

Table of Contents

PROJECT BACKGROUND AND EXECUTIVE SUMMARY.....	ES-1
LIST OF ACRONYMS	LA-1
1.0 PURPOSE AND NEED	1-1
1.1 Introduction	1-1
1.2 Purpose of the Proposed Project	1-2
1.3 Need For the Proposed Project	1-5
1.4 Major Authorizing Laws and Regulations.....	1-9
1.5 Relationship to Other Federal Agency Policies, Plans, and Programs	1-15
1.6 Relationship to Other Transportation Projects and Plans in the Study Area	1-18
1.7 Issues Raised During Scoping	1-25
2.0 ALTERNATIVES.....	2-1
2.1 Summary of Alternatives.....	2-1
2.2 Considerations in the Development of Alternatives	2-8
2.3 Alternatives in Detail.....	2-18
2.4 Preferred Alternative.....	2-44
2.5 Environmentally Preferable Alternative	2-52
3.0 INTRODUCTION.....	3-1
3.1 Land Use and Community Impacts	3.1-1
3.2 Growth.....	3.2-1
3.3 Farmlands and Grazing Lands	3.3-1
3.4 Utilities/Emergency Services	3.4-1
3.5 Traffic and Transportation.....	3.5-1
3.6 Visual Resources	3.6-1
3.7 Cultural and Paleontological Resources	3.7-1
3.8 Hydrology and Water Quality	3.8-1
3.9 Geology and Soils	3.9-1
3.10 Hazardous Materials	3.10-1
3.11 Air Quality and Global Climate Change	3.11-1
3.12 Noise and Vibration	3.12-1
3.13 Energy	3.13-1
3.14 Biological Resources.....	3.14-1

3.15	Final Section 4(f) Evaluation	3.15-1
3.16	Cumulative Impacts	3.16-1
3.17	Irretrievable and Irreversible Commitments of Public Resources	3.17-1
3.18	Short-Term Uses Versus Long-Term Productivity	3.18-1
3.19	Unavoidable Adverse Effects.....	3.19-1
4.0	COMMENTS AND COORDINATION.....	4-1
4.1	Agency Involvement.....	4-1
4.2	Public Involvement	4-5
4.3	Response to Public and Agency Comments on the Draft EIS and Supplemental Draft EIS	4-7
5.0	LIST OF PREPARERS.....	5-1
6.0	REFERENCES	6-1

List of Figures

Figure A	Project Location	ES-2
Figure B	DesertXpress Environmental Impact Statement Process.....	ES-5
Figure C	Responsible Parties Associated with DesertXpress EIS Preparation.....	ES-8
Figure D	Converging Transportation Corridors Near Victorville	ES-12
Figure E	Typical Train Speeds	ES-17
Figure F-1-1	Project Location	1-27
Figure F-2-1	Revision of Supplemental Draft EIS Figure S-2-3: Project Modifications and Additions (3)	2-55
Figure F-2-2	Project Location	2-56
Figure F-2-3	Preferred Alternative – Map 1 of 5.....	2-57
Figure F-2-4	Preferred Alternative – Map 2 of 5	2-58
Figure F-2-5	Preferred Alternative – Map 3 of 5	2-59
Figure F-2-6	Preferred Alternative – Map 4 of 5	2-60
Figure F-2-7	Preferred Alternative – Map 5 of 5.....	2-61
Figure F-3.1-1	Revision of Supplemental Draft EIS Figure S-3.1-6: Land Use/ Zoning Designation (1)	3.1-44
Figure F-3.1-2	Revision of Supplemental Draft EIS Figure S-3.1-7: Land Use/ Zoning Designation (2)	3.1-45
Figure F-3.1-3	Revision of Supplemental Draft EIS Figure S-3.1-8: Land Use/ Zoning Designation (3)	3.1-46
Figure F-3.1-4	Revision of Supplemental Draft EIS Figure S-3.1-9: Land Use/ Zoning Designation (4)	3.1-47
Figure F-3.1-5	Revision of Supplemental Draft EIS Figure S-3.1-10: Land Use/ Zoning Designation (5)	3.1-48
Figure F-3.1-6	Revision of Supplemental Draft EIS Figure S-3.1-3: Land Ownership (3).....	3.1-49
Figure F-3.1-7	Revision of Supplemental Draft EIS Figure S-3.1-4: Land Ownership (4)	3.1-50
Figure F-3.1-8	Land Ownership/Management (1).....	3.1-51
Figure F-3.1-9	Land Ownership/Management (2)	3.1-52
Figure F-3.1-10	Land Ownership/Management (3)	3.1-53
Figure F-3.1-11	Land Ownership/Management (4)	3.1-54
Figure F-3.1-12	Land Ownership/Management (5)	3.1-55
Figure F-3.1-13	Bureau of Land Management (BLM) Multiple Use Classifications, California Desert Conservation Area	3.1-56
Figure F-3.1-14	Environmental Justice – California	3.1-57
Figure F-3.1-15	Environmental Justice – Nevada.....	3.1-58

