



#### **Central Valley Regional Water Quality Control Board**

20 January 2016

Joe Niland Lincoln Center Environmental Remediation Trust 3043 Gold Canal Drive, Suite 201 Rancho Cordova, CA 95670

#### NOTICE OF APPLICABILITY, GENERAL ORDER NO. R5-2015-0012, FULL SCALE IN-SITU GROUNDWATER REMEDIATION, LINCOLN VILLAGE SHOPPING CENTER, STOCKTON, SAN JOAQUIN COUNTY

Lincoln Center Environmental Remediation Trust (LCERT) (Discharger) submitted a completed Notice of Intent (NOI), dated 9 October 2015, requesting coverage under General Order No. R5-2015-0012, General Waste Discharge Requirements for In-situ Groundwater Remediation and Discharge of Treated Groundwater to Land. Based on information in the submittal, it is our determination that this project meets the required conditions to be approved under Order No. R5-2015-0012. All of the requirements contained in the general order are applicable to your project. You are assigned Order No. R5-2012-013.

### **Project Location:**

The project is in San Joaquin County, Township 2N, Range 6E, Section 21, Mount Diablo Baseline & Meridian. Assessor's Parcel No. 097-410-30; Latitude 38°0'29.57"N; Longitude 121°19'19.61"W.

### **Project Description:**

The primary objective of this project is to provide in-situ treatment of volatile organic compounds (VOCs) in groundwater, including tetrachloroethene, trichloroethene, and cis-1,2-DCE. The Discharger conducted a pilot test for in-situ chemical oxidation (ISCO) of the groundwater during 2013 under General Order No. R5-2008-0149-046. Remedial alternatives, including ISCO, were analyzed in an Engineering Feasibility Study, and the selected alternative was presented in a Remedial Action Plan (RAP).

The Discharger submitted a Remedial Design/Remedial Action Work Plan (RD/RA Work Plan) with the NOI to perform full-scale groundwater remediation of VOCs and a Notice of Intent to be covered under General Order No. R5-2015-0012 for the associated activities. Central Valley Water Board staff concurred with the design and proposed work in the RD/RA Work Plan in a 23 October 2015 letter. As proposed in the approved RAP and the RD/RA Work Plan, the project consists of injecting dilute, liquid potassium permanganate over a three-year period across two transects, while simultaneously extracting and treating groundwater from the downgradient area. Following the potassium permanganate injection period, groundwater will continue to be extracted, treated, and injected for an additional five years. Before, during, and after the above-proposed remediation program, the groundwater will be monitored to determine the efficacy of the remediation and to monitor for secondary reaction byproducts. A contingency plan, as outlined below, will be implemented if monitoring indicates exceedance of water

KARL E. LONGLEY ScD, P.E., CHAIR | PAMELA C. CREEDON P.E., BCEE, EXECUTIVE OFFICER

quality limitations in downgradient compliance wells or if groundwater in the treatment zone does not show adequately declining concentration of secondary reaction byproducts following the injection phase.

No comments were received on the draft Notice of Applicability and MRP during the 30-day public comment period ending on 11 January 2016.

### Monitoring and Reporting Program:

As part of the Order, the Discharger is required to perform groundwater monitoring and reporting in accordance with the attached Monitoring and Reporting Program (MRP) to confirm the extent of the treatment area and efficacy of the treatment, and to verify that no adverse groundwater impacts occur due to ISCO treatment. The MRP includes monitoring requirements for two phases of ISCO (Phase 1 and Phase 2) to be conducted across the two transects. The MRP includes the locations of the injection wells and the extraction wells, and monitoring requirements for treatment zone monitoring wells and compliance zone monitoring wells. Background data will be collected from the compliance zone wells to establish compliance limitations as described in the MRP. Additionally, certain monitoring wells in the treatment zone are designated as sentinel wells for tracking the concentration of hexavalent chromium in groundwater which was seen as a reaction byproduct during the ISCO pilot test. The MRP includes requirements for establishing trigger levels for these sentinel wells for installing ion exchange in the groundwater treatment system to treat any elevated hexavalent chromium that reaches the extraction wells.

