



Contra Costa County  
**Flood Control**  
& Water Conservation District

Julia R. Bueren,  
ex officio Chief Engineer  
R. Mitch Avalon,  
Deputy Chief Engineer

September 14, 2015

Bruce H. Wolfe, Executive Officer  
California Regional Water Quality Control Board  
San Francisco Bay Region  
1515 Clay Street, Suite 1400  
Oakland, California 94612

Dear Mr. Wolfe:

Enclosed is the 2014-2015 Annual Report for the Contra Costa County Flood Control & Water Conservation District, which is required by and in accordance with Provision C.16 in National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) Permit Number CAS612008 issued by the San Francisco Bay Regional Water Quality Control Board and by Provision D.5 in NPDES Permit Number CA0083313 issued by the Central Valley Regional Water Quality Control Board.

I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibly of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations.

As a point of clarification, compliance for both the Contra Costa County (CCC) and Contra Costa County Flood Control and Water Conservation District (FCD) NPDES permits are administered by the CCC Public Works Department (PWD) and more specifically by the County Watershed Program (CWP) which is located in the PWD. Many other departments within CCC work on NPDES compliance issues, most notably the Department of Conservation and Development (DCD), and our Health Services Department which includes Environmental Health Division and Hazardous Materials Program.

Should you have any questions, please contact me at (925) 313-2296 or at [csell@pw.cccounty.us](mailto:csell@pw.cccounty.us).

Sincerely,

Cece Sellgren  
Stormwater Manager

\\PW-DATA\grpdata\fldct\NPDES\Administration\Annual Report\1415 AnIRpt\FCD Final Submittal\Certification Letters\FCD Region2 NPDES 14-15 Annual Report Certification.doc

Enclosure

c: Steve Kowalewski, Administration  
Mike Carlson, Flood Control  
Paul Detjens, Flood Control

*"Accredited by the American Public Works Association"*

255 Glacier Drive • Martinez, CA 94553-4825

TEL: (925) 313-2000 • FAX: (925) 313-2333

[www.cccpublicworks.org](http://www.cccpublicworks.org)

**FY 2014-2015 Annual Report**

**Permittee Name: Contra Costa County Flood Control and Water Conservation District**

**ATTACHMENT B**

**Table of Contents**

<b>Section</b>	<b>Page</b>
Section 1 – Permittee Information.....	1-1
Section 2 – Provision C.2 Municipal Operations .....	2-1
Section 3 – Provision C.3 New Development and Redevelopment.....	3-1
Section 4 – Provision C.4 Industrial and Commercial Site Controls.....	4-1
Section 5 – Provision C.5 Illicit Discharge Detection and Elimination .....	5-1
Section 6 – Provision C.6 Construction Site Controls.....	6-1
Section 7 – Provision C.7 Public Information and Outreach .....	7-1
Section 8 – Provision C.8 Water Quality Monitoring.....	8-1
Section 9 – Provision C.9 Pesticides Toxicity Controls .....	9-1
Section 10 – Provision C.10 Trash Load Reduction.....	10-1
Section 11 – Provision C.11 Mercury Controls .....	11-1
Section 12 – Provision C.12 PCBs Controls .....	12-1
Section 13 – Provision C.13 Copper Controls.....	13-1
Section 14 – Provision C.14 PBDE, Legacy Pesticides and Selenium Controls.....	14-1
Section 15 – Provision C.15 Exempted and Conditionally Exempted Discharges .....	15-1

Permittee Name: Contra Costa County Flood Control and Water Conservation District

Section 1 – Permittee Information

Background Information			
<b>Permittee Name:</b>	Contra Costa County Flood Control and Water Conservation District		
<b>Population:</b>	NA		
<b>NPDES Permit No.:</b>	CAS612008 (San Francisco Bay RWQCB Permit) and/or CA00883313 (Central Valley RWQCB Permit)		
<b>Order Number:</b>	R2-2009-0074 (San Francisco Bay RWQCB Permit) and/or R5-2010-0102 (Central Valley RWQCB Permit)		
<b>Reporting Time Period (month/year):</b>	July 1, 2014 through June 30, 2015		
<b>Name of the Responsible Authority:</b>	Julia R. Bueren	<b>Title:</b>	Chief Engineer
<b>Mailing Address:</b>	255 Glacier Drive		
<b>City:</b>	Martinez	<b>Zip Code:</b>	94553
		<b>County:</b>	Contra Costa
<b>Telephone Number:</b>	(925) 313-2000	<b>Fax Number:</b>	(925) 313-2333
<b>E-mail Address:</b>	<a href="mailto:julie.bueren@pw.cccounty.us">julie.bueren@pw.cccounty.us</a>		
<b>Name of the Designated Stormwater Management Program Contact (if different from above):</b>	Cece Sellgren	<b>Title:</b>	Stormwater Manager
<b>Department:</b>	Public Works		
<b>Mailing Address:</b>	255 Glacier Drive		
<b>City:</b>	Martinez	<b>Zip Code:</b>	94553
		<b>County:</b>	Contra Costa
<b>Telephone Number:</b>	(925) 313-2296	<b>Fax Number:</b>	(925) 313-2333
<b>E-mail Address:</b>	cece.sellgren@pw.cccounty.us		

**Section 2 - Provision C.2 Reporting Municipal Operations**

**Program Highlights and Evaluation**

Highlight/summarize activities for reporting year:

Summary:

Refer to the C.2 Municipal Operations section of Contra Costa County's (CCC) PY 14-15 Annual Report for a description of activities implemented at the countywide and/or regional level.

The Flood Control Division (FCD) Municipal operations are performed by County Public Works Maintenance employees, who follow protocols and BMPs for both County and FCD municipal operations practices.

This PY 2014-15 maintenance crews removed 268 cubic yards of debris from homeless encampments within FCD right of way at 170 locations and 140.8 cubic yards of debris from the trash racks in 142 locations.

County Watershed Program (CWP) staff worked collaboratively with FCD Maintenance crews throughout PY 2014-15 to ensure implementation of stormwater protection measures during municipal maintenance activities within flood control facilities. FCD continued to follow the creek protective Best Management Practices (BMPs) outlined in the Routine Maintenance Agreement (RMA) with the California Department of Fish and Wildlife for flood control maintenance activities within waterways, including sediment removal, vegetation management, and bank stabilization. The RMA requires specific environmental management activities, including preparation of semi-annual notification reports, limits on heavy equipment usage, measures to protect fish and wildlife resources, measures to minimize erosion and sedimentation, and BMPs to minimize disruptions to habitat.

In general clean water activities related to FCD include: drainage maintenance, ditch/basin cleaning, silt removal, concrete channel cleaning, flushing culverts, and graffiti removal. The RMA requires numerous control measures that will help protect water quality during vegetation management, when using cement materials, employing heavy equipment and when deploying erosion control

Permittee Name: Contra Costa Flood Control and Water Conservation District

**C.2.a. ► Street and Road Repair and Maintenance**

Place a **Y** in the boxes next to activities where applicable BMPs were implemented. If not applicable, type **NA** in the box and provide an explanation in the comments section below. Place an **N** in the boxes next to activities where applicable BMPs were not implemented for one or more of these activities during the reporting fiscal year, then in the comments section below provide an explanation of when BMPs were not implemented and the corrective actions taken.

<b>NA</b>	Control of debris and waste materials during road and parking lot installation, repaving or repair maintenance activities from polluting stormwater
<b>NA</b>	Control of concrete slurry and wastewater, asphalt, pavement cutting, and other street and road maintenance materials and wastewater from discharging to storm drains from work sites.
<b>NA</b>	Sweeping and/or vacuuming and other dry methods to remove debris, concrete, or sediment residues from work sites upon completion of work.

Comments:

**C.2.b. ► Sidewalk/Plaza Maintenance and Pavement Washing**

Place a **Y** in the boxes next to activities where applicable BMPs were implemented. If not applicable, type **NA** in the box and provide an explanation in the comments section below. Place an **N** in the boxes next to activities where applicable BMPs were not implemented for one or more of these activities during the reporting fiscal year, then in the comments section below provide an explanation of when BMPs were not implemented and the corrective actions taken.

<b>NA</b>	Control of wash water from pavement washing, mobile cleaning, pressure wash operations at parking lots, garages, trash areas, gas station fueling areas, and sidewalk and plaza cleaning activities from polluting stormwater
<b>NA</b>	Implementation of the BASMAA Mobile Surface Cleaner Program BMPs

Comments:

Permittee Name: Contra Costa Flood Control and Water Conservation District

**C.2.c. ► Bridge and Structure Maintenance and Graffiti Removal**

Place a **Y** in the boxes next to activities where applicable BMPs were implemented. If not applicable, type **NA** in the box and provide an explanation in the comments section below. Place an **N** in the boxes next to activities where applicable BMPs were not implemented for one or more of these activities during the reporting fiscal year, then in the comments section below provide an explanation of when BMPs were not implemented and the corrective actions taken.

Y	Control of discharges from bridge and structural maintenance activities directly over water or into storm drains
Y	Control of discharges from graffiti removal activities
Y	Proper disposal for wastes generated from bridge and structure maintenance and graffiti removal activities
NA	Implementation of the BASMAA Mobile Surface Cleaner Program BMPs for graffiti removal
Y	Employee training on proper capture and disposal methods for wastes generated from bridge and structural maintenance and graffiti removal activities.
Y	Contract specifications requiring proper capture and disposal methods for wastes generated from bridge and structural maintenance and graffiti removal activities.

Comments:

FCD Maintenance crews use all appropriate BMPs for flood facility repair/maintenance work to protect streams. Crews follow Caltrans Storm Water Quality Handbook Maintenance Guide, May 2003. Graffiti and tagging are removed by painting or wiping with aerosol cleansers. Contracts for major FCD projects have BMP requirements that contractors must adhere to.

Job sites are always left clean after work is completed. Graffiti abatement is generally performed by spraying over graffiti with primer paint. All waste generated from these activities is taken to the County Central Waterbird Way Corporation Yard's hazardous waste storage area and properly disposed of by a hazardous waste management contractor.

