Revision Date: 03/20/07 Revision Number: 5 Page 1 of 38

Appendix F: KTWQP Nutrient, Phytoplankton, Periphyton and Algal Toxin SAP

AQUATIC RESEARCH INCORPORATED

3927 Aurora Ave. N. | Seattle, WA 98103 | (206) 632-2715

QUALITY ASSURANCE/QUALITY CONTROL PLAN

REFERENCES:

METHODS FOR THE DETERMINATION OF ORGANIC COMPOUNDS IN DRINKING WATER USEPA/EMSL DOCUMENT NUMBER EPA/600/4-88/039

> USEPA CONTRACT LABORATORY PROGRAM STATEMENT OF WORK FOR INORGANICS ANALYSIS DOCUMENT NUMBER ILM02.0

USEPA CONTRACT LABORATORY PROGRAM STATEMENT OF WORK FOR ORGANICS ANALYSIS DOCUMENT NUMBER OLM03.0

STANDARD METHODS FOR THE EXAMINATION OF WATER AND WASTEWATER 19^{TH} EDITION

CODE OF FEDERAL REGULATIONS (CFR) SECTION 40 - PROTECTION OF ENVIRONMENT REVISED AS OF JULY 1, 1993

MICROBIOLOGICAL METHODS FOR MONITORING THE ENVIRONMENT - WATER AND WASTES EPA 600/8-78-017

TEST METHODS FOR ESCHERICHIA COLI AND ENTEROCOCCI IN WATER BY THE MEMBRANE FILTER PROCEDURE EPA-600/4-85/076

> INTERIM GUIDELINES AND SPECIFICATIONS FOR PREPARING QUALITY ASSURANCE PROJECT PLANS EPA 600/4-83-004

Approval:_____

Steven Lazoff, Laboratory Manager

Revision Date: 03/20/07 Revision Number: 5 Page 2 of 38

TABLE OF CONTENTS

OVERVIEW OF THE QA PROGRAM Case File Assignment	
Field sampling	б
Sample login Procedures	7
Analytical Parameters and methods used	7
Analysis of QC samples	7
Reporting of QC Data and Analytical Data	8
Data Validation	8
QC PROCEDURES	
Standard Laboratory Practices	.0
Instrumentation and Maintenance1	.1
Standard Analytical Procedures	.4
Instrument Detection Limits (IDL)1	.4
Method Detection Limits (MDL)1	.4
Initial Calibration and Verification1	.4
Preparation Blank Analysis1	.5
Spiked Sample Analysis1	.5
Duplicate Sample Analysis1	.6
Specific Procedures for Graphite Furnace1	.6
Other Laboratory Procedures1	.7
Performance Evaluation (PE) Analysis1	.7
Certified Reference Materials1	.7
TABLES AND FIGURES1Table 1components of the case file1	
Table 2EPA CRDL & spike levels for metals2	20
Table 3containers, preservation, holding time2	!1
Table 4Analytical Parameters & methods2	2
Table 5Frequency of QC2	:6
Table 6List of current employees and titles2	27
Figure 1Inorganic Analysis Flowchart2	8
Figure 2Lab Performance QC control sample eval2	29
Figure 3Flow diagram for QC analysis	0
Figure 4Flow diagram for GFAA analysis3	1

Revision Date: 03/20/07 Revision Number: 5 Page 3 of 38

TABLE OF CONTENTS (continued)

general forms (from form_gen.xls)

1)BOTTLE ORDER FORM
2)Chain of Custody (20 samples)33
3)Chain of Custody (30 samples)34
4) DATA QC FORM
5) EMPLOYEE TIME SHEET
6) EMPLOYEE EVALUATION FORM
7)ERROR TRACKING
8) FAX FORM
9)FORM DC-1
10)FORM DC-2
11)LABORATORY PROGRESS REPORT43
12)NONCONFORMANCE MEMO/ ANALYTICAL44
13)NONCONFORMANCE MEMO/ SAMPLE RECEIVING45
14)REAGENT WATER LOGBOOK
15)Sample Control record47
16)SAMPLE CHECK-IN LIST48
17)SAMPLE DISPOSAL FORM49
18) TELEPHONE COMMUNICATION LOG
19)Temperature Logbook51
metal forms (from form_met.xls)
20) DIGESTION LOG
21) EP TOX EXTRACTION LOG53
22)Graphite Furnace runlog54
23)ICP Logbook
24)METALS STANDARD LOGBOOK
conventional forms (from form_con.xls)
25)% SOLIDS DATA
26)SPECTROPHOTOMETER WORKSHEET
27) TITRATION WORKSHEET
28) FATS/OILS/GREASE/TPH WORKSHEET

29)FLUORIDE ANALYSIS [ELECTRODE] WORKSHEET61
30)TOC standards Logbook62
31)CHLOROPHYLL ANALYSIS63
32)REAGENTS LOGBOOK
33)PARTICLE SIZE FORM65
34)CONDUCTIVITY
35)TURBIDITY
organics forms (from form_org.xls)
36)Semivolatile Standards Logbook68
37)Volatile Standards Logbook
38)DFTPP Performance Criteria70
39)GC/MS maintenance logbook
40)GC/MS runlog72
41)GC/MS solvent logbook73
Biological forms (from form_bio.xls)
42)MICROBIOL WORKSHEET74
43)MPN WORKSHEET
44)BOD WORKSHEET
Nutrients forms (from form_nut.xls)
45)AUTOANALYZER RUNLOG77

QUALITY ASSURANCE/QUALITY CONTROL (QA/QC) PLAN

The QA/QC Program employed by Aquatic Research, Incorporated is based upon procedures developed for use in the Environmental Protection Agency's Contract Laboratory Program (CLP) for Organics and Inorganics. The program outlined here is essentially the same as that currently in use by CLP. While the focus of CLP is for the analysis of specific contaminants, the same practices and procedures apply to all analytical work conducted by Aquatic Research.

Quality Assurance (QA) can be defined as the set of all activities which ensure that reported laboratory results:

- accurately reflect actual sample concentrations;
- are of known acceptable quality; and
- are legally defensible.

Quality Control (QC) is used here to define those procedures used in the laboratory to define and hold in control those variables that will impact the reliability of the laboratory result.

Revision Date: 03/20/07 Revision Number: 5 Page 5 of 38

The primary function of our QA/QC program is to provide a uniform basis for evaluation and documentation of the analytical methods and procedures for data reduction and reporting as used in our laboratory. Our goal is to provide the client with accurate results and a clearly traceable and unequivocal record of the procedures used to obtain the reported concentrations. A flow chart showing the components of the analytical scheme used by Aquatic Research is presented in Figure 1.

Generally, the QA Program of Aquatic Research includes the following:

- Development of, and strict adherence to, principles of good laboratory practice.
- Consistent usage of Standard Operating Procedures (SOP's).
- Use of reliable and well-maintained equipment.
- Employment of appropriate calibrations and standards.
- Maintenance and oversight of all operations by management and senior personnel.
- Training of all personnel in the procedures specified in analytical methods and SOP's (carried out by senior staff).
- Training of all personnel in specific QA/QC procedures (carried out by senior staff and the QA Manager.

OVERVIEW OF THE QA PROGRAM

Case File Assignment

Our QA program begins with the Agreement for Analytical Services (see figure 1) in which the sampling and analytical requirements for the requested parameters and matrices will be identified. This will include a definition of the Contract Required Detection Limits (CRDL) for each requested parameter. For purposes of sample tracking and document control, Aquatic Research will establish a Case File for each contracted project and/or for each batch of samples received for laboratory Each Case File will be given a unique alphanumeric address, analysis. which will identify the client and sequential job number for that client. Case File numbers will be assigned at the time of agreement for analytical services and cross referenced to a client project identifier. Hard copy of all correspondence and documentation related to the project or batch of samples will be including in the Case File. The Case File will be maintained by Aquatic Research and delivered to the client upon request or at the time of submission of the final analytical report. Components of the Case File are listed in Table 1. Current CLP detection limits are listed in Table 2.

Field Sampling

Aquatic Research may have little input regarding the sample collection process except to identify the required maximum holding times for the requested parameters and the required sample size (volumes/weights), containers and preservation techniques. While we may have little

Revision Date: 03/20/07 Revision Number: 5 Page 6 of 38

control over sample collection, we will require certain basic information regarding all samples received for analysis. This information must be contained on a sample traffic report, chain-of-custody form, letter of transmittal or any similar document routinely used by the client for the transport and delivery of laboratory samples. The minimum required information will include: the client name and project identifier (or Case File Number); the date/time of sample collection, the date/time of sample shipment; a unique sample identification number, matrix identifier and requested parameters for each sample contained in the shipment (see example Chain-of-Custody form).

