency, are you? MR. TOMLINSON: Not for strawberries, but I read certain crops that it required that. MR. BRIGGS: You think the Board requires 100 percent? MR. TOMLINSON: It says it. It says there's a cap for farms in Tier 3, on certain vegetables that the most you could apply is 100 percent; right. So if they plant as far as 100 pounds the most they could apply is 100 pounds. MR. BRIGGS: Are you referring to the 1.0 balance issue? MR. TOMLINSON: Yes. MR. BRIGGS: As I recall, you reported to the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 7 8 9 0 1 2 8 9 0 1 2 8 9 0 1 2 8 9 1 8 9 0 1 2 8 9 0 1 2 8 9 0 1 2 8 9 0 1 2 8 9 0 1 2 8 9 0 1 2 8 9 0 1 2 8 9 0 1 2 8 9 0 1 2 8 9 0 1 2 8 9 0 1 2 8 9 0 1 2 8 9 0 1 2 8 9 0 1 2 8 9 0 1 2 8 9 0 1 8 9 0 1 2 8 9 1 2 8 9 0 1 2 8 9 1 2 8 9 1 2 8 9 1 8 9 1 2 8 9 1 2 8 9 1 8 9 1 2 8 9 1 2 8 9 1 2 8 9 1 8 9 9 1 2 8 9 1 1 2 8 9 1 8 9 1 8 9 1 8 9 1 8 9 1 8 9 1 8 9 1 8 9 1 8 9 1 8 9 1 8 9 1 8 9 1 8 9 1 8 9 1 8 1 8	 MR. YOUNG: No, I mean the farmer. MR. TOMLINSON: No, all different farmers. The land is being leased, so you're constantly moving farmers and crop. MR. YOUNG: You answered my next question. MR. JEFFRIES: Since you had a 14-month rotation, consequently the nitrate contamination wouldn't necessarily be from your crop or the crop before, it could have been several crops back? MR. TOMLINSON: This is the challenge. That's why we came up with the proposal that Dr. Los Huertos elaborated on, trying to figure out a legitimate way to clean up water and deal with our whole system approach. And that's why you hear so many people talk about how the Tiering system is not going to achieve 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 earlier, as to our Staff Proposal is requiring that, you're not implying that you think the proposed Order requires 100 percent efficiency, are you? MR. TOMLINSON: Not for strawberries, but I read certain crops that it required that. MR. BRIGGS: You think the Board requires 100 percent? MR. TOMLINSON: It says it. It says there's a cap for farms in Tier 3, on certain vegetables that the most you could apply is 100 percent; right. So if they plant as far as 100 pounds the most they could apply is 100 pounds. MR. BRIGGS: Are you referring to the 1.0 balance issue? MR. TOMLINSON: Yes. MR. BRIGGS: As I recall, you reported to the workshop last year, you achieved .78 for strawberries.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 MR. YOUNG: No, I mean the farmer. MR. TOMLINSON: No, all different farmers. The land is being leased, so you're constantly moving farmers and crop. MR. YOUNG: You answered my next question. MR. JEFFRIES: Since you had a 14-month rotation, consequently the nitrate contamination wouldn't necessarily be from your crop or the crop before, it could have been several crops back? MR. TOMLINSON: This is the challenge. That's why we came up with the proposal that Dr. Los Huertos elaborated on, trying to figure out a legitimate way to clean up water and deal with our whole system approach. And that's why you hear so many people talk about how the Tiering system is not going to achieve it. It looks okay on paper, like I said a strawberry 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 earlier, as to our Staff Proposal is requiring that, you're not implying that you think the proposed Order requires 100 percent efficiency, are you? MR. TOMLINSON: Not for strawberries, but I read certain crops that it required that. MR. BRIGGS: You think the Board requires 100 percent? MR. TOMLINSON: It says it. It says there's a cap for farms in Tier 3, on certain vegetables that the most you could apply is 100 percent; right. So if they plant as far as 100 pounds the most they could apply is 100 pounds. MR. BRIGGS: Are you referring to the 1.0 balance issue? MR. TOMLINSON: Yes. MR. BRIGGS: As I recall, you reported to the workshop last year, you achieved .78 for strawberries. MR. TOMLINSON: Right. That's right. So we
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	 MR. YOUNG: No, I mean the farmer. MR. TOMLINSON: No, all different farmers. The land is being leased, so you're constantly moving farmers and crop. MR. YOUNG: You answered my next question. MR. JEFFRIES: Since you had a 14-month rotation, consequently the nitrate contamination wouldn't necessarily be from your crop or the crop before, it could have been several crops back? MR. TOMLINSON: This is the challenge. That's why we came up with the proposal that Dr. Los Huertos elaborated on, trying to figure out a legitimate way to clean up water and deal with our whole system approach. And that's why you hear so many people talk about how the Tiering system is not going to achieve it. It looks okay on paper, like I said a strawberry farmer is going to be Tier 2. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 earlier, as to our Staff Proposal is requiring that, you're not implying that you think the proposed Order requires 100 percent efficiency, are you? MR. TOMLINSON: Not for strawberries, but I read certain crops that it required that. MR. BRIGGS: You think the Board requires 100 percent? MR. TOMLINSON: It says it. It says there's a cap for farms in Tier 3, on certain vegetables that the most you could apply is 100 percent; right. So if they plant as far as 100 pounds the most they could apply is 100 pounds. MR. BRIGGS: Are you referring to the 1.0 balance issue? MR. TOMLINSON: Yes. MR. BRIGGS: As I recall, you reported to the workshop last year, you achieved .78 for strawberries, 120
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 .0 1 .2 3 .4 5 6 7 8 9 .0 1 .2 3 .4 5 .6 7 .8 9 .0 1 .2 3 .4 5 .6 7 .8 9 .0 1 .2 3 .4 5 .6 7 .8 9 .0 1 .2 3 .4 5 .5 .5 .5 .5 .5 .2 .5 .5 .5 .5 .5 .5 .5 .5 .5 .5 .5 .5 .5	 MR. YOUNG: No, I mean the farmer. MR. TOMLINSON: No, all different farmers. The land is being leased, so you're constantly moving farmers and crop. MR. YOUNG: You answered my next question. MR. JEFFRIES: Since you had a 14-month rotation, consequently the nitrate contamination wouldn't necessarily be from your crop or the crop before, it could have been several crops back? MR. TOMLINSON: This is the challenge. That's why we came up with the proposal that Dr. Los Huertos elaborated on, trying to figure out a legitimate way to clean up water and deal with our whole system approach. And that's why you hear so many people talk about how the Tiering system is not going to achieve it. It looks okay on paper, like I said a strawberry farmer is going to be Tier 2. It's doable we can do it, but I don't know 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 earlier, as to our Staff Proposal is requiring that, you're not implying that you think the proposed Order requires 100 percent efficiency, are you? MR. TOMLINSON: Not for strawberries, but I read certain crops that it required that. MR. BRIGGS: You think the Board requires 100 percent? MR. TOMLINSON: It says it. It says there's a cap for farms in Tier 3, on certain vegetables that the most you could apply is 100 percent; right. So if they plant as far as 100 pounds the most they could apply is 100 percent; such as far as 100 pounds the most they could apply is 100 percent; mR. TOMLINSON: Yes. MR. TOMLINSON: Yes. MR. BRIGGS: As I recall, you reported to the workshop last year, you achieved .78 for strawberries. MR. TOMLINSON: Right. That's right. So we achieved .78, so that's where for strawberries, 120 percent is the number you need; right. If we are only
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 .0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 .0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 .0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 .0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 .0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 .0 1 2 3 4 5 5 6 7 8 9 .0 1 2 3 4 5 5 6 7 8 9 .0 1 2 3 4 5 5 6 7 8 9 .0 1 2 3 4 5 5 7 8 9 .0 1 2 3 4 5 5 7 8 9 .0 1 2 3 .0 1 2 3 .0 1 2 3 .0 1 2 .0 1 2 .0 2 .0 1 2 .0 2 .0 2 .0	 MR. YOUNG: No, I mean the farmer. MR. TOMLINSON: No, all different farmers. The land is being leased, so you're constantly moving farmers and crop. MR. YOUNG: You answered my next question. MR. JEFFRIES: Since you had a 14-month rotation, consequently the nitrate contamination wouldn't necessarily be from your crop or the crop before, it could have been several crops back? MR. TOMLINSON: This is the challenge. That's why we came up with the proposal that Dr. Los Huertos elaborated on, trying to figure out a legitimate way to clean up water and deal with our whole system approach. And that's why you hear so many people talk about how the Tiering system is not going to achieve it. It looks okay on paper, like I said a strawberry farmer is going to be Tier 2. It's doable we can do it, but I don't know that you're going to get the results that you're look 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 earlier, as to our Staff Proposal is requiring that, you're not implying that you think the proposed Order requires 100 percent efficiency, are you? MR. TOMLINSON: Not for strawberries, but I read certain crops that it required that. MR. BRIGGS: You think the Board requires 100 percent? MR. TOMLINSON: It says it. It says there's a cap for farms in Tier 3, on certain vegetables that the most you could apply is 100 percent; right. So if they plant as far as 100 pounds the most they could apply is 100 pounds. MR. BRIGGS: Are you referring to the 1.0 balance issue? MR. TOMLINSON: Yes. MR. BRIGGS: As I recall, you reported to the workshop last year, you achieved .78 for strawberries. MR. TOMLINSON: Right. That's right. So we achieved .78, so that's where for strawberries, 120 percent is the number you need; right. If we are only 80 percent efficient or 78 percent efficient, you have
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 1 2 2 2 2	 MR. YOUNG: No, I mean the farmer. MR. TOMLINSON: No, all different farmers. The land is being leased, so you're constantly moving farmers and crop. MR. YOUNG: You answered my next question. MR. JEFFRIES: Since you had a 14-month rotation, consequently the nitrate contamination wouldn't necessarily be from your crop or the crop before, it could have been several crops back? MR. TOMLINSON: This is the challenge. That's why we came up with the proposal that Dr. Los Huertos elaborated on, trying to figure out a legitimate way to clean up water and deal with our whole system approach. And that's why you hear so many people talk about how the Tiering system is not going to achieve it. It looks okay on paper, like I said a strawberry farmer is going to be Tier 2. It's doable we can do it, but I don't know that you're going to get the results that you're look for, and we don't want to be standing up here five 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 earlier, as to our Staff Proposal is requiring that, you're not implying that you think the proposed Order requires 100 percent efficiency, are you? MR. TOMLINSON: Not for strawberries, but I read certain crops that it required that. MR. BRIGGS: You think the Board requires 100 percent? MR. TOMLINSON: It says it. It says there's a cap for farms in Tier 3, on certain vegetables that the most you could apply is 100 percent; right. So if they plant as far as 100 pounds the most they could apply is 100 pounds. MR. BRIGGS: Are you referring to the 1.0 balance issue? MR. TOMLINSON: Yes. MR. BRIGGS: As I recall, you reported to the workshop last year, you achieved .78 for strawberries. MR. TOMLINSON: Right. That's right. So we achieved .78, so that's where for strawberries, 120 percent is the number you need; right. If we are only 80 percent efficient or 78 percent efficient, you have to apply more than what you need. So that's where the
2 4 5 6 7 8	 MR. YOUNG: No, I mean the farmer. MR. TOMLINSON: No, all different farmers. The land is being leased, so you're constantly moving farmers and crop. MR. YOUNG: You answered my next question. MR. JEFFRIES: Since you had a 14-month rotation, consequently the nitrate contamination wouldn't necessarily be from your crop or the crop before, it could have been several crops back? MR. TOMLINSON: This is the challenge. That's why we came up with the proposal that Dr. Los Huertos elaborated on, trying to figure out a legitimate way to clean up water and deal with our whole system approach. And that's why you hear so many people talk about how the Tiering system is not going to achieve it. It looks okay on paper, like I said a strawberry farmer is going to be Tier 2. It's doable we can do it, but I don't know that you're going to get the results that you're look for, and we don't want to be standing up here five years from now with the target on us. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 earlier, as to our Staff Proposal is requiring that, you're not implying that you think the proposed Order requires 100 percent efficiency, are you? MR. TOMLINSON: Not for strawberries, but I read certain crops that it required that. MR. BRIGGS: You think the Board requires 100 percent? MR. TOMLINSON: It says it. It says there's a cap for farms in Tier 3, on certain vegetables that the most you could apply is 100 percent; right. So if they plant as far as 100 pounds the most they could apply is 100 pounds. MR. BRIGGS: Are you referring to the 1.0 balance issue? MR. TOMLINSON: Yes. MR. BRIGGS: As I recall, you reported to the workshop last year, you achieved .78 for strawberries. MR. TOMLINSON: Right. That's right. So we achieved .78, so that's where for strawberries, 120 percent is the number you need; right. If we are only 80 percent efficient or 78 percent efficient, you have to apply more than what you need. So that's where the Staff correctly identified, and I think that it comes

25	we faced in the past is interpretation because we have	25	needs 200 pounds. So that's 200 on the bottom. And so
24	hearing, but this is some of the issues that, I think,	24	the research would show that, in general, the crop
23	MR. JEFFRIES: I made this comment at a previous	23	MR. TOMLINSON: So that was strawberries I think
22	MR. YOUNG: Yes.	22	to what they're seeing their crop needs.
21	MR. JEFFRIES: May I make a comment, Mr. Chair?	21	to see is the amount that growers are applying relative
20	show let me bring this up and we can talk about it.	20	grower gets to provide that information. What we want
19	differently is what I'm trying to say. Let me just	19	not specify how much nitrogen the crop needs. The
18	interpretation, okay. But, I mean, we see it	18	you could identify crop needs. The Water Board does
17	MS. SCHROETER: I agree, but it's open to	17	140 pounds per acre. So there is many different ways
16	a farmer can apply to his or her crop.	16	information on certain varieties of lettuce of 120 to
15	MR. YOUNG: Is there a limit to how much nitrogen	15	literature for example, US crop cooperative has
14	that's your question.	14	Another way to do it is you can use reference
13	cannot apply more than so many pounds per acre if	13	justification, that's acceptable.
12	MS. SCHROETER: It doesn't specify that crops	12	determine your crop based on that, and apply that
11	MR. YOUNG: Hang on, hang on.	11	type, or if you have a tissue test or if you can
10	MR. TOMLINSON: Yes, it absolutely does.	10	So, for example, if you're in a certain soil
9	MS. SCHROETER: No.	9	amount that their crop needs.
8	farmer can apply to his crop?	8	determines that bottom denominator. They justify the
7	Does the Order limit how much nitrogen a	7	nitrogen applied, over crop need. The grower
6	MR. YOUNG: Well, let me ask this.	6	So the nitrate balance ratio is the total
5	should I put the slide in?	5	already in used in Region 5.
4	over the crop need so on our bottom denominator	4	our region and from Region 5, a similar approach is
3	me if I'm wrong, is the total nitrate applied to a crop	3	certified crop advisors and both representatives from
2	So the nitrate balance ratio, Monica, correct	2	developed in coordination with UC extension, as well as
1	but I'm trying to refrain from using them right now.	1	Let me first say that this concept was
	Page 342		Page 344
25	specific information. But, um, we do have some slides,	25	ratio is the nitrate applied over crop need.
24 25	MS. SCHROETER: Try to assist with some more		an indicator to nitrate load. This nitrate balance
23 24	MR. YOUNG: Speak up, speak up.	23	discharge, then we have to measure something else, as
22 23	Monica Barricarte here who can also	22 23	earlier commenter. So we if we can't measure the
21	MS. SCHROETER: I could do it now and we have	21	costly to measure the discharge, as described by an
20	MR. YOUNG: Can you do it now?	20	agrees with the comments that it's very it's more
19	right now because they may not be here tomorrow.	19	the Discharge, which is leaving the root zone. Staff
18	MR. DELGADO: I think it's something we should do	18	nitrate going top, going into the system, or monitoring
17	MR. YOUNG: Probably so.	17	way you can do that is by tracking the inputs, the
16	just partner around.	16	groundwater to better protect drinking water. So the
15	MR. BRIGGS: Maybe that's something we should do,	15	condition, and to address the nitrate loading to
14	MR. YOUNG: Can your Staff here clarify that?	14	to address this very severe groundwater quality
13	don't know if that's helpful.	13	this requirement is Staff is including this requirement
12	to read, for other crops, it does require a cap. I	12	MS. SCHROETER: So let me start by saying that
11	percent, and it works for us, but I think I've read it	11	everybody understands it as they can.
10	strawberries, I'm under the impression it's 120	10	it's important that the language be very clear so that
9	would be helpful to explain. Like I said, for	9	item for everybody, but now that she has it up, I think
8	MR. TOMLINSON: It does say that right here. It	8	to say it's a small item, but it's a very important
7	necessary.	7	this one item. It's a very large item, I'm not going
6	above plant, and we can explain that again, if	6	this. And it's obvious there is mass confusion over
5	order does not required 100 percent removal of nitrogen	5	farmer or whoever they're dealing with could understand
4	MR. BRIGGS: Okay. I just want to clarify the	4	be written in layman's language, so that the individual
3	funded.	3	writing these Orders in technical writing, they should
	benefit of the type of studies that we generally	2	saying the other thing, and I have said that instead of
2			

Atkinson-Baker, Inc. Court Reporters

	Page 347		Page 34
25	Strawberry Commission jointly funded it. This is the	25	violation?
24	MR. TOMLINSON: We do this type of work. The	24	a target and not be something that creates a
23	MS. DUNHAM: We were never asked.	23	MR. YOUNG: Well, can you do it while just making
22	alternatives.	22	identify those real high ratios.
21	MS. SCHROETER: We there was no suggestions to	21	were are at 4.0, 3.0. So what we're trying to do is
20	they gave you about using ratios like that, 1 and 1.2?	20	What we know from that data is some growers
19	MR. YOUNG: What do the farmers, what comments did	19	can only apply 1.1 or 1.2.
18	based upon what the researchers told us.	18	aren't trying to nickel and dime growers by saying you
17	so it's not 100 percent effective system and that's	17	Staff and the Water Board to evaluate the progress. We
16	ratio of 1 is accommodated for nitrate level in system,	16	What I want to emphasize here, it's a way for
L5	system, and so over a three rotation a nitrate balance	15	the average lowest is 1.1.
14	we're not accounting for nitrogen that's left in the	14	that some growers area very close. The average 1.5,
13	nitrate left in the system into your next crop, so	13	What that demonstrates is that it is achievable, and
12	rotation, so you have the opportunity to use the	12	that column of zero to five and a high average of 2.8.
11	that you use throughout the process. Vegetables are in	11	basically are the ratios. So you see below, average in
LO	crop. So and so basically you have the nitrogen	10	So in that middle column you have what
9	why strawberries are 1.2 is strawberries are an annual	9	was last year. This is for lettuce.
8	the research and the professionals that. The reason	8	presented at the management meeting back, I think it
7	development of those numbers, but that was based upon	7	the slide that you saw from Dr. Hartsworth that he
6	Staff. Staff was in that group and agreed with the	6	Let me just move on to this slide. This is
5	pulled. Both those numbers were not developed by	5	achieve this minimum reading within 3 years.
4	numbers suggested by the research group that we	4	MS. SCHROETER: The order requires to growers to
3	in terms of the actual numbers 1 and 1.2. Those were	3	violation of that target.
2	MS. SCHROETER: Let me just follow up real quick	2	MR. YOUNG: So a farmer could potentially be in
1	a lot of people are kind of reading there.	1	believe, in the Order, which is a compliance target.
	Page 346		Page 34
. J		2.5	
5	what's the range of acceptability, I think that's what	25	MS. SCHROETER: They are a target in Table 5, I
4	has one farmer and you pick one number, and, I mean,	23	please. I hear you, but I'm asking a question.
2 3	required thing to do what if you miss it? What if it	22	potential for violation if someone Mr. Thomas,
1 2	MR. TOMLINSON: Well, it's the ratio combined with the context of the Order. Is it a good thing to do? A	21	MR. YOUNG: So are these ratio numbers I don't want to use the work actionable, but did they create a
1		21	
0	defined, either 1 or 1.2, is that it?	20	requirement, it's going to be challenging.
9	crop region, the soil conditions, the climate MR. YOUNG: So the problem, then, is the ratio	18 19	of thing that coalition could work on, I think it's useful. It's just the more you talk about a regular
8		18	
7	MR. TOMLINSON: Because that's going to define the	17	be a quide or, you know, some sort of research or type
5	MR. JEFFRIES: doesn't to make a difference? MR. YOUNG: Yes.	15 16	simple cap. So I think to the extent that that cap can
.4	MR. TOMLINSON: Absolutely. MR. JEFFRIES: doesn't to make a difference?	14 15	agricultural can remove nitrogen from the environment, and prevent it from going into the Bay, then just a
.3	of this equation is the soil type	13	So there's more comprehensive ways that
2	MR. JEFFRIES: Mr. Tomlinson, isn't another part	12	surface water drain.
2	are in the 1.	11	was going to take out 200,000 pounds of nitrogen out of
_ 0 1	from, and then it gets even tougher for some crops who	10	rubber dam, where that diversion from the rubber dam
9	or 220, so that's where a lot of this uncertainty comes	9	requirement it's a real challenge, compared to like the
8	you might apply 250, but what that grower needs is 210	8	think it's good work, but when it makes the regulatory
7	season, so you might really bump up against that 240,	7	farm. And so that's where the big difference is. I
6	Santa Maria where you have a really long growing	6	late. Now you're really interrupting what I do on the
5	are some varieties of strawberries in certain areas of	5	receipt, for whether I get my plants to come early or
4	And then also the crop needs parts. I note, so there	4	my fertilizer, which is major part of my formula, my
3	into what does a target mean? What if you did more.	3	kind of says hold on a second they're putting a cap on
2	apply 240 pounds, and that's a target and so you run	2	turns into a regulatory requirement is when everyone
~		1	

Atkinson-Baker, Inc. Court Reporters

٦

1				
~	MS. SCHROETER: We could move to a milestone.	1	in favor of the Ag Alternative Proposals today was that	
2	MR. YOUNG: I mean, since there's a lot of	2	it would require many, many more farms to have a	
3	variations and this is going to be something new for	3	nutrient plan, than the number of farms in Tier 3. And	
4	them, I mean	4	I I really understand what we're hearing from Staff	
5	MS. SCHROETER: That's an option.	5	about if this is the only, um, measure, the concrete	
6	MR. YOUNG: I don't see the need to have it a	6	measurable thing in terms of eliminating nitrogen	
7	violation immediately.	7	groundwater, which is supposed to be our highest	
8	MS. SCHROETER: We can take that into	8	priority. I understand why that is a very hard thing	
9	consideration and move it to the milestone table. I do	9	to say, fine, we'll just make it a milestone.	
LO	want to say is, this is the only target for	10	My question is, so when you're talking about	
11	groundwater. So this is the only indicator of a	11	nutrient management plan in the Ag Alternative, what do	
12	requirement to reduce loading. So in response to the	12	they do? Is it just have a plan and whatever it is	
13	severe water quality and the need to protect your	13	it's okay, or are there metrics that have to be met	
14	groundwater, we're trying to set a standard for reduced	14	there?	
15	loading and this is the only thing in the Order. We	15	MR. TOMLINSON: So that would be developed, but	
16	can move it. It is a possibility.	16	this is the type of thing would be included in the	
17	MR. YOUNG: I understand what you're trying to	17	plan, including testing the soil and testing the water,	
18	do. I'm not disagreeing with you. I'm just trying to	18	so you know the total amount of nitrogen that's	
19	make it a little bit more, maybe, workable in the	19	available. And the difference is use agriculture,	
20	context of what the state of the art is.	20	embrace agriculture, and let agriculture work for you.	
21	MR. TOMLINSON: So this is where they tile drains	21	That's the whole concept of the coalition is that you	
22	come in, because the tile drains are mitigation for	22	get agriculture working on these things that, um but	
23	leaching. But they're not exemptions. You're required	23	as soon as you them into a hard number and into a	
24	to achieve the standard. So as the tile drain, drains,	24	regulation, then you get, just, weird anomalies.	
25	then you have high nitrate in the drain. So it's	25	MR. JEFFRIES: I understand that nobody likes to	
	Page 350		Pag	ge 35
1	mitigation on groundwater, but it goes into the surface	1	be told what to do, and farmers like it less than	
2	water, and then you have to read the biostimulatory	2	almost anybody, but I'm still trying to understand how	
3	threshold, if I got that right. So that's one tenth of	3	this would work. So you're saying that there would be	
4	the drinking water. So the tile drain has to be	4	some sort of similar process with nutrient plans in the	
5	cleaner than the drinking water on nitrate, but if the	5	Ag Alternative. Now would that be simply up to each	
6	tile drain is in the right spot, like in the Boronda	6	individual farmer to grab what that process is, and	
7	area, it goes through the recycling plant and all that	7	would or would there been some sort of formula, like	
8	nitrogen gets removed from that environment.	8	this, that the Executive Officer would have to approve	
9	So that's where it's a more there are	9	in the that's what I'm trying to get at here.	
LO	solutions. We absolutely have to take nitrogen out of	10	MR. TOMLINSON: As the coalition was developed,	
L1	the environment. There is no question, we have to get	11	that would be something that would be approved as part	
L2	a handle on the groundwater issue, but there are some	12	of that process by the Staff.	
		13	MR. JOHNSTON: So what you're saying is there	
	more complex solutions that are out there that perhaps	113	······································	
L3	more complex solutions that are out there that perhaps are not appropriate for the Ag Waiver, right? They'll	14	would still be something like that 1 or 1.2 number, the	
L3 L4				
L3 L4 L5	are not appropriate for the Ag Waiver, right? They'll	14	would still be something like that 1 or 1.2 number, the	
.3 .4 .5 .6	are not appropriate for the Ag Waiver, right? They'll be worked out in other forms.	14 15	would still be something like that 1 or 1.2 number, the difference is that there would be farmer participation	
L3 L4 L5 L6 L7	are not appropriate for the Ag Waiver, right? They'll be worked out in other forms. MS. SCHROETER: Just to clarify, there's no	14 15 16	would still be something like that 1 or 1.2 number, the difference is that there would be farmer participation in developing it, but that, essentially, the Board,	
L3 L4 L5 L6 L7 L8	are not appropriate for the Ag Waiver, right? They'll be worked out in other forms. MS. SCHROETER: Just to clarify, there's no standard that tile drains have.	14 15 16 17	would still be something like that 1 or 1.2 number, the difference is that there would be farmer participation in developing it, but that, essentially, the Board, through the EO, would still have to approve it; is that	
.3 .4 .5 .6 .7 .8	are not appropriate for the Ag Waiver, right? They'll be worked out in other forms. MS. SCHROETER: Just to clarify, there's no standard that tile drains have. MR. TOMLINSON: For surface water? There is no	14 15 16 17 18	would still be something like that 1 or 1.2 number, the difference is that there would be farmer participation in developing it, but that, essentially, the Board, through the EO, would still have to approve it; is that correct?	
. 3 . 4 . 5 . 6 . 7 . 8 . 9 20	are not appropriate for the Ag Waiver, right? They'll be worked out in other forms. MS. SCHROETER: Just to clarify, there's no standard that tile drains have. MR. TOMLINSON: For surface water? There is no standards for nitrates in surface water?	14 15 16 17 18 19	would still be something like that 1 or 1.2 number, the difference is that there would be farmer participation in developing it, but that, essentially, the Board, through the EO, would still have to approve it; is that correct? MR. TOMLINSON: I'm saying that's possible, yes.	
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	are not appropriate for the Ag Waiver, right? They'll be worked out in other forms. MS. SCHROETER: Just to clarify, there's no standard that tile drains have. MR. TOMLINSON: For surface water? There is no standards for nitrates in surface water? MS. SCHROETER: There is no standard, no.	14 15 16 17 18 19 20	would still be something like that 1 or 1.2 number, the difference is that there would be farmer participation in developing it, but that, essentially, the Board, through the EO, would still have to approve it; is that correct? MR. TOMLINSON: I'm saying that's possible, yes. And that, yes, it's possible that you might get more	
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	are not appropriate for the Ag Waiver, right? They'll be worked out in other forms. MS. SCHROETER: Just to clarify, there's no standard that tile drains have. MR. TOMLINSON: For surface water? There is no standards for nitrates in surface water? MS. SCHROETER: There is no standard, no. MR. YOUNG: Okay.	14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 would still be something like that 1 or 1.2 number, the difference is that there would be farmer participation in developing it, but that, essentially, the Board, through the EO, would still have to approve it; is that correct? MR. TOMLINSON: I'm saying that's possible, yes. And that, yes, it's possible that you might get more folks participating than just two or three right now. 	
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 22	are not appropriate for the Ag Waiver, right? They'll be worked out in other forms. MS. SCHROETER: Just to clarify, there's no standard that tile drains have. MR. TOMLINSON: For surface water? There is no standards for nitrates in surface water? MS. SCHROETER: There is no standard, no. MR. YOUNG: Okay. Mr. Johnston?	14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 would still be something like that 1 or 1.2 number, the difference is that there would be farmer participation in developing it, but that, essentially, the Board, through the EO, would still have to approve it; is that correct? MR. TOMLINSON: I'm saying that's possible, yes. And that, yes, it's possible that you might get more folks participating than just two or three right now. I'm not going to say there's going to be this huge rush 	
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	are not appropriate for the Ag Waiver, right? They'll be worked out in other forms. MS. SCHROETER: Just to clarify, there's no standard that tile drains have. MR. TOMLINSON: For surface water? There is no standards for nitrates in surface water? MS. SCHROETER: There is no standard, no. MR. YOUNG: Okay. Mr. Johnston? MR. JOHNSTON: Yes.	14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 would still be something like that 1 or 1.2 number, the difference is that there would be farmer participation in developing it, but that, essentially, the Board, through the EO, would still have to approve it; is that correct? MR. TOMLINSON: I'm saying that's possible, yes. And that, yes, it's possible that you might get more folks participating than just two or three right now. I'm not going to say there's going to be this huge rush for everyone to jump in. 	

		1	
1	handle because it's been all kind of squishy to me,	1	farm-by-farm basis.
2	what the actual who's approving what in the Ag	2	MR. JOHNSTON: I understand it's going to vary
3	Alternative process and maybe I'm asking the wrong	3	farm by farm. Would it be appropriate, then, for a
4	person and part of the problem is who are we talking	4	third-party coalition to have a weighted-average target
5	to? But I'm trying to get a handle on whether, in	5	that overall the coalition would have to hit? Because
6	fact, under the Nutrient Plans under the Ag Alternative	6	we're our goal is to get the nitrate loading down.
7	some measurable standards, in terms of nitrogen nitrate	7	MS. DUNHAM: And I would defer to Dr. Los Huertos'
8	loading?	8	technical advisor, whether that would be appropriate or
9	MR. TOMLINSON: I think Tess wants to answer that	9	not, I don't know. I would apologize now.
10	question.	10	MR. YOUNG: Do you support his work?
11	. MS. DUNHAM: The concept with the Ag Proposal is	11	MS. DUNHAM: Do I whose his work?
12	that there would be a part of these. The Nutrient	12	MR. YOUNG: Dr. Los Huertos. Is he speaking on
13	Management Plan is something that looks definitely at	13	behalf of Ag?
14	the exact type of things, but it doesn't become the	14	MS. DUNHAM: Dr. Los Huertos, I believe, is
15	regulatory in point. It becomes a tool in order to	15	speaking for himself.
16	evaluate what the individual farmers are do.	16	MR. YOUNG: Okay.
17	In other words, go back and remember	17	MS. DUNHAM: But Dr. Los Huertos, as he said, has
18	Dr. Los Huertos box plot in his report? And, basically	18	done a lot of work in agriculture, and while we don't
19	what we do is taking this type of information, and	19	
20		20	agree with everything he says, we do respect him and
	putting it into that box plot format to take a look at		his technical abilities, and he's provided a lot of
21	to see where are growers at? Who is up there at the	21	really good information, and I think that when we
22	port? That's who we should be going and auditing and	22	develop the technical advisory committee, we will look
23	doing the practice effectiveness evaluations, and we	23	to use Dr. Los Huertos to help with that technical
24	should be targeting to work with to make sure they're	24	advisory committee because of his technical information
25	making changes through that using that box plot	25	and knowledge that he has with these issues.
	Page 354		Page 356
1	formula, but not as a regulatory end point.	1	DR. LOS HUERTOS: So one of the issues that
2	MR. JOHNSTON: Well, let me see if I understand.	2	effectively changes it is not just nitrogen in the
3	Let me repeat back, and you tell me if I've got it	3	soil, it's nitrogen moving below the soil.
4	right.	4	So it's easy to prescribe things like drip
5	What I hear you saying is that under the	5	irrigation, as a sort of overall practice and say
6		6	
7	Ag Alternative they would still have to be making some	7	that's good, and that's a lower risk, than maybe flood
	version of this calculation of nitrate loading, but		irrigation. But the problem is if you mismanage your
8	that there would not be in terms of what you call a	8	drip irrigation, you release just as much nitrate.
9	regulatory end point, there would not be a standard	9	So the part that is missing here, and that's
10	that they would have to hit, everybody would make the	10	that part, in theory, is the coalition approach, you
11	calculation, everybody would be somewhere on the	11	don't just look at the nitrogen manager valve, you look
12	maybe, conceivably in the range we see on that chart	12	at the overall farm management plan in terms of the
13	of .5 to 2.8, and that hopefully over time that would	13	risk. So how well is the irrigator doing? Is the
14	go down.	14	irrigator paying attention to the soil moisture?
15	Is that correct?	15	Because nitrogen is a combination of nitrogen in the
16	MS. DUNHAM: That is correct. And also I would	16	soil and the leaching potential because of water
17	say and with the input of the technical advisory	17	movement.
18	committee to really determine, for that individual farm	18	If nitrogen movement is not appropriately
19	and operation, have they appropriately managed their	19	addressed, in terms of irrigation, whatever the ratio
20	nitrate their nitrogen and their nutrient inputs.	20	is, it's a nice easy category to select, but it
21	Have they appropriately managed it, based upon their	21	actually has nothing to do with leaching, because
22	site specific information, in order to determine if the	22	leaching is the combination of multiple effects.
23	ratio that they picked is appropriate for their	23	So what we're trying to do is bring a
24	operation. The ratio, you know, this is not a hard and	24	somewhat subtle approach, to be able to look at
25	fast for every operation. You have to consider it on a	25	leaching from at full risk, so it will be much more
	has for every operation. Tou have to consider it on a	1	
	Page 355		Page 357

1			
	robust, and I will say probably require a little more	1	for
2	effort from farmers, not because of affording, but in	2	MR. JOHNSTON: I understand that, but both the
3	terms of really paying attention to their farms.	3	public and the Board has to have some things they can
4	And the other thing that I have to say is	4	look at to actually see what's going on.
5	this, managing nitrates on the soil takes a lot of	5	DR. LOS HUERTOS: Yes.
6	practice. I have to say this is generally true of all	6	MR. DELGADO: Does the nutrient balance ratio of
7	growers I talked you finally figured it out in	7	1.2 or 1.0, does that included the nitrate that's in
8	15 Years. The first five years you don't know what's	8	the water that's being applied to the crop.
9	going on and every year is different in terms of	9	MS. SCHROETER: Yes, it does.
10	rainfall, then the next five years you figure out how	10	MR. DELGADO: Okay.
11	you can actually make money, then you actually feel	11	MR. YOUNG: Okay.
12	like you know what you are doing. The problem is you	12	MR. DELGADO: So the only thing it doesn't include
13	have so many different levels of where people are in	13	is the residual, if there is any, from prior corps.
14	that category, that you really need a lot of work to	14	MS SCHROETER: That's correct. And, actually,
15	hand hold them through the nitrogen management process,	15	that was in response to comments that we got from
16	in terms of and again you may have simple nitrogen	16	agricultural stakeholders. So the example that we were
17	balance approach, but if you don't measure the	17	using and the model was the Dairy Order, Region 5,
18	nitrogenization of the nitrogen generation which became	18	which does require growers to account for residual. We
19	organic matter, which when buried, you may release a	19	do not and we clarified that in the Order.
20	lot of nitrogen when the temperatures are boiling, and	20	MR. YOUNG: Go ahead, Mr. Thomas you can
21	over irrigate that one time.	21	continue.
22	So what I'm trying to say and what I'm trying	22	MR. THOMAS: The section that is at issue here and
23	or express is that it's not be more subtle. I think	23	all this discussion you could find in Table 3, entitled
24	what I would say is I fear we're going to be using	24	Schedule for Compliance. And it says, achieve nitrogen
25	these budgets and then we're going to come back to the	25	balance ratio target for crops equal to one for crops
	Page 358		Page 360
1	growers and say you're leaching a lot of nitrates. We	1	in annual rotation, season vegetables, by October 1,
2	need to lower that nitrogen ratio even more. And	2	2015 and it's absolutely with compliance.
3	that's not the solution.	3	MR. DELGADO: Okay. Thanks for clarifying that.
4	MR. JOHNSTON: Dr. Los Huertos, if I can try to	4	So in lay person's terms, if I'm a grower of
5	get an answer to the question that Ms. Dunham punted to	5	lettuce, I consider how much nitrogen I have in my
6	you.	6	water, I have to monitor my water to know that, because
7	you.	1 0	water, i have to monitor my water to know that, because
	The question was do you think that I	7	that counts right? So I know how much nitrogen is in
	The question was, do you think that I	7	that counts, right? So I know how much nitrogen is in my water, and I figure out my soil type and I tell the
8	understand the it's different on every farm response to	8	my water, and I figure out my soil type and I tell the
8 9	understand the it's different on every farm response to this nitrate loading target. And I also understand	8 9	my water, and I figure out my soil type and I tell the Board, I tell the Staff I need this much nitrogen for
8 9 10	understand the it's different on every farm response to this nitrate loading target. And I also understand what you're saying about irrigation, and I hear what	8 9 10	my water, and I figure out my soil type and I tell the Board, I tell the Staff I need this much nitrogen for my crop, given all the conditions that I've considered,
8 9 10 11	understand the it's different on every farm response to this nitrate loading target. And I also understand what you're saying about irrigation, and I hear what Staff is saying that this is the only measure that	8 9 10 11	my water, and I figure out my soil type and I tell the Board, I tell the Staff I need this much nitrogen for my crop, given all the conditions that I've considered, and Staff looks at it says that looks reasonable,
8 9 10 11 12	understand the it's different on every farm response to this nitrate loading target. And I also understand what you're saying about irrigation, and I hear what Staff is saying that this is the only measure that we've got at this point that actually deals with	8 9 10 11 12	my water, and I figure out my soil type and I tell the Board, I tell the Staff I need this much nitrogen for my crop, given all the conditions that I've considered, and Staff looks at it says that looks reasonable, okay. You've named your own target. And so the only
8 9 10 11 12 13	understand the it's different on every farm response to this nitrate loading target. And I also understand what you're saying about irrigation, and I hear what Staff is saying that this is the only measure that we've got at this point that actually deals with nitrate loading. So my question to Ms. Dunham was, do	8 9 10 11 12 13	my water, and I figure out my soil type and I tell the Board, I tell the Staff I need this much nitrogen for my crop, given all the conditions that I've considered, and Staff looks at it says that looks reasonable, okay. You've named your own target. And so the only thing that you're not accounting for, or I'm not
8 9 10 11 12 13 14	understand the it's different on every farm response to this nitrate loading target. And I also understand what you're saying about irrigation, and I hear what Staff is saying that this is the only measure that we've got at this point that actually deals with nitrate loading. So my question to Ms. Dunham was, do you think if the it's different on every farm argument	8 9 10 11 12 13 14	my water, and I figure out my soil type and I tell the Board, I tell the Staff I need this much nitrogen for my crop, given all the conditions that I've considered, and Staff looks at it says that looks reasonable, okay. You've named your own target. And so the only thing that you're not accounting for, or I'm not accounting for, is the residual. That's wherein lies
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	understand the it's different on every farm response to this nitrate loading target. And I also understand what you're saying about irrigation, and I hear what Staff is saying that this is the only measure that we've got at this point that actually deals with nitrate loading. So my question to Ms. Dunham was, do you think if the it's different on every farm argument mandates the, we want to experiment with our	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	my water, and I figure out my soil type and I tell the Board, I tell the Staff I need this much nitrogen for my crop, given all the conditions that I've considered, and Staff looks at it says that looks reasonable, okay. You've named your own target. And so the only thing that you're not accounting for, or I'm not accounting for, is the residual. That's wherein lies this debate whether it's 100 percent or not, and you're
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	understand the it's different on every farm response to this nitrate loading target. And I also understand what you're saying about irrigation, and I hear what Staff is saying that this is the only measure that we've got at this point that actually deals with nitrate loading. So my question to Ms. Dunham was, do you think if the it's different on every farm argument mandates the, we want to experiment with our creativity, or mandates against individual standards	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	my water, and I figure out my soil type and I tell the Board, I tell the Staff I need this much nitrogen for my crop, given all the conditions that I've considered, and Staff looks at it says that looks reasonable, okay. You've named your own target. And so the only thing that you're not accounting for, or I'm not accounting for, is the residual. That's wherein lies this debate whether it's 100 percent or not, and you're saying, Staff, it's not 100 percent, because we are not
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	understand the it's different on every farm response to this nitrate loading target. And I also understand what you're saying about irrigation, and I hear what Staff is saying that this is the only measure that we've got at this point that actually deals with nitrate loading. So my question to Ms. Dunham was, do you think if the it's different on every farm argument mandates the, we want to experiment with our creativity, or mandates against individual standards that it would be appropriate for a coalition in or	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	my water, and I figure out my soil type and I tell the Board, I tell the Staff I need this much nitrogen for my crop, given all the conditions that I've considered, and Staff looks at it says that looks reasonable, okay. You've named your own target. And so the only thing that you're not accounting for, or I'm not accounting for, is the residual. That's wherein lies this debate whether it's 100 percent or not, and you're saying, Staff, it's not 100 percent, because we are not making you count the residual, so you actually get to
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	understand the it's different on every farm response to this nitrate loading target. And I also understand what you're saying about irrigation, and I hear what Staff is saying that this is the only measure that we've got at this point that actually deals with nitrate loading. So my question to Ms. Dunham was, do you think if the it's different on every farm argument mandates the, we want to experiment with our creativity, or mandates against individual standards that it would be appropriate for a coalition in or perhaps a subwatershed area to have an aggregate	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	my water, and I figure out my soil type and I tell the Board, I tell the Staff I need this much nitrogen for my crop, given all the conditions that I've considered, and Staff looks at it says that looks reasonable, okay. You've named your own target. And so the only thing that you're not accounting for, or I'm not accounting for, is the residual. That's wherein lies this debate whether it's 100 percent or not, and you're saying, Staff, it's not 100 percent, because we are not making you count the residual, so you actually get to apply more.
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	understand the it's different on every farm response to this nitrate loading target. And I also understand what you're saying about irrigation, and I hear what Staff is saying that this is the only measure that we've got at this point that actually deals with nitrate loading. So my question to Ms. Dunham was, do you think if the it's different on every farm argument mandates the, we want to experiment with our creativity, or mandates against individual standards that it would be appropriate for a coalition in or perhaps a subwatershed area to have an aggregate target, get a weighted-aggregate target that somebody	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	my water, and I figure out my soil type and I tell the Board, I tell the Staff I need this much nitrogen for my crop, given all the conditions that I've considered, and Staff looks at it says that looks reasonable, okay. You've named your own target. And so the only thing that you're not accounting for, or I'm not accounting for, is the residual. That's wherein lies this debate whether it's 100 percent or not, and you're saying, Staff, it's not 100 percent, because we are not making you count the residual, so you actually get to apply more. MS. SCHROETER: Yes.
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	understand the it's different on every farm response to this nitrate loading target. And I also understand what you're saying about irrigation, and I hear what Staff is saying that this is the only measure that we've got at this point that actually deals with nitrate loading. So my question to Ms. Dunham was, do you think if the it's different on every farm argument mandates the, we want to experiment with our creativity, or mandates against individual standards that it would be appropriate for a coalition in or perhaps a subwatershed area to have an aggregate target, get a weighted-aggregate target that somebody has to be accountable somewhere.	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	my water, and I figure out my soil type and I tell the Board, I tell the Staff I need this much nitrogen for my crop, given all the conditions that I've considered, and Staff looks at it says that looks reasonable, okay. You've named your own target. And so the only thing that you're not accounting for, or I'm not accounting for, is the residual. That's wherein lies this debate whether it's 100 percent or not, and you're saying, Staff, it's not 100 percent, because we are not making you count the residual, so you actually get to apply more. MS. SCHROETER: Yes. MR. DELGADO: In other words, if I go back to you
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	understand the it's different on every farm response to this nitrate loading target. And I also understand what you're saying about irrigation, and I hear what Staff is saying that this is the only measure that we've got at this point that actually deals with nitrate loading. So my question to Ms. Dunham was, do you think if the it's different on every farm argument mandates the, we want to experiment with our creativity, or mandates against individual standards that it would be appropriate for a coalition in or perhaps a subwatershed area to have an aggregate target, get a weighted-aggregate target that somebody has to be accountable somewhere. DR. LOS HUERTOS: I agree. And, actually, that's a	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	my water, and I figure out my soil type and I tell the Board, I tell the Staff I need this much nitrogen for my crop, given all the conditions that I've considered, and Staff looks at it says that looks reasonable, okay. You've named your own target. And so the only thing that you're not accounting for, or I'm not accounting for, is the residual. That's wherein lies this debate whether it's 100 percent or not, and you're saying, Staff, it's not 100 percent, because we are not making you count the residual, so you actually get to apply more. MS. SCHROETER: Yes. MR. DELGADO: In other words, if I go back to you and say I'm doing something different than three months
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	understand the it's different on every farm response to this nitrate loading target. And I also understand what you're saying about irrigation, and I hear what Staff is saying that this is the only measure that we've got at this point that actually deals with nitrate loading. So my question to Ms. Dunham was, do you think if the it's different on every farm argument mandates the, we want to experiment with our creativity, or mandates against individual standards that it would be appropriate for a coalition in or perhaps a subwatershed area to have an aggregate target, get a weighted-aggregate target that somebody has to be accountable somewhere. DR. LOS HUERTOS: I agree. And, actually, that's a really good idea. I like that idea a lot. But it	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	my water, and I figure out my soil type and I tell the Board, I tell the Staff I need this much nitrogen for my crop, given all the conditions that I've considered, and Staff looks at it says that looks reasonable, okay. You've named your own target. And so the only thing that you're not accounting for, or I'm not accounting for, is the residual. That's wherein lies this debate whether it's 100 percent or not, and you're saying, Staff, it's not 100 percent, because we are not making you count the residual, so you actually get to apply more. MS. SCHROETER: Yes. MR. DELGADO: In other words, if I go back to you and say I'm doing something different than three months ago, I need more nitrogen or my need is higher than I
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	understand the it's different on every farm response to this nitrate loading target. And I also understand what you're saying about irrigation, and I hear what Staff is saying that this is the only measure that we've got at this point that actually deals with nitrate loading. So my question to Ms. Dunham was, do you think if the it's different on every farm argument mandates the, we want to experiment with our creativity, or mandates against individual standards that it would be appropriate for a coalition in or perhaps a subwatershed area to have an aggregate target, get a weighted-aggregate target that somebody has to be accountable somewhere. DR. LOS HUERTOS: I agree. And, actually, that's a really good idea. I like that idea a lot. But it can't be all by itself. It has to be linked to	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	my water, and I figure out my soil type and I tell the Board, I tell the Staff I need this much nitrogen for my crop, given all the conditions that I've considered, and Staff looks at it says that looks reasonable, okay. You've named your own target. And so the only thing that you're not accounting for, or I'm not accounting for, is the residual. That's wherein lies this debate whether it's 100 percent or not, and you're saying, Staff, it's not 100 percent, because we are not making you count the residual, so you actually get to apply more. MS. SCHROETER: Yes. MR. DELGADO: In other words, if I go back to you and say I'm doing something different than three months ago, I need more nitrogen or my need is higher than I told you and then staff would probably say, okay,
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	understand the it's different on every farm response to this nitrate loading target. And I also understand what you're saying about irrigation, and I hear what Staff is saying that this is the only measure that we've got at this point that actually deals with nitrate loading. So my question to Ms. Dunham was, do you think if the it's different on every farm argument mandates the, we want to experiment with our creativity, or mandates against individual standards that it would be appropriate for a coalition in or perhaps a subwatershed area to have an aggregate target, get a weighted-aggregate target that somebody has to be accountable somewhere. DR. LOS HUERTOS: I agree. And, actually, that's a really good idea. I like that idea a lot. But it can't be all by itself. It has to be linked to irrigation efficiency and management practices. If you	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	my water, and I figure out my soil type and I tell the Board, I tell the Staff I need this much nitrogen for my crop, given all the conditions that I've considered, and Staff looks at it says that looks reasonable, okay. You've named your own target. And so the only thing that you're not accounting for, or I'm not accounting for, is the residual. That's wherein lies this debate whether it's 100 percent or not, and you're saying, Staff, it's not 100 percent, because we are not making you count the residual, so you actually get to apply more. MS. SCHROETER: Yes. MR. DELGADO: In other words, if I go back to you and say I'm doing something different than three months ago, I need more nitrogen or my need is higher than I told you and then staff would probably say, okay, that's all right then your denominator is now
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	understand the it's different on every farm response to this nitrate loading target. And I also understand what you're saying about irrigation, and I hear what Staff is saying that this is the only measure that we've got at this point that actually deals with nitrate loading. So my question to Ms. Dunham was, do you think if the it's different on every farm argument mandates the, we want to experiment with our creativity, or mandates against individual standards that it would be appropriate for a coalition in or perhaps a subwatershed area to have an aggregate target, get a weighted-aggregate target that somebody has to be accountable somewhere. DR. LOS HUERTOS: I agree. And, actually, that's a really good idea. I like that idea a lot. But it can't be all by itself. It has to be linked to	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	my water, and I figure out my soil type and I tell the Board, I tell the Staff I need this much nitrogen for my crop, given all the conditions that I've considered, and Staff looks at it says that looks reasonable, okay. You've named your own target. And so the only thing that you're not accounting for, or I'm not accounting for, is the residual. That's wherein lies this debate whether it's 100 percent or not, and you're saying, Staff, it's not 100 percent, because we are not making you count the residual, so you actually get to apply more. MS. SCHROETER: Yes. MR. DELGADO: In other words, if I go back to you and say I'm doing something different than three months ago, I need more nitrogen or my need is higher than I told you and then staff would probably say, okay,

1 2			
2	MS. SCHROETER: You actually report annually, so	1	plethora of water pollution issues. Some of them quite
-	you don't tell us every time, you just represent it at	2	large, in fact, almost entire cities, trichloroethylene
3	the end. And, actually, FEMA says that we do have	3	then TBS, VOC, the whole thing.
4	growers right now who are already reporting this type	4	And this Order is very complicated, and if
5	of information. Monica has developed a spreadsheet	5	you don't go with the Ag Order and somehow you do
6	right here, so I should probably blow this up. And you	6	determine to adopt the Staff version, in some fashion,
7	can see there are growers right now successfully	7	what you might want to think about is doing a test,
8	putting in this type of information.	8	doing some pilots, see in some of these areas Staff
9	MR. DELGADO: Okay. My last question is something	9	venture people are already doing things, run a couple
10	that Dr. Los Fuertos referred to that if you just look	10	of geographic and a couple of Tier pilots and tease out
11	at this nitrate balance ratio by itself, you're missing	11	all of these issues that Ags talking to you about, and
12	the big picture and and you're chasing your tail. And	12	others. But before you pull the trigger, it's like
13	my question is, isn't it true that this whole Ag Waiver	13	when the simplest thing, a jurisdiction decides to talk
14	is looking at all of the different practices going on,	14	a boulevard and substantially change the speed zones
15	on the farm. It's not just relying on that. But in	15	and put in stop signs or something, they don't pull the
16		16	
17	this case, this is being used for a certain purpose of monitoring the water quality, but it's not isolated	17	enforcement trigger right away. They provide warnings, and let people get used to it. And I present that
L / L 8			
	from everything else that the Best Management Practices	18	process, having made many mistakes myself, so you might
19	that are going on.	19	want to pilot this thing, get it going, and then we'll
20	MS SCHROETER: That's correct. In general,	20	all learn what works and what doesn't. All these
21	there's farm plan requirements that includes many	21	highly technical conundrums might get clarified.
22	elements, one of them is irrigation management, another	22	Thank you very much.
23	one is nitrate management and on and on. And they can	23	MR. YOUNG: Thank you for your comments.
24	also demonstrate various deficiencies to make sure	24	Mr. Giannini, Mr. David Costa, Rick Sweet,
25	we're not depercolating.	25	Ben Fairly, and Marla Jo Buton.
	Page 362		Page 36
1	MR. DELGADO: Okay. And this will be my last	1	MR. GIANNINI: Dirk Giannini, G-i-a-n-n-i-n-i.
2	question. If I tell you my crop need and you say okay	2	Mr. Chair, next time, make sure I'm before
3	and you say okay, and I make my annual report, or	3	Mr. Tomlinson in these late meetings.
4	somehow you find out that I'm applying more than my	4	MR. TOMLINSON: I get a bad rep from my own guys.
5	crop needs, what kind of penalty or consequences to I	5	MR. GIANNINI: Good evening, Chair and Board
6	face under the Draft Ag Order?	6	members.
7	MR. KEELING: Using what we talked about earlier	7	My name is Dirk Giannini from Leafy Green
	today we would initially contact the grower and talk to	8	Growers, Salinas. Mr. Michael Thomas used an analogy
8		9	
9	them about this information. And we would say why is		earlier this morning that the best is a good offense.
L0	your crop need so high?	10	I want to reflect on that analogy.
11	MR. DELGADO: Well, my example was you find out	11	I cannot agree more when it comes to
12	I'm applying a lot more than we agreed to. So I guess	12	improving water quality. The gain, is going to be one
.3	you would call me or email me and say what's up?	13	on the ground with growers, such as my myself that
L4	MR. KEELING: Yes, we talk to the grower and try	14	implement practices on the farm, not by submitting
15	to figure it out. So we would work with them to lower	15	multiple layers of monitoring results and paperwork
6	this?	16	that has nothing to do with the improvement of water
	MR. YOUNG: Okay. Thank you for your comments.	17	quality.
L7		18	The Staff Proposal, as presented, has a
L 8	MR. YOUNG: Thank you for our comments.	110	
18	MR. YOUNG: Thank you for our comments. Mike Brown, Dirk Giannini.	19	tremendous amount of required paperwork that is to be
L8 L9	-		tremendous amount of required paperwork that is to be submitted, which is onerous. Compliance of such laws
L8 L9 20	Mike Brown, Dirk Giannini.	19	
18 19 20 21	Mike Brown, Dirk Giannini. MR. BROWN: I'm Mike Brown, that's B-r-o-w-n,	19 20	submitted, which is onerous. Compliance of such laws
18 19 20 21 22	Mike Brown, Dirk Giannini. MR. BROWN: I'm Mike Brown, that's B-r-o-w-n, representing the Coalition of Labor, Agriculture and	19 20 21	submitted, which is onerous. Compliance of such laws that we are discussing today usually comes naturally
18 19 20 21 22 23	Mike Brown, Dirk Giannini. MR. BROWN: I'm Mike Brown, that's B-r-o-w-n, representing the Coalition of Labor, Agriculture and Business. I'm the Government Affairs Director for both	19 20 21 22	submitted, which is onerous. Compliance of such laws that we are discussing today usually comes naturally with good stewardship and strategic business plan, when
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	Mike Brown, Dirk Giannini. MR. BROWN: I'm Mike Brown, that's B-r-o-w-n, representing the Coalition of Labor, Agriculture and Business. I'm the Government Affairs Director for both Santa Barbara Counties and San Luis Obispo County. I	19 20 21 22 23	submitted, which is onerous. Compliance of such laws that we are discussing today usually comes naturally with good stewardship and strategic business plan, when considering the safety of our environment and our

25	that has, you know, a good share of Tier 3 farms, our	20	Know now mach malogen is in the imgation to:
	that has you know a good share of Tion 2 forms our	25	know how much nitrogen is in the irrigation to?
24	the problem is in the Tier 3 farms. Well, as a grower	24	MR. JOHNSTON: Do you test your groundwater to
23	they are a little hard to bear. Everybody says that	23	full circle over all our acres, over the crop area.
22	Tier 3 ranches, and for the comments that came earlier,	22	there. I don't think we are there yet. We haven't run
21	from the analysis I turned in of our operation of nine	21	every application, so I'm confident that we'll get
0	today aren't aware of any either. In my calculations	20	point, you know, people are documenting the need for
9	has that kind of experience and the CCAs that I talk to	19	burner issue right now. We are trying to get to the
8	with hydro-geology experience, I don't know of one that	18	is farther down the road. That's more that's a back
7	professional geologist, or a certified crop advisor	17	goal is to recoup that and I guess from that exercise
6	supervised by a registered professional engineer, a	16	great. In the first year, none in all our acres. The
5	effectiveness Monitoring, it says, must be conducted or	15	making. The expenditure two years ago wasn't that
4	it's required the irrigation Nutrient Management Plans	14	MR. COSTA: The program is two years in the
3	such a large group of Tier 3 growers, for those of whom	13	long you've been doing this, I'm just curious.
2	we created a Tier 4, by requiring those components of	12	fertilizer application at this point? I don't know how
1	So one question I have is at what point have	11	MR. JOHNSTON: Are you saving that half of
C	the Tier 3 growers.	10	MR. YOUNG: Yes.
9	between 55 and 60 percent of the growers, over half of	9	MR. JOHNSTON: Just a quick question.
В	came out today says as required, those two are required	8	Thank you very much.
7	what the definition of subset is. But the numbers that	7	MR. YOUNG: Okay. All right.
5	only required of a subset Tier 3 grower. I don't know	6	MR. COSTA: 5,400 land acres, averaging 2.1 crops.
5	and Irrigation Nutrient Management Plan are said to be	5	acres? I know before you talked about
ł	today, 103 farms, the Farm Water Quality Buffer Plan	4	MR. YOUNG: So about \$179,000 spread over how many
3	In the Draft Order for Tier 3, it stated	3	asked questions sheet said that we would be receiving.
	David Costa, C-o-s-t-a.	2	can start recouping the savings that are frequently
-	MR. COSTA: Thank you, Mr. Chair.	1	half of one of those to pay for that program before we
	Page 366		Page 3
5	David Costa, Rick Sweet, Ben Fairly.	25	fertilizer in the life of a crop, we basically save
1	MR. YOUNG: Thank you for your comments.	24	application. If we have four applications of
3	Thank you.	23	if we can just save about half of a fertilizer
2	inclusion of Dr. Los Huertos as an Ag Alternative.	22	So for us to recoup those numbers, my math is
_	Ag Proposal in the game plan, in addition to the	21	a growing crop.
)	MR. GIANNINI: I hope and urge you include the	20	trying to test between every side dress application on
)	MR. YOUNG: Can you rap it up, Mr. Giannini?	19	turnaround between the first and second crop. We are
3	Bureaus and Kari Fisher.	18	prepared operation, both in the fall and on the
7	misconceptions presented today by California Farm	17	of acres that we sample, we sample before every
5	categories. This was also highlighted by the 11	16	or next week, or two weeks. And because of the number
5	interpretations of the Staff Proposal in many	15	think, what's going to happen in the next several days,
1	me to believe that we have very different	14	tell us what's underneath. It doesn't tell us, I don't
3	line these catch basins. These two small examples lead	13	because the engine is running in my car. It doesn't
2	I have attended, including legal expert opinions are telling me the opposite. They're all suggesting that I	11 12	In my opinion, testing tissue tells you what's in the sand. It would be like saying, I still have gas
)	of that I am a member of, and all of the meetings that	10	MR. COSTA: We're testing soil instead of tissue.
9	required to line freshwater basins all the associations	9	money.
3	Even though Staff is telling me it is not	8	MR. YOUNG: Why did you spend that amount of
7	representative.		the room, and that's information I wanted to share.
6	public comments this is Assemblyman Luis Alejo's	6	make sure that it's difficult to get the sense in
5	3 by 200 growers, after listening to Sergio Sanchez'	5	those nitrogen applications. Okay. And I just want to
4	apart, that today that Staff just increased their Tier	4	pre-application solo testing for nitrogen prior to
	Staff and Agriculture are very apart. So far	3	large operation. We spent last year, \$179,000, in
3			
2 3	other regulations.	2	a 103 because we are one operation, although we're a

1	MD COSTA. Yes we de	1	the wares arower has a better chance of recomming that
2	MR. COSTA: Yes, we do.	1	the worse grower has a better chance of recouping that
2	MR. DELGADO: And, I guess, it's substantial	2	investment, because it means we're farther off in
3	enough to make a difference in your application?	3	everything we've been doing for last half a century.
4	MR. COSTA: Well, it varies by area. It varies by	4	The more we have it dialed in, in the recent past, then
5	ranch. Within our different growing areas we have four	5	there is going to be less to be gained from it. So I
6	distinct growing acres in the Salinas area, where we	6	guess I hope I don't recoup my investment because that
7	farm. You know, the history on those numbers is they	7	means we're doing a better job up to this point.
8	vary. Some have gone up; some have gone down. Some of	8	MR. DELGADO: You mean you'd have less ground to
9	that, you know, depends on the area, and some I don't	9	make up because you're already doing so well, but if
10	know that we can attribute, you know, the cause to does	10	you're already doing so well it's possible there is no
11	seem to be somewhat variable, and the other place where	11	ground to make up?
12	it gets complicated for us is an arrangement where	12	MR. COSTA: But this is the way that I chose to do
13	multiple wells that are either interconnected that	13	it.
14	irrigated cross blocks and do gave flexibility, maybe	14	MR. DELGADO: Okay. Very small question. I'm not
15	all the blocks that need to irrigate this side of the	15	asking for any more information now, but, in general,
16	range or all this half the ranch, it becomes a harder	16	are you more sensitive to the priority nature of your
17	number to pin down which number do I use, which well is	17	groundwater supply, and it's monitoring results then
18	running in this block this time. So the budgeting	18	you are for the information you've disclosed on the
19	issue gets complex.	19	nitrogen cycle, and how much money you spent for the
20	MR. DELGADO: And I really appreciate hearing this	20	testing yourself?
21	detail about your operation.	21	MR. COSTA: Yeah.
22	MR. COSTA: You're welcome.	22	MR. DELGADO: The water is more sensitive than the
23	MR. DELGADO: When you talked about trying to save	23	soil?
24	one half of the nitrogen application in order to recoup	24	MR. COSTA: I would agree with that.
25	your 180k?	25	MR. DELGADO: Thanks again very much.
	Page 370		Page 372
1	MR. COSTA: Yes. That's a round number.	1	MR. YOUNG: Okay. Thank you.
2	MR. DELGADO: You seem to say it easily, like this		
	FIR. DELGADO. TOU SCOTT to Say it casily, like this	2	Rick Sweet.
3	wasn't priority. I mean, we keep hearing how	2	Rick Sweet. MR. SWEET: Rick Sweet. Good evening and I
3 4			
	wasn't priority. I mean, we keep hearing how	3	MR. SWEET: Rick Sweet. Good evening and I
4	wasn't priority. I mean, we keep hearing how competitive the industry and that what you do on you	3 4	MR. SWEET: Rick Sweet. Good evening and I apologize, I have a cold and I sound funnier that, I
4 5	wasn't priority. I mean, we keep hearing how competitive the industry and that what you do on you ranch and your farms, that you may not want your	3 4 5	MR. SWEET: Rick Sweet. Good evening and I apologize, I have a cold and I sound funnier that, I guess. I just wanted to highlight one point. What
4 5 6	wasn't priority. I mean, we keep hearing how competitive the industry and that what you do on you ranch and your farms, that you may not want your competitors to know, because you're trying to, you	3 4 5 6	MR. SWEET: Rick Sweet. Good evening and I apologize, I have a cold and I sound funnier that, I guess. I just wanted to highlight one point. What we're trying to do that's not out here on the table is
4 5 6 7	wasn't priority. I mean, we keep hearing how competitive the industry and that what you do on you ranch and your farms, that you may not want your competitors to know, because you're trying to, you know, keep your you're trying to get your product to	3 4 5 6 7	MR. SWEET: Rick Sweet. Good evening and I apologize, I have a cold and I sound funnier that, I guess. I just wanted to highlight one point. What we're trying to do that's not out here on the table is determine whether in fact this works long term or not.
4 5 6 7 8	wasn't priority. I mean, we keep hearing how competitive the industry and that what you do on you ranch and your farms, that you may not want your competitors to know, because you're trying to, you know, keep your you're trying to get your product to the market faster. So is that true that the	3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. SWEET: Rick Sweet. Good evening and I apologize, I have a cold and I sound funnier that, I guess. I just wanted to highlight one point. What we're trying to do that's not out here on the table is determine whether in fact this works long term or not. I mean it's still in progress. The fact that 97
4 5 7 8 9	wasn't priority. I mean, we keep hearing how competitive the industry and that what you do on you ranch and your farms, that you may not want your competitors to know, because you're trying to, you know, keep your you're trying to get your product to the market faster. So is that true that the information that you're sharing with us is not	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. SWEET: Rick Sweet. Good evening and I apologize, I have a cold and I sound funnier that, I guess. I just wanted to highlight one point. What we're trying to do that's not out here on the table is determine whether in fact this works long term or not. I mean it's still in progress. The fact that 97 percent, more or less, of growers will be unaffected by
4 5 7 8 9 10	wasn't priority. I mean, we keep hearing how competitive the industry and that what you do on you ranch and your farms, that you may not want your competitors to know, because you're trying to, you know, keep your you're trying to get your product to the market faster. So is that true that the information that you're sharing with us is not proprietary, in general?	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MR. SWEET: Rick Sweet. Good evening and I apologize, I have a cold and I sound funnier that, I guess. I just wanted to highlight one point. What we're trying to do that's not out here on the table is determine whether in fact this works long term or not. I mean it's still in progress. The fact that 97 percent, more or less, of growers will be unaffected by the new requirements in the proposed Order. 97 percent
4 5 7 8 9 10 11	wasn't priority. I mean, we keep hearing how competitive the industry and that what you do on you ranch and your farms, that you may not want your competitors to know, because you're trying to, you know, keep your you're trying to get your product to the market faster. So is that true that the information that you're sharing with us is not proprietary, in general? MR. COSTA: I don't know exactly how many detail I	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MR. SWEET: Rick Sweet. Good evening and I apologize, I have a cold and I sound funnier that, I guess. I just wanted to highlight one point. What we're trying to do that's not out here on the table is determine whether in fact this works long term or not. I mean it's still in progress. The fact that 97 percent, more or less, of growers will be unaffected by the new requirements in the proposed Order. 97 percent of growers. That's the amount of growers who are
4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12	 wasn't priority. I mean, we keep hearing how competitive the industry and that what you do on you ranch and your farms, that you may not want your competitors to know, because you're trying to, you know, keep your you're trying to get your product to the market faster. So is that true that the information that you're sharing with us is not proprietary, in general? MR. COSTA: I don't know exactly how many detail I shared with you. I think there's a lot to what you're 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MR. SWEET: Rick Sweet. Good evening and I apologize, I have a cold and I sound funnier that, I guess. I just wanted to highlight one point. What we're trying to do that's not out here on the table is determine whether in fact this works long term or not. I mean it's still in progress. The fact that 97 percent, more or less, of growers will be unaffected by the new requirements in the proposed Order. 97 percent of growers. That's the amount of growers who are likely to fall into Tier 1 or Tier 2 and will
4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 wasn't priority. I mean, we keep hearing how competitive the industry and that what you do on you ranch and your farms, that you may not want your competitors to know, because you're trying to, you know, keep your you're trying to get your product to the market faster. So is that true that the information that you're sharing with us is not proprietary, in general? MR. COSTA: I don't know exactly how many detail I shared with you. I think there's a lot to what you're trying to do that's not all there on the table right 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR. SWEET: Rick Sweet. Good evening and I apologize, I have a cold and I sound funnier that, I guess. I just wanted to highlight one point. What we're trying to do that's not out here on the table is determine whether in fact this works long term or not. I mean it's still in progress. The fact that 97 percent, more or less, of growers will be unaffected by the new requirements in the proposed Order. 97 percent of growers. That's the amount of growers who are likely to fall into Tier 1 or Tier 2 and will THE REPORTER: Won't or will? I'm sorry, I didn't
4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 wasn't priority. I mean, we keep hearing how competitive the industry and that what you do on you ranch and your farms, that you may not want your competitors to know, because you're trying to, you know, keep your you're trying to get your product to the market faster. So is that true that the information that you're sharing with us is not proprietary, in general? MR. COSTA: I don't know exactly how many detail I shared with you. I think there's a lot to what you're trying to do that's not all there on the table right now, whether it, in fact, works long term or not is 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR. SWEET: Rick Sweet. Good evening and I apologize, I have a cold and I sound funnier that, I guess. I just wanted to highlight one point. What we're trying to do that's not out here on the table is determine whether in fact this works long term or not. I mean it's still in progress. The fact that 97 percent, more or less, of growers will be unaffected by the new requirements in the proposed Order. 97 percent of growers. That's the amount of growers who are likely to fall into Tier 1 or Tier 2 and will THE REPORTER: Won't or will? I'm sorry, I didn't hear you.
4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 wasn't priority. I mean, we keep hearing how competitive the industry and that what you do on you ranch and your farms, that you may not want your competitors to know, because you're trying to, you know, keep your you're trying to get your product to the market faster. So is that true that the information that you're sharing with us is not proprietary, in general? MR. COSTA: I don't know exactly how many detail I shared with you. I think there's a lot to what you're trying to do that's not all there on the table right now, whether it, in fact, works long term or not is still to be determined. I mean, it's still a work in 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR. SWEET: Rick Sweet. Good evening and I apologize, I have a cold and I sound funnier that, I guess. I just wanted to highlight one point. What we're trying to do that's not out here on the table is determine whether in fact this works long term or not. I mean it's still in progress. The fact that 97 percent, more or less, of growers will be unaffected by the new requirements in the proposed Order. 97 percent of growers. That's the amount of growers who are likely to fall into Tier 1 or Tier 2 and will THE REPORTER: Won't or will? I'm sorry, I didn't hear you. MR. SWEET: They will not face additional
4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 wasn't priority. I mean, we keep hearing how competitive the industry and that what you do on you ranch and your farms, that you may not want your competitors to know, because you're trying to, you know, keep your you're trying to get your product to the market faster. So is that true that the information that you're sharing with us is not proprietary, in general? MR. COSTA: I don't know exactly how many detail I shared with you. I think there's a lot to what you're trying to do that's not all there on the table right now, whether it, in fact, works long term or not is still to be determined. I mean, it's still a work in practicing. It's an example the type of things that 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR. SWEET: Rick Sweet. Good evening and I apologize, I have a cold and I sound funnier that, I guess. I just wanted to highlight one point. What we're trying to do that's not out here on the table is determine whether in fact this works long term or not. I mean it's still in progress. The fact that 97 percent, more or less, of growers will be unaffected by the new requirements in the proposed Order. 97 percent of growers. That's the amount of growers who are likely to fall into Tier 1 or Tier 2 and will THE REPORTER: Won't or will? I'm sorry, I didn't hear you. MR. SWEET: They will not face additional significant requirements and it just makes me ask the
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 wasn't priority. I mean, we keep hearing how competitive the industry and that what you do on you ranch and your farms, that you may not want your competitors to know, because you're trying to, you know, keep your you're trying to get your product to the market faster. So is that true that the information that you're sharing with us is not proprietary, in general? MR. COSTA: I don't know exactly how many detail I shared with you. I think there's a lot to what you're trying to do that's not all there on the table right now, whether it, in fact, works long term or not is still to be determined. I mean, it's still a work in practicing. It's an example the type of things that growers are trying to do in one way or shape or form or 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. SWEET: Rick Sweet. Good evening and I apologize, I have a cold and I sound funnier that, I guess. I just wanted to highlight one point. What we're trying to do that's not out here on the table is determine whether in fact this works long term or not. I mean it's still in progress. The fact that 97 percent, more or less, of growers will be unaffected by the new requirements in the proposed Order. 97 percent of growers. That's the amount of growers who are likely to fall into Tier 1 or Tier 2 and will THE REPORTER: Won't or will? I'm sorry, I didn't hear you. MR. SWEET: They will not face additional significant requirements and it just makes me ask the question, why are we still here? Why are we talking?
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 wasn't priority. I mean, we keep hearing how competitive the industry and that what you do on you ranch and your farms, that you may not want your competitors to know, because you're trying to, you know, keep your you're trying to get your product to the market faster. So is that true that the information that you're sharing with us is not proprietary, in general? MR. COSTA: I don't know exactly how many detail I shared with you. I think there's a lot to what you're trying to do that's not all there on the table right now, whether it, in fact, works long term or not is still to be determined. I mean, it's still a work in practicing. It's an example the type of things that growers are trying to do in one way or shape or form or through, you know, alternative method. This might not 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. SWEET: Rick Sweet. Good evening and I apologize, I have a cold and I sound funnier that, I guess. I just wanted to highlight one point. What we're trying to do that's not out here on the table is determine whether in fact this works long term or not. I mean it's still in progress. The fact that 97 percent, more or less, of growers will be unaffected by the new requirements in the proposed Order. 97 percent of growers. That's the amount of growers who are likely to fall into Tier 1 or Tier 2 and will THE REPORTER: Won't or will? I'm sorry, I didn't hear you. MR. SWEET: They will not face additional significant requirements and it just makes me ask the question, why are we still here? Why are we talking? Really, 97 percent? Um, I believe that the folks that
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 wasn't priority. I mean, we keep hearing how competitive the industry and that what you do on you ranch and your farms, that you may not want your competitors to know, because you're trying to, you know, keep your you're trying to get your product to the market faster. So is that true that the information that you're sharing with us is not proprietary, in general? MR. COSTA: I don't know exactly how many detail I shared with you. I think there's a lot to what you're trying to do that's not all there on the table right now, whether it, in fact, works long term or not is still to be determined. I mean, it's still a work in practicing. It's an example the type of things that growers are trying to do in one way or shape or form or through, you know, alternative method. This might not be a method to everyone. It's a commitment that we 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 MR. SWEET: Rick Sweet. Good evening and I apologize, I have a cold and I sound funnier that, I guess. I just wanted to highlight one point. What we're trying to do that's not out here on the table is determine whether in fact this works long term or not. I mean it's still in progress. The fact that 97 percent, more or less, of growers will be unaffected by the new requirements in the proposed Order. 97 percent of growers. That's the amount of growers who are likely to fall into Tier 1 or Tier 2 and will THE REPORTER: Won't or will? I'm sorry, I didn't hear you. MR. SWEET: They will not face additional significant requirements and it just makes me ask the question, why are we still here? Why are we talking? Really, 97 percent? Um, I believe that the folks that are still rallying against this aren't necessarily
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 wasn't priority. I mean, we keep hearing how competitive the industry and that what you do on you ranch and your farms, that you may not want your competitors to know, because you're trying to, you know, keep your you're trying to get your product to the market faster. So is that true that the information that you're sharing with us is not proprietary, in general? MR. COSTA: I don't know exactly how many detail I shared with you. I think there's a lot to what you're trying to do that's not all there on the table right now, whether it, in fact, works long term or not is still to be determined. I mean, it's still a work in practicing. It's an example the type of things that growers are trying to do in one way or shape or form or through, you know, alternative method. This might not be a method to everyone. It's a commitment that we made because we knew that we because of the size of 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. SWEET: Rick Sweet. Good evening and I apologize, I have a cold and I sound funnier that, I guess. I just wanted to highlight one point. What we're trying to do that's not out here on the table is determine whether in fact this works long term or not. I mean it's still in progress. The fact that 97 percent, more or less, of growers will be unaffected by the new requirements in the proposed Order. 97 percent of growers. That's the amount of growers who are likely to fall into Tier 1 or Tier 2 and will THE REPORTER: Won't or will? I'm sorry, I didn't hear you. MR. SWEET: They will not face additional significant requirements and it just makes me ask the question, why are we still here? Why are we talking? Really, 97 percent? Um, I believe that the folks that are still rallying against this aren't necessarily representative of the majority of growers in the
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 wasn't priority. I mean, we keep hearing how competitive the industry and that what you do on you ranch and your farms, that you may not want your competitors to know, because you're trying to, you know, keep your you're trying to get your product to the market faster. So is that true that the information that you're sharing with us is not proprietary, in general? MR. COSTA: I don't know exactly how many detail I shared with you. I think there's a lot to what you're trying to do that's not all there on the table right now, whether it, in fact, works long term or not is still to be determined. I mean, it's still a work in practicing. It's an example the type of things that growers are trying to do in one way or shape or form or through, you know, alternative method. This might not be a method to everyone. It's a commitment that we made because we knew that we because of the size of our operation, we need to figure things out. We can't 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. SWEET: Rick Sweet. Good evening and I apologize, I have a cold and I sound funnier that, I guess. I just wanted to highlight one point. What we're trying to do that's not out here on the table is determine whether in fact this works long term or not. I mean it's still in progress. The fact that 97 percent, more or less, of growers will be unaffected by the new requirements in the proposed Order. 97 percent of growers. That's the amount of growers who are likely to fall into Tier 1 or Tier 2 and will THE REPORTER: Won't or will? I'm sorry, I didn't hear you. MR. SWEET: They will not face additional significant requirements and it just makes me ask the question, why are we still here? Why are we talking? Really, 97 percent? Um, I believe that the folks that are still rallying against this aren't necessarily representative of the majority of growers in the meeting. I think there is actually a significant
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	wasn't priority. I mean, we keep hearing how competitive the industry and that what you do on you ranch and your farms, that you may not want your competitors to know, because you're trying to, you know, keep your you're trying to get your product to the market faster. So is that true that the information that you're sharing with us is not proprietary, in general? MR. COSTA: I don't know exactly how many detail I shared with you. I think there's a lot to what you're trying to do that's not all there on the table right now, whether it, in fact, works long term or not is still to be determined. I mean, it's still a work in practicing. It's an example the type of things that growers are trying to do in one way or shape or form or through, you know, alternative method. This might not be a method to everyone. It's a commitment that we made because we knew that we because of the size of our operation, we need to figure things out. We can't wait for last minute. Someone else may be able to do	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. SWEET: Rick Sweet. Good evening and I apologize, I have a cold and I sound funnier that, I guess. I just wanted to highlight one point. What we're trying to do that's not out here on the table is determine whether in fact this works long term or not. I mean it's still in progress. The fact that 97 percent, more or less, of growers will be unaffected by the new requirements in the proposed Order. 97 percent of growers. That's the amount of growers who are likely to fall into Tier 1 or Tier 2 and will The REPORTER: Won't or will? I'm sorry, I didn't hear you. MR. SWEET: They will not face additional significant requirements and it just makes me ask the question, why are we still here? Why are we talking? Really, 97 percent? Um, I believe that the folks that are still rallying against this aren't necessarily representative of the majority of growers in the meeting. I think there is actually a significant division amongst the agricultural community itself and
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	wasn't priority. I mean, we keep hearing how competitive the industry and that what you do on you ranch and your farms, that you may not want your competitors to know, because you're trying to, you know, keep your you're trying to get your product to the market faster. So is that true that the information that you're sharing with us is not proprietary, in general? MR. COSTA: I don't know exactly how many detail I shared with you. I think there's a lot to what you're trying to do that's not all there on the table right now, whether it, in fact, works long term or not is still to be determined. I mean, it's still a work in practicing. It's an example the type of things that growers are trying to do in one way or shape or form or through, you know, alternative method. This might not be a method to everyone. It's a commitment that we made because we knew that we because of the size of our operation, we need to figure things out. We can't wait for last minute. Someone else may be able to do that. Somebody that has it dialed in better might be	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. SWEET: Rick Sweet. Good evening and I apologize, I have a cold and I sound funnier that, I guess. I just wanted to highlight one point. What we're trying to do that's not out here on the table is determine whether in fact this works long term or not. I mean it's still in progress. The fact that 97 percent, more or less, of growers will be unaffected by the new requirements in the proposed Order. 97 percent of growers. That's the amount of growers who are likely to fall into Tier 1 or Tier 2 and will The REPORTER: Won't or will? I'm sorry, I didn't hear you. MR. SWEET: They will not face additional significant requirements and it just makes me ask the question, why are we still here? Why are we talking? Really, 97 percent? Um, I believe that the folks that are still rallying against this aren't necessarily representative of the majority of growers in the meeting. I think there is actually a significant is and it that the remaining group of growers, who are
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	wasn't priority. I mean, we keep hearing how competitive the industry and that what you do on you ranch and your farms, that you may not want your competitors to know, because you're trying to, you know, keep your you're trying to get your product to the market faster. So is that true that the information that you're sharing with us is not proprietary, in general? MR. COSTA: I don't know exactly how many detail I shared with you. I think there's a lot to what you're trying to do that's not all there on the table right now, whether it, in fact, works long term or not is still to be determined. I mean, it's still a work in practicing. It's an example the type of things that growers are trying to do in one way or shape or form or through, you know, alternative method. This might not be a method to everyone. It's a commitment that we made because we knew that we because of the size of our operation, we need to figure things out. We can't wait for last minute. Someone else may be able to do that. Somebody that has it dialed in better might be able to do that. I would say that, probably, you	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	MR. SWEET: Rick Sweet. Good evening and I apologize, I have a cold and I sound funnier that, I guess. I just wanted to highlight one point. What we're trying to do that's not out here on the table is determine whether in fact this works long term or not. I mean it's still in progress. The fact that 97 percent, more or less, of growers will be unaffected by the new requirements in the proposed Order. 97 percent of growers. That's the amount of growers who are likely to fall into Tier 1 or Tier 2 and will THE REPORTER: Won't or will? I'm sorry, I didn't hear you. MR. SWEET: They will not face additional significant requirements and it just makes me ask the question, why are we still here? Why are we talking? Really, 97 percent? Um, I believe that the folks that are still rallying against this aren't necessarily representative of the majority of growers in the meeting. I think there is actually a significant division amongst the agricultural community itself and I think that the remaining group of growers, who are still here, are the ones who have anything at all at

1	I think the vast majority of the growers in the region,	1	explicated sewage. Everything here seems so
2	if you were to ask them individually and not their	2	complicated and it is complicated but I live in a town
3	Bureau reps or, you know, if not supportive would, at	3	whose drinking water is permanently contaminated and
4	worse, be indifferent to what's being said.	4	I'd like some answers.
5	So my question to you, you know, what level	5	MR. YOUNG: The staff would be glad to answer your
6	of support does it take, support or indifference, does	6	question but not here.
7	it take for the board to approve an Order? Are you	7	MS. BUTON: I disagree.
8	really going to wait until we have 100 percent support	8	MR. YOUNG: It's okay for you to disagree.
9	from the regulated community to approve this?	9	Thank you for your comments.
10	97 percent are virtually unaffected by this.	10	Raymundo Butar.
11	The environmental community is going to get	11	MR. BUTAR: Raymundo Butar, R-a-y-m-u-n-d-o
12	what they wanted and we are not happy with the Order.	12	B-u-t-a-r. I am here as a former analyst for pollution
13	We wanted something, we didn't get it, we are not going	13	of water and my work focused on gathering water quality
14	to get it. You know, this isn't a perfect Order, but	14	data for the Marin County and I collected raw data
15	it's been three years and we need to get moving again.	15	directly from the site and worked with a senior analyst
16	There are projects, there are collaborations, there are	16	and the information has been published in the
17	programs that could have been happening right now that	17	information presented last year in March by my
18	haven't, because people are waiting to see how the	18	director. We wanted something, we didn't get it, we
19	chips are going to land on this thing. We need to get	19	are not going to get it. You know, this isn't a
20	back on track. You have an opportunity tomorrow to do	20	perfect Order, but it's been three years and we need to
21	that. I hope you vote to support the Staff Proposal.	21	get moving again. There are projects, there are
22	Thank you.	22	collaborations, there are programs that could have been
23	MR. YOUNG: Thank you for your comments.	23	happening right now that haven't, because people are
24	Marla Jo Bruton, Mary Mundos, Buta Ratar,	24	waiting to see how the chips are going to land on this
25	Robert Chapman, William Fortat, Jack Berian, Michael	25	thing. We need to get back on track. You have an
	Page 374		Page 376
1	Salsbury, Gordon Hensley, and that's it.	1	opportunity tomorrow to do that. I hope you vote to
2	Marla Jo Buton.	2	support the Staff Proposal.
3	MS. BUTON: I have a specific question that I hope	3	I urge on behalf of the EGCB, that the Board
4	that someone here at Staff can answer or direct me to	4	look away from all this convolution that's going on,
5	someone who can answer later. I an interested in	5	and take into consideration this issue that we are
6	impacts of nitrate pollution. I come from the	6	talking about today is an issue of human rights, and we
7	community of Morro Bay and we have public drinking	7	have we're dealing with the well being of humans and
8	water wells impact. My city has 1.5 million and I'm	8	particularly in low income communities that are not
9	wondering if that was used for the plant?	9	represented today.
10	MR. YOUNG: Ms. Buton, you can ask that question	10	And so please vote tomorrow and vote for the
11	but not here because it doesn't have to do with the Ag	11	Staff Proposal. And while it's not a perfect solution,
12	water.	12	it's a step closer to bringing the nitrate level down,
13	MS. BUTON: Well, why? This has to do with the Ag	13	and to bring a perfect solution.
14	Order.	14	Thank you.
15	MR. YOUNG: Well, that can be answered later.	15	MR. YOUNG: Thank you for your comments.
16	MS. BUTON: I'm here representing my city.	16	Robin Chapman.
17	MR. YOUNG: Address your comments if you would to	17	MS. CHAPMAN: Robin Chapman, C-h-a-p-m-a-n.
18	what's in the Ag Water Order. That's what this is	18	Thank you to the Board for your service, to
19	about.	19	the Staff for your hard work, to our hard working
20	MS. BUTON: When you look here you have farm,	20	stenographer and to our awesome awesome simultaneous
21	labors, San Lucas, King City and San Jerardo and I'm	21	translators in the back of the room. Thank you.
22	curious as to why Morro Bay was removed from that and	22	In every industry there are people that have
23	if that has to do with agriculture not being the main	23	to be already people who have to be dragged, kicking
24	source of our pollution. The farmers are being blamed	24	and screaming to abandon antiquated ideology and to
25	and there are concerned citizens who believe that it's	25	embrace a new and better pyridine. Very often the
	Page 375		Page 377
		1	

1	irrational argument against change that the new way is	1	kind of crazy because it's 100 percent organic.
2	too expensive. In every situation, remediation has	2	I'm also a Board member on the Central Coast
3	caused thousands of times more than prevention and can	3	Wine Grape Growers Association as is my colleague and
4	anybody spell "Esterson."	4	our Ag representative, Jean-Pierre, and I know he
5	Water is not a personal possession. It's	5	shouldn't be able to vote because it is a conflict of
6	every individual's obligation to protect, and anyone	6	interest. I have a hard time thinking that he
7	responsible for affecting the water quality should be	7	shouldn't be up there with you asking questions as an
8	held responsible for it's long-term viability,	8	Ag representative.
9	regardless of cost.	9	Finally, what is this going to cost us?
10	The Board has no responsibility to protect	10	Nobody has given me any ideas. I have a budget. What
11	farming. You're only obligation is to protect water	11	is it going to cost me? I have not been able to get a
12	quality. I ask you to adopt the Staff recommendations,	12	good read on that, and if I'm a Tier 1 or Tier 3,
13	with the addition of the environmental	13	whatever, it's almost like getting a bomb, you have to
14	recommendations.	14	get it and find out what's in it to see to what it
15	And, oh, by the way, this is personal to me,	15	costs. And also, what about the guys above us? San
16	because I live on the confluence of the Estrella and	16	Luis Big Water Creek are sending down a million gallons
17	Salinas Rivers. Incidently (sic), my husband and I	17	a day with nitrates in it, over the limit. I have
18	derive 100 percent of our income from farming.	18	three wells next to it. Am I going to get dinged for
19	MR. YOUNG: Thank you for your comments.	19	them sending down nitrates?
20	Is there a William here? Elena? No, okay.	20	Am I going to get dinged for the homeowner's
21	How about a Bill? No, okay.	21	association above us that is completely landscaped two
22	All right. Jack Darrian, V6 Ranch,	22	acre parcels sending down all pesticides? There's a
23	Hartfield?	23	lot of things about the other people sending things,
24	MR. DELGADO: He left. He's a cattle rancher. He	24	the golf course, they have a system on both sides of
25	thought he was not needed here.	25	the creek am I going to get dinged for all that?
	Page 378		Page 380
	1 ugo 570		1 460 500
1	MR. YOUNG: John Salsbury. I know he's still	1	Thank you.
2	here.	2	MR. YOUNG: Thank you for your comments.
3	MR. SALSBURY: John Salsbury, S-a-I-s-b-u-r-y,	3	MR. DELGADO: Quick question.
4			
-	like the steak.	4	Could you get the Staff to weigh in, if he's
5	like the steak. I'm a sixth generation California farmer and	4 5	Could you get the Staff to weigh in, if he's organic, would he be Tier 1?
5	I'm a sixth generation California farmer and	5	organic, would he be Tier 1?
5 6	I'm a sixth generation California farmer and we have water rights in the Sacramento Delta going back	5 6	organic, would he be Tier 1? MR. SALSBURY: No, but I have a well in the middle
5 6 7	I'm a sixth generation California farmer and we have water rights in the Sacramento Delta going back to 1850, which are also in jeopardy. I also farm right	5 6 7	organic, would he be Tier 1? MR. SALSBURY: No, but I have a well in the middle of it that feeds 25 homes.
5 6 7 8	I'm a sixth generation California farmer and we have water rights in the Sacramento Delta going back to 1850, which are also in jeopardy. I also farm right down the road, about five miles, which is a very	5 6 7 8	organic, would he be Tier 1? MR. SALSBURY: No, but I have a well in the middle of it that feeds 25 homes. MS. SCHROETER: I'm sorry, I stepped out when he
5 6 7 8 9	I'm a sixth generation California farmer and we have water rights in the Sacramento Delta going back to 1850, which are also in jeopardy. I also farm right down the road, about five miles, which is a very sustainable operation, 100 percent organic. Monica was	5 6 7 8 9	organic, would he be Tier 1? MR. SALSBURY: No, but I have a well in the middle of it that feeds 25 homes. MS. SCHROETER: I'm sorry, I stepped out when he was describing the ranch.
5 6 7 8 9 10	I'm a sixth generation California farmer and we have water rights in the Sacramento Delta going back to 1850, which are also in jeopardy. I also farm right down the road, about five miles, which is a very sustainable operation, 100 percent organic. Monica was there in the beginning. It was just the two of us when	5 6 7 8 9 10	organic, would he be Tier 1? MR. SALSBURY: No, but I have a well in the middle of it that feeds 25 homes. MS. SCHROETER: I'm sorry, I stepped out when he was describing the ranch. MR. DELGADO: If he does not use any pesticides,
5 6 7 8 9 10 11	I'm a sixth generation California farmer and we have water rights in the Sacramento Delta going back to 1850, which are also in jeopardy. I also farm right down the road, about five miles, which is a very sustainable operation, 100 percent organic. Monica was there in the beginning. It was just the two of us when we started because one of the things we worried about	5 6 7 8 9 10 11	organic, would he be Tier 1? MR. SALSBURY: No, but I have a well in the middle of it that feeds 25 homes. MS. SCHROETER: I'm sorry, I stepped out when he was describing the ranch. MR. DELGADO: If he does not use any pesticides, is he Tier 1?
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	I'm a sixth generation California farmer and we have water rights in the Sacramento Delta going back to 1850, which are also in jeopardy. I also farm right down the road, about five miles, which is a very sustainable operation, 100 percent organic. Monica was there in the beginning. It was just the two of us when we started because one of the things we worried about anything running off that ranch, because we had pretty	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	organic, would he be Tier 1? MR. SALSBURY: No, but I have a well in the middle of it that feeds 25 homes. MS. SCHROETER: I'm sorry, I stepped out when he was describing the ranch. MR. DELGADO: If he does not use any pesticides, is he Tier 1? MR. SALSBURY: Yeah, it's only five acres. This
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	I'm a sixth generation California farmer and we have water rights in the Sacramento Delta going back to 1850, which are also in jeopardy. I also farm right down the road, about five miles, which is a very sustainable operation, 100 percent organic. Monica was there in the beginning. It was just the two of us when we started because one of the things we worried about anything running off that ranch, because we had pretty steep slopes and we have a lot of copper dams to hold	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	organic, would he be Tier 1? MR. SALSBURY: No, but I have a well in the middle of it that feeds 25 homes. MS. SCHROETER: I'm sorry, I stepped out when he was describing the ranch. MR. DELGADO: If he does not use any pesticides, is he Tier 1? MR. SALSBURY: Yeah, it's only five acres. This one part, I've got plenty of others, but this one
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	I'm a sixth generation California farmer and we have water rights in the Sacramento Delta going back to 1850, which are also in jeopardy. I also farm right down the road, about five miles, which is a very sustainable operation, 100 percent organic. Monica was there in the beginning. It was just the two of us when we started because one of the things we worried about anything running off that ranch, because we had pretty steep slopes and we have a lot of copper dams to hold the water because if I kill one steelhead within an	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	organic, would he be Tier 1? MR. SALSBURY: No, but I have a well in the middle of it that feeds 25 homes. MS. SCHROETER: I'm sorry, I stepped out when he was describing the ranch. MR. DELGADO: If he does not use any pesticides, is he Tier 1? MR. SALSBURY: Yeah, it's only five acres. This one part, I've got plenty of others, but this one parcel is five acres. It's on a creek on the west side
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	I'm a sixth generation California farmer and we have water rights in the Sacramento Delta going back to 1850, which are also in jeopardy. I also farm right down the road, about five miles, which is a very sustainable operation, 100 percent organic. Monica was there in the beginning. It was just the two of us when we started because one of the things we worried about anything running off that ranch, because we had pretty steep slopes and we have a lot of copper dams to hold the water because if I kill one steelhead within an inch or a foot long, it's \$13,000 a pop. You don't	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	organic, would he be Tier 1? MR. SALSBURY: No, but I have a well in the middle of it that feeds 25 homes. MS. SCHROETER: I'm sorry, I stepped out when he was describing the ranch. MR. DELGADO: If he does not use any pesticides, is he Tier 1? MR. SALSBURY: Yeah, it's only five acres. This one part, I've got plenty of others, but this one parcel is five acres. It's on a creek on the west side and Sea County Creek on the south side.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	I'm a sixth generation California farmer and we have water rights in the Sacramento Delta going back to 1850, which are also in jeopardy. I also farm right down the road, about five miles, which is a very sustainable operation, 100 percent organic. Monica was there in the beginning. It was just the two of us when we started because one of the things we worried about anything running off that ranch, because we had pretty steep slopes and we have a lot of copper dams to hold the water because if I kill one steelhead within an inch or a foot long, it's \$13,000 a pop. You don't kill one, you kill a bunch. We've been set up that way	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	organic, would he be Tier 1? MR. SALSBURY: No, but I have a well in the middle of it that feeds 25 homes. MS. SCHROETER: I'm sorry, I stepped out when he was describing the ranch. MR. DELGADO: If he does not use any pesticides, is he Tier 1? MR. SALSBURY: Yeah, it's only five acres. This one part, I've got plenty of others, but this one parcel is five acres. It's on a creek on the west side and Sea County Creek on the south side. MS. SCHROETER: What type of crop do you grow?
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	I'm a sixth generation California farmer and we have water rights in the Sacramento Delta going back to 1850, which are also in jeopardy. I also farm right down the road, about five miles, which is a very sustainable operation, 100 percent organic. Monica was there in the beginning. It was just the two of us when we started because one of the things we worried about anything running off that ranch, because we had pretty steep slopes and we have a lot of copper dams to hold the water because if I kill one steelhead within an inch or a foot long, it's \$13,000 a pop. You don't kill one, you kill a bunch. We've been set up that way a long time, and you don't have a farm for 163 years in	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	organic, would he be Tier 1? MR. SALSBURY: No, but I have a well in the middle of it that feeds 25 homes. MS. SCHROETER: I'm sorry, I stepped out when he was describing the ranch. MR. DELGADO: If he does not use any pesticides, is he Tier 1? MR. SALSBURY: Yeah, it's only five acres. This one part, I've got plenty of others, but this one parcel is five acres. It's on a creek on the west side and Sea County Creek on the south side. MS. SCHROETER: What type of crop do you grow? MR. SALSBURY: It's a vineyard and it's never had
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	I'm a sixth generation California farmer and we have water rights in the Sacramento Delta going back to 1850, which are also in jeopardy. I also farm right down the road, about five miles, which is a very sustainable operation, 100 percent organic. Monica was there in the beginning. It was just the two of us when we started because one of the things we worried about anything running off that ranch, because we had pretty steep slopes and we have a lot of copper dams to hold the water because if I kill one steelhead within an inch or a foot long, it's \$13,000 a pop. You don't kill one, you kill a bunch. We've been set up that way a long time, and you don't have a farm for 163 years in tenancy, without being good stewards of the land. We	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	organic, would he be Tier 1? MR. SALSBURY: No, but I have a well in the middle of it that feeds 25 homes. MS. SCHROETER: I'm sorry, I stepped out when he was describing the ranch. MR. DELGADO: If he does not use any pesticides, is he Tier 1? MR. SALSBURY: Yeah, it's only five acres. This one part, I've got plenty of others, but this one parcel is five acres. It's on a creek on the west side and Sea County Creek on the south side. MS. SCHROETER: What type of crop do you grow? MR. SALSBURY: It's a vineyard and it's never had a pesticide on it. It's bottom land. I don't have to
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	I'm a sixth generation California farmer and we have water rights in the Sacramento Delta going back to 1850, which are also in jeopardy. I also farm right down the road, about five miles, which is a very sustainable operation, 100 percent organic. Monica was there in the beginning. It was just the two of us when we started because one of the things we worried about anything running off that ranch, because we had pretty steep slopes and we have a lot of copper dams to hold the water because if I kill one steelhead within an inch or a foot long, it's \$13,000 a pop. You don't kill one, you kill a bunch. We've been set up that way a long time, and you don't have a farm for 163 years in tenancy, without being good stewards of the land. We pretty much have always been organic for the last 20	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	organic, would he be Tier 1? MR. SALSBURY: No, but I have a well in the middle of it that feeds 25 homes. MS. SCHROETER: I'm sorry, I stepped out when he was describing the ranch. MR. DELGADO: If he does not use any pesticides, is he Tier 1? MR. SALSBURY: Yeah, it's only five acres. This one part, I've got plenty of others, but this one parcel is five acres. It's on a creek on the west side and Sea County Creek on the south side. MS. SCHROETER: What type of crop do you grow? MR. SALSBURY: It's a vineyard and it's never had a pesticide on it. It's bottom land. I don't have to have fertilizer and the whole thing is organic, but
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	I'm a sixth generation California farmer and we have water rights in the Sacramento Delta going back to 1850, which are also in jeopardy. I also farm right down the road, about five miles, which is a very sustainable operation, 100 percent organic. Monica was there in the beginning. It was just the two of us when we started because one of the things we worried about anything running off that ranch, because we had pretty steep slopes and we have a lot of copper dams to hold the water because if I kill one steelhead within an inch or a foot long, it's \$13,000 a pop. You don't kill one, you kill a bunch. We've been set up that way a long time, and you don't have a farm for 163 years in tenancy, without being good stewards of the land. We pretty much have always been organic for the last 20 years and we're sitting in a spot though that got a	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	organic, would he be Tier 1? MR. SALSBURY: No, but I have a well in the middle of it that feeds 25 homes. MS. SCHROETER: I'm sorry, I stepped out when he was describing the ranch. MR. DELGADO: If he does not use any pesticides, is he Tier 1? MR. SALSBURY: Yeah, it's only five acres. This one part, I've got plenty of others, but this one parcel is five acres. It's on a creek on the west side and Sea County Creek on the south side. MS. SCHROETER: What type of crop do you grow? MR. SALSBURY: It's a vineyard and it's never had a pesticide on it. It's bottom land. I don't have to have fertilizer and the whole thing is organic, but it's got a well near it.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	I'm a sixth generation California farmer and we have water rights in the Sacramento Delta going back to 1850, which are also in jeopardy. I also farm right down the road, about five miles, which is a very sustainable operation, 100 percent organic. Monica was there in the beginning. It was just the two of us when we started because one of the things we worried about anything running off that ranch, because we had pretty steep slopes and we have a lot of copper dams to hold the water because if I kill one steelhead within an inch or a foot long, it's \$13,000 a pop. You don't kill one, you kill a bunch. We've been set up that way a long time, and you don't have a farm for 163 years in tenancy, without being good stewards of the land. We pretty much have always been organic for the last 20 years and we're sitting in a spot though that got a creek going on the west and south side of it, it is	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	organic, would he be Tier 1? MR. SALSBURY: No, but I have a well in the middle of it that feeds 25 homes. MS. SCHROETER: I'm sorry, I stepped out when he was describing the ranch. MR. DELGADO: If he does not use any pesticides, is he Tier 1? MR. SALSBURY: Yeah, it's only five acres. This one part, I've got plenty of others, but this one parcel is five acres. It's on a creek on the west side and Sea County Creek on the south side. MS. SCHROETER: What type of crop do you grow? MR. SALSBURY: It's a vineyard and it's never had a pesticide on it. It's bottom land. I don't have to have fertilizer and the whole thing is organic, but it's got a well near it. MS. SCHROETER: You're in Tier 1.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	I'm a sixth generation California farmer and we have water rights in the Sacramento Delta going back to 1850, which are also in jeopardy. I also farm right down the road, about five miles, which is a very sustainable operation, 100 percent organic. Monica was there in the beginning. It was just the two of us when we started because one of the things we worried about anything running off that ranch, because we had pretty steep slopes and we have a lot of copper dams to hold the water because if I kill one steelhead within an inch or a foot long, it's \$13,000 a pop. You don't kill one, you kill a bunch. We've been set up that way a long time, and you don't have a farm for 163 years in tenancy, without being good stewards of the land. We pretty much have always been organic for the last 20 years and we're sitting in a spot though that got a creek going on the west and south side of it, it is only about 30 feet away from the vineyard, and we have	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	organic, would he be Tier 1? MR. SALSBURY: No, but I have a well in the middle of it that feeds 25 homes. MS. SCHROETER: I'm sorry, I stepped out when he was describing the ranch. MR. DELGADO: If he does not use any pesticides, is he Tier 1? MR. SALSBURY: Yeah, it's only five acres. This one part, I've got plenty of others, but this one parcel is five acres. It's on a creek on the west side and Sea County Creek on the south side. MS. SCHROETER: What type of crop do you grow? MR. SALSBURY: It's a vineyard and it's never had a pesticide on it. It's bottom land. I don't have to have fertilizer and the whole thing is organic, but it's got a well near it. MR. JOHNSTON: Unless he has a surface water or
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	I'm a sixth generation California farmer and we have water rights in the Sacramento Delta going back to 1850, which are also in jeopardy. I also farm right down the road, about five miles, which is a very sustainable operation, 100 percent organic. Monica was there in the beginning. It was just the two of us when we started because one of the things we worried about anything running off that ranch, because we had pretty steep slopes and we have a lot of copper dams to hold the water because if I kill one steelhead within an inch or a foot long, it's \$13,000 a pop. You don't kill one, you kill a bunch. We've been set up that way a long time, and you don't have a farm for 163 years in tenancy, without being good stewards of the land. We pretty much have always been organic for the last 20 years and we're sitting in a spot though that got a creek going on the west and south side of it, it is only about 30 feet away from the vineyard, and we have a municipal well for the people up above, homeowners,	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	organic, would he be Tier 1? MR. SALSBURY: No, but I have a well in the middle of it that feeds 25 homes. MS. SCHROETER: I'm sorry, I stepped out when he was describing the ranch. MR. DELGADO: If he does not use any pesticides, is he Tier 1? MR. SALSBURY: Yeah, it's only five acres. This one part, I've got plenty of others, but this one parcel is five acres. It's on a creek on the west side and Sea County Creek on the south side. MS. SCHROETER: What type of crop do you grow? MR. SALSBURY: It's a vineyard and it's never had a pesticide on it. It's bottom land. I don't have to have fertilizer and the whole thing is organic, but it's got a well near it. MR. JOHNSTON: Unless he has a surface water or impaired drinking water well?
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	I'm a sixth generation California farmer and we have water rights in the Sacramento Delta going back to 1850, which are also in jeopardy. I also farm right down the road, about five miles, which is a very sustainable operation, 100 percent organic. Monica was there in the beginning. It was just the two of us when we started because one of the things we worried about anything running off that ranch, because we had pretty steep slopes and we have a lot of copper dams to hold the water because if I kill one steelhead within an inch or a foot long, it's \$13,000 a pop. You don't kill one, you kill a bunch. We've been set up that way a long time, and you don't have a farm for 163 years in tenancy, without being good stewards of the land. We pretty much have always been organic for the last 20 years and we're sitting in a spot though that got a creek going on the west and south side of it, it is only about 30 feet away from the vineyard, and we have a municipal well for the people up above, homeowners, and we also have an Ag well, so that immediately puts	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	organic, would he be Tier 1? MR. SALSBURY: No, but I have a well in the middle of it that feeds 25 homes. MS. SCHROETER: I'm sorry, I stepped out when he was describing the ranch. MR. DELGADO: If he does not use any pesticides, is he Tier 1? MR. SALSBURY: Yeah, it's only five acres. This one part, I've got plenty of others, but this one parcel is five acres. It's on a creek on the west side and Sea County Creek on the south side. MS. SCHROETER: What type of crop do you grow? MR. SALSBURY: It's a vineyard and it's never had a pesticide on it. It's bottom land. I don't have to have fertilizer and the whole thing is organic, but it's got a well near it. MS. SCHROETER: You're in Tier 1. MR. JOHNSTON: Unless he has a surface water or impaired drinking water well? MR. SALSBURY: I have both of them.