Figure F-3.3-1	Farmlands within Direct/Impacts Impact Areas, Preferred Alternative – Segment 3B	3.3-11
Figure F-3.3-2	Grazing Allotments	3.3-12
Figure F-3.3-3	Preferred Alternative, Segment 4C – Grazing Land Allotments.....	3.3-13
Figure F-3.5-1	Revision of Supplemental Draft EIS Figure S-3.5-3: Future Year 2013 Intersection Geometry and Turning Volumes Victorville Station (VV3).....	3.5-27
Figure F-3.5-2	Revision of Supplemental Draft EIS Figure S-3.5-4: Future Year 2030 Intersection Geometry and Turning Volumes, Victorville Station (VV3).....	3.5-28
Figure F-3.5-3	Freeway Sections Evaluated.....	3.5-29
Figure F-3.5-4	Trip Distribution Victorville Station Site 3 (VV3).....	3.5-30
Figure F-3.5-5	Existing Intersection Geometry Las Vegas Station (Southern Station)	3.5-31
Figure F-3.5-6	Las Vegas Station (Southern Station) Trip Distribution.....	3.5-32
Figure F-3.5-7	Future Year 2013 Intersection Geometry and Turning Volumes Las Vegas Station (Southern Station)	3.5-33
Figure F-3.5-8	Future Year 2030 Intersection Geometry and Turning Volumes Las Vegas Station (Southern Station)	3.5-34
Figure F-3.5-9	Existing Intersection Geometry Las Vegas Station (Central Station B).....	3.5-35
Figure F-3.5-10	Trip Distribution Las Vegas Station (Central Station B)	3.5-36
Figure F-3.5-11	Future Year 2030 Intersection Geometry and Turning Volumes Las Vegas Station (Central Station B)	3.5-37
Figure F-3.5-12	Future Year 2030 Intersection Geometry and Turning Volumes Las Vegas Station (Central Station B)	3.5-38
Figure F-3.5-13	Examples of Visual Screening	3.5-39
Figure F-3.6-1	Visual Quality/Sensitivity (1)	3.6-23
Figure F-3.6-2	Visual Quality/Sensitivity (2).....	3.6-24
Figure F-3.6-3	Visual Quality/Sensitivity (3).....	3.6-25
Figure F-3.6-4	Visual Quality/Sensitivity (4).....	3.6-26
Figure F-3.6-5	Visual Quality/Sensitivity (5).....	3.6-27
Figure F-3.6-6	View Comparison, Victorville Station (VV3).....	3.6-28
Figure F-3.6-7	View Comparison, North of Clark Mountains (With Approved Ivanpah Solar Electric Generation System)	3.6-29
Figure F-3.6-8	View Comparison, Central Barstow	3.6-30
Figure F-3.6-9	View Comparison, Preferred Alternative Las Vegas MSF	3.6-31
Figure F-3.8-1	Revision of Draft EIS Figure 3.8-6: Hydrology and Floodplains (6)	3.8-34
Figure F-3.8-2	Hydrology and Floodplains (1).....	3.8-35
Figure F-3.8-3	Hydrology and Floodplains (2)	3.8-36
Figure F-3.8-4	Hydrology and Floodplains (3)	3.8-37

