

Kelley, Spencer@Waterboards

From: LJ Laurent <ljl Laurent@att.net>
Sent: Friday, September 07, 2012 12:02 PM
To: Wyels, Wendy@Waterboards
Cc: alan wade; Betsy Weiland; MacDonald Clyde; Warren V. Truitt; Landau, Ken@Waterboards
Subject: Folsom Audit

To: Wendy Wyels, Regional Water Quality Control Board
From: Laurette Laurent, "Folsom Sewage Watchdog"

Sept. 7, 2012

Re: Folsom Audit, city records

Following our discussion, I revisited outside engineering reports on Folsom sanitary conveyance system, lift stations, and storm drainage. In a single volume I found proverbial "smoking guns" with regard to many sewage SSO issues due to overcapacity and undersized or improper construction in the city. I sued to obtain these records the city would not voluntarily release.

In my files I have hard documentary evidence of improprieties with regard to the Lake Natoma Shores Subdivision improvements, as documented by city employees and others. You may claim the city rectified these problems, but I know they did not because I and my neighbors live on top of the faulty infrastructure. Sewage has backed up before and will again.

Check the city's Capital Improvements Projects Budgets over the past decade, particularly since rescission of the NPDES Permit on the Sanitary Collection System. You will find the city has made no capacity improvements, only questionable short term fixes. Such fixes include pressurizing manholes, bolting down manhole covers, and creating strange, unproven cross connections between the 27" and 33" collection lines.

You will find the city has continued to add connections/flushers to both major pipelines, as has CA Dept. of Corrections at three Folsom prison facilities. Furthermore the city has created nonsense zoning districts with unbelievable densities, NO height limitation on "mixed use zoning", no firm parking requirements, and "density bonuses" that will shock any professional.

If the Audit is supposed to uncover CIP monies spent to increase capacity in the city's known to be overburdened sewage collection system, you will not find any CIP projects addressing this issue. If the audit is looking for the funds to make the infrastructure improvements, that means nothing because the current administration has (and will) choose to spend those funds elsewhere. This is a case of "follow the money." The city has not expended public funds to ensure the sewage remains in pipes of sufficient capacity for its growth. I don't know if RWQCB employs forensic accountants, but I do know what CIP never included, and I do know much public money was spent on increasing density and dollar value of land, and private gain.

Obviously independent engineering certification (at city expense) would be of value. West Yost designed the 27" system and consulted on the Psomas documents for the 33"; WY are the uniquely qualified to investigate the current state. CH2M Hill also did one of the last studies, but the scope assigned to them was so narrow as to be valueless for audit purposes. They said the city's "solutions" are "short-term" fixes. They were

not given the task of addressing the inadequate capacity under the 2000 census population figures, and the city's continued rapid growth.

I request RWQCB view select records and make copies for the Folsom Audit file. I would be happy to bring them to your location. I'd like to have Alan Wade and Betsy Weiland included as well, to refresh their memories. This evidence is critical to a full audit, and our excellent memories should save time. I also deposited with videotape city employees and ex-employees connected with the city infrastructure.

Please let me know your pleasure with regard to a meeting. 985-4488.

cc: Alan Wade, Betsy Weiland, Clyde McDonald, Warren Truit, Ken Landau

Kelley, Spencer@Waterboards

From: LJ Laurent <ljl Laurent@att.net>
Sent: Friday, September 07, 2012 2:35 PM
To: alan wade; Betsy Weiland
Cc: Wyels, Wendy@Waterboards
Subject: Public Works budget 2012-13

Betsy and Alan, [SARA land and water]

The 2012-13 Folsom City Budget is on line, but the 230 pages of pretty colors cannot be copied by the page, and the print function is completely disabled. Therefore it was necessary to do a manual recordation of the following Public Works Dept. information in the Budget. The CIP Capital Improvements are not even shown in this budget.

There are two references to Public Works: page IV-113 and II-37. Only the former was descriptive.

To be brief, the current budget like all past ones, does not include Capital Improvement monies for relieving the overloaded and surcharging 27" and 33" sewage lines, and the dubious cross connections between them. All work shown over the past decade is "short term" and questionable from an engineering point of view. Without regular City Engineer's Reports it is impossible to know how much remediation must be done so that all the city manholes can be unbolted, depressurized, and relieved of constant surcharging. As for SSOs, I last reported one to the State late spring 2012. You know, for a "budget" the city document is big on colors and lacking in actual dollar figures.

If you wish to verify what I have previously told you about the city's expenditures (including \$11 million on the Sutter Street Streetscape Beautification, and \$11 million PLUS on the Corp Yard cleanup, and unknown millions spent on Sutter St. Facade Improvement and Historic Folsom outdoor Stage Project), the Sutter Street projects are listed in the current budget. The money was spent in order to turn the city property over to Bernau Development so he could build two large "mixed use zone" buildings on Sutter St. city land. That is the same future for the Corp Yard land.

If you wish to know what the budget reported with regard to improving sewage capacity, you are out of luck. The closest thing is Item 17 of 19 in the Public Works "Accomplishments" page: "developed and implemented strategies to meet provisions of the new Water Quality Control Board construction general permit." There is nothing in the Budget nor the city website which describes these strategies, nor is there any consultant contract shown for any sewage item.

In the Folsom SSMP Sanitary Sewer Management Plan (self-audited), I found on page 5 of 10 the city "updated procedures" for a small pump station and Lake Forest PS. Also, the city "revised and reduced the number of trouble lines due to sewer system improvements within the Historic District. Specifically the Sutter Street Revitalization Project." (This is the same beautification project and work on the Bernau Development building sites.)

In reviewing past years, I cannot find any monies set aside for sewage CIP projects. The amount spent on making Sutter Street and the Corp Yard buildable by Bernau Development exceeds \$24 million. The amount spent on sewage and drainage and road infrastructure improvements (like medians on 55mph Folsom Blvd.) is minuscule.

The only information I can add is that the city is once again having its 15 bands on Sutter Street with outdoor alcohol sales. The entry ticket is \$40; drinks are extra. Informants tell me the amount of money changing hands is staggering. And there are no building rental costs because it is on city lands. I wonder who takes home the cash because it is not public knowledge.

I look forward to sharing my incredible collection of documents with you at the water board (hopefully).

It is my hope the RWQCB Audit will ask the relevant questions and demand independent engineering reports since the dire prediction reports are a few years old, and they state plainly "further examinations are necessary." They were limited in scope, but describe city "fixes" as short term fixes.

My records contain a wealth of public records, including the citations herein. I remember how vigorously we advocated for a connection ban. Since that time the city is growing far more dense and the sewers are simply not adequate. Hope to see you soon.

Laurie

cc: Wendy Wyels

Kelley, Spencer@Waterboards

From: LJ Laurent <ljlaurent@att.net>
Sent: Friday, September 07, 2012 7:27 PM
To: Wyels, Wendy@Waterboards
Cc: alan wade; Betsy Weiland
Subject: Fw: AGENDA FOR SEPTEMBER 19, 2012 BOARD MEETING
Attachments: 9_19_12_agenda_links.pdf

Wendy,

I wanted you to see Item #4 on the uncontested agenda, the referral to US attorney.

Laurie

----- Forwarded Message -----

From: "lyris@swrcb18.waterboards.ca.gov" <lyris@swrcb18.waterboards.ca.gov>
To: LJ Laurent <ljlaurent@att.net>
Sent: Fri, September 7, 2012 5:00:20 PM
Subject: AGENDA FOR SEPTEMBER 19, 2012 BOARD MEETING



This is a message from the State Water Resources Control Board.

Attached is the agenda for the SWRCB Board Meeting on September 19, 2012.

You are currently subscribed to board_workshops as: ljlaurent@att.net.

To unsubscribe click here:

http://swrcb18.waterboards.ca.gov/u?id=221892.81defaa3ebae3f162b2836ad9a949263&n=T&l=board_worksh ops&o=383380

(It may be necessary to cut and paste the above URL if the line is broken)

or send a blank email to leave-383380-221892.81defaa3ebae3f162b2836ad9a949263@swrcb18.waterboards.ca.gov

Kelley, Spencer@Waterboards

From: LJ Laurent <ljlaurent@att.net>
Sent: Wednesday, September 12, 2012 10:20 AM
To: Wyels, Wendy@Waterboards
Cc: alan wade; Betsy Weiland; MacDonald Clyde; Warren V. Truitt; Landau, Ken@Waterboards; Moss, Rick@Waterboards
Subject: Re: Folsom Audit

Wendy,

Thank you for the information. Yes, I wish to review the information received from the city.

I also have information to supply to RWQCB which is directly related to the city's sewage and drainage woes.

SARA might wish representation at this exchange. We will have to arrange a suitable time for the meeting.

My preference is a 10:00 a.m. appointment time next week, almost any day September 17-20.

Laurie

From: "Wyels, Wendy@Waterboards" <Wendy.Wyels@waterboards.ca.gov>
To: LJ Laurent <ljlaurent@att.net>
Cc: alan wade <sara5204@pacbell.net>; Betsy Weiland <flweiland@yahoo.com>; MacDonald Clyde <clydewallace2@yahoo.com>; Warren V. Truitt <wvt@infomania.com>; "Landau, Ken@Waterboards" <Ken.Landau@waterboards.ca.gov>; "teising@folsom.ca.us" <teising@folsom.ca.us>; "Moss, Rick@Waterboards" <Rick.Moss@waterboards.ca.gov>
Sent: Wed, September 12, 2012 9:03:05 AM
Subject: RE: Folsom Audit

Ms. Laurant,

Thank you for your e-mail. As we have discussed, the purpose of the upcoming sanitary sewer system audit is to determine the City of Folsom's compliance with State Water Board Order 2006-003-DWQ (found at http://www.waterboards.ca.gov/water_issues/programs/ssso/). Per your request, we will keep you informed as to the results.

In the meantime, you may be interested to know that Folsom has submitted the Sewer Collection System Pre-Inspection Questionnaire. If you wish to arrange a file review to review these documents, please contact me. Most of the documents are on a computer disk, so you may wish to bring your own blank disk in order to make a copy.

Wendy Wyels
Supervisor, Compliance and Enforcement Section
Central Valley Regional Water Quality Control Board
11020 Sun Center Drive, Suite 200
Rancho Cordova, CA 95670
(916) 464-4835

From: LJ Laurent [mailto:ljl Laurent@att.net]
Sent: Friday, September 07, 2012 12:02 PM
To: Wyels, Wendy@Waterboards
Cc: alan wade; Betsy Weiland; MacDonald Clyde; Warren V. Truitt; Landau, Ken@Waterboards
Subject: Folsom Audit

To: Wendy Wyels, Regional Water Quality Control Board
From: Laurette Laurent, "Folsom Sewage Watchdog"

Sept. 7, 2012

Re: Folsom Audit, city records

Following our discussion, I revisited outside engineering reports on Folsom sanitary conveyance system, lift stations, and storm drainage. In a single volume I found proverbial "smoking guns" with regard to many sewage SSO issues due to overcapacity and undersized or improper construction in the city. I sued to obtain these records the city would not voluntarily release.

In my files I have hard documentary evidence of improprieties with regard to the Lake Natoma Shores Subdivision improvements, as documented by city employees and others. You may claim the city rectified these problems, but I know they did not because I and my neighbors live on top of the faulty infrastructure. Sewage has backed up before and will again.