~	MR. JOHNSTON: So we are clear, the Tier criteria,	1	MS. SCHROETER: That doesn't effect what Tier
2	as I understand it, Tier 1, all four of those criteria	2	you're in.
3	have to be true. Tier 2, it's not an "and," it's an	3	MR. JOHNSTON: For his crops.
4	"or," and if any of those things are true, you're in	4	MR. SALSBURY: Then I'm okay? It's organic.
5	Tier 2 or Tier 3.	5	MS. SCHROETER: But it there's
6	MR. DELGADO: So that's the question. Is it	6	MR. SALSBURY: And I have got a creek 25 feet
7	an "or" or an "and" for Tier 1, 2 and 3 on slide 42,	7	away.
8	Page 21 of today's Staff report.	8	MR. YOUNG: Is it impaired?
9	MR. JOHNSTON: Let me see the actual slide.	9	MR. SALSBURY: What's impaired mean?
0	MR. DELGADO: Page 21 slide of your Tier	10	MR. YOUNG: It would be polluted with certain
1	criteria.	11	contaminants above a certain level and you would be on
2	MS. SCHROETER: Sorry, it's late.	12	a list 303D list of water bodies, just because it's a
3	MR. DELGADO: So are those four bullets under Tier	13	creek.
4	1, are those "ors" or "ands"? And do you have to have	14	If it's a clean creek, you're okay.
5	all four or just one? Tier 1 with four bullets?	15	MR. SALSBURY: I definitely know it is.
6	MS. SCHROETER: So that's correct, you have to	16	MR. JEFFRIES: As far as you know, it is.
7	have all three of the top three or be a certified	17	MR. YOUNG: No problem.
3	sustainable practice.	18	MR. SALSBURY: Okay. Thank you.
9	MR. DELGADO: So if Mr. Salsbury has an impaired	19	MR. JEFFRIES: But how would he know that when he
)	surface water that he's discharging into or if he has	20	was is filling out his form to apply? Which direction
1	an impaired drinking water well, he cannot be in	21	doe he?
2	Tier 1.	22	MR. YOUNG: To the Staff to ask questions.
3	MS. SCHROETER: Actually, you know, this slide is	23	MR. SALSBURY: I'm going to go stand in line right
1	slightly incorrect relative to the drinking water well,	24	now right now.
5	so the drinking water well piece is only invoked if you	25	MR. JEFFRIES: Your fortunate to know a Staff
	Page 3	82	Page 3
1	grow a certain crop type.	1	person personally, not everybody has the good advantage
2	MR. DELGADO: Okay.	2	you have.
3	MS. SCHROETER: I'm sorry.	3	MS. SCHROETER: Sir, you can also go on our CCAM
4	MR. DELGADO: All right. Then the three bullets	4	website. It has the impairments available.
5	under Tier 2, are they "ors" or "ands"?	5	MR. YOUNG: So does the Order.
6	MS. SCHROETER: So the Tier 2 criteria are that	6	MR. BRIGGS: It's right here.
7	you use chlorophyll-a phosphor diazinon, if those are	7	MR. YOUNG: I think it's easier said than
, 8	"ors." So if any one of those Tier 2 criteria	8	necessarily done.
9	applies, you are in Tier 2.	9	MR. KEELING: And that's through the process
0	MR. DELGADO: So just being organic, doesn't mean	10	through the ENOI, Electronic Notice of Intent where
1	he's in Tier 1, it's depends on impairment of surface	11	farmers are uploading their information. They have
2	and drinking or just surface areas?	12	been contacting us, contacting our team regularly for
3 1	MR. JOHNSTON: If he has 50 acres of grapes and	13	the past year and a half, and we've had I don't know
4	were organic and there was no impaired surface water,	14	how many contacts, hundreds if not thousands of
5	and that's nitrate loading problem, he'd be in Tier 2	15	contacts, with growers, where they're actually coming
6	unless he was in SEP program; right?	16	into our office to work with Monica, who is on the
	MS. SCHROETER: That's correct, I believe.	17	team, to provide that information and figure out what
7			Tier they're in.
7 8	MR. JOHNSTON: Okay.	18	
7 8 9	MR. JOHNSTON: Okay. MR. SALSBURY: What about the drinking well for	19	They're doing it.
7 8 9 0	MR. JOHNSTON: Okay.	19 20	They're doing it. MR. YOUNG: Okay. Thank you.
7 8 9 0 1	MR. JOHNSTON: Okay. MR. SALSBURY: What about the drinking well for	19 20 21	They're doing it. MR. YOUNG: Okay. Thank you. Michael, we are done with public comment.
7 8 9 0 1 2	MR. JOHNSTON: Okay. MR. SALSBURY: What about the drinking well for the 28 homes above me? MS. SCHROETER: I'm not sure what the question is.	19 20 21 22	They're doing it. MR. YOUNG: Okay. Thank you. Michael, we are done with public comment. It's closed.
7 8 9 0 1 2	MR. JOHNSTON: Okay.MR. SALSBURY: What about the drinking well for the 28 homes above me?MS. SCHROETER: I'm not sure what the question	19 20 21	They're doing it. MR. YOUNG: Okay. Thank you. Michael, we are done with public comment.
7 8 9 0 1	MR. JOHNSTON: Okay. MR. SALSBURY: What about the drinking well for the 28 homes above me? MS. SCHROETER: I'm not sure what the question is.	19 20 21 22	They're doing it. MR. YOUNG: Okay. Thank you. Michael, we are done with public comment. It's closed.

1	Thank you. I am speaking for myself as an	1	STATE OF CALIFORNIA)
2	Board member, but Staff is going to be burning the) ss.
3	midnight oil tonight, to try to look at lots and lots	2	COUNTY OF VENTURA)
4	and lots of pages that Ms. Dunham brought, and we are	3	I FRANCES M CARRIEV Contified Shorthand
5	going to be hearing from you guys tomorrow, and I just	5	I, FRANCES M. GARRITY, Certified Shorthand Reporter No. 8934, in the State of California, duly
6	want to give you a sense of what I'd like to hear.	6	empowered to administer oaths, certify:
7	And, you know, a couple of folks in the environmental	7	That said Hearing was taken before me at the
8	community commented today that they didn't want this	8	time and place therein set forth and was taken down
9	Order to be watered down. They wanted the strongest	9	by me in shorthand and thereafter transcribed under my
10	Order possible.	10	direction and supervision, and I hereby certify that
11	I'm looking at what is the most effective	11	the foregoing Hearing is a full, true, and correct
12	order that we can pass that, um, that will most	12	transcript of my shorthand notes so taken.
13	effectively reduce or increase water quality that	13	I further certify that I am neither counsel for
14	meets, um, the legal standards, which we, as a Board,	14	nor related to any party to said action nor in
15	are required to meet, um, and so I'm, so I what I	15	anywise interested in the outcome thereof.
16	really don't want to hear from Staff, to be completely	16	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto subscribed
17	honest, is no, this doesn't work.	17	my name this day of, 2012.
18	Um, I'm sure I could venture to say I	18	
19	could venture to say I'm positive there will be	19	
20	elements on the proposal that Staff will give us an	20	
21	opinion either on a policy basis or legal basis or		FRANCES M. GARRITY
22	problematic and that's fine, but I want to hear more	21	Certified Shorthand Reporter No. 8934
23	specifically what the individual policy and legal	22	
24	issues are that would need to be resolved for this to	23	
		24	
25	work, as well as frankly, I do want to hear your	25	
	Page 386		Page 388
1			
1	recommendations and your opinions as to what's good and		
2	not so good about this, but I particularly want to hear		
3	more specifically what the legal issues are and policy		
4	issues to be able to resolved this.		
5	Thank you.		
6	MR. YOUNG: Okay. Okay. So does anyone else want		
7	to make a comment to Staff, to make sure?		
8	DR. HUNTER: I think my mind is and very clear		
9	about it, much clearer this hour. I'm looking forward		
10	to the analysis.		
11	MR. YOUNG: Just to be clear, we are going to		
12	reconvene at this site at 10:30. I know that no		
13	earlier than 10:30.		
14	Okay. All right.		
15	(HEARING WAS ADJOURNED AT 9:30 P.M.)		
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
20			
	Page 387		

Page 1

				8
		1		
Α	349:13	292:5	32:24 33:13 53:17	317:10,22 332:5
abandon 377:24	achieve 32:8 39:15	added 108:11 177:17	54:2 64:20 67:1 68:18	333:16 334:23 351:14
abandonment 54:10	55:14 65:1,11,17	251:13,14	69:11 82:11 105:22	352:1,11 353:5 354:2
abatement 14:14 149:18	85:25 87:2 140:12	adding 31:2 54:12	124:10 143:13,17,24	354:6,11 355:6 356:13
153:11	141:21 174:12 194:1	addition 8:10 29:11	235:18 250:3 256:5	362:13 363:6 364:5
Abby 3:21 132:17	224:14 231:1,1 296:5	35:10 47:18 51:17,24	336:5	366:21,22 375:11,13
5	296:12 305:2 310:3	52:19 54:11 57:16	adopting 180:18 232:20	375:18 379:24 380:4,8
Abigail 320:7	338:5 339:17 349:5	78:12 126:16 133:23	adoption 10:16 18:13	agencies 30:22 32:16
abilities 356:20	350:24 360:24	169:17,18 170:8 200:3	23:4 29:14 37:5 70:11	
ability 90:14 111:18				35:22 39:4,4 78:23
136:17 167:8 183:17	achieved 234:19 296:9	366:21 378:13	102:19 110:6 114:9	229:5 231:4 238:17
233:23 235:20 250:20	341:17,19	additional 14:19 23:1	297:2 333:8	258:6 262:23 276:15
298:2	achievements 139:20	30:7 35:20 37:9 75:11	advance 11:19 159:9	318:18
able 12:2 57:8 75:2 76:7	achieves 31:21 114:22	84:11 93:6 136:1	162:12	agency 36:5 70:24
87:1,23 91:3 95:1	achieving 32:5 112:12	143:1 156:9 158:7,11	advances 104:23	168:17 258:16 259:4,6
98:12,12 120:1,7,17	acknowledge 49:9 174:4	160:8 162:17 192:2	advancing 8:12	259:14 316:18
121:2 129:9 132:1,11	195:18	202:22 230:23 232:25	advantage 98:20 385:1	Agenda 9:20 283:21
136:8 140:13 154:23	acknowledged 32:1	237:8 239:15 279:6,9	advice 84:6	aggregate 98:10,18
156:3 157:7 167:12	198:12	279:16 281:22 373:15	advised 311:25	211:19 289:15 359:18
192:10 206:9 219:2	acquired 308:3	Additionally 134:12	advisor 168:7 208:14	aggregated 34:9 98:6
221:19 232:6 239:6	acre 25:21 27:2,2 111:9	139:17,25 304:15	356:8 367:17	279:19 280:6
	213:2 334:14 343:13	additions 171:9	advisors 111:22 345:3	aggregating 289:13
240:11 247:9 269:24	345:17 380:22	address 28:23 36:17,22	advisory 160:25 161:1	aggressive 169:2 179:2
282:4 302:10 311:6	acreage 8:21 56:20,21	39:5 51:9,15 54:8 56:1	168:8,13,16 182:18	179:20
329:16 338:4 357:24	56:25 111:6 126:14	62:5 69:7,16 70:25	355:17 356:22,24	ago 40:9 49:10 81:19
371:22,24 380:5,11	218:13 340:14	91:13 92:21 93:10	advocate 294:23 320:11	86:11 102:22 105:20
387:4	acreages 125:19,23			
absence 243:14	acres 52:18 53:5,14	94:16,23 95:11 101:7	advocates 96:8	105:20 162:14,14,16
absent 208:21 243:18		101:25 102:7 108:8	Aerovista 283:20	215:21 225:22 236:7
absolute 151:21 175:18	56:25 60:7 106:18,20	115:18 142:12 150:8	Affairs 315:3 363:22	261:11 264:14 287:22
absolutely 126:3 133:15	107:1,2 110:25 125:17	151:13 156:16 182:12	affect 122:6 315:17	317:20 361:22 369:15
144:18 155:21 159:9	137:7 218:21 229:7	194:13 208:7 210:7	afford 43:21 80:4 299:8	agree 20:6 21:1 83:9,11
160:17,18 169:14	230:14 310:13 337:19	219:23,25 220:1 230:1	299:8	86:13 97:24 112:22
170:15 182:3 193:15	340:3 368:17 369:5,6	233:10,21,24 234:3,20	affordable 101:3 248:5,8	123:18 134:11 135:12
215:15 251:6 343:10	369:16,23 370:6	235:16,23 236:12	286:6 309:2 331:7	136:20 142:18 184:10
346:14 351:10 361:2	381:12,14 383:13	252:8 260:17 283:21	affording 358:2	202:24 240:3,7 251:10
absolutes 76:23	act 30:24 71:1,22 108:12	285:25 288:22 323:7	Afisha 21:22	252:15 256:17 259:13
absorb 338:6	124:1 273:2	330:6 331:15 333:9	afternoon 62:22 63:12	263:16 272:22 279:11
abuse 205:25 287:4	acting 27:6 72:13 253:24	334:4 344:14,15	102:8 107:5,10 132:16	280:3,24 288:4 289:8
academic 215:9	action 14:10 23:3 25:8	375:17	228:23 241:1 284:3,21	326:17 335:15,22
accept 11:14,24 148:21	25:14 26:2 56:8 63:21	addressed 29:10 69:1	285:1 293:12 304:6	337:9 343:17 356:19
164:24 307:4	64:1,21 66:15 67:3	93:7 99:17 101:11	318:7 323:25	359:21 365:11,24
	68:24 69:3 72:10	114:15 141:10 157:15	ag 10:3 13:20 14:3,6	372:24
acceptability 346:25	211:9 244:12,17 251:4	237:15 272:7 291:24	17:20 24:13 34:1 35:3	agreed 134:3 264:15
acceptable 57:20 305:19	254:3 283:17,24	357:19	35:4 67:17,19 68:1,2	266:6 288:6 347:6
345:13	284:25 285:7 288:1	addresses 96:6 274:5	68:17 74:9 79:21,23	363:12
accepting 116:8	301:7,17 323:10 329:4	addressing 91:13 151:8	83:16 87:5,8 93:11	
access 231:7 234:4	388:14			agreeing 240:5
293:14 301:9 325:14	actionable 348:22	233:8 310:2 313:24	98:4,5,7 105:14,15	agreement 109:25
accessibility 87:13		adequacy 186:9 289:2	107:11,12 108:12	167:19 305:10
accessible 286:6 322:1	actions 24:10 29:21 32:4	adequate 165:2 201:12	110:5,11 111:20	agreements 233:19
331:8	32:5,11 63:22 72:13	202:13 287:24	112:15 117:3 119:15	agrees 174:21 344:20
accommodate 14:13	171:25 183:17,18	adequately 35:15 37:17	120:5,6,21,21,24	Agri 225:24 229:3 239:5
accommodated 347:16	187:23 232:4,10,11,22	114:13 214:15	121:23 122:1 127:3,3	Agribusiness 238:3,4
accomplish 27:21,21	233:14 242:4	adjacent 55:15 61:15	127:13,14 129:8,15	238:25 239:10
150:7 198:24 224:17	actively 134:19	ADJOURNED 387:15	130:3 133:1,21 145:10	agricultural 3:12 8:17
224:18 226:9 234:1	activities 34:20,21 78:22	adjudicated 316:19	145:12,23 146:25	8:21 9:2,21 17:13
303:8,14	130:10 152:5 259:23	adjudicative 269:3	147:11,21,25 153:19	26:21 28:4,11,17
accomplishment 8:4	activity 130:12 209:20	adjust 65:15 192:10,19	153:23,25 154:18	29:10 30:1,4,11,13
9:16	actors 114:16	adjusted 32:6	162:8 168:16 175:25	31:7 32:23 33:1 36:22
account 360:18	acts 25:10	adjustments 153:22,23	178:17,23 179:1,23	37:5,18 38:5,13,20
accountability 34:14	actual 30:15 34:22 80:18	administer 167:1 202:17	185:5 188:5 191:12,13	39:16 40:8 43:6,23
157:4 167:18 168:19	130:7 135:21 142:10	388:6	191:16,16,24 192:20	44:6,11,20 45:3 46:20
277:24 278:14 279:24	142:12 155:15 172:9	administrating 201:16	192:21 196:9 197:14	52:4 66:9 69:8 93:16
305:14	210:20 212:5 347:3	administrative 18:10,15	201:14,16 203:10,18	98:3 102:17,20 103:10
accountable 33:11 35:5	354:2 382:9	63:18,21 130:9 131:7	203:20,23 204:10,23	104:5,16,21 107:11,18
84:1 224:16 359:20	acute 292:2	200:17	208:2,11 216:10	108:9 109:16 110:23
	ADA 176:5	admit 85:19 226:14	217:18 222:20 223:22	111:4,13,17 112:17,19
accounting 347:14	adapt 61:25	admittance 134:17	227:1 231:9 234:15,17	113:9 114:20,24 133:4
361:13,14	adaptability 329:12	admitted 307:25	242:15 244:18 245:4	133:11,12 134:9
accounts 38:4	adapting 33:11	adopt 11:13 143:8	252:23 254:19 255:3	212:17 215:6,25 217:3
accurate 16:21 126:4	adaptive 193:17 233:16	147:21 154:18 177:12		
157:9 198:23 340:5	254:10		259:8,16 271:8 273:17	229:18 232:9 238:4
accused 196:3		178:23 231:10 239:11	275:14 284:1 289:9	239:12,25 241:17
achievable 39:10 96:19	add 12:12 13:24 30:24	249:24 254:8 297:17	295:11 296:13 303:14	246:12 262:3 271:7
109:4 112:23 303:13	131:1 166:17 183:24	312:4 323:18 364:6	303:17 304:17 305:7	272:17 296:14 301:13
303:23,25 335:23	200:5,15,16 204:19	378:12	305:12 308:23 310:9	315:13 324:8 325:23
	247:24 259:21 268:19	adopted 31:9 32:12,18	311:1,3 312:25 316:2	333:8 348:14 360:16
	1	1		1

Atkinson-Baker, Inc. Court Reporters

(800) 288-3376

373.22 Andv 4:11 300:8 302:14 113.15 134.17 142.6 268.20 269.3 294.3 57:11 152:3,6,8,11 agriculture 8:11 10:4 150:24 157:6 208:5 and/or 8:14 43:21 297:10 341:10,11,22 154:22 272:20 18:19 29:13 32:16 276:21 306:19 314:20 Angela 3:12,18 27:11 343:8,13,16 345:12 Aquifer 317:18 35:11 42:14,17 46:25 allowing 17:9 18:18 50:6,22,25 346:2.8 349:19 361:18 aquifers 38:10 48:22 51:2,11 54:12 92:21 240:14 244:25 animal 26:8 384.20 Araujo 4:19 323:24 74.11.12.21 79:9.18 animals 44:22 110:2 335.3 applying 85:21,22 253:9 328:23,24,24,25 329:1 80:4,8 86:19 88:7,9,21 allows 33:22 57:25 85:3 271:15,18 279:3 345:21 363:4,12 330.14 95:23,25 96:2,22 96:7,17 177:25 185:1 announce 12:1 22:12,14 appointed 12:7,20 92:13 arbitrary 135:22 264:16 198:23 275:3.10 100:14 103:4.11.18 annual 34:6 35:1 53:23 appointments 12:25 area 47:21,25,25 48:4,7 104:20 106:24 107:16 276:19 304:13 332:7 54:12,14,25 55:1 apportionment 30:8 48:24 51:21 52:15 107:22 112:1 114:7 alluding 265:22 141:21 172:11 316:21 appreciate 7:16 15:4 53:1 58:7,19 59:8 115:6 122:3 133:19 almonds 79:25 324:20 347:9 361:1 . 17:6 22:8 89:16,17,18 70:16 87:8 91:7 94:1 134:6,20 175:21 alter 255:21 92:12,22 95:20 96:21 363.3 122.17 123.3 155.13 193:25 204:17 224:21 alteration 152:10 annually 65:8 338:22 108:19 109:2 112:20 182:17 187:7 188:18 225:13 227:6 234:12 alternate 162:20,23 362:1 194:23 294:20,21 204:15 231:21 252:14 238:7 239:16,16,18 anomalies 352:24 alternative 35:10,18 300:12 304:8 315:18 252:14 256:25 257:11 240:9 243:16 249:11 57:13,14 98:5 103:12 anonymous 207:21 323:15 324:24 370:20 258:20 264:8 329:23 251.10 257.15 262.5 105.14 113.11 129.7 answer 16:3 19:2 64:23 appreciating 222:18 337:24 349:14 351:7 267:15 271:10 286:10 129:10 130:21 133:11 85:5 98:24 99:14 appreciation 271:20 359:18 369:23 370:4,6 293:15 296:23 302:17 133:12 145:13 147:21 110:24 117:13 178:19 approach 73:18 96:4,6 370:9 96:12,17,21 99:23 319:22.25.25 321:3 147:25 153:19.23 190:6 201:12 225:6 areas 24:11,18 25:3,6 333.6 336.19 352.19 228:3 258:17 285:10 154:1,7,13,18 160:17 100:9 112:15 180:16 26:23,24 31:7 38:3,23 352:20,20,22 356:18 164:14 177:18 178:5 289:7 318:21 354:9 180:17 188:1 191:23 39:17 40:3 42:13 43:6 363:21 366:3 375:23 178:18,24 179:1,23 359:5 375:4,5 376:5 203:12 209:3 217:23 43.8 24 44.11 11 21 agriculture's 133:21 180:19 190:9 191:13 answered 101:21 339:6 224.19 233.8 235.22 46:8,17,20,21 47:3 323.4 191:17,24 192:20,22 375.15 264:10 280:24 293:4 49:22 51:18 55:5 59:4 agriculturist 199:8 196:11,12 208:12 answers 225:6 228:1 293:17 294:2,4,4 59:5,17 62:7 91:6 200:18 202:20 223:23 227:14 239:12 257:13 376:4 304:19.22 305:8 119.8 121.25 122.4 9 239:13,14 240:1.9 agriculturists 205:3,11 anthem 214.23 308:25 316:5 318:13 130:18 138:4,11 186:7 318:18 246:20 254:19 263:17 Anthony 107:7 115:8 335:4 339:15 345:4 186:8 190:3,7 212:14 agronomic 215:5 242:18 273:17 296:13 310:10 anticipate 218:13 357:10,24 358:17 214:13 215:5 231:7,20 Ags 364:11 311:3 312:25 316:2 antiquated 377:24 approached 188:19 236:11,12 237:16 Ag's 84:13 328:6 334:23 335:3.6 anybody 174:23 185:22 approaches 33:3,6,7 249:14 262:4 263:3,4 190:20,24 193:23 ahead 10:13 16:25 22:24 352:1.11 353:5 354:3 166:21 196:12 233:15 263:7 291:22 302:24 97:10 99:13 132:3,15 354:6 355:6 366:22 353:2 378:4 approaching 44:16 303:23 308:9 311:17 150:20 151:5 157:5,19 371:18 anymore 216:8 . 135:10 317:10 336:19.20 anyway 27:20 173:9 158:6,6,13 187:21 alternatives 57:17 58:9 appropriate 10:10 36:13 346:5 364:8 370:5 191:2 228:22 240:24 150:19 202:3 347:22 anywise 388:15 61:20 124:9,9,12,15 383:12 261:16 268:22 275:24 altogether 29:2 apart 366:3,4 124:16 138:25 144:24 arguably 133:25 argue 84:5 104:13 276:6,21 285:23 amazing 246:6 apologies 177:14 145:12 163:9.13 apologize 154:16 159:8 298:20 300:24 311:12 ambient 30:20 225:25 164:10 168:18 169:13 201:21 320:9 337:17 360:20 230.19 206:2 356:9 373:4 173:25 176:6 188:12 argues 333:7 air 90:17 320:17 331:12 amended 185:7 apparent 53:1 231:8 237:2 274:2,3 arguing 71:11 Aldo 286:25 amending 85:16 apparently 105:13 277:4,18 312:18,21 argument 225:18 319:16 Aleiandro 21:22 amendments 69:14.18 262.23 332:2 341:24 351:14 359:14 378:1 Alejo 92:24 93:20 appealed 195:25 America 74:13 355:23 356:3,8 359:17 arguments 225:13,14 239:23 American 286:25 292:18 appear 83:17 84:12 appropriately 355:19,21 arithmetic 259:17 Alejo's 97:23 366:6 Americans 79:14 . 130.7 357:18 arrange 127:25 algae 206:23 appeared 149:17 161:15 ammonia 25.1 Appropriations 74:9 arranged 109:5 Ali 4:7 163:7 277:9 amount 12:2 56:24 appears 19:13 36:19 approval 167:3 169:16 arrangement 130:10 285:20 287:11,13,15 64:17 68:5 74:20 103:13 177:15 236:9 . 177:23 196:9 370:12 287:17 289:7 290:8 120:4 128:17 165:2 265:6 approve 83:9 161:3 arrangements 253:14 Allan 195:3 201:17 204:9 213:19 Appendix 42:1 45:8 168:22 173:1 353:8,17 arresting 89:9 Allen 159:4 196:21,22 219:13 222:15 231:25 191:9 374:7,9 arrive 11:12 alli 22:16 308:13 312:19,23 applause 9:17 approved 31:19 36:10 arrow 125:6 Alliance 315:4 325:10 345:9,21 352:18 apple 272:19 273:9,11 36.12 93.19 120.6 arrows 263.21 22 allied 294:23 365:19 368:8 373:11 apples 131:6 189:22,22 127:4 169:6 178:3 arsenic 328:4 allies 331.21 ample 323:2 189:25,25 259:20,20 180:16 275:4 304:13 art 350:20 allocate 12:2 14:18 Amy 4:11 300:8,10 Applicability 179:10 aside 260:25 261:2,3 304:17 305:3 326:19 analogous 204:19 115:21 233:17 applicable 139:1 149:11 353:11 asked 16:1 22:5 103:22 analogy 199:23 202:8 allocated 30:6 67:14 225.9 approving 161:4 179:5 108:21 155:23 188:19 68:6 72:21 202:17 221:3 365:8,10 application 39:9,14 354:2 197:7 201:11 228:19 allocating 204:19 analyses 129:6 130:16 167:2 168:23 approximate 283:25 244:22 281:22 347:23 176:17 179:5 253:4,5 approximately 37:25 allow 11:16,17 14:24 analysis 129:14 214:18 369.3 15:2.7 61:23 109:1 216:9.20 217:6,8 asking 40:20 41:21 368.20 24 369.12 21 59:24 60:16 111:9,22 138:24 139:19 148:25 258:3 273:17 289:8 370:3.24 120:22 128:19 213:3 73:15 99:7 251:4 158:19 174:19 175:8 294:3 306:25 314:6 applications 253:2 230:14 236:7 314:23 267:5 297:21 312:21 177:17,25 183:3 336:16 367:21 387:10 368:5,24 April 81:13 110:12 318:19 333:23 334:11 205:16.17 253:5 analyst 310:19 376:12 applied 58:10 147:10 291.20 348:24 353:24 354:3 265:15 304:11 319:10 376:15 188:7,22 253:12 343:3 APs 214:22 372:15 380:7 338:2 analytical 143:4 344:25 345:7 360:8 apt 258:1 aspect 20:17 allowable 64:9 162:4 analyze 308:1 applies 33:1 383:9 Aquafur 317:14 aspects 20:19 294:18 analyzed 110:6 allowance 162:17 apply 32:1 96:10 140:6 Aquarium 49:15 ASPS 275:16 allowed 11:18 88:3 ands 382:14 383:5 147:6,13,16 154:1,3 aquatic 48:15,16 50:3 Assembly 74:18

Atkinson-Baker, Inc. Court Reporters

(800) 288-3376

Page 2

Page 3

		•	•	
Assemblyman 92:24	audit 34:10 160:16	160:23,24 161:6 162:2	basic 69:13,14,17	benefit 47:21 69:22
93:20 366:6	168:11 169:12,14	165:13 169:7	149:12 182:1 286:6,17	232:5 342:2
assess 235:21	171:10,24 174:10	baby's 331:24	325:3	benefits 66:18 193:6
assessing 127:12	221:9,9,16,21 222:2,6	back 11:24 12:19 21:3	basically 27:16 121:22	231:24 232:12,20,22
assessment 78:20	222:9 305:9	21:19,22,23 73:9,13	148:21 151:19 153:8	233:1 238:5
195:13 208:15 215:7	auditable 168:9 211:5	75:17 77:5 81:14	166:2 167:2 168:2	Benito 74:7 93:1 132:24
assign 274:4,7 277:4	audited 34:8 156:6	83:14 85:16 94:5,6,14	169:6 174:8 175:16,25	Berian 374:25
279:24	160:20 169:12 171:15	115:19,23 125:17	176:17 177:16,24	berries 58:22 59:11
assist 113:1 255:1	171:16 211:10	144:19 159:16 163:11	183:21 187:2 202:16	293:24
342:24	auditing 171:12,12	172:24 181:6 187:8	212:7 222:9 249:1,4	best 15:21 67:6,10 85:7
assistance 8:18,19	222:12 354:22	196:20 207:17 213:20	251:4,9 259:15 271:23	101:2 103:24 115:17
21:19,25 33:5 34:11	auditor 171:22	217:8 222:13 223:21	273:7,18,21 275:13	126:21 134:24 192:10
101:18 127:11	audits 34:9 127:10	223:21 226:8 228:12	277:17 279:9 292:11	196:8 204:1 227:3
Assistant 3:11 7:5 68:12	130:12 160:22 179:13	243:9 244:10 256:20	309:16 316:4 347:10	233:22 245:1 250:13
107:23 258:15	179:14 224:8 225:5,5	261:9,10 262:6 272:10	349:11 354:18 368:25	254:15 260:23 265:12
Assistants 298:23	225:7 304:19 316:3	272:14 273:8 274:17	basin 25:11 42:12 74:15	289:12 294:23 309:3
associated 42:24 44:3	337:2	274:21 276:2 278:2	137:23,23 138:5	362:18 365:9
108:20 109:8 119:9 151:23 161:18 202:25	August 20:4 40:13,15	280:21 284:1,22	143:17,23,24 208:19	better 70:19 83:12 87:21
210:9 212:8 229:15	41:6,24 42:12 297:1,5	291:25 292:1,19 302:22 311:7 312:16	213:12 316:19 317:13 317:18	92:10 113:21 127:23
232:25 244:17	auspice 144:22 author 306:20	323:19 331:4 338:15	basins 38:9 366:9,13	160:21 162:22 170:20 176:6 181:23 198:11
association 110:19	authorities 31:13 36:14	338:15 339:10 349:8	basis 98:20 190:2 225:8	212:10 213:10 216:23
126:12 132:18,22	36:17	354:17 355:3 358:25	277:7 292:17 294:14	216:25 234:12 241:12
197:7,8 238:5 307:6	authority 65:20 70:25	361:20 369:18 374:20	324:20 327:11 356:1	266:24 285:9 287:16
309:11 315:22 380:3	153:9 185:23	376:25 377:21 379:6	386:21,21	306:6 314:2 316:3
380:21	authorize 333:16	backflow 54:9	battle 299:5	326:20 344:16 371:23
associations 99:19	authorized 148:21	background 29:12 40:3	Bay 36:4 49:15 74:16	372:1,7 377:25
366:9	authorizes 184:2 255:19	125:11 195:16 207:8	191:5 206:16 229:5	beyond 156:14 255:18
assume 155:6 273:15	authors 191:5	261:5	230:4 242:12 250:20	312:22,24 366:1
assumed 292:6	automatically 68:1	bad 212:4,5 246:24	304:7 305:10 317:6,13	bid 224:25
assumes 79:13	152:25	249:9 261:18,18,21	317:18 348:15 375:7	big 74:14 79:15,20 80:2
assuming 179:11	availability 22:13 178:6	262:13 301:16 302:10	375:22	91:6 99:18 137:9
assumption 214:7,10	201:11	365:4	beach 47:21	170:21 177:13 192:17
335:25	available 7:10,12 11:24	bag 82:25	beans 88:14	201:5 202:6 223:20
assumptions 209:1	19:22 21:20,24 22:21	balance 55:14 80:5 90:9	bear 302:2 367:23	252:10 263:4 271:19
214:9	30:10 39:8,11 40:17	179:16 200:24 341:13	bearing 326:11	319:4 334:2 348:7
assurance 232:4 305:20 assurances 112:9	40:22 41:2,3,5,7,8	343:2 344:24 345:6 347:15 358:17 360:6	began 134:12 242:6,6	362:12 380:16
assurances 112.9 assure 90:13 167:17	45:10,12 58:2 66:23 67:12,16 72:20 96:15	360:25 362:11	beginning 68:9 112:9 179:13 241:23 244:2	bigger 8:6 94:21,25
201:18 202:18 204:22	112:25 174:20 201:19	balanced 56:16	302:22 338:1 379:10	biggest 93:22 94:10 223:21 275:18 289:10
assuring 204:8	226:23 259:14 289:11	balancing 181:5,8,9,11	begins 18:13	bill 3:20 4:14 105:8
astounds 327:23	334:8 336:25 337:1,2	ball 193:23 288:3	behalf 2:18 91:23 133:5	107:6 226:21 309:7
ATKINSON-BAKER	337:2,4 352:19 385:4	ballistic 25:23	238:8 241:17 296:22	311:11,13 378:21
1:21	avalanche 215:3	balls 270:3	300:21 309:10 356:13	billion 82:23 238:21
atras 22:16	avenue 65:22	bar 84:7 220:5,6 251:2	377:3	315:10
atrocious 261:20	avenues 234:16	Barbara 132:22 240:15	behoove 204:18	billions 204:16
Attachment 64:24	average 212:2 336:4	300:22 301:2 302:17	belabor 209:7	bioassessment 206:22
138:12 139:18,18,21	340:2 349:11,12,14,15	309:11 363:23	belief 272:14 277:24	biological 49:24
139:22,22 142:25	averaging 49:6 369:6	Barbeau 3:22 14:5	believe 7:16,21 17:12	biologist 155:14
143:1,9,10,11 145:11	avert 270:16	190:23 191:2,3,3,5,11	18:17 41:9,10 65:19	biostimulation 143:16
146:14,25 147:1 160:2	Avocado 56:11	Barbeau's 264:22	73:14 84:17 96:4	biostimulatory 351:2
160:5,23,24 161:6	avocados 44:13	Barricarte 9:6 342:22	105:21 114:4 118:23	bit 15:18 23:14,22 26:19
165:13 169:7	avoid 12:4 141:2 196:7	barrier 94:24,24 254:25	125:14,23 134:23	29:12 63:9,14 93:23
attack 269:25	199:23 265:13 287:8	barriers 292:14 bars 322:16	139:23 144:12 155:20	95:17 116:11 118:7
attain 181:1 attainable 220:9	award 242:11 awarded 8:4	Barsney 238:12,13	156:23 157:11 159:14 162:19 163:18 167:13	133:14 142:13 148:23
attaining 103:23	Awards 8:4	base 39:25 40:8 42:17	172:17 177:6 178:18	157:23 161:2 200:10 203:20 206:11 207:17
attempted 130:14	aware 43:21 90:2 91:17	44:12 120:16,16	182:16 187:10 190:18	209:9 214:5 224:13
attempting 198:5	92:5 321:12 367:20	126:20 185:8 208:21	193:14 198:13 201:16	229:12 241:6 255:10
attend 324:20	awareness 109:15	304:21 310:23,24	210:3,8 227:21 263:2	259:6 263:7 266:17
attended 318:23 366:11	315:16	316:23	263:3 272:6 278:4	268:23 287:14 333:1
attending 107:25 286:24	awesome 92:14 377:20	based 36:11,20 51:14	286:4 287:3 288:24	350:19
attention 96:24 206:6	377:20	52:6,9 57:1 59:13	289:19 290:20 304:10	black 54:1,16
207:15 357:14 358:3	awful 90:22	60:18 61:21 62:5	323:13 325:2 349:1	Blakelee 102:5,10
attitude 319:7	awkward 226:2	65:15 101:18 106:2,17	356:14 366:14 373:18	Blakely 239:23
attorney 254:18 287:17	A-r-a-u-j-o 329:1	111:11 117:20 126:5	375:25 383:17	Blakeslee 102:12 105:3
298:22 324:2,9	a.m 2:20	126:10 135:17 144:15	believes 79:22	blamed 375:24
attribute 370:10	A6028BD 1:25	148:3 176:7 195:9	belong 99:17	blaming 317:15
attributed 98:8		208:15 209:1 215:8	belonging 100:14	blesses 332:17
at-risk 43:19 276:8	B	239:13 265:2 295:19 310:5 316:10 324:3	Ben 364:25 366:25	blind 291:1
audible 169:10 audience 154:17 190:21	b 118:6 138:16 145:11	310:5 316:10 324:3 345:12 347:7,18	beneficial 44:1,5 45:2,2 61:3 96:4 139:2	block 370:18
291:11	145:21,22 146:13,14 146:14,22,25 147:1	355:21	200:14 261:25 262:4,8	blocks 370:14,15 blooming 261:24 271:16
audio 12:18 13:5 108:2	156:23,25 160:2,5,6	baselines 280:11	262:18 322:17,21,23	blow 362:6
	100.20,20 100.2,0,0			

(800) 288-3376

blue 28:20 54:9,18 58:17 290:10 291:4 292:11 7:23.25 9:22.23 10:8 332:24 374:3 cancer 321:11 293:12 299:19 300:13 60.2 61.11 Cannella 107:7 115:8 16:9.10.14.19 21:14 Bureaus 366:18 blurring 122:14 300.21 301.5 302.25 21:15,18 22:17 45:21 buried 358:19 125.24 239.23 blush 159:20 303:20 304:12,17,24 Cannella's 105:8 125:15 110:13 201:24 284:16 burner 369:19 cap 335:23 341:8 342:12 BMP 335:23 336:5 305:18,20 306:10,23 285.6 11 311.21 burning 386:2 BMPs 92.7 137.25 307:16 312:3.6 315:21 348:3.16.16 340:24 341:6,13,16 business 77:24 87:6 249:22 295:19 317:24 318:15 320:21 342:4,15 385:6 88:2 120:13 193:20 capability 77:10 312:24 board 1:9 2:10,19 3:3 321:14 322:7,19 capable 168:8 209:6 brilliant 181:3 202:9 223:24 232:8,9 bring 13:1 69:13.18 6:6 7:8,9 8:2,3,6,6,13 324:13.14 325:8.12 . 325:3 232:11 234:11 238:14 capacity 29:25 212:13 8.14 9.4 15 10.22 23 329.8 330.18 333.3 10 78:16 82:25 101:8 302:17 325:18,20,21 11:2,4,11,12,13,17 336:3 341:6 345:18 120:24 183:17 203:20 325:24 363:22 365:22 217:16 222:25 223:1 12:5,6,7,7,17 13:3,15 349:17 353:16 360:3 265:24 266:2 286:5 businesses 239:4,5 247:21 293:5 324:21 14:1 15:12,14,18 333:4 343:20 357:23 caption 199:11 361.9 365.5 374.7 315.7 16:20 17:18,23 19:4 377:3,18 378:10 380:2 Buta 374:24 capture 172:2 303:24 377.13 19:20 20:14 21:7 23:3 386:2,14 bringing 68:22 120:18 Butar 376:10,11,11 car 368.13 23:6 24:9,17,24 25:8 120:25 206:23 218:22 card 11:23 115:18,22 Boards 31:11 32:9 62:4 Buton 4:24 364:25 375:2 25:13,22,23 26:2,4 194:14,16 228:13 90:19 266.1 300.17 377.12 375:3.10.13.16.20 Board's 8:7,8 24:5 25:5 258:12 283:5 28:9.14.19.21 29:14 brings 100:9 201:10 376:7 29:16 30:4,9,9 31:9,20 69:20 70:12 76:8 332:6 buying 119:2 cards 7:10,12 11:25 32:3,8 37:6,24 46:10 112:10 143:4,25 BRITAR 5:3 102:5 115:20 116:8 buys 319:16 50:25 51:4 53:17.18 169:20 177:21 180:25 broad 136:13 187:25 281:25 298:17 buzzer 15.6 54:3 61:19,23 62:1,23 care 79:11,13,13 244:15 293.5 broke 159:9 buzzes 15:2 63:13,16 64:10,11,12 Bob 3:23 4:16 197:4 broken 175:21 B-r-o-w-n 363:20 278:8 283:17,23 274:10 317:3 318:5,8 career 363:24 64:15,15,16,25 65:15 Bronco 21:22 B-u-t-a-r 376:12 65:20 66:15 67:13 Bobby 219:12 Brothers 316:15 careful 259:21 317:11 B1 167.7 brought 70:1 83:3 90:7 68:12.14.18.22 69:14 carefully 287:3 **BODAGI** 4:15 C 69:18 70:8,24 71:2,17 bodies 300:5 308:25 162:5 164:5 183:18 Carl 330:17 71:17 72:16,17,21 322:14 384:12 234:3 245:9,11 333:2 carlo 201:4 c 3:1 4:1 5:1 138:16 73:19 74:3 75:19,25 Bodrogi 311:11 315:1,2 Carmel 263:5 334.3 386.4 147:12 311:15 81:4.12.14 83:20.20 315:3 brown 4:22 46:21 carpetbagger 257:2 Caddis 47:23 84:7 86:15 89:5,20 body 53:10 98:16 363:19,20,20 Carr 299:22 Cal 191.4 230.4 238.14 90:17,18,20 91:24 200:17 321:10 Bruce 3:7 6:16 10:25 carrot 77:14 80:21,24 calculated 230:13 96:17 97:1 102:9.15 boiling 358:20 208:4 335:20 11.2 76.1 calculation 122:6 355:7 103:20 104:8.19 107:5 carrots 77:16 86:14 boils 27.23 brunt 302.2 355:11 107:25 108:8,11,12,18 **bold** 68:9 Bruton 374:24 carry 167:9 308:4 calculations 111:3 108:25 110:13 111:1 bomb 380:13 cars 331:11 Bucher 300:8 367:20 368:1 112:18 113:4,11,13,17 book 121:25 284:20 bucks 213:2 223:12 case 22:4 25:18 38:21 calculator 218:22 48:25 65:21 67:2 113:24 114:8.19 books 238:18.23 buddy 310:25 Caldwell 4:11 300:8 115:18 116:7,14,16 boom 92:9 budget 202:6 219:1 302:14,15,15 304:3 77:14 128:10 133:11 120:6,13 128:1,3,9,15 247:21 380:10 143:3 204:17 257:14 Borchard 310:25 California 1:11 2:12,20 128:17,20 132:17 Boronda 351:6 362:16 365:24 budgeting 370:18 6:1 9:1 36:1,2,12 37:2 136:17 140:1,2 143:8 bottle 205:13 328:7 budgets 358:25 46:11 69:22 71:9 72:7 cases 13:14,16 25:25 143:19 146:8 147:20 bottled 43:10 299:8 buffer 55:17 58:12 60:10 26:3,11,20,25 39:12 79:20,23 80:4 87:9,21 bottles 117:19 147:25 148:5,12,12 60:17 138:14,15,18,23 43:8 47:6 48:10 64:9 90:16 91:11 110:20 149:21 150:22 152:20 bottom 24:6 42:20 48:13 139:2 179:19 206:18 66:3.9.11.12.16 72:11 116:1 121:10,11 90:1 120:22,22 223:9 152.25 154.18 155.1 82:12 129:20 212:5 206.19 367.4 132:19,21 133:1 135:4 156:8,17 157:4 161:6 292:23 343:4 345:8,25 buffers 109:21.22 257:14 197.11 206.11 16 161:10,12 162:1,7 Casterville 311:17 381:18 295:20 210:1 212:20 229:6 163:12 164:8,9,10,17 boulevard 364.14 bugs 47:22 catch 366:13 238:10,15 239:2,4,8 catchall 175:1 164:24 165:25 166:18 bound 255:24 256:1 build 52:4 53:16 62:10 275:21 276:5 290:19 166:21 171:2 172:4,9 box 103:20 354:18,20,25 213:20 categories 175:22 298.22 303.12 315.22 172:12,15,19 173:23 boxes 29:1 215:22,23 building 29:25 92:2 291:21 366:16 327:20,22 328:20 category 106:20.21 174:19.20 175:7.12 builds 340:16 **Boy** 13:16 330:23 331:1,10,13 boys 299:23 300:4 177:12 178:23 180:6 build-up 75:18 111:1 357:20 358:14 334:8 335:12 366:17 180:15 181:11 182:2,5 Brad 3:22 4:20 191:3 built 88:25 174:18 cattle 44:23 243:12,18 379.5 388.1 5 210:13 330:16 332:20 183:4,11,13,16,23 256:19 271:8 378:24 California's 36:14 184:3,4,6,14,16,18 332.21 bulb 211:3,8 caught 78.13 call 6:7,9 7:14 12:11 185:1,2,20,21,22,25 cause 29.22 174.24 brag 74:25 bulk 119:1 63:24 86:7,9 94:2 97:3 186:6,9 189:11 195:18 Branch 37:2 bulldozing 262:24 176:2 193:17 294:14 124:5 145:21 185:11 196:1 197:16 198:3 brand 307:19 bullet 137:19 138:16 370:10 270:3 281:16 334:23 202:8,10,12 204:19 break 11:25 22:3 7 63:7 caused 291:1 313:25 141.25 355:8 363:13 209:12 211:21 219:9 63:9 73:2,5,12 115:16 bullets 221:1 382:13,15 378.3 called 90:22 145:10 220:23 222:11 224:2 115:24 194:6 199:12 causes 332:2 383:4 146:21,24 239:21 225:16 227:14 229:7 260:8 282:22 bump 291:1 346:7 causing 36:23 66:19 276:14,15 280:19 234:15 236:6 238:1.9 breaking 252:18 bunch 84:11 217:20 95:6 208:20 326:25 327:4 caution 21:7 280:15 238:18 239:11,13,17 breath 246:9 246:7 291:1 379:16 calling 27:17 182:17 239:21 240:2 241:2 bridge 143:3 bunny 237:5 185:10 264:16 cautions 280:14 242:6,16 244:24 brief 23:10 73:6,16 burden 324:24 326:12 cautious 200:16 calls 36:13 297:15 246:10 249:24 253:5 184:22 191:7 194:10 burdening 332:11 caveat 203:15 279:7 CalTrans 24:12 253.11 256.2 258.14 260:9 290:21 325:13 burdens 239:8,15 CCA 333:21 334:6.12 Cal-M 117:21 259:4 261:4 262:10 326:24 CCAM 385:3 325.15 camp 90:22 256:19 269:2,5 272:10 277:22 briefly 46:5 68:5 136:25 Bureau 14:14,18 110:18 CCAs 111:22,24 112:4,5 Campbell 4:16 317:3 279:21 282:11 283:19 144.4 288.22 314.19 112:25 367:19 133:1 135:4 242:11 318:5,7,8 320:6 Briggs 3:11 6:8,9 7:2,3 285:25 286:23 290:6,9 290:19 295:7 310:20 camps 43:22 cell 7:17

Atkinson-Baker, Inc. Court Reporters

(800) 288-3376

Page 4

				I age 5
	I	1	1	1
center 55:20 287:18	284:13 302:15 307:18	42:23 256:24 292:18	301:12 318:12 327:18	320:25 321:5 323:4
327:8,13 331:21	315:2 330:18	chemicals 56:14 217:3	335:12 339:14 384:14	324:5,8,17 325:10
-	Chairman's 17:8			
central 1:9 2:10,18 3:3		Chesapeake 74:16	cleaned 85:23 91:14	336:21 380:2
6:5 8:21 10:22 14:8	challenge 293:21 304:10	Chief 37:1	cleaner 252:3 331:12	coastal 36:3 90:17 195:6
23:22 30:3,5,19,25	339:11 348:9	child 328:11	351:5	195:8,10 229:6,8
31:5 33:23 37:8 38:1	challenges 95:24	children 90:5	cleaning 25:17	322:12
45:22 46:11,19 52:22	challenging 118:21	chilling 190:13	Cleanup 153:11	code 34:4,24 62:16 71:8
58:14 64:25 74:20	196:16 290:10 348:20	China 80:2	clear 12:20,23 32:10	180:1,2 182:1 199:24
75:1,3 87:18 104:24	chance 162:11 252:8	chips 374:19 376:24	33:8 84:9 129:17	210:2 266:9 273:22,25
107:24 110:19 111:23	254:18,19 372:1	chlorophyll-a 52:14,25	147:10 161:19 217:7	-
				277:5 281:9,15,17
111:24 112:6 121:11	chances 196:8	53:9 340:20 383:7	225:11 235:7 255:20	288:23
132:19 134:4,6 168:2	change 27:6 45:18 92:4	choice 221:22 248:15	261:6 304:24 305:18	coded 189:21
168:3 172:14 180:6	105:22,22 112:24	296:15	313:9 344:10 382:1	cold 373:4
183:15 197:9,14	125:22 126:8,23	choking 262:17	387:8,11	collaborate 292:13
204:20 209:15 228:24	128:15,20 136:16,23	choose 14:19 65:21	clearer 161:22 387:9	collaboration 76:13
229:4 230:3,19 235:5	147:22 148:2 149:20	138:25 147:21 323:6	clearly 129:10 141:20	83:12 85:19 114:19
244:18 248:23 249:14	150:1,1 152:14 154:13	328:13	167:6 170:17 180:2,25	
				172:24 203:14 204:2
249:25 250:14 251:12	166:4 184:20 222:6	chooses 175:7	Cleary 4:5 14:9 228:11	260:3 315:17 334:25
251:20 254:24 256:1	234:8 242:19,21 249:6	chose 87:19 249:24	244:12,13,16 263:16	collaborations 374:16
272:9 286:15,15	255:16 263:13 326:23	372:12	click 267:5,6	376:22
296:20 300:19 301:4,6	329:10,10,13,16 330:7	chosen 147:25	clicker 22:24	collaborative 96:7
315:19 318:9 320:14	338:22 364:14 378:1	Chris 310:25	clients 19:9 148:10	123:17,19 132:20
320:25 321:4 323:3	changed 104:1 105:24	chunk 245:25	290:9 298:25	208:13 219:10 233:6
324:5,8,17 325:10	125:20 126:7 151:17	Church 301:2	climate 87:22 346:18	305:12 330:22 331:15
327:9 331:20 336:21	157:1 174:7 180:8			305.12 330.22 331.15 332:7
		Cindy 37:1	clinics 321:7	
380:2	340:11	CIP 242:17	clock 131:22 159:22	collaboratively 112:17
century 372:3	changer 193:16	circle 211:15 264:6	259:1	224:18
CEO 202:10	changes 90:9 95:20	369:23	clogging 262:17	colleague 205:2 380:3
CEQA 20:11 144:6	105:16 106:5 128:22	circled 48:11	close 11:10 17:15 18:2,8	colleagues 15:17 190:19
certain 19:13 44:7,13	133:9 144:17,17,24	circulated 18:4 20:23	19:23 40:12,23 41:24	collect 217:20 250:7
55:14 106:19 137:14	145:3 150:21 151:12	citation 307:5	95:14 125:1 131:19	collected 46:24 47:15
142:21 194:12 200:7	154:24 155:20 156:16	cited 78:8 139:23	200:20 258:24 299:22	48:8 49:19 119:14
237:6 251:7 285:5				
	157:12 158:2 159:19	cites 142:9	318:5 349:14	230:19 258:18 376:14
290:7 319:18 341:5,9	162:9,12 163:8,18	cities 116:22 364:2	closed 20:2,2 303:18,22	collecting 30:21 213:1
345:10,16 346:5	164:4,11,18,20 165:14	citing 186:17 320:23	385:22	217:19 289:17
362:16 383:1 384:10	170:22 174:3 175:6	citizen 71:8 307:17	closely 114:7 317:25	collection 78:22 112:2
384:11	176:19 177:10,17	317:6	closer 58:13 59:5 60:5	192:9 317:12,16,17
certainly 76:21 127:24	178:4,6,11,13 182:12	citizens 30:22 375:25	377:12	collectively 106:25
158:25 224:3 302:24	185:18 192:11 193:16	citrus 44:13	closing 14:13,15 131:20	color 189:21 325:16
certainty 298:7	199:15 252:15 271:19	city 13:10 117:19,22,22	308:22	
certification 10:19 33:24		-		326:13
	292:25 338:23 354:25	275:12 317:14 325:19	Club 106:15	colors 212:4
52:21 61:12,13 67:18	357:2	363:24 375:8,16,21	coalition 31:18,19 33:19	column 349:10,12
304:14 305:2,9,13,17	changing 210:18,19	claim 103:21 231:14	96:2,16 133:1,24	combination 230:11,15
305:24 315:19 316:5	channel 118:19 119:4,9	Clara 93:1 132:24	166:19 180:16,17	357:15,22
334:7,9,11,12	119:17,22 230:7	clarification 10:1 40:21	183:4,12,12,22 185:25	combined 46:13 142:4.5
certifications 57:7	252:12 262:20,24	41:21 123:14 129:4	186:5 187:2,5,8,13,14	231:1 336:6 346:21
certified 52:20 56:9	325:20	146:3 147:5 151:19	188:2,3 189:10 244:21	combining 142:4
58:11 61:6 67:25	chaos 95:6 100:5	152:15,16 153:1 290:1		
		clarifications 161:22	245:9 246:3 293:17	come 7:20 9:7,9 18:1
111:22 199:9 317:7	Chapman 5:3 374:25		302:16,21 304:18,21	25:22 40:18 46:18
345:3 367:17 382:17	377:16,17,17	clarified 161:11 184:24	305:8 319:8 337:14	64:11,15 73:13,22
388:4,21	chapter 292:17 322:8	313:13 360:19 364:21	348:18 352:21 353:10	74:23 75:2 77:17 89:3
certify 388:6,10,13	332:22	clarifies 110:21 176:17	356:4,5 357:10 359:17	94:6 100:22 115:19
cetera 201:5 202:4	chapters 322:8	clarify 10:6 40:10 47:6	363:21	116:23 136:17 140:1
214:23,23 232:19	characteristic 126:11	66:8 147:12 160:22	coalitions 30:1 33:15,22	162:8 163:25 167:25
CFBF 332:25	characteristics 51:14,16	183:6 257:24,25	127:10 130:25 183:21	178:2 185:18 194:14
chain 205:21 308:18	52:10	283:16 319:9 342:4,14	185:13 186:21,25	209:20 213:9 223:1
chair 6:5 8:1 10:23,24	characterization 186:20	351:16	305:1 325:17	225:12 228:21 237:24
23:17 45:14 50:24	characterize 160:1,4	clarifying 361:3		
			coalition's 239:11	244:14 245:16 259:19
62:22 63:13 77:25	214:11	clarity 267:21	coast 1:9 2:10,18 3:3 6:5	260:18 269:19,20
107:12 117:2 157:17	characterized 136:13	Clark 4:4 14:8 228:11,23	8:21 10:22 14:8,10	273:10 275:3 284:22
165:6 196:22 237:25	211:20 340:19	228:24 229:11,13	23:22 30:4,5,19 31:1,5	284:24 285:19 297:19
238:1 258:14 267:2	charge 70:21 252:2	235:12,23 236:12,16	33:23 37:8 38:1 45:22	303:5 307:3 312:15
282:15 287:13 298:19	charges 302:23	236:24 237:14,22	46:11,19 52:22 58:14	326:8 348:5 350:22
306:10 311:14 322:7	Charles 195:4 197:1	276:19 277:25	64:25 74:20 75:1,3	358:25 375:6
325:7 332:22 343:21	329:11	Class 379:25	87:18 104:24 107:24	comes 15:2 64:15 85:16
365:2,5 367:1	chart 355:12	classifications 169:8		
			111:23,24 112:6	166:15 247:19 271:10
chairing 86:17	chase 299:10 306:11	classified 111:6	121:12 134:4,7 197:9	277:9 278:5,7 334:19
Chairman 3:4,5 7:3,22	chasing 362:12	classify 152:15 198:19	197:14 204:20 209:17	341:23 346:9 365:11
9:23 10:9 12:14 21:5	cheaper 247:15	clean 14:9 20:9 30:24	228:25 229:4 230:3,19	365:21
37:23 74:2 86:16 93:9	cheapest 246:20	43:21 71:14,14,16	235:5 248:23 249:15	comfort 148:11
107:5 123:11 132:16	check 103:20	75:25 76:3,5 90:25	250:14 251:12,20	coming 12:24 64:10
146:3 159:13 164:7	checkbook 83:3	91:5 114:4 244:12,17	260:13 272:9 286:15	76:24 82:13,13 89:8
194:5,17 197:19	Checklist 53:23 54:15	246:21 247:17 263:5	296:20 300:19 301:4,6	98:11 100:19,21 120:2
240:13 241:1 283:9	chemical 40:1,6 42:14	273:2 286:17 293:15	315:19 318:9 320:14	130:24 192:17 194:20
		210.2 200.17 200.10	010.10010.0020.14	100.24 102.17 104.20
1				

Atkinson-Baker, Inc. Court Reporters

(800) 288-3376

Page 5

195:17 196:20 198:1 198:10 207:22 222:13 223:19 235:2 257:18 267:24 331:24 385:15 commencement 256:6 commencing 2:20 commends 56:17 comment 17:15 18:2,9 18:20 19:22 20:7 23:17 29:1,3,4 36:8 37:3 40:13,17,23 41:24 51:5,7,10 53:15 93:23 94:16 105:13 115.12 206.12 265.25 266:3 268:2 290:22 322:11 328:14 330:15 343:21,23 385:21,23 387.7 commented 297:7,8 386:8 commenter 344:22 commenters 14:16 comments 10:6.10 11:14 12:3,6,10 13:13 13:15 14:12,20,22 15:1 19:17,23,24 23:18 29:6,10 35:10 35:12,23 37:13,20 40:19 51:8,17,20 55:4 59:3 62:5 73:19 77:20 92:17 93:5 97:6 99:5 102:24 105:6 106:1 115:15 144:7 164:8.12 195.7 17 196.18 197:10 199:4,16 202:22 203:21 234:24 237:21 240:16 244:9 254:20 258:11 265:5 272:23,25 284:14 287:10 288:11 291:8 293:13 298:9 305:25 308:4 309:5 310:14 311:9 313:16 317:1 318:4 322:3 323:22 325:5 328:22 332:19 333.18 335.8 336.8 337:15 344:20 347:19 360:15 363:17,18 364:23 366:6,24 367:22 374:23 375:17 376:9 377:15 378:19 381.2 commercial 91:6 134:6 325:20 336:19 commission 36:3 56:11 56:15 90:17 132:21 229:6 246:18 335:12 336.4 347.25 Commissioner 188:5 commitment 8:23 9:16 39:3 196:23 371:19 committed 274:11 304:9 305.21 committee 74:9,10 77:7 107:12.16 108:16 112:14 115:5 160:25 161:1 168:7,13 182:19 355:18 356:22,24 committing 204:18 commodities 88:1,10,11 88:12 commodity 57:18 88:20 common 316:9 communicate 240:2

297:24 communication 81:15 95.9 100.18 101.20 125:21 162:17 297:22 communications 93:13 communities 25:22 38:19 43:4,23,25 45:4 70:22 89:25 105:1 134:21 204:3 245:6.21 245.24 246.14 247.8 247:23 248:3,21 250:14 251:3 254:5 301.12 21 302.9 315:16 325:15,16 326:5,6,11 331:2 336:17 377:8 community 17:13 70:18 77:12 82:6 87:5.8 95:6 96:8 98:3 102:23 103:3,10,11,18 104:16 104:21 106:24 112:17 114.20 129.8 134.2 10 162:22 203:20 215:25 245:5,11 247:7 248:12 253.25 257.3 4 259.8 286:2,5,18 294:18,19 296:2,5 301:25 302:11 303:14,18,19 320:11 320:15.16 321:17 324:2,4,15 325:18,23 325:24 327:8,8 331:25 332:3,4,4,10 373:22 374:9.11 375:7 386:8 companies 91:9 219:6,6 308:11 company 202:11 compare 26:3 131:6 191:22 217:22,24 compared 27:7 35:3 94:7 106:19 112:5 178:22 180:12 191:17 348:9 366:1 comparing 129:19 259:19 comparison 178:17.21 189.22 comparisons 133:10 178:15 181:19 compelling 226:4,5 compete 239:6 competing 191:24 competition 88:21,22 239:7 competitive 87:24 371:4 competitors 224:1 371:6 complete 15:1.3 86:20 155:14 166:3 168:10 189:9 192:25 193:7 282:1 completed 206:24 completely 153:17 154:9 180:12 221:18 240:10 380:21 386:16 complex 108:14 109:3 199:24 319:5 351:13 370:19 complexities 108:19 compliance 8:19 54:13 54:14,25 61:20 65:2,4 99:25 108:20 111:8 112:12,24 113:1 130:3 130.24 139.8 140.20 141:11,16 143:23

144:6 148:5.13 149:7 174:12 177:3 182:10 192:6 211:7 232:5 233:2 234:19 255:16 255:25 256:3.10 295:18 305:15 349:1 360:24 361:2 365:20 366.1 complicated 13:20 127:21 128:8 334:20 364:4 370:12 376:2.2 complies 62:16 comply 31:16,17 53:25 54:4 57:21,25 71:22 112:1 137:12 140:6,21 143:2 167:21 173:10 273:21 304:16 complying 65:25 66:13 66:25 140:17 141:4 255.2 component 209.15 229:18,21 231:17 232:8 components 367:12 comprehensive 103:12 133:24 348:13 comprised 315:5 compute 111:5 computers 100:6 conceivably 355:12 concentrated 58:19 121:16 308:9 concentration 40.3 44.19 20 48.13 49.23 concentrations 44:24 47:18 48:5,18 49:5,9 49:12 50:2 251:1 concept 224:17 316:3 345:1 352:21 354:11 concepts 280:4 conceptually 145:1 concern 12:15 83:10,12 90:8 105:25 106:8,24 107:3 108:17,22 125.16 25 148.7 150.6 150:8 151:10 169:20 182:12 188:23 201:10 202:6 325:25 335:17 concerned 47:11 93:4 93:17 95:22 97:12,25 101:23 109:13,19 111:18 125:25 150:7 178:4 205:20 252:24 253:1 307:17 317:6 320:16,24 331:17 333:1 335:25 375:25 concerning 108:7 concerns 56:1 81:15 82:1,3 84:24 89:21 93:14 95:12,19 101:19 103:8 113:2 115:4 129:2 144:5 147:9,9 149:9 151:13 156:17 157:15 161:18 166:12 174:4 178:17 183:21 190:7 233:21 252:23 291:6 373:25 concerted 315:15 conclude 15:10 227:23 284:14 316:7 337:8 concluded 131:5 concludes 37:20 45:5 72:24 115:14 131:10 281:21

conclusion 14.17 20 21 15:24 23:16 62:3 71:19 139:6 140:4 193:13 269:21 270:7 273.10 302.6 317.14 conclusions 104:3 182:22 270:13 317:21 concrete 352:5 concurrently 203:10 condition 37:22 66:17 70:6,7 153:7,13,15 166:9 173:15 344:15 conditional 9:20 29:15 32:25 52:5 53:16,19 54:2,17 62:11 63:15 63:17 65:25 107:20 113.7 163.10 conditions 23:11 34:13 37:16 38:23 39:1 52:7 53:18 54:6 62:18 66:22 69:15,24 140:18 147:6,15 166:8 289:3 289:4 346:18 361:10 conduct 14:25 53:24 60:8 155:17 156:3 186.25 conducted 15:19 34:9 39:23 52:21 129:14 156.4 367.15 conducts 160:21 conference 283:20 confidence 305:23 confident 369:21 confidential 242:8 confines 174:6 conflict 11:3 109:17,23 143:19 216:8 380:5 conflicting 136:11 141:8 295:13 conflicts 110:3.5 210:11 confluence 230:5 378:16 confusing 210:10,16 confusion 125:16 344:6 congratulations 9:17 congregation 301:3 330:22 congregations 300:17 Congress 74:6,8,18 103:6 Congressional 74:7 Congressman 3:19 73:2 73:18 74:1 78:3 80:12 82:19 83:4,4 89:15 91:23 95:15 123:24 239:22 240:10 266:15 272.23 331.17 conjunction 17:19 18:7 connect 187:25 connection 235:11 281:5 conscious 44:25 consequences 32:7 224:14 363:5 consequently 24:1 39:2 339:8 conservation 134:20 294.18 315.25 Conservationist 286:25 consider 10:16 12:6,9 23:4 47:22 48:2,16 66:1 67:21 96:21 113:24 130:22 162:12 165:6 203:2 204:18

Page 6

293:6 295:1 296:13 308:22 315:8 317:24 320:3 355:25 361:5 considerable 118:25 148:7 150:4 292:4 consideration 70:10 103:9 113:11 115:3 146:9 220:7 321:20 350.9 377.5 considered 53:6 54:20 59:1 107:2 149:25 164:21 292:16 317:10 361.10 considering 66:4 89:23 102:19 148:11 181:2 195:20 254:23 365:23 considers 195:22 consistency 36:11 consistent 34:4 182:1,4 210:22 297:20 340:9 consistently 51:8 constant 208:13 338:18 constantly 338:13 339:4 constituent 190:2,2 constituents 26.1 constitutes 255:16 305:19 Constitution 72:7 construct 141:1 constructed 195:14 230:4,8,12 231:24 construction 24:12.14 229:17 230:23 231:5 231:12,16 232:16 consult 298:4 consumable 253:22 contact 22:5 363:8 contacted 101:24 contacting 385:12,12 contacts 195:16 385:14 385:15 contain 141:7 contained 111:16 113.25 115.7 containment 141:2 contains 39:19 139:7 contaminant 27:3 37:11 contaminants 116.24 384.11 contaminate 25:10 contaminated 26:1 85:23 89:22 90:3.23 301:25 321:13 328:4 329:24 376:3 contaminates 76:2 contamination 37.7 75:14 76:14 78:10 85:13 110:1 251:24 253:8 258:1 301:13 320:17,24 324:6 339:8 contend 152:5 182:3 268:6 content 168:9 265:23 context 19:15 145:23 188:17 215:11 221:12 227:5 346:22 350:20 continual 134:5 continuation 176:13 283:22 continue 34:1 72:1 89:13 104:13,25 124:12 144:5,10 166:6 171:10 173:9 177:3 208:11 223:2 255:3

Atkinson-Baker, Inc. Court Reporters

(800) 288-3376

Page 7

282:7 301:8 323:9,12	I
333:13 360:21	,
continued 4:2 5:2 37:7	,
115:2 189:5 205:23 290:4,6 332:7	
continues 33:14 156:4	
172:19 257:6	
continuing 26:15 216:1	
323:11 332:9 continuous 78:20	
continuously 62:1 66:6	,
contract 206:22 216:7 224:25	
contradicts 133:8 136:2	
contrary 133:20	
contrast 209:8 contribute 218:14 314:3	
contributed 258:7	,
contributes 315:9	
contributing 29:22 189:3 235:6	
contribution 8:11 314:7	,
323:4	
contributions 98:15 contributor 315:13	1
contributors 323:8	
control 1:9 2:10,19 3:3	
6:6 7:8 10:22 27:22 29:18 30:9,16 31:8,10	
31:21 34:18 37:17	
56:12 107:25 137:16	
137:18 176:22,24 188:10 204:20 218:10	
256:2 259:23 269:4	
272:10 279:20 290:10	
291:4 336:1 controlling 33:20 150:5	
150:16	
controls 218:9	
conundrum 223:19 225:2	
conundrums 364:21	,
convene 115:23 convened 103:2 107:15	
convenient 152:18	
conversation 193:9	
209:22 248:17 conversations 207:12	
207:13 234:14	
conversion 232:14	
conveyed 112:21 convinced 240:10	
convoluted 185:6	
convolution 377:4	
cook 205:13 cool 220:15 300:15	
cooperate 173:19	,
cooperation 15:4 77:16 85:24 226:13	
cooperative 29:13 32:14	
40:5 42:22 57:9,10,24	,
81:8 142:6 145:19 154:12 173:13 177:15	
177:19 178:8 194:2,3	
200:13 241:16,19	
242:15,20 253:14 274:5,6 296:12,22	
297:3 311:5 318:17	1
331:20 345:15	1
cooperatively 180:5 296:10 309:4	1
coordinates 330:21	1
coordinating 8:17	1
coordination 242:10	

345.2 Coordinator 315.4 copies 131:16 158:20,22 . 158:23 190:19 copper 379:13 copy 102:13 126:21 162:8 190:21,24,25 copyright 211:15 corner 21.23 corps 360:13 correct 20:25 123:7,8 124:1 146:23 236:10 244:1 290:5 314:24 335:13 336:13 343:2 353:18 355:15,16 360:14 362:20 382:16 383:17 388:11 corrective 171:25 correctly 64:13 196:20 330:4 341:23 corridor 151:18 152:1 corridors 236:22 cost 39:13 43:1,14,17 79:16 85:3 88:23 111.8 116.23 24 117:19,24 129:6,15,16 129:21,23 130:6,22,23 130:25 131:2,7,7 191:6,11,15,16 192:5 192:16 193:1 232:3,12 232:13,16,22 234:11 236:13 238:20,24 239:1.2 246:14 247:8 247.13 295.17 302.2 378:9 380:9,11 Costa 4:23 364:24 366:25 367:1,2 368:10 369:6.14 370:1.4.22 371:1,11 372:12,21,24 costly 239:19 308:24 344:21 costs 42:23 43:5 44:3 70:17 129:14,25 130:2 130:8,9,13,14 131:8 191.8 200.15 214.21 232:10,25 233:3,14,18 234:20 248:1,1 295:16 301:22 308:11 321:3,6 380.15 cotton 88:6,13 counsel 3:5 7:7 15:12 83:15 122:22 195:7,8 225:15 290:1 388:13 counsel's 225:18 289:8 count 115:20 361:17 counted 256:4 counter 270.14 counties 75:9 87:7 89:6 92:25 132:23,24 152:10 309:12 363:23 counting 258:5 countries 238:19 country 79:10 209:25 238:24 315:4 counts 361:7 county 74:17 75:20 87:7 89:8 90:16 91:8,10 93:2 110:18 114:24 118:7.9 168:17 188:4 188:5 229:23 242:10 245:25,25 247:5 250:15 258:16 295:7 299.11 302.18 311.2 315:14 324:3 325:23

332.23 333.9 363.23 376:14 381:15 388:2 couple 17:4 77:22 81:13 86:10 121:7 122:1 124:19 151:4 155:4 176:19 182:20 202:22 213:16 214:6 223:15 225:23 261:16 273:18 313:12 364:9,10 386:7 coupled 27:25 course 9:14 25:7 170:13 171:10 176:5 182:13 205:23 249:25 256:19 322:13 380:24 court 1:22 11:6 22:1,5,6 132:13 176:15 196:2 207:23 264:16 307:6 307:10 courtesy 92:20 cover 21:15 67:22 196:23 245:3 247:22 250:4 277:10 covered 107:19 149:21 150:2 183:13 cow 44.25 co-equal 114:23 **CPA** 199:25 CPAs 85:1 crafted 34.3 crazy 276:14 380:1 create 150:23 169:10 181:22 208:13 212:12 215.3 219.10 221.12 227:11 233:11 234:4 236:21 301:21 348:22 created 11:6 89:5,5 100:4 105:19 110:4 120:24 209:21,23 219:1 308:24 333:10 367:12 creates 101:6 273:19 349:24 creating 221:9 316:9 creation 109:20 231:8 332.17 creative 225:1 332:7 creativity 227:9,10,12 296:1 335:2,2 359:16 credible 167:11 190:9 292:16 credit 233:13 283:15 creditability 307:2 creek 55.16 207.20 229:8 230:14 241:19 242:13 243:1,4,12,15 243:24 299:24,24 379.21 380.16 25 381:14,15 384:6,13,14 criteria 53:8,12 105:25 106:1 155:24,25 167:4 198:21 221:18 222:2 222:12 309:17 337:1 382:1,2,11 383:6,8 critical 35:15 104:16 113.18 207.7 232.8 305:22 criticism 182:9 201:19 291:3 292:6 crop 53:3 58:5,20,23 67:22 88:4,16 111:22 141:21 294:10 299:10 315:13 333:21 338:9 338:14,23 339:5,9.9 343:3,4,8,16 344:25

345:3,7,9,12,15,18,19 345:22,24 346:4,18 347:10,13 360:8 361:10 363:2.5.10 367.17 368.19 21 25 369:23 381:16 383:1 crops 39:14 44:13,17 52:17 53:13 58:22 59.10 22 79.24 80.2 87:25 88:15 111:11 141:20 193:21 305:5 339:10 341:5 342:1,12 343.12 346.10 360.25 360:25 369:6 384:3 cross 370:14 crosses 243:4 cross-examination 99:7 Cruz 74:6 93:1 195:9 crystal 193:23 CSR 1:24 2:22 **CSUMB** 230:13 cuarto 22:16 culture 87:5.8 cumulative 87:20 curious 189:19 279:1 369:13 375:22 current 33:21 56:12 112:18 127:14 143:9 147.3 23 148.6 183.3 195:15 202:6 206:4 234:5,15 275:2 340:8 currently 33:1 54:3 126:3 139:25 140:3 143:19,20 144:1 148:14 152:2,16,22 153:21 154:7 175:18 176:10 178:10 182:14 183:6,8,14 202:15 205:7 210:7 235:12 236:17 253:12 254:22 301:21 302:18 324:5 curriculum 203:25 cursory 13:13 curve 298:1 custody 205:21 customer 8:24 cut 20:9 56:13 116:11 263:15 306:11 338:16 Cutman 195:4 cutoff 266:8 cuts 202:7 cvcle 92:7 372:19 C-a-l-d-w-e-l-l 302:16 C-h-a-p-m-a-n 377:17 C-I-a-r-k 228:24 C-o-s-t-a 367:2 п D 147:12 174:23 daily 69:5 327:11 331:8 dairies 75:16,17 dairy 75:17 360:17 dam 348:10,10 damaging 332:17 Damron 4:17 322:5,5 dams 379:13 Dana 4:17 320:8,10 Daniel 225:22 Danny 4:8 287:11 289:23 290:18 Daphnia 226:21 dark 47:4,10 darkest 48:7

Darlene 4:9 287:12

289:23 291:9 293:10 Darrian 378:22 Darwin 329.11 data 8:9 30:21,23 32:20 36:20 38:10 44:10 45:18 46:13 47:4,14 49:8,15,19 58:2 61:1,2 61:14 70:1 71:20 72:14 78:19.22.24 92.4 112.2 119.7 13 120:16,16 121:21,22 121:23,23,24 122:1,2 122:3,5,7 123:2,3,4,6 124:11 125:9 126:20 172:2 187:23 191:20 192:8,13 200:20,20 201:3 212:1 215:19 216:21 217:19.21 230:19 238:15 242:17 242:18,22 252:12,20 252:21 258:17.20 259.20 267.18 291.22 294:13 295:13 307:24 308:1,2,3 317:20 324:19 332:6 336:15 336:16 349:20 376:14 376.14 database 120:23 248:22 date 195:19 256:4,6 266:8 324:19 dated 110:12 dates 63:20 75:17 daughter 328.34 Dave 195.13 David 4:23 364:24 366:25 367:2 Davis 75:23 78:15 91:19 306:12 307:1 day 31:5 82:17 92:22 97:4 136:22 165:5 291:2 299:13 300:4 313:11 326:19 328:5,9 380:17 388:17 Dayman 320:7 days 93:24 162:14.16 166·2 168·22 24 171·4 179:3,11 196:10 261:16 308:17 368:15 de 126:25 196:11 255:16 293.6 deadlines 203:2,3,7 deal 11:15 26:6 68:20 85:12 95:1 158:11 192:15 225:1 240:7 258:17 263:17 310:23 332:1,3 339:14 dealing 42:24 44:4 72:3 152.1 156.21 198.3 294:5 327:17 344:5 377:7 deals 359:12 dealt 118:18 363:25 Dean 238:13 debate 361:15 decades 38:24 40:9 78:6 92:2 198:12 249:11 259:13 decide 175:9 177:12 217.5 decided 81:21 128:20 197:15 207:20 261:3 decides 180:10 364:13 deciding 301:19 decision 11:12 28:14

82:10 93:11 97:1 108:25 113:23 143:5 196:16 264:14 269:16 286:9 288:11 293:7 312:3,24 326:16 328.17 decisions 70:12 89:21 94:9 114:2 264:10 329:18 Declaration 20:12,16 decrease 64:17 decreases 50:1 dedicated 212:24 332.14 dedicating 90:21 deemed 123:16 deep 246:9 deeper 246:19 247:13 247:25 defects 251:16 defense 67:6.10 287:18 defensible 37:16 defer 97:10 262:23 356:7 deferring 143:25 deficiencies 291:21.23 291:25 362:24 define 305:19 346:17 defined 167:15,16 346.20 definite 192:6 definitely 20:21 26:1 93:3 102:1 190:3 354:13 384:15 definition 136:10 325:11 367:7 degradation 71:5,19 124:2 202:23 degrading 272:5 degree 26:9,14,16 27:10 27:12,13 84:4 204:11 degreed 205:19 delay 69:4 72:1,10,12,12 72:15,20 104:19 272:4 272:5 326:15 333:11 333.13 delayed 326:15 delays 255:7 287:8 delegate 224:12 delete 153:6 184:17,24 deleted 153:14 155:4 deleting 143:22 176:20 177.4 deletion 149:15 155:3 Delgado 3:7 6:16,17 10:9,13,14,25 13:9,23 16:23 21:5,7,11 45:14 73.6 76.1 77.21 22 78:1,5 80:12,22,25 81:10 82:1,18 97:7,12 97:22 99:2,9 101:12 105:9.12 106:4.8.12 117:2,9,12 125:13,14 126:24 127:15 128:7 128:12.23 162:3 163:4 164:8 276:25 277:1,9 278:19,24 281:14,18 314:17,19,25 342:18 351:24 360:6.10.12 361:3,20 362:9 363:1 363:11 370:2,20,23 371:2 372:8,14,22,25 378:24 381:3,10 382:6 382:10,13,19 383:2,4

383:10 deliberate 11:12 12:10 deliberately 260:25 deliberation 11:17 164:10 deliberations 96:23 delineation 155:14 delineations 155:17 deliver 293:4 delivery 164:12 Delta 74:15 379:6 delving 201:20 demand 181:2 demarkation 268:8 Democrats 103:5 demonstrate 34:17 57:4 65:7 67:15 72:19,22 212.7 362.24 demonstrated 8:23 24:8 238.15 demonstrates 25:4 70:3 336:4 349:13 demonstrating 67:7 304:15 305:14,15 demonstration 30:2 Demonstrations 30:15 30.16 denied 326:16 denies 174:17 denominator 343:4 345:8 361:24,25 deny 80:8 department 36:1.2.3 37:3 74:10 249:13 250:16 275:22 276:5 308:2 departments 276:14 286.21 depending 11:22 136:23 depends 129:24 136:10 266:12 370:9 383:11 depercolating 362:25 depicted 26:6 27:14 42:21 depicting 26:14 derive 378:18 describe 34:20 214:15 214:16,17,18 described 33.6 52.8 59:6 268:7 280:13 336:21 344:21 describes 46:11 69:14 269:15 describing 381:9 deserve 227:8 deserves 293:14 design 235:14 314:6 designated 340:3 designation 135:14 336:9 designations 135:22 designed 103:14 120:15 120:16 121:2 289:1 323:7 designs 231:23 desire 82:4 desired 194:1 despite 134:8 destined 236.18 detail 90:8 139:12 164:19,22 208:12 270:12 280:8,10 296:25 303:21 370:21 371:11

details 66:14 220:5 269:19 270:5 297:6 303:21 detect 216:23 detecting 216:25 determination 17:7 135:23 141:24 156:2 172:23 174:21 181:12 306:18 312:20 determine 32:4 155:15 170:7,12,19 173:4 177:3,8 181:14 243:8 345:12 355:18,22 364:6 373:7 determined 135:24 170:3 371:15 determines 345:8 determining 170:5 181:15 devastated 95:4 develop 8:7 53:22 55:12 67:19 68:3 104:22 112:18 144:1 168:9.12 169:3,24 176:5 178:3 187:8 200:4 208:11 212:13 213:4 231:4 298:2 305:23 310:4,7 310:11 356:22 developed 32:24 36:21 67:17 86:16 109:14 139:10 155:25 168:7 169:9 189:5 209:10 212:14 253:14 315:19 345:2 347:5 352:15 353:10 362:5 developing 104:17 112:15 114:21 143:20 185:12 203:24 221:21 231:15 287:1 353:16 development 70:11 109:4 174:16 187:17 204:21 292:15 305:12 315:24 347:7 dialed 371:23 372:4 dialogue 134:2 diazinon 52:14,25 53:9 340:12.20 383:7 dictates 137:21 138:13 dictation 138:21 141:3 142:1 die 226:21 differ 252:1 difference 110:9 112:14 137:9 148:20 149:16 160:13 184:5 186:15 217:5 248:9 284:19 329:12,14 346:15 348:7 352:19 353:15 370:3 differences 129:6 191.19 20 337.11 different 15:18 23:23 26:6 29:7 31:3 32:5 63:5 65:22,24 78:25 96:17 99:19,24 100:2 100:20 116:22 129:19 131:24 132:4,7 135:11 136:5 138:9 145:24 153:12,17,18 156:24 157:14 178:25 180:12 181:8,12,16,19,22 182:7 185:12 189:25 191:25 204:5 212:25 213:15 214:19 215:17

215:17 222:23 242:21 253:14 259:7 262:14 273:19 279:25 289:16 294:9.12.18 303:18 337.5 339.3 345.17 358:9,13 359:8,14 361:21,25 362:14 366:14 370:5 differently 135:13,16 343:19 difficult 13:21 65:12 83:7 154:16 206:4 210:16 245:13,14,18 252:6 253:7 261:1 297:9,10,13,22 300:3 326:8 368:6 difficulty 249:19 255:3 292:21 329:10 dig 246:19 digest 165:2,7 dilemma 287:3 297:17 diligence 195:19 diligent 307:8,10 dime 329:4 349:18 dimension 89:19 diminish 373:25 dimmed 134:22 Din 4:9 287:12 289:23 291.9 293.10 12 295.3 dinged 380:18,20,25 dinner 282:23 diplomacy 319:1 direct 128:5 140:5 183:14 240:8 242:4 309:23 375:4 directing 298:22 direction 239:11 240:6 384:20 388:10 directions 308:20 directly 49:1 94:15 117:25 118:2,3 183:4 190:6 191:18 207:19 277:10 288:7 376:15 director 92:24 110:13 195:6 228:24 295:7 296:20 363:22 376:18 Dirk 4:22 363:19 365:1,7 disadvantaged 43:4,24 89:25 245:24 336:17 disagree 17:8 84:8 137:13 139:6 140:23 151:11 186:19 376:7.8 disagreeing 350:18 discharge 1:1 2:1 9:21 10:17 23:2 24:21 27:22 35:8 39:10 53:9 55.11 60.9 63.15 65.4 65:22,23 66:1,1,5 107:20 113:7 141:13 166:13 175:23 180:3 278:9 314:9,11 322:22 336:1 344:19,21,23 discharged 1:2 2:2 24:22 39:16 discharger 10:4 34:23 64:2,11 137:20 166:10 166:11,16 173:9,17 174:24 176:23 275:19 314.11 dischargers 31:15,15 32:3,10 33:8 34:16,22 36:23 53:22 57:21 65:1 84:1 137:15 138:2 141:20 174:8,25 Page 8

176:2 186:11 224:15 304:16 322:22 323:16 discharges 10:17 23:2 25:1 32:23 34:18 37:17 39:2 40:8 42:16 49:1 53:20 61:14 149:21 175:21,22,24 176:24 243:16.16 discharging 49:5 314:22 382.20 disclose 13:9,22 disclosed 372:18 disclosure 56.5 122.25 discount 225:17 discovered 25:12 discretion 136:7.15.24 139:19 148:3,9 161:2 177:9 292:4 discretionary 184:8 discuss 107:17 146:4 157:19 187:6 229:2 265:7,8 268:7 272:16 discussed 13:19 112:14 113.13 144.23 145.10 148:5 156:15 157:13 180.9discusses 180:2 discussing 28:6 46:5 273:23 365:21 discussion 10:2 23:14 75:19 82:3,4 90:7 129:13 150:4 163:15 165:3 171:12 179:24 282:6 286:5 293:19 360:23 discussions 81.22 107:19 117:20 199:13 266:15 disease 321:11 disinfect 247.16 disingenuous 257:17 dismiss 64:16 disparity 273:19 displaces 332:10 disproportionate 326:11 disputing 221:4 disrespectful 325:2 dissolution 133:21 dissuaded 323:9 distance 200:18 distinct 370.6 distinction 171:16 277:14 district 74:7 92:23 105:5 107:6.7 115:9 districts 315:25 327:14 327:16 distrust 213:19 disturb 152.6 disturbance 152:2 disturbances 152:12 ditch 261:22 296:7 diverge 208:16 diverse 78:21 325:17 diversion 348:10 diverted 83:6 divide 148:18 division 36:7 373:22 doable 339:20 Doctrine 72:8,10 document 19:3 20:12,14 50:7 113:15 152:21 191:10,10 192:9 200:4

