Amendment to the Water Quality Control Plan – Los Angeles Region to incorporate the Ballona Creek Estuary Toxic Pollutants TMDL

Adopted by the California Regional Water Quality Control Board, Los Angeles Region on [Insert Date].

Amendments:

Table of Contents

Add:

Chapter 7. Total Maximum Daily Loads (TMDLs) Summaries

7-14 Ballona Creek Estuary Toxic Pollutants TMDL

List of Tables, Figures and Inserts

Add:

Chapter 7. Total Maximum Daily Loads (TMDLs)

Tables

7-14 Ballona Creek Estuary Toxic Pollutants TMDL

7-14.1 Ballona Creek Estuary Toxic Pollutants TMDL: Elements

7-14.2 Ballona Creek Estuary Toxic Pollutants TMDL: Implementation Schedule

Chapter 7. Total Maximum Daily Loads (TMDLs) Summaries, Section 7-14 (Ballona Creek Estuary Toxic Pollutants TMDL)

This TMDL was adopted by the Regional Water Quality Control Board on [Insert Date].

This TMDL was approved by:

The State Water Resources Control Board on [Insert Date].

The Office of Administrative Law on [Insert Date].

The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency on [Insert Date].

The following tables include the elements of this TMDL.

Table 7-14.1. Ballona Creek Estuary Toxic Pollutants TMDL: Elements

Element	Key Findings an	nd Regulatory	y Provisions		
Problem Statement	Water Act Section copper, lead, single sediments. The these toxic pollul water recreation habitat (EST); mathreatened or elements or elements.	on 303(d) list ilver, zinc, c following des utants: water (REC2); warr arine habitat (endangered sp GR); reproduct aercial and se	Creek Estuary (Est t of impaired water chlordane, DDT, signated beneficial contact recreation m freshwater habit MAR); wildlife hat pecies (RARE); ction and early sport fishing (Co	erbodies for PCBs and I uses are in (REC1); I tat (WARM abitat (WILI migration developme	r cadmium, PAHs in mpaired by non-contact); estuarine O); rare and of aquatic nt of fish
Numeric Target (Interpretation of the narrative and numeric water quality objective, used to calculate the allocations)	Numeric water quality targets are based on the sediment quality guidelines compiled by the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, which are used in evaluating waterbodies within the Los Angeles Region for development of the 303(d) list. The Effects Range-Low (ERLs) guidelines are established as the numeric targets for sediments in Ballona Creek Estuary.				
		Metal Nui	meric Targets (m	g/kg)	
	<u>Cadmium</u>	Copper	Lead	Silver	Zinc
!	1.2	34	46.7	1.0	150
	Organic Numeric Targets (μg/kg)				
	Chlordane DDTs Total PCBs Total PAHs		PAHs		
	0.5	1.58	22.7	4,02	22
Source Analysis	metals. Numerou metals in urban degree cadmium Because metals water runoff, the sediments where estimated that associated with the majority of oparticulates, metallona Creek for association with suspended solids well as with the	is researchers storm water are typically ney have the they may po 33% of the he particle phorganic constitution compounds in sound that the is uspended so in urban rui receiving water assured concludes in urban rui receiving water assured concludes as in urban rui receiving water assured concludes as in urban rui receiving water assured concludes as in urban rui receiving water as a constitution of the	recognized as a have documented (i.e., copper, lead ently associated with the associated with the potential to access a risk of toxic cadmium and 86 ase in Ballona Creatuents in storm was entrations of Pasepulveda Channe majority of these coolids. There is to noff discharged frater sediments. Tassociated with the	that the most, zinc, and with suspen fine particle cumulate in ity. McPhe 6% of the eek. Similar are asso AHs, phthatel, Centinela compounds oxicity associated assoc	st prevalent to a lesser ded solids. es in storm n estuarine erson et al. ¹ lead were r to metals, ociated with alates, and Creek, and occurred in ciated with a Creek, as was likely

¹ McPherson, T.N., S.J. Burian, H.J. Turin, M.K. Stenstrom and I.H. Suffet. 2002. Comparison of Pollutant Loads in Dry and Wet Weather Runoff in a Southern California Urban Watershed. *Water Science and Technology* 45:255-261.

