



Central Valley Regional Water Quality Control Board

25 February 2015

<Local Agency Manager>
<Street Address>
<City, Zip Code>

REVIEW CRITERIA, LOCAL AGENCY MANAGEMENT PROGRAMS (LAMPS) FOR TIER 2 ONSITE WASTEWATER TREATMENT SYSTEMS

On 19 June 2012, the State Water Resources Control Board (State Board) adopted *Policy for the Design, Operation, and Maintenance of Onsite Wastewater Treatment Systems* (Policy). The Policy requires your agency to determine if it will comply with the prescriptive Tier 1 requirements of the Policy or, elect to implement Tier 2 requirements by submitting a Local Agency Management Program (LAMP). If your agency wishes to, it would submit its LAMP to the Central Valley Regional Water Quality Control Board (Central Valley Water Board) for review and approval following a public comment period¹. Since many of the agencies within the Central Valley Water Board have expressed their desire to pursue the Tier 2 option, staff has developed the attached checklist to serve two purposes:

- Serve as a guide to the agency as it develops a LAMP, and
- Assist Board staff to expedite their review of the proposed LAMPs.

The checklist was developed by Central Valley Water Board staff in cooperation with the California Conference of Directors of Environmental Health and State Water Resources Control Board staff. It summarizes OWTS Policy requirements for LAMPs and is to be used and completed during development of your LAMP. For your convenience, we can e-mail you the checklist as a spreadsheet.

The checklist was developed to ensure that a LAMP will comply with Section 9 of the OWTS Policy. To aid in determining compliance with the Policy, we request that you develop your LAMP in two parts; *Program* and *Codes*. The *Program* part should describe your agency's means of complying with the OWTS, and must include adequate detail, including technical information, to support how all the criteria work together to

KARL E. LONGLEY SCD, P.E., CHAIR | PAMELA C. CREEDON P.E., BCEE, EXECUTIVE OFFICER
364 Knollcrest Drive, Suite 205, Redding, CA 96002 | www.waterboards.ca.gov/centralvalley

¹ Approved, the <u>final version will serve as a conditional waiver</u> of Waste Discharge Requirements, pursuant to §13269 California Water Code. For details, see: (http://www.waterboards.ca.gov/water_issues/programs/owts/docs/owts_policy.pdf).

protect water quality and human health (Section 9.5, OWTS Policy). The *Codes* part should be a complete, detailed compilation of appropriate supporting local codes and ordinances that demonstrate your agencies legal authority to fully implement the LAMP to ensure compliance with the OWTS Policy.

We encourage you to work with Central Valley Water Board staff during development of your LAMP to ensure it fully complies with the OWTS Policy. The following are staff contacts for this program:

- Redding Office: Eric Rapport (530) 224-4998, or erapport@waterboards.ca.gov,
- Rancho Cordova Office: Anne Olson at (916) 464-4740 or aolson@waterboards.ca.gov,
- Fresno Office: Dale Harvey at (559) 445-6190 or dharvey@waterboards.ca.gov.

We look forward to working with you on this very important program for the Central Valley Water Board.

Original Signed By Clint E. Snyder

CLINT E. SNYDER, P.G. Assistant Executive Officer

EJR: Imw

Attachment: Checklist

cc + attach:

Tim O'Brien, State Water Resources Control Board, Sacramento (all) Robert Busby, Central Valley Regional Water Quality Control Board, Rancho Cordova (Local Agencies in R5S)
Lonnie Wass, Central Valley Regional Water Quality Control Board,

Fresno (Local Agencies in R5F)

