



Linda S. Adams
Secretary for
Environmental Protection

California Regional Water Quality Control Board San Diego Region

Over 50 Years Serving San Diego, Orange, and Riverside Counties
Recipient of the 2004 Environmental Award for Outstanding Achievement from USEPA



Arnold Schwarzenegger
Governor

9174 Sky Park Court, Suite 100, San Diego, California 92123-4353
(858) 467-2952 • Fax (858) 571-6972
[http:// www.waterboards.ca.gov/sandiego](http://www.waterboards.ca.gov/sandiego)

June 18, 2008

In reply refer to: Reg.Mes. 342400:fmelbourn

Ms. Marguerite "Peggy" Strand, Esq.
Best Best & Krieger LLP
15th Floor
655 West Broadway
San Diego, California 92101

Dear Ms. Strand:

ADOPTION OF ADMINISTRATIVE CIVIL LIABILITY ORDER NO. R9-2008-0068 AGAINST NORTH COUNTY TRANSIT DISTRICT FOR VIOLATIONS AT THE SPRINTER RAIL PROJECT

On June 11, 2008, the California Regional Water Quality Control Board, San Diego Region (Regional Board) adopted Administrative Civil Liability Order No. R9-2008-0068 against North County Transit District (NCTD) assessing civil liability in the amount of \$685,000.

Payment of \$685,000 shall be made payable to the "California State Water Resources Control Board" for deposit into the State Water Pollution Cleanup and Abatement Account and shall be tendered to the Regional Board at the address listed in this letterhead no later than 5:00 p.m. on Friday, July 11, 2008.

Failure to submit payment as required by Order No. R9-2008-0068 may result in the referral of this matter to the Attorney General for further enforcement. The NCTD has thirty (30) days from the date of the Regional Board's adoption of the Order to appeal the action to the State Water Resources Control Board (State Board). Information on the appeal process can be obtained at the following website: www.waterboards.ca.gov. If NCTD chooses to appeal, payment may be delayed until the State Board has made a determination on the matter.

Please contact Mr. Frank Melbourn of my staff at (858) 467-2973 or by e-mail at fmelbourn@waterboards.ca.gov if you have any questions concerning this matter. The heading portion of this letter includes a Regional Board code number noted after "In reply refer to:" In order to assist us in the processing of your correspondence please

California Environmental Protection Agency

**Ms. Peggy Strand, Esq.
North County Transit District
ACL Order No. R9-2008-0068**

- 2 -

June 18, 2008

include this code number in the heading or subject line portion of all correspondence and reports to the Regional Board pertaining to this matter.

Respectfully,



JOHN H. ROBERTUS
Executive Officer

JHR:mpm:mja:ftm

Enclosure: ACL Order No. R9-2008-0068

Copies with enclosures to:

1. Don Bullock, NCTD, dbullock@nctd.org
2. Paul Cline, 15pta@sbceo.org
3. Cheryl Filar, City of Escondido, cfilar@escondido.org
4. Ken Greenberg, U.S. EPA, Region 9, greenberg.ken@epa.gov
5. Karen King, NCTD, kking@nctd.org
6. Mo Lahasia, City of Oceanside, mlahsaie@ci.oceanside.ca.us
7. Thomas Lichterman, NCTD, tlichterman@nctd.org
8. Ken St. Claire, City of San Marcos, kstclair@ci.san-marcos.ca.us
9. Nadine Scott, nadia550@sbcglobal.net
10. Jayne Strommer, City of Vista, jstrommer@ci.vista.ca.us
11. Cid Tesoro, County of San Diego, cid.tesoro@sdcounty.ca.gov

**CALIFORNIA REGIONAL WATER QUALITY CONTROL BOARD
SAN DIEGO REGION**

**ORDER NO. R9-2008-0068
ADMINISTRATIVE ASSESSMENT OF CIVIL LIABILITY
AGAINST
NORTH COUNTY TRANSIT DISTRICT
SPRINTER RAIL PROJECT
FOR
NONCOMPLIANCE WITH WATER CODE § 13376
AND
STATE BOARD ORDER NO. 99-08-DWQ**

