

**From:** <Sablad.Elizabeth@epamail.epa.gov>  
**To:** <emlee@waterboards.ca.gov>  
**CC:** "Diana C Messina" <DCMessina@waterboards.ca.gov>, "James D Marshall" <jd...  
**Date:** 4/19/2010 1:21 PM  
**Subject:** Questions/comments on Rio Vista tentative order

Hi Elizabeth,

Thank you very much for calling me on your day off! Here is a summary of my questions and comments on the tentative order for the Rio Vista WWTP.

1. When I commented on the Placer County tentative order, I noticed the pretreatment and biosolids language was outdated and also discussed this with Diana and Jim last week. For this permit, since there are no pretreatment requirements, the biosolids language should be updated. Let me know if you would like me to send you the updated biosolids language. I attached it to my comments on the Placer County permit. It also includes a current reporting address.
2. Another one of my comments on the Placer County permit, that applies to this permit, was on the language for WET accelerated monitoring/TRE plans. I discussed removing the words "a pattern of" in "a pattern of toxicity" with Jim Marshall, as this is subjective. You might want to touch base with him regarding changes to this section.
3. Could you clarify why the effluent monitoring frequency for BOD is once per week, but for TSS, it is once per month? Should the monitoring frequency for TSS also be once per week?
4. I noticed the tentative order has receiving water limitations for fecal bacteria, but it does not require monitoring of the receiving water for fecal bacteria. Could you clarify why there is no monitoring requirement?
5. Page E-12 (B.1.a) requires sampling of the ponds. What parameters are they required to analyze these samples for?
6. Page F-38 states that effluent concentrations of 1,2-diphenylhydrazine were non-detect, but in the monitoring results table F-2, it shows a highest daily discharge of 5 ug/L. Can you explain this discrepancy?
7. You might consider adding specific reopeners to the tentative order for the diazinon and chlorpyrifos TMDLs, if they are expected to be adopted within the permit term.

I really appreciate the opportunity to provide input on the tentative order and hope you find my comments helpful.

Sincerely,  
Elizabeth

Elizabeth Sablad  
US EPA, Region IX (WTR-5)  
75 Hawthorne St  
San Francisco, CA 94105  
Office (415) 972-3044  
sablad.elizabeth@epa.gov