Atkinson-Baker, Inc. Court Reporters

(800) 288-3376

Elementary 118:7,13 elements 31:19,20 34:6 200:6,7,9 201:25 33:21 35:2.19 37:4.14 Dunham 3:16 17:1.2 edge 242:8 278:12 230.10 edits 37:14 40:14,16,25 41:1,2,22 18:24 19:2,10 20:4,22 documentation 36:20 51:9,15,18 53:15 40:10,16,20 41:7,14 educated 165:3 34:7, 15, 20 35:4 83:16 54:19 55:4,7,19 56:1 documentative 198:14 education 29:24 33:5 83:17 127:7,9 156:12 41:21 42:2,7 131:16 documented 40:4 42:13 57:3,16,25 58:3,9 59:3 131:20.23 132:6.9.13 34:11 53:25 195:11 182:21 219:20 220:3 42.18 229.13 238.11 203:14.17.22 205:23 60:21 62:3.6.10.16 133:5 144:9.9 145:25 220:22,23 221:4 224:6 documenting 38:11,13 64:24 68:4 98:4,7 146:1,14,23,25 147:2 315:16 320:13 362:22 386:20 educational 204:4 299:3 Elena 378:20 323:3 369:20 102:17 105:15 107:20 150:25 151:4,11,17 documents 13:5 20:1.5 107:21 108:7 109:8.14 effect 45:17 103:17 157.11 158.1 14 16 elephant 192.1.3 192.8 197.23 220.23 290:15 294:14 310:12 109.19 111.16 20 159:3,5,8 160:3,12,16 elevated 147.23 doe 384:21 162:15 165:11,15,19 113:12 127:3,3,14 314:13 384:1 eligibility 173:16 doas 291:1 130:3,5 133:9,10,10 165:22 182:24 183:2,8 effected 340:7 eligible 173:21 175:1 doing 42:8 56:18 67:7 effective 61:10 78:20 eliminate 24:25 198:7 137.12 139.3 7 141.8 184.57111324 68:15,15,16 69:3,23 141:17,20 142:5,15 79.16 85.3 104.17 eliminated 110:6 185:3,6 186:16,19 70:13 71:6,18 82:6 145:5 152:22 162:8 187:12 188:2,21 189:4 139:9 170:7,23 173:5 eliminating 352:6 91:3 126:21 127:23 174:1 178:22 179:16 180:11 198:13 206:20 189:24 190:15,18 elimination 325:15 143:19 156:14 168:4 227:17 232:3 233:3 Elk 118:22,25 119:1,23 180:10 181:16.25 266:6 268:2.4.5 270:2 195:24 196:9 210:6,8 171.19 173.6 175.2 234.11 287.7 309.1 273:25 282:10,14,18 Elk's 106.15 188:9 189:2.7.8 210:9,24 214:3,8 347:23 354:11 355:16 323:14 336:1 347:17 email 63:24 100:7 192:24 194:24 212:8 215:1 223:22 291:23 197:17 363:13 356:7,11,14,17 359:5 386.11 242:16 246:4 254:15 297:8 308:15 313:17 359.13 386.4 effectively 28:2 39:5 embrace 352:20 377:25 Dunham's 190:21 282:5 61:19 121:3 176:22.23 255.12 274.13 278.16 323.15 363.6 367.3 emerged 109:18 280:1 303:5,15 318:1 drafted 139:25 Dunn 17:3 144:10 209:12 255:16,19 emergencies 317:8 330:4,7 334:1 335:21 drafters 181:3 324:22 357:2 386:13 duplicative 149:17 emphasis 33:3 43:24 197:23 251:14 263:7 354:23 357:13 358:12 Drafts 35:23 duties 322.24 effectiveness 8:13 361:21 364:7,8,9 dragged 377:23 34:17,23 35:8 60:23 duty 13:10 emphasize 349:16 369:13 372:3,7,9,10 drain 176:4 231:21 DWR 229:17 61:16,24 65:14 67:9 emphasized 29:19 385:19 313:20 314:9,14 dving 47:10 271:15,16 98:13 170:14,18 empirical 238:15 employ 302:19 305:10 dollar 202:9 348:12 350:24,25 179:17 195:13 211:9 D-a-m-r-o-n 322:5 dollars 24:16.16.21 25:3 351:4.6 212:6.7 214:17 218:4 employees 87:17 238:8 25:18 32:13 67:14 drains 141:6,6,9,11 Ε 289:2 304:20 354:23 238:8 365:24 72:22 82:23 204:16 175:23,24 312:15 employer 94:21 238:5,6 367.15 E 3:1,1 4:1,1 5:1,1 214:21 216:11 238:21 313:5.7.12.18.22.23 effects 47:7 90:10 249:5 employers 238:8 147:12,17 154:3,19 248:4 303:2 329:20 employment 299:3 313:25 314:2.5.8.20 155:19,19 165:23 357.22 331:22 350:21,22,24 351:17 efficiency 8:13 141:18 empowered 388:6 166.8 174.2 177.11 domestic 43:22 44:1 141:22 341:3 359:24 dramatic 207:25 empty 22:21 322:15 earlier 45:16 71:7 78:7 efficient 101:3 340:25 90:2 drastically 174:7 en 22.15 16 137:3 139:12 144:23 Don 226:1 draw 104:2 264:5 266:19 enable 61:18 177:5 180:22 193:9 341.21 21 door 7:11 269:21 270:6 305:3 efficiently 61:19 enables 104:24 197.20 201.13 203.5 dots 46:23 47:1,5 48:8 effort 8:6 57:24 68:6 dress 368:20 enabling 108:12 204:10 240:10 257:1 58:17 dried 263:15 78:21 81:8 96:7 98:18 enacted 297:16 263:1 266:6 271:22 dotted 278:15 drink 43:10 248:13,14 134:13 203:24 204:15 encapsulated 275:2 273:23 288:23,24 doubt 162:15 315:7 316:8 318:11 229:16 315:15 332:7 encircled 312:18 289:16 310:1 326:3 download 186:22 328.9 358.2 encourage 33:14 56:11 327:1.5 328:15 329:9 downstream 47:20 drinkable 50:5 efforts 29:13.19.20.24 113.23 164.17 24 329:23 332:25 333:3 dozen 215.17 drinking 25:7 26:11,20 32:15.15 33:18 57:4 196:14 304:24 305:9 341:1 344:22 363:7 Dozens 102:23 26:25 38:2,5,17,22 57:12 198:14 199:21 321:14 365:9 367:22 387:13 39:15 42:24 43:9 44:1 Dr 3:22 10:1,8 11:2 14:5 242:6 253:6 318:17 encouraged 29:20 234:5 early 113:14 192:21 14:7 17:18 89:14,15 45.1 48.6 9 14 17 49.6 EGCB 377:3 encourages 33:22 37:5 228:15,18,19 240:19 eight 14:9 64:14 149:1 91:21 96:23 113:6 52:1.16 53:2 61:2.22 240:22,24 281:23 68·4 180·17 125:13 126:25 128:24 62:9,19 68:25 90:1,2,3 212:1 250:6,17 278:9 encouraging 57:9 284:20 298:14 348:5 128:25 130:1,19 131:9 90:11 117:18 182:15 278:10,15 320:22 earn 298:25 encroaches 166:19 134:13.15 146:18.24 246:14,25 247:1 eight-year 176:3 easier 16:1,6 99:25 248:16,20 254:1 262:9 eight-year-old 300:1 147:1 159:24,25 160:1 132:2 158:25 175:3 endangered 47:14 160:4,14 161:24 271:1 287:5 293:15 either 20:24 22:7 46:24 232:19 252:4 276:13 304:1 187:20,22 188:17 ended 8:20 75:9 298:17 301:12 321:8,8 324:5 57:23 88:9 97:18 385.7 189:1 190:23 191:2 104:3 123:4 167:15 ends 94:22 308:18 324.6 327.18 329.24 easily 16:4 308:10 189:20 216:7 252:22 194.24 195.2 197.12 344:16 351:4.5 375:7 endured 329:19 312:25 371:2 199:5 206:8,9,14 376:3 381:23 382:21 304:25 337:1 346:20 energy 304:9 easy 79:7 193:6 200:9 367:20 370:13 386:21 214:1,6 216:2,12,16 382:24,25 383:12,19 206:12 261:2 270:4 enforce 67:5 120:8 217:12 218:8,16,18,20 drip 337:25 339:25 el 22:15,16 139:15 140:2 227:14 278:6 303:10,11,16 218:23,25 219:22 357:4.8 elaborated 339:13 227.15 308:19 357:4,20 220:4,11,14,18 221:1 drive 87:12 Elaine 9:5,11 22:9 enforceable 66:3 140:18 eat 315.8 316.8 elasticity 203:9 221:5,7,25 222:5 driven 39:23 75:5 76:10 140:20 177:8 eating 79:10 227:22.24 228:6.8 enforced 114:13 300:20 elders 90:4 echo 326:2 elect 154:3 155:23 178:1 driving 209:17 230:13 235:1,4,19 economic 74:24 87:6 enforcement 23:15 236:3 287:1 294:1 drop 78:4 elected 13:12 99:10 24:10 25:15 31:10 89.18 129.3 130.6 306:20 313:3 314:15 dropped 160:6 203:22 103:4 115:14 129:1 33:12 63:14,20 64:19 192:25 193:3,7 239:3 339:12 349:7 354:18 drove 86.20 election 177.11 179.3 64:21 70:11 75:6 247:18 286:19 315:12 356.7 12 14 17 23 148:9 177:9 183:17,18 dry 243:21 elective 73.21 332.10 357:1 359:4,21 360:5 due 63:19 78:11 96:15 electronic 8:7 57:2 183:23 227:17 253:6 economical 96.13 101.3 362:10 366:22 381:25 147:16 195:19 238:16 59:14 60:19 126:6,18 254:25 309:23 321:24 economics 111:11 387:8 126:20 169:11 385:10 257.15 364.16 economy 113:20 114:24 draft 23:14 27:10 29:5 duly 388:5 308:23 325:11 element 32:2 184:9 enforcements 214:13

Atkinson-Baker, Inc. Court Reporters

(800) 288-3376

Page 9

Page 10

253:16 engage 81:22,22 99:6 104:21 208:3 engaged 29:23 35:21 engagement 29:12 210.25 engine 74:24 368:13 engineer 205:9,19 367:16 engineered 278:5.7 Engineering 51:1 English 22:14 277:16 314:20 enhance 69.21 enjoy 207:11 284:22,24 **ENOI** 385:10 enrichment 119:10 enroll 114.18 enrolled 114:14 171:1,4 enrollment 8:20 63:19 120:16 310:10 ensuing 127:22 198:9 ensure 45:3 56:18 61:21 88:19 90:10 153:1 168:19 205:1 233:12 237.37 ensuring 134:5 entail 161:23 entertain 162:1 enthusiastic 232:1 entire 38:9 113:21 117:22 247:18 265:23 309:16 364:2 entirely 192:10 entirety 67:21 268:16 entities 79:1 entitled 46:10 360:23 entity 167:15,16 entrepreneur 94:22 environment 96:5 101:6 113:19 114:6 244:21 245:8 271:14,15,18 272:5 276:7,22 325:14 348:14 351:8,11 365:23 environmental 10.19 20:15 35:12,13,17 36:5 51:12 70:8,10 77:12 86:18 90:19 96:8 134:2,9,21 144:6 162:22 185:17 202:19 204:3 212:19 216:22 233:5 245:19 246:3 250:16 258:19 260:14 273:12 287:18 294:17 318:19 325:12,13,22 326:1,7 374:11 378:13 386.7 environmentalist 195:22 environmentally 333:5 envisioned 297:9 envisions 297:20 EO 169:6 179:4,5,11 187:3 202:1.3 353:17 EOs 148:3 EO's 136:23 EPA 36:7,12 48:15 227:4 248:5 255:24 equal 53:14 141:25 325:14 360:25 Equality 14:4 equation 346:13 equations 201:5

equipment 198:24 equities 273:20 equivalent 214:4 erosion 30:16 137:17,22 137:24 escuela 22.16 Espanol 22:15 especially 24:22 87:7 89:23 93:17 101:23 179:24 188:3 210:17 216:21 236:1 239:4 essence 265:24 essential 114:20 essentially 35:18 75:5 122:16 131:4,5 146:15 272:1 353:16 establish 233:18 established 33:8 70:2 229:24 309:17,17 331:11 338:4 establishing 108:11 331.14 Esterson 378:4 estimate 111:5 126:22 130:14 191:15,15 192.5 estimated 110:20 111:8 130:9,13 232:11 estimates 60:13,16 110:14 130:8 192:16 295:16 310:6 estimation 136:19,20 Estrella 378:16 estuary 47:12 48:12,19 49:4,14 125:1 et 201:5 202:4 214:23,23 232:19 Ethan 195:3 ethic 287:2,4 ethicacy 278:13 281:1 ethnic 97:17 100:14 ethnicity 286:19 Et1 167:8 evaluate 58:4,7 60:22 61:14.19.23 170:6 221:19 230:7 314:7 349:17 354:16 evaluated 275:4 evaluates 170.18 evaluating 170:17 evaluation 31:1 170:2 170:14 173:6 179:17 321:21 evaluations 173:3 354:23 evaporation 334:17 evening 196:23 240:15 322:7 333:20 365:5 373:3 event 243:15 eventually 65:11,17 94:8 98:8 189:8 everybody 7:4 14:13 87:25 100:7,7,8 115:17 117:8 132:2 147:13 171:6 175:2 208:7 298:11,15 344:9 344:11 355:10,11 367:23 385:1 everyone's 70:20 evidence 19:21 32:19 38:12 157:10,11,25 158:1 209:12 268:8.10 268:12 270:12 292:13

323.2 evidentiary 268:11 evolved 108:15 Ex 10:10 exact 17:24 19:5 42:3 132:9 141:22 319:14 348:1 354:14 exactly 45:16 50:14 95:15 136:12.17 166:4 193.23 371.11 examination 77:3 examine 287:3 example 20:11 24:12 25:1 26:7,25 33:23 36:16 56:9 57:7,12 58:5 77:2 109:18 110.2 113.2 120.19 126:13 200:3 203:7 213:8 216:17,18 223:9 230:25 238:22 242:15 246.16 259.23 278.19 331:18 337:11 345:10 345:15 360:16 363:11 371:16 examples 25:19 43:1 80:14 122:25 136:1 137:14 304:11 305:3 366.13 exceed 249.17 exceedance 187:1,22 188:4 exceedances 250:19,24 exceeded 48:9 118:5 176:3 249:18 exceeding 49:7 exceeds 27:3 44:11 excellent 36:9.16 excepting 175:23 exception 55:2 127:6 290:18,20 exceptional 8:11.24 excerpts 18:10 20:23 excessive 183:19 exchange 195:1 excited 100:10 213:2 exclusion 212:18 exclusively 59:17 excuse 41:15 133:13 136:3 138:1,6 158:16 264:18,23 executive 3:11,11 7:6 15:12 68:11,12 73:10 78:15 107:24 110:12 135:24 136:6,15 147:23 153:9 156:1 161:3,5 167:3,7 168:22,24 169:16 172:22,22 174:17,21 177:22 178:3 184:3 195:6 290:8,10 295:7 353.8 363.25 exemptions 350:23 exercise 71:2 369:17 exercised 195:19 exhibiting 62:15 exhibits 269:12 exist 113:3 156:5 182:14 223:3 existence 331:16 333:7 existing 8:9 26:14 33:23 58:1 66:13 108:23 138:3,10 153:7 176:16 245:23 251:12 305:3 exists 120:17 153:21

159:10 175:18 178:10 183:7,8 314:9 expand 214:4 315:16 expect 92:3 284:1 expectation 152:17 expected 108:14 164:13 298:7 299:17 expecting 121:18 expended 290:23 303:2 expenditure 369:15 expense 250:21 307:21 expensive 91:16 122:10 . 378·2 experience 207:18 280:18 367:18,19 experiences 100:24 experiment 359:15 expert 224:22 246:12 303:15 330:25 366:11 experts 252:19 expired 287:22 290:4,14 explain 145:22 150:24 . 150:25 169:14 294:13 300:1 342:6,9 explained 67:1 99:23 explanation 156:10 explicated 376:1 explicitly 31:25 expose 47:8 express 107:22 147:8 . 197:10 358:23 expressed 82:1 101:19 108.17 111.17 115.4 125:15 126:1 134:16 147:9 148:7 149:8 151:13 174:5 expressing 103:7 expression 112:20 extended 108:24 175:20 203:4 250:5 extending 168:25 extends 255:18 extension 290:11 315:23 345:2 extensive 28:13 36:15 36:20 extensively 66:20 extent 83:11 151:20 266:21 348:16 external 221:10 extra 11:18,19 14:3 15:8 16:24 190:18 263:7 extracted 91:15 extraordinarily 210:16 extraordinary 49:12 70.6 17 extreme 234:8 extremely 36:21 48:2,20 49:23 119:7 198:9 199:3 216:20 223:10 290.21 eyes 270:16 F f 269:7,8 face 239:9,17 277:14 336:14 363:6 373:15 faced 94:11 319:18 323:3 327:16 329:19 343.25 faces 95.24 facilitate 134:1 facilitated 185:14 209:22

facilitator 185:15 facility 150:12 fact 24:8 29:7 47:24 56:22 75:1 77:7 78:14 83:5 89:6 97:19 100:3 100.12 139.13 144.13 148:8.11 152:7 169:23 180:2 187:13 197:14 201:15.21 202:2 234:6 238:10 248:22 250:12 265:23 267:24 290:22 320:23 327:17 354:6 364:2 371:14 373:7.8 facto 255.16 factor 64:19 190:13 factors 307:7 facts 143:4 faculty 191:4 206:15 fail 171:24 222:10 318:25 failed 95:8 fails 94:16,23 104:18 293:4 failure 32:7 112:8 174:23 202:8 224:14 296.4 failures 114:17 225:25 fair 11:16 201:17 213:19 219:12 264:9 270:8 fairly 25:6 364:25 366:25 fairness 162:3 faith 172:20 286:2 302:9 329.4 fall 12:20 110:10,15,16 110:25 136:22 166:7 335:16 368:18 373:12 falling 217:21 fallow 280:20,22 281:4,5 falls 136:16 false 140:7 familiar 12:8 families 105:1 327:10,14 family 318:9 far 20:8 21:1 25:7.21 26.16 22 29.8 38.13 67:10 84:13,14 103:11 113:21 120:20 121:2 128:3,10,10 144:8 171:12 179:6.15 198:17 209:18,18,21 217:8 220:16 243:1 249:22 262:12 271:7 275:11 298:2 321:19 341:11 366:3 384:16 farm 14:14 43:22 51:12 53:12.22 55:2 58:4.6 59.23 67.21 90.21 21 93:2,25 94:9,20 98:13 99:18 105:24 106:25 109:5 110:18 126:23 129:23,24 132:23 133:1,2 135:4 136:11 147:14 152:14,17,19 153:3.4 155:5 161:20 170:7 171:20 172:6,7 172:10 184:17,25 185:24 187:11,12 197:11 199:2 207:24 211:4,4,5,9 212:13 214:16 215:16,19 218:5,6,18,19 219:14 225:8 226:24 229:22 230:12,16 232:2

Atkinson-Baker, Inc. Court Reporters

(800) 288-3376

236:17 242:10 252:17 farm's 56:20 270:21 303:9 309:3 Firstly 199:20 31:21 133:20 13 278:12,18 279:23 farm-by-farm 356:1 331:6 332:16 334:13 311:6 332:16 334:13 310:20 31:21 133:20 13 290:19 295:7 297:11 Farr 3:19 73:2,18 74:2,5 339:13 358:10 361:8 331:6 332:16 334:13 310:20 follows 13:25 332:24 338:11,20,21 77:24 78:3,4,14 80:12 363:15 371:21 385:17 fisher 3:21 132:25 follow-up 45:15 6 338:22,24 340:2 348:7 82:22 83:1,4,24 82:5 figured 250:12 282:1 135:4,4 148:4 149:10 187:20 189:5 3 355:18 356:3,3 357:12 86:9,22 87:11 89:15 figures 107:8 137:2 Fisheries 36:4 food 47:23 88:18 359:8,14 362:15,21 90:15 92:12 95:15 figuring 334:21 fisherman 47:20 109:23 110:1 23 365:14 366:17 367:4 123:24 239:22 240:10 file 1:25 53:21 183:11,12 fishing 261:13 236:22 237:1,9 370:7 375:20 379:7,17 266:15,15 file 48:22 188:5 290:9 fit 145:16 235:6 308:16 315:8 3	1:21 4:17 105:1 3:21 308:11
278:12,18 279:23farm-by-farm 356:1331:6 332:16 334:13first-hand 109:9310:20290:19 295:7 297:11Farr 3:19 73:2,18 74:2,5339:13 358:10 361:8fish 36:2 47:19 261:12follows 13:25297:12 298:23 310:2077:24 78:3,4,14 80:12363:15 371:21 385:17fish 36:2 47:19 261:12follows 13:25332:24 338:11,20,2180:20,23 81:1,24 82:5figured 250:12 282:1135:4,4 148:4 149:10187:20 189:5 3338:22,24 340:2 348:782:22 83:1,4,24 84:17358:7366:18317:20355:18 356:3,3 357:1286:9,22 87:11 89:15figures 107:8 137:2fisheries 36:4food 47:23 88:18359:8,14 362:15,2190:15 92:12 95:15figuring 334:21fisherman 47:20109:23 110:1 22365:14 366:17 367:4123:24 239:22 240:10file 1:25 53:21 183:11,12fishing 261:13236:22 237:1,9	1:21 4:17 105:1 3:21 308:11
290:19 295:7 297:11Farr 3:19 73:2,18 74:2,5339:13 358:10 361:8fish 36:2 47:19 261:12follows 13:25297:12 298:23 310:2077:24 78:3,4,14 80:12363:15 371:21 385:17Fisher 3:21 132:25follow-up 45:15 6332:24 338:11,20,2180:20,23 81:1,24 82:5figured 250:12 282:1135:4,4 148:4 149:10187:20 189:5 3338:22,24 340:2 348:782:22 83:1,4,24 84:17358:7366:18317:20355:18 356:3,3 357:1286:9,22 87:11 89:15figures 107:8 137:2fisheries 36:4food 47:23 88:18359:8,14 362:15,2190:15 92:12 95:15figuring 334:21fisherman 47:20109:23 110:1 22365:14 366:17 367:4123:24 239:22 240:10file 1:25 53:21 183:11,12fishing 261:13236:22 237:1,9	4:17 105:1 3:21 308:11
297:12 298:23 310:2077:24 78:3,4,14 80:12363:15 371:21 385:17Fisher 3:21 132:25follow-up 45:15 6332:24 338:11,20,2180:20,23 81:1,24 82:5figured 250:12 282:1135:4,4 148:4 149:10187:20 189:5 3338:22,24 340:2 348:782:22 83:1,4,24 84:17358:7366:18317:20355:18 356:3,3 357:1286:9,22 87:11 89:15figures 107:8 137:2Fisheries 36:4food 47:23 88:18359:8,14 362:15,2190:15 92:12 95:15figuring 334:21fisherman 47:20109:23 110:1 22365:14 366:17 367:4123:24 239:22 240:10file 1:25 53:21 183:11,12fishing 261:13236:22 237:1,9	4:17 105:1 3:21 308:11
332:24 338:11,20,21 80:20,23 81:1,24 82:5 figured 250:12 135:4,4 148:4 149:10 187:20 189:5 3 338:22,24 340:2 348:7 82:22 83:1,4,24 84:17 358:7 366:18 317:20 355:18 356:3,3 357:12 86:9,22 87:11 89:15 figures 107:8 137:2 food 47:23 88:18 359:8,14 366:17 367:4 123:24 239:22 240:10 file 1:25 53:21 183:11,12 fishing 261:13 236:22 237:1,9	4:17 105:1 3:21 308:11
338:22,24 340:2 348:7 82:22 83:1,4,24 84:17 358:7 366:18 317:20 355:18 356:3,3 357:12 86:9,22 87:11 89:15 figures 107:8 137:2 Fisheries 36:4 food 47:23 88:18 359:8,14 362:15,21 90:15 92:12 95:15 figuring 334:21 fisherman 47:20 109:23 110:1 22 365:14 366:17 367:4 123:24 239:22 240:10 file 1:25 53:21 183:11,12 fishing 261:13 236:22 237:1,9	105:1 3:21 308:11
355:18 356:3,3 357:12 86:9,22 87:11 89:15 figures 107:8 137:2 Fisheries 66:4 food 47:23 88:18 359:8,14 362:15,21 90:15 92:12 95:15 figuring 334:21 fisherman 47:23 88:18 365:14 366:17 367:4 123:24 239:22 240:10 file 1:25 53:21 183:11,12 fishing 261:13 236:22 237:1,9	3:21 308:11
359:8,14 362:15,21 90:15 92:12 95:15 figuring 334:21 fisherman 47:20 109:23 110:12 365:14 366:17 367:4 123:24 239:22 240:10 file 1:25 53:21 183:11,12 fishing 261:13 236:22 237:1,9	3:21 308:11
365:14 366:17 367:4 123:24 239:22 240:10 file 1:25 53:21 183:11,12 fishing 261:13 236:22 237:1,9	308:11
	6.8
383:24 Farr's 272:23 331:17 files 292:12 fits 51:16 62:6 177:20 foot 199:18 239:1	1
farmed 75:16 farther 369:18 372:2 filing 55:2 139:23 308:7 315:8 379:15 farmed 75:16 farther 369:18 372:2 filing 55:2 139:23 308:7 315:8 379:15	
farmer 94:8,19,25,25 fashion 187:10 364:6 Filipino 97:18 fittest 329:11 footage 40:12	
95:3 123:1,6 140:3 fast 213:25 219:25 fill 7:13 169:11 235:21 Fitzhugh 4:14 310:15,16 Forbes 37:1	
170:9 200:9 218:14 254:16 280:23 355:25 filling 95:5 384:20 five 14:5 22:22 31:19 force 148:12 219: 280:7 286:3 308:5,23 280:7 286:3 308:5,23 faster 371:8 film 286:24 59:24 92:10 111:23 225:3 239:24 22	
	5:20
	14
343:16 344:5 346:24 favor 352:1 filtering 91:16 183:1 194:8,9 208:18 forced 104:2 111: 349:2 353:6,15 379:5 FDA 74:11 filtration 299:9 212:1 219:20 220:3,22 219:3	14
farmers 14:3,18 17:3 fear 64:19 86:13 87:4 final 14:19 82:17 95:19 224:13 250:10 255:9 forces 100:21	
33:18 77:17 79:12 93:22 94:6,7,7,11 95:5 104:9 110:7 269:23 288:13 307:12 forcible 67:3	
88:16,19 93:3,18,21 100:1,4 149:5 199:14 finalizing 108:9 322:8 323:20 329:20 forefront 237:1	
93:22 96:7 97:13,15 358:24 finally 32:7 52:3 59:15 331:22 339:22 340:19 foregoing 388:11	
97:17 101:14 111:7 feared 94:1 113:5 174:23 210:1,24 349:12 358:8,10 379:8 foreign 239:7	
126:10 131:13 132:19 fears 95:10 239:20 247:9 332:1 381:12,14 forget 163:7	
133:5 197:11 198:2,5 feasibility 151:23 337:25 358:7 380:9 five-minute 282:10 forgets 328:7	
198:14,19 207:3,14 feasible 114:21 151:20 Finance 291:18 five-year 34:19 250:4 forgive 255:14	
209:15 212:13 222:7 151:24 find 34:1,12 36:8 63:23 fix 232:23 form 54:13,14,25	239:25
223:24 224:25 229:20 February 12:15 13:4 90:8,13,24 99:24 flag 272:15 248:25 371:17	
230:22 232:1,23 234:2 28:10 35:19 64:18 121:18 125:1 134:10 flaw 34:5 143:10 formally 146:24	
234:9,21 236:13 237:3 81:11 107:13,15 134:13 172:3 176:6 flawed 294:6 format 157:14 24:	1:18
239:8 242:5 274:12 113:14 115:5 134:16 188:5 202:2 209:3,18 flexibility 57:17,21 58:3 268:14 354:20	
295:16,21 297:13,18 144:12 265:6 288:11 258:3 259:9 311:22 95:18 231:10 304:21 formed 169:3 292	:17
298:1 301:23 302:20 Federal 88:1,3 273:4 312:6,6 340:11 360:23 370:14 former 146:14 16	5:13
307:19 308:7,14,19 Federation 135:5 363:4,11 380:14 flexible 321:19 376:12	
309:2 310:4 317:15 feedback 32:3 83:21 finding 78:11 133:22 Flies 47:23,23,24 forming 322:16	
318:20 332:15 336:5 104:15 168:14 206:3 142:10 216:22 251:9 flimsy 359:25 forms 351:15	_
336:24 337:6 339:3,5 feeding 74:21 255:14 flip 293:23 formula 348:4 35	5:7
340:12 347:19 348:1 feeds 381:7 383:24 findings 19:14 42:13 flips 294:8 355:1 353:1 354:16 358:2 feel 9:8 19:7 22:22 135:8 143:1,2,3,6 216:17 flood 259:23 357:6 Fort 76:1	
	02.15
farmer's 279:23 289:14 300:18 302:10 finds 239:5 Flower 56:13 forth 11:20 81:15 farming 57:19 106:18 311:1,4 358:11 385:24 fine 73:23 148:10 157:18 flowing 262:16 116:22 140:5 10	
111:10 230:8 232:21 feeling 82:12 161:25 194:9 220:4 225:18 flows 280:21 172:24 179:1 2	
293:22 378:11,18 192:24 224:3 259:3 269:22 280:8 fluid 293:22 223:22 388:8	5.21
farmland 185:19 feels 158:9 352:9 386:22 flush 75:10 99:11 195:13 fortunate 309:23	384.25
farms 51:14,20 52:10,12 fees 30:8 63:19 213:1,3 fines 64:7,7,16 fly 167:10 forum 233:25 325	
52:13,16,19,23,25 232:14 fining 89:9 focus 36:18 39:23 51:18 forward 9:7 17:10	'
53:3,4,6,8,14 54:20 feet 379:22 384:6 finish 15:9,10 217:10 55:5 59:3,5 62:7 68:22 96:25 17	
55:6,8,8,15,22,24 fell 216:4 finished 206:21,22 134:22 188:7 197:15 172:20 175:5 1	
56:21,22,24,25 57:1 fellow 132:17 246:2 finishes 41:18 213:7,8 236:19 253:5 178:2 185:18 19	
58:13,15,15,17,20,25 felt 76:8 101:24 216:8 first 9:1 14:1 17:5 22:22 259:5 290:13 303:23 210:25 237:19	
59:9,16,20,20,22,24 FEMA 362:3 29:15 49:9 51:10 75:8 323:1 275:3 278:5,8 2	38:3
60:1,3,4,6,8,11,14,17 fences 237:6 75:10,16 79:12 85:11 focused 33:4 43:18 51:8 288:12,18 289::	
60:20 61:1,5,6,11,12 fertility 67:22 85:12,18 89:7 90:20 212:18 376:13 292:19 300:4 30	
61:20 62:6 95:14,17 fertilize 226:25 338:4 92:15 100:18,22 focusing 43:25 46:8 326:17 332:4 34	7:9
98:9,12 104:25 106:2 fertilizer 39:9,14 40:1,6 108:10 116:13 117:8 59:17 242:6 273:5 foster 36:14	
106:18 110:9,21 113:8 42:14,23 215:6 219:5 137:19,19 145:9 150:9 fold 48:10 found 29:21 98:22	
126:9,11,14,15 127:12 243:13 251:10 253:2,4 154:24 155:21 159:20 folds 49:7 180:11 235:25 3 169:25 folds 49:7 180:11 235:25 5 169:16 180 180 180 180 180 180 180 180 180 180	5U:17
130:17,18,24 156:5 253:9 308:21 335:22 163:22 165:23 166:25 folks 15:4 73:13 94:17 291:21 301:24 160:19 170:3 171:11 348:4 368:23.25 166:25 167:6 175:20 95:7 99:17 116:7 foundation 119:2	124.4
171:13,13,15,15,17,23 369:12 381:19 179:2,14,17 185:5 136:24 137:8 138:13 322:6 331:14 33 171:24 172:2,3 207:22 fertilizers 256:24 186:23 191:14 195:13 140:12 149:24 162:21 founded 322:13	2.0,23
207:23 210:19 212:8 field 37:2 226:25 230:8 203:8 245:8 246:18 162:24 163:16 178:1 four 28:18 29:7 8:	.14
214:12 215:12 217:21 242:9 280:19,22 281:3 247:23 251:1,2 252:16 189:11 195:23 224:9 136:3 188:18,10	
222:17 227:18 245:15 312:11,12 255:8 259:23 260:21 249:7 252:23 284:1 189:1 208:22 2	
278:9,10,15 281:3 fields 301:24 302:1 261:6 274:15 277:8 329:21 338:17 353:21 214:15 265:9 20	
310:7,11 311:15,15 305:6 282:8,12 283:17,24 373:18 386:7 288:13 319:2 3	
316:15 323:9,13 fifth 29:8 28:25 293:13 299:14 follow 63:22 73:22 98:19 328:16 368:24 3	
335:16,24 336:20 fight 300:20 303:12,24 306:15 145:8 158:24,25 382:2,13,15,15	
340:15,19 341:9 352:2 figure 80:10 83:21 95:10 308:6 313:15 317:7 289:19 294:9 308:20 Fourthly 111:17	
352:3 358:3 367:4,24 95:11 137:5,10,10 319:6 320:9 335:15 347:2 four-year 205:19	
367:25 371:5 204:9 210:12,15,17 338:3 345:1 358:8 followed 14:2 23:15 fraction 64:8	
farms/growers 111:5 211:6,13 222:16 264:4 368:19 369:16 following 11:25 14:2 frame 33:17 124:6	166:3

Page 11

Atkinson-Baker, Inc. Court Reporters

Page 12

				1 460 1
169:1,4 179:21 274:25	G	270:13 281:17 300:20	116:6,8 118:3 119:4	322:7 323:25 331:4
frames 176:11 178:20	G 42:1 45:8 175:13	302:6 306:13 307:5	122:5 131:6 135:6	333:20 337:10 346:22
178:22,23,25 182:22	Gabilan 230:17 299:24	319:12 386:6,20	144:1,10 145:3 146:7	348:8 356:21 357:6
framework 331:5	Gabrielle 4:19 326:25	given 18:19 19:16 34:12	148:22 150:12 154:5	359:22 365:5,9,22
Frances 1:24 2:21 3:5	327:4,7	44:18 62:17 124:6,11	157:20 158:18 159:8	367:25 373:3 379:18
7:7 21:10 146:3	Gafney's 260:22	125:19 129:19 136:15	163:21,25 166:20	380:12 385:1 387:1,2
273:15 320:8 388:4,20 Francis 4:18 322:4	Gail 316:13 317:2	153:3 197:14 361:10 380:10	167:1,12 169:10 171:18 173:19 175:5	goodwill 112:21 Gordon 375:1
323:23,25,25	gain 235:4 365:12	gives 178:1 252:8 280:8	176:14 178:14,16,18	gosh 260:18
frankly 76:10 82:5 83:11	gained 60:25 372:5 gains 193:6	giving 81:1 99:4 206:3	179:13,21 180:7 185:8	gotten 162:13,16
149:15 163:11 181:3	gallons 380:16	276:3 306:24,25	193:17,20,21,22,24	govern 275:17
181:23 210:11 222:13	game 36:2 193:15,16	glad 376:5	195:1 198:10 199:16	governing 308:25
222:14 227:8 253:6	270:8 274:20 366:21	global 239:6	199:17 201:7 202:4	government 32:16
264:9 271:21 275:5	gamma 248:22	go 10:13 16:25 22:24	203:13 204:4 205:1,3	35:21 88:3 167:24
319:6 326:10 386:25 Fred 230:13	gap 143:4	41:20 42:9 66:14 73:3	207:23 211:1,1,12,13	168:5 315:3,15 318:18
free 22:22 68:2 248:3	gaps 129:13	73:9 85:6 87:15,19 88:3,9 94:12 95:13	211:17 212:12 213:20 213:21 214:7 215:11	319:24 331:21 363:22 governor 306:24
275:14 276:3	GARRITY 1:24 2:21	97:10 99:13 100:12	215:12,13 219:4,4,5,6	Governor's 12:22
frequently 369:2	388:4,20 gas 368:12	101:8 128:1 132:3,15	219:7,7 222:16 225:18	governs 266:9
fresh 74:22 79:9 88:7	gathering 376:13	135:6,24 145:22 151:5	227:9 235:10,23 236:9	GPR 275:21,21
271:17	gearing 30:20	157:5,6,7,18 158:6,13	236:18 237:7 240:11	grab 353:6
freshwater 231:25 366:9	gee 189:2	158:14 159:13 163:21	241:13 245:16 250:9	grandfather 76:22
friend 260:23 274:11	general 122:17 123:3	166:24 170:6 174:19	252:5,6,17,20 254:1,3	grandkids 318:10
friendly 200:5,6,12	147:6,15 163:17	175:7 176:7,14 178:15	254:3,4,5 255:6,8	grant 30:6 91:4 217:13
friends 87:14 front 10:5 21:20 244:10	223:24 254:20 258:16	178:16 179:22 187:5	257:19,21 260:8 267:4	217:14,15
299:21 312:2 322:24	319:12 345:24 362:20	187:14,21 188:4,7,14 191:2 193:19 195:4,25	269:24 274:21 275:1 276:12,16 278:8 279:7	granted 32:14 79:19 217:1 292:1
322:25 333:2,23 334:3	371:10 372:15	196:24 209:19 211:1,1	279:22 280:10,25	grants 204:16 303:2
frontier 85:8	generally 35:14 38:20	211:12 217:20 218:24	281:1,4,16,24 282:2,5	Grape 315:22,23 380:3
fruits 74:22	50:3 123:18 157:9 268:25 269:10 342:2	220:16 221:22 225:19	282:20,21,22,23	grapes 44:14 315:12
frustrated 311:18	358:6	227:23 228:22 235:10	283:12 284:3,15,25	383:13
318:25	generate 215:11	235:20 240:24 246:17	285:2 287:13 288:25	graph 48:13 119:16,19
frustration 82:8 83:5	generated 217:8 331:12	248:1 249:20 250:25	300:24,25 306:11,13	gratitude 107:23
326:3	generates 308:14	251:3 252:4 253:13	306:14 307:10 312:4	grave 111:17
Fuertos 17:6 362:10	generation 69:25 318:8	254:11 261:9 268:22	318:1 322:24 327:17	Grayson 108:4
full 65:4 71:3 90:7 131:24 289:9 357:25	358:18 379:5	270:18,19 274:21 275:24 276:6 282:8	330:3,8,10,10 334:2,4 334:5 338:16,22	great 11:15 38:2 77:2 127:16 131:23 201:23
369:23 388:11	generations 69:23,25	284:22 285:23 289:25	339:17,19,21 340:21	283:13 319:23,25
fully 12:5 13:21 50:4	259:6 301:8	292:19 298:20 300:2	344:7,18,18 346:17	330:6 337:14 369:16
89:17,17 108:14 113:3	generic 184:7 generosity 205:25	300:24 303:3 308:11	348:11,15,20 350:3	greater 27:12 53:14
113:24 198:6	gentleman 290:3	311:12 313:15 318:10	353:22,22,24 354:22	103:9 229:23 235:13
fund 212:24 216:1	Gentlemen 189:19	318:24 320:9 332:1,4	356:2 358:9,24,25	271:20
217:15 247:2 320:13	geographic 186:8 280:9	337:17 354:17 355:14	360:4 362:14,19	green 29:1 46:25 59:2
fundamental 84:12	280:10 364:10	360:20 361:20,25	364:19 365:12 368:15	60:2 75:4 77:2 78:25
126:8 130:23 156:17	geologist 51:1 205:10	364:5 384:23 385:3	372:5 374:8,11,13,19	225:24 365:7
212:16 fundamentally 33:2	367:17	goal 32:5 70:9 112:10 134:24 310:3 356:6	376:19,24 377:4 379:6	greenhouse 59:25 334:16
105:23 155:22 156:24	geologists 155:17	369:17	379:21 380:9,11,18,20 380:25 384:23 386:2,5	greenhouses 58:23
160:13,15	George 195:4 197:2 geotrack 201:1,2,2	goals 89:4 91:3,13	387:11	59:12 243:2,3 244:6,7
funded 30:4,12 247:3	Geotracker 8:9	114:18 198:24 226:9	golden 203:19 205:22	244:8
336:3 342:3 347:25	getting 22:6 27:16 59:21	226:17 239:18,18	golf 380:24	greens 75:7 109:24
funding 29:24 33:4 91:4	71:20 131:3 179:15,19	God 218:2	Gonee 7:11	greet 187:15
215:24 218:12 231:15	207:8 215:19 216:19	goes 15:7,7 88:22	gonna 95:15 261:1	Greg 3:23 194:14 195:5
236:24 237:18 247:2	216:24 224:10 227:9	100:24 119:20 137:17	good 6:4 23:8 37:23	grew 79:18 206:11
247:10 funds 30:6,7 32:14	227:13 245:2 252:12	169:15,18 181:6,9 246:19 247:18,19	39:7 45:24 46:1 50:24 62:22 63:8,12,12 67:6	greyish-blue 46:20
74:10 235:12 331:22	263:22 267:18 272:4	312:22 321:11 328:3,5	75:24 81:20 82:11,16	grievance 338:19 Grocery 99:18
funnier 373:4	275:14 288:14 289:3	351:1,7	84:9,11 85:15 92:19	Groot 4:9 289:24 293:10
funny 199:6 223:23	298:12,13,15,16 312:16 328:20 380:13	going 6:9 10:1 12:19	93:2,2 97:4 102:8	295:4,5,6 296:17
further 31:14 41:21 64:1	Giannini 4:22 363:19	13:18 15:8,17 17:9	105:14 107:5 114:15	gross 259:18
81:22 112:3 118:7	364:24 365:1,1,5,7	22:9 25:23,23 28:3,5	121:20 132:16 147:1	grossly 238:10
142:8 143:18 147:5	366:19,20	28:11 29:11 38:24	161:13 172:13,20	ground 127:17 134:14
186:13 200:17 287:8	gift 306:23,24 332:17	39:3,5,21 43:15,16	173:20 192:15 201:20	196:6,9 213:6 294:15
307:21 388:13	Gilroy 42:13	46:5 50:6 63:13 67:4	201:21 203:25 216:22	311:23 316:9 365:13
furthermore 36:19 321:25	Gina 4:7 283:7 285:23	70:19 73:1,14 75:21 75:22 76:4 77:1,15,16	219:22 226:18 228:5 228:23 236:3 240:20	372:8,11 groundwater 23:25
future 69:23,25 80:3	286:1	79:17 80:23 81:18	241:1 246:22 247:15	groundwater 23:25 25:11,17 27:21 32:22
93:18 190:10 193:12	give 14:1 21:2 73:18,19	83:14 85:18 87:22	249:9 258:17 259:18	37:8,21 38:2,4,9 39:10
198:17 224:25 242:23	77:8 84:11 125:21 131:14 149:4 151:15	89:10 90:9 91:14,14	261:20 272:15 274:10	39:17 40:2 42:12,16
259:6 296:23 301:8	165:7 168:21 191:15	91:16,25 92:3,3,6,11	274:12,21,24 275:23	44:5,10,20 50:5 52:18
313:24 324:6	194:12 199:17 203:8	93:23 94:4,4,10,12,13	276:20 278:2 281:20	53:13 54:8,9 56:2
F-i-t-z 310:16	206:1 211:23 215:23	94:14,18,25 95:4,11	288:15,16 292:17	57:23 58:1,2 61:1
F-r-a-n-c-i-s 324:1	224:3 245:22 247:7,20	95:13,14,16 98:15	293:12 295:5 304:6	62:10 70:17 76:2
		100:4,25 115:15,16,21	310:9,16 318:7 321:18	85:13 89:22 122:15
	•		•	•

Atkinson-Baker, Inc. Court Reporters

Page 13

	1	1	1	1
123:2 141:3 142:6	197:7,8,9,12 207:19	hand 7:13 358:15	299:16,17 306:3	84:7 225:17 227:7
148:19 149:2,13	208:4,5,14,20,21	handed 258:12	308:15 339:16 348:24	254:16 323:9,16 336:6
153:18 154:6,12,13,22	209:6,12,16 210:15,18	handful 59:23	355:5 359:10 373:14	340:3 349:12,22
173:12,15 175:24	210:21,23 211:1,3,8	handle 84:21 146:6	386:6,16,22,25 387:2	350:25 363:10
176:4 177:14 178:8,9	211:11 212:22,23	351:12 354:1,5	heard 7:4 11:1 43:2	higher 24:2 43:4 53:4
,		-		0
178:12 197:18,25	213:1 216:4 217:22	handout 214:2 230:24	51:10,24 52:3,7 54:6	55:24 87:21 110:22
198:8,15,25 203:1	219:11 221:13 222:17	231:22	64:18 66:20,24 74:11	122:11 130:25 136:9
205:8 213:8,10,12	222:19,22,23,24 223:4	hang 41:17 63:1 343:11	78:8 86:4 117:7	147:24 186:11 190:4,5
247:14,16 249:11,25	242:8,18 274:10,11	343:11	123:14 126:24 129:1	250:25 251:1 361:22
250:1,5 251:23 252:10	292:2,3,5,7,12 293:25	hanging 208:6	138:19 139:12 162:10	higher-risk 61:12
255:7 259:9 279:3,4	296:9,15 298:4 308:8	Hans 3:20 105:12,13	162:10 201:13 203:5	highest 23:7 24:2 26:12
279:19,21 280:2,6,16	308:10 309:11,14,24	happen 87:3 127:20	203:21 225:13,14	43:18 46:12 49:4,13
280:16,17 295:15	312:10 313:17 315:6	153:10 177:25 179:21	262:12 280:19 282:10	51:25 53:7 55:6,8
301:20 310:3 316:20	315:22 318:20 319:18	213:21,22 226:12	310:3,6 313:5 318:23	121:10 170:3,5 181:1
316:24 318:12 320:18	324:25 325:1 345:21	294:25 321:12 368:15	318:25 319:2 327:12	
				204:11 302:23 303:23
320:24 321:8,21	349:4,14,18,20 354:21	happened 64:13 106:3	334:25	310:1 315:12 352:7
331:10 334:15 336:16	358:7 359:1 360:18	215:13 249:22 250:8	hearing 1:1,10 2:1,11	highlight 231:4 373:5
340:7 344:14,16	362:4,7 365:8,13	271:19	7:19 10:16,21 11:6,9	highlighted 136:6 137:8
350:11,14 351:1,12	366:5 367:9,10,13	happening 127:17	11:10 13:25 14:25	366:16
352:7 369:24 372:17	371:17 373:9,11,11,20	159:18 271:14 273:1,1	17:19 18:8 19:16	highlighting 48:25
groundwaters 271:12	373:23 374:1 380:3	374:17 376:23	28:20,21 64:12 66:21	highly 42:19 46:16 47:4
groundwells 271:1	385:15	happens 82:22 88:14	83:15 89:19 98:9	121:13 263:8 328:4
group 14:3,6,9 17:4	grower-based 316:4	99:21 249:3	107:11,11 108:1,6,16	364:21
34:21 57:13 86:19,19	Grower-Shipper 132:22	happy 237:16 288:12	109:1,19 113:5 115:6	Highway 243:5
100:16 123:20,20	Grower/Shipper 110:19	319:7 374:12	116:5 128:5,5 150:5	
130:10,11 131:13	growing 53:13 85:3	Harbor 19:12 49:17		high-risk 210:25
,	0 0		156:13 164:16 199:14	Hill 4:11 42:13 300:8,10
133:2 161:8 167:10,11	346:6 368:21 370:5,6	233:19	207:10 269:14 291:3	300:10 302:4,5,7,9
167:20 207:19 211:11	grows 79:24	hard 21:8 79:8 99:22	316:22 318:16 336:2	hire 155:16 205:8
222:23,24,25 228:25	grubbing 24:13	100:8 126:20 129:24	343:24 352:4 370:20	hired 185:15 216:3
229:4 230:3 240:2,5	guess 9:10,11 82:19	157:8 199:20 206:24	371:3 386:5 387:15	303:14
260:21,24 273:20	83:10 105:10 126:21	209:16 210:11,17	388:7,11	hiring 100:2 207:6
329:22 347:4,6 367:13	216:2 217:25 265:11	211:6 212:4 215:1	hearings 68:23 102:18	historically 15:5 26:10
373:23	272:22 284:7,10,12	216:16,20 251:8	112:14 318:24	211:6 256:20
groups 14:2 29:25 30:22	312:4 318:19 363:12	299:25 303:1 308:14	hearing's 107:19	history 29:12 81:8 85:10
33:15,16 57:17,20	369:17 370:2 372:6	332:16 334:21 352:8	heart 272:25 293:21	181:6 252:18 325:17
	373:5			
99:18 100:20 103:1		352:23 355:24 367:23	heating 189:20	370:7
133:3,4 200:14 260:15	guest 282:25	377:19,19 380:6	heavily 89:20 122:8	hit 87:2 293:20 355:10
262:7 304:17,18	guidance 304:25 305:18	harder 199:12 276:16	heavy 98:5	356:5
318:19 319:19	guide 348:17	370:16	Heavy-handed 308:23	hits 293:21
grow 59:22 79:14,23				
grow 33.22 / 3.14,23	guidelines 44:23	hardest 261:3	heck 275:13	Hmong 97:18
80:3 87:23,25 88:4,7	guidelines 44:23 guilty 307:20	hardest 261:3 harmed 302:11	heck 275:13 Hector 9:6,13 21:24	Hmong 97:18
80:3 87:23,25 88:4,7	guilty 307:20		Hector 9:6,13 21:24	
80:3 87:23,25 88:4,7 332:16 338:14 381:16	guilty 307:20 Gunenad 249:13	harmed 302:11 harmful 44:21	Hector 9:6,13 21:24 283:14	Hmong 97:18 hodgepodge 204:5 hoe 329:17
80:3 87:23,25 88:4,7 332:16 338:14 381:16 383:1	guilty 307:20 Gunenad 249:13 Gutman 197:2,2	harmed 302:11 harmful 44:21 Harter 17:18 264:17,21	Hector 9:6,13 21:24 283:14 height 133:17	Hmong 97:18 hodgepodge 204:5 hoe 329:17 hold 15:14 64:2 184:19
80:3 87:23,25 88:4,7 332:16 338:14 381:16 383:1 grower 58:5 79:5 87:13	guilty 307:20 Gunenad 249:13 Gutman 197:2,2 guy 273:2	harmed 302:11 harmful 44:21 Harter 17:18 264:17,21 264:22 306:20	Hector 9:6,13 21:24 283:14 height 133:17 held 10:21 107:11,12	Hmong 97:18 hodgepodge 204:5 hoe 329:17 hold 15:14 64:2 184:19 348:3 358:15 379:13
80:3 87:23,25 88:4,7 332:16 338:14 381:16 383:1 grower 58:5 79:5 87:13 112:7 136:8,9 137:12	guilty 307:20 Gunenad 249:13 Gutman 197:2,2 guy 273:2 guys 73:12 135:9 136:21	harmed 302:11 harmful 44:21 Harter 17:18 264:17,21 264:22 306:20 Hartfield 378:23	Hector 9:6,13 21:24 283:14 height 133:17 held 10:21 107:11,12 324:17 378:8	Hmong 97:18 hodgepodge 204:5 hoe 329:17 hold 15:14 64:2 184:19 348:3 358:15 379:13 holders 205:16
80:3 87:23,25 88:4,7 332:16 338:14 381:16 383:1 grower 58:5 79:5 87:13 112:7 136:8,9 137:12 141:14 171:13,14	guilty 307:20 Gunenad 249:13 Gutman 197:2,2 guy 273:2 guys 73:12 135:9 136:21 211:16 306:22 365:4	harmed 302:11 harmful 44:21 Harter 17:18 264:17,21 264:22 306:20 Hartfield 378:23 Hartsworth 349:7	Hector 9:6,13 21:24 283:14 height 133:17 held 10:21 107:11,12 324:17 378:8 Hello 291:17 300:10	Hmong 97:18 hodgepodge 204:5 hoe 329:17 hold 15:14 64:2 184:19 348:3 358:15 379:13 holders 205:16 holistic 203:11
80:3 87:23,25 88:4,7 332:16 338:14 381:16 383:1 grower 58:5 79:5 87:13 112:7 136:8,9 137:12 141:14 171:13,14 173:24 184:11,14	guilty 307:20 Gunenad 249:13 Gutman 197:2,2 guy 273:2 guys 73:12 135:9 136:21 211:16 306:22 365:4 380:15 386:5	harmed 302:11 harmful 44:21 Harter 17:18 264:17,21 264:22 306:20 Hartfield 378:23 Hartsworth 349:7 harvest 88:6 305:4	Hector 9:6,13 21:24 283:14 height 133:17 held 10:21 107:11,12 324:17 378:8 Hello 291:17 300:10 help 61:25 84:15 90:12	Hmong 97:18 hodgepodge 204:5 hoe 329:17 hold 15:14 64:2 184:19 348:3 358:15 379:13 holders 205:16 holistic 203:11 home 284:22 299:9
80:3 87:23,25 88:4,7 332:16 338:14 381:16 383:1 grower 58:5 79:5 87:13 112:7 136:8,9 137:12 141:14 171:13,14 173:24 184:11,14 185:24 187:15 192:13	guilty 307:20 Gunenad 249:13 Gutman 197:2,2 guy 273:2 guys 73:12 135:9 136:21 211:16 306:22 365:4 380:15 386:5 G-i-a-n-n-i-n-i 365:1	harmed 302:11 harmful 44:21 Harter 17:18 264:17,21 264:22 306:20 Hartfield 378:23 Hartsworth 349:7 harvest 88:6 305:4 Harvey 3:8 6:9,10 7:5	Hector 9:6,13 21:24 283:14 height 133:17 held 10:21 107:11,12 324:17 378:8 Hello 291:17 300:10 help 61:25 84:15 90:12 101:18 111:25 117:10	Hmong 97:18 hodgepodge 204:5 hoe 329:17 hold 15:14 64:2 184:19 348:3 358:15 379:13 holders 205:16 holistic 203:11 home 284:22 299:9 318:24
80:3 87:23,25 88:4,7 332:16 338:14 381:16 383:1 grower 58:5 79:5 87:13 112:7 136:8,9 137:12 141:14 171:13,14 173:24 184:11,14 185:24 187:15 192:13 195:23 211:25 255:1,2	guilty 307:20 Gunenad 249:13 Gutman 197:2,2 guy 273:2 guys 73:12 135:9 136:21 211:16 306:22 365:4 380:15 386:5	harmed 302:11 harmful 44:21 Harter 17:18 264:17,21 264:22 306:20 Hartfield 378:23 Hartsworth 349:7 harvest 88:6 305:4 Harvey 3:8 6:9,10 7:5 hay 22:15	Hector 9:6,13 21:24 283:14 height 133:17 held 10:21 107:11,12 324:17 378:8 Hello 291:17 300:10 help 61:25 84:15 90:12	Hmong 97:18 hodgepodge 204:5 hoe 329:17 hold 15:14 64:2 184:19 348:3 358:15 379:13 holders 205:16 holistic 203:11 home 284:22 299:9
80:3 87:23,25 88:4,7 332:16 338:14 381:16 383:1 grower 58:5 79:5 87:13 112:7 136:8,9 137:12 141:14 171:13,14 173:24 184:11,14 185:24 187:15 192:13	guilty 307:20 Gunenad 249:13 Gutman 197:2,2 guy 273:2 guys 73:12 135:9 136:21 211:16 306:22 365:4 380:15 386:5 G-i-a-n-n-i-n-i 365:1 G-r-o-o-t 295:6	harmed 302:11 harmful 44:21 Harter 17:18 264:17,21 264:22 306:20 Hartfield 378:23 Hartsworth 349:7 harvest 88:6 305:4 Harvey 3:8 6:9,10 7:5	Hector 9:6,13 21:24 283:14 height 133:17 held 10:21 107:11,12 324:17 378:8 Hello 291:17 300:10 help 61:25 84:15 90:12 101:18 111:25 117:10	Hmong 97:18 hodgepodge 204:5 hoe 329:17 hold 15:14 64:2 184:19 348:3 358:15 379:13 holders 205:16 holistic 203:11 home 284:22 299:9 318:24
80:3 87:23,25 88:4,7 332:16 338:14 381:16 383:1 grower 58:5 79:5 87:13 112:7 136:8,9 137:12 141:14 171:13,14 173:24 184:11,14 185:24 187:15 192:13 195:23 211:25 255:1,2	guilty 307:20 Gunenad 249:13 Gutman 197:2,2 guy 273:2 guys 73:12 135:9 136:21 211:16 306:22 365:4 380:15 386:5 G-i-a-n-n-i-n-i 365:1	harmed 302:11 harmful 44:21 Harter 17:18 264:17,21 264:22 306:20 Hartfield 378:23 Hartsworth 349:7 harvest 88:6 305:4 Harvey 3:8 6:9,10 7:5 hay 22:15	Hector 9:6,13 21:24 283:14 height 133:17 held 10:21 107:11,12 324:17 378:8 Hello 291:17 300:10 help 61:25 84:15 90:12 101:18 111:25 117:10 131:21 165:13 170:12	Hmong 97:18 hodgepodge 204:5 hoe 329:17 hold 15:14 64:2 184:19 348:3 358:15 379:13 holders 205:16 holistic 203:11 home 284:22 299:9 318:24 homeowners 379:23
80:3 87:23,25 88:4,7 332:16 338:14 381:16 383:1 grower 58:5 79:5 87:13 112:7 136:8,9 137:12 141:14 171:13,14 173:24 184:11,14 185:24 187:15 192:13 195:23 211:25 255:1,2 264:11 294:7,8 318:8	guilty 307:20 Gunenad 249:13 Gutman 197:2,2 guy 273:2 guys 73:12 135:9 136:21 211:16 306:22 365:4 380:15 386:5 G-i-a-n-n-i-n-i 365:1 G-r-o-o-t 295:6 H	harmed 302:11 harmful 44:21 Harter 17:18 264:17,21 264:22 306:20 Hartfield 378:23 Hartsworth 349:7 harvest 88:6 305:4 Harvey 3:8 6:9,10 7:5 hay 22:15 head 87:3 313:11 337:19	Hector 9:6,13 21:24 283:14 height 133:17 held 10:21 107:11,12 324:17 378:8 Hello 291:17 300:10 help 61:25 84:15 90:12 101:18 111:25 117:10 131:21 165:13 170:12 170:20 186:22 204:17	Hmong 97:18 hodgepodge 204:5 hoe 329:17 hold 15:14 64:2 184:19 348:3 358:15 379:13 holders 205:16 holistic 203:11 home 284:22 299:9 318:24 homeowners 379:23 homeowner's 380:20
80:3 87:23,25 88:4,7 332:16 338:14 381:16 383:1 grower 58:5 79:5 87:13 112:7 136:8,9 137:12 141:14 171:13,14 173:24 184:11,14 185:24 187:15 192:13 195:23 211:25 255:1,2 264:11 294:7,8 318:8 335:18,21 345:7,20	guilty 307:20 Gunenad 249:13 Gutman 197:2,2 guy 273:2 guys 73:12 135:9 136:21 211:16 306:22 365:4 380:15 386:5 G-i-a-n-n-i-ni 365:1 G-r-o-o-t 295:6 H H 147:12	harmed 302:11 harmful 44:21 Harter 17:18 264:17,21 264:22 306:20 Hartfield 378:23 Hartsworth 349:7 harvest 88:6 305:4 Harvey 3:8 6:9,10 7:5 hay 22:15 head 87:3 313:11 337:19 headsets 21:20	Hector 9:6,13 21:24 283:14 height 133:17 held 10:21 107:11,12 324:17 378:8 Hello 291:17 300:10 help 61:25 84:15 90:12 101:18 111:25 117:10 131:21 165:13 170:12 170:20 186:22 204:17 204:22 205:4 207:4	Hmong 97:18 hodgepodge 204:5 hoe 329:17 hold 15:14 64:2 184:19 348:3 358:15 379:13 holders 205:16 holistic 203:11 home 284:22 299:9 318:24 homeowners 379:23 homeowner's 380:20 homes 336:18 381:7
80:3 87:23,25 88:4,7 332:16 338:14 381:16 383:1 grower 58:5 79:5 87:13 112:7 136:8,9 137:12 141:14 171:13,14 173:24 184:11,14 185:24 187:15 192:13 195:23 211:25 255:1,2 264:11 294:7,8 318:8 335:18,21 345:7,20 346:8 361:4 363:8,14	guilty 307:20 Gunenad 249:13 Gutman 197:2,2 guy 273:2 guys 73:12 135:9 136:21 211:16 306:22 365:4 380:15 386:5 G-i-a-n-n-i-n-i 365:1 G-r-o-o-t 295:6 <u>H</u> H 147:12 habitat 30:18 152:3,6,8	harmed 302:11 harmful 44:21 Harter 17:18 264:17,21 264:22 306:20 Hartfield 378:23 Hartsworth 349:7 harvest 88:6 305:4 Harvey 3:8 6:9,10 7:5 hay 22:15 head 87:3 313:11 337:19 headsets 21:20 health 24:1 26:12 36:1	Hector 9:6,13 21:24 283:14 height 133:17 held 10:21 107:11,12 324:17 378:8 Hello 291:17 300:10 help 61:25 84:15 90:12 101:18 111:25 117:10 131:21 165:13 170:12 170:20 186:22 204:17 204:22 205:4 207:4 220:19 221:20 235:16 237:18 239:17,18,18	Hmong 97:18 hodgepodge 204:5 hoe 329:17 hold 15:14 64:2 184:19 348:3 358:15 379:13 holders 205:16 holistic 203:11 home 284:22 299:9 318:24 homeowners 379:23 homeowner's 380:20 homes 336:18 381:7 383:20,24 honest 172:14 386:17
80:3 87:23,25 88:4,7 332:16 338:14 381:16 383:1 grower 58:5 79:5 87:13 112:7 136:8,9 137:12 141:14 171:13,14 173:24 184:11,14 185:24 187:15 192:13 195:23 211:25 255:1,2 264:11 294:7,8 318:8 335:18,21 345:7,20 346:8 361:4 363:8,14 367:6,24 372:1	guilty 307:20 Gunenad 249:13 Gutman 197:2,2 guy 273:2 guys 73:12 135:9 136:21 211:16 306:22 365:4 380:15 386:5 G-i-a-n-n-i-n-i 365:1 G-r-o-o-t 295:6 <u>H</u> H 147:12 habitat 30:18 152:3,6,8 152:12 229:8 231:3,25	harmed 302:11 harmful 44:21 Harter 17:18 264:17,21 264:22 306:20 Hartffield 378:23 Hartsworth 349:7 harvest 88:6 305:4 Harvey 3:8 6:9,10 7:5 hay 22:15 head 87:3 313:11 337:19 headsets 21:20 health 24:1 26:12 36:1 36:19,25 37:3,12 38:7	Hector 9:6,13 21:24 283:14 height 133:17 held 10:21 107:11,12 324:17 378:8 Hello 291:17 300:10 help 61:25 84:15 90:12 101:18 111:25 117:10 131:21 165:13 170:12 170:20 186:22 204:17 204:22 205:4 207:4 220:19 221:20 235:16 237:18 239:17,18,18 305:11 309:3 311:6	Hmong 97:18 hodgepodge 204:5 hoe 329:17 hold 15:14 64:2 184:19 348:3 358:15 379:13 holders 205:16 holistic 203:11 home 284:22 299:9 318:24 homeowner's 380:20 homes 336:18 381:7 383:20,24 honest 172:14 386:17 honestly 251:6
80:3 87:23,25 88:4,7 332:16 338:14 381:16 383:1 grower 58:5 79:5 87:13 112:7 136:8,9 137:12 141:14 171:13,14 173:24 184:11,14 185:24 187:15 192:13 195:23 211:25 255:1,2 264:11 294:7,8 318:8 335:18,21 345:7,20 346:8 361:4 363:8,14 367:6,24 372:1 growers 8:19 27:19 39:13 54:3,22 56:7,10	guilty 307:20 Gunenad 249:13 Gutman 197:2,2 guy 273:2 guys 73:12 135:9 136:21 211:16 306:22 365:4 380:15 386:5 G-i-a-n-n-i-n-i 365:1 G-r-o-o-t 295:6 <u>H</u> H 147:12 habitat 30:18 152:3,6,8 152:12 229:8 231:3,25 262:8	harmed 302:11 harmful 44:21 Harter 17:18 264:17,21 264:22 306:20 Hartfield 378:23 Hartsworth 349:7 harvest 88:6 305:4 Harvey 3:8 6:9,10 7:5 hay 22:15 head 87:3 313:11 337:19 headsets 21:20 health 24:1 26:12 36:1 36:19,25 37:3,12 38:7 39:6 44:3 47:22 48:1 49:24 52:1 68:24 70:5	Hector 9:6,13 21:24 283:14 height 133:17 held 10:21 107:11,12 324:17 378:8 Hello 291:17 300:10 help 61:25 84:15 90:12 101:18 111:25 117:10 131:21 165:13 170:12 170:20 186:22 204:17 204:22 205:4 207:4 220:19 221:20 235:16 237:18 239:17,18,18 305:11 309:3 311:6 325:14 332:10 333:9	Hmong 97:18 hodgepodge 204:5 hoe 329:17 hold 15:14 64:2 184:19 348:3 358:15 379:13 holders 205:16 holistic 203:11 home 284:22 299:9 318:24 homeowner's 380:20 homes 336:18 381:7 383:20,24 honest 172:14 386:17 honestly 251:6 honorable 238:1 307:16
80:3 87:23,25 88:4,7 332:16 338:14 381:16 383:1 grower 58:5 79:5 87:13 112:7 136:8,9 137:12 141:14 171:13,14 173:24 184:11,14 185:24 187:15 192:13 195:23 211:25 255:1,2 264:11 294:7,8 318:8 335:18,21 345:7,20 346:8 361:4 363:8,14 367:6,24 372:1 growers 8:19 27:19 39:13 54:3,22 56:7,10 56:15,17,18 57:1,4,25	guilty 307:20 Gunenad 249:13 Gutman 197:2,2 guy 273:2 guys 73:12 135:9 136:21 211:16 306:22 365:4 380:15 386:5 G-i-a-n-n-i-n-i 365:1 G-r-o-o-t 295:6 H H 147:12 habitat 30:18 152:3,6,8 152:12 229:8 231:3,25 262:8 habitats 138:23 262:19	harmed 302:11 harmful 44:21 Harter 17:18 264:17,21 264:22 306:20 Hartfield 378:23 Hartsworth 349:7 harvest 88:6 305:4 Harvey 3:8 6:9,10 7:5 hay 22:15 head 87:3 313:11 337:19 headsets 21:20 health 24:1 26:12 36:1 36:19,25 37:3,12 38:7 39:6 44:3 47:22 48:1 49:24 52:1 68:24 70:5 71:8,21,25 72:3,5 75:7	Hector 9:6,13 21:24 283:14 height 133:17 held 10:21 107:11,12 324:17 378:8 Hello 291:17 300:10 help 61:25 84:15 90:12 101:18 111:25 117:10 131:21 165:13 170:12 170:20 186:22 204:17 204:22 205:4 207:4 220:19 221:20 235:16 237:18 239:17,18,18 305:11 309:3 311:6 325:14 332:10 333:9 356:23	Hmong 97:18 hodgepodge 204:5 hoe 329:17 hold 15:14 64:2 184:19 348:3 358:15 379:13 holders 205:16 holistic 203:11 home 284:22 299:9 318:24 homeowner's 380:20 homes 336:18 381:7 383:20,24 honest 172:14 386:17 honestly 251:6 honorable 238:1 307:16 hope 36:9 77:9 92:8,16
80:3 87:23,25 88:4,7 332:16 338:14 381:16 383:1 grower 58:5 79:5 87:13 112:7 136:8,9 137:12 141:14 171:13,14 173:24 184:11,14 185:24 187:15 192:13 195:23 211:25 255:1,2 264:11 294:7,8 318:8 335:18,21 345:7,20 346:8 361:4 363:8,14 367:6,24 372:1 growers 8:19 27:19 39:13 54:3,22 56:7,10 56:15,17,18 57:1,4,25 58:4 59:14 62:12,14	guilty 307:20 Gunenad 249:13 Gutman 197:2,2 guy 273:2 guys 73:12 135:9 136:21 211:16 306:22 365:4 380:15 386:5 G-i-a-n-n-i-n-i 365:1 G-r-o-o-t 295:6 H H 147:12 habitat 30:18 152:3,6,8 152:12 229:8 231:3,25 262:8 habitats 138:23 262:19 272:20	harmed 302:11 harmful 44:21 Harter 17:18 264:17,21 264:22 306:20 Hartfield 378:23 Hartsworth 349:7 harvest 88:6 305:4 Harvey 3:8 6:9,10 7:5 hay 22:15 head 87:3 313:11 337:19 headsets 21:20 health 24:1 26:12 36:1 36:19,25 37:3,12 38:7 39:6 44:3 47:22 48:1 49:24 52:1 68:24 70:5 71:8,21,25 72:3,5 75:7 79:11,13,13 89:22	Hector 9:6,13 21:24 283:14 height 133:17 held 10:21 107:11,12 324:17 378:8 Hello 291:17 300:10 help 61:25 84:15 90:12 101:18 111:25 117:10 131:21 165:13 170:12 170:20 186:22 204:17 204:22 205:4 207:4 220:19 221:20 235:16 237:18 239:17,18,18 305:11 309:3 311:6 325:14 332:10 333:9 356:23 helpful 244:25 294:20	Hmong 97:18 hodgepodge 204:5 hoe 329:17 hold 15:14 64:2 184:19 348:3 358:15 379:13 holders 205:16 holistic 203:11 home 284:22 299:9 318:24 homeowner's 380:20 homes 336:18 381:7 383:20,24 honest 172:14 386:17 honest 172:14 386:17
80:3 87:23,25 88:4,7 332:16 338:14 381:16 383:1 grower 58:5 79:5 87:13 112:7 136:8,9 137:12 141:14 171:13,14 173:24 184:11,14 185:24 187:15 192:13 195:23 211:25 255:1,2 264:11 294:7,8 318:8 335:18,21 345:7,20 346:8 361:4 363:8,14 367:6,24 372:1 growers 8:19 27:19 39:13 54:3,22 56:7,10 56:15,17,18 57:1,4,25 58:4 59:14 62:12,14 64:22 65:11,13,18,24	guilty 307:20 Gunenad 249:13 Gutman 197:2,2 guy 273:2 guys 73:12 135:9 136:21 211:16 306:22 365:4 380:15 386:5 G-i-a-n-n-i-n-i 365:1 G-r-o-o-t 295:6 H 147:12 habitat 30:18 152:3,6,8 152:12 229:8 231:3,25 262:8 habitats 138:23 262:19 272:20 habits 199:12	harmed 302:11 harmful 44:21 Harter 17:18 264:17,21 264:22 306:20 Hartfield 378:23 Hartsworth 349:7 harvest 88:6 305:4 Harvey 3:8 6:9,10 7:5 hay 22:15 head 87:3 313:11 337:19 headsets 21:20 health 24:1 26:12 36:1 36:19,25 37:3,12 38:7 39:6 44:3 47:22 48:1 49:24 52:1 68:24 70:5 71:8,21,25 72:3,5 75:7 79:11,13,13 89:22 113:18,20 168:18	Hector 9:6,13 21:24 283:14 height 133:17 held 10:21 107:11,12 324:17 378:8 Hello 291:17 300:10 help 61:25 84:15 90:12 101:18 111:25 117:10 131:21 165:13 170:12 170:20 186:22 204:17 204:22 205:4 207:4 220:19 221:20 235:16 237:18 239:17,18,18 305:11 309:3 311:6 325:14 332:10 333:9 356:23 helpful 244:25 294:20 294:25 342:9,13	Hmong 97:18 hodgepodge 204:5 hoe 329:17 hold 15:14 64:2 184:19 348:3 358:15 379:13 holders 205:16 holistic 203:11 home 284:22 299:9 318:24 homeowner's 380:20 homes 336:18 381:7 383:20,24 honest 172:14 386:17 honest 172:14 386:17 honestly 251:6 honorable 238:1 307:16 hope 36:9 77:9 92:8,16 101:11 111:1 231:9 234:21 242:22 254:7,8
80:3 87:23,25 88:4,7 332:16 338:14 381:16 383:1 grower 58:5 79:5 87:13 112:7 136:8,9 137:12 141:14 171:13,14 173:24 184:11,14 185:24 187:15 192:13 195:23 211:25 255:1,2 264:11 294:7,8 318:8 335:18,21 345:7,20 346:8 361:4 363:8,14 367:6,24 372:1 growers 8:19 27:19 39:13 54:3,22 56:7,10 56:15,17,18 57:14,25 58:4 59:14 62:12,14 64:22 65:11,13,18,24 66:24 67:6 76:19	guilty 307:20 Gunenad 249:13 Gutman 197:2,2 guy 273:2 guys 73:12 135:9 136:21 211:16 306:22 365:4 380:15 386:5 G-i-a-n-n-i-n-i 365:1 G-r-o-o-t 295:6 H H 147:12 habitat 30:18 152:3,6,8 152:12 229:8 231:3,25 262:8 habitats 138:23 262:19 272:20	harmed 302:11 harmful 44:21 Harter 17:18 264:17,21 264:22 306:20 Hartfield 378:23 Hartsworth 349:7 harvest 88:6 305:4 Harvey 3:8 6:9,10 7:5 hay 22:15 head 87:3 313:11 337:19 headsets 21:20 health 24:1 26:12 36:1 36:19,25 37:3,12 38:7 39:6 44:3 47:22 48:1 49:24 52:1 68:24 70:5 71:8,21,25 72:3,5 75:7 79:11,13,13 89:22 113:18,20 168:18 238:7 249:4,5,13	Hector 9:6,13 21:24 283:14 height 133:17 held 10:21 107:11,12 324:17 378:8 Hello 291:17 300:10 help 61:25 84:15 90:12 101:18 111:25 117:10 131:21 165:13 170:12 170:20 186:22 204:17 204:22 205:4 207:4 220:19 221:20 235:16 237:18 239:17,18,18 305:11 309:3 311:6 325:14 332:10 333:9 356:23 helpful 244:25 294:20 294:25 342:9,13 helping 22:9 168:8	Hmong 97:18 hodgepodge 204:5 hoe 329:17 hold 15:14 64:2 184:19 348:3 358:15 379:13 holders 205:16 holistic 203:11 home 284:22 299:9 318:24 homeowner's 380:20 homes 336:18 381:7 383:20,24 honest 172:14 386:17 honest 172:14 386:17 honest 138:1307:16 honerable 238:1 307:16 hope 36:9 77:9 92:8,16 101:11 111: 231:9 234:21 242:22 254:7,8 254:15 284:13 319:4
80:3 87:23,25 88:4,7 332:16 338:14 381:16 383:1 grower 58:5 79:5 87:13 112:7 136:8,9 137:12 141:14 171:13,14 173:24 184:11,14 185:24 187:15 192:13 195:23 211:25 255:1,2 264:11 294:7,8 318:8 335:18,21 345:7,20 346:8 361:4 363:8,14 367:6,24 372:1 growers 8:19 27:19 39:13 54:3,22 56:7,10 56:15,17,18 57:1,4,25 58:4 59:14 62:12,14 64:22 65:11,13,18,24 66:24 67:6 76:19 77:11 79:15 85:2 89:4	guilty 307:20 Gunenad 249:13 Gutman 197:2,2 guy 273:2 guys 73:12 135:9 136:21 211:16 306:22 365:4 380:15 386:5 G-i-a-n-n-i-n-i 365:1 G-r-o-o-t 295:6 H H 147:12 habitat 30:18 152:3,6,8 152:12 229:8 231:3,25 262:8 habitats 138:23 262:19 272:20 habits 199:12 half 23:13 28:17 46:14 47:5 48:8 51:7 62:13	harmed 302:11 harmful 44:21 Harter 17:18 264:17,21 264:22 306:20 Hartfield 378:23 Hartsworth 349:7 harvest 88:6 305:4 Harvey 3:8 6:9,10 7:5 hay 22:15 head 87:3 313:11 337:19 headsets 21:20 health 24:1 26:12 36:1 36:19.25 37:3,12 38:7 39:6 44:3 47:22 48:1 49:24 52:1 68:24 70:5 71:8,21,25 72:3,5 75:7 79:11,13,13 89:22 113:18,20 168:18 238:7 249:4,5,13 250:16,22 251:15,15	Hector 9:6,13 21:24 283:14 height 133:17 held 10:21 107:11,12 324:17 378:8 Hello 291:17 300:10 help 61:25 84:15 90:12 101:18 111:25 117:10 131:21 165:13 170:12 170:20 186:22 204:17 204:22 205:4 207:4 220:19 221:20 235:16 237:18 239:17,18,18 305:11 309:3 311:6 325:14 332:10 333:9 356:23 helpful 244:25 294:20 294:25 342:9,13 helpfug 22:9 168:8 213:15 235:4 320:1	Hmong 97:18 hodgepodge 204:5 hoe 329:17 hold 15:14 64:2 184:19 348:3 358:15 379:13 holders 205:16 holistic 203:11 home 284:22 299:9 318:24 homeowner's 380:20 homes 336:18 381:7 383:20,24 honest 172:14 386:17 honestly 251:6 honorable 238:1 307:16 hope 36:9 77:9 92:8,16 101:11 111:1 231:9 234:21 242:22 254:7,8 254:15 284:13 319:4 366:20 372:6 374:21
80:3 87:23,25 88:4,7 332:16 338:14 381:16 383:1 grower 58:5 79:5 87:13 112:7 136:8,9 137:12 141:14 171:13,14 173:24 184:11,14 185:24 187:15 192:13 195:23 211:25 255:1,2 264:11 294:7,8 318:8 335:18,21 345:7,20 346:8 361:4 363:8,14 367:6,24 372:1 growers 8:19 27:19 39:13 54:3,22 56:7,10 56:15,17,18 57:1,4,25 58:4 59:14 62:12,14 64:22 65:11,13,18,24 66:24 67:6 76:19 77:11 79:15 85:2 89:4 99:18 100:20 110:14	guilty 307:20 Gunenad 249:13 Gutman 197:2,2 guy 273:2 guys 73:12 135:9 136:21 211:16 306:22 365:4 380:15 386:5 G-i-a-n-n-i-n-i 365:1 G-r-o-o-t 295:6 H 147:12 habitat 30:18 152:3,6,8 152:12 229:8 231:3,25 262:8 habitats 138:23 262:19 272:20 habits 199:12 half 23:13 28:17 46:14	harmed 302:11 harmful 44:21 Harter 17:18 264:17,21 264:22 306:20 Hartfield 378:23 Hartsworth 349:7 harvest 88:6 305:4 Harvey 3:8 6:9,10 7:5 hay 22:15 head 87:3 313:11 337:19 headsets 21:20 health 24:1 26:12 36:1 36:19,25 37:3,12 38:7 $39:6 44:3 47:22 48:1$ $49:24 52:1 68:24 70:5$ $71:8,212 57:3,5 75:7$ $79:11,13,13 89:22$ $113:18,20 168:18$ $238:7 249:4,5,13$ $250:16,22 251:15,15$ $251:16 258:19 272:21$	Hector 9:6,13 21:24 283:14 height 133:17 held 10:21 107:11,12 324:17 378:8 Hello 291:17 300:10 help 61:25 84:15 90:12 101:18 111:25 117:10 131:21 165:13 170:12 170:20 186:22 204:17 204:22 205:4 207:4 220:19 221:20 235:16 237:18 239:17,18,18 305:11 309:3 311:6 325:14 332:10 333:9 356:23 helpful 244:25 294:20 294:25 342:9,13 helping 22:9 168:8 213:15 235:4 320:1 helps 15:25	Hmong 97:18 hodgepodge 204:5 hoe 329:17 hold 15:14 64:2 184:19 348:3 358:15 379:13 holders 205:16 holistic 203:11 home 284:22 299:9 318:24 homeowners 379:23 homeowner's 380:20 homes 336:18 381:7 383:20,24 honest 172:14 386:17 honestly 251:6 honorable 238:1 307:16 hope 36:9 77:9 92:8,16 101:11 111:1 231:9 234:21 242:22 254:7,8 254:15 284:13 319:4 366:20 372:6 374:21 375:3 377:1
80:3 87:23,25 88:4,7 332:16 338:14 381:16 383:1 grower 58:5 79:5 87:13 112:7 136:8,9 137:12 141:14 171:13,14 173:24 184:11,14 185:24 187:15 192:13 195:23 211:25 255:1,2 264:11 294:7,8 318:8 335:18,21 345:7,20 346:8 361:4 363:8,14 367:6,24 372:1 growers 8:19 27:19 39:13 54:3,22 56:7,10 56:15,17,18 57:1,4,25 58:4 59:14 62:12,14 64:22 65:11,13,18,24 66:24 67:6 76:19 77:11 79:15 85:2 89:4	guilty 307:20 Gunenad 249:13 Gutman 197:2,2 guy 273:2 guys 73:12 135:9 136:21 211:16 306:22 365:4 380:15 386:5 G-i-a-n-n-i-n-i 365:1 G-r-o-o-t 295:6 H H 147:12 habitat 30:18 152:3,6,8 152:12 229:8 231:3,25 262:8 habitats 138:23 262:19 272:20 habits 199:12 half 23:13 28:17 46:14 47:5 48:8 51:7 62:13	harmed 302:11 harmful 44:21 Harter 17:18 264:17,21 264:22 306:20 Hartfield 378:23 Hartsworth 349:7 harvest 88:6 305:4 Harvey 3:8 6:9,10 7:5 hay 22:15 head 87:3 313:11 337:19 headsets 21:20 health 24:1 26:12 36:1 36:19.25 37:3,12 38:7 39:6 44:3 47:22 48:1 49:24 52:1 68:24 70:5 71:8,21,25 72:3,5 75:7 79:11,13,13 89:22 113:18,20 168:18 238:7 249:4,5,13 250:16,22 251:15,15	Hector 9:6,13 21:24 283:14 height 133:17 held 10:21 107:11,12 324:17 378:8 Hello 291:17 300:10 help 61:25 84:15 90:12 101:18 111:25 117:10 131:21 165:13 170:12 170:20 186:22 204:17 204:22 205:4 207:4 220:19 221:20 235:16 237:18 239:17,18,18 305:11 309:3 311:6 325:14 332:10 333:9 356:23 helpful 244:25 294:20 294:25 342:9,13 helpfug 22:9 168:8 213:15 235:4 320:1	Hmong 97:18 hodgepodge 204:5 hoe 329:17 hold 15:14 64:2 184:19 348:3 358:15 379:13 holders 205:16 holistic 203:11 home 284:22 299:9 318:24 homeowner's 380:20 homes 336:18 381:7 383:20,24 honest 172:14 386:17 honestly 251:6 honorable 238:1 307:16 hope 36:9 77:9 92:8,16 101:11 111:1 231:9 234:21 242:22 254:7,8 254:15 284:13 319:4 366:20 372:6 374:21
80:3 87:23,25 88:4,7 332:16 338:14 381:16 383:1 grower 58:5 79:5 87:13 112:7 136:8,9 137:12 141:14 171:13,14 173:24 184:11,14 185:24 187:15 192:13 195:23 211:25 255:1,2 264:11 294:7,8 318:8 335:18,21 345:7,20 346:8 361:4 363:8,14 367:6,24 372:1 growers 8:19 27:19 39:13 54:3,22 56:7,10 56:15,17,18 57:1,4,25 58:4 59:14 62:12,14 64:22 65:11,13,18,24 66:24 67:6 76:19 77:11 79:15 85:2 89:4 99:18 100:20 110:14	guilty 307:20 Gunenad 249:13 Gutman 197:2,2 guy 273:2 guys 73:12 135:9 136:21 211:16 306:22 365:4 380:15 386:5 G-i-a-n-n-i-n-i 365:1 G-r-o-o-t 295:6 H H 147:12 habitat 30:18 152:3,6,8 152:12 229:8 231:3,25 262:8 habitas 138:23 262:19 272:20 habits 199:12 half 23:13 28:17 46:14 47:5 48:8 51:7 62:13 66:7 68:8 71:12 92:25 125:4,5 128:11 135:19	harmed 302:11 harmful 44:21 Harter 17:18 264:17,21 264:22 306:20 Hartfield 378:23 Hartsworth 349:7 harvest 88:6 305:4 Harvey 3:8 6:9,10 7:5 hay 22:15 head 87:3 313:11 337:19 headsets 21:20 health 24:1 26:12 36:1 36:19,25 37:3,12 38:7 $39:6 44:3 47:22 48:1$ $49:24 52:1 68:24 70:5$ $71:8,212 57:3,5 75:7$ $79:11,13,13 89:22$ $113:18,20 168:18$ $238:7 249:4,5,13$ $250:16,22 251:15,15$ $251:16 258:19 272:21$	Hector 9:6,13 21:24 283:14 height 133:17 held 10:21 107:11,12 324:17 378:8 Hello 291:17 300:10 help 61:25 84:15 90:12 101:18 111:25 117:10 131:21 165:13 170:12 170:20 186:22 204:17 204:22 205:4 207:4 220:19 221:20 235:16 237:18 239:17,18,18 305:11 309:3 311:6 325:14 332:10 333:9 356:23 helpful 244:25 294:20 294:25 342:9,13 helping 22:9 168:8 213:15 235:4 320:1 helps 15:25	Hmong 97:18 hodgepodge 204:5 hoe 329:17 hold 15:14 64:2 184:19 348:3 358:15 379:13 holders 205:16 holistic 203:11 home 284:22 299:9 318:24 homeowners 379:23 homeowner's 380:20 homes 336:18 381:7 383:20,24 honest 172:14 386:17 honestly 251:6 honorable 238:1 307:16 hope 36:9 77:9 92:8,16 101:11 111:1 231:9 234:21 242:22 254:7,8 254:15 284:13 319:4 366:20 372:6 374:21 375:3 377:1
80:3 87:23,25 88:4,7 332:16 338:14 381:16 383:1 grower 58:5 79:5 87:13 112:7 136:8,9 137:12 141:14 171:13,14 173:24 184:11,14 185:24 187:15 192:13 195:23 211:25 255:1,2 264:11 294:7,8 318:8 335:18,21 345:7,20 346:8 361:4 363:8,14 367:6,24 372:1 growers 8:19 27:19 39:13 54:3,22 56:7,10 56:15,17,18 57:1,4,25 58:4 59:14 62:12,14 64:22 65:11,13,18,24 66:24 67:6 76:19 77:11 79:15 85:2 89:4 99:18 100:20 110:14 110:16,20 112:6,12	guilty 307:20 Gunenad 249:13 Gutman 197:2,2 guy 273:2 guys 73:12 135:9 136:21 211:16 306:22 365:4 380:15 386:5 G-i-a-n-n-i-n-i 365:1 G-r-o-o-t 295:6 <u>H</u> H 147:12 habitat 30:18 152:3,6,8 152:12 229:8 231:3,25 262:8 habitas 138:23 262:19 272:20 habits 199:12 half 23:13 28:17 46:14 47:5 48:8 51:7 62:13 66:7 68:8 71:12 92:25 125:4,5 128:11 135:19 150:6 160:6 165:21	harmed 302:11 harmful 44:21 Harter 17:18 264:17,21 264:22 306:20 Hartfield 378:23 Hartsworth 349:7 harvest 88:6 305:4 Harvey 3:8 6:9,10 7:5 hay 22:15 head 87:3 313:11 337:19 headsets 21:20 health 24:1 26:12 36:1 36:19,25 37:3,12 38:7 $39:6 44:3 47:22 48:149:24 52:1 68:24 70:5$ $71:8,21,25 72:3,5 75:7$ $79:11,13,13 89:22$ $113:18,20 168:18$ $238:7 249:4,5,13$ $250:16,22 251:15,15$ $251:16 258:19 272:21$ $275:22 276:5 286:13$	Hector 9:6,13 21:24 283:14 height 133:17 held 10:21 107:11,12 324:17 378:8 Hello 291:17 300:10 help 61:25 84:15 90:12 101:18 111:25 117:10 131:21 165:13 170:12 170:20 186:22 204:17 204:22 205:4 207:4 220:19 221:20 235:16 237:18 239:17,18,18 305:11 309:3 311:6 325:14 332:10 333:9 356:23 helpful 244:25 294:20 294:25 342:9,13 helping 22:9 168:8 213:15 235:4 320:1 helps 15:25 Hensley 375:1	Hmong 97:18 hodgepodge 204:5 hoe 329:17 hold 15:14 64:2 184:19 348:3 358:15 379:13 holders 205:16 holistic 203:11 home 284:22 299:9 318:24 homeowner's 380:20 homes 336:18 381:7 383:20,24 honest 172:14 386:17 honestly 251:6 honorable 238:1 307:16 hope 36:9 77:9 92:8,16 101:11 111:1 231:9 234:21 242:22 254:7,8 254:15 284:13 319:4 366:20 372:6 374:21 375:3 377:1 hoped 270:24
80:3 87:23,25 88:4,7 332:16 338:14 381:16 383:1 grower 58:5 79:5 87:13 112:7 136:8,9 137:12 141:14 171:13,14 173:24 184:11,14 185:24 187:15 192:13 195:23 211:25 255:1,2 264:11 294:7,8 318:8 335:18,21 345:7,20 346:8 361:4 363:8,14 367:6,24 372:1 growers 8:19 27:19 39:13 54:3,22 56:7,10 56:15,17,18 57:1,4,25 58:4 59:14 62:12,14 64:22 65:11,13,18,24 66:24 67:6 76:19 77:11 79:15 85:2 89:4 99:18 100:20 110:14 110:16,20 112:6,12 113:1 114:14,17 126:5 126:18,19 132:18,21	guilty 307:20 Gunenad 249:13 Gutman 197:2,2 guy 273:2 guys 73:12 135:9 136:21 211:16 306:22 365:4 380:15 386:5 G-i-a-n-n-i-n-i 365:1 G-r-o-o-t 295:6 H H 147:12 habitat 30:18 152:3,6,8 152:12 229:8 231:3,25 262:8 habitats 138:23 262:19 272:20 habits 199:12 half 23:13 28:17 46:14 47:5 48:8 51:7 62:13 66:7 68:8 71:12 92:25 125:4,5 128:11 135:19 150:6 160:6 165:21 213:3 221:11 247:22	harmed 302:11 harmful 44:21 Harter 17:18 264:17,21 264:22 306:20 Hartffield 378:23 Hartsworth 349:7 harvest 88:6 305:4 Harvey 3:8 6:9,10 7:5 hay 22:15 head 87:3 313:11 337:19 headsets 21:20 health 24:1 26:12 36:1 36:19,25 37:3,12 38:7 $39:6 44:3 47:22 48:149:24 52:1 68:24 70:5$ $71:8,21,25 72:3,5 75:7$ $79:11,13,13 89:22$ $113:18,20 168:18$ $238:7 249:4,5,13$ $250:16,22 251:15,15$ $251:16 258:19 272:21$ $275:22 276:5 286:13$ $286:14,21 301:16$ $302:24 308:2 316:22$	Hector 9:6,13 21:24 283:14 height 133:17 held 10:21 107:11,12 324:17 378:8 Hello 291:17 300:10 help 61:25 84:15 90:12 101:18 111:25 117:10 131:21 165:13 170:12 170:20 186:22 204:17 204:22 205:4 207:4 220:19 221:20 235:16 237:18 239:17,18,18 305:11 309:3 311:6 325:14 332:10 333:9 356:23 helpful 244:25 294:20 294:25 342:9,13 helping 22:9 168:8 213:15 235:4 320:1 helps 15:25 Hensley 375:1 hereunto 388:16 Hernandez 9:6 21:24	Hmong 97:18 hodgepodge 204:5 hoe 329:17 hold 15:14 64:2 184:19 348:3 358:15 379:13 holders 205:16 holistic 203:11 home 284:22 299:9 318:24 homeowners 379:23 homeowner's 380:20 homes 336:18 381:7 383:20,24 honest 172:14 386:17 honestly 251:6 honorable 238:1 307:16 hope 36:9 77:9 92:8,16 101:11 111:1 231:9 234:21 242:22 254:7,8 254:15 284:13 319:4 366:20 372:6 374:21 375:3 377:1 hoped 270:24 hopefully 145:8 176:15 179:4 208:10 233:25
80:3 87:23,25 88:4,7 332:16 338:14 381:16 383:1 grower 58:5 79:5 87:13 112:7 136:8,9 137:12 141:14 171:13,14 173:24 184:11,14 185:24 187:15 192:13 195:23 211:25 255:1,2 264:11 294:7,8 318:8 335:18,21 345:7,20 346:8 361:4 363:8,14 367:6,24 372:1 growers 8:19 27:19 39:13 54:3,22 56:7,10 56:15,17,18 57:1,4,25 58:4 59:14 62:12,14 64:22 65:11,13,18,24 66:24 67:6 76:19 77:11 79:15 85:2 89:4 99:18 100:20 110:14 110:16,20 112:6,12 113:1 114:14,17 126:5 126:18,19 132:18,21 132:23 133:2 135:11	guilty 307:20 Gunenad 249:13 Gutman 197:2,2 guy 273:2 guys 73:12 135:9 136:21 211:16 306:22 365:4 380:15 386:5 G-i-a-n-n-i-n-i 365:1 G-r-o-ot 295:6 H H 147:12 habitat 30:18 152:3,6,8 152:12 229:8 231:3,25 262:8 habitats 138:23 262:19 272:20 habits 199:12 half 23:13 28:17 46:14 47:5 48:8 51:7 62:13 66:7 68:8 71:12 92:25 125:4,5 128:11 135:19 150:6 160:6 165:21 213:3 221:11 247:22 248:6 274:1 297:12	harmed 302:11 harmful 44:21 Harter 17:18 264:17,21 264:22 306:20 Hartfield 378:23 Hartsworth 349:7 harvest 88:6 305:4 Harvey 3:8 6:9,10 7:5 hay 22:15 head 87:3 313:11 337:19 headsets 21:20 health 24:1 26:12 36:1 36:19,25 37:3,12 38:7 39:6 44:3 47:22 48:1 49:24 52:1 68:24 70:5 71:8,21,25 72:3,5 75:7 79:11,13,13 89:22 113:18,20 168:18 238:7 249:4,5,13 250:16,22 251:15,15 251:16 258:19 272:21 275:22 276:5 286:13 286:14,21 301:16 302:24 308:2 316:22 321:2,7 324:18 325:4	Hector 9:6,13 21:24 283:14 height 133:17 held 10:21 107:11,12 324:17 378:8 Hello 291:17 300:10 help 61:25 84:15 90:12 101:18 111:25 117:10 131:21 165:13 170:12 170:20 186:22 204:17 204:22 205:4 207:4 220:19 221:20 235:16 237:18 239:17,18,18 305:11 309:3 311:6 325:14 332:10 333:9 356:23 helpful 244:25 294:20 294:25 342:9,13 helping 22:9 168:8 213:15 235:4 320:1 helps 15:25 Hensley 375:1 hereunto 388:16 Hernandez 9:6 21:24 283:14	Hmong 97:18 hodgepodge 204:5 hoe 329:17 hold 15:14 64:2 184:19 348:3 358:15 379:13 holders 205:16 holistic 203:11 home 284:22 299:9 318:24 homeowner's 380:20 homes 336:18 381:7 383:20,24 honest 172:14 386:17 honest 172:14 386:17 honest 251:6 honorable 238:1 307:16 hope 36:9 77:9 92:8,16 101:11 111:1 231:9 234:21 242:22 254:7,8 254:15 284:13 319:4 366:20 372:6 374:21 375:3 377:1 hoped 270:24 hopefully 145:8 176:15 179:4 208:10 233:25 355:13
80:3 87:23,25 88:4,7 332:16 338:14 381:16 383:1 grower 58:5 79:5 87:13 112:7 136:8,9 137:12 141:14 171:13,14 173:24 184:11,14 185:24 187:15 192:13 195:23 211:25 255:1,2 264:11 294:7,8 318:8 335:18,21 345:7,20 346:8 361:4 363:8,14 367:6,24 372:1 growers 8:19 27:19 39:13 54:3,22 56:7,10 56:15,17,18 57:1,4,25 58:4 59:14 62:12,14 64:22 65:11,13,18,24 66:24 67:6 76:19 77:11 79:15 85:2 89:4 99:18 100:20 110:14 110:16,20 112:6,12 113:1 114:14,17 126:5 126:18,19 132:18,21 132:23 133:2 135:11 135:12,14,16 136:5,18	guilty 307:20 Gunenad 249:13 Gutman 197:2,2 guy 273:2 guys 73:12 135:9 136:21 211:16 306:22 365:4 380:15 386:5 G-i-a-n-n-i-n-i 365:1 G-r-o-o-t 295:6 H H 147:12 habitat 30:18 152:3,6,8 152:12 229:8 231:3,25 262:8 habitats 138:23 262:19 272:20 habits 199:12 half 23:13 28:17 46:14 47:5 48:8 51:7 62:13 66:7 68:8 71:12 92:25 125:4,5 128:11 135:19 150:6 160:6 165:21 213:3 221:11 247:22 248:6 274:1 297:12 336:19 367:9 368:23	harmed 302:11 harmful 44:21 Harter 17:18 264:17,21 264:22 306:20 Hartfield 378:23 Hartsworth 349:7 harvest 88:6 305:4 Harvey 3:8 6:9,10 7:5 hay 22:15 head 87:3 313:11 337:19 headsets 21:20 health 24:1 26:12 36:1 36:19,25 37:3,12 38:7 39:6 44:3 47:22 48:1 49:24 52:1 68:24 70:5 71:8,21,25 72:3,5 75:7 79:11,13,13 89:22 113:18,20 168:18 238:7 249:4,5,13 250:16,22 251:15,15 251:16 258:19 272:21 275:22 276:5 286:13 286:14,21 301:16 302:24 308:2 316:22 321:2,7 324:18 325:4 333:14	Hector 9:6,13 21:24 283:14 height 133:17 held 10:21 107:11,12 324:17 378:8 Hello 291:17 300:10 help 61:25 84:15 90:12 101:18 111:25 117:10 131:21 165:13 170:12 170:20 186:22 204:17 204:22 205:4 207:4 220:19 221:20 235:16 237:18 239:17,18,18 305:11 309:3 311:6 325:14 332:10 333:9 356:23 helpful 244:25 294:20 294:25 342:9,13 helping 22:9 168:8 213:15 235:4 320:1 helps 15:25 Hensley 375:1 hereunto 388:16 Hernandez 9:6 21:24 283:14 hey 188:8 189:11	Hmong 97:18 hodgepodge 204:5 hoe 329:17 hold 15:14 64:2 184:19 348:3 358:15 379:13 holders 205:16 holistic 203:11 home 284:22 299:9 318:24 homeowner's 380:20 homes 336:18 381:7 383:20,24 honest 172:14 386:17 honest 172:14 386:17 honest 172:14 386:17 honest 238:1 307:16 hope 36:9 77:9 92:8,16 101:11 111:1 231:9 234:21 242:22 254:7,8 254:15 284:13 319:4 366:20 372:6 374:21 375:3 377:1 hoped 270:24 hopefully 145:8 176:15 179:4 208:10 233:25 355:13 hopes 328:17
80:3 87:23,25 88:4,7 332:16 338:14 381:16 383:1 grower 58:5 79:5 87:13 112:7 136:8,9 137:12 141:14 171:13,14 173:24 184:11,14 185:24 187:15 192:13 195:23 211:25 255:1,2 264:11 294:7,8 318:8 335:18,21 345:7,20 346:8 361:4 363:8,14 367:6,24 372:1 growers 8:19 27:19 39:13 54:3,22 56:7,10 56:15,17,18 57:14,25 58:4 59:14 62:12,14 64:22 65:11,13,18,24 66:24 67:6 76:19 77:11 79:15 85:2 89:4 99:18 100:20 110:14 110:16,20 112:6,12 113:1 114:14,17 126:5 126:18,19 132:18,21 132:23 133:2 135:11 135:12,14,16 136:5,18 136:22 137:5 138:14	guilty 307:20 Gunenad 249:13 Gutman 197:2,2 guy 273:2 guys 73:12 135:9 136:21 211:16 306:22 365:4 380:15 386:5 G-i-a-n-n-i-n-i 365:1 G-r-o-o-t 295:6 H H 147:12 habitat 30:18 152:3,6,8 152:12 229:8 231:3,25 262:8 habitats 138:23 262:19 272:20 habits 199:12 half 23:13 28:17 46:14 47:5 48:8 51:7 62:13 66:7 68:8 71:12 92:25 125:4,5 128:11 135:19 150:6 160:6 165:21 213:3 221:11 247:22 248:6 274:1 297:12 336:19 367:9 368:23 369:1,11 370:16,24	harmed 302:11 harmful 44:21 Harter 17:18 264:17,21 264:22 306:20 Hartfield 378:23 Hartsworth 349:7 harvest 88:6 305:4 Harvey 3:8 6:9,10 7:5 hay 22:15 head 87:3 313:11 337:19 headsets 21:20 health 24:1 26:12 36:1 36:19,25 37:3,12 38:7 39:6 44:3 47:22 48:1 49:24 52:1 68:24 70:5 71:8,21,25 72:3,5 75:7 79:11,13,13 89:22 113:18,20 168:18 238:7 249:4,5,13 250:16,22 251:15,15 251:16 258:19 272:21 275:22 276:5 286:13 286:14,21 301:16 302:24 308:2 316:22 321:2,7 324:18 325:4 333:14 healthy 50:3,4 79:14	Hector 9:6,13 21:24 283:14 height 133:17 held 10:21 107:11,12 324:17 378:8 Hello 291:17 300:10 help 61:25 84:15 90:12 101:18 111:25 117:10 131:21 165:13 170:12 170:20 186:22 204:17 204:22 205:4 207:4 220:19 221:20 235:16 237:18 239:17,18,18 305:11 309:3 311:6 325:14 332:10 333:9 356:23 helpful 244:25 294:20 294:25 342:9,13 helping 22:9 168:8 213:15 235:4 320:1 helps 15:25 Hensley 375:1 hereunto 388:16 Hernandez 9:6 21:24 283:14 hey 188:8 189:11 He'll 285:21	Hmong 97:18 hodgepodge 204:5 hoe 329:17 hold 15:14 64:2 184:19 348:3 358:15 379:13 holders 205:16 holistic 203:11 home 284:22 299:9 318:24 homeowner's 380:20 homes 336:18 381:7 383:20,24 honest 172:14 386:17 honest 172:14 386:17 honest 172:14 386:17 honest 238:1 307:16 honorable 238:1 307:16 hope 36:9 77:9 92:8,16 101:11 111:1 231:9 234:21 242:22 254:7,8 254:15 284:13 319:4 366:20 372:6 374:21 375:3 377:1 hoped 270:24 hopefully 145:8 176:15 179:4 208:10 233:25 355:13 hopes 328:17 hoping 150:15 178:6
80:3 87:23,25 88:4,7 332:16 338:14 381:16 383:1 grower 58:5 79:5 87:13 112:7 136:8,9 137:12 141:14 171:13,14 173:24 184:11,14 185:24 187:15 192:13 195:23 211:25 255:1,2 264:11 294:7,8 318:8 335:18,21 345:7,20 346:8 361:4 363:8,14 367:6,24 372:1 growers 8:19 27:19 39:13 54:3,22 56:7,10 56:15,17,18 57:1,4,25 58:4 59:14 62:12,14 64:22 65:11,13,18,24 66:24 67:6 76:19 77:11 79:15 85:2 89:4 99:18 100:20 110:14 110:16,20 112:6,12 113:1 114:14,17 126:5 126:18,19 132:18,21 135:12,14,16 136:5,18 136:22 137:5 138:14 138:24 139:4 140:23	guilty 307:20 Gunenad 249:13 Gutman 197:2,2 guy 273:2 guys 73:12 135:9 136:21 211:16 306:22 365:4 380:15 386:5 G-i-a-n-n-i-n-i 365:1 G-r-o-o-t 295:6 H H 147:12 habitat 30:18 152:3,6,8 152:12 229:8 231:3,25 262:8 habitats 138:23 262:19 272:20 habits 199:12 half 23:13 28:17 46:14 47:5 48:8 51:7 62:13 66:7 68:8 71:12 92:25 125:4,5 128:11 135:19 150:6 160:6 165:21 213:3 221:11 247:22 248:6 274:1 297:12 336:19 367:9 368:23 369:1,11 370:16,24 372:3 385:13	harmed 302:11 harmful 44:21 Harter 17:18 264:17,21 264:22 306:20 Hartfield 378:23 Hartsworth 349:7 harvest 88:6 305:4 Harvey 3:8 6:9,10 7:5 hay 22:15 head 87:3 313:11 337:19 headsets 21:20 health 24:1 26:12 36:1 36:19,25 37:3,12 38:7 39:6 44:3 47:22 48:1 49:24 52:1 68:24 70:5 71:8,21,25 72:3,5 75:7 79:11,13,13 89:22 113:18,20 168:18 238:7 249:4,5,13 250:16,22 251:15,15 251:16 258:19 272:21 275:22 276:5 286:13 286:14,21 301:16 302:24 308:2 316:22 321:2,7 324:18 325:4 333:14 healthy 50:3,4 79:14 114:6	Hector 9:6,13 21:24 283:14 height 133:17 held 10:21 107:11,12 324:17 378:8 Hello 291:17 300:10 help 61:25 84:15 90:12 101:18 111:25 117:10 131:21 165:13 170:12 170:20 186:22 204:17 204:22 205:4 207:4 220:19 221:20 235:16 237:18 239:17,18,18 305:11 309:3 311:6 325:14 332:10 333:9 356:23 helpful 244:25 294:20 294:25 342:9,13 helping 22:9 168:8 213:15 235:4 320:1 helps 15:25 Hensley 375:1 hereunto 388:16 Hernandez 9:6 21:24 283:14 hey 188:8 189:11 He'll 285:21 Hi 298:21	Hmong 97:18 hodgepodge 204:5 hoe 329:17 hold 15:14 64:2 184:19 348:3 358:15 379:13 holders 205:16 holistic 203:11 home 284:22 299:9 318:24 homeowner's 380:20 homes 336:18 381:7 383:20,24 honest 172:14 386:17 honest 172:14 386:17 honest 238:1 307:16 honorable 238:1 307:16 hope 36:9 77:9 92:8,16 101:11 111:1 231:9 234:21 242:22 254:7,8 254:15 284:13 319:4 366:20 372:6 374:21 375:3 377:1 hoped 270:24 hopefully 145:8 176:15 179:4 208:10 233:25 355:13 hopes 328:17 hoping 150:15 178:6 213:21 217:14 224:24
80:3 87:23,25 88:4,7 332:16 338:14 381:16 383:1 grower 58:5 79:5 87:13 112:7 136:8,9 137:12 141:14 171:13,14 173:24 184:11,14 185:24 187:15 192:13 195:23 211:25 255:1,2 264:11 294:7,8 318:8 335:18,21 345:7,20 346:8 361:4 363:8,14 367:6,24 372:1 growers 8:19 27:19 39:13 54:3,22 56:7,10 56:15,17,18 57:1,4,25 58:4 59:14 62:12,14 64:22 65:11,13,18,24 66:24 67:6 76:19 77:11 79:15 85:2 89:4 99:18 100:20 110:14 110:16,20 112:6,12 113:1 114:14,17 126:5 126:18,19 132:18,21 132:23 133:2 135:11 135:12,14,16 136:5,18 136:22 137:5 138:14 138:24 139:4 140:23 155:16 169:11,22	guilty 307:20 Gunenad 249:13 Gutman 197:2,2 guy 273:2 guys 73:12 135:9 136:21 211:16 306:22 365:4 380:15 386:5 G-i-a-n-n-i-n-i 365:1 G-r-o-o-t 295:6 H H 147:12 habitat 30:18 152:3,6,8 152:12 229:8 231:3,25 262:8 habitats 138:23 262:19 272:20 habits 199:12 half 23:13 28:17 46:14 47:5 48:8 51:7 62:13 66:7 68:8 71:12 92:25 125:4,5 128:11 135:19 150:6 160:6 165:21 213:3 221:11 247:22 248:6 274:1 297:12 336:19 367:9 368:23 369:1,11 370:16,24 372:3 385:13 half-inch 150:16	harmed 302:11 harmful 44:21 Harter 17:18 264:17,21 264:22 306:20 Hartfield 378:23 Hartsworth 349:7 harvest 88:6 305:4 Harvey 3:8 6:9,10 7:5 hay 22:15 head 87:3 313:11 337:19 headsets 21:20 health 24:1 26:12 36:1 36:19,25 37:3,12 38:7 39:6 44:3 47:22 48:1 49:24 52:1 68:24 70:5 71:8,21,25 72:3,5 75:7 79:11,13,13 89:22 113:18,20 168:18 238:7 249:4,5,13 250:16,22 251:15,15 251:16 258:19 272:21 275:22 276:5 286:13 286:14,21 301:16 302:24 308:2 316:22 321:2,7 324:18 325:4 333:14 healthy 50:3,4 79:14 114:6 hear 21:9 46:2 62:24	Hector 9:6,13 21:24 283:14 height 133:17 held 10:21 107:11,12 324:17 378:8 Hello 291:17 300:10 help 61:25 84:15 90:12 101:18 111:25 117:10 131:21 165:13 170:12 170:20 186:22 204:17 204:22 205:4 207:4 220:19 221:20 235:16 237:18 239:17,18,18 305:11 309:3 311:6 325:14 332:10 333:9 356:23 helpful 244:25 294:20 294:25 342:9,13 helping 22:9 168:8 213:15 235:4 320:1 helps 15:25 Hensley 375:1 hereunto 388:16 Hernandez 9:6 21:24 283:14 hey 188:8 189:11 He'll 285:21 Hibben 195:3	Hmong 97:18 hodgepodge 204:5 hoe 329:17 hold 15:14 64:2 184:19 348:3 358:15 379:13 holders 205:16 holistic 203:11 home 284:22 299:9 318:24 homeowner's 380:20 homes 336:18 381:7 383:20,24 honest 172:14 386:17 honest 172:14 386:17 honest 238:1 307:16 honorable 238:1 307:16 hope 36:9 77:9 92:8,16 101:11 111:1 231:9 234:21 242:22 254:7,8 254:15 284:13 319:4 366:20 372:6 374:21 375:3 377:1 hoped 270:24 hopefully 145:8 176:15 179:4 208:10 233:25 355:13 hopes 328:17 hoping 150:15 178:6 213:21 217:14 224:24 Horacio 246:7 248:18,24
80:3 87:23,25 88:4,7 332:16 338:14 381:16 383:1 grower 58:5 79:5 87:13 112:7 136:8,9 137:12 141:14 171:13,14 173:24 184:11,14 185:24 187:15 192:13 195:23 211:25 255:1,2 264:11 294:7,8 318:8 335:18,21 345:7,20 346:8 361:4 363:8,14 367:6,24 372:1 growers 8:19 27:19 39:13 54:3,22 56:7,10 56:15,17,18 57:1,4,25 58:4 59:14 62:12,14 64:22 65:11,13,18,24 66:24 67:6 76:19 77:11 79:15 85:2 89:4 99:18 100:20 110:14 110:16,20 112:6,12 113:1 114:14,17 126:5 126:18,19 132:18,21 135:12,14,16 136:5,18 136:22 137:5 138:14 138:24 139:4 140:23 155:16 169:11,22 170:20,21,21 171:1,12	guilty 307:20 Gunenad 249:13 Gutman 197:2,2 guy 273:2 guys 73:12 135:9 136:21 211:16 306:22 365:4 380:15 386:5 G-i-a-n-n-i-n-i 365:1 G-r-o-o-t 295:6 H H 147:12 habitat 30:18 152:3,6,8 152:12 229:8 231:3,25 262:8 habitas 138:23 262:19 272:20 habits 199:12 half 23:13 28:17 46:14 47:5 48:8 51:7 62:13 66:7 68:8 71:12 92:25 125:4,5 128:11 135:19 150:6 160:6 165:21 213:3 221:11 247:22 248:6 274:1 297:12 336:19 367:9 368:23 369:1,11 370:16,24 372:3 385:13 half-inch 150:16 hall 106:14	harmed 302:11 harmful 44:21 Harter 17:18 264:17,21 264:22 306:20 Hartfield 378:23 Hartsworth 349:7 harvest 88:6 305:4 Harvey 3:8 6:9,10 7:5 hay 22:15 head 87:3 313:11 337:19 headsets 21:20 health 24:1 26:12 36:1 36:19,25 37:3,12 38:7 $39:6 44:3 47:22 48:149:24 52:1 68:24 70:5$ $71:8,21,25 72:3,5 75:7$ $79:11,13,13 89:22$ $113:18,20 168:18$ $238:7 249:4,5,13$ $250:16,22 251:15,15$ $251:16 258:19 272:21$ $275:22 276:5 286:13$ $286:14,21 301:16$ $302:24 308:2 316:22$ $321:2,7 324:18 325:4$ $333:14healthy 50:3,4 79:14114:6hear 21:9 46:2 62:2463:2 71:25 84:16,24$	Hector 9:6,13 21:24 283:14 height 133:17 held 10:21 107:11,12 324:17 378:8 Hello 291:17 300:10 help 61:25 84:15 90:12 101:18 111:25 117:10 131:21 165:13 170:12 170:20 186:22 204:17 204:22 205:4 207:4 220:19 221:20 235:16 237:18 239:17,18,18 305:11 309:3 311:6 325:14 332:10 333:9 356:23 helpful 244:25 294:20 294:25 342:9,13 helps 15:25 Hensley 375:1 hereunto 388:16 Hernandez 9:6 21:24 283:14 hey 188:8 189:11 He'll 285:21 Hibben 195:3 Hibbin 196:19	Hmong 97:18 hodgepodge 204:5 hoe 329:17 hold 15:14 64:2 184:19 348:3 358:15 379:13 holders 205:16 holistic 203:11 home 284:22 299:9 318:24 homeowner's 380:20 homes 336:18 381:7 383:20,24 honest 172:14 386:17 honestly 251:6 honorable 238:1 307:16 hope 36:9 77:9 92:8,16 101:11 11:1 231:9 234:21 242:22 254:7,8 254:15 284:13 319:4 366:20 372:6 374:21 375:3 377:1 hoped 270:24 hopefully 145:8 176:15 179:4 208:10 233:25 355:13 hopes 328:17 hoping 150:15 178:6 213:21 217:14 224:24 Horacio 246:7 248:18,24 254:17
80:3 87:23,25 88:4,7 332:16 338:14 381:16 383:1 grower 58:5 79:5 87:13 112:7 136:8,9 137:12 141:14 171:13,14 173:24 184:11,14 185:24 187:15 192:13 195:23 211:25 255:1,2 264:11 294:7,8 318:8 335:18,21 345:7,20 346:8 361:4 363:8,14 367:6,24 372:1 growers 8:19 27:19 39:13 54:3,22 56:7,10 56:15,17,18 57:1,4,25 58:4 59:14 62:12,14 64:22 65:11,13,18,24 66:24 67:6 76:19 77:11 79:15 85:2 89:4 99:18 100:20 110:14 110:16,20 112:6,12 113:1 114:14,17 126:5 126:18,19 132:18,21 135:12,14,16 136:5,18 136:22 137:5 138:14 138:24 139:4 140:23 155:16 169:11,22 170:20,21,21 171:1,12 171:23,24,25 182:18	guilty 307:20 Gunenad 249:13 Gutman 197:2,2 guy 273:2 guys 73:12 135:9 136:21 211:16 306:22 365:4 380:15 386:5 G-i-a-n-n-i-n-i 365:1 G-r-o-o-t 295:6 H H 147:12 habitat 30:18 152:3,6,8 152:12 229:8 231:3,25 262:8 habitats 138:23 262:19 272:20 habits 199:12 half 23:13 28:17 46:14 47:5 48:8 51:7 62:13 66:7 68:8 71:12 92:25 125:4,5 128:11 135:19 150:6 160:6 165:21 213:3 221:11 247:22 248:6 274:1 297:12 336:19 367:9 368:23 369:1,11 370:16,24 372:3 385:13 half-inch 150:16	harmed 302:11 harmful 44:21 Harter 17:18 264:17,21 264:22 306:20 Hartfield 378:23 Hartsworth 349:7 harvest 88:6 305:4 Harvey 3:8 6:9,10 7:5 hay 22:15 head 87:3 313:11 337:19 headsets 21:20 health 24:1 26:12 36:1 36:19,25 37:3,12 38:7 $39:6 44:3 47:22 48:149:24 52:1 68:24 70:5$ $71:8,21,25 72:3,5 75:7$ $79:11,13,13 89:22$ $113:18,20 168:18$ $238:7 249:4,5,13$ $250:16,22 251:15,15$ $251:16 258:19 272:21$ $275:22 276:5 286:13$ $286:14,21 301:16$ $302:24 308:2 316:22$ $321:2,7 324:18 325:4$ $333:14healthy 50:3,4 79:14114:6hear 21:9 46:2 62:2463:2 71:25 84:16,24$ $86:2 106:4 109:6$	Hector 9:6,13 21:24 283:14 height 133:17 held 10:21 107:11,12 324:17 378:8 Hello 291:17 300:10 help 61:25 84:15 90:12 101:18 111:25 117:10 131:21 165:13 170:12 170:20 186:22 204:17 204:22 205:4 207:4 220:19 221:20 235:16 237:18 239:17,18,18 305:11 309:3 311:6 325:14 332:10 333:9 356:23 helpful 244:25 294:20 294:25 342:9,13 helps 15:25 Hensley 375:1 hereunto 388:16 Hernandez 9:6 21:24 283:14 hey 188:8 189:11 He'll 285:21 Hibben 195:3 Hibbin 196:19 hide 254:24	Hmong 97:18 hodgepodge 204:5 hoe 329:17 hold 15:14 64:2 184:19 348:3 358:15 379:13 holders 205:16 holistic 203:11 home 284:22 299:9 318:24 homeowner's 380:20 homes 336:18 381:7 383:20,24 honest 172:14 386:17 honestly 251:6 honorable 238:1 307:16 hope 36:9 77:9 92:8,16 101:11 111:1 231:9 234:21 242:22 254:7,8 254:15 284:13 319:4 366:20 372:6 374:21 375:3 377:1 hoped 270:24 hopefully 145:8 176:15 179:4 208:10 233:25 355:13 hopes 328:17 hoping 150:15 178:6 213:21 217:14 224:24 Horacio 246:7 248:18,24 254:17 Horn 118:22,25 119:1,23
80:3 87:23,25 88:4,7 332:16 338:14 381:16 383:1 grower 58:5 79:5 87:13 112:7 136:8,9 137:12 141:14 171:13,14 173:24 184:11,14 185:24 187:15 192:13 195:23 211:25 255:1,2 264:11 294:7,8 318:8 335:18,21 345:7,20 346:8 361:4 363:8,14 367:6,24 372:1 growers 8:19 27:19 39:13 54:3,22 56:7,10 56:15,17,18 57:1,4,25 58:4 59:14 62:12,14 64:22 65:11,13,18,24 66:24 67:6 76:19 77:11 79:15 85:2 89:4 99:18 100:20 110:14 110:16,20 112:6,12 113:1 114:14,17 126:5 126:18,19 132:18,21 132:23 133:2 135:11 135:12,14,16 136:5,18 136:22 137:5 138:14 138:24 139:4 140:23 155:16 169:11,22 170:20,21,21 171:1,12 171:23,24,25 182:18 183:19,21 188:18,19	guilty 307:20 Gunenad 249:13 Gutman 197:2,2 guy 273:2 guys 73:12 135:9 136:21 211:16 306:22 365:4 380:15 386:5 G-i-a-n-n-i-n-i 365:1 G-r-o-o-t 295:6 H H 147:12 habitat 30:18 152:3,6,8 152:12 229:8 231:3,25 262:8 habitas 138:23 262:19 272:20 habits 199:12 half 23:13 28:17 46:14 47:5 48:8 51:7 62:13 66:7 68:8 71:12 92:25 125:4,5 128:11 135:19 150:6 160:6 165:21 213:3 221:11 247:22 248:6 274:1 297:12 336:19 367:9 368:23 369:1,11 370:16,24 372:3 385:13 half-inch 150:16 hall 106:14	harmed 302:11 harmful 44:21 Harter 17:18 264:17,21 264:22 306:20 Hartffield 378:23 Hartsworth 349:7 harvest 88:6 305:4 Harvey 3:8 6:9,10 7:5 hay 22:15 head 87:3 313:11 337:19 headsets 21:20 health 24:1 26:12 36:1 36:19,25 37:3,12 38:7 $39:6 44:3 47:22 48:149:24 52:1 68:24 70:5$ $71:8,21,25 72:3,5 75:7$ $79:11,13,13 89:22$ $113:18,20 168:18$ $238:7 249:4,5,13$ $250:16,22 251:15,15$ $251:16 258:19 272:21$ $275:22 276:5 286:13$ $286:14,21 301:16$ $302:24 308:2 316:22$ $321:2,7 324:18 325:4$ $333:14healthy 50:3,4 79:14114:6hear 21:9 46:2 62:2463:2 71:25 84:16,24$ $86:2 106:4 109:6$ $118:14 132:25 133:4$	Hector 9:6,13 21:24 283:14 height 133:17 held 10:21 107:11,12 324:17 378:8 Hello 291:17 300:10 help 61:25 84:15 90:12 101:18 111:25 117:10 131:21 165:13 170:12 170:20 186:22 204:17 204:22 205:4 207:4 220:19 221:20 235:16 237:18 239:17,18,18 305:11 309:3 311:6 325:14 332:10 333:9 356:23 helpful 244:25 294:20 294:25 342:9,13 helps 15:25 Hensley 375:1 hereunto 388:16 Hernandez 9:6 21:24 283:14 hey 188:8 189:11 He'll 285:21 Hibben 195:3 Hibbin 196:19	Hmong 97:18 hodgepodge 204:5 hoe 329:17 hold 15:14 64:2 184:19 348:3 358:15 379:13 holders 205:16 holistic 203:11 home 284:22 299:9 318:24 homeowner's 380:20 homes 336:18 381:7 383:20,24 honest 172:14 386:17 honestly 251:6 honorable 238:1 307:16 hope 36:9 77:9 92:8,16 101:11 11:1 231:9 234:21 242:22 254:7,8 254:15 284:13 319:4 366:20 372:6 374:21 375:3 377:1 hoped 270:24 hopefully 145:8 176:15 179:4 208:10 233:25 355:13 hopes 328:17 hoping 150:15 178:6 213:21 217:14 224:24 Horacio 246:7 248:18,24 254:17
80:3 87:23,25 88:4,7 332:16 338:14 381:16 383:1 grower 58:5 79:5 87:13 112:7 136:8,9 137:12 141:14 171:13,14 173:24 184:11,14 185:24 187:15 192:13 195:23 211:25 255:1,2 264:11 294:7,8 318:8 335:18,21 345:7,20 346:8 361:4 363:8,14 367:6,24 372:1 growers 8:19 27:19 39:13 54:3,22 56:7,10 56:15,17,18 57:1,4,25 58:4 59:14 62:12,14 64:22 65:11,13,18,24 66:24 67:6 76:19 77:11 79:15 85:2 89:4 99:18 100:20 110:14 110:16,20 112:6,12 113:1 114:14,17 126:5 126:18,19 132:18,21 135:12,14,16 136:5,18 136:22 137:5 138:14 138:24 139:4 140:23 155:16 169:11,22 170:20,21,21 171:1,12 171:23,24,25 182:18	guilty 307:20 Gunenad 249:13 Gutman 197:2,2 guy 273:2 guys 73:12 135:9 136:21 211:16 306:22 365:4 380:15 386:5 G-i-a-n-n-i-n-i 365:1 G-r-o-o-t 295:6 H H 147:12 habitat 30:18 152:3,6,8 152:12 229:8 231:3,25 262:8 habitas 138:23 262:19 272:20 habits 199:12 half 23:13 28:17 46:14 47:5 48:8 51:7 62:13 66:7 68:8 71:12 92:25 125:4,5 128:11 135:19 150:6 160:6 165:21 213:3 221:11 247:22 248:6 274:1 297:12 336:19 367:9 368:23 369:1,11 370:16,24 372:3 385:13 half-inch 150:16 hall 106:14 Hamid 228:12 Hamilton 301:1	harmed 302:11 harmful 44:21 Harter 17:18 264:17,21 264:22 306:20 Hartfield 378:23 Hartsworth 349:7 harvest 88:6 305:4 Harvey 3:8 6:9,10 7:5 hay 22:15 head 87:3 313:11 337:19 headsets 21:20 health 24:1 26:12 36:1 36:19,25 37:3,12 38:7 $39:6 44:3 47:22 48:149:24 52:1 68:24 70:5$ $71:8,21,25 72:3,5 75:7$ $79:11,13,13 89:22$ $113:18,20 168:18$ $238:7 249:4,5,13$ $250:16,22 251:15,15$ $251:16 258:19 272:21$ $275:22 276:5 286:13$ $286:14,21 301:16$ $302:24 308:2 316:22$ $321:2,7 324:18 325:4$ $333:14healthy 50:3,4 79:14114:6hear 21:9 46:2 62:2463:2 71:25 84:16,24$ $86:2 106:4 109:6$	Hector 9:6,13 21:24 283:14 height 133:17 held 10:21 107:11,12 324:17 378:8 Hello 291:17 300:10 help 61:25 84:15 90:12 101:18 111:25 117:10 131:21 165:13 170:12 170:20 186:22 204:17 204:22 205:4 207:4 220:19 221:20 235:16 237:18 239:17,18,18 305:11 309:3 311:6 325:14 332:10 333:9 356:23 helpful 244:25 294:20 294:25 342:9,13 helps 15:25 Hensley 375:1 hereunto 388:16 Hernandez 9:6 21:24 283:14 hey 188:8 189:11 He'll 285:21 Hibben 195:3 Hibbin 196:19 hide 254:24	Hmong 97:18 hodgepodge 204:5 hoe 329:17 hold 15:14 64:2 184:19 348:3 358:15 379:13 holders 205:16 holistic 203:11 home 284:22 299:9 318:24 homeowner's 380:20 homes 336:18 381:7 383:20,24 honest 172:14 386:17 honestly 251:6 honorable 238:1 307:16 hope 36:9 77:9 92:8,16 101:11 111:1 231:9 234:21 242:22 254:7,8 254:15 284:13 319:4 366:20 372:6 374:21 375:3 377:1 hoped 270:24 hopefully 145:8 176:15 179:4 208:10 233:25 355:13 hopes 328:17 hoping 150:15 178:6 213:21 217:14 224:24 Horacio 246:7 248:18,24 254:17 Horn 118:22,25 119:1,23
80:3 87:23,25 88:4,7 332:16 338:14 381:16 383:1 grower 58:5 79:5 87:13 112:7 136:8,9 137:12 141:14 171:13,14 173:24 184:11,14 185:24 187:15 192:13 195:23 211:25 255:1,2 264:11 294:7,8 318:8 335:18,21 345:7,20 346:8 361:4 363:8,14 367:6,24 372:1 growers 8:19 27:19 39:13 54:3,22 56:7,10 56:15,17,18 57:1,4,25 58:4 59:14 62:12,14 64:22 65:11,13,18,24 66:24 67:6 76:19 77:11 79:15 85:2 89:4 99:18 100:20 110:14 110:16,20 112:6,12 113:1 114:14,17 126:5 126:18,19 132:18,21 132:23 133:2 135:11 135:12,14,16 136:5,18 136:22 137:5 138:14 138:24 139:4 140:23 155:16 169:11,22 170:20,21,21 171:1,12 171:23,24,25 182:18 183:19,21 188:18,19	guilty 307:20 Gunenad 249:13 Gutman 197:2,2 guy 273:2 guys 73:12 135:9 136:21 211:16 306:22 365:4 380:15 386:5 G-i-a-n-n-i-n-i 365:1 G-r-o-o-t 295:6 H H 147:12 habitat 30:18 152:3,6,8 152:12 229:8 231:3,25 262:8 habitas 138:23 262:19 272:20 habits 199:12 half 23:13 28:17 46:14 47:5 48:8 51:7 62:13 66:7 68:8 71:12 92:25 125:4,5 128:11 135:19 150:6 160:6 165:21 213:3 221:11 247:22 248:6 274:1 297:12 336:19 367:9 368:23 369:1,11 370:16,24 372:3 385:13 half-inch 150:16 hall 106:14 Hamid 228:12 Hamilton 301:1 hampered 183:16	harmed 302:11 harmful 44:21 Harter 17:18 264:17,21 264:22 306:20 Hartffield 378:23 Hartsworth 349:7 harvest 88:6 305:4 Harvey 3:8 6:9,10 7:5 hay 22:15 head 87:3 313:11 337:19 headsets 21:20 health 24:1 26:12 36:1 36:19,25 37:3,12 38:7 $39:6 44:3 47:22 48:149:24 52:1 68:24 70:5$ $71:8,21,25 72:3,5 75:7$ $79:11,13,13 89:22$ $113:18,20 168:18$ $238:7 249:4,5,13$ $250:16,22 251:15,15$ $251:16 258:19 272:21$ $275:22 276:5 286:13$ $286:14,21 301:16$ $302:24 308:2 316:22$ $321:2,7 324:18 325:4$ $333:14healthy 50:3,4 79:14114:6hear 21:9 46:2 62:2463:2 71:25 84:16,24$ $86:2 106:4 109:6$ $118:14 132:25 133:4$	Hector 9:6,13 21:24 283:14 height 133:17 held 10:21 107:11,12 324:17 378:8 Hello 291:17 300:10 help 61:25 84:15 90:12 101:18 111:25 117:10 131:21 165:13 170:12 170:20 186:22 204:17 204:22 205:4 207:4 220:19 221:20 235:16 237:18 239:17,18,18 305:11 309:3 311:6 325:14 332:10 333:9 356:23 helpful 244:25 294:20 294:25 342:9,13 helps 15:25 Hensley 375:1 hereunto 388:16 Hernandez 9:6 21:24 283:14 hey 188:8 189:11 He'll 285:21 Hibben 195:3 Hibbin 196:19 hide 254:24 high 8:23 26:20 44:17,19	Hmong 97:18 hodgepodge 204:5 hoe 329:17 hold 15:14 64:2 184:19 348:3 358:15 379:13 holders 205:16 holistic 203:11 home 284:22 299:9 318:24 homeowner's 380:20 homes 336:18 381:7 383:20,24 honest 172:14 386:17 honestly 251:6 honorable 238:1 307:16 hope 36:9 77:9 92:8,16 101:11 111:1 231:9 234:21 242:22 254:7,8 254:15 284:13 319:4 366:20 372:6 374:21 375:3 377:1 hoped 270:24 hopefully 145:8 176:15 179:4 208:10 233:25 355:13 hopes 328:17 hoping 150:15 178:6 213:21 217:14 224:24 Horacio 246:7 248:18,24 254:17 Horn 118:22,25 119:1,23 274:10
80:3 87:23,25 88:4,7 332:16 338:14 381:16 383:1 grower 58:5 79:5 87:13 112:7 136:8,9 137:12 141:14 171:13,14 173:24 184:11,14 185:24 187:15 192:13 195:23 211:25 255:1,2 264:11 294:7,8 318:8 335:18,21 345:7,20 346:8 361:4 363:8,14 367:6,24 372:1 growers 8:19 27:19 39:13 54:3,22 56:7,10 56:15,17,18 57:1,4,25 58:4 59:14 62:12,14 64:22 65:11,13,18,24 66:24 67:6 76:19 77:11 79:15 85:2 89:4 99:18 100:20 110:14 110:16,20 112:6,12 113:1 114:14,17 126:5 126:18,19 132:18,21 135:12,14,16 136:5,18 136:22 137:5 138:14 138:24 139:4 140:23 155:16 169:11,22 170:20,21,21 171:1,12 171:23,24,25 182:18 183:19,21 188:18,19 188:22,24 189:1	guilty 307:20 Gunenad 249:13 Gutman 197:2,2 guy 273:2 guys 73:12 135:9 136:21 211:16 306:22 365:4 380:15 386:5 G-i-a-n-n-i-n-i 365:1 G-r-o-o-t 295:6 H H 147:12 habitat 30:18 152:3,6,8 152:12 229:8 231:3,25 262:8 habitas 138:23 262:19 272:20 habits 199:12 half 23:13 28:17 46:14 47:5 48:8 51:7 62:13 66:7 68:8 71:12 92:25 125:4,5 128:11 135:19 150:6 160:6 165:21 213:3 221:11 247:22 248:6 274:1 297:12 336:19 367:9 368:23 369:1,11 370:16,24 372:3 385:13 half-inch 150:16 hall 106:14 Hamid 228:12 Hamilton 301:1	harmed 302:11 harmful 44:21 Harter 17:18 264:17,21 264:22 306:20 Hartfield 378:23 Hartsworth 349:7 harvest 88:6 305:4 Harvey 3:8 6:9,10 7:5 hay 22:15 head 87:3 313:11 337:19 headsets 21:20 health 24:1 26:12 36:1 36:19,25 37:3,12 38:7 39:6 44:3 47:22 48:1 49:24 52:1 68:24 70:5 71:8,21,25 72:3,5 75:7 79:11,13,13 89:22 113:18,20 168:18 238:7 249:4,5,13 250:16,22 251:15,15 251:16 258:19 272:21 275:22 276:5 286:13 286:14,21 301:16 302:24 308:2 316:22 321:2,7 324:18 325:4 333:14 healthy 50:3,4 79:14 114:6 hear 21:9 46:2 62:24 63:2 71:25 84:16,24 86:2 106:4 109:6 118:14 132:25 133:4 134:23 159:22 163:16	Hector 9:6,13 21:24 283:14 height 133:17 held 10:21 107:11,12 324:17 378:8 Hello 291:17 300:10 help 61:25 84:15 90:12 101:18 111:25 117:10 131:21 165:13 170:12 170:20 186:22 204:17 204:22 205:4 207:4 220:19 221:20 235:16 237:18 239:17,18,18 305:11 309:3 311:6 325:14 332:10 333:9 356:23 helpful 244:25 294:20 294:25 342:9,13 helping 22:9 168:8 213:15 235:4 320:1 helps 15:25 Hensley 375:1 hereunto 388:16 Hernandez 9:6 21:24 283:14 hey 188:8 189:11 He'll 285:21 Hibben 195:3 Hibbin 196:19 hide 254:24 high 8:23 26:20 44:17,19 44:24 46:8 48:19 49:9	Hmong 97:18 hodgepodge 204:5 hoe 329:17 hold 15:14 64:2 184:19 348:3 358:15 379:13 holders 205:16 holistic 203:11 home 284:22 299:9 318:24 homeowner's 380:20 homes 336:18 381:7 383:20,24 honest 172:14 386:17 honest 172:14 386:17 honest 251:6 honorable 238:1 307:16 hope 36:9 77:9 92:8,16 101:11 111:1 231:9 234:21 242:22 254:7,8 254:15 284:13 319:4 366:20 372:6 374:21 375:3 377:1 hoped 270:24 hopefully 145:8 176:15 179:4 208:10 233:25 355:13 hopes 328:17 hoping 150:15 178:6 213:21 217:14 224:24 Horacio 246:7 248:18,24 254:17 Horn 118:22,25 119:1,23 274:10 HOROWITZ 3:12,16

