

Table of Contents

ES	EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	ES-1
ES-1	Introduction and Background.....	ES-1
ES-2	Purpose and Need.....	ES-1
ES-3	Alternatives.....	ES-1
ES-4	Summary of Environmental Effects	ES-4
ES-5	Avoidance and Minimization of Adverse Effects.....	ES-47
ES-6	Public and Agency Involvement.....	ES-47
1.0	PURPOSE AND NEED	1-1
1.1	Introduction.....	1-1
1.2	Purpose Of The Proposed Project	1-2
1.3	Need For The Proposed Project	1-5
1.4	Major Authorizing Laws And Regulations	1-10
1.5	Relationship to Other Federal Agency Policies, Plans, And Programs	1-14
1.6	Relationship To Other Transportation Projects and Plans In The Study Area	1-16
1.7	Issues Raised During Scoping.....	1-21
2.0	ALTERNATIVES.....	2-1
2.1	Summary of Alternatives.....	2-3
2.2	Development of Action Alternatives	2-9
2.3	Alternatives Considered But Dismissed From Further Analysis	2-14
2.4	Action Alternatives In Detail.....	2-18
3.0	AFFECTED ENVIRONMENT, ENVIRONMENTAL CONSEQUENCES, AND MITIGATION MEASURES	3-1
3.1	Land Use & Community Impacts.....	3.1-1
3.2	Growth	3.2-1
3.3	Farmlands and Grazing Lands.....	3.3-1
3.4	Utilities/Emergency Services.....	3.4-1
3.5	Traffic and Transportation.....	3.5-1

3.6	Visual Resources.....	3.6-1
3.7	Cultural and Paleontological Resources	3.7-1
3.8	Hydrology And Water Quality	3.8-1
3.9	Geology and Soils	3.9-1
3.10	Hazardous Materials	3.10-1
3.11	Air Quality and Global Climate Change	3.11-1
3.12	Noise and Vibration.....	3.12-1
3.13	Energy	3.13-1
3.14	Biological Resources.....	3.14-1
3.15	Section 4(f) Evaluation.....	3.15-1
3.16	Cumulative Impacts	3.16-1
3.17	Irretreivable and Irreversible Committments of Public Resources.....	3.17-1
3.18	Short Term Uses Versus Long Term Productivity.....	3.18-1
3.19	Unavoidable Adverse Effects	3.19-1
4.0	COMMENTS AND COORDINATION	4-1
4.1	Public involvement And Outreach	4-1
4.2	Agency Involvement	4-4
5.0	PREPARERS AND REFERENCES	5-1
5.1	List of Preparers	5-1
5.2	References.....	5-3

List of Figures

Figure ES-1	Project Location.....	ES-2
Figure 1.1	Project Location.....	1-3
Figure 1-2	Conceptual Alignment Routing via I-15 Median Through Barstow	1-23
Figure 2.1-1	Action Alternatives	2-36
Figure 2-1.2	Action Alternatives (2)	2-37
Figures 2-1.3	Action Alternatives (3)	2-38
Figure 2-1.4	Action Alternatives (4)	2-39
Figure 2-1.5	Action Alternatives (5)	2-40
Figure 2-1.6	Action Alternatives (6)	2-41
Figures 2-1.7	Action Alternatives (7).....	2-42
Figure 2-2	Cross Median Emergency Access	2-43
Figure 2-3	Typical Section, At Grade	2-44
Figure 2-4	Typical Section, Retained Embankment	2-45
Figure 2-5	Typical Section, Grade Separated	2-46
Figure 2-6	Typical Section, DXE in the Median of I-15	2-47
Figure 2-7	Typical Section, DXE in the Median of I-15 at Overpass	2-48
Figure 2-8	Typical Section, Retained Embankment in the I-15 Median	2-49
Figure 2-9	Typical Section, Median Drainage Treatment.....	2-50
Figure 2-10	Victorville Station Site 1, Plan View	2-51
Figure 2-11	Victorville Station Site 1, Section View	2-52
Figure 2-12	Victorville Station Site 2, Plan View	2-53
Figure 2-13	Victorville Station Site 2, Section View.....	2-54
Figure 2-14	Victorville OMSF Site Option 1 Plan View.....	2-55
Figure 2-15	Victorville OMSF site option 2, Plan View.....	2-56
Figure 2-16	Southern Station Option, Plan View	2-57
Figure 2-17	Southern Station Option, Section View	2-58
Figure 2-18	Central Station A, Plan View	2-59
Figure 2-19	Central Station A, Section View	2-60
Figure 2-20	Central Station B, Plan View	2-61
Figure 2-21	Central Station B, Section View	2-62
Figure 2-22	Downtown Station Option, Plan View	2-63
Figure 2-23	Downtown Station Option, Section View	2-64