Figure F-3.8-5	Hydrology and Floodplains (4)	3.8-38
Figure F-3.8-6	Hydrology and Floodplains (5)	3.8-39
Figure F-3.8-7	Location of Ephemeral Drainages, Preferred Alternative – Segment 4C	3.8-40
Figure F-3.10-1	Properties of Environmental Concern	3.10-11
Figure F-3.10-2	Hazardous Sites of Environmental Concern – Segment 2C	3.10-12
Figure F-3.12-1	Noise Mitigation Locations, Barstow	3.12-26
Figure F-3.12-2	Noise Mitigation Locations, Las Vegas (Terminating at Las Vegas Southern Station)	3.12-27
Figure F-3.12-3	Noise Mitigation Locations, Las Vegas (Terminating at Las Vegas Central Station B)	3.12-28
Figure F-3.14-1	Revision of Supplemental Draft EIS Figure S-3.14-4: Biological Resources (4)	3.14-64
Figure F-3.14-2	Biological Resources (1)	3.14-65
Figure F-3.14-3	Biological Resources (2)	3.14-66
Figure F-3.14-4	Biological Resources (3)	3.14-67
Figure F-3.14-5	Biological Resources (4)	3.14-68
Figure F-3.14-6	Biological Resources (5)	3.14-69
Figure F-3.15-1	Section 4(f) Resources (1)	3.15-37
Figure F-3.15-2	Section 4(f) Resources (2)	3.15-38
Figure F-3.15-3	Section 4(f) Resources (3)	3.15-39
Figure F-3.15-4	Section 4(f) Resources (4)	3.15-40
Figure F-3.15-5	Section 4(f) Resources (5)	3.15-41
Figure F-3.15-6	Clean Air Act Designated Class I Areas	3.15-42
Figure F-3.15-7	View Comparison, North of Clark Mountains (With Approved Ivanpah Solar Electric Generation System)	3.15-43
Figure F-3.16-1	Potential Cumulative Projects (1)	3.16-41
Figure F-3.16-2	Potential Cumulative Projects (2)	3.16-42
Figure F-3.16-3	Potential Cumulative Projects (3)	3.16-43
Figure F-3.16-4	Potential Cumulative Projects (4)	3.16-44
Figure F-3.16-5	Potential Cumulative Projects (5)	3.16-45
Figure F-3.16-6	Potential Cumulative Projects (6)	3.16-46
Figure F-3.16-7	Potential Cumulative Projects (7)	3.16-47

List of Tables

Table 1	Comparative Effects, Preferred Alternative Versus No Action Alternative	ES-27
Table F-1-1	Federal Permits or Approvals Anticipated for Action Alternatives	1-13
Table F-1-2	Federal, State, Regional, and Local Agencies Consulted in EIS Process.....	1-14
Table F-2-1	Summary of Action Alternatives	2-4
Table F-2-2	Alternatives Criteria	2-9
Table F-2-3	Federal Agency Alternatives Criteria	2-9
Table F-2-4	Ridership Projections Utilized in EIS Analyses	2-10
Table F-2-5	Reasons for Elimination of Potential Alignment Segments.....	2-14
Table F-2-6	Temporary Construction Areas.....	2-36
Table F-2-7	Summary of Key Operating Features, DEMU and EMU	2-39
Table F-2-8	Temporary Construction Areas – Preferred Alternative	2-51
Table F-3.1-1	Compatibility with Adjacent Land Uses.....	3.1-3
Table F-3.1-2	Existing Land Use Summary – Alignment Adjustment Areas (AAAs)	3.1-5
Table F-3.1-3	Compatibility with Land Use Designations	3.1-10
Table F-3.1-4	Summary of Economic Impacts to Barstow During DesertXpress Operation (Year 1 Operation through Year 18 Operation).....	3.1-17
Table F-3.1-5	Alternatives Comparison – Land Use and Community Impacts	3.1-35
Table F-3.2-1	Existing and Projected Population, City of Barstow	3.2-3
Table F-3.2-2	Summary of Economic Impacts to Barstow During DesertXpress Operation (Year 1, Year 3, and Year 18 Operation).....	3.2-14
Table F-3.2-3	Estimated Operation Employment by Location	3.2-20
Table F-3.2-4	Alternatives Comparison – Growth Effects	3.2-30
Table F-3.3-1	Indirect Effects to Farmland	3.3-4
Table F-3.3-2	Alternatives Comparison – Farmlands and Grazing Lands.....	3.3-6
Table F-3.4-1	Utilities and Public Service Providers to the Preferred Alternative..	3.4-3
Table F-3.4-2	Alternatives Comparison – Utilities/Emergency Services.....	3.4-21
Table F-3.4-3	Measures to Avoid or Minimize Conflicts with Existing Utility Infrastructure	3.4-27
Table F-3.5-1	2013 & 2030 Baseline Plus Project- LOS Conditions Victorville Station	3.5-6
Table F-3.5-2	2013, & 2030 Baseline Plus Project – LOS Conditions on I-15/Dale Evans Parkway Ramp Junctions	3.5-7
Table F-3.5-3	2013 & 2030 Baseline Plus Project- LOS Conditions at Las Vegas Southern Station	3.5-8

