

**Proposed Amendment to the Water Quality Control Plan – Los Angeles Region
to Incorporate a**

**Total Maximum Daily Load for Organochlorine (OC) Pesticides, Polychlorinated Biphenyls
(PCBs), Sediment Toxicity, Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons (PAHs), and Metals for
Colorado Lagoon**

Proposed for adoption by the California Regional Water Quality Control Board, Los Angeles
Region on October 1, 2009

Amendments

Table of Contents

Add:

Chapter 7. Total Maximum Daily Loads (TMDLs)

7- 30 Colorado Lagoon OC Pesticides, PCBs, Sediment Toxicity, PAHs, and Metals
TMDL

List of Figures, Tables, and Inserts

Add:

Chapter 7. Total Maximum Daily Loads (TMDLs)

Tables

7-30 Colorado Lagoon OC Pesticides, PCBs, Sediment Toxicity, PAHs, and Metals
TMDL

7-30.1. Colorado Lagoon OC Pesticides, PCBs, Sediment Toxicity, PAHs, and Metals
TMDL: Elements

7-30.2. Colorado Lagoon OC Pesticides, PCBs, Sediment Toxicity, PAHs, and Metals
TMDL: Implementation Schedule

Chapter 7. Total Maximum Daily Loads (TMDLs)

**Colorado Lagoon OC Pesticides, PCBs, Sediment Toxicity, PAHs, and Metals
TMDL**

This TMDL was adopted by:

The Regional Water Quality Control Board on October 1, 2009.

This TMDL was approved by:

The State Water Resources Control Board on **[Insert date]**.

The Office of Administrative Law on **[Insert date]**.

The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency on **[Insert date]**.

This TMDL is effective on **[Insert date]**.

The elements of the TMDL are presented in Table 7-30.1 and the Implementation Plan in Table
7-30.2

R
E
V
I
S
E
D

T
E
N
T
A
T
I
V
E

Attachment A to Resolution No. 2009-XXX

R
E
V
I
S
E
D

T
E
N
T
A
T
I
V
E

Table 7-30.1. Colorado Lagoon OC Pesticides, PCBs, Sediment Toxicity, PAHs, and Metals TMDL: Elements

TMDL Element	Regulatory Provisions
Problem Statement	<p>Colorado Lagoon is identified on the 1998, 2002, and 2006 Clean Water Act Section 303(d) lists of water-quality limited segments as impaired due to elevated levels of OC pesticides, PCBs, sediment toxicity, PAHs, and metals in fish tissue and sediment.</p> <p>Applicable fish tissue, sediment, and water quality objectives for this TMDL are narrative objectives for chemical constituents, bioaccumulation, pesticides, and toxicity; and numeric objectives for metals and organic compounds.</p> <p>The beneficial uses of Colorado Lagoon include water contact recreation (REC-1) and non-contact water recreation (REC-2), commercial and sport fishing (COMM), warm freshwater habitat (WARM), wildlife habitat (WILD), and shellfish harvesting (SHELL).</p> <p>The goal of this TMDL is to protect and restore fish tissue and sediment quality in Colorado Lagoon by controlling the contaminated sediment loading and accumulation of contaminated sediment in the lagoon.</p>

Attachment A to Resolution No. 2009-XXX

TMDL Element	Regulatory Provisions																																								
<p>Numeric Targets</p>	<p>Colorado Lagoon is listed on the 303(d) list for sediment toxicity, PAHs, lead, and zinc in sediment; DDT, Dieldrin, and PCBs in fish tissue; and chlordane in fish tissue and sediment. In order to address these listings, water column, fish tissue and sediment targets are selected. The following table provides the numeric targets for the Colorado Lagoon OC Pesticides, PCBs, Sediment Toxicity, PAHs, and Metals TMDL.</p> <p>Numeric targets for water, fish tissue, and sediment for OC Pesticides, PCBs, PAHs, and metals</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="407 516 1458 867"> <thead> <tr> <th>Constituents</th> <th>Water Quality Target¹ (ug/L)</th> <th>Fish Tissue Target² (ug/kg)</th> <th>ERL Sediment Target³ (ug/dry Kg)</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Chlordane</td> <td>0.00059</td> <td>5.60</td> <td>0.50</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Total DDTs</td> <td>0.00059</td> <td>21.00</td> <td>1.58⁴</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Dieldrin</td> <td>0.00014</td> <td>0.46</td> <td>0.02</td> </tr> <tr> <td>PCBs</td> <td>0.000107⁵</td> <td>3.60⁶</td> <td>22.70</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Total PAHs⁷</td> <td>0.049088⁸</td> <td>5.47</td> <td>4,022.00</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Total LPAHs⁹</td> <td>NA</td> <td>NA</td> <td>552.00</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Total HPAHs¹⁰</td> <td>NA</td> <td>NA</td> <td>1,700.00</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Lead</td> <td>8.10¹¹</td> <td>NA</td> <td>46,700.00</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Zinc</td> <td>81.00¹¹</td> <td>NA</td> <td>150,000.00</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Constituents	Water Quality Target ¹ (ug/L)	Fish Tissue Target ² (ug/kg)	ERL Sediment Target ³ (ug/dry Kg)	Chlordane	0.00059	5.60	0.50	Total DDTs	0.00059	21.00	1.58 ⁴	Dieldrin	0.00014	0.46	0.02	PCBs	0.000107 ⁵	3.60 ⁶	22.70	Total PAHs ⁷	0.049088 ⁸	5.47	4,022.00	Total LPAHs ⁹	NA	NA	552.00	Total HPAHs ¹⁰	NA	NA	1,700.00	Lead	8.10 ¹¹	NA	46,700.00	Zinc	81.00 ¹¹	NA	150,000.00
Constituents	Water Quality Target ¹ (ug/L)	Fish Tissue Target ² (ug/kg)	ERL Sediment Target ³ (ug/dry Kg)																																						
Chlordane	0.00059	5.60	0.50																																						
Total DDTs	0.00059	21.00	1.58 ⁴																																						
Dieldrin	0.00014	0.46	0.02																																						
PCBs	0.000107 ⁵	3.60 ⁶	22.70																																						
Total PAHs ⁷	0.049088 ⁸	5.47	4,022.00																																						
Total LPAHs ⁹	NA	NA	552.00																																						
Total HPAHs ¹⁰	NA	NA	1,700.00																																						
Lead	8.10 ¹¹	NA	46,700.00																																						
Zinc	81.00 ¹¹	NA	150,000.00																																						
<p>Source Analysis</p>	<p>Point sources</p> <p>The point sources of OC pesticides, PCBs, PAHs, and metals discharged to Colorado Lagoon are urban runoff and stormwater discharges from the municipal separate storm sewer systems (MS4s) and California Department of Transportation (Caltrans). The Colorado Lagoon watershed is divided into five sub-basins that discharge stormwater and urban dry weather runoff to Colorado Lagoon. Each of the sub-basins is served by a major storm sewer trunk line and supporting appurtenances that collect and transport stormwater and urban dry weather runoff to Colorado Lagoon. The sub-basins are as follows:</p> <p>Sub-basin A.</p>																																								

