Proposed Amendment to the Water Quality Control Plan – Los Angeles Region

to Incorporate the

Total Maximum Daily Load for Eutrophic, Algae, Ammonia, and Odors (Nutrient) in Machado Lake

Proposed for adoption by the California Regional Water Quality Control Board, Los Angeles Region on May 1, 2008

Amendments

Table of ContentsAdd:

Chapter 7. Total Maximum Daily Loads (TMDLs)

7-29 Machado Lake Nutrient TMDL

List of Figures, Tables, and Inserts Add:

Chapter 7. Total Maximum Daily Loads (TMDLs)

Tables

- 7-29 Machado Lake Eutrophic, Algae, Ammonia, and Odors (Nutrient) TMDL
- 7-29.1. Machado Lake Eutrophic, Algae, Ammonia, and Odors (Nutrient) TMDL - Elements
- 7-29.2. Machado Lake Eutrophic, Algae, Ammonia, and Odors (Nutrient) TMDL - Implementation Schedule

Chapter 7. Total Maximum Daily Loads (TMDLs) Machado Lake Eutrophic, Algae, Ammonia, and Odors (Nutrient) TMDL

This TMDL was adopted by:

The Regional Water Quality Control Board on [Insert date].

This TMDL was approved by:

The State Water Resources Control Board on [Insert date]. The Office of Administrative Law on [Insert date]. The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency on [Insert date].

This TMDL is effective on [Insert Date]

The elements of the TMDL are presented in Table 7-29.1 and the Implementation Plan in Table 7-29.2

Table 7-29.1.	Machado Lake Eutrophic, Algae, Ammonia, and Odors (Nutrient)
	TMDL: Elements

Т

Ε

Ν

Т

Α

Т

V

Ε

TMDL Element	Regulatory Provisions
Problem Statement	Excessive loadings of nutrients, in particular nitrogen (including ammonia) and phosphorus, cause eutrophic effects, including algae and odors, which impair the beneficial uses of Machado Lake. The nutrient enrichment results in high algal productivity; algal blooms have been observed in the lake during summer months. In addition, high nutrient concentrations contribute to excessive and nuisance macrophyte growth. Algae respiration and decay depletes oxygen from the water column creating an adverse aquatic environment. As a result of high nutrient concentrations, algal blooms, odors, and eutrophic conditions, Machado Lake was placed on the Clean Water Act 303(d) list of impaired waterbodies in 1998, 2002, and 2006.
	Applicable Water Quality Objectives for this TMDL are narrative objectives for Biostimulatory Substances and Taste and Odor; and numeric objectives for Dissolved Oxygen and Ammonia.
	The beneficial uses of Machado Lake include beneficial uses associated with recreation (REC 1 and REC 2), aquatic life (WARM, WILD, RARE, and WET) and water supply (MUN).
	This TMDL addresses the eutrophic, algae, ammonia, and odor listings which impair these uses.
Numeric Targets	The total phosphorus target for Machado Lake is 0.1 mg/L as a monthly average concentration in the water column, which is based upon US EPA Nutrient Criteria Technical Guidance Manual for Lakes and Reservoirs. A ratio of total nitrogen to total phosphorus of 10 is the basis for the total nitrogen (TKN + NO ₃ -N + NO ₂ –N) numeric target of 1.0 mg/L as a monthly average concentration in the water column. The total nitrogen target incorporates all forms of nitrogen including TKN, which is the sum of organic nitrogen and ammonia nitrogen, nitrate nitrogen (NO ₃ -N), and nitrite nitrogen (NO ₂ -N). The total nitrogen target expressed as a monthly average is protective of chronic aquatic life exposure for ammonia. There is a separate numeric target for ammonia of 5.95 mg/L as an hourly average to be protective of acute aquatic life exposure. The chlorophyll <i>a</i> target is 20 ug/L based on EPA guidance and the Carlson Trophic Status Index. The dissolved oxygen target is a single sample concentration of no less than 5 mg/L measured at 0.3 meter above the sediments based on the Basin Plan objective. The following table provides the numeric targets for the Machado Lake TMDL.