Guidelines for sample containers, preservation techniques and holding times for the various analyses conducted in our laboratory are presented in Table 3. In general, samples will be analyzed as soon as possible after collection and within the time specified in the aforementioned table. The client will be notified if the holding time for any parameter has been exceeded and the resulting data will be flagged "HTE" in the analytical report.

Samples shipped by Common Carrier or in the Mail must comply with Department of Transportation Hazardous Materials Regulations (49 CFR Part 172). Relative to preservation techniques listed in Table 2, the Hazardous Materials Regulations do not apply to the following:

- Hydrochloric acid (Hal) in water solutions at concentrations < 0.04% by weight (pH >1.95);
- Nitric acid (HNO₃) in water solutions at concentrations < 0.15% by weight (pH >1.52);
- Sulfuric acid (H₂SO₄) in water solutions at concentrations < 0.35% by weight (pH >1.15);
- Sodium hydroxide (Noah) in water solutions at concentrations < 0.08% by weight (pH <12.3).

Sample Login Procedures

Upon receipt of a sample shipment, Aquatic Research will complete the sample login procedure. This will include: recording of the date/time of receipt; reconciliation of client project number with the Case File Number; reconciliation of samples received with samples listed on the transport delivery document; evaluation of sample container condition; verification that holding times for the requested parameters have not been exceeded; and verification that proper procedures for sample storage and preservation have been employed. Login data will be entered on standardized forms including the Sample Check-in List and the Chain- of-Custody Form (see example forms), signed by the individual receiving the samples and placed into the Case File along with the transport/delivery Discrepancies or problems will be noted on the login forms, documents. and on the Nonconformance Memo, and then the client will be immediately notified for resolution of the problem.

Analytical Parameters and methods used

Revision Date: 03/20/07 Revision Number: 5 Page 7 of 38

Once samples have been logged in, the process of sample analysis may begin. The analytical methods and method numbers employed by Aquatic Research are shown in Table 4. The parameters listed in that table are analyzed in strict accordance to the protocols outlined in this QA manual and to the accompanying Standard Operating Procedures.

Analysis of QC Samples

In order to evaluate the quality of data, the end-user must be able to be assured that the lab was doing quality work at the time the data was generated. Aquatic Research uses a combination of Laboratory Control Samples (LCS), preparation and reagent blanks and calibration checks to monitor the laboratory's day-to day performance of routine analytical parameters.

An LCS consists of a standard control matrix, which is spiked with a group of target compounds representative of the method analyses. The LCS is analyzed with environmental samples to provide evidence that the laboratory is performing within accepted QC guidelines during the data collection event. The frequencies in which the LCS, prep. Blanks and other QC samples are analyzed for various regulatory requirements are listed in Table 5.

Revision Date: 03/20/07 Revision Number: 5 Page 8 of 38

Lass's are analyzed in duplicate, and the recovery and the relative percent difference for each of the analyses are compared to established control limits. This data, in conjunction with reagent blank data, is used to monitor daily performance. If precision and accuracy are within acceptable limits, and blank values are acceptable, the laboratory is said to be "in control" during data generation. If the control samples are outside of acceptable limits, or blank values are unacceptable, then the laboratory is considered to be "out of control", and data generated with those control samples are considered suspect. This situation triggers an investigation and possible corrective action in the laboratory. This process is shown in Figure 2.

Reporting of QC Data and Analytical Data

Most monitoring programs do not specify that any QC data be reported with the analytical data. As a result, many times it is virtually impossible for the end-user to evaluate the quality of the data that one receives. One program that is notable for the "deliverables" required is EPA/CLP, which requires all QC plus all raw data, generated during the analytical process. While some information above and beyond a table of analytical results is needed to evaluate data quality, it is debatable that the average user of environmental data has the expertise to use and evaluate a data package, which includes all of the raw data.

As discussed above in the section on Case File Assignment and below in Table 1, Aquatic Research has instituted a policy of reporting the following information with each data package:

- Case narrative commenting on any anomalies encountered during sample preparation or analysis.
- Analytical results, reported with appropriate significant figures.
- Instrument or method detection limits.
- Method used.
- Dates of sample receipt, sample digestion or other preparation and analysis.
- Results of prep or reagent blanks.
- Results of LCS or other QC check samples.
- Results of matrix and analytical spikes.
- Results of digestion or analytical duplicate analyses.

The majority of the time, this data package gives the end-user enough information with which to evaluate data quality and usability. In addition, this information is supported by extensive documentation kept

Revision Date: 03/20/07 Revision Number: 5 Page 9 of 38

on file in the laboratory, and is available to the end-user on request. This documentation includes:

- All sample receipt and tracking documentation.
- All bench sheets associated with sample preparation.
- All raw data from environmental and QC samples.
- All calibration data associated with the samples.
- Standard Operating Procedures, which define, each step of the analytical process.

Data Validation

Data Validation begins with the processing of the raw data to a reduced form and continues to the reporting of the analytical results. The following steps shall be used to validate all data:

- Initial Data Reduction: Except for a few tests, including trace metals analyses, all raw data is reduced on a computer using a spreadsheet program (Excel or 1-2-3). The analyst is to follow the data reduction SOP explicitly for all data. The QA Manager is to check 10% of the data reduced by the laboratory employees.
- 2. Final Data Review: The Laboratory or QA Manager has the responsibility for reviewing all data for completeness and to insure that all associated QC data has been verified.
- 3. Review of Data Reporting: After a draft report is prepared, the reported results are to be checked against the reviewed processed data so that transcription errors do not occur. As the QA and Laboratory Manager have the responsibility of preparing data reports, it is these individuals' responsibility to follow the guidelines outlined in the Data Reporting SOP.
- 4. Final Approval: once the Laboratory Manager has checked the draft report, the QA Manager signs the report. A cover page is included, signed by the Laboratory Manager who authorizes the release of the data.

QC PROCEDURES

Quality Control activities begin with: laboratory personnel; standard laboratory practices for cleanliness with respect to distilled water, reagents and lab ware; and proper instrument operation, calibration and maintenance. QC culminates in those procedures employed during the analytical run to ensure that the reported values accurately reflect the actual sample values within constraints imposed by instrument detection limits and sample matrices.

The laboratory practices and analytical procedures used by Aquatic Research are summarized and documented in our manual of Standard Operating Procedures (SOP's). This manual provides step-by-step

Revision Date: 03/20/07 Revision Number: 5 Page 10 of 38

instructions for all laboratory practices and is the basic working document for our analysts, thus insuring consistency between analysts and analytical runs. The SOP's are revised and updated, as necessary, by the laboratory director or QA manager.

Laboratory Personnel

Current laboratory personnel include the Laboratory Director, the Quality Assurance Manager, analytical chemists, and laboratory technicians. These individuals have been trained in all phases of the analytical work being conducted in our laboratory and are under the immediate supervision of the Laboratory Director. All laboratories supervisory personnel currently employed by Aquatic Research hold college degrees in Science and have a minimum of 5 years experience in Standard Laboratory Operations and Environmental Chemistry. The Laboratory Director oversees the daily analytical operations, provides training in new techniques and instrumentation and, in conjunction with the QA Manager, inspects all raw data and conducts all data reduction and reporting.

Aquatic Research recognizes that the role of the individual analyst is of utmost importance in fulfilling the goals of its QA/QC Plan. New personnel will satisfy basic requirements of at least a Bachelors Degree in Environmental Science-Chemistry and/or a minimum of 2 years experience/training in Analytical Chemistry. New analytical personnel will receive thorough instruction in the analytical and quality assurance procedures used by Aquatic Research before they are allowed to analyze client samples. The Laboratory Director and the Quality Assurance Manager will provide this instruction. Additionally, once trained, all laboratory personnel will have specific Standard Operating Procedures (SOP's) that are to be explicitly followed. These SOP's outline all details of laboratory operation from sample receipt to data reporting. Personnel are assigned additional duties only as the Laboratory or QA Manager feels that an individual can master the new tasks. Therefore, senior personnel continuously monitor the performance of individual analysts.