¹ The California Toxics Rule (CTR) water quality criteria for consumption of organisms only are applied as the numeric targets for Chlordane, ~~4,4'-total DDT, and Dieldrin, and PCBs~~ for protection of human health. ~~The Basin Plan objective for PCBs is applied as the numeric target to protect human health. CTR human health criteria were not established for PAHs, so the California Ocean Plan criterion for water is applied as the numeric target for PAHs.~~ The CTR aquatic life criteria for saltwater are applied as the numeric targets for protection of aquatic life for lead and zinc.

² Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment (OEHHA) Fish Contaminant Goals are applied as numeric targets for Chlordane, DDTs, Dieldrin, and PCBs. The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) screening value is applied as the numeric target for total PAHs.

³ Effect Range Low (ERL) sediment criteria from National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) Sediment Quality Guidelines are applied as numeric targets.

⁴ ~~DDTs in sediment are measured as the sum of DDT, DDE, and DDD.~~

⁵ PCBs in water are measured as the sum of ~~seven Aroclors~~ all congener or isomer or homolog or aroclor.

⁶ PCBs in fish tissue and sediment are measured as sum of all congeners.

⁷ PAHs: Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (sum of acenaphthylene, anthracene, benz(a)anthracene, benzo(b)fluoranthene, benzo(k)fluoranthene, benzo(g,h,i)perylene, benzo(a)pyrene, chrysene, dibenz(a,h)anthracene, fluorene, indeno(1,2,3-c,d)pyrene, phenanthrene, and pyrene).

⁸ ~~CTR human health criteria were not established for total PAHs. Therefore, the lowest CTR criteria for individual PAHs of 0.049 ug/L is applied to the sum of benz(a)anthracene, benzo(b)fluoranthene, benzo(k)fluoranthene, benzo(a)pyrene, chrysene, dibenz(a,h)anthracene, and indeno(1,2,3-c,d)pyrene. Other PAHs compounds in the CTR shall be screened as part of the TMDL monitoring plan, California Ocean Plan water quality objectives for human health protection (thirty-day average, fish consumption only).~~

⁹ LPAHs: Low molecular weight PAHs.

¹⁰ HPAHs: High molecular weight PAHs.

¹¹ ~~Saltwater criteria for metals are expressed in terms of the dissolved fraction of metals in water column.~~

