



Ray Razavi
Acting Public Services Director

September 15, 2014

Mr. Bruce H. Wolfe
Executive Officer
San Francisco Bay Regional Water Quality Control Board
1515 Clay Street, Suite 1400
Oakland, CA 94612

Subject: **City of San Bruno**
FY 2013/14 Annual Report

Dear Mr. Wolfe:

This letter and Annual Report with attachments is submitted by the City of San Bruno pursuant to Permit Provision C.16.a of the Municipal Regional Stormwater NPDES Permit (MRP), Order R2-2009-0074, NPDES Permit No CAS612008 issued by the San Francisco Bay Regional Water Quality Control Board. The Annual Report provides documentation of compliance activities conducted during FY 2013/14 and related accomplishments.

Please contact Jim Burch, Deputy Director Maintenance and Operations, at (650) 616-7179, regarding any questions or concerns.

Very truly yours,



Ray Razavi
Acting Public Services Director

CITY OF SAN BRUNO, CALIFORNIA
FY 2013/14 ANNUAL REPORT

Certification Statement

"I certify, under penalty of law, that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to ensure that qualified personnel properly gather and evaluate the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted, is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."

Signature of Duly Authorized Representative:

 Interim Director
Name and Title Date 9/12/14.

Table of Contents

Section	Page
Section 1 – Permittee Information.....	1-1
Section 2 – Provision C.2 Municipal Operations	2-1
Section 3 – Provision C.3 New Development and Redevelopment	3-1
Section 4 – Provision C.4 Industrial and Commercial Site Controls	4-1
Section 5 – Provision C.5 Illicit Discharge Detection and Elimination	5-1
Section 6 – Provision C.6 Construction Site Controls.....	6-1
Section 7 – Provision C.7 Public Information and Outreach	7-1
Section 8 – Provision C.8 Water Quality Monitoring.....	8-1
Section 9 – Provision C.9 Pesticides Toxicity Controls	9-1
Section 10 – Provision C.10 Trash Load Reduction	10-1
Section 11 – Provision C.11 Mercury Controls	11-1
Section 12 – Provision C.12 PCBs Controls	12-1
Section 13 – Provision C.13 Copper Controls.....	13-1
Section 14 – Provision C.14 PBDE, Legacy Pesticides and Selenium Controls.....	14-1
Section 15 – Provision C.15 Exempted and Conditionally Exempted Discharges	15-1

Section 1 – Permittee Information

SECTION I. BACKGROUND INFORMATION

Background Information				
Permittee Name:	City of San Bruno			
Population:	42,165			
NPDES Permit No.:	CAS612008			
Order Number:	R2-2009-0074R			
Reporting Time Period (month/year):	July 2013 through June 2014			
Name of the Responsible Authority:	Ray Razavi	Title:	Interim Public Services Director	
Mailing Address:	567 El Camino Real			
City:	San Bruno	Zip Code:	94066	County: San Mateo
Telephone Number:	(650) 616-7065	Fax Number:	(650) 794 - 1443	
E-mail Address:	rrazavi@sanbruno.ca.gov			
Name of the Designated Stormwater Management Program Contact (if different from above):	Same as above	Title:		
Department:				
Mailing Address:				
City:		Zip Code:		County:
Telephone Number:		Fax Number:		
E-mail Address:				

Section 2 - Provision C.2 Reporting Municipal Operations

Program Highlights and Evaluation

Highlight/summarize activities for reporting year:

Summary:

The City of San Bruno conducted and participated in the following activities during the reporting year 2013-2014; participation in the countywide program's Municipal Operations Subcommittee. Completed pre-rainy season Corporation Yard inspections at both Corporation Yard sites using San Mateo County's Water Pollution Prevention Municipal Maintenance Corporation Yard Inspection Form.

FY 2013/14 Aug 28th, Oct 23rd, Jan 22nd, and Mar 26th Municipal Maintenance Subcommittee Meetings attended by Ted Chapman

Refer to the C.2 Municipal Operations section of the countywide Program's FY 13-14 Annual Report for a description of activities implemented at the countywide level.

C.2.a. ► Street and Road Repair and Maintenance

Place a **Y** in the boxes next to activities where applicable BMPs were implemented. If not applicable, type **NA** in the box and provide an explanation in the comments section below. Place an **N** in the boxes next to activities where applicable BMPs were not implemented for one or more of these activities during the reporting fiscal year, then in the comments section below provide an explanation of when BMPs were not implemented and the corrective actions taken.

Y	Control of debris and waste materials during road and parking lot installation, repaving or repair maintenance activities from polluting stormwater
Y	Control of concrete slurry and wastewater, asphalt, pavement cutting, and other street and road maintenance materials and wastewater from discharging to storm drains from work sites.
Y	Sweeping and/or vacuuming and other dry methods to remove debris, concrete, or sediment residues from work sites upon completion of work.

Comments:

C.2.b. ► Sidewalk/Plaza Maintenance and Pavement Washing

Place a **Y** in the boxes next to activities where applicable BMPs were implemented. If not applicable, type **NA** in the box and provide an explanation in the comments section below. Place an **N** in the boxes next to activities where applicable BMPs were not implemented for one or more of these activities during the reporting fiscal year, then in the comments section below provide an explanation of when BMPs were not implemented and the corrective actions taken.

Y	Control of wash water from pavement washing, mobile cleaning, pressure wash operations at parking lots, garages, trash areas, gas station fueling areas, and sidewalk and plaza cleaning activities from polluting stormwater
Y	Implementation of the BASMAA Mobile Surface Cleaner Program BMPs

Comments:
 Sidewalks in downtown area cleaned by City Maintenance Crews daily utilizing dry cleaning methods. Downtown sidewalks are washed quarterly by a private contractor (Peninsula Power Wash certified BASMAA recognized surface cleaner) utilizing high-pressure water (no soap). Wash water is screened at storm water inlets and collected material is properly disposed of.

C.2.c. ► Bridge and Structure Maintenance and Graffiti Removal

Place a **Y** in the boxes next to activities where applicable BMPs were implemented. If not applicable, type **NA** in the box and provide an explanation in the comments section below. Place an **N** in the boxes next to activities where applicable BMPs were not implemented for one or more of these activities during the reporting fiscal year, then in the comments section below provide an explanation of when BMPs were not implemented and the corrective actions taken.

Y	Control of discharges from bridge and structural maintenance activities directly over water or into storm drains
Y	Control of discharges from graffiti removal activities
Y	Proper disposal for wastes generated from bridge and structure maintenance and graffiti removal activities
Y	Implementation of the BASMAA Mobile Surface Cleaner Program BMPs for graffiti removal
Y	Employee training on proper capture and disposal methods for wastes generated from bridge and structural maintenance and graffiti removal activities.
Y	Contract specifications requiring proper capture and disposal methods for wastes generated from bridge and structural maintenance and graffiti removal activities.

Comments:
 The City does not have jurisdiction over any Bridges or Structures within the City limits; however the City will address bridge and structure maintenance related graffiti if there is no response from Caltrans.

C.2.d. ► Stormwater Pump Stations

Does your municipality own stormwater pump stations: Yes No

If your answer is **No** then skip to **C.2.e.**

Complete the following table for dry weather DO monitoring and inspection data for pump stations¹ (add more rows for additional pump stations). If a pump station is exempt from DO monitoring, explain why it is exempt.

Pump Station Name and Location	First inspection Dry Weather DO Data		Second inspection Dry Weather DO Data	
	Date	mg/L	Date	mg/L
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

Summarize corrective actions as needed for DO monitoring at or below 3 mg/L. Attach inspection records of additional DO monitoring for corrective actions:

Summary:

Attachments:

Complete the following table for wet weather inspection data for pump stations (add more rows for additional pump stations):

Pump Station Name and Location	Date (2x/year required)	Presence of Trash (Cubic Yards)	Presence of Odor (Yes or No)	Presence of Color (Yes or No)	Presence of Turbidity (Yes or No)	Presence of Floating Hydrocarbons (Yes or No)
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

¹ DO monitoring is exempted where all discharge from a pump station remains in a stormwater collection system or infiltrates into a dry creek immediately downstream.

C.2.e. ► Rural Public Works Construction and Maintenance					
Does your municipality own/maintain rural ² roads:		<input type="checkbox"/>	Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No
If your answer is No then skip to C.2.f.					
Place a Y in the boxes next to activities where applicable BMPs were implemented. If not applicable, type NA in the box and provide an explanation in the comments section below. Place an N in the boxes next to activities where applicable BMPs were not implemented for one or more of these activities during the reporting fiscal year, then in the comments section below provide an explanation of when BMPs were not implemented and the corrective actions taken.					
<input type="checkbox"/>	Control of road-related erosion and sediment transport from road design, construction, maintenance, and repairs in rural areas				
<input type="checkbox"/>	Identification and prioritization of rural road maintenance based on soil erosion potential, slope steepness, and stream habitat resources				
<input type="checkbox"/>	No impact to creek functions including migratory fish passage during construction of roads and culverts				
<input type="checkbox"/>	Inspection of rural roads for structural integrity and prevention of impact on water quality				
<input type="checkbox"/>	Maintenance of rural roads adjacent to streams and riparian habitat to reduce erosion, replace damaging shotgun culverts and excessive erosion				
<input type="checkbox"/>	Re-grading of unpaved rural roads to slope outward where consistent with road engineering safety standards, and installation of water bars as appropriate				
<input type="checkbox"/>	Inclusion of measures to reduce erosion, provide fish passage, and maintain natural stream geomorphology when replacing culverts or design of new culverts or bridge crossings				
Comments including listing increased maintenance in priority areas:					

² Rural means any watershed or portion thereof that is developed with large lot home-sites, such as one acre or larger, or with primarily agricultural, grazing or open space uses.

C.2.f. ► Corporation Yard BMP Implementation

Place an **X** in the boxes below that apply to your corporations yard(s):

<input type="checkbox"/>	We do not have a corporation yard
<input type="checkbox"/>	Our corporation yard is a filed NOI facility and regulated by the California State Industrial Stormwater NPDES General Permit
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	We have a Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP) for the Corporation Yard(s)

Place an **X** in the boxes below next to implemented SWPPP BMPs to indicate that these BMPs were implemented in applicable instances. If not applicable, type **NA** in the box. If one or more of the BMPs were not adequately implemented during the reporting fiscal year then indicate so and explain in the comments section below:

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Control of pollutant discharges to storm drains such as wash waters from cleaning vehicles and equipment
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Routine inspection prior to the rainy seasons of corporation yard(s) to ensure non-stormwater discharges have not entered the storm drain system
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Containment of all vehicle and equipment wash areas through plumbing to sanitary or another collection method
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Use of dry cleanup methods when cleaning debris and spills from corporation yard(s) or collection of all wash water and disposing of wash water to sanitary or other location where it does not impact surface or groundwater when wet cleanup methods are used
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Cover and/or berm outdoor storage areas containing waste pollutants

Comments:

All drain inlets within the Public Services Corporation Yard are plumbed to the Sanitary Sewer. Both the Public Services Corporation Yard and Parks and Recreation Corporation Yard are inspected annually, and noted issues are followed up upon. Vehicles and equipment from each Corporation Yard are cleaned in a washbasin plumbed to the Sanitary Sewer, which is located in the Public Services Corporation Yard. Dry methods are utilized when cleaning debris and spills from both Corporation Yards. Fertilizers, pesticides and other chemicals are kept indoors in the Parks and Recreation Corporation Yard. Chemicals are primarily kept indoors within the Public Services Corporation Yard, however any chemical stored outside is kept in a closed, covered and locked container. The Public Services Corporation Yard generates Hazardous Waste, which is kept indoors. Each area where liquid waste is generated or compiled has a dry spill containment and clean up kit. Both Corporation Yards have site specific maps documenting the location of chemicals, hazardous waste, gasoline storage, oil storage, propane storage, fire extinguishers, eye/body wash stations, Sanitary Sewer inlets, etc.

If you have a corporation yard(s) that is not an NOI facility, complete the following table for inspection results for your corporation yard(s) or attach a summary including the following information:

Corporation Yard Name	Inspection Date (1x/year required)	Inspection Findings/Results	Follow-up Actions
Parks and recreation	3/5/2014	Hay waddles meant to contain debris are in need of replacement	Will replace hay waddles before the start of the rainy season.
Public Services	9/13/2013	Inspection requirements within each subsection were checked as complete, marked with N/A if the requirement did not pertain to the Public Services Corporation Yard, and notes were added to inspection requirements where appropriate. In Section B, it was noted that vehicle washing does not occur under a roof or in a building, however the wash rack drain is plumbed to the Sanitary Sewer. Also in Section B, it was noted that we do not have a vehicle washing system, however the drain inlet in the wash rack is cleaned weekly. In Section C, there was one undeterminable requirement related to the draining of fluids from wrecked vehicles. There were no wrecked vehicles in the Public Services Corporation Yard during the inspection, however the Central Garage does not drain the fluid from wrecked vehicles unless they are leaking fluid upon arrival. In such instances appropriate measures to collect the fluid and prevent spills would be taken. None of the requirements were marked in Section D, as fuel dispensing does not occur in the Public Services Corporation Yard. Section F: The element regarding the storage of rubbish and recyclables under a roof is not possible due to the space constraints within the Public Services Corporation Yard and the types of equipment that use and are used to remove material from the area. Additional Note in this section that the dumpster area is cleared on a daily bases and loaded in dumpster that is also emptied daily. In Section G, the element regarding the covering of stockpiles of raw material when not in use is not met, however the storage yard adjacent to the Public Services Corporation Yard where the raw materials are kept utilizes infiltration as a treatment measure. Furthermore, straw waddles are installed around the perimeter of the storage yard, except at the two entry/exit gates.	No follow-up actions required

Section 3 - Provision C.3 Reporting New Development and Redevelopment

C.3.b.v.(2)(a) ► Green Streets Status Report

(All projects to be completed by December 1, 2014)

On an annual basis (if applicable), report on the status of any pilot green street projects within your jurisdiction. For each completed project, report the capital costs, operation and maintenance costs, legal and procedural arrangements in place to address operation and maintenance and its associated costs, and the sustainable landscape measures incorporated in the project including, if relevant, the score from the Bay-Friendly Landscape Scorecard.

Summary:

The C.3 New Development and Redevelopment section of the SMCWPPP FY 13-14 Annual Report includes a description of activities conducted at the countywide or regional level.

C.3.b.v.(1) ► Regulated Projects Reporting

Fill in attached table **C.3.b.v.(1)** or attach your own table including the same information.

No Regulated Project were approved during FY13-14.

C.3.e.v. ► Alternative or In-Lieu Compliance with Provision C.3.c.

(For FY 11-12 Annual Report and each Annual Report thereafter)

Is your agency choosing to require 100% LID treatment onsite for all Regulated Projects and not allow alternative compliance under Provision C.3.e.?

	Yes	X	No
--	------------	---	-----------

Comments (optional):

C.3.e.vi ► Special Projects Reporting

1. Has your agency received, but not yet granted final discretionary approval of, a development permit application for a project that has been identified as a potential Special Project based on criteria listed in MRP Provision C.3.e.ii(2) for any of the three categories of Special Projects (Categories A, B or C)?	X	Yes		No
2. Has your agency granted final discretionary approval of a project identified as a Special Project in the March 15, 2014 report? If yes, include the project in both the C.3.b.v.(1) Table, and the C.3.e.vi. Table.		Yes	X	No
If you answered "Yes" to either question, 1) Complete Table C.3.e.vi . below. 2) Attach narrative discussion of 100% LID Feasibility or Infeasibility for each project.				

C.3.h.iv. ► Installed Stormwater Treatment Systems Operation and Maintenance Verification Inspection Program Reporting

(1) Fill in attached table C.3.h.iv.(1) or attach your own table including the same information.
(2) On an annual basis, provide a discussion of the inspection findings for the year and any common problems encountered with various types of treatment systems and/or HM controls. This discussion should include a general comparison to the inspection findings from the previous year.
Summary: Permittee staff inspected three regulated sites' treatment controls during the 2013-2014 fiscal year including, Pacific Bay Vistas, Cedar Mills, Skycrest. Similar to last year, staff has discovered that aside from minor ponding, the CDS units are generally in good working condition and performing as specified. The construction of additional C.3 treatments has greatly increased the number of inspections required. As a result, the City is searching for a solution that would allow HOA's to pay for inspections for future development projects.
(3) On an annual basis, provide a discussion of the effectiveness of the O&M Program and any proposed changes to improve the O&M Program (e.g., changes in prioritization plan or frequency of O&M inspections, other changes to improve effectiveness program).
Summary:

(4) During the reporting year, did your agency:						
• Inspect all newly installed stormwater treatment systems and HM controls within 45 days of installation?		Yes		No	X	Not applicable. No new facilities were installed.
• Inspect at least 20 percent of the total number of installed stormwater treatment systems or HM controls? ³	X	Yes		No		Not applicable. No treatment measures
• Inspect at least 20 percent of the total number of installed vault-based systems?	X	Yes		No		Not applicable. No vault systems.
If you answered "No" to any of the questions above, please explain:						

C.3.i. ► Required Site Design Measures for Small Projects and Detached Single Family Home Projects

On an annual basis, discuss the implementation of the requirements of Provision C.3.i, including ordinance revisions, permit conditions, development of standard specifications and/or guidance materials, and staff training.

Summary:
BASMAA prepared standard specifications in four fact sheets regarding the site design measures listed in Provision C.3.i, as a resource for Permittees. We have modified our plan check procedures and conditions of approval for discretionary projects to require all applicable projects approved after December 1, 2012 to implement at least one of the site design measures listed in Provision C.3.i. We are using the following Program and BASMAA products for C.3.i implementation: BASMAA's site design fact sheets and the SMCWPPP C.3 Regulated Projects Checklist.

³ If there is only 1 treatment measure in the jurisdiction, the agency must inspect it every year.

C.3.b.v.(1) ► Regulated Projects Reporting Table (part 1) – Projects Approved During the Fiscal Year Reporting Period

Project Name Project No.	Project Location ¹⁰ , Street Address	Name of Developer	Project Phase No. ¹¹	Project Type & Description ¹²	Project Watershed ¹³	Total Site Area (Acres)	Total Area of Land Disturbed (Acres)	Total New Impervious Surface Area (ft ²) ¹⁴	Total Replaced Impervious Surface Area (ft ²) ¹⁵	Total Pre- Project Impervious Surface Area ¹⁶ (ft ²)	Total Post- Project Impervious Surface Area ¹⁷ (ft ²)
Private Projects											
None	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Public Projects											
None	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Comments:											

¹⁰ Include cross streets

¹¹ If a project is being constructed in phases, indicate the phase number and use a separate row entry for each phase. If not, enter "NA".

¹² Project Type is the type of development (i.e., new and/or redevelopment). Example descriptions of development are: 5-story office building, residential with 160 single-family homes with five 4-story buildings to contain 200 condominiums, 100 unit 2-story shopping mall, mixed use retail and residential development (apartments), industrial warehouse.

¹³ State the watershed(s) in which the Regulated Project is located. Downstream watershed(s) may be included, but this is optional.

¹⁴ All impervious surfaces added to any area of the site that was previously existing pervious surface.

¹⁵ All impervious surfaces added to any area of the site that was previously existing impervious surface.

¹⁶ For redevelopment projects, state the pre-project impervious surface area.

¹⁷ For redevelopment projects, state the post-project impervious surface area.

C.3.b.v.(1) ► Regulated Projects Reporting Table (part 2) – Projects Approved During the Fiscal Year Reporting Period (private projects)

Project Name Project No.	Application Deemed Complete Date ¹⁸	Application Final Approval Date ¹⁹	Source Control Measures ²⁰	Site Design Measures ²¹	Treatment Systems Approved ²²	Type of Operation & Maintenance Responsibility Mechanism ²³	Hydraulic Sizing Criteria ²⁴	Alternative Compliance Measures ^{25/26}	Alternative Certification ²⁷	HM Controls ^{28/29}
Private Projects										
None	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Comments:										

¹⁸ For private projects, state project application deemed complete date. If the project did not go through discretionary review, report the building permit issuance date.

¹⁹ For private projects, state project application final discretionary approval date. If the project did not go through discretionary review, report the building permit issuance date.

²⁰ List source control measures approved for the project. Examples include: properly designed trash storage areas; storm drain stenciling or signage; efficient landscape irrigation systems; etc.

²¹ List site design measures approved for the project. Examples include: minimize impervious surfaces; conserve natural areas, including existing trees or other vegetation, and soils; construct sidewalks, walkways, and/or patios with permeable surfaces, etc.

²² List all approved stormwater treatment system(s) to be installed onsite or at a joint stormwater treatment facility (e.g., flow through planter, bioretention facility, infiltration basin, etc.).

²³ List the legal mechanism(s) (e.g., O&M agreement with private landowner; O&M agreement with homeowners' association; O&M by public entity, etc...) that have been or will be used to assign responsibility for the maintenance of the post-construction stormwater treatment systems.

²⁴ See Provision C.3.d.i. "Numeric Sizing Criteria for Stormwater Treatment Systems" for list of hydraulic sizing design criteria. Enter the corresponding provision number of the appropriate criterion (i.e., 1.a., 1.b., 2.a., 2.b., 2.c., or 3).

²⁵ For Alternative Compliance at an offsite location in accordance with Provision C.3.e.i.(1), on a separate page, give a discussion of the alternative compliance site including the information specified in Provision C.3.b.v.(1)(m)(i) for the offsite project.

²⁶ For Alternative Compliance by paying in-lieu fees in accordance with Provision C.3.e.i.(2), on a separate page, provide the information specified in Provision C.3.b.v.(1)(m)(ii) for the Regional Project.

²⁷ Note whether a third party was used to certify the project design complies with Provision C.3.d.

²⁸ If HM control is not required, state why not.

²⁹ If HM control is required, state control method used (e.g., method to design and size device(s) or method(s) used to meet the HM Standard, and description of device(s) or method(s) used, such as detention basin(s), bioretention unit(s), regional detention basin, or in-stream control).

Permittee Name: City of San Bruno

C.3.b.v.(1) ► Regulated Projects Reporting Table (part 2) – Projects Approved During the Fiscal Year Reporting Period (public projects)

Project Name Project No.	Approval Date ³⁰	Date Construction Scheduled to Begin	Source Control Measures ³¹	Site Design Measures ³²	Treatment Systems Approved ³³	Operation & Maintenance Responsibility Mechanism ³⁴	Hydraulic Sizing Criteria ³⁵	Alternative Compliance Measures ^{36/37}	Alternative Certification ³⁸	HM Controls ^{39/40}
Public Projects										
None	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Comments:										

³⁰ For public projects, enter the plans and specifications approval date.

³¹ List source control measures approved for the project. Examples include: properly designed trash storage areas; storm drain stenciling or signage; efficient landscape irrigation systems; etc.