### **Contingency Plan:**

The General Order requires a contingency plan for corrective actions should water quality exceed the requirements of the Order at the compliance wells. The General Order prohibits concentrations of total dissolved solids (TDS) or dissolved metals more than 20% greater than their respective background levels, or exceedances of water quality limits at the compliance wells.

Baseline concentrations of TDS and dissolved metals will be determined prior to the injections following the procedures specified in the attached MRP. If there is an exceedance at a downgradient compliance well, a confirmation sample will be collected within 10 days of learning of the exceedance. If the exceedance is confirmed, the Central Valley Water Board shall be notified in writing within 10 days.

If an exceedance is confirmed in a downgradient compliance well, a corrective action work plan shall be submitted to the Central Valley Water Board for approval within 30 days. The work plan shall include one or more of the following:

- Increase monitoring frequency;
- Expand the monitoring network;
- Inject a reducing compound using amendments such as sodium thiosulfate, calcium polysulfide, zero valent iron, ascorbic acid, and/or lactate and ethanol to reduce dissolved metals mobilized by ISCO; or
- Induce hydraulic control of amendments in the target treatment area.

All contingency measures in the work plan shall be fully implemented within six months of the confirmation of an exceedance in a downgradient compliance well, following approval by the Executive Officer.

Additionally, if concentrations of hexavalent chromium in the treatment zone do not decline adequately following the ISCO injection phases, a corrective action work plan shall be submitted to the Central Valley Water Board for approval within 30 days of request by Central Valley Water Board staff following discussions with the Discharger. The work plan shall include one or more of the following:

- Increase monitoring frequency;
- Expand the monitoring network;
- Inject a reducing compound using amendments such as sodium thiosulfate, calcium polysulfide, zero valent iron, ascorbic acid, and/or lactate and ethanol to reduce dissolved metals mobilized by ISCO; or
- Induce hydraulic control of affected groundwater.

All contingency measures in the work plan shall be fully implemented within six months of the request for the work plan by Central Valley Water Board staff, following approval by the Executive Officer.

## **Specific Requirements:**

- 1. The project will be operated in accordance with the requirements contained in the General Order and in accordance with the information submitted in the completed Notice of Intent.
- 2. The required annual fee (as specified in the annual billing you will receive from the State Water Resources Control Board) shall be submitted until this Notice of Applicability is officially revoked.
- 3. Injection of materials other than treated groundwater water and potassium permanganate into the subsurface is prohibited, other than materials to reduce any metals that are mobilized during the ISCO project. Per the Contingency Plan, sodium thiosulfate, calcium polysulfide, zero valent iron, ascorbic acid, and/or lactate and ethanol can be injected into the subsurface to reduce metals in accordance with an approved work plan.
- 4. Failure to abide by the conditions of the General Order could result in an enforcement action as authorized by provisions of the California Water Code.
- 5. The Discharger shall comply with the attached Monitoring and Reporting Program, Order No. R5-2015-0012-013, and any revisions thereto as ordered by the Executive Officer.

If you have any questions regarding this matter, please call Bill Brattain at (916) 464-4622.