Table F-3.5-4	2013 & 2030 Baseline plus Project- LOS Conditions at Las Vegas Central Station B	3.5-9
Table F-3.5-5	Freeway Mainline Level of Service: 2013 and 2030 Baseline Plus Project Conditions.....	3.5-10
Table F-3.5-6	Areas Along I-15 with Less Than 40-foot-Width Clearance	3.5-17
Table F-3.5-7	Alternatives Comparison – Traffic and Transportation	3.5-21
Table F-3.5-8	Preferred Alternative Traffic Mitigations.....	3.5-24
Table F-3.6-1	Alternatives Comparison – Visual Resources.....	3.6-17
Table F-3.7-1	Archaeological Resources within the APE – Preferred Alternative	3.7-11
Table F-3.7-2	Geology and Paleontology of the DesertXpress Alignment, by Segment.....	3.7-22
Table F-3.7-3	Known National Register Eligible or Assumed Eligible Archaeological Resources in the APE	3.7-29
Table F-3.7-4	Alternatives Comparison – Cultural and Paleontological Resources	3.7-32
Table F-3.8-1	Direct Permanent Effects to Water Resources.....	3.8-13
Table F-3.8-2	Direct Temporary Effects to Water Resources.....	3.8-16
Table F-3.8-3	Direct Permanent Impacts to the 100-Year Floodplain.....	3.8-19
Table F-3.8-4	Peak Discharge for the 100-Year 24-Hour Storm Event.....	3.8-21
Table F-3.8-5	Alternatives Comparison – Hydrology and Water Quality.....	3.8-23
Table F-3.9-1	Likelihood of Geologic Hazards	3.9-4
Table F-3.9-2	Alternatives Comparison – Geologic Hazards	3.9-6
Table F-3.9-3	Operational Period Mitigation Measure Applicability.....	3.9-9
Table F-3.9-4	Construction Period Mitigation Measure Applicability	3.9-9
Table F-3.10-1	Alternatives Comparison – Hazardous Materials.....	3.10-5
Table F-3.10-2	Mitigation Measure Applicability.....	3.10-10
Table F-3.11-1	Year 2007 Regional Criteria Pollutant Emissions (tons per year)...	3.11-2
Table F-3.11-2	Federal Attainment Status for Mojave Desert Air Basin and Clark County	3.11-3
Table F-3.11-3	Summary of 2008 and 2009 Air Quality Data, Clark County Monitoring Stations	3.11-3
Table F-3.11-4	National and State (California and Nevada) Ambient Air Quality Standards	3.11-5
Table F-3.11-5	Threshold Values Used to Determine Impact Significance	3.11-9
Table F-3.11-6	Regional Criteria Pollutant and Greenhouse Gas Emissions, No Action Alternative, Opening Year and Horizon Year	3.11-10
Table F-3.11-7	Preferred Alternative Regional Criteria Pollutant and Greenhouse Gas Emissions, Mojave Desert Air Basin, Opening Year Operations.....	3.11-12