Completeness Checklist for LAMPs

	GENERAL	REQUIREMENTS FOR LAMPS		
OWTS Policy Sectio n	OWTS Policy Section Summary	Region 5 Comments (These do not replace your review of the OWTS Policy. Italics and websites are specific explanations, more detailed than in the Policy.)	Relevant LAMP Section	Legal Authority/ Code Section
3.3	Annual Reporting	For Section 3.3 et seq., describe your program for annual reporting to Central Valley Regional Water Quality Control Board (Central Valley Water Board) staff in a tabular spreadsheet format.		
3.3.1	Complaint s	Include numbers and locations of complaints, related investigations, and means of resolution.		
3.3.2	OWTS Cleaning	Include applications and registrations issued as part of the local cleaning registration pursuant to California Health and Safety Code §117400 et seq.		
3.3.3	Permits for New and Replacem ent OWTS	Include numbers and locations of permits for new and replacement OWTS, and their Tiers.		
3.4	Permanen t Records	Describe your program for permanently retaining records, and means of making them available to Central Valley Water Board staff within 10 working days of a written request.		
3.5	Notificatio ns to Municipal Water Suppliers	Describe your program for notifying public well and water intake owners, and the California Department of Public Health. Notification shall be as soon as practicable, but no later than 72 hours upon discovery of a failing OWTS, as described in Sections 11.1 and 11.2, within setbacks described in Sections 7.5.6 through 7.5.10.		
9.0	Minimum OWTS Standards	This Section is an introduction; we require no specific LAMP Section citation here.		Not applicable
9.1	Considera tions for LAMPs	For Section 9.1 et seq., provide your commitment to evaluate complaints, variances, failures, and inspections in Section 9.3.2 (Water Quality Assessment); and your proposed means of assessment to achieve this Policy's purpose of protecting water quality and human health.		

9.1.1	Degree of vulnerabilit y due to local hydrogeol ogy	Describe your commitment, and proposed means to identify hydrogeologically vulnerable areas for Section 9.3.2, after compiling monitoring data. Discuss appropriate related siting restrictions and design criteria to protect water quality and public health. Qualified professionals ("Definitions," page 9 in the Policy) should identify hydrogeologically vulnerable areas. Such professionals, where appropriate during a Water Quality Assessment, should generally consider locally reasonable percolation rates of least permeable relevant soil horizons, best available evidence of seasonally shallowest groundwater (including, but not limited to, soil mottling and gleying, static water levels of nearby wells and springs, and local drainage patterns), threats to receptors (supply wells and surface water), and potential geotechnical issues (including, but not limited to, potentially adverse dips of bedding, foliations, and fractures in bedrock).	
9.1.2	High quality waters and other environme ntal conditions requiring enhanced protection	Describe special restrictions to meet water quality and public health goals pursuant to all Federal, State, and local plans and orders. Especially consider appropriate alternatives to those provided in Section 7.8, Allowable Average Density Requirements under Tier 1. See also: State Water Resources Control Board Resolution No. 68-16.	
9.1.3	Shallow soils requiring non- standard dispersal systems	We interpret "shallow" soils generally to mean thin soils overlying bedrock or highest seasonal groundwater. Dependent on threats to receptors, highest seasonal groundwater can locally include perched and intermittent saturated zones, as well as the shallowest local hydraulically unconfined aquifer unit. See Section 8.1.5 for Minimum Depths to Groundwater under Tier 1. Qualified professionals should make appropriate determinations on the design and construction of non-standard dispersal systems due to shallow soils.	

9.1.4	High domestic well usage areas	Our key potential concerns are nitrate and pathogen transport toward receptor wells, especially in areas with existing OWTS already prone to soft failures (OWTS failures not evident at grade). Appropriate qualified professionals should consider reasonable pollutant flow paths toward domestic wells, at minimum based on; publically available nitrate concentrations in local wells, published technical literature on local wastewater and non-wastewater nitrate sources, well constructions, pumping demands, and vulnerability of wells due to local hydrogeology. For pathogens, qualified professionals should ensure that field methods are sufficient to mitigate the potential for false positives.	
9.1.5	Fractured bedrock	Where warranted, appropriate qualified professionals should assess permeability trends of water-bearing fractures, and related potential pathways of effluent toward receptors, including but not limited to, domestic wells and surface water. The professionals should also consider potential geotechnical issues. We suggest consideration of fractured bedrock in concert with percolation rates of overlying soils; either very high or low percolation rates might warrant siting restrictions or non-standard dispersal systems. See also State Water Resources Control Board Order WQ 2014-0153-DWQ, Attachment 1, page 1-3, Item A-3.	
9.1.6	Poorly drained soils	Appropriate qualified professionals should give criteria for determination of representative percolation rates, including but not limited to, general site evaluation, trench logging, pre-soak and measurement methods of percolation tests, and acceptable alternatives for percolation tests.	
9.1.7	Vulnerable surface water	Our key potential concern is eutrophication of fresh surface water. While typically with relatively low mobility in groundwater and recently informally banned in dishwater detergents, phosphate is a common cause. At minimum, describe appropriate qualified professionals who will consider potential pathways of wastewater-sourced phosphate and other nutrients toward potentially threatened nearby surface bodies.	