Original signed by Andrew Altevogt for

PAMELA C. CREEDON Executive Officer

Attachment

## CALIFORNIA REGIONAL WATER QUALITY CONTROL BOARD CENTRAL VALLEY REGION

### MONITORING AND REPORTING PROGRAM NO. R5-2015-0012-013

FOR

## IN-SITU GROUNDWATER REMEDIATION AND DISCHARGE OF TREATED GROUNDWATER TO LAND

## LINCOLN CENTER ENVIRONMENTAL REMEDIATION TRUST STOCKTON, CALIFORNIA SAN JOAQUIN COUNTY

This Monitoring and Reporting Program (MRP) is issued to the Lincoln Center Environmental Remediation Trust (Discharger) and describes requirements for monitoring the progress of the in situ chemical oxidation (ISCO) groundwater remediation program (ISCO Program) at the Lincoln Village Shopping Center in Stockton, California (the "Site"). The primary objective of the ISCO Program is to treat tetrachloroethene, trichloroethene, and cis-1,2-dichloroethene groundwater impacts in the site vicinity. This full-scale ISCO Program was designed based, in part, on the results of an ISCO pilot test conducted during 2013. The design for the full-scale ISCO Program was included in the 9 October 2015 Final Revised Remedial Design/Remedial Action Work Plan (RD/RA Work Plan) submitted as part of the Notice of Intent for coverage under General Order R5-2015-0012. The ISCO Program covered by this MRP will be implemented in three sequential phases. During Phases 1 and 2, the ISCO Program involves the delivery of a chemical oxidant, potassium permanganate, into groundwater using a recirculation approach for both shallow (A-Zone) and deep (B-Zone) groundwater. Phase 1 and Phase 2 consist of ISCO recirculation using injection transects located on-Site and off-Site, respectively, as shown on Figures 1 through 4 of this MRP. During Phase 3, extracted groundwater will be treated and recirculated through the A-Zone and B-Zone treatment areas. During Phase 3, no oxidant will be injected.

This MRP is issued pursuant to Water Code Section 13267. The Discharger shall not implement any changes to this MRP unless and until a revised MRP is issued by the Executive Officer. As appropriate, California Regional Water Quality Control Board, Central Valley Region (Central Valley Water Board) staff shall approve specific sample station locations prior to implementation of sampling activities.

All samples shall be representative of the volume and nature of the discharge or matrix of material sampled. The time, date, and location of each grab sample shall be recorded on the sample chain of custody form.

## **GROUNDWATER MONITORING**

This section presents the groundwater monitoring programs for the Phase 1 and Phase 2 ISCO Programs. Sample collection and analysis shall follow standard United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) protocols and sample analyses shall be completed by a California state-certified laboratory.

#### PHASE 1 GROUNDWATER MONITORING PROGRAM

The expected duration of Phase 1 is approximately 18 months. The locations of the A-Zone and B-Zone wells included in the Phase 1 groundwater monitoring program are shown on Figures 1 and 2, respectively. The Phase 1 groundwater monitoring wells shall be sampled according to the schedule in Table 1A and the samples analyzed by the methods in Table 2.

Well Number <sup>1</sup>	Frequency	Constituent Suite(s) <sup>2,3</sup>	Monitoring Objective <sup>4</sup>
A-Zone: A1-U/L (proposed), A2-U/L (proposed), ERD-1, ERD-2, ERD-9, ERD-10, ERD-14, ERD-15, MW-121A-U, MW-122-A-U, MW-123A-L, MW-124-A-L, MW-128A <i>B-Zone:</i> MW-205B, MW-214B, MW-215B	Baseline (prior to injection), then Quarterly	Suite A and Field Sampling	Treatment Zone⁵
A-Zone: A1-U/L (proposed), A2-U/L (proposed), ERD-1, ERD-2, ERD-9, ERD-10, ERD-14, ERD-15, MW-121A-U, MW-122-A-U, MW-123A-L, MW-124-A-L, MW-128A <i>B-Zone:</i> MW-205B, MW-214B, MW-215B	Baseline (prior to injection), then Annually	Suite B and Field Sampling	Treatment Zone⁵
A-Zone: MW-117A, MW-125A, MW-127A, MW-129A <i>B-Zone:</i> MW-206B, MW-216B, MW-218B	Quarterly (during Background Study), then Annually	Suites A, B and Field Sampling	Background <sup>6</sup> & Compliance <sup>7</sup>

#### Table 1A: Phase 1 Sampling Frequency and Constituent Suites

<sup>1</sup> Well numbers and locations as shown on Figures 1 and 2.

<sup>2</sup> Constituent suite components are listed in Table 2.

<sup>3</sup> Field sampling components are listed in Table 3.