Element	Key Findings an	d Regulatory l	Provisions		
	Non-point sources are not considered a significant source of toxic pollutants in this TMDL. Nonpoint sources are urban runoff from the Ballona Wetland since this area discharges directly to the Estuary through a tide gate and direct atmospheric deposition. The Ballona Wetlands cover approximately 460 acres or 0.6% of the watershed, therefore, loading from this source is considered insignificant. Direct atmospheric deposition of metals and PAHs is considered insignificant because the portion of the Ballona Creek watershed covered by water is small, approximately 480 acres or 0.6% of the watershed. Indirect atmospheric deposition reflects the process by which metals deposited on the land surface may be washed off during storm events and delivered to Ballona Creek and its tributaries. The loading of metals associated with indirect atmospheric deposition are accounted for in the storm water runoff.				
Loading Capacity	TMDLs are developed for cadmium, copper, lead, silver, zinc, chlordane, DDT, PCBs and PAHs in sediments are the Ballona Creek Estuary.				
	The loading capacity for Ballona Creek Estuary is calculated by multiplying the numeric targets by the amount of fine sediment deposited annually in the Estuary by the bulk density of the sediment. The estimated fine sediment deposited is 5,004 cubic meters per year (m³/yr) and the bulk density is 1.42 metric tons per cubic meter (mt/m³). The TMDL is set equal to the loading capacity.				
			Capacity (kilogr		
	Cadmium 8.5	<u>Copper</u> 241.6	Lead 332	Silver 7.1	Zinc 1,066
	0	rganics Loadin	ng Capacity (gr	ams/year)	
	Chlordane DDTs Total PCBs Total PAHs				
	3.55	11.2	161	28,6	500
Load Allocations (for nonpoint sources)	Load allocations (LA) are assigned to non-point sources for Ballona Creek Estuary. Load allocations are developed for open space and direct atmospheric deposition.				
	The mass-based load allocation for open space is equal to the percentage of the watershed covered by the Ballona Wetlands (0.6%) multiplied by the total loading capacity.				
	Metals Load Allocations for Open Space (kg/yr)				
	Cadmium	Copper	Lead	Silver	Zinc
1	0.05				
	0.03	1.4	2	0.04	6
					6
			2 tions for Open : Total PCBs		6
	Organic	es Load Allocat	tions for Open	Space (g/yr)	6 PAHs

Element	Key Findings and R	Regulatory P	rovisions			
	equal to the percen			covered b	y wate	r (0.6%)
	multiplied by the total loading capacity.					
	Motols I and Allocations for Direct Atmospheric Denosition (Inclus)					
	Metals Load Allocations for Direct Atmospheric Deposition (kg/yr) Cadmium Copper Lead Silver Zinc					
	Cadmium C 0.05	<u>opper</u> 1.4	Lead 2	0.0		Zinc
	0.03	1.4	2	0.0	<i>)</i> 4	6
	Organics Load Allo	estions for l	Direct Atm	ocnharic T	Vanaciti	on (alvr)
	Chlordane	DDTs	Total PCI		otal PA	
	0.02	0.1	1	<u> </u>	200	113
	0.02	0.1	1		200	
Waste Load Allocations (for	Waste load allocation					
point sources)	Ballona Creek water	•	•			
	is developed for the			•		•
	Caltrans, General Co					
	the load allocations					
	based waste load all the watershed.	ocations are	ueveloped	ior otner	point so	ources in
	the watershed.					
	Metals Waste	e Load Alloc	ations for S	Storm Wa	ter (kg/	/yr)
		opper	Lead	Silv		Zinc
	8.4	238.8	328	7.0)2	1,054
					_	
	Organics Waste Load Allocations for Storm Water (g/yr) Chlordane DDTs Total PCBs Total PAHs					
	Chlordane	DDTs	Total PCI			HS
	3.51	11	159		28,300	
	The storm water waste load allocations are apportioned between the					
	MS4 permittees, Caltrans, the general construction and the general					
	industrial storm water permits based on an areal weighting approach.					
		-		_		
	Metals Storm Water					
	MOAD	Cadmium	Copper		Silver	Zinc
	MS4 Permittees	8.0	227.3	312.3	6.69	1003
	Caltrans Canaral Canatruction	0.11	3.2	4.4	0.09	14
	General Construction General Industrial	0.23 0.06	6.6 1.7	9.1 2.3	0.20 0.05	29 7
	General muusutai	0.00	1.7	2.3	0.03	/
	Organics Storm Water WLAs Apportioned between Permits (g/yr)					
	Organics Storm W	Chlordane		Total PCBs		al PAHs
	MS4 Permittees	3.34	10.56	152		5,900
	Caltrans	0.05	0.15	2		400
	General Construction		0.31	4		800
	General Industrial	0.02	0.08	1		200
	Storm water permit					
	industrial storm wat					
	allocation on a per a			acreage of	of the in	ndividual
	construction or indus	trial facility.				
	1					