³² List site design measures approved for the project. Examples include: minimize impervious surfaces; conserve natural areas, including existing trees or other vegetation, and soils; construct sidewalks, walkways, and/or patios with permeable surfaces, etc.

³³ List all approved stormwater treatment system(s) to be installed onsite or at a joint stormwater treatment facility (e.g., flow through planter, bioretention facility, infiltration basin, etc.).

³⁴ List the legal mechanism(s) (e.g., maintenance plan for O&M by public entity, etc...) that have been or will be used to assign responsibility for the maintenance of the post-construction stormwater treatment systems.

³⁵ See Provision C.3.d.i. "Numeric Sizing Criteria for Stormwater Treatment Systems" for list of hydraulic sizing design criteria. Enter the corresponding provision number of the appropriate criterion (i.e., 1.a., 1.b., 2.a., 2.b., 2.c., or 3).

³⁶ For Alternative Compliance at an offsite location in accordance with Provision C.3.e.i.(1), on a separate page, give a discussion of the alternative compliance site including the information specified in Provision C.3.b.v.(1)(m)(i) for the offsite project.

³⁷ For Alternative Compliance by paying in-lieu fees in accordance with Provision C.3.e.i.(2), on a separate page, provide the information specified in Provision C.3.b.v.(1)(m)(ii) for the Regional Project.

³⁸ Note whether a third party was used to certify the project design complies with Provision C.3.d.

³⁹ If HM control is not required, state why not.

⁴⁰ If HM control is required, state control method used (e.g., method to design and size device(s) or method(s) used to meet the HM Standard, and description of device(s) or method(s) used, such as detention basin(s), bioretention unit(s), regional detention basin, or in-stream control).

Permittee Name: City of San Bruno

C.3.h.iv. ► Table of Installed Stormwater Treatment Systems Operation and Maintenance Verification Inspection Program Reporting

Fill in table below or attach your own table including the same information.

Name of Facility/Site Inspected	Address of Facility/Site Inspected	Newly Installed? (YES/NO) ⁴¹	Party Responsible ⁴² For Maintenance	Date of Inspection	Type of Inspection ⁴³	Type of Treatment/HM Control(s) Inspected ⁴⁴	Inspection Findings or Results ⁴⁵	Enforcement Action Taken ⁴⁶	Comments/Follow-up
Pacific Bay Vistas	4300 Susan Drive	NO	AIMCO	4/2/2014	Follow-up	Longitudinal Flow-Through Planters – Recreation Building – “R1”	Proper Installation	Not Applicable	Compliant
Pacific Bay Vistas	4300 Susan Drive	NO	AIMCO	8/2/2013	Follow-up	Longitudinal Flow-Through Planters – Recreation Building – “R2”	Improper Installation	Repair Required. Building Permit Final Approval Withheld	Follow-up inspection from previous fiscal year. Re-inspected on 4/2/2014. See next row.
Pacific Bay Vistas	4300 Susan Drive	NO	AIMCO	4/2/2014	Follow-up	Longitudinal Flow-Through Planters – Recreation Building – “R2”	Proper Installation	Not Applicable	Compliant
Pacific Bay Vistas	4300 Susan Drive	NO	AIMCO	8/2/2013	Follow-up	Longitudinal Flow-Through Planters – Recreation Building – “R3”	Improper Installation	Repair Required. Building Permit Final Approval Withheld	Follow-up inspection from previous fiscal year. Re-inspected on 4/2/2014. See next row.
Pacific Bay Vistas	4300 Susan Drive	NO	AIMCO	4/2/2014	Follow-up	Longitudinal Flow-Through Planters – Recreation Building – “R3”	Proper Installation	Not Applicable	Compliant
Pacific Bay Vistas	4300 Susan Drive	NO	AIMCO	8/2/2013	Follow-up	Bioretention Area – Recreation Building – BIO-4 “R4” (South side)	Improper Installation	Repair Required. Building Permit Final Approval Withheld	Follow-up inspection from previous fiscal year. Re-inspected on 4/2/2014. See next row.

⁴¹ Indicate “YES” if the facility was installed within the reporting period, or “NO” if installed during a previous fiscal year.

⁴² State the responsible operator for installed stormwater treatment systems and HM controls.

⁴³ State the type of inspection (e.g., 45-day, routine or scheduled, follow-up, etc.).

⁴⁴ State the type(s) of treatment systems inspected (e.g., bioretention facility, flow-through planter, infiltration basin, etc...) and the type(s) of HM controls inspected, and indicate whether the treatment system is an onsite, joint, or offsite system.

⁴⁵ State the inspection findings or results (e.g., proper installation, improper installation, proper O&M, immediate maintenance needed, etc.).

⁴⁶ State the enforcement action(s) taken, if any.

C.3.h.iv. ► Table of Installed Stormwater Treatment Systems Operation and Maintenance Verification Inspection Program Reporting

Fill in table below or attach your own table including the same information.

Name of Facility/Site Inspected	Address of Facility/Site Inspected	Newly Installed? (YES/NO) ⁴¹	Party Responsible ⁴² For Maintenance	Date of Inspection	Type of Inspection ⁴³	Type of Treatment/HM Control(s) Inspected ⁴⁴	Inspection Findings or Results ⁴⁵	Enforcement Action Taken ⁴⁶	Comments/Follow-up
Pacific Bay Vistas	4300 Susan Drive	NO	AIMCO	4/2/2014	Follow-up	Bioretention Area – Recreation Building – BIO-4 “R4” (South side)	Proper Installation	Not Applicable	Compliant
Cedar Mills	Southwest Corner of Pepper Dr and Cedar Ave	NO	Lennar	6/30/2014	Follow-up	Cedar Mills Lane Pavers (Turf Block) - onsite	Proper Installation	Not Applicable	Follow-up inspection from previous fiscal year. Compliant.
Skycrest	Southeast corner of San Bruno Ave and Glenview Dr	NO	Skycrest HOA, RealManage	4/9/2014	Scheduled	Vault	Maintenance Required	HOA Informed of the need for maintenance	HOA confirmed that maintenance shall be performed. See next row.
Skycrest	Southeast corner of San Bruno Ave and Glenview Dr	NO	Skycrest HOA, RealManage	4/21/2014	Follow-up	Vault	Proper O&M	Not Applicable	Compliant
Marisol	College Drive Entrance	NO	HOA Quality Management	6/17/2014	Scheduled	Vault	Maintenance Required	HOA informed of the need for maintenance	HOA confirmed that maintenance shall be performed.
Marisol	College Drive Loop Road	NO	HOA Quality Management	6/17/2014	Scheduled	Bio-swale	Proper O&M	Not Applicable	Compliant
The Crossing	Commodore Drive	NO	Sares Regis Management Company	6/17/2014	Scheduled	Vault – CDS #4	Maintenance Required	HOA informed of the need for maintenance	HOA confirmed that maintenance shall be performed

C.3.e.vi.Special Projects Reporting Table												
Reporting Period – January 1 – June 30, 2014												
Project Name & No.	Permittee	Address	Application Submittal Date ⁴⁷	Status ⁴⁸	Description ⁴⁹	Site Total Acreage	Density DU/Acre	Density FAR	Special Project Category ⁵⁰	LID Treatment Reduction Credit Available ⁵¹	List of LID Stormwater Treatment Systems ⁵²	List of Non-LID Stormwater Treatment Systems ⁵³
406-418 San Mateo Avenue – The Plaza Project #: GPA14-001, ZA14-001, AR14-002	City of San Bruno	406 - 418 San Mateo Avenue	March 28, 2014	Final Discretionary Approval is Pending. Reporting is based on revised plans re-submitted on May 21, 2014	Mixed Use Project consisting of 83 residential units, approximately 5,562 s.f. of commercial space, and a subgrade garage containing 106 parking spaces. The building is 3 stories tall.	.95 Acres	87 du/acre	2.39 FAR	-Category B -The project is located in downtown San Bruno Avenue within the C-B-D (Central Business Zoning District). - Density: 2.39 FAR (Density is expressed in FAR for mixed-use projects). Parking: 106 parking spaces are provided in a subgrade parking garage.	- Category B - The maximum total LID Treatment Reduction Credit available is 50%.	-Flow Through Planter: 57.8% - Self-Treating Area: .4%	- Contech Stormfilter: 41.8% - Contech Stormfilter unit has been certified by the Regional Board for Non-LID treatment.

⁴⁷ Date that a planning application for the Special Project was submitted.

⁴⁸ Indicate whether final discretionary approval is still pending or has been granted, and provide the date or version of the project plans upon which reporting is based.

⁴⁹ Type of project (commercial, mixed-use, residential), number of floors, number of units, type of parking, and other relevant information.

⁵⁰ For each applicable Special Project Category, list the specific criteria applied to determine applicability. For each non-applicable Special Project Category, indicate n/a.

⁵¹ For each applicable Special Project Category, state the maximum total LID Treatment Reduction Credit available. For Category C Special Projects also list the individual Location, Density, and Minimized Surface Parking Credits available.

⁵² List all LID stormwater treatment systems proposed. For each type, indicate the percentage of the total amount of runoff identified in Provision C.3.d. for the Special Project's drainage area.

⁵³ List all non-LID stormwater treatment systems proposed. For each type of non-LID treatment system, indicate: (1) the percentage of the total amount of runoff identified in Provision C.3.d. for the Special Project's drainage area, and (2) whether the treatment system either meets minimum design criteria published by a government agency or received certification issued by a government agency, and reference the applicable criteria or certification.

Infeasibility Analysis

(Excerpt From 6/13/14 Completeness Response Letter Provided by Applicant)

Section II.E.10 of the C.3 Regulated Project Checklist states that bioretention facilities may be used for the project if both infiltration and rainwater harvesting are found to be infeasible. Infiltration was found to be infeasible due to the site soil conditions (II.E.2). Rainwater harvesting was found to be infeasible due to the calculated density of the project (II.E.5 and II.E.6). To the maximum extent possible LID bioretention facilities have been designed to treat stormwater at the project.

The proposed mixed-use development is a redevelopment of existing commercial uses on approximately .95 acres. The project is bordered on the west, south and east by public streets and by an existing commercial building and public parking lot to the north. The project will consist of a podium building with subterranean parking and will encompass the entire site. Implementation of 100% LID treatment is infeasible for this high density infill redevelopment project due to the limited amount of landscaping available for bioretention and the infeasibility of altered LID measures listed above. Incorporation of additional landscaping area would significantly alter the design of the project and would necessitate decreasing the project's density. Providing additional space for landscaping and bioretention at the expense of habitable residential and commercial space would make the project economically infeasible.

The project is designed to treat approximately 60% of the impervious area runoff by using LID treatment facilities. These include planter boxes and self-retaining areas. Approximately 40% of the impervious area runoff is designed to be treated through a non-LID mechanical storm filter. The project is divided into three drainage management areas (DMA) that will each drain to a separate stormwater treatment facilities. DMA1 will take approximately 40% of the impervious surface area runoff from the roof, courtyard and walkways to be treated in a mechanical storm filter prior to discharge into the existing storm drain system on Taylor Avenue. DMA2 will take approximately 59.5% of the runoff to be conveyed to LID planter boxes prior to discharging through its own pipe system to the existing storm drain system. DMA3 will take approximately 0.5% of the runoff to be conveyed to self-retaining areas.

In addition, off-site LID treatment is infeasible given that the applicant does not own or control any land within the same watershed of the project that could accommodate in perpetuity off-site bioretention facilities to treat the runoff from the project. Nor is there known to be any regional LID mitigation project available in-lieu of C.3 compliance.

Section 4 – Provision C.4 Industrial and Commercial Site Controls

Program Highlights
<p>Provide background information, highlights, trends, etc.</p> <p>General: The City of San Bruno has an agreement with the County Environmental Health Department (CEH) to perform business Stormwater inspections for food and hazmat facilities. Inspections, follow-up inspections, and enforcement are performed by CEH stormwater inspectors or technicians in accordance with the City's Enforcement Response Plan (ERP). The City also has an active Business Inspection Plan (BIP) which lists high and low priority businesses for inspection that were not already on the CEH list. No businesses were found to be high priority. Three businesses were found to be low-priority and scheduled for inspection once every two years. Inspections for those 3 low-priority businesses were not performed for this fiscal year.</p> <p>In addition to inspections listed above, the City also performs stormwater inspections as part of the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Code Enforcement: The Building Official, Building Inspectors, and Code Enforcement Officers participate in Countywide storm water meetings. They also regularly participate in regional building inspection and code enforcement networking groups that also discuss stormwater issues. 3 verbal warnings and 0 warning notice/NOV related to stormwater were issued during Code Enforcement inspections performed on private residences (thus these will not be reported or further discussed in the tables below). • Business Compliance (BC) permits prior to the issuance of the business license (for change of ownership, new location or new business opening: A Building Inspector will inspect the site for any safety issues and also look at other issues such as waste and garbage disposal, and stormwater. Inspectors may advise business owners about best management practices. 84 BC inspections were performed for the 2013/2014 fiscal year. These inspections are performed on a pro-active basis. They are also used to determine if businesses should be assigned a high or low priority for inspections. <p>Committee Participation: Staff participates in the following Countywide Stormwater Pollution Prevention Program committees: Commercial, Industrial and Illicit Discharge (CII) Subcommittee; Municipal Maintenance (MM); Trash Committee; and others.</p> <p>Training: The Building Inspectors and Code Enforcement Officers attended training from the San Mateo Countywide Water Pollution Prevention Program for "Stormwater Training for Construction Site Inspectors" and "Illicit Discharge Inspection Workshop" for the FY. Every year, San Bruno's inspectors and other staff members (engineers, planners) attend the training sessions offered by the Program.</p>

C.4.b.i. ► Business Inspection Plan
<p>Do you have a Business Inspection Plan? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No</p> <p>If No, explain:</p>

C.4.b.iii.(1) ► Potential Facilities List

List below or attach your list of industrial and commercial facilities in your Inspection Plan to inspect that could reasonably be considered to cause or contribute to pollution of stormwater runoff.

See attached "Potential Facilities List Inspected by the City of San Bruno Inspectors" and "Potential Facilities List, Facilities Inspected by San Mateo County." FY 13-14 AR Form 4-2 June 2014.

C.4.b.iii.(2) ► Facilities Scheduled for Inspection

List below or attach your list of facilities scheduled for inspection during the current fiscal year.

See attached "Facilities Scheduled for Inspection."

C.4.c.iii.(1) ► Facility Inspections

Fill out the following table or attach a summary of the following information. Indicate your violation reporting methodology below.

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Permittee reports multiple discrete violations on a site as one violation.
<input type="checkbox"/>	Permittee reports the total number of discrete violations on each site.

	Number	Percent
Number of businesses inspected	147	
Total number of inspections conducted	161	
Number of violations (excluding verbal warnings)	3	
Sites inspected in violation	3	2%
Violations resolved within 10 working days or otherwise deemed resolved in a longer but still timely manner	0**	0%

Comments:

County Environmental Health (CEH): Food and Haz Mat program inspectors conduct routine Stormwater inspections at inventoried sites based on High, Medium, and Low priorities. If a violation or discharge is observed, a description of the violation is noted on the Inspection Report form, including comments and/or requirements that the facility must complete to clear the violation. If the violation is not cleared at the time of the inspection, a copy of the Inspection Report form is given to a CEH stormwater technician for follow up.

**** All violations were corrected within 3 months.** CEH Staffing transitions contributed to a lapse in tracking outstanding violations. Many times, violations are corrected in a timely manner but staffing limitations prevent assurance of violation correction within 10 days. Re-inspections are prioritized, based on the severity of the violation. Continued follow up with stormwater technicians will be conducted during the next Reporting Period to ensure that follow up inspections occur within the recommended period.

C.4.b.iii.(1)
Potential Facilities List
Facilities Inspected by San Mateo County

Municipality
SAN BRUNO

Facility	Address		City
SAN BRUNO WELL #20	1ST		SAN BRUNO
BELLE AIR ELEMENTARY	450 3RD		SAN BRUNO
REVOLUTION FOODS	890 7TH		SAN BRUNO
BART SHAW RD SUBSTATION	983 7TH		SAN BRUNO
VOLIKOS ENTERPRISES	999 7TH		SAN BRUNO
JACK SAN BRUNO	1050 ADMIRAL	A	SAN BRUNO
PORTOLA ELEMENTARY SCHOOL	300 AMADOR		SAN BRUNO
SEVEN ELEVEN 2366-14335C	105 ANGUS		SAN BRUNO
DECIMA ALLEN SCHOOL	875 ANGUS		SAN BRUNO
PG&E AIRPORT SUBSTATION	ANGUS AVE & SIXTH AVE		SAN BRUNO
SAN FRANCISCO PUBLIC UTILITIES	ANGUS AVE & SIXTH AVE		SAN BRUNO
SAN BRUNO CABLE	140 ARBOR		SAN BRUNO
EL CRYSTAL ELEMENTARY	201 BALBOA		SAN BRUNO
BAYHILL 7	999 BAYHILL		SAN BRUNO
MARRIOTT COURTYARD	1050 BAYHILL		SAN BRUNO
JOHN BAYHILL CAFE	1111 BAYHILL		SAN BRUNO
CAFE ON THE LAKE	1200 BAYHILL	#121	SAN BRUNO
BASKIN ROBBINS	9 BAYHILL SHOPPING CENTER	9	SAN BRUNO
CVS/PHARMACY #9807	10 BAYHILL SHOPPING CENTER		SAN BRUNO
VIETNAM VILLAGE	12 BAYHILL SHOPPING CENTER		SAN BRUNO
MOLLIE STONES MARKET	22 BAYHILL SHOPPING CENTER		SAN BRUNO
JOHN MUIR ELEMENTARY	130 CAMBRIDGE		SAN BRUNO
SPIRAL JAPANESE RESTAURANT	705 CAMINO PLAZA		SAN BRUNO
OCEAN PALACE CHINESE RESTAURANT	711 CAMINO PLAZA		SAN BRUNO
AKAGI RESTAURANT	713 CAMINO PLAZA		SAN BRUNO
PASTA POMODORO	811 CHERRY	#A	SAN BRUNO
850 CHERRY	850 CHERRY		SAN BRUNO
WALMART @ COMMERCE CAFE	850 CHERRY		SAN BRUNO
SUNNYS SUSHI	851 CHERRY	#34	SAN BRUNO
AUS KITCHEN	851 CHERRY	6	SAN BRUNO
JAMBA JUICE	851 CHERRY	5	SAN BRUNO
CAFE GRILLADES	851 CHERRY	#16	SAN BRUNO
TACO BELL #18327	851 CHERRY		SAN BRUNO
STARBUCKS COFFEE	851 CHERRY		SAN BRUNO
EXTREME PIZZA	851 CHERRY	8	SAN BRUNO
CARLS JR #315 RESTAURANT	899 CHERRY		SAN BRUNO
GOOGLE INC	901 CHERRY		SAN BRUNO
YOU TUBE	901 CHERRY		SAN BRUNO
DAVITA	1178 CHERRY		SAN BRUNO
SAN BRUNO PARKS & REC DEPT	251 CITY		SAN BRUNO
SKYLINE COLLEGE BOOKSTORE-BLDG 6	3300 COLLEGE	6	SAN BRUNO
PACIFIC DINING- SKYLINE	3300 COLLEGE		SAN BRUNO
SKYLINE COLLEGE	3300 COLLEGE		SAN BRUNO
23RD MARINES HEADQUARTERS	900 COMMODORE		SAN BRUNO
ROLLINGWOOD ELEMENTARY	2500 COTTONWOOD		SAN BRUNO
SEVEN ELEVEN FOOD STORE #15910	2100 CRESTMOOR		SAN BRUNO
CRESTMOOR ELEMENTARY	2322 CRESTMOOR		SAN BRUNO
CRESTMOOR PUMP STATION	3641 CRESTMOOR		SAN BRUNO
SAN BRUNO SR CITIZENS NUTRITION CTR	1555 CRYSTAL SPRINGS		SAN BRUNO
HARRY TRACY WATER TREATMENT PLANT	2901 CRYSTAL SPRINGS		SAN BRUNO
KIEWIT INFRASTRUCTURE WEST CO	2901 CRYSTAL SPRINGS		SAN BRUNO

C.4.b.iii.(1)
Potential Facilities List
Facilities Inspected by San Mateo County

Municipality
SAN BRUNO

Facility	Address		City
SAN BRUNO WELL #18	CYPRESS		SAN BRUNO
ONE HOUR DRY CLEANING	100 EL CAMINO REAL		SAN BRUNO
CAMINO CORNER RESTAURANT	110 EL CAMINO REAL		SAN BRUNO
MOTORCYCLE MADNESS	115 EL CAMINO REAL		SAN BRUNO
OLE'S CARBURETOR & ELECTRIC INC	120 EL CAMINO REAL		SAN BRUNO
LONDON FISH & CHIPS #2	130 EL CAMINO REAL	B	SAN BRUNO
NORTH SOUTH SEAFOOD RESTAURANT	130 EL CAMINO REAL	C	SAN BRUNO
THE DOG HOUSE	130 EL CAMINO REAL	A	SAN BRUNO
PENINSULA SEA FOOD MART	135 EL CAMINO REAL		SAN BRUNO
LIQUOR LOCKER	185 EL CAMINO REAL		SAN BRUNO
SMILING BBQ	189 EL CAMINO REAL		SAN BRUNO
HOWARD JOHNSON SAN BRUNO	190 EL CAMINO REAL		SAN BRUNO
PENINSULA TRANSMISSION	200 EL CAMINO REAL		SAN BRUNO
CELIAS	201 EL CAMINO REAL		SAN BRUNO
RIB SHACK	223 EL CAMINO REAL		SAN BRUNO
CALI	235 EL CAMINO REAL		SAN BRUNO
TODAM TOFU HOUSE	260 EL CAMINO REAL		SAN BRUNO
ORIGINAL BUFFALO WINGS	287 EL CAMINO REAL		SAN BRUNO
KOBE SAKE	312 EL CAMINO REAL		SAN BRUNO
WALGREENS #2939	333 EL CAMINO REAL		SAN BRUNO
WALGREENS COMPANY	333 EL CAMINO REAL		SAN BRUNO
VICTORY HONDA	345 EL CAMINO REAL		SAN BRUNO
ICHIBAN SUSHI	352 EL CAMINO REAL		SAN BRUNO
CAFE MARIO	354 EL CAMINO REAL		SAN BRUNO
SAN BRUNO CABLE	398 EL CAMINO REAL		SAN BRUNO
DON PICOS	461 EL CAMINO REAL		SAN BRUNO
WENDYS IN SAN BRUNO	499 EL CAMINO REAL		SAN BRUNO
RAMADA LIMITED	500 EL CAMINO REAL		SAN BRUNO
QUALITY AUTOMOTIVE SHOP	501 EL CAMINO REAL		SAN BRUNO
SAN BRUNO CAR WASH	512 EL CAMINO REAL		SAN BRUNO
GATEWAY INN & SUITES	516 EL CAMINO REAL		SAN BRUNO
SAN BRUNO CITY FIRE DEPT	555 EL CAMINO REAL		SAN BRUNO
SAN BRUNO CITY HALL	567 EL CAMINO REAL		SAN BRUNO
INTL HOUSE OF PANCAKES #625	590 EL CAMINO REAL		SAN BRUNO
MIDAS MUFFLER	700 EL CAMINO REAL		SAN BRUNO
SAN BRUNO SHELL	798 EL CAMINO REAL		SAN BRUNO
DOUBLE AA EL CAMINO	800 EL CAMINO REAL		SAN BRUNO
SPEEDEE OIL LUBE & TUNE UP	801 EL CAMINO REAL		SAN BRUNO
CHILIS BAR & GRILL	899 EL CAMINO REAL		SAN BRUNO
KAISER PERMANENTE	901 EL CAMINO REAL		SAN BRUNO
EUROPEAN CAR SERVICE	928 EL CAMINO REAL		SAN BRUNO
SENIORES PIZZA	992 EL CAMINO REAL		SAN BRUNO
JC PENNEY STORE #1959	1122 EL CAMINO REAL		SAN BRUNO
TARGET STORE #1054	1150 EL CAMINO REAL		SAN BRUNO
LENSCRAFTERS #5115	1150 EL CAMINO REAL	265	SAN BRUNO
THE SHOPS AT TANFORAN	1150 EL CAMINO REAL	170	SAN BRUNO
SEARS KEY #6909 AUTO CENTER	1178 EL CAMINO REAL		SAN BRUNO
SEARS #1478	1178 EL CAMINO REAL		SAN BRUNO
TANFORAN SHELL #8	1199 EL CAMINO REAL		SAN BRUNO
RED LOBSTER RESTAURANT #0549	1210 EL CAMINO REAL		SAN BRUNO
STARBUCKS COFFEE CO	1212 EL CAMINO REAL		SAN BRUNO

