California Sediment Quality Objectives Bioaccumulation Methods

> A Presentation to the SQO Sediment Quality Advisory Committee December 1, 2005



Focus of talk is on indirect effects implementation framework -Multiple Lines of Evidence - Application - similarities and differences from direct effects – Decision framework - Examples



#### **Conceptual Model**



#### Multiple Lines of Evidence Approach





Sediment Concentration Laboratory Sediment Bioaccumulation Concentration Concentration

#### 1. Fish Line of Evidence



 Tissue contaminant concentration in field captured fish

- Assesses beneficial use impairment.
- Water body scale



Sediment Concentration

# 2. Bioaccumulation Line of Evidence



 Contaminant concentrations in laboratory bioaccumulation tests

- Are sediment contaminants bioavailable?
- Has limitations
  - Difficulty achieving equilibrium
  - Laboratory extrapolation

Sediment Concentration

#### 3. Sediment Line of Evidence



 Contaminant concentrations in sediments

- Assess whether sediments are a potential source, based on observed concentrations
- Station scale

Sediment Concentration

# Indirect Vs. Direct Effects Similarities

Multiple Lines of Evidence (MLOE)
Ordinal ranking (categories)
Multiple thresholds
Final conclusion – station scale

# Indirect Vs. Direct Effects Differences

- Indirect effects LOE are based on exposure
- Different scale of evaluation
- Different number of thresholds
- Sequential application



Direct effects – triad evaluated at site scale
Fish tissue LOE – at water-body scale
Spatial movement
Data coverage

Direct effects – triad evaluated at site scale
Fish tissue LOE – at water-body scale
Spatial movement
Data coverage
Bioaccumulation LOE – at water-body scale
Uncertainty of individual results+combine
Data coverage and cost

Direct effects – triad evaluated at site scale
Fish tissue LOE – at water-body scale
Spatial movement
Data coverage
Bioaccumulation LOE – at water-body scale
Sediment LOE – at site scale

## **Sequential Application**



1. Fish tissue chemistry

• Are receptors protected?

2. Lab bioaccumulation

Could sediments cause exposure?

3. Sediment chemistry

## Three Risk Categories for Fish and Sediment Lines Of Evidence



Higher Risk
 High Threshold
 Intermediate Risk
 Low Threshold
 Lower Risk

# Use of Two Thresholds and Three Categories

Approaches are Probability Based
Risk Assessment Model
Is risk highly likely?
Is risk highly unlikely?
Is risk likelihood uncertain?

•Force Agencies to Settle on Clear Goals

Simplify Application

Combination of LOE -> Four Categories

#### Two Categories for Bioaccumulation Line Of Evidence

Is sediment contaminant bioavailable?



**Sediment Conc.** 

Sediment Conc.

## Use of Two Categories For Bioaccumulation LOE

 Scientific Steering Committee
 Verify if sediment-associated contaminants are bioavailable

Uncertainty inhibits use of specific body burden thresholds

#### Combination of MLOE -> Four Categories

1. Fish Tissue Chemistry	2. Bioaccumulation Test	3.Sediment Chemistry	Conclusion
•	_	-	Sediment is protective
•	•	-	Sediment is protective
•	•	•	Sediment is protective
•	•	•	SQO possibly exceeded
•	•	•	SQO probably exceeded
•	•	•	SQO probably exceeded
•	•	•	SQO highly probably exceeded

### **Application Example**

 1. Fish Tissue Chemistry LOE

 Calculate average concentration
 Compare to effects thresholds (e.g., for human health effects)
 Low threshold = low risk
 High threshold = high risk

 In this example, average concentration is lower than both thresholds



**Tissue Concentration** 

1. Fish Tissue Chemistry	2. Bioaccumulation Test	3.Sediment Chemistry	Conclusion
•	_	-	Sediment is protective
•	•	-	Sediment is protective
•	•	•	Sediment is protective
•	•	•	SQO possibly exceeded
•	•	•	SQO probably exceeded
•	•	•	SQO probably exceeded
•	•	•	SQO highly probably exceeded

1. Fish Tissue Chemistry	2. Bioaccumulation Test	3.Sediment Chemistry	Conclusion
	_	-	Sediment is protective

- Fish tissue chemistry in lowest risk category
- Conclude that sediments are protective for human health endpoint
- In this case, would not need to evaluate other LOE.
- But what if thresholds were different?