Draft: March 28, 2005 4

Element	Key Findings ar	nd Regulatory	Provisions			
	Metals per Acre WLAs for Individual General					
	Construction or Industrial Storm Water Permittees (g/yr/ac)					
	Cadmium Copper Lead Silver Z					
	0.1	3	4	0.1	13	
	Owen	:	X/T A a fan Indinid	wal Camana	1	
	Organics per Acre WLAs for Individual General					
	Chlordane	Construction or Industrial Storm Water Permittees (mg/yr/ac) Chlordane DDTs Total PCBs Total PAHs				
	0.04	0.14	2	350		
			waste load allocation	•	-	
	_		ermits (other than			
			ek or its tributarie			
	_		nder a general not to the concentrat			
	allocations.	be subject	to the concentrat	ion-based v	waste road	
			sed Waste Load A			
	Cadmium 1.2	Copper 34	<u>Lead</u> 46.7	Silver 1.0	Zinc 150	
	1.2	34	40.7	1.0	150	
	Organic Cor	ncentration-b	ased Waste Load	Allocations	s (µg/kg)	
	Chlordane	DDTs	Total PCBs	Total P		
	0.5	1.58	22.7	4,02	2	
Margin of Safety	An implicit mar	gin of safety	is applied through	n the use of	f the more	
	An implicit margin of safety is applied through the use of the more protective sediment quality guideline values. The ERLs were selected					
	over the higher E	RMs as the nu	umeric targets.			
Implementation	The regulatory n	nechanisms us	ed to implement the	he TMDL w	vill include	
			•			
	the Los Angeles County Municipal Storm Water NPDES Permit (MS4), the State of California Department of Transportation (Caltrans)					
	Storm Water Permit, minor NPDES permits, general NPDES permits,					
	general industrial storm water NPDES permits, general construction					
	storm water NPDES permits. Nonpoint sources will be regulated					
			ed in sections 132			
			with the State Wa aplementation and			
	_		-		-	
	(May 2004). Each NPDES permit assigned a WLA shall be reopened or amended at re-issuance, in accordance with applicable laws, to incorporate the applicable WLAs as a permit requirement.					
					re often the	
	_		onsider this TMDI based on addition	•		
			presents the implei			
	the responsible p	-				
			rmite (including	minor and a	anaral	
	Non Storm Wat	er nang 16	ermits (including	mmor and §	денеган	