A list of employees and their job titles are provided in Table 6.

Standard Laboratory Practices

A requirement for trace level analysis is the provision of contaminant free distilled water, reagents and glassware. In recognition of the importance of this requirement, Aquatic Research has implemented the following procedures:

1. Our reagent water source consists of 2 de-ionization canisters, an activated charcoal canister, and a 0.2-micrometer filtration system. This water is used for the cleaning of glassware. Passing through 4 de-ionization cartridges before the final 0.2-micrometer filter further purifies the de-ionized water. This provides the laboratory with water equivalent to ASTM Type II Grade Water with an electrical resistance of 12-mega ohms/cm or more. This grade water is used as reagent water and as a final rinse of critical glassware. Resistively is monitored daily by all laboratory personnel at time of use, and must pass minimum resistively of 12 me ohms/cm.

Revision Date: 03/20/07 Revision Number: 5 Page 11 of 38

- 2. Analytical Reagent (AR) Grade reagents and solvents are used exclusively for the analyses conducted in our laboratory. All reagents and standards are prepared with distilled/demonized water and are checked by calibration blanks. Individual methods generally specify which reagents require frequent standardization or close attention to holding time. The analyst conducting a particular analytical run is thoroughly familiar with these requirements and explicitly follows procedures. Commercially prepared reagents and standards are used when it is demonstrated that they meet the method requirements (verified by calibration blanks).
- 3. The various types of glass and plastic ware used in the laboratory serve three basic functions: sample and reagent storage, measurement and mixing of solution volumes, and confinement of reactions. The type of labware used is dependent upon the intended function. In general, Aquatic Research uses borosilicate glass (Pyrex, Kimax) containers for reagent and standard preparation and storage. Glass and polypropylene containers are used for sample storage. Borosilicate glass, ceramic or Teflon containers are used for digestion and reaction vessels. Lab ware with removable parts (e.g. burettes and separatory funnels) is equipped with Teflon stopcocks.
- 4. Glassware used in the laboratory is segregated on the basis of intended analytical use. This helps to prevent cross- contamination problems and facilitates cleaning operations.
 - Glassware reserved for nutrient analysis is cleaned with soap and hot water, then tap water, then rinsed with 1:1 hydrochloric acid and followed by five rinses with de-ionized water.
 - Glassware intended for metals analysis is washed as per nutrients glassware, except that the acid rinse is with 1:1 nitric acid.
 - Glassware reserved for semi-volatile organics is rinsed with the last solvent used, then rinsed with <u>cold</u> tap water. Cold water is essential so that the glassware washer is not overwhelmed by vaporized solvent from the previous step. The glassware is then washed with soap and <u>hot</u> water, rinsed 5 times with de-ionized water, and then allowed to drip dry. Non-volumetric glassware that has no ground glass may be muffled at 550°C. Glassware that is stored is sealed with aluminum foil. Immediately prior to next use, the analyst must rinse the glassware with the next solvent to be used.
 - Glassware reserved for volatile organics is washed with soap and water, then rinsed 5 times with de-ionized water. It is then rinsed with reagent water (de-ionized water that has been boiled and purged with nitrogen). Some non-volumetric glassware, such as the purging device, may be dried in an oven at 105°C. Sample vials should be muffled at 550°C. Glassware used in the preparation of standards or high concentration samples, including syringes and volumetric flasks/vials, should be rinsed with the same solvent used (usually methanol).
 - Glassware reserved for microbiology is washed as per nutrients glassware, except no acid rinse, then autoclaved.

Revision Date: 03/20/07 Revision Number: 5 Page 12 of 38

- Cleaned glassware is allowed to drip dry and then stored inverted in closed dust-free cabinets. Only phosphate-free detergents are used for glassware cleaning. This means, <u>generally</u>, that glassware will be cleaned with detergent solutions (Alconox or NoChromix) and then thoroughly rinsed with hot tap water, dilute acids and de-ionized water.
- 5. Lab ware used for sample collection and storage is cleaned immediately after use and periodically checked for presence of contamination by running blank determinations on randomly selected vessels from within a given lot. If evidence of contamination is indicated, the entire lot will be cleaned with the appropriate solutions and reevaluated.

Instrumentation and Maintenance

The analytical laboratory is heavily dependent upon advanced and sophisticated instrumentation. This instrumentation represents a significant capital cost. The quality of the analytical result and the smooth and efficient operation of the laboratory (avoidance of down time) depend upon its proper operation and maintenance. Our laboratory presently includes the following instruments:

Organics Department (GC,GC/MS)

Purge and Trap System

OI Analytical model 4560 Purge and Trap Sample Concentrator. This unit is equipped with a cryofocussing module (model CFM), infrared sample heater, and Cyclone water management system.
PATTI II software.
5 ml fritted purge vessels and 25 ml fritted purge vessels.
.53 mm ID DB-1 precolumn on CFM, OI Analytical, part# 226134.
Carrier gas inlet operated under electronic pressure control from the GC/MS system.
#9 Trap (Tenax / Silica Gel / Charcoal), OI Analytical, part# 219972
Trap is 11 3/4 inch long (29.8 cm), packed according to EPA specifications, having equal lengths of Tenax (0.24 g), Silica gel (0.47 g), and Charcoal (0.2 g). The outer diameter of the trap is 1/8" (0.125" =

3.2 mm), and the inner diameter of the trap is 0.106" (2.7 mm).

Semivolatile Injection System Hewlett-Packard 7673A controller. Hewlett-Packard 7673 GC/SFC injector. Hewlett-Packard G1296A bar code reader.

GC system

Hewlett-Packard 5890 series II Gas Chromatograph with Electronic Pressure Control (EPC). J+W DB-5MS column, 30 meters, .25mm ID, 1 micron film, catalog#122-5533 (volatiles by cryofocussing). Hewlett-Packard HP-624 column, 25 meters, .20 mm ID, 1.12 micron film, catalog#19091V-402 (volatiles without cryofocussing). Hewlett-Packard HP-5MS column, 30 meters, .25mm ID, .25 micron film, catalog#19091S-433 (Semivolatiles) Hewlett-Packard 6890 series Gas Chromatograph with Electronic Pressure Control (EPC), FID detector.

<u>MS system</u> Hewlett-Packard 5971 Mass Selective Detector.

Data system PENTIUM COMPUTER Hewlett-Packard G1034C Chemstation software. NIST/EPA/NIH Mass Spectral Library containing 75,000 compounds.

Revision Date: 03/20/07 Revision Number: 5 Page 13 of 38

EnviroQuant G1032C software. Microsoft Windows 98 Microsoft Excel 97

Accessories Hewlett-Packard 59822B ionization gauge controller Humonics model 520 Digital Flowmeter

Helium Supply Helium, high purity, 99.995% Glass Indicating Moisture Trap, 100 cc, 1/8" fitting, Hewlett-Packard part# 3150-0532. Oxygen Trap, 1/8" fitting, Hewlett-Packard part# 3150-0414. 1/8" solvent-rinsed copper tubing, Hewlett-Packard part# 5180-4196.

Extraction Equimpment Varian Filtration Manifold for Solid Phase Extraction Separatory funnels Sonicator (see Conventionals Department) Horizon Technologies Solid Phase Extractors (SPE-DEX 4790)

Organics Department (TOC)

Shimadzu TOC-Vcph Total Organic Carbon Analyzer (computer controlled, autosampler) Shimadzu TOC-5000 Solid Sample Module

Metals Department

Leeman Labs model PRODIGY ICP SIMULTANEOUS atomic emission spectrometer (Eschelle monochromator, computer controlled, autosampler)

Leeman Labs model PS1000 ICP atomic emission spectrometer (Eschelle monochromator, computer controlled, autosampler) Hitachi model Z-9000 graphite furnace spectrometer (4 channel, Zeeman background corrected, computer controlled, autosampler) Leeman Labs model PS200 automated mercury analyzer (computer controlled, autosampler and cold vapor/hydride generation attachment)

Aquatic Research model 1 TCLP extractor (using Dayton model 5K941A split phase gearmotor) SCP SCIENCE BLOCK DIGESTOR Hot Plates

Corning model PC-500 Hot Plate Thermolyne model HP47135-60 Cimarec 3 Hot Plate

Nutrients Department

2 Alpkem autoanalyzer (2 channel, computer controlled, autosampler)

model 301	Sampler
model 302	Micro Pump
model 303	Analytical Cartridge Module
model 304	Power Module
model 305	Photometer
model 305	Photometer
Alpkem autoanalyzer (3 C	HANNEL)
model 501	XYZ Sampler
model 502	Pump
model 503	Cartridge Heater
model 509	Power Distribution Module
model 510	Monochromator Detector
model 510	Monochromator Detector
model 510	Monochromator Detector
model 511	Dilutor
model 512	Valve Module

Labconco model 60011, catalog#60300 micro-Kjeldahl digestors (2 of them)

Conventionals and General Department

Revision Date: 03/20/07 Revision Number: 5 Page 14 of 38

Drying ovens and muffle furnace Humboldt drying oven GCA corp. model Precision Mechanical Convection Oven Neytech model 85M muffle furnace

Incubators

GCA Corp. Precision Scientific model Thelco Incubator Boekel Industries catalog#132000 Incubator General Electric refrigerator, with Hach model 2597A Incutrol/2 (for BOD analysis) Boekel Industries water bath.