Figure 2-24	Las Vegas Area MSF Site Options	2-65
Figure 2-25	Sloan MSF Site Option, Plan View	2-66
Figure 2-26	Wigwam MSF Site Options, Plan View	2-67
Figure 2-27	Robindale MSF Site Option, Plan View	2-68
Figure 3.1-1	Bureau of Land Management (BLM) Multiple Use Classifications, California Desert Conservation Area	3.1-4
Figure 3-1.2	BLM Planning Areas.....	3.1-7
Figure 3-1.3	Victorville Area	3.1-12
Figure 3-1.4	Clark County and City of Las Vegas Planning Areas	3.1-14
Figure 3-1.4	Clark County and City of Las Vegas Planning Areas	3.1-14
Figure 3-1.5	Land Ownership (1)	3.1-17
Figure 3-1.6	Land Ownership (2).....	3.1-18
Figure 3-1.7	Land Ownership (3).....	3.1-19
Figure 3-1.8	Land Ownership (4)	3.1-20
Figure 3-1.9	Land Ownership (5).....	3.1-21
Figure 3-1.10	Land Ownership (6)	3.1-22
Figure 3-1.11	Land Ownership (7).....	3.1-23
Figure 3-1.12	Land Use/Zoning Designations (1)	3.1-25
Figure 3-1.13	Land Use/Zoning Designations (2)	3.1-26
Figure 3-1.14	Land Use/Zoning Designations (3)	3.1-27
Figure 3-1.15	Land Use/Zoning Designations (4)	3.1-28
Figure 3-1.16	Land Use/Zoning Designations (5).....	3.1-29
Figure 3-1.17	Land Use/Zoning Designations (6)	3.1-30
Figure 3-1.18	Land Use/Zoning Designations (7).....	3.1-31
Figure 3-1.19	Environmental Justice: California (1).....	3.1-48
Figure 3-1.20	Environmental Justice: Nevada (1).....	3.1-49
Figure 3.3-1	Farmlands within Direct/Indirect Impact Areas, Segment 1.....	3.3-9
Figure 3.3-2	Farmlands within Direct/Indirect Impact Areas, Segment 2	3.3-10
Figure 3.3-3	Farmlands within Direct/Indirect Impact Areas, Segment 3	3.3-11
Figure 3.3-4	BLM Grazing Alotments.....	3.3-12
Figure 3.5-1	Freeway Sections Evaluated	3.5-13
Figure 3.5-2	Existing Lane Geometry, Victorville Station Site 1.....	3.5-16
Figure 3.5-3	Existing Lane Geometry, Victorville Station Site 2	3.5-17
Figure 3.5-4	Future Year 2030 Intersection Lane Geometry, Victorville Station Site 1	3.5-18
Figure 3.5-5	Future Year 2030 Intersection Lane Geometry, Victorville Station Site 2.....	3.5-19