TMDL Element	Regulatory Provisions			
		Indicator	Numeric Target	
		Total Phosphorus	0.1 mg/L monthly average	
		Total Nitrogen (TKN + NO ₃ -N + NO ₂ –N)	1.0 mg/L monthly average	
		Ammonia - N	5.95 mg/L one-hour average	
		Dissolved Oxygen	5 mg/L single sample minimum measured 0.3 meter above the sediments.	
		Chlorophyll a	20 µg/L monthly average	
Source Analysis	The point sources of nutrients into Machado Lake are stormwater discharges from the municipal separate storm sewer system (MS4), California Department of Transportation (Caltrans), and general construction and industrial discharges. Stormwater discharges to Machado Lake occur through the following subdrainage systems: Drain 553, Wilmington Drain, Project 77/510, and Walteria Lake. Discharges from Walteria Lake and Drain 553 are tributary to the Wilmington Drain, which then directly discharges in the northern portion of Machado Lake. Approximately, 88 % of the discharge into the lake enters through the Wilmington Drain. The major nonpoint source of nutrients to Machado Lake is internal nutrient loading (nutrient flux from sediments). Atmospheric deposition is also a nonpoint			
	source of total nitrogen. Nutrient loads from wind resuspension, bioturbation, birds, and general surface runoff are minor sources. Special studies may be conducted to further evaluate sources.			
Linkage Analysis	The linkage analysis focuses on the relationship between the nutrient loading to the lake and the numeric targets established to measure attainment of beneficial uses. The Nutrient Numeric Endpoints BATHTUB Spreadsheet Model, which was developed by Tetra Tech for US EPA, was used to establish the linkage between nutrient loading to Machado Lake and the predicted water quality response. The model performs water and nutrient balance calculations under steady-state conditions. Eutrophication related water quality conditions are expressed in terms of total phosphorus, ortho-phosphourus, total nitrogen, inorganic nitrogen, chlorophyll a, transparency (Secchi depth), and hypolimnetic oxygen deletion rates. The linkage analysis demonstrates that assigning waste load and load allocations for total nitrogen and phosphorus will address eutrophication related water quality conditions.			
Waste Load Allocations	Caltrans, g weather. T allocations of	eneral construction ar he final waste load allo of 0.1 mg/L and 1.0 mg	ned to urban stormwat nd general industrial) i ocations are assigned as g/L as monthly averages (TKN + NO ₃ -N + NO ₂ -N	n both wet and dry s concentration based s measured in-lake for

TMDL Element	Regulatory Provisions			
	Interim WLAs are based on current in-lake concentrations and require a reduction in concentration over time. The initial interim total nitrogen and phosphorus waste load allocations are set as the 95 th percentile of current concentrations in the lake. Concentration-based interim and final WLAs will be included in the permits in accordance with NPDES guidance and requirements. The tables below present the interim and final waste load allocations for the stormwater discharges.			
			Total	Total Nitrogen
	Waste Load A	llocations	Phosphorus	$(TKN + NO_3 - N + NO_2 - N)$
			Final WLA	Final WLA
			(mg/L)	(mg/L)
	MS4 Permittees ¹ Ca	ltrans, General		
	Construction and Inc	lustrial	0.1	1.0
	stormwater permits			
	to Machado Lake include:	Los Angeles Count Los Angeles, Palo	y, Los Angeles Cou os Verdes Estates,	
	MS4 Permittees,	1	1.18	3.36
	Caltrans, General Construction and	5	1.18	1.68
	Industrial Stormwater permits	8.5 (Final WLAs)	0.10	1.00
			0.10	1.00

