

## Introduction

This water quality monitoring fact sheet was prepared by the Irrigated Agriculture Program of the Central Coast Regional Water Quality Control Board (Water Board) and made available on November 30, 2008. The data were delivered by Central Coast Water Quality Preservation, Inc. (CCWQP) to the Water Board as part of the monitoring and reporting requirements for all dischargers enrolled under *Conditional Waiver of Waste Discharge Requirements for Discharge from Irrigated Lands, Order No. R3-2004-0117*. Monitoring stations were selected to represent water quality in predominantly agricultural areas, but in some cases reflect mixed land uses upstream of the sites.

## 310PRE Prefumo Creek at Calle Joaquin

The Cooperative Monitoring Program sampled Prefumo Creek at Calle Joaquin 24 times (one sample per month) between January 2006 and December 2007.

## Summary of Water Quality Data

### Notable Measured Analytes for Water Quality Monitoring

Analyte/Parameter	Average	Range	Water Quality Criteria (WQC) or Guideline <sup>1</sup>	Percent Outside WQC or Guideline
Ammonia as N, Unionized	0.004 mg/L	0.000–0.013 mg/L	<0.025 mg/L <sup>+</sup>	0%
Nitrate/Nitrite as N	18.0 mg/L	1.0–40.3 mg/L	<10.0 mg/L*	83%
Orthophosphate as P	0.15 mg/L	0.00–0.39 mg/L	<0.12 mg/L*	54%
Turbidity (NTU)	67 NTU	5–1057 NTU	<25 NTU*	25%
Conductivity	0.94 mmho/cm	0.00–1.12 mmho/cm	Ranges: <sup>+</sup> <0.75 No Problem 0.75-3.0 Increasing >3.0 Severe	17% 83% 0%
pH	7.5	7.3–7.9	7.0–8.3 <sup>+</sup>	0%
Annual Median Dissolved Oxygen (% Saturation)	2006: 66% 2007: 59%	47–102%	>85% annual median <sup>+</sup>	Std not met Std not met
Dissolved Oxygen	6.7 mg/L	4.7–9.8 mg/L	>5.0mg/L (GEN/WARM)* >7.0mg/L (COLD/SPWN) <sup>+</sup>	13% 63%
Chlorophyll a	0.7 µg/L	0.2–3.9 µg/L	<40 µg/L*	0%
Water Temperature	15.8°C	12.5–18.7°C	Water Basin Specific	--

+ Indicates standard defined in the Water Quality Control Plan, Central Coast Basin (Basin Plan)

\* Indicates guideline not described in the Basin Plan or not specifically stated as applicable to the beneficial uses of the site. Origin of the guideline is described in the individual discussion of the analyte/parameter.

The present and potential beneficial uses for **Prefumo Creek** as defined in the Basin Plan include Municipal and Domestic Supply (MUN), Agricultural Supply (AGR), Ground Water Recharge (GWR), Water Contact Recreation (REC-1), Non-Contact Water Recreation (REC-2), Wildlife Habitat (WILD), Cold Fresh Water Habitat (COLD), Migration of Aquatic Organisms (MIGR), Rare, Threatened, or Endangered Species (RARE), Spawning, Reproduction, and/or Early Development (SPWN), and Fresh Water Habitat (FRESH).

<sup>1</sup> Water Quality Criteria (WQC) are defined in the Water Quality Control Plan, Central Coast Basin (also referred to as the “Basin Plan”) to protect beneficial uses such as drinking water, fish habitat, irrigation water, etc. WQC include general water quality standards for some analytes as well as specific criteria based on the defined beneficial uses. Other water quality guidelines were compiled in order to provide a standard in order to compare sites. Bold indicates beneficial uses that apply to this watershed.

