Packard, Harvey@Waterboards

From:	Miss Coleman <cintheatcoleman@gmail.com></cintheatcoleman@gmail.com>
Sent:	Saturday, September 13, 2014 12:17 PM
То:	jdiodati@co.slo.ca.us; bgibson@co.slo.ca.us; Packard, Harvey@Waterboards
Cc:	Miss Coleman
Subject:	Public Records Request
-	

Hello,

I recently sent a PRR to you after John Diodati told me I will be forced to hook up to the sewer and pay the "service fees" (whatever the County wants to charge) because of SLO Co Ordinance 3209.

I told him there's no way I can afford \$6-10K to hook up or any fees other than about \$20 a month and what's going to happen to me? I received no reply.

Doing research on 3209, we found no "punishment" written into it. Ron Crawford contacted a Ms Neil @ SLO County and was given vague responses about it being "implied".

Yesterday, I received a mailing from CCRWQCB about their upcoming meeting to "terminate" my CDO after 8 years (@ \$5,000.00 a day fines=\$35Million) with not even an apology, flowers, chocolate, money or a belated Kiss.

Obviously, this means they have no Authority to fine us, especially "randomly", against individuals, but rather facilitating Fear for opposing the sewer.

God forbid the mention of "Extortion" or RICO violations

Regardless, they continue to threaten that violating the Basin Plan by not hooking up to the sewer will result in what? Getting a CDO?

They say we're "compliant" as long there's work on a sewer.

I'm the ONLY one who's been able to be compliant (for 7 years) and have been ignored rather than celebrated for solving the non-problem with reusing grey water & a composting toilet.

We're still all using our septics with NO ill effects.

Does CCRWQCB have the Authority to force us to stop using our septics? I think not. The Basin Plan is based on false data.

Also enclosed was a lengthy "History" of #3209, including the most horrible punishment for not hooking up (even if we can't possibly afford it) and probably paying more than \$200.00 a month (which most can't afford either)

Was this part of 3209 from the beginning (2010)?

Why was it not there when we researched it?

The liens, fines, foreclosing & taking of my Property is supposed to happen in spite of water conservation, drought, seawater intrusion, documented "economically depressed" community status and myself, along with probably 2,000 of the 4500 property owners not hooking up? Why all the threats now? It's 3 1/2 years away.

Please provide the Evidence that 3209 had "punishment" included in 2010 when it was 1st approved.

You might also include the legal precedent for punishment like losing our Homes because we can't afford a County Public Works project carried by an open, weighted 218 vote of less than 20 property owners.

Thank you,

Miss Cinthea T Coleman