Table F-3.11-8	Preferred Alternative Regional Criteria Pollutant and Greenhouse Gas Emissions, Mojave Desert Air Basin, Horizon Year Operations	3.11-13
Table F-3.11-9	Preferred Alternative Regional Criteria Pollutant and Greenhouse Gas Emissions, Clark County Air Basin, Opening Year Operations	3.11-13
Table F-3.11-10	Preferred Alternative Regional Criteria Pollutant and Greenhouse Gas Emissions Clark County Air Basin, Horizon Year Operations	3.11-14
Table F-3.11-11	CO Hotspot Analysis, Opening Year, Victorville Station	3.11-16
Table F-3.11-12	CO Hotspot Analysis, Horizon Year, Victorville Station	3.11-16
Table F-3.11-13	CO Hotspot Analysis, Opening Year, Las Vegas Southern Station	3.11-17
Table F-3.11-14	CO Hotspot Analysis, Opening Year, Las Vegas Central Station B	3.11-17
Table F-3.11-15	CO Hotspot Analysis, Horizon Year, Las Vegas Southern Station	3.11-18
Table F-3.11-16	CO Hotspot Analysis, Horizon Year, Las Vegas Central Station B	3.11-19
Table F-3.11-17	Revised Construction Period Regional Criteria Pollutant and Greenhouse Gas Emissions, Mojave Desert Air Basin, Before Mitigation	3.11-21
Table F-3.11-18	Revised Construction Period Regional Criteria Pollutant and Greenhouse Gas Emissions, Clark County, Before Mitigation	3.11-21
Table F-3.11-19	Alternatives Comparison – Air Quality	3.11-23
Table F-3.11-20	Construction Period Regional Criteria Pollutant and Greenhouse Gas Emissions, Mojave Desert Air Basin, Post Mitigation	3.11-26
Table F-3.11-21	Revised Construction Period Regional Criteria Pollutant and Greenhouse Gas Emissions, Clark County, Post Mitigation	3.11-26
Table F-3.12-1	Vibration Impact Criteria	3.12-7
Table F-3.12-2	FRA Construction Noise Criteria	3.12-8
Table F-3.12-3	Preferred Alternative Noise Impacts (Prior to Mitigation)	3.12-10
Table F-3.12-4	Alternatives Comparison Table – Noise and Vibration	3.12-18
Table F-3.12-5	Noise Mitigation Locations	3.12-23
Table F-3.13-1	Operational Energy Consumption Factors	3.13-2
Table F-3.13-2	Annual Overall Operational Energy Consumption	3.13-3
Table F-3.13-3	Construction-Related Energy Consumption Factors	3.13-4
Table F-3.13-4	EMU Peak-Period Electricity Demand (MW)	3.13-5
Table F-3.13-5	Construction Energy Consumption	3.13-6
Table F-3.13-6	Alternatives Comparison – Energy Resources	3.13-8
Table F-3.14-1	Alternatives Comparison – Biological Resources (1 of 3)	3.14-38
Table F-3.14-2	Alternatives Comparison – Biological Resources (2 of 3)	3.14-42

Table F-3.14-3	Alternatives Comparison – Biological Resources (3 of 3)	3.14-46
Table F-3.15-1	Park and Recreational Lands within One Mile of the Preferred Alternative	3.15-7
Table F-3.15-2	Archaeological Resources Not Qualifying for Protection under Section 4(f) Resources	3.15-17
Table F-3.15-3	City of Barstow Parks within One Mile of Preferred Alternatives	3.15-32
Table F-3.16-1	Utility and Public Service Providers	3.16-23
Table F-3.16-2	Horizon Year 2030 Mojave Desert Air Basin Regional Criteria Pollutant and Greenhouse Gas Emissions (tons per year)	3.16-34
Table F-3.16-3	Horizon Year 2030 Clark County Criteria Pollutant and Greenhouse Gas Emissions (tons per year)	3.16-35
Table F-4-1	Responses to Comments on Draft EIS	4-11
Table F-4-2	Responses to Comments on Supplemental Draft EIS	4-83

List of Appendices

Appendix F-A	Summary Reports for the Draft EIS and Supplemental Draft EIS Public Hearings
Appendix F-B	Highway Interface Manual
Appendix F-C	Plan and Profile Drawings of the Preferred Alternative
Appendix F-D	Ridership Forecast Review
Appendix F-E	Barstow Study – Economic Impact of DesertXpress
Appendix F-F	Predicted Employment and Economic Impact Analysis
Appendix F-G	Traffic Impact Analysis for the DesertXpress Project and Supplemental Traffic Impact Analysis for Victorville Station Site 3 (VV3)
Appendix F-H	Programmatic Agreement under Section 106
Appendix F-I	Jurisdictional Delineation Reports F-I-1: Death Valley F-I-2: Ivanpah Dry Lake F-I-3: Jean Dry Lake F-I-4: Roach Dry Lake F-I-5: Las Vegas Wash F-I-6: Mojave-Coyote
Appendix F-J	Preliminary Geotechnical Evaluation
Appendix F-K	Properties of Hazardous Materials Concern F-K.1: Hazardous Materials Assessment Reports
Appendix F-L	Regional Emission Calculation Worksheets
Appendix F-M	Biological Assessment
Appendix F-N	Special-Status Plant Survey Report for the Proposed Segment 4C Alignment
Appendix F-O	Mojave Ground Squirrel Habitat Assessment
Appendix F-P	Vegetation Mapping Surveys
Appendix F-Q	Special-Status Plant and Wildlife Species