

Table of Contents

GENERAL COMMENTS

Comment 1: The Draft Order Does Not Recognize the Report Of Waste Discharge Or the Significant Water Quality Outcomes That Have Been Achieved In Orange County and, Therefore, Lacks Substantial Evidence to Support New or Modified Program Requirements..... 1

Comment 2: The Numbering in the Tentative Order Should Explicitly Identify the Major Sections to Help Guide the Reader 3

FINDINGS

Comment 3: Finding 2 (Page 1 of 130) – A Regional Permit Cannot Be Issued to Orange County Because There Is No System-wide, Jurisdiction-wide, Watershed or Other Basis 3

Comment 4: Finding 7 (Page 3 of 130): The In-Stream Treatment Control Systems Finding Will Preclude the Use of Regional BMPs..... 8

Comment 5: Finding 8 (Page 3 of 130) – It Should Not Be Presumed That Discharges from MS4s Always Contain Waste or Pollutants..... 8

Comment 6: Finding 11 (Page 4 of 130) – Natural Waters Cannot Legally Be Classified as Part of the MS4, and Cannot Be Classified as Both a MS4 and Receiving Water..... 10

Comment 7: Finding 12 (Page 4 of 130) – Copermittees Do Not Accept Free and Open Access to MS4s, and Are Not Responsible for All Discharges Not Prohibited 11

Comment 8: Finding 15 (Page 5 of 130) – The Tentative Order Must Recognize that the Discharge of All Pollutants From the MS4 is Subject to the MEP Standard 12

Comment 9: Finding 31 (Page 10 of 130) – The Requirements in the Tentative Order Are More Stringent Than Federal Law, Requiring An Economic Analysis..... 14

Comment 10: Finding 32 (Page 11 of 130) – The Regional Board has no Legal Ability to Determine Whether a Particular Mandate is Unfunded..... 15

PERMIT PROVISIONS

General

Comment 11: The Tentative Order Includes Language That Provides an Overly Broad Interpretation of the Stormwater Regulations by Requiring MS4s to “Enhance” and/or “Restore” Beneficial Uses Or Habitat 17

Comment 12: The Tentative Order Includes Language That Provides An Overly Broad Use Of The Term “Prohibit” 18

Provision A – Prohibitions and Limitations

Comment 13: Provision A (Entire Provision; Begins Page 15 of 130) – A Clear Linkage Between The Compliance Provisions And Prohibitions, Receiving Water Limitations, And Effluent Limitations Must Be Established 18

Comment 14: Provision A (Entire Provision; Begins Page 13 of 130) – The Discharge Prohibitions Must Establish A Linkage With The Approved Compliance Schedules For TMDLs That Have Been Incorporated Into The Basin Plan 20

Comment 15: Provision A (Entire Provision; Begins Page 18 of 130) – The Receiving Water Limitations Language Is Discretionary And Should Be Revised To Provide A Clear Compliance Mechanism 20

Provision B – Water Quality Improvement Plans

Comment 16: Provision B (Entire Provision; Begins Page 20 of 130) – The Water Quality Improvement Plans Should Be The Foundation For A BMP-Based Compliance Approach 21

Comment 17: Provision B (Entire Provision; Begins Page 20 of 130) – The WQIP Numeric Goals Are Used to Support the WQIP Implementation and Measure Progress, They Are Not Enforceable Compliance Standards 22

Provision C – Action Levels

Comment 18: Provision C (Entire Provision; Begins Page 36 of 130) – The Tentative Order Should Allow the Copermitees the Ability to: Focus the NALs/SALs Based on the Priorities of the WQIP and/or the IDDE Program; and Develop the NALs Based on Previously Established Methodologies 23

Provision D – Monitoring and Assessment Program Requirements

Comment 19: Provision D (Entire Provision; Begins Page 47 of 130) – The Copermitees Need To Have The Flexibility To Develop Or Use Analytical Monitoring Requirements In The Water Quality Improvement Plans Based On Assessments Of Current Sources That May Contribute To The Section 303(d) Water Body Impairments 26

Provision E – Jurisdictional Runoff Management Programs

Comment 20: Provision E (Entire Provision; Begins Page 79 of 130) – The JRMP Provisions Must Be Modified So As Not To Negate The Very Intent And Purpose Of The Watershed Approach And The Focus On The Highest Priorities Within Each Watershed Management Area 27

Legal Authority

Comment 21: Provision E.1 (Page 79 of 130) – The Copermittees Are Only Responsible For Administering and Enforcing the Codes and Ordinances Applicable To Their Jurisdictions28

Comment 22: Provision E (Entire Provision; Begins Page 79 of 130) – The Requirement For Third Party BMP Effectiveness Documentation Is Duplicative29

Illicit Discharge Detection and Elimination

Comment 23: Provision E.2 (Page 81 of 130) – The Illicit Discharge Detection And Elimination Program Provisions Must Be Modified So As Not To Negate The Very Intent And Purpose Of The Watershed Approach And The Focus On The Highest Priorities Within Each Watershed Management Area29

Comment 24: Provision E.2 (Page 81 of 130) – The Copermittees Should Be Allowed The Flexibility To Prioritize Their IDDE Program To Focus On Those Non-Stormwater Discharges That Are Likely To Be A Source Of Pollutants30