The California Regional Water Quality Control Board, San Diego Region (Regional Board), having held a public hearing on June 11, 2008, to hear evidence and comments on the issuance of liability against North County Transit District (NCTD) regarding violations alleged in Complaint No. R9-2008-0021, dated March 4, 2008, (Complaint), Technical Report supporting the Complaint, having provided public notice thereof and not less than thirty (30) days for public comment, and on the recommendation for administrative assessment of Civil Liability in the amount of \$685,000 finds as follows:

1. The State Water Resources Control Board (State Board) issued updated statewide general waste discharge requirements for discharges of storm water runoff associated with construction activities involving disturbance of one acre of soil, or more entitled *Order No. 99-08-DWQ, NPDES General Permit No. CAS000002, Waste Discharge Requirements for Discharges of Storm Water Runoff Associated with Construction Activity* (Order No. 99-08-DWQ).
2. The NCTD owns and operates the Sprinter Rail construction site (Site) located along the 22-mile long rail corridor between the Cities of Escondido and Oceanside. The Site transects the jurisdiction of the Cities of Escondido, Oceanside, San Marcos, and Vista, and the County of San Diego. The Site is regulated by the Regional Board in accordance with Order No. 99-08-DWQ. On August 7, 2003, NCTD filed a Notice of Intent (NOI) to comply with Order No. 99-08-DWQ with the State Board for the Site, and was issued Waste Discharge Identification (WDID) No. 9 37C322900.
3. As explained in more detail in the attached Technical Report, the NCTD failed to implement its Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP) by failing to install and maintain adequate Best Management Practices (BMPs) in violation of Order No. 99-08-DWQ section C.2 on at least 112 days, October 5, 2007 through January 25, 2008. These violations were observed and documented during ten Regional Board inspections of the site on the following days: October 5, 2007; November 27, 2007; November 30, 2007; December 3, 2007; December 7, 2007; December 19, 2007; December 28, 2007; January 7, 2008; January 22, 2008; and January 25, 2008.
4. The inspection reports document (1) widespread lack of any BMPs when BMPs were essential as sediment discharge preventive measures; (2) widespread lack of BMP maintenance or inadequate BMPs; and (3) numerous locations where discharges to storm drains and directly to receiving waters occurred as the direct

result of either no BMPs or inadequate BMPs being in place. The inspection reports provide photo documentation of the direct connection between inadequate BMPs and sediment discharges.

5. The documented inadequate BMPs include: inadequately planned BMPs such as gravel bags not being stacked high enough to prevent sediment discharges from overtopping them; inadequately installed BMPs such as fiber rolls not being trenched in, leading to rills forming below the fiber rolls and sediment-laden water flowing through the rills; complete lack of erosion controls in some locations; and inadequately maintained BMPs such as dislodged fiber rolls and silt fences left in disrepair. Lack of sediment controls was exemplified by numerous storm drain inlets encountered during the inspection periods without any protection whatsoever.
6. It is reasonable to conclude from the documented conditions observed during the ten inspections that occurred during the period October 5, 2007, to January 25, 2008, that conditions of inadequate or failed BMPs also existed in the intervening days for a total of 112 days.

Discharge Violations (Order No. 99-08-DWQ, Discharge Prohibition A.2)

7. Order No. 99-08-DWQ prohibits “[d]ischarges of material other than storm water which are not otherwise authorized by an NPDES permit to a separate storm sewer system (MS4) or waters of the nation are prohibited, except as allowed in Special Provisions for Construction Activity, C.3.” (Order No. 99-08-DWQ, Discharge Prohibition A.2.)
8. During inspections, Regional Board staff observed and documented 25 unauthorized discharges of sediment to waters of the United States in violation of Water Code section 13376 and section A.2 of Order No. 99-08-DWQ on the following days and locations:

On November 30, 2007:

1. Into storm drain inlet along the east side of the tracks that parallel Tremont Street near the Oceanside Transit Center Station in the City of Oceanside. Drainage from this inlet discharges into Loma Alta Creek.
2. Into storm drain inlet in drainage channel along the east side of tracks near the Coast Highway Station in Oceanside. This drainage discharges into Loma Alta Creek.
3. Into storm drain inlet in drainage channel across from the Coast Highway Station in Oceanside. Drainage from this inlet discharges into Loma Alta Creek.
4. Into storm drain inlet along the south side of the tracks east of the Coast Highway Station in Oceanside. This drainage discharges into Loma Alta Creek.

