State of California
CALIFORNIA REGIONAL WATER QUALITY CONTROL BOARD
SAN ANA REGION

SUPPLEMENTAL ENVIRONMENTAL PROJECT (SEP)
EVALUATION FORM

Assigned Project Number : _____(SEP [year]-XXX)

Name of Project: _____

Cost of SEP: _____

Total Project Cost (if
different from SEP): _____

Project Requested by: _____
Date of Request: _____
Point of Contact: _____

Does the project partially or totally satisfy a regulatory requirement of the Regional Board or any other state, federal or local agency?

_____**YES** [if YES, the proposal is disqualified from SEP consideration.]
(However, the proposal might qualify as a *Compliance Project or as an Enhanced Compliance Action* and may be submitted separately for such consideration.)
_____**NO** [if NO, complete the attached project evaluation form.]

PROJECT EVALUATION CONSIDERATIONS:

I. General Project Attributes
II. Water Quality Attributes
III. Beneficial Use Attributes
(a) General Beneficial Use Attributes
(b) Invasive Species Attributes
IV. Monitoring Program Attributes
(a) Water Column Monitoring
(b) Biological Monitoring
(c) Sediment Chemistry Monitoring
V. Public Education and/or Outreach Attributes
VI. Clarity of Project
VII. Project Trustee/Application Attributes

Funding AttributesCONSIDERATION (Scoring will be based on the following: No/Low=0; Moderate=1; and Yes/High=2; all un-ranked items will be given a score=0)	**NO/LOW**	**MODERATE**	**YES/HIGH**
I. General Project Attributes			
The project goes significantly beyond that which might be reasonably expected of the applicant or others as part of normal operating procedures.			
The project is not directly related to any activity that would normally be expected of the applicant or others.			
II. Water Quality Attributes			
The project will reduce the generation of NPS pollution.			
The project can be expected to directly contribute to an improvement in water quality within inland surface waters.			
The project can be expected to directly contribute to an improvement in ground water.			
The project can be expected to directly contribute to an improvement in coastal and marine waters.			
The project will improve water quality in a 303(d) listed water body.			
The project proposed clear methods by which to measure the expected improvement in water quality.			
The project is part of a comprehensive strategy of source reduction and pollutant treatment to improve water quality within the subject project areas.			
III. Beneficial Use (B.U.) Attributes			
(a) General B.U. Attributes			
The project can be expected to directly contribute to a significant enhancement and/or restoration of the following beneficial uses: Water Contact and non-Contact Recreation (REC-1/REC-2)			
Municipal and Domestic Supply (MUN)			
Cold and Warm Freshwater and Wildlife Habitats (COLD/WARM/WILD)			
Marine and Estuarine Habitats (MAR/EST)			
The project will preserve critical wetland/riparian/estuarine/marine habitat through land acquisition.			
The project will create or restore wetland/riparian/estuarine/marine habitat through the removal of fill.			
The project proposes clear measures by which to measure the success in enhancing/restoring beneficial uses.			
The project is part of an adopted enhancement plan.			
The project is part of an adopted watershed management plan.			