R
E
V
I
S
E
D

T
E
N

T
A
T
I
V
E

Attachment A to Resolution No. 2009-XXX

TMDL Element	Regulatory Provisions
	<p>Discharges to Colorado Lagoon via a 63-inch reinforced concrete pipe owned and operated by the Los Angeles County Flood Control District (Project 452 Drain) discharging into the north part of the west arm. The drainage pattern is generally to the south and east. Sub-basin A contains the most commercial activities mainly along Anaheim Street and the northern part of Redondo Avenue.</p> <p>Sub-basin B. Discharges to Colorado Lagoon via a 54-inch reinforced concrete pipe (Line I Storm Drain) discharging into the north part of the north arm. The drainage pattern is generally to the south and west. Sub-basin B is predominately park/golf course open space with some residential areas on the north east corner.</p> <p>Sub-basin C. Discharges to Colorado Lagoon via a 48-inch reinforced concrete pipe (Line K Storm Drain) discharging into the mid-point of the north arm. The drainage pattern is generally to the south and west. Sub-basin C is almost entirely residential with a few commercial activities at the eastern boundary.</p> <p>Sub-basin D. Discharges to Colorado Lagoon via a 24-inch reinforced concrete pipe (Line M Storm Drain) discharging into the south part of the west arm. The drainage pattern is generally to the north and east. Sub-basin D is almost entirely residential with schools and other public facilities.</p> <p>Sub-basin E. Discharges to Colorado Lagoon via a 48-inch reinforced concrete pipe (Termino Avenue Drain) discharging into the west arm. The drainage pattern is generally to the south and east. Sub-basin E is mainly residential with commercial activities located along 7th Street, Coronado and Redondo Avenues to the west, and public facilities to the north.</p> <p>Several other smaller storm drains serve the areas immediately adjacent to the lagoon. These smaller storm drains contribute small amounts of contaminants relative to the five sub-basin discharges described above.</p> <p>Non-point Sources</p> <p>Sediment loading from non-point sources to Colorado Lagoon is mainly runoff from urban, recreational park areas including two golf courses and adjacent park areas, a right-of-way greenbelt, and the picnic and park areas surrounding Colorado Lagoon, and atmospheric deposition.</p>
<p>Linkage Analysis</p>	<p>This TMDL analysis makes a simplifying assumption that the relationship between OC pesticides and PCBs concentrations in fish tissue and sediments is linear, with the slope of the line being the overall sediment–organism bioaccumulation factor (BAF).</p> <p>The impairing contaminants in sediment are associated with fine-grained particles that are primarily delivered to the sediments through suspended solids in stormwater and urban runoff. It is expected that reductions in loadings of these pollutants will lead to</p>

R
E
V
I
S
E
D

T
E
N
T
A
T
I
V
E

Attachment A to Resolution No. 2009-XXX

R
E
V
I
S
E
D

T
E
N
T
A
T
I
V
E

TMDL Element	Regulatory Provisions																																																																						
	<p>reductions in sediment concentrations over time. The existing contaminants in surface sediments will be removed by dredging operations and reduced as sediments are scoured during storms. For the legacy pollutants (chlordane and PCBs), some losses will also occur through the slow decay and breakdown of these organic compounds. Concentrations in surface sediments will be reduced through mixing with cleaner sediments. Attenuation of pollutant concentration levels in sediment is expected to translate to reductions in fish tissue contaminant levels.</p> <p>The linkage analysis focuses on the relationship between source contributions and in-lagoon water and sediment response. The Environmental Fluid Dynamics Code (EFDC) model was selected to simulate source loadings and transport of the listed pollutants in the Colorado Lagoon. This model estimates the metals, PAHs, PCBs, and DDT concentrations in the receiving water to evaluate potential management scenarios and to identify waste load allocations to support water and sediment quality management decisions for Colorado Lagoon. Hydrodynamic, water quality, and sediment transport was developed to simulate the dynamic interaction between Marine Stadium and Colorado Lagoon.</p>																																																																						
Waste Load Allocations	<p>Sediment Waste Load Allocations (WLAs) for MS4 Discharges:</p> <p><u>Mass-based WLAs for MS4 Discharges</u></p> <p>Mass-based waste load allocations for MS4 permittees including the City of Long Beach, Los Angeles County Flood Control District, and Caltrans are allocated to the five major storm drain outfalls that currently discharge to the lagoon. Because Colorado Lagoon is located completely within the jurisdictional boundaries of the City of Long Beach and land areas serviced by storm drains that currently discharge to the lagoon are under the jurisdiction of the City of Long Beach, the WLAs are assigned to the City of Long Beach. Caltrans <u>and the City of Long Beach</u> shall <u>each</u> be <u>jointly</u> responsible for achieving the WLAs assigned to the Line I Storm Drain as it conveys stormwater from both Caltrans' facilities and the City of Long Beach. The Los Angeles County Flood Control District (District) owns and operates the Project 452 Storm Drain; therefore, the District <u>and the City of Long Beach</u> shall <u>each</u> be <u>jointly</u> responsible for achieving the WLAs assigned to the Project 452 Storm Drain. Mass-based WLAs are applied as annual limits and compliance with the mass-based WLAs for sediment will be determined by pollutant concentrations in the sediment at the storm drain outfalls to the lagoon.</p> <table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <thead> <tr> <th rowspan="2" style="text-align: left;">Constituent</th> <th colspan="5" style="text-align: center;">Final Mass-based WLAs (mg/yr)</th> </tr> <tr> <th style="text-align: center;">Project 452</th> <th style="text-align: center;">Line I</th> <th style="text-align: center;">Termino Ave</th> <th style="text-align: center;">Line K</th> <th style="text-align: center;">Line M</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Chlordane</td> <td style="text-align: center;">5.10</td> <td style="text-align: center;">3.65</td> <td style="text-align: center;">12.15</td> <td style="text-align: center;">1.94</td> <td style="text-align: center;">0.73</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Dieldrin</td> <td style="text-align: center;">0.20</td> <td style="text-align: center;">0.15</td> <td style="text-align: center;">0.49</td> <td style="text-align: center;">0.08</td> <td style="text-align: center;">0.03</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Lead</td> <td style="text-align: center;">476,646.68</td> <td style="text-align: center;">340,455.99</td> <td style="text-align: center;">1,134,867.12</td> <td style="text-align: center;">181,573.76</td> <td style="text-align: center;">68,116.09</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Zinc</td> <td style="text-align: center;">1,530,985.05</td> <td style="text-align: center;">1,093,541.72</td> <td style="text-align: center;">3,645,183.47</td> <td style="text-align: center;">583,213.37</td> <td style="text-align: center;">218,788.29</td> </tr> <tr> <td>PAHs</td> <td style="text-align: center;">41,050.81</td> <td style="text-align: center;">29,321.50</td> <td style="text-align: center;">97,739.52</td> <td style="text-align: center;">15,637.89</td> <td style="text-align: center;">5,866.44</td> </tr> <tr> <td>PCBs</td> <td style="text-align: center;">231.69</td> <td style="text-align: center;">165.49</td> <td style="text-align: center;">551.64</td> <td style="text-align: center;">88.26</td> <td style="text-align: center;">33.11</td> </tr> <tr> <td>DDT</td> <td style="text-align: center;">16.13</td> <td style="text-align: center;">11.52</td> <td style="text-align: center;">38.40</td> <td style="text-align: center;">6.14</td> <td style="text-align: center;">2.30</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <thead> <tr> <th rowspan="2" style="text-align: left;">Constituent</th> <th colspan="5" style="text-align: center;">Final Mass-based WLAs (mg/yr)</th> </tr> <tr> <th style="text-align: center;">Project 452</th> <th style="text-align: center;">Line I</th> <th style="text-align: center;">Termino Ave</th> <th style="text-align: center;">Line K</th> <th style="text-align: center;">Line M</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Chlordane</td> <td style="text-align: center;">5.67</td> <td style="text-align: center;">4.05</td> <td style="text-align: center;">13.50</td> <td style="text-align: center;">2.16</td> <td style="text-align: center;">0.84</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Constituent	Final Mass-based WLAs (mg/yr)					Project 452	Line I	Termino Ave	Line K	Line M	Chlordane	5.10	3.65	12.15	1.94	0.73	Dieldrin	0.20	0.15	0.49	0.08	0.03	Lead	476,646.68	340,455.99	1,134,867.12	181,573.76	68,116.09	Zinc	1,530,985.05	1,093,541.72	3,645,183.47	583,213.37	218,788.29	PAHs	41,050.81	29,321.50	97,739.52	15,637.89	5,866.44	PCBs	231.69	165.49	551.64	88.26	33.11	DDT	16.13	11.52	38.40	6.14	2.30	Constituent	Final Mass-based WLAs (mg/yr)					Project 452	Line I	Termino Ave	Line K	Line M	Chlordane	5.67	4.05	13.50	2.16	0.84
Constituent	Final Mass-based WLAs (mg/yr)																																																																						
	Project 452	Line I	Termino Ave	Line K	Line M																																																																		
Chlordane	5.10	3.65	12.15	1.94	0.73																																																																		
Dieldrin	0.20	0.15	0.49	0.08	0.03																																																																		
Lead	476,646.68	340,455.99	1,134,867.12	181,573.76	68,116.09																																																																		
Zinc	1,530,985.05	1,093,541.72	3,645,183.47	583,213.37	218,788.29																																																																		
PAHs	41,050.81	29,321.50	97,739.52	15,637.89	5,866.44																																																																		
PCBs	231.69	165.49	551.64	88.26	33.11																																																																		
DDT	16.13	11.52	38.40	6.14	2.30																																																																		
Constituent	Final Mass-based WLAs (mg/yr)																																																																						
	Project 452	Line I	Termino Ave	Line K	Line M																																																																		
Chlordane	5.67	4.05	13.50	2.16	0.84																																																																		