- <sup>4</sup> Because the ISCO Program is being applied with a recirculation system, a transition zone does not exist and, therefore, there are no proposed transition zone wells.
- <sup>5</sup> Wells sampled to evaluate remediation progress inside the treatment zone.
- <sup>6</sup> Wells used to develop background concentrations.
- <sup>7</sup> Wells used to determine compliance with water groundwater limitations.

Monitoring wells MW-121A-U, MW-123-A-L, MW-128A, and MW-215B in the treatment zone will also function as "sentinel wells" during Phase 1. The sentinel wells are existing wells that are downgradient of the Phase 1 injection wells, yet upgradient of extraction wells and within the hydraulic capture zone. The purpose of the sentinel wells is to provide information on the proximity of hexavalent chromium to the extraction wells and to determine the appropriate time to install and operate ion exchange at the groundwater treatment system.

A baseline concentration for hexavalent chromium and other inorganic constituents will be established in the sentinel wells prior to starting Phase 1 recirculation, as described in the "Establishment of Background Concentration Values" section of this MRP. A trigger concentration (defined as 20 percent greater than baseline) will be established for each well. If a trigger concentration is exceeded in a well, the Central Valley Water Board will be notified and a confirmation sample will be collected from the sentinel well, the nearest downgradient extraction well and Compliance Well, and the groundwater treatment system influent and effluent monthly, for at least one quarter. If the hexavalent chromium concentration in the groundwater treatment system monthly influent sample exceeds 7.0 micrograms per liter (ug/L), the Discharger shall collect a confirmation sample within 24 hours and with a turnaround time of no more than 5 days. If the confirmation sample exceeds 7.0 ug/L, the Discharger shall bring the ion exchange system on-line to remove hexavalent chromium as proposed in the RD/RA Work Plan. The established NPDES permit average monthly effluent limit is currently 7.8 ug/L with in instantaneous maximum of 16.0 ug/L. The typical range of hexavalent chromium in the effluent is 3.6 to 4.9 ug/L based on 2014 data.

### PHASE 2 GROUNDWATER MONITORING PROGRAM

Phase 2 will commence following the completion of Phase 1. The expected duration for Phase 2 is approximately 18 months. The locations of the A-Zone and B-Zone wells included in the Phase 2 groundwater monitoring program are shown on Figures 3 and 4, respectively. The Phase 2 groundwater monitoring wells shall be sampled according to the schedule in Table 1B and the samples analyzed by the methods in Table 2.

Well Number <sup>1</sup>	Frequency	Constituent Suite(s) <sup>2,3</sup>	Monitoring Objective <sup>4</sup>
A-Zone: A3U/L (proposed), A4U/L (proposed), A5U/L (proposed) <i>B-Zone:</i> B1U/L (proposed), B2U/L (proposed)	Baseline (prior to injection), then Quarterly	Suite A and Field Sampling	Treatment Zone⁵

Table 15: Fhase 2 Sampling Frequency and Constituent Suite	Table 1B:
--	-----------

A-Zone: A3U/L (proposed), A4U/L (proposed), A5U/L (proposed) B-Zone: B1U/L (proposed), B2U/L (proposed)	Baseline (prior to injection), then Annually	Suite B and Field Sampling	Treatment Zone⁵
A-Zone: MW-117A, MW-125A, MW-127A, MW-129A <i>B-Zone:</i> MW-206B, MW-216B, MW-218B	Quarterly (during Background Study), then Annually	Suites A, B and Field Sampling	Background <sup>6</sup> & Compliance <sup>7</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Well numbers and locations as shown on Figures 3 and 4.

- <sup>2</sup> Constituent suite components are listed in Table 2.
- <sup>3</sup> Field sampling components are listed in Table 3.
- <sup>4</sup> Because the ISCO Program is being applied with a recirculation system, a transition zone does not exist and, therefore, there are no proposed transition zone wells.
- <sup>5</sup> Wells sampled to evaluate remediation progress inside the treatment zone.
- <sup>6</sup> Wells used to develop background concentrations.
- <sup>7</sup> Wells used to determine compliance with water groundwater limitations.

