

CITY OF CONCORD
1950 Parkside Drive
Concord, California 94519-2578
FAX:

(925) 680-4877

Telephone: (925) 671-3186



CITY COUNCIL
Ronald E. Leone, Mayor
William D. Shinn, Vice Mayor
Timothy S. Grayson
Daniel C. Helix
Laura M. Hoffmeister

Thomas J. Wentling, City Treasurer
Daniel E. Keen, City Manager

December 14, 2011

California Regional Water Quality Control Board
San Francisco Bay Region
1515 Clay Street, Suite 1400
Oakland, CA 94612

Attention: Bruce Wolfe, Executive Officer

Subject: Revision to 2010-11 Annual Report Section C.6.e.iii, a, b, c,
Total Number of Inspections

Dear Mr. Wolfe:

Thank you for the opportunity to submit our revised Section C.6.e.iii., a, b, c as requested in your December 6, 2011 e-mail. This gives us the opportunity to provide the correct count of construction site inspections which we mistakenly understated as 9 rather than 105.

In preparing the form page 6-1 at section C.6.e.iii, we failed to notice that as the columns went at column a from, "Number of sites disturbing < one acre..." to b, "Number of sites disturbing => one acre...", and finally to c, "Total", we did not notice that the subject of the column changed to "Total number of inspections...", not total number of sites.

We hope this answers any questions that you may have.

Very truly yours,

A handwritten signature in blue ink that reads "F. J. Kennedy". The signature is fluid and cursive, with the first name being the most prominent.

F. J. Kennedy, P.E.
Interim Manager, Current Development Engineering

Section 6 – Provision C.6 Construction Site Controls

C.6.e.iii.1.a, b, c ▶ Site/Inspection Totals		
Number of sites disturbing < 1 acre of soil requiring storm water runoff quality inspection (i.e. High Priority) (C.6.e.iii.1.a)	Number of sites disturbing ≥ 1 acre of soil (C.6.e.iii.1.b)	Total number of storm water runoff quality inspections conducted (C.6.e.iii.1.c)
1	8	105
Comments: 1) The high priority site is in settlement of litigation. The property owner has been declared not competent and the City is looking for recourse to restore damaged facilities and does not have court authority to enter the property, resulting in the inability to remedy the problem. This site accounts for 22 of the violations. 2) A second site is almost complete with on-site treatment in place and functioning but the frontage improvements are not complete and the project is in receivership resulting in our inability to remedy the problem.		

C.6.e.iii.1.d ▶ Construction Activities Storm Water Violations		
BMP Category	Number of Violations⁴¹	% of Total Violations⁴²
Erosion Control	1	1.9
Run-on and Run-off Control	11	21.2
Sediment Control	26	50
Active Treatment Systems		
Good Site Management	14	29.9
Non Stormwater Management		
Total		100%

⁴¹ Count one violation in a category for each site and inspection regardless of how many violations/problems occurred in the BMP category.

⁴² Percentage calculated as number of violations in each category divided by total number of violations in all six categories.