## Unionized Ammonia (as N)

Unionized ammonia (as N) is a calculated value based on water temperature, pH, and total ammonium concentration. Ammonia can be toxic in water. With high water temperature and/or high pH, ammonia becomes unionized and is toxic at much lower levels. The Basin Plan general water quality objectives state that unionized ammonia should not exceed 0.025 mg/L. Over time, ammonia should reduce to nitrate, so long-lasting levels of ammonia may indicate continuous discharges of waste. **No unionized ammonia samples exceeded the standard. The average unionized ammonia concentration was 0.004 mg/L.**


## Nitrate/Nitrite as N

The Municipal and Domestic Supply (MUN) objective states in Table 3-2 of the Basin Plan that nitrate as  $\text{NO}_3$  shall not exceed 45 mg/L. This value is equivalent to 10 mg/L of nitrate as N. Nitrite accounts for a small percent of total nitrate/nitrite, and therefore, nitrate as N criterion was used as a guideline for nitrate/nitrite. **Twenty of 24 nitrate/nitrite samples (83%) exceeded the guideline. The highest exceedances were during June and August of 2006 with concentrations of 40.3 and 30.8 mg/L, respectively. The average concentration was 18.0 mg/L.**

## Orthophosphate as P

The Basin Plan does not contain orthophosphate standards. The Central Coast Ambient Monitoring program (CCAMP) non-regulatory guideline for general water quality objectives states that orthophosphate concentrations shall not exceed 0.12 mg/L. **Orthophosphate concentrations exceeded the guideline in 13 of 24 samples (54%), reaching as high as 0.39 mg/L (over 3 times the guideline). During the dry season (May through October), concentrations averaged 0.12 mg/L while during the wet season (November through April), concentrations averaged 0.19 mg/L. The average concentration was 0.15 mg/L.**

The chart below shows the nitrate/nitrite and orthophosphate concentrations throughout the sampling period. The guidelines for nitrate/nitrite as N and orthophosphate as P state that their concentrations shall not exceed 10 mg/L and 0.12 mg/L, respectively, shown by the black horizontal line on the graph.



## Turbidity

The Basin Plan states: "Water shall be free of changes in turbidity that cause nuisance or adversely affect beneficial uses." Sigler et al.<sup>2</sup> shows that turbidity levels of 25 NTU or greater caused reduction in juvenile salmonid growth due to interference with their ability to find food. Turbidity is often affected by suspended material in runoff. **Six of 24 turbidity readings (25%) exceeded the guideline, reaching as high as 1057 NTU (June 2007). Turbidity levels in Prefumo Creek averaged 67 NTU. Excluding the reading from June 2007, the average would be 24 NTU.**

<sup>2</sup> Sigler, J.W., T.C. Bjornn, & F.H. Everst. (1984). *Effects of chronic turbidity on density and growth of steelhead and coho salmon*. Transactions of the American Fisheries Society. 113:142-150.

## Conductivity

Conductivity is measured from a water sample. Based on Table 3-3 of the Basin Plan showing Guidelines for Interpretation of Quality of Water for Irrigation, conductivity below 0.75 mmho/cm causes no problems to irrigation, between 0.75 and 3 mmho/cm causes increasing problems, and conductivity above 3 mmho/cm causes severe problems. The conductivity level can be greatly affected by geologic and biological influences and is not necessarily related to agricultural activities. **Four of 24 conductivity samples (17%) indicated no problems to irrigation water; 20 samples (83%) indicated increasing problems; no samples indicated severe problems.**

## pH

Multiple beneficial uses have objectives for pH. The Basin Plan general water quality objective for pH is between 7.0 and 8.5; MUN, AGR, REC-1, and REC-2 pH objectives are between 6.5 and 8.3. The standard, therefore, is 7.0-8.3 if one or more of MUN, AGR, REC-1, and REC-2 is defined as a beneficial use. pH above 9 can cause skin irritant to humans and makes water inhospitable to many species. **All 24 samples remained within the standard for pH, ranging from 7.3 to 7.9.**

## Dissolved Oxygen Concentration and Dissolved Oxygen Saturation

The Basin Plan general water quality objectives state annual median dissolved oxygen shall remain above 85% saturation. General and WARM objectives state that the dissolved oxygen concentration must remain above 5.0 mg/L at all times, and SPWN and COLD objectives state that the dissolved oxygen concentration must remain above 7.0 mg/L at all times. **Two of 24 samples (13%) did not meet the general and WARM concentration standard and 15 samples (63%) did not meet the COLD and SPWN concentration standard. Dissolved oxygen did not meet the saturation standard during 2006 or 2007, with median annual values of 66 and 59% saturation, respectively.**