	9.1	.8 ا	Impaire water bodies	Wolf Creek, Nevada County, and Woods Creek, Tuolumne County will require Tier 3 Advanced Protection Management Programs. This applies to Nevada, Placer, and Tuolumne Counties. See Attachment 2 of the OWTS Policy.	
	9.1	.9	High OWTS density areas	Where nitrate is an identified chronic issue, at minimum, consider nitrogen loading per area; for example, see Hantzsche and Finnemore (1992), Crites and Tchobanoglous (1998), and more recent publications as appropriate.	
	9.1.	.10 F	Limits to	I nitrate and nathoden loading and sufficiency of	
9.1	9.1.11 Areas with OWTS that predate adopted		ΓS ate	This refers to areas with known, multiple existing OWTS.	
9.1	.12	OWT either within press e, Tid setbat or wire setbat that a Local Ager finds	er n criptiv er 1 acks, ithin acks a	This refers to areas with known, multiple existing OWTS.	
9	9.2 Scope of Coverage:			For Section 9.2 et seq., provide details on scope of coverage, for example maximum authorized projected flows, allowable system types, and their related requirements for site evaluation, siting, and design and construction requirements.	
9.2	2.1	and	allation ection nits	Permits generally cover procedures for inspections, maintenance and repair of OWTS, including assurances that such work on failing systems is under permit; see Tier 4.	
9.2	2.2		cial vision as and	Wolf Creek, Nevada County, and Woods Creek, Tuolumne County will require Tier 3 Advanced Protection Management Programs. This applies to	

	Requirem ents near Impaired Water Bodies	Nevada, Placer, and Tuolumne Counties. See Attachment 2 of the OWTS Policy.	
9.2.3	LAMP Variance Procedure s	Variances for new installations and repairs should be in substantial conformance to the Policy, to the greatest extent practicable. Variances cannot authorize prohibited items in Section 9.4.	
9.2.4	Qualificati ons for Persons who Work on OWTS	Qualifications generally cover requirements for education, training, and licensing. We suggest that Local Agencies review information available from the California Onsite Water Association (COWA), see: (http://www.cowa.org/)	
9.2.5	Education and Outreach for OWTS Owners	Education and Outreach generally supports owners on locating, operating, and maintaining OWTS. At minimum, ensure that you will require OWTS designers and installers to provide owners with sufficient information to address critical maintenance, repairs, and parts replacements within 48 hours of failure; see also Tier 4. Also, provide information to appropriate volunteer groups. At minimum, we suggest providing this information on your webpage.	
9.2.6	Septage Disposal	Assess existing and proposed disposal locations, and their adequacy.	
9.2.7	Maintenan ce Districts and Zones	These generally refer to Homeowners Associations, special maintenance districts, and similar responsible entities. Requirements for responsible entities should generally reflect the Local Agency's judgment on minimum sizes of subdivisions that could potentially cause environmental impacts. LAMPs should ensure that responsible entities have the financial resources, stability, legal authority, and professional qualifications to operate community OWTS.	
9.2.8	Regional Salt and Nutrient Managem ent Plans	Consider development and implementation of, or coordination with, Regional Salt and Nutrient Management Plans; see also State Board Resolution 2009-0011: (http://www.waterboards.ca.gov/centralvalley/water_i ssues/salinity/laws_regs_policies/rw_policy_impleme	
		ntation_mem.pdf)	

9.2.9	Watershe d Managem ent Groups	Coordinate with <i>volunteer well monitoring programs</i> and similar watershed management groups.	
9.2.10	Proximity of Collection Systems to New or Replacem ent OWTS	Evaluate proximity of sewer systems to new and replacement OWTS. See also Section 9.4.9.	
9.2.11	Public Water System Notificatio n prior to permitting OWTS Installation or Repairs	Give your notification procedures to inform public water services of pending OWTS installations and repairs within prescribed setback distances.	
9.2.12	Policies for Dispersal Areas within Setbacks of Public Wells and Surface Water Intakes	Discuss supplemental treatments; see Sections 10.9 and 10.10. A Local Agency can propose alternate criteria; however we will need rationale in detail.	
9.2.13	Cesspool Discontinu ance and Phase-Out	Provide plans and schedule.	
9.3	Minimum Local Agency Managem ent Responsib ilities:	For Section 9.3 et seq., discuss minimum responsibilities for LAMP management. Responsibilities should generally cover data compilation, water quality assessment, follow-up on issues, and reporting to the Central Valley Water Board:	
9.3.1	Permit Records, OWTS	Describe your records maintenance; numbers, locations, and descriptions of permits where you have granted variances.	