Figure 3-5.6	Victorville Station Site 1 Trip Distribution	Figure 3-5.7 Victorville Station Site 2 Trip Distribution.....	3.5-21
Figure 3-5.7	Victorville Station Site 2 Trip Distribution.....		3.5-22
Figure 3-5.8	Southern Station Existing Intersection Geometry		3.5-23
Figure 3-5.9	Central Station A Existing Intersection Geometry		3.5-24
Figure 3-5.10	Central Station B Existing Intersection Geometry		3.5-26
Figure 3-5.11	Downtown Station Existing Intersection Geometry		3.5-27
Figure 3-5.12	Southern Station Trip Distribution.....		3.5-28
Figure 3-5.13	Central Station A Trip Distribution		3.5-29
Figure 3-5.14	Central Station B Trip Distribution		3.5-30
Figure 3-5.15	Downtown Station Trip Distribution.....		3.5-31
Figure 3.6-1	Visual Resources (1)		3.6-6
Figure 3.6-2	Visual Resources (2)		3.6-7
Figure 3.6-3	Visual Resources (3)		3.6-8
Figure 3.6-4	Visual Resources (4).....		3.6-9
Figure 3.6-5	Visual Resources (5)		3.6-10
Figure 3.6-6	Visual Resources (6)		3.6-11
Figure 3.6-7	Visual Resources (7)		3.6-12
Figure 3.6-8	Areas of Critical Environmental Concern.....		3.6-14
Figure 3.6-9	Existing Conditions, Victorville Station Site B.....		3.6-20
Figure 3.6-10	Existing Conditions, Victorville OMSF Site 2.....		3.6-21
Figure 3.6-11	Location where Segment 1 Diverges from I-15.....		3.6-23
Figure 3.6-12	Existing Conditions, Mojave River Crossing		3.6-24
Figure 3.6-13	Existing Conditions, City of Barstow		3.6-25
Figure 3.6-14	Existing Conditions, View from Harvard Road Looking East		3.6-29
Figure 3.6-15	Existing Conditions, Community of Baker		3.6-30
Figure 3.6-16	Existing Conditions, Mojave National Preserve at Nipton Road.....		3.6-32
Figure 3.6-17	Segment 4B Tunnel Location		3.6-33
Figure 3.6-18	Existing Conditions, Community of Primm		3.6-35
Figure 3.6-19	Existing Conditions near the I-15/I-215 Intersection		3.6-36
Figure 3.6-20	Existing Conditions, Las Vegas MSF Option 1 (Wigwam Avenue) Site.....		3.6-38
Figure 3.6-21	Existing Conditions, Central Station A Site.....		3.6-39
Figure 3.6-22	View Comparison, Victorville Station Site B		3.6-51
Figure 3.6-23	View Comparison, Victorville OMSF Site 2		3.6-54
Figure 3.6-24	View Comparison, Where Segment 1 Diverges from I-15		3.6-56
Figure 3.6-25	View Comparison, Mojave River Crossing Location		3.6-59
Figure 3.6-26	View Comparison, City of Barstow		3.6-60

Figure 3.6-27	View Comparison, Mojave National Preserve at Nipton Road	3.6-65
Figure 3.6-28	View Comparison, Segment 4B Tunnel Portal Location	3.6-66
Figure 3.6-31	View Comparison, Las Vegas MSF Option 1 (Wigwam Avenue) Site.....	3.6-73
Figure 3-7.1	Architectural Resources Within or Adjacent to the APE	3.7-44
Figure 3.8-1	Hydrology and Floodplains	3.8-12
Figure 3.8-2	Hydrology and Floodplains	3.8-13
Figure 3.8-3	Hydrology and Floodplains	3.8-14
Figure 3.8-4	Hydrology and Floodplains	3.8-15
Figure 3.8-5	Hydrology and Floodplains	3.8-16
Figure 3.8-6	Hydrology and Floodplains	3.8-17
Figure 3.8-7	Hydrology and Floodplains	3.8-18
Figure 3.9-1	Principle Regional Faults in California Study Area.....	3.9-7
Figure 3.9-2a	Faults and Fissures in the Nevada Study Area (1).....	3.9-12
Figure 3.9-2b	Faults and Fissures in the Nevada Study Area (2)	3.9-13
Figure 3.9-3	Regional Geological Map.....	3.9-21
Figure 3.9-4	Regional Geologic Map – Segment 3	3.9-24
Figure 3.9-5	Regional Geologic Map – Segments 3-5	3.9-30
Figure 3.9-6	Regional Geological Map.....	3.9-31
Figure 3-10.1	Properties of Environmental Concern	3.10-10
Figure 3.11-1	Mojave Desert Air Basin	3.11-19
Figure 3.11-2	Clark County and Metropolitan Areas	3.11-20
Figure 3.12-1	Typical A-Weighted Sound Levels	3.12-2
Figure 3.12-2	Distance within which Surprise Can Occur for High Speed Trains..	3.12-3
Figure 3.12-3	Examples of Typical Outdoor Noise Exposure	3.12-4
Figure 3.12-4	Typical Ground-Borne Vibration Levels and Criteria	3.12-5
Figure 3.12-5	Noise Impact Criteria for High-Speed Rail Projects	3.12-8
Figure 3.12-6	Vibration Level vs. Distance for High-Speed Rail Operations.....	3.12-14
Figure 3.12-7	Noise and Vibration Monitoring Locations.....	3.12-18
Figure 3.12-8	Noise and Vibration Monitoring Locations.....	3.12-19
Figure 3.12-9	Noise and Vibration Monitoring Locations.....	3.12-20
Figure 3.12-10	Noise and Vibration Monitoring Locations.....	3.12-21
Figure 3.12-11	Noise and Vibration Mitigation Locations	3.12-44
Figure 3.12-12	Noise and Vibration Mitigation Locations	3.12-45
Figure 3.13-1	Energy Market Modular Regions	3.13-10
Figure 3.14-1	Biological Resources	3.14-20
Figure 3.14-2	Biological Resources	3.14-21
Figure 3.14-3	Biological Resources	3.14-22