On April 9, 2015 Public Works Department (PWD) Maintenance staff attended the Stormwater and Hazardous Substances Awareness and First Responder Operations Annual Refresher training by a County Health Service Department Hazardous Material Programs Certified Trainer. The training included segments on the Corp Yard's Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plans (SWPPPs), Emergency Contingency Plan, Hazardous Substances Awareness, Spill Clean-up Procedures, Basic Hazardous Waste Management and Hazardous Materials Emergency Response. Staff in attendance included 60 staff, 92% of Maintenance staff.

The structural maintenance activities are conducted in-house by CCC Maintenance crews whose Standard Operational Procedures require collection and proper disposal of all wastes, including spoils, in accordance with the Caltrans Storm Water Quality Handbook Maintenance Staff Guide, May 2003. The PWD Design/Construction Division is responsible for putting together plans and contract specifications for more specialized activities such as major repairs to flood protection facilities and construction of new flood protection facilities. These projects are then bid out for construction by contractors. CCC's contractors adhere to the project's contract specifications and Caltrans Standard Specifications, which include language and oversight mandating the proper collection and disposal of all wastes. Construction resident engineers inspect projects and ensure stormwater BMPs are followed.

Permittee Name: Contra Costa Flood Control and Water Conservation District

**C.2.d. ► Stormwater Pump Stations**

Does your municipality own stormwater pump stations:  Yes  N  No

If your answer is **No** then skip to **C.2.e.**

Complete the following table for dry weather DO monitoring and inspection data for pump stations<sup>1</sup> (add more rows for additional pump stations). If a pump station is exempt from DO monitoring, explain why it is exempt .

Pump Station Name and Location	First inspection Dry Weather DO Data		Second inspection Dry Weather DO Data	
	Date	mg/L	Date	mg/L

Summarize corrective actions as needed for DO monitoring at or below 3 mg/L. Attach inspection records of additional DO monitoring for corrective actions:

Summary:

Attachments:

Complete the following table for wet weather inspection data for pump stations (add more rows for additional pump stations):

Pump Station Name and Location	Date (2x/year required)	Presence of Trash (Cubic Yards)	Presence of Odor (Yes or No)	Presence of Color (Yes or No)	Presence of Turbidity (Yes or No)	Presence of Floating Hydrocarbons (Yes or No)

<sup>1</sup> DO monitoring is exempted where all discharge from a pump station remains in a stormwater collection system or infiltrates into a dry creek immediately downstream.

Permittee Name: Contra Costa Flood Control and Water Conservation District

C.2.e. ► Rural Public Works Construction and Maintenance			
Does your municipality own/maintain rural <sup>2</sup> roads:		<input type="checkbox"/>	Yes
		<input type="checkbox"/>	N
		<input type="checkbox"/>	No
If your answer is <b>No</b> then skip to <b>C.2.f.</b>			
Place a <b>Y</b> in the boxes next to activities where applicable BMPs were implemented. If not applicable, type <b>NA</b> in the box and provide an explanation in the comments section below. Place an <b>N</b> in the boxes next to activities where applicable BMPs were not implemented for one or more of these activities during the reporting fiscal year, then in the comments section below provide an explanation of when BMPs were not implemented and the corrective actions taken.			
NA	Control of road-related erosion and sediment transport from road design, construction, maintenance, and repairs in rural areas		
NA	Identification and prioritization of rural road maintenance based on soil erosion potential, slope steepness, and stream habitat resources		
NA	No impact to creek functions including migratory fish passage during construction of roads and culverts		
NA	Inspection of rural roads for structural integrity and prevention of impact on water quality		
NA	Maintenance of rural roads adjacent to streams and riparian habitat to reduce erosion, replace damaging shotgun culverts and excessive erosion		
NA	Re-grading of unpaved rural roads to slope outward where consistent with road engineering safety standards, and installation of water bars as appropriate		
NA	Inclusion of measures to reduce erosion, provide fish passage, and maintain natural stream geomorphology when replacing culverts or design of new culverts or bridge crossings		
Comments including listing increased maintenance in priority areas:			

<sup>2</sup>Rural means any watershed or portion thereof that is developed with large lot home-sites, such as one acre or larger, or with primarily agricultural, grazing or open space uses.

Permittee Name: Contra Costa Flood Control and Water Conservation District

**C.2.f. ► Corporation Yard BMP Implementation**

Place an **X** in the boxes below that apply to your corporations yard(s):

<input type="checkbox"/> NA	We do not have a corporation yard
<input type="checkbox"/> NA	Our corporation yard is a filed NOI facility and regulated by the California State Industrial Stormwater NPDES General Permit
<input type="checkbox"/> NA	We have a <b>Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP)</b> for the Corporation Yard(s)

Place an **X** in the boxes below next to implemented SWPPP BMPs to indicate that these BMPs were implemented in applicable instances. If not applicable, type **NA** in the box. If one or more of the BMPs were not adequately implemented during the reporting fiscal year then indicate so and explain in the comments section below:

<input type="checkbox"/> NA	Control of pollutant discharges to storm drains such as wash waters from cleaning vehicles and equipment
<input type="checkbox"/> NA	Routine inspection prior to the rainy seasons of corporation yard(s) to ensure non-stormwater discharges have not entered the storm drain system
<input type="checkbox"/> NA	Containment of all vehicle and equipment wash areas through plumbing to sanitary or another collection method
<input type="checkbox"/> NA	Use of dry cleanup methods when cleaning debris and spills from corporation yard(s) or collection of all wash water and disposing of wash water to sanitary or other location where it does not impact surface or groundwater when wet cleanup methods are used
<input type="checkbox"/> NA	Cover and/or berm outdoor storage areas containing waste pollutants

Comments:

If you have a corporation yard(s) that is not an NOI facility, complete the following table for inspection results for your corporation yard(s) or attach a summary including the following information:

Corporation Yard Name	Inspection Date (1x/year required)	Inspection Findings/Results	Follow-up Actions
NA – See Contra Costa County Annual Report			

**Section 3 - Provision C.3 Reporting New Development and Redevelopment**

**C.3.b.v.(2)(a) ► Green Streets Status Report**

(All projects to be completed by December 1, 2014)

On an annual basis (if applicable), report on the status of any pilot green street projects within your jurisdiction. For each completed project, report the capital costs, operation and maintenance costs, legal and procedural arrangements in place to address operation and maintenance and its associated costs, and the sustainable landscape measures incorporated in the project including, if relevant, the score from the Bay-Friendly Landscape Scorecard.

Summary:

The Flood Control District does not develop streets, and is not developing a pilot green street project.

The C.3 New Development and Redevelopment section of the CCCWP's FY 14-15 Annual Report includes a description of activities conducted at the countywide or regional level.

**C.3.b.v.(1) ► Regulated Projects Reporting**

Fill in attached table **C.3.b.v.(1)** or attach your own table including the same information.

**C.3.e.v. ► Alternative or In-Lieu Compliance with Provision C.3.c.**

*(For FY 11-12 Annual Report and each Annual Report thereafter)*

Is your agency choosing to require 100% LID treatment onsite for all Regulated Projects and not allow alternative compliance under Provision C.3.e.?

	<b>Yes</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<b>No</b>
--	------------	-------------------------------------	-----------

Comments (optional):

Permittee Name: Contra Costa County Flood Control and Water Conservation District

**C.3.e.vi ► Special Projects Reporting**

1. Has your agency received, but not yet granted final discretionary approval of, a development permit application for a project that has been identified as a potential Special Project based on criteria listed in MRP Provision C.3.e.ii(2) for any of the three categories of Special Projects (Categories A, B or C)?		<b>Yes</b>	X	<b>No</b>
2. Has your agency granted final discretionary approval of a project identified as a Special Project in the March 15, 2015 report? If yes, include the project in both the C.3.b.v.(1) Table, and the C.3.e.vi. Table.		<b>Yes</b>	X	<b>No</b>
If you answered "Yes" to either question, 1) Complete Table C.3.e.vi .below. 2) Attach narrative discussion of 100% LID Feasibility or Infeasibility for each project.				

**C.3.h.iv. ► Installed Stormwater Treatment Systems Operation and Maintenance Verification Inspection Program Reporting**

(1) Fill in attached table <b>C.3.h.iv.(1)</b> or attach your own table including the same information.
(2) On an annual basis, provide a discussion of the inspection findings for the year and any common problems encountered with various types of treatment systems and/or HM controls. This discussion should include a general comparison to the inspection findings from the previous year.
Summary: NA; no C.3 facilities have been developed within the areas over which the Flood Control District wields any land use authority, so it has not been necessary to develop an Operation and Maintenance Verification Program.
(3) On an annual basis, provide a discussion of the effectiveness of the O&M Program and any proposed changes to improve the O&M Program (e.g., changes in prioritization plan or frequency of O&M inspections, other changes to improve effectiveness program).
Summary: NA; see above.
(4) During the reporting year, did your agency:

Permittee Name: Contra Costa County Flood Control and Water Conservation District

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Inspect all newly installed stormwater treatment systems and HM controls within 45 days of installation?</li> </ul>		Yes		No	X	Not applicable. No new facilities were installed.
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Inspect at least 20 percent of the total number of installed stormwater treatment systems or HM controls?<sup>3</sup></li> </ul>		Yes		No	X	Not applicable. No treatment measures
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Inspect at least 20 percent of the total number of installed vault-based systems?</li> </ul>		Yes		No	X	Not applicable. No vault systems.
If you answered "No" to any of the questions above, please explain:						

**C.3.i. ► Required Site Design Measures for Small Projects and Detached Single Family Home Projects**

On an annual basis, discuss the implementation of the requirements of Provision C.3.i, including ordinance revisions, permit conditions, development of standard specifications and/or guidance materials, and staff training.