TMDL Element	Regulatory Provisions																																			
	Dieldrin	0.23	0.16	0.54	0.09	0.03																														
	Lead	529,607.42	378,284.43	1,260,963.47	201,748.62	75,684.54																														
	Zinc	1,701,094.50	1,215,046.35	4,050,203.85	648,014.85	243,098.10																														
	PAHs	45,612.01	32,579.44	108,599.47	17,375.44	6,518.27																														
	PCBs	257.43	183.88	612.93	98.07	36.79																														
	DDT	17.92	12.80	42.66	6.83	2.56																														
	<p><u>Concentration-based WLAs for MS4 Discharges</u></p> <p>Concentration-based WLAs for sediment are assigned to MS4 permittees including the City of Long Beach, Los Angeles County Flood Control District, and Caltrans. Concentration-based WLAs for sediment are applied as average monthly limits. Compliance with the concentration-based WLAs for sediment shall be determined by pollutant concentrations in the sediment at the individual storm drain outfalls and in the lagoon at points in the West Arm, North Arm, and Central Arm that represent the cumulative inputs from the MS4 drainage system to the lagoon. <u>Concentration-based WLAs for sediment are also assigned to all other minor storm drains discharging from the MS4 to the lagoon.</u></p> <p>Concentration-based interim WLAs for sediment are set to allow time for removal of contaminated sediment through proposed implementation actions. Interim WLAs are based on the 95th percentile value of sediment data collected from 2000 to 2008. The use of 95th percentile values to develop interim limits is consistent with current NPDES permitting methodology. If the 95th percentile is equal to or lower than the numeric target, the interim limit is equal to the final WLAs. Interim and final WLAs will be included in MS4 permits in accordance with NPDES guidance and requirements.</p> <table border="1" style="margin-left: auto; margin-right: auto;"> <thead> <tr> <th rowspan="2">Constituent</th> <th colspan="2">Concentration-based WLAs</th> </tr> <tr> <th>Interim WLAs (ug/dry kg)</th> <th>Final WLAs (ug/dry kg)</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Chlordane</td> <td style="text-align: center;">129.65</td> <td style="text-align: center;">0.50</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Dieldrin</td> <td style="text-align: center;">26.20</td> <td style="text-align: center;">0.02</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Lead</td> <td style="text-align: center;">399,500.00</td> <td style="text-align: center;">46,700.00</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Zinc</td> <td style="text-align: center;">565,000.00</td> <td style="text-align: center;">150,000.00</td> </tr> <tr> <td>PAHs</td> <td style="text-align: center;">4,022.00</td> <td style="text-align: center;">4,022.00</td> </tr> <tr> <td>PCBs</td> <td style="text-align: center;">89.90</td> <td style="text-align: center;">22.7</td> </tr> <tr> <td>DDT</td> <td style="text-align: center;">149.80</td> <td style="text-align: center;">1.58</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>Sediment Waste Load Allocations for Other Point Sources</p> <p>Concentration-based waste load allocations are assigned to minor NPDES permits, other stormwater, and non-stormwater permittees. Any future minor NPDES permits or enrollees under a general non-stormwater NPDES permit, general industrial stormwater permit or general construction permit will also be subject to the concentration-based waste load allocations.</p> <table border="1" style="margin-left: auto; margin-right: auto;"> <thead> <tr> <th>Constituents</th> <th>Waste Load Allocation</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td> </td> <td> </td> </tr> </tbody> </table>						Constituent	Concentration-based WLAs		Interim WLAs (ug/dry kg)	Final WLAs (ug/dry kg)	Chlordane	129.65	0.50	Dieldrin	26.20	0.02	Lead	399,500.00	46,700.00	Zinc	565,000.00	150,000.00	PAHs	4,022.00	4,022.00	PCBs	89.90	22.7	DDT	149.80	1.58	Constituents	Waste Load Allocation		
Constituent	Concentration-based WLAs																																			
	Interim WLAs (ug/dry kg)	Final WLAs (ug/dry kg)																																		
Chlordane	129.65	0.50																																		
Dieldrin	26.20	0.02																																		
Lead	399,500.00	46,700.00																																		
Zinc	565,000.00	150,000.00																																		
PAHs	4,022.00	4,022.00																																		
PCBs	89.90	22.7																																		
DDT	149.80	1.58																																		
Constituents	Waste Load Allocation																																			

