

**From:** Dania Huggins  
**To:** Melissa Turner  
**CC:** Dania Huggins; Susan Fregien  
**Date:** 1/31/2011 4:09 PM  
**Subject:** DRAFT MRP Plan Mod Request approval

Good afternoon Melissa,

Thank you for the information provided. I just met with Susan this afternoon to go over the DRAFT approval letter for the MRP Plan Modification Request. There are a few questions that came up during the discussion, and if you could please provide us with the necessary information/answers will help us complete this request more efficiently.

Since the Coalition is proposing to monitor some of these constituents twice a year once during "high TSS irrigation event" and once during "high TSS storm event" Could you please provide us with the definitions of these two terms "high TSS irrigation event" and "high TSS storm event"? What trigger is the Coalition going to use for each one of these events? The definition could still be somewhat general but it needs to include at least a qualitative statement that indicates under which circumstances these two sampling events will occurred.

Could you please send me a summary of the last 3 years of glyphosate and paraquat applications within the Coalition area (PURs summary for 2008, 2009, and 2010 if 2010 is not available then 2007, 2008, and 2009)?

Since this letter was prepared a while back, could you please update the PUR information for sodium cacodylate? In the letter you stated that "PURs indicated no use of sodium cacodylate across the Coalition region for agricultural use between 1998 and 2007 (last year of available records) for agricultural use." Are there any records available for 2008, 2009, and 2010?

In the case of metals there are 3 and 10 sites under management for arsenic and lead respectively. I understand that these constituents are considered "low priority" and that, many of the management practices that the Coalition is recommending to reduce runoff of commonly applied pesticides (chlorpyrifos) will also result in the reduction of runoff of other constituents such as OC pesticides. However, it is not clear if twice a year monitoring will provide enough information for those sites that are under management plan?

Part of the rationale for modifying the monitoring frequency of these constituents is based on the assessment done at previous years and sites. Thus, I imagine this request excludes the new assessment sites. Is that correct? (for example the 2011 monitoring schedule includes two new assessment sites: Yori Grove Drain @ East Taylor Rd and McCoy Lateral @ Hwy 140).

Thank you Melissa

Dania

>>> Melissa Turner <[mturner@mlj-llc.com](mailto:mturner@mlj-llc.com)> 1/31/2011 12:19 PM >>>

We resumed monitoring on July 20, 2010 and plan to monitor through June 2011 assuming that there are no exceedances of Group A pesticides. After June, we will have monitored for all months of a year.

**From:** Dania Huggins [<mailto:dhuggins@waterboards.ca.gov>]  
**Sent:** Monday, January 31, 2011 11:09 AM  
**To:** Melissa Turner  
**Subject:** Group A

Good morning Melissa,

Could you please tell me when did the Coalition resumed group A monitoring? My records show monitoring from

October 2008 through April 2009 and resumed on

August (????) 2010 through Now 2011

Is that Correct?

Dania

Dania Huggins  
Water Resource Control Engineer  
Monitoring and Implementation Unit  
Irrigated Lands Regulatory Program  
Central Valley Regional Water Quality Control Board  
11020 Sun Center Drive Suite 200  
Rancho Cordova, CA 95670-6114

[dhuggins@waterboards.ca.gov](mailto:dhuggins@waterboards.ca.gov)

Phone : 916-464-4843

Fax : 916-464-4780

Ag Waiver Program [http://www.waterboards.ca.gov/centralvalley/programs/irrigated\\_lands/](http://www.waterboards.ca.gov/centralvalley/programs/irrigated_lands/)