TMDL Element		Regulat	ory Provisions		
Load Allocations	Load allocations are assigned for nonpoint source discharges to the lake, primarily internal loading from the lake. The final load allocations for internal loading are concentration based allocations of 0.1 mg/L and 1.0 mg/L as monthly averages for total phosphorus and total nitrogen (TKN + NO ₃ -N + NO ₂ –N), respectively. Concentration based load allocations are appropriate and can be evaluated by monitoring the nutrient concentrations in the water column. Interim LAs are based on current in-lake concentrations and require a reduction in concentration over time. The initial interim total nitrogen and phosphorus load allocations are set at the 95 th percentile of current concentrations in the lake. The tables below present the final and interim load allocations for the nonpoint sources.				
		_	Total	Total Nitrogen	
	Load Allo	cations	Phosphorus	$(TKN + NO_3 - N + NO_2 - N)$	
			Final LA	Final	
			(mg/L)	LA (mg/L)	
	Internal Nutrient Lo	ad (City of Los			
	Angeles Departme	nt of Recreation	0.1	1.0	
	and Parks)				
	Load Allocations	Years After Effective Date	Interim Total Phosphorus L <i>I</i> (mg/L)	As Interim Total Nitrogen (TKN + NO3-N + NO2-N) LAs (mg/L)	
	Internal Nutrient	1	1.18	3.36	
	Load (City of Los Angeles	5	1.18	1.68	
	Department of Recreation and Parks)	8.5 (Final LAs)	0.10	1.00	
Margin of Safety	stormdrains entering delivery of phospho within the lake. To were selected by es the waste load and	g the lake and the orus and nitrogen o address these stablishing the targ load allocations r, the lake cond	e inherent seasor n for external so uncertainties, con gets under a critic are based on a itions under whic	ue to limited data from th nal and annual variability i purces and nutrient cyclin onservative numeric target cal lake volume. Likewise constant value for interna- ich the load capacity wa	

E N T A T I V E

Т

TMDL Element	Regulatory Provisions
Seasonal Variations and Critical Conditions	The external nutrient loading to Machado Lake generally occurs during winter and spring months, in conjunction with storm events. During the dry season the lake receives minimal external loading. In the summer there is the release of nutrients from the sediments. At the same time there is very little water inflow and a decreased lake level due to evaporation. These seasonal variations cause increased nutrient concentrations. Moreover, the reduced lake volume during the summer months provides less assimilative capacity. The critical condition for the attainment of beneficial uses at Machado Lake occurs during the summer months. Also, the critical conditions for dissolved oxygen impairments related to algae growth are during the warm dry summer months when algal respiration is highest. The Machado Lake nutrient TMDL accounts for seasonal and critical conditions of the summer months by assigning a load allocation to the lake sediments and requiring a reduction in this source of nutrients to the lake, and by assigning WLAs to urban stormwater dischargers year-round.
Special Studies and Monitoring	Special Studies
Plan	Additional monitoring and special studies may be undertaken by dischargers and responsible agenciesto evaluate the uncertainties and assumptions made in the development of this TMDL. (The results of special studies may be used to reevaluate waste load allocations and load allocations when the Machado Lake Nutrient TMDL is reconsidered.)
	Optional Study #1: Core flux study to estimate the nutrient flux from sediments under equilibrium conditions. Results from this study would be beneficial to gauge the success of implementation measures such as aeration.
	Optional Study #2: A study to understand factors such as nitrogen and phosphorus sedimentation rates (particulate settling velocities), the overall lake sedimentation rate, and sediment resuspension rate. These factors would be important for a Machado Lake nutrient budget and gauging the potential need for periodic hydraulic dredging.
	Optional Study #3 : A work plan for permittees to assess compliance with TMDL WLAs on a mass basis for total nitrogen and total phosphorous. The work plan should detail testing methodologies, BMPs, and treatments to be implemented to attain and demonstrate a reduction of total nitrogen and phosphorous loading on a mass basis. A final report including the results shall be submitted to the Regional Board for Executive Officer approval.
	Monitoring Plan
	A Monitoring and Reporting Program (MRP) plan to assess compliance with LAs and WLAs measured in lake must be submitted to the Executive Officer for approval within one year of the effective date. Monitoring will begin 60 days after the Executive Officer has approved the monitoring plan.