Figure 3.14-4	Biological Resources.....	3.14-23
Figure 3.14-5	Biological Resources.....	3.14-24
Figure 3.14-6	Biological Resources.....	3.14-25
Figure 3.14-7	Biological Resources.....	3.14-26
Figure 3.14-7	Biological Resources.....	3.14-26
Figure 3.15-1	Section 4(f) Resources (1)	3.15-9
Figure 3.15-1	Section 4(f) Resources (1)	3.15-9
Figure 3.15-1	Section 4(f) Resources (1)	3.15-9
Figure 3.15-1	Section 4(f) Resources (1)	3.15-9
Figure 3.15-5	Clean Air Act Designated Class I Areas	3.15-13
Figure 3.15-6	Section 4(f) Use of the Mojave National Preserve.....	3.15-22
Figure 3.16-1	Potential Cumulative Projects (1)	3.16-4
Figure 3.16-2	Potential Cumulative Projects (2)	3.16-5
Figure 3.16-3	Potential Cumulative Projects (3)	3.16-6
Figure 3.16-4	Potential Cumulative Projects (4)	3.16-7
Figure 3.16-5	Potential Cumulative Projects (5)	3.16-8
Figure 3.16-6	Potential Cumulative Projects (6)	3.16-9
Figure 3.16-7	Potential Cumulative Projects (7)	3.16-10

List of Tables

Table ES-1	Comparison of Segment 1 Alternatives	ES-6
Table ES-2	Comparison of Segment 2 Alternatives	ES-11
Table ES-3	Comparison of Segment 3 Alternatives	ES-16
Table ES-4	Comparison of Segment 4 Alternatives	ES-20
Table ES-5	Comparison of Segment 5 Alternatives	ES-25
Table ES-6	Comparison of Segment 6 Alternatives	ES-29
Table ES-6a	Segment 6 Station Site Option Comparison	ES-34
Table ES-7	Comparison of Segment 7 Alternatives	ES-38
Table ES-8	Comparison of Segment 8 Alternatives	ES-43
Table 1.4-1	Federal Permits or Approvals Anticipated for Action Alternatives	1-12
Table 1.4-2	State, Regional, and Local Agencies Consulted in EIS Process	1-13
Table 2-1	Summary of Action Alternatives	2-4
Table 2-2	Alternatives Criteria	2-10
Table 2-3	Federal Agency Alternatives Criteria	2-10
Table 2-4	Reasons for Elimination of Potential Alignment Segments.....	2-15
Table 2-6	Summary of Key Operating Features, DEMU and EMU	2-25
Table 2-7	Temporary Construction Areas	2-35
Table 3.1-1	Permanently Impacted land by Generalized Land Use Designation, Rail Alignments (acres)	3.1-33
Table 3.1-2	Compatibility of Land Use Types	3.1-52
Table 3.1-3	Compatibility of Action Alternative Features with Surrounding Land Uses.....	3.1-52
Table 3.1-4	Compatibility of Land Use Designations	3.1-56
Table 3.1-5	Compatibility of Action Alternative Features with Land Use Designations	3.1-56
Table 3.2-1	San Bernardino County Desert Region Growth Projections 2000- 2030, Six City Sphere of Influence Areas	3.2-8
Table 3.2-2	Aggregated Sphere of Influence Growth Projections within Desert Region, 2000-2030, Unincorporated San Bernardino County	3.2-8
Table 3.2-3	City of Victorville Growth Projections	3.2-10
Table 3.2-4	Clark County Growth Projections	3.2-12
Table 3.2-5	City of Las Vegas Growth Projections	3.2-13
Table 3.2-6	Estimated Operational Employment by Location	3.2-16
Table 3.3-1	Acreage of Affected Farmland by Alternative	3.3-16