Proposed wells A3U/L, A4U/L, A5U/L, B1U/L, and B2U/L in the treatment zone will also function as sentinel wells during Phase 2. The sentinel wells will be installed downgradient of the Phase 2 injection wells, yet upgradient of the extraction wells and within the hydraulic capture zone. As with Phase 1, the purpose of the sentinel wells is to provide information on the proximity of hexavalent chromium to the extraction wells and to determine the appropriate time to install and operate ion exchange at the groundwater treatment system if it was not already installed during Phase 1.

A baseline concentration for hexavalent chromium and other inorganic constituents will be established in the sentinel wells following well installation and development and prior to starting Phase 2 recirculation. A trigger concentration (defined as 20 percent greater than baseline) will be established for each well. If a trigger concentration is exceeded in a well, the Central Valley Water Board will be notified and a confirmation sample will be collected from the sentinel well, the nearest downgradient extraction well and Compliance well, and the groundwater treatment system influent and effluent monthly, for at least one quarter. If the hexavalent chromium concentration in the groundwater treatment system monthly influent sample exceeds 7.0 ug/L, the Discharger shall collect a confirmation sample within 24 hours and with a turnaround time of no more than 5 days. If the confirmation sample exceeds 7.0 ug/L, the Discharger shall bring the ion exchange system on-line to remove hexavalent chromium as proposed in the RD/RA Work Plan. The established NPDES permit average monthly effluent limit is currently 7.8 ug/L with in instantaneous maximum of 16.0 ug/L. The typical range of hexavalent chromium in the effluent is 3.6 to 4.9 ug/L based on 2014 data.

Phase 3 will commence immediately following the completion of Phase 2 and will include recirculating (extracted and treated) groundwater through the A-Zone and B-Zone treatment

areas. During Phase 3, no oxidant will be injected. Phase 3 recirculation is expected to operate for approximately five years. The groundwater monitoring program for Phase 3 will initially follow the same scope and schedule as the Phase 2 groundwater monitoring program and may later be adjusted, as appropriate.

Constituent	Analytical Method <sup>1</sup>	Maximum Practical Quantitation Limit <sup>2</sup>
Suite A		
Volatile Organic Compounds	EPA 8260B	0.5 µg/L
Hexavalent Chromium <sup>3</sup>	EPA 7196, 7199	5 µg/L
Permanganate⁴	SM 4500- KMnO₄	5 mg/L
Suite B		
Dissolved Iron	EPA 6020/200.8	100 µg/L
Dissolved Manganese	EPA 6020/200.8	20 µg/L
Total Chromium	EPA 6020/200.8	5 µg/L
Total Potassium	EPA 6020/200.8	1 mg/L
Total Dissolved Solids	EPA 160.1	10 mg/L

## Table 2: Analytical Methods

Or an equivalent method that achieves the maximum Practical Quantitation Limit.

<sup>2</sup> All concentrations between the Method Detection Limit and the Practical Quantitation Limit shall be reported as an estimated value.

<sup>3</sup> If the samples have visual evidence (i.e. pink or purple color) or residual permanganate, hexavalent chromium will instead be analyzed using EPA 7199 due to interference with EPA 7196.

<sup>4</sup> If hexavalent chromium (EPA 7196, 7199) and total chromium (EPA 6020/200.8) analytical results are shown to be approximately equal, the Discharger may elect to substitute the latter method for any required hexavalent chromium analysis.

## FIELD SAMPLING

In addition to the above sampling and analysis, field sampling and analysis shall be conducted each time a well is sampled. The sampling and analysis of field parameters shall be as specified in Table 3.