Balances A&D model FX-320 Electronic Balance A&D model HA-180M Electronic Balance A&D model EK-120A Electronic Balance Mettler model H80 Balance

<u>Spectrophotometers</u> 2 Hitachi model U-2000 UV-VIS Spectrophotometer (computer controlled) Hitachi model F-1200 Fluorescence Spectrophotometer Buck Scientific model 404 Total Hydrocarbon Analyzer

<u>Centrifuges</u> VWR Scientific Model Super-Minor Fisher Scientific Model 228

<u>Mixers</u> Sensaur catalog#V73052A Lab Mixer Thermolyne model M37615 Maxi Mix II

<u>Miscellaneous</u> Jenco model 6071 pH meter Hach model 2100A Turbidimeter Sonicor model UPP-400 Sonicator Heat Systems sonicator. Tuttnauer model 2340 autoclave Amber Scientific model 604 Conductivity Meter Gast model DOA-P104-AA Pumps for Filtration (2 of them)

In order to protect our investment in equipment and to ensure the quality of work, our analysts are trained to have an in depth understanding of the fundamental operating characteristics of these instruments and an awareness of how to detect equipment malfunction and when to call in more expert help. All instruments are routinely calibrated before and during use and are subject to scheduled maintenance and inspection. Maintenance and monitoring records are kept with each instrument as well as the Standard Operating Procedures and the manufacturers operating manual.

Standard Analytical Procedures

Standard Analytical Procedures are those techniques, which are used before and during a routine analytical run for instrument calibration and verification and an analysis of accuracy and precision with respect to the "true" sample values. All data acquired during an analytical run (instrument set-up, calibration and sample data) are recorded by the analyst on standardized worksheets for each type of analysis (see example forms). These forms are maintained in an analytical run file and

Revision Date: 03/20/07 Revision Number: 5 Page 15 of 38

submitted to the Laboratory Director and QA Manager for review, data reduction and entry to the appropriate Case File. Overall tracking the results of verification standards analysis monitors analytical performance. These results are reviewed monthly by the QA Manager and control charts showing average percent deviations over time with respect to established control limits are plotted. These are reviewed with the laboratory director and analysts to identify consistent trends and rectify potential problem areas.

Quality Control is an integral part of the analytical sequence, not an "after-thought". Successful implementation is dependent upon the individual analyst. Our analysts are thoroughly trained in, and apply, the following procedures and protocol.

A flow chart for QC analysis during a routine analytical run is shown in Figure 2. The terminology and procedures follow those used for the CLP.

Instrument Detection Limit (IDL)

An IDL is determined for each parameter and is evaluated relative to the contract required detection limits (CRDL). The IDL is determined as 3 times the average of the standard deviations obtained from the analysis of a standard solution (verification standards) at a concentration of 3 to 5 times the IDL.

An analytical method may be used as long as the IDL meets the CRDL. Higher detection levels may be used if the sample concentration exceeds 5 X the IDL.

Method Detection Limit (MDL)

Method Detection Limits are determined according to the 40 CFR Part 136, Appendix B item 6A. It is requested that no fewer than seven replicates be used to determine the MDL.

Initial Calibration and Verification

The following is an example of initial calibration for metals. Please refer to the SOP's for further details on specific analyses including organics, nutrients, etc.

Instrument calibration is conducted daily or each time the instrument is set up for an analytical run. A 4 to 9-point calibration (a calibration blank and standards) using the same type of acid(s) and at the same concentrations used for the samples will be used. Standards will be selected to cover an appropriate linear range with one standard at the CRDL. Results for the standards must be within ± 5% of the true value. If the values do not fall within this range, re-calibration is necessary.

Following initial calibration, calibration verification will be conducted. Calibration verification is conducted by analyzing an Initial Calibration Verification (ICV) standard (a standard obtained from a source other than that used for the calibration) at a concentration other than that used for the calibration curve but within the range of determination. ICV results are compared to EPA control limits of 90 to 110% of the "true" value. An out-of-control condition requires recalibration and reverification.

Revision Date: 03/20/07 Revision Number: 5 Page 16 of 38

A calibration blank is run after the ICV and then again with each subsequent Continuing Calibration Verification (CCV) standard. If the absolute value of the calibration blank exceeds the CRDL, the analysis is stopped and the instrument recalibrated.

Continuing Calibration Verification consists of the analysis of a midrange standard and a calibration blank at a frequency of 10% (1 in 10) or every 2 hours during the run and then again after the completion of the run. CCV results are compared to EPA control limits of 90 to 110% of the "true" value. An out-of-control condition requires instrument recalibration and reanalysis of the preceding 10 samples.

Preparation Blank Analysis

The following is an example of preparation blank requirements for metals. Please refer to the SOP's for further details on specific analyses including organics, nutrients, bacteria, etc.

At least 1 Preparation Blank (PB) will be processed through each sample preparation procedure at a frequency of 5% (1 in 20) or with each batch of samples digested. The PB is used to evaluate possible contamination through the preparation/digestion process as follows:

- If the concentration of the PB is less than the CRDL, the analysis proceeds;
- If the concentration of the PB is greater than the CRDL then the concentration of the least concentrated sample in the batch associated with that blank must be 10X the PB concentration. All associated samples less than 10X the PB concentration will be redigested and reanalyzed.

Spiked Sample Analysis

The following is an example of matrix spiking requirements for metals. Please refer to the SOP's for further details on specific analyses including organics, nutrients, etc.

Spiked Sample Analysis is used to provide information about the effect of the sample matrix upon digestion and analytical method. The spike is added before digestion at a frequency of 5% (1 in 20) or for each matrix type contained within a sample batch. Levels for spike addition for a given parameter are derived from CLP procedures and are shown in Table 2.

Spike recoveries (% Recovery) are computed as:

```
% Recovery =[(SSR - SR)/SA]X100
```

Where: SSR = Spiked Sample Result SR = Sample Result SA = Spike Added

If spike recoveries are not within the range of 75 - 125 % the data on all samples associated with that spike and determined by the same analytical procedure will be flagged with the letter "N" to indicate possible matrix problems. If the sample concentration is > 4X the spike concentration, the data are not flagged.

For trace metals analysis by ICP and Flame AA, if the predigest spike recovery falls outside of the control limits of 75 - 125 % and the sample

Revision Date: 03/20/07 Revision Number: 5 Page 17 of 38

concentration is \leq 4X the spike concentration, then a post-digest spike will be conducted. Post-digest spikes are performed at a concentration of 2X the ambient level or CRDL, whichever is greater.

Duplicate Sample Analysis

Duplicate sample analyses are conducted in order to evaluate the precision of the analytical procedure. Duplicate samples are analyzed for each batch of samples at a frequency of 5% (1 in 20). Duplicates are not averaged for reporting purposes but are used solely to evaluate precision. Precision is expressed as the Relative Percent Difference (RPD) and is calculated as follows:

| D1 - D2 | RPD = ----- X 100 (D1 + D2) / 2 Where: D1 = original value

D2 = duplicate value

If the sample values are \geq 5X the CRDL, an RPD control limit of ± 20% is used for evaluation. If the sample values are < 5X CRDL, a control limit of ± CRDL is used.

If the duplicate samples are outside the control limits, all samples associated with that duplicate analysis (matrix type or batch) will be flagged with a "*" to indicate possible precision problems.