Summary:  
 With the exception of discretionary encroachment permits issued within its right-of-way, the Flood Control District does not wield land use authority. In the unlikely event that a C.3.i-regulated project were to be proposed within the Flood Control District's right-of-way, the municipality in which any project on Flood Control District right-of-way were proposed would be primarily responsible for ensuring that the development application included a Stormwater Control Plan meeting the criteria in the most recent version of the *Stormwater C.3 Guidebook*.

<sup>3</sup>If there is only 1 treatment measure in the jurisdiction, the agency must inspect it every year.

Permittee Name: Contra Costa County Flood Control and Water Conservation District

**C.3.b.v.(1) ► Regulated Projects Reporting Table (part 1) – Projects Approved During the Fiscal Year Reporting Period**

Project Name Project No.	Project Location <sup>10</sup> , Street Address	Name of Developer	Project Phase No. <sup>11</sup>	Project Type & Description <sup>12</sup>	Project Watershed <sup>13</sup>	Total Site Area (Acres)	Total Area of Land Disturbed (Acres)	Total New Impervious Surface Area (ft <sup>2</sup> ) <sup>14</sup>	Total Replaced Impervious Surface Area (ft <sup>2</sup> ) <sup>15</sup>	Total Pre- Project Impervious Surface Area <sup>16</sup> (ft <sup>2</sup> )	Total Post- Project Impervious Surface Area <sup>17</sup> (ft <sup>2</sup> )
<b>Private Projects</b>											
NA											
<b>Public Projects</b>											
NA											
Comments: No private projects regulated by C.3.b were approved by the Flood Control District during PY14-15.											

<sup>10</sup>Include cross streets

<sup>11</sup>If a project is being constructed in phases, indicate the phase number and use a separate row entry for each phase. If not, enter "NA".

<sup>12</sup>Project Type is the type of development (i.e., new and/or redevelopment). Example descriptions of development are: 5-story office building, residential with 160 single-family homes with five 4-story buildings to contain 200 condominiums, 100 unit 2-story shopping mall, mixed use retail and residential development (apartments), industrial warehouse.

<sup>13</sup>State the watershed(s) in which the Regulated Project is located. Downstream watershed(s) may be included, but this is optional.

<sup>14</sup>All impervious surfaces added to any area of the site that was previously existing pervious surface.

<sup>15</sup>All impervious surfaces added to any area of the site that was previously existing impervious surface.

<sup>16</sup>For redevelopment projects, state the pre-project impervious surface area.

<sup>17</sup>For redevelopment projects, state the post-project impervious surface area.

Permittee Name: Contra Costa County Flood Control and Water Conservation District

**C.3.b.v.(1) ► Regulated Projects Reporting Table (part 2) – Projects Approved During the Fiscal Year Reporting Period (private projects)**

Project Name Project No.	Application Deemed Complete Date <sup>18</sup>	Application Final Approval Date <sup>19</sup>	Source Control Measures <sup>20</sup>	Site Design Measures <sup>21</sup>	Treatment Systems Approved <sup>22</sup>	Type of Operation & Maintenance Responsibility Mechanism <sup>23</sup>	Hydraulic Sizing Criteria <sup>24</sup>	Alternative Compliance Measures <sup>25/26</sup>	Alternative Certification <sup>27</sup>	HM Controls <sup>28/29</sup>
<b>Private Projects</b>										
NA										
Comments: No private projects regulated by C.3.b were approved by the Flood Control District during PY14-15.										

<sup>18</sup>For private projects, state project application deemed complete date. If the project did not go through discretionary review, report the building permit issuance date.

<sup>19</sup>For private projects, state project application final discretionary approval date. If the project did not go through discretionary review, report the building permit issuance date.

<sup>20</sup>List source control measures approved for the project. Examples include: properly designed trash storage areas; storm drain stenciling or signage; efficient landscape irrigation systems; etc.

<sup>21</sup>List site design measures approved for the project. Examples include: minimize impervious surfaces; conserve natural areas, including existing trees or other vegetation, and soils; construct sidewalks, walkways, and/or patios with permeable surfaces, etc.

<sup>22</sup>List all approved stormwater treatment system(s) to be installed onsite or at a joint stormwater treatment facility (e.g., flow through planter, bioretention facility, infiltration basin, etc.).

<sup>23</sup>List the legal mechanism(s) (e.g., O&M agreement with private landowner; O&M agreement with homeowners' association; O&M by public entity, etc...) that have been or will be used to assign responsibility for the maintenance of the post-construction stormwater treatment systems.

<sup>24</sup>See Provision C.3.d.i. "Numeric Sizing Criteria for Stormwater Treatment Systems" for list of hydraulic sizing design criteria. Enter the corresponding provision number of the appropriate criterion (i.e., 1.a., 1.b., 2.a., 2.b., 2.c., or 3).

<sup>25</sup>For Alternative Compliance at an offsite location in accordance with Provision C.3.e.i.(1), on a separate page, give a discussion of the alternative compliance site including the information specified in Provision C.3.b.v.(1)(m)(i) for the offsite project.

<sup>26</sup>For Alternative Compliance by paying in-lieu fees in accordance with Provision C.3.e.i.(2), on a separate page, provide the information specified in Provision C.3.b.v.(1)(m)(ii) for the Regional Project.

<sup>27</sup>Note whether a third party was used to certify the project design complies with Provision C.3.d.

<sup>28</sup>If HM control is not required, state why not.

<sup>29</sup>If HM control is required, state control method used (e.g., method to design and size device(s) or method(s) used to meet the HM Standard, and description of device(s) or method(s) used, such as detention basin(s), bioretention unit(s), regional detention basin, or in-stream control).

Permittee Name: Contra Costa County Flood Control and Water Conservation District

**C.3.b.v.(1) ► Regulated Projects Reporting Table (part 2) – Projects Approved During the Fiscal Year Reporting Period (public projects)**

Project Name Project No.	Approval Date <sup>30</sup>	Date Construction Scheduled to Begin	Source Control Measures <sup>31</sup>	Site Design Measures <sup>32</sup>	Treatment Systems Approved <sup>33</sup>	Operation & Maintenance Responsibility Mechanism <sup>34</sup>	Hydraulic Sizing Criteria <sup>35</sup>	Alternative Compliance Measures <sup>36/37</sup>	Alternative Certification <sup>38</sup>	HM Controls <sup>39/40</sup>
<b>Public Projects</b>										
NA										
Comments: No public projects regulated by C.3.b were approved by the Flood Control District during PY14-15.										

<sup>30</sup>For public projects, enter the plans and specifications approval date.

<sup>31</sup>List source control measures approved for the project. Examples include: properly designed trash storage areas; storm drain stenciling or signage; efficient landscape irrigation systems; etc.

<sup>32</sup>List site design measures approved for the project. Examples include: minimize impervious surfaces; conserve natural areas, including existing trees or other vegetation, and soils; construct sidewalks, walkways, and/or patios with permeable surfaces, etc.

<sup>33</sup>List all approved stormwater treatment system(s) to be installed onsite or at a joint stormwater treatment facility (e.g., flow through planter, bioretention facility, infiltration basin, etc.).

<sup>34</sup>List the legal mechanism(s) (e.g., maintenance plan for O&M by public entity, etc...) that have been or will be used to assign responsibility for the maintenance of the post-construction stormwater treatment systems.

<sup>35</sup>See Provision C.3.d.i. "Numeric Sizing Criteria for Stormwater Treatment Systems" for list of hydraulic sizing design criteria. Enter the corresponding provision number of the appropriate criterion (i.e., 1.a., 1.b., 2.a., 2.b., 2.c., or 3).

<sup>36</sup>For Alternative Compliance at an offsite location in accordance with Provision C.3.e.i.(1), on a separate page, give a discussion of the alternative compliance site including the information specified in Provision C.3.b.v.(1)(m)(i) for the offsite project.

<sup>37</sup>For Alternative Compliance by paying in-lieu fees in accordance with Provision C.3.e.i.(2), on a separate page, provide the information specified in Provision C.3.b.v.(1)(m)(ii) for the Regional Project.

<sup>38</sup>Note whether a third party was used to certify the project design complies with Provision C.3.d.

<sup>39</sup>If HM control is not required, state why not.

<sup>40</sup>If HM control is required, state control method used (e.g., method to design and size device(s) or method(s) used to meet the HM Standard, and description of device(s) or method(s) used, such as detention basin(s), bioretention unit(s), regional detention basin, or in-stream control).

**C.3.h.iv. ► Table of Installed Stormwater Treatment Systems Operation and Maintenance Verification Inspection Program Reporting**

Fill in table below or attach your own table including the same information.

Name of Facility/Site Inspected	Address of Facility/Site Inspected	Newly Installed? (YES/NO) <sup>41</sup>	Party Responsible <sup>42</sup> For Maintenance	Date of Inspection	Type of Inspection <sup>43</sup>	Type of Treatment/HM Control(s) Inspected <sup>44</sup>	Inspection Findings or Results <sup>45</sup>	Enforcement Action Taken <sup>46</sup>	Comments/Follow-up
---------------------------------	------------------------------------	---	---	--------------------	----------------------------------	---	--	--	--------------------

N/A; no projects regulated by C.3.b have been developed by the Flood Control District or within its right-of-way.

<sup>41</sup>Indicate "YES" if the facility was installed within the reporting period, or "NO" if installed during a previous fiscal year.

<sup>42</sup>State the responsible operator for installed stormwater treatment systems and HM controls.

<sup>43</sup>State the type of inspection (e.g., 45-day, routine or scheduled, follow-up, etc.).

<sup>44</sup>State the type(s) of treatment systems inspected (e.g., bioretention facility, flow-through planter, infiltration basin, etc...) and the type(s) of HM controls inspected, and indicate whether the treatment system is an onsite, joint, or offsite system.

<sup>45</sup>State the inspection findings or results (e.g., proper installation, improper installation, proper O&M, immediate maintenance needed, etc.).

<sup>46</sup>State the enforcement action(s) taken, if any.