Draft: March 28, 2005 5

Element	Key Findings and Regulatory Provisions
	permits):
	The concentration-based waste load allocations for the minor NPDES permits and general non-storm water NPDES permits will be implemented through NPDES permit limits. Permit writers may translate applicable waste load allocations into effluent limits for the minor and general NPDES permits by applying applicable engineering practices authorized under federal regulations. The minor and general non-storm water NPDES permittees are allowed up to seven years from the effective date of the TMDL to achieve the waste load allocations.
	General Industrial and General Construction Storm Water Permits:
	The Regional Board will develop watershed specific general industrial and construction storm water permits to incorporate waste load allocations. Concentration-based permit limits may be set to achieve the mass-based waste load allocations. These concentration-based limits would be equal to the concentration-based waste load allocations assigned to the other NPDES permits. It is expected that permit writers will translate the waste load allocations into BMPs, based on BMP performance data. However, the permit writers must provide adequate justification and documentation to demonstrate that specified BMPs are expected to result in attainment of the numeric waste load allocations. The general industrial and construction storm water permits are allowed up to seven years from the effective date of the TMDL to achieve the waste load allocations.
	The general storm water permits shall contain a model monitoring and reporting program to evaluate BMP effectiveness. A permittee enrolled under the general permits shall have the choice of conducting individual monitoring based on the model program or participating in a group monitoring effort. MS4 permittees are encouraged to take the lead in group monitoring efforts for industrial and construction facilities under their jurisdiction because compliance with waste load allocations by these facilities will in many cases translate to reductions in contaminate loads to the MS4 system.
	MS4 and Caltrans Storm Water Permits:
	The County of Los Angeles, City of Los Angeles, Beverly Hills, Culver City, Inglewood, Santa Monica, and West Hollywood are jointly responsible for meeting the mass-based waste load allocations for the MS4 permittees. Caltrans is responsible for meeting their mass-based waste load allocations, however, they may choose to work with the MS4 permittees. The primary jurisdiction for the Ballona Creek watershed is the City of Los Angeles.
	Each municipality and permittee will be required to meet the waste load allocations at the designated TMDL effectiveness monitoring points. A phased implementation approach, using a combination of non-structural and structural BMPs may be used to achieve compliance with the storm

Draft: March 28, 2005 6

Element	Key Findings and Regulatory Provisions
	water waste load allocations. The administrative record and the fact sheets for the MS4 and Caltrans storm water permits must provide reasonable assurance that the BMPs selected will be sufficient to implement the numeric waste load allocations. We expect that reductions to be achieved by each BMP will be documented and that sufficient monitoring will be put in place to verify that the desired reductions are achieved. The permits should also provide a mechanism to adjust the required BMPs as necessary to ensure their adequate performance.
	The implementation schedule for the MS4 and Caltrans permittees consists of a phased approach, with compliance to be achieved in prescribed percentages of the watershed, with total compliance to be achieved within 15 years.
Seasonal Variations and Critical Conditions	There is a high degree of inter- and intra-annual variability in sediments deposited at the mouth of Ballona Creek. This is a function of the storms, which are highly variable between years. Studies by the Corps of Engineers have shown that sediment delivery in Ballona Creek is related to the size of the storm (USACE, 2003). The TMDL is based on a long-term average deposition patterns over a 10-year period from 1991 to 2001. This time period contains a wide range of storm conditions and flows in the Ballona Creek watershed. Use of the average condition for the TMDL is appropriate because issues of sediment effects on benthic communities and potential for bioaccumulation to higher trophic levels occurs over long time periods.
Monitoring	Effective monitoring will be required to assess the condition of Ballona Creek and Estuary and to assess the on-going effectiveness of efforts by dischargers to reduce toxic pollutants loading to the Ballona Creek Estuary. Special studies may also be appropriate to provide further information about new data, new or alternative sources, and revised scientific assumptions. Below the Regional Board identifies the various goals of monitoring efforts and studies. The programs, reports, and studies will be developed in response to subsequent orders issued by the Executive Officer.
	Ambient Monitoring
	An ambient monitoring program is necessary to assess water quality throughout Ballona Creek and its tributaries and to assess the progress being made to remove the toxic pollutant impairments in Ballona Creek Estuary sediments. Data on background water quality for organics and sediments will help refine the numeric targets and waste load allocations and assist in the effective placement of BMPs. In addition, fish tissue data is required in Ballona Creek and Estuary to confirm the fish tissue listings.
	Water quality samples shall be collected monthly and analyzed for chlordane, dieldrin, DDT, total PCBs and total PAHs at detection limits that are at or below the minimum levels until the TMDL is reconsidered in the sixth year. The minimum levels are those published by the State