Specific Procedures for Graphite Furnace Analysis

The analysis of metals by Graphite Furnace Atomic Absorption Analysis (GFAA) requires special consideration related to matrix and analytical interferences. The basic consideration is the procedures by which the raw data will be quantitated: Standard Calibration Curve or Method of Standard Additions (MSA). A Flow Diagram for GFAA work is presented in Figure 3.

All furnace samples consist of duplicate injections for which our Hitachi four channel Zeeman background corrected system outputs the mean, the standard deviation and the relative standard deviation (RSD or coefficient of variation).

Analysis proceeds with standard calibration curve and calibration verification procedures (Figure 4). The Preparation Blank and Verification Standard are given analytical spikes (post-digest spikes), which are evaluated relative to a recovery range of 85 - 115 %. An out-of-control condition requires a rerun of the spikes and evaluation of the system.

Samples and analytical spikes (at 2X CRDL) are prepared and run. Duplicate injections must agree within 20% RSD (for samples quantitated above the detection limit) or the sample is rerun and flagged with "M" if the readings are still out. The analyses must fall within the calibration range or the sample will be diluted and rerun.

Revision Date: 03/20/07 Revision Number: 5 Page 18 of 38

If spike recovery is less than 40 %, the sample will be diluted (factor of 5 to 10), respiked and rerun. If recovery is still less than 40% the data will be flagged with an "E" to indicate interference problems. If spike recovery is greater than 40% and the sample absorbance is less than 50% of the spike absorbance, the results are reported to the Instrument Detection Limit (IDL) and flagged with a "W" dependent upon level of spike recovery.

If the sample absorbance is greater than 50% of the spike absorbance and spike recovery is within the range of 85 to 115%, the calibration curve is used for quantitation of the data. If spike recovery is not within the range of 85 to 115%, the Method of Standard Additions (MSA) will be used for quantitation.

The MSA analysis requires the preparation of three standard addition spikes at levels of approximately 50, 100 and 150% of the sample absorbance. These additions must fall within the linear range of analysis for the calibration curve. Least squares regression is run on the results of the standard additions and the regression equation is used to compute the sample value. Dependent upon the correlation coefficient (r), the results will be flagged with either an "s" or "s+".

Other Laboratory Procedures

Please refer to the Standard Operating Procedures (SOP's) for all other inorganic and organic Procedures.

Performance Evaluation (PE) Analysis

Aquatic Research participates in the Washington State Department of Ecology Environmental Assessment Program. In conjunction with this program, we receive WS & WP Performance Evaluation (PE) samples from Analytical Products Group, Inc (APG, Inc., Belpre, Ohio). These samples are analyzed semi-annually and include, but are not limited to, the following trace metals: arsenic, barium, cadmium, chromium, lead, mercury, selenium, silver, copper, antimony, beryllium, nickel, thallium, boron, aluminum, manganese, molybdenum, zinc. Also included are trihalomethanes, volatile organic compounds, residual free chlorine, turbidity, total filterable residue, calcium, pH, alkalinity, corrosivity, sodium, sulfate, total cyanide, nitrate, and fluoride.

Certified Reference Materials

In order to be certain that all analytical systems are "in-control", it is necessary that all reagents used for calibration verification and LCS's be of a known, verified source independent of reagents used for initial calibration purposes. It is the policy of Aquatic Research to use Standard Reference Materials and other Certified Reference Materials from reputable organizations for use as these critical QC samples. Some of these sources include:

NIST (source of solid LCS for trace metals analysis).

SPEX (source of calibration verification standards for ICP, GFAA, and CVAA spectroscopy).

Revision Date: 03/20/07 Revision Number: 5 Page 19 of 38

APG (source of calibration verification standards for ICP, GFAA, and CVAA spectroscopy as well as nutrients and conventional parameters).

ERA (source of liquid LCS for trace metals analysis).

The SOP's for the organics department includes suggested sources for common standards. Additionally, there is an SOP entitled "Preparation of Organic Standards" which gives very detailed procedures for preparation, documentation, etc. of organic standards to give full traceability to the original source.

TABLE 1. DATA RETAINED BY THE LABORATORY

- 1. Analytical request/quotation and all correspondence related to sample analysis.
- 2. Transport/delivery document(s), sample login form.
- 3. Analytical raw data and laboratory worksheets.
 - 3.1. Information will include extraction logs, digestion logs and instrument readouts showing the following QC data:
 - 3.2. Instrument calibration (multiple point Standard Curve run at the beginning of sample run).
 - 3.3. Initial and continuing calibration verification (independent standards) to include calibration blanks processed at a frequency of 10 % or every two hours during the run (for metals) or as appropriate to the specific analysis.
 - 3.4. Preparation blanks (prepared with every 20 samples received or with each batch of samples digested or extracted).
 - 3.5. Spiked sample analysis (1 per sample lot/matrix or at a frequency of 5%).
 - 3.6. Duplicate sample analysis (1 per sample lot/matrix or at a frequency of 5%).
 - 3.7. Analysis by Method of Standard Additions (if applicable).
 - 3.8. Instrument Detection Limits (IDLs), summary of quarterly determinations.
- 4. Tabulated Analytical Results

Revision Date: 03/20/07 Revision Number: 5 Page 20 of 38

- 4.1. Reported sample concentrations and associated QA data (Preparation Blanks, Sample Spikes and Sample Duplication) by client identification number (micrograms/liter or milligrams/liter for aqueous samples, milligrams/kilogram dry weight for solid samples).
- 4.2. Summary of Spiked and Duplicate sample analysis, analysis date and method, blank result, and result of independent calibration verification check standard.

4.3. Case narrative indicating any problems with the receipt or analysis of the samples.

TABLE 2. EPA CONTRACT REQUIRED DETECTION LIMITS (CRDL) AND RECOMMENDED SPIKING LEVELS FOR SPIKED SAMPLE ANALYSIS OF METALS

ELEMENT	CRDL (ppb)	SPI ICP	KING LEVEL	S (ppb) FURNACE	AA	
	(222)	WATER	SEDIMENT		SEDIMENT	OTHER
Aluminum	200	2000	NR	_	_	
Antimony	60	500	500	100	100	
Arsenic	10	2000	2000	40	40	
Barium	200	2000	2000	_	_	
Beryllium	5	50	50	_	_	
Cadmium	5	50	50	5	5	
Calcium	5000	NR	NR			
Chromium	10	200	200	_	_	
Cobalt	50	500	500	-	-	
Copper	25	250	250	-	-	
Iron	100	1000	NR	-	-	
Lead	5	500	500	20	20	
Magnesium	5000	NR	NR			
Manganese	15	500	500	-	-	
Mercury	0.2					1
Molybdenum						
Nickel	40	500	500	-	-	
Potassium	5000	NR	NR			
Selenium	5	2000	2000	10	10	
Silicon						
Silver	10	50	50	-	-	
Sodium	5000	NR	NR			
Thallium	10	2000	2000	50	50	
Vanadium	50	500	500	-	-	
Zinc	20	500	500	-	-	

Reference: ILM02.0 page C-1 for CRDL ILM02.0 page E-20 for spiking levels

Elements without spike levels and not designated as **NR** (not required) must be spiked at appropriate levels.

The spike levels shown for sediments indicate concentrations in the final digestate of the spiked sample (100 ml for mercury and 200 ml for all other metals) when the wet weight of 1 gram (for ICP, Furnace, and Flame AA), or 0.2 grams (for mercury) of sample is taken for analysis. Adjustment must be made to maintain these spiking levels when the weight of sample taken deviates by more than 10% of these values.