Table 3.4-1	Utilities and Public Services in Study Area	3.4-5
Table 3.4-2	Potential Utility Infrastructure Conflicts in the Study Area	3.4-21
Table 3.5-1	Expected Vehicle Reduction on I-15	3.5-2
Table 3.5-2	Freeway Mainline and Ramp Junction Level of Service Description.....	3.5-5
Table 3.5-3	Intersection Level of Service Description	3.5-5
Table 3.5-4	Freeway Mainline Level of Service – Existing Conditions; 2013 and 2030 Baseline Conditions	3.5-33
Table 3.5-5	Ramp Junction Level of Service – Existing Conditions; 2013 and 2030 Baseline Conditions	3.5-34
Table 3.5-6	Victorville Station Site Option 1 – Existing Conditions; 2013 and 2030 Baseline Conditions	3.5-35
Table 3.5-7	Victorville Station Site Option 2 – Existing Conditions; 2013 and 2030 Baseline Conditions	3.5-36
Table 3.5-8	Southern Station Site Option – Existing Conditions; 2013 and 2030 Baseline Conditions	3.5-37
Table 3.5-9	Central Station Site Option A – Existing Conditions; 2013 and 2030 Baseline Conditions	3.5-39
Table 3.5-10	Central Station Site Option B – Existing Conditions; 2013 and 2030 Baselines.....	3.5-40
Table 3.5-11	Downtown Las Vegas Station Site Option, Existing Conditions, 2013 and 2030 Baseline Conditions	3.5-41
Table 3.5-12	Freeway Mainline Level of Service: 2013 and 2030 Baseline plus EMU Conditions	3.5-46
Table 3.5-13	Freeway Mainline Level of Service: 2013 and 2030 Baseline plus DEMU Conditions	3.5-47
Table 3.5-14	Ramp Junction Level of Service: 2013 and 2030 Baseline plus EMU Conditions	3.5-49
Table 3.5-15	Ramp Junction Level of Service: 2013 and 2030 Baseline plus DEMU Conditions	3.5-50
Table 3.5-16	Victorville Option 1: Existing, 2013, & 2030 Baseline plus EMU Conditions LOS.....	3.5-52
Table 3.5-17	Victorville Station Site Option 1: Existing, 2013, & 2030 Baseline plus DEMU Conditions LOS	3.5-54
Table 3.5-18	Victorville Station Site Option 2: Existing, 2013, & 2030 Baseline plus EMU Conditions LOS	3.5-56
Table 3.5-19	Victorville Station Site Option 2: Existing Conditions, Existing Conditions Plus DEMU Conditions, and 2013 & 2030 Baseline plus DEMU Conditions LOS	3.5-58
Table 3.5-20	Southern Station Site Option, 2013 and 2030 Baseline plus DEMU Conditions LOS	3.5-61

Table 3.5-21	Southern Station Site Option 2013 and 2030 Baseline plus EMU Conditions LOS.....	3.5-62
Table 3.5-22	Central Station A Site Option – 2013 and 2030 Baseline plus EMU Conditions LOS	3.5-65
Table 3.5-23	Central Station A Site Option 2013 and 2030 Baseline plus DEMU Conditions LOS.....	3.5-66
Table 3.5-24	Central Station B Site Option 2013 and 2030 Baseline plus EMU Conditions LOS.....	3.5-69
Table 3.5-25	Central Station B Site Option 2013 and 2030 Baseline plus DEMU Conditions LOS.....	3.5-70
Table 3.5-26	Downtown Station Site Option 2013 and 2030 Baseline plus EMU Conditions LOS.....	3.5-73
Table 3.5-27	Downtown Station Site Option, 2013 and 2030 Baseline plus DEMU Conditions LOS	3.5-74
Table 3.5-28	Action Alternative Mitigations – EMU Alternatives	3.5-75
Table 3.5-29	Action Alternative Mitigations – DEMU Alternatives	3.5-82
Table 3.6-1	ACEC Within 1 Mile of Project Area	3.6-15
Table 3.6-2	Summary of Existing Landscape Sensitivities, Visual Resources, and KOPs by Segment	3.6-17
Table 3.6-3	Summary of Key Observation Points, Segment 1	3.6-19
Table 3.6-4	Rating and Scoring System for Vividness, Intactness, Unity, and Adjacent Character	3.6-43
Table 3.6-5	Visual Quality and Visual Sensitivity Rating Determination.....	3.6-44
Table 3.6-6	Summary of Visual Effects by Segment	3.6-48
Table 3.7-1	Native American Consultation	3.7-11
Table 3.7-2	Historic Properties Consultation	3.7-16
Table 3.7-3	Society of Vertebrate Paleontology's Recommended Treatment for Paleontological Resources, by Sensitivity Category	3.7-18
Table 3.7-4	Summary of Archaeological Resources in the APE	3.7-21
Table 3.7-6	Identified Archaeological Resources in Segment 1	3.7-27
Table 3.7-7	Identified Archaeological Resources in Segment 2	3.7-30
Table 3.7-8	Identified Archaeological Resources in Segment 3	3.7-32
Table 3.7-9	Identified Archaeological Resources in Segment 4	3.7-35
Table 3.7-10	Identified Archaeological Resources in Segment 5	3.7-37
Table 3.7-11	Identified Archaeological Resources in Segment 6	3.7-38
Table 3.7-12	NRHP-Eligible Architectural Resources Within/Adjacent to the APE.....	3.7-40
Table 3.7-13	Geology and Paleontology of the DXE Alignment, by Segment	3.7-46
Table 3.7-14	Archaeological Resources Potentially Affected within the APE of Segment 1.....	3.7-55