#### Another Application Example

In this example, average concentration is between the thresholds



**Tissue Concentration** 

1. Fish Tissue Chemistry	2. Bioaccumulation Test	3.Sediment Chemistry	Conclusion
•	_	-	Sediment is protective
•	•	-	Sediment is protective
•	•	•	Sediment is protective
•	•	•	SQO possibly exceeded
•	•	•	SQO probably exceeded
•	•	•	SQO probably exceeded
•	<b>•</b>	•	SQO highly probably exceeded

1. Fish Tissue Chemistry	2. Bioaccumulation Test	3.Sediment Chemistry	Conclusion
•	•	-	Sediment is protective
•	•	•	Sediment is protective
	•	•	SQO possibly exceeded
▶ •	•	•	SQO probably exceeded

• Fish tissue chemistry indicates intermediate risk

• In this case, would need to proceed to other LOE

# 2. Laboratory Bioaccumulation LOE

•Answers whether contaminant is bioavailable from the sediments

 28-day Macoma tests combined Baywide

•Significant positive slope indicates bioavailability

Positive slopeStatisticallySignificant

Indicatesbioavailability



#### **Sediment Concentration**

1. Fish Tissue Chemistry	2. Bioaccumulation Test	3.Sediment Chemistry	Conclusion
•	•	-	Sediment is protective
•	•	-	
•	•	•	Sediment is protective
•	•	•	
•	•	•	SQO possibly exceeded
•	•	•	SQO probably exceeded

1. Fish Tissue Chemistry	2. Bioaccumulation Test	3.Sediment Chemistry	Conclusion
•	<b>→</b> •	•	Sediment is protective
•	<b>▶</b> ●		SQO possibly exceeded
•	>•	•	SQO probably exceeded

- Bioaccumulation test indicates bioavailability of sedimentbound contaminant
- In this case, would proceed to evaluating individual sediments

# 3. Sediment Chemistry LOE

- Develop translator between sediment and fish tissue

   Bioaccumulation Factor

   Back-calculate from fish tissue threshold to sediment
- Evaluate individual sediments



**Sediment Concentration** 

•Develop translator between sediment and fish tissue (Bioaccumulatio n Factor)



#### Geometric Mean = 3.5

95% Upper Confidence Limit Of Geo Mean = 4.5



Threshold	Risk	Tissue	BAF	Sediment
Туре		Threshold		Threshold
High	Higher	130	3.5	130/3.5 = 37.1
Low	Lower	65	4.5	65/4.5 = 14.4

#### Back-calculate from fish tissue threshold to sediment threshold

Sediment	Concentration	Number
Category		Samples
High	>37.1	60
Intermediate	14.4-37.1	32
Low	<14.4	615

Evaluate individual sediments

1. Fish Tissue Chemistry	2. Bioaccumulation Test	3.Sediment Chemistry	Conclusion
	11 1		
•	• _	<b>→</b> •	Sediment is protective
•	•	•	SQO possibly exceeded
•	•	•	SQO probably exceeded

• Most sediment stations are protective.



 Some sediment stations exceed objective, with varying degrees of confidence

#### Other issues

- Tissue threshold development assumptions
  - Risk factor
  - Consumption rates
- Bioaccumulation LOE
  - Site-scale comparisons to control sites
- Sediment LOE calculation of BAF
  - Using mechanistic model
  - Uncertainty calculations (e.g., mean vs. individual observations)

### Other issues and findings

- How scale up individual station results?
- Mechanistic model application
  - Corroborate empirical BAFs
  - Evaluate sources of uncertainty
    - Food web variation
    - Water vs. sediment sources

#### Framework

Multiple lines of evidence
Sequential application
Spatial scale of application

# Example: SF Estuary Case Study

1. Fish Tissue Chemistry LOE

 DDTs in fish - human health target
 Recent fish tissue data
 Fish tissue thresholds developed in coordination with Regional Board

#### Arithmatic Mean = 32

Low Threshold = 65

High Threshold = 130

#### SF Bay Fish Data 2000 - 2003





Low Threshold = 65

High Threshold = 130



SF Bay Fish Data 2000 - 2003

### SF Bay Case Study

- Result for DDTs sediments are protective for human health endpoint
- In this case, would not need to evaluate other LOE.
- But what if we work through the other two lines of evidence?
  - E.g., if fish tissue chemistry was between lower and higher threshold.

DDT Bioaccumulation Factor in Shiner Surfperch

Determine Bioaccumulation Factor at This Spatial Scale

Distribution is Skewed



DDT BAF in Shiner Surfperch (log scale)

Transform to Achieve More Normal Distribution:

Allows Estimation Of Uncertainty



Positive slopStatisticallySignificant

Indicates
 bioavailability



#### DDT BAF in Shiner Surfperch (log scale)

Geometric Mean = 3.5

95% Upper Confidence Limit Of Geo Mean = 4.5

95% Upper Confidence Limit Of Individual Observations = 18.0



#### **Sediment Results**