Revision Date: 03/20/07 Revision Number: 5 Page 21 of 38

TABLE 3. RECOMMENDED SAMPLE CONTAINERS, PRESERVATION AND MAXIMUM HOLDING TIMES Defense of the second seco

Reference: ILM02.0 page D-4 for metals and cyanide 40 CFR Part 136.3 Table II 40 CFR Methods 624 & 625 Methods 524.2 & 525.1 SM 18th ed. Table 1060:I, page 1-22 for others

		PRESERVA	ATIVE	HOLDING
PARAMETER	CONTAINER	AQUEOUS		TIME
BACTERIA	P/G	cool, 4°C 0.008%Na ₂ S ₂ O*	N/A	6 hours
METALS	P/G	HNO ₃ , pH <2	cool, 4±2°C	6 mo (180 days)
Cyanide	P/G	NaOH,pH >12 cool, 4±2°C	cool, 4±2°C	14 days
		0.6 g Ascorbic A	Acid**	
Chromium +6	P	cool, 4°C	"	24 hrs
Mercury	Р	$HNO_3, pH < 2$		14 days
Turbidity	P/G	cool, 4°C		48 hrs
Conductivity	P/G	"		28 days
Alkalinity	P/G			14 days
Hardness	P/G	pH <2		6 mo
TSS	P/G	cool, 4°C		7 days
Nitrate	P/G	H ₂ SO ₄ ,pH<2		28 days
		cool, 4°C		48 hrs
Nitrite	P/G	H ₂ SO ₄ ,pH<2		14 days
		cool, 4°C		48 hrs
Ammonia	P/G	H ₂ SO ₄ ,pH<2		28 days
TKN	P/G	H ₂ SO ₄ ,pH<2		28 days
total Phosphate	P/G	cool, 4°C		28 days
ortho Phosphate	P/G	filter, cool, 4°C		48 hrs
Sulfate	P/G	cool, 4°C		28 days
Chloride	P/G	none		28 days
Fluoride	P/G	none		28 days
ORGANICS		1 4 9 9 9	1 4 9 9	
VOA (Method 624)	G, 40 ml vial, teflon cap	cool, 4±2°C	cool, 4±2°C	14 days
(Method 8240)		2 drops 1:1 HCl		
		10 mg sodium-		
BNA (Method 625)	G, 1 liter amber	thissulfate** cool, 4±2°C	anal 4+20C	7 days for extraction
DINA (Method 023)	O, I mei ambei		cool, 4±2°C	40 days for analysis <u>after</u>
extraction		80 mg sodium-		40 days for analysis <u>aller</u>
extraction		thiosulfate**		
VOC (Method 524.2)	G, 40 ml vial, teflon cap	cool, 4±2°C	cool, 4±2°C	14 days
(Method 8260)	2, 10 mil thin, torion oup	2 drops 1:1 HCl		
(25 mg ascorbic		
		acid**		

AQUATIC RESEARCH INCORPORATED
QUALITY ASSURANCE/QUALITY CONTROL PLAN

Revision Date: 03/20/07 Revision Number: 5 Page 22 of 38

Method 525.1	G, 1 liter amber	cool, 4±2°C N/A	7 days for extraction	
		6N Hcl, pH<2	40 days for analysis <u>after</u>	
ovtraction				

extraction

* P = polyethylene, G = glass
** only in presence of residual chlorine
(A) denotes container rinsed with 1 + 1 HNO₃

TABLE 4. ANALYTICAL PARAMETERS AND METHODS USED				
Parameter	Description	Method	Number**	
	L L	EPA	SM SW846	
CONVENTIONALS				
ALKALINITY Total CO2	Potentiometric Titration Calculation	310.1	2320B 406C	
ACIDITY	Titration	305.1	2310B	
pН	Potentiometric	150.1	4500H+B	
SALINITY	Argentometric Conductometric		210C 2520B	
TURBIDITY	Nephelometric	180.1	2130B	
CONDUCTIVITY	Potentiometric	120.1	2520B	
HARDNESS	Calculation (Ca/Mg) EDTA Titration	130.2	2340B 2340C	
SOLIDS	EDIA Iluation	130.2	23400	
Total	Gravimetric	160.3		
Total Suspended	Gravimetric	160.2	2540D	
Total Dissolved	Gravimetric Gravimetric Loss @550C	160.1 160.4	2540C 2540E	
Volatile Settleable	Imhoff cone	160.4 160.5	2540E 2540F	
CHLORINE				
Residual free Total	Ferrous ;titrimetric Ferrous titrimetric	330.4	4500CL2F 4500CL2F	
CHLORIDE	Mercuric nitrate titration	325.3	4500CL-C	
BROMIDE	Colorimetric		4500BR-B	

Revision Date: 03/20/07 Revision Number: 5 Page 23 of 38

FLUORIDE	Potentiometric with distillation	340.2	4500F-C
SULFUR			
Sulfate	Turbidimetric	375.4	4500SO4E
Sulfite	Iodometric	377.1	4500SO3B
Sulfide	Iodometric	376.1	4500S2-E
	Methylene Blue	376.2	4500S2-D
	Methylene Blue	376.2	450052-D

Revision Date: 03/20/07 Revision Number: 5 Page 24 of 38

SW846

TABLE 4. (continued)ANALYTICAL PARAMETERS AND METHODS USEDEPASM

				5101
Param	neter	Description	Method	Number**
DISS	OLVED OXYGEN	Winkler (field fixed)	360.2	4500O2C
BIOL	OGICAL OXYGEN DI	EMAND (BOD 5) 5 day	405.1	5210B
CHEN	MICAL OXYGEN DEM	IAND (COD)	410.4	5220D
COLO	DR	Platinum Cobalt	110.2	2120B
NUTI	RIENTS			
NITR	OGEN			
	Ammonia	Automated phenate with distillation	350.1	4500NH3H
	TKN	Micro-kjeldahl	351.1	4500NORGC
	NO ₂ +NO ₃	Automated Cd Reduction	353.2	4500NO3F
	Nitrite (NO ₂) TPN (Total Persulfate Sample filtration as re	Sulfanilamide/NED e) Automated Korolev equired	353.2	4500NO2B
PHOS	SPHOROUS			
	Total SRP(Soluble Reactive Sample filtration as re	Automated Ascorbic Acid e) Automated Ascorbic Acid equired	365.1 365.1	4500PF 4500PF
MISC	CELLANEOUS			
CYAI	NIDE			
	Total Acid Dissociable	Spectrophotometric	335.2/9010	4500CN-E 4500CN-I
	Amenable		335.1/9010	4500CN-G
TOTA	AL PHENOLS	4AAP Colorimetric	420.1/9065	5540A,D
MBA	S SURFACTANTS	Methylene Blue	425.1	5540C
OIL A	AND GREASE (FOG)	Gravimetric	1664	

Revision Date: 03/20/07 Revision Number: 5 Page 25 of 38

TABLE 4. (continued)ANALYTICAL PARAMETERS AND METHODS USEDEPASMSW846

TOTAL PETROLEUM HYDROCARBONS (TPH)TPHFreon/InfraredTPH low level screenFluorimetric		418.1			
TOTAL ORGANIC CARBON	V (TOC)				
TOC water	Automated Persulfate/UV	415.1	5310B		
TOC sludge/soil	High temp furnace	415.1	5310B		
Purgeable (POC)	High temp furnace	415.1			
METALS					
FILTRATION FOR DISSOLV	/ED METALS				
DIGESTION	Nitric acid/Peroxide	3020/3050/CLP			
PERCENT SOLIDS	Gravimetric	160.3			
TCLP EXTRACTION	1311				
FLAME ATOMIC ABSORPT	200/7000 METHODS				
INDUCTIVELY COUPLED F	200.7/6010/CI	_P			
GRAPHITE FURNACE AA		200/7000 CLP	methods		
MERCURY	Automatic cold vapor	245.2/7470/7471			
HEXAVALENT CHROMIUM	A Colorimetric	7196			
PRIORITY POLLUTANT (13	3)				
	ICP/CVAA only (high IDL's) ICP/GFAA/CVAA(low IDL)		00 methods		
TCLP METALS (8) INCLUDING EXTRACTION					
	ICP/CVAA only ICP/GFAA/CVAA	6010/7470 6010/7470/70)0 mathada		
as total metals	ICP/GFAA/CVAA ICP/CVAA only	6010/7470/700 6010/7470/747			
EPA TARGET METALS	ICP/GFAA/CVAA	CLP			
TICCLE ANALVCIC AVAILADLE					

TISSUE ANALYSIS AVAILABLE

Revision Date: 03/20/07 Revision Number: 5 Page 26 of 38

SW846

CLP PACKAGES AVAILABLE

TABLE 4. (continued)ANALYTICAL PARAMETERS AND METHODS USEDEPASM

ORGANICS

VOA VOC BNA BNA DRINKING WATER TPH/FOG	Purge & Trap GC/MS Drinking Water GC/MS Solid Phase Extraction See Miscellaneous Section	624/8240 524.2 625/8270 525.1	
BIOLOGICAL			
TOTAL COLIFORMS	Membrane Filter		9222B
FECAL COLIFORMS	Membrane Filter		9222D
TOTAL/FECAL CONFIRME	D MPN5		9221B,E
FECAL	MPN5		9222E
TISSUE MPN			
CHLOROPHYLL_a/PHAEOI	PHYTIN_a Spectrophotometric		10200H