Atkinson-Baker, Inc. Court Reporters

hosted 330:24 hot 187:25 189:25 hotel 284:21 hour 12:1 14:5 115:17 131:14 242:1,3 387:9 hours 28:22 116:11 223:15 225:23 282:1 house 291:2 359:25 household 248:6.7 housing 90:21 299:2 How's 46:3 Huckelby 9:6 Huertos 4:3 14:7 75:23 91:18 96:3,23 113:6 113:25 126:25 134:13 134:15 156:13 196:11 197:12 206:8,9,14,14 214:1.6 216:2.12.16 217:12 218:8,16,18,20 218:23,25 219:22 220:4,11,14,18 221:1 221:5,7,25 222:5 223:17 227:22,24 263:12 265:3,5 274:22 275:6 276:19 277:25 292:8 293:6 294:1 295:1 310:9 311:3 334:24 339:12 354:18 356:7,12,14,17,23 357:1 359:4,21 360:5 366:22 huge 27:4,5 74:14,24,24 75:14 76:6 80:2.2 88.21 22 96.16 100.1 160:13 217:5 218:5 226:10 268:8,14 317:16 329:6 353:22 human 253:20 271:21 330:25 331:7,8 377:6 humans 377:7 humongous 100:4 hundred 24:15 82:23 hundreds 8:19 24:15,16 27:2 32:13 75:16 267:25 303:2 327:18 385.14 Hunter 3:6 6:18,19 10:24 89:14,15 91:21 125:13 128:24,25 130:1,19 131:9 146:18.24 147:1 159:24,25 160:1,4,14 161:24 187:20,22 188:17 189:1 194:24 195:2 228:6,8 235:1,4 235:19 236:3 313:3,4 314:15 381:25 387:8 hurt 272:4 husband 378:17 hustle 211:17 Hyatt 21:22 hydro 204:20 hydrologist 205:9 334:6 hydrology 334:6 hydro-geology 367:18 Hyman 4:13 304:4 306:1 307:15,16,16 hypothetically 233:2 H-i-l-l 300:11 H-u-e-r-t-o-s 206:15 h-u-g-h 310:17 H-y-m-a-n 307:17 L

131:18 181:21 211:4 211:12.24 216:4.18 221:11 237:19 252:2 254:21 255:11.12 257:16 275:23 289:17 359.22.22 ideas 91:19 103:24 104:5 113:10,24 134:8 237:17 274:22.23 288.15 380.10 identification 61:5,9 155:12 232:9 identified 69:1 145:6 149:9 155:7 166:1 174:10 177:18 231:20 232:12,20 234:21 235:14 236:16 237:5 249:13 278:10 291:20 292:14 341:23 identify 30:24 61:1,7 151:6 164:1 170:25 230:22 232:7 233:15 234:22 237:2 334:14 345:18 349:22 identifying 32:9 43:19 identity 122:18,23 123:5 225:10 ideology 377:24 idiot 216:2 ID'd 97:20 ignore 306:12 ignoring 333:12 II 256:20 illusion 91:25 illustrate 28:10 270:25 imagine 172:23 immediate 25:15 65:1 91:25 92:4 106:16 139:8 140:20 148:5 198:8 256:10 immediately 12:25 13:2 64:21 73:22 140:3 148:13 288:14 313:25 350:7 379:24 immigrant 286:10 immigration 94:2 immovable 225:3 impact 10:20 20:15 93:15,17 94:17 95:23 101:6 107:19 185:17 192:25 193:8,20,21,22 204:21 292:3 308:23 322:22 375:8 impacted 52:16 53:1 61:2 94:19 121:17,25 122:8 295:17 321:9 327.11 impacting 202:9 267:25 impacts 26:17 89:22 . 107:17 116:21 129:3 193:3,3 238:11 249:11 251:14 271:21 321:6 321:17 375:6 impaired 30:25 31:2 . 46:17 48:3.20 51:18 51:23 52:15 53:10,10 55:5,16 59:4 62:8 98:15 190:12 381:23 382:19,21 383:14 384:8.9 impairment 59:6,18 251:13 314:3,8 383:11 impairments 36:18,24 313:24 323:5,7,8

385:4 impartial 13:21 impediment 180:18 imperative 111:12 286:17 impinge 259:22 implement 8:7,16 33:9 53:22 57:5 65:13 68:20 79:8 111:18 113.7 127.22 129.22 130:18 137:15,20,25 138:25 139:4 142:8 149:4 174:9 202:17 210:12 212:10 217:17 232:6 252:7 323:6 331:6 365:14 implementable 114:22 127:3.6.13 implementation 23:15 27:17 30:15,16 31:9 31:23 33:14 36:15 39.12 62.2 21 65.19 67:8 68:16 69:10 70:11 72:10 130:15,22 131:8.11 194:3 198:8 212:11 215:2 217:13 221:8 224:7 232:17 254:9 255:7 292:15 304:20 330:9 333:11 333.13 implemented 61:9 72:23 91:12 92:7 124:20 130:4 172:10 293:3 implementing 29:17 65:5 68:19 72:9 83:23 90:9 98:14 129:16 130:8 156:21 188:12 189:12 209:13 256:6 implications 232:15 implying 341:2 importance 27:5 204:8 208:18 231:5 321:20 important 24:8 27:22 47:12 87:6 96:25 99:9 103:17 114:5 164:18 166:18 167:13 169:21 171:5,16 172:18 177:10,10 196:15 201:6.15 211:18.20 212:11 220:6 245:7 251:18 254:20 262:9 272:21,24 274:8,14 275:11.15.20 277:13 286:4,14 294:2 298:3 301:5 335:5 344:8,10 importantly 61:24 . 172:11 310:19 337:3 impose 307:22 imposing 239:15 impossible 215:8 275.17 impressed 207:3 impression 342:10 improper 17:25 216:21 improperly 17:21 18:11 293:3 improve 37:18 54:11 62:1 96:11,18 114:8 174:11 207:5 208:14 210:5 232:24 287:25 288:5 294:25 335:18 improved 38:22 60:21 . 104:11 213:5 215:18 280:23 295:23

improvement 8:12 28:3 32.15 49.25 60.23 92:11 104:24 118:25 134:4 152:4 196:3,5 210:5 226:12 293:17 294:15 296:6 305:18 320:2 365:16 improvements 57:6 60:21 92:8 203:6 210:8 229:14 230:11 293:20 296:16 313:23 improving 8:12 38:25 65:16 134:24 196:8 198:24 231:2 288:7 304:10 365:12 inability 297:23 inaccessibility 78:19 inaccurate 313:18 inaction 253:18 inactive 297:4 inadequate 34:2.14 inappropriate 153:12 inaudible 48:21 72:24 133:20 335:10 incentive 274:23 incentives 57:3 incentivize 305:17 inch 150:6 317:17 379.15 inches 338:3 Incidently 378:17 include 18:14 31:20 35:6 43.22 56.21 58.21 62.8 68.11 103.16 123:21 130:7 137:14 138:15 148:25 153:13 180.19 193.1 233.12 267:8 334:12 360:12 366:20 included 18:11 30:13 31:1 81:16 100:15 108:2 119:16,17 129:14,16 145:13 147:18,25 156:7 160:24 161:14.16 169:23 175:19 258:20 296:14 313:6 314:10 352:16 360:7 includes 27:17 52:19.23 56:22,24 57:3,16 58:3 58:9 68:16 132:20 143:2 195:12 306:21 362.21 including 81:15 103:4 104:6 123:22 127:1 130:20 134:20 143:23 147:13 197:10 204:3 231:12,21 232:13,18 232:21 271:3 315:22 324:18 325:15,17 344.13 352.17 366.11 inclusion 19:18 268:13 366:22 income 89:25 248:7,7 298:24 301:15 325:16 328:1 377:8 378:18 incoming 119:4 120:2 inconsistency 78:19 incorporate 103:14.24 157:12 192:11 196:10 237:17 incorporated 37:13 156:11 incorporating 104:15

Page 14

incorrect 313:18 382:24 increase 64:17 198:7 231:25 386:13 increased 55:12.23 61:8 62:13,15 133:22 248:1 308:11 366:4 increasing 32:19 42:18 49:20 increasingly 44:19 incredible 8:15 74:20 75:6 incredibly 91:2 333:25 incur 33:11 incurred 70:18 independent 160:22.24 168:10 169:12.14 171:22 207:21 221:10 221:17 306:13,15 330:25 independently 211:10 Index 305.5 indicate 28:2 38:22 39:8 42:20 152:24 154:25 165:25 170:10 336:13 indicated 40:1 56:11.13 56:15 109:10 110:13 141:8 148:8 156:9 336.2 10 indicates 32:21 141:9 indicating 46:22 indication 155:9 indicator 344:24 350:11 indicators 34:16 35:7.7 35:8 48:1 61:10,17 143:11,12,14 indifference 374:6 indifferent 374.4 indirect 193:2 individual 14:18 32:10 35:8 50:16 51:13 52:9 55:10 57:19 60:9 61:4 61:14 62:6 83:25 91:5 98:9,12 101:9 106:2 123:1,6 126:11 129:23 166:23 172:17 173:12 176:24 178:9 179:25 180:1,9,20 181:17 183:19 186:10 188:8 224:15 231:17 255:1 277:7 278:4 279:5,11 279:23 296:11 297:16 308:14 314:11 319:11 319:18 323:12.16 344:4 353:6 354:16 355:18 359:16 386:23 individually 57:23 100:12 180:5 374:2 individuals 31:16 57:17 97:21 183:15 185:21 187:14 188:16 individual's 378:6 induced 193:3 indulgence 93:5 industrial 38:6 44:6.7 industry 8:18 56:13 67:19 68:1,2 77:6,7 93:16 100:15 107:18 110.23 111.4 149.24 233:5 241:18 304:12 304:18 305:22 315:9 315:17 371:4 377:22 inefficiencies 338:6 inevitable 198:9 infeasible 111:10

Atkinson-Baker, Inc. Court Reporters

idea 127:16 130:21

inferring 256:23 insures 301:8 involvement 24:6 items 7:13 21:16 251:6 121.6 7 122.7 12 infinite 292:7 insuring 208:24 123:1.9 158:17.18 involves 28.25 283:17.24 influence 334.15 integral 8:25 involving 203:25 iterations 29:7 163:5,6 164:9 186:2,3 info 103:9 integrate 70:10 78:21 lodine 320:20,22 186:17 187:11 189:14 inform 94:16 95:8 229:17 231:11 233:22 irrational 378:1 189:16 223:16,17 information 11:1 17:14 234:16.23 irrigate 358:21 370:15 228:3 240:21 263:2 Jack 374:25 378:22 18:17,18,21 19:11,11 integrated 229:3,25 irrigated 1:3 2:3 8:10,21 276:25 278:25 279:1 jail 89:10 19:14,15,17,19,20,21 279:17 280:4 281:2,8 235.17 9:2,21 10:18 23:3 January 297:4,5 22:4 39:19 41:1 45:19 integrating 216:15 283:9.11.14 298:11.14 26.21 33.1 37.18 Jeanette 316:14 318:5 46:14 56:4 57:1 59:13 232.2 325.21 38:13 42:14 46:20 298.19 351.22 23 Jeannette 317:2 60:18,25 61:4,7,18,25 intelligently 158:24 107:21 113:8 133:24 353:13,24 355:2 356:2 Jean-Pierre 3:6 4:3 6:24 67:9 72:15,17,18,18 intend 198:3,6 168:1 185:16 204:10 359:4 360:2 369:9,11 11:2 199:5,7 380:4 83:18 84:20,22 89:23 369:24 381:22 382:1.9 intended 191.17 229.23 231.14 243.16 Jeff 6:5 10:23 90.4 93.6 101.1 intends 268:7 244:18 251:10 271:8,8 383:13.18 384:3 Jeffrey 3:4 6:12 113:22 116:23 124:10 intent 8:8 53:21 55:3 310:13 323:4 370:14 385:23 Jeffries 3:5 6:14,15 124:15 126:5 136:11 join 155:23 57:2 59:14 60:19 irrigation 27:23 30:14 10:24 12:13,14 86:6,7 141:7 142:17,17,24 126:6,19 138:22 joined 10:24 89:7 90:6 39.11 44.12 55.13 86:10,23 88:24 106:17 146:4.5.8.11.12.16 147:14 153:2 154:21 ioint 8:6 102:12 58:11 60:9.12.14 116:17,18 117:14,16 159:14,21 169:13 166:3,5 180:23 183:11 61:13 67:23 73:7 jointly 336:2 347:25 117.24 118.2 6 11 14 172:4,5 173:18 174:1 199:22 265:23 313:22 Jordan 3:8,22 6:22,23 252:17 271:2 310:4,8 118:16 119:6.11.16.21 176:5 192:2.15 201:7 385:10 310.11 336.6 337.20 10:25 123:10.11.24 120:3,10,12 121:4 interact 297:19 124:5,17,23 189:18,19 201.8 215.23 223.25 357:5,7,8,19 359:10 163:5,20,21 164:7,15 242:9 245:24 250:7,15 interacting 234:9 359:24 362:22 367:5 190:8 164.16 24 165.5 251:15 257:2 260:1 interactions 13:11 jot 16:4 117:11 220:1 367:14 369:25 201:11 206:13 213:23 Joy 4:14 310:15.16 264:24 265:14,24 interconnected 370:13 Irrigative 185:11 213:24 214:2 215:21 266:3,11,11 268:11.17 irrigator 357:13,14 judgment 255:23 interest 11.15 74.13 216:9,14 217:10 271:5,9 272:1 292:13 133:21 144:16 161:16 **IRWMP** 235:9,10,11,13 Julie 301:1 218:12,17,19,21,24 292:16 307:22 319:17 178:14 216:8 225:19 236:24 256:7 July 28:18 219:17 220:2,9,13,16 322:1 330:4 332:8 231:6 234:5 250:14 jump 214:7 353:23 Islands 325.20 220:20,21,25 221:3,6 333:2,4 334:3 340:11 316:9 380:6 isolated 24:18 26:23 jumped 123:25 221:24 222:3 223:11 342:25 345:16,20 interested 7:12 129:11 362:17 jumping 220:8 223:13.14 228:5 354:19 355:22 356:21 134:5 193:9,10 213:14 issue 13:14,17,21 19:25 jurisdiction 71:3 364:13 234:25 236:4,5,15,20 356:24 362:5.8 363:9 232:1.23 236:2.7 iurisdictions 363:25 24.7 27.4 74.12 79.15 237:10,20 242:24,25 368:7 371:9 372:15,18 244:5 305:22 318:12 85.7 88.18 93.3 95.7 243:6,8,11,20,24 iustice 35:13 70:9.10 376:16,17 385:11,17 375:5 388:15 96:6.25 113:18 114:4 244:21 245:9,19 246:3 244:5 254:14 256:16 informed 30:23 325:12,13 326:2,5,8 interesting 228:4 115:3 124:12 142:19 256:17 257:9,23 258:5 inherently 200:15 294:5 interests 29:11 150:3,8,23 151:18 326.15 15 16 261:13,16 265:22 inhibits 366:1 interim 233:17 255:17 161:9,10 163:14 iustification 19:13 282:16 283:2 289:25 initial 8:22 208:12 internal 161:9 210:11 201:21 207:16 222:13 345:13 290:3,13,16 337:16,18 initially 285:11 363:8 justify 345:8 internally 67:4 222:20 225:23 226:15 337:22 338:8 339:7,24 initiatives 109:16 110:3 international 80:2 226:15.16 236:23 340:12,22 343:21,23 innocent 299:4 307:21 internationally 331:5 κ 245:19 250:22 252:10 346:12.15 351:24.25 innovation 203:14 204:2 interpret 215:2 255:11 259:10 260:17 352:25 384:16,19,25 Kaitlin 260.22 305:18 330:10 interpretation 140:10 287:19 294:16 299:13 Karen 3:17 4:19 9:6,12 Jennifer 4:5 159:6 innovations 331:12 204:6 343:18.25 300.23 301.5 318.13 244:12,16 45:21 50:9 52:8 59:7 innovative 96:10 209:5 interpretations 366:15 322:12 326:4,7,8,14 jeopardy 379:7 118:19 121:8 124:25 input 35:12 52:6 62:4 interpreted 143:15 328:1 341:14 351:12 Jerardo 40:5 42:22 208:17 263:19 323:24 255:24 256:2 279:16 103:2,15,22,24 105:19 360:22 369:19 370:19 43:11,13 70:16 90:22 328:23 106:9 134:19 198:7 295.20 377.5690:22 246:1,2,16,17 Kari 3:21 132:25 135:4 229:19 292:24 355:17 interpreters 21:19,21 issued 102:21 179:10 246:23 247:11 248:3,7 366:18 inputs 271:6,7,8 344:17 interpreting 215:5 203:3 306:12 Kay 4:8 285:22 287:11 248:19 256:18 280:18 interrupt 146:2 150:17 355:20 issues 20:16 28:24 46:6 280:19 281:3 329:21 289:23 291:9,17 inputted 168:14 159:21 48:16 51:9 64:5 69:8 331:18,19 375:21 Kaye 193:8 210:13 insecticide 312:14 interrupting 348:6 78:23 84:12 87:12,20 Jo 4:24 364:25 374:24 Keeling 3:13,17 37:21 insecticides 312:13 interruption 184:22 89:17,18 90:7 108:7 37:23 40:14 41:3,5,9 375.2 inserting 160:7 326.24 108:15 109:3,7 135:8 Joaquin 271:25 324:10 41:13,16,25 42:11 inside 252:3 278:20,22 intervention 134:14 142:16 151:8 152:1 324:11,16 45:18 52:8 54:6 59:6 278.23 interviewed 207:3 189:23,23 190:4,5 job 8:5,15 52:3 71:16 73:9 123:19 124:4,8 insists 308:6 interviewing 224:23 201:15 206:17 208:8 86:17 90:20 95:25 124:22 127:24 128:10 interviews 192:14 inspecting 207:23 208:19 223:20 232:21 181:14 201:20,22,23 128:14 145:25 146:2 inspection 153:4 242:17 introduce 229:16 233.9 10 24 234.2 308:16 321:18 372:7 146:10,13,17 159:13 inspections 227:18 introduced 54:7 236:13 240:12 242:13 jobs 238:16 239:1 198:12 284:4,8,11,13 242:7 introducing 7:20 266:23 245:17 252:9 256:18 285:9 363:7,14 385:9 242:16 331:12 installing 208:8 introduction 23:9 46:7 261:3,4 283:19 294:5 **JOE** 4:21 keep 12:22 23:8 65:16 instance 85:11 92:15 introductions 7:2 295.10 297.8 300.18 Joel 332:20 333:19,20 166:23 169:2 171:6 98:16 317:13 invertebrates 47:16 304:23 313:7 318:2 John 5:4 7:11 9:10.11 188:15 199:21 257:16 instances 280:25 investment 371:25 319:19 320:20 321:1 309:6 379:1,3 288:3 312:16 322:24 instantaneous 241:25 372:2,6 323:3 343:24 356:25 Johnson 4:6 258:13,14 338:11 371:3,7 instills 233:8 invite 73:20 333:14 357:1 364:1,11 386:24 258:15,23 259:3 Keeper 260:13 Institute 49.16 invoked 382:25 387:3.4 289:15 Keepers 14:11 instruccion 22:15,16 involve 83:18 item 9:19,24 10:3 11:20 Johnston 3:7 6:20,21 keeping 251:8 insufficient 34:12 involved 8:17 99:22 13:1 20:14 22:18 10:25 22:11,12,17 keeps 334:18 insurance 88:5 238:7 207.19 219.5 221.20 28:24 204:9 205:6 50:8,9,12,15,18,20 **KEM** 124:18 insured 234:15 242:11 295:10 299:15 285:7 344:7,7,8,9 82:21 83:3,25 86:2,24 Kent 195:3 196:19

Atkinson-Baker, Inc. Court Reporters

(800) 288-3376

Page 16

Kern 291:18	253:6,7,8,25 254:1	landscaped 380:21	leases 293:23	209:22 210:2 213:14
Kevin 309:6 311:10	256:12 258:18 259:2	language 94:24 137:21	leave 73:3,24 87:9,9	209.22 210.2 213.14
key 31:19,20 135:8,14	260:17,20 261:1,9,22	138:5,20 139:16 140:5	115:19 182:24 193:14	227:20 235:20,24
135:18 152:15 161:19	261:23 262:20,22	140:25 141:22 142:7	194:25 204:3 228:15	347:16 374:5 377:12
168:15 170:15,16	263:12 264:2,3,8	145:17 148:6 156:19	228:18 240:19,22,24	384:11
174:2 207:18 209:14	265:8 266:11,15,17	157:12 158:2 159:19	270:5 281:23 282:22	levels 40:4 44:21 78:10
219:20,24 220:3,22,22	267:17,19,20 268:11	159:19 160:8 162:5,20	283:4 298:18 340:15	144:3 170:11 198:1
224:5 233:10 322:12	270:1 272:2 273:3	162:23,25 163:2 174:7	leaves 312:12	242:2 301:23 358:13
329:15	274:4,25 278:15,22,23	175:16,18 176:17	leaving 155:11 183:20	leverage 219:15
kick 312:5	280:21,25 282:1 285:5	177:16,16 200:8,11	226:25 228:18 344:19	leveraging 8:8
kicking 196:4 377:23	294:16 311:1 312:9,19	204:25 274:3 296:15	led 262:2 264:12	Lewis 97:23
kids 318:10 328:8,19	327:19 330:1 334:5,7	344:4,10	left 7:7 55:21 66:18 70:7	liabilities 232:17 233:14
kill 44:25 379:14,16,16	337:18 339:20 340:20	lapses 101:20	117:18 141:14 165:21	234:10,20 237:9
killing 271:17	340:24 342:1,13 348:1	large 62:12 106:14 112:6	183:1 197:1 219:17	liability 232:13
kind 9:1 13:18 65:24	348:17 349:20 352:18	143:10 183:20 198:20	241:14 273:25 274:8	lie 286:21
66:19 92:10 99:4,6,22	355:24 356:9 358:8,12	210:19 301:3 312:9	347:13,14 378:24	lies 286:22 361:14
100:16 107:10 123:13	361:6,7 367:6,18,25	344:7 364:2 367:13	legacy 78:5,9,12 92:1	lieu 178:9
124:13 127:18 130:12	369:5,12,20,25 370:7	368:3	202:25 267:17 293:6	life 48:16,17 50:4 76:21
137:6 150:10 176:7	370:9,10,10 371:6,7	largely 36:11	294:25	286:24 368:25
188:13 197:20 205:19	371:11,18,25 374:3,5	larger 244:23 264:13	legal 83:15 84:2,2,6,6	light 15:2,6,9 206:1
212:2 214:18,20,20	374:14 376:19 379:1	284:19 296:8 309:24	135:8 176:22,25	316:7
216:4,5,11 223:23	380:4 382:23 384:15	331:4	180:13,18 225:13,14	liked 310:20
225:3 235:22 245:20	384:16,19,25 385:13	largest 38:14 251:11	251:2 255:13 273:17	likes 352:25
246:4 260:16 264:3	386:7 387:12	311:15	273:18 279:17 289:14	likewise 201:24 269:22
266:9,12 270:12	knowing 279:22 326:10	lastly 177:13 328:14	290:12 298:23 307:4	limit 11:17 12:1 15:15
272:14 277:16 303:15	knowledge 260:22	337:13	366:11 386:14,21,23	115:16 145:2 250:17
317:15 327:25 334:20	356:25	late 245:2 313:10 348:6	387:3	251:2 312:17,22 343:
347:1 348:1,3 354:1	known 52:17 86:8	365:3 382:12	legalese 200:8	343:15 380:17
363:5 367:19 380:1	240:17	lateness 206:2	legality 133:11 179:22	limitation 149:14 178:5
kindly 247:5	knows 76:1 101:22	latest 135:7 323:15	289:8,9	limitations 148:19,19
kinds 68:3 80:14 93:22	172:19 184:16 303:4	Latino 97:18,19 100:19	legally 34:2,13 167:15	149:13
213:15 215:17 219:2	319:14	286:9 326:6	167:16	limited 112:25 149:19
King 117:19,22 375:21	kudos 247:7	laundry 208:6	Legislate 329:2	158:20 199:3 202:7
Kirk 4:10 293:10 296:18	Kumbaya 260:19 261:2	law 11:4 69:6 84:3,4,14	legislation 180:24	253:15 299:7
296:19	Kutchell 316:18	84:21 88:1 91:12	238:23	limits 152:3
knew 12:23,24 94:3,5	K-Mart 84:4	98:11 143:3 144:24	legislative 180:23 181:6	Lindsay 330:16,19
162:4 205:12 371:20		153:7 181:2 210:2	291:5 300:14 310:19	Lindsey 4:20 323:24
know 7:14 12:14,17 15:5	L	224:9 279:9,16 289:20	330:21	line 42:20 48:13 82:12
16:18 27:25 41:23	lab 45:7 47:8 205:10,13	306:8	legislator 306:24	129:20 137:19 140:23
63:4,10 69:2 73:2 75:6	label 308:20	Lawrence 18:5 19:1,12	legislators 239:23 291:5	165:12 266:19 278:15
75:24 76:9 77:13,24	labor 43:22 84:18 87:13	39:22 40:11 45:7 78:8	335:1	292:23 366:9,13
78:7 79:5,11,20,23	87:14,15 90:22 294:21	laws 71:22 98:19 206:4	legislature 103:6 291:3	384:23
80:5,7,9 81:11 85:1,5	363:21	338:7 365:20	legitimate 339:13	lines 38:12 111:19
86:8 87:21 88:18 92:1	Laboratory 18:5 19:1	lawyer 277:9 279:8	length 95:10	lining 253:23
92:10,11,21 94:9,11	39:22	lay 361:4	lenient 15:5	linings 246:4
94:12,13 97:16,25	labors 375:21	layer 200:17	lens 321:2	linked 359:23
98:10,19,23 99:3	labs 228:25 317:11	layers 365:15	Leonardo 70:15	Lisa 3:12,16 4:12,15
100:17 101:17 106:5	lack 35:5 96:15 208:24	layman's 344:4	Leopold 286:25 287:1	23:1 28:5,7 45:9 51:4
116:19 117:6 118:17	326:4	leach 340:4	lesser 54:24	300:8 304:4,6 311:11
128:17 136:21 144:13	lacking 34:14	leaching 213:8,10	lessons 212:9	315:1,3
144:22 145:9 148:11	lagoon 47:20	253:10 336:7 350:23	letter 64:2,3 81:12,14,20	list 24:4 30:25 62:20
150:10,23 152:2,16	laid 129:15	357:16,21,22,25 359:1	93:7 97:24 99:17	101:14 103:21 140:16
153:2,13 154:15 155:1	Lake 97:23 299:22	lead 3:10 12:25 222:15	107:9 110:12 125:17	161:12 171:1,2 172:1
155:13 157:3 158:10	land 61:13 76:19 78:6,11	279:12 366:13	173:23 272:10 275:12	244:10 247:1,2 255:8
158:20 159:17,22	80:3 85:22 88:19	leader 84:18	275:20,21 276:3	321:11 384:12,12
162:21 165:20 167:11	94:21 111:10,13	leaders 134:2 233:5	289:19 291:20 292:10	listed 23:22 35:20 68:7,
167:16 168:23 169:1	133:24 139:2 193:22	leadership 320:1 331:13	306:16,22 320:21	70:9 257:4
169:18 170:11 171:17	210:18 226:6,10 234:8	leading 78:6 123:16	letterhead 277:11	listen 10:5 15:22 76:11
176:7,8,21 178:24	236:10,17 287:1,2,4	198:21	letters 29:3 35:23 51:5	163:16 164:17 331:2
179:2,9,23 181:13	338:11,17 339:4 369:6	leads 130:20 277:23	103:7 144:7 275:11	listened 12:17
185:20 188:10 189:11	374:19 376:24 379:18	leafy 75:4,7 77:1 78:25	291:18	listening 366:5
189:20,24 190:1,6,25	381:18	109:24 365:7	letting 63:3	listings 31:4
192:22 193:18,23	landfill 26:18 204:12	League 238:3,4,6,9	lettuce 345:16 349:9	literally 95:12
196:16 201:1,2 202:1	landfills 26:13	239:10	361:5	literature 49:14 70:3
202:8 203:6 205:11,12	Landing 49:2 228:25	leak 25:20	let's 7:19 15:10 21:18	345:15
206:3 215:9,10,18	landowner 231:18	leaking 317:17	56:20 60:5 84:11	litigated 195:25
217:1 218:10 219:3	316:17 339:1	learn 61:25 96:10 100:11	115:23 124:24 131:12	litigation 196:7
220:13 223:17 224:4	landowners 76:19 229:5	100:13,16,23,25	151:6 195:4 202:13	little 15:18 23:22 24:4
225:9,10,20 226:4,7	231:7 233:7,13,18	127:22 170:24 176:9	217:17 221:3 227:12	26:18 29:12 63:14
226:22,24 228:1	236:1	199:12 330:8 364:20	261:6 264:5 276:21,22	65:18 72:2 93:23
235:21 245:2,13	lands 1:3 2:3 10:18 23:3	learned 76:21 212:9	276:22 278:5,7 296:7	94:20 95:17 104:6
246:18 247:14 248:12	33:2 107:21 168:1	294:20,22	level 25:11 27:4 124:9	116:11 118:6 133:14
248:18 249:22,23 250:18 251:22 252:5,6	185:11,16 232:14	lease 338:17	124:13 186:12 187:24	142:13 148:23 157:22
		leased 339:4	199:17,17 207:7	161:2,22 170:21

Atkinson-Baker, Inc. Court Reporters

186:14 194:6 197:20 65.12 67.20 23 83.4 199:11 200:2.10.11 88:25 96:25 115:20.20 203:9,20 205:6 206:11 142:20 144:24 150:19 207:17 209:9 211:14 150:22 151:2 181:4,6 214:4 216:16 224:13 190:1,11 193:4 201:25 229.12 239.7 241.5 211:24 212:14.24 242:21 245:1 249:2 213:8 220:19 226:8 254:16 255:10,13 237:17 242:21 248:22 258:22 261:4 263:6 250:22 263:8 288:12 266:17 268:23 287:14 300.3 306.19 307.7 11 332:24 333:1 334:17 317:11 331:3 334:9 339:25 350:19 358:1 335:5 339:21 354:20 367:23 356.22 357.11 11 24 live 13:11 16:16.20 43:3 360:4 362:10 375:20 43:5 299:21 376:2 377:4 386:3 378.16 looked 86:25 89:7 122:4 lived 43:11 164:19 225:9 291:13 Livermore 18:5 19:1,12 looking 45:1 46:13 48:4 39:22 40:11 45:7 78:8 60:18 78:14 84:15 lives 301:5 321:4 332:18 85:10 121:9,18 144:16 livestock 44:22 151:19 160:6 168:3 living 264:14 287:2 179:4 188:17 192:7 load 52:17 69:5 72:19 193:2 206:22 216:11 212:4 215:7 230:10 216:14 224:5,23 242:3 344:24 226.11 235.24 237.10 loading 28:2 35:6 53:4 237:11 250:23 267:1 53:13 55:12 58:6,7 268:9 287:19 291:14 61:8 271:11 344:15 362:14 386:11 387:9 350:12,15 354:8 355:7 looks 71:21 78:15 356:6 359:9.13 383:15 131:10 151:9 164:20 loads 50:1 61:11 207:25 192:3 329:5 339:18 229:15 230:17 241:25 354:13 361:11,11 local 29:25 30:21 39:4 loop 101.25 43.23 78.23 105.1 Lopez 4:5 70:14,15 229:5 230:22 301:13 237:24 240:17,18,25 308:23 315:10,15 241:2,9,9,11,11,13,15 317:14 243:3,7,10,14,22 localized 38:23 244:2.8.14 254:15 locally 194:11 315:10 257:1,12 258:2,7 locate 122:24 Lopezes 240:18 located 46:21 58:17 Los 4:3 14:7 17:6 91:18 243.2 96:3,23 113:6,25 location 47:13 60:19 126:25 134:13,15 63:6 107:1 122:16,23 156:12 196:11 197:12 123:5 243:1 279:10,12 206:8.9.14.14 214:1.6 319.13 14 216:2,12,16 217:12 locations 50:1 56:2 218:8,16,18,20,23,25 122:15 236:16 219:22 220:4,11,14,18 logic 205:14 221:1,5,7,25 222:5 logical 135:21 223:17 227:22,24 logistic 21:16 263:12 265:3,5 274:22 logistics 22:18 275:6 276:19 277:25 long 33:15 43:14,16 67:1 292:8 293:6 294:1 83:6 92:11,22 177:13 295:1 310:9 311:3 177:13 178:18 179:7 334:24 339:12 354:18 185:14 186:17 202:23 356:7,12,14,17,23 207:7 259:10 264:14 357.1 359.4 21 360.5 276.11 279.19 280.8 362:10 366:22 299:13 308:18 321:16 lose 79:17 94:8 176:15 328:17,18 346:6 239:2 307:1 369:13 371:14 373:7 losina 98:1 379:15,17 loss 95:25 longer 72:2 154:1 losses 193:1 173:21,24 174:25 lost 171:2 238:16,21 175:10.13 253:18 239:1 lot 13:11 25:9 35:12 254:3 287:24 313:17 313:19 321:15,16,16 64:19 75:18 77:15 long-standing 256:7 79:3 86:24,25 87:12 long-term 39:3 92:5 87.23 95.1 97.14 168:1 185:11,16 226:8 99:16 100:17 106:24 226:17 254:23 316:11 131:25 132:10 136:7 378:8 144:25 149:16 150:20 look 39:17 42:5 56:20 151.12 157.19 176.9 58:13 60:5,11 65:10 179:23 192:22 203:1

203:24 204:14 205:3 207:11.14 210:10 215:5,11,12,13,22 217:2,3,6 218:11 219:7.8.13.16 223:18 224:23 225:21 226:10 245:2 246:5,13,14,15 247:8 249:5 250:18 251:16 252:5 253:7.9 253:25 259:14 279:25 288:15,16 293:18 294:17 299:15,17 327:14 334:2,16 346:9 347:1 350:2 356:18,20 358:5,14,20 359:1,22 363:12 371:12 379:13 380.23 lots 84:21 165:6 234:18 297:21 312:1 386:3,3 386.4 loud 241.7 louder 133:14 241:6 lousy 86:17 love 213:21 216:4 low 27.10 89.25 119.8 204:20 298:24 325:16 328:1 337:9 377:8 lower 46:9 47:2,2,24 48:17.24 49:21 54:23 55:21 57:8 59:19 126:16 130:22 230:15 231:19 235:24 237:11 237.14 255.24 261.11 263:20,21,23,24 264:6 264:6 311:16 336:9 357:6 359:2 363:15 lowest 24:7 52:12 58:16 349.15 low-risk 304:15 low-wage 302:1 Lu 9.7 Lucas 118:10,13,15,16 248:21 375:21 Luis 1:11 2:12,19 6:1,6 92:24 102:25 106:15 116:1 132:23,24 284:23 302:17 309:11 310:20 315:9,13 332:22 363:23 366:6 380:16 lunch 11:25 115:16,19 115:24 239:21 Lurie 4:12 304:4.6.6 lysimeters 219:14 L-o-s 206:15 L-u-r-i-e 304:7 м M 1:24 2:21 3:5 388:4,20 machination 264:4 machine 261:9 MacPhearson 300:9 macro 199.16 mad 272:18 Madam 63:1 Madonna 2:19 main 34:5 51:9 119:8 166:12 335:16 375:23 maintain 138:3,4,8,9,10 141:1 144:5 153:21 274.18 324.20 maintained 138:6 233:20 maintaining 37:16 114:5

151:20 173:15 223:6 maintains 31:22 62:11 136.7 maintenance 232:16 233:19 major 13:10 38:9 69:17 97:23 98:1,6 191:18 191:20 221:11 243:14 312:17 348:4 majority 31:4 63:24 64:4 64:9 93:2,3 97:17,19 271:7 308:20 324:22 373:20 374:1 making 28:1 32:9 111:10 114:1 152:25 179:3 200:2 205:14 212:22 215:7 262:17 269:16 312:23 349:23 354:25 355:6 361:17 369:15 Maloney 306:9 manage 96:16 120:17.22 121:2 241:16 296:10 296.16 managed 355:19,21 management 8:9 27:23 30:14 31:22 34:17 35:22,25 39:11,11 53:23 54:14 55:13 57:11 60:10,13,15 65:5,13,16 83:19 85:7 127:11 129:22,23 130:4,8,14,20,23 137:16 138:21 139:4.9 147.14 15 149.5 150:14 156:21 161:17 161:18,19 169:19,23 169:24 170:4,18 174:9 177:1 182:17 186:6.10 187:4,5,6,9,16,17,19 188:12 189:4,6,12 202:16 204:1 208:9 209:13 212:25 214:25 214:25 221:7 224:6 229:19,21,24 230:9,12 231:5.11.14.18 233:16 234.14 236.18 237.2 252:25 254:10 292:15 292:21 310:5,8,12 312:18,22,24 316:1,18 349:8 352:11 354:13 357:12 358:15 359:24 362:18,22,23 367:5,14 manager 3:12 28:5 36:6 45:22 51:2 206:22 241:3,15 258:16 331:21 357:11 363:24 managerial 324:21 managers 85:4 managing 201:24 226:10 358:5 mandate 76:14,15,15 82:8 120:8 137:22 212:21 307:22 mandates 109:20 359:15.16 manner 96:19 142:23 239:19 manufactured 243:13 manure 243.13 map 46:23 48:4 58:14 59:15 155:5,6,7 245:23 maps 46:18 189:21 Marc 4:3 113:6 126:25

156:12 196:11 197:12 206:14 274:22 292:7 293:6 295:1 334:24 Marcela 4:18 320:8 322:4 323:23 325:6,9 March 1:12 2:13,21 6:2 6:7 28:19 42:1 45:7 109:1 116:2 192:8 292:10 298:10 330:24 376.17 March/April 243:25 Marc's 311:24 margin 289:13 marginalized 286:13 Maria 46:9,17,23 47:2,12 47:25 48:12 49:22 59:8,19 125:2 208:19 237:12 250:20 263:21 263:24 264:7 272:2 316:16,19,24 322:15 346:6 Marilee 304:4 306:1 307:15,16 Marin 376:14 Marine 36:3.4 228:25 229:6 242:12 304:7 305.11 Mark 207:20 marked 250:19 market 87:24 239:7 371:8 Marketing 75:4 78:25 109:24 markets 80:2 marking 77:2 244:6 Marla 364:25 374:24 375.2 Marsh 4:10 293:11 298:21,21 300:7 Marsh's 326:2 Martin 3:23 197:3,4,4 199:1,2 219:12 Mary 4:13,24 374:24 Maryann 320:7 mask 122:18 masked 212:2 Masland 49:17 mass 49:2 344:6 master 293.22 match 166:4 219:8 247:8 matches 166:2 171:2 matching 292:21 material 18:1 19:8 157:20 271:6 materials 17:11 18:3 149:22 math 218:23 253:8,9 368:22 Matt 3:17 40:24 42:9 52:8 54:6 59:6 71:7 117:1 122:13,20 198.12 matter 11:3,11,15 12:10 12:23 201:15 268:12 279:17,18 297:18 335:17 358:19 matters 315:17 Matthew 3:13 37:21 maximum 25:10 27:3 44:16 64:9 69:5 Mayor 3:7 77:21 86:9 97:10 McCANN 3:12.16 28:5.7 28:8 42:5 45:6,11

117:13,17 118:1,3,10 118:13.15 122:21 123:8 125:4,8,10 127:5 129:21 130:2 131.4 **McCHESNEY** 3:5 7:7 16:13,15,20 19:25 20:5,25 40:24 41:4,10 42:8 131:17 132:4.7 132:12 146:7.12 150:17 151:2,7,15 157:7,16,21 158:3 159:6 183:24 184:2,10 184:12.15.23 220:21 264:18,20,25 265:5 266:5,20 267:6 268:19 268:24 269:2,7,9 270.22 282.12 285.4 285:17 290:6.15 McClellan 256:19 mean 27:18 66:9 76:13 79:17 82:9 84:4,9 91:6 95:4 106:13 117:6 128:7 159:20 160:15 165:4 215:20 223:20 224:16.25 225:5 241:23 248:16 261:23 264:2 265:12 270:17 281:4 338:15 339:2 343:18 346:3,24 350:2 350:4 371:3,15 372:8 373:8,25 383:10 384:9 meaning 293:19 meaningful 35:5 305:24 means 14:16 170:2 215:2 255:1 297:21 304:14,22 305:1 328:5 328.8 372.2 7 meant 109:25 211:7 measurable 65:8 242:19 352:6 354:7 measure 47:7 130:15 198:14,16 201:6 216:23 217:21 243:22 344:21.22.23 352:5 358.17 359.11 measurement 34:15 212:5 243:20 measurements 243:23 measures 35:5 47:9 129:22,23 232:17 measuring 278:16 meat 291:2 mechanism 83:21 210:4 314:22 mechanisms 32:3 233:12 330:6 median 248.67 meet 24:21 31:19 32:2 32:11 33:9,16,21 53:20 56:15 89:4 91:10 94:22 139:14 140:11,13 141:12 167:5 176:1 187:15 210:1 216:3 222:12 234:13 239:17,18 246:6 288:8 293:17 386:15 meeting 12:15,18 13:4 15.18 25.22 71.12 106:14,16 107:12,16 134:24 141:25 142:2 174:20 209:17 245:16 255:12 257:10 269:3

276:9 283:4,12,19,22 283:22 301:3 325:3 331:20 349:8 373:21 meetings 128:18,19 245:10 311:20,21 365:3 366:10 meets 386:14 member 11:2,4 74:5,8 74:18.18 75:25 86:15 116.16 19 164.8 9 191:4 198:11 202:11 202:12 206:15 211:21 244.20 21 246.2 291:11 300:21 318:15 336:23 366:10 380:2 386.2 members 12:5,7,8,17 13:3 15:12,14 16:21 21:8 28:9,23 37:23 46:1 50:24 62:22 63:13 74:3 102:16.24 107:6 108:10.13.18 109:2,6,11 113:17 116:7 128:1 132:17 161.7 163.12 164.10 225.16 236.6 238.1 6 241:1 245:4,11 253:25 258:15 293:12 300:19 301:4,25 302:18 306:10 311:2,14 315:5 320:16 321:17 322:7 324:14 325:7 329:8 330:18 365:6 memorandum 167.19 mental 333:14 mention 56:6 68:5 80:13 81:17 144:4 327:13 mentioned 46:7 51:4 72:11 75:3,13 78:5,9 81:16 127:19 133:3 137:6 197:19 248:20 256:22 260:25 288:10 289:15 290:3 291:14 Mercer 4:8 191:6,11 285:22 287:11 289:23 291:9,10,16,17 merely 113:1 143:6 287:2 merged 312:25 merit 206:23 288:17 Merkley 4:8 285:21 287:11 289:23 290:17 290:18.19 Merrill 309:7 311:10,10 Mesquita 246:7 mess 224:24 message 227:19 288:21 messages 208:2 messing 289:18 met 33:12 93:21 112:9 166.8 207.9 222.12 245:5 324:23 352:13 method 371:18,19 methods 39:13 Methyl 320:20.22 metrics 352:13 Mexico 87:14,16,19 94:5 95:16 Mevers 87:16 Michael 3:8,11,18,22 4:10 6:22 7:6 22:2 62:20 63:1 107:23 120.4 293.11 298.10 298:21 365:8 374:25

385:21 micro 87:22 199:17 microphone 21:8 244:15 microphones 16:15 mid 49.11 middle 126:17 192:8 196:6,9 226:2 311:22 349:10 381:6 383:24 midnight 240:21 386:3 mid-1996 118:23 Migra 94:2 mike 3:7 4:4.22 6:20 10:25,25 238:2 241:4 241:10 308:4 363:19 363.20 mile 125:4,5 279:14 317:15 mileages 239:14 miles 27:3 247:12 379:8 milestone 350.1.9.352.9 milestones 31:24.25 61:17 140:10.13.16.17 140:19 203:4 million 30:6,7,10 37:25 43:14 92:25 105:4 315:10 329:20 331:22 375:8 380:16 millions 24:20 25:2.18 32:13 67:14 72:21 303.2 mind 12:23 13:6 23:8 98.18 120.25 166.23 217:7 283:5 287:25 322:25 387:8 mine 274:11 minerals 44.9 minimize 37:9 139:5 234:10 308:21 minimized 137:24 minimizing 198:6 minimum 171:18 211:10 324:21 349:5 minister 301:2 330:20 ministries 330.22 Ministry 300:14 329:3 330:21 minor 152:15 minorities 97:18 100:14 minority 100:20 minute 14:17 27:12 241:14 246:11 247:18 312.7 371.22 minutes 11:21 14:4,5,6 14:8,9,10,11,12,14 15:7 73:13 116:10 131:20 165:21 174:14 182:25 183:1 194:8,9 194:13 195:1 197:15 208:18 228:21 240:24 254:14 259:2,3 260:8 269:23 277:10 281:25 282:1 291:13 298:12 298:13,15 306:14 307.13 mischaracterize 295:14 misconception 135:10 136:25 137:11 139:3 140:22 141:5.17 142:3 142:9,15 313:13 327:25 misconceptions 135:7 366:17 mismanage 357:7

mismanagement 27:7 333:12 missed 203:19,25 missing 205:19 357:9 362.11 mission 23:6 69:20 195:10 259:4 332:15 Mississippi 74:15,15 Mist 311:15 mistakes 364:18 mistrust 318:22 mitigated 203:1 mitigation 350:22 351:1 mix 48:22 122:5,7 mixed 281:23 mode 198:2 model 36.22 77.4 113.7 208:11 247:19 360:17 models 85:10 moderate 52:24 54:21 59.1 modernizing 120:25 modification 147:2 204:20 modifications 106:10 154:9 330:7 modified 145:20 205:7 modify 11:13 127:21 128:4,5,9 modifying 128:3 moisture 357:14 Molera 230:4 236:6 moment 76.8 116.9 158:12 185:13 189:16 245:8 276:17 329:15 money 82:24 212:22 218:11 219:8.13.15 232:23 237:14 238:25 239:3 247:20 358:11 368:9 372:19 Monica 3:6 6:18 9:6,13 10:24 342:22 343:2 362:5 379:9 385:16 monies 235:10 monitor 33.10 54.9 65:14 96:9,14 98:13 100:24 197:24 301:23 316:23 361:6 monitored 47:3 249:15 253:2 275:7 314:22 monitoring 10:18 28:1 30:17,20,22,23 32:20 34:22 35:9 46:19,25 53:24 55:11 57:9,14 57:22,23 58:1 60:9,21 65.6 85.21 90.19 98.6 98:8,18 101:9 122:15 141:12 142:4,6 153:18 153:18 154:6,12,22 173:10,13,14 178:8,9 178:12 180:4,5 187:1 189:5,6 195:12,12 197:25 200:13 214:10 214:14 232:16 233:1 241:17,19,21,25 242:14,16,20 243:1,9 250:1 252:10 255:4,8 273:24,24 274:2,5,6 277:2.3.12.18.19.21 278:11,16,19 279:2,3 279:4,9,19,21 280:6 289:1,4,11,15,17 291:22 293:19 294:13 296:11,22 297:3,8,9

297:15,16,19 298:2,6 301:20 312:11 314:11 316:20 319:12 321:21 321:24 323:13.16 344:18 362:17 365:15 367:15 372:17 Monitoring/Basin 45:23 monte 201:4 Monterey 35:24 36:4 49:15 74:6 75:20 87:7 89:7 91:8 93:1 110:18 114:24 118:7 132:23 164:2 168:17 191:5 206:16 229:5,23 230:4 235:13 242:10,12 245:24 250:15 258:16 260:13 275:12 295:7 304:7 305:10 month 126:24 243:22 247:6 248:4,14,15 331.23 months 81:13 102:22,23 104:2 105:15,20,20 108.13 128.8 21 134:18 166:25 169:5 179:4,10 215:21 217:9 244:3,4 288:19 297:5 361.21 moral 286:16 Morales 4:18 320:8 322:4 323:23 325:6,7 325:9 Morgan 42:12 morning 6:4 8:1 37:23 46:1 50:24 63:12 92.19 102.8 107.9 129:1 249:3 282:8 284:20 295:5 310:16 328:15 365:9 Morro 250:19 317:6 375:7.22 Morrow 131:21 317:13 317:18 Moss 228:25 mothers 90:5 mountain 308:3 moustaches 290:20 mouth 47.13 move 9:19 15:3,10 21:13 28:3 63:7,10 64:6,7 66:17,22 70:6 95:16 131.12 136.8 24 201:13 202:13 237:19 239:11 245:22 256:14 258:23 276:21 292:19 299.10 305.23 326.16 326:18 336:22 338:2 349:6 350:1,9,16 moved 87:16 279:13 288.17 336.9 movement 357:17,18 moves 209:2 278:12 280:1 moving 58:25 172:20 192:7,18 239:24 248:11 254:9,11 278:17 286:24 288:3 339:4 357:3 374:15 376:21 MPS 205:15 277:6 MRP 138:12,20,23 142:20 153:18.21 154:2,3 297:3,15 MRPs 133:10 154:11

Atkinson-Baker, Inc. Court Reporters

(800) 288-3376

177:15 101:7,7 146:4 147:21 6:6 102:25 106:15 378.1 nonprofit 195:8 320:12 multiple 24:16 28:22 150:24 154:19,19,23 news 39:7 249:9 310:9 116.1 132.23 24 324.3 284:23 302:18 309:12 29:5 46:14 48:10 49:7 155:8 156:4 158:10,23 nice 221:1 357:20 nontoxic 226:24 70:2 230:1 231:17.20 167:2,5,21 169:16,23 310:20 315:9.13 381.25 noon 11:25 73:2.3 238:5 293:22 357:22 170:25 173:4 175:8,11 nickel 349:18 Norm 4:9 289:24 293:10 332:22 363:23 365.15 370.13 181:11 182:17 184:20 night 167:10 306:12 object 225:3 295:4.6 multi-billion 202:9 194:25 199:23 201:17 307:9 normal 243:25 objection 21:1 268:6,13 nightmare 100:1 Mundos 5:3 374:24 203:11 204:14,14,25 objections 17:5 18:16 north 25:12 municipal 26:18 44:1 207:4.9 209:15 211:21 nights 225:22 317:16.17 note 106:13 125:19 objectives 31:22 32:2.8 204.12 379.23 212:14 219:4.9 226:8 nine 75:9 288:18 367:21 32.11 33.10 44.12 129:9 167:24 328:2 municipalities 24:20,25 226:13,16 232:4,7 368:1 346:4 66:25 67:3,5 85:25 286:22 233:15,17,18,21,22 ninth 78.18 noted 182:4 91:3,13 114:23 143:12 notes 15:23 22:10 129:5 municipality 276:1 235:7 240:19,22,23 nitrate 23:25 24:3.3.23 143:13,14,16,16,24 music 9.8 25:9 35:6 37:7 38:6,10 144.2 153.25 177.22 241.5 249.6 252.11 319:21 388:12 mutual 91:8 134:24 257:20 267:11,12 38:14,18 39:2,11,23 notice 8:8 11:20 53:21 191:19 224:15 230:1 myriad 113:2 275:7,7,8,8,24 276:6,8 231:2 233:17 234:14 39:24 40:2,3,4,7 42:15 55:3 58:17 59:4.14 M-a-r-s-h 298:22 276:8 279:4,20 284:5 42:18,22,24 43:7,16 255:12,20 256:8 60:19 64:4,6,6 126:6 M-a-r-t-i-n 197:5 287:3.24 303:20 310:4 322.23 43:20 44:7.17.19.20 126:18 128:2 147:13 M-o-r-a-l-e-s 325:9 310:23 311:5 312:20 44:22,24 48:5 49:12 154:20 166:3 175:12 obligation 71:14 180:25 49:16,23 55:12 58:4,6 240:14 378:6,11 313:23 314:22 326:23 179:10 183:11 199:22 58:7 61:2,8 75:14 Ν 332:1 341:20.22 343:4 257:7 283:21 385:10 obligations 104:23 344.25 345.7 350.6 13 167.17 17 91:14 116:21 119:3 noticed 256:19 283:18 N 3:1 4:1 5:1 358:14 359:2 361:9,22 120:1 144:2 189:22 Notices 57:2 obscure 323:1 name 7.14 22.2 50.25 361:22 363:2,10 observation 199:18 214:25,25 226:25 notified 171:3 74:5 92:23 132:17 369:20 370:15 371:21 243:10 248:24 249:17 notwithstanding 139:13 332:24 173:22 191:3 194:21 observations 199.10 374:15.19 376:20.25 250:23 251:1,11 253:7 November 102:16 194:21 195:5 196:20 386.24 256:8,23 257:5,6 observed 168:4 197:4 206:10.14 105.22 needed 14:12 21:25 259:9,10 260:1 261:24 number 9:20.24 11:22 obtain 316:11 228:23 238:2 241:2,9 78:21 198:23 300:23 obtainable 309:2 263:23.24 267.17 17.25 19.5 23.5 38.21 241:11 244:16 258:15 obvious 333:8 344:6 300:23 330:7 378:25 270:25 312:19 317:12 70:4,9 79:21 108:6 260:12 283:6 286:1 needs 14:7 44:8 137:8 339:8 343:2,3 344:15 110:20 111:4 112:4,6 obviously 43:24 65:10 287.15 17 291.17 157:10 181:8,12 87:20 122:5 129:25 344:18,24,24,25 345:6 112:25 116:20 137:4,7 295:6 298:21 300:10 194:11 197:24 279:4 347:13,15,16 350:25 173:12.17 178:24 137:11 145:2,7 158:20 306:23 309:9 318:7 196:4 296:1 310:25 303.4 306.18 331.8 162:6,7 171:23,24 320:9,10 327:7 332:21 351:5 354:7 355:7.20 345:9,18,19,22,25 356:6 357:8 359:9,13 174:2 197:17 208:23 322:16 333:20 365:7 388:17 346:4,8 363:5 occasions 13:12 360:7 362:11,23 375:6 224:14 226:10 253:13 named 361:12 negative 13:14 20:16 occupy 337:23 377.12 383.15 269:6,6 274:13 292:2 names 34:8 335:10 occur 198:16 258:1 negotiable 86:3,4 nitrates 85:21 116:24 292:3 298:17 324:7,9 Narrative 143:11,13 Nathan 4:7 159:4 196:21 negotiate 71:24 72:1,2 117:25 118:5,18 119:1 327:20 336:14 341:20 occurred 40:9 112:16 84:12 222:25 occurring 138:3,10 169:21 190:5 197:24 341:24 346:1.24 352:3 285:20 287:11,15,17 negotiating 72:5 222:7 198:7,15 207:9 243:9 352:23 353:14 368:16 occurs 153:4 182:19 nation 9:2 77:4 92:15 negotiation 144:22 ocean 125:2,5,10 305:6 243:12 249:6,14 370:17,17 371:1 105:2 133:25 327:21 222:20 256:25 257:5 258:1 numbers 117:20 126:2,4 311:15 322:16 national 36:3,4,22 39:22 neighbor 100:17 259:15 280:11 301:14 126:22 143:18 191:12 ocifer 252:12 77:9 91:11 229:5 neighborhood 329:20 ocifers 249:16 301:23 310:2 321:10 262.12 341.25 347.3 4 242:12 304:7 305:11 October 17:22 19:4 neighboring 233:7 331:9 336:11 351:19 347:5,7 348:21 367:7 nations 74:21 330:24 neighbors 315:16 358:5 359:1 380:17.19 368:22 370:7 179:6 297:14 298:3 native 67.22 neither 110:22 195:23 nitrogen 24:23 42:15 numeric 143:13 361:1 naturally 138:3,10 201:22 388:13 offense 67:6 198:18 52:17 53:4.13 58:10 numerous 13:12 26:24 365:21 365.9 nature 109:11 243:12 net 88:5 195:20 206:19 207:2 227:2 38:12 58:5 232:20 neurologically 321:11 241:22,25 242:1,2,3 offensive 239:19 324.19 338.7 372.16 never 84:17 85:15 98:10 offer 64:8 86:14 312:23 334:18 342:5 nurseries 58:22 59:12 Navidad 299:24 137:6 171:4 247:3 offering 277:16 343:7.15 345:7.19 nursery 59:24 nay 163:17 276:15 347:23 381:17 offers 304:22 347:10,14 348:11,14 nutrient 24:21 27:23 NCL 249:17 257:7 new 12:7 17:11 43:14,15 351:8,10 352:6,18 30:14 55:14 56:16 office 12:22 36:7 74:19 NCLs 249.18 101:24 102:6 105:8 54:7,18 55:7,10 60:25 354:7 355:20 357:2,3 61:13 119:10 143:21 near 49:16 52:15 53:1 61:3.18.24 79:12 85:8 283:20 306:8 385:16 144:2 161:17,18,19 317:10 326:17 381:20 357:11.15.15.18 358:15,16,18,20 359:2 officer 3.11 11 7.6 73.10 96:10 98:14 108:10,13 169:19,22,24 170:4,11 nears 149:14 109:1,6,11 110:4,11 360:24 361:5,7,9,22 179:15 182:17 198:7 107:24 135:24 136:6 necessarily 161:10 136:15 147:23 156:1 112:1,15,24 144:14 368:4,5 369:25 370:24 229:15 230:10 252:25 189:12 200:8 222:1 161:3,5 167:3,7 146:4.5.10.12.16 372.19 226:15 250:22 279:24 271:11 310:4,8,12 168:22,24 169:16 147:4,11 151:7,9,10 nitrogenization 358:18 312:17,18 352:3,11 280:6,7 290:24 292:25 154:10 156:8 157:14 noise 7:18 172:22,22 174:17 353:4 354:6,12 355:20 309:24 339:9 373:19 noises 16:22 178:3 184:3 290:8 159:14 160:6 161:14 360:6 367:5.14 385:8 161:16 162:5,8,9 noncompliance 198:2 353:8 necessary 27:5 32:11 nutrients 24:19 31:6 164:6 167:14 169:17 Officers 15:12 68:11,12 nonexistent 199:3 169:21 272:20 34:24 144:18.24 152:3 nonnegotiable 83:17 169:18 170:8 171:8 nutrient-rich 271:16 Officer's 153:9 174:21 153:20 174:11 225:14 177:23 290:11 173:8,9 175:15,16 84:13,19 nutrition 333:22 255:18 342:7 nonparties 99:4 offices 35:25 199:12 204:2 247:10 nutritious 79:9 need 16:23 22:5,8 32:6 official 11:5,8 249:19 264:23 265:24 nonpoint 29:17 31:10,12 37:9 41:17 44:25 64:1 266:2 268:7,10 302:3 31:14 33:13,20 34:3 0 officials 13:12 99:10 66:17,21 67:7 68:19 308:2 331:11,21 332:8 34:25 36:12 180:16 103:4,19 115:15 129:1 oath 224:9 69:1,2,10,18 70:6,21 333.15 340.11 350.3 offset 233:14 Nonpoints 182:2 76:25 81:6 86:14 oaths 388:6 88:16 90:10 96:13 361:25 373:10 377:25 nonpolluted 308:7 **Obispo** 1:11 2:12,19 6:1 oh 6:9,11 9:25 50:12,15

Atkinson-Baker, Inc. Court Reporters

(800) 288-3376

77:5 202:12 236:15	open 12:23 13:6 113:22	64:14,20 65:3,24 66:6	organism 47:7,8,9	L
240:17 255:10 262:12	256:14 293:13 343:17	66:13 68:3,4,18 69:9,9	organisms 47:10	
290:18 298:14 338:9	opening 10:12	69:10,11 75:4 77:2,10	organization 132:20	Ŀ
378:15	open-ended 135:23	77:17 78:25 81:6	167:11,19 223:7	1.
oil 386:3	136:14	83:10 86:16,21 87:2	244:20 299:15 300:16	
Ojai 49:2	operate 238:25 324:22	98:4,7 102:17,20	309:13 315:14 320:12	
okay 6:11 7:1,23 9:11,19	operated 202:11	104:20 105:15 107:21	322:13 324:3	
10:15 13:25 16:20,25	operation 57:19 87:16	108:7 110:5,11 111:16	organizations 35:13,13	
21:4,10,13,17 22:11	105:24 126:12,13,23	111:20 112:15 113:12	35:17 237:1 320:15	
22:24 41:19 45:13 46:3,4 49:21 50:20	135:17,23 136:10,12 136:13 150:11 198:22	117:3 120:5,7,8,15	organize 89:4	
63:2,11 72:25 73:3,13	318:9 355:19,24,25	124:8,13,15 126:8 127:3,4,8,9,14,21	organizer 320:11 327:8 327:9	
73:24 80:25 86:5	367:21 368:2,3,18	128:3,4,6,16,21 130:4	original 134:14 154:6	
91:22 92:18 97:22	370:21 371:21 379:9	130:5 131:1 133:9,10	156:25 161:6.21 243:9	1
99:1 101:18 102:1,4,6	operations 34:8 37:18	135:13,18 137:12,13	originally 145:9 153:16	
105:11 106:7,12 107:4	66:12 67:25 126:9	137:15 138:2,24 139:4	203:17	
115:14 116:14,16	170:22 198:20 253:12	139:7,13,16,22,24	ors 382:14 383:5,8	
118:16 119:6 120:3	264:13 279:5 311:16	140:1,4,9,21 141:6,8	Otter 14:10 260:13	
121:4 124:17,23,24	Operation's 37:2	141:11,15,18,20 142:5	ought 77:5 91:2 223:11	
125:12 126:24 128:24	operator 122:19 317:7	142:10,11,13,16 143:8	outcome 193:17 305:21	
131:9,10,21 132:12 133:17 145:5 146:7,10	338:25 operators 294:12	143:14,21,22 144:14	388:15 outcomes 193:24	
146:17 147:1 151:4,15	295:21	144:20 145:1,5,6,14 145:16 146:20 147:3	outgrowth 106:16	
151:17 158:6,9,14	opinion 66:14 110:9	148:24 149:22 150:8	outline 16:3	
160:13 163:19 164:4	130:16 196:6 220:3	152:22 153:8,11	outlined 23:17 37:4	L
164:23 165:1,9,17,19	224:24 273:15 275:12	154:25 156:1,6 160:20	109:24 111:20	L
165:20,23 182:23	290:12 368:11 386:21	162:9 163:9 164:11	outlines 16:1	
183:1 184:23 186:1	opinions 84:6 366:11	165:24 166:6,22 167:4	outlying 317:10	1
189:17 190:16,23	387:1	168:14 169:2 170:7,10	outputs 271:6	
191:3 194:4,16,24	opportunities 234:22	170:12,19,22 171:9,17	outreach 29:25 33:5	Ι.
195:2,4 197:2,3 199:5	299:4	171:19,20 172:25	99:20	
202:13 206:7 221:5 225:18 228:9,21	opportunity 14:22 18:19 19:16 23:16 28:22	173:11 174:1,11 175:8 177:10,17,25 178:2,22	outset 112:23 175:20 outsider 224:22	I.
235:19 236:3,4 240:17	73:18 92:21 93:10	179:16 180:10 181:16	outstanding 8:5,22 9:16	
240:19,23,25 243:6	97:3 108:19 142:7	181:25 183:3,9,25	223:9 234:19 235:16	Ľ
244:5,9 248:15 258:9	148:1 150:21 162:18	184:2,18 185:1,5	overall 230:10 356:5	
258:10,25 267:4,10	163:2 177:11 203:19	186:23 188:14,15	357:5,12	Γ
270:2,5,15,16,23	204:1 205:22 229:1	195:24 196:10 201:14	overcome 322:25	
273:9 274:25 278:24	230:23 231:20 233:3,6	201:16 203:10 210:6,9	overestimated 130:16	
281:7,10,17 282:17	234:7 235:15,25	210:9,24 214:3,8	overgeneralizations	
283:6,8 285:15,19	237:18 250:7 268:15	215:1 216:10 217:18	259:19	
287:11 290:16,17 291:16 298:14 314:16	298:4 300:12 301:7 305:16 311:22 312:7	237:23 249:2 251:7 252:1,3 255:2 258:13	overlap 127:4	
314:25 330:10,11	347:12 374:20 377:1	259:16,21 268:12,16	overnight 75:6 76:18 overregulate 87:5	
334:18 339:18 342:4	opposite 366:12	272:12 274:17,23	overregulated 238:11	
343:18 351:21 352:13	opted 198:19	275:2,25 276:8 290:4	overregulation 238:16	
356:16 360:10,11	optimist 284:4	290:14 291:23 293:1	overstepping 222:1	
361:3,12,23 362:9	option 131:1 134:24	295:11 296:14 304:11	overview 23:9	
363:1,2,3,17 368:5	142:8 147:17 154:20	304:16,25 305:2 309:1	overwhelmed 22:6	
369:7 372:14 373:1	154:24 155:1,23 166:1	309:16 311:19,20	overwhelming 38:12	
376:8 378:20,21 383:2	178:1,2,5,7,11 210:4	312:16 313:14,17,19	333:25 334:10	L
383:18 384:4,14,18 385:20 387:6,6,14	295:21 350:5 options 154:25 159:15	313:21 322:11 324:21 332:5 333:8,16 341:2	overwhelmingly 257:15 334:25	
old 49:1,17 90:21 118:19	299:7	342:5 343:7 346:22	owner 122:19 319:14	1
119:17,22 199:12	oral 11:14 265:5 268:14	349:1,4 350:15 354:15	338:24	1
230:6 243:17 271:9	orally 156:13	355:22 360:17,19	owners 24:13 43:22	L
280:16 287:21,23	oranges 131:6	363:6 364:4,5 367:3	oxygen 119:8	
omissions 331:11	orchardist 310:18	370:24 373:10 374:7	o'clock 285:3	1
once 10:11 28:12 41:19	orchards 58:21 59:11	374:12,14 375:14,18		Ι.
64:13 100:13 115:19	67:22	376:20 385:5 386:9,10	P	1
189:4 243:22 252:1 262:1 273:3 288:1 11	Ord 76:1	386:12	P 3:1,1 4:1,1 5:1,1	
262:1 273:3 288:1,11 onerous 80:16,20 84:25	order 11:13,16 13:20 16:21 18:13,14 19:14	orderly 11:16 14:24 15:16,20	Packard 3:8 6:10,12,14	
110:17 365:20	20:9,10,10,13 23:4,10	orders 57:18 69:7,7	6:16,18,20,22,24 7:5 134:1	
ones 54:16 95:2 101:5,7	23:14,24 27:10,16	130:4 141:10 144:15	packet 73:7	'
170:5,19,20 172:10	28:4,11,17 29:6 33:21	182:3,5 183:10 344:3	pad 16:23	
273:13 333:7 373:24	34:19 35:2,12,14,15	Order's 109:20 140:5	pads 16:24	1
ongoing 38:14 78:11	35:19,24 36:8 37:5,6	ordinance 24:19	page 3:15 17:16,24	
222:20 255:19	37:14 51:9,15,18,25	ordinances 76:7 152:10	18:23 19:1,5 64:25	
online 54:13 63:19 95:5	52:4 53:16 54:12,19	organic 79:2 149:22,22	78:16 124:25 129:5	
100:4 120:15,18	55:5,7,19 56:1 57:3,16	150:1 195:15 358:19	137:14,24 138:1,2,12	
on-farm 112:2 214:10,14 219:2 293:19	57:25 58:3,9 59:3	379:9,19 380:1 381:5	140:9 145:7 151:6	
on-time 31:24	60:21,24 61:24 62:1,3 62:7,10,16 63:16	381:19 383:10,14 384:4	152:13,13 153:16	1
	52.1,10,10 00.10	.т	154:11,15 175:5 204:9	ľ