Table 3.7-15	Archaeological Resources Potentially Affected within the APE of Segment 2	3.7-56
Table 3.7-16	Archaeological Resources Potentially Affected within the APE of Segment 3	3.7-58
Table 3.7-17	Archaeological Resources Potentially Affected within the APE of Segment 4	3.7-60
Table 3.7-18	Archaeological Resources Potentially Affected within the APE of Segment	3.7-62
Table 3.7-19	Archaeological Resources Potentially Affected within the APE of Segment 6	3.7-64
Table 3.8-1	Monthly Climate Summary for Victorville, CA (July 1948–June 2007)	3.8-8
Table 3.8-2	Monthly Climate Summary for Las Vegas, NV (February 1937–December 2007)	3.8-9
Table 3.8-3	Mojave River Flow near Barstow from 1930 to 2007	3.8-21
Table 3.8-4	Summary of Hydrology and Water Resources Affected by Alternative A, Alternative B, and Option C.....	3.8-28
Table 3.8-5	Direct Impacts to Water Resources	3.8-29
Table 3.8-6	Direct Impacts to the 100-Year Floodplain	3.8-33
Table 3.8-7	Peak Discharge of Stations (100-year 24-hour storm event).....	3.8-38
Table 3.9-1	Range of Annual Rainfall Totals at Selected Alignment Locations	3.9-3
Table 3.9-2	Depth To Groundwater in California Borings	3.9-4
Table 3.9-3	Principal Regional Faults in California Study Area.....	3.9-5
Table 3.9-4	Estimated Peak Horizontal Ground Accelerations Anticipated Along Segments of the Alignment.....	3.9-16
Table 3.9-5	Geologic Units Segment 1.....	3.9-20
Table 3.9-6	Geologic Units Segments 2A/2B.....	3.9-22
Table 3.9-7	Geologic Units Segments 3A/3B.....	3.9-27
Table 3.9-8	Geologic Units Segments 4A/4B.....	3.9-28
Table 3.9-9	Geologic Units Segments 5A/5B.....	3.9-29
Table 3.9-10	Geologic Units Segments 6A/6B.....	3.9-32
Table 3.9-11	Geologic Units Option C.....	3.9-33
Table 3.9-12	Relative Effects of Environmental Consequences.....	3.9-34
Table 3.9-13	Operational Period Mitigation Measure Applicability	3.9-45
Table 3.9-14	Construction Period Mitigation Measure Applicability	3.9-45
Table 3.10-1	Environmental Database Review – Segment 1.....	3.10-11
Table 3.10-2	Aerial Photograph Review, Segment 1.....	3.10-11
Table 3.10-3	Environmental Database Review – Segment 2	3.10-12
Table 3.10-4	Aerial Photographs, Segments 2A/2B	3.10-12