Check the city's Capital Improvements Projects Budgets over the past decade, particularly since rescission of the NPDES Permit on the Sanitary Collection System. You will find the city has made no capacity improvements, only questionable short term fixes. Such fixes include pressurizing manholes, bolting down manhole covers, and creating strange, unproven cross connections between the 27" and 33" collection lines.

You will find the city has continued to add connections/flushers to both major pipelines, as has CA Dept. of Corrections at three Folsom prison facilities. Furthermore the city has created nonsense zoning districts with unbelievable densities, NO height limitation on "mixed use zoning", no firm parking requirements, and "density bonuses" that will shock any professional.

If the Audit is supposed to uncover CIP monies spent to increase capacity in the city's known to be overburdened sewage collection system, you will not find any CIP projects addressing this issue. If the audit is looking for the funds to make the infrastructure improvements, that means nothing because the current administration has (and will) choose to spend those funds elsewhere. This is a case of "follow the money." The city has not expended public funds to ensure the sewage remains in pipes of sufficient capacity for its growth. I don't know if RWQCB employs forensic accountants, but I do know what CIP never included, and I do know much public money was spent on increasing density and dollar value of land, and private gain.

Obviously independent engineering certification (at city expense) would be of value. West Yost designed the 27" system and consulted on the Pomas documents for the 33"; WY are the uniquely qualified to investigate the current state. CH2M Hill also did one of the last studies, but the scope assigned to them was so narrow as to be valueless for audit purposes. They said the city's "solutions" are "short-term" fixes. They were not given the task of addressing the inadequate capacity under the 2000 census population figures, and the city's continued rapid growth.

I request RWQCB view select records and make copies for the Folsom Audit file. I would be happy to bring them to your location. I'd like to have Alan Wade and Betsy Weiland included as well, to refresh their

memories. This evidence is critical to a full audit, and our excellent memories should save time. I also
deposed with videotape city employees and ex-employees connected with the city infrastructure.

Please let me know your pleasure with regard to a meeting. 985-4488

cc: Alan Wade, Betsy Weiland, Clyde McDonald, Warren Truit, Ken Landau

Kelley, Spencer@Waterboards

From: LJ Laurent <ljl Laurent@att.net>
Sent: Wednesday, September 12, 2012 12:18 PM
To: Wyels, Wendy@Waterboards
Cc: alan wade; Betsy Weiland; MacDonald Clyde; Warren V. Truitt; Landau, Ken@Waterboards; Moss, Rick@Waterboards
Subject: Re: Folsom Audit

Wendy,

Thanks for the fast response. I will mark my calendar for next Tuesday from 10 to 10:30 am.

I sure hope you still have a photocopy machine available. The documents I found are outstanding.

Laurie

From: "Wyels, Wendy@Waterboards" <Wendy.Wyels@waterboards.ca.gov>
To: LJ Laurent <ljl Laurent@att.net>
Cc: alan wade <sara5204@pacbell.net>; Betsy Weiland <flweiland@yahoo.com>; MacDonald Clyde <clydewallace2@yahoo.com>; Warren V. Truitt <wvt@infomania.com>; "Landau, Ken@Waterboards" <Ken.Landau@waterboards.ca.gov>; "Moss, Rick@Waterboards" <Rick.Moss@waterboards.ca.gov>
Sent: Wed, September 12, 2012 12:12:55 PM
Subject: RE: Folsom Audit

Laurie,

I'm pretty busy next week, but I could meet from 10 to 10:30 on Tuesday Sept. 18.

Wendy Wyels
Supervisor, Compliance and Enforcement Section
Central Valley Regional Water Quality Control Board
11020 Sun Center Drive, Suite 200
Rancho Cordova, CA 95670
(916) 464-4835

From: LJ Laurent [mailto:ljl Laurent@att.net]
Sent: Wednesday, September 12, 2012 10:20 AM
To: Wyels, Wendy@Waterboards
Cc: alan wade; Betsy Weiland; MacDonald Clyde; Warren V. Truitt; Landau, Ken@Waterboards; Moss, Rick@Waterboards
Subject: Re: Folsom Audit

Wendy,

Thank you for the information. Yes, I wish to review the information received from the city.

I also have information to supply to RWQCB which is directly related to the city's sewage and drainage woes.

SARA might wish representation at this exchange. We will have to arrange a suitable time for the meeting.

My preference is a 10:00 a.m. appointment time next week, almost any day September 17-20.

Laurie

From: "Wyels, Wendy@Waterboards" <Wendy.Wyels@waterboards.ca.gov>
To: LJ Laurent <ljlaurent@att.net>
Cc: alan wade <sara5204@pacbell.net>; Betsy Weiland <flweiland@yahoo.com>; MacDonald Clyde <clydewallace2@yahoo.com>; Warren V. Truitt <wvt@infomania.com>; "Landau, Ken@Waterboards" <Ken.Landau@waterboards.ca.gov>; "teising@folsom.ca.us" <teising@folsom.ca.us>; "Moss, Rick@Waterboards" <Rick.Moss@waterboards.ca.gov>
Sent: Wed, September 12, 2012 9:03:05 AM
Subject: RE: Folsom Audit

Ms. Laurant,

Thank you for your e-mail. As we have discussed, the purpose of the upcoming sanitary sewer system audit is to determine the City of Folsom's compliance with State Water Board Order 2006-003-DWQ (found at http://www.waterboards.ca.gov/water_issues/programs/ssw/). Per your request, we will keep you informed as to the results.

In the meantime, you may be interested to know that Folsom has submitted the Sewer Collection System Pre-Inspection Questionnaire. If you wish to arrange a file review to review these documents, please contact me. Most of the documents are on a computer disk, so you may wish to bring your own blank disk in order to make a copy.

Wendy Wyels

Supervisor, Compliance and Enforcement Section
Central Valley Regional Water Quality Control Board
11020 Sun Center Drive, Suite 200
Rancho Cordova, CA 95670
(916) 464-4835

From: LJ Laurent [mailto:ljlaurent@att.net]
Sent: Friday, September 07, 2012 12:02 PM
To: Wyels, Wendy@Waterboards
Cc: alan wade; Betsy Weiland; MacDonald Clyde; Warren V. Truitt; Landau, Ken@Waterboards
Subject: Folsom Audit

To: Wendy Wyels, Regional Water Quality Control Board
From: Laurette Laurent, "Folsom Sewage Watchdog"

Sept. 7, 2012

Re: Folsom Audit, city records

Following our discussion, I revisited outside engineering reports on Folsom sanitary conveyance system, lift stations, and storm drainage. In a single volume I found proverbial "smoking guns" with regard to many sewage SSO issues due to overcapacity and undersized or improper construction in the city. I sued to obtain these records the city would not voluntarily release.

In my files I have hard documentary evidence of improprieties with regard to the Lake Natoma Shores Subdivision improvements, as documented by city employees and others. You may claim the city rectified these problems, but I know they did not because I and my neighbors live on top of the faulty infrastructure. Sewage has backed up before and will again.

Check the city's Capital Improvements Projects Budgets over the past decade, particularly since rescission of the NPDES Permit on the Sanitary Collection System. You will find the city has made no capacity improvements, only questionable short term fixes. Such fixes include pressurizing manholes, bolting down manhole covers, and creating strange, unproven cross connections between the 27" and 33" collection lines.

You will find the city has continued to add connections/flushers to both major pipelines, as has CA Dept. of Corrections at three Folsom prison facilities. Furthermore the city has created nonsense zoning districts with unbelievable densities, NO height limitation on "mixed use zoning", no firm parking requirements, and "density bonuses" that will shock any professional.

If the Audit is supposed to uncover CIP monies spent to increase capacity in the city's known to be overburdened sewage collection system, you will not find any CIP projects addressing this issue. If the audit is looking for the funds to make the infrastructure improvements, that means nothing because the current administration has (and will) choose to spend those funds elsewhere. This is a case of "follow the money." The city has not expended public funds to ensure the sewage remains in pipes of sufficient capacity for its growth. I don't know if RWQCB employs forensic accountants, but I do know what CIP never included, and I do know much public money was spent on increasing density and dollar value of land, and private gain.

Obviously independent engineering certification (at city expense) would be of value. West Yost designed the 27" system and consulted on the Psomas documents for the 33"; WY are the uniquely qualified to investigate the current state. CH2M Hill also did one of the last studies, but the scope assigned to them was so narrow as to be valueless for audit purposes. They said the city's "solutions" are "short-term" fixes. They were not given the task of addressing the inadequate capacity under the 2000 census population figures, and the city's continued rapid growth.

I request RWQCB view select records and make copies for the Folsom Audit file. I would be happy to bring them to your location. I'd like to have Alan Wade and Betsy Weiland included as well, to refresh their memories. This evidence is critical to a full audit, and our excellent memories should save time. I also deposited with videotape city employees and ex-employees connected with the city infrastructure.

Please let me know your pleasure with regard to a meeting. 985-4488

cc: Alan Wade, Betsy Weiland, Clyde McDonald, Warren Truit, Ken Landau

Kelley, Spencer@Waterboards

From: LJ Laurent <ljl Laurent@att.net>
Sent: Thursday, September 13, 2012 5:27 PM
To: MacKenzie, Nancy@CDCR
Cc: Wyels, Wendy@Waterboards; Moss, Rick@Waterboards; sara5204@pacbell.net; flweiland@yahoo.com; wvt@infomania.com
Subject: reuse of CDC facilities Public Comments

To: Nancy MacKenzie, Chief,
Environmental Planning Section
Facility Planning
9838 Old Placerville Rd., Ste B
Sacramento CA 95827

Re: Public Notice Negative Declaration for Folsom Womens' Facility Project, Folsom State Prison for "reactivation and reuse" of former facility.

Ms. MacKenzie,

I am your downstream neighbor, living immediately below the point where raw sewage is pumped into the 27" Folsom Blvd. pipe, from three diverse sources: 1. all of North Folsom (crossing the Lk Natoma Bridge), 2. almost all (an unknown amount) of the Pre-1982 Folsom city sewage (south of the river), and 3. all sewage from the multiple prisons at the CDC location.

While it appears you have intentions to declare a negative declaration of impacts, I would like to ask you for the CA Licensed Engineer's certified/sealed report which justifies the declaration of no impact upon the existing sanitary sewage conveyance system of the city of Folsom. Sewage is a major problem with this area, as is traffic because the city refuses to enlarge its infrastructure and roads.

Since this is a change of occupancy, and a reuse of a facility which you do not describe as currently in use, I would like to be assured that CDC has done the due diligence on sewage conveyance adequacy. Personally I have grave doubts about the city's sewage capacity since it has made no capacity improvements since the major spills of 1999-2002. The city has bolted down the manhole covers to increase its capacity, but that merely endangers homes and businesses and the American River. The city did a dubious cross-connection as well, but there is no independent and certified CA licensed Engineers Report stating that this cross-tie was of any value whatsoever. In fact, the last studies stated the city system is surcharged at capacity then, yet the city has added many new sewer connections.

I am hoping CDC will be a better neighbor than the city officials who have put my home and the American River at a direct risk of sewage backup. The area of the Pump Station to which Folsom Prisons are connected stinks all of the time. Even a federal engineer made a complaint of this last month. While you may feel this is not your problem, if CDC cannot provide certified engineering information, I remain unsatisfied that the city conveyance system can accommodate any "reuse" of any size.