TABLE 5FREQUENCY OF QUALITY CONTROL SAMPLES REQUIRED UNDER
RCRA, CERCLA, CWA, SDWA
BLANKSBLANKSDUPLICATES

Ма	atrix	Lab		DLAN	79	1	JUILICATES	
				Method	Field	Field	Dup	Spike
Spi Analytes Sar CERCLA Water, Soi	mple - Superfu		Methods le	Blank	Blank	Dup	Samples	Dup
Metals -	AA	FCC	200.0 CLP	5%, ESS	REC	-	5%	-
	, ESS ICP , ESS	ESS ESS	200.7 CLP	5%, ESS	REC	-	5%	-
RCRA Soil, Waste	e Samples							
Metals - ES	Acid I	Digest ESS	3000	ESS	NS	NS	20%	-
ES	AA	ESS	7000	ESS	NS	NS	5%	5%, 10%
ES	ICP	ESS	6010	ESS	NS	NS	5%	20%
Clean Wa Water and		er Sampl	les					
Metals -	AA		200.0	ESS	-	NS	Opt, 10%	-
-	ICP	-	200.7	ESS	-	NS	-	-
Safe Drinking Water Act Finished Drinking and Raw Source Water								
Metals -	AA		200.0	ESS	-	NS	Opt, 10%	-
-	ICP	-	200.7	ESS	-	NS	-	-
-		-						

ESS = Each Sample Set.

CLP = CLP criteria are used.

NS = Not Specified.

OPT = Optional.

Revision Date: 03/20/07 Revision Number: 5 Page 28 of 38

REC = Recommended.

TABLE 6LIST OF KEY CURRENT EMPLOYEES AND JOB TITLES

<u>EMPLOYEE</u>	JOB TITLES
STEVEN LAZOFF	LABORATORY MANAGER
DAMIEN GADOMSKI, PhD	OPERATIONS MANAGER ENVIRONMENTAL ENGINEER
JOHN SCOLLARD, PhD	SENIOR SCIENTIST QA OFFICER
TOM MEADOWS	GC, GC/MS CHEMIST QUALITY ASSURANCE MANAGER
SHAUN NIELSON	CONVENTIONALS CHEMIST
OLGA ANALARYAN	CONVENTIONALS CHEMIST
KIOOMARTH KHAZEEPOUL	METALS CHEMIST
WAYNE FRANCIS	METALS CHEMIST
HYO-JUNG YOON, PhD	ANALYTICAL CHEMIST

Revision Date: 03/20/07 Revision Number: 5 Page 29 of 38

ETHICS CODE AND NON-DISCRIMINATORY HIRING PRACTICES

Aquatic Research Inc. shall at all times conduct business in a manner which assures fair, equal, and nondiscriminatory treatment of all persons without respect to race, color, religion, sex, national origin, age, handicap, or veteran status and will maintain open hiring and employment practices strictly complying with all requirements of applicable Federal, State, and Local laws.

Aquatic Research Inc. considers data integrity to be paramount. The company at no time will subject its employess to undue pressures to meet deadlines. Any data of dubious nature will not be released and will be thoroughly investigated. Sample re-analysis is required when QC criteria are not met and is encouraged when analysts do not feel substantially certain about their obtained results. Abusive or fraudulent practices are grounds for immediate termination.

Revision Date: 03/20/07 Revision Number: 5 Page 30 of 38

Lab Phone (206) 632-2715



CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH ENVIRONMENTAL LABORATORY ACCREDITATION PROGRAM Accredited Fields of Testing

AQUATIC RESEARCH

3927 AURORA AVENUE NORTH SEATTLE, WA 98103

Field of	Testin	g: 102 - Inorganic Chemistry of Drinkir	ng Waler
102.060	001	Nitrate calc.	EPA 353 2
102.070	001	Phosphate, Ortho	EPA 365.1
102.100	001	Alkalinity	SM23208
102.121	001	Hardness	SM2340C
102.130	001	Conductivity	SM25108
102.200	001	Fluoride	SM4500-F C
102.233	001	Nitrate colc.	SM4500-NO3 F
102.241	001	Phosphale, Ortho	SM4500-P F
102.251	001	Sulfate	SM4500-SO4 E
102.260	001	Total Organic Carbon	SM53108
102.261	001	DOC	SM53100
102.261	002	TOCIDOC	SM5310B
Field of	Testing	: 103 - Toxic Chemical Elements of D	Minking Water
103.040	002	Antimony	SM3113B
103.040	003	Arsenic	SM3113B
103.040	800	Copper	SM3113B
103.040	013	Selenium	SM31138
Field of	Testinc	1: 104 - Volatile Organic Chemistry of	Drinking Water
104.040	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Volatile Organic Compounds	EPA 524.2
104.040	000	Volatila Organic Compounds	EPA 524.2
104.045	005	Trihaiomethanes	EPA 524.2
104.045	005	Trihalomethanes	EPA 524.2
Field of 1	Testing	: 105 - Semi-volatile Organic Chemist	ry of Drinking Water
105.090	001	Alachior	EPA 525.2
105,090	······································	Avazine	EPA 525.2
105.090	004	Benzo(a)pyrane	EPA 525.2
105.090	008	Di(2-ethylinaxyl) Adipate	EPA 525.2
105.090	*******	Di(2-ethylhexyl) Phthalate	EPA 525.2
105.090	********	Hexachlorobenzene	EPA 525.2
105.090		Hexachlorocyclopentedlene	EPA 525.2
105.090	022	Molinate	EPA 525.2
	023	Pentachlorophenol	EPA 525.2
05.090	025	Simazine	EPA 525.2
	028	Thiobencarb	EPA 525.2
105.090	029	Polynuclear Aromatic Hydrocarbons	EPA 525.2

Revision Date: 03/20/07 Revision Number: 5 Page 31 of 38

AQUATIC RESEARCH

Certificate No:	2625
Renew Date:	06/30/2009

105.201	001	Haloacelic Acids (HAA5)	EPA 552.3
Field of	Tostin	g: 108 - Inorganic Chemistry of Wastewater	
108.200	001	Ammonia	EPA 350.1
108.210	001	Kjeldahl Nitrogen	EPA 351.1
108.231	001	Nikole calc.	EPA 353.2
108.380	001	Oil and Grease	EPA 1664
108.390	001	Turbidity	SM2130B
108.410	001	Alkalinliy	SM23206
108.451	001	Chlorkie	SM4500-CI- C
108.464	001	Chlorine	SM4500-CI F
108.498	001	Ammonia	SM4500-NH3 H (18ih)
108.510	001	Nitrite	SM4500-NO2 8
108.542	001	Phosphale, Ortho	SM4500-P F
108.543	001	Phosphorus, Yotal	SM4500-P F
108.590	001	Blochemical Oxygen Demand	SM5210B
108.591	001	Carbonaceous BOD	SM5210B
108.602	001	Chemicel Oxygen Demand	SM522QD
108.610	001	Total Organic Carbon	SM5310B
108.640	001	Surfaciants	SM5540C
Field of	Testinç	116 - Volatile Organic Chemistry of Hazar	rdous Waste
116.080	000	Volatile Organic Compounds	EPA 82600
116.080	120	Oxygenates	EPA 82808
Field of	Testing	: 117 - Semi-volatlle Organic Chemistry of	Hazardous Waste
117.010	001	Diesel-range Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons	EPA 80158
117.110	000	Extractable Organics	EPA 8270C

Revision Date: 03/20/07 **Revision Number: 5** Page 32 of 38

Scope of Accreditation

Aquatic Research, Inc.

Seattle, WA

is accredited by the State of Washington Department of Ecology to perform analyses for the parameters listed below using the analytical methods indicated. This Scope of Accreditation may apply to any of the following matrix types: non-potable water, drinking water, solid and chemical materials, and air and emissions. Accreditation for all parameters is final unless indicated otherwise in a note. Accreditation is for the latest version of a method unless otherwise specified in a note. EPA refers to the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. SM refers to American Public Health Association's publication, Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater, 18th, 19th or 20th Edition, unless otherwise noted. ASTM stands for the American Society for Testing and Materials. PSEP stands for Puget Sound Estuary Program. Other references are detailed in the notes section.