C.3.e.vi.Special Projects Reporting Table												
Reporting Period –January1 – June 30, 2015												
Project Name & No.	Permittee	Address	Application Submittal Date <sup>47</sup>	Status <sup>48</sup>	Description <sup>49</sup>	Site Total Acreage	Density DU/Acre	Density FAR	Special Project Category <sup>50</sup>	LID Treatment Reduction Credit Available <sup>51</sup>	List of LID Stormwater Treatment Systems <sup>52</sup>	List of Non-LID Stormwater Treatment Systems <sup>53</sup>
N/A; no applications that may qualify as Special Projects have been proposed by the Flood Control District or within its right-of-way.												

<sup>47</sup>Date that a planning application for the Special Project was submitted.

<sup>48</sup> Indicate whether final discretionary approval is still pending or has been granted, and provide the date or version of the project plans upon which reporting is based.

<sup>49</sup>Type of project (commercial, mixed-use, residential), number of floors, number of units, type of parking, and other relevant information.

<sup>50</sup> For each applicable Special Project Category, list the specific criteria applied to determine applicability. For each non-applicable Special Project Category, indicate n/a.

<sup>51</sup>For each applicable Special Project Category, state the maximum total LID Treatment Reduction Credit available. For Category C Special Projects also list the individual Location, Density, and Minimized Surface Parking Credits available.

<sup>52</sup>: List all LID stormwater treatment systems proposed. For each type, indicate the percentage of the total amount of runoff identified in Provision C.3.d. for the Special Project's drainage area.

<sup>53</sup>List all non-LID stormwater treatment systems proposed. For each type of non-LID treatment system, indicate: (1) the percentage of the total amount of runoff identified in Provision C.3.d. for the Special Project's drainage area, and (2) whether the treatment system either meets minimum design criteria published by a government agency or received certification issued by a government agency, and reference the applicable criteria or certification. (Contra Costa's criteria were adopted March 20, 2013.)

Permittee Name: Contra Costa County Flood Control and Water Conservation District

**Section 4 – Provision C.4 Industrial and Commercial Site Controls**

**Program Highlights**

Provide background information, highlights, trends, etc.

FCD is a non-population entity with no commercial or industrial facilities in its jurisdiction, therefore, C.4 inspection program activities do not apply.

Refer to the C.4. Industrial and Commercial Site Controls section of the CCCWPs FY 14-15 Annual Report for a description of activities of the CCCWP's Municipal Operations Committee and/or the BASMAA Municipal Operations Committee.

**C.4.b.i. ► Business Inspection Plan**

Do you have a Business Inspection Plan?

<input type="checkbox"/>	Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No
--------------------------	-----	-------------------------------------	----

If No, explain: NA

**C.4.b.iii.(1) ► Potential Facilities List**

List below or attach your list of industrial and commercial facilities in your Inspection Plan to inspect that could reasonably be considered to cause or contribute to pollution of stormwater runoff.

NA

**C.4.b.iii.(2) ► Facilities Scheduled for Inspection**

List below or attach your list of facilities scheduled for inspection during the current fiscal year.

NA

**C.4.c.iii.(1) ► Facility Inspections**

Fill out the following table or attach a summary of the following information. Indicate your violation reporting methodology below.

NA	Permittee reports multiple discrete violations on a site as one violation.
NA	Permittee reports the total number of discrete violations on each site.

	Number	Percent
Number of businesses inspected	-	-
Total number of inspections conducted	-	-
Number of violations (excluding verbal warnings)	-	-

Permittee Name: Contra Costa County Flood Control and Water Conservation District

Sites inspected in violation	-	-
Violations resolved within 10 working days or otherwise deemed resolved in a longer but still timely manner	-	-
Comments: NA		

**C.4.c.iii.(2) ► Frequency and Types/Categories of Violations Observed**

Fill out the following table or attach a summary of the following information.

Type/Category of Violations Observed	Number of Violations
Actual discharge (e.g. active non-stormwater discharge or clear evidence of a recent discharge)	-
Potential discharge and other	-
Comments: NA	

**C.4.c.iii.(2) ► Frequency and Type of Enforcement Conducted**

Fill out the following table or attach a summary of the following information.

	Enforcement Action (as listed in ERP) <sup>48</sup>	Number of Enforcement Actions Taken	% of Enforcement Actions Taken <sup>49</sup>
Level 1	NA	-	-
Level 2	NA	-	-
Level 3	NA	-	-
Level 4	NA	-	-
<b>Total</b>	<b>NA</b>	-	-

<sup>48</sup>Agencies to list specific enforcement actions as defined in their ERPs.

<sup>49</sup>Percentage calculated as number of each type of enforcement action divided by the total number of enforcement actions.

Permittee Name: Contra Costa County Flood Control and Water Conservation District

**C.4.c.iii.(3) ▶ Types of Violations Noted by Business Category**

Fill out the following table or attach a summary of the following information.

Business Category <sup>50</sup>	Number of Actual Discharge Violations	Number of Potential/Other Discharge Violations
NA	-	-

**C.4.c.iii.(4) ▶ Non-Filers**

List below or attach a list of the facilities required to have coverage under the Industrial General Permit but have not filed for coverage:

NA

**C.4.d.iii ▶ Staff Training Summary**

Training Name	Training Dates	Topics Covered	No. of Inspectors in Attendance	Percent of Inspectors in Attendance
NA				

<sup>50</sup>List your Program's standard business categories.

**Section 5 – Provision C.5 Illicit Discharge Detection and Elimination**

**Program Highlights**

Provide background information, highlights, trends, etc.

This PY 2014-15 County Watershed Program (CWP) worked with Flood Control Maintenance staff, Environmental Health, and Hazardous Materials to coordinate response to and referrals of illicit discharge complaints. Illicit discharges are often identified by citizens, Public Work Maintenance staff or Environmental Health staff. When discharges are identified, staff makes the appropriate contacts for the situation. For example, if hazardous materials are found, the Hazardous Materials staff are contacted.

Contra Costa County staff who implement the FCD's NPDES program are part of the Clean Water Program's Municipal Operations Committee. Staff work with Clean Water Program Staff to receive and pass on information from the County's 1-800-No-Dumping line. Additionally, staff work with inspectors and code enforcement officers to investigate the responsible party of the illicit discharge, determine more information, educate them, and if appropriate, clean up the discharge, or impose fines, cost recovery, or other measures.

For the Flood Control District, two challenges that may lead to illicit discharges include vandalism and homeless encampments. County Maintenance staff routinely inspect and clean flood control channels.

Refer to the C.5 Illicit Discharge Detection and Elimination section of the CCCWP's FY 14-15 Annual Report for a description of activities that occur at the countywide or regional level.

**C.5.c.iii ► Complaint and Spill Response Phone Number and Spill Contact List**

List below or attach your complaint and spill response phone number and spill contact list.

Contact	Description	Phone Number
See attachment	C.5.c.iii Complaint and Spill Response Phone Number and Spill Contact List	1-800-NO DUMPING

**C.5.d.iii ► Evaluation of Mobile Business Program**

Describe implementation of minimum standards and BMPs for mobile businesses and your enforcement strategy. This may include participation in the BASMAA Mobile Surface Cleaners regional program or local activities.

Description:  
NA

Permittee Name: Contra Costa County Flood Control and Water Conservation District

**C.5.e.iii ► Evaluation of Collection System Screening Program**

Provide a summary or attach a summary of your collection screening program, a summary of problems found during collection system screening and any changes to the screening program this FY.

Description:  
NA

**C.5.f.iii.(1), (2), (3) ► Spill and Discharge Complaint Tracking**

Spill and Discharge Complaint Tracking (fill out the following table or include an attachment of the following information)

	Number	Percentage
Discharges reported (C.5.f.iii.(1))	-	-
Discharges reaching storm drains and/or receiving waters (C.5.f.iii.(2))	-	-
Discharges resolved in a timely manner (C.5.f.iii.(3))	-	-

Comments:  
NA

**C.5.f.iii.(4) ► Summary of major types of discharges and complaints**

Provide a narrative or attach a table and/or graph.

For FY 2014-15, no illicit discharges were reported in the Flood Control facilities.

Section 6 – Provision C.6 Construction Site Controls

<b>C.6.e.iii.1.a, b, c ▶ Site/Inspection Totals</b>		
<b>Number of High Priority Sites (sites disturbing &lt; 1 acre of soil requiring storm water runoff quality inspection) (C.6.e.iii.1.a)</b>	<b>Number of sites disturbing ≥ 1 acre of soil (C.6.e.iii.1.b)</b>	<b>Total number of storm water runoff quality inspections conducted (include only High Priority Site and sites disturbing 1 acre or more) (C.6.e.iii.1.c)</b>
0	0	0
Comments: No projects were constructed in FCD channels.		

<b>C.6.e.iii.1.d ▶ Construction Activities Storm Water Violations</b>		
<b>BMP Category</b>	<b>Number of Violations<sup>51</sup> excluding Verbal Warnings</b>	<b>% of Total Violations<sup>52</sup></b>
Erosion Control	-	-
Run-on and Run-off Control	-	-
Sediment Control	-	-
Active Treatment Systems	-	-
Good Site Management	-	-
Non Stormwater Management	-	-
<b>Total<sup>53</sup></b>	-	-

<sup>51</sup>Count one violation in a category for each site and inspection regardless of how many violations/problems occurred in the BMP category. For example, if during one inspection at a site, there are 2 erosion control violations, only 1 violation would be counted for this table.

<sup>52</sup>Percentage calculated as number of violations in each category divided by total number of violations in all six categories.

<sup>53</sup>The total number of violations may count more than one violation per inspection, since some inspections may result in violations in more than one category. For example, during one inspection of a site, there may have been both an erosion control violation and a sediment control violation. For this reason, the total number of violations in this table may not match the total number of enforcement actions reported in Table C6.e.iii.1.e.

