

**STATE OF CALIFORNIA
REGIONAL WATER QUALITY CONTROL BOARD
SANTA ANA REGION**

| | | |
|-----------------------------------|---|---------------------------------------|
| In the matter of: |) | Complaint No. R8-2003-0027 |
| |) | for |
| Whisper Ranch Ltd. |) | Administrative Civil Liability |
| P.O. Box 978 |) | |
| Calimesa, CA 92320 |) | |
| |) | |
| <u>Attn: Wayne Simmons</u> |) | |

YOU ARE HEREBY GIVEN NOTICE THAT:

1. Whisper Ranch Ltd., (Whisper Ranch) is alleged to have violated provisions of law for which the California Regional Water Quality Control Board, Santa Ana Region (hereinafter Board), may impose liability under Section 13385(c) of the California Water Code.
2. A hearing in this matter will be scheduled for the Board's regular meeting on April 4, 2003, at the Cucamonga County Water District, 10440 Ashford Street, Rancho Cucamonga. Whisper Ranch or its representative will have an opportunity to appear and be heard, and to contest the allegations in this Complaint and the imposition of civil liability by the Board. An agenda for the meeting will be mailed to you not less than 10 days prior to the hearing date.
3. At the hearing, the Board will consider whether to affirm, reject or modify the proposed administrative civil liability or whether to refer the matter to the Attorney General for recovery of judicial civil liability.
4. Whisper Ranch is alleged to have violated Provisions A.3 ("Storm water discharges shall not cause or threaten to cause pollution, contamination, or nuisance.") and C.2 ("All dischargers shall develop and implement a SWPPP in accordance with Section A: Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan. The discharger shall implement controls to reduce pollutants in storm water discharges from their construction sites to the BAT/BCT performance standard.") of the General Permit for Storm Water Discharges Associated with Construction Activity, Order No. 99-08-DWQ (General Permit). Whisper Ranch failed to develop and implement an effective Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP) and discharged storm water containing pollutants to waters of the United States from the construction site. Pursuant to Water Code Section 13385 (a)(2), civil liability may be imposed for the preceding violations.
5. Runoff from the Whisper Ranch construction site (Tract 13521) in the City of Yucaipa is regulated under the State's General Permit, WDID No. 8 36S317492.

6. This complaint is based on the following facts:

- a) Whisper Ranch Ltd., is the developer named in the Notice of Intent (NOI) for an 80-acre construction site located at Mesa Grande Drive and the Northwest corner of Wildwood Canyon Road, Yucaipa. On November 26, 2002, Board staff conducted an inspection of the construction site. The SWPPP and associated best management practices (BMPs) implemented at the site were not adequate to control the discharge of pollutants from the site. The BMPs specified in the SWPPP were not implemented. The BMPs implemented at the site did not reflect the BMPs in the SWPPP. A significant amount of soil erosion was noted on project slopes, resulting from the previous storm event. Deteriorated and ineffectively placed sandbags were also observed. During the inspection, Mr. Don Vanhook, construction superintendent was informed that the implemented BMPs were not adequate, and he was requested to implement adequate BMPs to stabilize the slopes prior to the next storm event.
- b) On December 12, 2002, a Notice of Violation was sent to Whisper Ranch that outlined the aforementioned violations and the requirement to prevent any further transport of pollutants to the storm drain systems. Whisper Ranch was requested to immediately address the problems identified, to describe the actions taken to address these problems, and to submit a copy of the SWPPP.
- c) On December 16, 2002, Board staff re-inspected the site during a storm event and observed sediment-laden discharge into the storm drain system. A significant amount of sediment was observed on all internal streets. The control measures (sandbags, hay bales and temporary detention basins) were inadequate to control sediment discharges from the site.
- d) On December 17, 2002, Board staff visited the site to evaluate the condition of the BMPs after the storm event. A significant amount of sediment-laden water was actually being pumped from the site and discharged into the storm drains. There were no BMPs implemented at the site to control and/or minimize the pollutants being discharged.
- e) Board staff collected a grab sample of the runoff entering the storm drain and advised Mr. Gary Lammers, the project manager, to immediately stop pumping the muddy water into the storm drains and to implement appropriate BMPs at the site.
- f) On December 23, 2002, Regional Board staff received a letter from Whisper Ranch stating that its construction requires the adjustment of BMPs and that it is updating the design and implementation of BMPs to control and minimize pollutant discharges from the site. The letter indicated that an updated copy of the SWPPP would be forwarded to Board staff by January 6, 2003.
- g) On December 23, 2002, Board staff re-inspected the site to evaluate improvements in the implementation of BMPs. Staff observed no improvements in the implementation of BMPs. No facility representative was at the site during this site visit.