Please supply current flow data and anticipated flow data increases due to this reuse and repurposing. I personally do not need to receive it since the city sewage and drainage is currently undergoing an Audit by the Regional Water Quality Control Board. The contact person, Wendy Wyels, is cc'd above. I am sure she would be happy to see the same information I am seeking about changes to the Folsom pipes sewage load.

There is a second comment I wish to make. Your CDC Public Notice 16470767 appears in the September 12, 2012, Folsom Telegraph. However, the 30 day Public Comment Period is stated to be August 31, 2012 to October 3, 2012. CEQA law mandates you give the public 30 days FOLLOWING the date of publication. Therefore your public comment period cannot end on October 3, 2012, because that is NOT 30 days after the publication. I am sure your legal staff will wish to remedy this problem by republication and reestablishment of the full Public Comment Period. I am sure your staff will also wish to add the water board as a notified party, and provide CDC's share of information impacting the city's sewage system. Thank you.

LJ. Laurent

Kelley, Spencer@Waterboards

From: LJ Laurent <ljl Laurent@att.net>
Sent: Monday, September 17, 2012 1:21 PM
To: Wyels, Wendy@Waterboards
Cc: Moss, Rick@Waterboards; alan wade; Betsy Weiland
Subject: reference materials for meeting 9- 18-12
Attachments: FMC Title 16 Subdivisions.odt; FMC Title 14 Hillside Ordinance.odt; FMC 16.08.020 Duties, Responsibilities, city eng, plan comm.odt; FMC 16.08 Def and Responsibilities in subdividing.odt; FMC MIXED USE 17.23.odt

Wendy,

It is my intention to see you and the city's Audit first response tomorrow at 10 am. I will have a blank CD with me.

Since I will make reference to certain city laws tomorrow, I am attaching some. This will save you time, and ensure they do not undergo further alteration in the online version.

There is no necessity for you to analyze the confusing MU tables or text. Having the text is sufficient for now.

I'll be providing you with access to certain other records as well. See you tomorrow.

Laurie

Kelley, Spencer@Waterboards

From: LJ Laurent <ljl Laurent@att.net>
Sent: Monday, September 17, 2012 1:56 PM
To: Wyels, Wendy@Waterboards
Cc: Betsy Weiland; alan wade; MacDonald Clyde; Stephen Green; ljl Laurent@att.net
Subject: 2011 CIP Budget sanitary sewer system Folsom
Attachments: DSCF2290.JPG; DSCF2291.JPG; DSCF2292.JPG

Wendy,

More reference material for meeting Sept. 18, 2012.

This includes admission city system is not compliant, and there has been no construction done to alleviate situation since 2002.

Laurie

Kelley, Spencer@Waterboards

From: MacKenzie, Nancy@CDCR
Sent: Tuesday, September 18, 2012 12:14 PM
To: LJ Laurent
Cc: Wyels, Wendy@Waterboards; Moss, Rick@Waterboards; sara5204@pacbell.net; flweiland@yahoo.com; wvt@infomania.com
Subject: RE: reuse of CDCR facilities Public Comments

Good morning,

Thank you for providing comments on the Initial Study/Proposed Negative Declaration for the proposed Folsom Women's Facility. This message is to let you know that we will be publishing an extension to the public review period for members of the public in the Folsom Telegraph. Also, a copy of the IS/Proposed ND was sent to the RWQCB when we filed the Notice of Completion at the State Clearinghouse; thank you though for mentioning the need to include them.

We will be reviewing and considering yours and any other written comments we receive on the IS/Proposed ND. We appreciate your input.

Sincerely,

*Nancy MacKenzie
Environmental Planning Section
Facility Planning, Construction and Management
California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation
9838 Old Placerville Road, Suite B
Sacramento, CA 95827
916-255-2159. FAX (916) 255-3030*

From: LJ Laurent [<mailto:ljlaurent@att.net>]
Sent: Thursday, September 13, 2012 5:27 PM
To: MacKenzie, Nancy@CDCR
Cc: Wyels, Wendy@Waterboards; Moss, Rick@Waterboards; sara5204@pacbell.net; flweiland@yahoo.com; wvt@infomania.com
Subject: reuse of CDC facilities Public Comments

To: Nancy MacKenzie, Chief,
Environmental Planning Section
Facility Planning
9838 Old Placerville Rd., Ste B
Sacramento CA 95827

Re: Public Notice Negative Declaration for Folsom Womens' Facility Project, Folsom State Prison for "reactivation and reuse" of former facility.

Ms. MacKenzie,

I am your downstream neighbor, living immediately below the point where raw sewage is pumped into the 27" Folsom Blvd. pipe, from three diverse sources: 1. all of North Folsom (crossing the Lk Natoma Bridge), 2. almost all (an unknown amount) of the Pre-1982 Folsom city sewage (south of the river), and 3. all sewage from the multiple prisons at the CDC location.

While it appears you have intentions to declare a negative declaration of impacts, I would like to ask you for the CA Licensed Engineer's certified/sealed report which justifies the declaration of no impact upon the existing sanitary sewage conveyance system of the city of Folsom. Sewage is a major problem with this area, as is traffic because the city refuses to enlarge its infrastructure and roads.

Since this is a change of occupancy, and a reuse of a facility which you do not describe as currently in use, I would like to be assured that CDC has done the due diligence on sewage conveyance adequacy. Personally I have grave doubts about the city's sewage capacity since it has made no capacity improvements since the major spills of 1999-2002. The city has bolted down the manhole covers to increase its capacity, but that merely endangers homes and businesses and the American River. The city did a dubious cross-connection as well, but there is no independent and certified CA licensed Engineers Report stating that this cross-tie was of any value whatsoever. In fact, the last studies stated the city system is surcharged at capacity then, yet the city has added many new sewer connections.

I am hoping CDC will be a better neighbor than the city officials who have put my home and the American River at a direct risk of sewage backup. The area of the Pump Station to which Folsom Prisons are connected stinks all of the time. Even a federal engineer made a complaint of this last month. While you may feel this is not your problem, if CDC cannot provide certified engineering information, I remain unsatisfied that the city conveyance system can accommodate any "reuse" of any size.

Please supply current flow data and anticipated flow data increases due to this reuse and repurposing. I personally do not need to receive it since the city sewage and drainage is currently undergoing an Audit by the Regional Water Quality Control Board. The contact person, Wendy Wyels, is cc'd above. I am sure she would be happy to see the same information I am seeking about changes to the Folsom pipes sewage load.

There is a second comment I wish to make. Your CDC Public Notice 16470767 appears in the September 12, 2012, Folsom Telegraph. However, the 30 day Public Comment Period is stated to be August 31, 2012 to October 3, 2012. CEQA law mandates you give the public 30 days FOLLOWING the date of publication. Therefore your public comment period cannot end on October 3, 2012, because that is NOT 30 days after the publication. I am sure your legal staff will wish to remedy this problem by republication and reestablishment of the full Public Comment Period. I am sure your staff will also wish to add the water board as a notified party, and provide CDC's share of information impacting the city's sewage system. Thank you.

LJ Laurent

Kelley, Spencer@Waterboards

From: LJ Laurent <ljl Laurent@att.net>
Sent: Tuesday, September 18, 2012 6:01 PM
To: MacKenzie, Nancy@CDCR
Cc: Wyels, Wendy@Waterboards; Moss, Rick@Waterboards; sara5204@pacbell.net; flweiland@yahoo.com; wvt@infomania.com
Subject: Re: reuse of CDCR facilities Public Comments

Ms. MacKenzie,

Thank you for your response.

Your downstream neighbors and Save the American River Association will be looking forward to your analysis of the sewage capacity for any alterations to usages at the Folsom Prison properties and facilities.

I myself feel evidence clearly shows the Folsom sewage conveyance system is inadequate for the current load, even during a ten-year storm event. Actually, that is probably so during all "no rain events." There is little doubt the manholes and laterals are surcharged, accounting for the malodorous situation along the 27" line in particular, near the junction of the three major sewer sheds. Perhaps your State level agency can help cast some light upon the situation.

Laurie Laurent

From: "MacKenzie, Nancy@CDCR" <Nancy.MacKenzie@cdcr.ca.gov>
To: LJ Laurent <ljl Laurent@att.net>
Cc: "Wyels, Wendy@Waterboards" <Wendy.Wyels@waterboards.ca.gov>; "Moss, Rick@Waterboards" <Rick.Moss@waterboards.ca.gov>; "sara5204@pacbell.net" <sara5204@pacbell.net>; "flweiland@yahoo.com" <flweiland@yahoo.com>; "wvt@infomania.com" <wvt@infomania.com>
Sent: Tue, September 18, 2012 12:14:21 PM
Subject: RE: reuse of CDCR facilities Public Comments

Good morning,

Thank you for providing comments on the Initial Study/Proposed Negative Declaration for the proposed Folsom Women's Facility. This message is to let you know that we will be publishing an extension to the public review period for members of the public in the Folsom Telegraph. Also, a copy of the IS/Proposed ND was sent to the RWQCB when we filed the Notice of Completion at the State Clearinghouse; thank you though for mentioning the need to include them.

We will be reviewing and considering yours and any other written comments we receive on the IS/Proposed ND. We appreciate your input.

Sincerely,

*Nancy MacKenzie
Environmental Planning Section
Facility Planning, Construction and Management
California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation
9838 Old Placerville Road, Suite B*

From: LJ Laurent [mailto:ljlaurent@att.net]
Sent: Thursday, September 13, 2012 5:27 PM
To: MacKenzie, Nancy@CDCR
Cc: Wyels, Wendy@Waterboards; Moss, Rick@Waterboards; sara5204@pacbell.net; flweiland@yahoo.com; wvt@infomania.com
Subject: reuse of CDC facilities Public Comments

To: Nancy MacKenzie, Chief,
Environmental Planning Section
Facility Planning
9838 Old Placerville Rd., Ste B
Sacramento CA 95827

Re: Public Notice Negative Declaration for Folsom Womens' Facility Project, Folsom State Prison for "reactivation and reuse" of former facility.

Ms. MacKenzie,

I am your downstream neighbor, living immediately below the point where raw sewage is pumped into the 27" Folsom Blvd. pipe, from three diverse sources: 1. all of North Folsom (crossing the Lk Natoma Bridge), 2. almost all (an unknown amount) of the Pre-1982 Folsom city sewage (south of the river), and 3. all sewage from the multiple prisons at the CDC location.

While it appears you have intentions to declare a negative declaration of impacts, I would like to ask you for the CA Licensed Engineer's certified/sealed report which justifies the declaration of no impact upon the existing sanitary sewage conveyance system of the city of Folsom. Sewage is a major problem with this area, as is traffic because the city refuses to enlarge its infrastructure and roads.

Since this is a change of occupancy, and a reuse of a facility which you do not describe as currently in use, I would like to be assured that CDC has done the due diligence on sewage conveyance adequacy. Personally I have grave doubts about the city's sewage capacity since it has made no capacity improvements since the major spills of 1999-2002. The city has bolted down the manhole covers to increase its capacity, but that merely endangers homes and businesses and the American River. The city did a dubious cross-connection as well, but there is no independent and certified CA licensed Engineers Report stating that this cross-tie was of any value whatsoever. In fact, the last studies stated the city system is surcharged at capacity then, yet the city has added many new sewer connections.