Table 3.10-5	Properties of Hazardous Materials Concern, Segment 2	3.10-12
Table 3.10-6	Environmental Database Review – Segment 3	3.10-13
Table 3.10-7	Properties of Hazardous Materials Concern – Segment 3	3.10-14
Table 3.10-8	Environmental Database Review – Segment 4	3.10-14
Table 3.10-9	Properties of Hazardous Materials Concern – Segment 4	3.10-15
Table 3.10-10	Environmental Database Review – Segment 5	3.10-15
Table 3.10-11	Environmental Database Review – Segments 6 and 7	3.10-16
Table 3.10-12	Aerial Photographs, Segments 6 and 7	3.10-16
Table 3.10-13	Properties of Hazardous Materials Concern – Segments 6 and 7 ..	3.10-17
Table 3.10-14	Environmental Database Review – Option C	3.10-18
Table 3.10-15	Properties of Hazardous Materials Concern – Option C	3.10-19
Table 3.10-16	Mitigation Measure Applicability	3.10-22
Table 3.11-1	National and State (California and Nevada) Ambient Air Quality Standards	3.11-10
Table 3.11-2	Threshold Values Used to Determine Impact Significance	3.11-14
Table 3.11-3	Federal Attainment Status for Mojave Desert Air Basin and Clark County	3.11-18
Table 3.11-4	Year 2007 Regional Criteria Pollutant and Greenhouse Gas Emissions (tons per year) ^a	3.11-22
Table 3.11-5	Summary of Air Quality Data at Victorville, Park Avenue Station (CARB Site 36306)	3.11-22
Table 3.11-6	Summary of Air Quality Data at Clark County – Orr Monitoring Station, J.D. Smith Monitoring Station, and Sunrise Acres Monitoring Station	3.11-24
Table 3.11-7	No Action Alternative Year 2013 and Year 2030 Regional Criteria Pollutant and Greenhouse Gas Emissions (tons per year) ^a	3.11-26
Table 3.11-8	Opening Year 2013 Mojave Desert Air Basin Regional Criteria Pollutant and Greenhouse Gas Emissions (tons per year) ^a	3.11-28
Table 3.11-9	Horizon Year 2030 Mojave Desert Air Basin Regional Criteria Pollutant and Greenhouse Gas Emissions (tons per year)	3.11-29
Table 3.11-10	Opening Year 2013 Clark County Regional Criteria Pollutant and Greenhouse Gas Emissions (tons per year)	3.11-30
Table 3.11-11	Horizon Year 2030 Clark County Criteria Pollutant and Greenhouse Gas Emissions (tons per year)	3.11-31
Table 3.11-12	Year 2013 Local Area CO Hotspot Analysis – Victorville Site 1 Station Option	3.11-35
Table 3.11-13	Year 2013 Local Area CO Hotspot Analysis – Victorville Site 2 Station Option	3.11-36
Table 3.11-14	Year 2030 Local Area CO Hotspot Analysis – Victorville Site 1 Station Option	3.11-37

Table 3.11-15	Year 2030 Local Area CO Hotspot Analysis – Victorville Site 2 Station Option.....	3.11-38
Table 3.11-16	Year 2013 Local Area CO Hotspot Analysis – Las Vegas Southern Station Alternative.....	3.11-39
Table 3.11-17	Year 2013 Local Area CO Hotspot Analysis – Las Vegas Central Station “A” Alternative	3.11-40
Table 3.11-18	Year 2013 Local Area CO Hotspot Analysis – Las Vegas Central Station “B” Alternative	3.11-41
Table 3.11-19	Year 2013 Local Area CO Hotspot Analysis – Las Vegas Downtown Alternative.....	3.11-42
Table 3.11-20	Year 2030 Local Area CO Hotspot Analysis – Las Vegas Southern Alternative	3.11-43
Table 3.11-21	Year 2030 Local Area CO Hotspot Analysis – Las Vegas Central Station “A” Alternative	3.11-44
Table 3.11-22	Year 2030 Local Area CO Hotspot Analysis – Las Vegas Central Station “B” Alternative	3.11-45
Table 3.11-23	Year 2030 Local Area CO Hotspot Analysis – Las Vegas Downtown Alternative.....	3.11-46
Table 3.11-24	Estimate of Construction Emissions (tons per year)	3.11-48
Table 3.12-1	Land Use Categories and Metrics for High Speed Train Noise Impact Criteria.....	3.12-6
Table 3.12-2	Interim Criteria for High-Speed Train Noise Effects on Animals	3.12-9
Table 3.12-3	Ground-Borne Vibration and Noise Impact Criteria	3.12-10
Table 3.12-4	Ground-Borne Vibration and Noise Impact Criteria for Special Buildings	3.12-10
Table 3.12-5	FRA Construction Noise Criteria	3.12-11
Table 3.12-6	Summary of Existing Ambient Noise Measurement Results.....	3.12-16
Table 3.12-7	Noise Impacts for Segment 1 – EMU.....	3.12-28
Table 3.12-8	Noise Impacts for Segment 1 – DEMU	3.12-29
Table 3.12-9	Noise Impacts for Segment 2, Alternative A – EMU.....	3.12-29
Table 3.12-10	Noise Impacts for Segment 2, Alternative A – DEMU.....	3.12-31
Table 3.12-11	Vibration Impacts for Segment 2, Alternative A	3.12-32
Table 3.12-12	Noise Impacts for Segment 2, Alternative B – EMU.....	3.12-33
Table 3.12-13	Noise Impacts for Segment 2, Alternative B – DEMU	3.12-34
Table 3.12-14	Vibration Impacts for Segment 2, Alternative B	3.12-35
Table 3.12-15	Noise Impacts for Segment 6, Alternative A – DEMU.....	3.12-37
Table 3.12-16	Noise Impacts for Segment 6, Alternative B – EMU.....	3.12-37
Table 3.12-17	Noise Impacts for Segment 6, Alternative B – DEMU	3.12-38
Table 3.12-18	Noise Impacts for Segment 7, Alternative B – EMU.....	3.12-39
Table 3.12-19	Noise Impacts for Segment 7, Alternative B – DEMU	3.12-39