- h) On January 6, 2003, Board staff visited the site and again observed no improvements in the site conditions. However, a corrugated pipe was observed along Wildwood Canyon Road for conveying storm water from the site to the street. Once again, Whisper Ranch was informed (by phone) of the inadequacy of the control measures at the site.
- i) On January 8, 2003, during a storm event, Board staff observed a muddy storm water discharge from the corrugated pipe onto Wildwood Canyon Road. No significant improvements in the implementation of BMPs were observed, compared to prior visits. Storm drain inlets were poorly protected, and sediment-laden storm water was being discharged to the storm drains.
- j) On January 9, 2003, Board staff left a message for Mr. Lammers, the site project manager, asking that he call staff to discuss improvements needed for the site. Mr. Lammers has not responded to the message.

7. Whisper Ranch is alleged to have violated Provisions A. 3 and C.2 of the General Permit.

8. Whisper Ranch violated the General Permit by failing to properly implement an effective SWPPP. Whisper Ranch also violated the General Permit by discharging storm water containing pollutants to waters of the United States from the construction site. Pursuant to Water Code Section 13385 (a)(2), civil liability may be imposed for the preceding violations.

9. Section 13385(a)(2) provides that any person who violates waste discharge requirements shall be civilly liable. Section 13385 (c) provides that civil liability may be administratively imposed by a regional board in an amount not to exceed ten thousand dollars (\$10,000) for each day the violation occurs. Additional liability, not to exceed \$10 per gallon, may be imposed for each gallon discharged in excess of 1,000 gallons.

10. Sediment- laden storm water discharged to the storm drain system from the site was estimated to be 1.884 million gallons.

11. Pursuant to Section 13385(c), the total maximum assessment for which Whisper Ranch is civilly liable is \$18.86 million based on \$30,000 (\$10,000 per day for 3 days of discharges staff observed) and \$18.83 million based on estimated discharge (1.884 million gallon - 1,000 = 1.883 million gallons @\$10 per gallon) for the violations cited in Paragraph 7, above.

12. Whisper Ranch saved approximately \$80,590 by not implementing adequate BMPs at the site (18.5 acres of slopes @ \$ 0.1/sqft). Board staff costs for investigating this incident were approximately \$2,100 (30 hours at \$70/hour). These factors were considered in assessing the penalty proposed in Paragraph 14, below.

13. Section 13385 (e) specifies factors that the Board shall consider in establishing the amount of civil liability. These factors include: nature, circumstances, extent, and gravity of the violation, and, with respect to the discharger, the ability to pay, any prior history of violations, the degree of culpability, economic benefit or savings, if any, resulting from the violation, and other matters that justice may require. At a minimum, liability shall be assessed at a level that recovers the economic benefits, if any, derived from the acts that constitute the violation. The factors are evaluated in the table below. ...

| Factor | Comment |
|---|---|
| <p>A. Nature, Circumstances, Extent and Gravity of Violation</p> | <p>The BMPs identified in the SWPPP were not implemented or maintained. Due to the facility's lack of adequate best management practices (BMPs) implementation, sediment-laden storm water discharges occurred periodically and entered the storm drain system. Photos taken and a sample collected at the site indicated muddy water discharge. Sediment-laden storm water was observed entering the storm drain on at least three occasions. The storm drain discharges into Wildwood Creek.</p> <p>Whisper Ranch ignored repeated written and oral requests for corrective measures at the site.</p> <p>Whisper Ranch's inaction and failure to upgrade the BMPs at the site, and failure to provide adequate employee training and oversight of its operations led to inadequate BMP implementation and caused pollutants to be repeatedly discharged into the waters of the U.S.</p> |
| <p>B. Culpability</p> | <p>The discharger violated the terms of the General Permit by failing to develop and implement an adequate SWPPP and by failing to properly implement an inspection program to monitor the effectiveness of the BMPs. Despite repeated requests to upgrade erosion control measures at the site, the discharger did not implement adequate erosion control BMPs and continued to discharge polluted storm water into the storm drain system and into receiving waters. Whisper Ranch has not been responsive to Regional Board staff's efforts to bring the site into compliance. Board staff issued a Notice of Violation on December 12, 2002 for several violations of the General Permit including an outdated SWPPP and inadequate implementation of BMPs.</p> |
| <p>C. Economic Benefit or Savings</p> | <p>Whisper Ranch saved approximately \$80,590 by not implementing BMPs for slope protection identified in the SWPPP.</p> |
| <p>D. Prior History of Violations</p> | <p>Despite the Notice and several oral requests, Whisper Ranch was not in compliance during four subsequent inspections of the site, including the storm event on January 8, 2003.</p> |

| | |
|--------------------------|---|
| E. Staff Costs | Regional Board staff spent approximately 30 hours investigating this incident (@\$70.00 per hour, the total cost for staff time is \$2100). |
| F. Ability to pay | The discharger has not provided any information to indicate that it is unable to pay the proposed amount. |

14. The total maximum liability for the violations of the General Permit is \$18.86 million. After consideration of the above factors, the Executive Officer proposes civil liability be imposed on Whisper Ranch in the amount of \$85,000 for the violations cited above. An invoice for this amount is enclosed.

