

2007 Triennial Review Priority List and Workplan

Rank	Issue Description	Estimated Basin Planning Staff Resources			
		FY 07-08	FY 08-09	FY 09-10	Total PYs
		[Bracketed resources are provided by programs other than Basin Planning]			
1	Adopt TMDL Implementation Strategies (add TMDL Action Plans for the Klamath, Elk, & Freshwater)	[3.0]	[3.0]	[3.0]	[9.0]
2	Complete Regionwide Excess Sediment Amendment	0.5	-	-	0.5
3	Complete Stream and Wetland System Policy	0.2 [1.0]	0.1 [0.8]	-	2.1
4	Complete Low Threat Discharge Amendment	0.4 [0.35]	0.05	-	0.8
5	Complete Editorial Amendment	0.3	0.1	-	0.4
6	Adopt Narrative Objective for Groundwater - Surface Water Policy (including update of groundwater objectives and implementation language)	-	0.3	0.3	0.6
7	Revise DO Objectives	[0.2]	[0.4]	-	0.6
8	Adopt Freshwater Bacteria Objectives		0.2	0.45	0.65
9	Update Policy on the Regulation of Fish Hatcheries, Fish Rearing Facilities, and Aquaculture Operations	[0.5]	[1.0]	[0.5]	2.45
10	Adopt Instream Flow Objective	[0.2]	[0.1]		0.3
11	Adopt Exemption Criteria for Restoration Projects	-	0.25	0.1	0.35
12	Adopt Policy for Mixing Zones	-	0.4	0.1	0.5
13	Reevaluate Temperature Objectives to Ensure Protection of Aquatic Life*	-	0.1	0.1	0.2
14	Update Beneficial Uses Chapter (Table 2.1)*	-	-	0.25	0.25
15	Consider Ammonia Objectives*	-	-	0.1	0.1

Rank	Issue Description	FY 07-08	FY 08-09	FY 09-10	Total PYs
16	Consider Update of Nutrient Objectives*				
17	Adopt Road Management Policy				
18	Designate Wild and Scenic River Segments as Outstanding National Resource Waters (ONRWs)				
19	Adopt Chlorine Objectives				
20	Adopt Biocriteria Objectives				
21	Evaluate Objective for Turbidity*				
22	Revise Onsite Wastewater Policy				
23	Revise Fluoride Water Quality Objectives				
24	Adopt Mercury Implementation Policy				
25	Consider Endocrine Disruptors and Objectives				
26	Revision to Herbicide Application Policy				
27	Address Composting Operations				
28	Consider Seasonal Beneficial Uses and Objectives				
29	Update Garcia River TMDL Action Plan				
	SUBTOTAL: Resources needed to address Triennial Review issues	6.65	7.0	5.15	18.8
	Resources used for conducting Triennial Review *	0.3	-	0.05	0.35
	Funding supported by TMDL Resources	3.4	3.5	3.0	9.9
	Funding supported by Core-Regulatory Resources	0.35	-	-	0.35
	Funding supported by External Resources	1.5	1.8	0.5	3.8
	<u>Total Triennial Review Resources Needed (1.7 available)</u> (Subtotal resources for Triennial Review, less resources provided by other funding).	1.7	1.7	1.7	5.1

Issues 1 – 15 = High Priority
Issues 16 – 22 = Medium Priority
Issues 23 – 29 = Low Priority

* research & investigation phase only
+ funds for conducting Triennial Review added to Subtotal
gray shading indicates available PY funding over 3 fiscal years