CONSIDERATION	**NO/LOW**	**MODERATE**	**YES/HIGH**
(b) Invasive Species Attributes			
The project will effectively remove invasive, non-native biota from the project area.			
The project will effectively protect against the re-infestation of invasive, non-native biota within the project area.			
The project will utilize citizen monitors for a significant portion of the eradication/prevention/enhancement effort.			
Because of the characteristics of the invasive species and the location(s) of the project site, it is critical for the eradication/prevention effort to be extremely prompt and effective in order: *"to protect the project site from infestation./,"*			
"and to protect the watershed from infestation./,"			
"and to protect the Santa Ana Region from infestation./,"			
"and to protect California from infestation./,"			
"and to protect the Pacific Coast from infestation."			
IV. Monitoring Program Attributes			
(a) Water Column Monitoring			
The proposal will provide very useful information on ambient water quality conditions to the Santa Ana Water Board.			
The proposal will provide information on the most-likely source(s) of any monitored contamination.			
The ambient water quality measured by this proposal can be expected to directly assist the Santa Ana Water Board in the development, implementation and/or monitoring of an impaired (303(d)) listed waterbody or TMDL.			
The proposal will enlist citizen monitors to aid in the collection and processing of the water column monitoring data.			
(b) Biological Monitoring			
The proposal will provide very useful information on the ambient condition of biota within the project area to the Santa Ana Water Board.			
The proposal will provide information on the most-likely source(s) of any monitored reduction in quantity and/or quality of the biota.			
The ambient condition of the biota that is monitored by this proposal can be expected to directly assist the Santa Ana Water Board in the development, implementation, and/or monitoring of an impaired (303(d)) listed waterbody or TMDL.			
The proposal will enlist citizen monitors to aid in the collection and processing of the biological monitoring data.			

CONSIDERATION	**NO/LOW**	**MODERATE**	**YES/HIGH**
(c) Sediment Chemistry Monitoring			
The proposal will provide very useful information on ambient sediment quality within the project area to the Santa Ana Water Board.			
The proposal will provide information on the most-likely source(s) of any monitored sediment contamination.			
The ambient sediment quality measured by this proposal can be expected to directly assist the Santa Ana Water Board in the development, implementation, and/or monitoring of an impaired (303(d)) listed waterbody or TMDL.			
The proposal will enlist citizen monitors to aid in the collection and processing of the sediment monitoring data.			
V. Public Education and/or Outreach Attributes			
The project will implement a public education and outreach program that will significantly reduce pollution through a reduction in the generation of waste(s)/pollutant(s) (chemical, physical, and/or biological).			
The project will implement a public education and outreach program that will significantly reduce pollution through a reduction in the discharge of waste(s)/pollutant(s) (chemical, physical, and/or biological) that have been generated [through discharge prevention and/or discharge interception and treatment].			
The project will implement a public education and outreach program that will significantly reduce pollution through the active surveillance and correction of previously discharged waste(s)/pollutant(s) (chemical, physical, and/or biological) through receiving water cleanup and waste retrieval efforts.			
The public education and outreach proposed by this project is significantly greater than any that might be reasonably expected by an NPDES municipal storm water permittee.			
VI. Clarity of Project			
The proposal has a clear problem statement.			
The proposal has a clear, detailed work plan of tasks.			
The proposal has a clear start date and time line for all tasks.			
The proposal has a clear budget for all tasks.			

CONSIDERATION	**NO/LOW**	**MODERATE**	**YES/HIGH**
VII. Project Trustee/Applicant Attributes			
The project trustee has experience in completing tasks equivalent to those being proposed.			
The project trustee has the capability or commitments to ensure that the project will be complete.			
The project trustee has the ability/authority to receive and disburse funds.			
The project trustee provides a clear understanding, capability, and commitment to comply with all necessary environmental permitting issues.			
The project trustee has a demonstrated commitment to continue the water quality/restoration effort into the future, beyond the elements which are sought for SEP funding.			
The project has documented support from environmental and/or public agency and interest groups.			
VIII. Funding Attributes			
The requested amount of SEP funds is a cost-effective means of attaining the project goals.			
The requested amount of SEP funds will be used as leverage to obtain a substantial amount of additional funding that would otherwise not be available.			
The project can be expected to provide a nucleus for additional funding and activities in the future.			
Without SEP funding, the project would not likely be initiated within at least three or more years.			
Additional funding has already been obtained (score=2); application for additional funding pending (score=1); application for additional funding will be submitted (score=0)			
SEP fund request is low (up to $50,000{score=2}), moderate ($50,000 to $100,000 {score=1}) or high (>$100,000{score=0})			
Subtotal Scores*			
(*Low/No=0; Moderate=1; High/Yes=2)			
Total Score =			