Attachment A to Resolution No. 2009-XXX

TMDL Element	Regulatory Provisions																	
		<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>(ug/dry kg)</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Chlordane</td> <td>0.50</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Dieldrin</td> <td>0.02</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Lead</td> <td>46,700.00</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Zinc</td> <td>150,000.00</td> </tr> <tr> <td>PAHs</td> <td>4,022.00</td> </tr> <tr> <td>PCBs</td> <td>22.70</td> </tr> <tr> <td>DDT</td> <td>1.58</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>		(ug/dry kg)	Chlordane	0.50	Dieldrin	0.02	Lead	46,700.00	Zinc	150,000.00	PAHs	4,022.00	PCBs	22.70	DDT	1.58
	(ug/dry kg)																	
Chlordane	0.50																	
Dieldrin	0.02																	
Lead	46,700.00																	
Zinc	150,000.00																	
PAHs	4,022.00																	
PCBs	22.70																	
DDT	1.58																	
Load Allocations	<p>A mass-based load allocation is developed for direct atmospheric deposition. An estimate of direct atmospheric deposition was developed based on the percent area of surface water within the watershed, which is approximately 15 acres or 1.3% of the total watershed area. The load allocation for atmospheric deposition is calculated by multiplying this percentage by the total loading capacity.</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Constituent</th> <th>Load Allocation (mg/year)</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Chlordane</td> <td>0.36</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Dieldrin</td> <td>0.014</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Lead</td> <td>33,217.48</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Zinc</td> <td>106,694.25</td> </tr> <tr> <td>PAHs</td> <td>2,860.83</td> </tr> <tr> <td>PCBs</td> <td>16.15</td> </tr> <tr> <td>DDT</td> <td>0.71</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Constituent	Load Allocation (mg/year)	Chlordane	0.36	Dieldrin	0.014	Lead	33,217.48	Zinc	106,694.25	PAHs	2,860.83	PCBs	16.15	DDT	0.71	
Constituent	Load Allocation (mg/year)																	
Chlordane	0.36																	
Dieldrin	0.014																	
Lead	33,217.48																	
Zinc	106,694.25																	
PAHs	2,860.83																	
PCBs	16.15																	
DDT	0.71																	
Margin of Safety	<p>An implicit margin of safety exists in the final WLAs. The implicit margin of safety is based on the selection of multiple numeric targets, including targets for water, fish tissue and sediment to protect human health, and the selection of ERLs as numeric targets for sediment, which are the most protective of the potentially applicable sediment guidelines available.</p> <p>Additionally, to address sources of uncertainty in the analysis, particularly the assumption of natural removal of contaminated sediment at the northern arm of the lagoon, an explicit 10% margin of safety is also included.</p>																	
Seasonal Variations and Critical Conditions	<p>No correlation with flow or seasonality (wet vs. dry season) was found to exist in sediment or tissue data. Given that allocations for this TMDL are expressed in terms of OC pesticides, PCBs, PAHs, and metals concentrations in sediment, a critical condition is not identified based upon flow or seasonality.</p> <p>Because the adverse effects of OC pesticides, PCBs, PAHs, and metals are related to sediment accumulation and bioaccumulation in the food chain over long periods of time, short term variations in concentrations are less likely to cause significant impacts upon beneficial uses.</p>																	
Monitoring Plan	<p>The Colorado Lagoon TMDL Monitoring Plan (CLTMP) is designed to monitor and evaluate implementation of this TMDL, and refine the understanding of current sediment loadings. The goals of the CLTMP are:</p>																	