Parameters	Units	Type of Sample
Groundwater Elevation	feet, mean sea level (ft msl)	Measurement
Oxidation-Reduction Potential (ORP)	millivolts (mV)	Grab
Electrical Conductivity (EC)	micromhos per centimeter (umhos/cm)	Grab
Dissolved Oxygen	milligrams per liter (mg/L)	Grab
Temperature	degrees Celsius (°C)	Grab
pH	pH units	Grab

Field test instruments (such as those used to test pH and dissolved oxygen) may be used provided that:

- 1. The operator is trained in proper use and maintenance of the instruments;
- 2. The instruments are calibrated prior to each monitoring event;
- 3. Instruments are serviced and/or calibrated by the manufacturer at the recommended frequency; and
- 4. Field calibration reports are submitted as described in item (b) of the "Reporting" section of this MRP.

# TREATMENT PLANT EFFLUENT MONITORING

The effluent (after treatment and prior to oxidant amendment) from the groundwater treatment system will be sampled for volatile organic compounds (VOCs) during the ISCO Program on a monthly basis. A maximum effluent limitation of 0.5  $\mu$ g/L applies to each VOC for which the Discharger is responsible for (i.e. tetrachloroethene, trichloroethene, and cis-1,2-dichloroethene, trans-1,2-dichloroethene, and vinyl chloride).

It should be noted that the groundwater treatment system is concurrently governed by National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) No. CA0084255. The NPDES Permit requires monthly effluent monitoring for constituents including VOCs, hexavalent chromium, and other inorganic constituents of concern for the ISCO Program.

## **IN-SITU DISCHARGE MONITORING**

The Discharger shall monitor daily the discharge of water and amendments that are injected into the groundwater during the ISCO Program, according to the requirements specified in Table 4.

Parameters	Units	Type of Sample
Injected Volume	gallons per day	Meter
Amendment(s) Added	pounds per day	Measured

## Table 4: Discharge Monitoring Requirements

## AMENDMENT ANALYSIS

As part of previous ISCO pilot-testing activities under General Order R5-2008-0149, the Discharger completed an amendment analysis on a mixture of Carus®-brand potassium permanganate and deionized water. The concentration of permanganate in the mixture was 2 g/L, which is approximately equal to the target injection concentration (2.3 g/L) to be used during the Phase 1 and Phase 2 ISCO Programs. The results of the previously-submitted amendment analysis were submitted with the Notice of Intent for General Order R5-2015-0012 and will be used to satisfy this requirement. The Discharger has also provided the Central Valley Water Board with the manufacturer's certificate of analysis for the oxidant.

## ESTABLISHMENT OF BACKGROUND CONCENTRATION VALUES

The Discharger shall conduct a Background Study to determine the background concentration values of hexavalent chromium, dissolved iron, dissolved manganese, total chromium, total potassium, total dissolved solids, pH, and electrical conductivity in groundwater following the procedures found in California Code of Regulations, Title 27, Section 20415(e)(10). The Discharger shall conduct at least eight sampling events (i.e., quarterly for two years) as part of this study. During each background sampling event, samples will be collected from MW-117A, MW-125A, MW-127A, MW-129A, MW-206B, MW-216B, and MW-218B and analyzed for the above constituents. Background concentration values for each of the above constituents will then be established (on an individual, well-by-well basis) using the calculated 95% upper confidence limit of the mean. Groundwater concentrations at Compliance wells may not exceed the respective background concentration values by more than 20 percent.

Changes in background groundwater quality may occur over time due to environmental factors. For example, over the past decade an increasing trend in background groundwater electrical conductivity has been observed in the site vicinity. In consultation with the Central Valley Water Board staff, the Discharger may propose future studies to determine any changes in background groundwater quality conditions. The Discharger may propose updates to background values for certain constituents based on the results of such studies.

## REPORTING

When reporting the data, the Discharger shall arrange the information in tabular form so that the date, the constituents, and the concentrations are readily discernible. The data shall be summarized in such a manner as to illustrate clearly the compliance with this Order. In addition, the Discharger shall notify the Central Valley Water Board within 48 hours of any unscheduled shutdown of the groundwater extraction system that results in system non-operation for seven consecutive days or more. The results of any monitoring done more frequently than required at the locations specified in the Monitoring and Reporting Program shall also be reported to the Central Valley Water Board.