C.4.b.iii.(1)
Potential Facilities List
Facilities Inspected by San Mateo County

Municipality
SAN BRUNO

Facility	Address		City
QUICKLY	1212 EL CAMINO REAL		SAN BRUNO
DICKYS BARBEQUE	1212 EL CAMINO REAL	D	SAN BRUNO
MIJOURI SUSHI BUNE	1230 EL CAMINO REAL	B	SAN BRUNO
MANILA BAY CUISINE	1230 EL CAMINO REAL	J	SAN BRUNO
RED RIBBON BAKESHOP	1230 EL CAMINO REAL	#P	SAN BRUNO
JIM RESTAURANT	1230 EL CAMINO REAL	D/E	SAN BRUNO
LA SALSA FRESH MEXICAN GRILL	1230 EL CAMINO REAL	Q	SAN BRUNO
MARSHALLS #492	1268 EL CAMINO REAL		SAN BRUNO
CHUCK E CHEESE	1270 EL CAMINO REAL		SAN BRUNO
RED ROBIN RESTAURANT	1274 EL CAMINO REAL		SAN BRUNO
BK 6260	1278 EL CAMINO REAL		SAN BRUNO
LEADER CLEANERS	1310 EL CAMINO REAL	H	SAN BRUNO
SAVE MART SUPERMARKETS	1322 EL CAMINO REAL		SAN BRUNO
LOWES	1340 EL CAMINO REAL		SAN BRUNO
DAYS INN SAN BRUNO	1550 EL CAMINO REAL		SAN BRUNO
JIFFY LUBE	1580 EL CAMINO REAL		SAN BRUNO
VIENNA PIZZERIA & RESTAURANTS INC	1590 EL CAMINO REAL		SAN BRUNO
SAN BRUNO KOREAN BBQ	1610 EL CAMINO REAL		SAN BRUNO
TOTOS PIZZERIA OF SAN BRUNO	1690 EL CAMINO REAL		SAN BRUNO
JACK IN THE BOX #404	1700 EL CAMINO REAL		SAN BRUNO
CHILTON AUTO BODY INC	1720 EL CAMINO REAL		SAN BRUNO
PATIO FILIPINO	1770 EL CAMINO REAL		SAN BRUNO
MID EAST MARKET	1776 EL CAMINO REAL		SAN BRUNO
MID EAST MEAT MARKET	1778 EL CAMINO REAL		SAN BRUNO
FAT WONGS KITCHEN	1780 EL CAMINO REAL		SAN BRUNO
AMAMI SUSHI CORPORATION	1789 EL CAMINO REAL		SAN BRUNO
ARCO 00743	1799 EL CAMINO REAL		SAN BRUNO
SAN BRUNO ARCO	1799 EL CAMINO REAL		SAN BRUNO
CISCO SYSTEMS INC	950 ELM		SAN BRUNO
SAN BRUNO WELL #16	FOREST		SAN BRUNO
SHARP PARK PUMP STATION	3496 HIGHLAND		SAN BRUNO
SAN BRUNO WELL #17	HUNTINGTON		SAN BRUNO
SAN BRUNO CORPORATION YARD	225 HUNTINGTON		SAN BRUNO
ARTICHOKE JOES ENTERPRISE INC	659 HUNTINGTON		SAN BRUNO
ARTICHOKE JOES	659 HUNTINGTON		SAN BRUNO
HUNTINGTON LIQUOR	763 HUNTINGTON		SAN BRUNO
CHUYS DELI	875 HUNTINGTON		SAN BRUNO
SAN BRUNO POLICE	1177 HUNTINGTON		SAN BRUNO
STAY BRIDGE SUITES	1350 HUNTINGTON		SAN BRUNO
CREST DRY CLEANERS	705 JENEVEIN		SAN BRUNO
TONYS AUTO REPAIR	601 KAINS		SAN BRUNO
ROUND TABLE PIZZA	730 KAINS		SAN BRUNO
CAPUCHINO HIGH SCHOOL	1501 MAGNOLIA		SAN BRUNO
SAN BRUNO WATER PUMP STATION # 5	MAPLE		SAN BRUNO
MOBILL RESTORATION	1013 MONTGOMERY		SAN BRUNO
MONTGOMERY PLUS AUTO CLINIC	1014 MONTGOMERY		SAN BRUNO
PRECISION AUTO SVC	1018 MONTGOMERY		SAN BRUNO
A & W AUTO BODY	1045 MONTGOMERY		SAN BRUNO
AUTO PLUS BODY SHOP	1051 MONTGOMERY		SAN BRUNO
VINCES SHELLFISH CO INC	1063 MONTGOMERY		SAN BRUNO
A & Z AUTO BODY SHOP	1079 MONTGOMERY		SAN BRUNO

C.4.b.iii.(1)
Potential Facilities List
Facilities Inspected by San Mateo County

Municipality
SAN BRUNO

Facility	Address	City
LANGI AIRPORT EQUIPMENT REPAIR	1121 MONTGOMERY	SAN BRUNO
NATIONAL COLOR AUTO PAINT INC	1136 MONTGOMERY	SAN BRUNO
VH AUTOMOTIVE	1137 MONTGOMERY	SAN BRUNO
FINE LINE AUTOBODY	1144 MONTGOMERY	SAN BRUNO
C & M AUTOMOTIVE	1157 MONTGOMERY	SAN BRUNO
G D RACING	1169 MONTGOMERY	SAN BRUNO
INTERNATIONAL AUTOBODY	1172 MONTGOMERY	SAN BRUNO
UNIQUE CUSTOM COLLISION CENTER	1200 MONTGOMERY	SAN BRUNO
PRIMESHAPE AUTOBODY INC	1212 MONTGOMERY	SAN BRUNO
MUSCAT AUTO BODY	1229 MONTGOMERY	SAN BRUNO
PRESTIGE AUTO BODY	1245 MONTGOMERY	A SAN BRUNO
CRANKSHAFT CORPORATION	1247 MONTGOMERY	SAN BRUNO
BUDS AUTO CLINIC	1254 MONTGOMERY	SAN BRUNO
AMAZON	1255 MONTGOMERY	SAN BRUNO
TRIPLE M BODY SHOP	1071 MONTOMERY	SAN BRUNO
SAN FRANCISCO COUNTY JAIL #3	1 MORELAND	SAN BRUNO
ARCHSTONE APARTMENTS	NATIONAL/COMMODORE	SAN BRUNO
PARKSIDE INTERMEDIATE	1801 NILES	SAN BRUNO
ST ROBERTS CHURCH & SCHOOL	345 OAK	SAN BRUNO
PG&E SAN BRUNO SUBSTATION	PEPPER	SAN BRUNO
PENINSULA HIGH SCHOOL	300 PIEDMONT	SAN BRUNO
PENINSULA HIGH SCHOOL /PAINT SHOP	300 PIEDMONT	SAN BRUNO
ROLLINGWOOD 76	2000 ROLLINGWOOD	SAN BRUNO
GAS & MART	2001 ROLLINGWOOD	SAN BRUNO
SHARI	2010 ROLLINGWOOD	SAN BRUNO
SEVEN ELEVEN #2231-14341B	2020 ROLLINGWOOD	SAN BRUNO
VEOLIA ENVIRONMENTAL SVCS SPL SVCS INC	SAN ANDREAS RESERVOIR	SAN BRUNO
LOMITA PARK PUMP STATION	1049 SAN ANTONIO	SAN BRUNO
AMIR-HALAL MEAT MARKET	189 SAN BRUNO	SAN BRUNO
MR BATHTUB INC	199 SAN BRUNO	SAN BRUNO
HANLONS TIRE SERVICE	205 SAN BRUNO	SAN BRUNO
MELODY TOYOTA	222 SAN BRUNO	SAN BRUNO
AUTOLOGIC	265 SAN BRUNO	SAN BRUNO
SAN BRUNO TRANSMISSION	271 SAN BRUNO	SAN BRUNO
SAN BRUNO VALERO	310 SAN BRUNO	SAN BRUNO
SAN BRUNO VALERO	310 SAN BRUNO	SAN BRUNO
ARAUJOS LA TAQUERIA	404 SAN BRUNO	SAN BRUNO
MR PICKLES SANDWICH SHOP	428 SAN BRUNO	SAN BRUNO
ROLLING PIN DONUTS LLC	429 SAN BRUNO	SAN BRUNO
G M AUTO BODY	482 SAN BRUNO	SAN BRUNO
GREEN VALLEY MARKET	504 SAN BRUNO	SAN BRUNO
QUALITY BRAKE TUNE UP	511 SAN BRUNO	SAN BRUNO
TASTEBUDS	582 SAN BRUNO	SAN BRUNO
LA DORA LIQUORS & DELI	604 SAN BRUNO	SAN BRUNO
INDIA FOOD MARKET	650 SAN BRUNO	E SAN BRUNO
TRUST AUTO AND PERFORMANCE	675 SAN BRUNO	SAN BRUNO
NEW YORK PIZZA	700 SAN BRUNO	SAN BRUNO
SAN BRUNO AVE BP AUTO REPAIR	717 SAN BRUNO	SAN BRUNO
SAN BRUNO 76 #2611200	717 SAN BRUNO	SAN BRUNO
GRUMPYS MOTORCYCLES	797 SAN BRUNO	SAN BRUNO
TOM & JACKS AUTO SERVICE & REPAIR	798 SAN BRUNO	SAN BRUNO

C.4.b.iii.(1)
Potential Facilities List
Facilities Inspected by San Mateo County

Municipality
SAN BRUNO

Facility	Address	City
SAN BRUNO KWIK SERVE	2101 SAN BRUNO	SAN BRUNO
LUNARDIS MARKET	2801 SAN BRUNO	SAN BRUNO
CRESTMOR AUTO CENTER	2901 SAN BRUNO	SAN BRUNO
SAN BRUNO 76	401 SAN MATEO	SAN BRUNO
ISLA RESTAURANT	422 SAN MATEO	SAN BRUNO
ROMA DELI	424 SAN MATEO	SAN BRUNO
SWEET CONNECTIONS	430 SAN MATEO	SAN BRUNO
MINGS RESTAURANT	436 SAN MATEO	SAN BRUNO
THE FUEL PUMP CAFE	440 SAN MATEO	N1 SAN BRUNO
CLEOS BRAZILIAN STEAK HOUSE	446 SAN MATEO	SAN BRUNO
PAPER MOON RESTAURANT	448 SAN MATEO	SAN BRUNO
HIRO JAPANESE RESTAURANT	449 SAN MATEO	SAN BRUNO
KUYAS ASIAN CUISINE, INC	460 SAN MATEO	SAN BRUNO
THAI NAKORN RESTAURANT	464 SAN MATEO	SAN BRUNO
WEST COAST CAFE	466 SAN MATEO	SAN BRUNO
BESANS INTERNATIONAL MARKET	480 SAN MATEO	SAN BRUNO
SAJJ RAYS PIZZA	482 SAN MATEO	SAN BRUNO
BIG JOES #8	487 SAN MATEO	SAN BRUNO
NEELAM PACIFIC MARKET	492 SAN MATEO	SAN BRUNO
CABALEN	495 SAN MATEO	SAN BRUNO
NEWELLS	497 SAN MATEO	SAN BRUNO
HON LIN RESTAURANT, INC	500 SAN MATEO	SAN BRUNO
STARBUCKS COFFEE CO	501 SAN MATEO	SAN BRUNO
MEXICANA PRODUCE	512 SAN MATEO	SAN BRUNO
KOREA GARDEN BBQ	528 SAN MATEO	SAN BRUNO
SAN BRUNO LIQUORS	542 SAN MATEO	SAN BRUNO
DIDDALIDOO, LLC	544 SAN MATEO	SAN BRUNO
EL NOPAL TAQUERIA	551 SAN MATEO	SAN BRUNO
SUSHI HOUSE	576 SAN MATEO	SAN BRUNO
INNYA LAKE RESTAURANT	586 SAN MATEO	SAN BRUNO
LOS PRIMOS MEAT MARKET, INC	599 SAN MATEO	SAN BRUNO
GRAND LEADER MARKET	600 SAN MATEO	SAN BRUNO
MAR Y MAR SEAFOOD	602 SAN MATEO	SAN BRUNO
K-GRILL TOFU HOUSE	617 SAN MATEO	SAN BRUNO
FIJI MARKET	621 SAN MATEO	SAN BRUNO
AMMA CURRY HOUSE & MARKET	632 SAN MATEO	SAN BRUNO
LITTLE SUPER PIZZA	637 SAN MATEO	SAN BRUNO
HONG WOO CHINESE RESTAURANT	649 SAN MATEO	SAN BRUNO
CASCADE ECO FRIENDLY CLEANERS	675 SAN MATEO	SAN BRUNO
GEORGIOS RESTAURANT	677 SAN MATEO	SAN BRUNO
MADE IN CHINA RESTAURANT	681 SAN MATEO	SAN BRUNO
AJI ICHI	695 SAN MATEO	SAN BRUNO
LA PALOMA	699 SAN MATEO	SAN BRUNO
CHEERS	701 SAN MATEO	SAN BRUNO
PAPA JOHN PIZZA	735 SAN MATEO	SAN BRUNO
HPR BISTRO	741 SAN MATEO	SAN BRUNO
AMERICAN LEGION POST 409	757 SAN MATEO	SAN BRUNO
MC AUTO BODY	828 SAN MATEO	SAN BRUNO
EXTRA CARE	840 SAN MATEO	SAN BRUNO
LALOMA AUTO BODY SHOP	848 SAN MATEO	SAN BRUNO
C & C AUTOMOTIVE REFINISHING	860 SAN MATEO	SAN BRUNO

C.4.b.iii.(1)
Potential Facilities List
Facilities Inspected by San Mateo County

Municipality
SAN BRUNO

Facility	Address	City
CMC AUTO BODY	887 SAN MATEO	SAN BRUNO
TECHNICS BODY SHOP	898 SAN MATEO	SAN BRUNO
SAMS FOOD MARKET	899 SAN MATEO	SAN BRUNO
D&J AUTO REPAIR	900 SAN MATEO	SAN BRUNO
JLK PRECISION MOTORCYCLE	908 SAN MATEO	SAN BRUNO
RICHARDS AUTO REPAIR	910 SAN MATEO	SAN BRUNO
FIVE STAR AUTO BODY WORKS	916 SAN MATEO	SAN BRUNO
K-119 OF CALIFORNIA INC	925 SAN MATEO	SAN BRUNO
SAN BRUNO AUTO CENTER INC	965 SAN MATEO	SAN BRUNO
G & P AUTO SERVICE	1029 SAN MATEO	SAN BRUNO
FOUR SEAS AUTO SERVICE	1037 SAN MATEO	SAN BRUNO
EXPRESS AUTO REPAIR	1041 SAN MATEO	SAN BRUNO
TAQUERIA SAN BRUNO	1045 SAN MATEO	SAN BRUNO
TECHNIC AUTO REPAIR	1051 SAN MATEO	SAN BRUNO
T & J AUTOMOTIVE	1073 SAN MATEO	SAN BRUNO
LINDEN AUTO SERVICE	1077 SAN MATEO	SAN BRUNO
PACIFIC BELL TELEPHONE dba AT & T	1101 SAN MATEO	SAN BRUNO
JAPAN AUTO SERVICE	1121 SAN MATEO	SAN BRUNO
TRANSMISSION AUTO REPAIR & BODY WK	1125 SAN MATEO	SAN BRUNO
E & E ENTERPRISE	1129 SAN MATEO	SAN BRUNO
NOR CAL	1133 SAN MATEO	SAN BRUNO
TERRA NOVA AUTO SERVICE	1137 SAN MATEO	SAN BRUNO
A & R AUTOMOTIVE	1141 SAN MATEO	SAN BRUNO
HARRIS FORKLIFT SERVICE	1161 SAN MATEO	SAN BRUNO
GAVAS AUTO BODY	1177 SAN MATEO	SAN BRUNO
CERTIFIED AUTO BODY	1201 SAN MATEO	SAN BRUNO
EXPRESS CAFE	1225 SAN MATEO	SAN BRUNO
ADVANCED AUTO BODY	1229 SAN MATEO	SAN BRUNO
SEGOVIA AUTO BODY INC	1249 SAN MATEO	SAN BRUNO
SUPERIOR AUTO REPAIR	1253 SAN MATEO	SAN BRUNO
VALLEJO AUTO BODY & PAINT	1269 SAN MATEO	SAN BRUNO
GERSON AUTO BODY SHOP	1273 SAN MATEO	SAN BRUNO
B & B TRANSMISSIONS	1299 SAN MATEO	SAN BRUNO
THE SHOP	1153-55 SAN MATEO	SAN BRUNO
A & F AUTO BODY REPAIR	1261-65 SAN MATEO	SAN BRUNO
LIMITLESS MOTORSPORTS	1049 SAN MATEO AVE	SAN BRUNO
OIL CHANGERS #303	2880 SKYLINE	SAN BRUNO
GOLDEN GATE NATIONAL CEMETERY	1300 SNEATH	SAN BRUNO
SAN BRUNO PARK SCHOOL MAINT YARD	2101 SNEATH	SAN BRUNO
PG&E SNEATH LANE SUBSTATION	SNEATH AND SKYLINE	SAN BRUNO
MONTE VERDE ELEMENTARY	2551 ST CLOUD	SAN BRUNO
SAN BRUNO TRANSFER STATION	101 TANFORAN	SAN BRUNO
SMCO RECYCLE ONLY HHW COLLECTION	101 TANFORAN	SAN BRUNO
LEGACY AUTO TECH	161 TANFORAN	SAN BRUNO

**C.4.b.iii.(2)
Facilities Scheduled for Inspeicon**

**Municipality
SAN BRUNO**

Facility	Address	City	Last Inspection
REVOLUTION FOODS	890 7TH	SAN BRUNO	No Activity
AMIR-HALAL MEAT MARKET	189 SAN BRUNO	SAN BRUNO	No Activity
CLEOS BRAZILIAN STEAK HOUSE	446 SAN MATEO	SAN BRUNO	No Activity
BESANS INTERNATIONAL MARKET	480 SAN MATEO	SAN BRUNO	No Activity
SAJJ RAYS PIZZA	482 SAN MATEO	SAN BRUNO	No Activity
HON LIN RESTAURANT, INC	500 SAN MATEO	SAN BRUNO	No Activity
DIDDALIDOO, LLC	544 SAN MATEO	SAN BRUNO	No Activity
FIJI MARKET	621 SAN MATEO	SAN BRUNO	No Activity
MADE IN CHINA RESTAURANT	681 SAN MATEO	SAN BRUNO	No Activity
ADVANCED AUTO BODY	1229 SAN MATEO	SAN BRUNO	No Activity
AJI ICHI	695 SAN MATEO	SAN BRUNO	12/9/2008
SAN BRUNO LIQUORS	542 SAN MATEO	SAN BRUNO	12/29/2008
SAN BRUNO KOREAN BBQ	1610 EL CAMINO REAL	SAN BRUNO	1/6/2009
SUSHI HOUSE	576 SAN MATEO	SAN BRUNO	1/8/2009
SAMS FOOD MARKET	899 SAN MATEO	SAN BRUNO	1/16/2009
EXPRESS CAFE	1225 SAN MATEO	SAN BRUNO	1/28/2009
LA PALOMA	699 SAN MATEO	SAN BRUNO	2/3/2009
LOS PRIMOS MEAT MARKET, INC	599 SAN MATEO	SAN BRUNO	2/24/2009
MEXICANA PRODUCE	512 SAN MATEO	SAN BRUNO	3/11/2009
INNYA LAKE RESTAURANT	586 SAN MATEO	SAN BRUNO	3/27/2009
STARBUCKS COFFEE CO	501 SAN MATEO	SAN BRUNO	10/1/2009
CHEERS	701 SAN MATEO	SAN BRUNO	10/15/2009
EL NOPAL TAQUERIA	551 SAN MATEO	SAN BRUNO	10/20/2009
LITTLE SUPER PIZZA	637 SAN MATEO	SAN BRUNO	2/11/2010
MIJOURI SUSHI BUNE	1230 EL CAMINO REAL	B SAN BRUNO	2/18/2010
PAPER MOON RESTAURANT	448 SAN MATEO	SAN BRUNO	3/3/2010
GEORGIOS RESTAURANT	677 SAN MATEO	SAN BRUNO	3/4/2010
TAQUERIA SAN BRUNO	1045 SAN MATEO	SAN BRUNO	4/7/2010
MANILA BAY CUISINE	1230 EL CAMINO REAL	J SAN BRUNO	4/27/2010
CHUCK E CHEESE	1270 EL CAMINO REAL	SAN BRUNO	8/13/2010
MID EAST MEAT MARKET	1778 EL CAMINO REAL	SAN BRUNO	10/27/2010
RAMADA LIMITED	500 EL CAMINO REAL	SAN BRUNO	1/4/2011
GREEN VALLEY MARKET	504 SAN BRUNO	SAN BRUNO	1/4/2011
LIQUOR LOCKER	185 EL CAMINO REAL	SAN BRUNO	1/6/2011
GATEWAY INN & SUITES	516 EL CAMINO REAL	SAN BRUNO	1/7/2011
STARBUCKS COFFEE CO	1212 EL CAMINO REAL	SAN BRUNO	1/11/2011
CELIAS	201 EL CAMINO REAL	SAN BRUNO	1/12/2011
G M AUTO BODY	482 SAN BRUNO	SAN BRUNO	1/12/2011
INTERNATIONAL AUTOBODY	1172 MONTGOMERY	SAN BRUNO	1/18/2011
BUDS AUTO CLINIC	1254 MONTGOMERY	SAN BRUNO	1/18/2011
UNIQUE CUSTOM COLLISION CENTER	1200 MONTGOMERY	SAN BRUNO	1/20/2011
C & M AUTOMOTIVE	1157 MONTGOMERY	SAN BRUNO	1/24/2011
B & B TRANSMISSIONS	1299 SAN MATEO	SAN BRUNO	1/25/2011
TECHNIC AUTO REPAIR	1051 SAN MATEO	SAN BRUNO	1/26/2011
NATIONAL COLOR AUTO PAINT INC	1136 MONTGOMERY	SAN BRUNO	1/31/2011
AMAZON	1255 MONTGOMERY	SAN BRUNO	2/14/2011
A & Z AUTO BODY SHOP	1079 MONTGOMERY	SAN BRUNO	2/17/2011
BASKIN ROBBINS	9 BAYHILL SHOPPING CENTER	9 SAN BRUNO	2/22/2011
LA DORA LIQUORS & DELI	604 SAN BRUNO	SAN BRUNO	2/23/2011
RED RIBBON BAKESHOP	1230 EL CAMINO REAL	#P SAN BRUNO	3/2/2011
STARBUCKS COFFEE	851 CHERRY	SAN BRUNO	3/3/2011
SAN BRUNO VALERO	310 SAN BRUNO	SAN BRUNO	3/4/2011
PARKSIDE INTERMEDIATE	1801 NILES	SAN BRUNO	3/9/2011
JOHN BAYHILL CAFE	1111 BAYHILL	SAN BRUNO	3/16/2011
SKYLINE COLLEGE BOOKSTORE-BLDG 6	3300 COLLEGE	6 SAN BRUNO	3/17/2011
CRESTMOR ELEMENTARY	2322 CRESTMOR	SAN BRUNO	3/23/2011
NEW YORK PIZZA	700 SAN BRUNO	SAN BRUNO	4/5/2011
ST ROBERTS CHURCH & SCHOOL	345 OAK	SAN BRUNO	4/19/2011
PRIMESHAP AUTOBODY INC	1212 MONTGOMERY	SAN BRUNO	5/16/2011
FINE LINE AUTOBODY	1144 MONTGOMERY	SAN BRUNO	5/19/2011
SUPERIOR AUTO REPAIR	1253 SAN MATEO	SAN BRUNO	5/19/2011