I am hoping CDC will be a better neighbor than the city officials who have put my home and the American River at a direct risk of sewage backup. The area of the Pump Station to which Folsom Prisons are connected stinks all of the time. Even a federal engineer made a complaint of this last month. While you may feel this is not your problem, if CDC cannot provide certified engineering information, I remain unsatisfied that the city conveyance system can accommodate any "reuse" of any size.

Please supply current flow data and anticipated flow data increases due to this reuse and repurposing. I personally do not need to receive it since the city sewage and drainage is currently undergoing an Audit by the Regional Water Quality Control Board. The contact person, Wendy Wyels, is cc'd above. I am sure she would be happy to see the same information I am seeking about changes to the Folsom pipes sewage load.

There is a second comment I wish to make. Your CDC Public Notice 16470767 appears in the September 12, 2012, Folsom Telegraph. However, the 30 day Public Comment Period is stated to be August 31, 2012 to October 3, 2012. CEQA law mandates you give the public 30 days FOLLOWING the date of publication. Therefore your public comment period cannot end on October 3, 2012, because that is NOT 30 days after the publication. I am sure your legal staff will wish to remedy this problem by republication and reestablishment of the full Public Comment Period. I am sure your staff will also wish to add the water board as a notified party, and provide CDC's share of information impacting the city's sewage system. Thank you.

LJ Laurent

Kelley, Spencer@Waterboards

From: LJ Laurent <ljlarent@att.net>
Sent: Tuesday, September 18, 2012 6:39 PM
To: Wyels, Wendy@Waterboards
Cc: Moss, Rick@Waterboards; Alan Wade; Landau, Ken@Waterboards; Warren V. Truitt; Betsy Weiland; MacDonald Clyde
Subject: Audit discussion 9-18-12
Attachments: CIP Budget FY 13 Presentation to Council[1].pdf

Wendy,

Thank you for your time this morning. As an administrator, I can appreciate how busy you are all day.

With respect to our meeting: you, Alan Wade, and me; it seems to me there are three items which the Water Board could accomplish within the parameters you outlined. [Naturally I am disappointed that you cannot take official notice of the evidence which demonstrates my subdivision is NOT built to the engineering plans. It truly would be of no use for me to complain to the city of Folsom which has not had a City Engineer since prior to the completion of my LNS Subdivision.]

The three areas where RWQCB could have an impact on the city's sewage conveyance system are:

*

*1. Disconnect the illegal commercial connection to the LNS Residential Subdivision lift station. It was designed for only the 113 house subdivision. It cannot support the addition of 500 to 1,000 commercial users at a time. I believe the city might disconnect it, but immediately reconnect it unless some steps are taken to alter the arrangement. The lift station is designed for homes; it now has the Folsom Corporation Yard connected to it, and the city attempts to add the D&S four acres as well. This LNS lift station abuts the American River lands/waters, hence it is critical to remove the illegal connection which quadruples the load on it.

*

2. Pursue the city for doing NOTHING to address the lack of capacity since about 1995.

The city does not even maintain the CIP account mandated by city law, for saving money for improvements as needed. Sewage capacity is certainly required.

Ordinance 1172 last week legislated the Rezone/GP Amendment of 7 acres of land on two-lane Riley Street (a critical city connector). The Rezone land is now MU Zone, Mixed Use (Commercial and Residential) Zone, with zero yards, no mandatory parking requirements, no additional traffic lanes, and if underground parking is provided, there is absolutely no height limitation on buildings. The MU Zone has as a "standard" that all structures should be 50' tall (5 stories). This is the potential for a minimum of 1,000,000 sq ft of constructed space. This is a potential for 500 dwelling units with all the "density bonuses." This land is in the overcome sewage-shed with that dubious cross-tie, bolted-down manholes, and the inability to meet a ten-year storm. Capacity is not there; development rules over engineering and safety. To verify: www.folsom.ca.us Clerk's Dept., Folsom Municipal Code, Chapter 17 Zoning, MU Zone 17.23...

*

3. Money mispent for the benefit of connected developers:

City allotted over \$25 million to "beautify streetscape" at Sutter Street (where SSO's continue and stench is rampant), and to empty out the 20 acre city Corp Yard of old garbage. Nothing was allotted for increased capacity for the increased density. Both of these expenditure projects were done to deliver the city properties to Bernau development for his construction projects. He did not pay the market rate. It remains to be proved he paid a cent for the parcels on which he constructs. Yet we know the infrastructure has not been updated, nor improved to handle sewage, traffic, and public safety.

I do not argue the water boards can tell the city how to waste their money. Rather I note the city admits its sewage system cannot handle even a 10 year storm, but the city continues to ignore improvements and continues to add unconscionable numbers of connections. Attached is a presentation of CIP budget items for Current Fiscal Year 2012-13.

I look forward to following the progress of this audit. I question why the Questionnaire is certified as 'true to his knowledge' by Rich Lorenz, just-retired Public Works Director, BUT his engineering seal is not affixed to any statements nor evidence. Rich would admit he knew nothing about the sewage system. It was never his assignment to design for it, oversee it, budget for it, or supervise operations. When I asked Rich about the city's sewers, he admitted it was not his bailiwick; he got the water board letters by default, in the absence of the City Engineer/enforcement officer charged with legal enforcement duties. Actually, the failure to provide a City Engineer to enforce the laws ought to play an important part in this Audit. Every CA Licensed Engineer knows that they are law enforcers of the most important type. People are not safe without their expertise, honesty, and enforcement actions. If you have any questions, please call 916 985-4488.

Laurie

Kelley, Spencer@Waterboards

From: alan wade <alanwd9@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, September 19, 2012 1:59 PM
To: Wyels, Wendy@Waterboards
Cc: Clyde --wfse Macdonald; Betsy Weiland; Warren V. Truitt
Subject: Thank you

On behalf of SARA, thanks for the opportunity to talk with you yesterday when I accompanied Folsom resident and local "sewer watchdog" Laurie Laurent, who presented her concerns based on what we have come to know and respect as her meticulous research. As you know, SARA has been interested in Folsom's sewage system since the ugly spill in January, 2000. While our concerns are more proscribed than Laurie's, we are especially interested in the capacity issue, and look forward to the results of your audit. Thank you, as always, for your patience and professionalism. Sincerely, Alan D. Wade, Secretary to SARA's Board of Directors.

Kelley, Spencer@Waterboards

From: LJ Laurent <ljl Laurent@att.net>
Sent: Thursday, September 20, 2012 1:18 PM
To: Wyels, Wendy@Waterboards; Landau, Ken@Waterboards
Cc: Moss, Rick@Waterboards; Drew Lessard; mike finnegan; alan wade; Betsy Weiland
Subject: Folsom violations are stunning

To: Wendy Wyels, RWQCB
From: Laurie Laurent
September 20, 2012

Re: Folsom Audit document named: "Combined Sanitary Sewer and Storm Map"

ENTIRE 20 ACRE FOLSOM CORPORATION YARD + ILLEGAL COMMERCIAL USAGE, ADDED ONTO LNS LIFT STATION.

It made me sick to see this map today. The city has not only attached a huge commercial usage to the Lake Natoma Shores Subdivision SS Lift Station, but according to their own submission, it also attached force mains conveying raw sewage generated by the entire city 20 acre Corporation Yard.

It is nearly unbelievable this organization has the temerity to attach 20 acres of heavy industrial usages and an extremely heavy (illegal) commercial usage to the LNS LS which was designed for a community of 113 homes, with only 51 of said houses being connected to the LNS SS Lift Station.

Unless their own map is a lie, they have added 20 acres utilized by dozens of sewage vehicles, scores of garbage collection vehicles, buses, hundreds of trucks, hazardous materials handling/storage, hundreds of employees, and thousands of commercial visitors -- to the 51 home LNS Lift Station.

The gravity portion downstream of this Lift Station is now carrying an additional load of 20 acres and thousands of unplanned usages -- all this where the 27" Folsom Blvd. sewage pipeline is surcharged under all conditions, all the time. This is happening in my front yard!

FOLSOM PRISON LINE IS 20" DIAMETER:

Add to this the incredible shock of reading the Folsom Prison Agreement with the city to provide sanitary sewage conveyance. The Folsom Prison pipeline is 20" in diameter. The city never revealed this fact during my Clean Water Act Litigation. I had no idea the 27" line was already impacted by a 20" prison line.

This explains why the other two sheds -- Pre-1982 Folsom (south of the river) and ALL of North Folsom (north of the river) always have a stench. Where all three pipelines come together at the American River, there are eternal difficulties due to lack of capacity. An informed neighbor told me the North Folsom line which crosses the Lake Natoma Bridge at the river itself, is always backed up to a point one-half mile north of the bridge. That is why the city constructed that open-air raw sewage pit in Lew Howard Park.

Clearly the city made a willful choice to eliminate an entire division of law enforcement: the City Engineer. Obviously what is happening in and to LNS Subdivision is criminal in nature and screams for referral to a federal criminal agency ASAP.

I cannot think of any other manner in which to interpret the set of facts the city (or Rich Lorenz) provided. Please feel free to tell me if there is any other possible interpretation of these facts in your view.

The city officials spent at least \$30 million to fix up land for a favorite developer, while all this depredation grew. Raw sewage is the single most toxic material known; and here it is placed haphazardly and wrongly at the American River's edge. It is hard to grasp the extent of the awful situations here. If I could find a way to attach this portion of the city Audit supplemental material, I would do so. It is hard to imagine this is the brutal, stinking, dangerous truth. If Bob Blaser had not taken the time to educate me, I would never have realized the extremity of this lawlessness.

Kelley, Spencer@Waterboards

From: LJ Laurent <ljl Laurent@att.net>
Sent: Friday, September 21, 2012 1:54 PM
To: Wyels, Wendy@Waterboards
Cc: Landau, Ken@Waterboards; Moss, Rick@Waterboards; Alan Wade; Betsy Weiland; ljl Laurent@att.net
Subject: Questions, Audit information not found

Wendy,

In examining all of the city's supplemental maps and documents, it is not possible to locate a map which includes the actual connections between the three sewage sheds:

1. 20" Folsom Prison line connects to a 21" line, along with 2. several city lines of 15 to 18", but does not appear to have a direct connection to the 27" line.

3. The bridge has an 18" line attached to it, bringing all of north city sewage to the Lift Station. The only connection leaving the LS on the map is a tiny force main.

Question 1. How does the north city sewage get to the 27" line?

Question 2. What is the size of the pipe in Folsom Blvd. which is directly north of the 27" line and apparently connected to it?

Question 3. Will RWQCB require CA Engineer-Certified flow data for all of these nodal sewage pipes, as well as the pipes north of the 27" line?

Question 4. The blueprints for the cross tie between the 27" and 33" lines does not appear to have CA Engineer Certifications and there is no flow data and analysis of pre-cross-tie and post-cross-tie. Will this be required? Will it be supplied with a CA Engineer's Certifications?