## Chlorophyll a

Healthy and appropriate Chlorophyll a levels are not defined in the Basin Plan. Chlorophyll a indicates phytoplankton growth, a necessary component of healthy water bodies. Because turbidity causes interference for the Chlorophyll a probe, measurements of Chlorophyll a may not be accurate when turbidity is above 1000 NTU. Chlorophyll a levels over 40 µg/L are considered problematic by North Carolina Administrative Code (NCAC). **No readings exceeded the guideline. The Chlorophyll a readings averaged 0.7 µg/L.**

## Temperature

Sullivan et al.<sup>3</sup> state that the maximum weekly average temperatures for protection of steelhead or rainbow trout, and coho salmon are 19.6 and 19.7°C, respectively. **The temperature averaged 15.8°C and ranged from 12.5 to 18.7°C. Though weekly averages were not taken, the temperatures taken at this site indicate that it is capable of supporting a cold-water fish habitat.**

## Summary of Toxicity Data

### Species with Significant Mortality

	Feb-06	May-06	Aug-06	Sep-06	Feb-07	Mar-07	Apr-07	Oct-07
Invertebrate (Water Column)	No*		No	No*	No*	No		No
Invertebrate (Sediment)		No					No	
Fish (Water Column)	No		No	No	No	No		No
Algae (Water Column)	No		No	No	No	No		No

\*Indicates significant effect on growth or reproduction (even though mortality did not have a significant effect)

Significant effect is determined by statistically significant rates of mortality, growth, or reproduction compared to a control sample and provides an indication that something is affecting plant or animal life in the stream. Invertebrates show significant sensitivity to organophosphates and pesticides. Significant effect to algae often indicates the presence of

<sup>3</sup> Sullivan, K., D.J. Martin, R.D. Cardwell, T.E. Toll, & S. Duke. (2000). *An analysis of the effects of temperature on salmonids of the Pacific Northwest with implications for selecting temperature criteria*. Portland, OR: Sustainable Ecosystems Institute.

herbicides and metals such as copper. Fish are less sensitive to organophosphates but can be impacted by other pollutants such as ammonia and pyrethroid pesticides.

### Photos of Site



February 2006

Upstream



Downstream



July 2006

Upstream



Downstream

### QAQC

The data in this water quality monitoring fact sheets meet the quality assurance and quality control requirements of the Water Board's Surface Water Ambient Monitoring Program (SWAMP). Additional surface water monitoring data are available at the Water Board's Central Coast Ambient Monitoring Program website <http://www.ccamp.org>. Any questions regarding the data or analysis should be directed to either **Peter Meertens** at [pmeertens@waterboards.ca.gov](mailto:pmeertens@waterboards.ca.gov) (805) 549-3869 or **Amanda Bern** at [abern@waterboards.ca.gov](mailto:abern@waterboards.ca.gov) (805) 594-6197.

