

From: [REDACTED]
To: RB6enfproceed@waterboards
Subject: Re: PG&E Proposed CAO
Date: Wednesday, September 30, 2015 5:23:53 PM

Hello,

In addition to my comments below. I would also like to bring to your attention that PG&E should be required to provide whole house water to affected well owners who exceed chromium 6 concentrations of the drinking water standard. They should not be able to get away with just providing water for drinking such as an evasive RO unit that ruins the infrastructure of the counter tops and takes up a lot of space in the house where space is limited. They need to provide WHOLE HOUSE SYSTEMS where they are responsible for the contamination. For example if I am running a business out of my house and have employees, than OSHA requirement number 1910.141(b)(1)(I) requires that potable water shall be provided in all places of employment, for drinking, washing of the person, cooking, washing of foods, washing of cooking or eating utensils, washing of food preparation or processing premises, and personal service rooms. The under sink RO units that they provide do not meet this requirement and it is not fair that as a business owner I would have to spend a ton of money in order to comply with OSHA requirements because PG&E contaminated my property. I also think that the way the plume is currently being drawn should remain the same. There is no need to change this because PG&E's expert could say that they just believe the plume should be draw a certain way and not have any burden of proof on their end. What if it turns out that the plume is being drawn incorrectly based on PG&E's expert? Are you going to fine PG&E a civil penalty for each day the plume was drawn incorrectly? There is no checks and balances with regards to the proposed way the plume should be drawn and there is no wording stating that the expert has to be 100% sure that this is the way the new plume should be drawn.

Sincerely,
Sam

On Friday, March 13, 2015 11:29 AM, Sam Knott [REDACTED] wrote:

I own property in Hinkley and would like to submit comments on the water boards proposed hinkley PG&E cleanup order. The water board should require PG&E to monitor the northern plumes more than what is proposed. The use of monitoring wells and domestic wells is important. PG&E should supply the residents in the northern area with complete whole house replacement water systems if there is an increase of chromium 6 concentrations measured in domestic and or monitoring wells. Residents in the northern plume areas have been forced to drill deep wells to avoid PG&E contaminated water but also due to that are now drinking water high in arsenic (can't win). The water board should order full whole house replacement water in the north and not just undersink reverse osmosis units just because PG&E doesn't want to monitor in that area as much as they do in the south, for the residents that show increasing trends of chromium in either domestic and monitoring wells. The water board has even shown proof that the chromium in the north is PG&E's responsibility. This order should also increase the monitoring for arsenic and uranium in the north due to PG&E remediation activity and also require PG&E to supply whole house water to residents that are affected by PG&E arsenic, uranium, and water elevation dropping due to their remediation. Everyone is now focusing only on the "south" plume and not the north plume. The north plume is just as important as the south plume because this could have been stopped from migrating several years ago.

Sincerely,
Sam