	with		
	Variances		
9.3.2	Water Quality Assessme nt Program:	In the Water Quality Assessment Program, generally focus on areas with characteristics covered in Section 9.1. Include monitoring and analysis of water quality data, complaints, variances, failures, and inspections. Also include appropriate monitoring for nitrate and pathogens; you can use information from other programs. We are available to provide further guidance on reporting requirements. In the interim, to assist with analyses and evaluation reports (Section 9.3.3), we suggest posting data on appropriate maps; for example consider the following links: http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/site/ca/home/ http://www.cdpr.ca.gov/docs/emon/grndwtr/gwpa_maps.htm http://ngmdb.usgs.gov/maps/mapview/ http://www.conservation.ca.gov/cgs/information/publications/ms/Documents/MS58.pdf http://www.water.ca.gov/groundwater/data_and_monitoring/northern_region/Groundwater/data_and_monitoring/northern_region/GroundwaterLevel/SacValGWContours/100t400_Wells_Spring-2013.pdf http://www.water.ca.gov/waterdatalibrary/ http://www.waterboards.ca.gov/gama/docs/hva_map_table.pdf http://geotracker.waterboards.ca.gov/gama/	
		http://msc.fema.gov/portal	
9.3.2.1	Domestic Well Sampling	Apply your best professional judgment to ensure that well sampling focuses on hydrogeologically reasonable pollutant (primarily nitrate) flow paths. A qualified professional should generally design an appropriate directed, judgmental, sample (i.e., statistically non-random). Of the links provided, the Geotracker GAMA website might be particularly useful to the professional; at minimum we suggest reviews of available nitrate data in relevant domestic wells, up-gradient, within, and down-gradient of an area of interest. For some instances, for example where a developer proposes a relatively large project, a Local Agency might require a special study to distinguish between wastewater and nonwastewater sourced nitrate. In such cases, we suggest your consideration of requiring focused sampling and analyses, for example of δ ¹⁸ O and	

		δ ¹⁵ N of nitrate (Megan Young, USGS, 2014 pers comm), and the artificial sweeteners sucralose and acesulfame-K (Buerge et al 2009, Van Stempvoort et al 2011, and more recent publications as they become available).	
9.3.2.2	Domestic Well Sampling, Routine Real Estate Transfer Related	This applies only if those samples are routinely performed and reported.	
9.3.2.3	Water Quality of Public Water Systems	Reviews can be by your agency or another municipality.	
9.3.2.4	Domestic Well Sampling, New Well Developm ent	This applies if those data are reported.	
9.3.2.5	Beach Water Quality Sampling, H&S Code §115885	Public beaches include those on freshwater.	
9.3.2.6	Receiving Water Sampling Related to NPDES Permits	This refers to existing data from other monitoring programs.	
9.3.2.7	Data contained in	This refers to existing data from other monitoring programs.	

	California Water Quality Assessme nt Database		
9.3.2.8	ter Sampling Related to Waste Discharge Requirem ents	This refers to existing data from other monitoring programs.	
9.3.2.9	Groundwa ter Sampling Related to GAMA Program	This refers to existing data from other monitoring programs.	
9.3.3	Annual Status Reports Covering 9.3.1-9.3.2	Reports are due 1 February, annually, beginning one year after a Regional Board approves LAMP. Every fifth year also include an evaluation report. Submit all groundwater monitoring data in Electronic Delivery Format (EDF) for Geotracker; submit all surface water data to CEDEN.	
9.4	Not Allowed or Authorized in LAMP:	For Section 9.4 et seq., ensure that your LAMP covers prohibitions.	
9.4.1	Cesspools	Local Agencies cannot authorize cesspools of any kind or size.	
9.4.2	Projected Flow greater than10,00 0 gpd	Apply professional judgment to further limit projected flows.	
9.4.3	Effluent Discharge r Above Post- Installation Ground Surface	For example, Local Agencies cannot authorize effluent disposal using sprinklers, exposed drip lines, free-surface wetlands, and ponds.	