### Attachment: Monitoring Data

SiteTag	Prefumo Creek at Calle Joaquin													
310 PRE	Beneficial Uses: MUN, AGR, GWR, REC1, REC2, WILD, COLD, MIGR, SPWN, RARE, FRESH, COMM													
		Ammonia as N, Unionized	Chlorophyll a	Conductivity	Instantaneous Flow	Nitrate/Nitrite as N	N/N / STD	Orthophosphate as P	OP / STD	Oxygen, Dissolved	Oxygen, Saturation	pH	Turbidity	Water Temp
Units		mg/L	µg/L	mmho/cm	CFS	mg/L	none	mg/L	none	mg/L	%		NTU	°C
1/26/2006	Jan-06	0.0003	1.23	0.8294	2.81	17.3	1.7	0.082	0.68	6.28	60	7.27	108	13.7
2/24/2006	Feb-06	0.0125	0.65	1.054	1.54	21.8	2.2	0.221	1.84	6.26	60	7.49	17	13.6
3/30/2006	Mar-06	0.0007	2.08	0.522	150.00	1.0	0.1	0.113	0.94	9.81	95	7.85	98	13.2
4/27/2006	Apr-06	0.0125	1.79	0.5931	10.46	4.9	0.5	0.089	0.75	8.53	86	7.61	97	15.7
5/17/2006	May-06	0.0005	3.92	0.9421	2.57	22.0	2.2	0.090	0.75	5.87	63	7.56	29	18.1
6/28/2006	Jun-06	0.0003	0.43	1.117	1.63	40.3	4.0	0.110	0.92	6.29	66	7.30	8	17.1
7/27/2006	Jul-06	0.0004	0.58	1.119	1.19	22.1	2.2	0.053	0.44	7.87	85	7.42	8	18.7
8/23/2006	Aug-06	0.0034	0.56	1.124	1.13	30.8	3.1	0.181	1.51	7.40	79	7.62	7	18.5
9/27/2006	Sep-06	0.0125	0.15	1.11	1.05	22.1	2.2	0.170	1.42	6.86	72	7.64	9	17.7
10/26/2006	Oct-06	0.0009	0.20	1.082	1.04	24.1	2.4	0.004	0.03	5.96	60	7.59	10	15.2
11/16/2006	Nov-06	0.0125	0.23	1.079	1.16	20.9	2.1	0.105	0.87	6.64	67	7.44	14	15.5
12/14/2006	Dec-06	0.0026	0.26	1.076	1.07	24.5	2.5	0.115	0.96	5.07	51	7.47	12	16.2
1/31/2007	Jan-07	0.0125	0.36	1.096	1.23	22.0	2.2	0.201	1.68	4.73	47	7.47	10	15.3
2/14/2007	Feb-07	0.001	0.32	1.09	1.40	17.5	1.8	0.203	1.69	6.22	60	7.45	7	14.4
3/21/2007	Mar-07	0.0125	1.24	1.068	1.36	18.9	1.9	0.338	2.82	9.81	102	7.51	6	16.9
4/12/2007	Apr-07	0.0016	0.42	1.074	1.01	18.7	1.9	0.394	3.29	7.34	75	7.74	8	16.1
5/29/2007	May-07	0.0012	0.49	1.065	1.03	17.9	1.8	0.176	1.46	7.28	76	7.53	6	17.0
6/27/2007	Jun-07	0.0002	0.31	0.00055	1.12	1.8	0.2	0.094	0.78	5.72	58	7.51	1057	15.7
7/26/2007	Jul-07	0.0003	0.29	1.068	0.87	14.7	1.5	0.004	0.03	5.54	58	7.59	18	16.5
8/30/2007	Aug-07	0.0026	0.63	1.05	0.65	15.0	1.5	0.186	1.55	4.96	52	7.44	11	17.2
9/26/2007	Sep-07	0.0002	0.23	1.054	0.62	23.1	2.3	0.148	1.23	7.22	76	7.40	5	17.4
10/25/2007	Oct-07	0.0009	0.27	1.051	0.77	15.2	1.5	0.163	1.36	5.53	55	7.66	10	15.2
11/29/2007	Nov-07	0.0014	0.29	1.049	0.60	13.7	1.4	0.217	1.80	5.89	56	7.82	12	13.1
12/18/2007	Dec-07	0.0008	1.03	0.1886	4.39	1.5	0.1	0.180	1.50	8.84	83	7.27	44	12.5
Average		0.004	0.7	0.94	7.9	18.0		0.15		6.7	Below	7.5	67	15.8
Standard Deviation		0.005	0.8	0.30	30.3	9.1		0.09		1.4		0.2	213	1.8
Minimum		0.000	0.2	0.00	0.6	1.0		0.00		4.7	47	7.3	5	12.5
Maximum		0.013	3.9	1.12	150.0	40.3		0.39		9.8	102	7.9	1057	18.7
Standard		<0.025	<40	<0.75		<10		<0.12		>7		7-8.3	<25	
%Outside		0%	0%	17%		83%		54%		63%		0%	25%	
Standard 2				>3	Median Annual DO %					>5				
%Outside				0%	Year	Median	Meet Criteria			13%				
					2006	66%	No							
					2007	59%	No							
indicates times exceeding standard														