9/16/08 Bd. Hrng. Item 9
Sediment Quality Objectives
Deadline: 9/5/08 by 12:00 p.m.

Second draft, with Correctio, Ginn Doose Aug. 29-08

August 28, 2008

State Water Resources Board
Clerk of the Board
Ms. Jeanine Townsen
1001 I Street, 24th fl.
Sacramento, California
95814
fax. 1-916/ 341-5620

Comments due by September ~~5, 2008~~

Re; Water Quality Control Plan for enclosed bays and Estuaries of California.
Part 1-Sediment Quality Objective, "Comment Letter –sediment quality objective"

Dear Ms. Townsen,

I feel that the public participation process has been circumvented, when no public notice was posted! The importance of the public participation process being upheld is vital. At times only the residents of an area being proposed know what the true facts are regarding the proposed parcel, or project. Therefore to side step the public's input is unthinkable, and could mean leaving out crucial data that is the heart of impacting the environment .

In April of 1991 that very thing happened. The FIRMS were being presented by the City of Simi Valley where; the review period was circumvented.

- No notice was given, and
- Material to be review was never made available.

The out come of bringing to the forefront the noncompliance, cost my family our home. Now, I realize that this may seem unrelated but I assure you, the noncompliance/cover-up exposed violation with the FEMA flood plain that included contamination of our drinking water by the Rocketdyne Missal site. I have a signed Letter of Revision dated April of 1991, and yet nothing was done to correct the noncompliance.

My colleague and myself have watched as silt and debris were used as fill for new home development with the flood way in Simi Valley. Now that's criminal! It is my opinion that assuring that the public at large has the required time to respond is advantageous.
Sincerely,

Ginn Doose

Ginn Doose
c/o P.O.Box 2310
Clearlake, Ca. 95422.

* Please note Correction on #1, L. 5, It should read "data", not (date).