

From: Jelena Hartman
To: Johnson', 'Michael; Turner, Melissa
CC: Fregien, Susan; Klassen', 'Parry; West', 'Rachel
Date: 4/18/2012 12:05 PM
Subject: RE: ESJWQC 2012 MPUR: status of DO TMDL

Hi Melissa,

Thank you for the response. What you say about the relationship between DO in tributaries and in the Stockton Deep Water Channel makes sense.

Until the Technical Work Group resumes activities, or there is new guidance regarding the loads of oxygen demanding substances and their precursors there will likely be little new development to report. However, Management Plans were approved in part based upon complying with the Basin Plan components, and providing a brief update on how the DO TMDL is being addressed would meet those requirements. With that in mind, it would be good if MPUR is amended to reference the DO TMDL. Your e-mail captured the situation well, and including a table of sites monitored for DO or a table of DO results would be fine but not required.

Thanks,

Jelena

>>> Melissa Turner <mturner@mlj-llc.com> 4/18/2012 10:59 AM >>>

Hi Jelena,

In regards to the Stockton Deep Water Channel Do TMDL, there was nothing to update from the MPUR submitted in 2011 for the 2010 monitoring year; therefore, we omitted this section from the update report. In addition, there is no way to link DO values in ESJWQC tributaries to DO values in the Stockton Deep Water Channel. If you would like us to amend the MPUR to reference the DO TMDL and include a table of DO results we can add this to our MPUR amendment that will be submitted by April 30, 2012.

Melissa

From: Jelena Hartman [mailto:JHartman@waterboards.ca.gov]
Sent: Wednesday, April 18, 2012 9:39 AM
To: Michael Johnson; Melissa Turner
Cc: Susan Fregien
Subject: ESJWQC 2012 MPUR: status of DO TMDL

Hello Melissa and Mike,

The review of the ESJWQC April 1, 2012 Management Plan Update Report is under way. I have noticed that the section discussing the status of TMDL constituents doesn't address the DO TMDL. A brief section was included in the last year's MPUR, and it is included in the Delta Coalition's MPUR this year, so the omission of the status of DO TMDL appears to be unintentional.

There has been no activity related to the Technical Working Group in 2011, and no further clarity about the load allocations for oxygen demanding substances and their precursors. However, it is apparent from the Coalition's AMR that there are data to demonstrate the compliance with the numeric targets for the DO TMDL which are the same as the existing dissolved water quality objectives.

Could you please advise regarding the DO TMDL section?

Thank you,

Jelena

--

Jelena Hartman | Environmental Scientist
Monitoring and Implementation Unit
Irrigated Lands Regulatory Program
Central Valley Regional Water Quality Control Board
11020 Sun Center Drive, Suite 200
Rancho Cordova, CA 95670-6114

office: 916.464.4628
fax: 916.464.4780

email: jhartman@waterboards.ca.gov

website: www.waterboards.ca.gov/centralvalley/