

ITEM NO. 10

February 10, 2012

ERRATA SHEET

CHANGES TO ORDER NO. R8-2012-0012 (7th Draft)

(Note deletions are ~~struck-out~~ and additions are in **bold and highlighted**)

ORDER NO. R8-2012-0012 (Page 15)

III. PERMIT REQUIREMENTS:

(The Permit provides two options for the Permittees to comply with this Order: (1) Option 1- Phased Approach; and (2) Option 2- Non-Phased Approach. The Permittees must choose one of these options from the Notice of Intent* at the time of submitting Permit Registration Documents*.)

A. AUTHORIZED NON-STORM WATER DISCHARGES

1. The following types of non-storm water discharges are authorized provided the Permittees identify each source and its discharge location, characterize the discharge including potential pollutants and the flow volume, and identify appropriate pollutant control measures for each discharge including source control BMPs and other control measures to eliminate or reduce such discharges. **Storm water which is containerized prior to treatment shall be specifically excluded from the definition of non-storm water.** This information shall be documented in the SWPPP*.

[ERRATA CONTINUED ON NEXT PAGE]

ORDER NO. R8-2012-0012 (Page 26)

Table 1b: Water Quality-Based Numeric Effluent Limits (Option 2 – Non-Phased Approach)²¹

(The effluent limits for cadmium, copper, lead, nickel, silver and zinc are hardness dependent. Hardness of the receiving water should be determined for each site.)

Item No.	Constituent ²²	Units	Effluent Limit (Annual average) ²³
1	pH	pH units	6.5 to 8.5 ²⁴
2	Specific Conductance	µmhos/cm or µsiemen/cm	2000 ²⁵
3	Arsenic (total recoverable)	milligrams/liter	0.340²⁶
43	Cadmium (total recoverable)	milligrams/liter	0.0043 ^{27,26}
54	Copper (total recoverable)	milligrams/liter	0.013 ^{28,27}
65	Lead (total recoverable)	milligrams/liter	0.065 ^{29,28}
7	Nickel (total recoverable)	milligrams/liter	0.470³⁰
8	Silver (total recoverable)	milligrams/liter	0.0034³⁴
96	Zinc (total recoverable)	milligrams/liter	0.120 ^{32,29}

ORDER NO. R8-2012-0012 (Page FS 9)

**VI. BASIS FOR DISCHARGE REQUIREMENTS SPECIFIED IN THIS ORDER
B. EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS**

• • •

standards. (*Natural Resources Defense Council v. USEPA* (9th Cir. 1992) 966 F.2d 1292, 1308.) This Permit contains numeric action levels or NALs for facilities that opt for Option 1 (3-Phased Approach) and water quality-based numeric effluent limits or NELs for Option 2 (Non-Phased Approach). The NALs are from USEPA’s MGSP and the water quality-based NELs are derived from the California Toxics Rule. **Reasonable Potential Analyses (RPAs) were conducted for all toxic pollutants included as NELs for Option 2.** These are consistent with CWA provisions which states, “Such conditions as the Administrator determines are necessary to carry out the provisions of this Chapter” (CWA § 402(a)(1), 33 U.S.C. § 1342(a)(1).)