

commentletters

Subject: new wtaer regulations
Attachments: protest transient water fee.docx



From: Beverly Billingsley [<mailto:ladydvm@sbcglobal.net>]
Sent: Wednesday, July 27, 2016 9:49 AM
To: Davis, Justin@Waterboards
Subject: new wtaer regulations

Beverly J. Billingsley, DVM



21832 Ferncuko
Tehachapi, CA 93561
Telephone 661-823-8381

July 27, 2016

To Whom It May Concern:

I am writing in response to the upcoming revisions of the state's drinking water program. I totally disagree with your assessment of economic impact. Your definition of transient noncommunity water system is dramatically unfair to small business especially a veterinarian. Under your current guidelines this is the label I have been given. There are 3, three, THREE homes on the well. The number of people "servicing" by your classification is 25 or more for 60 days or more out of the year. Most of my patients are completely happy drinking from the toilet bowl. The few owners who "wash" their hands can hardly be contaminated with the modern invention of anti-bacterial hand soaps. I pay you, the state an unbalanced sum of 800.00 per year plus the 500.00 to have the water tested and additional nitrite testing. How is this fair? I don't serve anyone water or offer food preparation. Before I opened my clinic the state didn't have any concerns for the welfare of the 7 children raised from the water from the well.

I have yet to see any benefit from the 800.00 sent to the CA Dept. of Health. It is my perception this is just another way for the state to leach more money from small business owners!

Respectfully submitted,

Beverly J. Billingsley DVM