Page 20

306:15,20 307:7 382:8 382:10 pages 201:25 266:25 386:4 paid 245:16 247:6 329:7 pan 329:23 panel 1:10 2:11 107:19 272:16 panelists 113:9 paper 85:4 100:3 103:13 159:1 184:20,21 326:23 339:18 paperwork 84:24 95:5 215:11 308:13 365:15 365:19 paragraph 137:14 138:2 139:23 140:25 145:6,7 145:18 147:3,5,11,22 148:6 149:15,16,17 151:17,25 152:13 153:5.6.16 154:15 175:5,15,15 176:12,12 176:16,21 177:15 Paragraphs 176:20 parallel 32:25 204:24 parallels 324:7 paramount 71:22 paraphrase 203:15 parcel 187:10,12 334:14 334:15 381:14 parcels 183:13 217:24 380:22 pardon 204:14 parking 147:4 Parks 36:2 part 16:7 18:15 20:1,6 20:10,13,20,21 23:5 25:12 45:12 54:2 56:18 76:20 78:11 79:5,11 80:20 91:7 105:12,13 115:12 117:4 118:6 130:23 145:14,21,22 146:13 146:14,22 147:17 149:6 151:7,8,13 154:3,19 155:6,19,19 156:23,25 160:6,23 161:20 162:2 164:12 165:23 166:6 167:21 169:7 171:14 175:13 177:11 183:20 187:4 187:16 199:13 212:11 218:25 224:4 236:19 262:6 263:4 266:23 272:16 273:12 294:1 299:14 302:20 314:10 338:18 346:12 348:4 353:11 354:4,12 357:9 357:10 381:13 Parte 10:10 participant 173:24 participants 34:8 108:17 109:21 112:13 172:12 participate 11:3 83:21 175:10 353:25 participating 10:2 171:23 233:13 304:16 353:21 participation 353:15 particular 46:16 58:7,19 74:13 87:1 119:20 154:17 197:17 206:18 286:3 314:9 324:25 particularly 36:23 38:18

Atkinson-Baker, Inc. Court Reporters

47:11 49:21 205:15 339:16 344:1 347:1 persons 3:10 11:19 187:16,17,19 189:4,6 points 115:13 138:16 252:12 284:2 295:14 202:14,15,16 204:25 358.13 364.9 17 person's 290:25 361:4 150:11 200:21,22,23 369:20 374:18 376:23 295:15 300:19 301:14 perspective 24:5 216:13 211:4,4,5,9 214:25,25 208:22 289:10 351:25 377:8 387:2 377:22,23 379:23 216:13 235:5 232:9 252:25 253:15 poison 328:9 particulars 13:19 380:23 pertaining 10:3 119:22 324:2 340:24 352:3,11 polarize 195:21 people's 272:20 299:17 parties 72:16 95:22 204.7 352:12,17 354:13 policies 62:17 70:12 120:20 150:22 158:7 302:24 perused 159:18 357:12 362:21 365:22 71:23 231:4 203:25 204:5 266:10 peppered 202:1 policy 29:18,18 31:9,11 pest 340:16 366:21 367:4,5 pesticide 47:18 188:4.6 304:9 305:23 Pepping 3:23 194:14 plane 197:22 31:14,25 32:12,18,24 partner 233:6 236:25 188:6,14,23 213:13 195.5.5 planning 3:13 45:23 33:2,7,13,17 34:3,25 237:16 342:16 percent 8:20 38:4 46:12 219:6 253:4.15 320:12 69:13 229:25 233:11 70:9 72:8 99:5 109:5 partnering 325:19,22 47:15 56:23,24 60:3,4 321:23 381:18 235:9,12 143:21,25 172:6 174:6 partners 229:13 294:23 pesticides 31:6 47:19 plans 61:13 62:17 71:23 68:10 74:22 110:14.16 180:17 181:4 182:2 315.6 121:12,13 125:18,19 53:11 61:4 149:23,25 78:24 138:14 152:17 216:13,15 225:24 partnerships 316:10 125:20 137:2,4,5,9,10 150:2 308:21 320:17 184:3,6,17,25 187:11 277:6 320:11 386:21 parts 59:19 121:17 187:12 207:24 232:21 141:18,21 142:2 149:2 321:10,13 380:22 386:23 387:3 147:12 154:2,2 177:24 156:7 171:11,17,19 233:19,20 310:5 381.10 political 76:11 86:10 192.1 346.4 211:10 218:1.2 227:3 pests 110:1 312:18 353:4 354:6 226:15,16 276:12 party 157:22 158:5 227:4,5 248:6 249:15 petition 140:1 148:12 367:14 politics 76:21 175:7 277:20 388:14 249:18 250:18 263:8,9 274:19 290:9 plant 337:24 338:3,5 pollutant 229:15 230:17 Paso 315:4 301:15 310:10 326:6 Petre 300:10 340:15 341:11 342:6 pollutants 31:3 207:25 pass 195:24 222:10 326:12,12 335:23 Petroleum 307:5 351:7 375:9 pollute 198:21 227:20 275:15.24 336:5,10,14,17 337:21 petty 153:25 plants 25:2 67:23 147:14 polluted 38:10,18 42:24 276:3,8,9,13 299:20 337:22,24 338:5,6 phase 19:23 205:17 237:6 340:15 43.15 384.10 340:14,25 341:3,7,10 , phenomenal 81:2 308:10 330:2 386:12 348.5 polluters 307:20 passed 142:20 222:10 phone 63:24 plaque 9:12,12,13,14 341:20,21,21,24 342:5 pollution 26:11,20,25 238.17 342:11 347:17 361:15 phones 7:17 plaques 9:3 29:17,21 31:10,12,21 passion 76:9 276:10 361:16 367:9 373:9,10 phosphor 52:14,25 53:9 plateau 106:19 33.20 34.18 23 35.7 76:5 340:20 383:7 pastor 300:22 373:18 374:8,10 play 92:13 300:4 315:25 38:6,14 40:5,7,9 42:17 pastorial 300:23 378:18 379:9 380:1 photos 291:11 playing 321:9 42:23 43:7,20 68:21 path 90:13 percentage 38:2 62:12 . pick 45:9 217:17 285:4 please 7:13 9:7,9 11:23 69:8 70:4,16,17 72:4 pathway 112:23 72:19 78:9 115:1 62:14 111:13 121:11 346:24 12:3 15:8 41:18 45:25 Patrick 306:9 340:13 picked 94:1 355:23 77.8 78.2 90.12 116:21 123:23 212:3 pattern 48:5 picking 276:2 percentages 125:16,23 115:22 190:25 194:21 215:7 216:23,23,25 pay 43:10 247:24 248:11 137:2 pickings 308:19 220:20 246:10 258:22 217:3 251:11 270:25 301:22 327:17 369:1 percent-type 122:6 . Pickman 320:7 287:6 288:3 293:6 271.21 301.22 302.2 paying 43:4,9 226:21 perchlorate 25:8 picture 70:14 190:11 295:1 296:13 313:9 323:11,14 364:1 375:6 248:3,10,13 357:14 percolation 141:2,4 296:8 362:12 320:3 326:23 330:2,14 375:24 376:12 358:3 340:1 pie 272:19 273:9,11 333:16 348:24 377:10 pollutions 66:19 pays 315:10 Perez 225:22 piece 94:20 159:1 225:6 pleased 304:20 nonds 140.23 PCA's 219:5 perfect 85:15 326:18 225:7 338:11 359:25 . pleasure 90:15,20 . **poor** 49:4,24 245:11 penalistic 77:1 79:4 374:14 376:20 377:11 382.25 102:11 285:25 286:9 80:13,15 377:13 pieces 127:23 plenty 22:20,21 249:10 pop 379:15 penalized 237:4 295:23 performance 8:5 . pilot 235:19 236:19 381:13 population 43:20 286:8 penalties 80:14.19 performed 186:7.8 364.19 plethora 364:1 286.14 penalty 80:17 363:5 performing 278:17 pilots 364:8,10 plot 354:18,20,25 populations 251:20 pending 66:15 period 17:15 18:2,9 30:9 pin 370:17 plume 25:21 286:12 303:3 Penn 206:18 40:13,23 41:24 64:23 pink 48:11 . plumes 25:12,20 27:1 port 354:22 people 12:25 13:13 15:7 188.7 202.24 222.8 pinpoint 323:14 120.2 Porter 274:22 22:19 37:25 38:16,19 241:24 243:21,25 place 10:15 18:16 69:16 plus 106:21 107:2 Porter-Cologne 71:1 42:21 43:3,8,11 66:24 255:17,25 256:3,10 85:8 106:14 141:9,10 297:11,13 124:1 180:23 181:3 68:7 70:5,21 71:12 290:7 294:11 297:23 185:9 202:1,23 221:13 pocket 163:11 225.24 273.1 85:21 86:25.25 88:15 311:19 312:12 226:19 227:6 235:25 podium 40:19 164:1 portion 43:5 108:3 periods 29:1,4 38:24 90:1,5,10 91:6 92:25 298:3 309:22 313:19 Poins 226:2 221:12 243:11,17 99:4,24 100:6 101:23 244:2 318:17 330:5 331:20 point 19:19 22:3,7 26:10 282.2 105:5 107:8 120:5 Perlas 4:17 320:8,10,10 portions 38:9 43:19 333:4 370:11 388:8 28:12 48:21 55:25.25 123:20.22 154:20.23 permanently 376:3 placed 136:8 181:8 56.6 63.25 64.5 97.23 148:4 233:4 154.25 155.22 163.14 permission 93:4 99:14 197:23 98:1,7 104:14 125:6 Port's 275:5 165:24 171:2 183:10 permit 64:24 92:6 places 67:13 192:17 137:19 148:2 152:21 poses 37:11 205:15 232:5 233:2 184:25 189:7 194:12 198:1 263:14 314:4 160:10 163:6,15 176:8 Poshman 3:20 102:8 194:20,25 195:21 234.18 262.21 22 320.4 324.25 188:15.23 190:9 204:7 105.7201:21 205:4 215:12 permits 31:16,17 64:24 plain 139:16 274:2 209:7 215:24 230:18 position 84:5 206:4 219:12 221:19 223:24 232:19 277:14,16 239:20 242:20 248:2 269:22 270:7 285:10 226:10,18 228:19 permitted 262:24 plan 44:12 53:22 55:13 250:2 265:25 274:20 299.2 237:23 245:9 246:6 . permitting 31:13 152:9 55:17 58:11.12 60:10 277:2 278:3 282:3 positive 97:1 106:1 251:22 254:5 261:8 perpetuate 323:10 60:11,13,15,17 69:13 290:1 293:4,18,20 292:11 325:16 386:19 262:22 264:15 268:1 person 50:16 106:21 69:14,17 79:13,13 295:9,12 305:8 306:17 possession 378:5 272:4 275:16 276:7,23 188:11 253:21 266:14 129:10,15 130:21 possibilities 229:20 322.19 326.9 354.15 278:10 279:11 281:23 319:16 354:4 385:1 137:23,23 138:5,15,18 355:1.9 359:12 367:11 possibility 95:25 235:10 283:18 284:2 298:15 personal 42:19 253:22 138:23 143:17,23,24 369:12,20 372:7 373:5 350:16 298:18,24 299:5,6,12 299:21 328:2 378:5,15 149:12,18 152:14 pointed 43:13 71:7 possible 39:6 65:17 301:11,14 302:11,19 personally 225:15 161:4,20 169:7,9,10 76:13 109:22 137:3 109:12.12 110:3 320:19 321:4,8 326:12 294.17 385.1 172:6,22 179:19 182:2 140:12,25 287:23 127:24 138:7,9 169:4 329:17,24 334:11,20 personas 22:15 185:24 186:10 187:4,5 pointing 263:21,23 198:8 199:21 245:1

Atkinson-Baker, Inc. Court Reporters

^{(800) 288-3376}

Page 22

				I
287:8 353:19,20	45:6	137:1 141:8 144:15	26:22 27:15 29:22	
372:10 386:10	prepare 60:12,14,17 185:24 187:4	157:13 168:14 171:8	32:20,22 38:8 39:6	
possibly 9:2 67:11 85:15 95:2 198:12	prepared 12:9 20:12	239:12 307:25 pre-Ag 147:3	68:25 69:17 70:4	
post 237:8	71:2 116:12 131:12	pre-application 368:4	74:14 76:6 78:19 79:11 87:14 92:1	
postpone 332:9	233:24 234:12 284:24	pre-appointment 12:21	119:9 207:2 212:16	
postponed 108:25	368:18	primarily 51:11 59:22	216:19 223:1,2 226:20	
posture 287:16	prescribe 357:4	126:22 153:6 176:21	232:24 245:3 246:5	
post-appointment 12:21	prescribed 295:20	191:13 192:13 236:19	249:14 251:22 253:19	
potential 16:3 104:7	312:12	primary 40:1 42:15 48:1	254:4 256:18,21 257:5	
127:20 149:6 154:21	presence 155:9	129:21 176:20 251:11	262:11,16 263:25	
193:15 198:20 212:3	present 6:19 12:15 50:6	print 294:3	271:18 289:14 292:22	
251:12 287:20 305:8	69:23 128:22 146:10	printout 16:5	308:10 312:8 333:9,12	
340:4 348:23 357:16	162:18 163:2 164:25	printouts 16:2	procedural 17:5	
potentially 38:17 209:5	227:6 229:2 259:6	prior 19:23 29:14 40:12	procedure 266:10	
288:17 333:14 335:4 349:2	296:23 297:7 301:15	40:15 41:23 108:8	proceed 13:25 165:18	
pounds 242:1,3 341:11	319:11 338:11,11 364:17	114:1 142:19 146:21 269:13 360:13 368:4	282:25 proceeding 16:22 158:5	
341:12 343:13 345:17	presentation 14:1 16:7	priorities 43:18 213:7	268:21	
345:25 346:2 348:11	16:8,11 17:17 18:5	prioritization 52:1	proceedings 2:17 269:4	
poverty 245:17	21:14 23:19 42:10	prioritize 61:20 135:19	process 11:16 12:22	
power 71:3 260:3	45:5 50:19 115:13	144:21 213:11,11,12	13:15 15:16 16:7	
PowerPoint 190:12	116:15 117:4 124:19	213:13,15 214:12	23:10 28:4,11,16,25	
practical 109:7 177:18	126:25 131:15 132:9	215:12 242:17	51:6 65:13 80:5,16	
279:17	133:6 142:14 144:11	prioritized 211:11	82:14 83:7 85:16	
practice 30:14 31:22	150:20 162:2 190:13	prioritizing 62:9 170:1	90:24 91:4,4 92:5 98:2	
33:24 35:7 52:21	190:22 209:8 215:22	313:24	99:25 100:2 101:10	
53:23 54:15 56:9	244:13 249:1 256:14	priority 23:24,25 24:2,2	103:14 123:16,17,19	
67:18,20 138:21,25 170:2,2,13 173:3,6	281:17 282:5,9 291:12 293:7 332:25	24:7 26:12,20 46:6,8 51:25 56:4 72:11,13	126:17 130:22 152:9	
177:1 179:17 204:1	presentations 15:15	84:20 232:21 247:1,2	152:10 153:11,12 154:21 157:1 161:4,7	
211:8 212:6,6 214:17	43:3 73:10 116:13	302:25 310:1 352:8	161:23 164:12 166:17	
218:3,6,7,9,17 221:7	131:12 132:5,8 281:22	371:3 372:16	168:11,20 170:1	
224:6 304:19 330:9	292:24	private 75:5 90:1 251:19	174:10 177:25 185:6,8	p
354:23 357:5 358:6	presented 19:15 34:7	251:21 316:10	185:12,15 186:14	
382:18	36:21 104:7 127:13	privilege 286:23	188:20 195:19,20	
practices 27:18,19,19	134:9 146:16 152:23	proactive 56:8 295:22	200:2 221:8,9,10	
33:9,11 34:17 56:10	162:20,24 239:12	probably 76:4 81:17	229:3 231:10,15	
61:9,10 65:6,14,16	336:15 349:8 365:18	92:6 120:3 125:24	233:11 234:16,18	
67:8,10,16 83:20	366:17 376:17	131:20 161:2 174:2	235:9,18 237:2,7	
84:20 85:7 96:10 98:14 109:18,23	presenting 113:9 160:2 289:18	186:22 189:10 200:20	245:13,14,18,20 252:4	
127:11 129:16 130:9	presents 146:8 319:25	202:2,25 208:1 212:11 212:17 217:20 218:6	264:11 271:20 272:25 273:3,4 282:18 290:24	
130:20,23 137:16,22	Preservation 223:8	219:14 226:16 243:25	290:25 293:3 296:2	Р
139:5,9 142:4 147:15	240:18 241:3,16 242:9	256:10 258:3 260:23	299:15,16 309:22	p
149:5 150:14 156:22	277:20 296:21,21	282:2 302:19 342:17	334:12,13,19 347:11	٣
170:6,19 172:9 173:5	preserve 69:21 193:25	358:1 361:23 362:6	353:4,6,12 354:3	
174:9 186:6 187:6,9	195:10	371:24	358:15 364:18 385:9	
188:12 189:13 195:15	preserving 114:23 259:5	probe 49:16	processes 28:14 44:8	р
208:9 209:13 211:22	President 203:16	probes 119:25	56:3 91:16 233:16	р
212:25 213:5,9 226:23	Presidential 166:22	problem 24:1 38:11	330:5	р
227:12 229:22 230:9 230:12,16 234:23	182:5 pressure 329:18 334:16	68:21 70:19,20,20	produce 52:17 302:1,10	р
242:19 261:19 292:7,9	340:16	71:1 72:4 75:14,15,21 75:24 80:9,15 84:9,10	produced 53:3 193:21 producer 79:25,25	
292:15,21 315:23	pressures 323:1	85:9,13 89:1 98:11	producing 308:16	
331:4 359:24 362:14	presume 296:7	120:17 121:18,19	product 24:18 104:10	
362:18 365:14	pretend 246:12	140:15 166:15 168:25	231:9 371:7	
practicing 333:21	pretty 8:22 15:5 23:24	198:6 203:13 214:13	production 111:14	
371:16	24:9,11 25:3 81:20	214:14 216:24 250:25	118:4 236:10 302:12	р
Pratt 316:13 317:2	84:7 164:8 190:13	256:23 257:15,21	317:7	
precarious 299:1	213:24 220:14 225:17	259:3 262:2 263:3,4,7	productive 212:22 227:7	
precious 114:25 301:9	226:3 240:5 245:25	264:8 267:15,17	236:8	
precise 56:2 predetermined 104:9	250:24 308:17 310:21	271:10 272:6 274:8	products 75:17 87:24	
317:14	334:2 379:12,19 prevent 78:20 109:25	275:23 276:6 280:12	215:6	
predict 129:24 216:16	137:17 348:15	312:17 318:14 325:21 327:15 331:9 333:7	professional 167:17 205:9 223:6,10 367:16	- n
predominantly 29:10	preventing 141:3 213:10	346:19 354:4 357:7	367:17	p p
58:20,23 59:7,10	prevention 54:10 378:3	358:12 367:24 383:15	professionally 185:15	p p
prefer 63:5 265:10	prevents 72:10	384:17	professionals 347:8	۳ ا
prejudice 158:4	previous 21:2 43:2	problematic 44:7 198:1	Professor 238:13	р
prejudiced 158:10	66:21 93:13 144:15	208:25 386:22	proficiency 142:2	р
prejudicial 17:12 18:18	273:9 289:7 336:2,8	problemistic 201:4	profit 141:18 331:21	p
19:8,18	336:12 343:23	problems 23:21 24:10	profound 208:3 331:10	P
preliminary 41:16,25	previously 126:7 127:19	24:11 25:5 26:8,9,21	program 10:19 30:20	р
		1		•

31:11,18 33:16 35:25 36:9,10,12,15,19 45:23 46:19,24,25 48:23.23 51:2.3 68:17 75:6.10 88:10.13 89:11 96:16 99:21 104:17 111:19 114:11 114:12 119:14.15 120:18,20,21,21,24,25 124:6,21 133:21 145:19 154:12 155:22 157:3 160:16 166:7 167:1 168:1,10 169:12 169:14 170:4,14 171:18 172:15,25 173:1,1,16 177:21 180:6,15 181:24 183:14 185:9,11,16 200:13,15 201:4,24 202:18 203:21 204:22 208:3 209:4 212:13 213:1,4 214:23 216:1 219:1 229:24 230:20 235:20 241:2,15,17 244:16 246:10 253:17 253:24 255:20 256:6 277:21 291:21,25 296:22 297:3,4,9,15 297:20 298:2 305:4,6 305:13,19,24 315:19 319:23 323:7 324:25 336:22,24 337:10,10 369:1,14 383:16 programs 31:17 65:7 67:12,17 68:3 69:16 75:11 88:20 120:19 121:1,24 152:8 170:17 177:20 182:5 209:24 225:25 232:3,18 242:16 244:18 304:14 305:2,9,10,17 310:8 310:12 315:21,24 316:6 374:17 376:22 PROGRAM/BASIN 3:13 progress 28:1 34:21 35:6 60:22 61:16 65:8 82:7,16 201:9 205:5 349:17 373:8 progressive 82:7 209:24 prohibit 88:8 prohibition 297:25 project 8:16,25 14:10 68:8,11,13,13 76:10 79:2,2 85:2 90:18 91:5 218:5 219:11 221:12 231:22 235:6 236:19 241:7 260:13 278:4,13 334:2 projects 24:13 30:2,5,11 30:12 46:14 57:9,10 57:13 67:15 229:16 230:25 233:7,16,22 235:17 274:24 275:3,6 275:9,10 276:20,20 374:16 376:21 prolonged 255:15 promising 312:1 promote 83:12 210:5 212:15 prompted 24:24 promulgate 204:1 303:9 pronounced 196:19 Prop 247:9 proper 54:10 109:15

Atkinson-Baker, Inc. Court Reporters

Page 23

	1	1		
205:21 312:21	287:24 324:18 325:4	76:12 99:5 102:18,25	387:15	386:13
properly 275:8,9	330:2 344:16 350:13	105:19 113:16,19		Quandt 4:13 304:5 306:1
properties 210:20 231:8	378:6,10,11	116:8 117:18 123:3,4	Q	309:6,9,9 310:21
233:4	protected 56:4 61:4	128:2 134:18 142:23	Quail 207:19 241:19	quantifiable 31:24
property 24:13 46:25	66:18 142:18 287:7	142:24 152:21,25	243:1,11,15,24	quantifying 292:11
119:2 155:10,18	protecting 36:18 43:19	160:25 164:16 168:12	qualifications 111:25	quantity 316:23
183:20 232:15 314:10	44:5 45:1 72:9 90:13	168:16,17 209:11	qualified 215:5	quarter 46:15 203:8
proportional 309:19	114:25 124:2 276:22	221:24 222:4 224:2	qualifying 177:19	292:24
proposal 34:1,5,8 35:3,4 35:18,18 64:16 102:21	276:23 301:20 322:20 protection 27:21 36:5	248:23 251:14,15 265:23,25 275:22	quality 1:9 2:10,18 3:3	quarter-by-quarter 279:14
103:8,13,16,25,25	37:6 43:25 52:2 56:12	276:5 277:22 284:14	8:12,24 10:22 17:4	que 22:16
104:4,18,22 127:2,13	61:15,22 62:9 68:25	289:12 300:18 303:1	23:7,11 26:17 28:3 29:22 30:1,4,11,13,21	queasy 221:21 224:3
129:7 130:3 131:7,8	71:24 72:5 152:11	316:10,22,24 319:13	31:22 32:2,11,15,19	question 16:3,6,7 19:2
133:23 134:15 145:10	209:24 251:19	319:15,17 321:2 324:9	33:10,12,18 34:13	45:15 50:9 73:6 81:10
145:23 146:25 147:11	protective 48:16 223:24	324:15,16,18 325:4,14	35:16 36:17,24 37:19	81:19,25 86:11 87:4
157:13 158:2 168:1	305:4	360:3 366:6 375:7	37:22 38:8,25 39:6	91:1 97:2 98:4,17,21
181:16 184:5 192:20	protects 262:10	385:21	44:2,12 46:6 51:14	99:2 101:11 105:9,17
197:24 208:12,13	protocol 159:10 205:21	publicly 40:17 41:3	52:7,11,13,24 53:7,20	110:24 117:13,24
227:15 250:11 251:5	317:25	202:11 322:1 336:25	54:5,21,23 55:9,17	118:6,17 120:3,6
252:16 255:3 273:12 273:13 275:10 276:18	prove 247:20 257:13 303:25 307:20	337:1	56:8,10,19 57:5,5,10	121:20 122:12,21
276:19,21 288:2 289:9	proven 295:19	public's 225:7 published 225:8,10	58:12,16 59:2 60:10	124:18,24 125:21 127:2,15 129:12
293:7 296:4 299:20	proves 238:20	249:12 292:18 376:16	60:23 61:14,21 62:15 62:18 64:20,22 65:11	141:24 158:4,18
304:13,22 305:7,16	provide 8:19 15:15	pull 186:4 220:18 251:7	65:17 66:2,25 67:3,5	159:24 162:13 164:13
310:5 312:5 319:9	18:20 19:17,22 22:4	359:25 364:12,15	68:20 69:8,15,21,25	178:19 179:9 180:12
320:1 330:6 333:22	24:4 71:17 101:16	pulled 14:6 119:3 121:22	71:1,1,4,19 74:14 75:7	183:2 197:17 201:5,12
334:24,24 339:12	111:2 130:11 133:6,8	136:3 347:5	75:7 76:17 79:14	210:2,3 215:24 218:24
341:1 354:11 365:18	148:10 158:7,8 167:2	pulling 25:16 251:6	87:21 88:23 91:11	219:19 223:14 225:4
365:25 366:15,21	168:14 172:18 173:19	283:5	92:4,9 96:5,6,11,14,18	228:2,3 236:5,8,20,25
374:21 377:2,11	178:21 190:10 209:11	pump 247:17	102:15 104:12,23	258:17 275:15 283:13
386:20	229:19 231:7,24 232:5	pumping 76:3 247:13	107:25 111:7 114:8	283:14 289:7 295:11
proposals 35:11 129:21 142:4 196:11 202:4	234:16 235:13 266:10 266:11 300:25 303:21	punished 114:16 punishes 308:7	131:14 132:19 133:5	298:11 303:7,8,13 313:4 316:2 328:12
222:7 273:11,14	304:24 305:20 329:21	punitive 112:11	134:4,25 135:18,20,22 138:15,22 139:8,14,15	335:1 337:16 338:9
288:16 352:1	345:20 364:16 385:17	punted 359:5	139:19 140:2,6,11,14	339:6 343:14 348:24
propose 57:13 142:12	provided 14:22 37:15	purchase 302:10	140:20 148:5,13 149:3	351:11 352:10 354:10
143:8,22 148:16	102:24 103:17 127:11	pure 71:9	149:18 150:13 152:4	359:5,7,13 362:9,13
169:17 176:10,19	141:22 204:8,16	purpose 103:23 152:4	156:18,20,22 172:7	363:2 367:11 368:1
207:8 282:7 333:23	230:11,24 266:4,8	153:14 181:22 191:14	174:9,12,13 175:17	369:9 372:14 373:17
proposed 11:13 34:5	323:2 325:12 356:20	228:18 269:15 306:21	176:1,2,25 179:19	374:5 375:3,10 376:6
35:1 36:16 52:9 54:7	providers 8:18 324:11	306:22 362:16	181:1,24 182:10 187:2	381:3 382:6 383:21
54:18 55:18,19 56:16 64:7,7,17 93:15,19	provides 57:21 65:3 142:7 147:5 175:16	purposed 33:22 purposes 152:6	194:2 196:2,5,8	questioning 257:23 333:3
95:13,20,22 96:14	181:23 192:1 231:10	purposes 152.6 pursue 63:20 64:21	197:11 198:25 201:9	guestions 15:13,14,21
98:2 102:21 110:10	238:5,7 239:17 305:16	65:21 66:16 68:2	202:19,23 204:11 206:17 207:5,16,22	15:24 16:5 73:4,17
111:19 113:6 128:22	324:7	240:9	208:8,15,19 209:2,24	77:23,25 84:5 97:6,8,9
140:3 142:7 144:21	providing 33:4 67:8	pursued 104:13	210:3,5,8 211:22	99:8 101:21 105:6
145:13 146:20 154:8	104:25 108:1 135:1	pursuing 66:4	212:15,19 213:5 214:4	116:6,15,16 117:3
156:24 159:19 160:7	172:8 173:25	Purveyor 117:21	214:11,16,17 215:18	121:4,7 131:11 186:9
163:8 165:3 172:25	provision 88:1 139:24	purveyors 91:9	215:19 216:7,19	189:15 193:19 223:13
174:1 176:18 180:7	142:10 145:14 148:14	push 77:5 116:10 209:18	217:17,18,21 223:8	224:11 228:7 234:18
181:24 183:5 184:16 186:13 191:16 204:23	156:20 161:20 168:15 170:16 174:18 175:1,8	250:13 262:6	225:25 226:12,20	235:16 237:15 256:13
210:4 232:10 238:22	180:24 266:9	pushed 303:17 334:25 pushing 334:18	227:7 229:14,21 230:7	256:14 258:9 260:6 276:24 281:11 288:22
275:4 291:23 304:11	provisions 111:15 139:7	put 23:23 85:25 106:18	230:11,18,20 231:2,18 231:24 232:24 233:9	303:20 369:3 380:7
304:18 305:7 308:9	142:13 147:10,12,16	120:1 124:25 136:2,5	233:17 234:13 242:9	384:22
320:3 323:18 337:6	147:19 148:22 157:14	137:6 139:17 140:4	242:13,18 246:13	quick 17:4 50:9,10
341:2 373:10	167:22 174:3 180:4	145:5,24 148:1 159:16	251:13 255:12,17,20	179:21 187:20 194:5
proposes 55:7 184:24	provocative 207:13	177:24 178:8 179:1	255:21 256:1,5,8	226:1 272:8 347:2
proposing 27:9,15	209:23	189:21 202:10 203:24	263:13 269:4 272:9	369:9 381:3
54:11,24 55:22 83:17	prudent 112:22	204:14 226:19 237:8	274:12,13 277:12,20	quicker 203:1
106:23 143:20 145:15	Prunedale 248:21	260:25 263:19 266:14	278:11 279:9,20	quickly 39:18 66:8
147:8 148:24 150:18 175:25 181:18 183:6	249:18 256:22,24,25 257:9 258:18	268:9 314:4 316:20 333:13 340:21 343:5	280:22 288:5,8 291:4	123:24 159:18 169:3 179:22 227:22 235:1
257:25 266:21	public 10:16 11:20,21	364:15 364:15	293:17,19 295:14,23	241:18 254:13 280:17
proprietary 84:22	12:3,17 13:3,11 14:11	puts 161:2 298:1 312:22	296:5,6,9,16,21 301:21 302:23 304:10	301:18
142:16,17 223:25	23:16,18 24:1 26:12	379:24	305:12,17 309:20,25	quite 20:9 151:10 205:14
371:10	28:13,23,25 29:14	putting 101:9 348:3	310:2,24 311:7 314:3	207:2 208:17 243:7
prospective 34:4 89:16	30:6 32:4,14 33:4 36:1	354:20 362:8	314:8 316:1,22 320:2	287:19 319:6 326:10
protect 35:15 56:8,18	36:18,24 37:3,10,11	pyridine 377:25	322:12,23 323:3 330:2	364:1
61:2 69:24 71:3,18	38:7 44:3 45:10 51:8	P-e-p-p-i-n-g 195:6	333:9 335:18 336:24	quorum 13:1 108:11
123:25 138:23 152:8	52:1 56:4 68:24 70:5	P-e-r-I-a-s 320:10	337:6 344:14 350:13	128:1
174:11 182:15 195:10 264:11 272:19 286:20	71:13,21,24,25 72:3,5 72:5,7,9,17 74:4,17,18	P-e-t-r-e 300:11 p.m 115:25,25 116:3	362:17 365:12,17	quote 23:5 47:23 49:11 65:9 71:7 288:23
207.11212.10200.20	12.0,1,0,11 14.4,11,10	p.m 110.20,20 110.0	367:4 376:13 378:7,12	00.071.7200.20
1				

Atkinson-Baker, Inc. Court Reporters

289:1 326:2 realized 197:25 332:1 315:18 124.7 149.11 150.15 198:3 204:18 209:12 auoted 306:22 329:9,22 realizing 79:20 recognitions 7:24 190:9 313:5 345:14 227:13 229:7,24 referenced 17:21 18:1 Q-u-a-n-d-t 309:10 really 8:15 13:17 26:10 recognize 8:1 147:4,20 234:14 256:1 269:4 27:22 39:17 58:18 148:2 149:20 150:2 18:17 20:6.22 39:20 272:9 277:22 279:20 R 74:19 76:1,8 85:17 154.11 165.24 175.6 41:10.22.25 42:4 45:7 291:4 304:12 305:20 89:15.18 92:22 96:5 **R** 3:1 4:1 5:1 311:15 177:11 260:2.2 113:8 336:13 317:24 336:3 96:19 99:6,9 100:10 recognized 33:19 references 17:10 19:25 regions 38:20 58:15 racial 326.5 8 14 101:8 136:16,21 137:8 recognizes 56:7 64:25 41:16 69:15 70:4 rainfall 243:25 358:10 recognizing 54:22 144:21 145:2 160:8 region's 93:16 96:5 referencing 19:8,10 raise 326:14 172.15 173.4 177.6 13 raised 108:6 115:13 148.19 174.14 149:10 325:11 234:13 199:20,23 206:24 recommend 150:9 referred 124:18 125:17 registered 149:25 150:2 234:2 288:22 318:10 209:13 211:18 212:23 151:23 153:5,14 127:1 142:25 146:22 205:9 367:16 321.2 175:19 196:13 213.9 216.22 218.4 263.1 362.10 registration 320:22 rallying 373:19 regular 213:6 283:22 Rampston 330:16,18,19 219:10.22 223:3 recommendation 14:23 referring 40:11,22 Ramptson 4:20 323:24 224:15,17 225:2,24 22:25 29:8 73:11 117:15 159:20 222:3 348:19 226:7 227:12 247:7 201:13 238:10 239:24 235:8 249:12 288:24 regularly 385:12 ran 184.20 248:17 252:11 259:22 regulate 31:12 65:20 295.1341.13 ranch 155:5 243:12,18 261:18.20.21 262:13 318:11 370:5,16 371:5 recommendations refers 20:12 77:8 124:12 181:1 378:22 379:12 381:9 267:11 279:21 280:9 78:17 101:22 109:9 refine 208:11 275:18,18 336:1 288:21 293:21 294:20 249:20,21 282:9,13 reflect 145:20 154:14 regulated 33:6.7 112:22 rancher 378.24 299:4.17 310:20 326:1 378:12,14 387:1 157.1 210.25 235.9 226:18,19 296:2 374:9 ranches 104:25 323:13 recommended 133:9 317:24 330:8 334:10 309:24 365:10 regulates 72:16 367.22 random 227:18 335:5 346:6,7 348:6 239:24 reflected 106:9 126:4.19 regulating 296:6 322:22 352:4 355:18 356:21 reconcile 111:12 292:25 regulation 26:9,10,14 Randy 4:15 316:13,15 reflecting 225:22 358:3.14 359:22 reconciliation 111:2 range 261:25 346:25 27:11 79:16 93:19 370:20 373:18 374:8 reconsider 20:18 reflective 168:3 107:17 124:9 192:25 355:12 370:16 386.16 reconvene 387:12 reformatted 146:15 193:4,7,15 203:12,13 rank 211:11 reason 72:15,20 87:19 record 11:5,9,11 12:6,8 refrain 343:1 205:4 238:24,25 239:1 ranking 74:8 88:12 89:12 106:22 regard 25:8 42:14 12:9 17:2.7.14 18:10 251:21 278:2 321:24 rap 260:2 366:19 125:22 156:23 203:22 18:11,12,13,14,15 112:13 135:14 136:7 352:24 rapidly 44:16 219:17 247:14 260:20 20:2,6,11,13,18,19,20 136:12 137:1,11,22 regulations 70:12 81:5 Rarely 64:5 273:19,20 306:15 rarity 308:5 20:21 21:2 40:12 67:1 138:1 139:3 140:8,22 93.15 95.13 21 22 307:3.4 315:14 347:8 70:2 113:16 114:1 108:21 109:14,16 Ratar 374:24 141:17 142:3,15 144:6 rate 91:8 248:5,8 reasonable 23:7 62:17 132:14 134:17 194:22 207.16 110:4,7 112:2 238:17 65:3 96:19 128:17 265:1,3,15,17 266:3,7 regarding 13:13 15:22 238:18,20 257:18,19 ratio 141:25 343:2 150:19 181:2 213:17 266:14 267:7 268:5,10 22:25 76:17 89:19 268:20 269:3 308:9 344:25 345:6 346:19 215.7 220.6 253.21 271:24 303:18.21 93:14 135:10 140:22 346:21 347:16 348:21 321:23 366:2 355:23,24 357:19 255:18.25 256:2 335.13 141:5,5 142:3 197:18 regulator 112:22 286:3 359:2 360:6,25 362:11 266:16 267:3 269:17 recorded 49:13 277:3,13 317:23 318:2 regulators 168:16 284:12 301:17 302:23 recorder 11:7 regardless 286:18 378:9 regulatory 3:12 29:20 rationale 37:16 303:13,22 319:16 recording 11:7 16:21 ratios 179:16 347:20 region 8:4 25:12 26:24 29:20 35:21 36:14 361:11 22:10 30:5,12 31:1,2,5 33:2 51:2 80:5 82:13 349:11.22 reasonableness 181:5 recordings 11:8 36:7 37:8 38:1,8,15,16 103:21 109:4 111:15 Raul 92.24 reasonably 174:20 records 83:14 265:17 38:20,21 39:1,24 43:2 112.24 152.7 200.4 5 raw 212:1 376:14 recoup 368:22 369:17 reasons 126:6 155:4 44.15 18 24 45.4 46.8 RAY 5:3 200:7,10 201:25 216:6.6 273:19 287:21 370:24 371:25 372:6 46:15 49:5 51:19,21 203:11 204:25 215:10 Raymundo 376:10,11 306:13 recouping 369:2 372:1 56:22,23,23 58:18 226:1,15 231:3 232:18 reach 65.4 254.16 reassure 13:2 recreation 262:8 59:4 62:7 67:13 95:23 233:13 235:18 238:17 reached 133:25 234:6 rebut 267:12 268:12.16 recuse 10.2 96:9 111:24 113:21 read 93:5 97:24 102:14 239:8,15 259:7 305:15 106:22 107:9,13 rebuttal 14:13.19 266:10 recused 206:5 114:9 121:12,13,16 324:18,24 331:14 268:24 269:10,12,17 recycling 351:7 133:3 166:18,20 168:2 332:5 348:2,8 354:15 116.20 132.1 141.19 269:18,23,25 270:14 red 15:2,6,9 47:4,10 48:7 183:3.8.10.17.24 355.1.9 154:16 160:8 181:7 282:10.12 48.13 59.16 60.3 184:2,18 185:1,3,5,20 257:8 268:20 272:13 reinforcement 253:17 recall 28:9 105:17 186:5 165:12 177:24 206:1 185:24 186:3,18,22,24 reiterate 135:8 272:13 276:4 277:11 341:16 190:3,14 194:1 197:9 212:4 reject 11:13 238:9 277:11.17 297:18 recap 107:10 reduce 55:22 57:4 98:14 197:14 207:15 209:6 related 26:8,21 28:24 300:24 340:10 341:4 receipt 348:5 233:2 292:2 350:12 342:11,12 351:2 223:4,5 226:11,20 63:14 78:22 117:25 receive 61:3 172:12 386.13 230:2 237:13,19 260:1 314:4 315:6 380:12 reduced 39:2,14 61:11 188:24 331:3 255:22 302:20 310:7 388.14 readily 39:10 received 29:2 35:11,23 62:12 350:14 310:13 322:8.14.20 relates 287.4 reading 141:25 259:17 37:13 51:5,8 130:7 reduces 255.4 4 325:1 337:19 345:4.4 269:1,2 277:17 347:1 relations 308:24 242:8,11 313:16 316:4 reducing 56:14 230:16 345:5 346:18 360:17 relationship 230:22 349.5 ready 207:8 221:5 receiving 53:24 57:22 reduction 34:18,23 35:7 374.1 relative 26:9,16 58:16 72:19 207:25 regional 1:9 2:10,18 3:3 88:2 173:14 369:3 89:24 345:21 382:24 288:15 305:11 receptive 308:8 reductions 28:2 39:9 Reagan 203:16 6:5 10:22,23 14:1 relatively 25:20 38:23 recess 73:16 194:10 229:14 230:10 241:22 31:11 32:3 35:24,25 55:8 real 42:20 43:1 72:12.12 260:9 242:4 39:1 45:22 90:19 release 142:24 357:8 83.20 95.7 241.7 recharge 57:12 76:4 reevaluated 144:19 102:15 104:8 107:24 358.19 311:22 347:2 348:9 recharged 50:4 reevaluating 145:11 152:18,20,25 161:5 released 17:11 18:4 19:3 349:22 recitation 153:7 refer 69:9 78:2 144:7 172:4,8,12,19 173:23 102:16 249:8 258:21 realistic 114:18 285:14 recitations 143:6 145:19 279:14 175:12 177:21 180:25 268:15 309.2 recites 306:20 reference 17:17,22,25 relegating 252:24 181:11 183:11.13.15 reality 43:11 79:8 reclamation 90:18 18.3 25 19.18 20.8 142:20 303:19 183:22 184:4,6,14,18 reliability 208:24 realize 331:7 recognition 151:22 33:17 42:2 48:21 185:1,2,25 189:11 reliable 208:15

Page 24

Atkinson-Baker, Inc. Court Reporters

Page 25

	1	1	1	
relies 98:5,7 214:6	306:12,16,21 307:9	request 15:13 57:18	residents 324:14	restoring 76:16,17
religious 286:5	312:8 313:6,14,15	152:19,23,24 158:11	residual 170:11 360:13	Restrooms 7:15
rely 69:9,10 231:3,6	316:21 317:19 321:19	165:11 184:3 185:21	360:18 361:14,17	result 39:2,13 195:20
259:18,18,24 264:13	321:20 337:3,25	186:10 283:2 291:10	resolution 225:12	196:2 242:4 259:12
relying 19:20 38:1 90:1	341:24 354:18 362:1	365:25	287:20	271:20 290:24 346:1
251:20 362:15	363:3 382:8	requested 11:19 14:3,25	resolve 72:2	results 30:22 31:1 34:10
remain 13:21 31:5 50:2	reported 1:24 33:25	73:20 126:10 131:16	resolved 63:25 64:5	42:1 48:23 65:15
95:19 152:18 153:3	126:7 142:23 169:25	173:18 336:8	83:8 386:24 387:4	91:25 198:9 222:1,9
166:7 227:6 242:13	273:25 277:4,7,21	require 25:14 34:15	resolving 72:3 304:23	230:9,21 277:12,21
295:11	279:4,10 341:16	56:10 98:19 127:8	resource 35:22 121:24	279:23 289:11,13,18
remaining 14:15 16:4	reportee 279:5	139:7 142:1 148:13	230:1 258:16 301:9	316:11 339:21 365:15
108:7 373:23	reporter 11:6 21:12 22:1	166:22 175:20 180:8	315:25	372:17
remarkable 90:21	22:4,5,6 41:15,17	185:4 186:5 203:13	resources 7:8 31:8 49:4	RESUMED 116:5
remarks 291:4	45:25 46:2,4 62:24	250:1 301:20 310:7	68:6,14 69:4,16,22,24	retain 281:19
remediating 25:17	63:2,3,7,11 132:14	323:15 337:3 342:12	71:25 72:6 83:6 90:17	retention 296:7
remediation 25:16 378:2	133:13,16,18 164:3	352:2 358:1 360:18	97:14 99:20 168:17	rethought 153:20
remedy 143:8,21	176:15 184:19 194:5,8	required 24:20 29:20	198:23 199:2 202:5,16	return 94:13
remember 64:13 75:15	194:17,19 229:10,12	31:11,14 32:6 34:3,24	204:19 208:14 235:15	reveal 122:16
75:19 78:17 81:2	241:5,12 258:22,25	54:4 69:6 71:23 98:19	258:6 259:5 290:10	reveals 191:25
88:11 219:20 220:5,7	268:23 306:3,7 326:23			
240:4 254:21 259:25	373:13 388:5,21	141:19 155:16 182:10 187:4 220:24 269:11	respect 17:9,13 18:16 18:20 19:11,14,21	revenues 238:21 239:3
				Reverend 4:20 301:1
259:25 354:17 remind 16:6 180:21	REPORTERS 1:22	269:13 273:24 301:22	64:14 144:2 148:9 140:3 6 150:5 16	323:24 330:16,19
	reporting 10:18 28:1	302:2 324:20 341:5	149:3,6 150:5,16	review 17:6 19:17 28:11
315:21	34:6,15,16,20,22 35:2	342:5 346:23 350:23	153:15 155:8,19	28:12 29:11 40:22
reminded 7:18	35:4 54:9,12 55:2,23	365:19 366:9 367:6,8	156:10,18 157:2	83:13 134:8 144:7
reminder 69:20	56:1 57:14 58:10	367:8,14 386:15	159:10 169:20 170:11	225:4 268:15 282:5
reminding 53:18	60:22 62:13 63:19,20	requirement 66:1	172:9 173:3 174:3,5	292:23
remote 95:24	65:6 77:3 122:19	138:18,20 139:24	176:11 178:19 179:25	reviewed 12:5 13:3 36:8
removal 206:19 342:5	123:2,6 127:6,9	140:24 148:17 151:21	180:22 181:12 183:23	reviewing 291:18
remove 54:24 181:17	141:12 142:21,22	155:8 156:5 160:19	187:9 188:13,25 190:4	revision 128:13
291:11 348:14	153:24 156:8,9,11,12	168:4,5 172:17 173:13	190:5 268:5 272:24	revisions 29:5,9 163:11
removed 44:8 150:15	157:3 161:14 166:23	176:23 184:7,13,17,25	356:19	Revolving 247:1
156:19 313:8 351:8	170:25 171:7 172:17	185:20,23 203:18	respected 262:1,4	reward 335:21
375:22	179:25 180:1,9,19,20	205:7,15,20 252:7,24	respectful 245:6	rewriting 148:17
renamed 160:2	182:21 183:3,14	253:3 289:10 291:22	respecting 261:25	rice 88:6,14
renew 28:4,16	186:12,13 199:21	314:12 334:6 344:13	respond 83:24 99:7	Richard 4:13,16 304:5
renewed 290:7,8	200:19 207:21 211:17	344:13 348:2,9,20	157:20,23 158:19,24	306:1 309:9 316:13
rents 210:19	211:19,24 232:25	350:12	174:4 208:5 303:19	317:2,4
rep 365:4	255:5 264:20 278:4	requirements 1:2 2:2	responded 81:14	Rick 4:21,23 335:11
repairing 213:13	289:13 296:11,11	9:21 10:17 23:2 24:22	responder 317:8	364:24 366:25 373:2,3
repeat 81:18 355:3	325:3 362:4	24:24 33:8,12,21 35:2	responders 79:12 85:12	ride 248:3
repeatedly 103:6 296:25	reports 34:1 41:11 42:19	35:20 37:4 51:22 54:1	responds 280:17,17	right 7:6,11 12:24 22:2
repetition 12:4 15:15	45:11 62:21 69:6	54:7,16,18,19,24,25	response 29:6 32:19	22:21 39:21 45:20
replace 43:17 54:14	75:22 133:8 141:7	55:1,7,10,19,23,24	40:22 53:15 54:5 55:4	63:3,8 66:4,22 70:7
150:13	171:22 179:15 180:14	60:6 62:5,8,11,14	59:3 81:20,20,23 82:2	71:9,14,16 73:5 80:22
replacement 25:14	188:6,14 210:10 212:9	63:15,18 65:2,23,23	86:24 91:1 105:19,24	83:3,10 92:16 100:11
252:2	215:4,8,14 249:10	66:2,5 84:21 111:16	106:5 110:11 125:20	102:4 106:7,8 125:8
report 10:20 17:6,11,16	324:19 336:12,12,25	124:14 130:15,17	133:6 142:9 158:8	125:10 128:23 129:12
17:21,23,24 19:5,6,12	340:9	141:4,12 142:21,22	164:7 165:8 193:17	130:1 131:3 135:25
20:15,17 28:24 33:10	represent 74:6 103:19	149:6 153:24 156:8,10	197:16 230:18 350:12	136:4,19 139:11 158:3
34:6 40:11,14,21 41:1	105:4 132:18 245:7	156:11,18 160:18,24	359:8 360:15	158:14 159:4 165:9
41:2,2,22,23 42:1,3	286:1 298:23 302:16	161:11,15,16 166:9	responses 135:6	170:15 176:14 185:3
45:6,8,12,16 46:10	302:19 311:14 315:5	167:25 171:7 173:10	responsibilities 76:16	186:1,23 188:9 190:1
49:10,11 65:14 75:23	324:4 362:2	178:10,12,24 181:17	167:9,13	190:17 191:9,22 195:3
75:23 78:15 91:18,19	representation 133:7	253:16 255:4,5 289:1	responsibility 70:25	200:24 202:13 204:8
96:3 100:3 113:6,12	representative 107:6	292:1 309:18,19 314:4	76:11 92:14 224:16	204:13 214:8 217:10
113:25 118:22 119:11	125:15 170:10 272:17	324:23 325:4 362:21	286:20 308:18 312:2	217:18 218:1,9 225:2
123:12,13 129:4 130:6	316:18 366:7 373:20	373:10,16	325:22 330:1 332:11	227:8 228:9,22 232:13
130:6 131:5 133:7	380:4,8	requires 84:15 98:11	378:10	240:18 242:23 244:10
134:15 135:7 136:1	representatives 9:4	139:4 141:20 143:3,23	responsible 32:8,9 44:4	251:3,7 253:21 260:11
137:7 138:13,19	73:21 96:2,22 110:24	174:8 180:1 187:5	68:16 72:8 82:23	261:14 262:6 270:4,9
140:16 142:9 151:14	112:7 129:2 240:3	192:25 194:2 341:3,6	120:20 166:11,13,16	281:21 282:8,19 284:4
160:18 166:12 171:21	319:8 345:3	349:4	192:24 274:4 277:5	284:16,17 285:19,23
171:22 172:11 173:2	represented 129:10	requiring 33:6 78:24	333:6,6 378:7,8	286:7,18 287:6 293:14
177:7 184:14 185:17	286:11 340:25 377:9	118:4 155:5,8 201:17	responsive 62:4 164:11	298:19 301:11 312:11
186:5 187:8,18 193:1	representing 8:2,20	341:1 367:12	rest 11:20 42:9 73:1	317:17 319:6 323:18
211:12,14 216:3	17:3 102:10,11 133:1	research 30:1,9,13	120:12 162:1 164:17	327:2,6 330:25 331:7
219:19 238:12,13,20	197:6 199:8 238:3	49:16 96:8 122:2	164:25 303:24	341:10,18,18,20 342:8
249:8 253:3,4 257:5,8	363:21 375:16	203:14 212:14,17,25	restoration 30:18 77:15	342:19 343:1 351:3,6
263:1 264:17,21,22	represents 7:9 28:21	218:16 219:9 251:17	123:25 124:3 152:5	351:14 353:21 355:4
265:2,3,7 266:6,16,22	92:25 107:7 186:21	315:24 336:2 345:24	207:20 213:14 216:7	361:7,24 362:4,6,7
266:23,24,25 268:14	309:13	347:4,8 348:17	Restorationist 261:8	364:16 369:7,19
269:20,21,25 270:7,12	reps 374:3	researchers 347:18	restore 69:21 229:7	371:13 374:17 376:23
270:13 273:7,16 275:1	Republicans 103:5	reserve 131:18	restored 195:14 230:14	378:22 379:7 383:4,16
210.10210.1,10210.1			19510160 1951.14 250.14	510.22 519.1 303.4,10

Atkinson-Baker, Inc. Court Reporters

Page 26

384:23,24 385:6 387.14 rights 253:20 377:6 379.6 riparian 109:21 138:3,4 138:10,23 139:1 151:18 152:1 236:22 261:11 262:19 risk 43:21 53:4 55:6.12 58:4.6.8 61:8 122:11 170:3,5,12 172:1 207:6 208:15,20 212:3 299:6 323:9,16 324:6 329.24 333.14 15 336:6 337:9 357:6,13 357:25 risks 127:12 172:3 232:10 301:16 321:12 Ritz 3:20 105:8 106:7 107:4,5,6 115:11,13 river 47:12 49:1.14 74:15 118:19 119:17 119:22 125:2 230:6 241:21,23 261:11 262:19,24 322:15,15 331.24 rivers 262:16 263:5 378:17 road 2:19 59:10,22 186:14 196:4 198:4 230:4 243:17 288:9,13 369:18 379:8 roadblocks 319:10 Roh 258.15 Robert 4:6 258:13 374:25 Robin 5:3 377:16,17 **Robles** 315:4 robust 133:24 171:9 210:4 358:1 robustness 172:25 Rockhurst 308:4 Roger 3:11 28:8 46:6 110:13 285:9 role 74:11 92:12.13 244:23 305:8 316:1 320:2 331:13 roles 74:8 roll 6:7,9 205:1 rolled 298:6 rolling 260:16 rollout 8:22 Roman 167:8 room 10:5 11:24 21:20 90:14 283:20 284:21 288:16 368:7 377:21 root 332:2 338:2 344:19 roots 294.15 Rose 4:18 255:14 320:8 322:4 323:23,25 Ross 4:4 14:8 228:11,23 235:1 274:22 275:5 rotate 293:23 rotating 338:14 rotation 100:25 141:21 338:9,18 339:7 347:12 347:15 361:1 roughly 244:3 round 9:17 371:1 routine 259:22 routinely 205:11 row 10:5 111:11 329:16 rows 22:22 rubber 288:8 348:10,10

rule 157:9 159:9 rules 193.16 226.1 ruling 17:9 146:4 264:20 run 176:13 299:22,23 324:12 346:2 364:9 369.22 running 80:3 179:8 368:13 370:18 379:12 runoff 96:14 137:18.23 150.3 261.22 339.25 runs 243:24 289:14 run-off 242:5 301:13,24 rural 38:20 43:6,23 91:6 298.22 rush 113:23 335:13 353:22 Russ 261:14 Russell 3:5 6:14 10:24 r's 259:16,17 R-a-y-m-u-n-d-o 376:11 S **S** 3:1.4.6 4:1 5:1 Sacramento 238:14 311:25 379:6 Sadowski 316:13 317:2 317.44 safe 43:9 61:22 90:11 122:8 233:18 246:25 248:15,19 286:6,17 293:14 301:15 327:21 329:21 331:7 safely 324:22 safety 71:8 88:5,18 109:23 233:21 236:23 237:2,9 239:14 286:20 365:23 sails 197.20 Salinas 38:3 39:25 40:7 46:9, 16, 22, 23 47: 2, 24 48:24 49:1,17,22 59:8 59:18 75:15 102:10 103.1 107.13 17 117:19,22 118:19 119:17,22 163:7 229:8 230:6,15 231:19 235:24 237:11.12.15 241:20,21,22 249:16 250:20 261:11 263:20 263:23 264:6 272:2 295:14 298:23 311:16 322:15 324:11 365:8 370:6 378:17 saloon 118:22.25 119:1 119.2 23 SALOWSKI 4:16 Salsbury 5:4 375:1 379:1,3,3 381:6,12,17 381.24 382.19 383.19 383:23 384:4,6,9,15 384:18,23 salt 250:17 338:2 salts 227:1 salute 272:15 Sam 36:6 74:5 77:23 78:5 80:12 86:7.8 sample 47:8 205:10.13 205:22 214:20 215:16 263:5 368:17,17 sampled 47:5 170:10 263:11,14 samples 46:24 47:15 48:8 205:11,12,16,18 214:15 263:9 318:1,2

sampling 125:7 205:8 205:23 214:20 250:16 263:3 314:6 San 1:11 2:12,19 6:1,6 40:5 42:22 43:11,13 70:16 74:6 90:22 93:1 102:25 106:15 116:1 118:10,13,15,16 132:22.24.24 246:1.2 246:16,17,23 247:11 248:3,7,19,21 256:18 271:25 280:18,19 281:3 284:23 302:17 309.11 310.19 315.9 315:13 324:10,11,16 329:21 331:18,19 332:22 363:23 375:21 375:21 380:15 Sanchez 3:19 73:19,22 92:18,19,23 97:5,9,16 98:21.23.25 99:14.16 101.15 102.1 366.5 Sanctuary 36:5 229:6 242:12 304:8,8 305:11 sand 322:16 368:12 sanitary 71:9 Sanitation 331:1 Santa 46:8,17,23 47:2 47:12,25 48:12 49:22 59:8,19 74:6 93:1,1 125:2 132:22,24 195:9 208:19 237:12 240:15 250:20 263:21.24 264.6 272.2 300.22 301:2 302:17 309:11 316:16,19,24 322:15 346:6 363:23 Sara 4:5,17 226:21 240:17,18 241:2,9,11 244:14 320:7 322:5 sat 299:13 319:10 satisfies 104:22 satisfy 125:24 save 331:25 368:23,25 370.23 saving 369:11 savings 39:13 369:2 saw 45:15 76:16 119:21 197:17 313:6 349:7 saying 78:9 95:12 171:3 171:14 173:24 190:8 218:15 222:23 224:8 257:16 276:2,5 279:2 344:1,2,12 349:18 353:3,13,19 355:5 359:10,11 361:16 368.12 says 71:2 118:7 137:15 137:19,23 138:2 139:14,16 141:11,18 151:19 152:2.23 176:23 177:7 180:25 185:23 187:3 199:11 207:14 223:3 247:20 248:5 253:11.20 255:15 263:16 273:8 273:18,21,25 274:1,18 274:19,25 275:13 277:12,17 278:8 313:19 340:5 341:8,8 348:3 356:19 360:24 361:11 362:3 367:8,15 367.23 SBX2 306:21

scale 26:22 39:1 250:25 291.25 scaled 274:7 275:8 scaling 292:1 scan 254:19 scenario 289:12.16 schedule 128:4,5,21 147:18 148:22 174:14 176:16 177:6 255:15 360.24 scheduled 128:18 245.15 schedules 25:15 31:23 31:24 53:25 57:15 65:3 148:25 175:17,19 176:11,18 182:13 Schmidt 4:10 284:18,19 293:10 296:18.19.19 school 118:10,11,12,13 238:14 327:14,16 328:3.6 schools 43:23 118:8,9 321:7 327:21 328:20 Schroeter 3:12,18 27:11 50:6.22.23.25 105:21 126:2 313:10 314:24 342:21,24 343:9,12,17 344:12 347:2,21 348.25 349.4 350.1 5 350:8 351:16,20 360:9 360:14 361:19 362:1 362:20 381:8,16,21 382:12,16,23 383:3,6 383:17,21 384:1,5 385:3 science 75:24 91:17 134:13 204:2 216:22 218:10 316:11 scientific 19:11 49:13 134:21 198:24 207:8 216:12.14 217:16 scientist 209:2 scoot 287:14 scope 297:7 298:5 Scottland 159.6 screaming 377:24 screen 159:1 se 157:25 214:9 sea 271.17 326.10 381:15 search 202:2 season 281:6 297:12 338:1.16 346:7 361:1 seat 22:22 seats 22:20,21 73:15 second 41:17 53:12 63:1 64:6 150:18 166:6 184:19,21 209:11 235:8 259:25 275:20 277:15 289:5,6 307:3 308:13 313:4 348:3 368:19 Secondly 109:13 seconds 307:12 secret 56:3 secretary 300:25 secrets 56:3 section 3:12 28:5,6 30:25 146:20 180:2 269:6,7 281:9 360:22 sections 12:19 277:6 sector 75:5 316:23 sectors 317:25 sediment 24:10,11,18

26:8 31:6 47:16 55:15 183.10 sedimentation 262:11 262:16 sediments 24:4 see 7:19 11:14 15:9 16:2 21:18 22:21 26:20 29:7 38:22,25 42:25 46:21 47:1,14 48:12 48:18,20 49:18 54:17 55:21 59:6,9,10,11,17 59:22,23 73:8 77:5 90:10 91:25 92:8.10 101:2 116:12 124:25 125:11 127:17 132:10 134:3 136:6 137:18 138:8.16 140:25 145:4 149:14,16 151:2 154:5 154:13 157:25 158:1 158:21 164:19 165:13 174.20 177.20 188.11 189:7 205:4 206:20 208:10,20 209:20 211:21 213:22 217:19 224:1.2 225:1 226:21 228:12 230:18 240:4 250:24 261:6 263:22 270:11 280:12 288:17 297:2.13 299:12 304:21 313:10 316:7 326:10 333:22 334:20 335:2 343:18 345:21 349:11 350:6 354:21 355:2,12 360:4 362:7 364:8 374:18 376:24 380:14 382:9 seeing 47:18 49:22 50:1 83:16 163:14 199:14 223:2 259:11 262:7 345:22 seen 26.4 5 70.1 74.16 75:23 158:5 162:9 233:5 261:7 263:18,21 271:13 segments 25:4 68:2 select 123:20 144:22 153:19,23 154:20,24 165:25 357:20 selecting 147:17 155:2 selection 198:21 self-assessment 172:1 Senate 107:12.16 108:6 108:16 109:19 113:5 115:5 Senator 102:5,6,10,11 102:12 105:3,4,8 107:7,10,14 115:9 125:15,24 239:21 240:1,8 send 63:24 64:1,3,6 sending 380:16,19,22 380:23 sends 227:19 senior 3:5 51:1 330:20 376.15 sense 127:18,18 162:3 224:22 274:6 283:25 326:4 368:6 386:6 sensitive 372:16,22 sent 36:7 37:2 81:12 108:3 115:8 173:23 246:7 272:10 292:10 sentence 15:3,9,10 217:11 265:19,21

Atkinson-Baker, Inc. Court Reporters

Page 27

274:1 302:8 316:8 SEP 383:16 separate 218:5 306:25 September 17:17 18:6 108:24 133:9 144:14 144.20 145.8 147.3 154:8 273:7 276:12,13 276:16 septic 256:25 257:11.16 . 257:17.19 258:19 Segua 20:14 sequence 63:22 Sergio 3:19 92:23 97:7 366.5 serious 24:10,17 25:6 75:15 85:13 91:2 190:7 198:18 208:19 249.5 250.24 262.15 275:24 276:6 331:15 seriously 96:21 seriousness 207:15 serve 36.22 74.8 247.17 247:22 273:11 324:11 336:18 serves 36:16 153:14 324.15 service 8:24 33:13 36:4 74:4.17 99:21 332:13 332:14 377:18 session 16:4 313:13 set 11:20 46:13 108:15 112:8 179:14 202:7 204:21 220:5 236:20 261.1 2 281.19 296.3 350:14 379:16 388:8 sets 121:21,22 122:2,5,7 129:19 131:24 209:4 280:11 295:13 332:5 setting 91:3 settled 64:10 settlement 30:7 64:8 seven 8:2 308:17 severe 26:2,23 32:21 38:7 54:5 59:18 68:20 69:7.17 71:20 312:5 344:14 350:13 severely 46:17 51:23 severity 23:20 25:4 34:13 52:6 62:18 70:3 sewage 317:8,16,17,21 376.1 shallow 119:8 251:23 shameful 307:19 327:24 shape 246:22 371:17 share 4:15 19:7 28:23 93:6,23 101:1 108:22 242:23 301:10 312:2 316.13 15 15 15 367:25 368:7 shared 93:14 95:9 96:1 162:24 163:12 331:16 371.12 sharing 371:9 Shaul 9.5 shear 198:22 sheds 121:17 sheet 369:3 shift 160:10 shifted 33:3 327:13 Shimek 4:6 159:4 163:8 163:21,24 164:2,2,4 260:10,11,12 261:14 261:17 264:19,22 265:1,7,9,11,14,19,21

266.13 267.1 4 10 12 268.3 6 269.15 270.9 270:16,19,21,23 277:8 278:22 279:6 280:3,13 281:9,11 288:24 311.25 Shimek's 291:12 Shippers 99:19 132:18 309:11 shirt 300:15 shoes 13:18 202:10 214:8 shopping 162:23 short 8:16 25:3 35:5 38:23 86:11 94:6 168:25 174:15 205:23 248:25 307:13 335:20 338.16 shortage 87:15 112:5 shortcoming 114:11 shorter 249:1 shorthand 388.4.9.12 388:21 shoulders 286:22 show 35:4 92:6 118:24 148:22 167:6,23 168:6 178:25 222:11 252:15 259:10 266:22 270:24 284:5,8 343:20 345:24 368.1 showed 44:10 48:11 118:23 119:13 139:18 204:10 249:15 311:21 336.10 338.1 showing 49:18,25 119:7 145:15 202:16 249:11 266:25 283:18 shown 29:1 35:20 43:1 47:1 54:8 58:16 59:2 59:16 78:23 164:5 241:21 273:6 317:20 shows 24:17 35:1 116:21 204:10 271:9 336:16 shuffle 171:3 sic 378.17 sick 254:5 328:20 side 88:7 117:18 178:21 178.21 190.11 11 199:6 202:19.19 203:11 294:24 368:20 370:15 379:21 381:14 381:15 sides 114:3 380:24 sideways 280:1 sight 310:25 signed 278:11,15 significance 38:11 251:13 significant 37:11 39:5,8 42:25 44:2 60:20 105:16 110:8 112:4 145:3 150:21 152:11 182:11 187:3 217:2 230:16 235:25 241:22 241:23 245:25 251:19 373:16,21 significantly 95:23 104.1 signifies 150:11 signs 259:18 364:15 silos 88:12 Silva 3:21 132:16.17 133:15,17,19

silver 246:4 253:23 similar 30:11 42:13 48:5 48:23 49:3,18 62:11 102:21 119:24 120:23 130:2 182:6 200:1 259:4 304:18 345:4 353.4 similarities 25:9 similarly 24:19,23 60:16 134:5 224:12,13 231:6 Simmon 97:23 Simmons 17:3 144:10 simple 199:21 200:2 253:8,8 314:19 348:16 358:16 simplest 364:13 simplify 175:3 simply 140:15 224:21 225:17 288:25 290:23 295:24 333:12 353:5 simultaneous 377.20 sincerely 96:20 115:8 sing 241:13 260:19 261:2 single 74:12 263:10,14 280:7,7 291:2 SIP 67:25 305:4 315:18 336:22,23 337:1,10 SIPs 124.18 sir 78:3 282:18 385:3 sit 248:12 282:3 294:24 318.25 site 48.10 11 19 25 49.6 152:18,19 231:11 234:17 235:21 236:6 241:21,25 355:22 376.15 387.12 sites 24:14 25:13,20 46:12,15,16,22 47:3 47:10,11 48:9 49:25 89:24 121:11.12.13.15 121:16 190:12 230:23 233:4 234:8 235:15 237:5 241:19 242:14 263.10 284.24 sitting 77:11 90:16 92:9 94:17 191:9 248:16 379.20 situation 49.3 95.2 96:20 240:7 301:16 378:2 379:25 six 111:24 128:19 166:25 169:5 179:3.10 217:9 247:2 288:18 291:22 297:5 299:25 316:21 sixth 379.5 size 51:16 62:6 106:17 106:25 135:17,23 198:22 295:19,24 308:6 310:24 371:20 skeptical 89:7 skewed 122:8 263:2 skills 199:13 skip 39:18,21 108:4 178:14 slide 16:2,5 26:5 35:1 39:18 73:7 116:20 117:15 118:18 119:14 119:20 121:9 124:25 139:11,17 154:16 159:11 170:15 177:13 180:22 204:10 211:25 230:5 235:8 246:11

249.2 259.13 271.13 271:13,24 272:8 273:9 336:10 343:5 349:6.7 382:7.9.10.23 slides 131.25 132.10 136:2 144:25 178:15 182:21 256:22 260:17 261:7 265:9 266:22 267:8 276:4 342:25 slightly 145:20 157:1,13 203:4 208:16 382:24 slopes 379:13 Slough 230:6 slow 22:7 133:13 198:10 229:10 Slower 229:11 slowly 246:9 slue 49:3 119:5,25 small 24:11,18 25:3,6,20 26:3 62:14 90:1 93:18 93:21 94:19,25 95:3 97:13,14 100:14 101:14 123:20,20 149:20 150:1 199:2 208.23 210.19 250.23 264:11 310:18 318:9 324:9,16 325:1 336:17 340:3.13 344:8 366:13 372.14 smart 201:2 snapshot 195:12 263:6 snide 291:3 Snook 4:20 330:17 332:20,21,21 social 286:18 325:21 Society 292:18 software 201:4 soil 67:22 170:10,12 226:25 312:20 345:10 346:13.18 352:17 357:3,3,14,16 358:5 361:8 368:10 372:23 sold 214:3 solely 102:10 solicit 103.2 solicited 134:19 Solis 4:19 320:7 326:25 326:25 327:3,4,4,7,7 solo 368.4 solution 76:20 80:11 114:22 133:22 134:10 278:17 326:18 333:11 359:3 377:11.13 solutions 66:23 70:21 72:20,22 75:2 194:2 235:7 259:9.13 267:5 296:10,12 351:10,13 solution-oriented 134:22 solve 76:7 86:1 89:2 203:13 solved 85:9,20 solving 304:9 Somach 17:2 144:9 somebody 106:20 276:3 340:25 359:19 371:23 somewhat 357:24 370.11 son 70:15 song 241:13 Sonia 70:14,15 soon 21:15 301:19 352:23 sooner 181:24

sophisticated 201:3 221.14 sophistication 219:24 sorry 9:25 17:24 22:1 40:20 47:25 57:10 60:7 129:5 146:2,18 165:15 170:15 217:9 268:24 291:16 293:12 294.22 307.12 308.16 373:13 381:8 382:12 383:3 sort 46:21 80:17 82:8 84:25 222:9 226:6,8 348:17 353:4,7 357:5 sound 112:3 230:12,16 295:19 316:10 373:4 sounds 13:16 228:5 source 29:17,21 31:10 31:14 33:20 34:3,25 36:12 38:14 40:2 42:15 137:16 180:16 182:2 187:25 192:13 220:23 226:1 229:25 251.11 293.4 317.12 328:6 375:24 sources 26:6,11 31:12 37:10 61:22 182:15 191.20 279.25 south 95:16 241:20 379:21 381:15 Southern 37:1 space 227:11 300:11 Spanish 21:18 22:13,13 38:19 Spanish/English 21:25 speak 11:23 16:14 21:8 37:21 45:25 73:23 90:14 133:14 135:1 163:1,22 184:1 192:1 197.7 205.2 224.20 225:16 228:13,16 235:3 241:4,5,10 244:25 258:13,22 268.23 282.21 300.12 306:3 322:9 342:23,23 speaker 11:23,25 15:4 15:11,24 102:4 115:18 115:22 159:21 194:15 228.20 speakers 3:15 4:2 5:2 11:18,22 12:3 14:18 15:22 283:1 318:16 speaking 7:12 73:15 206:5 224:21 307:17 356:12,15 386:1 speaks 91:19 207:5 208:24 222:19 277:10 specialty 88:4 305:5 species 47:14 232:19 specific 27:16 56:10 57:18,19 59:21 60:20 61:16 123:5 126:10 128:15 137:21 145:13 145:16 156:21 161:17 169:19 185:19,23 186:7,8,21,24 187:10 187:13 188:6,13 189:6 199:19 204:21 213:12 217:7 231:11 255:11 257:2,3,11,13 289:17 342:25 355:22 375:3 specifically 17:16 33:7 35:22 93:20 135:20 139:13 148:25 169:22

Atkinson-Baker, Inc. Court Reporters

				Page 28
180:4 248:20 257:4	166:12 168:24 174:5	149:18 156:19,20,22	state-wide 300:16	streamlining 200:1
288:2 304:13 313:7,21	177:7,8 178:4 180:10	174:6,13 175:17 176:2	stating 49:11 198:20	streams 25:4 46:11
320:14,20,23 325:1	182:12 191:10,18	182:10 206:23 222:2	290:12	75:11 121:12 262:17
386:23 387:3	192:19 195:18 196:10	255:17,21 256:7 257:7	station 125:7	street 152:9
specifics 80:7 specified 51:17 72:14	197:16,25 198:11,19 201:11,12,17,18,19,20	257:10 305:1,4 324:18	statistic 126:17	strength 259:8 260:3
154:1 161:8 277:5	201:11,12,17,18,19,20	331:11 337:12 351:19 354:7 359:16 386:14	statistics 214:22 329:22 status 286:19 304:15	strengthen 305:13 stress 276:9
specifies 56:2 57:19	209:8 211:19 213:15	standing 7:6 22:19	statute 181:4	Strickland 239:21 240:1
specify 343:12 345:19	215:4 219:19 221:20	161:13 172:13 218:23	statutory 104:23	Stricklin 102:12 105:4
specifying 27:18,24	222:14,15 223:2,22	276:1 339:22	Staul 22:9	strict 324:17
137:12	225:4,8 227:14 234:1	standpoint 215:10	stay 10:4 88:10 274:19	strictly 116:24
speed 364:14	234:15,22 237:15	stands 18:12 148:15	335:22	strike 150:9 165:12
spell 194:21 378:4 spelled 172:21 302:15	240:8 242:6,17 244:23 244:24 249:2,4 273:7	292:6 305:11 stars 28:20	steak 379:4 steelhead 379:14	strikes 270:4 Striklin's 102:6
spend 24:20 198:23	273:12,16 275:10	start 9:24 16:11,23 24:6	steep 379:13	stringent 25:14 26:2
217:18 288:18 368:8	276:17,18,21 280:5	38:25 53:17 59:21	stenographer 377:20	182:8
spending 68:10,21	282:4,14 287:23 288:2	73:14 95:17 131:13	step 211:16 226:8	strip 336:6
232:23 301:14 328:16	291:5,24 292:4 296:24	169:3 179:15,18	244:22 326:19,20	stripped 274:24
spent 30:5 67:13,14	297:2,19,20,24 298:4	182:16 199:11 201:14	377:12	strips 206:19,19
68:13 219:12,14 204:17 368:3 372:10	299:20 303:4,17,24 304:8 13 22 305:7 16	226:18,21 243:16	stepped 247:5 381:8	strong 223:4 319:1
294:17 368:3 372:19 spillage 317:8	304:8,13,22 305:7,16 306:10,18 307:1,25	250:9,12 252:11 254:9 254:10 260:16 269:13	stepping 29:19 steps 154:7 211:14	stronger 257:18
spite 292:24	308:6,13 310:5 311:21	276:22,22 285:2,5,12	Steve 4:6 164:2 260:12	strongest 386:9 strongly 104:19 238:9
splash 300:3	312:5,8 313:4,6,14,15	285:13 288:14 297:4	277:1,1 311:25	252:15 300:18
spoke 102:9 208:25	317:14,19 318:21,21	314:2 326:19 344:12	stewards 379:18	structure 33:20 167:24
219:18	319:22 321:18 323:2	369:2	stewardship 195:11	168:6 169:15 210:20
spoken 213:18	329:7 330:6 332:14	started 28:18 30:20	365:22	264:12
spongicides 47:19	334:4 335:15,22 336:9	48:22 75:8 81:3 185:7	stick 77:14 80:21 196:23	structures 141:2
sponsored 337:25	336:13,21 337:3,9	192:7 284:9 297:1,6	208:4 224:19 227:13	struggle 307:24
sporadically 294:12 sport 47:20	340:18 341:1,23 342:14 344:1,13,19	379:11 starting 49:19 59:5	265:17 sticking 98:6 289:10	stuck 212:23 226:2
spot 187:25 351:6	347:6,6 349:17 352:4	145:19 176:13 185:18	sticks 86:14	227:5 335:2,19,21 student 214:21
379:20	353:12 359:11 361:9	217:1 297:1	stifled 296:1	students 327:19 328:19
spots 189:25	361:11,16,23 364:6,8	starts 124:2 170:17	stipulating 316:17	studies 38:21 39:7,23
spread 369:4	365:18,25 366:3,4,8	283:23	Stoker 4:4 228:12,14,17	143:7 191:8,19,21
spreadsheet 362:5	366:15 374:21 375:4	state 7:8 8:2,3,14 9:3	237:24,25 238:2	227:4 230:9,21 258:21
spring 134:1	376:5 377:2,11,19	11:4 17:23 19:4 23:8	stood 272:23	271:3,3 340:8 342:2
springs 155:6,9 squandering 311:19	378:12 381:4 382:8 384:22,25 385:24	29:14,18 30:3,9 31:8 33:13 35:25 36:2,3,17	stop 41:17 159:22 246:11 260:4 278:2	study 39:25 40:4 42:11 78:8 96:3 109:2
square 27:3 279:14,15	386:2,16,20 387:7	38:8,15 39:4 46:10	364:15	113:10 122:3 191:6,11
squarely 286:22	staffing 120:7 317:23,24	62:4 70:8 71:4 74:17	stopped 216:6 259:1	191:18 192:5 193:8,11
squishy 354:1	Staff's 16:8 76:8 83:5	78:22 79:21,23,24,24	stops 319:22	271:22,23 311:24
ss 388:1	111:3 133:6 135:13,15	87:6,9 103:5,22 122:4	store 88:13,13,14,14	340:4
stack 83:13	157:15 177:16 197:24	133:25 138:24 143:9	stored 88:11	studying 75:10
staff 3:10 7:5,14,20,24 8:2.3.14 14:1.22 17:10	238:9 282:9,13 290:22 294:4 326:1	143:19,25 166:21 180:15 182:2 4 101:4	storing 88:15 storm 26:8,19 47:23	stuff 86:3,10 95:1 99:23
17:16,21,23 19:6	stage 243:17 294:22	180:15 182:2,4 191:4 194:21 196:1 206:16	56:12 150:6,16 243:14	100:7 151:7 224:10 262:12 267:22 272:21
21:14,24 23:18 29:5	stages 222:4	206:18 210:25 220:23	243:18	274:9 275:13,14 280:1
29:16,23 33:25 35:2	stake 89:18 373:25	230:4 238:14,20,23	stormwater 35:24	stymie 87:8
35:19,24 41:11,23	stakeholder 103:3	239:22 247:1,19 269:2	137:18,22 150:3	Subdivision 167:7
42:1 45:8,11,12,21	168:19 185:14	283:11 290:9 292:24	204:13 262:13 275:14	168:21
52:9 54:11,24 56:7,17	stakeholders 32:17 51:6	303:12 312:6 315:11	story 120:1 202:20	subject 10:19 51:21
60:13,16 63:20 64:21 71:17 73:4,17 75:3,12	51:11,12,24 103:15 123:21,21 134:20	318:18 332:24 334:8 337:11 350:20 388:1,5	247:4 331:19,24 straight 123:25 224:19	102:18 174:1 175:13 175:14 177:22 186:3
75:21 76:10 78:24	158:19,23 163:16	stated 32:5 51:20 53:19	strategic 365:22	subleasing 293:23
81:14,21 82:6,9 84:2,3	315:7 360:16	89:1 140:16,21 252:11	strategies 212:10	submission 78:24
86:20 93:21 94:16,23	stand 217:14 221:17	313:16 314:6,12 367:3	229:19,20,22 231:11	324:19
95:8,21 96:13,14,16	272:14 273:8 288:15	statement 10:12 14:13	231:12,18 232:7 237:3	submit 11:23 53:23
100:3 101:16,22	327:10,23 384:23	14:15 23:6 102:12,13	331:15	55:17 72:14,17,18
102:14,16 103:8,13 104:4,8 105:9 106:22	standard 48:7,9,14,18	136:13 137:13 140:24 142:10 177:2 203:16	strategy 134:14 231:6	103:12 115:18,22
106:23 107:20 110:14	84:19 91:11 139:19 148:17 176:22,25	142:19 177:2 293:16 319:17	231:16 232:8 234:11 274:16,17	138:14 169:6 185:25 309:21
111:1 115:12 116:7,15	177:1 181:20,22 182:8	statements 14:16 99:5	strawberries 88:8 336:9	submittal 63:19
116:15,19 124:19	182:8 187:2 207:9	112:7 143:7 259:5	337:20,23 338:12,13	submitted 35:17 54:13
128:5 129:4,9,13	248:24 256:5 337:5	266:12	341:4,17,19,25 342:10	57:1 59:13 103:7
131:5,11 135:7,12	350:14,24 351:17,20	states 31:25 64:24 74:14	345:23 346:5 347:9,9	126:5 129:7,7 144:8
136:1,19 137:7,15	355:9	74:23 77:5 79:22 80:1	strawberry 56:14 59:24	144:15 152:20,24
138:13,19 139:14,17 140:16 141:7 142:9	standardized 217:23 standards 39:15 49:7	85:9 87:10,23 91:10 1/2:16 238:10 230:16	100:19 132:21 294:7	184:6,17 194:15
143:1,7 144:17 145:18	53:20 64:20,23 65:12	142:16 238:19 239:16 307:5 313:17,22	309:13 335:12,16,18 335:24 336:3,4 338:10	269:11,13 275:9,11 306:16 317:19 365:20
147:9,9 148:8 151:14	66:2 67:20 79:2 84:23	statewide 30:10 46:13	338:17 339:18 340:2	submitting 58:1 133:23
151:14 152:19 156:17	89:5 139:8,14,15	78:21 121:11 143:21	340:12 347:25	211:3 365:14
157:20 158:7,19,21	140:2,6,11,14,21	263:1	stream 48:2	subpart 151:9 166:8
159:14 162:7 165:1,7	148:6,14 149:4,12,13	State's 29:17 33:3	streamline 199:22	subscribed 388:16
	1	1	1	•

Atkinson-Baker, Inc. Court Reporters

subsequent 20:15 39:9 supervision 388:10 251:23 307:2,11,13 319:5 taxes 199:25 315:11 suspected 258:4 321:14,20 326:18 141.10 173.2 Supervisor 74:17 90:16 taxpayer 331:22 Subsequently 38:15 Supervisors 75:20 sustain 79:9,15 328:6,18 331:4,13 taxpayers 193:2 130:13 supplies 115:1 287:5 sustainability 67:18,20 348:11 350:8 351:10 taxpayer's 82:24 subset 55:11,15 60:8,11 supply 37:10 38:3,5,6 79.6 253.15 305.5 354:20 374:6,7 377:5 Taylor 3:21 132:17 210:21 367:6,7 43:9 44:6,6 102:13 sustainable 33:24 45:3 taken 2.17 24.9 17 25.8 **TBS** 364.3 subsets 103:3 130:18 248:23 253:21 327:18 52:20,20 56:9 57:7 32:4 49:2 56:7 90:23 team 8:23 52:22 75:4 subsidiary 167:20 115:24 154:7 171:25 315:20 336:22 385:12 372.17 61:6 79:1 195:15 subsidies 88:2.10 support 33:14.17 35:14 199:9 234:12 315:23 187:23 202:23 207:6 385.17 subsidized 88.20 227:2 242:4 244:23 35.23 36.10 96.1 319:22 325:10 331:23 teams 294-19 substantial 113:10 142:8 163:10 204:22 379:9 382:18 301:17 309:22 318:2 tear 314:11 370:2 229:25 231:3 235:14 Sweet 4:23 364:24 319:3 388:7,8,12 tease 364:10 substantially 364:14 366:25 373:2,3,3,15 takes 75:23,24 84:24 technical 8:18 19:21 249.1 266.12 269.21 substantive 155:20 270.7 14 286.17 316.2 155:14 172:2 201:3 swift 25:13 33:5 42:19 75:25 309.18 322:20 323:12,17 swore 224:9 251:13 254:6,7 308:16 96:13,15 100:2 112:1 substantively 102:20 325:25 335:12 356:10 sworn 272:19 319:5 358:5 127:10 160:25 168:7.8 subtle 357:24 358:23 take-home 208:2 182:18 208:14 235:7 374:6.6.8.21 377:2 sympathetic 245:6 subwatershed 187:7.24 talented 331:20 supported 103:18 symptomatic 209:9 235:13 241:15 268:10 system 8:9,10 9:1 47:22 188:18 359:18 229:17 271:2 296:4 talk 23:9 63:13 67:4,5 293:5 324:21 344:3 success 8:25 52:4 53:16 122:22 136:4 137:17 355:17 356:8,20,22,23 supporting 29:23 143:4 48:2,2 49:13,17 67:24 88:25 89:13 204:22 235:11 311:3 76:1 79:20 82:13 147:19 164:10 182:21 356:24 364:21 188.8 210:14 221:1 205.1 275.2 331.19 supportive 320:21 374:3 technically 142:18 120:23 201:2 208:25 successes 79:3 supports 20:16 37:3 209:10 254:22 259:12 234:7 241:18 246:1,9 technicians 205:17 successful 81:9 124:6 47:13 231:16 249:8 254:9,16 266:13 264:1 276:12 294:5 techniques 39:12 supposed 72:13 79:10 202:20 203:23 208:3 311:4,5,6 324:15 266:16,18,24 267:22 technology 94:24 204:2 tell 27:20 71:13 87:14 239:13 275:6 305:21 166:15 175:2 189:8 267:23,23,24 269:16 338:19 339:14,17 successfully 75:12 270:17 312:15 352:7 344:18 347:13,15,16 274:4 276:15 288:6 97:25 136:17 204:13 362.7 Supreme 196:1 347:17 380:24 292:20 304:1 318:16 215:14 218:3 221:11 sucks 218:10 . Sur 263:4 339:16 343:20 348:19 systematic 193.4 5 242:25 245:12 252:21 suffer 91:24 299:6 sure 12:16 20:25 23:6 systems 30:17 198:19 363:8.14 364:13 254:17 257:2 270:6 suffering 262:25 276:7 41:5 81:24 82:16 237:8 250:17,19,23 367:19 285:3 289:6 303:16 sufficient 108:18 233:13 84:23 85:14,17 91:5 talked 23:20 79:6 95:10 259:11 267:20,21 327:10 337:13 355:3 253:1 292:8 99:15 101:17,19,22 108:4 120:4 122:14 271:17 294:3 299:9 361:8,9 362:2 363:2 sufficiently 104:18 317:12 324:16 336:18 137.1 144.13 168.13 117:9 129:18 132:13 368:14,14 suggest 101:13 143:25 146:18,19 160:23 S-a-d-o-w-s-k-i 317:5 171:8 177:5 182:6,9 telling 76:9 99:10 240:6 157:21 177:3 191:25 165:1,5 171:1,5,19 188:24 201:1 208:18 248:16 296:3 366:8,12 S-a-l-s-b-u-r-y 379:3 S-c-h-m-i-d-t 296:20 199:20 200:3,9 203:2 173:17 175:1 176:1 214:2 219:20 221:19 tells 183:12 368:11 271:4 325:2 222:5,8 225:23 264:1 Tembladero 230:6 177:2 182:19 184:15 S-h-i-m-e-k 260:12 suggested 75:21 95:21 184:23 186:20 188:10 S-n-o-o-k 332:21 271:23 272:24 292:8 Tembladero/Blano 95:21 96:22 149:11 319:11 336:24 358:7 188:11 189:24 190:25 S-t-o-k-e-r 238:2 231:21 153:17 155:3,11 194:7 197:21 208:22 363:7 369:5 370:23 temperature 55:16 183:22 193:8 347:4 talking 21:10,11 27:1,2 temperatures 358:20 212:21 213:4 215:15 т suggesting 80:16 215:16 216:24 221:25 27:12 41:18 42:3 template 169:11,19,24 table 21:21 140:8,9,11 104:11 154:6,8 182:13 223:15 224:10 239:14 100:22 130:24 149:23 172:8 140:15 143:10.12.22 203:5 264:23 283:16 254:12 257:14 258:7 151:18 156:19 163:9 templates 170:4 200:4 149:9,10 177:5,6,7 366.12 258:23 265:20 267:7 174.15 178.20 179.7 ten 73:13 174:14 216:25 223:2 294:24 348:25 suggestion 80:6 202:15 268:3 273:16 285:11 179:11 197:13 207:1 256:2,9,9 260:8 350:9 360:23 371:13 286:10 302:7 307:18 209:17 210:18 218:12 267:7 295:22 373:6 suggestions 77:8 86:1 311.4 314.18 319.21 262:7 263:14 273:23 tenancies 210.18 tables 270:11 91:18 199:10 347:21 327:19 354:24 362:24 tackle 198:6 280.5 288.19 328.16 tenancy 379:18 suggestive 157:12 365:2 368:6 383:21 352:10 354:4 364:11 tends 121:25 tail 242:5 362:12 373:17 377:6 178:13 385:23 386:18 387:7 tens 24:20 25:2,17 67:14 tainted 25:25 suggests 142:5 talks 78:18 116:20 surface 24:3,19,22 72:21 take 15:23 22:7,22 32:1 suits 319:2 121:10 155:12 181:5 32:22 40:2 44:14 46:7 32:10 38:24 39:3 tenth 351:3 summaries 143:7 172:6 52:15 53:1,10,24 222:22 319:23 ten-minute 73:5 43:16 47:7,8 58:13 ten-year 256:9 294:11 172:8 57:22 61:4,15 144:3 tall 83:13 60:5 63:9 73:1,4,12,15 summarize 12:3 14:23 148:18 149:1,1,14 tangible 210:7 214:3 term 34:19 35:5 94:6 75:21,22 76:4,24 tank 120:19 270.22 154:23 173:11,12,14 124:20 156:6 160:20 77:15,16 79:18 82:14 summarized 115:6 175:22 176:3 186:24 tanks 256:25 257:11 162:22 171:20 209:14 82:15 91:16 92:3,11 tap 62:18 248:14 318:11 157:3 186:25,25 202:25 371:14 373:7 94:10 97:7 115:16 summarizing 153:8 247.15 271.11 320.25 tape 11:7 255:10 terminate 175.9 128:8 146:8 157:23 summary 23:10,13 321:8,21 348:12 351:1 target 141:25 192:7,19 termination 175:8 167:12 178:18 198:18 34:10,10,11 49:21 351:18,19 381:22 209:5 312:13 339:23 terms 25:9 33:16 47:21 200.19 202.4 203.11 50:7 60:1 78:15 346:2,3 348:25 349:1 382:20 383:11,12,14 59:9,19 83:19 84:13 205:10,10,11,12,12,13 171:21.22.25 172:1 surfaced 110:5 349:3,24 350:10 356:4 84:14 89:20 104:7 205:16,17,21 213:20 179:18 180:14.19 Surfrider 322:6 332:23 359:9,19,19 360:25 123:14 130:11 202:3 216:10 217:8 221:13 186:13 187:9,18 333:5 361:12 209:19 211:22 212:3,9 223.15 227.9 234.7 targeting 319:19 354:24 204:24 270:24 surprised 208:17 221:15 215:10 216:12 217:16 236:10 245:1 246:8 summer 20:3 207:4 targets 55:14 56:16 221:18 222:6,11,20 surrogate 80:17 250:10 251:4 254:2 295:18 216.3 survey 187:6 224:4 226:17 240:7 259:10 261:3 272:25 superior 8:4 104:4 surveys 127:10 task 329:6 256:4 280:11 299:2,2 277.14 278.8 282.4 232:22 307:6 survival 47:9 329:11 tasked 322:20,21 299:3 303:4 310:1 283:15,16,19,23 taught 280:18 superlative 209:4 347.3 352.6 354.7 survived 160.5 284:20,21,24 285:8 supervised 367:16 susceptible 38:17 43:7 288:1 289:5 301:7 tax 199:24 355:8 357:12,19 358:3