9.4.4	Installation on Slopes greater than 30% without Registere d Profession al's Report	See also earlier comments, Section 9.1.1, regarding potential geotechnical concerns.	
9.4.5	Decrease d Leaching Area for IAPMO- Certified Dispersal System with Multiplier less than 0.70	IAPMO refers to International Association of Plumbing and Mechanical Officials. Decreased leaching area refers to alternatives to conventional (stone-and-pipe) dispersal systems; these alternatives require relatively less area. The multiplier, less than 1, allows for a reduction in dispersal field area relative to a conventional system.	
9.4.6	Suppleme ntal Treatment s without Monitoring and Inspection	Therefore, ensure that the LAMP describes periodic inspection and monitoring for OWTS with supplemental treatments.	
9.4.7	Significant Wastes from RV Holding Tanks	We interpret significant amounts to mean amounts greater than incidental dumping, such that volume, frequency, overall strength, or chemical additives preclude definition as domestic wastewater; see Definitions in OWTS Policy. See also, State Water Resources Control Board Order WQ 2014-0153-DWQ, Attachment B-2.	
9.4.8	Encroach ment Above Groundwa ter	Bottom of OWTS dispersal systems cannot be less than 2 feet above groundwater, or bottom of seepage pits, less than 10 feet above groundwater. We interpret groundwater to include inter-flow and perched zones, along with the shallowest main unconfined aquifer. Degree of vulnerability to pollution due to hydrogeological conditions, Section 9.1.1, and the Water Quality Assessment, Section 9.3.2., should cover in detail means of assessing seasonally shallowest depth to groundwater.	

9.4.9	Installation s Near Existing Sewers	New and replacement OWTS cannot occur on any lot with available public sewers less than 200 feet from a building or exterior drainage facility (exception; connection fees plus construction costs are greater than 2 times the replacement OWTS costs, and Local Agency determines no impairment to any drinking water.)	
9.4.10	Minimum Setbacks:	These setbacks are from public water systems.	
9.4.10. 1	From Public Supply Wells	If the dispersal system is less than 10' in depth, then the setback must be greater than 150' from public water supply well.	
9.4.10.	From Public Supply Wells	If the dispersal system is greater than 10' in depth, then the setback must be greater than 200' from public water supply well.	
9.4.10.	From Public Supply Wells, Regarding Pathogens	If the dispersal system is greater than 20' in depth, and less than 600' from public water supply well, then the setback must be greater than the distance for two-year travel time of microbiological contaminants, as determined by qualified professional. In no case shall the setback be less than 200'.	
9.4.10. 4	From Public Surface Water Supplies	If the dispersal system is less than 1,200' from public water system's surface water intake, within its drainage catchment, and potentially threatens an intake, then the setback must be greater than 400' from the high water mark of the surface water body.	
9.4.10. 5	From Public Surface Water Supplies	If the dispersal system is greater than1,200,'but less than 2,500,' from public water system's surface water intake, within its drainage catchment, and potentially threatens an intake, then the setback must be greater than 200' from high water mark of surface water body.	
9.4.11	Suppleme ntal Treatment s, Replacem ent OWTS That Do Not Meet Minimum Setback	Replacement OWTS shall meet minimum horizontal setbacks to the maximum extent practicable.	

	Requirem ents		
9.4.12	Suppleme ntal Treatment s, New OWTS That Do Not Meet Minimum Setback Requirem ents	New OWTS shall meet minimum horizontal setbacks to the maximum extent practicable, and meet requirements for pathogens as specified in Section 10.8., and any other Local Agency's mitigation measures.	
9.5	Technical Support of LAMP	Include adequate detail to ensure that the combination of all proposed criteria will protect water quality and public health sufficiently to warrant the Central Valley Water Board's waiver of Waste Discharge Requirements, pursuant to §13269, California Water Code.	
9.6	Regional Water Quality Control Board Considera tion of LAMP	Regional Boards shall consider past performance of local programs to protect water quality. We will generally consider past performance based on our reviews of annual status and evaluation reports; see Section 9.3.3.	

References:

Hantzsche, N.N. and E.J. Finnemore (1992). Predicting groundwater nitrate-nitrogen impacts. "Groundwater," 30, No. 4, pages 490-499.

Crites, R and G. Tchobanoglous (1998). Small and Decentralized Wastewater Management Systems, McGraw-Hill, ISBN 0-07-289087-8, 1084 pages (see especially pages 919-920).

Young, Megan, USGS Menlo Park, mbyoung@usgs.gov, (650-329-4544)

Buerge, Ignaz J., Hans-Rudolf Buser, Maren Kahle, Markus D. Muller, and Thomas Poiger (2009). Ubiquitous occurrence of the artificial sweetener acesulfame in the aquatic environment: an ideal chemical marker of domestic wastewater in groundwater. "Environmental Science and Technology," 43" pages 4,381 to 4,385.