Т

Ε

Ν

Т

Α

Т

V

Ε

TMDL Element	Regulatory Provisions
	This MRP plan will be required as part of the Lake Water Quality Management Plan as discussed in the Implementation Section.
	The MRP plan will be designed to monitor and implement this TMDL. The monitoring plan is required to measure the progress of pollutant load reductions and improvements in water quality. The monitoring plan shall
	 Determine attainment of total phosphorus, total nitrogen, ammonia, dissolved oxygen, and chlorophyll <i>a</i> numeric targets. Determine compliance with the waste load and load allocations for total phosphorus, and total nitrogen. Monitor the effect of implementation actions on lake water quality
	Responsible jurisdictions shall be required to begin monitoring sixty days after the Executive Officer approves the MRP. Field samples and water samples shall be collected bi-weekly on a year-round basis. The lake sampling sites will be located in the open water portion of the lake with one in the northern portion and one in the southern portion of the lake. <i>In situ</i> measurements of water quality shall be made.
	The water quality probes will be calibrated immediately prior to departure to the field against known pH, EC, and DO solutions. Secchi depth, a measurement of transparency, will also be measured with a standard Secchi disk or other approved method. Additionally, a staff gauge shall be placed in an appropriate location at the lake to measure changes in lake elevation.
	The monitoring plan shall consider stratification for the collection of water samples. Water samples shall be analyzed for constituents including but not limited to the following.
	 Total nitrogen Total phosphorus Nitrate (NO₃-N) Total ammonia (NH₃-N) Ortho-phosphorus (PO₄) Total Dissolved Solids Total Suspended Solids Chlorophyll a Turbidity
	Detection limits shall be less than the numeric targets in this TMDL. A monitoring report shall be prepared and submitted to the Regional Board annually within six months after the completion of the final sampling event of the year.
	If an alternative WLA compliance option is selected, an appropriate separate TMDL compliance MRP plan must be submitted for Executive Officer approval. Annual monitoring reports demonstrating compliance or non-compliance with WLAs shall be submitted for Executive Officer approval.

- 7 -

TMDL Element	Regulatory Provisions
	All compliance monitoring must be conducted in conjunction with a Regional Board approved Quality Assurance Project Plan (QAPP). The QAPP shall include protocols for sample collection, standard analytical procedures, and laboratory certification.
Implementation Plan	Compliance with the TMDL is based on the assigned WLAs and LAs. Compliance with this TMDL will require the implementation of NPDES stormwater permit limits and lake management activities to reduce nutrient loading to the lake, reduce nutrient concentrations in the lake, prevent excessive algal biomass growth, and maintain an adequate dissolved oxygen concentration. Table 7-29.2 contains a schedule for responsible jurisdictions to implement BMPs and a Lake Water Quality Management Plan to comply with the TMDL.
	I. Implementation and Determination of Compliance with LAs
	Compliance with the LAs will be measured in the lake and will be achieved through a combination of implementation of lake management projects and BMPs to reduce external and internal nutrient loading to the lake and to reduce and manage internal nutrient sources.
	Load allocations will be implemented through the following:
	(1) Memorandum of Agreement (MOA), or
	(2) Clean Up and Abatement Order or Other Regulatory Order
	The responsible jurisdictions for the load allocations shall be allowed six months from the effective date of this TMDL to enter into a Memorandum of Agreement (MOA) with the Executive Officer, detailing the voluntary efforts that will be undertaken to attain the load allocations. The MOA shall comply with the <u>Water Quality Control Policy for Addressing Impaired Waters: Regulatory Structure and Options</u> ("Policy"), including part II, section 2 c ii and related provisions, and shall be consistent the requirements of this TMDL. If the MOA is timely adopted, and so long as it is implemented, the program described in the MOA shall be deemed "certified", pursuant to the Policy, subject to the conditions of Policy section 2 e. The MOA shall include development of a Lake Water Quality Management Plan (LWQMP), must be approved by the Executive Officer, and may be amended with Executive Officer approval, as necessary. If a MOA is not established with responsible jurisdictions within six months or if responsible jurisdictions do not comply with the terms of the MOA, a cleanup and abatement order pursuant to Water Code section 13304, or another appropriate regulatory order, shall be issued to implement the load allocations.
	Furthermore, the implementation of the MOA must result in attainment of the TMDL load allocations. If the MOA and LWQMP are not implemented or otherwise do not result in attainment of load allocations, the certification shall be revoked, the MOA rescinded, and the load allocations shall be implemented through a cleanup and abatement order, or other order, as described above.