**C.4.b.iii.(2)
Facilities Scheduled for Inspeicon**

**Municipality
SAN BRUNO**

Facility	Address	City	Last Inspection
A & F AUTO BODY REPAIR	1261-65 SAN MATEO	SAN BRUNO	5/19/2011
A & R AUTOMOTIVE	1141 SAN MATEO	SAN BRUNO	5/25/2011
GAVAS AUTO BODY	1177 SAN MATEO	SAN BRUNO	5/25/2011
MONTGOMERY PLUS AUTO CLINIC	1014 MONTGOMERY	SAN BRUNO	6/6/2011
K-119 OF CALIFORNIA INC	925 SAN MATEO	SAN BRUNO	6/13/2011
QUALITY BRAKE TUNE UP	511 SAN BRUNO	SAN BRUNO	7/25/2011
TOM & JACKS AUTO SERVICE & REPAIR	798 SAN BRUNO	SAN BRUNO	7/27/2011
OIL CHANGERS #303	2880 SKYLINE	SAN BRUNO	8/1/2011
MELODY TOYOTA	222 SAN BRUNO	SAN BRUNO	8/4/2011
SAN BRUNO TRANSMISSION	271 SAN BRUNO	SAN BRUNO	8/4/2011
AUTOLOGIC	265 SAN BRUNO	SAN BRUNO	8/11/2011
CASCADE ECO FRIENDLY CLEANERS	675 SAN MATEO	SAN BRUNO	8/16/2011
VEOLIA ENVIRONMENTAL SVCS SPL SVCS INC	SAN ANDREAS RESERVOIR	SAN BRUNO	8/30/2011
GOLDEN GATE NATIONAL CEMETERY	1300 SNEATH	SAN BRUNO	8/31/2011
SAN BRUNO AUTO CENTER INC	965 SAN MATEO	SAN BRUNO	9/8/2011
HANLONS TIRE SERVICE	205 SAN BRUNO	SAN BRUNO	10/13/2011
TECHNICS BODY SHOP	898 SAN MATEO	SAN BRUNO	10/28/2011
FIVE STAR AUTO BODY WORKS	916 SAN MATEO	SAN BRUNO	10/28/2011
EXPRESS AUTO REPAIR	1041 SAN MATEO	SAN BRUNO	10/28/2011
LEGACY AUTO TECH	161 TANFORAN	SAN BRUNO	11/1/2011
SAN FRANCISCO COUNTY JAIL #3	1 MORELAND	SAN BRUNO	11/29/2011
MOBILL RESTORATION	1013 MONTGOMERY	SAN BRUNO	12/14/2011
MC AUTO BODY	828 SAN MATEO	SAN BRUNO	12/14/2011
SAN BRUNO WATER PUMP STATION # 5	MAPLE	SAN BRUNO	12/27/2011
ONE HOUR DRY CLEANING	100 EL CAMINO REAL	SAN BRUNO	1/5/2012
LINDEN AUTO SERVICE	1077 SAN MATEO	SAN BRUNO	1/5/2012
NOR CAL	1133 SAN MATEO	SAN BRUNO	1/5/2012
SEGOVIA AUTO BODY INC	1249 SAN MATEO	SAN BRUNO	1/9/2012
SKYLINE COLLEGE	3300 COLLEGE	SAN BRUNO	1/11/2012
CVS/PHARMACY #9807	10 BAYHILL SHOPPING CENTER	SAN BRUNO	1/30/2012
SAN BRUNO CITY FIRE DEPT	555 EL CAMINO REAL	SAN BRUNO	1/30/2012
SAN BRUNO PARK SCHOOL MAINT YARD	2101 SNEATH	SAN BRUNO	2/22/2012
G & P AUTO SERVICE	1029 SAN MATEO	SAN BRUNO	2/23/2012
SAN BRUNO AVE BP AUTO REPAIR	717 SAN BRUNO	SAN BRUNO	2/24/2012
CRESTMoor AUTO CENTER	2901 SAN BRUNO	SAN BRUNO	3/14/2012
CAFE GRILLADES	851 CHERRY	#16 SAN BRUNO	3/23/2012
CISCO SYSTEMS INC	950 ELM	SAN BRUNO	3/26/2012
MOLLIE STONES MARKET	22 BAYHILL SHOPPING CENTER	SAN BRUNO	3/27/2012
NORTH SOUTH SEAFOOD RESTAURANT	130 EL CAMINO REAL	C SAN BRUNO	3/29/2012
VIENNA PIZZERIA & RESTAURANTS INC	1590 EL CAMINO REAL	SAN BRUNO	4/3/2012
JACK IN THE BOX #404	1700 EL CAMINO REAL	SAN BRUNO	4/3/2012
CAPUCHINO HIGH SCHOOL	1501 MAGNOLIA	SAN BRUNO	4/3/2012
PENINSULA HIGH SCHOOL /PAINT SHOP	300 PIEDMONT	SAN BRUNO	4/3/2012
SUNNYS SUSHI	851 CHERRY	#34 SAN BRUNO	4/10/2012
AUS KITCHEN	851 CHERRY	6 SAN BRUNO	4/10/2012
PACIFIC DINING- SKYLINE	3300 COLLEGE	SAN BRUNO	4/10/2012
DAYS INN SAN BRUNO	1550 EL CAMINO REAL	SAN BRUNO	4/11/2012
PG&E AIRPORT SUBSTATION	ANGUS AVE & SIXTH AVE	SAN BRUNO	4/12/2012
PG&E SAN BRUNO SUBSTATION	PEPPER	SAN BRUNO	4/12/2012
PG&E SNEATH LANE SUBSTATION	SNEATH AND SKYLINE	SAN BRUNO	4/12/2012
KOBE SAKE	312 EL CAMINO REAL	SAN BRUNO	4/13/2012
SAN BRUNO SR CITIZENS NUTRITION CTR	1555 CRYSTAL SPRINGS	SAN BRUNO	4/18/2012
JACK SAN BRUNO	1050 ADMIRAL	A SAN BRUNO	4/24/2012
ARTICHOKE JOES	659 HUNTINGTON	SAN BRUNO	4/24/2012
BK 6260	1278 EL CAMINO REAL	SAN BRUNO	4/25/2012
ORIGINAL BUFFALO WINGS	287 EL CAMINO REAL	SAN BRUNO	4/26/2012
CHILIS BAR & GRILL	899 EL CAMINO REAL	SAN BRUNO	5/2/2012
ROLLING PIN DONUTS LLC	429 SAN BRUNO	SAN BRUNO	5/2/2012
RIB SHACK	223 EL CAMINO REAL	SAN BRUNO	5/3/2012
BART SHAW RD SUBSTATION	983 7TH	SAN BRUNO	5/4/2012
CARLS JR #315 RESTAURANT	899 CHERRY	SAN BRUNO	5/4/2012

**C.4.b.iii.(2)
Facilities Scheduled for Inspection**

**Municipality
SAN BRUNO**

Facility	Address	City	Last Inspection
GOOGLE INC	901 CHERRY	SAN BRUNO	5/4/2012
JIM RESTAURANT	1230 EL CAMINO REAL	D/E SAN BRUNO	5/4/2012
MARSHALLS #492	1268 EL CAMINO REAL	SAN BRUNO	5/4/2012
INDIA FOOD MARKET	650 SAN BRUNO	E SAN BRUNO	5/4/2012
CAMINO CORNER RESTAURANT	110 EL CAMINO REAL	SAN BRUNO	5/8/2012
TOTOS PIZZERIA OF SAN BRUNO	1690 EL CAMINO REAL	SAN BRUNO	5/8/2012
QUALITY AUTOMOTIVE SHOP	501 EL CAMINO REAL	SAN BRUNO	5/14/2012
TODAM TOFU HOUSE	260 EL CAMINO REAL	SAN BRUNO	5/15/2012
SAVE MART SUPERMARKETS	1322 EL CAMINO REAL	SAN BRUNO	5/15/2012
SPEEDEE OIL LUBE & TUNE UP	801 EL CAMINO REAL	SAN BRUNO	5/17/2012
TASTEBUDS	582 SAN BRUNO	SAN BRUNO	5/23/2012
SAN FRANCISCO PUBLIC UTILITIES	ANGUS AVE & SIXTH AVE	SAN BRUNO	5/24/2012
BAYHILL 7	999 BAYHILL	SAN BRUNO	6/1/2012
LOWES	1340 EL CAMINO REAL	SAN BRUNO	6/5/2012
VOLIKOS ENTERPRISES	999 7TH	SAN BRUNO	6/7/2012
SMILING BBQ	189 EL CAMINO REAL	SAN BRUNO	6/7/2012
JC PENNEY STORE #1959	1122 EL CAMINO REAL	SAN BRUNO	6/7/2012
YOU TUBE	901 CHERRY	SAN BRUNO	6/11/2012
KAISER PERMANENTE	901 EL CAMINO REAL	SAN BRUNO	6/12/2012
TARGET STORE #1054	1150 EL CAMINO REAL	SAN BRUNO	6/18/2012
CRESTMOR PUMP STATION	3641 CRESTMOOR	SAN BRUNO	6/21/2012
SHARP PARK PUMP STATION	3496 HIGHLAND	SAN BRUNO	6/21/2012
LOMITA PARK PUMP STATION	1049 SAN ANTONIO	SAN BRUNO	6/21/2012
LIMITLESS MOTORSPORTS	1049 SAN MATEO AVE	SAN BRUNO	6/21/2012
INTL HOUSE OF PANCAKES #625	590 EL CAMINO REAL	SAN BRUNO	6/26/2012
MUSCAT AUTO BODY	1229 MONTGOMERY	SAN BRUNO	6/27/2012
PRESTIGE AUTO BODY	1245 MONTGOMERY	A SAN BRUNO	6/27/2012
PRECISION AUTO SVC	1018 MONTGOMERY	SAN BRUNO	6/28/2012
A & W AUTO BODY	1045 MONTGOMERY	SAN BRUNO	6/28/2012
AUTO PLUS BODY SHOP	1051 MONTGOMERY	SAN BRUNO	6/28/2012

POTENTIAL FACILITIES LIST INSPECTED BY CITY OF SAN BRUNO INSPECTORS

Name of Business	Address	Type of Business	Has Industrial General Permit Coverage?	Comments
Tanforan Shopping Center	1150 El Camino Real	Shopping Center	No	Loading dock areas
Bayhill Shopping Center	San Bruno Ave. at Cherry Ave.	Shopping Center	No	Loading dock areas
Towne Center	El Camino Real at Sneath Lane	Shopping Center	No	Loading dock areas

Total number of businesses 3

C.4.c.iii.(2) ► Frequency and Types/Categories of Violations Observed

Fill out the following table or attach a summary of the following information.

Type/Category of Violations Observed	Number of Violations
Actual discharge (e.g. active non-stormwater discharge or clear evidence of a recent discharge)	1
Potential discharge and other	2
Comments: Violations are counted as one per site, regardless of the actual number of discrete violations observed / recorded. One discharge and two potential discharges were recorded during the Reporting Period.	

C.4.c.iii.(2) ► Frequency and Type of Enforcement Conducted

Fill out the following table or attach a summary of the following information.

	Enforcement Action (as listed in ERP) ⁴⁸	Number of Enforcement Actions Taken	% of Enforcement Actions Taken ⁴⁹
Level 1	Verbal Warning	8	73%
Level 2	Warning Notice or Administrative Action	2	18%
Level 3	Administrative Action with Penalty &/or Cost Recovery	1	9%
Level 4	Legal Action/Referral	0	0%
Total		11	100%

C.4.c.iii.(3) ► Types of Violations Noted by Business Category

Fill out the following table or attach a summary of the following information.

Business Category ⁵⁰	Number of Actual Discharge Violations	Number of Potential/Other Discharge Violations
Haz Mat	1	2
Food	0	0
Total	1	2

⁴⁸ Agencies to list specific enforcement actions as defined in their ERPs.

⁴⁹ Percentage calculated as number of each type of enforcement action divided by the total number of enforcement actions.

⁵⁰ List your Program's standard business categories.

C.4.c.iii.(4) ▶ Non-Filers

List below or attach a list of the facilities required to have coverage under the Industrial General Permit but have not filed for coverage:

There were no industries identified as non-filers during scheduled inspections during this fiscal year.

C.4.d.iii ▶ Staff Training Summary

Training Name	Training Dates	Topics Covered	No. of Inspectors in Attendance	Percent of Inspectors in Attendance
Illicit Discharge Inspection Workshop	November 2013	Municipal and Industrial Illicit discharges overview; Inspection protocol	2	33%

Section 5 – Provision C.5 Illicit Discharge Detection and Elimination

Program Highlights

Provide background information, highlights, trends, etc.

The City of San Bruno continued to respond and track illicit discharges, and potential illicit discharges. The City continues to see reduction in the number of complaints.

Refer to the C.5 Illicit Discharge Detection and Elimination section of the SMCWPPP FY 13-14 Annual Report for description of activities at the countywide or regional level.

C.5.c.iii ► Complaint and Spill Response Phone Number and Spill Contact List

List below or attach your complaint and spill response phone number and spill contact list.

Contact	Description	Phone Number
Code Enforcement	Contact for reporting any code violation, including illicit discharges.	650-616-7076
Public Services Corporation Yard	Number is answered by a live person 24/7. Answered during Business hours by City personnel who respond appropriately for illicit discharge cleanup or report the activity to Code Enforcement. After hours call service has a protocol and are trained to dispatch Personnel to respond to observations of illicit discharges and sanitary sewer overflows.	650-616-7160

C.5.d.iii ► Evaluation of Mobile Business Program

Describe implementation of minimum standards and BMPs for mobile businesses and your enforcement strategy. This may include participation in the BASMAA Mobile Surface Cleaners regional program or local activities.

Description:
 San Mateo County Environmental Health performs inspections on mobile businesses within the County. None of the businesses Operating in the County have a facility in San Bruno. The City responds to complaints/observations of illicit discharges from mobile businesses.

The City of San Bruno contracts with a BASMAA-certified vendor to provide high-pressure water and scrubbing cleaning of the sidewalk of San Mateo Avenue in downtown San Bruno. No soap is used. The primary material removed is gum and imbedded discoloring. No areas containing oil are washed—only the sidewalks are cleaned. Storm drain inlets are appropriately protected from wash water.

C.5.e.iii ► Evaluation of Collection System Screening Program

Provide a summary or attach a summary of your collection screening program, a summary of problems found during collection system screening and any changes to the screening program this FY.

Description:

The City of San Bruno inspected 1151 catch basins, with 182 requiring cleaning during FY 13-14. Catch basins are cleaned as part of an annual catch basin cleaning program, which generally begins between the middle of August to the beginning of September and lasts approximately two months. Additionally cleaning of the catch basins is performed throughout the year as needed. No significant problems were encountered during the annual catch basin cleaning program this year.

City Maintenance Crews also thoroughly cleaned and inspected each Catch Basin housing a Connector Pipe Screen (50 in total covering a minimum of 94 acres) four times during FY 13-14. Maintenance records were documented and data was uploaded to the San Francisco Estuary Partnership (SFEP) website. Additionally, each of the Connector Pipe Screens were inspected and/or cleaned during and following a rain event. Maintenance Crews reported that there were no signs of device damage, or evidence of bypass. Lastly, Maintenance crews routinely check for illicit discharges while performing their daily work activities.

C.5.f.iii.(1), (2), (3) ► Spill and Discharge Complaint Tracking

Spill and Discharge Complaint Tracking (fill out the following table or include an attachment of the following information)

	Number	Percentage
Discharges reported (C.5.f.iii.(1))	28	
Discharges reaching storm drains and/or receiving waters (C.5.f.iii.(2))	12	42%
Discharges resolved in a timely manner (C.5.f.iii.(3))	28	100%

Comments:

Discharges due to a Sanitary Sewer Overflow (SSO) were due to a sewer main or lateral blocked by grease, roots or other debris. Wastewater crews are immediately dispatched to these locations upon notification with a vacuum combination unit to retrieve as much of the overflow from the storm drain as possible.

Non-SSO discharges are investigated by Code Enforcement staff. When the complaint is received the Code Enforcement Officer responds as soon as possible to catch the violator in the act and to prevent any more pollution from entering the storm drain. If the discharge has not reached the storm drain, the violator is allowed to clean the gutter and street. If the discharge has reached the storm drain, Public Services is called to clean and vacuum all affected inlets and piping. This may require blocking inlets further down-stream, washing the discharge out completely and vacuuming out the contents so that it does not flow to the Bay. All costs for cleanup are billed to the discharger who also receives enforcement action according the Enforcement Response Plan.

After investigation, some reports are of no merit, such as a neighbor complaining about another neighbor, but then finding no violation or evidence of a violation. In some cases we received complaints of a business dumping into a storm drain in the early morning hours. In either case, staff gives a verbal warning as an educational tool and a reminder that the City is active in water pollution prevention.

C.5.f.iii.(4) ► Summary of major types of discharges and complaints

Provide a narrative or attach a table and/or graph.

The 12 discharges that reached the storm drain system were not fully recovered due to no access for recovery. The material that reached the storm drain was identified as raw sewage.

Section 6 – Provision C.6 Construction Site Controls

C.6.e.iii.1.a, b, c ▶ Site/Inspection Totals		
Number of High Priority Sites (sites disturbing < 1 acre of soil requiring storm water runoff quality inspection) (C.6.e.iii.1.a)	Number of sites disturbing ≥ 1 acre of soil (C.6.e.iii.1.b)	Total number of storm water runoff quality inspections conducted (include only High Priority Site and sites disturbing 1 acre or more) (C.6.e.iii.1.c)
0	1	0
Comments: There are no high priority grading/construction sites identified. Only one site was identified as disturbing more than 1 acre, and site had a SWPPP onsite and filed the NOI with the State. Inspectors performed stormwater inspections throughout the wet season on this site, however no violations were found.		

C.6.e.iii.1.d ▶ Construction Activities Storm Water Violations		
BMP Category	Number of Violations⁵¹ excluding Verbal Warnings	% of Total Violations⁵²
Erosion Control	0	0%
Run-on and Run-off Control	0	0%
Sediment Control	0	0%
Active Treatment Systems	0	0%
Good Site Management	0	0%
Non Stormwater Management	0	0%
Total⁵³	0	100%

NOTE: Project was found to have very good stormwater pollution control during construction and no violations were found (a total of 6 stormwater inspections were made.)

⁵¹ Count one violation in a category for each site and inspection regardless of how many violations/problems occurred in the BMP category. For example, if during one inspection at a site, there are 2 erosion control violations, only 1 violation would be counted for this table.

⁵² Percentage calculated as number of violations in each category divided by total number of violations in all six categories.

⁵³ The total number of violations may count more than one violation per inspection, since some inspections may result in violations in more than one category. For example, during one inspection of a site, there may have been both an erosion control violation and a sediment control violation. For this reason, the total number of violations in this table may not match the total number of enforcement actions reported in Table C6.e.iii.1.e.

C.6.e.iii.1.e ► Construction Related Storm Water Enforcement Actions

	Enforcement Action (as listed in ERP) ⁵⁴	Number Enforcement Actions Issued	% Enforcement Actions Issued⁵⁵
Level 1 ⁵⁶	10-Day Notice to Correct-Courtesy (Verbal Warning*)	0	0%
Level 2	10-Day Notice to Correct-Violation (Notice of Violation or Notice to Comply *)	0	0%
Level 3	Administrative Citation Fine (Stop Work, or Admin. Fine*)	0	0%
Level 4	Legal Action	0	0%
Total		0	100%

NOTE: “*” Indicates the equivalent enforcement action on the SMCWPPP’s “Construction Site Inspection Report” form which was used by City inspectors.

C.6.e.iii.1.f, g ► Illicit Discharges

	Number
Number of illicit discharges, actual and those inferred through evidence at high priority sites and sites that disturb 1 acre or more of land (C.6.e.iii.1.f)	0
Number of sites with discharges, actual and those inferred through evidence at high priority sites and sites that disturb 1 acre or more of land (C.6.e.iii.1.g)	0

⁵⁴ Agencies should list the specific enforcement actions as defined in their ERPs.

