

**From:** Scott Lookingbill [REDACTED]  
**To:** <kharder@waterboards.ca.gov>  
**CC:** <goss@csacsewer.com>  
**Date:** 9/15/2010 9:28 PM  
**Subject:** SRCSD Draft discharge permit

Hello Kathleen,

As a Sacramento ratepayer, I am very concerned about the contents of the draft discharge permit. In case you need to be reminded, the duty of the RWQCB is to enforce the laws of the state of California. It does not include the following:

Create new "laws" based on personal perceptions that the treated effluent is "bad" for the delta with no supporting scientific evidence.  
Create new "laws" based on pressure or threats from users of water in the California aqueduct.  
Abuse power in a misguided attempt to discourage further development in the Sacramento area based on personal feelings.

Furthermore, the precedent that would be set would open the door to even more wasteful spending that would have a massive carbon footprint and hasten the day when the delta is inundated with sea water. I would appreciate if this garbage "law" was removed from the table. Otherwise, there may be many jobs in your agency put under the microscope by your employers.

Sincerely,

Scott Lookingbill  
Concerned ratepayer