Question 5. At the time you were visiting the Folsom Corp Yard excavation of dumps sites, there was an area of excavation which puzzled site-watchers. It was almost as though the city constructed a pit lined with rocks at the bottom. This area was down at the lowest point, directly abutting the USBR property. It was unclear why this area was different than the rest. USBR and State Parks staff did an inspection tour with me, the sewage watchdog, and we found old city sewer lines in this area. SP asked that the city remove them, but the city did not. It now appears the city has raw sewage from the entire Corporation Yard (including the commercial hall) going into the Lake Natoma Shores Subdivision residential lift station, and the pipes are in this same vicinity. The question is: will the city supply the CA Engineer Certified drawings for all Industrial and Commercial connections to the LNS Residential Zone lift station? Folsom regulations do not permit such off-site connections, nor do they permit taking sewage down to the river when the property producing it has an abutment to the existing city sewer system (right at Leidesdorff St.). All separate uses require full, Certified Engineering drawings prior to being put into usage. All such drawings are inspected by the City Engineer to ensure the usage estimations are complete, accurate, and according to law. Bob Blaser would not only certify them, he would make a weekly report to the city council on the budget implications of such additions and changes in usage.

I certainly hope all of us interested parties can help RWQCB save time in analyzing this data. Board staff can save their time for fun things like determining how over 50" of sewage pipes can combine their loads into a 27"

sewage line, and yet continue to accommodate the incredible increases in usages the city is adding to this nodal area at Folsom Blvd. near LNS Subdivision. It is beyond me how the entire Folsom Corporation Yard with its sewertruck, garbagetruck and other washing facilities, hundreds of trucks, scores of employees, plus the huge commercial usage of up to 1,000 occupants can possibly be accommodated by a Residential Zone lift station designed for 51 homes only.

Since it is early-days for the audit, I guess it will be a while before the audit engineer is assigned. At that time, we all look forward to answers to the questions, and more data with CA Licensed Engineer's Certifications and seals.

Please keep me in the loop when you assign the staff engineer. I look forward to this. Thank you,

Laurie Laurent

Kelley, Spencer@Waterboards

From: LJ Laurent <ljlarent@att.net>
Sent: Tuesday, September 25, 2012 11:54 AM
To: Eric Weitzel
Cc: Chief Cynthia Renaud; Alan Wade; DAN; Wyels, Wendy@Waterboards; Landau, Ken@Waterboards; clydewallace2@yahoo.com; mfinnegan@mp.usbr.gov; Drew Lessard; Betsy Weiland; swetzel@apple.com; wvt@infomania.com
Subject: Re:9-24 activity FCY hall

Officer Eric,

You advised me to contact the prison about the ethics of their prison guards, but let me explain why I think FPD should make that contact. Since our unfortunate LNS Subdivision was opened up to the city Industrial land with a heavy unregulated commercial usage, we have had speeders, stop-sign running, drunk drivers, property destruction, death of our beloved pets, and absolute terrorization by the prison motorcycle gang which is the main support of the hall and integral alcohol usages.

They rode by three abreast half-naked and nothing was ever done by the city officials. They sit in front of our houses and rev their harley motors at will. They race up and down, making LNS an unfit place for children and sleeping at night. They have no respect for our Residential Zone. On almost every occasion when the FPD has been called down to the hall about their disruptive and/or illegal driving, they have retaliated. The next few days after a complaint, they harass us by speeding, making more noise, more circles back and forth. Expecting us, as residents to confront them is NOT a solution. To them we are impediments to their willful misbehavior and their ownership of our lanes, yards, peace and homes.

Last weekend Sept. 23 and 24, 2012, everything was different at the hall. Junk was tossed out and there was NO TRAFFIC. For the first time in a decade we had peace and quiet for a weekend. The LNS traffic was a mere 5% of the usual traffic load. The hall was obviously the source of 90% or more of the traffic on our 28' wide lanes. The LNS residents produced about 2 to 4 trips per half hour. It was living normally.

Yesterday some of the city workers disturbed us by coming in at 5:50 am and onward. They can park in the Corp Yard itself, so there is absolutely no excuse for them to be in LNS, especially since they do not respect the Residential Zone speed limits and our rights.

All day the hall was not used except by the El Matador food truck who thinks he has a right to operate for free on city property all day, 7 days a week. Then came darkness and the furor of motorcycle gang. About 15 harleys of all contorted shapes entered LNS, some in groups of 6 to 8, three abreast. The problem guy covered in tats and with high handlebars came and left alone and noisily each time. They blasted in about darkness, and left with great disruption and noise between 9:30 and 9:45 pm. One of them stopped next to the house with the one week old baby, where he sat for about five minutes running the engine. No wonder this neighbor finds them so offensive.

I considered getting video down there to see if they were inside the hall, but it is too risky to do that. The neighbors never go walking when the gangs are in LNS. These are not our neighbors and they do not like us. The neighbors can assure you they are a huge problem.

I have some new and disturbing information to add to this problem. When Rich Lorenz retired, his last act was turning in a sworn under penalty of perjury statement to the RWQCB Water Board, for the sewage audit. It

was the first response to the water board staff. The information was shocking. We in LNS knew the city illegally connected the hall at the Corp Yard to our small LNS Residential Sanitary Sewer Lift Station (down at the river lands). We did not know that in the past couple of years after an on-site water board inspection, the city connected ALL of the Corp Yard uses to the LNS Lift station. The lift station is designed to serve 51 houses connected to it. It is NOT designed nor capable of serving 20 acres of heavy Industrial Zone and the huge 500- 1,000 person parties at the commercial hall on the Corp Yard.

The only reasonable thing was for the city to stop all uses which threatened all of LNS with raw sewage. It also threatens the American River because when the lift station is over-whelmed, the sewage backs up into the lateral connections and spills over into the river and lands. I figured this is why the hall was summarily shut down -- because of their extremely heavy sewage usage of a small lift station located off-site. This is grossly illegal and dangerous to people and the environment.

If the motorcycle gang has keys (which they probably do), they have no business accessing the hall as if they are above the law. If they remained outside, it just shows they have no regular place to congregate. Perhaps they have something to hide, and need to meet out of sight (but not earshot).

There is no doubt in my mind these guys will not respect authority. If we complain to the prison, we would suffer far worse retaliation and they know how to escape before authorities can arrive to help us. This is certainly an issue for Folsom Police to address. You can ask the city's engineer now in charge of the Audit of the sewage system, Tod Eising. His license is now on the line according to the correspondence between the city and the water board. He appears to be the engineer in charge of the Corp Yard and city sewers.

All we know is the city has a terrible violation with the huge illegal connections to our LNS sewage lift station and our tiny gravity sewage system. We are at direct risk, and the city knows this. Rich made certain we now have concrete evidence the city added multiple illegal sewage connections to LNS. If you wish to see the documents and the map Rich provided, I have the entire disc of data and letter. Add to the problem the abominable city policy to bolt down all the manhole covers connected to the Folsom Blvd. 27" sewage line and other smaller lines. They did this to ensure sewage would not spill into the street, but go into the lateral connections and spill over into the river from the sewage lift stations and hidden unbolted manhole covers near the river. The first manhole cover bolted down was the one in front of the LNS lift station, in Young Wo Circle.

I am participating in the water board's sewage Audit, which takes time. Meanwhile, the city has obviously closed down the commercial hall operations -- but the motorcycle gang does not respect this closure. Since you know about the prison ethics oversight group which looks into guards activities, you should be the one to contact the prison, not me. I don't get to carry a weapon, you do. I am sick of seeing them bully our babies, wake them, and drive out families with small kids. This is outrageous. They need some one to address their issues.

I suspect one of the riders is not currently employed because he is very noticeable and I have seen him around at all times of day. I suspect they were not there because of all the crap stored in the six unpermitted out-buildings. Only one truck came in -- empty in, and empty out. I believe the truck was that of "Briz" who stores tons of stuff at the hall. There was no one else there. Why can't they meet in a public drinking place in a commercial location on a wide 4 lane street? What have they got to hide? Why are they so noisy and disruptive in a defenseless little residential neighborhood?

These are questions for the police. I can help you with the sewage dangers and willful city violations in that arena. I can share with you the LNS residents stories about the criminal elements constantly bothering us within our Subdivision and from the State Park (due to the illegal entry the city created). The residents next

to the State Park USBR land have to deal with drug dealers, car theft rings, arsonists, drunks, homeless, vandals, vagrants, and that obnoxious prostitute right down below their homes.

I hope FPD will address these issues and speak with the engineer who is now in charge of the Public Works and thus the Folsom Corporation Yard 20 acres of problems. You don't care about illegal sewage connections, but you need to address the presence of the lawless little motorcycle gang. Clearly the worthless city officials need to allow the completion of LNS infrastructure -- including the 7' masonry wall with landscaping mandated between all Residential and Non-Res abutments, and disconnection of the illegal sewage connections. We will never have equal-protection until the laws are enforced. We will never be safe until this is accomplished.

If you need more information, or want to speak with frightened neighbors, let me know. I really do expect FPD to contact the prison in the manner you mentioned to me as a remediative measure. There is no doubt in my mind this is too dangerous for civilians, and I don't think FPD will shrink from protecting us -- until the proper legal masonry walls are constructed.

As always, my thanks to you, the Chief, Officer Tim, and FPD for ongoing support!

Laurie

PS Rather than separately reminding Drew Lessard (engineer working at USBR) he promised to address a need for the city to pay for installation of water-monitoring wells below the Corp Yard, I am herein letting USBR know the extent of the issues. I certainly hope they have a copy of the Folsom first response to the Audit because they are responsible for safeguarding the American River. The water boards are their policing authority, but they too have a direct obligation to protect the river from polluters and violators.

PPS Anyone who has not seen the Sept. 14, 2012 updates to Youtube Channel 4sewerdogs, please view. I wish I had videos of the raw sewage basin the city constructed in Lew Howard Park a few years ago. The plan was to extract raw sewage from the over-capacity sewer line and store it in the open. The mosquitoes were thrilled at the huge hole construction. I was not. I have to wonder what mischief the city has cooked up right in the front yards of LNS Subdivision, at the Corp Yard, next to the American River.

From: Eric Weitzel <eweitzel@folsom.ca.us>
To: LJ Laurent <ljl Laurent@att.net>
Sent: Mon, September 24, 2012 10:44:11 AM
Subject: RE: Report number

Hi, the incident number is 120913027. The incident happened on the 13th.
Thank You
Eric

From: LJ Laurent [mailto:ljl Laurent@att.net]
Sent: Friday, September 21, 2012 2:17 PM
To: Eric Weitzel
Cc: Cynthia Renaud
Subject: Report number

Eric,

With regard to the Industrial operations within Lake Natoma Shores Subdivision Sept. 14, I forgot to get the Police Report Number so I could obtain a copy of the report.

This is becoming a big issue. Folks are demanding to see the FPD Reports.

Laurie

Kelley, Spencer@Waterboards

From: LJ Laurent <ljlarent@att.net>
Sent: Tuesday, October 02, 2012 4:07 PM
To: MacKenzie, Nancy@CDCR
Cc: Wyels, Wendy@Waterboards; Moss, Rick@Waterboards; BW; Warren; Landau, Ken@Waterboards; Alan Wade
Subject: Re: Public Comment PN Folsom Prison

Ms. MacKenzie,

With respect to the alterations and additions to the Folsom Prison usages I have the following comments. Please add these comments to your considerations for altering the facilities at Folsom Prison as re-advertised Wednesday, Sept. 26, 2012. I hope Folsom Prison will consider the horrors of raw sewage spills caused by a city refusing to increase its sewage capacity as it grows without engineering restrictions.