Van Stempvoort, Dale R., James W. Roy, Susan J. Brown, and Greg Bickerton (2011). Artificial sweeteners as potential tracers in groundwater in urban environments. "Journal of Hydrology," 401 pages 126 to 133.

Local Agency Addressees:

Redding Office:	Rancho Cordova Office:	Fresno Office:
Brad Banner	Mike Israel	Brian Moss
Environmental Health	Environmental Health	Environmental Health
Director,	Director	Director
Butte County	Amador County	Calaveras County
202 Mira Loma Drive	810 Court Street	891 Mountain Ranch Road
Oroville, CA 95965	Jackson, CA 95642-2132	San Andreas, CA 95249-
·	·	9709
Kevin Backus	Craig Erickson	Glenn Allenle
Environmental Health	Environmental Health	Environmental Health
Director	Director	Director
Glenn County	Colusa County	Fresno County
257 North Villa Avenue	124 East Webster Street	1221 Fulton Mall
Willows, CA 95988	Colusa, CA 95932	Fresno, CA 93721
Warren Farnum	Gerri Silva	Matt Constantine
Environmental Health	Environmental Health	Environmental Health
Director	Director	Director
Modoc County	El Dorado County	Kern County
202 West 4th Street	2850 Fairlane Court, Bldg.	1800 Mount Vernon
Alturas, CA 96101	C	Avenue
	Placerville, CA 95709	Bakersfield, CA 93306
Jerry Sipe	Ray Ruminski	Jeff Taber
Environmental Health	Environmental Health	Environmental Health
Director	Director	Director
Plumas County	Lake County	Kings County
270 County Hospital Road,	922 Bevins Court	330 Campus Drive
Suite 127	Lakeport, CA 95453-9739	Hanford, CA 93230
Quincy, CA 95971		
Carla Serio	Amy Irani	Jill Yaeger
Environmental Health	Environmental Health	Environmental Health
Director	Director	Director
Shasta County	Nevada County	Madera County
1855 Placer Street, Suite	950 Maidu Avenue	2037 West Cleveland
201	Nevada City, CA 95959	Avenue
Redding, CA 96001		Madera, CA 93637
Tim Potanovic	Wesley Nicks	David Conway
Environmental Health	Environmental Health	Environmental Health
Director	Director	Director
Tehama County	Placer County	Mariposa County
633 Washington Street	3091 County Center Drive,	5100 Bullion Street, P.O.
Red Bluff, CA 96080	Suite 180	Box 5
	Auburn, CA 95603	Mariposa, CA 95338
Doug Danz	John Rogers	Ron Rowe

Redding Office:	Rancho Cordova Office:	Fresno Office:
Environmental Health	Environmental Health	Environmental Health
Director	Director	Director
Town of Paradise	Sacramento County	Merced County
5555 Skyway	10590 Armstrong Avenue	260 East 15 th Street
Paradise, CA 95969-4931	Mather, CA 95655	Merced, CA 95341-6216
	Donna Heran	Nilsa Gonzales
	Environmental Health	Environmental Health
	Director	Director
	San Joaquin County	Tulare County
	1868 East Hazelton	5957 South Mooney Blvd.
	Avenue	Visalia, CA 93277
	Stockton, CA 95205-6232	,
	Elizabeth Morgan	
	Environmental Health	
	Director	
	Sierra County	
	P.O. Box 7	
	Loyalton, CA 96118	
	Terry Schmidtbauer	
	Environmental Health	
	Director	
	Solano County	
	675 Texas Street, Suite	
	5000	
	Fairfield, CA 94533	
	Jami Aggers	
	Environmental Health	
	Director	
	Stanislaus County	
	3800 Cornucopia Way,	
	Suite C	
	Modesto, CA 95358-9494	
	Jeff Williams	
	Environmental Health	
	Director	
	Sutter County	
	1130 Civic Center Blvd.,	
	Suite A	
	Yuba City, CA 95993	
	Robert Kostlivy	
	Environmental Health	
	Director	
	Tuolumne County	
	2 South Green Street	
	Sonora, CA 95370	

Redding Office:	Rancho Cordova Office:	Fresno Office:
	Leslie Lindbo	
	Environmental Health	
	Director	
	Yolo County	
	137 North Cottonwood	
	Street, Suite 2400	
	Woodland, CA 95695	
	Tejinder Maan	
	Environmental Health	
	Director	
	Yuba County	
	915 8 th Street	
	Marysville, CA 95901	