Table 3.12-20	Vibration Impacts for Segment 7, Alternative B	3.12-40
Table 3.12-21	Potential Noise Mitigation Locations, DEMU	3.12-42
Table 3.12-22	Potential Noise Mitigation Locations, EMU	3.12-43
Table 3.12-23	Potential Vibration Mitigation Locations	3.12-47
Table 3.13-1	Direct Energy Consumption Factors	3.13-5
Table 3.13-2	Construction-Related Energy Consumption Factors	3.13-6
Table 3.13-3	EMM Regional Data and Projections, Regions 12 and 13	3.13-9
Table 3.13-4	Annual Overall Operational Energy Consumption	3.13-13
Table 3.13-5	EMU Peak-Period Electricity Demand (MW)	3.13-14
Table 3.13-6	Construction-related Energy Consumption	3.13-16
Table 3.14-1	Summary of Vegetation Communities and Other Land Use Types in the Project Study Area.....	3.14-18
Table 3.14-2	Sensitive Biological Resources Known or with Potential to Occur in Segment 1.....	3.14-29
Table 3.14-3	Sensitive Biological Resources with Potential to Occur in Segment 2.....	3.14-32
Table 3.14-4	Sensitive Biological Resources with Potential to Occur in Segment 3.....	3.14-34
Table 3.14-5	Sensitive Biological Resources with Potential to Occur in Segment 4.....	3.14-38
Table 3.14-6	Biological Resources with Potential to Occur in Segment 5	3.14-41
Table 3.14-7	Sensitive Biological Resources with Potential to Occur in Segments 6 or 7.....	3.14-45
Table 3.14-8	Biological Resources Affected by Action Alternatives	3.14-50
Table 3.14-9	Special Status Species Affected by Action Alternatives.....	3.14-51
Table 3.14-10	Acreage of Permanent Effects to Desert Tortoise Habitat by Segment	3.14-54
Table 3.14-11	Acreage of Temporary Effects to Desert Tortoise Habitat by Segment	3.14-55
Table 3.14-12	Permanent Effects on Mohave Ground Squirrel Habitat by Segment	3.14-59
Table 3.14-13	Temporary Effects on Mohave Ground Squirrel Habitat by Segment	3.14-60
Table 3.14-14	Stream Crossings Impacted by Alignment Alternative by Segment	3.14-65
Table 3.15-1	Cultural Resource Sites Qualifying as Section 4(f) Resources.....	3.15-5
Table 3.15-2	Summary of Use of Section 4(f) Resources	3.15-14
Table 3.16-1	Utility and Public Service Providers	3.16-26
Table 3.16-2	Summary of Visual Effects by Segment	3.16-29

Table 3.16-3 Horizon Year 2030 Mojave Desert Air Basin Regional Criteria
Pollutant and Greenhouse Gas Emissions (tons per year)3.16-40

Table 3.16-4 Horizon Year 2030 Clark County Criteria Pollutant and
Greenhouse Gas Emissions (tons per year)..... 3.16-41

List of Appendices

Refer to Volume II of this Draft Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the following appendices.

Appendix A-1	Plan and Profile Drawings
Appendix A-2	Large-Scale Maps - Action Alternatives
Appendix A-3	Detailed Station Site Plans
Appendix A-4	Detailed Maintenance Facility Site Plans and Elevations
Appendix A-4.1	Substation Typical Plan
Appendix A-4.2	Typical Signal Tower Elevation
Appendix A-5	Autotransformer Location Maps and Typical Footprint
Appendix B	Ridership Study
Appendix C	Review of Operations Plan
Appendix D	NRCS CPA 106 Forms
Appendix E	Traffic Impact Analysis
Appendix F	Cultural and Paleontological Resources
	F-1 - Cultural Resources Setting
	F-2 - Archeological Resources Tables
	F-3 - Archeological Site Descriptions
	F-4 - Paleontological Resources
Appendix G	SHPO and Tribal Consultation
Appendix H	Preliminary Geotechnical Investigation
Appendix I	Hazardous Materials Assessment - February 2007

Appendix J	Air Quality Calculations
Appendix K	Energy Data
Appendix L	Special-Status Plant and Wildlife Species
Appendix M	Listed, Proposed, and Candidate Species
Appendix N	Mohave Ground Squirrel Habitat Assessment
Appendix O	Vegetation Mapping Surveys
Appendix P	Scoping Meeting Summary

This page intentionally left blank.