Permittee Name: Contra Costa County Flood Control and Water Conservation District

**C.6.e.iii.1.e ► Construction Related Storm Water Enforcement Actions**

	<b>Enforcement Action</b> (as listed in ERP) <sup>54</sup>	<b>Number Enforcement Actions Issued</b>	<b>% Enforcement Actions Issued</b> <sup>55</sup>
Level 1 <sup>56</sup>	NA	-	-
Level 2	NA	-	-
Level 3	NA	-	-
Level 4	NA	-	-
<b>Total</b>			-

**C.6.e.iii.1.f, g ► Illicit Discharges**

	<b>Number</b>
Number of illicit discharges, actual and those inferred through evidence at high priority sites and sites that disturb 1 acre or more of land (C.6.e.iii.1.f)	0
Number of sites with discharges, actual and those inferred through evidence at high priority sites and sites that disturb 1 acre or more of land (C.6.e.iii.1.g)	0

<sup>54</sup>Agencies should list the specific enforcement actions as defined in their ERPs.

<sup>55</sup>Percentage calculated as number of each type of enforcement action divided by the total number of enforcement actions.

<sup>56</sup>For example, Enforcement Level 1 may be Verbal Warning.

Permittee Name: Contra Costa County Flood Control and Water Conservation District

<b>C.6.e.iii.1.h,i ► Violation Correction Times</b>		
	<b>Number</b>	<b>Percent</b>
<b>Violations (excluding verbal warnings) fully corrected within 10 business days after violations are discovered or otherwise considered corrected in a timely period (C.6.e.iii.1.h)</b>	-	NA
<b>Violations (excluding verbal warnings) not fully corrected within 30 days after violations are discovered (C.6.e.iii.1.i)</b>	-	NA
<b>Total number of violations (excluding verbal warnings) for the reporting year<sup>57</sup></b>		NA
<b>Comments:</b> NA		

<b>C.6.e.iii.(2) ► Evaluation of Inspection Data</b>
Describe your evaluation of the tracking data and data summaries and provide information on the evaluation results (e.g., data trends, typical BMP performance issues, comparisons to previous years, etc.).
Description: N/A; there is no inspection data to analyze for PY 14-15 since no projects were developed by the Flood Control District during PY14-15 that disturbed more than 1 acre of land, or that posed a sufficient risk to water quality to merit them being designated High Priority.

<b>C.6.e.iii.(2) ► Evaluation of Inspection Program Effectiveness</b>
Describe what appear to be your program's strengths and weaknesses, and identify needed improvements, including education and outreach.
Description: No projects were developed by the Flood Control District during PY14-15 that disturbed more than 1 acre of land, or that posed a sufficient risk to water quality to merit them being designated High Priority. It should be noted that inspections for Flood Control District projects are conducted by (unincorporated) Contra Costa County's Inspectors.
A number of enhancements were made to the (unincorporated) Contra Costa County / Flood Control District inspection program during PY14-15: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Training sessions were conducted for Construction Inspectors (Public Works) at the onset of the rainy season. The trainings were attended by 100% of inspectors responsible for construction site stormwater inspections (Refer to table C.6.f.)</li> </ul>
Revisions to inspection forms and/or consider implementing a new database to record and track construction site stormwater inspections.

<sup>57</sup>The total number of violations reported in the table of Violation Correction Times equals the number of initial enforcement actions. This assumes one violation is issued for several problems during an inspection at a site. The total number of violations in the table of Violation Correction Times may not equal the total number of enforcement actions because one violation issued at a site may have a second enforcement action for the same violation at the next inspection if it is not corrected.

Permittee Name: Contra Costa County Flood Control and Water Conservation District

**C.6.f ▶ Staff Training Summary**

Training Name	Training Dates	Topics Covered	No. of Inspectors in Attendance	Percent of Inspectors in Attendance
See CCC Regional Annual Report				

**Section 7 – Provision C.7. Public Information and Outreach**

**C.7.b.ii.1 ▶ Advertising Campaign**

Summarize advertising efforts. Include details such as messages, creative developed, and outreach media used. The detailed advertising report may be included as an attachment. If advertising is being done by participation in a countywide or regional program, refer to the separate countywide or regional Annual Report.

Summary:

Refer to the CCCWP's Annual Report for a complete review of advertising efforts conducted on behalf of all Permittees.

**C.7.b.iii.1 ▶ Pre-Campaign Survey**

*(For the Annual Report following the pre-campaign survey)* Summarize survey information such as sample size, type of survey (telephone survey, interviews etc.). Attach a survey report that includes the following information. If survey was done regionally, refer to a regional submittal that contains the following information: Place an **X** in the appropriate box below:

	Survey report attached
X	Reference to regional submittal: Refer to Section C.7 in the CCCWP's FY 14/15 Annual Report for complete details on the pre-campaign survey conducted for the CCCWP's Pesticides Campaign.

**C.7.b.iii.2 ▶ Post-Campaign Survey**

*(For the Annual Report following the post-campaign survey)* Discuss the campaigns and the measureable changes in awareness and behavior achieved. Provide an update of outreach strategies based on the survey results. If survey was done regionally, refer to a regional submittal that contains the following information:

Place an **X** in the appropriate box below:

	Survey report attached
X	Reference to regional submittal: Refer to Section C.7 in the CCCWP's FY 14/15 Annual Report for complete details on the post-campaign survey conducted for the CCCWP's Pesticides Campaign.

Permittee Name: Contra Costa County Flood Control and Water Conservation District

**C.7.c ► Media Relations**

Summarize the media relations effort. Include the following details for each media pitch in the space below, AND/OR refer to a regional report that includes these details:

- Topic and content of pitch
- Medium (TV, radio, print, online)
- Date of publication/broadcast

Summary:

The following separate report developed by BASMAA summarizes media relations efforts conducted during FY 14-15:

- BASMAA Media Relations Final Report FY 14-15

This report and any other media relations efforts conducted countywide is included within Section C.7 of the CCCWP's FY 14-15 Annual Report

**C.7.d ► Stormwater Point of Contact**

Summary of any changes made during FY 14-15:

No change

**C.7.e ► Public Outreach Events**

Describe general approach to event selection. Provide a list of outreach materials and giveaways distributed.

Use the following table for reporting and evaluating public outreach events

Event Details	Description (messages, audience)	Evaluation of Effectiveness
Nature Walk with SPAWNERS 7/2/2014	Nature walk with EBMUD ranger Joe Scornaienchi and EBMUD biologist Jonathan price through Scrow Canyon in El Sobrante.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Approximately 26 in attendance</li> <li>• Participants educated about local wildlife and habitat restoration</li> </ul>
Martinez Beaver Festival 8/2/2014	Volunteer recruitment and watershed education by the Alhambra Watershed Council.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Approximately 1,000 in attendance</li> <li>• Volunteers recruited and public educated</li> </ul>
Partners for the Rodeo Creek Watershed Booth at Rodeo Chili Cook-Off 10/14/2014	Volunteer recruitment, watershed education, and distribution of stewardship tools.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Approximately 2,000 in attendance</li> <li>• Volunteers recruited and public educated</li> </ul>
New Leaf Academy High School Program Once monthly during school year	Educate high school students on pollution prevention, NPS pollution, and aquatic habitats.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 6 students completed monitoring in 2014-2015 school year, 35 students since April 2012</li> </ul>

Permittee Name: Contra Costa County Flood Control and Water Conservation District

		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>In-class presentations reach 40 students per year</li> </ul>
Marsh Creek Day, Oakley Science Week 4/18/2015	Creek and watershed education and interactive activities by the Friends of Marsh Creek Watershed.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Over 150 in attendance.</li> <li>Attendees learned about local creek.</li> </ul>
Public Works Day, Brentwood	Friends of Marsh Creek Watershed demonstrated how watersheds work and the importance of keeping them clean using the watershed model.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Over 400 elementary school students in attendance.</li> <li>Attendees learned about watersheds.</li> </ul>

**C.7.f. ► Watershed Stewardship Collaborative Efforts**

Summarize watershed stewardship collaborative efforts and/or refer to a regional report that provides details. Describe the level of effort and support given (e.g., funding only, active participation etc.). State efforts undertaken and the results of these efforts. If this activity is done regionally refer to a regional report.

Evaluate effectiveness by describing the following:

- Efforts undertaken
- Major accomplishments

Summary:  
Refer to the CCCWP's C.7 section of the FY 14-15 Annual Report.

**C.7.g. ► Citizen Involvement Events**

List the types of events conducted (e.g., creek clean up, storm drain inlet marking, native gardening etc.). Use the following table for reporting and evaluating citizen involvement events.

Event Details	Description	Evaluation of effectiveness
4 <sup>th</sup> Street Restoration Project Maintenance 8/2/2014	Project maintenance, including weeding, along 4 <sup>th</sup> Street and Creek Trail with Partners for the Rodeo Creek Watershed.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>5 volunteers</li> <li>Weeded 2,400 square feet</li> </ul>
California Coastal Cleanup Day 9/20/2014	Trash clean-up and tracking with SPAWNERS.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Removed trash</li> <li>Counted and tracked amount and type of trash as part of a state-wide</li> </ul>