⁵⁵ Percentage calculated as number of each type of enforcement action divided by the total number of enforcement actions.

⁵⁶ For example, Enforcement Level 1 may be Verbal Warning.

C.6.e.iii.1.h, i ▶ Violation Correction Times

	Number	Percent
Violations (excluding verbal warnings) fully corrected within 10 business days after violations are discovered or otherwise considered corrected in a timely period (C.6.e.iii.1.h)	0	0% ⁵⁷
Violations (excluding verbal warnings) not fully corrected within 30 days after violations are discovered (C.6.e.iii.1.i)	0	0% ⁵⁸
Total number of violations (excluding verbal warnings) for the reporting year⁵⁹	0	100%
Comments: No violations were found.		

C.6.e.iii.(2) ▶ Evaluation of Inspection Data

Describe your evaluation of the tracking data and data summaries and provide information on the evaluation results (e.g., data trends, typical BMP performance issues, comparisons to previous years, etc.).

Description:
 Description: The same project was active during the 11-13 FY and was active again this year. Violations were issued in year (FY 11-12) with follow up site was in compliance throughout the rainy season for the FY 12-13. Contractors were found to be much more compliant this year than start up year and all inspections revealed sites that were property maintained.

C.6.e.iii.(2) ▶ Evaluation of Inspection Program Effectiveness

Describe what appear to be your program's strengths and weaknesses, and identify needed improvements, including education and outreach.

Description:
 Overall, the City believes that its Inspection Program is effective, due mostly to the fact that City Inspectors are diligent and consistent with their inspections. This consistency has allowed the Inspectors to build good relationships with Developers and Contractors working within the City, which in turn has assisted in Developer compliance with applicable BMP requirements, and timely correction of violations when or if any are discovered.

⁵⁷ Calculated as number of violations fully corrected in a timely period after the violations are discovered divided by the total number of violations for the reporting year.
⁵⁸ Calculated as number of violations not fully corrected within 30 days after the violations are discovered divided by the total number of violations for the reporting year.
⁵⁹ The total number of violations reported in the table of Violation Correction Times equals the number of initial enforcement actions. I.e., This assumes one violation is issued for several problems during an inspection at a site. The total number of violations in the table of Violation Correction Times may not equal the total number of enforcement actions because one violation issued at a site may have a second enforcement action for the same violation at the next inspection if it is not corrected.

C.6.f ► Staff Training Summary

Training Name	Training Dates	Topics Covered	No. of Inspectors in Attendance	Percent of Inspectors in Attendance
SMCWPPP Stormwater Training for Construction Site Inspectors	November 2013	MRP Requirements; differences with CGP; review of SMCWPPP's inspection form.	2	33%

Section 7 – Provision C.7. Public Information and Outreach

C.7.b.ii.1 ► Advertising Campaign

Summarize advertising efforts. Include details such as messages, creative developed, and outreach media used. The detailed advertising report may be included as an attachment. If advertising is being done by participation in a countywide or regional program, refer to the separate countywide or regional Annual Report.

Summary:
 The following separate report developed by BASMAA summarizes the activities of the Regional Youth Litter Campaign:

- BASMAA Be the Street Youth Litter Campaign Report

C.7.b.iii.1 ► Pre-Campaign Survey

(For the Annual Report following the pre-campaign survey) Summarize survey information such as sample size, type of survey (telephone survey, interviews etc.). Attach a survey report that includes the following information. If survey was done regionally, refer to a regional submittal that contains the following information:

Place an **X** in the appropriate box below:

<input type="checkbox"/>	Survey report attached
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Reference to regional submittal: Information on the pre-campaign survey for the BASMAA Regional Youth Litter Campaign was provided in the FY 13-14 Annual Report.

C.7.b.iii.2 ► Post-Campaign Survey

(For the Annual Report following the post-campaign survey) Discuss the campaigns and the measureable changes in awareness and behavior achieved. Provide an update of outreach strategies based on the survey results. If survey was done regionally, refer to a regional submittal that contains the following information:

Place an **X** in the appropriate box below:

<input type="checkbox"/>	Survey report attached
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Reference to regional submittal: Information on the post-campaign survey for the BASMAA Regional Youth Litter Campaign was provided in the BASMAA FY 13-14 Annual Report

C.7.c ► Media Relations

Summarize the media relations effort. Include the following details for each media pitch in the space below, AND/OR refer to a regional report that includes these details:

- Topic and content of pitch
- Medium (TV, radio, print, online)
- Date of publication/broadcast

Summary:

The following separate report developed by BASMAA summarizes media relations efforts conducted during FY 13-14:

- BASMAA Media Relations Final Report FY 13-14

This report and any other media relations efforts conducted countywide is included within the C.7 Public Information and Outreach section of the SMCWPPP FY 13-14 Annual Report.

C.7.d ► Stormwater Point of Contact

Summary of any changes made during FY 13-14:

No Change

C.7.e ► Public Outreach Events

Describe general approach to event selection. Provide a list of outreach materials and giveaways distributed. Use the following table for reporting and evaluating public outreach events

Event Details	Description (messages, audience)	Evaluation of Effectiveness
Provide event name, date, and location. Indicate if event is local, countywide or regional.	Identify type of event (e.g., school fair, farmers market etc.), type of audience (school children, gardeners, homeowners etc.) and outreach messages (e.g., Enviroscape presentation, pesticides, stormwater awareness)	Provide general staff feedback on the event (e.g., success at reaching a broad spectrum of the community, well attended, good opportunity to talk to gardeners etc.). Provide other details such as: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Estimated overall attendance at the event. • Number of people that visited the booth, comparison with previous years • Number of brochures and giveaways distributed • Results of any spot surveys conducted

FY 2013-2014 Annual Report

Permittee Name: City of San Bruno

C.7 – Public Information and Outreach

<p>The following outreach events were conducted on a countywide level by SMCWPPP and are described in detail in the Public Information and Outreach section of the SMCWPPP FY 13-14 Annual Report:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Coastal Cleanup Day, September 21, 2013 • San Mateo County Fair, June 7-15, 2014 	<p>Please see the Countywide FY 13-14 Annual Report for a description.</p> <p>San Bruno promoted these events by posting flyers and posters throughout City Hall and also with announcements on the City's website.</p>	<p>Please see the Countywide FY 13-14 Annual Report for a description.</p>
<p>San Bruno Operation Clean Sweep May 3, 2014</p>	<p>An annual event where the City seeks volunteers to do a number of maintenance and collection activities including painting, weeding, and trash pickup in a variety of locations throughout San Bruno.</p> <p>Residents gather at San Bruno City Park, register, and obtain their work assignments. Volunteers return in the afternoon for a barbeque lunch and distribution of thank you gifts. The local trash hauler, Recology San Bruno, is a major sponsor of the event.</p>	<p>Operation Clean Sweep is an effective outreach event because residents are eager to help their community and often inquire about how they can help more. Citizens become more aware of Stormwater pollution prevention and are pleased to learn of other ways to participate. The event reaches a broad spectrum of the local community and is heavily promoted as it is an annual event.</p> <p>Estimated Attendance for the 2014 event was estimated at 100 residents. Promotional materials, including car was coupons and children's activity were provided as part of the promotional items given away at the end of the event.</p>
<p>San Bruno Farmers' Market November 2, 2013</p>	<p>The San Bruno Farmers' Market is sponsored by the City's Chamber of Commerce. The booths are set up on a two block stretch in downtown San Bruno on San Mateo Avenue. Booth visitors were generally residents of San Bruno and nearby cities seeking to buy organic produce. The City booth was placed at a high traffic area at the west entrance of the market.</p>	<p>The event lasted for 5 hours and approximately 50 reusable bags, 30 bend-a-bottles, and 200 brochures and pamphlets related to Stormwater were distributed. While promotional items ran out early, visitors were still eager to learn about Stormwater issues as well as water conservation rebates. Overall, visitors were very pro-conservation and seemed eager to utilize the information provided.</p>

C.7.f. ► Watershed Stewardship Collaborative Efforts

Summarize watershed stewardship collaborative efforts and/or refer to a regional report that provides details. Describe the level of effort and support given (e.g., funding only, active participation etc.). State efforts undertaken and the results of these efforts. If this activity is done regionally refer to a regional report.

Evaluate effectiveness by describing the following:

- Efforts undertaken
- Major accomplishments

Summary:

A summary of efforts conducted by SMCWPPP to work with Watershed Stewardship Groups on a countywide level is included within the Public Information and Outreach section of the SMCWPPP FY 13-14 Annual Report.

C.7.g. ► Citizen Involvement Events

List the types of events conducted (e.g., creek clean up, storm drain inlet marking, native gardening etc.). Use the following table for reporting and evaluating citizen involvement events.

Event Details	Description	Evaluation of effectiveness
Provide event name, date, and location. Indicate if event is local, countywide or regional	Describe activity (e.g., creek clean-up, storm drain marking etc.)	Provide general staff feedback on the event. Provide other evaluation details such as: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Number of participants. Any change in participation from previous years. • Distance of creek or water body cleaned • Quantity of trash/recyclables collected (weight or volume). • Number of inlets marked. • Data trends
The following outreach events were conducted on a countywide level by SMCWPPP and are described in detail in the Public Information and Outreach section of the SMCWPPP FY 13-14 Annual Report: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Coastal Cleanup Day, September 21, 2013 	Please see the Countywide FY 13-14 Annual Report for a description. San Bruno promoted this event by posting flyers and posters throughout City Hall and also with announcements on the City's website.	Please see the Countywide FY 13-14 Annual Report for a description.

<p>San Bruno Operation Clean Sweep May 3, 2014</p>	<p>An annual event where the City seeks volunteers to do a number of maintenance and collection activities including painting, weeding, and trash pickup in a variety of locations throughout San Bruno.</p> <p>Residents gather at San Bruno City Park, register, and obtain their work assignments. Volunteers return in the afternoon for a barbeque lunch and distribution of thank you gifts. The local trash hauler, Recology San Bruno, is a major sponsor of the event.</p>	<p>Operation Clean Sweep is an effective outreach event because residents are eager to help their community and often inquire about how they can help more. Citizens become more aware of Stormwater pollution prevention and are pleased to learn of other ways to participate. The event reaches a broad spectrum of the local community and is heavily promoted as it is an annual event.</p> <p>Estimated Attendance for the 2014 event was estimated at 100 residents. Promotional materials, including car was coupons and children's activity were provided as part of the promotional items given away at the end of the event.</p>
---	---	---

C.7.h. ► School-Age Children Outreach

Summarize school-age children outreach programs implemented. A detailed report may be included as an attachment. Use the following table for reporting school-age children outreach efforts.

Program Details	Focus & Short Description	Number of Students/Teachers reached	Evaluation of Effectiveness
<p>Provide the following information: Name Grade or level (elementary/ middle/ high)</p>	<p>Brief description, messages, methods of outreach used</p>	<p>Provide number or participants</p>	<p>Provide agency staff feedback. Report any other evaluation methods used (quiz, teacher feedback etc.). Attach evaluation summary if applicable.</p>
<p>SMCWPPP conducted two school-aged children outreach programs countywide. Outreach was conducted at Belle Air Elementary on 10/9/13 and reached 225 students. Outreach was also conducted at Portola Elementary on 10/29/13 and reached 343</p>	<p>Please see the Countywide Program's FY 13-14 Annual Report for reference tables of school outreach conducted by SMCWPPP</p>	<p>Please see the Countywide Program's FY 13-14 Annual Report for reference tables of school outreach conducted by SMCWPPP</p>	<p>Please see the Countywide Program's FY 13-14 Annual Report for reference tables of school outreach conducted by SMCWPPP</p>

students. These programs are summarized in the Public Information and Outreach section of the SMCWPPP FY 13-14 Annual Report.			
---	--	--	--

Section 8 - Provision C.8 Water Quality Monitoring

C.8 ► Water Quality Monitoring

State below if information is reported in a separate regional report. Municipalities can also describe below any Water Quality Monitoring activities in which they participate directly, e.g. participation in RMP workgroups, fieldwork within their jurisdictions, etc.

Summary

During FY 13-14, we contributed through SMCWPPP to the BASMAA Regional Monitoring Coalition (RMC). In addition, we contributed financially to the Regional Monitoring Program for Water Quality in the San Francisco Estuary (RMP) and were represented at RMP committees and work groups. Monitoring efforts and results are documented in a separate report submitted March 15 of each year, as required in Provision C.8. For additional information on monitoring activities conducted by the Program, BASMAA RMC and the RMP, see SMCWPPP's March 2014 Integrated Monitoring Report, Part A.

Section 9 – Provision C.9 Pesticides Toxicity Controls

C.9.b ► Implement IPM Policy or Ordinance

Report implementation of IPM BMPs by showing trends in quantities and types of pesticides used, and suggest reasons for increases in use of pesticides that threaten water quality, specifically organophosphates, pyrethroids, carbaryl, and fipronil. A separate report can be attached as evidence of your implementation.

Trends in Quantities and Types of Pesticides Used⁶⁰					
Pesticide Category and Specific Pesticide Used	Amount⁶¹				
	FY 09-10	FY 10-11	FY 11-12	FY 12-13	FY 13-14
Organophosphates	0	0	0	0	0
Product or Pesticide Type A	0	0	0	0	0
Product or Pesticide Type B	0	0	0	0	0
Pyrethroids	0	0	0	0	0
Product or Pesticide Type X	0	0	0	0	0
Product or Pesticide Type Y	0	0	0	0	0
Carbaryl	0	0	0	0	0
Fipronil	0	0	0	0	0

C.9.c ► Train Municipal Employees

Enter the number of employees that applied or used pesticides (including herbicides) within the scope of their duties this reporting year.	10
Enter the number of these employees who received training on your IPM policy and IPM standard operating procedures within the last 3 years.	10
Enter the percentage of municipal employees who apply pesticides who have received training in the IPM policy and IPM standard operating procedures within the last three years.	100%

⁶⁰ Includes all municipal structural and landscape pesticide usage by employees and contractors.

⁶¹ Weight or volume of the product or preferably its active ingredient, using same units for the product each year. The active ingredients in any pesticide are listed on the label. The list of active ingredients that need to be reported in the pyrethroids class includes: allethrin, bifenthrin, beta-cyfluthrin, bioallethrin, cyfluthrin, cypermethrin, cyphenothrin, deltamethrin, esfenvalerate, etofenprox, fenpropathrin, gamma-cyhalothrin, imiprothrin, lambda-cyhalothrin, metofluthrin, permethrin, phenothrin, prallethrin, resmethrin, sumithrin (d-phenothrin), tau-fluvalinate, tefluthrin, tetramethrin, tralomethrin, cis-permethrin, and zeta-cypermethrin.

C.9.d ▶ Require Contractors to Implement IPM

Did your municipality contract with any pesticide service provider in the reporting year?				<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No
If yes, attach one of the following:							
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Contract specifications that require adherence to your IPM policy and standard operating procedures, OR						
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Copy(ies) of the contractors' IPM certification(s) or equivalent, OR						
<input type="checkbox"/>	Equivalent documentation.						
If Not attached , explain:							

C.9.e ▶ Track and Participate in Relevant Regulatory Processes

Summarize participation efforts, information submitted, and how regulatory actions were affected OR reference a regional report that summarizes regional participation efforts, information submitted, and how regulatory actions were affected.	
Summary: During FY 13-14, we participated in regulatory processes related to pesticides through SMCWPPP, BASMAA and CASQA. For additional information, see the regional report submitted by BASMAA on behalf of all MRP Permittees.	

C.9.f ▶ Interface with County Agricultural Commissioners

Did your municipal staff observe any improper pesticide usage or evidence of improper usage (e.g., pesticides in storm drain systems, along street curbs, or in receiving waters) during this fiscal year?				<input type="checkbox"/>	Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No
If yes, provide a summary of improper pesticide usage reported to the County Agricultural Commissioner and follow-up actions taken to correct any violations. A separate report can be attached as your summary.							

C.9.h.ii ▶ Public Outreach: Point of Purchase

Provide a summary of public outreach at point of purchase, and any measurable awareness and behavior changes resulting from outreach (here or in a separate report); OR reference a report of a regional effort for public outreach in which your agency participates.	
Summary: Please refer to SMCWPPP FY 13-14 Annual Report, Public Information and Outreach section and BASMAA FY 13-14 "Our Water Our World" report	

Permittee Name: City of San Bruno

Efforts to conduct outreach at the countywide level related to pest control contracting are summarized in the SMCWPPP FY 13-14 Annual Report, C.9 Pesticides Toxicity Control section.

C.9.h.vi ► Public Outreach: Pest Control Operators

Provide a summary of public outreach to pest control operators and landscapers and reduced pesticide use (here or in a separate report); **OR** reference a report of a regional effort for outreach to pest control operators and landscapers in which your agency participates.

Summary:

See the C.9 Pesticides Toxicity Control section the SMCWPPP FY 13-14 Annual Report for a summary of our participation in and contributions towards countywide and regional public outreach to pest control operators and landscapers to reduce pesticide use.

City of San Bruno
Standard Operating Procedures for Pesticide Use and Implementation
of Municipality's Integrated Pest Management Policy

Purpose: To minimize the use and reliance on those pesticides that threaten water quality by implementing the city's policy for integrated pest management (IPM) by all municipal employees and contractors hired to manage pests on municipal property.

Responsible Parties: All city personnel that as part of their municipal job duties are authorized to plan, manage, and control pests including pesticide applications and all city personnel that administer municipal contracts for applying pesticide on municipal property.

Contracts & Contractors: Contracts shall include a requirement that the contractor shall adhere to the city's IPM policy. This will be accomplished by using the following procedures:

1. Include a copy or link to the municipality's IPM policy in the contractor solicitation documents, e.g., Request for Proposal or Request for Quote, and make it clear that the pest control services being solicited must comply with the IPM policy.
2. Include a copy of the municipality's IPM policy in the contract's specifications.
3. Meet with the contractor to review the City's IPM policy.

Municipal Employees: Municipal employees who are authorized to manage pests are required to implement the city's IPM policy. This will be accomplished by using the following procedures:

1. Use cultural practices and pest prevention measures to minimize the occurrence of pest problems.
2. Set a threshold of tolerance for pests.
3. Use biological and physical controls that are environmentally appropriate and economically feasible to control pests.
4. Use chemical control as a last resort, and then the least toxic product will be used. Where feasible for structural pest control, insecticides will be applied as containerized baits.
5. Avoid the use of pesticides that threaten water quality⁶² especially in formulations and situations that pose a risk of contaminating stormwater runoff.
6. Train employees on IPM techniques, pesticides-related stormwater pollution prevention methods, the municipality's IPM policy and these standard operating procedures.

⁶² The municipal regional stormwater permit identifies the following pesticides as having a concern to water quality: "organophosphorous pesticides (chlorpyrifos, diazinon, and malathion); pyrethroids (bifenthrin, cyfluthrin, beta-cyfluthrin, cypermethrin, deltamethrin, esfenvalerate, lambda-cyfluthrin, beta-cyfluthrin, cypermethrin, deltamethrin, esfenvalerate, lambda-cyhalothrin, permethrin, and tralomethrin); carbamates (e.g., carbaryl); and fipronil." (Provision C.9)

7. As part of the municipality's annual report for the municipal regional stormwater permit, report on the IPM policy's implementation by showing trends in the quantities and types of pesticides used and suggest reasons for any increases in uses of pesticides that threaten water quality¹ (as required by municipal regional stormwater permit Provision C.9.b.).

City of San Bruno
Integrated Pest Management (IPM) Policy
(Adopted March 2012)

GOAL

The City of San Bruno (City) seeks to protect the health and safety of its employees and the general public, the environment and water quality, as well as to provide sustainable solutions for pest control through the reduced use of pesticides on property including buildings owned or managed by the City by applying Integrated Pesticide Management principles and techniques. The municipal regional stormwater permit requires that the City minimize reliance on pesticides that threaten water quality.

REQUIRED USE OF INTEGRATED PEST MANAGEMENT

Employees implementing pest management controls will use Integrated Pest Management (IPM) techniques that emphasize non-pesticide alternatives. Pesticides will only be used after careful consideration of non-chemical alternatives and then the least toxic chemicals that are effective shall be used. Pest control contractors hired by the City are required to implement IPM to control pests. This will be achieved by hiring only IPM-certified pest control contractors or by including contract specifications requiring contractors to implement IPM methods.

The City will establish written standard operating procedures for pesticide use to ensure implementation of this IPM policy and to require municipal employees and pest control contractors to comply with the standard operating procedures.

The City will track employee and contractor pesticide use and prepare an annual report summarizing pesticide use and evaluating pest control activities performed consistent with the municipal regional stormwater permit's requirements.

The City will review its purchasing procedures, contracts or service agreements with pest control contractors and employee training practices to determine what changes, if any, need to be made to support the implementation of this IPM Policy.

The City will perform educational outreach and/or support Countywide or regional efforts to educate residential and commercial pesticide users on a) goals and techniques of IPM, and b) pesticide related water quality issues consistent with the municipal regional stormwater permit's requirements.

The IPM-based hierarchical decision making process that will be used to control pests will include the following:

1. Based on field observations evaluate locations and sites where pest problems commonly occur to determine pest population, size, occurrence, and natural enemy population, if present. Identify conditions that contribute to the development of pest populations, and decisions and practices that could be employed to manage pest populations
2. Design, construct, and maintain landscapes and buildings to reduce and eliminate pest habitats;

Permittee Name: City of San Bruno

3. Modify management practices including watering, mulching, waste management, and food storage to discourage the development of pest population;
4. Modify pest ecosystems to reduce food, water sources, and harborage;
5. Prioritize the use of physical controls such as mowing weeds, using traps, and installing barriers;
6. Use biological controls to introduce or enhance a pests' natural enemies;
7. When pest populations reach treatment thresholds (based on how much biological, aesthetic, economic or other damage is tolerable) non-pesticide management activities will be evaluated before considering the use of pesticides;
8. When pesticides are necessary, select reduced risk pesticides and use the minimum amounts needed to be effective;
9. Apply pesticides at the most effective treatment time, based on pest biology, monitoring and other variables, such as weather, seasonal changes in wildlife use, and local conditions; and;
10. Whenever possible, use pesticide application methods, such as containerized baits, that minimize opportunities for mobilization of the pesticide in stormwater runoff.

Departments performing pest management activities will identify an IPM coordinator who is responsible for assisting staff with implementation of this IPM policy.

BACKGROUND

Pesticides are defined as: any substance or mixture of substances intended for preventing, destroying, repelling, or mitigating any pest. Pests can be insects, rodents and other animals, unwanted plants (weeds), bacteria or fungi. The term pesticide applies to herbicides, fungicides, insecticides, rodenticides, molluscicides and other substances used to control pests.