TMDL Element	Regulatory Provisions		
	Implementation of the MOA shall be reviewed annually by the Executive Officer as part of the Monitoring and Reporting Program (MRP) annual reports.		
	To the satisfaction of the Executive Officer the LWQMP shall meet the followin criteria:		
	One year from the effective date of the TMDL responsible jurisdictions shall submit a LWQMP, MRP Plan and QAPP for approval by the Executive Officer.		
	The LWQMP shall include a list of cooperating parties.		
	The LWQMP shall address appropriate water quality monitoring and a timeline for the implementation of management practices to reduce and manage nutrient loading to the lake. The timeline shall ensure that the implementation actions are underway prior to Regional Board reconsideration of the TMDL. The LWQMP shall present a comprehensive management plan and strategy for achieving the LAs at Machado Lake and attaining numeric targets and beneficial uses. The LWQMP shall include a schedule for implementation actions.		
	The LWQMP shall achieve compliance with the load allocations through the implementation of lake management strategies to reduce and manage internal nutrient sources. The lake management implementation actions may include, but are not limited to the following:		
	 Wetland restoration Aeration system Hydraulic Lake dredging Hydroponic Islands Alum treatment Fisheries Management Macrophyte Management and Harvesting Maintain Lake Level – Supplemental Water 		
	The LWQMP shall include a MRP Plan. The MRP shall include a requirement that the responsible jurisdictions report compliance and non-compliance with load allocations as part of annual reports submitted to the Regional Board. Compliance with the load allocations shall be measured in the lake at two locations, one in the north portion and one in the south. The average of these two sampling locations shall determine compliance with the load allocations. MRP protocols may be based on Surface Water Ambient Monitoring Program (SWAMP) protocols for water quality monitoring or alternative protocols proposed by dischargers and approved by the Executive Officer.		
	A QAPP shall also be submitted to the Regional Board for approval by the Executive Officer to ensure data quality. The QAPP shall include protocols for sample collection, standard analytical procedures, and		

Т

TMDL Element	Regulatory Provisions
	laboratory certification. The QAPP may be based on SWAMP protocols for water quality monitoring and quality assurance or alternative protocols proposed by dischargers and approved by the Executive Officer.
	The MOA and LWQMP program shall include assurances that it will be implemented by the responsible jurisdiction.
	 Implementation of the LWQMP program should include a Health and Safety Plan to protect personnel.
	The Executive Officer may require a revised assessment under the MOA and LWQMP:
	 (a) To prevent nutrients from accumulating or recycling in the lake in deleterious amounts that impair water quality, contribute to negative eutrophic conditions or adversely affect beneficial uses; (b) To reflect the results of nutrient assessment or special studies
	Cleanup and Abatement Order or Other Regulatory Order:
	Alternatively, responsible jurisdictions may propose, or the Regional Board may impose, an alternative program which would be implemented through a cleanup and abatement order, or any other appropriate order or orders, provided the program is consistent with the allocations, reductions, and schedule described in Table 7-29.2.
	II. Implementation and Determination of Compliance with WLAs
	Stormwater WLAs will be incorporated into NPDES permits.
	Stormwater permittees may be deemed in compliance with waste load allocations by actively participating in a LWQMP to attain the waste load allocations for Machado Lake. Stormwater permittees and the responsible party for the lake would work together to implement the LWQMP and reduce external nutrient loading to attain the TMDL waste load allocations.
	Alternatively, MS4 Permittees may be deemed in compliance with waste load allocations by demonstrating a 47 percent reduction for total nitrogen and 91 percent reduction for total phosphorous on an annual mass basis measured at the stormdrain outfall of the permittee's drainage area. Permittees must demonstrate total nitrogen and total phosphorous load reductions to be achieved in accordance with a special study workplan approved by the Executive Officer.
	Compliance may also be demonstrated as concentration based monthly averages measured at the stormdrain outfall of the permittee's drainage area.
	MS4 Permittees shall be required to develop and implement a MRP plan. The MRP plan shall include a requirement that the responsible jurisdictions report