5. Directly into Loma Alta Creek, near the Crouch Street Station in Oceanside.
6. Into storm drain inlet near Rancho Del Oro Station in Oceanside. This drainage discharges into Loma Alta Creek which traverses through this site.
7. Into storm drain inlet in the parking lot of the Escondido Avenue Station in Vista. This storm drain discharges into Buena Vista Creek and ultimately into Buena Vista Lagoon.
8. Into storm drain inlet at the Escondido Avenue Station in Vista. This storm drain discharges into Buena Vista Creek and ultimately into Buena Vista Lagoon.
9. Into storm drain inlet near the north boundary of the Mar Vista storage yard. This drainage discharges into Buena Vista Creek and ultimately into Buena Vista Lagoon.
10. Into storm drain inlet at the northwestern corner of the Mar Vista storage yard. This storm drain discharges into Buena Vista Creek and downstream into Buena Vista Lagoon.
11. Into drainage channel along the south side of Palomar Station in San Marcos. This drainage discharges into a storm drain and then into San Marcos Creek and further downstream to Lake San Marcos.
12. Into storm drain inlet near the tracks at Barham Lane in San Marcos. The storm drain discharges into San Marcos Creek and further downstream to Lake San Marcos.
13. Into drainage channel along the south side of Barham Lane tracks. This drainage discharges into San Marcos Creek and further downstream to Lake San Marcos.
14. Into storm drain inlet near the Barham Lane construction area (again discharging to San Marcos Creek and further downstream to Lake San Marcos).
15. Into storm drain inlet near Shelley Circle in San Marcos. The storm drain discharges to San Marcos Creek and further downstream to Lake San Marcos.
16. From Nordahl Road discharging to an unprotected MS4 inlet in Escondido. Discharge is to San Marcos Creek and further downstream to Lake San Marcos.

On December 7, 2007:

17. Into storm drain inlet on the northeast corner of the Vista Transit Center Station. Drainage discharges into Buena Vista Creek.

On December 19, 2007:

18. Into storm drain inlet near Shelley Circle in San Marcos and further downstream to Lake San Marcos. The drainage discharges into San Marcos Creek. This is the same inlet where discharges were observed on November 30, 2007 (listed as No. 14, above).

On January 7, 2008:

19. Into storm drain inlet in the northwest corner of the Mar Vista storage yard. This storm drain discharges into Buena Vista Creek and ultimately into Buena Vista Lagoon.
20. Into storm drain inlet at the Escondido Avenue Station in Vista. This drainage discharges into Buena Vista Creek and ultimately into Buena Vista Lagoon.
21. Into drainage channel at Melrose Station in Vista that discharges into Loma Alta Creek.
22. Directly into Loma Alta Creek at Melrose Station in Vista.
23. Directly into Loma Alta Creek on the north bank at Crouch Street Station in Oceanside.
24. Directly into Loma Alta Creek on the south bank at Crouch Street Station in Oceanside.
25. Into a storm drain inlet on the north side of the tracks at the Coast Highway Station in Oceanside. The storm drain discharges into Loma Alta Creek.