Integrated Pest Management (IPM) is an ecosystem-based strategy that focuses on long-term prevention of pests or their damage through a combination of techniques such as biological control, habitat manipulation, modification of cultural practices, and use of resistant varieties. Pesticides are used only after monitoring indicates they are needed according to established guidelines, and treatments are made with the goal of removing only the target organism. Pest control materials are selected and applied in a manner that minimizes risks to human health, beneficial and nontarget organisms, and the environment.

IPM techniques could include biological controls (e.g., ladybugs and other natural enemies or predators); physical or mechanical controls (e.g., hand labor or mowing, caulking entry points to buildings); cultural controls (e.g., mulching, alternative plant type selection, and enhanced cleaning and containment of food sources in buildings); and reduced risk chemical controls (e.g., soaps or oils).

City owned or managed property/facility includes but is not limited to parks and open space, golf courses, roadsides, landscaped medians, flood control channels and other outdoor areas, as well as municipal buildings and structures.

Section 10 - Provision C.10 Trash Load Reduction

C.10.a.iii ► Minimum Full Trash Capture

Provide the following:

- 1) Descriptions of actions/tasks completed towards achieving the Minimum Full Trash Capture requirement in provision C.10.a.iii. Include the:
 - Total number and types of full capture devices (publicly and privately-owned) installed to-date;
 - Total land area (acres) and land areas within each trash generation category (i.e., very high, high, moderate and low) treated by full capture devices (or other types of devices for non-population based Permittees), in comparison to the MRP-required full capture requirements in Attachment J to the MRP; and,
 - Percentage of jurisdictional land areas with very high, high, moderate and low trash generation rates treated by full capture devices.
- 2) A narrative summary of maintenance activities implemented for each device, group of devices, or device type, including descriptions of typical maintenance frequencies and issues associated with maintaining these devices.

Descriptions of Actions/Tasks (Conducted or Planned):

In April 2011, the City installed 41 small full-capture devices (i.e., connector pipe screens) within TMA #2. To supplement the April 2011 installation, the City installed an additional 9 small full-capture devices (i.e., connector pipe screens) in July 2013. The area treated by the 50 small full capture devices is approximately 93 acres of land. In addition to the above small full captures devices per section C.3., the following developments content CDS units and or Bio-swell and Bio-retention devices, developments that content CDS units and or Bio-swell and Bio-retention devices. Merimont 2-CDS treating 8.5 acres (TMA 9), Marisol 1-CDS treating 19.59 acres (TMA 9), Skycrest 1-CDS treating 2.57 acres (TMA 9), Crossing 4-CDS units treating 17.21 acres (TMA 5), Cedar Mills 2- Bio-swell areas treating 1.4 acres (TMA 9), and Pacific Bay Vista Bio-retention units treating 13.3 acres (TMA 9).

Total acres treated by Small Full Trash capture devices, CDS unit, Bio-swell and Bio-detention devices 155.57 acres. This equates to approximately 5 % of the City's jurisdictional area. Jurisdictional Area treated by Full Capture and % of TMA treated by full capture: Low 46 acres 2%, Moderate 107 acres 11%, and High 2 acres 4%. Total require Minimum Treatment area per the MRP is 41 acres.

Descriptions of Maintenance Activities:

- These devices are inspected and maintained prior to the "first flush" with additional inspection and maintenance conducted, as necessary after major storm events (may be up to four times/year). Small full-capture devices are maintained by using a combination sewer truck (i.e. Vactor truck) to pressure wash and vacuum all debris from the catch basin and connector pipe screen. In some instances, the catch basins are shoveled and swept. A Trash Capture Device Maintenance Report is filled out for every maintenance event and is logged on the City's municipality page on www.bayareatrashtacker.org. Paper copies of the Maintenance Reports are also kept at the Public Works Department. To date, the City has experienced no failures or other issues with these devices.
- In FY 13-14, the City of San Bruno also participated in the initial development of a Model Trash Full Capture Device Operation and Maintenance (O&M) Verification Program initiated by SMCWPPP. The model program is intended to provide Permittees with a template for documenting O&M procedures, including inspection and maintenance frequencies. Over the course of the next year, the City Of San Bruno plans to further document the cities-specific O&M verification program by tailoring the Model Program developed by SMCWPPP to incorporate city-specific characteristics/processes. Additional details on the City's O&M verification program will be included in our FY 14-15 Annual Report.

C.10.b.iii ► Trash Hot Spot Assessment

Provide the volume of material removed during each MRP-required Trash Hot Spot cleanup during each fiscal year, and the dominant types of trash (e.g., glass, plastics, paper) removed and their sources in FY 2013-14 to the extent possible.

Trash Hot Spot	FY 13-14 Cleanup Date	Volume of Trash Removed (cubic yards)				Dominant Type(s) of Trash in FY 2013-14	Trash Sources in FY 2013-14 (where possible)
		FY 2010-11	FY 2011-12	FY 2012-13	FY 2013-14		
SBO01	6/16/2014	0.02	0.02	0.03	0.07	Plastic Bags, Paper and cardboard, Other plastic products, Styrofoam, Metal products	Trash accumulation, Litter

C.10.c ► Long-Term Trash Load Reduction Plan

Provide descriptions of significant revisions made to your Long-term Trash Load Reduction Plan submitted to the Water Board in February 2014. Describe significant changes made to primary or secondary trash management areas (TMA), trash generation maps, control measures, or time schedules identified in your plan.	
Description of Significant Revision(s)	Associated TMA
<p>Implementation of No Parking sign was completed in TMA #6 in April 2014</p> <p>Installation of "No Parking-Street Sweeping" signs on Shelter Creek Lane to prohibit parking during sweeping hours. On scheduled sweeping days where signs are present, the sweeper is preceded by a City Community Service Officer who issues citations to vehicles in violation of the posted signs.</p>	<p>TMA # 6</p>

C.10.d ► PART A - Trash Control Measure Implementation and Assessment (Jurisdictional-wide Actions)

Provide a description of each jurisdictional-wide trash control measure implemented to-date. Identify the dominant trash source(s) and dominant type(s) of trash addressed by each control measure. For each jurisdictional-wide measure, identify the trash assessment method(s) used to demonstrate on-going reductions, summarize the results of the assessment(s), and estimate the associated reduction of trash within your jurisdictional area.

Control Measure	Summary Description of Control Measure & Dominant Trash Sources and Types	Assessment Method(s)	Summary of Assessment Results To-date	Estimated % Trash Reduced
Single-use Plastic Bag Ordinance or Policy	<p>In January 2013, the City Council added Chapter 10.25 (Reusable Bag Ordinance to Regulate the Distribution of Single-use Carryout Bags by Retail Establishments) to Title 10 (Municipal Services) of the San Bruno Municipal Code. The ordinance restricts the use of single-use carry-out bags by retailers, including grocery stores, convenience stores, pharmacies and other shops. It does not apply to single-use carry-out bags used for restaurant food take-outs or for produce, meats, bulk foods and prescription medicines. The County of San Mateo Bag Ordinance was adopted by reference and became effective on April 22, 2013.</p>	<p>On behalf of all SMCWPPP Permittees, the County of San Mateo conducted assessments evaluating the effectiveness of the single use plastic bag ban in municipalities within San Mateo County. Assessments conducted by the County included audits of businesses and surveys of customer bag usage at many businesses in San Mateo County. Additionally, the number of complaints by customers was also tracked by the County. The results of assessments conducted by these cities are assumed to be representative of all SMCWPPP Permittees, given the consistency between the scope, implementation, and enforcement of the ordinances among the municipalities. The City of San Bruno developed its % trash reduced estimate using the following assumptions:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) Single use plastic bags comprise 8% of the trash discharged from stormwater conveyances, based on the Regional Trash Generation Study conducted by BASMAA; 2) 95% of single use plastic bags distributed in the City of San Bruno are affected by the implementation of the ordinance, based on the County of San Mateo's Environmental Impact Report; and 	<p>Results of assessments conducted by the County of San Mateo on behalf of all municipalities in San Mateo County indicate that the City's ordinance is effective in reducing the number of single use plastic bags in stormwater discharges. This preliminary conclusion is based on the very small number of complaints received from customers about businesses in San Mateo County that are continuing to use single use plastic bags after ordinances were adopted. Assuming single use bags are 8% of the trash observed in stormwater discharges, the City of San Bruno concludes that there has been a 7% (i.e., 8% x 86% effectiveness in reducing bags) reduction in trash in stormwater discharges as a result of the City's/County's ordinance.</p>	7%

C.10.d ► PART A - Trash Control Measure Implementation and Assessment (Jurisdictional-wide Actions)				
Provide a description of each jurisdictional-wide trash control measure implemented to-date. Identify the dominant trash source(s) and dominant type(s) of trash addressed by each control measure. For each jurisdictional-wide measure, identify the trash assessment method(s) used to demonstrate on-going reductions, summarize the results of the assessment(s), and estimate the associated reduction of trash within your jurisdictional area.				
Control Measure	Summary Description of Control Measure & Dominant Trash Sources and Types	Assessment Method(s)	Summary of Assessment Results To-date	Estimated % Trash Reduced
		3) Of the bags affected by the ordinance, there are now 90% less bags being distributed, based on customer complaints received by the County of San Mateo's Department of Environmental Health Services. This is conservative estimate given that in FY 13-14 Environmental Services only received complaints about 4, of the over 1900 businesses in San Mateo County that are affected by the single-use plastic bag ordinances.		
Expanded Polystyrene Food Service Ware Ordinance or Policy	In January 2009, the City Council added Chapter 10.21 (Sustainable Food Packaging) to Title 10 (Municipal Services) of the San Bruno Municipal Code. The ordinance prohibits food vendors (i.e., any establishment located or providing food within the City) from dispensing prepared food to customers in disposable food service ware made from polystyrene (foam and solid) and requires disposable food service ware to be biodegradable, compostable, reusable or recyclable. Lids, plates, bowls, cups, utensils and straws made of polystyrene are also governed by the ordinance. The ordinance	Although the City of San Bruno has adopted and implemented an ordinance prohibiting the distribution of EPS food ware by food vendors, evaluations of the effectiveness of the ordinance have not yet been conducted. For the purpose of estimating trash reductions in stormwater discharges associated with the ordinance, the results of assessments conducted by the cities of Los Altos and Palo Alto were used to represent the reduction of trash associated with the City's ordinance. Assessments conducted by these cities were conducted prior to and following the effective date of their ordinances, and include audits of businesses and/or assessments of EPS food ware observed on streets, storm drains and local creeks. The results of assessments conducted by these cities are assumed to be representative of the effectiveness of the City's ordinance	Results of assessments that are representative of the City of San Bruno, but were conducted by the cities of Los Altos and Palo Alto, indicate that City's ordinance is effective in reducing EPS food ware in stormwater discharges. This conclusion is based on the following assessment result - an average of 95% of businesses affected by the ordinance are no longer distributing EPS food ware post-ordinance. Based on these results, the estimated average reduction of EPS food ware in stormwater discharges is 90%. Assuming EPS food ware is 6% of the trash observed in stormwater discharges, the City of San Bruno concludes that there has been a	5%

C.10.d ► PART A - Trash Control Measure Implementation and Assessment (Jurisdictional-wide Actions)				
Provide a description of each jurisdictional-wide trash control measure implemented to-date. Identify the dominant trash source(s) and dominant type(s) of trash addressed by each control measure. For each jurisdictional-wide measure, identify the trash assessment method(s) used to demonstrate on-going reductions, summarize the results of the assessment(s), and estimate the associated reduction of trash within your jurisdictional area.				
Control Measure	Summary Description of Control Measure & Dominant Trash Sources and Types	Assessment Method(s)	Summary of Assessment Results To-date	Estimated % Trash Reduced
	provides for exemptions due to extreme hardships, but no exemptions have been applied for to date. The Sustainable Food Packaging Ordinance became effective on April 1, 2010.	<p>because the implementation (including enforcement) of the City's ordinance is similar to the City of Los Altos' and Palo Alto's.</p> <p>The City Of San Bruno developed its % trash reduced estimate using the following assumptions:</p> <p>1) EPS food ware comprises 6% of the trash discharged from stormwater conveyances, based on the Regional Trash Generation Study conducted by BASMAA;</p> <p>2) 80% of EPS food ware distributed by food vendors or sold via stores in the City of San Bruno is affected by the implementation of the ordinance; and</p> <p>3) There is now 95% less EPS food ware being distributed, sold and/or observed in the environment, based on assessments conducted by the City of Palo Alto and City of Los Altos.</p>	5% (i.e., 6% x 90%) reduction in trash in stormwater discharges as a result of the ordinance.	
Public Education and Outreach Programs Targeted at Trash Reduction and Implemented post-MRP Adoption	Through participation and funding of the SMCWPPP's Public Information and Participation program (PIP), the City continued implementing SMCWPPP and BASMAA public education and outreach programs. One program of interest implemented by the	BASMAA conducted post-campaign surveys in FY 13-14 to assess the effectiveness and impacts of their youth litter campaign "Be the Street". The methods used by BASMAA are described in Appendix 16 of the Program's Annual Report	Reductions (i.e., trends) in the levels of trash in stormwater discharges that occur as a result of the implementation of Public Education and Outreach campaigns and programs are very difficult to measure. Both the inherent spatial and temporal variability in trash generation and the timeframes by which	1%

C.10.d ► PART A - Trash Control Measure Implementation and Assessment (Jurisdictional-wide Actions)				
Provide a description of each jurisdictional-wide trash control measure implemented to-date. Identify the dominant trash source(s) and dominant type(s) of trash addressed by each control measure. For each jurisdictional-wide measure, identify the trash assessment method(s) used to demonstrate on-going reductions, summarize the results of the assessment(s), and estimate the associated reduction of trash within your jurisdictional area.				
Control Measure	Summary Description of Control Measure & Dominant Trash Sources and Types	Assessment Method(s)	Summary of Assessment Results To-date	Estimated % Trash Reduced
	<p>City is the BASMAA Youth Outreach Campaign. This Campaign was launched in September 2011 and aims to increase the awareness of Bay Area Youth (ages 16-24) on litter and stormwater pollution issues, and eventually change their littering behaviors.</p> <p>On behalf of the City of San Bruno SMCWPPP and BASMAA also implemented public education and outreach actions at the countywide and regional scales that were targeted at reducing the impacts of trash on local water bodies. For descriptions of these activities, please see Section 7 of the Program's Annual Report.</p> <p>The City of San Bruno sponsored a booth at the Farmers' Market on November 2, 2013 The San Bruno Farmers' Market is sponsored by the City's Chamber of Commerce. The booths are set up on a two block stretch in downtown San Bruno on San Mateo Avenue. Booth visitors were generally residents of San Bruno and nearby cities seeking to buy</p>		<p>behavior change occurs as a result of education and outreach largely governs our ability to link this control measure to water quality outcomes. That said, changing littering behaviors is paramount to the long-term success of trash management programs. As described in Section 7 of the Program's Annual Report, the City of San Bruno has spent significant resources on local, county-wide, and public education and outreach programs that are slowly reducing the generation of trash at its source. Based on the results of assessments conducted by BASMAA in FY 13-14 to assess the effectiveness and impacts of their youth litter campaign "Be the Street" (see Program's Section 7), a modest conservative load reduction associated with public education and outreach programs is assumed.</p>	

C.10.d ► PART A - Trash Control Measure Implementation and Assessment (Jurisdictional-wide Actions)				
Provide a description of each jurisdictional-wide trash control measure implemented to-date. Identify the dominant trash source(s) and dominant type(s) of trash addressed by each control measure. For each jurisdictional-wide measure, identify the trash assessment method(s) used to demonstrate on-going reductions, summarize the results of the assessment(s), and estimate the associated reduction of trash within your jurisdictional area.				
Control Measure	Summary Description of Control Measure & Dominant Trash Sources and Types	Assessment Method(s)	Summary of Assessment Results To-date	Estimated % Trash Reduced
	<p>organic produce. The City booth was placed at a high traffic area at the west entrance of the market.</p> <p>The event lasted for 5 hours and approximately 50 reusable bags, 30 bend-a-bottles, and 200 brochures and pamphlets related to Stormwater were distributed. While promotional items ran out early, visitors were still eager to learn about Stormwater issues as well as water conservation rebates. Overall, visitors were very pro-conservation and seemed eager to utilize the information provided.</p>			
On-Land Clean Up	<p>The City's Street/Storm Division has a part-time employee for the "trash patrol" program five days/week throughout the City in all of the TMA areas during this time period.</p> <p>Approximately 952 hours were devoted to the program during FY 2013-14 with a total volume of 8,910 gallons of trash removed from cleanup activities. The dominant types of trash removed were large items, the smaller items</p>	<p>City staff quantifies the amounts collected by either putting trash in bags or 5 gallon buckets. The amounts are recorded on work orders or in debris removal log book. Note: The 8,910 gallons is the total number of small items of trash/litter; large items are logged but the volume is not included in the 8,910 gallon amount.</p>	<p>The City Of San Bruno developed its % trash reduced estimate using the following data: In FY 12-13 the total amount of litter/trash that was removed by City staff was 7,560 gallons compared to the amount removed in FY 13-14. This is an increase of approximately 18% or 1,350 gallons of additional litter/ trash removed. Base line generation rate for the City of San Bruno is 10,696 gallons. 1,350 additional gallon removed represents 13% additional</p>	13%

C.10.d ► PART A - Trash Control Measure Implementation and Assessment (Jurisdictional-wide Actions)				
Provide a description of each jurisdictional-wide trash control measure implemented to-date. Identify the dominant trash source(s) and dominant type(s) of trash addressed by each control measure. For each jurisdictional-wide measure, identify the trash assessment method(s) used to demonstrate on-going reductions, summarize the results of the assessment(s), and estimate the associated reduction of trash within your jurisdictional area.				
Control Measure	Summary Description of Control Measure & Dominant Trash Sources and Types	Assessment Method(s)	Summary of Assessment Results To-date	Estimated % Trash Reduced
	(trash/litter) are collected and bagged to estimate its quantity, and the larger items are logged and counted separately. Additional City staff assisted with emergency cleanups.		reduction for all TMAs, as compared to FY 12-13.	

C.10.d ► PART B - Trash Control Measure Implementation and Assessment (TMA Specific Actions)

Complete the following trash control measure implementation and assessment summary for each primary trash management area (TMA) identified in your Long-term Plan. Include the following information:

- Identify the total jurisdictional area and the % of that area that generates very high (VH), high (H), moderate (M), or low (L) levels of trash;
- Identify the dominant trash source(s) and dominant type(s) of trash addressed or to-be addressed in the TMA;
- Include the area currently treated by full capture devices, the quantity and type of devices installed to-date, and the % of jurisdictional area that generates very high (VH), high (H), moderate (M), and low (L) levels of trash after accounting for reductions via full capture devices;
- Summarize control measures other than full capture devices implemented to-date, distinguishing between implementation that began pre- and post-MRP effective date. If not implemented in the entire TMA, describe generation category targeted and % of TMA addressed;
- Provide the % of the jurisdictional area that generates very VH, H, M or L levels of trash after accounting for all control measures implemented to-date;
- Describe the methods used to evaluate the effectiveness of control measures other than full capture devices, and any assessment results to-date. If the method was not implemented in the entire TMA, describe generation category targeted and %of TMA addressed; and
- Provide an estimate of the % of trash reduced in the TMA and jurisdiction-wide.

C.10.d ► PART B - Trash Control Measure Implementation and Assessment (TMA Specific Actions)									
TMA ID	TMA Area (Acres)	Dominant Sources	Dominant Types		% TMA in Each Trash Generation Category				
					VH	H	M	L	
1	35	Pedestrians and Vehicles	Paper and cardboard, plastic bottles, aluminum cans, cigarette butts		Baseline Generation (Pre-MRP)	0%	87%	13%	0%
Trash Full Capture Devices		Summary Descriptions of Full Trash Capture Devices (Quantity and Type)			After taking into account Full Capture Devices	0%	87%	13%	0%
Total Area (Acres)	0	N/A							
% of TMA	0%								
% of VH/H/M	0%								
Summary Descriptions of Control Measures Implemented Since MRP Adoption, Other than Full Capture Devices					After taking into account all New or Enhanced (post-MRP) Control Measures	0%	19%	81%	0%
<p>STREET SWEEPING:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Installed "No Parking-Street Sweeping" signs to prohibit parking during sweeping hours. All streets within TMA # 1 are posted except for San Mateo Avenue. Layne Place, Maryland Place and Hensley Avenue (between Sylvan Avenue and West Angus Avenue) are also not posted because they are not swept due to being narrow alleys between buildings. The City developed the street sweeping program to minimize inconvenience to residents by sweeping within an established two-hour window, and limiting sweeping to one side of the street on the scheduled day. As a result, residents may park on the opposite side of the street that is not swept that day. All streets with TMA # 1 except San Mateo Avenue, Jenevein Avenue (between El Camino Real and San Mateo Avenue) and Sylvan Avenue (between San Mateo Avenue and Mastick Avenue) are swept twice/month (1st and 3rd Monday on one side of the street and 1st and 3rd Tuesday). On scheduled sweeping days where signs are present, the sweeper is preceded by a City Community Service Officer who issues citations to vehicles in violation of the posted signs. As a result, cars are consistently not present when sweeping is conducted. Therefore, sweeping practices are effective since the sweeper is reaching the curb. San Mateo Avenue, Jenevein Avenue (between El Camino Real and San Mateo Avenue) and Sylvan Avenue (between San Mateo Avenue and Mastick Avenue) are swept five days/week (Monday- Friday) between 6:00 a.m. and 7:15 a.m. using a Green Machine street sweeper. These streets are not posted "No Parking- Street Sweeping". However, cars are consistently not present when sweeping is conducted since the vast majority of retail and commercial businesses within the downtown area are closed. Therefore, sweeping practices are effective since the sweeper is reaching the curb. Due to the very frequent sweeping of San Mateo Avenue, very minimal trash is present on streets during the week (Monday-Friday). Prior to sweeping San Mateo Avenue, City staff blow trash from the sidewalk into the street along the stretch five days/week (Monday-Friday). As a result, the sweeper is removing additional trash that otherwise would 									