Element **Key Findings and Regulatory Provisions** Water Resources Control Board in Appendix 4 of the Policy for the Implementation of Toxic Standards for Inland Surface Water, Enclosed Bays, and Estuaries of California, March 2, 2000. Special emphasis should be placed on achieving detection limits that will allow evaluation relative to the CTR standards. If these can not be achieved with conventional techniques, then a special study should be proposed to evaluate concentrations of organics. Storm water monitoring conducted as part of the MS4 storm water monitoring program should continue to provide assessment of water quality during wet-weather conditions and loading estimates from the watershed to the Estuary. If analysis of chlordane, dieldrin, DDT, total PCBs or total PAHs are not currently part of the sampling programs these organics should be added. In addition, special emphasis should be placed on achieving lower detection limits for DDTs, PCBs and PAHs. The MS4 and Caltrans storm water permittees are jointly responsible for conducting the fish tissue monitoring. The permittees are required to submit for approval of the Executive Officer a monitoring plan that will provide the data needed to confirm the 303(d) listing or delisting, as applicable. Representative sediment sampling locations shall be randomly selected within the Estuary and analyzed for cadmium, copper, lead, silver, zinc, chlordane, dieldrin, DDT, total PCBs and total PAHs at detection limits that are lower than the ERLs. Sediment samples shall also be analyzed for total organic carbon, grain size and sediment toxicity. Initial sediment monitoring should be done quarterly in the first year of the TMDL to define the baseline and yearly thereafter to evaluate effectiveness of the BMPs and until the TMDL is reconsidered in the sixth year. **TMDL Effectiveness Monitoring** The water quality samples collected during wet weather as part of the MS4 storm water monitoring program shall also be analyzed for total dissolved solids, settable solids and total suspended solids if not part of the existing sampling program. Sampling shall be designed to collect sufficient volumes of sediment to allow for analysis of cadmium, copper, lead, silver, zinc, chlordane, dieldrin, total DDT, total PCBs, total PAHs, and total organic carbon. Annual representative sediment sampling locations shall be randomly selected within the Estuary and analyzed for cadmium, copper, lead, silver, zinc, chlordane, dieldrin, DDT, total PCBs, and total PAHs at detection limits that are lower than the ERLs. In addition, sediment samples shall be analyzed for total organic carbon, grain size and sediment toxicity. Amphipod survival bioassays shall be conducted on each sediment sample. Toxicity shall be indicated by an amphipod survival rate of 70% or less in a single test. A Phase I TIE of interstitial

Draft: March 28, 2005

water, using the amphipod test species, shall be conducted for samples

8

Element	Key Findings and Regulatory Provisions
	from stations identified to be toxic in a single amphipod survival bioassay. The Phase I TIE shall include the following treatments and corresponding blanks: baseline toxicity; particle removal by centrifugation; solid phase extraction of the centrifuged sample using C18 media; complexation of metals using ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA) addition to the raw sample; neutralization of oxidants/metals using sodium thiosulfate addition to the raw sample; and inhibition of organo-phosphate (OP) pesticide activation using piperonyl butoxide addition to the raw sample (crustacean toxicity tests only).
	Special Studies
	Special studies are recommended to refine source assessments, to provide better estimates of loading capacity, and to optimize implementation efforts. The Regional Board will re-consider the TMDL in the sixth year after the effective date in light of the findings of these studies. Special studies may include:
	Evaluation and use of low detection level techniques to evaluate water quality concentrations for those contaminants where standard detection limits cannot be used to assess compliance for CTR standards or are not sufficient for estimating source loadings from tributaries and storm water.
	• Evaluation and use of sediment TIEs to evaluate causes of any recurring sediment toxicity.
	• Evaluate partitioning coefficients between water column and sediment to assess the contribution of water column discharges to sediment concentrations in the Estuary.
	Studies to refine relationship between pollutants and suspended solids aimed at better understanding of the delivery of pollutants to the watershed.
	• Studies to understand transport of sediments to the estuary, including the relationship between storm flows, sediment loadings to the estuary, and sediment deposition patterns within the estuary.
	• Studies to evaluate effectiveness of BMPs to address pollutants and/or sediments.