Atkinson-Baker, Inc. Court Reporters

(800) 288-3376

Page 30

				Tage 30
	1	1	1	1
358:9,16 361:4	378:19 381:1,2 384:18	205:2,6 206:25 207:5	259:13 304:4 306:1,2	56:22,23 57:8 58:10
territory 44:18 226:3	385:20 386:1 387:5	207:13,14 208:25	306:5 309:7 311:11,13	58:11,12,15,17,20,24
Tess 3:16 17:2 39:20	thanks 13:6 63:11 81:10	209:1,7,14,15,20,23	311:13 348:23 360:20	58:25 59:4,9,10,12,15
40:18 131:17 133:4	124:23 190:19 206:9	210:6,9,10,13,24	360:22 365:8	59:20,21 60:1,2,2,3,4
144:9 268:5 354:9	229:12 361:3 372:25	211:18 213:16,17	thorough 77:2 81:12	60:4,5,5,8,11 68:1
Tessario 309:6	theory 308:24 357:10	219:15,17,24,24 220:4	thoroughly 12:8	94:18,18 95:3 97:15
test 47:7,8 212:25 213:6	thereof 388:15	220:5,9 221:22 222:17	thought 21:2 45:14	101:5 110:15,15,17,22
345:11 364:7 368:20	thing 13:18 23:8 27:22	223:3,8,9,18 226:6,16	50:15 81:19 95:16	111:7,8,15 125:16,18
369:24	99:3 127:19 146:15	227:8,17,18,24,24	98:1 119:21 150:17	125:23 126:15,16
tested 250:18	174:16 191:23 198:22	242:14,21 245:19	163:8 220:6,14 222:14	130:15,17 133:10
testified 102:24 156:12	206:12,24 211:20	248:2 249:3 250:11	222:14 226:3,5,5	136:8,9,9,16 138:13
testifying 309:10	213:17 214:24 240:3	251:18 252:13 253:1,2	240:23 258:4 264:3	139:1 141:19,19
testimony 7:10 11:5	246:18 254:20 271:4	255:2,9 256:11 257:1		
-			290:24,25,25 298:14	147:24,24,24 153:24
14:21 108:2 109:10	274:25 277:15 282:8	257:16,17,20,22 258:2	378:25	154:2,3 160:17,18
110:17 116:8 123:15	287:6 288:4,5,25	258:12 259:15,16	thoughtful 108:1 223:6	175:14,14 200:19,21
240:6 268:24 269:10	293:2 295:8 303:8,9	260:20 262:2,5 263:18	thoughts 95:19	200:22,22,24 205:8
269:12,18 270:14	327:24 334:22 344:1,2	265:9,22 267:3,12,22	thousand 24:15 107:8	208:20 210:15 252:25
300:21 331:3,18	346:22,23 348:18	269:18,19,20,22 270:8	311:2	253:12 264:4,5,5,7
testing 208:8 213:5	350:15 352:6,8,16	272:17 274:14 275:1	thousands 24:15,16	292:1,2,3,5 293:24,25
219:13,15 252:17	358:4 360:12 361:13		-	
		275:15,20,22,23,24	38:16 267:25 321:4	293:25 294:8,10
299:9 352:17,17 368:4	364:3,13,19 374:19	276:11 277:9,13,15,23	327:19 378:3 385:14	295:25 297:10,10
368:10,11 371:25	376:25 381:19	278:6,11,22,23 279:6	threat 26:19 27:8,13	298:5,5 304:15 309:14
372:20	things 13:16 20:20	279:8 280:15,16,23,24	36:24 37:12 51:13	309:15,15 312:11
tests 219:3 252:2	23:12,24 24:5 31:15	281:24 282:3 285:13	52:10,13,24 53:7 54:8	324:25 325:1 334:13
text 45:8 131:25 132:11	68:15,19 69:11 74:16	288:4 289:9 290:11	54:21,23 55:9,20,21	334:19 335:16,19,22
157:6 160:23 248:25	77:13 85:4 117:11	294:19 295:8 299:18	55:24 57:5 58:16 59:1	336:22,23 338:19,20
texts 246:8	147:7.8 150:18 165:6	308:19 309:21,22	62:15 71:21 72:4	, , ,
thank 7:1,3,23 9:15,18	173:8 179:20 207:4			338:21,22 339:19,24
		312:1 317:9,23 318:13	135:17,22 303:24	339:24 340:19,21
9:23 10:7,8,14 13:7,23	209:9 213:6,16 214:7	318:15 321:1,3,6,19	309:19,25 310:24	341:9 352:3 364:10
16:10 17:1 18:22 21:4	214:19 215:3 222:15	327:25 331:13 334:9	331:15	366:4 367:3,6,10,12
22:17 23:1 28:8 46:4	224:18 226:12 251:5	334:19 335:3,5 338:8	threatened 25:19,24	367:13,22,24,25
50:21,23 72:25 74:2,3	251:25 252:3 253:7	340:18,19 341:2,6,23	47:13 302:25	373:12,12 379:25
74:3 77:19,20 82:18	255:9 260:24,25 265:2	341:25 342:11,18	threatening 232:18	380:12,12 381:5,11,21
86:7 91:21,22 92:17	267:13,14,14,16,19,20	343:24 344:9 345:23	threatens 332:10	382:1,2,3,5,5,7,10,13
92:20 93:9 96:24 97:3	272:11,15,18 273:22			
		346:25 348:8,16,18	threats 26:13 135:20	382:15,22 383:5,6,8,9
97:5 98:21 101:12	274:13 279:18 287:25	349:8 354:9 356:21	249:4	383:11,15 384:1
102:3 105:7,12 115:2	290:13 292:11 311:25	358:23 359:7,14 364:7	three 11:21 12:7,25 13:4	385:18
115:10,11,22 116:18	312:1 313:12 317:9	368:15 369:22 371:12	14:12,17 15:7 23:13	Tiering 105:21,23,25
117:12 123:9,11	328:8 330:4 335:14	373:21,23 374:1 385:7	28:17 41:11 51:7 52:9	106:1,13,17 126:10
125:14 128:23,25	352:22 354:14 357:4	387:8	66:7 68:8 71:11	136:4 198:19 209:10
130:19 131:23 133:16	360:3 364:9 371:16,21	thinking 92:9 117:7	105:18 110:10 116:10	264:1,12 294:5 312:9
133:18 135:1,3 144:9	379:11 380:23,23	221:14 254:10 264:2	116:10 126:14 128:11	339:17
146:17 161:24 163:4	382:4	279:18 280:5 380:6		
			135:19 138:16 153:22	tiers 52:9 58:14 105:18
164:4 190:15,16 194:4	think 10:11 15:24 16:11	thinks 156:2,2 168:24	162:14,16 167:20	106:23 110:11 135:21
195:7 196:15,17,18,22	16:13,15 17:20,25	328:19	171:13,14,15 173:3,5	136:5,18,22 142:21
196:25 197:4 199:4	20:1,9,22 26:17 64:13	third 260:2 277:20 318:8	175:22 177:15 191:8	147:7,10 148:3 153:22
206:6,7 211:15 228:9	79:3,17,18,22 80:1,15	Thirdly 110:8	200:1 208:21 211:25	200:1 227:19 309:18
229:1 234:24 235:1	80:23 81:7 82:5,8,15	third-parties 162:5	250:5 255:25 259:16	309:22 310:10 312:9
237:20,21,22,25	84:23 85:6,14 86:3,15	168:4	259:17 265:9 287:22	335:17
240:13,16,25 244:9,14	87:11 88:24 90:24	third-party 31:18,18	288:7,13 291:19	tile 141:6,6,9,11 175:23
244:24 245:4 258:10	91:12,18,24 94:25	33:15,16,18 34:10,21	292:12 298:16 299:23	
258:14 260:5,6 268:4	97:12,14,24 98:22	57:20 130:10,11 131:1		175:23 176:4 312:15
268:18 270:23 281:18			308:4 319:2 328:16	313:5,7,12,18,20,22
	99:5 100:6 106:22	140:1 155:22,24,25	340:14 347:15 353:21	313:23,25 314:2,4,8,9
285:24 287:9,10,13,15	108:4 111:11 112:21	156:3 160:21 161:3,5	361:21 374:15 376:20	314:13,20 350:21,22
289:21,22 290:16	117:10 118:19 124:8	161:9,11 166:7,9,14	380:18 382:17,17	350:24 351:4,6,17
291:7,8 293:8,9 295:2	124:14,16,25,25 126:3	166:14,19 167:1,4,9	383:4	timber 26:7,17
295:3 296:16,17,19	127:16 128:16 129:5	167:14,23 168:9,12,15	three-dimensional	time 8:16 10:10,15 11:17
298:8,9 300:6,7,11	144:23,25 150:14,18	168:23 169:2,5,25	280:9	11:18,18,19 12:2 14:3
302:12,13 304:2,3	150:23,23,24 151:2,4	170:18 171:5 172:15	three-month 222:8	14:4,7,15,19 15:1,8,13
305:25 307:14 309:4,5	151:7.8 153:20 155:15	172:19 173:1,18,20,22	threshold 225:17 239:6	
	,			16:16 19:19 25:15
309:8 310:14 311:8,9	156:14 157:16 158:6	173:25 174:17,18,19	351:3	29:18 30:8 31:23 32:1
313:1,2 314:15,25	159:17 160:12 161:2	174:24,25 175:10	thresholds 44:17	32:25 38:23 42:18
315:2 316:12,25 317:1	163:14,14 164:18	179:12 181:15,20,21	thrilled 250:11	47:5 49:20 53:25
318:3,4 320:6 322:2,3	166:2,18 169:21	200:14 210:4 211:11	throw 264:7 279:7	57:14 68:10,12,13,21
323:21,22 325:5,7	170:14,16 173:8	254:21 277:18,19	319:10	73:2,20 76:25 77:13
326:21,22 328:21,22	177:10 179:19,20	304:14,17,19 305:9	thrown 137:3	77:15 81:11 82:15
329:6 330:14,15	180:21 181:2,18,23	316:3 356:4	thyroid 321:11	83:6 84:11 85:17,18
332:13,13,15,19	182:1 186:14 189:2,9	thirsty 328:9	tide 119:4	87:1,17 89:16 92:3,8
333:17,18 335:7,8	190:1 191:21 193:13	-		
		Thomas 3:11,18 4:12,14	tides 120:2	92:11 93:10 96:24
337:15 340:22,23	193:22 196:14 199:13	7:6 62:20,22,25 63:12	tied 69:4	100:18,22 102:9 106:6
363:17,18 364:22,23	199:25 200:14,14,16	66:10,12 72:25 107:23	Tier 27:11,13,13 52:12	109:2 111:19 115:10
366:23,24 367:1 369:8	200:20 201:5,8,20,23	109:10 110:23 112:10	52:19,23 53:6,8 54:20	115:21 116:11,14
373:1 374:22,23 376:9	201:23 202:12,14,24	112:21 120:4,9,11,14	54:22,25 55:1,7,11,15	117:5 124:10,14
277.14 45 40 04				•
377:14,15,18,21	203:8,10,17 204:17,24	165:10,11 186:20	55:20,21,23 56:20.21	126:16 128:4.6.17
377.14,15,16,21	203:8,10,17 204:17,24	165:10,11 186:20	55:20,21,23 56:20,21	126:16 128:4,6,17