<p>stay on the sidewalk. This practice has been effective in reducing trash loads on San Mateo Avenue resulting in very minimal trash present on sidewalks during the week (Monday-Friday).</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> All City-owned parking lots within TMA #1 are swept twice/week between the hours of 6:00 a.m. and 7:15 a.m. <p>ON-LAND CLEANUPS:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The City's Public Works Department performs on-land cleanup of the San Mateo Avenue corridor and City-owned parking lots five days/week (Monday/Friday) between the hours of 6:00 a.m. and 7:15 a.m. On-land cleanup activities include City staff blowing trash from the sidewalk into the street along San Mateo Avenue, and removing trash from San Mateo Avenue and City-owned parking lots. On-land cleanups have been effective in reducing trash loads and improving overall aesthetics on San Mateo Avenue and City-owned parking lots resulting in very minimal trash present within these areas during the work week (Monday-Friday). 					
<p>Assessment Methods for Control Measures Other than Full Capture Devices</p>					
<p>As part of the City's Long-Term Trash Reduction Plan, we worked collaboratively with other SMCWPPP Permittees to develop our Pilot Trash Assessment Strategy (Strategy), which was submitted to the Water Board in Feb 2014. For areas where control measures other than full capture devices have been implemented, visual on-land trash assessment is the method used to determine the current level of trash in a TMA. Assessments are conducted using a protocol developed by BASMAA member agencies. For each TMA assessed, sites are selected using a probabilistic sample draw to randomly pick sites in a TMA and allow for extrapolation of results within an applicable TMA. Additionally, trash assessment sites may also be targeted to specific streets and properties (these results are not extrapolated). Changes in the level of trash observed via on-land assessments, along with the associated trash generation rates are then used to calculate reductions in trash to-date. The results of the assessments conducted in FY 13-14 are presented below. Additional information on the Strategy, the results of initial assessments, and the method used to calculate % reductions can be found in the Program's FY 13-14 Annual Report.</p>					
<p>Summary of Assessment Results To-date</p>					
<p>In Summer 2014, a total of 2 sites or 2,100 linear feet of streets and sidewalks in this TMA (i.e., 16% of streets/sidewalks with M, H or VH generation rates) were assessed using the on-land visual assessment protocol. Based on the results of these assessments, the area in this TMA where control measures other than full capture devices are implemented was determined have 0% low, 81% moderate, and 19% high levels of trash. The results to the right include not only the reduction observed via on-land assessments, but also via full capture devices (as applicable).</p>	<p>Estimated % Trash Reduction in TMA due to New or Enhanced Post-MRP actions</p>		<p>56%</p>		

C.10.d ► PART B - Trash Control Measure Implementation and Assessment (TMA Specific Actions)									
TMA ID	TMA Area (Acres)	Dominant Sources	Dominant Types		% TMA in Each Trash Generation Category				
					VH	H	M	L	
2	115	Pedestrians and Vehicles	Paper and cardboard, plastic bottles, aluminum cans, cigarette butts	Baseline Generation (Pre-MRP)	0%	6%	94%	0%	
Trash Full Capture Devices		Summary Descriptions of Full Trash Capture Devices (Quantity and Type)			After taking into account Full Capture Devices	0%	5%	15%	80%
Total Area (Acres)	92	In April 2011, the City installed 41 small full-capture devices (i.e., connector pipe screens) within TMA #2. To supplement the April 2011 installation, the City installed an additional 9 small full-capture devices (i.e., connector pipe screens) in July 2013. The area treated by the 50 small full capture devices is approximately 94 acres of land. This equates to approximately 3% of the City's jurisdictional							
% of TMA	80								
% of VH/H/M	80								
Summary Descriptions of Control Measures Implemented Since MRP Adoption, Other than Full Capture Devices									
<p>In April 2011, the City installed 47 partial-capture devices (i.e., automated retractable screens) within TMA #2. To supplement the April 2011 installation, the City installed an additional 9 partial-capture devices (i.e., automated retractable screens) within TMA #2 in July 2013.</p> <p>To address areas with heavy leaf drop, the City has increased sweeping to once/week during the wet season on the following streets or areas:</p> <p><u>500-700 blocks of 1st Avenue through 7th Avenue.</u> Approximately 6.39 curb miles. The street sweeper is reaching the curb since these blocks are posted "No Parking- Street Sweeping".</p> <p><u>San Bruno Avenue between Huntington Avenue and 7th Avenue.</u> The street sweeper is reaching the curb since San Bruno Avenue is a major through fare with no parking.</p> <p>Updated the "Street Sweeping" webpage on the City's website. This webpage provides the street sweeping frequency by residential area within the City. Residents may view a list of streets within a residential area to determine when their street is swept. The webpage is available at: http://sanbruno.ca.gov/pw_streetsweep.html.</p>					After taking into account all New or Enhanced (post-MRP) Control Measures	0%	5%	15%	80%
Assessment Methods for Control Measures Other than Full Capture Devices									
<p>As part of the City's Long-Term Trash Reduction Plan, we worked collaboratively with other SMCWPPP Permittees to develop our Pilot Trash Assessment Strategy (Strategy), which was submitted to the Water Board in Feb 2014. For areas where control measures other than full capture devices have been implemented, visual on-land trash assessment is the method used to determine the current level of trash in a TMA. Assessments are conducted using a protocol developed by BASMAA member agencies. For each TMA assessed, sites are selected using a probabilistic sample draw to randomly pick sites in a TMA and allow for extrapolation of results within an applicable TMA. Additionally,</p>									

<p>trash assessment sites may also be targeted to specific streets and properties (these results are not extrapolated). Changes in the level of trash observed via on-land assessments, along with the associated trash generation rates are then used to calculate reductions in trash to-date. The results of the assessments conducted in FY 13-14 are presented below. Additional information on the Strategy, the results of initial assessments, and the method used to calculate % reductions can be found in the Program's FY 13-14 Annual Report.</p>					
<p>Summary of Assessment Results To-date</p>					
<p>On-land visual assessments were not conducted in this TMA in FY 13-14 and therefore no load reductions associated control measures other than full capture devices are assumed to have occurred. Assessments may be conducted in subsequent years.</p>					
<p>Estimated % Trash Reduction in TMA due to New or Enhanced Post-MRP actions</p>	71%				
<p>Estimated % Trash Reduction Jurisdiction-wide due to New or Enhanced Post-MRP actions</p>	7%				

C.10.d ► PART B - Trash Control Measure Implementation and Assessment (TMA Specific Actions)									
TMA ID	TMA Area (Acres)	Dominant Sources	Dominant Types		% TMA in Each Trash Generation Category				
					VH	H	M	L	
3	289	Pedestrians and Vehicles	Paper and cardboard, plastic bottles, aluminum cans, cigarette butts	Baseline Generation (Pre-MRP)	0%	33%	31%	36%	
Trash Full Capture Devices		Summary Descriptions of Full Trash Capture Devices (Quantity and Type)			After taking into account Full Capture Devices	0%	33%	31%	37%
Total Area (Acres)	1	Approximately 0.9 acres of TMA #3 are being treated by small full-capture devices installed in TMA #2.							
% of TMA	0%								
% of VH/H/M	0%								
Summary Descriptions of Control Measures Implemented Since MRP Adoption, Other than Full Capture Devices					After taking into account all New or Enhanced (post-MRP) Control Measures	0%	33%	31%	37%
<p>In February 2013, the City increased their street sweeping frequency in retail, commercial and industrial areas within TMA #3 from twice/month to once/week. Street sweeping frequency was adjusted to maximize effectiveness. To address areas with heavy leaf drop, the City has increased sweeping to once/week during the wet season on the following streets or areas: <u>San Bruno Avenue between El Camino Real and Huntington Avenue.</u> The street sweeper is reaching the curb since San Bruno Avenue is a major through fare with no parking. <u>Euclid Area (800-900 blocks of Huntington, Mills, Masson, Easton, Green and Hensley Avenues, Euclid Avenue and Forest Lane.</u> Approximately 4.09 curb miles. The street sweeper is reaching the curb since this entire areas is posted with "No Parking- Street Sweeping" signs.</p>									
Assessment Methods for Control Measures Other than Full Capture Devices									
<p>As part of the City's Long-Term Trash Reduction Plan, we worked collaboratively with other SMCWPPP Permittees to develop our Pilot Trash Assessment Strategy (Strategy), which was submitted to the Water Board in Feb 2014. For areas where control measures other than full capture devices have been implemented, visual on-land trash assessment is the method used to determine the current level of trash in a TMA. Assessments are conducted using a protocol developed by BASMAA member agencies. For each TMA assessed, sites are selected using a probabilistic sample draw to randomly pick sites in a TMA and allow for extrapolation of results within an applicable TMA. Additionally, trash assessment sites may also be targeted to specific streets and properties (these results are not extrapolated). Changes in the level of trash observed via on-land assessments, along with the associated trash generation rates are then used to calculate reductions in trash to-date. The results of the assessments conducted in FY 13-14 are presented below. Additional information on the Strategy, the results of initial assessments, and the method used to calculate % reductions can be found in the Program's FY 13-14 Annual Report.</p>									

Summary of Assessment Results To-date					
<p>In July 2014, a total of 3 sites or 3,200 linear feet (3%) of streets and sidewalks were assessed in this TMA using the on-land visual assessments. Only areas with M, H or VH generation rates were assessed. Based on the results of these assessments, the area in this TMA where control measures other than full capture devices are implemented was determined have an 36% low, 31% moderate and 33% high level of trash. The results to the right include not only the reduction observed via on-land assessments, but also via full capture devices (as applicable).</p>					
<p>Estimated % Trash Reduction in TMA due to New or Enhanced Post-MRP actions</p>		1%			
<p>Estimated % Trash Reduction Jurisdiction-wide due to New or Enhanced Post-MRP actions</p>		0%			

C.10.d ► PART B - Trash Control Measure Implementation and Assessment (TMA Specific Actions)									
TMA ID	TMA Area (Acres)	Dominant Sources	Dominant Types		% TMA in Each Trash Generation Category				
					VH	H	M	L	
4	30	Pedestrians and Vehicles	Paper and cardboard, plastic bottles, aluminum cans, cigarette butts	Baseline Generation (Pre-MRP)	0%	0%	100%	0%	
Trash Full Capture Devices		Summary Descriptions of Full Trash Capture Devices (Quantity and Type)			After taking into account Full Capture Devices	0%	0%	100%	0%
Total Area (Acres)	0	N/A							
% of TMA	0%								
% of VH/H/M	0%								
Summary Descriptions of Control Measures Implemented Since MRP Adoption, Other than Full Capture Devices					After taking into account all New or Enhanced (post-MRP) Control Measures	0%	0%	100%	0%
In February 2013, the City increased their street sweeping frequency of the outside and median curbs of El Camino Real from twice/month to once/week . Currently, the outside curbs are swept on Mondays and the median curbs are swept on Fridays. Street sweeping frequency was adjusted to maximize effectiveness.									
Assessment Methods for Control Measures Other than Full Capture Devices									
As part of the City's Long-Term Trash Reduction Plan, we worked collaboratively with other SMCWPPP Permittees to develop our Pilot Trash Assessment Strategy (Strategy), which was submitted to the Water Board in Feb 2014. For areas where control measures other than full capture devices have been implemented, visual on-land trash assessment is the method used to determine the current level of trash in a TMA. Assessments are conducted using a protocol developed by BASMAA member agencies. For each TMA assessed, sites are selected using a probabilistic sample draw to randomly pick sites in a TMA and allow for extrapolation of results within an applicable TMA. Additionally, trash assessment sites may also be targeted to specific streets and properties (these results are not extrapolated). Changes in the level of trash observed via on-land assessments, along with the associated trash generation rates are then used to calculate reductions in trash to-date. The results of the assessments conducted in FY 13-14 are presented below. Additional information on the Strategy, the results of initial assessments, and the method used to calculate % reductions can be found in the Program's FY 13-14 Annual Report.									
Summary of Assessment Results To-date									
In Summer 2014, a total of 1 site or 1,100 linear feet of streets and sidewalks in this TMA (i.e., 5% of streets/sidewalks with M, H or VH generation rates) were assessed using the on-land visual assessment protocol. Based on the results of these assessments, the area in this TMA where control measures other than full capture devices are implemented was determined have 0% low, 100% moderate, and 0% high levels of trash. The results to the right include not only the reduction observed via on-land assessments, but also via full capture devices (as applicable).									
Estimated % Trash Reduction in TMA due to New or Enhanced Post-MRP actions					0%				
Estimated % Trash Reduction Jurisdiction-wide due to New or Enhanced Post-MRP actions					0%				

C.10.d ► PART B - Trash Control Measure Implementation and Assessment (TMA Specific Actions)									
TMA ID	TMA Area (Acres)	Dominant Sources	Dominant Types		% TMA in Each Trash Generation Category				
					VH	H	M	L	
5	295	Pedestrians and Vehicles	Paper and cardboard, plastic bottles, aluminum cans, cigarette butts	Baseline Generation (Pre-MRP)	0%	33%	5%	62%	
Trash Full Capture Devices		Summary Descriptions of Full Trash Capture Devices (Quantity and Type)		After taking into account Full Capture Devices	0%	33%	0%	67%	
Total Area (Acres)	16	4-CDS units treating 16 acres							
% of TMA	5%								
% of VH/H/M	5%								
Summary Descriptions of Control Measures Implemented Since MRP Adoption, Other than Full Capture Devices					After taking into account all New or Enhanced (post-MRP) Control Measures	0%	33%	0%	67%
<p>In February 2013, the City increased their street sweeping frequency in retail, commercial and industrial areas within TMA #5 from twice/month to once/week. Street sweeping frequency was adjusted to maximize effectiveness.</p> <p>To address with heavy leaf drop, the City has increased sweeping to once/week during the wet season on the following streets or areas: <u>Bayhill Commercial Area (Bayhill Drive between El Camino Real and Cherry Avenue, Elm Avenue from San Bruno Avenue to Grundy Lane, Traeger Avenue from San Bruno Avenue to Bayhill Drive</u>. Approximately 1.61 curb miles. The street sweeper is reaching the curb since these streets are red-curbed, too narrow for parking or an active lane of traffic where you cannot park. <u>San Bruno Avenue between El Camino Real and Interstate 280</u>. Approximately 0.95 curb miles. The street sweeper is reaching the curb since San Bruno Avenue is a major through fare with no parking.</p> <p>Updated the "Street Sweeping" webpage on the City's website. This webpage provides the street sweeping frequency by residential area within the City. Residents may view a list of streets within a residential area to determine when their street is swept. The webpage is available at: http://sanbruno.ca.gov/pw_streetsweep.html.</p>									
Assessment Methods for Control Measures Other than Full Capture Devices									
<p>As part of the City's Long-Term Trash Reduction Plan, we worked collaboratively with other SMCWPPP Permittees to develop our Pilot Trash Assessment Strategy (Strategy), which was submitted to the Water Board in Feb 2014. For areas where control measures other than full capture devices have been implemented, visual on-land trash assessment is the method used to determine the current level of trash in a TMA. Assessments are conducted using a protocol developed by BASMAA member agencies. For each TMA assessed, sites are selected using a probabilistic sample draw to randomly pick sites in a TMA and allow for extrapolation of results within an applicable TMA. Additionally, trash assessment sites may also be targeted to specific streets and properties (these results are not extrapolated). Changes in the level of trash observed via on-land assessments, along with the associated trash</p>									

<p>generation rates are then used to calculate reductions in trash to-date. The results of the assessments conducted in FY 13-14 are presented below. Additional information on the Strategy, the results of initial assessments, and the method used to calculate % reductions can be found in the Program's FY 13-14 Annual Report.</p>					
<p>Summary of Assessment Results To-date</p>					
<p>In Summer 2014, a total of 3 sites or 3,000 linear feet of streets and sidewalks in this TMA (i.e., 8% of streets/sidewalks with M, H or VH generation rates) were assessed using the on-land visual assessment protocol. Based on the results of these assessments, the area in this TMA where control measures other than full capture devices are implemented was determined have 65% low, 35% moderate, and 0% high of trash. The results to the right include not only the reduction observed via on-land assessments, but also via full capture devices (as applicable).</p>					
<p>Estimated % Trash Reduction in TMA due to New or Enhanced Post-MRP actions</p>		<p>4%</p>			
<p>Estimated % Trash Reduction Jurisdiction-wide due to New or Enhanced Post-MRP actions</p>		<p>1%</p>			

C.10.d ► PART B - Trash Control Measure Implementation and Assessment (TMA Specific Actions)									
TMA ID	TMA Area (Acres)	Dominant Sources	Dominant Types		% TMA in Each Trash Generation Category				
					VH	H	M	L	
6	9	Pedestrians and Vehicles	Paper and cardboard, plastic bottles, aluminum cans, cigarette butts	Baseline Generation (Pre-MRP)	0%	0%	100%	0%	
Trash Full Capture Devices		Summary Descriptions of Full Trash Capture Devices (Quantity and Type)		After taking into account Full Capture Devices	0%	0%	100%	0%	
Total Area (Acres)	0	N/A							
% of TMA	0%								
% of VH/H/M	0%								
Summary Descriptions of Control Measures Implemented Since MRP Adoption, Other than Full Capture Devices					After taking into account all New or Enhanced (post-MRP) Control Measures	0%	0%	100%	0%
Implementation of No Parking sign was completed in TMA #6 in April 2014 Installation of "No Parking-Street Sweeping" signs on Shelter Creek Lane to prohibit parking during sweeping hours. On scheduled sweeping days where signs are present, the sweeper is preceded by a City Community Service Officer who issues citations to vehicles in violation of the posted signs.									
Assessment Methods for Control Measures Other than Full Capture Devices									
As part of the City's Long-Term Trash Reduction Plan, we worked collaboratively with other SMCWPPP Permittees to develop our Pilot Trash Assessment Strategy (Strategy), which was submitted to the Water Board in Feb 2014. For areas where control measures other than full capture devices have been implemented, visual on-land trash assessment is the method used to determine the current level of trash in a TMA. Assessments are conducted using a protocol developed by BASMAA member agencies. For each TMA assessed, sites are selected using a probabilistic sample draw to randomly pick sites in a TMA and allow for extrapolation of results within an applicable TMA. Additionally, trash assessment sites may also be targeted to specific streets and properties (these results are not extrapolated). Changes in the level of trash observed via on-land assessments, along with the associated trash generation rates are then used to calculate reductions in trash to-date. The results of the assessments conducted in FY 13-14 are presented below. Additional information on the Strategy, the results of initial assessments, and the method used to calculate % reductions can be found in the Program's FY 13-14 Annual Report.									
Summary of Assessment Results To-date									
On-land visual assessments were not conducted in this TMA in FY 13-14 and therefore no load reductions associated control measures other than full capture devices are assumed to have occurred. Assessments may be conducted in subsequent years.									

Estimated % Trash Reduction in <u>TMA</u> due to New or Enhanced Post-MRP actions	0%
Estimated % Trash Reduction <u>Jurisdiction-wide</u> due to New or Enhanced Post-MRP actions	0%

C.10.d ► PART B - Trash Control Measure Implementation and Assessment (TMA Specific Actions)									
TMA ID	TMA Area (Acres)	Dominant Sources	Dominant Types		% TMA in Each Trash Generation Category				
					VH	H	M	L	
7	179	Pedestrians and Vehicles	Paper and cardboard, plastic bottles, aluminum cans, cigarette butts		Baseline Generation (Pre-MRP)	0%	0%	100%	0%
Trash Full Capture Devices		Summary Descriptions of Full Trash Capture Devices (Quantity and Type)			After taking into account Full Capture Devices	0%	0%	100%	0%
Total Area (Acres)	0	N/A							
% of TMA	0%								
% of VH/H/M	0%								
Summary Descriptions of Control Measures Implemented Since MRP Adoption, Other than Full Capture Devices					After taking into account all New or Enhanced (post-MRP) Control Measures	0%	0%	100%	0%
No additional street sweeping was implemented near schools delineated as TMA #7 during this time period. In February 2013, the City implemented a delayed street sweeping start time in all residential areas which have not been installed with "No Parking-Street Sweeping" signs. City staff has noted that moving the residential sweeping times later in the day have resulted in better curb access since more people are at work later in the day. Sweeping practices are more effective since the sweeper is more likely to reaching the curb.									
Assessment Methods for Control Measures Other than Full Capture Devices									
As part of the City's Long-Term Trash Reduction Plan, we worked collaboratively with other SMCWPPP Permittees to develop our Pilot Trash Assessment Strategy (Strategy), which was submitted to the Water Board in Feb 2014. For areas where control measures other than full capture devices have been implemented, visual on-land trash assessment is the method used to determine the current level of trash in a TMA. Assessments are conducted using a protocol developed by BASMAA member agencies. For each TMA assessed, sites are selected using a probabilistic sample draw to randomly pick sites in a TMA and allow for extrapolation of results within an applicable TMA. Additionally, trash assessment sites may also be targeted to specific streets and properties (these results are not extrapolated). Changes in the level of trash observed via on-land assessments, along with the associated trash generation rates are then used to calculate reductions in trash to-date. The results of the assessments conducted in FY 13-14 are presented below. Additional information on the Strategy, the results of initial assessments, and the method used to calculate % reductions can be found in the Program's FY 13-14 Annual Report.									
Summary of Assessment Results To-date									
On-land visual assessments were not conducted in this TMA in FY 13-14 and therefore no load reductions associated control measures other than full capture devices are assumed to have occurred. Assessments may be conducted in subsequent years.									

Estimated % Trash Reduction in <u>TMA</u> due to New or Enhanced Post-MRP actions	0%
Estimated % Trash Reduction <u>Jurisdiction-wide</u> due to New or Enhanced Post-MRP actions	0%

C.10.d ► PART B - Trash Control Measure Implementation and Assessment (TMA Specific Actions)									
TMA ID	TMA Area (Acres)	Dominant Sources	Dominant Types		% TMA in Each Trash Generation Category				
					VH	H	M	L	
8	73	Pedestrians and Vehicles	Paper and cardboard, plastic bottles, aluminum cans, cigarette butts	Baseline Generation (Pre-MRP)	0%	0%	100%	0%	
Trash Full Capture Devices		Summary Descriptions of Full Trash Capture Devices (Quantity and Type)		After taking into account Full Capture Devices	0%	0%	100%	0%	
Total Area (Acres)	0	N/A							
% of TMA	0%								
% of VH/H/M	0%								
Summary Descriptions of Control Measures Implemented Since MRP Adoption, Other than Full Capture Devices					After taking into account all New or Enhanced (post-MRP) Control Measures	0%	0%	100%	0%
No additional street sweeping was implemented near parks delineated as TMA #8 during this time period. In February 2013, the City implemented a delayed street sweeping start time in all residential areas which have not been installed with "No Parking-Street Sweeping" signs. City staff has noted that moving the residential sweeping times later in the day have resulted in better curb access since more people are at work later in the day. Sweeping practices are more effective since the sweeper is more likely to reaching the curb.									
Assessment Methods for Control Measures Other than Full Capture Devices									
As part of the City's Long-Term Trash Reduction Plan, we worked collaboratively with other SMCWPPP Permittees to develop our Pilot Trash Assessment Strategy (Strategy), which was submitted to the Water Board in Feb 2014. For areas where control measures other than full capture devices have been implemented, visual on-land trash assessment is the method used to determine the current level of trash in a TMA. Assessments are conducted using a protocol developed by BASMAA member agencies. For each TMA assessed, sites are selected using a probabilistic sample draw to randomly pick sites in a TMA and allow for extrapolation of results within an applicable TMA. Additionally, trash assessment sites may also be targeted to specific streets and properties (these results are not extrapolated). Changes in the level of trash observed via on-land assessments, along with the associated trash generation rates are then used to calculate reductions in trash to-date. The results of the assessments conducted in FY 13-14 are presented below. Additional information on the Strategy, the results of initial assessments, and the method used to calculate % reductions can be found in the Program's FY 13-14 Annual Report.									
Summary of Assessment Results To-date									
On-land visual assessments were not conducted in this TMA in FY 13-14 and therefore no load reductions associated control measures other than full capture devices are assumed to have occurred. Assessments may be conducted in subsequent years.									