R
E
V
I
S
E
D
T
E
N
T
A
T
I
V
E

Attachment A to Resolution No. 2009-XXX

TMDL Element	Regulatory Provisions
	<p>To determine compliance with OC pesticides, PCBs, metals, and PAHs waste load and load allocations,</p> <p>To monitor the effectiveness of implementation actions proposed by Los Angeles County Flood Control District and the City of Long Beach on water and sediment quality,</p> <p>To monitor contaminated sediment levels in the Lagoon especially in the North Arm of the Lagoon and determine if additional implementation action such as dredging are necessary to achieve the TMDL, and</p> <p>To implement the CLTMP in a manner consistent with other TMDL implementation plans and regulatory actions within the Colorado Lagoon watershed.</p> <p>Monitoring shall begin six months after the monitoring plan is approved by the Executive Officer. Water column and sediment samples will be collected at the outlet of the storm drains discharging to the lagoon, while water column, sediment, and fish tissue samples will be collected in the West Arm, Central Arm, North Arm, and at the outlet of the lagoon to Marine Stadium. The City of Long Beach, the Los Angeles County Flood Control District, and Caltrans are <u>jointly each</u> responsible for conducting water, sediment, and fish tissue monitoring. <u>However, they are encouraged to collaborate or coordinate their efforts to avoid duplication and reduce associated costs.</u></p> <p>Water quality samples and total suspended solids samples shall be collected quarterly and analyzed for chlordane, dieldrin, OC pesticides, and total PCBs at detection limits that are at or below the minimum levels. The minimum levels are those published by the State Water Resources Control Board in Appendix 4 of the Policy for the Implementation of Toxic Standards for Inland Surface Water, Enclosed Bays, and Estuaries of California, 2005.</p> <p>Water quality samples shall also be collected quarterly <u>quarterly-semi-annually</u> and analyzed for general water quality constituents (GWQC), total recoverable and dissolved PAHs, lead, and zinc. <u>If water quality objectives are exceeded at any time, sampling frequency shall be accelerated to quarterly thereafter until water quality objectives are not exceeded.</u></p> <p>Total suspended solid samples shall also be collected to analyze for PAHs, lead, and zinc. For metal analysis, methods that allow for (1) the removal of salt matrix to reduce interference and avoid inaccurate results prior to the analysis; and (2) the use of trace metal clean sampling techniques, must be applied. Examples of such methods include EPA Method 1669 for sample collection and handling, and EPA Method 1640 for sample preparation and analysis.</p> <p>Sediment samples will be collected annually for analysis of general sediment quality constituents (GSQC), OC pesticides, PCBs, PAHs, and metals. Lead, zinc, chlordane, dieldrin, and total PCBs shall be analyzed at detection limits that are lower than the ERLs. The sediment toxicity testing shall include testing a minimum of three species for lethal and non-lethal endpoints. Toxicity testing may include: the 28-day and 10-day amphipod mortality test, the sea urchin fertilization testing using sediment pore water, and the bivalve embryo testing of the sediment/water interface. The chronic 28-day and shorter-term 10-day amphipod tests may be conducted in the first year of quarterly testing. If</p>

R
E
V
I
S
E
D
T
E
N
T
A
T
I
V
E

Attachment A to Resolution No. 2009-XXX

TMDL Element	Regulatory Provisions
	<p>there is no significant difference in the tests, then the less expensive 10-day test can be used throughout the rest of the monitoring, with some periodic 28-day tests. Initial S sediment toxicity monitoring shall ould be conducted quarterly in the first year after the effective date of the TMDL to define the baseline and annually thereafter to provide sufficient data over the implementation timeframe to evaluate changes in sediment quality due to implementation actions. <u>If sediment objectives are exceeded or sediment toxicity is observed at any time, sampling frequency for both sediment and sediment toxicity shall be accelerated to semi-annually thereafter until sediment objectives are not exceeded and sediment toxicity is not observed.</u></p> <p>Fish tissue samples will be collected annually and analyzed for chlordane, dieldrin, DDT, and PCBs to assess changes in concentrations of target organic constituents. The same rationale used for establishing sampling frequency for sediments is used to establish fish tissue sample collection frequency. For Colorado Lagoon, species with the potential for human and wildlife consumption will be targeted. Fish targeted to evaluate potential impacts to human health will be limited to species more commonly consumed by humans. Tissues analyzed will be based on the most appropriate and common preparation for the selected fish species. <u>Tissues from resident California or bay mussels shall be collected annually and analyzed to further assess and track impairment.</u></p> <p>Monitoring reports shall be prepared and submitted to the Regional Board annually within six months after the completion of the final sampling event of the year. All compliance monitoring must be conducted in conjunction with a Regional Board approved Quality Assurance Project Plan (QAPP). The QAPP shall include protocols for sample collection, standard analytical procedures, and laboratory certification.</p>