Atkinson-Baker, Inc. Court Reporters

Page 31

1111 11111 111111 11111111 1111111					
147:11 1484:123:127:23 386:2 96:11 101:10:12:127:22 102:127:22 1586:71:11:60:11 1049:123:127:22 386:2 176:13:16:17:12 122:12:12:12:12 134:52 178:13:16:17:16:17:6 222:22:22:22:22:22 300:20:27:10:12:22:12:12:12 134:50:12:12:12:12:12:12:12:12:12:12:12:12:12:		l	1	l	1
147:11 1484:123:127:23 386:2 96:11 101:10:12:127:22 102:127:22 1586:71:11:60:11 1049:123:127:22 386:2 176:13:16:17:12 122:12:12:12:12 134:52 178:13:16:17:16:17:6 222:22:22:22:22:22 300:20:27:10:12:22:12:12:12 134:50:12:12:12:12:12:12:12:12:12:12:12:12:12:	131:18 135:1 136:24	365:21 366:4.17 367:4	transcript 2:17 115:7	83:13 88:18.24 94:22	t2 168 [.] 21
1494 153 157.23.24 386.6 transite 77.8 174.3 (76.7 179.17 U	147·18 148·2 8 22 25		-		
158.8.7/11100-11 Ioday's 382.8 Imagine 10:05 182:12 191:12 10:14 UC UC UC UC UC					
1645 1652.24 1663				,	
168:13,10 1112:1 112:1 127:32:2 ranslation 1:92:13 246:7 267:267:242:82:23 UC 125:21:9 175:17,19 177:14 222:24:22:24:22:24:24:24 245:30:17:22:17:21:24:33:81:33:		-			
17:517,19 176, 11.11 229,22 249,22 249,22 249,24 transitors 7:19 22:13 270.33,21 231:19 utilinate 14.35,193,24 17:813,16,18 1776 5220 257,182,22 336,130,23 277,23 36,123,113,946116,21 334,133,83,39:13 334,133,83,39:13 334,133,83,99:13 334,133,83,99:13 334,113,946116,21 122,14,16,104,815 122,14,16,104,815 122,14,16,104,815 122,14,16,104,815 122,14,16,104,815 122,14,16,104,815 122,14,16,104,815 122,14,120,22,23,23,20 134,11,30,10,11,21,21,21,21 122,14,120,11,21,21,21,21,21,21,21,21,22,22,22,22,	-	-			345:2
17:17,19 17:68 11.11 229:22 242:52 249:44 translators 7:19:22:13 270:33.21:23:11:9 utimate 14:35:19:32:4 17:17:17 11.22:23:25 300:20 240:63 247:16 277:23:36:12:32:16:33:38:39:13 334:13:38:39:13 334:13:38:39:13 334:13:38:39:13 334:13:38:39:13 334:13:38:39:13 334:13:38:24:13:38:39:13 335:13:13:10:24:12:16:24 11.11:13:10:16:12:14:10:14:15:13:10:12:14:10:14:15:13:10:12:14:10:14:15:13:10:12:14:14:15:13:10:12:14:14:14:14:14:14:14:14:14:14:14:14:14:	169:1,3,10 174:14	111:21 139:12 173:22	translated 143:15	245:7 257:24 258:23	UCI 325:19
175:13.16.16.177.6 262:20 267:1 262:21 transparency 168:19 295:3 03:19 33:16.26 transparency 168:19 176:17.22 355:1 36:12.3 355:1 36:12.3 355:1 36:12.3 355:1 36:12.3 355:1 36:12.3 176:17.22 178:13 102.7 355:1 36:12.3 355:1 36:12.3 355:1 36:12.3 355:1 36:12.3 355:1 36:12.3 355:1 36:12.3 355:1 36:12.3 355:1 36:12.3 357:12 123:13.3 36:13 11:13:11 375:11:13:11 375:11:13:11 375:11:13:11 375:11:13:11 375:15:11:13:11 376:17:13:17:22 386:14:00:12:14:22:12:12:14:14:14:12:12:20:12:14:14:14:14:14:15:14:15:22:12:14:14:14:14:14:14:14:15:11:12:12:12:12:14:14:14:14:14:14:14:14:14:14:14:14:15:11:13:12:12:12:14:14:14:14:14:14:14:14:14:14:14:14:14:	175:17,19 176:8,11,11	239:22 248:25 249:4	translation 21:19 22:13	270:3.9.21 281:19	
1787:21 182:23:22:32:5 302:03:40:347:16 334:13:338:63:38:13 um 21:24:61:04:81:5 1787:21 1787:21 182:20 143:11:03:41:61:34:12:31:6 um 21:24:61:04:81:5 133:11:33:38:63:32:13 188:20 143:11:03:41:61:34:12:31:6 um 21:24:61:04:81:5 33:11:33:38:63:38:13 133:11:33:38:13:13:13:38:13:13 201:21:22:22:22:22:21:12:22:23:20:12:12:23:23:23:20:14:14:15:13:13:23:13:13:13:13:13:13:13:13:13:13:13:13:13	176:13.16.18 177:6	252.20 257.1 262.21	translators 377.21		
1727, 21 122 1351 361:23 27.22 305:14 21:24 21:44 21:45 36:14 21:45 <					
185:13 Tom 300.8 T					-
169:20119 100147 2024242077 100167 202224 2522.2.2.4 2522.2.2.2.2.2.3 252.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.3 252.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2					
20117 2024/24 2077 Tomlinson 4/21 123-12 transpired 102.22 3372.33 38:22.22 3372.12.33 38:13 3372.12.33 38:13 3372.12.33 38:13 3372.12.33 38:13 3372.12.33 38:13 3372.12.33 38:13 3372.12.33 38:12 3372.12.33 38:12 3372.12.33 38:12 3372.12.33 38:12 3372.12.33 38:12 3372.12.33 38:12 3372.12.33 38:12 3372.12.33 38:12 3372.12.33 38:12 3372.12.33 38:12 3372.12.33 38:12 3372.12.33 38:12 202.21 371.8,7,13,17.37.3 202.23 21.22 202.23 21.22 202.23 21.22 202.23 21.22 202.23 21.22 202.23 21.22 202.23 22.11 3371.8,7,13,17.337.33 22.53 22.25 22.31.13.12.42 202.11 11.14 202.12 202.12 202.12 202.12 21.14.15 202.12 202.12 22.14.20.11.43 38:19 11.14.12 202.12 22.14.20.11.43 38:19 11.14.12 202.12 22.14.20.11.43 38:19 11.14.12 202.12 22.14.20.11.43 38:19 11.14.12 22.15.22 22.15 11.14.12 22.15.22 22.15.11.11.33 11.14.12 22.15.11.11.33 11.14.12 22.15.22 11.14.12 11.14.12 11.14.12 11.14.12 11.14.12				350:14,17,18 353:2,9	73:3 83:4 90:18
20724 20:01 21:23 336:10,111 337:16 transport 39:25 366:20,360:19,370:23 336:10,111 337:16 215:25 21:01 217:5 339:13,21 340:2,13 transport 39:25 366:20,360:19,370:23 200:23:21:42:42:17.100 22:14,15:22 23:20 339:13,21 340:2,13 transport 39:25 3718:7,13:17.373:6 transport 39:25 200:22:32:14:42:17.110 24:22 22:15 343:10 345:23:46:12 transport 39:25 transport 39:25 201:23:21:42:42:17.110 201:23:21:42:42:17.110 24:71:22 28:15 343:10 345:23:46:12 transport 39:25 transport 39:25 201:27:17:21:23:12:20:17 211:22:52:22:12:12 211:42:25:22:12:12 211:42:12:12:12:12:12:12 211:42:12:12:12:12:12:12 211:42:12:12:12:12:12:12:12 211:42:12:12:12:12:12:12:12:12:12:12:12:12:12	189:20 194:12 199:1	tomato 87:16	222:2,4	353:25,25 354:5	122:14 129:13,15
20724 21013 213:20 335:10.11.1 337:16 Transport 39:26 308:20 369:19 370:23 146:21 152:16 175:15 215:25 210:10 217:5 339:13.11 340:2,13 Transport 39:26 308:20 369:19 370:23 200:23 21:42 421:11.20 222:14,15:22 22:23:20 34:14.81,15 16 34:24 Transport 39:26 308:20 369:19 370:23 200:23 21:42 421:11.20 247:33 251:82 28:10 343:10 345:23 346:12 Trate 150:12 24:62:11 Tulare 24:82:1 32:43 211:42 271:12:44 260:23 28:10 23:45 300:21 351:10 352:15 Tratement 22:2 30:17 Tulare 24:82:1 32:43 231:42:37:11.12:33 280:12 28:24:28:45 Tomorrow 283:12:17:24 137:61:61:17 150:10.10 116:24:14:36:17:24 117:61:61:17 164:25 231:41:38:61:24 297:14 23:84:80:33:17 33:55:34:21:9 333:17 33:55:34:21:9 237:42:24:13:13:62:35 117:72:51:42:12:20 117:61:61:17 12:21:61:31:5:16:16 308:12 33:41:33:19	201:17 202:4,24 207:7	Tomlinson 4:21 123:12	transpired 102:22	357:23 358:22,22	130.8 142.19 145.15
2152 2161 0217-5 337.2123 338.13 37.2123 338.13 200.22 214.24 217.14 21923 2201 331.311 340.213 Travis 25.11 37.617.13.17 37.8 200.22 214.24 217.14 2202.11 331.01 34523 346.12 250.21 Travis 25.30.17 Tulare 324.27 220.21 21.24.24 217.14 247.22 251.5 2591.0 366.14,17.23.47.24 Travis 252.30.17 Tulare 324.27 200.22 21.42.4 217.14 269.23 721.7281.5 366.4 37.9 55.13 57.10.11 Tulare 324.7 202.01 31.17.22 269.23 721.7281.5 266.7 22.80.122.77 138.49.20.128.11 157.15.17.13.17.37.38 342.23 52.5.2 269.23 721.7281.5 366.4 137.9 55.13 57.10.11 Tulare 324.27 120.21 52.23.11 269.23 721.7281.5 267.62.0 288.13.12.42 230.17.10 386.5 237.41 47.24.26 Turavis 24.13.16.23 277.1 37.13 358.21 358.3 19.5 Tor.19.9 261.24 276.10 278.21 32.21.17.22 Turavis 22.87.13.34.20 Turavis 24.14.26.21 391.6 33.83 43.11 Tor.19.9 261.24 276.10 278.21 32.21.17.22 Turavis 22.87.13.34.20 Turavis 22.87.13.34.20 391.2 33.34.21 Tor.19.9 24.22.22.21.42 Turavis 24.22.21.21.17.12	207:24 210:13 213:20	335:10.11.11 337:18	transport 39.25		
2192.323.20:1 339:1.3.11 3402.13 tube 169:16 243.22 266:11.20 2227.14 (52.223.20) 343:10 346.23 346:12 tube 169:16 243.22 266:11.20 247.33 258:12 258:15 259:10 360:21 351:18 352:15 1351:16 Tulare 248:21 324:3 271.42 71:11 23.42 2607.233:10.31.41 3350:11 352:21 365:4 137:16,51:6 turne 24:15 25:2 314:2 321:11:2 2807.233:10.13,14 3350:11 352:12 365:4 137:16,51:6 turne 24:15 25:2 314:2 321:11:12 336:12:14:15 2807.233:10,13,14 3350:10 354:16 137:16,51:6 turne 24:16:25:2 336:12:14:15 336:12:14:15 2807.232:245:1 366:4 233:19:30:31:14:2 200:12:33:16:23 turne 12:6:6:27:3:34:2 turne 24:6:12:4:25:5 turne 12:6:27:3:34:2 turne 24:6:12:4:25:5 turne 12:6:27:3:34:2 turne 24:6:12:4:25:5 turne 12:6:27:3:34:2 turne 24:6:12:4:25:5 turne 12:6:27:3:34:2 turne 24:6:12:4:25:5 turne 24:6:12:4:25:5 turne 24:6:12:4:25:5 turne 24:6:12:4:5:5:1 turne 24:6:12:4:5:1:5:1:1:1:1:1:1:1:1:1:1:1:1:1:1:1:1					
222:14,15,22 233:20 341-8,15,16,18,3428 250:21 Tulere 324:124:3 271.4 276:11 284:4 247.42,242,245:1 346:14,17,21,347.24 treated 25: 135:11,31 Tulere 324:7 220:13,17.22 220:13,17.22 220:13,17.22 220:13,17.22 220:13,17.22 220:13,17.22 200:23,20:17,17.24 200:13,17.22 200:23,20:17,17.24 200:13,17.22 200:23,20:17,17.24 135:15,16 100:10,12,14 100:10,12,14 100:10,12,14 100:10,12,14 100:10,12,14 100:10,12,14 100:10,12,14 100:23:14,15 236:25,22 200:23:25:5,22 200:17,220:18,20,55 100:21,14 100:11,14 200:17,220:18,20,55 100:21,14 100:14,14 200:17,220:18,20,55 100:21,14,15 236:13,21:14,15 236:13,21:14,15 236:13,21:14,15 236:13,21:14,15 236:13,21:14,15 236:13,21:14,15 236:13,21:14,15 236:13,21:14,15 236:13,21:14,15 236:13,21:14,22:15 100:13:17,22 100:13:17,22 100:13:17,22 100:13:17,22 100:13:17,22 100:13:17,22 100:13:17,22 100:13:17,22 100:13:17,22 100:13:17,22 100:13:17,22 100:13:17,22 100:13:17,22 100:13:17,22 100:13:17,22					
2404,14 244/23 2451 34510 34523 34612 24723 2515 16 101474 34510 34523 3451113 111474 34225 34237 34313 3453 34313 34353 34313 34353 34313 34353 34313 34353 34313 34353 34313 34353 34313 34353 34313 34353 34313 34353 34237 34313 34313 34313 34313 34313 34313 34313 34313 34313 34313 3431333 34313 34313					-
2472 2251 6 226:17 3461:41,721:347:24 135:15:16 tume 24:16 252.1 342:237:11:12:326.3 260:7 283:10,13,14 353:10:19:35:15 Tommore 252:30:17.011 379:55:13:57:10.11 379:55:13:57:10.11 260:7 283:10,13,14 tomorow 283:12,72:41 118:41:35:17.21 119:62:4 371:18:38:12.44 </td <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>271:4 279:11 284:4</td>					271:4 279:11 284:4
2641 2265 (15 269):10 350:21 351:16 352:15 treatment 252 30:17 treatment 252 30:17 196:24 322:5 352:52 322:5 352:52 2697 236:10 13:14 365:4 355:13 57:10.12,14 treatment 252 30:17 196:24 336:18 386:12,14,15 2897 236:17 281:5 365:4 355:13 57:10.12,14 treatment 252 30:17 treatment 252 30:17 196:24 386:18 336:13 36:32:14:15 336:13 36:32:14:15 336:13 36:32:14:15 336:13 36:32:14:15 336:13 36:32:14:15 336:13 36:32:14:15 336:13 36:32:14:15 336:13 36:32:14:15 336:13 36:32:14:15 336:13 36:32:13:13:33:53:15:53:22:14 treatment 252:32:12:14 treatment 26:23:13:36:22:12:14 treatment 26:23:13:36:22:12:14 treatment 26:23:13:36:22:12:14 treatment 26:23:13:36:22:12:14 treatment 26:23:13:36:22:12:14 treatment 26:23:13:36:22:12:14 treatment 26:23:13:14:15:15:12:14:15:16:14:12:15:12:14 treatment 26:23:13:14:14:12:15:15:14:14:15:15:12:14 treatment 26:12:13:14:14:12:15:14:14:14:14:14:14:14:14:14:14:14:14:14:	240:4,14 244:25 245:1	343:10 345:23 346:12	treated 25:5 135:11,13	Tulare's 324:7	292:10 313:17,22
25412 255:15 269:10 2007 263:1013,14 2692 3276:17 281:5 287:19 288.6 2007.27 287:19 288.6 2007.22 2842 2021 285:1,3 2921 32 084 295:4 2921 32 084 295:4 2921 32 084 295:4 2921 32 084 2925:4 2921 32 084 307.21 306:13 317 335 5 342:19 307:23 338.21 362:2 306:33 308:21 562:2 306:33 308:21 562:2 306:31 4107:262:2 306:31 4107:262:2 306:31 4107:262:2 306:31 4107:262:2 306:31 4107:262:2 306:31 4107:262:2 306:31 4107:262:2 306:31 4107:262:2 306:31 4107:272:272:272:272:272:272:272:272:272:2	247:23 251:8 252:15	346:14,17,21 347:24	135:15,16	tune 24:15 25:2	314:2 317:11.12 328:9
2007 263:10,13,14 353:101 9364:9 366:3 37:9 55:13 57:10,11 196:24 37:13 386:12,14,15 2012 282:4 285:4 tomorow 283:12, 17,24 118:4 135:17,21 13:14 136:17,21 13:14 136:17,21 2002 294:17 297:6 287:6,20 288:13 12:4 205:17 229:18 230:5 228:14 26:12 tumorow 283:12, 17,24 297:14,23 284:6 233:17 33:55 342:19 33:35:5 342:19 232:2,13 233:12,20 tumorow 283:12, 17,24 tumorow 283:12, 17,17,17,17,17,17,17,17,17,17,17,17,17,1	254:12 255:15 259:10	350:21 351:18 352:15	treatment 25:2 30:17	turn 7:16 16:17 184:25	
2092 22 76:17 281:5 365.4 58:11 60:10.12,14 turnaround 368:19 386:14 386:14 386:14 184:135:17,21 turnaround 368:19 386:14 287	260.7 263.10 13 14			196.24	
2812 2824 2854 tomorrow 283:12:17:24 118:4 135:17:21 turned 18:4 225:15 Jurned 18:4 25:15 Jurned 18:4 25:16 287:19 288 6 333:19 330:14 205:17 229:18 230:5 turning 209:3 mable 9:4 14:10 297:14 30:48 307:21 333:17 330:5 342:19 232:21 333:12.20 turned 18:41 25:12 turned 18:41 25:11 turned 18:41 25:11					
287:19 286:29:7.22 284:20:21 285:13 137:16;71:16:10.10 228:14:26:14:46:72:46:76:32:42:10 umable 34:134:10 297:20:23:24:17:29:16:20 237:16:20:24:22:17:20:16:20:22:17:20:16:20:22:17:20:16:20:22:17:20:16:20:20:27:20:20:27:20:27:20:27:27:27:27:27:27:27:27:27:27:27:27:27:					
2002 32 24/17 2976 2877.62 02 88:1 312-4 2057.17 2291.8 230.5 turning 209.3 imaffed 373.9 374.10 2097.14 32 986.6 333.17 335.5 342.19 232.21.3 233.12.0 turna 226.6 273.3 4C imaffed 373.9 374.10 309.17 311.8.19 337.17 335.5 342.19 232.21.3 233.12.20 tura 226.6 273.3 4C tura 226.6 273.3 4C tura 226.6 273.3 4C tura 226.6 273.3 4C tura 214.18 226.3 222.18 tura 214.18 218.49.6 tura 214.18 218.49.6 tura 214.14 52.65 tura 214.14 52.65 tura 214.14 52.65 tura 214.14 52.65 tura 214.18 226.3					
22714.23 298-6 322:13 330:3.14 320:24 231:13.16.23 turnout 246:12 unas 22:12 308:13 35:319:5 331:73 355 342:19 322:13 233:12.20 turns 22:65 27:33 48:2.2 unas 22:12 319:12 35:813:5 319:5 morrow's 28:32:2 233:17 315:5 31:5 turnout 24:15 turnout 24:15 unas 22:12 <					unable 9:4 134:10
2271423 2986 322.19 303.3.14 230:24 231:13.16.23 turnout 245:12 unas 22:15 306:17 311:18,19 374:20 377:110 386:2 232:11 33:17 335: 534:51 turnout 245:12 unas 22:15 319:6 326:16 329:3 tonorrow's 28:02 234:11,17 235:14 tweaking 82:15 uncertainty 192:16,17 330:12 334: 335:319:5 tonorrow's 28:02 237:412,322:12 tweaking 82:16 uncertainty 192:16,17 330:12 334: 334:21 ton191pt 282:2,4228:14 76:61 129:19 131:242:5 uncertainty 192:16,17 386:2 307:18 ton191pt 282:2,4228:14 ton130:0:23 277:61 129:19 131:242:5 uncertainty 192:16,17 106:18 178:16 179:2 ton130:0:23 ton30:13:0:0:23 trials 219:10 194:12 195:11 97:16 underpinning 109:3 11mer 14:24:152 top1 98:4 top1 98:4 top1 98:4 trials 219:10 194:12 195:11 97:16 underpinning 109:3 11mer 14:24:152 total 95:11:5: 316:4 242:24:24:34:24:14:14:22:22:17 22:22:22:23:24:16 underpinning 109:3 11mer 14:21:15: 312:46:33:25 total 95:11:5: 31:6:16 trials 21:16:10:17:5: 116:3:16:6:16:16:10:10:10:10:11:15: 31:4:42:29:10:15:11:10:11:10:11:10:10:11:10:		287:6,20 288:1 312:4	205:17 229:18 230:5	turning 209:3	unachievable 295:18
299-14 304.8 307.21 333.17 335.5 342-19 232.21 323.12.20 turms 226 6 273.3 346.2 unbiased 15.22 259.18 306.17 311.16.19 374.20 377.11 0 386.5 324.11.17 255.14 tweaking 82.15 unbiased 15.22 259.18 330.17 313.34 334 334 100 199 261.22 321.20 two 81.20 20.92.3 accord 14.18 216.20 bos 61.04.3 128 6.15 uncharted 44.18 226.3 335.13 388.21 382.2 tool 199 261.25 37.19 trend 24.18 216.20 90.6 104.3 128 6.15 uncorrend 249.16 336.6 380.8 trend s21.18 216.20 90.6 104.3 128 6.15 uncorrend 249.16 uncorrend 249.16 105.18 178.16 179.2 toothpaste 159.16 217.7 267.23 154.24 159.116.71 underpinning 30.21 timed 14.24 15.2 toothpaste 159.16 turde 34.18 246.19 144.21 152.2 25.23 underpinning 109.3 times 23.12 200.78 turde 14.12 11.175.3 186.4 161.21 175.3 186.4 240.224 247.12 259.23 368.3 24.6 90.12 49.8 70.2 124.19 total 95.5 111.6 310.6 total 95.5 111.6 310.6 113.21 14.14 221.229.23 113.3 141.43 121.15 times 21.52 25.27 total 95.5 111.6 310.6 total 95.5 111.6 310.6 114.14 14.21 14.52 222.17 252.524.22	297:14,23 298:6	323:19 330:3,14	230:24 231:13,16,23	turnout 245:12	
308/17 311:18:19 374:20 377:110 386:5 234:11,17 235:14 tweaking 82:15 inneared 13:22 259:18 319:12:16 313:5 319:5 morrows 280:22 374:247 242 Yeaking 82:16 inneared 13:22 259:18 330:12 334:34:21 inneared 13:22 252:17 inneared 13:22 252:18 inneared 13:22 252:18 386:3 386:3 366:19 42:21 44:5 46:8 53:7 inneared 13:22 252:31 386:3 inneared 13:29:22 inneared 13:22 252:18 inneared 13:22 252:18 inneared 13:22 252:18 386:3 inneared 13:22 32:14 inneared 13:22 32:14 inneared 13:22 32:14 inneared 13:22 32:14 105:18 178:16 179:2 inneared 13:24 33:34:5 32:15 inneared 13:24 32:14 inneared 13:24 32:12 inneared 13:24 32:12 immer 14:24 15:2 trip 23:25:25 33:7:19 inneared 13:24 33:24:16 inneared 13:24 33:22:14 inneared 13:24 33:24:16 itimer 14:24 15:2 trip 15:20 307:8 topic 198:4 inneared 13:24 28:19 inneared 13:24 28:19 inneared 13:24 28:19 11:16 15:20 307:8 topic 198:4 trip 13:22 25:23 inneared 13:24 35:3 inneared 13:24 35:3 inneared 13:24 35:3 11:16 15:20 307:8	299:14 304:8 307:21				
312:12:16:312:5:19:5 tomorrow's 283:22 2374:247:24.25 tweaks 185:10 uncertainty 192:16:17 330:12:3334:334:21 tonight 282:2,24:284:14 365:13 365:13 365:13 129:26:12:12 tweaks 185:10 uncertainty 192:16:17 34:9 335:13:389:21:382:3 386:3 Tony 239:22 trend 214:18 216:20 90:6 104:31 28:61:5 uncovered 23:16 underpinning 109:3 underpinning 30:16 129:21:22:22:22:22:11 underpinning 30:16 underpinning 30:16 33:23:32:12 underpinning 30:16 13:2:12:22:12:22:22:11 underpinning 109:3 underpinning 30:16 13:2:12:22:12:22:22:11 underpinning 109:3 u					
319.6 326.16 329.3 ton 2199.26124 276.10 278:21 322-12 twice 12.184.6 334.63 33012 3334 33421 386.3 386.21 362.2 386.13 386.21 362.2 386.19 uncharted 44.18 226.3 365.23 70.18 379.17 Tony 239.22 237.61 309.23 127.7 127.7 267.23 142.21 44.5 46.8 5.7.7 uncharted 44.18 226.3 timeline 28.10.20 29.2 354.15 tool 305.13 309.23 217.6 129.19 13124.25 undermines 332.12 timelines 307.8 tool 305.13 309.23 217.7 267.23 1454.24 159.5 162.7 368.14 undermines 332.12 timer 14.24 15.2 trend 24.18 9.18 1324.7 134.18 148.20 1324.7 134.18 148.20 undermines 302.16 1014 15.20 307.8 tool 305.13 309.23 tool 305.13 309.23 trend 24.18 9.18 121.7 267.23 146.21 197.23 368.14 1105.10 77.6 top 23.25.25 337.19 tool 78.16 tool 78.16 110.20 108.14 undermines 302.16 undermines 302.16 11012 1013 114 229.10 trials 219.11.6 110.21 145.2 228.12 232.24 14.25 311.13 314.3 121.15 113.3 114.3 121.15 113.14 149.23 113.14 149.24 113.14 149.24 113.14 149.24 113.14 149.24		-	,	0	
330:12 3324:334:21 tonight 282:2,24:284:14 remendous 956 two 9:3 20:20:39:23 uncharted 44:18 226:3 336:13 366:19 122:14:18 216:20 90:6104:3124.25 uncorred 251:16 330:63 380:63 122:14:18 216:20 90:6104:3124.25 underground 120:19 105:18 776:16 127:76 127:7267:23 135:42.47 134:18 134:18:32:12 underground 120:19 11melines 307:8 34:18 346:1382:17 trials 219:10 136:14 136:14:18:22:19:12 underground 120:19 11mely 15:20 307:8 tor 78:16 tor 78:16 trichtorettyleno 364:2 222:17:22:22:22:22:22:22:11 underground 120:39:30:16 11mes 23:12 293:237:3 totall 95:111:6 30:6 161:21 176:3166:4 240:22 42:12:25:22:22:11 133:14:312:15:5 30:31:30:11:24:25 97:13 101:20 100:14 113:14:312:15:5 200:12 totall 95:11:6 30:16 192:59 208:10 211:23 275:10 281:22:262:11 113:11:43:12:15:5 133:21:66:19 169:20 11ming 17:16 192:21 30:01.2 trigger 186:23 36:12:16 137:15:19:22:22:22:10:1 133:14:3 312:15:5 133:14:3 312:15:5 11:01:14 12:01:55	,		-		-
3355:13 368:21 362:2 386:3 365:3 70:4 289:16 unconfined 249:16 385:2 370:2 trends 379:17 Tony 239:22 trends 4218 49:18 132:47 134:18 148:20 uncovered 251:16 105:18 178:16 179:2 364:13 36:17 trends 42:18 49:18 132:47 134:18 148:20 undermines 332:12 timelines 376:8 too 1305:13 309:23 217.7 267:23 145:24 159:5 162:2 undermines 332:12 timer 14:24 15:2 trends 42:18 49:18 132:47 134:18 148:20 undermines 332:12 timer 14:24 15:2 too 130:51 339:23 trends 20:14 167:41 186:21 191:22 368:14 133:7 18:57 20:3 total 109:51 116: 310:61 trich/or orthylene 364:2 222:17 225:22 22:14 underpinning 190:3 210:14 239:3 297:12 total 109:51 116: 317:6 192:59 20:01 22:13 227:51 02:12 22:22 22:14 underpinning 190:3 299:23 378:3 total 13:31 42:21:15 total 39:17:16 192:59 20:01 22:12 227:12 289:12,12 113:31 14:3 12:1:15 201:14 22:01 9:52 22:62 52:22 touched 118:19 triggerd 187:319 touched 13:19 235:21 366:13 67:3 227:22 220:10:22 28:17 228:20 23:13:14:8 228:20 28:13:14:8					346:9
365 2 370.18 379:17 3806 388/8 Tony 239:22 tool 305:13 309:23 trend 214:18 216:20 217:6 90:6 10:30:23:16 129:19 131:24:25 Incovered 25:116 underground 120:19 underground 120:19 underground 120:19 105:18 178:16 179:2 timelines 207:8 354:15 tootpaste 159:16 02 32:52:5337:19 trendy 210:4 152:195:1197:15 152:47, 134:184:20 underground 120:19 underground 120:19 11melines 207:8 344:18 346:1382:17 timely 15:20 307:8 trendy 210:4 trendy 211:22 155:3222:14 trendy 211:452 152:24 199:5 102:7 129:22 328:12 underground 120:19 underground 120:19 1337: 1857 702:3 total 99:5 111:8 310:6 total 99:5 111:8 310:6 330:13 33:456 352:18 161:21 175:3 186:4 161:21 175:3 186:4 228:21 23:240:18 83:22.23 84:6 00:12 290:22 307:8 totalling 317:16 totally 21:1 318:25 246:23 319:10 227:12 29:12,23 24:11,12 228:12 30:12 290:21 200:5 08:13 111:0:14 129:13 97:9 300:1,2 trigger 186:23 34:12,16 299:22 20:05 08:13 113:3 114:3 122:15 201:12 20:25 25:22 300:1,2 true 38:18 44:14 71:13 308:14 209:13 36:6 322:19:10 129:22 20:25 24:7 226:19 249:9 22:19 249:29 249:7 201:12 22:25 25:25 25:22 toughe 32:20:11 true 38:18 44:14 71:13 370:10 380:17 352:4,825 350:13 352:36:6;2 <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>two 9:3 20:20 39:23</td><td>uncharted 44:18 226:3</td></t<>				two 9:3 20:20 39:23	uncharted 44:18 226:3
3365 2 370:18 379:17 Tony 239:22 trend 214:18 216:20 90:6 10:43 126:6,15 uncovered 251:16 3360 6 386.8 10:30:51 3 309:23 217:6 129:19 131:24.25 underground 120:19 timeline 28:10,20 29:2 354:15 toothpaste 159:16 127:72 37:23 154:24 19:55 162.7 underground 120:19 timely 15:20 307:8 344:18 346:1 382:17 trials 219:10 134:24 19:52 19:122 underground 120:19 1imes 17:6 topic 18:4 tribitary 241:20 111:52 114:22 136:14 underground 120:19 1imes 17:70:20:37:8 344:16 346:1382:17 trials 42:19:12 121:152 114:22 133:14:31 12:15 210:14 239:3297:12 total 69:51 11:6 310:6 trichoroethylene 364:2 227:12 25:22 22:14 97:31 20:16 11:10:14 12:10 15:8 total 9:51 11:6 31:16 192:59 20:10 211:25 247:12 25:24:11 129:13 31:15 134:43 22:16 timing 157:2 169:2 300:1.2 trigger 185:23 364:12,16 trigger 187:3,19 30:1:14 30:77.8,13 210:22 20:25 24:7 135:21 366:13 48:269 22:20:25 24:27 17:54 195:24 24:51 129:29 23:22:05 24:27 17:54 195:24 24:51 129:29 23:22:05:13	355:13 358:21 362:2	386:3	365:19	42:21 44:5 46:8 53:7	unconfined 249:16
3806 388.8 tool 305:13 309:23 217.6 129:19 312:425 underground 120:19 timeline 3176.6 354:15 trods 421:849:18 132:47, 134:18 48:20 undermines 332:12 timelines 176.6 too 25:25:25 337:19 trends 42:18 49:18 132:47, 134:18 48:20 underginining 109:3 timer 14:24 15:2 tool 305:63 309:23 217.6 129:19 21:19:15 368:14 49:8 70:2 124:19 343:18 36:63 32:18 totol 90:5 11:63 106:6 trickloreviplene 364:2 222:17 225:22 22:61:1 underpinning 109:3 210:14 239:3 297:12 totalling 317:16 totalling 317:16 112:17:53 186:4 240:24 247:12 299:2.3 97:13 101:20 108:14 210:14 239:3 297:12 totalling 317:16 totalling 317:16 129:19 208:10 211:23 287:12 298:2.1 113:3 114:3 11:15 210:14 239:3 297:12 totalling 317:16 totalling 317:16 129:29 23:00:5 306:13 175:4 195:24 205:14 timing 157:2 169:2 300:1.2 totalling 317:16 totalling 317:16 129:21 29:23:20:13 15:15 129:21 29:22 20:12 129:21 29:22 20:12 129:18 130:19 13:14 129:21 48:23 36:12 129:18 130:19 13:12:15 120:22 20:22 24:12 <td< td=""><td>365:2 370:18 379:17</td><td>Tony 239:22</td><td>trend 214:18 216:20</td><td>90:6 104:3 126:6.15</td><td></td></td<>	365:2 370:18 379:17	Tony 239:22	trend 214:18 216:20	90:6 104:3 126:6.15	
timeline 28:10.20 29:2 354:15 trends 42:18 49:18 132:47, 134:18 148:20 undermines 32:12 timelines 307:8 totpaste 159:16 159:23 (77:267:23) 154:24 159:5 162:7 368:14 timely 15:20 307:8 timel 15:20 307:8 topic 198:4 tributary 241:20 154:24 159:5 182:7 368:14 times 23:22 25:5 33:25 topic 198:4 tributary 241:20 154:22 195:21 72:55 22:24 368:14 49:8 70:2 124:19 34:41:8 36:6 352:18 total 80:5 111:6 310:6 trichloroethylene 364:2 228:72 327:22 22:01:8 83:22:23 84:6 90:12 290:23 378:3 total 80:5 111:6 310:6 totallig 317:16 192:5,9 208:10 211:23 276:10 281:25 92:29:11 129:18 130:19 137:8 11:01.14 12:10 15.8 totally 31:1 78:16:2 192:5,9 208:10 211:23 276:10 281:25 92:29:11 130:12 108:12 42:20:14 11:01.14 12:10 15.8 totally 31:7 82:13 97:9 300:11 41:19 trigger 186:23 364:12:16 196:23 102:11:15 132:3 22:16:19 132:3 22:16:19 132:3 22:12 22:20:25 22:22 133:23 32:13 366:13 367:8 279:22 20:10 281:5 279:22 20:10 281:5 230:13 06:13 347:2 230:13 06:13 347:2 230:13 06:13 347:2 100:21 132:13 22:12 55:6	380:6 388:8				
105:18 178:16 179:2 toothpaste 159:16 217:7 267:23 154:24 159:5 162:7 undermath 279:23 timeliness 307:8 top 33:25,25 337:19 trendy 201:4 trial 219:10 trial 219:10 197:12 52:15 322:14 undermath 279:23 timer 14:24 15:2 tore 78:16 trichloroethylene 364:2 22:17 226:22 226:11 understand 81:24 83:5 133:7 165:7 202:3 total 60:5 111:6 310:6 trichloroethylene 364:2 22:17 226:22 226:11 113:3 114:3 121:15 210:14 239:3 297:12 totall 60:5 111:6 310:6 triel 172:2 246:23 310:10 287:21 25:24:11,12 297:12 10:14 13:114:3 121:15 299:23 378:3 touch 118:19 touch 118:19 trieg r18:23 364:12,16 299:22 30:5 306:113 175:4 19:52:4 205:14 133:2 12:0 120:123 1110:14 12:10 15:8 ads:11 17 92:13 97:9 trigger 186:23 364:12,16 299:22 30:5 306:113 175:4 19:52:4 205:14 100:21 52:2 52:22 100:11:3 31:42:3 20:16 175:5 19:52:10:11 120:22 20:25 24:21 120:22 52:22:22:24:22 120:22:12:25:24:22 175:5 19:62:16:13 175:5 19:62:16:13 175:5 19:62:16:13 175:5 19:62:16:13 175:5 19:62:16:13 175:5 19:22:12:5:65:13 175:5 19:22:12:25:62:12 175:5 19:22:12:25:62:13 175:5 19:22:25:25:22:23:13:16:15:16:17:16 139:22:32:					•
timelines 176:6 top 23/25,25 337:19 trendy 201:4 167:14 168:21 191:22 368:14 167:14 168:21 191:22 368:14 167:14 168:21 191:22 368:14 167:14 168:21 191:22 368:14 167:14 168:21 191:22 368:14 167:14 168:21 191:22 368:14 167:14 168:21 191:22 368:14 167:14 168:21 191:22 368:14 167:14 168:21 191:22 368:14 167:14 168:21 191:22 368:14 167:14 168:21 191:22 368:14 167:14 168:21 191:22 368:14 167:14 168:21 191:22 368:14 167:14 168:21 191:22 368:16 368:14 167:14 168:21 191:22 368:16 <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>					
Timeliness 307.8 timely 15:20 307.8 timer 14:24 15:2 344:18 346:1 382:17 top 78:16 trials 219:10 top 78:16 194:12 195:1 197:15 top 78:16 underpinning 309:16 understand 81:24 83:5 49.8 70:2 124:19 343:3 456 352:18 total 89:5 111:6 310:6 timel 14:4:21 145:2 220:17 226:2 226:11 38:22.23 84:6 90:12 210:14 239:3 297:12 total 89:5 111:6 310:6 169:1175:3 186:4 240:23 247:12 59:23.23 97:13 101:20 108:14 299:23 378:3 total 89:5 111:6 31:4 229:10 tris 177:2 240:23 39:10 275:10 281:25 282:1 113:3 114:3 121:15 153:2 166:19 169:20 touch 13:14 229:10 tris 172:2 297:12 298:12,13,15 153:2 166:19 169:20 111:0,11 4 12:10 158 300:1.2 triager 186:23 364:12,16 trigger 186:23 364:12,16 299:22 300:5 306:13 175:4 195:29 220:52 220:22 220:52 221:7 111:0,14 12:10 158 tougher 346:10 trougher 346:10 trougher 348:14 11:15 119:24 124:3 306:13 680:14,15 303:1 306:17 334:5 20:15 22:25 25:22 tourig 22:01 28:11 tourig 22:03:00:24 trougher 346:10 trougher 346:10 109:23 256:2 356:2 20:16 22:12 15:6 tourig 22:03:9 0:12 trougher 348:14 11:17 139:22 198:16 320:13 353:23 368:13		-			
timely 15:20 307:8 topic 198:4 tributary 241:20 211:25 215:3 222:14 understand 81:24 8:35 times 23:12 26:5 33:25 total 69:5 111:6 310:6 trichoreothylene 36:21 221:7 225:22 226:11 33:23 28:6 60:12 49:8 70:2 124:19 343:3 345:6 352:18 161:21 175:3 186:4 228:21 225:22.23 39:713 101:20 108:14 209:23 378:3 totalling 317:16 161:21 175:3 186:4 229:22 30:5 306:13 175:4 19:524 20:5:14 209:23 378:3 touch 133:14 229:10 trigger 186:23 36:12,16 299:22 30:5 306:13 175:4 19:5:24 20:5:14 368:10,11 souch 133:14 229:10 trigger 186:23 36:12,16 299:22 30:5 306:13 367:8 279:22 280:12 249:9:249:7 2015 22:25 25:22 toughest 329:17 truble 21:2:20 216:17 37:15 63:16 66:20 23:6:6 30:13 36:14 33:7:8 39:10 380:21 30:33:2 35:2:5 66:2 26:4 28:19 29:9 32:21 tourist 28:423 358:6 36:2:13 371:8 37:15 63:16 66:20 33:13 36:8:16 4:17 33:13 36:8:14 33:2:35:2:5 66:2 27:12 12:14:15 16:21 tourist 28:423 358:6 36:2:13 371:8 39:10 380:21 33:3:2:35:2:36:6:14 33:3:3:35:2:6 36:6:14 33:3:3:3:3:3:3:3:3:3:3:3:3:3:3:3:3:3:3		•			368:14
timer 14:24 15:2 tore 78:16 trichloroethylene 222:17 225:22 123:12 understand 83:22,23 84:39 123:33 143:31 123:33 123:33 123:33 123:33 123:33 123:33 123:33 123:33 123:33 123:33 123:33 123:33 123:33 123:33 123:33 123:33 123:33 123:33 133:17 133:17 133:17 133:17 133:17 133:17 133:17 133:17 133:13 133:13:13:13:13:13:13:13:13:13:13:13:13:	Timeliness 307:8	344:18 346:1 382:17	trials 219:10	194:12 195:1 197:15	underpinning 109:3
timer 14:24 15:2 tore 78:16 trichloroethylene 364:2 222:17 225:22 226:11 understand 51:24 83:5 49:8 70:2 124:19 343:3 345:6 352:18 total 69:6 111:6 3:10:4 214:4:21 145:2 228:12 37:23 240:18 83:22,23 84:6 90:12 133:7 185:7 202:3 totalling 317:16 192:5.9 208:10 211:23 275:10 281:25 282:11 113:3 114:3 121:15 299:23 378:3 totall 91:71:31 81:25 246:23 319:10 287:12 289:12,13,15 155:21 68:19 169:20 1timing 157:2 169:2 300:1,2 tries 172:2 299:22 300:5 306:13 175:4 195:24 205:14 tissue 47:19 345:11 touch 13:17 92:13 97:9 trij 39:20 trija 39:20 306:14 307:7,8,13 210:22 20:25 22:47 today 7:13,20 9:4 11:8 312:3 329:16 trough 31:17 92:13 97:9 trija 39:20 338:16 66:13 67:8 279:22 280:10 281:5 20:15 22:25 25:22 tougher 329:17 trougher 329:17	timely 15:20 307:8	topic 198:4	tributary 241:20	211:25 215:3 222:14	underpinnings 309:16
times 23:12 26:5 33:25 total 69:5 111:6 310:6 tried 144:21 145:2 228:21 237:23 240:18 83:22,23 84:6 90:12 49:8 70:2 124:19 34:33 345:6 352:18 total 69:5 111:6 310:6 111:21 175:3 186:4 240:24 247:12 259:23 240:18 83:22,23 84:6 90:12 133:7 185:7 202:3 totally 21:1 318:25 246:23 319:10 240:24 247:12 259:23 113:3 114:3 121:15 210:14 239:3 297:12 totuch 138:19 totuch 131:19 115:2 1475:3 186:4 299:23 30:613 175:4 195:24 205:14 timing 157:2 169:2 300:1,2 trigger 186:23 364:12,16 299:22 300:5 306:13 175:4 195:24 205:14 timing 157:2 169:2 300:1,2 trigger 186:23 364:12,16 299:22 300:5 306:13 175:4 195:24 205:14 timing 157:2 169:2 touched 118:19 trigger 186:23 364:12,16 299:27 30:21 260:128 220:22 220:25 224:7 111:0,14 12:10 158 312:3 329:16 trouble 212:20 216:17 trout 47:23 386:16 369:14,15 300:17 362:16 369:14,15 300:17 352:48,25 26:4 28:19 299 32:21 touri 128:14 130:15 1492:11 450:13 350:2 356:2 356:2 356:2 356:2 356:2 356:2 356:2 356:2 356:2 356:2 356:2 356:2 356:2 356:2 356:2 35	timer 14:24 15:2	tore 78:16	trichloroethylene 364:2	222:17 225:22 226:11	
49:8 70:2 124:19 343:3 345:6 352:18 161:2 1175:3 186:4 240:24 247:12 259:2,3 97:13 101:20 108:14 133:7 185:7 202:3 totally 211:318:25 246:22 319:10 275:10 281:25 282:1 113:3 114:3 121:15 299:23 378:3 totally 211:318:25 246:23 319:10 287:12,52 94:11,12 299:12 300:5 306:13 175:4 195:24 205:14 135:0 12 tissue 47:19 345:11 touch 133:14 229:10 tries 172:2 297:12 298:12,13,15 153:21 66:19 169:20 156:10,11 tough 13:17 92:13 97:9 triggered 187:3,19 306:14 307:7,8,13 175:4 195:24 205:14 20:15 22:25 25:2 tougher 346:10 trout 47:23 368:16 369:14,15 303:1 306:17 344:5 20:15 22:25 25:2 tougher 346:10 trout 47:23 368:16 369:14,15 303:1 306:17 342:4,8,25 27:15 63:16 66:20 tours 127:20,20,24 tse: 33:13 11:20:10 287:25 350:17 352:4,8,25 350:17 352:4,8,25 106:4 111:24 120:7 tours 127:20,20,24 382:3,4 388:11 130:15 149:21 150:11 150:12 152:12 155:6 11:0:14:124 120:7 tours 127:20,20,24 382:3,4 388:11 130:15 149:21 150:11 160:23 113:14 149:23 106:4 113:24 135:2 26:30:10 121:12 24:33:25:16 322:12	times 23:12 26:5 33:25	total 60.5 111.6 310.6	2		
133:7 185:7 202:3 totalling 317:16 192:5,9 208:10 211:23 275:10 281:25 282:1 5113:3 114:3 121:15 210:14 239:3 297:12 totally 21:1 318:25 246:23 319:10 287:21,25 294:11,12 129:14 31:14:3 121:15 299:23 378:3 touched 118:19 trigger 186:23 364:12,16 299:22 300:5 306:13 175:4 195:24 205:14 130:11 41:21:01 5:8 113:3 114:29:10 trigger 186:23 364:12,16 299:22 300:5 306:13 175:4 195:24 205:14 111:01:14 12:10 15:8 312:3 329:16 trouched 118:19 trigger 186:23 364:12,16 299:22 300:5 306:13 210:22:20:25 22:4:7 20:15 22:25 25:22 tougher 346:10 trout 47:23 368:16 369:14,15 303:1 30:17 344:5 21:15 22:25 25:22 tougher 329:17 trout 47:23 368:6 362:13 371:8 379:10 380:21 350:17 352:4,825 76:24 78:6 81:16,17 236:6 138:22 198:16 272:1 twore 284:23 376:2 trout 72:8,9 20:375.15 177:11 180:3,9,15 352:3 255:2 356:2 127:21 132:18,25 47:16,17 121:11,13,114 trust 72:8,9 20:375.15 177:11 180:3,9,15 368:13 347:24 368:13 347:24 140:5145 162:10 322:14 233:12 203:14:17 20:10 156:22:176:1,14 156:22:176:1,14 156:22:176:1,14			tried 144.21 145.2	228.21 237.23 240.18	
210:14 239:3 297:12 totally 21:1 318:25 246:23 319:10 287:21,25 294:11,12 129:18 130:19 137:8 299:23 378:3 touch 133:14 229:10 tiss 172:2 297:12 298:12,13,15 129:18 130:19 137:8 368:10,11 touch 131:14 229:10 tigger 186:23 364:12,16 tigger 186:23 364:12,16 299:22 300:5 306:13 175:4 196:24 205:14 11:10,14 12:10 15:8 312:3 329:16 trigger 186:23 364:12,17 306:14 307:7,8,13 210:22 220:5 224:7 20:15 22:25 25:22 tough 31:17 92:13 97:9 trigger 186:23 364:12,17 353:21 366:13 367:8 279:22 280:10 281:5 37:15 63:16 66:20 tour 109:5,10 128:1 trout 47:23 368:16 272:1 359:10 300:21 359:13 305:21 359:13 305:21 359:13 305:21 359:13 305:21 359:39 360:2 382:2 36:16 66:20 tour 109:5,10 128:1 71:15 19:24 124:13 139:12 150:16 167:14,18 176:25 106:91 99:23 255:6 314:2 359:89.3 93:0:2 382:2 359:89.3 93:0:2 382:2 33:13:6:14 92:23 359:13 30:14 139:23 139:14 149:23 106:25 113:14 149:23 106:21 150:11 150:12 152:12 155:6 160:91 99:23 255:6 314:2 359:24 325:6 314:2 369:24 33:14 139:12 32:19 359:24 325:16 322:12 224:12 24:15:6	49.8 70.2 124.19				83:22,23 84:6 90:12
299:23 378:3 touch 133:14 229:10 tries 172:2 297:12 298:12,13,15 153:2 166:19 169:20 timing 157:2 169:2 300:1,2 trigger 186:23 364:12,16 299:22 300:5 306:13 175:4 195:24 205:14 tissue 47:19 345:11 toughe 118:19 trigger 186:23 364:12,16 299:22 300:5 306:13 216:25 299:29:29:29:29:29:29:29:29:29:29:29:29:		343:3 345:6 352:18	161:21 175:3 186:4	240:24 247:12 259:2,3	83:22,23 84:6 90:12 97:13 101:20 108:14
timing 157:2 169:2 300:1,2 trigger 186:23 364:12,16 299:22 300:5 306:13 175:4 195:24 205:14 tissue 47:19 345:11 touched 118:19 toughest 397:9 111:15 312:3 329:16 306:12 307:7,813 311:15 314:4,8 326:9 224:20 248:9 249:7 today 7:13,20 9:4 11:8 99:2 195:23 248:17 312:3 329:16 trouble 212:20 216:17 353:21 366:13 367:8 279:22 20:10 281:5 20:15 22:25 25:22 tougher 346:10 trou 47:23 368:16,17 368:16,17 236:6 308:12 327:15 306:14 307:7,813 306:13 306:17 352:4,8,25 37:15 63:16 66:20 tour 109:5,10 128:1 71:15 119:24 124:3 379:10 380:21 306:14 307:7,813 353:2 355:2 356:2 39:16 96:23 102:11,18 tour 326:6 138:22 198:16 272:1 tying 68:14 130:15 149:21 150:11 108:23 113:14 149:23 106:4 112:42 107:1 tour 326:2 tour 326:2 326:19 371:8 359:80:23 63:18,21 108:21 193:12 23:39:10:15 108:23 193:14 149:23 359:80:23 63:18,21 121:2 124:15 127:19 tour 327:20,20,24 382:39:90:14 172 232:22 417 235:18 106:11 163:124 108:23 193:14 149:23 121:2 124:51 5 162:10 toxi 46:1	133:7 185:7 202:3	343:3 345:6 352:18 totalling 317:16	161:21 175:3 186:4 192:5,9 208:10 211:23	240:24 247:12 259:2,3 275:10 281:25 282:1	83:22,23 84:6 90:12 97:13 101:20 108:14 113:3 114:3 121:15
tissue 47:19 345:11 368:10,11touched 118:19 tough 13:17 92:13 97:9 tolay 7:132 09:41 18 11:10,14 12:10 15:8 20:15 22:25 25:22 264 28:19 299 32:21 37:15 63:16 66:20 76:24 78:6 81:16,17 26:6 28:12 82:16,25 86:2 89:12 82:16,25 86:2 89:12 82:16,25 86:2 89:12 82:16,25 86:2 89:12 10:64 111:24 120:7 10:64 111:24 120:7 12:72:1 132:18,25 12:72:1 132:18,25 12:72:1 132:18,25 12:72:1 132:18,25 12:72:1 132:18,25 12:12 12:11 13:12: 329:10 10:64 111:24 12:07 12:12 12:11 13:12 12:12 12:12 12:15 12:11 13:12:12 26:39:10 10:24 111:25 12:12 12:10 10:24 111:24 12:07 12:72:11 12:11,131 13:12:18,25 12:72:11 12:12:12:12:12:12 14:23 13:29 139:12 13:12:12 26:39:10,15 13:12 26:39:10 14:12 12:11 13:12 26:39:10.15 13:12:12 26:39:10.15 12:72:11 12:12:12:12:12:12:12:12:12:12:12:12:12:1	133:7 185:7 202:3 210:14 239:3 297:12	343:3 345:6 352:18 totalling 317:16 totally 21:1 318:25	161:21 175:3 186:4 192:5,9 208:10 211:23 246:23 319:10	240:24 247:12 259:2,3 275:10 281:25 282:1 287:21,25 294:11,12	83:22,23 84:6 90:12 97:13 101:20 108:14 113:3 114:3 121:15
tissue 47:19 345:11touched 118:19triggered 187:3,19306:14 307.7,8,13210:22 220:25 224:7368:10,11tough 13:17 92:13 97:9trip 39:20311:15 314:4,8 326:9224:20 248:9 249:711:10,14 12:10 15:8312:3 329:16trouble 212:20 216:17353:21 366:13 367:82279:22 280:10 281:520:15 22:25 25:22tougherst 329:17trouble 212:20 216:17353:21 366:13 367:8279:22 280:10 281:526:4 28:19 29:9 32:21tougherst 329:17trou 88:18 44:14 71:13379:10 380:21306:17 352:4,8,2537:15 63:16 66:20tour 109:5,10 128:171:15 119:24 124:3two-foot 83:13353:2 355:2 356:276:24 78:6 81:16,17236:6138:22 198:16 272:1type 58:23 63:18,21359:8,9 360:2 382:282:16 25 86:2 89:12tours 127:20,0,24382:3,4 388:11turs 127:20,0,24382:3,4 388:11turs 15:14 49:21 50:11106:4 111:24 120:7town 284:23 376:2truly 96:3,11 270:10150:12 152:12 155:6160:9 192:3 255:6121:2 124:15 127:1,19toxic 46:12,15,16 47:4,5272:5 284:22167:14,18 176:25314:2134:23 135:29 139:12213:12 263:9,10,1532:12237:2 424:38,10 257:25334:2140:5 145:15 162:10322:14233:2 35:1332:12268:20 37:5 34:236:16 383:1140:19 193:9 195:2144:23 46:10,18 47:16,Trustees 300:13345:11 346:13 347:24understands 344:11135:21 225:2253:10trut 22:11'1 17:17 80:10354:19 361:8 362:48understands 344:11135:21 236:222:19 192:3 190:4199:20 213:9 222:16 337:	133:7 185:7 202:3 210:14 239:3 297:12 299:23 378:3	343:3 345:6 352:18 totalling 317:16 totally 21:1 318:25	161:21 175:3 186:4 192:5,9 208:10 211:23 246:23 319:10 tries 172:2	240:24 247:12 259:2,3 275:10 281:25 282:1 287:21,25 294:11,12	83:22,23 84:6 90:12 97:13 101:20 108:14 113:3 114:3 121:15 129:18 130:19 137:8
368:10,11 tough 13:17 92:13 97:9 trip 39:20 311:15 314:4,6 326:9 224:20 248:9 249:7 10049 7:13,20 94 11:8 99:2 195:23 248:17 strip 39:20 strip 30:20 strip 30:20 strip 30:21 strip 39:20 strip 39:20 strip 30:21 strip 30:21 strip 30:21 strip 30:10 (31:1,15 strip 30:21 strip 30:13 (36:17 362:4,8,25 strip 30:17 352:4,8,25	133:7 185:7 202:3 210:14 239:3 297:12 299:23 378:3	343:3 345:6 352:18 totalling 317:16 totally 21:1 318:25 touch 133:14 229:10	161:21 175:3 186:4 192:5,9 208:10 211:23 246:23 319:10 tries 172:2	240:24 247:12 259:2,3 275:10 281:25 282:1 287:21,25 294:11,12 297:12 298:12,13,15	83:22,23 84:6 90:12 97:13 101:20 108:14 113:3 114:3 121:15 129:18 130:19 137:8 153:2 166:19 169:20
today 7:13,20 9:4 11:8 99:2 195:23 248:17 trivial 252:8 328:8,9 340:14,15 252:6, 19 259:9 279:13 11:10,14 12:10 15:8 312:3 329:16 trouble 212:20 216:17 353:21 366:13 367.8 279:22 280:10 281:5 20:15 22:25 25:22 toughest 329:17 true 38:18 44:14 71:13 368:16 369:14,15 303:1 306:17 324:4;5 37:15 63:16 66:20 tour 109:5,10 128:1 71:15 119:24 124:3 two-foot 83:13 359:2,3 255:2 356:2 82:16,25 86:2 89:12 tourist 284:23 358:6 362:13 371:8 type 68:23 63:18,21 s59:8,9 360:2 382:2 11:10:4 11:24 120:7 toxic 46:12,15,16 47:4,5 truly 96:3,11 270:10 150:12 155:12 155:6 108:23 113:14 149:23 121:2 124:15 127:1,19 toxic 46:12,15,16 47:4,5 trus 72:8,9 203:15,15 177:1 180:3,9,15 understandig 9:5 121:2 124:15 127:1,19 toxic 46:12,25:10 32:14 203:16 209:14 213:20 223:2 234:17 235:18 385:24 140:5 145:15 16:10 22:14 203:16 209:14 213:20 237:25 284:22 167:14,18 176:25 314:2 180:19 193:9 195:21 toxicity 24:3,23,25 31:6 332:12 266:20 337:5 342:2 266:20 337:5 342:2 understands 344:11	133:7 185:7 202:3 210:14 239:3 297:12 299:23 378:3 timing 157:2 169:2	343:3 345:6 352:18 totalling 317:16 totally 21:1 318:25 touch 133:14 229:10 300:1,2	161:21 175:3 186:4 192:5,9 208:10 211:23 246:23 319:10 tries 172:2 trigger 186:23 364:12,16	240:24 247:12 259:2,3 275:10 281:25 282:1 287:21,25 294:11,12 297:12 298:12,13,15 299:22 300:5 306:13	83:22,23 84:6 90:12 97:13 101:20 108:14 113:3 114:3 121:15 129:18 130:19 137:8 153:2 166:19 169:20 175:4 195:24 205:14
11:10.14 12:10 15:8 312:3 329:16 trouble 212:20 216:17 533:21 366:13 367:8 279:22 280:10 281:5 20:15 22:25 22:2 tougher 346:10 trout 47:23 368:16 369:14,15 303:1 306:17 344:5 20:4 28:19 29:9 32:21 tour 109:5,10 128:1 71:15 119:24 124:3 379:10 380:21 353:2 356:2 356:2 355:2 356:2 356:2 76:24 78:6 81:16,17 236:6 tourist 284:23 358:6 362:13 371:8 type 58:23 63:18,21 359:8,9 360:2 382:2 80:16 96:23 102:11,18 tourist 284:23 358:6 362:13 371:8 type 58:23 63:18,21 369:15 149:21 150:11 108:23 113:14 149:23 121:2 124:15 127:1,19 toxic 46:12,15,16 47:4,5 272:5 284:22 167:14,18 176:25 314:2 314:2 365:26 314:21 121:2 124:15 162:10 322:14 233:12 203:15,15 177:1 180:3,9,15 324:17 322:43,10 257:25 334:11 346:13 347:24 385:24 41:11 385:24 385:24 324:12 337:24 335:14 346:10, 186:19 326:20 326:20 327:12 22:22 234:17 235:18 100:12 152:12 155:14:11 385:24 344:11 385:24 344:11 385:24 344:11 385:24 326:24:13:3,12 100:12 152:12 326:16 354:14 106:22 176:11 345:11 346:13 347:24 <td>133:7 185:7 202:3 210:14 239:3 297:12 299:23 378:3 timing 157:2 169:2 tissue 47:19 345:11</td> <td>343:3 345:6 352:18 totalling 317:16 totally 21:1 318:25 touch 133:14 229:10 300:1,2 touched 118:19</td> <td>161:21 175:3 186:4 192:5,9 208:10 211:23 246:23 319:10 tries 172:2 trigger 186:23 364:12,16 triggered 187:3,19</td> <td>240:24 247:12 259:2,3 275:10 281:25 282:1 287:21,25 294:11,12 297:12 298:12,13,15 299:22 300:5 306:13 306:14 307:7,8,13</td> <td>83:22,23 84:6 90:12 97:13 101:20 108:14 113:3 114:3 121:15 129:18 130:19 137:8 153:2 166:19 169:20 175:4 195:24 205:14 210:22 220:25 224:7</td>	133:7 185:7 202:3 210:14 239:3 297:12 299:23 378:3 timing 157:2 169:2 tissue 47:19 345:11	343:3 345:6 352:18 totalling 317:16 totally 21:1 318:25 touch 133:14 229:10 300:1,2 touched 118:19	161:21 175:3 186:4 192:5,9 208:10 211:23 246:23 319:10 tries 172:2 trigger 186:23 364:12,16 triggered 187:3,19	240:24 247:12 259:2,3 275:10 281:25 282:1 287:21,25 294:11,12 297:12 298:12,13,15 299:22 300:5 306:13 306:14 307:7,8,13	83:22,23 84:6 90:12 97:13 101:20 108:14 113:3 114:3 121:15 129:18 130:19 137:8 153:2 166:19 169:20 175:4 195:24 205:14 210:22 220:25 224:7
20:15 22:25 25:22 26:4 28:19 29:9 32:21tougher 346:10 toughest 329:17 tour 109:5,10 128:1trout 47:23 true 38:18 44:14 71:13368:16 369:14,15 37:10 380:21303:1 306:17 344:5 350:17 352:4,8,2537:15 63:16 66:20 76:24 76:6 81:16,17 82:16,25 86:2 89:12 89:16 96:23 102:11,18 106:4 111:24 120:7 121:2 124:15 127:119tours 127:20,20,24 tours 127:20,20,24 tours 127:20,20,24true 38:18 44:14 71:13 358:6 362:13 371:8 358:6 362:13 371:8 358:6 362:13 371:8 tours 127:20,20,24tours 127:20,20,24 tours 127:20,20,24 tours 127:20,20,24 tours 127:20,20,24true 38:18 44:14 71:13 tours 127:20,20,24 truly 96:3,11 270:10tours 127:20,20,24 tours 127:20,20,24 truly 96:3,11 270:10tours 127:20,20,24 tours 127:20,20,24 truly 96:3,11 270:10tours 127:20,20,24 tours 127:20,20,24 truly 96:3,11 270:10tours 127:20,20,24 truly 96:3,11 270:10truly 96:3,11 270:10 truly 96:3,11 270:10tours 127:20,20,24 truly 96:3,11 270:10tours 127:20,20,24 truly 96:3,11 270:10true 96:21 46:10 tours 127:21,111,13,14134:23 135:2,9 139:12 tours 129:22:14213:12 263:9,10,15 tours 129:23,17 237:6 true 221:12true 221:11 true 221:11true 221:12 true 221:12true 221:12 true 221:12true 221:12 true 221:12true 221:12 true 221:12true 221:12 true 221:12 <t< td=""><td>133:7 185:7 202:3 210:14 239:3 297:12 299:23 378:3 timing 157:2 169:2 tissue 47:19 345:11 368:10,11</td><td>343:3 345:6 352:18 totalling 317:16 totally 21:1 318:25 touch 133:14 229:10 300:1,2 touched 118:19 tough 13:17 92:13 97:9</td><td>161:21 175:3 186:4 192:5,9 208:10 211:23 246:23 319:10 tries 172:2 trigger 186:23 364:12,16 triggered 187:3,19 trip 39:20</td><td>240:24 247:12 259:2,3 275:10 281:25 282:1 287:21,25 294:11,12 297:12 298:12,13,15 299:22 300:5 306:13 306:14 307:7,8,13 311:15 314:4,8 326:9</td><td>83:22,23 84:6 90:12 97:13 101:20 108:14 113:3 114:3 121:15 129:18 130:19 137:8 153:2 166:19 169:20 175:4 195:24 205:14 210:22 220:25 224:7 224:20 248:9 249:7</td></t<>	133:7 185:7 202:3 210:14 239:3 297:12 299:23 378:3 timing 157:2 169:2 tissue 47:19 345:11 368:10,11	343:3 345:6 352:18 totalling 317:16 totally 21:1 318:25 touch 133:14 229:10 300:1,2 touched 118:19 tough 13:17 92:13 97:9	161:21 175:3 186:4 192:5,9 208:10 211:23 246:23 319:10 tries 172:2 trigger 186:23 364:12,16 triggered 187:3,19 trip 39:20	240:24 247:12 259:2,3 275:10 281:25 282:1 287:21,25 294:11,12 297:12 298:12,13,15 299:22 300:5 306:13 306:14 307:7,8,13 311:15 314:4,8 326:9	83:22,23 84:6 90:12 97:13 101:20 108:14 113:3 114:3 121:15 129:18 130:19 137:8 153:2 166:19 169:20 175:4 195:24 205:14 210:22 220:25 224:7 224:20 248:9 249:7
26:4 28:19 29:9 32:21 toughest 329:17 true 38:18 44:14 71:13 379:10 380:21 350:17 352:4,8,25 37:15 63:16 66:20 tour 109:5,10 128:1 236:6 138:22 198:16 272:1 two-foot 83:13 359:8,9 360:2 382:2 82:16 25 86:2 89:12 tourist 284:23 366:3 62:13 371:8 tying 68:14 true 38:18 44:14 71:13 359:10 380:21 359:8,9 360:2 382:2 89:16 96:23 102:11,18 tours 127:20,20,24 382:3,4 388:11 tours 127:20,20,24 382:3,4 388:11 tours 127:21.15:6 106:23 113:14 149:23 121:2 124:15 127:1,19 town 284:23 376:2 truly 96:3,11 270:10 150:12 152:12 155:6 106:9 192:3 255:6 121:2 124:15 127:1,19 toxic 46:12,15,16 47:4,5 272:5 284:22 167:14,18 176:25 144:2 140:5 145:15 162:10 322:14 203:16 209:14 213:20 237:4 243:8,10 257:25 237:4 243:8,10 257:25 180:19 193:9 195:21 44:23 46:10,18 47:1,6 Trustees 300:13 354:11 346:13 347:24 understood 146:19 195:23 196:14 197:6,8 48:6 49:24 53:10 Trustees 300:13 371:16 381:16 382:1 undecumented 93:25 180:19 193:9 195:21 121:9 189:23 190:4 trut 21:11 1 14:23 47:12 23:7 236:20 59:9,19,24 undocumented 93:25	133:7 185:7 202:3 210:14 239:3 297:12 299:23 378:3 timing 157:2 169:2 tissue 47:19 345:11 368:10,11 today 7:13,20 9:4 11:8	343:3 345:6 352:18 totalling 317:16 totally 21:1 318:25 touch 133:14 229:10 300:1,2 touched 118:19 tough 13:17 92:13 97:9 99:2 195:23 248:17	161:21 175:3 186:4 192:5,9 208:10 211:23 246:23 319:10 tries 172:2 trigger 186:23 364:12,16 triggered 187:3,19 trip 39:20 trivial 252:8	240:24 247:12 259:2,3 275:10 281:25 282:1 287:21,25 294:11,12 297:12 298:12,13,15 299:22 300:5 306:13 306:14 307:7,8,13 311:15 314:4,8 326:9 328:8,9 340:14,15	83:22,23 84:6 90:12 97:13 101:20 108:14 113:3 114:3 121:15 129:18 130:19 137:8 153:2 166:19 169:20 175:4 195:24 205:14 210:22 220:25 224:7 224:20 248:9 249:7 252:6,19 259:9 279:13
37:15 63:16 66:20 tour 109:5,10 128:1 71:15 119:24 124:3 two-foot 83:13 353:2 355:2 356:2 76:24 78:6 81:16,17 236:6 138:22 198:16 272:1 tying 68:14 tying 68:14 359:8,9 360:2 382:2 89:16 96:23 102:11,18 tourist 284:23 358:6 362:13 371:8 130:15 149:21 150:11 108:23 113:14 149:23 106:4 111:24 120:7 toxist 284:23 376:2 truly 96:3,11 270:10 150:12 152:12 155:6 108:23 113:14 149:23 121:2 124:15 127:1,19 toxist 46:12,15,16 47:4,5 272:5 284:22 167:14,18 176:25 146:9 192:3 255:6 121:2 124:15 127:1,19 toxict 46:12,15,16 47:4,5 272:5 284:22 167:14,18 176:25 144:2 140:5 145:15 162:10 322:14 203:16 209:14 213:20 23:12 23:17 224:5 23:7:2 23:17 23:18 345:11 346:13 347:24 140:5 145:15 162:10 322:14 219:11 223:17 224:5 23:12 37:5 342:2 345:11 346:13 347:24 understands 344:11 195:23 196:14 197:68 48:6 49:24 53:10 trut 221:11 trut 221:11 354:13 345:24 understood 146:19 226:20 17:22:16 235:2 129:19 129:21 251:9 32:17 88:67 115:16 371:16 381:16 383:1 undocumented 93:25 undocumented 93:25 128:22 24:011,22 </td <td>133:7 185:7 202:3 210:14 239:3 297:12 299:23 378:3 timing 157:2 169:2 tissue 47:19 345:11 368:10,11 today 7:13,20 9:4 11:8 11:10,14 12:10 15:8</td> <td>343:3 345:6 352:18 totalling 317:16 totally 21:1 318:25 touch 133:14 229:10 300:1,2 touched 118:19 tough 13:17 92:13 97:9 99:2 195:23 248:17 312:3 329:16</td> <td>161:21 175:3 186:4 192:5,9 208:10 211:23 246:23 319:10 tries 172:2 trigger 186:23 364:12,16 triggered 187:3,19 trip 39:20 trivial 252:8 trouble 212:20 216:17</td> <td>240:24 247:12 259:2,3 275:10 281:25 282:1 287:21,25 294:11,12 297:12 298:12,13,15 299:22 300:5 306:13 306:14 307:7,8,13 311:15 314:4,8 326:9 328:8,9 340:14,15 353:21 366:13 367:8</td> <td>83:22,23 84:6 90:12 97:13 101:20 108:14 113:3 114:3 121:15 129:18 130:19 137:8 153:2 166:19 169:20 175:4 195:24 205:14 210:22 220:25 224:7 224:20 248:9 249:7 252:6,19 259:9 279:13 279:22 280:10 281:5</td>	133:7 185:7 202:3 210:14 239:3 297:12 299:23 378:3 timing 157:2 169:2 tissue 47:19 345:11 368:10,11 today 7:13,20 9:4 11:8 11:10,14 12:10 15:8	343:3 345:6 352:18 totalling 317:16 totally 21:1 318:25 touch 133:14 229:10 300:1,2 touched 118:19 tough 13:17 92:13 97:9 99:2 195:23 248:17 312:3 329:16	161:21 175:3 186:4 192:5,9 208:10 211:23 246:23 319:10 tries 172:2 trigger 186:23 364:12,16 triggered 187:3,19 trip 39:20 trivial 252:8 trouble 212:20 216:17	240:24 247:12 259:2,3 275:10 281:25 282:1 287:21,25 294:11,12 297:12 298:12,13,15 299:22 300:5 306:13 306:14 307:7,8,13 311:15 314:4,8 326:9 328:8,9 340:14,15 353:21 366:13 367:8	83:22,23 84:6 90:12 97:13 101:20 108:14 113:3 114:3 121:15 129:18 130:19 137:8 153:2 166:19 169:20 175:4 195:24 205:14 210:22 220:25 224:7 224:20 248:9 249:7 252:6,19 259:9 279:13 279:22 280:10 281:5
76:24 78:6 81:16,17 82:16,25 86:2 89:12 89:16 96:23 102:11,18 1064 111:24 120:7 127:21 132:18,25 127:21 132:12 263:9,10,15 126:12,15,16 47:4,5 127:21 132:12 263:9,10,15 127:21 132:12 263:9,10,15 127:21 132:12 263:9,10,15 126:22 14 127:21 132:12 263:9,10,15 127:21 132:12 263:9,10,15 127:21 132:12 263:9,10,15 127:21 132:12 263:9,10,15 126:12 125:12 127:11 123:17 224:5 126:12 149:13 120 129:11 223:17 224:5 126:12 149:13 120 129:11 223:17 224:5 120:16 129:12 121:1 139:19 193:9 195:21 140:5 145:15 162:10 126:22 14 199:39 195:21 141:19 189:23 190:4 195:23 196:14 197:6,8 126:22 176:1,14 197:10 229:2,16 235:2 126:20 272:20 177:20 178:7 191:7 126:20 176:1,14 129:20 213:9 222:16 127:11 172:31 177:19 126:12 176:11 381:16 383:11 1138:12 24:13 58:5 137:16 381:16 381:11 346:13 347:24 199:20 213:9 222:16 127:11 172:31 177:19 127:11 172:31 177:19 126:12 176:11 14 139:19 39:10 310:1,6 312:4 139:19 321:2 322:9 139:19 321:2 322:9 139:321:2 322:9 139:32	133:7 185:7 202:3 210:14 239:3 297:12 299:23 378:3 timing 157:2 169:2 tissue 47:19 345:11 368:10,11 today 7:13,20 9:4 11:8 11:10,14 12:10 15:8 20:15 22:25 25:22	343:3 345:6 352:18 totalling 317:16 totally 21:1 318:25 touch 133:14 229:10 300:1,2 touched 118:19 tough 13:17 92:13 97:9 99:2 195:23 248:17 312:3 329:16 tougher 346:10	161:21 175:3 186:4 192:5,9 208:10 211:23 246:23 319:10 tries 172:2 trigger 186:23 364:12,16 triggered 187:3,19 trip 39:20 trivial 252:8 trouble 212:20 216:17 trout 47:23	240:24 247:12 259:2,3 275:10 281:25 282:1 287:21,25 294:11,12 297:12 298:12,13,15 299:22 300:5 306:13 306:14 307:7,8,13 311:15 314:4,8 326:9 328:8,9 340:14,15 353:21 366:13 367:8 368:16 369:14,15	83:22,23 84:6 90:12 97:13 101:20 108:14 113:3 114:3 121:15 129:18 130:19 137:8 153:2 166:19 169:20 175:4 195:24 205:14 210:22 220:25 224:7 224:20 248:9 249:7 252:6,19 259:9 279:13 279:22 280:10 281:5 303:1 306:17 344:5
76:24 78:6 81:16,17236:6138:22 198:16 272:1tying 68:14359:8,9 360:2 382:289:16 96:23 102:11,18touris 284:23358:6 362:13 371:8type 58:23 63:18,21understanding 9:5106:4 111:24 120:7town 284:23 376:2truly 96:3,11 270:10150:12 152:12 155:6160:9 192:3 255:6121:2 124:15 127:1,19toxic 46:12,15,16 47:4,5272:5 284:22167:14,18 176:25160:9 192:3 255:6121:2 124:15 127:1,19toxic 46:12,15,16 47:4,5272:5 284:22167:14,18 176:25140:9 192:3 255:6121:2 124:15 127:1,19toxicity 24:3,23,25 31:6322:14203:16 209:14 213:20232:2 234:17 235:18345:24140:5 145:15 162:10322:14203:16 209:14 213:20236:2 0337:5 342:2understands 344:11195:23 196:14 197:6,848:6 49:24 53:10Trustees 300:13345:11 346:13 347:24understeed 233:4195:23 196:14 197:6,8121:9 189:23 190:4try 12:1 71:17 80:10354:19 361:8 362:4,8understeed 233:4195:22 240:11,22263:20,02 272:2085:17 88:6,7 115:16371:16 381:16 383:11undo 159:15254:8 261:18,19 262:3323:19 374:20 376:25177:20 178:7 191:758:20 59:9,19,24understeed 93:25254:8 261:18,19 262:3323:19 374:20 376:25177:20 178:7 191:758:20 59:9,19,24understeed 93:25263:20,20 272:20323:19 374:20 376:25177:20 178:7 191:758:20 59:9,19,24understeed 93:25254:8 261:18,19 262:3323:19 374:20 376:25177:20 178:7 191:758:20 59:9,19,24understeed 23:21 18:15231:5 3 38:15,23,25 <t< td=""><td>133:7 185:7 202:3 210:14 239:3 297:12 299:23 378:3 timing 157:2 169:2 tissue 47:19 345:11 368:10,11 today 7:13,20 9:4 11:8 11:10,14 12:10 15:8 20:15 22:25 25:22 26:4 28:19 29:9 32:21</td><td>343:3 345:6 352:18 totalling 317:16 totally 21:1 318:25 touch 133:14 229:10 300:1,2 touched 118:19 tough 13:17 92:13 97:9 99:2 195:23 248:17 312:3 329:16 tougher 346:10 toughest 329:17</td><td>161:21 175:3 186:4 192:5,9 208:10 211:23 246:23 319:10 tries 172:2 trigger 186:23 364:12,16 triggered 187:3,19 trip 39:20 trivial 252:8 trouble 212:20 216:17 trout 47:23 true 38:18 44:14 71:13</td><td>240:24 247:12 259:2,3 275:10 281:25 282:1 287:21,25 294:11,12 297:12 298:12,13,15 299:22 300:5 306:13 306:14 307:7,8,13 311:15 314:4,8 326:9 328:8,9 340:14,15 353:21 366:13 367:8 368:16 369:14,15 379:10 380:21</td><td>83:22,23 84:6 90:12 97:13 101:20 108:14 113:3 114:3 121:15 129:18 130:19 137:8 153:2 166:19 169:20 175:4 195:24 205:14 210:22 220:25 224:7 224:20 248:9 249:7 252:6,19 259:9 279:13 279:22 280:10 281:5 303:1 306:17 344:5</td></t<>	133:7 185:7 202:3 210:14 239:3 297:12 299:23 378:3 timing 157:2 169:2 tissue 47:19 345:11 368:10,11 today 7:13,20 9:4 11:8 11:10,14 12:10 15:8 20:15 22:25 25:22 26:4 28:19 29:9 32:21	343:3 345:6 352:18 totalling 317:16 totally 21:1 318:25 touch 133:14 229:10 300:1,2 touched 118:19 tough 13:17 92:13 97:9 99:2 195:23 248:17 312:3 329:16 tougher 346:10 toughest 329:17	161:21 175:3 186:4 192:5,9 208:10 211:23 246:23 319:10 tries 172:2 trigger 186:23 364:12,16 triggered 187:3,19 trip 39:20 trivial 252:8 trouble 212:20 216:17 trout 47:23 true 38:18 44:14 71:13	240:24 247:12 259:2,3 275:10 281:25 282:1 287:21,25 294:11,12 297:12 298:12,13,15 299:22 300:5 306:13 306:14 307:7,8,13 311:15 314:4,8 326:9 328:8,9 340:14,15 353:21 366:13 367:8 368:16 369:14,15 379:10 380:21	83:22,23 84:6 90:12 97:13 101:20 108:14 113:3 114:3 121:15 129:18 130:19 137:8 153:2 166:19 169:20 175:4 195:24 205:14 210:22 220:25 224:7 224:20 248:9 249:7 252:6,19 259:9 279:13 279:22 280:10 281:5 303:1 306:17 344:5
82:16,25 86:2 89:12 tourist 284:23 358:6 362:13 371:8 type 58:23 63:18,21 understanding 9:5 106:4 111:24 120:7 town 284:23 376:2 truly 96:3,11 270:10 130:15 149:21 150:11 108:23 113:14 149:23 121:2 124:15 127:1,19 toxic 46:12,15,16 47:4,5 272:5 284:22 167:14,18 176:25 314:2 understanding 9:5 121:2 124:15 127:1,19 toxic 46:12,15,16 47:4,5 272:5 284:22 167:14,18 176:25 314:2 understanding 9:5 121:2 124:15 127:1 toxic 46:12,15,16 47:4,5 272:5 284:22 167:14,18 176:25 314:2 understanding 9:5 121:2 132:12 263:9,10,15 322:14 213:12 263:9,10,15 203:16 209:14 213:20 232:2 234:17 235:18 understanding 9:5 140:5 145:15 162:10 322:14 219:11 223:17 224:5 237:4 243:8,10 257:25 understanding 9:5 160:19 193:9 195:21 44:23 46:10,18 47:16 Trust ees 300:13 345:11 346:13 347:24 understanding 9:5 199:29 195:21 44:23 46:10,18 47:16 truth 221:11 345:11 346:13 347:24 understandie 9:25 286:2,22 240:11,22 263:20,20 272:20 85:17 88:6,7 115:16 371:16 381:16 383:1 understandie 93:25 245:12 246:8,10 250:9 323:19 374	133:7 185:7 202:3 210:14 239:3 297:12 299:23 378:3 timing 157:2 169:2 tissue 47:19 345:11 368:10,11 today 7:13,20 9:4 11:8 11:10,14 12:10 15:8 20:15 22:25 25:22 26:4 28:19 29:9 32:21 37:15 63:16 66:20	343:3 345:6 352:18 totalling 317:16 totally 21:1 318:25 touch 133:14 229:10 300:1,2 touched 118:19 tough 13:17 92:13 97:9 99:2 195:23 248:17 312:3 329:16 tougher 346:10 toughest 329:17 tour 109:5,10 128:1	161:21 175:3 186:4 192:5,9 208:10 211:23 246:23 319:10 tries 172:2 trigger 186:23 364:12,16 triggered 187:3,19 trip 39:20 trivial 252:8 trouble 212:20 216:17 trout 47:23 true 38:18 44:14 71:13 71:15 119:24 124:3	240:24 247:12 259:2,3 275:10 281:25 282:1 287:21,25 294:11,12 297:12 298:12,13,15 299:22 300:5 306:13 306:14 307:7,8,13 311:15 314:4,8 326:9 328:8,9 340:14,15 353:21 366:13 367:8 368:16 369:14,15 379:10 380:21	83:22,23 84:6 90:12 97:13 101:20 108:14 113:3 114:3 121:15 129:18 130:19 137:8 153:2 166:19 169:20 175:4 195:24 205:14 210:22 220:25 224:7 224:20 248:9 249:7 252:6,19 259:9 279:13 279:22 280:10 281:5 303:1 306:17 344:5 350:17 352:4,8,25
89:16 96:23 102:11,18 106:4 111:24 120:7 121:2 124:15 127:1,19 127:21 132:18,25 121:2 124:15 127:1,19 127:21 132:18,25 124:15 162:10 140:5 145:15 162:10 140:5 145:15 162:10 140:5 145:15 162:10 160:193:21 12 125:12 155:10 177:1 180:3,9,15 120:15 149:21 150:11 150:12 152:12 155:6 167:14,18 176:25 177:1 180:3,9,15 232:2 234:17 235:18 237:4 243:8,10 257:25 162:18,21 163:2,15 160:9 192:3 255:6 167:14,18 176:25 177:1 180:3,9,15 232:2 234:17 235:18 237:4 243:8,10 257:25 162:18,21 163:2,15 160:9 192:3 255:6 167:14,18 176:25 177:1 180:3,9,15 232:2 234:17 235:18 237:4 243:8,10 257:25 162:18,21 163:2,15 161:19 193:9 195:21 195:23 196:14 197:6,8 238:2,22 240:11,22 263:20,20 272:20 125:19 1223:17 224:5 263:20,20 272:20 125:19 1223:17 224:5 263:20,20 272:20 125:17 88:6,7 115:16 272:5 284:22 121:9 189:23 190:4 226:20 237:4 243:8,10 257:25 332:12 268:20 337:5 342:2 268:20 337:5 342:2 268:20 337:5 342:2 understands 344:11 385:24 understands 344:11 385:2 underused 233:4 undocumented 93:25 unesploding 76:6 unfair 162:11 181:19 unforunately 17:8 23:11 235:17 237:6 trading 359:25 Traditionally 286:12 342:24 359:4 363:14 32:11 325:17 237:6 trading 359:25 Traditionally 286:12 training 111:25 112:3 386:3 44:8 44:8 44:8 44:8 44:8 44:8 44:8 44	133:7 185:7 202:3 210:14 239:3 297:12 299:23 378:3 timing 157:2 169:2 tissue 47:19 345:11 368:10,11 today 7:13,20 9:4 11:8 11:10,14 12:10 15:8 20:15 22:25 25:22 26:4 28:19 29:9 32:21 37:15 63:16 66:20	343:3 345:6 352:18 totalling 317:16 totally 21:1 318:25 touch 133:14 229:10 300:1,2 touched 118:19 tough 13:17 92:13 97:9 99:2 195:23 248:17 312:3 329:16 tougher 346:10 toughest 329:17 tour 109:5,10 128:1	161:21 175:3 186:4 192:5,9 208:10 211:23 246:23 319:10 tries 172:2 trigger 186:23 364:12,16 triggered 187:3,19 trip 39:20 trivial 252:8 trouble 212:20 216:17 trout 47:23 true 38:18 44:14 71:13 71:15 119:24 124:3	240:24 247:12 259:2,3 275:10 281:25 282:1 287:21,25 294:11,12 297:12 298:12,13,15 299:22 300:5 306:13 306:14 307:7,8,13 311:15 314:4,8 326:9 328:8,9 340:14,15 353:21 366:13 367:8 368:16 369:14,15 379:10 380:21 two-foot 83:13	83:22,23 84:6 90:12 97:13 101:20 108:14 113:3 114:3 121:15 129:18 130:19 137:8 153:2 166:19 169:20 175:4 195:24 205:14 210:22 220:25 224:7 224:20 248:9 249:7 252:6,19 259:9 279:13 279:22 280:10 281:5 303:1 306:17 344:5 350:17 352:4,8,25 353:2 355:2 356:2
106:4 111:24 120:7 121:2 124:15 127:1,19 127:21 132:18,25town 284:23 376:2 toxic 46:12,15,16 47:4,5truly 96:3,11 270:10 272:5 284:22150:12 152:12 155:6 167:14,18 176:25160:9 192:3 255:6 314:2127:21 132:18,25 140:5 145:15 162:1047:16,17 121:11,13,14 213:12 263:9,10,15trust 72:8,9 203:15,15 203:16 209:14 213:20 232:12177:1 180:3,9,15 232:22 234:17 235:18 232:22 234:17 235:18understands 344:11 385:24140:5 145:15 162:10 162:18,21 163:2,15123:12 263:9,10,15 322:14219:11 223:17 224:5 332:12237:4 243:8,10 257:25 268:20 337:5 342:2understood 146:19 226:20180:19 193:9 195:21 195:23 196:14 197:6,8 195:23 196:14 197:6,848:6 49:24 53:10 121:9 189:23 190:4 263:20,20 272:20Trustees 300:13 85:17 88:6,7 115:16345:11 346:13 347:24 348:17 352:16 354:14 348:17 352:16 354:14 354:19 361:8 362:4,8 371:16 381:16 383:1 trust 221:11underused 233:4 underused 233:4 underused 233:4245:12 246:8,10 250:9 254:8 261:18,19 262:3 309:10 310:1,6 312:4track 98:12 159:1 251:9 323:19 374:20 376:25 tracking 344:17 trage 39:19 374:20 376:25 tracking 344:17165:22 176:1,14 199:20 213:9 222:16 240:9 246:18 248:16 233:11 235:17 237:6underused 93:25 unenforceable 34:2 unexploding 76:6 unfair 162:11 181:19 unfortunately 17:8 23:21 39:19 103:15309:10 310:1,6 312:4 313:5 318:15,23,25trading 359:25 Traditionally 286:12 Traditionally 286:12 Traditionally 286:12 Traditionally 286:12 Traditionally 286:12 Traditionally 286:12 Traditionally 286:12 Traditionally 286:12 Traditionally 286:12 Traditionally 286:12264:4 303:17 319:9 342:24 359:4 363:14 386:	133:7 185:7 202:3 210:14 239:3 297:12 299:23 378:3 timing 157:2 169:2 tissue 47:19 345:11 368:10,11 today 7:13,20 9:4 11:8 11:10,14 12:10 15:8 20:15 22:25 25:22 26:4 28:19 29:9 32:21 37:15 63:16 66:20 76:24 78:6 81:16,17 82:16,25 86:2 89:12	343:3 345:6 352:18 totalling 317:16 totally 21:1 318:25 touch 133:14 229:10 300:1,2 touched 118:19 tough 13:17 92:13 97:9 99:2 195:23 248:17 312:3 329:16 tougher 346:10 toughest 329:17 tour 109:5,10 128:1 236:6	161:21 175:3 186:4 192:5,9 208:10 211:23 246:23 319:10 tries 172:2 trigger 186:23 364:12,16 triggered 187:3,19 trip 39:20 trivial 252:8 trouble 212:20 216:17 trout 47:23 true 38:18 44:14 71:13 71:15 119:24 124:3 138:22 198:16 272:1	240:24 247:12 259:2,3 275:10 281:25 282:1 287:21,25 294:11,12 297:12 298:12,13,15 299:22 300:5 306:13 306:14 307:7,8,13 311:15 314:4,8 326:9 328:8,9 340:14,15 353:21 366:13 367:8 368:16 369:14,15 379:10 380:21 two-foot 83:13 tying 68:14	83:22,23 84:6 90:12 97:13 101:20 108:14 113:3 114:3 121:15 129:18 130:19 137:8 153:2 166:19 169:20 175:4 195:24 205:14 210:22 220:25 224:7 224:20 248:9 249:7 252:6,19 259:9 279:13 279:22 280:10 281:5 303:1 306:17 344:5 350:17 352:4,8,25 353:2 355:2 356:2 359:8,9 360:2 382:2
121:2 124:15 127:1,19toxic 46:12,15,16 47:4,5272:5 284:22167:14,18 176:25314:2127:21 132:18,2547:16,17 121:11,13,14trust 72:8,9 203:15,15177:1 180:3,9,15314:2134:23 135:2,9 139:12213:12 263:9,10,15322:14203:16 209:14 213:20232:2 234:17 235:18385:24140:5 145:15 162:10322:14219:11 223:17 224:5237:4 243:8,10 257:25385:24understands 344:11199:9 195:2144:23 46:10,18 47:1,6Trustees 300:13345:11 346:13 347:24understood 146:19195:23 196:14 197:6,848:6 49:24 53:10trut 121:11348:17 352:16 354:14understood 146:19197:10 229:2,16 235:2121:9 189:23 190:4263:20,20 272:20try 12:1 71:17 80:10354:19 361:8 362:4,8undocumented 93:25245:12 246:8,10 250:9track 98:12 159:1 251:9155:12 16:52 176:1,14371:16 381:16 383:1unenforceable 34:2unexploding 76:6254:8 261:18,19 262:3323:19 374:20 376:25177:20 178:7 191:758:20 59:9,19,24unfair 162:11 181:19unfair 162:11 181:19309:10 310:1,6 312:4track 93:12240:9 246:18 248:16233:11 235:17 237:623:21 39:19 103:15313:5 318:15,23,25trading 359:25264:4 303:17 319:9342:24 359:4 363:1444:8unfounded 307:22319:8 321:2 322:9training 111:25 112:3386:344:844:8unfounded 307:22	133:7 185:7 202:3 210:14 239:3 297:12 299:23 378:3 timing 157:2 169:2 tissue 47:19 345:11 368:10,11 today 7:13,20 9:4 11:8 11:10,14 12:10 15:8 20:15 22:25 25:22 26:4 28:19 29:9 32:21 37:15 63:16 66:20 76:24 78:6 81:16,17 82:16,25 86:2 89:12	343:3 345:6 352:18 totalling 317:16 totally 21:1 318:25 touch 133:14 229:10 300:1,2 touched 118:19 tough 13:17 92:13 97:9 99:2 195:23 248:17 312:3 329:16 tougher 346:10 toughest 329:17 tour 109:5,10 128:1 236:6 tourist 284:23	161:21 175:3 186:4 192:5,9 208:10 211:23 246:23 319:10 tries 172:2 trigger 186:23 364:12,16 triggered 187:3,19 trip 39:20 trivial 252:8 trouble 212:20 216:17 trout 47:23 true 38:18 44:14 71:13 71:15 119:24 124:3 138:22 198:16 272:1 358:6 362:13 371:8	240:24 247:12 259:2,3 275:10 281:25 282:1 287:21,25 294:11,12 297:12 298:12,13,15 299:22 300:5 306:13 306:14 307:7,8,13 311:15 314:4,8 326:9 328:8,9 340:14,15 353:21 366:13 367:8 368:16 369:14,15 379:10 380:21 two-foot 83:13 tying 68:14 type 58:23 63:18,21	83:22,23 84:6 90:12 97:13 101:20 108:14 113:3 114:3 121:15 129:18 130:19 137:8 153:2 166:19 169:20 175:4 195:24 205:14 210:22 220:25 224:7 224:20 248:9 249:7 252:6,19 259:9 279:13 279:22 280:10 281:5 303:1 306:17 344:5 350:17 352:4,8,25 353:2 355:2 356:2 359:8,9 360:2 382:2 understanding 9:5
127:21 132:18,2547:16,17 121:11,13,14trust 72:8,9 203:15,15177:1 180:3,9,15understands 344:11134:23 135:2,9 139:12213:12 263:9,10,15322:14203:16 209:14 213:20232:2 234:17 235:18385:24140:5 145:15 162:10322:14213:12 263:9,10,15332:12268:20 337:5 342:2understands 344:11162:18,21 163:2,1544:23 46:10,18 47:1,6Trustees 300:13345:11 346:13 347:24understood 146:19195:23 196:14 197:6,848:6 49:24 53:10Trustees 300:13345:11 346:13 347:24understood 146:19197:10 229:2,16 235:2121:9 189:23 190:4truth 221:11348:17 352:16 354:14undo 159:15197:10 229:2,16 235:2219:11 251:285:17 88:6,7 115:16371:16 381:16 383:1undocumented 93:25245:12 246:8,10 250:9track 98:12 159:1 251:9323:19 374:20 376:25177:20 178:7 191:758:20 59:9,19,24unexploding 76:6254:8 261:18,19 262:3323:19 374:20 376:25177:20 178:7 191:758:20 59:9,19,24unfair 162:11 181:19309:10 310:1,6 312:4track 98:12 159:1224:9 246:18 248:16233:11 235:17 237:623:21 39:19 103:15313:5 318:15,23,25trading 359:25264:4 303:17 319:923:11 235:17 237:6134:8 247:12 252:1319:8 321:2 322:9trading 359:25264:4 303:17 319:9134:8 247:12 252:1134:8 247:12 252:1319:8 321:2 322:9trading 359:25264:4 303:17 319:9134:8 247:12 252:1134:8 247:12 252:1319:8 321:2 322:9trading 359:25264:4 303:17 319:9134:8 247:12 252:1254:1 <t< td=""><td>133:7 185:7 202:3 210:14 239:3 297:12 299:23 378:3 timing 157:2 169:2 tissue 47:19 345:11 368:10,11 today 7:13,20 9:4 11:8 11:10,14 12:10 15:8 20:15 22:25 25:22 26:4 28:19 29:9 32:21 37:15 63:16 66:20 76:24 78:6 81:16,17 82:16,25 86:2 89:12 89:16 96:23 102:11,18</td><td>343:3 345:6 352:18 totalling 317:16 totally 21:1 318:25 touch 133:14 229:10 300:1,2 touched 118:19 tough 13:17 92:13 97:9 99:2 195:23 248:17 312:3 329:16 toughest 329:17 tour 109:5,10 128:1 236:6 tourist 284:23 tours 127:20,20,24</td><td>161:21 175:3 186:4 192:5,9 208:10 211:23 246:23 319:10 tries 172:2 trigger 186:23 364:12,16 triggered 187:3,19 trip 39:20 trivial 252:8 trouble 212:20 216:17 trout 47:23 true 38:18 44:14 71:13 71:15 119:24 124:3 138:22 198:16 272:1 358:6 362:13 371:8 382:3,4 388:11</td><td>240:24 247:12 259:2,3 275:10 281:25 282:1 287:21,25 294:11,12 297:12 298:12,13,15 299:22 300:5 306:13 306:14 307:7,8,13 311:15 314:4,8 326:9 328:8,9 340:14,15 353:21 366:13 367:8 368:16 369:14,15 379:10 380:21 two-foot 83:13 tying 68:14 type 58:23 63:18,21 130:15 149:21 150:11</td><td>83:22,23 84:6 90:12 97:13 101:20 108:14 113:3 114:3 121:15 129:18 130:19 137:8 153:2 166:19 169:20 175:4 195:24 205:14 210:22 220:25 224:7 224:20 248:9 249:7 252:6,19 259:9 279:13 279:22 280:10 281:5 303:1 306:17 344:5 350:17 352:4,8,25 353:2 355:2 356:2 359:8,9 360:2 382:2 understanding 9:5 108:23 113:14 149:23</td></t<>	133:7 185:7 202:3 210:14 239:3 297:12 299:23 378:3 timing 157:2 169:2 tissue 47:19 345:11 368:10,11 today 7:13,20 9:4 11:8 11:10,14 12:10 15:8 20:15 22:25 25:22 26:4 28:19 29:9 32:21 37:15 63:16 66:20 76:24 78:6 81:16,17 82:16,25 86:2 89:12 89:16 96:23 102:11,18	343:3 345:6 352:18 totalling 317:16 totally 21:1 318:25 touch 133:14 229:10 300:1,2 touched 118:19 tough 13:17 92:13 97:9 99:2 195:23 248:17 312:3 329:16 toughest 329:17 tour 109:5,10 128:1 236:6 tourist 284:23 tours 127:20,20,24	161:21 175:3 186:4 192:5,9 208:10 211:23 246:23 319:10 tries 172:2 trigger 186:23 364:12,16 triggered 187:3,19 trip 39:20 trivial 252:8 trouble 212:20 216:17 trout 47:23 true 38:18 44:14 71:13 71:15 119:24 124:3 138:22 198:16 272:1 358:6 362:13 371:8 382:3,4 388:11	240:24 247:12 259:2,3 275:10 281:25 282:1 287:21,25 294:11,12 297:12 298:12,13,15 299:22 300:5 306:13 306:14 307:7,8,13 311:15 314:4,8 326:9 328:8,9 340:14,15 353:21 366:13 367:8 368:16 369:14,15 379:10 380:21 two-foot 83:13 tying 68:14 type 58:23 63:18,21 130:15 149:21 150:11	83:22,23 84:6 90:12 97:13 101:20 108:14 113:3 114:3 121:15 129:18 130:19 137:8 153:2 166:19 169:20 175:4 195:24 205:14 210:22 220:25 224:7 224:20 248:9 249:7 252:6,19 259:9 279:13 279:22 280:10 281:5 303:1 306:17 344:5 350:17 352:4,8,25 353:2 355:2 356:2 359:8,9 360:2 382:2 understanding 9:5 108:23 113:14 149:23
134:23135:2,9139:12213:12263:9,10,15203:16209:14213:20232:2234:17235:18385:24140:5145:15162:10322:14219:11223:17224:5237:4243:8,10257:25understood146:19162:18,21163:2,15toxicity24:3,23,2531:6332:12268:20337:5342:2345:11346:13347:24195:23196:14197:6,848:649:2453:10truth221:11348:17352:16354:14197:10229:2,16235:2211:9189:23190:4try121:17171:780:10354:19361:8362:4,8245:12246:8,10250:2263:20,20272:2085:1786:67115:16371:16381:16383:1underused93:25254:8261:18,19262:3374:20376:25177:20178:7191:758:2059:9,19,24unfair162:11181:19309:10310:1,6312:4359:25264:4303:17319:923:1123:17237:6134:8247:1225:1319:8321:2322:9342:24359:4363:1444:844:8unforunded307:22	133:7 185:7 202:3 210:14 239:3 297:12 299:23 378:3 timing 157:2 169:2 tissue 47:19 345:11 368:10,11 today 7:13,20 9:4 11:8 11:10,14 12:10 15:8 20:15 22:25 25:22 26:4 28:19 29:9 32:21 37:15 63:16 66:20 76:24 78:6 81:16,17 82:16,25 86:2 89:12 89:16 96:23 102:11,18 106:4 111:24 120:7	343:3 345:6 352:18 totalling 317:16 totally 21:1 318:25 touch 133:14 229:10 300:1,2 touched 118:19 tough 13:17 92:13 97:9 99:2 195:23 248:17 312:3 329:16 tougher 346:10 toughest 329:17 tour 109:5,10 128:1 236:6 tourist 284:23 tours 127:20,20,24 town 284:23 376:2	161:21 175:3 186:4 192:5,9 208:10 211:23 246:23 319:10 tries 172:2 trigger 186:23 364:12,16 triggered 187:3,19 trip 39:20 trivial 252:8 trouble 212:20 216:17 trout 47:23 true 38:18 44:14 71:13 71:15 119:24 124:3 138:22 198:16 272:1 358:6 362:13 371:8 382:3,4 388:11 truly 96:3,11 270:10	240:24 247:12 259:2,3 275:10 281:25 282:1 287:21,25 294:11,12 297:12 298:12,13,15 299:22 300:5 306:13 306:14 307:7,8,13 311:15 314:4,8 326:9 328:8,9 340:14,15 353:21 366:13 367:8 368:16 369:14,15 379:10 380:21 two-foot 83:13 tying 68:14 type 58:23 63:18,21 130:15 149:21 150:11 150:12 152:12 155:6	83:22,23 84:6 90:12 97:13 101:20 108:14 113:3 114:3 121:15 129:18 130:19 137:8 153:2 166:19 169:20 175:4 195:24 205:14 210:22 220:25 224:7 224:20 248:9 249:7 252:6,19 259:9 279:13 279:22 280:10 281:5 303:1 306:17 344:5 350:17 352:4,8,25 353:2 355:2 356:2 359:8,9 360:2 382:2 understanding 9:5 108:23 113:14 149:23 160:9 192:3 255:6
140:5 145:15 162:10 162:18,21 163:2,15 180:19 193:9 195:21322:14 toxicity 24:3,23,25 31:6 44:23 46:10,18 47:1,6 44:23 46:10,18 47:1,6219:11 223:17 224:5 332:12237:4 243:8,10 257:25 268:20 337:5 342:2 345:11 346:13 347:24 345:11 346:13 347:24 348:17 352:16 354:14 undo 159:15 underused 233:4 undo 159:15 undocumented 93:25 unexploding 76:6 unfair 162:11 81:19 286:9,11 287:6 300:13 309:10 310:1,6 312:4 313:5 318:15,23,25322:14 toxicity 24:3,23,25 31:6 44:23 46:10,18 47:1,6 track 98:12 159:1 251:9 323:19 374:20 376:25219:11 223:17 224:5 322:17 117 80:10 165:22 176:1,14237:4 243:8,10 257:25 268:20 337:5 342:2 345:11 346:13 347:24 348:17 352:16 354:14 371:16 381:16 383:1 types 30:12 44:13 58:5 track 98:12 159:1 251:9 323:19 374:20 376:25 track 98:12 159:1 251:9 309:10 310:1,6 312:4 313:5 318:15,23,25 319:8 321:2 322:9 319:8 321:2 322:9 319:8 321:2 322:9 Traditionally 286:12 Traditionally 286:12 Traditional	133:7 185:7 202:3 210:14 239:3 297:12 299:23 378:3 timing 157:2 169:2 tissue 47:19 345:11 368:10,11 today 7:13,20 9:4 11:8 11:10,14 12:10 15:8 20:15 22:25 25:22 26:4 28:19 29:9 32:21 37:15 63:16 66:20 76:24 78:6 81:16,17 82:16,25 86:2 89:12 89:16 96:23 102:11,18 106:4 111:24 120:7 121:2 124:15 127:1,19	343:3 345:6 352:18 totalling 317:16 totally 21:1 318:25 touch 133:14 229:10 300:1,2 touched 118:19 tough 13:17 92:13 97:9 99:2 195:23 248:17 312:3 329:16 tougher 346:10 toughest 329:17 tour 109:5,10 128:1 236:6 tourist 284:23 tours 127:20,20,24 town 284:23 376:2 toxic 46:12,15,16 47:4,5	161:21 175:3 186:4 192:5,9 208:10 211:23 246:23 319:10 tries 172:2 trigger 186:23 364:12,16 triggered 187:3,19 trivial 252:8 trouble 212:20 216:17 trout 47:23 true 38:18 44:14 71:13 71:15 119:24 124:3 138:22 198:16 272:1 358:6 362:13 371:8 382:3,4 388:11 truly 96:3,11 270:10 272:5 284:22	240:24 247:12 259:2,3 275:10 281:25 282:1 287:21,25 294:11,12 297:12 298:12,13,15 299:22 300:5 306:13 306:14 307:7,8,13 311:15 314:4,8 326:9 328:8,9 340:14,15 353:21 366:13 367:8 368:16 369:14,15 379:10 380:21 two-foot 83:13 tying 68:14 type 58:23 63:18,21 130:15 149:21 150:11 150:12 152:12 155:6 167:14,18 176:25	83:22,23 84:6 90:12 97:13 101:20 108:14 113:3 114:3 121:15 129:18 130:19 137:8 153:2 166:19 169:20 175:4 195:24 205:14 210:22 220:25 224:7 224:20 248:9 249:7 252:6,19 259:9 279:13 279:22 280:10 281:5 303:1 306:17 344:5 350:17 352:4,8,25 353:2 355:2 356:2 359:8,9 360:2 382:2 understanding 9:5 108:23 113:14 149:23 160:9 192:3 255:6 314:2
162:18,21 163:2,15toxicity 24:3,23,25 31:6332:12268:20 337:5 342:2226:20180:19 193:9 195:2144:23 46:10,18 47:1,6Trustees 300:13345:11 346:13 347:24underused 233:4195:23 196:14 197:6,848:6 49:24 53:10truth 221:11348:17 352:16 354:14underused 233:4197:10 229:2,16 235:2121:9 189:23 190:4truth 221:11354:19 361:8 362:4,8underused 233:4245:12 246:8,10 250:9263:20,20 272:2085:17 88:6,7 115:16371:16 381:16 383:1underused 93:25254:8 261:18,19 262:3323:19 374:20 376:25165:22 176:1,14types 30:12 44:13 58:5underused 93:25254:8 261:18,19 262:3323:19 374:20 376:25177:20 178:7 191:758:20 59:9,19,24unfair 162:11 181:19286:9,11 287:6 300:13track ng 344:17199:20 213:9 222:16127:11 172:3 177:19unfair 162:11 181:19309:10 310:1,6 312:4trading 359:25264:4 303:17 319:923:11 235:17 237:6typical 63:22134:8 247:12 252:1319:8 321:2 322:9Traditionally 286:12342:24 359:4 363:1444:8unfounded 307:22	133:7 185:7 202:3 210:14 239:3 297:12 299:23 378:3 timing 157:2 169:2 tissue 47:19 345:11 368:10,11 today 7:13,20 9:4 11:8 11:10,14 12:10 15:8 20:15 22:25 25:22 26:4 28:19 29:9 32:21 37:15 63:16 66:20 76:24 78:6 81:16,17 82:16,25 86:2 89:12 89:16 96:23 102:11,18 106:4 111:24 120:7 121:2 124:15 127:1,19 127:21 132:18,25	343:3 345:6 352:18 totalling 317:16 totally 21:1 318:25 touch 133:14 229:10 300:1,2 touched 118:19 tough 13:17 92:13 97:9 99:2 195:23 248:17 312:3 329:16 tougher 346:10 toughest 329:17 tour 109:5,10 128:1 236:6 tourist 284:23 tours 127:20,20,24 town 284:23 376:2 toxic 46:12,15,16 47:4,5 47:16,17 121:11,13,14	161:21 175:3 186:4 192:5,9 208:10 211:23 246:23 319:10 tries 172:2 trigger 186:23 364:12,16 triggered 187:3,19 trip 39:20 trivial 252:8 trouble 212:20 216:17 trout 47:23 true 38:18 44:14 71:13 71:15 119:24 124:3 138:22 198:16 272:1 358:6 362:13 371:8 382:3,4 388:11 truly 96:3,11 270:10 272:5 284:22 trust 72:8,9 203:15,15	240:24 247:12 259:2,3 275:10 281:25 282:1 287:21,25 294:11,12 297:12 298:12,13,15 299:22 300:5 306:13 306:14 307:7,8,13 311:15 314:4,8 326:9 328:8,9 340:14,15 353:21 366:13 367:8 368:16 369:14,15 379:10 380:21 two-foot 83:13 tying 68:14 type 58:23 63:18,21 130:15 149:21 150:11 150:12 152:12 155:6 167:14,18 176:25 177:1 180:3,9,15	83:22,23 84:6 90:12 97:13 101:20 108:14 113:3 114:3 121:15 129:18 130:19 137:8 153:2 166:19 169:20 175:4 195:24 205:14 210:22 220:25 224:7 224:20 248:9 249:7 252:6,19 259:9 279:13 279:22 280:10 281:5 303:1 306:17 344:5 350:17 352:4,8,25 353:2 355:2 356:2 359:8,9 360:2 382:2 understanding 9:5 108:23 113:14 149:23 160:9 192:3 255:6 314:2 understands 344:11
162:18,21 163:2,15toxicity 24:3,23,25 31:6332:12268:20 337:5 342:2226:20180:19 193:9 195:2144:23 46:10,18 47:1,6Trustees 300:13345:11 346:13 347:24underused 233:4195:23 196:14 197:6,848:6 49:24 53:10truth 221:11348:17 352:16 354:14undo 159:15197:10 229:2,16 235:2121:9 189:23 190:4try 12:1 71:17 80:10354:19 361:8 362:4,8undo 159:15238:2,22 240:11,22263:20,20 272:2085:17 88:6,7 115:16371:16 381:16 383:1undocumented 93:25245:12 246:8,10 250:9track 98:12 159:1 251:9165:22 176:1,14types 30:12 44:13 58:5unexploding 76:6254:8 261:18,19 262:3323:19 374:20 376:25177:20 178:7 191:758:20 59:9,19,24unfair 162:11 181:19309:10 310:1,6 312:4trade 56:3240:9 246:18 248:16233:11 235:17 237:623:21 39:19 103:15313:5 318:15,23,25trading 359:25264:4 303:17 319:9typical 63:22134:8 247:12 252:1319:8 321:2 322:9Traditionally 286:12342:24 359:4 363:1444:8unfounded 307:22	$\begin{array}{c} 133:7\ 185:7\ 202:3\\ 210:14\ 239:3\ 297:12\\ 299:23\ 378:3\\ \textbf{timing\ 157:2\ 169:2}\\ \textbf{tissue\ 47:19\ 345:11}\\ 368:10,11\\ \textbf{today\ 7:13,20\ 9:4\ 11:8}\\ 11:10,14\ 12:10\ 15:8\\ 20:15\ 22:25\ 25:22\\ 26:4\ 28:19\ 29:9\ 32:21\\ 37:15\ 63:16\ 66:20\\ 76:24\ 78:6\ 81:16,17\\ 82:16,25\ 86:2\ 89:12\\ 89:16\ 96:23\ 102:11,18\\ 106:4\ 111:24\ 120:7\\ 121:2\ 124:15\ 127:1,19\\ 127:21\ 132:18,25\\ 134:23\ 135:2,9\ 139:12\\ \end{array}$	343:3 345:6 352:18 totalling 317:16 totally 21:1 318:25 touch 133:14 229:10 300:1,2 touched 118:19 tough 13:17 92:13 97:9 99:2 195:23 248:17 312:3 329:16 tougher 346:10 toughest 329:17 tour 109:5,10 128:1 236:6 tourist 284:23 tours 127:20,20,24 town 284:23 376:2 toxic 46:12,15,16 47:4,5 47:16,17 121:11,13,14 213:12 263:9,10,15	161:21 175:3 186:4 192:5,9 208:10 211:23 246:23 319:10 tries 172:2 trigger 186:23 364:12,16 triggered 187:3,19 trip 39:20 trivial 252:8 trouble 212:20 216:17 trout 47:23 true 38:18 44:14 71:13 71:15 119:24 124:3 138:22 198:16 272:1 358:6 362:13 371:8 382:3,4 388:11 truly 96:3,11 270:10 272:5 284:22 trust 72:8,9 203:15,15 203:16 209:14 213:20	240:24 247:12 259:2,3 275:10 281:25 282:1 287:21,25 294:11,12 297:12 298:12,13,15 299:22 300:5 306:13 306:14 307:7,8,13 311:15 314:4,8 326:9 328:8,9 340:14,15 353:21 366:13 367:8 368:16 369:14,15 379:10 380:21 two-foot 83:13 tying 68:14 type 58:23 63:18,21 130:15 149:21 150:11 150:12 152:12 155:6 167:14,18 176:25 177:1 180:3,9,15 232:2 234:17 235:18	83:22,23 84:6 90:12 97:13 101:20 108:14 113:3 114:3 121:15 129:18 130:19 137:8 153:2 166:19 169:20 175:4 195:24 205:14 210:22 220:25 224:7 224:20 248:9 249:7 252:6,19 259:9 279:13 279:22 280:10 281:5 303:1 306:17 344:5 350:17 352:4,8,25 353:2 355:2 356:2 359:8,9 360:2 382:2 understanding 9:5 108:23 113:14 149:23 160:9 192:3 255:6 314:2 understands 344:11 385:24
180:19 193:9 195:2144:23 46:10,18 47:1,6Trustees 300:13345:11 346:13 347:24underused 233:4195:23 196:14 197:6,848:6 49:24 53:10truth 221:11348:17 352:16 354:14undo 159:15197:10 229:2,16 235:2121:9 189:23 190:4truth 221:11348:17 352:16 354:14undo 159:15238:2,22 240:11,22263:20,20 272:2085:17 88:6,7 115:16371:16 381:16 383:1undocumented 93:25245:12 246:8,10 250:9track 98:12 159:1 251:9165:22 176:1,14types 30:12 44:13 58:5undocumented 93:25254:8 261:18,19 262:3323:19 374:20 376:25177:20 178:7 191:758:20 59:9,19,24unfair 162:11 181:19286:9,11 287:6 300:13track 98:12199:20 213:9 222:16127:11 172:3 177:19unfair 162:11 181:19309:10 310:1,6 312:4trade 56:3240:9 246:18 248:16233:11 235:17 237:6typical 63:22319:8 321:2 322:9Traditionally 286:12342:24 359:4 363:14typical 63:22134:8 247:12 252:1319:8 321:2 322:9training 111:25 112:3386:344:8unfounded 307:22	$\begin{array}{c} 133:7\ 185:7\ 202:3\\ 210:14\ 239:3\ 297:12\\ 299:23\ 378:3\\ \textbf{timing\ 157:2\ 169:2}\\ \textbf{tissue\ 47:19\ 345:11}\\ 368:10,11\\ \textbf{today\ 7:13,20\ 9:4\ 11:8}\\ 11:10,14\ 12:10\ 15:8\\ 20:15\ 22:25\ 25:22\\ 26:4\ 28:19\ 29:9\ 32:21\\ 37:15\ 63:16\ 66:20\\ 76:24\ 78:6\ 81:16,17\\ 82:16,25\ 86:2\ 89:12\\ 89:16\ 96:23\ 102:11,18\\ 106:4\ 111:24\ 120:7\\ 121:2\ 124:15\ 127:1,19\\ 127:21\ 132:18,25\\ 134:23\ 135:2,9\ 139:12\\ \end{array}$	343:3 345:6 352:18 totalling 317:16 totally 21:1 318:25 touch 133:14 229:10 300:1,2 touched 118:19 tough 13:17 92:13 97:9 99:2 195:23 248:17 312:3 329:16 tougher 346:10 toughest 329:17 tour 109:5,10 128:1 236:6 tourist 284:23 tours 127:20,20,24 town 284:23 376:2 toxic 46:12,15,16 47:4,5 47:16,17 121:11,13,14 213:12 263:9,10,15	161:21 175:3 186:4 192:5,9 208:10 211:23 246:23 319:10 tries 172:2 trigger 186:23 364:12,16 triggered 187:3,19 trip 39:20 trivial 252:8 trouble 212:20 216:17 trout 47:23 true 38:18 44:14 71:13 71:15 119:24 124:3 138:22 198:16 272:1 358:6 362:13 371:8 382:3,4 388:11 truly 96:3,11 270:10 272:5 284:22 trust 72:8,9 203:15,15 203:16 209:14 213:20	240:24 247:12 259:2,3 275:10 281:25 282:1 287:21,25 294:11,12 297:12 298:12,13,15 299:22 300:5 306:13 306:14 307:7,8,13 311:15 314:4,8 326:9 328:8,9 340:14,15 353:21 366:13 367:8 368:16 369:14,15 379:10 380:21 two-foot 83:13 tying 68:14 type 58:23 63:18,21 130:15 149:21 150:11 150:12 152:12 155:6 167:14,18 176:25 177:1 180:3,9,15 232:2 234:17 235:18	83:22,23 84:6 90:12 97:13 101:20 108:14 113:3 114:3 121:15 129:18 130:19 137:8 153:2 166:19 169:20 175:4 195:24 205:14 210:22 220:25 224:7 224:20 248:9 249:7 252:6,19 259:9 279:13 279:22 280:10 281:5 303:1 306:17 344:5 350:17 352:4,8,25 353:2 355:2 356:2 359:8,9 360:2 382:2 understanding 9:5 108:23 113:14 149:23 160:9 192:3 255:6 314:2 understands 344:11 385:24
195:23 196:14 197:6,848:6 49:24 53:10truth 221:11348:17 352:16 354:14undo 159:15197:10 229:2,16 235:2121:9 189:23 190:4try 12:1 71:17 80:10354:19 361:8 362:4,8undocumented 93:25238:2,22 240:11,22263:20,20 272:2085:17 88:6,7 115:16371:16 381:16 383:1undocumented 93:25245:12 246:8,10 250:9track 98:12 159:1 251:9165:22 176:1,14types 30:12 44:13 58:5unexploding 76:6254:8 261:18,19 262:3323:19 374:20 376:25177:20 178:7 191:758:20 59:9,19,24unfair 162:11 181:19286:9,11 287:6 300:13tracking 344:17199:20 213:9 222:16127:11 172:3 177:19unfortunately 17:8309:10 310:1,6 312:4trade 56:3240:9 246:18 248:16233:11 235:17 237:623:21 39:19 103:15313:5 318:15,23,25trading 359:25264:4 303:17 319:9typical 63:22134:8 247:12 252:1319:8 321:2 322:9Traditionally 286:12342:24 359:4 363:1444:8unfounded 307:22	$\begin{array}{c} 133:7\ 185:7\ 202:3\\ 210:14\ 239:3\ 297:12\\ 299:23\ 378:3\\ \textbf{timing\ 157:2\ 169:2}\\ \textbf{tissue\ 47:19\ 345:11}\\ 368:10,11\\ \textbf{today\ 7:13,20\ 9:4\ 11:8}\\ 11:10,14\ 12:10\ 15:8\\ 20:15\ 22:25\ 25:22\\ 26:4\ 28:19\ 29:9\ 32:21\\ 37:15\ 63:16\ 66:20\\ 76:24\ 78:6\ 81:16,17\\ 82:16,25\ 86:2\ 89:12\\ 89:16\ 96:23\ 102:11,18\\ 106:4\ 111:24\ 120:7\\ 121:2\ 124:15\ 127:1,19\\ 127:21\ 132:18,25\\ 134:23\ 135:2,9\ 139:12\\ 140:5\ 145:15\ 162:10\\ \end{array}$	343:3 345:6 352:18 totalling 317:16 totally 21:1 318:25 touch 133:14 229:10 300:1,2 touched 118:19 tough 13:17 92:13 97:9 99:2 195:23 248:17 312:3 329:16 tougher 346:10 toughest 329:17 tour 109:5,10 128:1 236:6 tourist 284:23 tours 127:20,20,24 town 284:23 376:2 toxic 46:12,15,16 47:4,5 47:16,17 121:11,13,14 213:12 263:9,10,15 322:14	161:21 175:3 186:4 192:5,9 208:10 211:23 246:23 319:10 tries 172:2 trigger 186:23 364:12,16 triggered 187:3,19 trip 39:20 trivial 252:8 trouble 212:20 216:17 trout 47:23 true 38:18 44:14 71:13 71:15 119:24 124:3 138:22 198:16 272:1 358:6 362:13 371:8 382:3,4 388:11 truly 96:3,11 270:10 272:5 284:22 trust 72:8,9 203:15,15 203:16 209:14 213:20 219:11 223:17 224:5	240:24 247:12 259:2,3 275:10 281:25 282:1 287:21,25 294:11,12 297:12 298:12,13,15 299:22 300:5 306:13 306:14 307:7,8,13 311:15 314:4,8 326:9 328:8,9 340:14,15 353:21 366:13 367:8 368:16 369:14,15 379:10 380:21 two-foot 83:13 tying 68:14 type 58:23 63:18,21 130:15 149:21 150:11 150:12 152:12 155:6 167:14,18 176:25 177:1 180:3,9,15 232:2 234:17 235:18 237:4 243:8,10 257:25	83:22,23 84:6 90:12 97:13 101:20 108:14 113:3 114:3 121:15 129:18 130:19 137:8 153:2 166:19 169:20 175:4 195:24 205:14 210:22 220:25 224:7 224:20 248:9 249:7 252:6, 19 259:9 279:13 279:22 280:10 281:5 303:1 306:17 344:5 350:17 352:4,8,25 353:2 355:2 356:2 359:8,9 360:2 382:2 understanding 9:5 108:23 113:14 149:23 160:9 192:3 255:6 314:2 understands 344:11 385:24 understood 146:19
197:10 229:2,16 235:2121:9 189:23 190:4try 12:1 71:17 80:10354:19 361:8 362:4,8undocumented 93:25238:2,22 240:11,22263:20,20 272:2085:17 88:6,7 115:16371:16 381:16 383:1undocumented 93:25245:12 246:8,10 250:9track 98:12 159:1 251:9165:22 176:1,14types 30:12 44:13 58:5unexploding 76:6254:8 261:18,19 262:3323:19 374:20 376:25177:20 178:7 191:758:20 59:9,19,24unfair 162:11 181:19286:9,11 287:6 300:13tracking 344:17199:20 213:9 222:16127:11 172:3 177:19unfortunately 17:8309:10 310:1,6 312:4trade 56:3240:9 246:18 248:16233:11 235:17 237:623:21 39:19 103:15313:5 318:15,23,25trading 359:25264:4 303:17 319:9typical 63:22134:8 247:12 252:1319:8 321:2 322:9Traditionally 286:12342:24 359:4 363:1444:8unfounded 307:22	$\begin{array}{c} 133:7\ 185:7\ 202:3\\ 210:14\ 239:3\ 297:12\\ 299:23\ 378:3\\ \textbf{timing\ 157:2\ 169:2}\\ \textbf{tissue\ 47:19\ 345:11}\\ 368:10,11\\ \textbf{today\ 7:13,20\ 9:4\ 11:8}\\ 11:10,14\ 12:10\ 15:8\\ 20:15\ 22:25\ 25:22\\ 26:4\ 28:19\ 29:9\ 32:21\\ 37:15\ 63:16\ 66:20\\ 76:24\ 78:6\ 81:16,17\\ 82:16,25\ 86:2\ 89:12\\ 89:16\ 96:23\ 102:11,18\\ 106:4\ 111:24\ 120:7\\ 121:2\ 124:15\ 127:1,19\\ 127:21\ 132:18,25\\ 134:23\ 135:2,9\ 139:12\\ 140:5\ 145:15\ 162:10\\ 162:18,21\ 163:2,15\\ \end{array}$	343:3 345:6 352:18 totalling 317:16 totally 21:1 318:25 touch 133:14 229:10 300:1,2 touched 118:19 tough 13:17 92:13 97:9 99:2 195:23 248:17 312:3 329:16 tougher 346:10 tougher 346:10 toughest 329:17 tour 109:5,10 128:1 236:6 tourist 284:23 tours 127:20,20,24 town 284:23 376:2 toxic 46:12,15,16 47:4,5 47:16,17 121:11,13,14 213:12 263:9,10,15 322:14 toxicity 24:3,23,25 31:6	161:21 175:3 186:4 192:5,9 208:10 211:23 246:23 319:10 tries 172:2 trigger 186:23 364:12,16 triggered 187:3,19 trip 39:20 trivial 252:8 trouble 212:20 216:17 trout 47:23 true 38:18 44:14 71:13 71:15 119:24 124:3 138:22 198:16 272:1 358:6 362:13 371:8 382:3,4 388:11 truly 96:3,11 270:10 272:5 284:22 trust 72:8,9 203:15,15 203:16 209:14 213:20 219:11 223:17 224:5 332:12	240:24 247:12 259:2,3 275:10 281:25 282:1 287:21,25 294:11,12 297:12 298:12,13,15 299:22 300:5 306:13 306:14 307:7,8,13 311:15 314:4,8 326:9 328:8,9 340:14,15 353:21 366:13 367:8 368:16 369:14,15 379:10 380:21 two-foot 83:13 tying 68:14 type 58:23 63:18,21 130:15 149:21 150:11 150:12 152:12 155:6 167:14,18 176:25 177:1 180:3,9,15 232:2 234:17 235:18 237:4 243:8,10 257:25 268:20 337:5 342:2	83:22,23 84:6 90:12 97:13 101:20 108:14 113:3 114:3 121:15 129:18 130:19 137:8 153:2 166:19 169:20 175:4 195:24 205:14 210:22 220:25 224:7 224:20 248:9 249:7 252:6,19 259:9 279:13 279:22 280:10 281:5 303:1 306:17 344:5 350:17 352:4,8,25 353:2 355:2 356:2 359:8,9 360:2 382:2 understanding 9:5 108:23 113:14 149:23 160:9 192:3 255:6 314:2 understands 344:11 385:24 understood 146:19 226:20
238:2,22 240:11,22 263:20,20 272:20 85:17 88:6,7 115:16 371:16 381:16 383:1 unenforceable 34:2 245:12 246:8,10 250:9 track 98:12 159:1 251:9 165:22 176:1,14 types 30:12 44:13 58:5 unenforceable 34:2 254:8 261:18,19 262:3 323:19 374:20 376:25 177:20 178:7 191:7 58:20 59:9,19,24 unenforceable 34:2 286:9,11 287:6 300:13 tracking 344:17 199:20 213:9 222:16 127:11 172:3 177:19 unfortunately 17:8 309:10 310:1,6 312:4 trade 56:3 240:9 246:18 248:16 233:11 235:17 237:6 23:21 39:19 103:15 313:5 318:15,23,25 trading 359:25 264:4 303:17 319:9 typical 63:22 134:8 247:12 252:1 319:8 321:2 322:9 Traditionally 286:12 342:24 359:4 363:14 44:8 unfounded 307:22	$\begin{array}{c} 133:7\ 185:7\ 202:3\\ 210:14\ 239:3\ 297:12\\ 299:23\ 378:3\\ \textbf{timing\ 157:2\ 169:2}\\ \textbf{tissue\ 47:19\ 345:11}\\ 368:10,11\\ \textbf{today\ 7:13,20\ 9:4\ 11:8}\\ 11:10,14\ 12:10\ 15:8\\ 20:15\ 22:25\ 25:22\\ 26:4\ 28:19\ 29:9\ 32:21\\ 37:15\ 63:16\ 66:20\\ 76:24\ 78:6\ 81:16,17\\ 82:16,25\ 86:2\ 89:12\\ 89:16\ 96:23\ 102:11,18\\ 106:4\ 111:24\ 120:7\\ 121:2\ 124:15\ 127:1,19\\ 127:21\ 132:18,25\\ 134:23\ 135:2,9\ 139:12\\ 140:5\ 145:15\ 162:10\\ 162:18,21\ 163:2,15\\ 180:19\ 193:9\ 195:21\\ \end{array}$	343:3 345:6 352:18 totalling 317:16 totally 21:1 318:25 touch 133:14 229:10 300:1,2 touched 118:19 tough 13:17 92:13 97:9 99:2 195:23 248:17 312:3 329:16 tougher 346:10 toughest 329:17 tour 109:5,10 128:1 236:6 tourist 284:23 tours 127:20,20,24 town 284:23 376:2 toxic 46:12,15,16 47:4,5 47:16,17 121:11,13,14 213:12 263:9,10,15 322:14 toxicity 24:3,23,25 31:6 44:23 46:10,18 47:1,6	161:21 175:3 186:4 192:5,9 208:10 211:23 246:23 319:10 tries 172:2 trigger 186:23 364:12,16 triggered 187:3,19 trip 39:20 trivial 252:8 trouble 212:20 216:17 trout 47:23 true 38:18 44:14 71:13 71:15 119:24 124:3 138:22 198:16 272:1 358:6 362:13 371:8 382:3,4 388:11 truly 96:3,11 270:10 272:5 284:22 trust 72:8,9 203:15,15 203:16 209:14 213:20 219:11 223:17 224:5 332:12 Trustees 300:13	240:24 247:12 259:2,3 275:10 281:25 282:1 287:21,25 294:11,12 297:12 298:12,13,15 299:22 300:5 306:13 306:14 307:7,8,13 311:15 314:4,8 326:9 328:8,9 340:14,15 353:21 366:13 367:8 368:16 369:14,15 379:10 380:21 two-foot 83:13 tying 68:14 type 58:23 63:18,21 130:15 149:21 150:11 150:12 152:12 155:6 167:14,18 176:25 177:1 180:3,9,15 232:2 234:17 235:18 237:4 243:8,10 257:25 268:20 337:5 342:2 345:11 346:13 347:24	83:22,23 84:6 90:12 97:13 101:20 108:14 113:3 114:3 121:15 129:18 130:19 137:8 153:2 166:19 169:20 175:4 195:24 205:14 210:22 220:25 224:7 224:20 248:9 249:7 252:6,19 259:9 279:13 279:22 280:10 281:5 303:1 306:17 344:5 350:17 352:4,8,25 353:2 355:2 356:2 359:8,9 360:2 382:2 understanding 9:5 108:23 113:14 149:23 160:9 192:3 255:6 314:2 understands 344:11 385:24 understood 146:19 226:20 underused 233:4
245:12 246:8,10 250:9 254:8 261:18,19 262:3track 98:12 159:1 251:9 323:19 374:20 376:25165:22 176:1,14 177:20 178:7 191:7 199:20 213:9 222:16types 30:12 44:13 58:5 58:20 59:9,19,24unexploding 76:6 unfair 162:11 181:19 unfortunately 17:8 233:11 235:17 237:6309:10 310:1,6 312:4 313:5 318:15,23,25trade 56:3 trading 359:25240:9 246:18 248:16 240:9 246:18 248:16233:11 235:17 237:6 typical 63:2223:21 39:19 103:15 134:8 247:12 252:1319:8 321:2 322:9Traditionally 286:12 training 111:25 112:3342:24 359:4 363:14 386:3typical 63:22 typical 91 00:15134:8 247:12 252:1 254:1 unfounded 307:22	$\begin{array}{c} 133:7\ 185:7\ 202:3\\ 210:14\ 239:3\ 297:12\\ 299:23\ 378:3\\ \textbf{timing\ 157:2\ 169:2}\\ \textbf{tissue\ 47:19\ 345:11}\\ 368:10,11\\ \textbf{today\ 7:13,20\ 9:4\ 11:8}\\ 11:10,14\ 12:10\ 15:8\\ 20:15\ 22:25\ 25:22\\ 26:4\ 28:19\ 29:9\ 32:21\\ 37:15\ 63:16\ 66:20\\ 76:24\ 78:6\ 81:16,17\\ 82:16,25\ 86:2\ 89:12\\ 89:16\ 96:23\ 102:11,18\\ 106:4\ 111:24\ 120:7\\ 121:2\ 124:15\ 127:1,19\\ 127:21\ 132:18,25\\ 134:23\ 135:2,9\ 139:12\\ 140:5\ 145:15\ 162:10\\ 162:18,21\ 163:2,15\\ 180:19\ 193:9\ 195:21\\ 195:23\ 196:14\ 197:6,8\\ \end{array}$	343:3 345:6 352:18 totalling 317:16 totally 21:1 318:25 touch 133:14 229:10 300:1,2 touched 118:19 tough 13:17 92:13 97:9 99:2 195:23 248:17 312:3 329:16 tougher 346:10 toughest 329:17 tour 109:5,10 128:1 236:6 tourist 284:23 tours 127:20,20,24 town 284:23 376:2 toxic 46:12,15,16 47:4,5 47:16,17 121:11,13,14 213:12 263:9,10,15 322:14 toxicity 24:3,23,25 31:6 44:23 46:10,18 47:1,6 48:6 49:24 53:10	161:21 175:3 186:4 192:5,9 208:10 211:23 246:23 319:10 tries 172:2 trigger 186:23 364:12,16 triggered 187:3,19 trip 39:20 trivial 252:8 trouble 212:20 216:17 trout 47:23 true 38:18 44:14 71:13 71:15 119:24 124:3 138:22 198:16 272:1 358:6 362:13 371:8 382:3,4 388:11 truly 96:3,11 270:10 272:5 284:22 trust 72:8,9 203:15,15 203:16 209:14 213:20 219:11 223:17 224:5 332:12 Trustees 300:13 truth 221:11	240:24 247:12 259:2,3 275:10 281:25 282:1 287:21,25 294:11,12 297:12 298:12,13,15 299:22 300:5 306:13 306:14 307:7,8,13 311:15 314:4,8 326:9 328:8,9 340:14,15 353:21 366:13 367:8 368:16 369:14,15 379:10 380:21 two-foot 83:13 tying 68:14 type 58:23 63:18,21 130:15 149:21 150:11 150:12 152:12 155:6 167:14,18 176:25 177:1 180:3,9,15 232:2 234:17 235:18 237:4 243:8,10 257:25 268:20 337:5 342:2 345:11 346:13 347:24 348:17 352:16 354:14	83:22,23 84:6 90:12 97:13 101:20 108:14 113:3 114:3 121:15 129:18 130:19 137:8 153:2 166:19 169:20 175:4 195:24 205:14 210:22 220:25 224:7 224:20 248:9 249:7 252:6,19 259:9 279:13 279:22 280:10 281:5 303:1 306:17 344:5 350:17 352:4,8,25 353:2 355:2 356:2 359:8,9 360:2 382:2 understanding 9:5 108:23 113:14 149:23 160:9 192:3 255:6 314:2 understands 344:11 385:24 understood 146:19 226:20 underused 233:4 undo 159:15
254:8 261:18,19 262:3 286:9,11 287:6 300:13 309:10 310:1,6 312:4 313:5 318:15,23,25323:19 374:20 376:25 trading 359:25177:20 178:7 191:7 199:20 213:9 222:16 240:9 246:18 248:16 240:9 246:18 248:16 240:9 246:18 248:1658:20 59:9,19,24 127:11 172:3 177:19 233:11 235:17 237:6unfair 162:11 181:19 unfortunately 17:8 23:21 39:19 103:15310:5 318:15,23,25 319:8 321:2 322:9trading 359:25 Traditionally 286:12 training 111:25 112:3264:4 303:17 319:9 342:24 359:4 363:14 386:3typical 63:22 typically 15:6 27:20 43:4 44:8unfair 162:11 181:19 unfortunately 17:8 23:21 39:19 103:15	$\begin{array}{c} 133:7\ 185:7\ 202:3\\ 210:14\ 239:3\ 297:12\\ 299:23\ 378:3\\ \textbf{timing\ 157:2\ 169:2}\\ \textbf{tissue\ 47:19\ 345:11}\\ 368:10,11\\ \textbf{today\ 7:13,20\ 9:4\ 11:8}\\ 11:10,14\ 12:10\ 15:8\\ 20:15\ 22:25\ 25:22\\ 26:4\ 28:19\ 29:9\ 32:21\\ 37:15\ 63:16\ 66:20\\ 76:24\ 78:6\ 81:16,17\\ 82:16,25\ 86:2\ 89:12\\ 89:16\ 96:23\ 102:11,18\\ 106:4\ 111:24\ 120:7\\ 121:2\ 124:15\ 127:1,19\\ 127:21\ 132:18,25\\ 134:23\ 135:2,9\ 139:12\\ 140:5\ 145:15\ 162:10\\ 162:18,21\ 163:2,15\\ 180:19\ 193:9\ 195:21\\ 195:23\ 196:14\ 197:6,8\\ 197:10\ 229:2,16\ 235:2\\ \end{array}$	343:3 345:6 352:18 totalling 317:16 totally 21:1 318:25 touch 133:14 229:10 300:1,2 touched 118:19 tough 13:17 92:13 97:9 99:2 195:23 248:17 312:3 329:16 tougher 346:10 toughest 329:17 tour 109:5,10 128:1 236:6 tourist 284:23 tours 127:20,20,24 town 284:23 376:2 toxic 46:12,15,16 47:4,5 47:16,17 121:11,13,14 213:12 263:9,10,15 322:14 toxicity 24:3,23,25 31:6 44:23 46:10,18 47:1,6 48:6 49:24 53:10 121:9 189:23 190:4	161:21 175:3 186:4 192:5,9 208:10 211:23 246:23 319:10 tries 172:2 trigger 186:23 364:12,16 triggered 187:3,19 trip 39:20 trivial 252:8 trouble 212:20 216:17 trout 47:23 true 38:18 44:14 71:13 71:15 119:24 124:3 138:22 198:16 272:1 358:6 362:13 371:8 382:3,4 388:11 truly 96:3,11 270:10 272:5 284:22 trust 72:8,9 203:15,15 203:16 209:14 213:20 219:11 223:17 224:5 332:12 Trustees 300:13 truth 221:11 try 12:1 71:17 80:10	240:24 247:12 259:2,3 275:10 281:25 282:1 287:21,25 294:11,12 297:12 298:12,13,15 299:22 300:5 306:13 306:14 307:7,8,13 311:15 314:4,8 326:9 328:8,9 340:14,15 353:21 366:13 367:8 368:16 369:14,15 379:10 380:21 two-foot 83:13 tying 68:14 type 58:23 63:18,21 130:15 149:21 150:11 150:12 152:12 155:6 167:14,18 176:25 177:1 180:3,9,15 232:2 234:17 235:18 237:4 243:8,10 257:25 268:20 337:5 342:2 345:11 346:13 347:24 348:17 352:16 354:14 354:19 361:8 362:4,8	83:22,23 84:6 90:12 97:13 101:20 108:14 113:3 114:3 121:15 129:18 130:19 137:8 153:2 166:19 169:20 175:4 195:24 205:14 210:22 220:25 224:7 224:20 248:9 249:7 252:6,19 259:9 279:13 279:22 280:10 281:5 303:1 306:17 344:5 350:17 352:4,8,25 353:2 355:2 356:2 359:8,9 360:2 382:2 understanding 9:5 108:23 113:14 149:23 160:9 192:3 255:6 314:2 understands 344:11 385:24 understand 146:19 226:20 underused 233:4 undo 159:15 undocumented 93:25
286:9,11 287:6 300:13 tracking 344:17 199:20 213:9 222:16 127:11 177:19 unfortunately 17:8 309:10 310:1,6 312:4 trade 56:3 240:9 246:18 243:17 19:9 233:11 235:17 237:6 23:21 39:19 103:15 313:5 318:15,23,25 trading 359:25 264:4 303:17 319:9 134:8 247:12 252:1 134:8 247:12 252:1 134:8 247:12 252:1 134:8 247:12 252:1 134:8 244:1 254:1 unfounded 307:22 324:4 327:12 329:4 training 111:25 112:3 386:3 44:8 unfounded 307:22	$\begin{array}{c} 133:7\ 185:7\ 202:3\\ 210:14\ 239:3\ 297:12\\ 299:23\ 378:3\\ \textbf{timing\ 157:2\ 169:2}\\ \textbf{tissue\ 47:19\ 345:11}\\ 368:10,11\\ \textbf{today\ 7:13,20\ 9:4\ 11:8}\\ 11:10,14\ 12:10\ 15:8\\ 20:15\ 22:25\ 25:22\\ 26:4\ 28:19\ 29:9\ 32:21\\ 37:15\ 63:16\ 66:20\\ 76:24\ 78:6\ 81:16,17\\ 82:16,25\ 86:2\ 89:12\\ 89:16\ 96:23\ 102:11,18\\ 106:4\ 111:24\ 120:7\\ 121:2\ 124:15\ 127:1,19\\ 127:21\ 132:18,25\\ 134:23\ 135:2,9\ 139:12\\ 140:5\ 145:15\ 162:10\\ 162:18,21\ 163:2,15\\ 180:19\ 193:9\ 195:21\\ 195:23\ 196:14\ 197:6,8\\ 197:10\ 229:2,16\ 235:2\\ 238:2,22\ 240:11,22\\ \end{array}$	343:3 345:6 352:18 totalling 317:16 totally 21:1 318:25 touch 133:14 229:10 300:1,2 touched 118:19 tough 13:17 92:13 97:9 99:2 195:23 248:17 312:3 329:16 tougher 346:10 toughest 329:17 tour 109:5,10 128:1 236:6 tourist 284:23 tours 127:20,20,24 town 284:23 376:2 toxic 46:12,15,16 47:4,5 47:16,17 121:11,13,14 213:12 263:9,10,15 322:14 toxicity 24:3,23,25 31:6 44:23 46:10,18 47:1,6 48:6 49:24 53:10 121:9 189:23 190:4 263:20,20 272:20	161:21 175:3 186:4 192:5,9 208:10 211:23 246:23 319:10 tries 172:2 trigger 186:23 364:12,16 triggered 187:3,19 trip 39:20 trivial 252:8 trouble 212:20 216:17 trout 47:23 true 38:18 44:14 71:13 71:15 119:24 124:3 138:22 198:16 272:1 358:6 362:13 371:8 382:3,4 388:11 truly 96:3,11 270:10 272:5 284:22 trust 72:8,9 203:15,15 203:16 209:14 213:20 219:11 223:17 224:5 332:12 Trustees 300:13 truth 221:11 try 12:1 71:17 80:10 85:17 88:6,7 115:16	240:24 247:12 259:2,3 275:10 281:25 282:1 287:21,25 294:11,12 297:12 298:12,13,15 299:22 300:5 306:13 306:14 307:7,8,13 311:15 314:4,8 326:9 328:8,9 340:14,15 353:21 366:13 367:8 368:16 369:14,15 379:10 380:21 two-foot 83:13 tying 68:14 type 58:23 63:18,21 130:15 149:21 150:11 150:12 152:12 155:6 167:14,18 176:25 177:1 180:3,9,15 232:2 234:17 235:18 237:4 243:8,10 257:25 268:20 337:5 342:2 345:11 346:13 347:24 348:17 352:16 354:14 354:19 361:8 362:4,8 371:16 381:16 383:1	83:22,23 84:6 90:12 97:13 101:20 108:14 113:3 114:3 121:15 129:18 130:19 137:8 153:2 166:19 169:20 175:4 195:24 205:14 210:22 220:25 224:7 224:20 248:9 249:7 252:6,19 259:9 279:13 279:22 280:10 281:5 303:1 306:17 344:5 350:17 352:4,8,25 353:2 355:2 356:2 359:8,9 360:2 382:2 understanding 9:5 108:23 113:14 149:23 160:9 192:3 255:6 314:2 understands 344:11 385:24 understand 146:19 226:20 underused 233:4 undo 159:15 undocumented 93:25 unenforceable 34:2
286:9,11 287:6 300:13 309:10 310:1,6 312:4 313:5 318:15,23,25 tracking 344:17 trade 56:3 199:20 213:9 222:16 240:9 246:18 248:16 240:9 246:18 248:16 127:11 172:3 177:19 233:11 235:17 237:6 unfortunately 17:8 23:21 39:19 103:15 313:5 318:15,23,25 trading 359:25 264:4 303:17 319:9 233:11 235:17 237:6 23:21 39:19 103:15 319:8 321:2 322:9 Traditionally 286:12 342:24 359:4 363:14 typical 63:22 134:8 247:12 252:1 324:4 327:12 329:4 training 111:25 112:3 386:3 44:8 unfounded 307:22	$\begin{array}{c} 133:7\ 185:7\ 202:3\\ 210:14\ 239:3\ 297:12\\ 299:23\ 378:3\\ \textbf{timing\ 157:2\ 169:2}\\ \textbf{tissue\ 47:19\ 345:11}\\ 368:10,11\\ \textbf{today\ 7:13,20\ 9:4\ 11:8}\\ 11:10,14\ 12:10\ 15:8\\ 20:15\ 22:25\ 25:22\\ 26:4\ 28:19\ 29:9\ 32:21\\ 37:15\ 63:16\ 66:20\\ 76:24\ 78:6\ 81:16,17\\ 82:16,25\ 86:2\ 89:12\\ 89:16\ 96:23\ 102:11,18\\ 106:4\ 111:24\ 120:7\\ 121:2\ 124:15\ 127:1,19\\ 127:21\ 132:18,25\\ 134:23\ 135:2,9\ 139:12\\ 140:5\ 145:15\ 162:10\\ 162:18,21\ 163:2,15\\ 180:19\ 193:9\ 195:21\\ 195:23\ 196:14\ 197:6,8\\ 197:10\ 229:2,16\ 235:2\\ 238:2,22\ 240:11,22\\ 245:12\ 246:8,10\ 250:9\\ \end{array}$	343:3 345:6 352:18 totalling 317:16 totally 21:1 318:25 touch 133:14 229:10 300:1,2 touched 118:19 tough 13:17 92:13 97:9 99:2 195:23 248:17 312:3 329:16 tougher 346:10 toughest 329:17 tour 109:5,10 128:1 236:6 tourist 284:23 tours 127:20,20,24 town 284:23 376:2 toxic 46:12,15,16 47:4,5 47:16,17 121:11,13,14 213:12 263:9,10,15 322:14 toxicity 24:3,23,25 31:6 44:23 46:10,18 47:1,6 48:6 49:24 53:10 121:9 189:23 190:4 263:20,20 272:20 track 98:12 159:1 251:9	161:21 175:3 186:4 192:5,9 208:10 211:23 246:23 319:10 tries 172:2 trigger 186:23 364:12,16 triggered 187:3,19 trip 39:20 trivial 252:8 trouble 212:20 216:17 trout 47:23 true 38:18 44:14 71:13 71:15 119:24 124:3 138:22 198:16 272:1 358:6 362:13 371:8 382:3,4 388:11 truly 96:3,11 270:10 272:5 284:22 trust 72:8,9 203:15,15 203:16 209:14 213:20 219:11 223:17 224:5 332:12 Trustees 300:13 truth 221:11 try 12:1 71:17 80:10 85:17 88:6,7 115:16 165:22 176:1,14	240:24 247:12 259:2,3 275:10 281:25 282:1 287:21,25 294:11,12 297:12 298:12,13,15 299:22 300:5 306:13 306:14 307:7,8,13 311:15 314:4,8 326:9 328:8,9 340:14,15 353:21 366:13 367:8 368:16 369:14,15 379:10 380:21 two-foot 83:13 tying 68:14 type 58:23 63:18,21 130:15 149:21 150:11 150:12 152:12 155:6 167:14,18 176:25 177:1 180:3,9,15 232:2 234:17 235:18 237:4 243:8,10 257:25 268:20 337:5 342:2 345:11 346:13 347:24 348:17 352:16 354:14 354:19 361:8 362:4,8 371:16 381:16 383:1	83:22,23 84:6 90:12 97:13 101:20 108:14 113:3 114:3 121:15 129:18 130:19 137:8 153:2 166:19 169:20 175:4 195:24 205:14 210:22 220:25 224:7 224:20 248:9 249:7 252:6,19 259:9 279:13 279:22 280:10 281:5 303:1 306:17 344:5 350:17 352:4,8,25 353:2 355:2 356:2 359:8,9 360:2 382:2 understanding 9:5 108:23 113:14 149:23 160:9 192:3 255:6 314:2 understands 344:11 385:24 understood 146:19 226:20 underused 233:4 undo 159:15 undocumented 93:25 unenforceable 34:2 unexploding 76:6
309:10 310:1,6 312:4 trade 56:3 240:9 246:18 248:16 233:11 235:17 237:6 23:21 39:19 103:15 313:5 318:15,23,25 trading 359:25 264:4 303:17 319:9 typical 63:22 134:8 247:12 252:1 319:8 321:2 322:9 Traditionally 286:12 342:24 359:4 363:14 typical 63:22 134:8 247:12 252:1 324:4 327:12 329:4 training 111:25 112:3 386:3 unfounded 307:22	$\begin{array}{c} 133:7\ 185:7\ 202:3\\ 210:14\ 239:3\ 297:12\\ 299:23\ 378:3\\ \textbf{timing\ 157:2\ 169:2}\\ \textbf{tissue\ 47:19\ 345:11}\\ 368:10,11\\ \textbf{today\ 7:13,20\ 9:4\ 11:8}\\ 11:10,14\ 12:10\ 15:8\\ 20:15\ 22:25\ 25:22\\ 26:4\ 28:19\ 29:9\ 32:21\\ 37:15\ 63:16\ 66:20\\ 76:24\ 78:6\ 81:16,17\\ 82:16,25\ 86:2\ 89:12\\ 89:16\ 96:23\ 102:11,18\\ 106:4\ 111:24\ 120:7\\ 121:2\ 124:15\ 127:1,19\\ 127:21\ 132:18,25\\ 134:23\ 135:2,9\ 139:12\\ 140:5\ 145:15\ 162:10\\ 162:18,21\ 163:2,15\\ 180:19\ 193:9\ 195:21\\ 195:23\ 196:14\ 197:6,8\\ 197:10\ 229:2,16\ 235:2\\ 238:2,22\ 240:11,22\\ 245:12\ 246:8,10\ 250:9\\ 254:8\ 261:18,19\ 262:3\\ \end{array}$	343:3 345:6 352:18 totalling 317:16 totally 21:1 318:25 touch 133:14 229:10 300:1,2 touched 118:19 tough 13:17 92:13 97:9 99:2 195:23 248:17 312:3 329:16 tougher 346:10 toughest 329:17 tour 109:5,10 128:1 236:6 tourist 284:23 tours 127:20,20,24 town 284:23 376:2 toxic 46:12,15,16 47:4,5 47:16,17 121:11,13,14 213:12 263:9,10,15 322:14 toxicity 24:3,23,25 31:6 44:23 46:10,18 47:1,6 48:6 49:24 53:10 121:9 189:23 190:4 263:20,20 272:20 track 98:12 159:1 251:9 323:19 374:20 376:25	161:21 175:3 186:4 192:5,9 208:10 211:23 246:23 319:10 tries 172:2 trigger 186:23 364:12,16 triggered 187:3,19 trip 39:20 trivial 252:8 trouble 212:20 216:17 trout 47:23 true 38:18 44:14 71:13 71:15 119:24 124:3 138:22 198:16 272:1 358:6 362:13 371:8 382:3,4 388:11 truly 96:3,11 270:10 272:5 284:22 trust 72:8,9 203:15,15 203:16 209:14 213:20 219:11 223:17 224:5 332:12 Trustees 300:13 truth 221:11 try 12:1 71:17 80:10 85:17 88:6,7 115:16 165:22 176:1,14 177:20 178:7 191:7	240:24 247:12 259:2,3 275:10 281:25 282:1 287:21,25 294:11,12 297:12 298:12,13,15 299:22 300:5 306:13 306:14 307:7,8,13 311:15 314:4,8 326:9 328:8,9 340:14,15 353:21 366:13 367:8 368:16 369:14,15 379:10 380:21 two-foot 83:13 tying 68:14 type 58:23 63:18,21 130:15 149:21 150:11 150:12 152:12 155:6 167:14,18 176:25 177:1 180:3,9,15 232:2 234:17 235:18 237:4 243:8,10 257:25 268:20 337:5 342:2 345:11 346:13 347:24 348:17 352:16 354:14 354:19 361:8 362:4,8 371:16 381:16 383:1 types 30:12 44:13 58:5	83:22,23 84:6 90:12 97:13 101:20 108:14 113:3 114:3 121:15 129:18 130:19 137:8 153:2 166:19 169:20 175:4 195:24 205:14 210:22 220:25 224:7 224:20 248:9 249:7 252:6,19 259:9 279:13 279:22 280:10 281:5 303:1 306:17 344:5 350:17 352:4,8,25 353:2 355:2 356:2 359:8,9 360:2 382:2 understanding 9:5 108:23 113:14 149:23 160:9 192:3 255:6 314:2 understands 344:11 385:24 understood 146:19 226:20 underused 233:4 undo 159:15 undocumented 93:25 unenforceable 34:2 unexploding 76:6
313:5 318:15,23,25 trading 359:25 264:4 303:17 319:9 typical 63:22 134:8 247:12 252:1 319:8 321:2 322:9 Traditionally 286:12 342:24 359:4 363:14 typical 05:27:20 43:4 254:1 324:4 327:12 329:4 training 111:25 112:3 386:3 44:8 unfounded 307:22	$\begin{array}{c} 133:7\ 185:7\ 202:3\\ 210:14\ 239:3\ 297:12\\ 299:23\ 378:3\\ \textbf{timing\ 157:2\ 169:2}\\ \textbf{tissue\ 47:19\ 345:11}\\ 368:10,11\\ \textbf{today\ 7:13,20\ 9:4\ 11:8}\\ 11:10,14\ 12:10\ 15:8\\ 20:15\ 22:25\ 25:22\\ 26:4\ 28:19\ 29:9\ 32:21\\ 37:15\ 63:16\ 66:20\\ 76:24\ 78:6\ 81:16,17\\ 82:16,25\ 86:2\ 89:12\\ 89:16\ 96:23\ 102:11,18\\ 106:4\ 111:24\ 120:7\\ 121:2\ 124:15\ 127:1,19\\ 127:21\ 132:18,25\\ 134:23\ 135:2,9\ 139:12\\ 140:5\ 145:15\ 162:10\\ 162:18,21\ 163:2,15\\ 180:19\ 193:9\ 195:21\\ 195:23\ 196:14\ 197:6,8\\ 197:10\ 229:2,16\ 235:2\\ 238:2,22\ 240:11,22\\ 245:12\ 246:8,10\ 250:9\\ 254:8\ 261:18,19\ 262:3\\ \end{array}$	343:3 345:6 352:18 totalling 317:16 totally 21:1 318:25 touch 133:14 229:10 300:1,2 touched 118:19 tough 13:17 92:13 97:9 99:2 195:23 248:17 312:3 329:16 tougher 346:10 toughest 329:17 tour 109:5,10 128:1 236:6 tourist 284:23 tours 127:20,20,24 town 284:23 376:2 toxic 46:12,15,16 47:4,5 47:16,17 121:11,13,14 213:12 263:9,10,15 322:14 toxicity 24:3,23,25 31:6 44:23 46:10,18 47:1,6 48:6 49:24 53:10 121:9 189:23 190:4 263:20,20 272:20 track 98:12 159:1 251:9 323:19 374:20 376:25	161:21 175:3 186:4 192:5,9 208:10 211:23 246:23 319:10 tries 172:2 trigger 186:23 364:12,16 triggered 187:3,19 trip 39:20 trivial 252:8 trouble 212:20 216:17 trout 47:23 true 38:18 44:14 71:13 71:15 119:24 124:3 138:22 198:16 272:1 358:6 362:13 371:8 382:3,4 388:11 truly 96:3,11 270:10 272:5 284:22 trust 72:8,9 203:15,15 203:16 209:14 213:20 219:11 223:17 224:5 332:12 Trustees 300:13 truth 221:11 try 12:1 71:17 80:10 85:17 88:6,7 115:16 165:22 176:1,14 177:20 178:7 191:7	240:24 247:12 259:2,3 275:10 281:25 282:1 287:21,25 294:11,12 297:12 298:12,13,15 299:22 300:5 306:13 306:14 307:7,8,13 311:15 314:4,8 326:9 328:8,9 340:14,15 353:21 366:13 367:8 368:16 369:14,15 379:10 380:21 two-foot 83:13 tying $68:14$ type $58:23 63:18,21$ 130:15 149:21 150:11 150:12 152:12 155:6 167:14,18 176:25 177:1 180:3,9,15 232:2 234:17 235:18 237:4 243:8,10 257:25 268:20 337:5 342:2 345:11 346:13 347:24 348:17 352:16 354:14 354:19 361:8 362:4,8 371:16 381:16 383:1 types $30:12 44:13 58:5$ 58:20 59:9,19,24	83:22,23 84:6 90:12 97:13 101:20 108:14 113:3 114:3 121:15 129:18 130:19 137:8 153:2 166:19 169:20 175:4 195:24 205:14 210:22 220:25 224:7 224:20 248:9 249:7 252:6,19 259:9 279:13 279:22 280:10 281:5 303:1 306:17 344:5 350:17 352:4,8,25 353:2 355:2 356:2 359:8,9 360:2 382:2 understanding 9:5 108:23 113:14 149:23 160:9 192:3 255:6 314:2 understands 344:11 385:24 understood 146:19 226:20 underused 233:4 undo 159:15 undocumented 93:25 unenforceable 34:2 unexploding 76:6 unfair 162:11 181:19
319:8 321:2 322:9 Traditionally 286:12 342:24 359:4 363:14 typically 15:6 27:20 43:4 254:1 324:4 327:12 329:4 training 111:25 112:3 386:3 44:8 unfounded 307:22	$\begin{array}{c} 133:7\ 185:7\ 202:3\\ 210:14\ 239:3\ 297:12\\ 299:23\ 378:3\\ \textbf{timing\ 157:2\ 169:2}\\ \textbf{tissue\ 47:19\ 345:11}\\ 368:10,11\\ \textbf{today\ 7:13,20\ 9:4\ 11:8}\\ 11:10,14\ 12:10\ 15:8\\ 20:15\ 22:25\ 25:22\\ 26:4\ 28:19\ 29:9\ 32:21\\ 37:15\ 63:16\ 66:20\\ 76:24\ 78:6\ 81:16,17\\ 82:16,25\ 86:2\ 89:12\\ 89:16\ 96:23\ 102:11,18\\ 106:4\ 111:24\ 120:7\\ 121:2\ 124:15\ 127:1,19\\ 127:21\ 132:18,25\\ 134:23\ 135:2,9\ 139:12\\ 140:5\ 145:15\ 162:10\\ 162:18,21\ 163:2,15\\ 180:19\ 193:9\ 195:21\\ 195:23\ 196:14\ 197:6,8\\ 197:10\ 229:2,16\ 235:2\\ 238:2,22\ 240:11,22\\ 245:12\ 246:8,10\ 250:9\\ 254:8\ 261:18,19\ 262:3\\ 286:9,11\ 287:6\ 300:13\\ \end{array}$	343:3 345:6 352:18 totalling 317:16 totally 21:1 318:25 touch 133:14 229:10 300:1,2 touched 118:19 tough 13:17 92:13 97:9 99:2 195:23 248:17 312:3 329:16 tougher 346:10 toughest 329:17 tour 109:5,10 128:1 236:6 tourist 284:23 tours 127:20,20,24 town 284:23 376:2 toxic 46:12,15,16 47:4,5 47:16,17 121:11,13,14 213:12 263:9,10,15 322:14 toxicity 24:3,23,25 31:6 44:23 46:10,18 47:1,6 48:6 49:24 53:10 121:9 189:23 190:4 263:20,20 272:20 track 98:12 159:1 251:9 323:19 374:20 376:25 tracking 344:17	161:21 175:3 186:4 192:5,9 208:10 211:23 246:23 319:10 tries 172:2 trigger 186:23 364:12,16 triggered 187:3,19 trip 39:20 trivial 252:8 trouble 212:20 216:17 trout 47:23 true 38:18 44:14 71:13 71:15 119:24 124:3 138:22 198:16 272:1 358:6 362:13 371:8 382:3,4 388:11 truly 96:3,11 270:10 272:5 284:22 trust 72:8,9 203:15,15 203:16 209:14 213:20 219:11 223:17 224:5 332:12 Trustees 300:13 truth 221:11 try 12:1 71:17 80:10 85:17 88:6,7 115:16 165:22 176:1,14 177:20 178:7 191:7 199:20 213:9 222:16	$\begin{array}{c} 240:24\ 247:12\ 259:2,3\\ 275:10\ 281:25\ 282:1\\ 287:21,25\ 294:11,12\\ 297:12\ 298:12,13,15\\ 299:22\ 300:5\ 306:13\\ 306:14\ 307:7,8,13\\ 311:15\ 314:4,8\ 326:9\\ 328:8,9\ 340:14,15\\ 353:21\ 366:13\ 367:8\\ 368:16\ 369:14,15\\ 379:10\ 380:21\\ \textbf{two-foot}\ 83:13\\ \textbf{type}\ 58:23\ 63:18,21\\ 130:15\ 149:21\ 150:11\\ 150:12\ 152:12\ 155:6\\ 167:14,18\ 176:25\\ 177:1\ 180:3,9,15\\ 232:2\ 234:17\ 235:18\\ 237:4\ 243:8,10\ 257:25\\ 268:20\ 337:5\ 342:2\\ 345:11\ 346:13\ 347:24\\ 348:17\ 352:16\ 354:14\\ 354:19\ 361:8\ 362:4,8\\ 371:16\ 381:16\ 383:1\\ \textbf{types}\ 30:12\ 44:13\ 58:5\\ 58:20\ 59:9,19,24\\ 127:11\ 172:3\ 177:19\\ \end{array}$	83:22,23 84:6 90:12 97:13 101:20 108:14 113:3 114:3 121:15 129:18 130:19 137:8 153:2 166:19 169:20 175:4 195:24 205:14 210:22 220:25 224:7 224:20 248:9 249:7 252:6,19 259:9 279:13 279:22 280:10 281:5 303:1 306:17 344:5 350:17 352:4,8,25 353:2 355:2 356:2 359:8,9 360:2 382:2 understanding 9:5 108:23 113:14 149:23 160:9 192:3 255:6 314:2 understands 344:11 385:24 understood 146:19 226:20 underused 233:4 undo 159:15 undocumented 93:25 unenforceable 34:2 unexploding 76:6 unfair 162:11 181:19 unfortunately 17:8
324:4 327:12 329:4 training 111:25 112:3 386:3 44:8 unfounded 307:22	$\begin{array}{c} 133:7\ 185:7\ 202:3\\ 210:14\ 239:3\ 297:12\\ 299:23\ 378:3\\ \textbf{timing\ 157:2\ 169:2}\\ \textbf{tissue\ 47:19\ 345:11}\\ 368:10,11\\ \textbf{today\ 7:13,20\ 9:4\ 11:8}\\ 11:10,14\ 12:10\ 15:8\\ 20:15\ 22:25\ 25:22\\ 26:4\ 28:19\ 29:9\ 32:21\\ 37:15\ 63:16\ 66:20\\ 76:24\ 78:6\ 81:16,17\\ 82:16,25\ 86:2\ 89:12\\ 89:16\ 96:23\ 102:11,18\\ 106:4\ 111:24\ 120:7\\ 121:2\ 124:15\ 127:1,19\\ 127:21\ 132:18,25\\ 134:23\ 135:2,9\ 139:12\\ 140:5\ 145:15\ 162:10\\ 162:18,21\ 163:2,15\\ 180:19\ 193:9\ 195:21\\ 195:23\ 196:14\ 197:6,8\\ 197:10\ 229:2,16\ 235:2\\ 238:2,22\ 240:11,22\\ 245:12\ 246:8,10\ 250:9\\ 254:8\ 261:18,19\ 262:3\\ 286:9,11\ 287:6\ 300:13\\ 309:10\ 310:1,6\ 312:4\\ \end{array}$	343:3 345:6 352:18 totalling 317:16 totally 21:1 318:25 touch 133:14 229:10 300:1,2 touched 118:19 tough 13:17 92:13 97:9 99:2 195:23 248:17 312:3 329:16 tougher 346:10 toughest 329:17 tour 109:5,10 128:1 236:6 tourist 284:23 tours 127:20,20,24 town 284:23 376:2 toxic 46:12,15,16 47:4,5 47:16,17 121:11,13,14 213:12 263:9,10,15 322:14 toxicity 24:3,23,25 31:6 44:23 46:10,18 47:1,6 48:6 49:24 53:10 121:9 189:23 190:4 263:20,20 272:20 track 98:12 159:1 251:9 323:19 374:20 376:25 tracking 344:17 trade 56:3	161:21 175:3 186:4 192:5,9 208:10 211:23 246:23 319:10 tries 172:2 trigger 186:23 364:12,16 triggered 187:3,19 trip 39:20 trivial 252:8 trouble 212:20 216:17 trout 47:23 true 38:18 44:14 71:13 71:15 119:24 124:3 138:22 198:16 272:1 358:6 362:13 371:8 382:3,4 388:11 truly 96:3,11 270:10 272:5 284:22 trust 72:8,9 203:15,15 203:16 209:14 213:20 219:11 223:17 224:5 332:12 Trustees 300:13 truth 221:11 try 12:1 71:17 80:10 85:17 88:6,7 115:16 165:22 176:1,14 177:20 178:7 191:7 199:20 213:9 222:16 240:9 246:18 248:16	240:24 247:12 259:2,3 275:10 281:25 282:1 287:21,25 294:11,12 297:12 298:12,13,15 299:22 300:5 306:13 306:14 307:7,8,13 311:15 314:4,8 326:9 328:8,9 340:14,15 353:21 366:13 367:8 368:16 369:14,15 379:10 380:21 two-foot 83:13 tying 68:14 type 58:23 63:18,21 130:15 149:21 150:11 150:12 152:12 155:6 167:14,18 176:25 177:1 180:3,9,15 232:2 234:17 235:18 237:4 243:8,10 257:25 268:20 337:5 342:2 345:11 346:13 347:24 348:17 352:16 354:14 354:19 361:8 362:4,8 371:16 381:16 383:1 types 30:12 44:13 58:5 58:20 59:9,19,24 127:11 172:3 177:19 233:11 235:17 237:6	83:22,23 84:6 90:12 97:13 101:20 108:14 113:3 114:3 121:15 129:18 130:19 137:8 153:2 166:19 169:20 175:4 195:24 205:14 210:22 220:25 224:7 224:20 248:9 249:7 252:6, 19 259:9 279:13 279:22 280:10 281:5 303:1 306:17 344:5 350:17 352:4,8,25 353:2 355:2 356:2 359:8,9 360:2 382:2 understanding 9:5 108:23 113:14 149:23 160:9 192:3 255:6 314:2 understands 344:11 385:24 understands 344:11 385:24 understood 146:19 226:20 underused 233:4 undo 159:15 undocumented 93:25 unenforceable 34:2 unexploding 76:6 unfair 162:11 181:19 unfortunately 17:8 23:21 39:19 103:15
	$\begin{array}{c} 133:7\ 185:7\ 202:3\\ 210:14\ 239:3\ 297:12\\ 299:23\ 378:3\\ \textbf{timing\ 157:2\ 169:2}\\ \textbf{tissue\ 47:19\ 345:11}\\ 368:10,11\\ \textbf{today\ 7:13,20\ 9:4\ 11:8}\\ 11:10,14\ 12:10\ 15:8\\ 20:15\ 22:25\ 25:22\\ 26:4\ 28:19\ 29:9\ 32:21\\ 37:15\ 63:16\ 66:20\\ 76:24\ 78:6\ 81:16,17\\ 82:16,25\ 86:2\ 89:12\\ 89:16\ 96:23\ 102:11,18\\ 106:4\ 111:24\ 120:7\\ 121:2\ 124:15\ 127:1,19\\ 127:21\ 132:18,25\\ 134:23\ 135:2,9\ 139:12\\ 140:5\ 145:15\ 162:10\\ 162:18,21\ 163:2,15\\ 180:19\ 193:9\ 195:21\\ 195:23\ 196:14\ 197:6,8\\ 197:10\ 229:2,16\ 235:2\\ 238:2,22\ 240:11,22\\ 245:12\ 246:8,10\ 250:9\\ 254:8\ 261:18,19\ 262:3\\ 286:9,11\ 287:6\ 300:13\\ 309:10\ 310:1,6\ 312:4\\ 313:5\ 318:15,23,25\\ \end{array}$	343:3 345:6 352:18 totalling 317:16 totally 21:1 318:25 touch 133:14 229:10 300:1,2 touched 118:19 tough 13:17 92:13 97:9 99:2 195:23 248:17 312:3 329:16 tougher 346:10 tougher 346:10 toughest 329:17 tour 109:5,10 128:1 236:6 tourist 284:23 tours 127:20,20,24 town 284:23 376:2 toxic 46:12,15,16 47:4,5 47:16,17 121:11,13,14 213:12 263:9,10,15 322:14 toxicity 24:3,23,25 31:6 44:23 46:10,18 47:1,6 48:6 49:24 53:10 121:9 189:23 190:4 263:20,20 272:20 track 98:12 159:1 251:9 323:19 374:20 376:25 tracking 344:17 trade 56:3 trading 359:25	161:21 175:3 186:4 192:5,9 208:10 211:23 246:23 319:10 tries 172:2 trigger 186:23 364:12,16 triggered 187:3,19 trip 39:20 trivial 252:8 trouble 212:20 216:17 trout 47:23 true 38:18 44:14 71:13 71:15 119:24 124:3 138:22 198:16 272:1 358:6 362:13 371:8 382:3,4 388:11 truly 96:3,11 270:10 272:5 284:22 trust 72:8,9 203:15,15 203:16 209:14 213:20 219:11 223:17 224:5 332:12 Trustees 300:13 truth 221:11 try 12:1 71:17 80:10 85:17 88:6,7 115:16 165:22 176:1,14 177:20 178:7 191:7 199:20 213:9 222:16 240:9 246:18 248:16 264:4 303:17 319:9	240:24 247:12 259:2,3 275:10 281:25 282:1 287:21,25 294:11,12 297:12 298:12,13,15 299:22 300:5 306:13 306:14 307:7,8,13 311:15 314:4,8 326:9 328:8,9 340:14,15 353:21 366:13 367:8 368:16 369:14,15 379:10 380:21 two-foot 83:13 tying 68:14 type 58:23 63:18,21 130:15 149:21 150:11 150:12 152:12 155:6 167:14,18 176:25 177:1 180:3,9,15 232:2 234:17 235:18 237:4 243:8,10 257:25 268:20 337:5 342:2 345:11 346:13 347:24 348:17 352:16 354:14 354:19 361:8 362:4,8 371:16 381:16 381:16 381:16 381:16 381:16 355:20 59:9,19,24 127:11 172:3 177:19 233:11 235:17 237:6 typical 63:22	83:22,23 84:6 90:12 97:13 101:20 108:14 113:3 114:3 121:15 129:18 130:19 137:8 153:2 166:19 169:20 175:4 195:24 205:14 210:22 220:25 224:7 224:20 248:9 249:7 225:6, 19 259:9 279:13 279:22 280:10 281:5 303:1 306:17 344:5 350:17 352:4,8,25 353:2 355:2 356:2 359:8,9 360:2 382:2 understanding 9:5 108:23 113:14 149:23 160:9 192:3 255:6 314:2 understands 344:11 385:24 understood 146:19 226:20 underused 233:4 undo 159:15 undocumented 93:25 unenforceable 34:2 unexploding 76:6 unfair 162:11 181:19 unfortunately 17:8 23:21 39:19 103:15 134:8 247:12 252:1
T-h-o-m-a-s 311:13 unimpaired 51:21 unimpaired 51:21	$\begin{array}{c} 133:7\ 185:7\ 202:3\\ 210:14\ 239:3\ 297:12\\ 299:23\ 378:3\\ \textbf{timing\ 157:2\ 169:2}\\ \textbf{tissue\ 47:19\ 345:11}\\ 368:10,11\\ \textbf{today\ 7:13,20\ 9:4\ 11:8}\\ 11:10,14\ 12:10\ 15:8\\ 20:15\ 22:25\ 25:22\\ 26:4\ 28:19\ 29:9\ 32:21\\ 37:15\ 63:16\ 66:20\\ 76:24\ 78:6\ 81:16,17\\ 82:16,25\ 86:2\ 89:12\\ 89:16\ 96:23\ 102:11,18\\ 106:4\ 111:24\ 1207\\ 121:2\ 124:15\ 127:1,19\\ 127:21\ 132:18,25\\ 134:23\ 135:2,9\ 139:12\\ 140:5\ 145:15\ 162:10\\ 162:18,21\ 163:2,15\\ 180:19\ 193:9\ 195:21\\ 195:23\ 196:14\ 197:6,8\\ 197:10\ 229:2,16\ 235:2\\ 238:2,22\ 240:11,22\\ 245:12\ 246:8,10\ 250:9\\ 254:8\ 261:18,19\ 262:3\\ 286:9,11\ 287:6\ 300:13\\ 309:10\ 310:1,6\ 312:4\\ 313:5\ 318:15,23,25\\ 319:8\ 321:2\ 322:9\\ \end{array}$	343:3 345:6 352:18 totalling 317:16 totally 21:1 318:25 touch 133:14 229:10 300:1,2 touched 118:19 tough 13:17 92:13 97:9 99:2 195:23 248:17 312:3 329:16 tougher 346:10 tougher 346:10 toughest 329:17 tour 109:5,10 128:1 236:6 tourist 284:23 tours 127:20,20,24 town 284:23 376:2 toxic 46:12,15,16 47:4,5 47:16,17 121:11,13,14 213:12 263:9,10,15 322:14 toxicity 24:3,23,25 31:6 44:23 46:10,18 47:1,6 48:6 49:24 53:10 121:9 189:23 190:4 263:20,20 272:20 track 98:12 159:1 251:9 323:19 374:20 376:25 tracking 344:17 trade 56:3 trading 359:25 Traditionally 286:12	161:21 175:3 186:4 192:5,9 208:10 211:23 246:23 319:10 tries 172:2 trigger 186:23 364:12,16 triggered 187:3,19 trip 39:20 trivial 252:8 trouble 212:20 216:17 trout 47:23 true 38:18 44:14 71:13 71:15 119:24 124:3 138:22 198:16 272:1 358:6 362:13 371:8 382:3,4 388:11 truly 96:3,11 270:10 272:5 284:22 trust 72:8,9 203:15,15 203:16 209:14 213:20 219:11 223:17 224:5 332:12 Trustees 300:13 truth 221:11 try 12:1 71:17 80:10 85:17 88:6,7 115:16 165:22 176:1,14 177:20 178:7 191:7 199:20 213:9 222:16 240:9 246:18 248:16 264:4 303:17 319:9 342:24 359:4 363:14	240:24 247:12 259:2,3 275:10 281:25 282:1 287:21,25 294:11,12 297:12 298:12,13,15 299:22 300:5 306:13 306:14 307:7,8,13 311:15 314:4,8 326:9 328:8,9 340:14,15 353:21 366:13 367:8 368:16 369:14,15 379:10 380:21 two-foot 83:13 tying 68:14 type 58:23 63:18,21 130:15 149:21 150:11 150:12 152:12 155:6 167:14,18 176:25 177:1 180:3,9,15 232:2 234:17 235:18 237:4 243:8,10 257:25 268:20 337:5 342:2 345:11 346:13 347:24 348:17 352:16 354:14 354:19 361:8 362:4,8 371:16 381:16 381:16 381:16 381:16 385:5 58:20 59:9,19,24 127:11 172:3 177:19 233:11 235:17 237:6 typical 63:22 typically 15:6 27:20 43:4	83:22,23 84:6 90:12 97:13 101:20 108:14 113:3 114:3 121:15 129:18 130:19 137:8 153:2 166:19 169:20 175:4 195:24 205:14 210:22 220:25 224:7 224:20 248:9 249:7 252:6,19 259:9 279:13 279:22 280:10 281:5 303:1 306:17 344:5 350:17 352:4,8,25 353:2 355:2 356:2 359:8,9 360:2 382:2 understanding 9:5 108:23 113:14 149:23 160:9 192:3 255:6 314:2 understands 344:11 385:24 understand 344:11 385:24 underused 233:4 undo 159:15 undocumented 93:25 unenforceable 34:2 unexploding 76:6 unfair 162:11 181:19 unfortunately 17:8 23:21 39:19 103:15 134:8 247:12 252:1 254:1
	$\begin{array}{c} 133:7\ 185:7\ 202:3\\ 210:14\ 239:3\ 297:12\\ 299:23\ 378:3\\ \textbf{timing\ 157:2\ 169:2}\\ \textbf{tissue\ 47:19\ 345:11}\\ 368:10,11\\ \textbf{today\ 7:13,20\ 9:4\ 11:8}\\ 11:10,14\ 12:10\ 15:8\\ 20:15\ 22:25\ 25:22\\ 26:4\ 28:19\ 29:9\ 32:21\\ 37:15\ 63:16\ 66:20\\ 76:24\ 78:6\ 81:16,17\\ 82:16,25\ 86:2\ 89:12\\ 89:16\ 96:23\ 102:11,18\\ 106:4\ 111:24\ 120:7\\ 121:2\ 124:15\ 127:1,19\\ 127:21\ 132:18,25\\ 134:23\ 135:2,9\ 139:12\\ 140:5\ 145:15\ 162:10\\ 162:18,21\ 163:2,15\\ 180:19\ 193:9\ 195:21\\ 195:23\ 196:14\ 197:6,8\\ 197:10\ 229:2,16\ 235:2\\ 238:2,22\ 240:11,22\\ 245:12\ 246:8,10\ 250:9\\ 254:8\ 261:18,19\ 262:3\\ 286:9,11\ 287:6\ 300:13\\ 309:10\ 310:1,6\ 312:4\\ 313:5\ 318:15,23,25\\ 319:8\ 321:2\ 329:4\\ \end{array}$	343:3 345:6 352:18 totalling 317:16 totally 21:1 318:25 touch 133:14 229:10 300:1,2 touched 118:19 tough 13:17 92:13 97:9 99:2 195:23 248:17 312:3 329:16 tougher 346:10 toughers 329:17 tour 109:5,10 128:1 236:6 tourist 284:23 tours 127:20,20,24 town 284:23 376:2 toxic 46:12,15,16 47:4,5 47:16,17 121:11,13,14 213:12 263:9,10,15 322:14 toxicity 24:3,23,25 31:6 44:23 46:10,18 47:1,6 48:6 49:24 53:10 121:9 189:23 190:4 263:20,20 272:20 track 98:12 159:1 25:9 323:19 374:20 376:25 tracking 344:17 trade 56:3 trading 359:25 Traditionally 286:12 training 111:25 112:3	161:21 175:3 186:4 192:5,9 208:10 211:23 246:23 319:10 tries 172:2 trigger 186:23 364:12,16 triggered 187:3,19 trip 39:20 trivial 252:8 trouble 212:20 216:17 trout 47:23 true 38:18 44:14 71:13 71:15 119:24 124:3 138:22 198:16 272:1 358:6 362:13 371:8 382:3,4 388:11 truly 96:3,11 270:10 272:5 284:22 trust 72:8,9 203:15,15 203:16 209:14 213:20 219:11 223:17 224:5 332:12 Trustees 300:13 truth 221:11 try 12:1 71:17 80:10 85:17 88:6,7 115:16 165:22 176:1,14 177:20 178:7 191:7 199:20 213:9 222:16 240:9 246:18 248:16 264:4 303:17 319:9 342:24 359:4 363:14 386:3	240:24 247:12 259:2,3 275:10 281:25 282:1 287:21,25 294:11,12 297:12 298:12,13,15 299:22 300:5 306:13 306:14 307:7,8,13 311:15 314:4,8 326:9 328:8,9 340:14,15 353:21 366:13 367:8 368:16 369:14,15 379:10 380:21 two-foot 83:13 tying 68:14 type 58:23 63:18,21 130:15 149:21 150:11 150:12 152:12 155:6 167:14,18 176:25 177:1 180:3,9,15 232:2 234:17 235:18 237:4 243:8,10 257:25 268:20 337:5 342:2 345:11 346:13 347:24 348:17 352:16 354:14 354:19 361:8 362:4,8 371:16 381:16 383:1 types 30:12 44:13 58:5 58:20 59:9,19,24 127:11 172:3 177:19 233:11 235:17 237:6 typical 63:22 typically 15:6 27:20 43:4 44:8	83:22,23 84:6 90:12 97:13 101:20 108:14 113:3 114:3 121:15 129:18 130:19 137:8 153:2 166:19 169:20 175:4 195:24 205:14 210:22 220:25 224:7 224:20 248:9 249:7 252:6,19 259:9 279:13 279:22 280:10 281:5 303:1 306:17 344:5 350:17 352:4,8,25 353:2 355:2 356:2 359:8,9 360:2 382:2 understanding 9:5 108:23 113:14 149:23 160:9 192:3 255:6 314:2 understands 344:11 385:24 understand 146:19 226:20 underused 233:4 undo 159:15 undocumented 93:25 unenforceable 34:2 unexploding 76:6 unfair 162:11 181:19 unfortunately 17:8 23:21 39:19 103:15 134:8 247:12 252:1 254:1 unfounded 307:22
	$\begin{array}{c} 133:7\ 185:7\ 202:3\\ 210:14\ 239:3\ 297:12\\ 299:23\ 378:3\\ \textbf{timing\ 157:2\ 169:2}\\ \textbf{tissue\ 47:19\ 345:11}\\ 368:10,11\\ \textbf{today\ 7:13,20\ 9:4\ 11:8}\\ 11:10,14\ 12:10\ 15:8\\ 20:15\ 22:25\ 25:22\\ 26:4\ 28:19\ 29:9\ 32:21\\ 37:15\ 63:16\ 66:20\\ 76:24\ 78:6\ 81:16,17\\ 82:16,25\ 86:2\ 89:12\\ 89:16\ 96:23\ 102:11,18\\ 106:4\ 111:24\ 120:7\\ 121:2\ 124:15\ 127:1,19\\ 127:21\ 132:18,25\\ 134:23\ 135:2,9\ 139:12\\ 140:5\ 145:15\ 162:10\\ 162:18,21\ 163:2,15\\ 180:19\ 193:9\ 195:21\\ 195:23\ 196:14\ 197:6,8\\ 197:10\ 229:2,16\ 235:2\\ 238:2,22\ 240:11,22\\ 245:12\ 246:8,10\ 250:9\\ 254:8\ 261:18,19\ 262:3\\ 286:9,11\ 287:6\ 300:13\\ 309:10\ 310:1,6\ 312:4\\ 313:5\ 318:15,23,25\\ 319:8\ 321:2\ 329:4\\ \end{array}$	343:3 345:6 352:18 totalling 317:16 totally 21:1 318:25 touch 133:14 229:10 300:1,2 touched 118:19 tough 13:17 92:13 97:9 99:2 195:23 248:17 312:3 329:16 tougher 346:10 toughers 329:17 tour 109:5,10 128:1 236:6 tourist 284:23 tours 127:20,20,24 town 284:23 376:2 toxic 46:12,15,16 47:4,5 47:16,17 121:11,13,14 213:12 263:9,10,15 322:14 toxicity 24:3,23,25 31:6 44:23 46:10,18 47:1,6 48:6 49:24 53:10 121:9 189:23 190:4 263:20,20 272:20 track 98:12 159:1 25:9 323:19 374:20 376:25 tracking 344:17 trade 56:3 trading 359:25 Traditionally 286:12 training 111:25 112:3	161:21 175:3 186:4 192:5,9 208:10 211:23 246:23 319:10 tries 172:2 trigger 186:23 364:12,16 triggered 187:3,19 trip 39:20 trivial 252:8 trouble 212:20 216:17 trout 47:23 true 38:18 44:14 71:13 71:15 119:24 124:3 138:22 198:16 272:1 358:6 362:13 371:8 382:3,4 388:11 truly 96:3,11 270:10 272:5 284:22 trust 72:8,9 203:15,15 203:16 209:14 213:20 219:11 223:17 224:5 332:12 Trustees 300:13 truth 221:11 try 12:1 71:17 80:10 85:17 88:6,7 115:16 165:22 176:1,14 177:20 178:7 191:7 199:20 213:9 222:16 240:9 246:18 248:16 264:4 303:17 319:9 342:24 359:4 363:14 386:3	240:24 247:12 259:2,3 275:10 281:25 282:1 287:21,25 294:11,12 297:12 298:12,13,15 299:22 300:5 306:13 306:14 307:7,8,13 311:15 314:4,8 326:9 328:8,9 340:14,15 353:21 366:13 367:8 368:16 369:14,15 379:10 380:21 two-foot 83:13 tying 68:14 type 58:23 63:18,21 130:15 149:21 150:11 150:12 152:12 155:6 167:14,18 176:25 177:1 180:3,9,15 232:2 234:17 235:18 237:4 243:8,10 257:25 268:20 337:5 342:2 345:11 346:13 347:24 348:17 352:16 354:14 354:19 361:8 362:4,8 371:16 381:16 383:1 types 30:12 44:13 58:5 58:20 59:9,19,24 127:11 172:3 177:19 233:11 235:17 237:6 typical 63:22 typically 15:6 27:20 43:4 44:8	83:22,23 84:6 90:12 97:13 101:20 108:14 113:3 114:3 121:15 129:18 130:19 137:8 153:2 166:19 169:20 175:4 195:24 205:14 210:22 220:25 224:7 224:20 248:9 249:7 252:6,19 259:9 279:13 279:22 280:10 281:5 303:1 306:17 344:5 350:17 352:4,8,25 353:2 355:2 356:2 359:8,9 360:2 382:2 understanding 9:5 108:23 113:14 149:23 160:9 192:3 255:6 314:2 understands 344:11 385:24 understand 146:19 226:20 underused 233:4 undo 159:15 undocumented 93:25 unenforceable 34:2 unexploding 76:6 unfair 162:11 181:19 unfortunately 17:8 23:21 39:19 103:15 134:8 247:12 252:1 254:1 unfounded 307:22