TMDL Element	Regulatory Provisions
	compliance and non-compliance with waste load allocations as part of annual reports submitted to the Regional Board.
	The Regional Board may revise these WLAs and the compliance point based on the collection of additional information developed through special studies or monitoring conducted as part of this TMDL.
	III. APPLICATION OF ALLOCATIONS TO RESPONSIBLE JURISDICTIONS
	Responsible jurisdictions to attain WLAs for this TMDL include but are not limited to: • Caltrans • General Stormwater Permit Enrollees • MS4 Permittees including: • Los Angeles County • Los Angeles County Flood Control District • Cities of Carson, • City of Lomita, • City of Lomita, • City of Los Angeles, • City of Palos Verdes Estates, • City of Palos Verdes, • City of Rancho Palos Verdes, • City of Redondo Beach, • City of Rolling Hills, • City of Rolling Hills, • City of Torrance.
	The City of Los Angeles, Department of Recreation and Parks is responsible jurisdiction to implement the assigned Load Allocations for this TMDL.

Task Number	Task	Responsible Jurisdiction	Date
1	First interim waste load (WLA) and load allocations (LA) for total nitrogen and total phosphorus apply.	California Department of Transportation (Caltrans), Municipal Separate Storm Sewer System Permittees ¹ (MS4 Permittees), City of Los Angeles – Department of Recreation and Parks	Effective Date of TMDL
2	Responsible jurisdictions shall enter into a Memorandum of Agreement (MOA) with the Regional Board to implement the load allocations.	City of Los Angeles – Department of Recreation and Parks	6 months from effective date of TMDL
3	Regional Board staff shall begin development of a Clean Up and Abatement Order or other regulatory order to implement the load allocations if an MOA is not established with responsible jurisdictions.	Regional Board Staff	6 months from effective date of TMDL
4	Clean Up and Abatement Order or other regulatory order adopted by the Regional Board if an MOA is not established with responsible jurisdictions. The Clean Up and Abatement Order or other regulatory order shall reflect the TMDL Implementation Schedule.	Regional Board Staff	1.5 years from effective date of TMDL
5	Responsible jurisdictions whose compliance is determined as concentration based WLAs measured at end of pipe shall submit a Monitoring and Reporting Program (MRP) Plan to the Executive Officer for approval.	Caltrans, MS4 Permittees	One year from effective date of TMDL
6	Responsible jurisdictions shall submit a Lake Water Quality Management Plan, MRP Plan and Quality Assurance Project Plan for approval by the Executive Officer to comply with MOA.	City of Los Angeles – Department of Recreation and Parks	One year from effective date of TMDL
7	Responsible jurisdictions shall submit a work plan for optional special study #3 (if responsible jurisdictions choose to conduct this special study) for approval by the Executive Officer.	Caltrans, MS4 Permittees	One year from effective date of TMDL

Table 7-29.2 Machado Lake Eutrophic, Algae, Ammonia, and Odors (Nutrient)TMDL: Implementation Schedule

¹ Municipal Separate Storm Sewer System (MS4) Permittees that are responsible for discharges to Machado Lake include: Los Angeles County, Los Angeles County Flood Control District, and the Cities of Carson, Lomita, Los Angeles, Palos Verdes Estates, Rancho Palos Verdes, Redondo Beach, Rolling Hills, Rolling Hills Estates, and Torrance.