WAIVER OF HEARING

Whisper Ranch may waive its right to a hearing. If you choose to do so, please sign the attached waiver form and return it, together with a check or money order for \$85,000 and the bottom portion of the invoice, to the State Water Resources Control Board in the enclosed preprinted envelope.

If you have any questions, please contact Ms. Milasol C. Gaslan at (909) 782-4419 or Mr. Michael Adackapara at (909) 782-3238, or contact the Regional Board's legal counsel, Jorge Leon, at (916) 341-5180.

2-19-03
Date


Gerard J. Thibeault
Executive Officer

In the matter of:)
)
Whisper Ranch Ltd.)
P.O. Box 978)
Calimesa, CA 92320)
Attn: Wayne Simmons)

Complaint No. R8-2003-0027
for
Administrative Civil Liability

WAIVER OF HEARING

I agree to waive Whisper Ranch Ltd's right to a hearing before the Santa Ana Regional Water Quality Control Board with regard to the violations alleged in Complaint No. R8-2003-0027. I have enclosed a check, made payable to the State Water Resources Control Board, in the amount of \$85,000. I understand that I am giving up Whisper Ranch Ltd's right to be heard and to argue against allegations made by the Executive Officer in this complaint, and against the imposition of, and the amount of, the liability proposed.

Date

for Whisper Ranch Ltd.



California Regional Water Quality Control Board

Santa Ana Region



Gray Davis
Governor

Winston H. Hickox
Secretary for
Environmental
Protection

Internet Address: <http://www.swrcb.ca.gov/rwqcb8>
3737 Main Street, Suite 500, Riverside, California 92501-3348
Phone (909) 782-4130 - FAX (909) 781-6288

February 19, 2003

CERTIFIED MAIL
RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED

Mr. E. Wayne Simmons
Whisper Ranch Ltd.
P.O. Box 978
Calimesa, CA 92320

ADMINISTRATIVE CIVIL LIABILITY COMPLAINT NO. R8-2003- 0027, TRACT 13521, YUCAIPA, SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY

Dear Mr. Simmons:

We are enclosing a certified copy of Complaint No. R8-2003-0027, proposing administrative civil liability of \$85,000 for violations of the State's General Permit for Storm Water Discharges Associated with Construction Activity, Order No. 99-08-DWQ, NPDES No. CAS000002, WDID No. 836S317492. An invoice and a preprinted envelope are also enclosed.

If necessary, a public hearing on this matter will be scheduled for the Regional Board meeting on April 4, 2003. The staff report regarding this complaint and the meeting agenda will be mailed to you not less than 10 days prior to the Board meeting.

You have the option to waive your right to a hearing. Should you waive your right to a hearing and pay the proposed assessment, the Regional Board may not hold a public hearing on this matter. If you choose to waive your right to a hearing, please sign the enclosed waiver form and submit the form with a check for \$85,000. The check or money order should be made payable to the State Water Resources Control Board. The payment, the Waiver Form and the bottom portion of the invoice should be mailed to the State Board in the enclosed preprinted envelope.

If you do not wish to waive your right to a hearing, a pre-hearing meeting with Board staff is recommended. Should you wish to schedule a pre-hearing meeting, please call us prior to March 5, 2003. At that time, you may submit information that may not have been previously available to staff regarding this incident. The information should address the following:

1. Nature, circumstances, extent, and gravity of the violation;
2. Your ability to pay the proposed assessment;
3. Any prior history of violations;

California Environmental Protection Agency

4. Your degree of culpability;
5. Economic benefit or saving; and
6. Such other matters as justice may require.

If you have any questions regarding this complaint, you may contact Ms. Milasol C. Gaslan at 909-782-4419 or Mr. Michael Adackapara at 909-782-3238. All legal questions should be referred to our legal counsel, Mr. Jorge Leon, at 916-341-5180.

Sincerely,



Gerard J. Thibeault
Executive Officer

Enclosures: Complaint No. R8-2003-0027, Waiver Form, Invoice and Envelope

Cc with enclosures:

Regional Board
Bruce Fujimoto, State Water Resources Control Board, Division of Water Quality
Jorge Leon, State Water Resources Control Board, Office of Chief Counsel
Tom Huetteman, US-EPA, Region 9 (WTR-7)
San Bernardino County, Storm Water Program – Naresh Varma, NPDES
Coordinator
City of Yucaipa – Fred Hawkins, NPDES Coordinator