Atkinson-Baker, Inc. Court Reporters

Page 32

				1 uge 52
unique 51:7,13,13	347:11,12 348:22	version 192:21 200:6	26:15 29:15 33:1 52:5	128:20 129:3 132:11
129:25 233:15 235:21	352:19 356:23 370:17	355:7 364:6	53:17,19 54:2,17	132:13 134:3 156:9
331:19	381:10 383:7	versus 42:17 129:15	62:11 63:15,17 65:25	169:1 178:21 184:12
unison 230:8	useful 252:20,21 348:19	137:2 161:20 181:20	75:8 81:1 93:12	197:21 206:1 213:7
unit 58:4,8 90:21	user 200:5,6,11	217:25 337:6	107:21 108:9,12,23	228:17 240:1 241:18
Unitarian 286:16 300:14	uses 44:1,5 45:2 61:3	vested 223:5	112:19 113:8 114:10	248:18 259:1 322:19
300:16 329:2,10	66:19 253:22 261:25	viability 134:6 378:8	123:16 124:5,21	368:7 373:5 374:12,13
330:20	262:8,18 322:17,21,23	viable 178:7	135:12,15 153:8,13,15	376:18 386:9
Unitary 286:2	usually 43:6 63:23 64:8	vice 3:5 10:24 209:4	191:10,12,16 196:9	wanting 169:17 261:9
United 74:23 79:22 80:1	83:1 223:23 227:3	victims 299:4	203:18,23 208:2	269:16 318:20
85:9 87:10 91:10	365:21	videotape 11:7	222:21 227:14,16	wants 16:12 56:17 87:25
238:3,4 239:10 325:10	utilities 324:10	view 84:10,13 293:18	231:9 234:16 241:24	96:17 265:7 266:13
330:24	utility 219:10	viewed 113:17	242:15 244:2 249:24	277:25 354:9
Universalism 286:2	utilize 236:25	viewing 191:24	250:4 260:21 287:21	War 256:20
Universalist 329:2		views 28:24 206:2	287:23 288:12 289:2	warnings 364:16
330:21	V	vigorous 169:1	290:11 293:3 296:23	warrant 113:10
Universalists 286:16	vacuum 294:14 308:24	vigorously 104:12	296:24 297:14,17,25	wary 259:18
300:14,17	vague 136:14 215:1	vineyard 33:23 52:22	321:15 323:17,18	Wash 195:13
University 191:4 206:16	validity 181:14	56:9 75:3 199:9	351:14 362:13	washing 271:17
212:20 315:24	Valley 38:3 39:25 40:7	315:20 336:21 379:22	waivers 31:16,17 81:6	wasn't 12:15 81:12 87:2
unnecessarily 255:15	59:8,18 75:16 168:2,3	381:17	180:3 204:23	99:2 118:21 122:20
unprecedented 8:15	172:15 180:6 183:15	vineyards 58:21,24	wallet 219:18	147:10 162:16 252:20
unpublished 255:23	190:7 197:13 229:9	59:12 79:1,1 337:9	wall-to-wall 75:17	257:23 265:3 329:11
unreasonable 96:15	230:15 231:19 235:24	violation 63:23 64:4,4,6	walnuts 80:1	369:15 371:3
unregulated 331:9	237:11,15 244:18	64:22 118:5 189:9	want 8:1 9:14,15 12:16	waste 1:1 2:1 9:20 10:17
unrelated 20:24	249:16,25 254:24	255:19 257:6 348:23	13:17 16:13 17:5 21:7	10:17 23:2 25:1 26:18
unstoppable 225:3	256:1 272:1 286:15	349:3,25 350:7	22:20 39:17 50:12	34:18 37:17 63:15
unthinkable 96:1	295:15 311:16 324:10	violations 48:6 63:21,25	55:25 56:6 63:10	65:22,23 66:1,1,4
unusable 262:18	327:9	violative 84:3	65:11 68:5 71:15 73:8	90:17 139:5 141:3
unwillingness 72:14,18	valleys 272:3 324:12	Virsik 4:12 304:4 306:1	73:12,23 79:7,9 80:13	176:24 317:7,11
unworkable 99:11	valuable 33:19 81:11	306:2,2,5,5,8	83:8 84:8 85:25 88:23	Watch 320:12
upcoming 10:6 16:4	value 230:7 232:7,11	virtually 83:18 251:21	89:12 97:7 101:17,18	watching 92:16
update 53:21 126:18	260:3 294:13 336:14	374:10	116:12 125:1 131:18	water 1:9 2:10,18 3:3 6:5
updated 23:1,1,4 55:3	valued 44:17 262:18	visit 188:24 214:12	131:21 146:18,19	7:8 8:5,6,7,8,12,13,24
102:19 104:20	307:19	visits 215:13	150:25 151:1 155:16	10:22 14:4,9 17:3,23
upgrading 24:21	values 262:9,10 333:5	visual 190:11	157:5,22 162:25 165:1	19:4 23:6,7,11 24:3,19
upheld 166:21	value-added 87:25	vital 315:25	171:11 175:9,10	24:22 25:1,7,14,16
uphill 161:7	valve 357:11	VOC 364:3	177:20 178:7 179:22	26:8,11,16,18,19,20
uphold 224:9	variable 370:11	voice 286:2,4	179:24 180:21 184:15	26:25 28:3 29:14,16
uploading 385:11	variations 350:3	voices 299:17 300:17	184:23 190:11 191:14	29:22 30:1,4,4,9,11,13
upper 243:11 256:1	varies 240:4 370:4,4	volume 106:9	193:13 200:16 202:7	30:21,24 31:8,20,22
299:22	varieties 345:16 346:5	voluntarily 81:3	202:14 203:8 205:25	32:2,11,15,19 33:9,12
upstream 332:1	various 27:24 29:3,13	voluntary 29:19,24 33:3	207:17 209:7,11	33:18 34:4,13,24
urban 26:8,19 91:7	32:14 37:14 39:4,14	75:9 77:3 88:25	211:14 220:16,18,21	35:16 36:7,17,23
122:3,4 195:13 204:12	126:14 147:6 208:8	203:19 204:4 249:21	221:16,25 223:25	37:10,18 38:3,5,7,17
259:8 317:10	216:6 231:23 362:24	249:23	224:2 227:6,7,13	38:22,25 39:5,15,16
urge 76:24 77:17 104:19	363:25	volunteer 324:12 329:3	228:13,16,20 237:17	40:2 42:25 43:9,10,21
108:8 115:17 240:8	vary 356:2 370:8	volunteers 329:8	240:13 244:24 246:1,3	44:2,2,11 45:1 46:6
288:1 299:19 308:22	varying 295:16	vote 104:20 108:21	246:11 248:2,11 249:7	47:9,17 48:7,9,14,17
323:18 366:20 377:3	vast 271:7 308:20	196:14 246:10 288:2	254:12,12,24 260:17	49:6 51:4,13 52:2,7,10
urgency 251:14 299:16	324:22 374:1	321:14 330:2,14 332:9	261:10 265:8,15	52:13,15,16,24 53:1,2
299:18 326:2,4	vegetable 294:8 311:16	333:16,24 334:23	266:18 267:9,23,23,24	53:7,10,20,24 54:5,21
urgent 299:13	312:10 338:21	374:21 377:1,10,10	268:19 269:20,24,25	54:23 55:9,17 56:8,10
urges 238:9	vegetables 58:22 59:11	380:5	270:6,6,11,13,19	56:12,19 57:5,10,22
urging 103:9	59:23 74:22 293:24	voting 23:4 195:21	271:4 272:11,12,13	58:12,16 59:1 60:10
usable 332:6	309:14 338:18 341:9	287:7 335:4	275:5,5,9 278:1	60:17,22 61:3,5,15,18
USDS 336:12	347:11 361:1	vs 307:6	280:25 281:14 282:6	61:21,22,23 62:9,15
use 14:14 28:12 31:12	vegetation 138:4 261:12	vulnerable 252:14 299:1	283:11 284:2 288:5,18	62:16,18,19 64:20,22
33:18 35:3 36:13 44:9	Ventura 325:23 337:13	303:3 332:11	288:19 290:13 292:19	64:25 65:11,17,19
45:2 52:14,25 53:8	388:2	V-i-r 306:5	293:2,20 294:16 295:8	66:2,25 67:3,5 68:20
56:14 71:15 77:14	venture 364:9 386:18,19	V-i-r-s-i-k 306:2,8	295:11 302:9,22	68:25 69:8,15,20,22
78:6,11 79:7 91:4	verbally 134:16	V6 378:22	303:25 308:15 309:2	69:25 70:4,8,24,25
132:11 137:25 141:1,5	verbiage 159:11	·	310:21 311:1 319:23	71:1,10,14,16,17,17
143:11,18 148:9 188:6	verification 31:23 61:15	W	321:1,14,24,24,25,25	71:19 74:13 76:3,17
188:13,14 193:22	221:8,8,13 224:7	W 3:11	326:2,14 327:12 328:2	78:21 79:14 85:21,22
208:11 213:3 214:10	304:21	Wade 9:10,10,11	328:14 332:24 334:12	88:23 90:1,2,3,11,17
214:11,21 215:6 233:3	verified 203:16 292:4	wait 41:18 50:12,18,20	334:22 335:2 339:22	90:23 91:9,9,11,15
233:25 235:15 237:6	verifies 67:9	73:23 117:7 253:18	342:4 345:20 348:1,22	92:4,8 96:5,6,9,11,14
247:21 254:25 262:4,5	verify 33:9 42:6 60:23	321:15,16 328:18	349:16 350:10 359:15	96:18 98:15 102:9,15
-	05.7.000.44.000.40	371:22 374:8	364:7,19 365:10 368:5	103:20 104:8,12,19,23
269:25 287:4 306:25	65:7 209:14 223:18			
269:25 287:4 306:25 307:1 308:21 309:3	65:7 209:14 223:18 224:4,5,8 289:2,4	waiting 50:15 117:3	371:5 386:6,8,16,22	107:25 111:7 114:4,8
269:25 287:4 306:25 307:1 308:21 309:3 312:13,23 313:17,19			386:25 387:2,6	114:25 117:19,21,21
269:25 287:4 306:25 307:1 308:21 309:3	224:4,5,8 289:2,4	waiting 50:15 117:3		

Atkinson-Baker, Inc. Court Reporters

Page 33

			_	
135:18,20,22 138:15	360:8 361:6,6,8	weird 352:24	we've 16:1 23:12,20	word 72:24 70:7 9
138:22 139:8,14,15,19				word 72:24 79:7,8
	362:17 364:1 365:12	welcome 6:6 7:4 332:23	25:18,19 33:25 43:25	133:20 137:25 150:9
140:2,6,11,13,20	365:16 367:4 372:22	370:22	48:18 49:19 54:7	202:2 227:21 282:14
144:3 148:17,18 149:1	375:8,12,18 376:3,13	wells 25:24,25 40:6	66:21 68:6 75:1 76:12	worded 152:22
149:3,14,18 150:13	376:13 378:5,7,11	44:23 56:3 90:2 91:6	89:1 117:7 127:24	words 48:21 137:20
152:4 154:23 156:18	379:6,14 380:16	117:23 118:3 246:17	128:2 129:19 134:19	141:1 198:10 263:6
156:20,22 166:21	381:22,23 382:20,21	248:23 249:16,17	136:9 138:18 144:19	267:13 273:8 279:12
168:17 171:2 172:6,7	382:24,25 383:14	250:18 251:19,21	147:18 151:12 161:14	354:17 361:20
173:11,13,14,14 174:6	384:12 386:13	257:9 271:1,1,2	161:16,21 174:15	Worester 52:8 119:13
174:9,11,12 175:17,22	waterbodies 30:24	301:13 329:25 336:11	177:5,16 182:6 189:11	work 23:17 64:3 67:16
176:2,3,25 179:19	watered 276:18 386:9	336:20 340:7 370:13	195:9 213:18 217:2	74:25 76:14,23,23
180:1,2,15 181:1,9,24	waterfall 287:24	375:8 380:18	225:2 232:12 244:23	77:1,1,13 80:6,23 82:5
182:1,10,15 183:4	waters 30:25 31:2 44:14	went 100:3 103:11	245:11 250:12 261:3	82:7,12,14 102:1
186:24,25 187:1,1	46:7,11 49:2 50:3 71:4	105:24 123:25 126:9	262:12,15 263:24	112:11 115:2 156:3
194:1 195:18 196:1,2	121:10 263:8 271:11	126:16,22 178:20	-	
196:5,8 197:11 201:9	322:20 323:11		264:3 271:25 288:6	158:15 161:4 169:7,9
		213:24 246:24 280:20	311:18,20 313:5,16	169:15 172:21 187:14
202:19,23,25 204:11	watershed 34:9 57:12	280:22	320:3 328:15 359:12	188:3 191:21 192:22
204:19 205:11,12,17	187:7,24 188:15 195:7	weren't 26:1	372:3 379:16 385:13	195:12 196:15 200:1
205:23 206:17 207:5	195:8 213:11 227:21	west 302:5 379:21	whatnot 294:19	206:20 210:14 225:11
207:16,22 208:8,15,19	230:17 231:10,15	381:14	wheat 88:6,13	227:12 229:2 234:3,21
209:2,24 210:1,3,5,7	233:8 235:24 242:5,7	Western 132:21 197:7,8	WHEREOF 388:16	235:5,25 236:2,9,22
211:22 212:15 213:5	242:22 243:18 296:8	307:5	wherewithal 77:11	237:7 240:11 245:15
214:3,11,15,16 215:18	322:17	wet 236:17	whine 319:1,2 328:19	246:5,6,13,13 257:22
215:19 216:18 217:16	watersheds 36:6 195:11	wetland 30:17 155:14,15	whining 328:15	257:24 259:14 286:24
217:17,21,21 222:11	308:8	230:5,14 231:2,5,8,25	Whitaker 4:7 283:4,7,7	294:1,21 296:3 297:6
223:8 225:24,25	Watson 230:13 316:14	234:13,17 235:17	285:20,23,24 286:1	303:15,22 304:12
226:12,20,22,24 227:7	317:3 318:5	262:19 278:6,7,20	white 212:4 326:10	312:2 315:15 318:20
229:14,19,21,24,25	Watsonville 103:1	wetlands 57:11 155:13	Whitney 195:4 197:1	319:9 320:4,5 325:10
230:1,7,10,18,19	way 7:15 9:3 15:20	155:17 195:14 228:25	wholesale 128:12	327:13 347:24 348:1,8
231:2,14,18,24 232:24	16:17 23:23 25:5,10		wide 75:9 136:14 260:23	
233:8 234:13 235:5		229:4,8,18 230:3,8,13		348:18,22 352:20
	25:11 75:25 77:1	230:24 231:13,17,23	widely 295:16 296:4	353:3 354:24 356:10
242:5,6,9,13,16,18	79:16 82:10 83:20,25	232:14,15 233:12	widespread 26:24 32:22	356:11,18 358:14
243:15,19 244:12,17	85:5,20 96:17 101:2,8	234:4,11 235:14	38:7 48:6 49:23 271:2	363:15 371:15 376:13
244:22 245:9 246:3,10	104:5 105:14 120:15	236:21 275:5	332:6	377:19 385:16 386:17
246:13,14,21,25 247:1	121:1 132:14 159:11	Wey 9:7	wildlife 67:22	386:25
247:6,15 248:4,5,8,10	159:20 160:9 165:16	we'll 7:14,19,19 9:24	Wiley 4:21 330:17	workable 80:10 98:3,9
248:13,14,16,20	175:7 180:11 185:10	10:13 14:11 15:22	332:20 333:19,20,20	98:17 333:10 350:19
250:17,17,19,21	191:22 192:6 193:5,5	21:15 23:9,14 63:9	335:9	worked 8:14 75:12 86:20
251:12 252:2 253:20	195:24 198:18 204:13	64:1 73:13 77:8 81:6	William 374:25 378:20	86:25 112:17 114:7
253:22 254:1 255:12	208:4,5,5,7 209:9	86:2 88:19 89:10	willing 84:14 209:19	207:20 209:16 229:4
255:17,19,21 256:1,5	211:13,19 216:23	98:10 117:11 131:13	236:14 303:17	320:4,14,15 351:15
256:8,21 258:5 259:5	218:2 221:15 222:5,8	135:24 145:21 147:19	win 80:11 96:20,20	376:15
259:11,12 261:11,17	227:15 234:8 237:3	158:11 159:22 232:6	wind 197:20	worker 93:25 94:20
261:23 262:1,5,9,24	243:6 250:13 261:9	233:21 237:17 255:5	window 8:16 247:19	workers 93:2 286:10
263:13 266:9 267:20	274:7 277:11,17,24	275:1 283:23 316:22	Winds 262:19	298:23 302:1
267:21 269:4 271:1,16				
-	278:1,3 279:15 280:13	352:9 364:19 369:21	wine 315:4,8,8,12,22,23	working 8:18 14:3,6
271:17 272:9 273:2,21	287:7 301:7 312:6,10	we're 27:1,2,9,18,24	380:3	48:15 66:5,6 68:7 80:9
274:12,13 277:5,12,19	312:13 319:1 321:15	28:1,3,18 39:4 44:18	wineries 315:6	81:7 83:22 89:8 94:1
278:11 279:9,20	323:14 339:13 344:17	45:1 46:13 49:8,22	win-win 239:17 240:6	103:1 120:5 131:13
280:22 281:9,14,17	345:14 349:16 371:17	63:8 69:13,19,23	wiped 95:14	133:2 134:12 156:19
286:6,17,20 287:5	372:12 378:1,15	71:18 79:10,16,24,25	wish 11:23 73:22 92:14	158:22 167:15 170:19
288:5,8,23 291:4	379:16	81:7 84:2 85:1 89:10	193:25 220:11 257:18	172:20 182:16,18
293:15,17,19 295:14	ways 27:24 91:13	91:25 92:5,9 98:9,12	322:11	192:18,19,21 193:10
295:23 296:5,6,8,16	127:23 193:22 215:17	98:19 115:16,21 117:2	wishes 115:18 172:16	201:14 205:5 206:17
296:21 299:5,8,9	216:15 225:1 286:13	120:18 130:24 147:7	190:21,24	206:18 211:22 216:5
300:2,5 301:4,5,12,15	303:18 345:17 348:13	148:22 158:18 169:1	wishful 264:2	233:25 234:6 244:17
302:23 304:10,17	WDRs 185:12	179:6 181:18 185:10	withdrawn 154:5	245:14 259:8 260:15
305:12,17 308:19	weaken 321:23	186:17 199:14 203:7	withholding 83:18	287:18 288:14 294:19
309:19,25 310:2,24	Weapons 14:8	211:1 215:19 219:4,5	witness 109:7 388:16	296:10,24 297:2
311:7 312:11,19 314:3	website 46:18,19 100:7	219:6,7,7 226:7,9,11	witty 128:14	310:11 320:19 323:19
314:8 315:7 316:1,9	385:4	227:10 248:13 251:4	Wolff 3:6 4:3 6:24,25	325:17 326:19 327:14
317:7,11 318:1,11	websites 274:18	252:24 253:1 257:20	10:1,8 11:2 199:5,6,7	332:16 337:14 352:22
320:2,25 321:9,9,22	Wednesday 2:20 6:2	259:7,11 260:8 261:1	329:9	377:19
322:12,14,23 323:3	116:2	261:24 263:13 264:14	women 42:21	
324:2,5,6,10,11,12,15	weed 236:18			works 74:25 77:18 82:9
		280:1 282:2 284:15 287:21 288:11 205:8	wonder 141:14	166:17 186:23 227:1
324:16 327:8,11,18,21	week 162:14 272:17	287:21 288:11 295:8	wonderful 190:20	342:11 364:20 371:14
328:1,3,6,7,10 329:21	299:23 308:17 368:16	312:9,9 313:24 330:4	284:23 307:12	373:7
330:2,25 331:7,8	weekend 286:23	330:8,10,10 335:4,25	wondering 78:12 81:21	workshop 17:19 18:7
333:9,10 334:17	weeks 316:21 368:16	338:4 340:3 347:14	158:21,22 162:4 220:2	28:10,19,21 64:18
335:13,18 336:24	weigh 381:4	349:21 350:14 352:4	224:20 375:9	66:21 113:13 144:12
337:6 339:14 344:16	weighs 89:20	356:6 357:23 358:24	Worcester 3:17 45:21	163:7 265:6 341:17
345:18 348:12 349:17	weighted-aggregate	358:25 362:25 368:2	45:24 46:1,3,5 59:7	workshops 12:16 34:11
350:13 351:2,4,5,18	359:19	368:10 372:2,7 373:6	119:3,7,19,24 121:20	43:2 68:23 102:25
351:19 352:17 357:16	weighted-average 356:4	377:7 379:20	122:10 208:17 263:19	world 74:23 87:24

Atkinson-Baker, Inc. Court Reporters

Page 34

247:16 266:20 200:23 86:11 06:62:49:21 286:62:290:2.17 206:827:19 246:8 150 2297 372:11 170:11 06:62:49:21 170:11 06:62:49:21 287:13 2393:29:33 284:423:25:240:12 150 2297 372:11 170:11 06:62:49:21 196:17 2203:16:26:20 170:26:31:10 250:16:26:20 170:26:30:12:20 170:20:30:12:20 170:26:30:12:20 17					I age 57
worried 66:25 80:18 370:11 104:14 108:16 127:22 370:11 220:17 133:13 122:20 126:13 336:22 339:24 346:11 156:44 293:25 294:10 336:22 339:24 346:11 156:20 20:23 336:22 339:24 346:11 worres 7:12:02 11 98:11 216:02 53:15 02:05 55:02 02:05:13 370:13 374:1374:14 300:3 302:23 370:15 316:22 316:12:13 300:3 302:23 370:12 336:12 336:15.12.11 300:3 302:23 370:15 336:12 336:15.12.11 156:370:13 370:17 156:370:17 156:370:13 370:17 156:370:17 156:370:13 370:17 156:370:17 156:370:13 370:17 156:370:17 156:370:12.12.12.12.12.12.12.12.12.12.12.12.12.1		1	1	1	1
worried 66:25 80:18 370:11 104:14 108:16 127:22 370:11 220:17 133:13 122:20 126:13 336:22 339:24 346:11 156:44 293:25 294:10 336:22 339:24 346:11 156:20 20:23 336:22 339:24 346:11 worres 7:12:02 11 98:11 216:02 53:15 02:05 55:02 02:05:13 370:13 374:1374:14 300:3 302:23 370:15 316:22 316:12:13 300:3 302:23 370:12 336:12 336:15.12.11 300:3 302:23 370:15 336:12 336:15.12.11 156:370:13 370:17 156:370:17 156:370:13 370:17 156:370:17 156:370:13 370:17 156:370:17 156:370:13 370:17 156:370:17 156:370:12.12.12.12.12.12.12.12.12.12.12.12.12.1	247:15 256:20 260:23	86:11 90:6,24 92:10	289:6.22 290:2.17	205:8 227:19 248:6	150 229:7
370:11 128:11 136:10 148:11 236:17 286:3,13,17.20 304:15 306:14 338:22 154:44:17 365:22 257:16 351:17 306:7 302:44:11 336:7 53:44:16:31:17 346:23 347:3,16.20 155:22 332:14:16:13:17:17 365:22 257:16 36:17 221:45:24:17 21:45:24:17:12:17 336:13:31:12:11:11:12 336:13:15:33:11:12:11:11:12 371:12 223:11 222:13:12 336:13:15:32:12:14:11:11:12 336:13:15:32:12:14:11:12:12 380:17 226:13:30:17 27:15:32:24:25:13:82:22 338:13:15:32:12:14:11:12:12 157:17:13:22:14:25:14:12:12 380:13:17:21:23 286:13:30:13:22:19:33:13:15:32:19 337:13:13:32:19 337:13:13:32:19 11:34:13:30:17 380:13:16:32:12:14:13:12:12:14:14:12:12:14:14:12:12:14:14:12:12:14:14:12:12:14:14:12:12:14:14:12:14:14:12:14:14:12:14:14:12:14:14:12:14:14:12:14:14:12:14:14:12:14:14:12:14:14:12:14:14:14:14:14:14:14:14:14:14:14:14:14:	worried 66:25 80:18	-		264:4 293:25 294:10	1500 200.23
worse 77:120/21 (196:11) 173:35, 176:33, 4199:11 300:7 302:46, 81, 31:6 332:23:392:43, 449:11 105:15, 20:20 (128): 106:15, 20:20 (128): 106:15, 20:20 (128): 106:15, 20:20 (128): 106:15, 20:20 (128): 106:15, 20:20 (128): 106:15, 20:20 (128): 106:15, 20:20 (128): 106:15, 20:20 (128): 106:15, 20:20 (128): 106:15, 20:20 (128): 106:15, 20:20 (128): 106:16, 20:20 (128): 106:16, 20:20 (128): 106:16, 20:20 (128): 106:16, 20:20 (128): 106:16, 20:20 (128): 106:16, 20:20 (128): 106:16, 20:20 (128): 106:16, 20:20 (128): 106:16, 20:20 (128): <th< td=""><td></td><td></td><td>-</td><td></td><td></td></th<>			-		
216:19253:16 2246:23 198:17 203:5.5 207:1 304:23 305:25 307:15 346:20 347.316.20 173:220:19255.16.200 1256. 372:1374:4 198:07 203:5.5 205:02 928:15 309:5.5 31:04 341:19 315.11.21 309:5.5 31:04 341:19 315.11.21 180:75:18 180:75:17:15 180:77:17:15 180:77:17:15 180:77:17:15 180:77:17:15 180:77:17:15 180:77:17:15 180:77:17:15 180:77:17:15 180:77:17:15 180:77:17:15					
268:22:287:16 207:14 207:14 217:12 313:2 314:16 313:2 314:10 313:2 314:10 313:2 314:10 313:2 314:11 313:2 314:13 313:2 314:10 313:2 314:11 313:2 314:13 313:2 314:13 313:2 314:13 313:2 314:13 313:2 314:13 313:2 314:13 313:2 314:13 314:13 314:13 314:13 314:13 314:13 314:13 314:13 314:13 314:13 314:13 314:13 314:13 314:13:	-				-
372:13744 216:25 234:10 2444 312:2314:16 18 3151 380:12 3315:11.21 163 370:17 223:11 250:66.10 256 34:1 322:22 282.7 315:2 316:12 317:1 332:22 255:22,74,15:22 335:11 177.17 178.14 12:25 129:5 223:11 250:66.10 256:93.13 322:22 255:22 315:2 316:12.17:1 335:11 315:2 316:12.17:1 335:11 315:2 316:12.17:1 335:11 315:2 316:12.17:1 335:11 322:22:55:22 335:11 322:22:55:22 335:11 177.16 178.00:22:1 178.00:20:1 189.22:1 189.22:1 189.22:1 189.22:1 189.22:1 189.22:1 189.22:1 189.22:1 189.22:1 189.22:1 189.22:1 189.22:1 189.22:1 189.22:1 189.22:1 189.2:1 189.2:1 189.2:1 189.	-				
worth 134 824.19 244.19 247.12 3152					
223:11 205.6,6,10 258:9,13 3164 320.6 9 322.3 383:11 1 717 747 221:1 283:24 284 261:11 287:22 288:7 323:22 355 326:22 323:14 33:25 336:21 333:14 33:55 337:15 140:30.10.22 149:9 114:22 149:9.11 221:1 283:24 284 264:22 303 317:20 333:18 33:85 33:7:15 330:13 153 22:19 133:14 33:20 17 114:72 180:370:23 wmp 123:13 182:20 334:13 38:10 338:23 346:13 492:23 330:11 340:72 134:13 38:10 338:23 346:13 492:23 330:21 34:43:30:07 139:17:14 138:07 189:372:12:14 307:15 37:12 377:13 78:20 336:10 12:16 349:53 58:82 336:10 12:16 349:53 58:42 336:10 12:16 349:11 15:372:23 49:14 375:81 199:32:2 199:32:2 209:12 59:13 77:15 78:2 39:20 59:377:15 377:15 77:16 78:12 36:10 12:16 349:11 15:372:23 49:14 375:81 199:22:17 199:32:2 199:32:2 209:13 59:12 74 39:22 59:13 39:75:15 77:16 78:16 39:75:15 77:16 78:16 37:12 39:17:16 78:76:17 199:32:2 199:32:2 199:32:2 199:32:2 199:32:2 199:32:2 199:32:2 199:32:2 199:32:2 199:32:2 199:32:2			-		
worthy 220:7 255:2 262:2 25:5 26:2 25:5 26:2 25:5 26:2 26:5 27:7 <th27:7< th=""> 27:7 27:7</th27:7<>			315:2 316:12 317:1		
vouluin 1:3:17:218:3 261:11 287:22 288:7 287:2.6 328:22.5 18 142:54 1439.11 175:000 31012 285:55 13 03:12 339:8 295:22 036 317:20 333:16 335:6 337:16 333:16 335:6 337:16 333:16 335:6 337:16 11000 106:18,21 107:2 11800 370:25 Wow 33:1 326:9 328:16 333:22 340:13 54:07:13 340:15 348:10 332:2 340:23 342:14;17.20 130:13 16:20 11800 370:25 Wow 33:1 326:9 328:16 3362.8 346:16 16:347:19 11.349:15 19.7 1000 100:12 1850 377.7 Witting 127:13 259:17 376:20 377:20 342:23 346:11.16.22 11.349:15 19.7 1000 100:12 1850 377.7 202 2825 293.6 377:20 377:20 377:15 376:19 376:1 11.457:25 349:14 375.8 1970:75:20 133:1 1247:22 172:20:12 377:15 376:19 376:1 11.457:16 142:14 142:3 1988 118:23 130:61 33:81 1457:15 192:01:17:13 377:13 376:19 376:1 11.457:14 142:22 1988 118:23 130:62 142:14:157:10 172:22 14:24 11.552:17:14 142:14 142:31 1988 118:23 130:61 338:13:41:157 11.457:145:18:17:32:16:16:16:16:17:14 11.457:145:18:17:32:16:16:16:16:16:17:14:17:14 <	223:11	250:6,6,10 255:9,13	318:4 320:6,9 322:3		17 3:16 124:25 129:5
222: 128:5 28:4 5 286: 12 9:11 9 28:4 12 303: 13 15 32:19 14: 20.4 14: 20.4 14: 20.4 Wow 33: 1 305: 315 32:19 333: 13 858 337:6 333: 13 858 337:6 218:21 18: 14.7.22 wrapped 31: 1 30: 13: 15 382: 19 342: 13 482.23 343; 11, 152 10: 30: 10: 11 10: 30: 10: 11 10: 30: 10: 12 18: 14.7.22 18: 14.7.22 18: 14.7.22 18: 14.7.22 18: 14.7.22 18: 14.7.22 18: 14.7.22 18: 14.7.22 18: 14.7.22 18: 14.7.22 18: 14.7.22 18: 14.7.22 18: 14.7.22 18: 14.7.22 18: 30: 12.7.1 18: 14.7.22 </td <td>worthy 220:7</td> <td>255:25 256:2,9 259:15</td> <td>323:22 325:5 326:22</td> <td>1A 143:10,10,22 149:9</td> <td>17th 74:7</td>	worthy 220:7	255:25 256:2,9 259:15	323:22 325:5 326:22	1A 143:10,10,22 149:9	17th 74:7
222: 128:5 28:4 6 286: 13 28:1 19 28:1 19 28:4 19 28:4 19 28:3 13 181 204 181 204 181 204 Wow 33:4 1 305 23 33:3 12:3 33:2 30 333:1 35:3 33:1 13:3 30:1 3:1 33:2 19 181 204 181 47:2 2 Wim 32:1 31 182:2 0 334:1 33:1 33:2 19 330:1 3:1 33:2 19 330:1 3:1 33:2 19 181 204 180 30:2 18 Wim 2:1 30:1 207:4 3:0 334:1 33:1 33:2 19 342:2 3:3 48:3 37:1 7:3 38:2 139:2 .2 13:3 4:1 33:1 30:0 7 189 32:2 Wim 2:1 307:4 7:3 20:1 13 356:1 0:3 49:1 37:1 13:3 7:4 138:2 19 330:1 3:1 5:3 7:2 .2 19:3 22 19:3 22 200:1 1:2 7:7 3:4 1:4 37:1 4:3 7:1 20:1 12:3 .2 19:3 22 19:3 22 19:3 22 200:1 1:2 7:7 3:4 1:4 19:3 22:2 3:1 10:3 19:7 4:1 38:2 19 19:3 22 30:0 1:3 7:1 23:0 11:3 30:1 13:3 7:2 3:1 7:3 30:1 13:3 7:2 3:1 7:3 30:1 13:3 3	wouldn't 13:17 218:3	261:11 287:22 288:7	327:2,6 328:22,25	1B 142:25 143:9,11	175 201:25
2865.13 0.03-12 308.0 296.22 0.03 5.317.20 337.16 338.68 337.12 337.16 338.68 337.12 1.000 106.18.21 107.2 1180.70.25 Wow 334:1 326.9 326.16 333.22 340.16 34.915.332.2 340.23 342.14,17.20 1.304.313.160.7 1850.37.7 Wint 216.13 162.20 340.16 38.9 556.8. 346.16 19.347.19 1.344.13 24.91.39.07 19.37.14 138.2 19.37.14 138.2 Wint 216.32 0.01 1 357.15 37.16 10.01 1 356.7 356.21.24 340.19 345.14 360.7 19.37.14 138.2 19.37.14 138.2 Wint 216.32 0.01 7.2 2.2 19.37.14 138.2 340.13 48.21 38.11 15.27 10.344.13 42.3 19.57.2 19.37.14 138				1st 20.4	176.000 310.12
					-
Wow 394:1 3269 328:16 333:22 34023 34:14,72.0 1.30 317:11 1800 302:18 wrapp 2313:13 182:20 340:16 3496.358.8.6 342:34 36:11 52.2 1.0 341:13 300.7 1800 302:18 1800 302:18 wrapp 2313:13 182:20 340:16 3496.358.8.6 346:16 19 347:19 1.1 349:15 19 1.1 349:15 19 1.1 349:15 19 1.1 349:15 19 1.1 349:15 19 1.1 349:15 19 1.1 349:15 19 1.1 349:15 19 1.1 349:15 19 1.1 349:15 19 1.1 349:15 19 1.1 349:15 19 1.1 349:13 300.7 1.1 349:13 300.7 1.1 349:13 300.7 1.1 349:13 300.7 1.1 349:13 300.7 1.1 349:14 375.8 1.1 390:133:14 360.7 1.1 349:13 300.7 1.1 349:14 375.8 1.1 390:133:14 360.7 1.1 390:133:14 360.7 1.1 390:133:14 360.7 1.1 390:133:14 360.7 1.1 390:133:14 360.7 1.1 390:133:14 360.7 1.1 390:133:14 360.7 1.1 390:133:14 360.7 1.1 390:137:14 133:12 1.1 390:137:14 133:12 1.1 390:137:14 133:12 1.1 390:137:14 133:12 1.1 390:137:14 133:12 1.1 390:137:14 133:12 1.1 390:137:14 133:12 1.1 390:137:14 133:12 1.1 390:137:14 133:12 1.1 390:137:14 133:12 1.1 390:137:14 133:12 1.1 390:137:14 133:12 1.1 390:137:14 133:12 1.1 390:137:14 133:12 <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>-</td>					-
x x 342:33 342:33 343:33 342:33 343:33 152:30:7 168:03797 x 366:10 366:10 346:13 346:16 347:13 360:07 189:03797 268:11 398:10 368:10 369:11 348:11 348:11 348:13 349:10 353:14 348:13 349:10 353:33 349:10 353:33 349:10 353:33 339:12 369:03 349:10 353:33 333:33 342:33 369:12 369:33 353:33 373:33 374:23 377:15 372:53 349:14 353:33 373:33 374:23 373:33 374:23 373:33 374:23 373:33 374:23 377:15 373:33 374:23 377:15 373:33 374:23 377:15 373:33 374:23 377:15 373:33 374:23 373:33 374:23 373:33 374:23 373:33 374:23 373:33 374:23 374:33 374:33 374:33 374:33 374:33 374:33 374:33					
z ymme 2163 340:16 349:358.8.8 346:16 19 347;19 1.1 349:15.19 19 322 19 322 ymme 2163 326:11 277:3269:17 374:15 376:0 379:17 336:10 369:14,16 336:17 351:21,24 336:10 324:362:336:12,3 349:10 353:14 360:7 191 322 391 322 391 322 191 322 391 322 391 322 191 322 391 322 391 322 191 322 391 322 391 322 191 322 191 322 191 322 191 322 391 323 191 322 191 323 191 323 191 322 191 323 191 323 191 323 191 322 191 322 191 322					
xrite 21:63 220:11 358:10 369:14,15 348:21 349:22 33:62.2 12 346:12 037:3,20 19 137:14 138:2 269:11 297:24,25 397:20 37:61 379:20 366:10,12;16 360:11 15 37:25 349:14 360:7 19 37:14 138:2 307:25 344:34 yesterday 17:12 184 366:10,326:31:7,18 366:10,326:31:7,18 150:22 349:14 360:7 19 37:23 197:32:3 307:25 344:34 178:20 2488 258:21 373:13 374:23 376:10 116:48:14 142:3 197:57:20 197:57:20 313:21 41:14 157:10 yesterday 17:12 184 386:38:3694,7:10 377:15 378:19 377:15 101:48:14 142:3 197:57:20 199:22 32:10 312:21 41:22 31:21 yesterday 197:16 377:15 378:19 379:11 377:15 378:19 379:11 377:15 378:19 379:11 100:12 82:17:12 30:11 199:19 10:12:12 325:13 25:14 273:15 yesterday 17:12 91:20 199:24:14:12:12 199:22 10:12 199:22 10:12 199:22 10:12 199:22 10:12 199:22 10:12 199:22 10:12 199:22 10:12 199:22 10:12 199:22 10:12 199:22 10:12 199:22 10:12 199:22 10:12 199:22 10:12 199:22 10:12 199:22 10:12 199:22 10:12 199:22 10:12 199	•				
writing 1217:13 259:17 374:15 376:20 379:17 3806.17 361:21.24 349:19 353:14 360:1 191 322 307:25 344:3,3 Yep 221:6 360:10 261:21.24 349:19 353:14 360:1 155 372:3344:13 197 223 10:22 28:25 28:43.3 Yep 221:6 368:3 369:4,7,10 376:17 374:23 376:12 197 223 197 223 371:17 40:12,23 41:24 193.20 239:252 373:13 74:23 376:1 101 43:48:14 142:3 197 233 371:17 40:12,23 41:24 264:16 377:15 374:19 374:23 376:1 101 43:68:14 142:3 1988 118:23 310:13 127:57 344:4 161:37:12,39:19 10:11 375:57,20 387:61,1 100 284:11 420:5 199:43:106:20 10:12 257:13 300:11 343:3 16:24:18:22,26:19:7 10:17:25 73:12,17 200:11 12:25 271:13 100 38:44:14:18:21 100:32:34:17:18:12:13 277:13 20:11 22:13 20:12 20:12 21:12 21:14:10:16:35:10:37:12 277:15:24:12 20:11 22:22:277:12:12:12 100:22:23:27:12:12:12:12 100:32:34:14:18:21 100:32:34:14:18:21 100:32:34:14:18:21 277:13 20:12 33:12 21 10:12 12:10:13:10 10:12:10 10:12 12:10:13:10 100:24:25:22:277:12:12:24:22:23:12 22:11:22:13:23:12:12:11:14:11:12:12:12:12:14:14:12:11:11:12:12:12:12:12:14:14:12:12:12:12:14:14:12:12:12:12:		340:16 349:5 358:8,8	346:16,19 347:19	1.1 349:15,19	
269 11 272 342 342 15 15 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 17 <th< td=""><td>write 216:3 220:11</td><td>358:10 369:14,15</td><td>348:21 349:2,23 350:2</td><td>1.2 346:1,20 347:3,9,20</td><td>19 137:14 138:2</td></th<>	write 216:3 220:11	358:10 369:14,15	348:21 349:2,23 350:2	1.2 346:1,20 347:3,9,20	19 137:14 138:2
269:11 297:24:45 379:20 356:10, 12, 16 306:11 15.37:26 349:14 376.8 1910 217:2 written 13:5, 17:15, 18:2, 22, 31 yesterday 17:12, 18:4 364:23 366:19,24 100 284:3 197 3:23 18:9,20 19:17, 12, 22, 23 178:20 2498 258:21 373:1374:23 376:10 377:14 417:32 13:21 1985 249:13, 20 257:5 138:20 148:14 147:710 yesterday 197:16 377:15 378:19 379:1 144:58 147:32 13:21 1985 249:13, 20 257:5 138:20 148:14 147:710 Young 34:64.5, 11, 12 365:7, 20 387:6, 11 100:02 284:11 2856, 7, 12 1992 206:17 136:11 143:3 197:2 72:17 281:20 1982 249:13, 20 257:5 1992 206:17 1992 206:17 126:17 127:5 344:4 10:15, 23:13, 72.3 1992 206:17 1992 206:17 1992 206:17 257:13 300:11 343:3 19:24 21:46, 10, 13, 17 277:26 25:14, 12:25 100:38:47:15 28:19 277:14 1992 20:17 277:22 4 19:24 21:45, 61, 61:3 70:27:82 39:91, 33.13 97:59:82 39:91, 33.13 97:59:82 39:91, 33.13 97:59:82 39:91, 33.13 197:00 38:43:13.61, 01:17 28:15:16:15:16 198:22 13:25:61:81:02:25 777:27:27:27:27:27:72:72:72:72:77:72:72:	writing 217:13 259:17	374:15 376:20 379:17	350:6,17 351:21,24	349:19 353:14 360:7	191 3:22
307:25 244:3.3 Yep 221:6 380:20 36:17.16 180:054 195:3:23 189:20 19:17.22.3 19:3:20:23,23:16:28 19:3:20:23,23:16:28 19:3:20:23,23:16:28 19:3:20:23,23:16:28 19:3:20:23,23:16:28 19:3:20:23,23:16:28 19:3:20:23,23:16:28 19:3:20:23,23:16:28 19:3:20:24:38:23:24:18 10:4:18:44:14:23 19:4:18:41:42:3 19:4:18:41:42:3 19:4:18:41:42:3 19:4:18:41:42:3 19:4:18:41:42:3 19:4:18:41:42:3 19:4:18:41:42:3 19:4:18:41:42:3 19:4:18:41:42:3 19:4:18:41:42:3 19:4:18:41:42:3 19:4:18:41:42:3 19:4:18:41:42:3 19:4:18:22:22:17:29:12:19:24:12:22:27:13:22:22:17:21:19:24:12:20:61:17 19:5:19:27:11 19:5:19:27:14:12:25:11:43:35:19:37:15:19:37:15:19:37:19:37:15:19:37:19:37:15:19:37:15:19:37:15:19:37:19:37:15:19:37:15:19:37:15:19:37:15:19:37:15:19:37:15:19:37:15:19:37:15:19:37:15:19:37:15:19:37:15:19:37:15:19:37:15:19:37:15:19:37:15:19:37:15:19:37:15:19:37:15:19:37:19:37:19:37:19:37:10:37:10:37:10:37:10:37:10:37:10:37:10:37:10:37:10:37:10:37:10					
vmitten 13:5 17:15 18:2 vesterday 17:12 18:4 364:23 36:19:24 1:00 284:3 1:07 23:3 18:9.20 19:17 22.23 193:20 23:23 18:26 373:13 274:23 375:10 114:8 48:14 14:23:12 1975 75:20 13:8:20 14:8:14 157:10 yesterday 5 197:16 373:13 274:23 375:10 114:8 48:14 14:23:12 1985 249:13.20 257:5 13:8:20 14:8:14 157:10 yesterday 5 197:16 381:52 334:8.10;17.23 1985 249:13.20 257:5 1985 249:13.20 257:5 13:8:20 14:8:14 157:10 Young 34:6.4.5,11,12 381:57,20 387:6,11 10:00 28:43 10:00 28:44 1995 195:9 27:7.4 10:15:23:13:13:23 19:24:12:44,6:10,13,17 2 2 10:33:8:47:15 48:19 2 2 2 1995 195:9 27:7.4 1996 119:12 2 1992 20:61:7 1993 20:13:35:1<					
18.9.20 19:17.22.3 19.3 20.23.23 162.8 368.8 368.4,7.10 120 1152.3.25 1162.3 1970s 75.20 37.17 40.12.23 41.24 264.16 37.57.15 77.876.58 10 4.48.41.412.3 1975 74.19 37.17 40.12.23 41.24 264.16 37.57.15 77.878.48 145.18 147.3 23.01.14 303.5 1988 24.91.3.20 257.5 10 12.17 2007 2107 256.11 27.57.344.4 61.13 7.7.23 9.19 10.11 yucky 212.4 10.02 24.11 285.7.12.01 1995 24.91.3.20 257.5 10 12.25 215.77 330.611 34.33 16.24 18.23.25 19.7.1 22.71.54 24.18 1992 20.61.7 1992 20.61.7 375.15 77 333.0611 34.33 16.24 18.23.25 19.7.1 22.11 40.18 45.9.13 22.11 40.18 45.9.13 22.11 40.18 45.9.13 22.11 40.18 45.9.13 22.11 40.18 45.9.13 22.11 40.18 45.9.13 22.11 40.18 45.9.13 23.57.12 22.338.6 24.7.10 45.12 2.2.25 44.20 23.7.12 22.338.6 24.29 4.18 40.7.17 147.24 15.2.6 14.10.27 147.24 15.2.6 14.10.27 147.24 42.5 3.47.10 147.24 42.5 3.47.10 147.24 42.5 3.47.10 147.24 42.5 3.47.10 147.24 42.5 3.47.11 147.24 42.5 3.47.11 147.24 42.5 3.47.10 147.24 42.20 20.2 22.17.30 147.24 42.5 3.47.10 147.24 42.20 20.2 22.17.30 147.24 42.20 20.2 22.17.30 147.24 42.20 20.2 22.17.30 147.24 42.20 43.11 147.14 42.16 14.11.11.11			364:23 366:10 24		
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $					
37:17 4:012.23 41:24 264:16 375:15,17 376:58 145:18 147:3 221 1988 148:23 1988 148:23 1988 249:32.257:5 138:20 148:14 157:10 yield 212:18 young 3:4 64:5,11.12 381:2 384:6,10,17.22 101:26:21 27:23 01:14 303:5 1098 249:13.20 257:5 1998 249:13.20 257:5 <				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
130: 133: 81 34:15 yesterday: \$197:16 377:15 378:19 379:11 24:22 301:14 303:5 1989 249:13.20 287:5 138: 201 44:14 157:10 Young 34:64,5 11.12 38:57,20 387:6,11 10-acre 297:11 1995 195:9 217:4 257:13 30:611 343:3 10:24 16:23 13:7,23 12:2 10:15 22:41:12 25:67,12 1995 195:9 217:4 277:15 37:16 379:12 11:12 14:61:01:317 22:11 40:18 45:9,13 2 173:24 10:30 244:61 83:87:12.13 1995 195:9 217:4 277:15 37:12 37:12 22:11 40:18 45:9,13 2 173:24 22:13 15:22 35:12.23 22:13 15:22 35:12.23 55:20 56:21 56:10.25 55:20 56:21 56:10.25 55:20 56:21 56:10.25 55:20 56:21 56:10.25 55:20 56:21 56:10.25 55:20 56:21 56:10.25 55:20 56:21 56:10.25 56:42 39:11 89:71 110:11:51 13:10:13:10:13:10:13:10:14:11:11:10:12:31 11:12 34:22:39:11:11:13:11:11:10:13:10:10:13:11:11:11:11:11:11:10:6 11:12 23:12:12:11:13:10:13:11:11:11:11:11:11:11:11:11:11:11:11:					
138.20 148.14 187.10 18217 2007 210.7 26611 275 7344.4 27613 306:11 275 7344.4 2613 71.22 919 10:11 2613 71.22 919 10:11 2713 206:11 275 7344.1 2051 32071 320.21 2214 40:18 45:9, 13 2271 320.21 2214 40:18 45:9, 13 2271 320.21 2214 40:18 45:9, 13 2214 40:18 45:9, 13 2214 40:18 45:9, 13 2214 40:18 45:9, 13 2214 10:18 222 82:19 2132 42:14 40:18 45:9, 13 2212 912 912 912 912 912 912 912 912 912	-				
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $			377:15 378:19 379:1		<i>*</i>
	138:20 148:14 157:10		381:2 384:8,10,17,22	10th 25:21 27:1 291:20	199 4:3 106:20
256:11 275:7 344:4 6:13 7:123 9:19 10:11 yucky 212:4 10:00 284:13 286:6,712 1995 19:59 217.4 257:13 306:11 343:3 36:14 273:72 373:30 10:52 313:72.33 10:52 313:72.33 1995 19:59 217.4 1996 119:12 27:13 302:1 45:20 50:8,10,14:17 22:11 40:18 459:13 22:17:30 22:12 22:31 12 82:1 326:6,12 1996 119:12 27:13 20:21 45:20 50:8,10,14:17 22:14 40:18 459:13 22:13 12 82:1 326:6,12 1906 310 81:24 21:46:10,25 Wi-H-F 1997 45:20 50:8,10,14:17 22:14 30:16:10,25 377:21 22:33 21:41:18,21 377:21 22:33 29:30:1 110:15:16:137:41 Y 97:59 82:39 9:13,13 10:56:11 10:67:11:13 10:00 24:15 10:02 24:13 20:17 10:15:16:137:42:120:17 Y 173:24 97:59 82:39 9:13,13 10:00 24:15 10:00 24:15 10:00 24:15:16:15:16:17,11 10:15:21:35:10:22:12:22:17:19:24:12:20:17 Y 173:24 97:59 82:39 9:13:31 10:00 24:15:10:15:10:13:10:16:16:16:10:17:21:17:10:10:15:10:13:12:2 10:12:13:10:10:16:12:10:10:15:10:13:12:10:10:15:10:13:12:10:10:15:10:13:12:10:10:15:10:13:12:10:10:15:10:13:12:10:10:15:20:13:10:10:12:10:10:15:20:13:10:10:15:20:13:10:10:12:10:10:15:20:13:10:10:12:10:10:15:20:13:10:10:12:10:10:15:20:13:10:10:11:10:11:10:11:10:11:10:11:10:11:10:11:10	162:17 200:7 210:7	Young 3:4 6:4,5,11,12	385:5,7,20 387:6,11	10-acre 297:11	1992 206:17
wrong 124:1 247:18 10:15.23 137.23 Z 20:30 246.8, 285.11,13 1996 119:12 257:13 306:11 343:3 16:24 16:32 56 197. 22:11 40:16 459.13 10:30 224 6.8, 285.11,13 22:11 32.52 27:13 52:23 54:20 267:13 303:12 27:11 40:16 459.13 27:13 52:32 54:20 27:13 52:23 54:20 55:42 (73:15, 20:62:1 58:10, 25 27:13 22:11 40:16 459.13 50:13 22:15 345:12 27:13 42:12 27:13 52:23 54:20 55:42 (73:10, 17 W-1-bey 333:1 60:17 72:27 57:12.17 74:2 77:20 78:2 82:14 37:21 22:38:80:14 341:12 242:5 341:6, 10, 11 10:15 16:10:13:16 10:36:18 66:10 87:43 38:2 344:19 66:25 94:18 97:17 110:51 51:10:13:16:10 Y 91:22 92:17 93:8,9 \$ 361:16 16:34:48 37:12 37:89:83 99:11 117:41:10:19:12:12 20:68:27:19:24:52 20:12 20:22:19:22:14:20:11 20:12 20:22:19:22:14:20:11 20:12 20:22:14:20:11 20:12 20:22:14:20:11 20:12 20:22:14:20:11:13:136:16 36:14:36:22:336:1 37:12 37:12 37:12 37:12 37:12 33:13:13 37:13:136:10 33:12 39:19:24:37:12 39:19:24:37:12 39:19:24:37:12 39:19:24:37:12 39:19:24:37:12 39:19:24:37:12 39:19:24:37:12 39:19:24:37:12 <td>256:11 275:7 344:4</td> <td>-</td> <td>vucky 212:4</td> <td>10:00 284:11 285:6.7.12</td> <td>1995 195:9 217:4</td>	256:11 275:7 344:4	-	vucky 212:4	10:00 284:11 285:6.7.12	1995 195:9 217:4
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		-	y =		
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	0		7		
287:1 320:21 45:20 50:8, 10.14.17 zmo 217:5 349:12 14:2:20:3 227:3 25:31 12 22:1 320:6, 12 56:20 66:21 68 10:2, 46 W-I-Le-y 333:21 50:9.21 63:1.5, 96 66 50:9.21 63:1.5, 96 66 337:21, 22 336.6 337:21, 22 336.6 337:21, 22 336.6 337:21, 22 336.6 340:25 41:3, 61, 01, 11 110:15 135:10 136:18 59:4, 91, 01, 26 0.2, 4 X 91:22 92:17 93:8, 9 s s 373:18 379.980:1 110:15 135:10 136:18 110:21 22 22:142:21 136:22 139:22 3142:21 136:22 139:22 3142:21 136:22 139:22 3142:21 136:22 139:22 3142:21 136:22 139:22 3142:21 136:22 139:22 3142:21 137:14 250:10 23:20 110:15 135:10 136:18 117:51:4 20:10:21,22 20:58 227:19 20:63.227:19 20:63 227:19 20:58 227:19 20:58 227:19 20:58 227:19 20:58 227:19 20:58 227:19 20:58 227:19 20:58 227:19 20:58 227:19 20:58 227:19 20:58 227:19 20:58 227:19 20:58 227:19 20:58 227:19 20:58 227:19 20:58 223:15 20:22 103 56:25 59:20 60:6,14 337:19 20:61:18 37:10 103 22:12 22:25 20:15 20:22 22:14 20:13 30:19 33:19 20:13 35:19 336:16 33:19 20:13 35:19 336:16 33:10 20:17 33:10 20:17 335:19 336:16 33:10 20:17 335:19 336:16 33:10 20:17 335:19 336:16 33:12 23:18 23:10 20:17 30:10 335:19 336:16 33:10 20:17 335:19 336:16 33:10 20:17 335:19 336:16 33:10 20:17 335:19 336:16 33:10 20:17 335:10 336:18 33:10 20:17 335:10 336:13 33:10 20:17 335:10 336:13 33:10 20:17 335:10 336:13 33:10 20:17 11:10 15:17 336:10 337:13 336:10 337:13 336:10 337:13 336:10 337:13 336:10 336:16 33:10 20					
www.depo.com 1:23 50:19:21 63:15:9 66:8 27ger 36:67 283:721:22 326:61:2 59:4,9:0.12 60:2,4 W-I-Ley 333:21 66:11 72:25 73:12:17 zones 364:14 347:21 22 336:6 64:25 94:13.0;10,11 X 91:22 92:17 93:8,9 91:51 03:16 13:16 337:21 22 342:547:17 136:22 139:23 142:21 Y 91:52 92:17 93:8,9 91:51 01:11:13:16 102:3 101:41:12 13:24:5 547:17 136:22 139:23 142:21 Y 91:51 01:11:13:16 102:3 105:61:11 106:7.11:13 107:41:16:11:16:6 101:22 92:17:0 378:18 379:9 380:1 102:62:55 92:0.0:0:6.1:4 137:21:22 342:53 24:294:8 Y 17:22 76:2 97:7 177:61:10:61:12 128:24 131:01 132:3 128:24 131:10:13:23 128:24 131:10:13:23 137:22 22 54:22 254:14 297:10 298:5 309:15 Y 12:22 72:12 22:17:12 2:15:16:15:10:17:23 13:000 379:15 11:142:15 242:2 254:14 297:10 298:5 309:15 200:10:0 292:13:35:16 339:19:24:373:12 39:19:24:373:12 39:19:24:373:12 39:19:24:373:12 39:19:24:373:12 39:19:24:373:12 39:19:24:373:12 39:19:24:25:38:2 39:19:24:33:15:12 20:17:20 33:19:12 20:11:10:27:14:13:13:16:6 11:142:15:242:22:25:15:20 21:12:22:33:15:16 <					
Wi-Li-ey 333:21 66:11 72:25 73:12,17 Zone 18:54 338:2 344:19 337:21,22 338:6 64:25 94:18 97:17 X 74:27 77:07 8:2 82:19 Sone 364:14 341:12 342:5 347:17 10:15 15:10 13:6:18 X 97:5 98:23 99:1,3 13 99:15 101:13;16 102:3 91:15 16:37:48 177:42 154:29:21 42:24:23 Y 97:5 99:23 99:1,3 13 100:74 115:11 116:69 51:00 237:21 20:8:8 227:19 264:5 101 24:32 20:8:8 227:19 264:5 Y 100:67:11 19:29 10:74 115:11 116:69 1177:6,10 121:6 123:10 175:18 20:07 13:8:12 30:00 217:20 103 262:5 59:20 60:6;14 29:10:09:23:42:21 29:10:09:23:42:24 29:10:09:23:42:24 29:10:09:23:42:24 29:10:09:23:42:24 29:10:09:23:42:24 20:10:09:23:25 29:10:09:23:42:24 20:10:09:23:25 29:10:09:23:42:24 20:10:09:23:25 20:10:09:23:24 20:10:09:23:24 20:10:09:23:24 20:10:09:23:24 20:10:09:23:24:24 20:10:09:23:25:13:20 Year 111:19:19 12:12:15 115:16:25 11:30:00:30:00:21:79 33:16:13:30:00 30:00:00:21:79 33:16:13:30:00 30:00:00:21:79 33:16:13:30:10:00:13:10:13:10:13:10:13:10:13:10:13:10:13:10:13:10:13:10:13:10:13:10:13:10:13:10:13:10:13:10			zero 217:5 349:12		55:20 56:21 58:10,25
W-o-I+f 199:7 74:2 77:20 78:2 82:19 82:25 86:5 89:14 zones 334:14 30:25 341:3,6,10,11 341:12 342:5 347:17 110:15 136:10 136:18 136:22 139:23 142:21 x y <td></td> <td></td> <td>Zigler 36:6</td> <td></td> <td>59:4,9,10,12 60:2,4</td>			Zigler 36:6		59:4,9,10,12 60:2,4
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	W-i-l-e-y 333:21	66:11 72:25 73:12,17	zone 185:4 338:2 344:19	337:21,22 338:6	64:25 94:18 97:17
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	W-o-I-f-f 199:7	74:2 77:20 78:2 82:19	zones 364:14	340:25 341:3,6,10,11	110:15 135:10 136:18
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		82:25 86:5 89:14		341:12 342:5 347:17	
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	X	91:22 92:17 93:8,9	\$	361:15,16 374:8	
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	v 173·24			-	
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	X 175.24				
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	Y				
y=13:24 yeah 41:7,13 45:18 63:3 77:22 78:2 97.7 106:11 119:19 121:7 128:24 63:13,17 128:24 63:13,17 128:14 229:24 131:01 132:3 183:148:1 220:11,22 22:5 159:4/17,25 161:25 159:4/17,25 161:25 159:4/17,25 161:25 159:4/17,25 161:25 159:4/17,25 161:25 159:4/17,25 161:25 189:14,17 228:14 229:12 28:16 88:17 90.6 1112 128:18,19 171:19 199:14, 206:7,11 128:18,19 171:19 199:14, 206:7,11 128:18,19 171:19 199:14, 206:7,11 129:17,224:15 224:13 224:12 236:7 238:21 42:23 244:3 248:8,23 252:16 244:3 248:8,23 252:16 244:4 9 256:16 258:9,12 244:12 40;13 245:14 259:12 260:16 244:24 0;141:12 2:13,216:2 13267 153:10 185:22 13267 153:10 185:22			\$100,000 217:20		
$\begin{array}{c} 124:2 24:23 126:12 \\ 126:11 119:19 121:7 \\ 126:22 133.17 \\ 125:2 163:13,17 \\ 125:2 163:13,17 \\ 125:2 163:13,17 \\ 125:2 163:13,17 \\ 125:2 163:13,17 \\ 125:2 163:13,17 \\ 125:2 163:13,17 \\ 125:2 163:13,17 \\ 125:2 163:13,17 \\ 125:2 163:13,17 \\ 126:21 1222:27 \\ 126:17 127:18 223:22 225 \\ 157:18,25 158:2,9,16 \\ 120:11 226:17,17 \\ 241:5 255:10 258:5 \\ 164:23 166:1,9,17,20 \\ 284:7 340:2 372:21 \\ 381:12 \\ 381:14 \\ 381:12 \\ 281:14 381:12 \\ 211:10 213:2 218:18 197:119 \\ 199:3.1 90:16,20 234:24 \\ 221:3 235:3 2364 237:21,23 \\ 224:14:10, 14 242:24 \\ 224:110, 14 242:24 \\ 224:110, 14 242:24 \\ 224:12 \\ 325:3 2364 237:21,23 \\ 244:3 248:8 2352:16 \\ 244:12 401 122:16 215:16 215.10 185:22 \\ 336:16 336:15 336:15 \\ 336:16 336:15 336:15 \\ 336:16 336:15 336:15 \\ 336:16 336:15 336:15 \\ 336:16 336:15 336:15 \\ 336:16 336:15 336:15 \\ 336:16 336:15 336:15 \\ 336:16 336:15 336:15 \\ 336:16 336:15 336:15 \\ 336:16 336:15 336:15 \\ 336:16 336:15 336:15 \\ 336:16 $	y 173:24		\$12 30:7		
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	yeah 41:7,13 45:18 63:3		\$120 331:22	-	310:10 325:1 335:16
$\begin{array}{c} 106:11 119:19 121:7\\ 128:24 131:10 132:3\\ 132:15,16 151:6\\ 122:132:1122 122:1\\ 126:17,17\\ 241:5 224:22 22:5\\ 248:7 340:2 372:21\\ 381:12\\ 244:5 288:16\\ 189:14,17 190:16,20\\ 381:12\\ 2year 81:11,18 82:28 88:16\\ 189:14,17 190:16,20\\ 381:12\\ 218:18,19 171:19\\ 128:18,19 171:19\\ 128:18,19 171:19\\ 128:18,19 171:19\\ 128:18,19 171:19\\ 128:18,19 171:19\\ 128:18,19 171:19\\ 128:18,19 171:19\\ 128:18,19 171:19\\ 128:18,206:25,25 209:1\\ 244:3 248:23 252:16\\ 244:3 248:23 252:16\\ 244:3 248:23 252:16\\ 244:3 248:23 252:16\\ 244:3 248:23 252:16\\ 244:3 248:23 252:16\\ 244:3 248:23 252:16\\ 244:3 248:23 252:16\\ 244:3 248:23 252:16\\ 244:3 248:23 252:16\\ 244:3 248:23 252:16\\ 244:3 248:23 252:16\\ 244:3 248:23 252:16\\ 244:3 248:23 252:16\\ 244:3 248:23 252:16\\ 244:3 248:23 252:16\\ 244:10,14,242:24\\ 245:3 246:4 237:21,23\\ 246:16,22 241:1,4,7\\ 294:9 297:1 299:1\\ 326:9 366:3\\ 369:16,376:17 385:13\\ 266:5,19,21 267:3,11\\ 266:5,19,21 267:3,11\\ 266:5,19,21 267:3,11\\ 266:5,19,21 267:3,11\\ 266:5,19,21 267:3,11\\ 266:5,19,21 267:3,11\\ 266:5,19,21 267:3,11\\ 266:5,19,21 267:3,11\\ 266:5,19,21 267:3,11\\ 266:5,19,21 267:3,11\\ 266:5,19,21 267:3,11\\ 266:5,19,21 267:3,11\\ 266:5,19,21 267:3,11\\ 266:5,19,21 267:3,11\\ 266:5,19,21 267:3,11\\ 266:5,19,21 267:3,11\\ 266:5,19,21 267:3,11\\ 266:2,22 269:1,6,8,18\\ 270:3,11,18,20 276:25\\ 326:5,19,21 267:3,11\\ 266:2,22 269:1,6,8,18\\ 270:3,11,18,20 276:25\\ 326:3,28,6,10,13,284:7\\ 326:9 368:3\\ 336,8,10,13,284:7\\ 336:16,10,13,61\\ 336:163,71:10,336:18\\ 336:10,130:10 38:14 339:17\\ 336:14:10,12,13,14,14\\ 49:10 51:7 64:14 65:5\\ 268:16,19,21267:3,11\\ 266:2,124,19\\ 265:10,216,22\\ 201:10,224:124\\ 1326:10,124:10,212,13,14,14\\ 201:124\\ 1326:10,124:10,125:10\\ 1326:10,136$			\$13.000 379:15		
$\begin{array}{c} 132:2\ 163:13,17\\ 125:2\ 163:13,17\\ 125:2\ 163:13,17\\ 126:14\ 126\ 127\ 132:15,16\ 151:6\\ 157:18,25\ 158:2,9,16\\ 157:18,25\ 158:2,9,16\\ 157:18,25\ 158:2,9,16\\ 159:4,17,25\ 161:25\\ 163:5,19,23,25\ 164:15\\ 159:4,17,25\ 161:25\\ 163:5,19,23,25\ 164:15\\ 222:11\ 228:17,17\\ 241:5\ 255:10\ 258:5\\ 284:7\ 340:2\ 372:21\\ 381:12\\ 126:5\ 186:1\ 187:21\\ 185:5\ 196:1\ 187:21\\ 185:5\ 196:1\ 187:21\\ 185:5\ 196:1\ 187:21\\ 185:5\ 196:1\ 187:21\\ 185:5\ 196:1\ 187:21\\ 185:5\ 196:1\ 187:21\\ 185:5\ 196:1\ 187:21\\ 185:5\ 196:1\ 187:21\\ 120\ 248:4\ 335:23\ 336:5\\ 336:5\ 341:19.24\\ 203:5\ 233:65\ 341:19.24\\ 203:5\ 233:65\ 341:19.24\\ 203:13\ 216:15\ 155\\ 5500,000\ 219:1\ 338:5\ 341:19.24\\ 207:11\ 338:5\ 341:19.24\\ 207:11\ 31\ 251:18,19.24\\ 207:11\ 31\ 251:18,19.24\\ 207:11\ 31\ 251:18,19.24\\ 200,000\ 199:18\\ 200,000\ 218:11\\ 200-acre\ 297:11\\ 200,000\ 218:11\\ 200-acre\ 297:11\\ 200,000\ 218:11\\ 200-acre\ 297:11\\ 200,20:8\ 30:32:15\\ 2000\ 201:41:24\\ 200,100\ 201:42:23\\ 345:15\ 320:16\\ 2002\ 314:250\ 366:5\\ 33:15\ 336:15\\ 32:13\ 41:11\ 43:39:7\\ 140\ 143:2\ 345:17\\ 140\ 143:2\ 345:17\\ 140\ 143:2\ 345:17\\ 140\ 143:2\ 345:17\\ 140\ 143:2\ 345:17\\ 140\ 143:2\ 345:17\\ 140\ 143:2\ 321:4\ 117\ 336:16\\ 321:4\ 117\ 336:16\\ 33:14\ 117\ 336:16\\ 33:14\ 117\ 336:16\\ 33:14\ 117\ 336:16\\ 33:14\ 117\ 336:16\\ 33:14\ 117\ 336:16\\ 33:14\ 326:7\\ 133:9\ 35:10\ 136:16\\ 136:22\ 147:24\ 175:14\\ 203:5\ 207:13\ 30:6\ 32:12\ 176:3\ 321:4\ 1176:3\ 345:17\\ 140\ 143:2\ 325:17\ 140\ 325:17\ 140\ 325:17\ 30:6\ 32:12\ 314:14\ 339:7\\ 321:14\ 117\ 336:16\\ 33:14\ 321:14\ 336:16\ 321:14\ 320.7\ 141\ 320.7\ 141\ 320.7\ 141\ 320.7\ 141\ 320.7\ 141\ 320.7\ 141\ 320$		128:24 131:10 132:3		11 142:15 242:2 254:14	339:19,24 373:12
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		132:15,16 151:6		292:14 306:15,20	
109:110:211;20:221:2 159:4;17,25:161:25 159:4;17,25:161:25 159:4;17,25:161:25 1111:14:3 2C 138:12 220:11;22:21:1228:17,17 163:5;19,23;25:164:15 163:5;19,23;25:164:15 530,000 219:15 33:14 530,000 219:15 21:25:243:23:244:3 21:25:243:23:244:3 284:7:340:2:372:21 185:5:186:1:187:21 185:5:186:1:187:21 189:4;17:19:16;20 33:5:5:248:13 298:25:338:3 28:25:338:3 28:25:338:3 28:25:338:3 20:32:13:76:4:138:12 38:17 90:6:111:9 199:1;4;17:90:16;20 199:1;4;20:7;11 199:1;4;20:7;11 550,000 218:6;15 550,000 219:1 33:5:5:34:1:19,24 20:32:13:76:4:138:12 110:11:19 199:1;4;20:7;11 199:1;4;20:7;11 550,000 219:1 33:5:5:34:1:19,24 21:12:5:243:23:36:5 152:13:15:6:6:17:11 171:17:17:10:11:1 152:13:15:6:6:17:11 171:17:17:10:11:1 12:11:10:21:17:17:33:10 13:25:18,19,22 13:2:21:1 33:10:0:24:24 20:0:29:2:3:13:10 20:11:13:22:13:10 20:11:13:22:13:10 20:11:13:11:17:17:13:10:13:10 20:11:13:11:10:17:11:13:12 20:11:11:11:11:11:10:12:13:11:13:12 20:11:14:12:21:32:10:12:11:13:12:12:13:10 20:12:13:11:12:13:12:12:13:10 20:11:13:12:13:10 20:12:13:11:12:13:11:11:12:13:11:11:11:10:12:13:11:11:11:10:11:11:11:11:11:11:11:11:11:		157:18,25 158:2,9,16	\$170 000 368·3 360·4	366:16	
$\begin{array}{c} 220:11,222:17,222.13\\ 222:11,228:17,17\\ 241:5,255:10,258:5\\ 244:7,340:2,372:21\\ 381:12\\ year 81:11,118,85:186:1187:21\\ 185:5186:1187:21\\ 185:5186:1187:21\\ 185:5186:1187:21\\ 185:5186:1187:21\\ 194:4,7,9,11,18,24\\ 915:3196:18,197:1\\ 128:18,19,171:19\\ 128:18,19,171:19\\ 128:18,19,171:19\\ 128:18,19,171:19\\ 128:18,206:25,25,209:1\\ 241:22,217:18,234:22\\ 236:7,238:21,243:23\\ 244:3,248:8,23,252:16\\ 224:9,16,20,234:24\\ 236:7,238:21,243:23\\ 244:3,248:8,23,252:16\\ 244:22,217:18,234:22\\ 236:7,238:21,243:23\\ 244:3,248:8,23,252:16\\ 244:22,217:18,234:22\\ 246:5,19,21,26:15\\ 244:9,266:15,19,21,26:234:24\\ 236:7,238:21,243:23\\ 246:3,242:23\\ 246:3,252:16\\ 244:9,266:16,28:81,2\\ 266:5,19,21,26:15\\ 386:19,20,21,341:17\\ 349:9,356:9,368:3\\ 369:16,376:17,385:13\\ yearly 213:6\\ years 13:4,23:13,28:18\\ 270:3,11,18,20,276:25\\ 321:3,41:11,43:12\\ 49:10,51:7,64:14,65:5\\ 206:5,19,21,26:16,8,18\\ 270:3,11,18,20,276:25\\ 321:3,41:11,43:12\\ 49:10,51:7,64:14,65:5\\ 211:10,21:3,28:18\\ 270:3,11,18,20,276:25\\ 321:3,41:11,43:12\\ 49:10,51:7,64:14,65:5\\ 226:17,10,13,16\\ 66:7,68:8,71:12,75:18\\ 283:3,6,8,10,13,284:7\\ 76:5,81:2,83:14,85:23\\ 244:10,12,15,17,285:2\\ 244:10,12,15,17,285:2\\ 203:5,207:1,303:6\\ 2012,226:21,30:10\\ 2012,233:14\\ 2013,221,21,216:2\\ 2013,224,217:10\\ 2013,2345:17\\ 2014,224,724,175:14\\ 203,10,13,17,19\\ 2015,112,75:18\\ 284:10,12,15,17,285:2\\ 2014,224,175:14\\ 203:6,10,13,284:7\\ 135:9\\ 2004,18:10,12,13,14,14\\ 203:16,20,180:7,185:7\\ 136:22,147:24,175:14\\ 203:5,207:1,303:6\\ 2012,225,31:4\\ 2013:6,20,180:7,185:7\\ 185:9\\ 2004,18:10,12,13,14,14\\ 203:16,20,180:7,185:7\\ 185:9\\ 2004,18:10,12,13,14,14\\ 203:16,20,180:7,185:7\\ 185:9\\ 2004,18:10,12,13,14,14\\ 203:16,20,180:7,185:7\\ 185:9\\ 2004,18:10,12,13,14,14\\ 203:16,20,180:7,185:7\\ 185:9\\ 2014,182,24,175:14\\ 203:16,20,180:7,185:7\\ 185:9\\ 2014,182,24,175:14\\ 2014,122,24,175:14\\ 2014,122,24,175:14\\ 2014,122,24,175:14\\ 2014,122,24,175:14\\ 2014,122,24,175:14\\ 2014,122,24,175:14\\ 2014,122,24,175:14\\ 2014,122,24,175:14\\ 2014,122,24,175:14\\ 2014,122,24,175:14\\ 2014,122,24,175:14\\ 2014,122,24,175:14\\ 2014,1$				11th 147:3	
221:1 223:11,17 164:23 165:1,9,17,20 182:23 183:1 184:1 183:1 187:21 182:23 183:1 184:1 185:1 186:1 187:21 182:23 183:1 184:1 185:1 186:1 187:21 182:23 183:1 184:1 185:5 186:1 187:21 182:23 183:1 184:1 185:5 186:1 187:21 185:5 186:1 187:21 185:5 186:1 187:21 185:5 186:1 187:21 185:5 186:1 187:21 185:5 186:1 187:21 185:5 186:1 187:21 185:5 186:1 187:21 185:5 186:1 187:21 185:5 186:1 187:21 120:2 48:4 335:23 336:5 298:25 338:3 298:25 338:3 298:25 338:3 298:25 338:5 298:25 338:5 298:25 338:5 298:25 338:5 209:21 3:15 16:171:11 1111:11 111:11 111:11 1					
$\begin{array}{c} 244.7\ 323.10\ 235.10\ 236.3\ \\ 284.7\ 340.2\ 372.21\ \\ 381.12\ \\ year\ 81.11.18\ 82.2\ 88.16\ \\ 381.7\ 90.6\ 111.9\ \\ 195.3\ 196.11\ 197.1\ \\ 195.3\ 196.18\ 197.1\ \\ 195.3\ 228.14\ 227.22\ 228.16\ \\ 211.10\ 214.22\ 217.18\ 234.22\ \\ 228.9\ 16.2\ 228.9\ 16.2\ 224.21\ \\ 228.9\ 16.2\ 224.21\ \\ 228.9\ 16.2\ 224.21\ \\ 236.7\ 238.21\ 243.23\ \\ 226.18\ 242.23\ \\ 09\ 242.2\ \\ 00\ 242.2\ 3\ \\ 09\ 242.2\ \\ 00\ 242.2\ \\ 00\ 242.2\ \\ 13269\ 180.2.4\ \\ 13269\ 180.2.4\ \\ 13269\ 180.2.4\ \\ 200\ 00\ 28.8\ \\ 200\ 00\ 28.8\ \\ 200\ 00\ 28.8\ \\ 200\ 00\ 28.8\ \\ 200\ 00\ 28.8\ \\ 200\ 00\ 28.8\ \ \\ 200\ 00\ 28.8\ \ \\ 200\ 00\ 28.8\ \ \\ 200\ 00\ 28.8\ \ \\ 200\ 201\ 23\ 26.16\ \ \\ 200\ 201\ 23\ 25.15\ \ \\ 200\ 201\ 23\ 25.15\ \ \\ 200\ 201\ 23\ 25.15\ \ \\ 200\ 201\ 23\ 25.15\ \ \\ 200\ 201\ 23\ 25.15\ \ \\ 200\ 201\ 23\ 25\ 200\ 201\ 23\ 25\ 200\ 201\ 23\ 25\ 200\ 201\ 23\ 25\ 200\ 201\ 23\ 200\ 201\ 23\ 200\ 201\ 23\ 200\ 201\ 23\ 200\ 201\ 201\ 200\ 201\ 201\ 200\ 201\ 201$					
284,7 340,7 342,21 185:5 186:1 187:21 156:1 111:1 171:17:111:1 171:17 207:1,14 171:17 207:1,14 211:10 219:14 291:13 297:11 317:17 36:10 379:19 297:11 317:17 36:10 379:19 297:11 317:17 36:10 379:19 20,000 199:18 200,000 199:18 200,000 348:11 200:30:15 345:25 345:12 56:5 145:25 345:12 56:5 145:25 345:12 56:5 145:25 345:12 56:5 145:25 345:12 56:5 145:25 345:12 56:5 145:25 345:12 56:5 145:25 345:12 56:6 56:5 200:10 20:18:0 338:15 200 2					-
35:112 189:14,17 190:16,20 year 81:11,18 82:2 88:16 189:14,17 190:16,20 180:14,79,0:6 111:9 194:4,7,9,11,18,24 128:18,19 171:19 195:3 196:18 197:1 173:4 178:1 179:12 199:1,4 206:7,11 211:10 213:7 214:15 223:16 227:22 228:16 244:2 247:18 234:22 235:3 236:4 237:21,23 244:3 248:8,23 252:16 240:16,22 241:1,4,7 294:9 297:1 299:1 241:10,14 242:24 385:19,20,21 341:17 258:14 259:1 260:6,10 389:16 376:17 385:13 266:5,19,21 267:3,11 29early 213:6 278:25 281:7,10,13,16 278:25 281:7,10,13,16 278:25 281:7,10,13,16 32:13 41:11 43:12 278:25 281:7,10,13,16 32:13 41:11 43:12 278:25 281:7,10,13,16 32:13 41:11 43:12 278:25 281:7,10,13,16 32:13 41:11 43:12 278:25 281:7,10,13,16 36:7 68:8 71:12 75:18 283:3,68,10,13 284:7 76:5 81:2 83:14 85:23 283:3,68,10,13 284:7 284:10,12,15,17 285:2 283:3,68,10,13 284:7 290:14 2147:24 175:14 203:25 207:1 303:6 200:24:23 020:16 203:25 207:1 303:6 2004:16 214:24 112:711148:16 52:12,19 </td <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>					
9earl 31: 11, 162:2 06:10 194:4,7,9,11,18,24 88:17 90:6 111:9 194:4,7,9,11,18,24 128:18,19 171:19 195:3 196:18 197:1 173:4 178:1 179:12 199:1,4 206:7,11 180:8 206:25,25 209:1 223:16 227:22 228:1,6 211:10 213:7 214:15 223:16 227:22 228:1,6 223:6 227:22 228:1,6 5600 30:10 111:9 236:7 238:21 243:23 240:16,22 241:1,4,7 244:3 248:8,23 252:16 240:16,22 241:1,4,7 294:9 297:1 299:1 241:10,14 242:24 38:19,20,21 341:17 258:14 259:1 260:6,10 399:9 358:9 368:3 266:5,19,21 267:3,11 29early 213:6 276:25 261:7,10,13,16 29:13 41:11 43:12 278:25 281:7,10,13,16 319:13 28:18 278:25 281:7,10,13,16 32:13 41:11 43:12 278:25 281:7,10,13,16 32:13 41:11 43:12 284:10,12,15,17 285:2 32:13 41:11 43:12 284:10,12,15,17 285:2 49:10 51:7 64:14 65:5 284:10,12,15,17 285:2 284:10,12,15,17 285:2 284:10,12,15,17 285:2 30:13 211 275:18 284:10,12,15,17 285:2 3110 136:18 1400 238:6 100 238:6 152:13 136:6 2004 18:10					
88:17 90:6 111:9 128:18,19 171:19 128:18,19 171:19 173:4 178:1 179:12 194:4,7,911,18,24 195:3 196:18 197:1 199:1,4 206:7,11 199:1,4 206:7,11 199:1,4 206:7,11 223:16 227:22 228:1,6 224:24 224:24 \$44 30:6 \$50,000 218:6,15 \$50,000 219:1 \$500,000 219:1 \$600 30:10 111:9 12:15 115:15,25 338:5 341:19,24 345:10 345:16 152:13 156:6 171:11 171:17 207:1,14 211:10 219:14 291:13 388:5 341:19,24 213:22 216 227:22 228:1,6 224:3 248:8,23 252:16 224:9 297:1 299:1 326:9,9 330:5 336:15 38:19,20,21 341:17 349:9 358:9 368:3 369:16 376:17 385:13 235:3 236:4 237:21,23 244:9 256:16 258:9,12 240:16,22 241:14,47 241:9 256:16 258:9,12 266:5,19,21 267:3,11 265:10,12,16,20 266:2 369:16 376:17 385:13 326:9 180:2,4 0 0.00 242:2,3 0.9 242:3 0.9 242:3 0.0 242:2,3 0.6 241:24 07 241:24 132 510 185:22 13269 180:2,4 13304 153:10 135 3:21 14 1:12 2:13,21 6:2 116:2 137:5,10 336:18 14th 67: 179:14 1400 238:6 15 149:1 176:3,4 200:22 2001 246:23 250:16 2002 218:25 17 140 143:2 345:17 140 143:2 345:17 140 143:2 345:17 140 143:2 345:17 140 02 38:6 15 149:1 176:3,4 200:22 203:5 207:1 303:6 32:12,18,25 33:14	year 81:11,18 82:2 88:16		\$37.50 248:9		
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $			\$44 30:6		
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $					171:17 207:1,14
180:8 213:23:21 323:21 323:21 323:21 323:21 323:21 323:21 323:21 323:21 323:21 323:21 323:21 323:21 323:21 324:10 345:25		199:1,4 206:7,11		338:5 341:19,24	211:10 219:14 291:13
211:10 213:7 214:15 214:22 217:18 234:22 236:7 238:21 243:23 244:3 248:8,23 252:16 294:9 297:1 299:1 326:9,9 330:5 336:15 338:19,20,21 341:17 349:9 358:9 368:3 369:16 376:17 385:13 yearly 213:6 years 13:4 23:13 28:18 32:13 41:11 43:12 49:10 51:7 64:14 65:5 32:13 41:11 43:12 49:10 51:7 64:14 65:5 32:13 41:11 43:12 49:10 51:7 64:14 65:5 66:7 68:8 71:12 75:18 76:5 81:2 83:14 85:23 223:16 227:22 228:1,6 228:9,16,20 234:24 24:9 256:16 258:9,12 24:9 256:16 258:9,12 266:5,19,21 260:6,10 266:5,19,21 267:3,11 266:7,131,18,20 276:25 32:13 41:11 43:12 49:10 51:7 64:14 65:5 66:7 68:8 71:12 75:18 233:16 227:22 228:1,6 235:3 236:4 237:21,23 0.9 242:3 06 241:24 13 125:18,19,22 130 248:4 132 3:21 13267 153:10 185:22 13269 180:2,4 13304 153:10 135 3:21 13304 153:10 135 3:21 14 1:12 2:13,21 6:2 116:2 137:5,10 336:18 14 th: 6:7 179:14 14 month 338:14 339:7 140 143:2 345:17 140 143:2 345:1		213:23 218:7 223:13		342:10 345:16	
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		223:16 227:22 228:1.6	4000 30.10 111.9	13 125:18,19,22	
1 1					
236.7 243.2 240:16.22 241:14.7 0.00 242:2,3 13267 153:10 185:22 294:9 297:1 299:1 244:9 256:16 258:9,12 0.9 242:3 06 241:24 13269 180:2,4 13269 180:4 140:14:12 180:12 18					
294:3 246:3 232:10 241:10,14 242:24 0.9 242:3 13269 180:2,4 200,000 348:11 299:9 297:1 299:1 244:9 256:16 258:9,12 266 241:24 07 241:24 13304 153:10 135 3:21 338:19,20,21 341:17 258:14 259:1 260:6,10 265:10,12,16,20 266:2 266:5,19,21 267:3,11 266:5,19,21 267:3,11 268:22 269:1,6,8,18 268:22 269:1,6,8,18 270:3,11,18,20 276:25 127:11 48:16 52:12,19 14th 6:7 179:14 200 20:8 30:3 238:15 32:13 41:11 43:12 268:22 269:1,6,8,18 270:3,11,18,20 276:25 56:20,22 58:15,17,20 14th 6:7 179:14 200 166:20 180:7 185:7 32:13 41:11 43:12 281:19 282:17,19 283:3,6,8,10,13 284:7 56:20,22 58:15,17,20 60:2,4 68:1 110:15 140 143:2 345:17 1400 238:6 208,10,13,17,19 36:27 68:8 71:12 75:18 283:3,6,8,10,13 284:7 133:9 135:10 136:18 15 149:1 176:3,4 200:22 20:8,10,13,17,19 205:15 20:15 31:8 284:10,12,15,17 285:2 133:29 14 20:10 24:124 203:5 207:1 303:6 32:12,18,25 33:14			0.00 242:2,3		
294:9 294:9 256:16 258:9,12 06 241:24 326:9,9 30:5 336:15 258:14 259:12 266:5,19,21 266:5,19,21 266:5,19,21 266:5,19,21 266:5,19,21 266:5,19,21 266:5,19,21 266:5,19,21 266:5,19,21 266:5,19,21 266:5,19,21 266:5,19,21 266:5,19,21 266:2,26 266:5,19,21 266:2,26 200 200 208 303:3 238:15 200 200 208 303:3 238:15 200 200 208 303:3 238:15 200 200 208 303:3 238:15 200 200 208 303:3 238:15 200 200 208 303:3 238:15 200 200 208 303:3 238:15 200 200 208 200 208 200 208 200 208 200 208 200 208 200 208 200 208 200 208 200 208 200 208 200 208 200 208 200 208 200 208 200 208 200 <td>-</td> <td></td> <td>0.9 242:3</td> <td></td> <td></td>	-		0.9 242:3		
326:9,9 330:5 336:15 338:19,20,21 341:17 349:9 358:9 368:3 369:16 376:17 385:13 yearly 213:6 yearly 213:6 32:13 41:11 43:12 49:10 51:7 64:14 65:5 66:7 68:8 71:12 75:18 244.9 250:16 258:9,12 258:14 259:1 260:6,10 266:5,19,21 267:3,11 268:22 269:1,68,18 07 241:24 135 321 135 321 200-acre 297:11 200 20:8 30:3 238:15 2001 246:23 250:16 yearly 213:6 yearly 213:6 32:13 41:11 43:12 268:22 269:1,68,18 270:3,11,18,20 276:25 32:13 41:11 43:12 177:11 48:16 52:12,19 54:22,25 55:1,21 56:20,22 58:15,17,20 60:2,4 68:1 110:15 14th 6:7 179:14 14-month 338:14 339:7 140 143:2 345:17 2004 18:10,12,13,14,14 2003 166:20 180:7 185:7 185:9 201 246:23 250:16 2002 21:4 250:16 2002 21:4 250:16 2002 21:4 250:16 2002 31:4 250:16 2003 166:20 180:7 185:7 185:9 32:13 41:11 43:12 49:10 51:7 64:14 65:5 66:7 68:8 71:12 75:18 283:3,68,10,13 284:7 283:3,68,10,13 284:7 133:9 135:10 136:18 2001 24:22 200:22 2004 18:10,12,13,14,14 20:8,10,13,17,19 76:5 81:2 83:14 85:23 284:10,12,15,17 285:2 284:10,12,15,17 285:2 136:22 147:24 175:14 2001 24:23 200:22 203:5 207:1 303:6 32:12,18,25 33:14	294:9 297:1 299:1		06 241:24	,	-
338:19,20,21 341:17 349:9 358:9 368:3 369:16 376:17 385:13 yearly 213:6 years 13:4 23:13 28:18 32:13 41:11 43:12 49:10 51:7 64:14 65:5 66:7 68:8 71:12 75:18 258:14 239:1 260:6,10 265:10,12,16,20 266:2 266:5,19,21 267:3,11 268:22 269:1,68,18 270:3,11,18,20 276:25 32:13 41:11 43:12 133:213 266:2,22 69:1,68,18 270:3,11,18,20 276:25 32:13 41:11 43:12 134 1:12 2:13,21 6:2 162:2 269:1,68,18 270:3,11,18,20 276:25 56:20,22 58:15,17,20 60:2,4 68:1 110:15 66:7 68:8 71:12 75:18 2000 20:8 30:3 238:15 2001 246:23 250:16 2003 166:20 180:7 185:7 185:9 12 77:11 48:16 52:12,19 54:22,25 55:1,21 49:10 51:7 64:14 65:5 66:7 68:8 71:12 75:18 281:19 282:17,19 283:3,68,10,13 284:7 76:5 81:2 83:14 85:23 56:20,22 58:15,17,20 60:2,4 68:1 110:15 133:9 135:10 136:18 140 143:2 345:17 140 0 238:6 15 149:1 176:3,4 200:22 203:5 207:1 303:6 2004 18:10,12,13,14,14 20:8,10,13,17,19 26:15 29:15 31:8 32:12,18,25 33:14	326:9,9 330:5 336:15				
349:9 358:9 368:3 369:16 376:17 385:13 yearly 213:6 yearly 213:6 yearls 13:4 23:13 28:18 32:13 41:11 43:12 49:10 51:7 64:14 65:5 76:5 81:2 83:14 85:23 265:10,12,16,20 266:2 266:5,19,21 267:3,11 268:22 269:1,6,8,18 270:3,11,18,20 276:25 54:22,25 55:1,21 60:2,4 68:1 110:15 66:7 68:8 71:12 75:18 14 1:12 2:13,21 6:2 116:2 137:5,10 336:18 14th 6:7 179:14 14 - month 338:14 339:7 140 143:2 345:17 2001 246:23 250:16 2003 166:20 180:7 185:7 185:9 34:19 282:17,19 66:7 68:8 71:12 75:18 281:19 282:17,19 283:3,68,10,13 284:7 76:5 81:2 83:14 85:23 56:20,22 147:24 175:14 133:9 135:10 136:18 284:10,12,15,17 285:2 14 1:12 2:13,21 6:2 116:2 137:5,10 336:18 14th 6:7 179:14 1400 238:6 15 149:1 176:3,4 200:22 203:5 207:1 303:6 2001 246:23 250:16 2003 166:20 180:7 185:7 185:9	338:19,20,21 341:17	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
369:16 376:17 385:13 yearly 213:6 yearly 213:6 32:13 41:11 43:12 49:10 51:7 64:14 65:5 66:7 68:8 71:12 75:18 76:5 81:2 83:14 85:23 266:5,19,21 267:3,11 268:22 269:1,6,8,18 270:3,11,18,20 276:25 278:25 281:7,10,13,16 281:29 282:17,19 116:2 137:5,10 336:18 14th 6:7 179:14 2002 31:4 250:16 2003 166:20 180:7 185:7 140 143:2 345:17 116:2 137:5,10 336:18 127:11 48:16 52:12,19 54:22,25 55:1,21 127:11 48:16 52:12,19 54:22,25 55:1,21 14th 6:7 179:14 2003 166:20 180:7 185:7 185:9 2004 18:10,12,13,14,14 2003 166:20 180:7 185:7 133:9 135:10 136:18 14th 6:7 179:14 1400 238:6 1400 238:6 15 149:1 176:3,4 200:22 2004 18:10,12,13,14,14 20:8,10,13,17,19 26:15 29:15 31:8 2015 207:1 303:6 284:10,12,15,17 285:2 136:22 147:24 175:14 130:20 202:22 203:5 207:1 303:6 32:12,18,25 33:14			1	-	2001 246:23 250:16
yearly 213:6 268:22 269:1,6,8,18 1 27:11 48:16 52:12,19 14th 6:7 179:14 2003 166:20 180:7 185:7 yearly 213:6 270:3,11,18,20 276:25 54:22,25 55:1,21 14th 6:7 179:14 2003 166:20 180:7 185:7 years 13:4 23:13 28:18 270:3,11,18,20 276:25 56:20,22 58:15,17,20 140 143:2 345:17 140 143:2 345:17 185:9 49:10 51:7 64:14 65:5 283:3,6,8,10,13 284:7 133:9 135:10 136:18 15 149:1 176:3,4 200:22 208 10,13,17,19 66:7 68:8 71:12 75:18 283:3,6,8,10,13 284:7 133:9 135:10 136:18 15 149:1 176:3,4 200:22 20:8,10,13,17,19 76:5 81:2 83:14 85:23 284:10,12,15,17 285:2 130:22 147:24 175:14 200:35 207:1 303:6 32:12,18,25 33:14		266:5,19,21 267:3,11			
years 13:4 23:13 28:18 270:3,11,18,20 276:25 54:22,25 55:1,21 14-month 338:14 339:7 185:9 32:13 41:11 43:12 278:25 281:7,10,13,16 56:20,22 58:15,17,20 140 143:2 345:17 1400 238:6 2004 18:10,12,13,14,14 49:10 51:7 64:14 65:5 281:3,68,10,13 284:7 133:9 135:10 136:18 15 149:1 176:3,4 200:22 208:10,13,17,19 66:7 68:8 71:12 75:18 284:10,12,15,17 285:2 136:22 147:24 175:14 203:5 207:1 303:6 32:12,18,25 33:14		268:22 269:1,6,8,18		14th 6:7 179:14	
year 13.4 23.13 24.11 278:25 281:7,10,13,16 56:20,22 58:15,17,20 140 143:2 345:17 2004 18:10,12,13,14,14 49:10 51:7 64:14 65:5 281:3,6,8,10,13 284:7 133:9 135:10 136:18 140 143:2 345:17 1400 208,10,13,17,19 66:7 68:8 71:12 75:18 283:3,6,8,10,13 284:7 133:9 135:10 136:18 15 149:1 176:3,4 200:22 26:15 29:15 31:8 76:5 81:2 83:14 85:23 284:10,12,15,17 285:2 130:12 147:24 175:14 203:5 207:1 303:6 32:12,18,25 33:14		270:3,11,18,20 276:25		14-month 338:14 339:7	
32:13 41:1143:12 281:19 282:17,19 60:2,4 68:1 110:15 1400 238:6 20:8,10,13,17,19 49:10 51:7 64:14 65:5 283:3,6,8,10,13 284:7 133:9 135:10 136:18 15 149:1 176:3,4 200:22 20:8,10,13,17,19 66:7 68:8 71:12 75:18 284:10,12,15,17 285:2 136:22 147:24 175:14 203:5 207:1 303:6 32:12,18,25 33:14			56:20,22 58:15,17,20		
49:10 51:7 64:14 65:5 201:10 202:11,10 202:11,10 202:11,10 66:7 68:8 71:12 75:18 283:3,6,8,10,13 284:7 133:9 135:10 136:18 15 149:1 176:3,4 200:22 26:15 29:15 31:8 76:5 81:2 83:14 85:23 284:10,12,15,17 285:2 136:22 147:24 175:14 203:5 207:1 303:6 32:12,18,25 33:14			60:2,4 68:1 110:15		
66:7 68:8 71:12 75:18 200:0,0,0,10,10 200:11 136:22 147:24 175:14 200:10,0,4 200:12 32:12,18,25 33:14 76:5 81:2 83:14 85:23 284:10,12,15,17 285:2 300:10 21:23 204:0 32:12,18,25 33:14			,		
76:5 81:2 83:14 85:23 20110, 12, 10, 11 2002 200:10 21 22 2010 21 22 2010 2010				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
203.7, 13, 19 207.10 200.10, 21, 22 204.0 317.10 350.0 49:19 52:5 53:17,19	76:5 81:2 83:14 85:23				
		203.7,15,19 287:10	200.10,21,22 207.3	317.10 330.0	49:19 52:5 53:17,19
			1	1	I

Atkinson-Baker, Inc. Court Reporters

Page 35

				I dge 5.
	1	1	1	1
54:2,3,17 62:10 64:14	153:25 154:3 160:18	42-year 363:24	9-acre 231:22	
68:18 86:16,18,21	175:14 200:19,21,24	43 152:13	9-point 220:23	1
112:16 114:10,11	205:8 208:20 227:19	450 230:14	9:00 284:2	
123:16 124:5,8 183:9	252:25 253:12 264:5,7	46 3:17 110:15 153:5	9:30 2:20 387:15	
208:2 226:17 227:16	292:2,3 293:25 294:9	48 249:15	90 196:10	
		40 249.15		
249:24 250:4 260:18	295:25 297:10 298:5		90s 29:16 30:19 49:11	
274:17,21,23 290:4	309:15 310:10 312:11	5	900-plus 107:8	
2005 217:4 230:3 241:24	325:1 336:22 338:21		92 3:19	
		5 139:3 166:18,20 183:3		
296:25	340:19,21 341:9 349:5	183:8,10,25 184:2	93405 2:20	
2006 246:24	352:3 360:23 366:5	185:1,3,20,24 186:3	96 59:22	
2008 28:18 272:10	367:3,6,10,13,22,24		97 60:3 373:8,10,18	
		186:18,23,24 190:3		
2009 229:25 242:2	367:25 379:25,25	197:17 224:14 345:4,5	374:10	
245:10	380:12 382:5,7	348:25 355:13 360:17		
2010 35:19 102:16	3.0 349:21			
		5's 183:17 184:18 185:5		
105:23 325:13	30 76:4 168:22,24	5,400 369:6		
2011 17:17,22 18:6 19:4	179:11 214:21 217:24	5.5 242:1		
30:3 40:10,21 42:1,2	379:22	5:00 249:3		
45:6,7,16 78:8 106:3	300 4:11 97:17 101:14			
		50 3:18 52:18 53:5 149:2		
110:12 119:20 125:18	107:1	153:16 212:17 218:1,2		
144:14,20 145:8 154:8	302 4:11	242:14 281:25 297:12		
292:10	303 30:25			
		336:17 383:13		
2012 1:12 2:13,21 6:2	303D 384:12	50k 218:20		
27:9 28:19 54:19	304 4:12	500 53:5,14 107:1 197:9		
63:17 107:15 115:5	306 4:12	-		
		315:5		
116:2 179:6 203:7	307 4:13	500-acre 334:15		1
250:3 327:24 388:17	309 4:13	51,000 56:25 60:7		
2014 179:14 203:7	310 4:14	-		1
		512 137:24		
2015 361:2	311 4:14	52 154:11		
2016 179:18	315 4:15	55 56:23 367:9		
206 4:3	316 4:15	559 307:6		
21 148:6 149:16 153:5	317 4:16	56 116:9 121:12 154:15		
165:21 382:8,10	318 4:16	58 60:17		
210 346:8	32 121:9 140:25			
22 121:13 149:15,16,17	320 4:17			
		6		
153:16 263:8,9	322 4:17	6 140:22 337:24		
220 346:9	323 4:18			
228 4:4	325 4:18	6,000 120:22		
		60 14:4,6,14 166:2 171:4		
237 4:4	326 4:19	261:14 367:9		
24 14:10,11 107:15	329 4:19			
115:5 154:15	33 333:22 334:1	60,000 302:19 329:23		
		61 60:13 175:5 310:6		
24th 107:13	330 4:20	62 248:23		
240 346:2,7	332 4:20	63 3:18		
241 4:5	333 2:19 4:21			
244 4:5	335 4:21	684.4 269:7		
25 171:19 175:5 200:23	35 137:14	7		
381:7 384:6	363 4:22			
250 107:1 346:8	365 4:22	7 141:5 204:9		
		7th 28:19		
258 4:6	367 4:23			
260 4:6	37 3:17	70 74:21 310:10		1
27 249:18	373 4:23	70,000 87:17,18		
		73 340:3		1
28 3:16 383:20,24	375 4:24	74 3:19		1
285 4:7	376 5:3			
287 4:7	377 5:3	75 326:12		1
		78 341:17,19,21		
288-3376 1:22	379 5:4	, , ,		1
29 116:20	38 138:1,2 140:9 151:17	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
290 4:8	39 151:25	8		1
291 4:8		8 17:16 18:23 141:17		1
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			1
293 4:9	4	203:5 213:2 255:13		1
295 4:9	4 9:20,24 10:3 32:2	8:30 283:23		1
296 4:10		80 214:1 227:4,5 341:21		
	110:12 137:11 140:8	80.000 200:20.25		1
298 4:10	140:11,15 177:5,6,7	,,-		
	204:9 367:12	800 1:22		1
3	4th 307:6	82 175:15 176:12,16		
		84 8:20 176:20 247:9		
3 27:13 53:6,8 55:7,11	4,000 238:16			1
55:15,23 56:23,24	4.0 349:21	85 176:20		
58:11,12 59:15,20	4.2 242:1	86 141:1 176:20 315:10		1
		87 176:21		
60:3,4,5,5,8,11 94:18	4:00 285:1,3			
95:3 97:15,17 101:5	40 111:22 152:13 336:10	8934 1:24 2:22 388:5,21		
110:17,22 111:7,8,15	40s 256:20	895 283:20		
		1		1
121:12,13 125:18,20	40,000 214:21			
125:22 126:15 130:15	401 232:19	9		1
130:17 136:18,22,25	404 152:9	9 36:7 336:14		1
		9CAL 307:6		
137:2,4,9 138:13	42 110:14 382:7			1
141:19,19 142:21	42,000 238:7	9th 292:10		1
1	I	I	1	I

Atkinson-Baker, Inc. Court Reporters