

From: "AndyKaufman" <AndyKaufman@comcast.net>
To: <lcllyde@waterboards.ca.gov>
Date: 1/22/2009 2:47 PM
Subject: Low Threat Discharge Amendment

Lauren Clyde

North Coast Regional Water Board

Before moving forward on the Low Threat Discharge Amendment, I urge you to include the following additions:

. Wastewater water quality monitoring needs to occur near the site and time of application. This would insure that high water quality will be maintained.

. It is necessary to define a maximum numerical amount for "incidental runoff"; cumulative effects of multiple incidents need to be considered and defined.

. Setbacks from creeks need to be required for wastewater irrigation; greater setbacks need to be defined for impaired creeks.

. No irrigation should be allowed on lawns that have been treated with pesticides, herbicides, and other soil additives.

. Real consequences need to be defined for multiple violations of wastewater irrigation rules.

. The Amendment needs to include a clause to reopen the Amendment as new information about unregulated and other contaminants becomes available.

I live downstream from Santa Rosa, and the effects of these "low threat" discharges directly affect me, both in my drinking water, and in my daily interactions with the Russian River. I am very concerned about the cumulative effects of such discharges.

Thank you,

Andrea D. Kaufman

14529 Redwood Lane

Guerneville, CA 95446

andykaufman@comcast.net