**FY 2014-2015 Annual Report**

**C.7 – Public Information and Outreach**

**Permittee Name: Contra Costa County Flood Control and Water Conservation District**

		initiative
Coastal Clean-Up Day at Kirker Creek 9/20/2014	Trash clean-up and fennel removal along the banks of Kirker Creek, the surrounding hillsides, and the intersection of Nortonville Road and Kirker Pass with Save Mount Diablo.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Over 20 volunteers</li> <li>• Large patches of fennel removed</li> <li>• 370 pounds of trash removed</li> </ul>
Waterfront Road/Pacheco Marsh Creek Clean Up 9/20/2014	Trash clean-up along Waterfront Road and Pacheco Marsh with Alhambra Watershed Council, Friends of Alhambra Creek, and New Leaf.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 15 volunteers</li> <li>• 420 pounds, or 12 cubic yards of trash removed</li> </ul>
Partners for the Rodeo Creek Watershed Lower Rodeo Creek California Coastal Cleanup Day 9/20/2014	Trash clean-up along Lower Rodeo Creek with Partners for the Rodeo Creek Watershed.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 39 volunteers</li> <li>• 440 pounds, or 15 cubic yards of trash removed</li> </ul>
National Public Lands Day 9/27/2014	Planting activities with Alhambra Watershed Council at John Muir National Historic Site, 4202 Alhambra Valley Road.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 25 volunteers</li> <li>• 1500 square feet of native grass planted</li> </ul>
4 <sup>th</sup> Street Restoration Project Maintenance 10/12/2014	Project maintenance, including planting and weeding, along 4 <sup>th</sup> Street and Creek Trail with Partners for the Rodeo Creek Watershed.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 10 volunteers</li> <li>• Planted and weeded 2,400 square feet</li> </ul>
Native Plant Restorations and Enhancements along Alhambra Creek Botanical Trail 2 days each in November 2014, December 2014, January 2015, and February 2015	Planting and weeding activities with Alhambra Watershed Council along the Alhambra Creek Botanical Trail, including the corner of Castro Street and Escobar Street, the downtown Martinez Amtrak Station, John Muir National Historic Site Visitor Center, Alhambra Avenue and E Street, Martinez Senior Center, a private residence on Green Street, and the Les Schwab Tire Center.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 54 total volunteers</li> <li>• Areas listed previously weeded and planted</li> </ul>
Work Days with Alhambra High School at Strentzel Meadow 12/6/2014	Planting and weeding activities with Alhambra Watershed Council.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 24 volunteers</li> <li>• Planted and weeded 2 acre restoration site</li> </ul>
St. Patrick's Day of Service Lone Tree Park, Rodeo Shoreline 1/29/2015	Trash clean-up in Lone Tree Park and Along the Rodeo shoreline with Partners for the Rodeo Creek Watershed.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 42 volunteers</li> <li>• 180 pounds, or 7 cubic yards removed</li> </ul>
Earth Day Event	Trash-cleanup along creeks and land with	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 40 volunteers</li> </ul>

Permittee Name: Contra Costa County Flood Control and Water Conservation District

April 2015	SPAWNERS.	• 26 cubic yards of trash removed
------------	-----------	-----------------------------------

**C.7.h. ► School-Age Children Outreach**

Summarize school-age children outreach programs implemented. A detailed report may be included as an attachment. Use the following table for reporting school-age children outreach efforts.

Program Details	Focus & Short Description	Number of Students/Teachers reached	Evaluation of Effectiveness
Provide the following information: Name Grade or level (elementary/ middle/ high)	Brief description, messages, methods of outreach used	Provide number or participants	Provide agency staff feedback. Report any other evaluation methods used (quiz, teacher feedback etc.). Attach evaluation summary if applicable.

Refer to the C.7 Section of the CCCWP's FY 14-15 Annual Report for a description of School-age Children Outreach efforts conducted at the countywide level.

Permittee Name: Contra Costa County Flood Control and Water Conservation District

**Section 8 - Provision C.8 Water Quality Monitoring**

**C.8 ► Water Quality Monitoring**

State below if information is reported in a separate regional report. Municipalities can also describe below any Water Quality Monitoring activities in which they participate directly, e.g. participation in RMP workgroups, fieldwork within their jurisdictions, etc.

Summary

During FY 14-15, we contributed through the CCCWP to the BASMAA Regional Monitoring Coalition (RMC). In addition, we contributed financially to the Regional Monitoring Program for Water Quality in the San Francisco Estuary (RMP) and were represented at RMP committees and work groups. Monitoring efforts and results are documented in a separate report submitted March 15 of each year, as required in Provision C.8. For additional information on monitoring activities conducted by the CCCWP, BASMAA RMC and the RMP, see the C.8 Water Quality Monitoring section of the Program's FY 14-15 Annual Report and the Urban Creeks Monitoring Report submitted on March 15, 2015.

Permittee Name: Contra Costa County Flood Control and Water Conservation District

**Section 9 – Provision C.9 Pesticides Toxicity Controls**

<b>C.9.b ► Implement IPM Policy or Ordinance</b>						
Report implementation of IPM BMPs by showing trends in quantities and types of pesticides used, and suggest reasons for increases in use of pesticides that threaten water quality, specifically organophosphates, pyrethroids, carbaryl, and fipronil. A separate report can be attached as evidence of your implementation.						
<b>Trends in Quantities and Types of Pesticides Used<sup>58</sup></b>						
<b>Pesticide Category and Specific Pesticide Used</b>	<b>Amount<sup>59</sup></b>					
	<b>FY 09-10</b>	<b>FY 10-11</b>	<b>FY 11-12</b>	<b>FY 12-13</b>	<b>FY 13-14</b>	<b>FY 14-15</b>
<b>Organophosphates</b>	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Product or Pesticide Type A</b>						
<b>Product or Pesticide Type B</b>						
<b>Pyrethroids</b>		0	0	0	0	0
<b>Product or Pesticide Type X</b>						
<b>Product or Pesticide Type Y</b>						
<b>Carbaryl</b>	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Fipronil</b>	0	0	0	0	0	0

<b>C.9.c ► Train Municipal Employees</b>	
Enter the number of employees that applied or used pesticides (including herbicides) within the scope of their duties this reporting year.	4
Enter the number of these employees who received training on your IPM policy and IPM standard operating procedures within the last 3 years.	4
Enter the percentage of municipal employees who apply pesticides who have received training in the IPM policy and IPM standard operating procedures within the last three years.	100%

<sup>58</sup>Includes all municipal structural and landscape pesticide usage by employees and contractors.

<sup>59</sup>Weight or volume of the product or preferably its active ingredient, using same units for the product each year. The active ingredients in any pesticide are listed on the label. The list of active ingredients that need to be reported in the pyrethroids class includes: allethrin, bifenthrin, beta-cyfluthrin, bioallethrin, cyfluthrin, cypermethrin, cyphenothrin, deltamethrin, esfenvalerate, etofenprox, fenpropathrin, gamma-cyhalothrin, imiprothrin, lambda-cyhalothrin, metofluthrin, permethrin, phenothrin, prallethrin, resmethrin, sumithrin (d-phenothrin), tau-fluvalinate, tefluthrin, tetramethrin, tralomethrin, cis-permethrin, and zeta-cypermethrin.

Permittee Name: Contra Costa County Flood Control and Water Conservation District

**C.9.d ▶ Require Contractors to Implement IPM**

Did your municipality contract with any pesticide service provider in the reporting year?				<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>Yes</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<b>No</b>
If yes, attach one of the following:							
<input type="checkbox"/>	Contract specifications that require adherence to your IPM policy and standard operating procedures, OR						
<input type="checkbox"/>	Copy(ies) of the contractors' IPM certification(s) or equivalent, OR						
<input type="checkbox"/>	Equivalent documentation.						
If <b>Not attached</b> , explain:							

**C.9.e ▶ Track and Participate in Relevant Regulatory Processes**

Summarize participation efforts, information submitted, and how regulatory actions were affected <b>OR</b> reference a regional report that summarizes regional participation efforts, information submitted, and how regulatory actions were affected.	
Summary: During FY 14-15, we participated in regulatory processes related to pesticides through contributions to the CCCWP, BASMAA and CASQA. For additional information, see the Regional Report submitted by BASMAA on behalf of all MRP Permittees.	

**C.9.f ▶ Interface with County Agricultural Commissioners**

Did your municipal staff observe any improper pesticide usage or evidence of improper usage (e.g., pesticides in storm drain systems, along street curbs, or in receiving waters) during this fiscal year?				<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>Yes</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<b>No</b>
If yes, provide a summary of improper pesticide usage reported to the County Agricultural Commissioner and follow-up actions taken to correct any violations. A separate report can be attached as your summary.							

**C.9.h.ii ▶ Public Outreach: Point of Purchase**

Provide a summary of public outreach at point of purchase, and any measurable awareness and behavior changes resulting from outreach (here or in a separate report); <b>OR</b> reference a report of a regional effort for public outreach in which your agency participates.	
Summary: See the C.9 Pesticides Toxicity Control section of the CCCWP's FY 14-15 Annual Report for information on point of purchase public outreach conducted countywide and regionally.	

Permittee Name: Contra Costa County Flood Control and Water Conservation District

**C.9.h.vi ► Public Outreach: Pest Control Operators**

Provide a summary of public outreach to pest control operators and landscapers and reduced pesticide use (here or in a separate report); **OR** reference a report of a regional effort for outreach to pest control operators and landscapers in which your agency participates.

Summary:

See the C.9 Pesticides Toxicity Control section of CCCWP's FY 14-15 Annual Report for a summary of our participation in and contributions towards countywide and regional public outreach to pest control operators and landscapers to reduce pesticide use.

Section 10 - Provision C.10 Trash Load Reduction

**C.10.a.iii ► Minimum Full Trash Capture**

Provide the following:

- 1) Total number and types of full capture devices (publicly and privately-owned) installed to-date;
- 2) Total land area (acres) and land areas within each trash generation category (i.e., very high, high, moderate and low) treated by full capture devices (or other types of devices for non-population based Permittees); and, compare with the total required in the permit.
- 3) A narrative summary of maintenance activities implemented for each device, group of devices, or device type, including descriptions of typical maintenance frequencies and issues associated with maintaining these devices. Describe, in particular, any devices that have trash or debris overflowed, bypassed or are not functioning properly in any other manner. Describe corrective actions.

Type of Device	# of Devices	Acres Treated in FY 14-15 by Trash Generation Category				
		Low	Moderate	High	Very High	Total
NA						
<b>Total for all Types</b>						
<b>Required by Permit</b>						

**Maintenance Summary** (Describe, in particular, any devices that have trash or debris overflowed, bypassed or are not functioning properly in any other manner. Describe corrective actions).

As a non-population based entity, FCD is not required by the MRP to reduce trash load. However, at its Upper Sand Creek Basin Detention Project, a full trash capture device was installed. During PY 14-15, 7 cubic meters of trash was removed.