1. The 2007 agreement between the city of Folsom and Folsom Prison provides that the existing 20" interceptor is the point of intersection between the Prison system and the city system. This 20" conveyance feeds into the sole line in Folsom Blvd., the 27" pipe. The prison pipe is 3/4 (75%) of the size of the entire city conveyance in Folsom Blvd FB.
2. The 27" line in FB is the sole conveyance for the Prison, PLUS all of North Folsom, PLUS all of pre 1982 Folsom city. The population of the existing city (excluding inmates) as a whole is about 69,000, and most of it is served by the 27" line. The daytime occupancy of the Prison several years ago was estimated to be over 15,000 persons. The number of persons using the 27" line exclusively is obviously quite large.
3. The 27" FB line is so impacted the city converted it into a force main in order to keep the volume of sewage moving, and to add capacity by pressurizing the manholes in FB. This situation has been in place for years. Several years ago city constructed a plastic lined pool for extracting raw sewage from the city's line and storing it openly in Lew Howard Park. The backup is still a major problem north of the river.
4. The city has not made any provisions whatsoever to grow the "capacity enlargement account" which is specified in the city laws. Nor has the city made any improvements to capacity for decades.
5. The city has sanitary sewer overflows in the vicinity of the junction of the 20" Prison interceptor and the city lines. It also has constant, unsolvable odor problems at the adjacent lift station at the northern end of the 27" line. In addition, the flow in FB has to be monitored at all times because of the potential for disaster (such as outflows at the lift stations next to the American River).
6. The contract signed April 10, 2007, between the city and Prison has a contractual limit to the Prison sewage of 2,500,000 gallons per day. 2,500,000 gallons per day 2.5 MGD is about 2% of the entire treatment load of the SCRSD plant at Freeport. Does anyone believe Folsom has provided enough capacity to handle 2% of the entire SCRSD plant load? Does anyone believe a 27" line with a prison interceptor of 20" at its head, has the capacity to serve the multiple Prisons, all of north Folsom, and all of Pre 1982 Folsom?
7. Since it fired Bob Blaser in the mid 1990's, the city has not had any CA licensed engineer acting as the City Engineer, who is the person charged by law with designing, evaluating, planning financially for capacity, and the operations of the city's sanitary sewer conveyance system.

8. The city has not given any outside, independent consulting firm a contract for a full system evaluation since Bob Blaser was removed. Bob used West Yost & Associates to design the entire city system, and they have had only one insignificant contract since Bob's departure. The city does not appear to have an engineer with full responsibility for designing, planning, evaluating, and saving/spending for increased capacity. Being a downstream, at-risk resident, I know this is absolutely true. There is no licensed engineer who has the total picture of the city's risks.

9. The city has continued to grow and expand, and create unbelievable Zoning Districts with unlimited growth potential (see Ordinance 1172 Sept. 2012). One recent rezoning of 7 acres seeks 50 foot tall minimum height buildings (commercial mixed with residential). If any underground parking is provided at all, there is NO height limitation on the buildings. This is a zoning entitlement created and sold without any engineering input. It came about in a few months, from dollar-desire to completed zoning of 7 acres. This growth is separate from the on-going growth in North Folsom, a great deal of multi-family along the 27" sewer line (to satisfy the affordable housing lawsuits), and continued expansion of commercial users. The city has added many new uses and users, yet the capacity has not increased one gallon (unless you count spills). The city is even dragging its feet on replacing a worn out pumping station right against the American River.

10. The city has bolted down manhole covers in this over-burdened system, as found by CH2M Hill and West Yost studies of small portions of the system.

11. The city has never provided independent verification of the peculiar cross ties it has created between pipelines. The apparent benefit is that the cross-connections themselves provide some small additional capacity, but not enough to alleviate overstuffing the existing old pipelines.

Conclusion: The city lacks the capacity for the 2,500,000 gallons per day in the contract. The city lacks capacity for all existing connections to the 27" line. The city has willfully chosen to have no City Engineer who would interfere with rampant unlimited development. The city has chosen to prevent independent reliable engineering firms such as West Yost from doing a full system evaluation.

The city is currently in a sewage Audit by the Water boards. Please consider all of this information prior to enlarging, altering, or making employee increases to the Folsom Prisons. Please consider the validity of the contractual terms as well.

Laurette J. Laurent

From: "MacKenzie, Nancy@CDCR" <Nancy.MacKenzie@cdcr.ca.gov>
To: LJ Laurent <ljl Laurent@att.net>
Cc: "Wyels, Wendy@Waterboards" <Wendy.Wyels@waterboards.ca.gov>; "Moss, Rick@Waterboards" <Rick.Moss@waterboards.ca.gov>; "sara5204@pacbell.net" <sara5204@pacbell.net>; "flweiland@yahoo.com" <flweiland@yahoo.com>; "wvt@infomania.com" <wvt@infomania.com>
Sent: Tue, September 18, 2012 12:14:21 PM
Subject: RE: reuse of CDCR facilities Public Comments

Good morning,

Thank you for providing comments on the Initial Study/Proposed Negative Declaration for the proposed Folsom Women's Facility. This message is to let you know that we will be publishing an extension to the public review period for members of the public in the Folsom Telegraph. Also, a copy of the IS/Proposed ND was sent to the

RWQCB when we filed the Notice of Completion at the State Clearinghouse; thank you though for mentioning the need to include them.

We will be reviewing and considering yours and any other written comments we receive on the IS/Proposed ND. We appreciate your input.

Sincerely,

*Nancy MacKenzie
Environmental Planning Section
Facility Planning, Construction and Management
California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation
9838 Old Placerville Road, Suite B
Sacramento, CA 95827
916-255-2159 FAX (916) 255-3030*

From: LJ Laurent [mailto:ljlaurent@att.net]
Sent: Thursday, September 13, 2012 5:27 PM
To: MacKenzie, Nancy@CDCR
Cc: Wyels, Wendy@Waterboards; Moss, Rick@Waterboards; sara5204@pacbell.net; flweiland@yahoo.com; wvt@infomania.com
Subject: reuse of CDC facilities Public Comments

To: Nancy MacKenzie, Chief,
Environmental Planning Section
Facility Planning
9838 Old Placerville Rd., Ste B
Sacramento CA 95827

Re: Public Notice Negative Declaration for Folsom Womens' Facility Project, Folsom State Prison for "reactivation and reuse" of former facility.

Ms. MacKenzie,

I am your downstream neighbor, living immediately below the point where raw sewage is pumped into the 27" Folsom Blvd. pipe, from three diverse sources: 1. all of North Folsom (crossing the Lk Natoma Bridge), 2. almost all (an unknown amount) of the Pre-1982 Folsom city sewage (south of the river), and 3. all sewage from the multiple prisons at the CDC location.

While it appears you have intentions to declare a negative declaration of impacts, I would like to ask you for the CA Licensed Engineer's certified/sealed report which justifies the declaration of no impact upon the existing sanitary sewage conveyance system of the city of Folsom. Sewage is a major problem with this area, as is traffic because the city refuses to enlarge its infrastructure and roads.

Since this is a change of occupancy, and a reuse of a facility which you do not describe as currently in use, I would like to be assured that CDC has done the due diligence on sewage conveyance adequacy. Personally I have grave doubts about the city's sewage capacity since it has made no capacity improvements since the major spills of 1999-2002. The city has bolted down the manhole covers to increase its capacity, but that merely endangers homes and businesses and the American River. The city did a dubious cross-connection as well, but there is no independent and certified CA licensed Engineers Report stating that this cross-tie was of any value whatsoever. In fact, the last studies stated the city system is surcharged at capacity then, yet the city has added many new sewer connections.

I am hoping CDC will be a better neighbor than the city officials who have put my home and the American River at a direct risk of sewage backup. The area of the Pump Station to which Folsom Prisons are connected stinks all of the time. Even a federal engineer made a complaint of this last month. While you may feel this is not your problem, if CDC cannot provide certified engineering information, I remain unsatisfied that the city conveyance system can accommodate any "reuse" of any size.

Please supply current flow data and anticipated flow data increases due to this reuse and repurposing. I personally do not need to receive it since the city sewage and drainage is currently undergoing an Audit by the Regional Water Quality Control Board. The contact person, Wendy Wyels, is cc'd above. I am sure she would be happy to see the same information I am seeking about changes to the Folsom pipes sewage load.

There is a second comment I wish to make. Your CDC Public Notice 16470767 appears in the September 12, 2012, Folsom Telegraph. However, the 30 day Public Comment Period is stated to be August 31, 2012 to October 3, 2012. CEQA law mandates you give the public 30 days FOLLOWING the date of publication. Therefore your public comment period cannot end on October 3, 2012, because that is NOT 30 days after the publication. I am sure your legal staff will wish to remedy this problem by republication and reestablishment of the full Public Comment Period. I am sure your staff will also wish to add the water board as a notified party, and provide CDC's share of information impacting the city's sewage system. Thank you.

LJ Laurent

Kelley, Spencer@Waterboards

From: LJ Laurent <ljl Laurent@att.net>
Sent: Wednesday, October 03, 2012 12:41 PM
To: Alan Wade
Cc: Wyels, Wendy@Waterboards; Moss, Rick@Waterboards; Landau, Ken@Waterboards; Betsy Weiland; Lucio Orellano; Warren V. Truitt; MacDonald Clyde; Drew Lessard; Steve Wetzel
Subject: No engineers for Folsom

Ironically, the lead story in the Folsom Telegraph is the "changing roles at cityhall", eliminating CA licensed engineer in charge of "public works". The very same day the RWQCB and State Board are on tour of the city's sewage system. They sent the worker peons out with the water board engineers, but the city has no engineer associated with its sewage system.

No wonder the city refuses to improve capacity and provide safe engineered infrastructure.... it is bad for the developer. Surely there cannot be another large CA city lacking a City Engineer.

Here's the article in toto:

October 3, 2012 FOLSOM TELEGRAPH
Changing roles at Folsom City Hall
By Laura Newell, Telegraph staff writer

FOLSOM CA - Folsom City Hall will promote two staff members and reorganize the public works and community development departments after the recent retirement of one director.

City Manager Evert Palmer has announced a departmental reorganization and two key appointments at City Hall.

David Miller now serves as director of the newly combined public works and community development department, and Ken Payne serves as director of the environmental and water resources department.

"The recent retirement of former Public Works Director Richard Lorenz provided an opportunity to reorganize and take advantage of in-house talent as we strive to operate as efficiently as possible in this challenging economic climate," says Palmer. "David and Ken are highly skilled and experienced leaders who willingly stepped up to assume additional duties."

Miller, who has served as Folsom's community development director since 2007, is now overseeing the combined department with responsibility for street construction and maintenance; transportation and traffic planning; solid waste, recycling and HazMat; public and private permitting; planning and engineering; housing programs; and arborist duties.

"It is very logical to combine the two departments as both are integral to processing and serving the permitting and construction needs of new development," Miller said. "Given the impending development of the new town area south of Highway 50 the coordination of land use and transportation issues will be key. Given the current major general plan update for the city, this reorganization will help the two departments contribute toward this

major policy document in a very integrated fashion. Lastly, this is a sign of the times. All governmental structures must streamline and do more with less. We must become more efficient and strategic.”

Payne has responsibility for managing the city’s water, storm water and waste water utility operations, including all planning, maintenance, operations and compliance functions.