R
E
V
I
S
E
D

T
E
N
T
A
T
I
V
E

Attachment A to Resolution No. 2009-XXX

R
E
V
I
S
E
D
T
E
N
T
A
T
I
V
E

TMDL Element	Regulatory Provisions
<p>Implementation Plan</p>	<p>The City of Long Beach, Los Angeles County Flood Control District, and California Department of Transportation (Caltrans) are <u>jointly each</u> responsible for meeting the waste load allocations. <u>However, to the extent their effluent discharges are commingled, they will be held jointly liable for abating the pollutants in the commingled discharge to the extent any of them are unable to disprove their own contribution of pollutants.</u></p> <p>Compliance with the TMDL is determined based on the assigned WLAs. NPDES permits will be amended to be consistent with the assumptions and requirements of the WLAs. Responsible agenciesjurisdictions are required to implement the proposed actions to remove contaminated sediment; control the discharges of pollutants in urban runoff, stormwater and contaminated sediments to Colorado Lagoon; attain water, fish tissue, and sediment quality standards; and protect beneficial uses. Table 7-30.2 contains a schedule for responsible jurisdictionsagencies to implement BMPs and proposed implementation actions to comply with the TMDL.</p> <p>Responsible jurisdictionsagencies may employ a variety of implementation strategies such as non-structural and structural best management practices (BMPs) to meet the required waste load allocations. The implementation actions described in this section represent a range of activities that are proposed by the Los Angeles County Flood Control District and City of Long Beach in the <i>Los Angeles County Termino Avenue Drain Project</i> and <i>Colorado Lagoon Restoration Project</i>, respectively.</p> <p>Implementation and Determination of Compliance with the WLAs</p> <p>The WLAs will apply to all NPDES dischargers in the Colorado Lagoon watershed. The regulatory mechanisms used to implement the TMDL include the Los Angeles County MS4 permit, the City of Long Beach MS4 permit, the Caltrans stormwater permit, and any future general industrial stormwater permits, general construction stormwater permits, minor NPDES permits, and general NPDES permits as well as any other appropriate regulatory mechanism, including Board orders, where required. Each NPDES permit may be reopened immediately after the TMDL becomes effective, or amended at re-issuance, in accordance with applicable laws, to incorporate the waste load allocations and other provisions of this TMDL.</p> <p>Compliance with the WLAs will be measured at the storm drain outlets and in the lagoon and will be achieved through BMPs and a combination of proposed implementation actions provided in the Proposed Implementation section below to remove contaminated sediment and reduce loadings of contaminated sediment through the control of stormwater and contaminated sediments to Colorado Lagoon.</p> <p>The final WLAs will be included for permitted MS4 discharges and other NPDES discharges in accordance with the compliance schedules provided in Table 7-30.2. The Regional Board may revise these WLAs based on additional information developed through monitoring <u>or special studies</u>.</p> <p>The WLAs for the minor NPDES permits and general non-stormwater NPDES permits will be implemented through effluent limitations consistent with the assumptions and requirements of the WLAs. Permit writers for the non-stormwater permits may translate</p>

Attachment A to Resolution No. 2009-XXX

R
E
V
I
S
E
D

T
E
N
T
A
T
I
V
E

TMDL Element	Regulatory Provisions
	<p>applicable waste load allocations into effluent limitations for the minor and general NPDES permits by applying applicable engineering practices.</p> <p>Proposed Implementation Actions</p> <p><u>Non-Structural Best Management Practices</u></p> <p>The non-structural BMPs are based on the premise that specific land uses or critical sources can be targeted to achieve the TMDL waste load allocations. Available non-structural BMPs include better sediment control at construction sites and improved street cleaning by upgrading to vacuum type sweepers, storm drain cleaning, and public education and out reach. The lagoon is also impacted by irrigation runoff from the golf course located adjacent to the lagoon in the dry season. Improvements to the golf course operation should also be considered to protect lagoon resources by reducing watering needs and eliminating pesticide and herbicide use.</p> <p><u>Site-Specific Implementation Actions:</u> <u>The Regional Board does not prescribe the methods of achieving compliance with the TMDL allocations. However, described below are several implementation actions proposed by the responsible agencies.</u></p> <p><i>Relocation of the Termino Avenue Drain.</i></p> <p>One of the major system outfalls, the Termino Avenue Drain, has been proposed by the Los Angeles County Flood Control District to be modified, which will no longer discharge into the Lagoon. As proposed in the Los Angeles County Flood Control District Termino Avenue Drain Project (TADP) the drain would bypass the Lagoon and discharge stormwater flows into Marine Stadium. Dry weather flows will be diverted into the sanitary sewer system. This project would also redirect flows from three other storm drains located on the south shore of the Lagoon that currently discharge into the Lagoon.</p> <p><i>Low Flow Diversion and Trash Separation Device.</i></p> <p>The City of Long Beach proposed in the Colorado Lagoon Restoration Project to divert low storm drain flows from other three major storm drain system outfalls and install trash separation devices to trap trash and debris prior to entering the wet well for the diverted runoff. The Colorado Lagoon Restoration Project would redirect or treat low flows from these drains to minimize contamination to water and sediment.</p> <p><i>Vegetated Bioswale Installation.</i></p> <p>The flows from the remaining four local storm drains would be treated via a vegetated bioswale as proposed in the Colorado Lagoon Restoration Project. A bioswale would also be developed on the north shore between the Lagoon and</p>