Estimated % Trash Reduction in <u>TMA</u> due to New or Enhanced Post-MRP actions	0%
Estimated % Trash Reduction <u>Jurisdiction-wide</u> due to New or Enhanced Post-MRP actions	0%

C.10.d ► PART B - Trash Control Measure Implementation and Assessment (TMA Specific Actions)									
TMA ID	TMA Area (Acres)	Dominant Sources	Dominant Types		% TMA in Each Trash Generation Category				
					VH	H	M	L	
9	2,063	Pedestrians and Vehicles	Paper and cardboard, plastic bottles, aluminum cans, cigarette butts	Baseline Generation (Pre-MRP)	0%	0%	0%	100%	
Trash Full Capture Devices		Summary Descriptions of Full Trash Capture Devices (Quantity and Type)			After taking into account Full Capture Devices	0%	0%	0%	100%
Total Area (Acres)	46	Merimont 2-CDS treating 8.5 acres (TMA 9), Marisol 1-CDS treating 19.59 acres (TMA 9), Skycrest 1-CDS treating 2.57 acres (TMA 9), Cedar Mills 2- Bio-swell areas treating 1.4 acres (TMA 9), and Pacific Bay Vista Bio-retention units treating 13.3 acres (TMA 9).							
% of TMA	2%								
% of VH/H/M	0%								
Summary Descriptions of Control Measures Implemented Since MRP Adoption, Other than Full Capture Devices					After taking into account all New or Enhanced (post-MRP) Control Measures	0%	0%	0%	100%
No additional street sweeping was implemented in residential neighborhoods within TMA #9 during this time period. In February 2013, the City implemented a delayed street sweeping start time in all residential areas which have not been installed with "No Parking-Street Sweeping" signs. City staff has noted that moving the residential sweeping times later in the day have resulted in better curb access since more people are at work later in the day. Sweeping practices are more effective since the sweeper is more likely to reaching the curb.									
Assessment Methods for Control Measures Other than Full Capture Devices									
As part of the City's Long-Term Trash Reduction Plan, we worked collaboratively with other SMCWPPP Permittees to develop our Pilot Trash Assessment Strategy (Strategy), which was submitted to the Water Board in Feb 2014. For areas where control measures other than full capture devices have been implemented, visual on-land trash assessment is the method used to determine the current level of trash in a TMA. Assessments are conducted using a protocol developed by BASMAA member agencies. For each TMA assessed, sites are selected using a probabilistic sample draw to randomly pick sites in a TMA and allow for extrapolation of results within an applicable TMA. Additionally, trash assessment sites may also be targeted to specific streets and properties (these results are not extrapolated). Changes in the level of trash observed via on-land assessments, along with the associated trash generation rates are then used to calculate reductions in trash to-date. The results of the assessments conducted in FY 13-14 are presented below. Additional information on the Strategy, the results of initial assessments, and the method used to calculate % reductions can be found in the Program's FY 13-14 Annual Report.									
Summary of Assessment Results To-date									
On-land visual assessments were not conducted in this TMA in FY 13-14 and therefore no load reductions associated control measures other than full capture devices are assumed to have occurred. Assessments may be conducted in subsequent years.									

Estimated % Trash Reduction in <u>TMA</u> due to New or Enhanced Post-MRP actions	TMA generates a level of trash that does not adversely affect water quality and therefore no reductions are needed.
Estimated % Trash Reduction <u>Jurisdiction-wide</u> due to New or Enhanced Post-MRP actions	NA

C.10.d ► PART C – Estimated Overall Trash Load Reduction	
<p>For Population-based Permittees, provide an estimate of the overall trash reduction percentage achieved to-date within the jurisdictional area of your municipality that generates problematic trash levels (i.e., Very High, High or Moderate trash generation). Base the estimate on the information presented in C.10.d – Parts A and B and creek/shoreline cleanups not reported in C.10.b.iii. Provide a statement regarding the confidence in the estimate and challenges and/or successes in measuring progress towards the 40% trash reduction target described in provision C.10.</p>	
<p>Discussion of Trash Reduction Estimate:</p> <p>The preliminary trash load reduction estimates presented in this section provide the best available estimate of trash reduction from the City's municipal separate stormwater sewer system (MS4). These estimates were developed consistent with the trash reduction framework developed in collaboration with Water Board staff in 2013-14, and the Pilot SMCWPPP Trash Assessment Strategy submitted to the Water Board in February 2014. All estimates are based on available information collected by the City of San Bruno, should be considered preliminary at this time, and are subject to revision by Permittees based on additional information on the effectiveness of trash controls, the magnitude and extent of trash control measure implementation, and/or the levels of trash discharged from the City's MS4.</p> <p>Trash reduction estimates were based on initial data collection efforts that began in FY 13-14 and utilize the verified levels of baseline trash generation in the City of San Bruno. Reductions associated with jurisdictional-wide trash control measures, trash full capture devices, other TMA-specific control measures, and trash cleanup events in local creeks and shorelines are included. Reductions associated with jurisdictional-wide actions are based on a combination of data collection and observations applicable to the City of San Bruno. Reductions associated with trash full capture devices assume that trash generated in areas treated by effectively maintained devices reduce trash to a level of "no adverse impacts" to local water bodies. For control measures other than full capture devices, all reductions estimates are based on empirical observations of current trash levels (i.e., on-land visual assessments) and associated reductions in applicable trash management areas. Reductions associated with creek and shoreline cleanups are based on the amount of trash removed via these cleanups in FY 13-14, in comparison to baseline trash generation in the City of San Bruno.</p>	
Estimated % Trash Reduction due to Jurisdictional-wide Actions	26%
Estimated % Trash Reduction due to Trash Full Capture Devices (All TMAs)	8%
Estimated % Trash Reduction due to Other Control Measures (All TMAs)	5%
SubTotal for Above Actions	39%
Estimated % Trash Reduction due to Creek/Shoreline Cleanups (All TMAs)	0%
Total Estimated % Trash Reduction in FY 13-14	39%

Section 11 - Provision C.11 Mercury Controls

C.11.a.i ► Mercury Recycling Efforts

List below or attach lists of efforts to promote, facilitate, and/or participate in collection and recycling of mercury containing devices and equipment at the consumer level (e.g., thermometers, thermostats, switches, bulbs).

Please refer to SMCWPPP's FY 2013/14 Annual Report for details regarding countywide efforts to promote and facilitate collection and recycling of mercury containing devices and equipment at the consumer level through San Mateo County Health Department's Household Hazardous Waste (HHW) Program and Very Small Quantity Generator Business Collection (VSQG) Program.

1) :
 The City of San Bruno has no role in the Facilitation/Organization or Collection of mercury-containing devices and equipment at the consumer level.

C.11.a.ii ► Mercury Collection

Provide an estimate of the mass of mercury collected through these efforts, or provide a reference to a report containing this estimate.

Please refer to the FY 13-14 SMCWPP Annual Report for an estimate of the mass of mercury collected through collection through the County Health Department's Household Hazardous Waste (HHW) Program and Very Small Quantity Generator Business Collection (VSQG) Program.

Mercury Containing Device/Equipment	Total Amount of Devices Collected	Estimated Mass of Mercury Collected
Fluorescent Lamps ⁶³ (linear feet)	N/A	N/A
CFLs ⁶⁴ (each)	N/A	N/A
Thermostats ⁶⁵ (each)	N/A	N/A
Thermostats (lbs)	N/A	N/A
Thermometers (each)	N/A	N/A
Switches (lbs)	N/A	N/A
Total Mass of Mercury Collected During FY 2013-2014:		N/A

⁶³ Only linear fluorescent lamps should be included

⁶⁴ Only compact fluorescent lamps should be included

⁶⁵ Thermostats can be reported by quantity or by pounds. Whichever unit is used, please avoid double-counting.

- C.11.b ▶ Monitor Methylmercury**
- C.11.c ▶ Pilot Projects to Investigate and Abate Mercury Sources in Drainages**
- C.11.d ▶ Pilot Projects to Evaluate and Enhance Municipal Sediment Removal and Management Practices**
- C.11.e ▶ Conduct Pilot Projects to Evaluate On-Site Stormwater Treatment via Retrofit**
- C.11.f ▶ Diversion of Dry Weather and First Flush Flows to POTWs**
- C.11.g ▶ Monitor Stormwater Mercury Pollutant Loads and Loads Reduced**
- C.11.h ▶ Fate and Transport Study of Mercury In Urban Runoff**
- C.11.i ▶ Development of a Risk Reduction Program Implemented Throughout the Region**
- C.11.j ▶ Develop Allocation Sharing Scheme with Caltrans**

State below if information is reported in a separate regional report. Municipalities that participate directly in regional activities to can provide descriptions below.

Summary

A summary of SMCWPPP and regional accomplishments for these sub-provisions are included within the C.11 Mercury Controls section of Program's FY 13-14 Annual Report and March 2014 Integrated Monitoring Report, Parts B and C.

Section 12 - Provision C.12 PCBs Controls

C.12.a.ii,iii ▶ Ongoing Training

(For FY 10-11 Annual Report and Each Annual Report Thereafter) List below or attach description of ongoing training development and inspections for PCB identification, including documentation and referral to appropriate regulatory agencies (e.g. county health departments, Department of Toxic Substances Control, California Department of Public Health, and the Water Board) as necessary.

Description:

There are no industrial facilities in the service area. Compliance for this section is provided and coordinated at the County level.

C.12.b ▶ Conduct Pilot Projects to Evaluate Managing PCB-Containing Materials and Wastes during Building Demolition and Renovation Activities

C.12.c ▶ Pilot Projects to Investigate and Abate On-land Locations with Elevated PCB Concentrations

C.12.d ▶ Conduct Pilot Projects to Evaluate and Enhance Municipal Sediment Removal and Management Practices

C.12.e ▶ Conduct Pilot Projects to Evaluate On-Site Stormwater Treatment via Retrofit

C.12.f ▶ Diversion of Dry Weather and First Flush Flows to POTWs

C.12.g ▶ Monitor Stormwater PCB Pollutant Loads and Loads Reduced

C.12.h ▶ Fate and Transport Study of PCBs In Urban Runoff

C.12.i ▶ Development of a Risk Reduction Program Implemented Throughout the Region

State below if information is reported in a separate regional report. Municipalities that participate directly in regional activities to can provide descriptions below.

Summary

A summary of SMCWPPP and regional accomplishments for these sub-provisions are included within the C.12 PCBs Controls section of Program's FY 13-14 Annual Report and March 2014 Integrated Monitoring Report, Parts B and C.

Section 13 - Provision C.13 Copper Controls

C.13.a.iii.(2) ▶ Training, Permitting and Enforcement Activities

(FY 11-12 Annual Report and each Annual Report thereafter) Provide summaries of activities implemented to manage waste generated from cleaning and treating of copper architectural features, including copper roofs, during construction and post-construction including. :

- Development of BMPs on how to manage the water during and post construction
- Requiring the use of appropriate BMPs when issuing building permits
- Educating installers and operators on appropriate BMPs
- Enforcement actions taken against noncompliance

The Countywide Program collaborated with BASMAA to develop BMPs to manage waste generated from cleaning and treating of copper architectural features, including copper roofs, during construction and post construction.

- Community Development staff held a meeting on architectural copper that included a training component. In attendance were the Community Development Director, Building Official, two building inspectors, two planners, and two permit technicians. We established a process for review of projects with architectural copper as follows: planners review for architectural copper during plan check and make a note in the permit chronology; technicians also check for copper when possible; when the permit is issued, the technicians will give the applicant the flyer created by the Countywide Program and tell them they have to comply; and the building inspectors will watch for copper in the field during construction.
- As noted above, Community Development staff has met to review and train on appropriate BMPs. One staff member also attended the Workshop for Construction Site Inspectors held on April 23, 2014. We are distributing the flyer with BMPs to applicants at the time of building permit issuance and inspectors are checking in the field.
- We have not had any issues with noncompliance. Copper is not a common material in San Bruno, perhaps because of the more modest nature of the construction here and the relative expense of copper. Staff is only aware of one project that has used architectural copper in the past several years. If there were an instance of non-compliance, we would follow the procedures outlined in our Enforcement Response Plan.

C.13.d.iii ▶ Industrial Sources Copper Reduction Results

Based upon inspection activities conducted under Provision C.4, highlight copper reduction results achieved among the facilities identified as potential users or sources of copper, facilities inspected, and BMPs addressed.

Summary

There were no pollutants of concern including copper, noted on any of the inspections performed at facilities within the City of San Bruno during FY 13-14.

Section 14 - Provision C.14 PBDE, Legacy Pesticides and Selenium Controls

Note: There are no reporting requirements in the FY 13-14 Annual Report for Section C.14.

Section 15 - Provision C.15 Exempted and Conditionally Exempted Discharges

C.15.b.iii.(1), C.15.b.iii.(2) ► Planned and Unplanned Discharges of Potable Water

Is your agency a water purveyor?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No
If No , skip to C.15.b.vi.(2):				
If Yes , Complete the attached reporting tables or attach your own table with the same information. Provide any clarifying comments below.				
Comments: Note that the pH and discharge turbidity results are an average for all locations sampled.				

C.15.b.vi.(2) ► Irrigation Water, Landscape Irrigation, and Lawn or Garden Watering

<p>Provide implementation summaries of the required BMPs to promote measures that minimize runoff and pollutant loading from excess irrigation. Generally the categories are:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Promote conservation programs • Promote outreach for less toxic pest control and landscape management • Promote use of drought tolerant and native vegetation • Promote outreach messages to encourage appropriate watering/irrigation practices • Implement Illicit Discharge Enforcement Response Plan for ongoing, large volume landscape irrigation runoff.
<p>Summary: San Bruno continues to offer several conservation programs in partnership with the Bay Area Water and Conservation Agency (BAWSCA) to minimize landscape run off. This spring the City implemented and held two hands on landscape classes on the new Lawn be Gone program to educate the public on how to convert their thirsty lawns into a drip irrigation California native drought resistant garden. The Lawn be Gone program offers up to \$500.00 rebate on all material used to convert lawns to drought resistant gardens. The City continues water use Audits on 10 of the largest irrigators in San Bruno. The information from the Audit will create a water use budget guideline that can be used by all the irrigators to reduce their overall usage throughout the year.</p>

C.15.b.iii.(1) ► Planned Discharges of the Potable Water System										
Site/ Location	Discharge Type	Receiving Waterbody(ies)	Date of Discharge	Duration of Discharge (military time)	Estimated Volume (gallons)	Estimated Flow Rate (gallons/day)	Chlorine Residual (mg/L)	pH (standard units)	Discharge Turbidity ⁶⁶ (NTU)	Implemented BMPs & Corrective Actions
Mastick South, #218	hydrant	Storm Drain/Vac-Con	9/3/13	5 minutes	6,220	1,244 gpm	0.0	7.8/8.0	0.82	Hydrant Flushing
Well 16, #160	hydrant	Storm Drain/Vac-Con	9/10/13	5 minutes	7,265	1,453 gpm	0.0	7.8/8.0	0.82	Hydrant Flushing
1 st – 7 th Ave, #2	hydrant	Storm Drain/Vac-Con	9/24/13	5 minutes	6,220	1,244 gpm	0.0	7.8/8.0	0.82	Hydrant Flushing
Well 18, #300	hydrant	Storm Drain/Vac-Con	9/24/13	5 minutes	5,625	1,125 gpm	0.0	7.8/8.0	0.82	Hydrant Flushing
Huntington, #88	hydrant	Storm Drain/Vac-Con	10/1/13	5 minutes	5,305	1,106 gpm	0.0	7.8/8.0	0.82	Hydrant Flushing
Glenview, #536	hydrant	Storm Drain/Vac-Con	10/3/13	5 minutes	7,405	1,481 gpm	0.0	7.8/8.0	0.82	Hydrant Flushing
Tanforan, #N5	hydrant	Storm Drain/Vac-Con	10/8/13	5 minutes	5,170	1,034 gpm	0.0	7.8/8.0	0.82	Hydrant Flushing
Whitman, #548	hydrant	Storm Drain/Vac-Con	10/8/13	5 minutes	4,275	855 gpm	0.0	7.8/8.0	0.82	Hydrant Flushing
Quail Point, #Q1	hydrant	Storm Drain/Vac-Con	10/15/13	5 minutes	7,265	1,453 gpm	0.0	7.8/8.0	0.82	Hydrant Flushing
Crestwood, #676	hydrant	Storm Drain/Vac-Con	10/15/13	5 minutes	13,785	919 gpm	0.0	7.8/8.0	0.82	Hydrant Flushing
Engvall Golf, #E1	hydrant	Storm Drain/Vac-Con	10/15/13	5 minutes	14,530	1,453 gpm	0.0	7.8/8.0	0.82	Hydrant Flushing
Crestmoor, #527	hydrant	Storm Drain/Vac-Con	10/16/13	5 minutes	5,170	1,034 gpm	0.0	7.8/8.0	0.82	Hydrant Flushing

⁶⁶ Monitor the receiving water for turbidity if necessary and feasible. Include data in this column if available.

C.15.b.iii.(1) ► Planned Discharges of the Potable Water System										
Site/ Location	Discharge Type	Receiving Waterbody(ies)	Date of Discharge	Duration of Discharge (military time)	Estimated Volume (gallons)	Estimated Flow Rate (gallons/day)	Chlorine Residual (mg/L)	pH (standard units)	Discharge Turbidity⁶⁶ (NTU)	Implemented BMPs & Corrective Actions
Mastick South, #218	hydrant	Storm Drain/Vac-Con	9/3/13	5 minutes	6,220	1,244 gpm	0.0	7.8/8.0	0.82	Hydrant Flushing
Greenwood, #658	hydrant	Storm Drain/Vac-Con	10/17/13	5 minutes	14,030	1,403 gpm	0.0	7.8/8.0	0.82	Hydrant Flushing
Sneath, #800	hydrant	Storm Drain/Vac-Con	10/17/13	5 minutes	36,990	822 gpm	0.0	7.8/8.0	0.82	Hydrant Flushing

C.15.b.iii.(2) ► Unplanned Discharges of the Potable Water System⁶⁷														
Site/ Location	Discharge Type	Receiving Waterbody(ies)	Date of Discharge	Discharge Duration (military time)	Estimated Volume (gallons)	Estimated Flow Rate (gallons/day)	Chlorine Residual (mg/L) ⁶⁸	pH (standard units) ⁵²	Discharge Turbidity (Visual) ⁵²	Implemented BMPs & Corrective Actions	Time of discharge discovery	Regulatory Agency Notification Time ⁶⁹	Inspector arrival time	Responding crew arrival time
436 Hazel	2" Water Main Break	Storm Drain / Vac-Con	7/1/13	N/A	450	8 gpm	N/A	7.8/8.0	N/A	Water Main Repairs	2:48 pm	N/A	N/A	Water Division Crew
171 Darby Ct	2" Water Main Break	Storm Drain / Vac-Con	8/13/13	N/A	300	8 gpm	N/A	7.8/8.0	N/A	Water Main Repairs	2:34 pm	N/A	N/A	Water Division Crew
636 Green	4" Water Main Break	Storm Drain / Vac-Con	9/3/13	N/A	730	12 gpm	N/A	7.8/8.0	N/A	Water Main Repairs	11:04 am	N/A	N/A	Water Division Crew
973 Montgomery	2" Water Main Break	Storm Drain / Vac-Con	10/4/13	N/A	400	8 gpm	N/A	7.8/8.0	N/A	Water Main Repairs	6:32 pm	N/A	N/A	Water Division Crew
1820 Donner	6" Water Main Break	Storm Drain / Vac-Con	11/9/13	N/A	1,200	16 gpm	N/A	7.8/8.0	N/A	Water Main Repairs	1:50 am	N/A	N/A	Water Division Crew
291 Milton	8" Water Main Break	Storm Drain / Vac-Con	12/21/13	N/A	2,500	20 gpm	N/A	7.8/8.0	N/A	Water Main Repairs	8:20 am	N/A	N/A	Water Division Crew
311 Poplar	2" Water Main Break	Storm Drain / Vac-Con	1/26/14	N/A	750	8 gpm	N/A	7.8/8.0	N/A	Water Main Repairs	2:24 pm	N/A	N/A	Water Division Crew
Niles/Redwood	2" Water Main Break	Storm Drain / Vac-Con	2/22/14	N/A	425	8 gpm	N/A	7.8/8.0	N/A	Water Main Repairs	6:03 pm	N/A	N/A	Water Division Crew

⁶⁷ This table contains all of the unplanned discharges that occurred in this FY. 11:30 am

⁶⁸ Monitoring data is only required for 10% of the unplanned discharges. If you monitored more than 10% of your unplanned discharges, report all of the data collected.

⁶⁹ Notification to Water Board staff is required for unplanned discharges where the chlorine residual is >0.05 mg/L and total volume is ≥ 50,000 gallons. Notification to State Office of Emergency Services is required after becoming aware of aquatic impacts as a result of unplanned discharge or when the discharge might endanger or compromise public health and safety.

C.15.b.iii.(2) ► Unplanned Discharges of the Potable Water System⁶⁷

Site/ Location	Discharge Type	Receiving Waterbody(ies)	Date of Discharge	Discharge Duration (military time)	Estimated Volume (gallons)	Estimated Flow Rate (gallons/day)	Chlorine Residual (mg/L) ⁶⁸	pH (standard units) ⁵²	Discharge Turbidity (Visual) ⁵²	Implemented BMPs & Corrective Actions	Time of discharge discovery	Regulatory Agency Notification Time ⁶⁹	Inspector arrival time	Responding crew arrival time
752 Sycamore	2" Water Main Break	Storm Drain / Vac-Con	3/18/14	N/A	500	8 gpm	N/A	7.8/8.0	N/A	Water Main Repairs	11:30 am	N/A	N/A	Water Division Crew
984 Hensley	2" Water Main Break	Storm Drain / Vac-Con	4/21/14	N/A	650	8 gpm	N/A	7.8/8.0	N/A	Water Main Repairs	11:06 pm	N/A	N/A	Water Division Crew
149 Diamond	2" Water Main Break	Storm Drain / Vac-Con	5/8/14	N/A	575	8 gpm	N/A	7.8/8.0	N/A	Water Main Repairs	6:02 pm	N/A	N/A	Water Division Crew
2400 Trenton	6" Water Main Break	Storm Drain / Vac-Con	6/8/14	N/A	1,025	16 gpm	N/A	7.8/8.0	N/A	Water Main Repairs	5:43 pm	N/A	N/A	Water Division Crew