Matrix Type/Parameter Name	Reference	Method Number Notes	
Drinking Water	8		
Alkalinity, Total	SM	2320 B(4a)	
Chlorine Residual, Free	SM	4500-CI F	
Color	SM	2120 8	
Cyanide, Total	SM	4500-CN E	
Fluoride	SM	4500-F C	
Hardness, Total (as CaCO3)	SM	2340 C	
Nitrate	SM	4500-NO3 F	
Nitrate + Nitrite	EPÁ	353.2	
Nitrite	SM	4500-NO3 F	
Orthophosphate	SM	4500-P F	
Orthophosphate	EPA	365.1	
рН	SM	4500-H 1	
Solids, Total Dissolved	SM	2540 C	
Specific Conductance	SM	2510 B	
Sulfate	SM	4500-SO4 E	
Sulfate	ASTM	D516-02	
otal Organic Carbon	SM	5310 B	
urbidity	EPA	180.1	
Numinum	SM 18/19	3113 B	

Washington State Department of Ecology Date Printed: 5/9/2008

Scope of Accreditation Report for Aquatic Research, Inc.

Revision Date: 03/20/07 Revision Number: 5 Page 33 of 38

Matrix Type/Param Aluminum	ieter Name	Reference EPA	Method Number 200.7	Notes
Antimony		SM 18/19	3113 B	
Arsenic	3	SM 18/19	3113 B	
Barîum		EPA	200.7	
Beryllium	×	EPA	200.7	1
Beryllium		SM 18/19	3113 B	
Cadmium		EPA	200.7	
Chromium		EPA	200.7	a.
Copper	8	EPA	200.7	
Copper	13	SM 18/19	3113 8	
Iron	3.00	EPA	200.7	
Lead		SM 18/19	3113 8	
Magnesium		EPA	200,7	
Manganese		EPA	200.7	
Mercury		EPA	245.2	
Nickel		EPA	200.7	72
Selenium		SM 18/19	3113 B	
Silver		EPA	200.7	
Sodium		EPA	200.7	
Zinc	a far a c	EPA	200.7	
Chlorinated Pesticides		EPA	508.1	
Haloacetic Acids		EPA	552.3	
PCBs	h za e obse	EPA	508.1	
Organic Compounds	ć	EPA	525.2	
Purgeable Organic Con	npounds	EPA	524.2	
Trihalomethanes	<i>2</i>	EPA	524.2	
Vinyl Chloride	×	EPA	524.2	
Non-potable Wat	er		n Ba	
Acidity		SM	2310 B(4b)	
Alkalinity, Total	4	SM	2320 B(4b)	

Washington State Department of Ecology

Date Printed: 5/9/2008

Scope of Accreditation Report for Aquatic Research, Inc.

Revision Date: 03/20/07 Revision Number: 5 Page 34 of 38

Matrix Type/Parameter Name Ammonia	Reference SM 18	Method Number No 4500-NH3 H	otes
Ammonia	EPA	350.1	10
Anionic Surfactants	SM	5540 C	Ω.
Blochemical Oxygen Demand, BOD/CBOD	SM	5210 B	
Chemical Oxygen Demand (COD)	SM	5220 D	
Chloride	SM	4500-CI- C	
Chlorine Residual, Total	SM	4500-CI F	
Color	SM	2120 B	
Cyanide, Total	SM	4500-CN E	
Dissolved Oxygen	SM	4500-0 C	
Fluoride	SM	4500-F C	
Hardness, Total (as CaCO3)	SM	2340 C	
Hexane Extractable Material	EPA	1664	
Nitrate	SM	4500-NO3 F	×
Nitrate	EPA	353.2	
Nitrate + Nitrite	EPA	353.2	
Nitrite	SM	4500-NO2 B	
Nitrogen, Total	SM 20	4500-N C	
Nitrogen, Total Kjeldahl	EPA	351.1	
Nitrogen, Total Kjeldahl	SM	4500-Norg C	
Orthophosphate	EPA	365.1	
Orthophosphate	SM	4500-P F	
Hq	SM	4500-H	
Phosphorus, Total	EPA	365.1	
Phosphorus, Total Persulfate	SM	4500-P F	
Solids, Total	SM	2540 B	
Solids, Total Dissolved	SM	2540 C	
Solids, Total Suspended	SM	2540 D	
Solids, Total Volatile	SM	2540 E	
Specific Conductance	SM	2510 B	

Washington State Department of Ecology Date Printed: 5/9/2008 Scope of Accreditation Report for Aquatic Research, Inc.

Revision Date: 03/20/07 Revision Number: 5 Page 35 of 38

Matrix Type/Parameter Name Sulfate	Reference ASTM	Method Number D516-02	Notes
Sulfate	SM	4500-SO4 E	
Sulfide	SM	4500-S2 D	
Sulfide	SM	4500-S2 F	
Total Organic Carbon	SM	5310 B	
Turbidity	SM	2130 8	
Aluminum	EPA	200.7	à
Aluminum	SM 18/19	3113 B	
Antimony	SM 18/19	3113 B	
Arsenic	SM 18/19	3113 В	
Barium	EPA	200.7	20
Beryllium	EPA	200.7	
Beryllium	SM 18/19	3113 B	2
Cadmium	SM 18/19	3113 B	
Cadmium	EPA	200.7	
Calcium	EPA	200.7	
Chromium	SM 18/19	3113 B	
Chromium	EPA	200.7	
Copper	SM 18/19	3113 B	
Copper	EPA	200.7	
Hardness, Total (as CaCO3)	EPA	200.7	15
Iron	EPA	200.7	÷
Lead	EPA	200.7	
Lead	SM 18/19	3113 B	
Magnesium	EPA	200.7	
Manganese	EPA	200.7	
Mercury	EPA	1631	
Molybdenum	SM	3113 B	
Nickel	EPA	200.7	
Potassium	EPA	200.7	

Washington State Department of Ecology Date Printed: 5/9/2008 Scope of Accreditation Report for Aquatic Research, Inc.

.....

Revision Date: 03/20/07 Revision Number: 5 Page 36 of 38

Matrix Type/Parameter Name Selenium	Reference SM 18/19	Method Number 3113 B	Notes
Silica	EPA	200.7	
Silver	EPA	200.7	
Silver	SM 18/18	3113 B	
Sodium	EPA	200.7	
Vanadium	EPA	200.7	
Zinc	EPA	200.7	
Organochlorine Pesticides	EPA	8081	
Organochlorine Pesticides	EPA	608	
Polychlorinated Biphenyls	EPA	8082	
Polychlorinated Blphenyls	EPA	608	
BNA Extr (Semivolatile) Organics	EPA	8270	
Volatile Organic Compounds	EPA	8260	
Fecal Coliform - count	SM	9222 D	2
Total & Fecal Coli - count	SM	9221 61,2,C&E1	
Solid and Chemical Materials		×	
Aluminum	EPA	6010	1
Barium	EPA	6010	1
Beryllium	EPA	6010	1
Cadmium	EPA	6010	1
Calcium	EPA	6010	1
Chromium	EPA	6010	1
Cobalt	EPA	6010	1
Copper	EPA	6010	1
Iron	EPA	6010	1
Lead	EPA	6010	1
Manganese	EPA	6010	1
Molybdenum	EPA	6010	1
Nickel	EPA	6010	1
Silver	EPA	6010	1

Washington State Department of Ecology Date Printed: 5/9/2008 Scope of Accreditation Report for Aquatic Research, Inc.

Revision Date: 03/20/07 Revision Number: 5 Page 37 of 38

Revision Date: 03/20/07 Revision Number: 5 Page 38 of 38

Matrix Type/Parameter Name	Reference EPA	Method Number 6010	Notes
Strontium	EPA	6010	1
Titanium	EPA	6010	1
Vanadium	EPA	6010	1
Zinc	EPA	6010	1
Glycols	EPA	8015	
Total Pet Hydrocarbons - Diesel	WDOE	NWTPH-Dx	33
BNA Extr (Semivolatile) Organics	EPA	8270	
Volatile Organic Compounds	EPA	8260	

Accredited Parameter Note Detail

(1) Provisional pending acceptable proficiency testing (PT) results (WAC 173-50-110). (2) Provisional pending receipt of eveldence that requirements in the microbiology audit report have been met.

(15)

Authentication Signature

Stewart M. Lombard, Lab Accreditation Unit Supervisor

2008

Revision Date: 03/20/07 Revision Number: 5 Page 39 of 38