Attachment A to Resolution No. 2009-XXX

R
E
V
I
S
E
D

T
E
N
T
A
T
I
V
E

TMDL Element	Regulatory Provisions
	<p>Recreation Park Golf Course. The vegetated bioswale would treat stormwater and dry weather runoff through filtration to remove sediment and pollutants prior to discharging into the Lagoon.</p> <p><i>Clean Culvert, Repair Tidal Gates, and Remove Sill/Structural Impedances.</i></p> <p>The Colorado Lagoon is connected to Alamitos Bay and the Pacific Ocean through an underground tidal culvert to Marine Stadium. The existing culvert has not been cleaned since it was built in the 1960s. The flow in the culvert is impeded by sediment that has accumulated on the bottom, extensive marine growth that has accumulated on the sides and ceiling, and debris that is trapped within the trash racks on the tide gate screens at both ends of the culvert. These existing conditions limit the Lagoon’s tidal range and tidal flushing, which results in increased degradation of water quality. As proposed in the Colorado Lagoon Restoration Project, the City of Long Beach plans to clean the existing culvert and trash racks, repair the tidal gates, and remove the sill and structural impedances within and around the existing culvert. Implementation of this component of the Colorado Lagoon Restoration Project would result in increased tidal range, tidal flushing, and water circulation, and improvement of water and sediment quality.</p> <p><i>Remove Contaminated Sediment in the Western Arm of the Lagoon.</i></p> <p>OC pesticides, PCBs, PAHs, and metals were deposited over time from the particulates in the runoff brought to the Lagoon through the existing storm drains. It is estimated that the layer of contaminated sediment reaches 4 to 5 ft deep. The City of Long Beach proposes to remove sediment to a depth of 6 ft to provide a safeguard that only clean sediment remains. The excavation depth gradually decreases toward the footbridge. This component of the Colorado Lagoon Restoration Project would remove approximately 16,000 cubic yards (cy) of contaminated sediment within the western arm of the Lagoon.</p> <p><i>Remove Contaminated Sediment in the Central Lagoon.</i></p> <p>Similar to the sediment removal project above, the Colorado Lagoon Restoration Project would remove sediment and sand that has eroded and been deposited into the Lagoon over years, and create a larger subtidal area. Approximately 5,500 cy of sediment would be removed from the central Lagoon. Sediment removal from the central area of the lagoon would create a channel through the center of the central Lagoon to connect the dredge areas in the western arm to the outlet at the existing culvert or proposed open channel. Removal of this sediment would also provide additional space for water circulation and tidal flushing.</p> <p>As proposed in the Colorado Lagoon Restoration Project, only the Western Arm and the Central Lagoon are planned to be dredged based on the recommendation from the Sediment Testing and Disposal Report. The TMDL monitoring program will determine if additional implementation actions such as dredging in the Northern Arm will be required to remove contaminated sediment in the Lagoon.</p> <p><i>Build Alternate Channel or Underground Culvert between Lagoon and Marine Stadium.</i></p>

Attachment A to Resolution No. 2009-XXX

TMDL Element	Regulatory Provisions
	<p>City is considering an open channel or parallel underground culvert option to further improve water quality at the Colorado Lagoon. However, this project was not included in the certified EIR. This proposed project consists of replacing the existing concrete box culvert with an open channel or new underground culvert that would run from the Lagoon through Marina Vista Park to Marine Stadium in a location generally parallel to the existing culvert. Creating an open channel or underground culvert would improve tidal flushing by an increase in the tidal range, and result in a corresponding improvement of water and sediment quality. In addition, it would provide improved flood flow conveyance.</p> <p>Implementation of the proposed actions should result in attainment of the TMDL allocations. If the proposed actions are not implemented or otherwise do not result in attainment of allocations, additional implementation actions shall be required.</p>

R
E
V
I
S
E
D

T
E
N
T
A
T
I
V
E

**Table 7-30.2 Colorado Lagoon OC Pesticides, PCBs, Sediment Toxicity, PAHs, and Metals TMDL:
Implementation Schedule**

Item	Implementation Action	Responsible Party	Date
1	Effective date of interim waste load allocations (WLAs).	The City of Long Beach, the Los Angeles County Flood Control District, and Caltrans	Effective date of the TMDL
2	Responsible jurisdictions agencies shall submit a monitoring plan to the Los Angeles Regional Board for Executive Officer approval.	The City of Long Beach, the Los Angeles County Flood Control District, and Caltrans	6 months after effective date of the TMDL
3	Responsible agencies jurisdictions shall begin monitoring as outlined in the approved monitoring plan.	The City of Long Beach, the Los Angeles County Flood Control District, and Caltrans	6 months after monitoring plan approved by E.O.
4	Responsible agencies jurisdictions shall submit annual reports to the Los Angeles Regional Board for review.	The City of Long Beach, the Los Angeles County Flood Control District, and Caltrans	15 months after monitoring starts and annually thereafter
5	Responsible agencies jurisdictions shall submit bi-annual progress reports to provide updates on the status of implementation actions performed under the TMDL. The plan shall contain mechanisms for demonstrating progress toward meeting the assigned WLAs.	The City of Long Beach, the Los Angeles County Flood Control District, and Caltrans	Every 2 years after effective date of the TMDL
6	Responsible agencies jurisdictions shall achieve WLAs.	The City of Long Beach, the Los Angeles County Flood Control District, and Caltrans	7 years after effective date of the TMDL

R
V
I
S
I
T
A
T
I
V
E