**C.10.b.iii ► Trash Hot Spot Assessment**

Provide the volume of material removed during each MRP-required Trash Hot Spot cleanup during each fiscal year, and the dominant types of trash (e.g., glass, plastics, paper) removed and their sources in FY 2014-15 to the extent possible. Also, provide additional information on creek cleanups conducted beyond those required that are .

Trash Hot Spot	FY 14-15 Cleanup Date(s)	Volume of Trash Removed (cubic yards)					Dominant Type(s) of Trash in FY 2014-15	Trash Sources in FY 2014-15 (where possible)
		FY 2010-11	FY 2011-12	FY 2012-13	FY 2013-14	FY 2014-15		
FCD-SF01 Riverside Ditch	5/15/2015	251 Pounds	22 lbs.	89 lbs.	29 lbs.	36 lbs.	Styrofoam Carry-out items Plastics	Schools Neighborhoods
FCD-SF02 Pine Creek	6/24/2015	667 Pounds	923 lbs.	128 lbs.	245 lbs.	293 lbs.	Cigarette butts Glass	U/S dumping Homeless dumping
FCD-SF03 Wildcat Creek @EBRPD	3/14/2015	1190 Pounds	350 lbs.	93 lbs.	118 lbs.	101 lbs.	Styrofoam Plastic Bottles	Litter entering storm drain network
FCD-SF04 San Pablo Creek @ Parr	4/28/2015	410 Pounds	269 lbs.	243 lbs.	330 lbs.	302 lbs.	Convenience food wrappers Plastic Plastic bags Paper	Litter entering storm drain network
FCD-CV01 Line E	6/24/2015	68 Pounds	51 lbs.		12 lbs.	25 lbs.	Convenience food wrappers Bottles	Neighborhoods
FCD-CV02 Line E (Part 2)	6/24/2015	176 Pounds	56 lbs.	81 lb.	24 lbs.	20 lbs.	Convenience food wrappers Bottles	Neighborhoods

**Additional Receiving Water Cleanups** – If claimed as load reductions described in C.10.d – part C, describe the number and frequency of receiving water cleanups conducted in addition to those reported above. Include locations, cleanup dates, and the total volume of trash removed. Describe the overall plan, if any, associated with these additional cleanups if meant to change the trash condition of certain reaches of creeks or shorelines.

**C.10.c ► Long-Term Trash Load Reduction Plan**

Provide descriptions of significant revisions made to your Long-term Trash Load Reduction Plan submitted to the Water Board in February 2014. Describe significant changes made to primary or secondary trash management areas (TMA), trash generation maps, control measures, or time schedules identified in your plan.

Description of Significant Revision	Associated TMA
NA	

**C.10.d ► PART A - Trash Control Measure Implementation and Assessment (Jurisdictional-wide Actions)**

Provide a description of each jurisdictional-wide trash control measure implemented to-date. Identify the dominant trash source(s) and dominant type(s) of trash addressed by each control measure. For each jurisdictional-wide measure, identify the trash assessment method(s) used to demonstrate on-going reductions, summarize the results of the assessment(s), and estimate the associated reduction of trash within your jurisdictional area.

Control Measure	Summary Description of Control Measure & Dominant Trash Sources and Types	Assessment Method(s)	Summary of Assessment Results To-date	Estimated % Trash Reduced
Single-use Plastic Bag Ordinance or Policy	NA			
Expanded Polystyrene Food Service Ware Ordinance or Policy				
Other Source Control Actions with sufficient documentation and supporting assessment				

**C.10.d ► PART B - Trash Control Measure Implementation and Assessment (TMA Specific Actions)**

Complete the following trash control measure implementation and assessment summary for each primary trash management area (TMA) identified in your Long-term Plan. Include the following information:

- Identify the total jurisdictional area and the % of that area that generated very high (VH), high (H), moderate (M), or low (L) levels of trash in 2009, as depicted on trash generation maps;
- Identify the dominant trash source(s) and dominant type(s) of trash addressed or to-be addressed in the TMA;
- Provide the area currently treated by full capture devices, the quantity and type of devices installed to-date, and the % and acres of jurisdictional area in very high (VH), high (H), moderate (M), and low (L) generation categories that are currently treated by full capture devices in the TMA;
- Summarize control measures other than full capture devices implemented to-date, distinguishing between implementation that began pre- and post-MRP effective date. If not implemented in the entire TMA, describe generation category targeted and % of TMA addressed;
- Provide the acres of jurisdictional area in very high (VH), high (H), moderate (M), and low (L) generation categories in areas associated with actions other than full capture devices in the TMA;
- Describe the methods used to evaluate the effectiveness of control measures other than full capture devices, and any assessment results to-date. If the method was not implemented in the entire TMA, describe generation category targeted and % of TMA addressed.
- Provide the acres in VH, H, M or L generation categories after accounting for reduction associated with control measures other than full capture devices;
- Provide the acres in VH, H, M or L generation categories after accounting for reductions associated with ALL control measures (i.e., full capture and other actions) implemented to-date in the TMA
- Provide an estimate of the % of trash reduced in the TMA as a result of ALL control measures implemented to-date in the TMA. using the following formula:

$$\% \text{ Reduction} = 100 \left[ \frac{(12A_{VH(2009)} + 4A_{H(2009)} + A_{M(2009)}) - (12A_{VH} + 4A_H + A_M)}{(12A_{VH2009} + 4A_{H2009} + A_{M2009})} \right]$$

where:

- $A_{VH(2009)}$  = total amount of the 2009 very high trash generation category in jurisdictional area
- $A_{H(2009)}$  = total amount of the 2009 high trash generation category in jurisdictional area
- $A_{M(2009)}$  = total amount of the 2009 moderate trash generation category in jurisdictional area
- $A_{VH}$  = total amount of very high trash generation category in jurisdictional area in the reporting year
- $A_H$  = total amount of high trash generation category in jurisdictional area in the reporting year
- $A_M$  = total amount of moderate trash generation category in jurisdictional area in the reporting year
- 12 = Very High to Moderate weighing ratio
- 4 = High to Moderate weighing ratio
- 100 = fraction to percentage conversion factor

C.10.d ► PART B - Trash Control Measure Implementation and Assessment (TMA Specific Actions)								
TMA ID	TMA Area (Acres)	Dominant Sources	Dominant Types	Baseline Generation Areas (2009)	Area (Acres) in Each Trash Generation Category			
					VH	H	M	L
NA								
Full Capture Devices	Area Treated by Full Trash Capture Devices (Acres)	Quantity and Type of Full Trash Capture Devices		Area Treated by <u>Full Capture Devices</u>				
Actions other than Full Capture Devices	Summary Description of Other Actions Implemented in the TMA Since MRP Adoption			Area <u>Not</u> Treated by Full Capture Devices				
					Area after Accounting for Other Actions (based on assessment results)			
	Assessment Methods for Control Measures Other than Full Capture Devices							
	Summary of Assessment Results							
Area After Taking into Account Full Capture Devices AND Other Actions								
Estimated % Trash Reduction in this TMA								

Permittee Name: \_\_\_\_\_

**C.10.d ► PART C – Estimated Overall Trash Load Reduction**

For Population-based Permittees, provide an estimate of the overall trash reduction percentage achieved to-date within the jurisdictional area of your municipality that generates problematic trash levels (i.e., Very High, High or Moderate trash generation). Base the estimate on the information presented in C.10.d – Parts A and B and receiving water cleanups not reported in C.10.b.iii.

**Discussion of Trash Reduction Estimate (including Receiving Water Cleanups):**

Estimated % Trash Reduction due to Jurisdictional-wide Actions (as Reported in C.10.d – Part A)	NA
Estimated % Trash Reduction in All TMAs due to Trash Full Capture Devices (as Reported in C.10.d. – Part B)	
Estimated % Trash Reduction in all TMAs due to Control Measures Other than Trash Full Capture Devices in All TMAs) (as Reported in C.10.d. – Part B)	
<b>Subtotal for Above Actions</b>	
Estimated % Trash Reduction due to Receiving Water Cleanups (All TMAs)	
<b>Total Estimated % Trash Reduction FY 14-15</b>	

Permittee Name: Contra Costa County Flood Control and Water Conservation District

**Section 11 - Provision C.11 Mercury Controls**

**C.11.a.i ► Mercury Recycling Efforts**

List below or attach lists of efforts to promote, facilitate, and/or participate in collection and recycling of mercury containing devices and equipment at the consumer level (e.g., thermometers, thermostats, switches, bulbs).

Refer to PY 13-14 Countywide Program Annual Report for a list of mercury collection and recycling efforts conducted countywide and regionally.

**C.11.a.ii ► Mercury Collection**

Provide an estimate of the mass of mercury collected through these efforts, or provide a reference to a report containing this estimate.

As a non-population based entity, FCD does not have a consumer base to encourage facilitation of proper mercury disposal. See Contra Costa County's Annual report for efforts in unincorporated County.

Mercury Containing Device/Equipment	Total Amount of Devices Collected	Estimated Mass of Mercury Collected
Fluorescent Lamps <sup>60</sup> (linear feet)	NA	NA
CFLs <sup>61</sup> (each)	NA	NA
Thermostats <sup>62</sup> (each)	NA	NA
Thermostats (lbs)	NA	NA
Thermometers (each)	NA	NA
Switches (lbs)	NA	NA
HID Headlamps (each)	NA	NA
Elemental Mercury (kg)	NA	NA
<b>Total Mass of Mercury Collected During FY 2014-2015:</b>		NA

<sup>60</sup>Only linear fluorescent lamps should be included

<sup>61</sup>Only compact fluorescent lamps should be included

<sup>62</sup>Thermostats can be reported by quantity or by pounds. Whichever unit is used, please avoid double-counting.