Miller’s salary is \$159,602 and Payne’s is \$152,524.

Lorenz earned \$151,756 per year.

Palmer noted that promoting from within rather than hiring a new public works director achieves an annual savings of nearly \$140,000, plus pension and benefit costs.

Miller’s professional experience includes 38 years as a professional planner, as well as service as a Director of Public Works. Miller holds a Bachelor of Arts degree in Environmental Design from the University of Washington and is certified by the American Institute of Certified Planners.

Payne, who previously served as the city’s utilities director, has a Bachelor of Science degree in Civil Engineering from the University of the Pacific. He is a professional engineer certified in Safety Assessment for Disaster Service by the Governor’s Office of Emergency Services."

Well, at least KP has the disaster background this arrangement requires; because it is a disaster.

Kelley, Spencer@Waterboards

From: LJ Laurent <ljlarent@att.net>
Sent: Tuesday, October 09, 2012 12:21 PM
To: Wyels, Wendy@Waterboards
Cc: Lucio Orellano; Landau, Ken@Waterboards; Drew Lessard; mike finnegan; Alan Wade; Chief Cynthia Renaud
Subject: Folsom sewage audit

To: Wendy Wyels RWQCB
From: Laurie Laurent
October 9, 2012

Re: Folsom issues

Wendy, it was my pleasure to visit for a few minutes with Jim Fisher and Julie Berry of SWRCB, and Lucio Orellano of RWQCB, when they were in my subdivision inspecting last week. The entire city crew with them looked typical of city laborer-employees, and I did not see any city engineer with them. If there is a licensed engineer associated with the sewer system, it would shock me. I was glad to see these State engineers on site, and I am encouraged to believe a full report will result in proper engineered sewage operations in this city. I look forward to the report.

I am writing you because I am not sure you know of several facts about the city system.

(1) The north of the river system is so heavily overloaded that the city constructed a huge open pit for extracting raw sewage from the "old Oak Avenue" sewer line during wet months. It took a month for Bob Blaser to believe I was telling him the truth about this open pit/pump.

(2) The city still has its old sewage treatment plant pipes connecting the Corporation Yard down to the American River. At times it is possible to hear liquid entering the river from the river bank where the pipes appear to terminate. USBR's Laura Caballero inspected this area and advised her supervisors of these pipes and also of the need for monitoring wells below the Corp Yard. USBR asked the city if it could make visual inspection of the Corp Yard abutting USBR land/waters, and the city refused. Monitoring wells should have been installed at city expense long ago, given the nature of the city's operations.

(3) The city continues to operate the commercial hall in the Corp Yard despite admitting that this hall (with occupancies of up to 1,000 persons inside and outdoors), is connected to the Lake Natoma Shores sewage lift station designed for about 50 single family homes. The police have taken steps to protect local residents, but apparently the city handed out keys like popcorn. The point is that the city will always continue to violate, and it may be necessary to ensure that the building is secured from users until a proper sewer system is provided for it. Last Sunday 10-7-12 the hall was heavily used again. A lift station next to a river is almost a perfect "design for failure."

(4) The city has a very poor history of protecting the American River and surrounding habitat and residents. There is a documented possibility LNS residents could receive backing-up sewage. This is very distressing. It is absolutely necessary to ensure that the city will cease putting commercial sewage and industrial yard sewage into the LNS lift station. Outside enforcement will be necessary, and oversight is absolutely necessary until the root issues are resolved appropriately.

(5) Since last week the city has merged the Public Works Department into its Development Department and a man with only a Bachelor of Arts in "planning" is totally in charge of the merged employees. This bodes very poorly for voluntary compliance by the city that demonstrates "we don't need no engineers for our stinks."

(6) Perhaps most direct proof of the city's improper actions and intentions is the fact that since firing Bob Blaser (last City Engineer and Public Works Director at that time), the city has had NO City Engineer to enforce the multiple chapters of the Folsom Municipal Code and Design Standards and Operation Standards. This is a place which has chosen to fire a law enforcer. Eliminating a licensed engineer as the Public Works Director simply underscores the city's intent to violate laws with apparent impunity until this administration is corraled and stopped by external force. This is a very serious problem which will be illustrated and defined by you and your staff with SWRCB. It is not possible to define this as ignorance or negligence. This city is obviously in trouble. I hope your report will specify not only the deficiencies found here, but also mention the normal standards and practices which are violated.

(7) The city still has no regular City Engineer's Report and no separate account for accumulating monies to grow the capacity of the sewage system. City laws do indeed mandate the accumulating account.

(8) The city has made huge commitments to the CA State Prisons without a single page of engineering documentation that all the added prison sewage can be accommodated in the 27" line. The Prison interceptor itself is 20" and it is only one of the major sewage sheds entering the 27" line at that point.

Please let me know if you have any questions or wish to see the sworn testimony gathered for litigation and enforcement against the city. Thank you for your efforts.

Naturally I hope the USBR management will contact you as soon possible. It is essential for them to participate in protecting the American River and its habitat. Monitoring wells and site inspection reports are just the beginning in this arduous task. Everyone regrets the city's actions, and the necessity for external impetus and protection, but that is what is required. Let me assure you, it is very difficult to live with the imminent threat of raw sewage entering one's home. It is no less painful to think sewage may be entering the American River by design -- right now.

Kelley, Spencer@Waterboards

From: Wyels, Wendy@Waterboards
Sent: Thursday, December 13, 2012 9:21 AM
To: Orellana, Lucio@Waterboards; Morgan, Nichole@Waterboards
Subject: FW: CalEMA complaint
Attachments: DSCF2524.JPG; DSCF2525.JPG

Wendy Wyels
Supervisor, Compliance and Enforcement Section
Central Valley Regional Water Quality Control Board
11020 Sun Center Drive, Suite 200
Rancho Cordova, CA 95670
(916) 464-4835
www.waterboards.ca.gov/centralvalley/

From: LJ Laurent [mailto:ljlaurent@att.net]
Sent: Wednesday, December 12, 2012 12:29 PM
To: Wyels, Wendy@Waterboards
Cc: Alan Wade
Subject: Re: CalEMA complaint

To: Wendy Wyels, Enforcement supervisor
From: Laurette Laurent

Dec. 12, 2012

Re: potential SSOs reported April 2012 and December 2012

Location n.b. Folsom Blvd. traffic lane approx. 200' north of Lake Folsom Crossing intersection. Photos attached (2) taken 12/12/12.

Some laborer probably corrected the overflows observed Dec. 10 and 11 at approximately 8:30 am during the high flow period. It is not known which city personnel inspected this area. Since there has been no City Engineer to enforce these laws, and the Public Works Dept no longer exists under a licensed engineer, the city is conveying mere opinions about the incidents. RWQCB has no flow data, no certified licensed engineer's seal, and no engineer to deal with on sewage in Folsom.

The material was NOT described as "sewage" but as a liquid of concern to the citizen observer traveling at the high posted speed limit. The call was recorded to verify this.

As for the city's claim there are "no sanitary sewers" in this location, here is a photo taken December 12, 2012. That is a "sanitary sewer" manhole a mere several hundred feet south of the manhole in question (last spring and this week Monday and Tuesday). It is not possible to photograph the oozing manhole because it is in a city 50 mph speed zone in northbound Folsom Blvd. pavement.

The issue of the city's "accuracy" is obviously in dispute. Attached photograph proves our observations are correct, i.e. there is actually a city sanitary sewer conveyance and manhole in this area. We are seeking the photos of the April 2012 five day overflows. The photos are insignificant compared to the city denying any sewage conveyance in the area, and actually blaming a federal agency for the observations of liquid oozing.

Thank you for your email. Life would be easier for all of us if the legal enforcement officer for this portion of law had not been terminated by a city politician; and if the city politicians had NOT chosen to put a layman in charge of Folsom Public Works engineers and (now defunct) PW department.

Anything submitted by the city with NO current CA licensed engineering certification has no validity. When this proceeds to litigation, RWQCB will have no valid scientific evidence to present which it used to issue the city a letter stating the city sanitary sewage conveyance system is in "good" condition. When he retired 4 days before the audit questionnaire was due, City PW Dept. engineer Rich Lorenz supplied data which belies that. There is abundant evidence the city collection system has been inadequate since the NPDES Permit existed.

I still have not received any flow data for the Folsom sewage conveyance system, nor any part of it. If the city has not supplied you with reports and hard factual data certified by a CA licensed engineer with a license to protect by enforcing the laws governing safety, please say so. I requested RWQCB and SWRB to provide me with all hard evidence provided by the city. As a private enforcer with an excellent track record, I am concerned with all sewage located where it can impact the American River and riparian lands and habitat. When systems are designed to fail, they are placed where escaping material can get to open land and a watercourse.

It is a terrible surprise to see RWQCB staff has so soon forgotten the nature of this city's derelictions which earned them an NPDES Permit, 3/4 \$Million fine, and additional legal and remedial construction costs. Private litigation for a massive spill resulted in millions of city dollars spent in rectification and remediations.

Now 2012, RWQCB chooses to issue judgments based upon uncertified information. If RWQCB CA licensed engineers had put their engineering certification on the city's "fairy tales and projections", we would have a water board baseline for investigations. When Karen Niiya Engineer issued a report, it was scientific, impartial, complete, and 100% analyzed and certified by a licensed engineer employed as an enforcer. Her work certified the accuracy of facts which deemed administrative actions were not sufficient.

Did the city satisfy the water board requirement to supply flow data for its sanitary sewer conveyance system by November 30, 2012?

Did you obtain any hard data with an engineer's certification? Did you even verify the existence Folsom laws mandating a City Engineer be the law enforcement officer? If not, do so quickly because this city is quietly changing all of its laws quietly and in a less than public fashion.

It is my hope RWQCB and State Board members will once again work with private groups such as ours developing in the city, to support private enforcers who know sewage when they see, smell, or step in it, or observe it effects and presence. Raw sewage is unmistakable.

From: "Wyels, Wendy@Waterboards" <Wendy.Wyels@waterboards.ca.gov>
To: LJ Laurent <ljl Laurent@att.net>
Sent: Wed, December 12, 2012 8:54:20 AM
Subject: CalEMA complaint

Ms. Laurent,

The Central Valley Regional Water Quality Control Board was notified by the California Emergency Management Agency (Cal EMA) of a potential sewer spill located approximately 200-feet of the intersection of Folsom Boulevard and Folsom Dam Road on December 11, 2012. The Cal EMA report states that "a manhole in the northbound lanes is releasing sewage" and that "the release has a potential to enter a waterway". The Cal EMA report states that you reported the spill; therefore, I am reporting the results of the investigation to you.

The City of Folsom responded to the Cal EMA report on Tuesday, December 11, 2012. Based on the City's investigation there are not any City of Folsom sewer manholes in the vicinity reported, although there is a Pac Bell manhole. In addition, there is a sump pump located in the vicinity of the report that pumps stormwater/groundwater from the water agency into the dirt gutter located on the out-bound side of Folsom Auburn Road.

I have also attached pictures taken by the City of Folsom showing the groundwater sump pump and dirt gutter located at the reported site.

Based on the above information, Water Board staff find no need to investigate this matter further.