REGULATORY CONSIDERATIONS

9. As described above, NCTD has violated provisions of Order No. 99-08-DWQ by discharging waste to surface waters and failing to implement adequate BMPs as part of their SWPPP as required under Order No. 99-08-DWQ.
10. Water Code Section 13385 subdivision (c) states in part: "Civil liability may be imposed administratively by the state board or a regional board pursuant to Article 2.5 (commencing with Section 13323) of Chapter 5 in an amount not to exceed the sum of both of the following:
(1) Ten thousand dollars (\$10,000) for each day in which the violation occurs."
11. For discharging sediment to surface waters in violation of Order No. 99-08-DWQ and Section 13376 of the Water Code, and for violating Provision C.2. of the Order by failing to install and maintain adequate BMPs, the Regional Board may assess administrative civil liability based on Water Code Section 13385. The maximum liability which can be imposed by the Regional Board under Water Code Section 13385 is \$10,000 per day for each violation. The Complaint alleges violations of Provision C.2. on 112 days and violation of Discharge Prohibition A.2. on 25 separate days. The maximum administrative civil liability that can be imposed for these violations is 112 x \$10,000 and 25 x \$10,000 for a total of \$1,370,000 (one million three hundred seventy thousand dollars).
12. Water Code Section 13385 (e) lists a number of factors to be considered in determining the amount of administrative civil liability imposed under Section 13385. This subdivision provides:

“In determining the amount of any liability imposed under this section, the regional board, the state board, or the superior court, as the case may be, shall take into account the nature, circumstances, extent, and gravity of the violation or violations, whether the discharge is susceptible to cleanup or abatement, the degree of toxicity of the discharge, and, with respect to the violator, the ability to pay, the effect on its ability to continue its business, any voluntary cleanup efforts undertaken, any prior history of violations, the degree of culpability, economic benefit or savings, if any, resulting from the violation, and other matters that justice may require. At a minimum, liability shall be assessed at a level that recovers the economic benefits, if any, derived from the acts that constitute the violation.”

13. Pursuant to Water Code Section 13385 (e), the minimum civil liability is equivalent to the economic benefit accrued by the Discharge for failing to install and maintain adequate BMPs. Evidence was presented by staff to estimate the economic benefit as \$5,000 per acre per year for a total of \$1.4 million. The NCTD staff testified that it spent between \$2.5 and \$3 million to implement BMPs at the site during the October 5, 2007, through January 25, 2008, timeframe. Based on these estimates, the proposed liability recovers at least the economic benefit.
14. On March 4, 2008, the Assistant Executive Officer issued Administrative Civil Liability Complaint No. R9-2008-0021 to NCTD proposing the imposition of \$685,000 in liability for the above violations.
15. Liability in the amount of \$685,000 is based on consideration of the factors prescribed in subdivision (e) of Water Code Section 13385 as applied to the allegations contained in Complaint No. R9-2008-0021 and described in greater detail in the Complaint’s Technical Report. The discussion of the factors contained in the Technical Report is incorporated by reference into this Order. The liability is as follows:
 - \$5,000 per day for failing to implement a Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan for 112 days of violation of Order No. 99-08-DWQ Section C.2. for a total of \$560,000; and
 - \$5,000 per discharge for 25 discharges of sediment to waters of the United States in violation of Water Code Section 13376 and Order No. 99-08-DWQ Section A.2. for a total of \$125,000.
16. Issuance of this Order is exempt from the provisions of the California Environmental Quality Act (Public Resources Code section 21000 et seq.) in accordance with section 15321, Chapter 3, Title 14, California Code of Regulations.
17. The Regional Board incurred costs totaling \$41,860, which includes investigation, preparation of enforcement documents, and communication with NCTD regarding the enforcement action.

18. Any person aggrieved by this action of the Regional Board may file a petition for review with the State Board. A petition for review must be received by the State Board's Office of the Chief Counsel within 30 days of the date of the action. Copies of the laws and regulations regarding the filing of petitions are available on the State Board's website and upon request.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, that pursuant to Section 13385 of the Water Code, that civil liability be imposed on the North County Transit District in the amount of \$685,000.

I, John H. Robertus, Executive Officer, do hereby certify the foregoing is a full, true, and correct copy of an Order imposing civil liability assessed by the California Regional Water Quality Control Board, San Diego Region, on June 11, 2008.



JOHN H. ROBERTUS
Executive Officer