Table 7-14.2. Ballona Creek Estuary Toxic Pollutants TMDL: Implementation Schedule

Date	Action	
Effective date of the TMDL	Regional Board permit writers shall incorporate the sediment waste load allocations into the NPDES permits. Waste load allocations will be implemented through NPDES permit limits in accordance with the implementation schedule contained herein, at the time of permit issuance, renewal or re-opener.	
Within 6 months after the effective date of the State Board adopted sediment quality objectives and implementation policy	The Regional Board will re-assess the numeric targets and sediment waste load allocations for consistency with the State Board adopted sediment quality objectives.	
5 years after effective date of the TMDL	Responsible jurisdictions and agencies shall provide to the Regional Board result of any special studies.	
6 years after effective date of the TMDL	The Regional Board shall reconsider this TMDL to re-evaluate the waste load allocations and the implementation schedule.	
NON-STORM WATER NPDES	PERMITS (INCLUDING MINOR AND GENERAL PERMITS)	
7 years after effective date of the TMDL	The non-storm water NPDES permits shall achieve the concentration-based sediment waste load allocations per provisions allowed for in NPDES permits.	
GENERAL INDUSTRIAL ST	ORM WATER AND GENERAL CONSTRUCTION STORM WATER PERMITS	
7 years after effective date of the TMDL	The general industrial and construction storm water permits shall achieve the mass-based sediment waste load allocations per provisions allowed for in NPDES permits. Permits shall allow an iterative BMP process including BMP effectiveness monitoring to achieve compliance with permit requirements.	
MS4 AND	CALTRANS STORM WATER PERMITS	
9 months after the effective date of the TMDL	In response to an order issued by the Executive Officer, the MS4 and Caltrans storm water NPDES permittees must submit a coordinated monitoring plan, to be approved by the Executive Officer, which includes both ambient monitoring and TMDL effectiveness monitoring. Once the coordinated monitoring plan is approved by the Executive Officer, ambient monitoring shall commence.	
12 months after effective date of TMDL (Draft Report) 18 months after effective date of TMDL (Final Report)	The MS4 and Caltrans storm water NPDES permittees shall provide a written report to the Regional Board outlining how they will achieve the sediment waste load allocations to Ballona Creek Estuary. The report shall include implementation methods, an implementation schedule, proposed milestones, and any applicable revisions to the TMDL effectiveness monitoring plan.	
7 years after effective date of the TMDL	The MS4 and Caltrans storm water NPDES permittees shall demonstrate that 25% of the total drainage area served by the MS4	

Date	Action
	system is effectively meeting the sediment waste load allocations.
9 years after effective date of the TMDL	The MS4 and Caltrans storm water NPDES permittees shall demonstrate that 50% of the total drainage area served by the MS4 system is effectively meeting the sediment waste load allocations.
11 years after effective date of the TMDL	The MS4 and Caltrans storm water NPDES permittees shall demonstrate that 75% of the total drainage area served by the MS4 system is effectively meeting the sediment waste load allocations.
15 years after effective date of the TMDL	The MS4 and Caltrans storm water NPDES permittees shall demonstrate that 100% of the total drainage area served by the MS4 system is effectively meeting the sediment waste load allocations.