Permittee Name: Contra Costa County Flood Control and Water Conservation District

- C.11.b ▶ Monitor Methylmercury**
- C.11.c ▶ Pilot Projects to Investigate and Abate Mercury Sources in Drainages**
- C.11.d ▶ Pilot Projects to Evaluate and Enhance Municipal Sediment Removal and Management Practices**
- C.11.e ▶ Conduct Pilot Projects to Evaluate On-Site Stormwater Treatment via Retrofit**
- C.11.f ▶ Diversion of Dry Weather and First Flush Flows to POTWs**
- C.11.g ▶ Monitor Stormwater Mercury Pollutant Loads and Loads Reduced**
- C.11.h ▶ Fate and Transport Study of Mercury In Urban Runoff**
- C.11.i ▶ Development of a Risk Reduction Program Implemented Throughout the Region**
- C.11.j ▶ Develop Allocation Sharing Scheme with Caltrans**

State below if information is reported in a separate regional report. Municipalities that participate directly in regional activities to can provide descriptions below.

Summary

A summary of CCCWP and regional accomplishments for these sub-provisions are included within the C.11 Mercury Controls section of Program's FY 14-15 Annual Report, the Integrated Monitoring Report submitted on March 15, 2014, and the Urban Creeks Monitoring Report submitted on March 15, 2015.

Permittee Name: Contra Costa County Flood Control and Water Conservation District

**Section 12 - Provision C.12 PCBs Controls**

**C.12.a.ii,iii ▶ Ongoing Training**

*(For FY 10-11 Annual Report and Each Annual Report Thereafter)* List below or attach description of ongoing training development and inspections for PCB identification, including documentation and referral to appropriate regulatory agencies (e.g. county health departments, Department of Toxic Substances Control, California Department of Public Health, and the Water Board) as necessary.

Description:

See the FY 14-15 CCCWP Annual Report for a description of training provided countywide and/or regionally.

- C.12.b ▶ Conduct Pilot Projects to Evaluate Managing PCB-Containing Materials and Wastes during Building Demolition and Renovation Activities**
- C.12.c ▶ Pilot Projects to Investigate and Abate On-land Locations with Elevated PCB Concentrations**
- C.12.d ▶ Conduct Pilot Projects to Evaluate and Enhance Municipal Sediment Removal and Management Practices**
- C.12.e ▶ Conduct Pilot Projects to Evaluate On-Site Stormwater Treatment via Retrofit**
- C.12.f ▶ Diversion of Dry Weather and First Flush Flows to POTWs**
- C.12.g ▶ Monitor Stormwater PCB Pollutant Loads and Loads Reduced**
- C.12.h ▶ Fate and Transport Study of PCBs In Urban Runoff**
- C.12.i ▶ Development of a Risk Reduction Program Implemented Throughout the Region**

State below if information is reported in a separate regional report. Municipalities that participate directly in regional activities to can provide descriptions below.

Summary

A summary of CCCWP and regional accomplishments for these sub-provisions are included within the C.12 PCB Controls section of Program's FY 14-15 Annual Report, the Integrated Monitoring Report submitted March 15, 2014, and the Urban Creeks Monitoring Report submitted on March 15, 2015.

Section 13 - Provision C.13 Copper Controls

**C.13.a.iii.(2) ▶ Training, Permitting and Enforcement Activities**

(FY 11-12 Annual Report and each Annual Report thereafter) Provide summaries of activities implemented to manage waste generated from cleaning and treating of copper architectural features, including copper roofs, during construction and post-construction including. :

- Development of BMPs on how to manage the water during and post construction
- Requiring the use of appropriate BMPs when issuing building permits
- Educating installers and operators on appropriate BMPs
- Enforcement actions taken again noncompliance

The Flood Control District would not utilize architectural copper in any of its projects. In the unlikely event that a project that utilized architectural copper were to be proposed within the Flood Control District's right-of-way, the Flood Control District would disallow the use of architectural copper unless appropriate BMPs were implemented to prevent the discharge of pollutants related to the installation and maintenance of the copper.

**C.13.d.iii ▶ Industrial Sources Copper Reduction Results**

Based upon inspection activities conducted under Provision C.4, highlight copper reduction results achieved among the facilities identified as potential users or sources of copper, facilities inspected, and BMPs addressed.

Summary

The Flood Control District hosts no land uses that require inspections pursuant to Provision C.4, so no such inspections were conducted by the Flood Control District.

**Section 14 - Provision C.14 PBDE, Legacy Pesticides and Selenium Controls**

Note: There are no reporting requirements in the FY 14-15 Annual Report for Section C.14.

Permittee Name: Contra Costa County Flood Control and Water Conservation District

**Section 15 -Provision C.15 Exempted and Conditionally Exempted Discharges**

**C.15.b.iii.(1), C.15.b.iii.(2) ► Planned and Unplanned Discharges of Potable Water**

Is your agency a water purveyor?	<input type="checkbox"/>	Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No
If <b>No</b> , skip to C.15.b.vi.(2):				
If <b>Yes</b> , Complete the attached reporting tables or attach your own table with the same information. Provide any clarifying comments below.				
Comments:				

**C.15.b.vi.(2) ► Irrigation Water, Landscape Irrigation, and Lawn or Garden Watering**

<p>Provide implementation summaries of the required BMPs to promote measures that minimize runoff and pollutant loading from excess irrigation. Generally the categories are:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Promote conservation programs</li> <li>• Promote outreach for less toxic pest control and landscape management</li> <li>• Promote use of drought tolerant and native vegetation</li> <li>• Promote outreach messages to encourage appropriate watering/irrigation practices</li> <li>• Implement Illicit Discharge Enforcement Response Plan for ongoing, large volume landscape irrigation runoff.</li> </ul>
<p>Summary: NA</p>

<b>C.15.b.iii.(1) ► Planned Discharges of the Potable Water System</b>										
Site/ Location	Discharge Type	Receiving Waterbody(ies)	Date of Discharge	Duration of Discharge (military time)	Estimated Volume (gallons)	Estimated Flow Rate (gallons/day)	Chlorine Residual (mg/L)	pH (standard units)	Discharge Turbidity <sup>63</sup> (NTU)	Implemented BMPs & Corrective Actions
NA										

<sup>63</sup>Monitor the receiving water for turbidity if necessary and feasible. Include data in this column if available.

**C.15.b.iii.(2) ► Unplanned Discharges of the Potable Water System<sup>64</sup>**

Site/ Location	Discharge Type	Receiving Waterbody(ies)	Date of Discharge	Discharge Duration (military time)	Estimated Volume (gallons)	Estimated Flow Rate (gallons/day)	Chlorine Residual (mg/L) <sup>65</sup>	pH (standard units) <sup>52</sup>	Discharge Turbidity (Visual) <sup>52</sup> ,	Implemented BMPs & Corrective Actions	Time of discharge discovery	Regulatory Agency Notification Time <sup>66</sup>	Inspector arrival time	Responding crew arrival time
NA														

<sup>64</sup>This table contains all of the unplanned discharges that occurred in this FY.

<sup>65</sup>Monitoring data is only required for 10% of the unplanned discharges. If you monitored more than 10% of your unplanned discharges, report all of the data collected.

<sup>66</sup>. Notification to Water Board staff is required for unplanned discharges where the chlorine residual is >0.05 mg/L and total volume is ≥ 50,000 gallons. Notification to State Office of Emergency Services is required after becoming aware of aquatic impacts as a result of unplanned discharge or when the discharge might endanger or compromise public health and safety.



# Contra Costa County Stormwater and Related Ordinances



## Code Sections

### *California Penal Codes:*

374.3: Unlawful disposal of waste on a public or private roadway, right of way or private property without permission.

374.7:(a) A person who litters or causes to be littered, or dumps or causes to be dumped, waste matter into a bay, lagoon, channel, river, creek, slough, canal, lake, or reservoir, or other stream or body of water, or upon a bank, beach, or shore within 150 feet of the high water mark of a stream or body of water, is guilty of a misdemeanor.

**Note:** Refer to Fish and Game Code Sections 5650, 5652 (pollution) and 1602(a) Stream alteration.

### *California Vehicle Codes:*

31560(a): Transporting 10 or more waste tires.

**Note:** Refer complaint to Environmental Health for transporting waste/used tires

34506.3: General Cargo Securement

24002 (a): Unsafe Load

23114 (a): Spilling a Load

### *Contra Costa County Ordinances:*

88-16: Take-out food establishment.

Land use permit requires establishment to pick up and properly dispose of trash within 400 feet of any boundary 3x/week

1014-010: Unlawful discharge or unlawful connections to the County's stormwater system.

# Contra Costa County Agencies Contact Information and Complaints Handled



## Building Department, 30 Muir Road, Martinez

Abandoned vehicles (private property), unsafe structures, zoning, abandoned properties, weeds, working without permits, junkyard conditions, and residential nuisances **Business: (925) 674-7200**

## Environmental Health, 2120 Diamond Blvd., #200, Concord

Restaurants, illegal food vendors, solid waste, no garbage service, illegal dumping, garbage on exterior of a property, medical waste, waste tire enforcement, illicit discharges to the stormwater system, sewage spills, tattoo and body piercing parlors **Business: (925) 692-2500**

## Fire Protection District, 2010 Geary Road, Pleasant Hill

Life-safety issues, hazards inside and outside of buildings, imminent fire threat, illegal burning, annual inspection and permitting of many buildings and types of businesses and fire investigations  
**Business: (925) 941-3300**      **Emergency: (925) 933-1313**

## HazMat, 4585 Pacheco Blvd., Martinez

Hazardous materials/waste, industrial stormwater, gasoline & vehicle oil spillage  
**Business: 925-335-3200**      **Emergency: (925) 335-3232**

## Public Works, Watershed Program, 255 Glacier Drive, Martinez

Stormwater, illicit discharges, construction controls, industrial/residential discharges to the storm drain system, street sweeping, trash/litter  
**Business: (925) 313-2000**

## Sheriff Department, Martinez

**Dispatch: 925-646-2441**

## California Department of Fish and Wildlife

Illicit discharges, litter in creeks or waterways and alterations to creeks, including bed and banks  
**Dispatch (916) 358-1312**