From: Wyels, Wendy@Waterboards
To: "LJ Laurent"; alan wade <(alanwd9@gmail.com)>; corrinneneadors@gmail.com
Cc: Creedon, Pamela@Waterboards; febesmith@sbcglobal.net@DWR; Drew Lessard
Bcc: Morgan, Nichole@Waterboards; Orellana, Lucio@Waterboards
Subject: RE: Regional Board informational item
Date: Monday, December 31, 2012 2:13:00 PM

Ms. Laurant,

Thank you for your e-mail. Our case files are available for public review, and you are welcome to schedule a time to come in and look at the information that the City of Folsom has submitted.

In regard to the Folsom Prison, the 2007 Agreement between the City and Department of Corrections limits the flow from Folsom Prison and New Folsom Prison to an average daily flow of 1.15 mgd and a maximum daily flow of 2.5 mgd. Even if a new woman's prison is constructed, these flow limits will remain in place unless the City and Department negotiate a new Agreement.

From: LJ Laurent [mailto:ljl Laurent@att.net]
Sent: Sunday, December 23, 2012 11:44 AM
To: Wyels, Wendy@Waterboards; alan wade <(alanwd9@gmail.com)>; corrinneneadors@gmail.com
Cc: Creedon, Pamela@Waterboards; febesmith@sbcglobal.net@DWR; Drew Lessard
Subject: Re: Regional Board informational item

Wendy,

I am still awaiting production of the alleged "flow data" which the city was required to supply to you by November 30th 2012, which was AFTER your 4 page letter was sent.

I am still seeking a city engineer to address my queries about the city violating the laws which require "each industrial unit" (e.g. business, factory, or separate operation, [such as sewer truck washing, auto mechanic garage, HQ for 800 vehicles, etc]) to have a minimum 6" sanitary sewer pipe.

All I could verify is that private non-residential users with 4 acre operations are connected DIRECTLY to SCRSD large pipes.

Hope to see you all soon, and see the "flow data" sooner. I have to wonder how the city got "flow monitors" on the self-proclaimed two inch (2") sanitary sewer lines exiting the huge Folsom Corp Yard 20 acres of operation. It is a wonder how all this works. The city has absolutely NO legal right to put 20 acres of heavy Industrial and Commercial sewage into the Lake Natoma Shores Lift Station designed for 50 homes with occupancy of 2.1 esd. It appears the city has multiple unresolved capacity issues -- of which have pump stations located immediately adjacent to the American River waters and lands. Is this what water engineers call "designed to fail -- because there is no one there to notice SSOs"?

Enjoy the snow season!! Without water we could have no sanitary sewers.

Laurie

PS Please recall I have opened dialogue with CA State Prison authorities as well. They are adding a womens' facility to the Folsom Prisons soon.

From: "Wyels, Wendy@Waterboards" <Wendy.Wyels@waterboards.ca.gov>
To: "LJ Laurent (lilaurent@att.net)" <lilaurent@att.net>; "alan wade (alanwd9@gmail.com)" <alanwd9@gmail.com>; "corrinneneadors@gmail.com" <corrinneneadors@gmail.com>
Cc: "Creedon, Pamela@Waterboards" <Pamela.Creedon@waterboards.ca.gov>
Sent: Fri, December 21, 2012 10:46:25 AM
Subject: Regional Board informational item

Laurie, Alan, and Corrinne,

The next Regional Water Board meeting will be on 31 January and 1 February 2013. During the meeting, the Board will hear an Informational Item regarding the City of Folsom's sewer collection system. You are welcome to come to the meeting and provide input to the Board. At this point, we anticipate that interested parties will be allowed three minutes each to address the Board. I will provide further details to you about the actual date and time when the Board agenda is finalized, which should be in early January.

Please feel free to contact me if you have any questions about the informational item.

Wendy Wyels

Supervisor, Compliance and Enforcement Section
Central Valley Regional Water Quality Control Board
11020 Sun Center Drive, Suite 200
Rancho Cordova, CA 95670
(916) 464-4835

Kelley, Spencer@Waterboards

From: Wyels, Wendy@Waterboards
Sent: Wednesday, January 02, 2013 8:28 AM
To: Morgan, Nichole@Waterboards; Orellana, Lucio@Waterboards
Subject: FW: Regional Board informational item

From: LJ Laurent [mailto:ljlaurent@att.net]
Sent: Monday, December 31, 2012 4:50 PM
To: Wyels, Wendy@Waterboards; alan wade <(alanwd9@gmail.com)>; corrinneneadors@gmail.com
Cc: Creedon, Pamela@Waterboards; febesmith@sbcglobal.net@DWR; Drew Lessard
Subject: Re: Regional Board informational item

To: Wendy Wyels, Enforcement Manager
From: Laurie Laurent
Dec. 31, 2012
re: sewer capacity comment

With regard to this comment: "In regard to the Folsom Prison, the 2007 Agreement between the City and Department of Corrections limits the flow from Folsom Prison and New Folsom Prison to an average daily flow of 1.15 mgd and a maximum daily flow of 2.5 mgd."

The import of the comments is that we know 2.5 million gallons per day is a huge burden to add to Pump Station 2 at the American River. PS 2 takes all of the North Folsom city sewage, all of the Pre 1983 city sewage, and all of the 15,000+ souls at the prisons.

PROVE 2.5 mgd is an "appropriate limit" for the city's web of 5" and 15" piping, which direct it to an over-loaded 27" line serving three huge sewage sheds. The city system was certified inadequate since 2001, until the final full engineer-certified studies.

All of the CA prisons' flow enters the city sewer pipes via several 5" city lines and a 15" line. In other words the prisons' 20" line enters the city system through a network of substandard pipes, and is channeled along with the other two sewage sheds into the city's 27" line in Folsom Blvd.

If you have NOT consulted a RWQCB licensed CA engineer to certify this is acceptable practice -- for up to 2.5 million gallons per day from just the one (of three) sewage sheds, it is time to do so immediately.

The city eliminated the City Engineer, and the Public Works Dept. head engineer positions, so the city has absolutely NO licensed engineer putting his seal onto the Prisons-City agreement.

Furthermore, there is NO flow data for this mess.

Also, Rich Lorenz swore under oath there are on-going SSOs where the prison 20" line enters the city's little sewer lines. He's gone, but he swore to the information he provided. Public Works Dept is also gone as a department, in favor of "Development Dept."

Conclusion is: RWQCB has an obligation to provide all the sparse city data to their own engineer and ask their own licensed engineer to agree with all the opinions being forwarded to me by RWQCB non-engineers. If Bob Blaser were still the City Engineer, none of this city misfeasance would be purported to be acceptable. He was removed exactly because he refused to certify unacceptable city public infrastructure and development shortcuts.

It is time for RWQCB to admit the consequences of putting 15,000+ flushers effluent (via a 20" line) into a series of substandard city pipes, thence into PS 2 which has at least 25,000 other flushers. Please also bear in mind the city has added an unbelievable additional load to the commercial usages in this nexus-area where the SSOs occur.

I have read the sworn information. It is an extremely serious situation. It is the reason the city has SEALED all the manhole covers and put so much burden on Pump Stations in open riparian acreage adjacent to the AMERICAN RIVER.

Please look again at the City-Prison contract: there is NO ENGINEERING DATA nor approval of these arbitrary sewage loads.

Please, no more opinions. With due respect, we want to see report SWORN and SEALED by a licensed CA engineer.

From: "Wyels, Wendy@Waterboards" <Wendy.Wyels@waterboards.ca.gov>
To: LJ Laurent <ljl Laurent@att.net>; "alan wade <(alanwd9@gmail.com)>" <alanwd9@gmail.com>; "corrinneneadors@gmail.com" <corrinneneadors@gmail.com>
Cc: "Creedon, Pamela@Waterboards" <Pamela.Creedon@waterboards.ca.gov>; "febesmith@sbcglobal.net@DWR" <febesmith@sbcglobal.net>; Drew Lessard <dlessard@usbr.gov>
Sent: Mon, December 31, 2012 2:13:25 PM
Subject: RE: Regional Board informational item

Ms. Laurant,

Thank you for your e-mail. Our case files are available for public review, and you are welcome to schedule a time to come in and look at the information that the City of Folsom has submitted.

In regard to the Folsom Prison, the 2007 Agreement between the City and Department of Corrections limits the flow from Folsom Prison and New Folsom Prison to an average daily flow of 1.15 mgd and a maximum daily flow of 2.5

mgd. Even if a new woman's prison is constructed, these flow limits will remain in place unless the City and Department negotiate a new Agreement.

From: LJ Laurent [<mailto:ljlaurent@att.net>]
Sent: Sunday, December 23, 2012 11:44 AM
To: Wyels, Wendy@Waterboards; alan wade <alanwd9@gmail.com>; corrinneneadors@gmail.com
Cc: Creedon, Pamela@Waterboards; febesmith@sbcglobal.net@DWR; Drew Lessard
Subject: Re: Regional Board informational item

Wendy,

I am still awaiting production of the alleged "flow data" which the city was required to supply to you by November 30th 2012, which was AFTER your 4 page letter was sent.

I am still seeking a city engineer to address my queries about the city violating the laws which require "each industrial unit" (e.g. business, factory, or separate operation, [such as sewer truck washing, auto mechanic garage, HQ for 800 vehicles, etc]) to have a minimum 6" sanitary sewer pipe.

All I could verify is that private non-residential users with 4 acre operations are connected DIRECTLY to SCRSD large pipes.

Hope to see you all soon, and see the "flow data" sooner. I have to wonder how the city got "flow monitors" on the self-proclaimed two inch (2") sanitary sewer lines exiting the huge Folsom Corp Yard 20 acres of operation. It is a wonder how all this works. The city has absolutely NO legal right to put 20 acres of heavy Industrial and Commercial sewage into the Lake Natoma Shores Lift Station designed for 50 homes with occupancy of 2.1 esd. It appears the city has multiple unresolved capacity issues -- of which have pump stations located immediately adjacent to the American River waters and lands. Is this what water engineers call "designed to fail -- because there is no one there to notice SSOs"?

Enjoy the snow season!! Without water we could have no sanitary sewers.

Laurie

PS Please recall I have opened dialogue with CA State Prison authorities as well. They are adding a womens' facility to the Folsom Prisons soon.

From: "Wyels, Wendy@Waterboards" <Wendy.Wyels@waterboards.ca.gov>
To: "LJ Laurent (ljlaurent@att.net)" <ljlaurent@att.net>; "alan wade (alanwd9@gmail.com)" <alanwd9@gmail.com>; "corrinneneadors@gmail.com" <corrinneneadors@gmail.com>
Cc: "Creedon, Pamela@Waterboards" <Pamela.Creedon@waterboards.ca.gov>
Sent: Fri, December 21, 2012 10:46:25 AM
Subject: Regional Board informational item

Laurie, Alan, and Corrinne,
The next Regional Water Board meeting will be on 31 January and 1 February 2013. During the meeting, the Board will hear an Informational Item regarding the City of Folsom's sewer collection system. You are welcome to come to the meeting and provide input to the Board. At this point, we anticipate that interested parties will be allowed three

minutes each to address the Board. I will provide further details to you about the actual date and time when the Board agenda is finalized, which should be in early January.

Please feel free to contact me if you have any questions about the informational item.

Wendy Wyels

Supervisor, Compliance and Enforcement Section
Central Valley Regional Water Quality Control Board
11020 Sun Center Drive, Suite 200
Rancho Cordova, CA 95670
(916) 464-4835