Task Number	Task	Responsible Jurisdiction	Date
8	Responsible jurisdictions shall submit work plans for optional special studies #1 and #2 (if responsible jurisdictions choose to conduct special studies) for approval by the Executive Officer.	Caltrans, MS4 Permittees, City of Los Angeles – Department of Recreation and Parks	1.5 years from effective date of TMDL
9	Responsible jurisdictions shall begin monitoring as outlined in the approved MRP plan.	Caltrans, MS4 Permittees, City of Los Angeles – Department of Recreation and Parks	Sixty days from date of MRP Plan approval
10	Responsible jurisdictions shall begin implementation of Lake Water Quality Management Plan.	City of Los Angeles – Department of Recreation and Parks	Sixty days from date of Lake Water Quality Management Plan approval
11	Responsible jurisdictions whose compliance is determined as concentration based WLAs measured at end of pipe shall submit an Implementation Plan including BMPs to address discharges from storm drains.	Caltrans, MS4 Permittees	Two years from effective date of TMDL
12	Responsible jurisdictions whose compliance is determined as concentration based WLAs measured at end of pipe shall begin implementation of BMPs to address discharges from stormdrains	Caltrans, MS4 Permittees	Sixty days from date of Implementation Plan approval
13	Responsible jurisdictions shall submit annual monitoring reports. The monitoring reports shall include a requirement that the responsible jurisdictions demonstrate compliance with the MOA. If the MOA and Lake Water Quality Management Plan are not implemented or otherwise do not result in attainment of load allocations, the Regional Board shall revoke the MOA and the load allocations shall be implemented through a Clean Up and Abatement Order or other regulatory order.	City of Los Angeles – Department of Recreation and Parks	Annually – from date of Lake Water Quality Management Plan approval
14	Responsible jurisdictions whose compliance is determined as concentration based WLAs measured at end of pipe shall submit annual monitoring reports.	Caltrans, MS4 Permittees	Annually – from date of MPR Plan approval
15	Optional Special Study #3 completed and final report submitted for Executive Officer approval.	Caltrans, MS4 Permittees	Within 2.5 years of effective date of TMDL

Task Number	Task	Responsible Jurisdiction	Date
16	Responsible jurisdictions shall submit a MRP Plan and Implementation Plan for the alternative mass based WLA compliance option (if selected), to the Executive Officer for approval.	Caltrans, MS4 Permittees	Within 2.5 years of effective date of TMDL
17	Responsible jurisdictions shall begin monitoring and implementing projects/programs as outlined in the approved MRP and Implementation Plan for the alternative mass based WLA compliance option.	Caltrans, MS4 Permittees	Sixty days from date of MRP/ Implementation Plan approval
18	Responsible jurisdictions whose compliance is determined as mass based WLAs measured at end of pipe shall submit annual monitoring reports.	Caltrans, MS4 Permittees	Annually – from date of MPR/ Implementation Plan approval
19	Optional Special Studies (#1 and #2) completed and Special Study final reports submitted for Executive Officer approval.	Caltrans, MS4 Permittees, City of Los Angeles – Department of Recreation and Parks	Within 3 years of effective date of TMDL
20	Second interim total nitrogen WLA and LA apply.	Caltrans, MS4 permittees, City of Los Angeles – Department Recreation and Parks	Within 5 years of effective date of TMDL
21	Regional Board may reconsider the TMDL to include results of optional special studies completed by the responsible jurisdictions and revise numeric targets, WLAs, LAs, and the implementation schedule as needed.	Regional Board	7.5 years from effective date of TMDL
22	Responsible jurisdictions shall achieve Final WLAs and LAs for total nitrogen (including ammonia) and total phosphorus and demonstrate attainment of numeric targets for total nitrogen, ammonia, total phosphorus, dissolved oxygen, and chlorophyll a. Responsible parties shall demonstrate attainment of water quality standards for total nitrogen, ammonia, total phosphorus, dissolved oxygen, and biostimulatory substances in accordance with federal regulations and state policy on water quality control.	Caltrans, MS4 Permittees, City of Los Angeles – Department of Recreation and Parks	Within 8.5 ² years of effective date of TMDL

² Based on determination during TMDL reconsideration, the Regional Board may extend the implementation schedule 3.5 years if advanced stormwater treatment or supplemental water sources are required to implement LAs and WLAs.