As required by the California Business and Professions Code Sections 6735, 7835, and 7835.1, all reports shall be prepared by a registered professional Civil Engineer or Geologist or their subordinate and signed by the registered professional.

The Discharger shall submit semiannual electronic data reports, which conform to the requirements of the California Code of Regulations, Title 23, Division 3, Chapter 30. The semiannual reports shall be submitted electronically over the internet to the Geotracker database system by the 1st day of the second month following the end of each calendar quarter by **1** August and **1** February until such time as the Executive Officer determines that the reports are no longer necessary.

Each semiannual report shall include the following minimum information:

- (a) a description and discussion of the groundwater sampling event and results, including trends in the concentrations of pollutants and groundwater elevations in the wells, how and when samples were collected, and whether the pollutant plume(s) is delineated;
- (b) a discussion of groundwater quality at Compliance wells, with respect to the applicable groundwater limitations;
- (c) a discussion of groundwater quality at sentinel wells, with respect to the applicable baseline conditions;
- (d) field logs that contain, at a minimum, water quality parameters measured before, during, and after purging, method of purging, depth of water, volume of water purged, field instrument calibration reports, etc.;
- (e) groundwater contour maps for all groundwater zones, if applicable;
- (f) pollutant concentration maps for all groundwater zones, if applicable;
- (g) a table showing well construction details such as well number, groundwater zone being monitored, coordinates (longitude and latitude), ground surface elevation, reference elevation, elevation of screen, elevation of bentonite, elevation of filter pack, and elevation of well bottom;
- (h) a table showing historical lateral and vertical (if applicable) flow directions and gradients;
- (i) cumulative data tables containing the water quality analytical results and depth to groundwater;
- (j) a copy of the laboratory analytical data report(s);
- (k) the status of any ongoing remediation, including an estimate of the cumulative mass of pollutant removed from the subsurface, system operating time, the effectiveness of the remediation system, and any field notes pertaining to the operation and maintenance of the system; and
- if applicable, the reasons for and duration of all interruptions in the operation of any remediation system, and actions planned or taken to correct and prevent interruptions.

An Annual Report shall be submitted to the Central Valley Water Board by **1 February** of each year. This report shall contain an evaluation of the effectiveness and progress of the investigation and remediation. The Annual Report may be substituted for the second semi-annual monitoring report as long as it contains all of the information required for that report plus that required for the Annual Report. The Annual Report shall contain the following minimum information:

(a) both tabular and graphical summaries of all data obtained during the year;

- (b) groundwater contour maps and pollutant concentration maps containing all data obtained during the previous year;
- (c) a discussion of the long-term trends in the concentrations of the pollutants in the groundwater monitoring wells;
- (d) an analysis of whether the pollutant plume is being effectively treated;
- (e) a description of all remedial activities conducted during the year, an analysis of their effectiveness in removing the pollutants, and plans to improve remediation system effectiveness;
- (f) an identification of any data gaps and potential deficiencies/redundancies in the monitoring system or reporting program; and
- (g) if desired, a proposal and rationale for any revisions to the groundwater sampling plan frequency and/or list of analytes.

A letter transmitting the monitoring reports shall accompany each report. Such a letter shall include a discussion of requirement violations found during the reporting period, and actions taken or planned for correcting noted violations, such as operation or facility modifications. If the Discharger has previously submitted a report describing corrective actions and/or a time schedule for implementing the corrective actions, reference to the previous correspondence will be satisfactory. The transmittal letter shall contain the penalty of perjury statement by the Discharger, or the Discharger's authorized agent, as described in the Standard Provisions General Reporting Requirements Section B.3.

The Discharger shall implement the above monitoring program on the first day of the month following adoption of this Order.

Ordered by: <u>Original signed by Andrew Altevogt for</u> PAMELA C. CREEDON Executive Officer

> 20 January 2016 (Date)