Comment 25: Provision E.2.a.(5) (Page 83 of 130) – The Fire Fighting BMP Provisions Should Reflect The Language Included In The Current Orange County Permit32

Comment 26: Provision E (Entire Provision; Begins Page 81 of 130) – The Tentative Order Should Not Require the Reduction Or Elimination Of All Non-Stormwater Discharges As A Part Of The IDDE Program.....32

Development Planning

Comment 27: Provision E.3 (Page 94 of 130) – The Development Planning Provisions Must Be Modified So As Not To Negate The Very Intent And Purpose Of The Watershed Approach And The Focus On The Highest Priorities Within Each Watershed Management Area.....36

Comment 28: Provision E.3 (Page 98 of 130) – Portions Of Redevelopment Projects That Already Have Water Quality Treatment BMPs Should Not Be Subject To The New PDP Requirements36

Comment 29: Provision E.3 (Page 98 of 130) – The Regional Permit should include a Priority Development Project exemption for flood control and stream restoration projects36

Comment 30: Provision E.3 (Page 98 of 130) – The Regional Permit should include a Priority Development Project exemption for emergency public safety projects where a delay due to a Standard Stormwater Mitigation Plan

(SSMP) would compromise public safety, public health and/or the environment37

Comment 31: Provision E.3.c (Page 98 of 130) – Flexibility Should Be Provided To The Structural BMP Performance Standards If Watershed-Specific Performance Standards Are Developed In The Water Quality Improvement Plans37

Comment 32: Provision E.3 (Page 98 of 130) – Terminology Is Inconsistent Especially With The Use Of Low Impact Development BMPs And Should Be Modified37

Comment 33: Provision E.3.c (Page 100 of 130) – If Projects Use Alternative Compliance Conventional BMPs Should Not Be Also Required Onsite38

Comment 34: Provision E (Entire Provision; Begins Page 94 of 130) – The Hydromodification Management Requirements Should Be Based On A Watershed Management Approach, Be Consistent With The WQIPs, And Consider The Current Copermittee HMPs.....38

Comment 35: Provision E.3.c (Page 99 of 130) – Biofiltration BMPs Should Be Sized For The Design Capture Volume And If Used For Alternative Compliance Conventional BMPs Should Not Also Be Required.....40

Comment 36: Provision E.3.c (Page 102 of 130) – The Regional Permit inadvertently creates a timing gap in coverage for exemptions to hydromodification requirements41

Comment 37: Provision E.3.c (Page 104 of 130) – The Copermittees Should be Allowed the Flexibility Provided Under EPA Policy to Develop a Trading and Water Quality Credit System41

Construction Management

Comment 38: Provision E.4 (Page 104 of 130) – The Construction Management Program Provisions Must Be Modified So As Not To Negate The Very Intent And Purpose Of The Watershed Approach And The Focus On The Highest Priorities Within Each Watershed Management Area42

Existing Development

Comment 39: Provision E.5 (Page 108 of 130) –The Existing Development Program Provisions Must Be Modified So as Not to Negate the Very Intent and Purpose of the Watershed Approach and the Focus on the Highest Priorities within Each Watershed Management Area42

Comment 40: Provision E.5.e (Page 116 of 130) – Remove The Requirement To Evaluate Retrofit Of Stream Channels From The Tentative Order42

Enforcement Response Plans

Comment 41: Provision E.6 (Page 116 of 130) – The Copermittees Should Be Allowed To Utilize Existing Guidelines And Procedures For Enforcement42

Comment 42: Provision E.6.d (Page 118 of 130) – The Term And Definition For “Escalated Enforcement” Should Be Redefined43

Public Education

Comment 43: Provision E.7 (Page 118 of 130) – The Public Education Program Provisions Must Be Modified So As Not To Negate The Very Intent And Purpose Of The Watershed Approach And The Focus On The Highest Priorities Within Each Watershed Management Area43

Provision F – Reporting

Comment 44: Provision F (Entire Provision; Begins Page 121 of 130) – The Process for the Development and Updates of the Various Plans Needs to be Aligned and Allow for the Time Necessary to Complete the Work and to Submit the ROWD44

Attachment C - Acronyms, Abbreviations, and Definitions

Comment 45: Attachment C (Entire Attachment; Begins Page C-1) – Attachment C Should Clarify the Meaning Or Intent Of Specific Terms Used Within The Order46

Attachment E

Comment 46: Permit Provisions Must Be Consistent With The Corresponding Basin Plan Amendments (BPAs).....47

Comment 47: The Tentative Order’s Numeric WQBELs Violate the Requirements of Law Because They are Infeasible49

Comment 48: The Tentative Order’s WQBELs Were Improperly Formulated50

Comment 49: WQBELs for both Baby Beach Bacteria TMDL and Beaches and Creeks TMDLs Inappropriately Include TMDL Numeric Targets51

Comment 50: WQBELs Should Only Be Defined as Effluent Limitations54

Comment 51: An Explicit Re-Opener Provision Is Necessary55

Comment 52: Compliance Mechanism Is Necessary Prior To Approval Of The Water Quality Improvement Plans59

Comment 53: Clarifying Language is Needed in the Fact Sheet Regarding the Iterative Approach and TMDLs62

Comment 54: Clarifying language is needed in the Fact Sheet regarding Incorporation
of New TMDLs into WQIPs63