

September 2016 Supplier Conservation Compliance (by missed standard %)

Data pulled October 19, 2016

395 suppliers reporting; non-filers on page 15

Supplier Name	Hydrologic Region	State-mandated Conservation Standard		Did Supplier Self-certify?	Applicable Cumulative Savings Achieved by Supplier* (as compared to 2013)	Missed Conservation Standard By <i>** Order Status</i>	Monthly Savings (as compared to 2013)		Estimated Sep 2016 Monthly R-GPCD	Total Population Served
		Previous (3/1/2016)	Current (Effective 6/1/2016)				Sep 2015	Sep 2016		
California City City of	South Lahontan	28%	28%	No	11.1%	16.9% C	-37.2%	-28.5%	134.2	14,120
Livingston City of	San Joaquin River	29%	29%	No	13.7%	15.3% A	14.3%	6.8%	144.2	13,849
Yucaipa Valley Water District	South Coast	34%	20%	Yes	8.4%	11.6%	23.3%	12.9%	226.3	44,897
Sanger City of	Tulare Lake	26%	26%	No	17.8%	8.2%	21.6%	23.6%	130.5	25,664
Adelanto City of	South Lahontan	16%	16%	No	8.9%	7.1% C	18.2%	2.6%	64.9	29,334
Red Bluff City of	Sacramento River	33%	33%	No	26.6%	6.4%	29.1%	10.3%	156.8	14,076
Bellflower-Somerset Mutual Water Company	South Coast	20%	20%	Yes	16.5%	3.5%	20.7%	17.0%	84.7	46,300
Bakman Water Company	Tulare Lake	34%	34%	No	30.9%	3.1%	25.8%	32.6%	169.8	16,756
Fillmore City of	South Coast	26%	26%	No	22.9%	3.1%	23.9%	15.2%	132.5	15,222
San Lorenzo Valley Water District	Central Coast	12%	18%	Yes	17.6%	0.4%	29.0%	24.9%	99.5	19,000
Los Banos, City of	San Joaquin River	24%	24%	No	24.0%	0.0%	24.2%	19.2%	142.9	37,168
Humboldt Bay Municipal Water District	North Coast	4%	0%	Yes	0.1%	-0.1% A	-2.7%	5.9%	107.0	602
Atwater City of	San Joaquin River	33%	33%	No	33.1%	-0.1%	32.5%	26.3%	204.5	29,500
Colton, City of	South Coast	18%	11%	Yes	11.2%	-0.2%	22.0%	16.1%	112.1	47,429
Tehachapi, City of	Tulare Lake	25%	25%	No	25.9%	-0.9%	26.3%	19.7%	168.4	8,923
Arcata City of	North Coast	4%	0%	Yes	1.1%	-1.1%	9.2%	6.5%	60.0	18,392
Pico Rivera City of	South Coast	16%	16%	No	17.2%	-1.2%	21.6%	17.4%	82.9	39,002
Brea City of	South Coast	24%	15%	Yes	16.6%	-1.6%	32.6%	14.7%	109.6	43,328
Glendora City of	South Coast	34%	20%	Yes	21.9%	-1.9%	36.4%	22.7%	157.5	51,463
Fortuna City of	North Coast	20%	0%	Yes	2.4%	-2.4%	20.6%	4.4%	93.6	12,032
City of Newman Water Department	San Joaquin River	21%	21%	No	23.4%	-2.4%	19.0%	11.1%	162.4	10,668
Turlock City of	San Joaquin River	29%	16%	Yes	18.5%	-2.5%	26.3%	19.4%	154.7	72,050
Loma Linda City of	South Coast	30%	11%	Yes	13.9%	-2.9%	25.8%	13.8%	152.5	23,751
Porterville City of	Tulare Lake	26%	26%	No	28.9%	-2.9%	34.5%	28.4%	150.5	61,946
Monterey Park City of	South Coast	20%	14%	Yes	17.2%	-3.2%	21.6%	16.9%	98.5	62,183
Daly City City of	San Francisco Bay	8%	4%	Yes	7.5%	-3.5%	0.1%	5.1%	53.3	109,139
Exeter City of	Tulare Lake	34%	21%	Yes	24.5%	-3.5%	27.9%	20.7%	209.0	10,572
Modesto, City of	San Joaquin River	33%	20%	Yes	23.7%	-3.7%	23.9%	20.5%	146.0	223,432

* Applicable Cumulative Savings Achieved by Supplier is used to assess compliance with conservation standard: cumulative savings through September 2016 start with June 2015 for suppliers that did not self-certify; cumulative savings are calculated starting with June 2016 for suppliers that self-certified.

** A - Alternative Compliance Order; C - Conservation Order

September 2016 Supplier Conservation Compliance (by missed standard %)

Data pulled October 19, 2016

395 suppliers reporting; non-filers on page 15

Supplier Name	Hydrologic Region	State-mandated Conservation Standard		Did Supplier Self-certify?	Applicable Cumulative Savings Achieved by Supplier* (as compared to 2013)	Missed Conservation Standard By <i>** Order Status</i>	Monthly Savings (as compared to 2013)		Estimated Sep 2016 Monthly R-GPCD	Total Population Served
		Previous (3/1/2016)	Current (Effective 6/1/2016)				Sep 2015	Sep 2016		
Monrovia City of	South Coast	26%	12%	Yes	15.8%	-3.8%	34.0%	20.5%	156.6	37,406
Covina City of	South Coast	26%	12%	Yes	17.1%	-5.1%	27.8%	18.6%	119.6	33,300
Orchard Dale Water District	South Coast	8%	0%	Yes	5.3%	-5.3%	24.3%	2.1%	83.1	25,000
Compton City of	South Coast	8%	8%	No	13.5%	-5.5%	8.3%	10.3%	58.7	81,963
Vernon City of	South Coast	8%	0%	Yes	5.5%	-5.5% <i>A</i>	6.6%	4.2%	52.0	112
El Segundo City of	South Coast	20%	2%	Yes	7.6%	-5.6% <i>A</i>	3.0%	15.0%	87.1	16,654
Nipomo Community Services District	Central Coast	28%	23%	Yes	28.6%	-5.6%	28.0%	30.1%	124.7	13,348
San Buenaventura City of	South Coast	16%	16%	No	21.9%	-5.9%	25.4%	23.2%	75.6	113,478
Paramount City of	South Coast	8%	0%	Yes	6.5%	-6.5%	10.2%	3.9%	63.6	55,302
Twentynine Palms Water District	Colorado River	24%	0%	Yes	7.5%	-7.5%	13.2%	1.3%	118.7	18,795
Estero Municipal Improvement District	San Francisco Bay	12%	0%	Yes	7.8%	-7.8%	13.1%	7.3%	64.7	37,339
South Gate City of	South Coast	8%	0%	Yes	7.9%	-7.9%	21.9%	4.2%	58.0	88,654
Humboldt Community Service District	North Coast	12%	0%	Yes	8.0%	-8.0%	12.8%	10.7%	60.3	22,842
Imperial, City of	Colorado River	20%	0%	Yes	8.0%	-8.0%	7.1%	0.6%	118.5	18,022
Arroyo Grande City of	Central Coast	28%	28%	No	36.4%	-8.4%	31.9%	37.1%	89.6	17,636
Bakersfield City of	Tulare Lake	31%	9%	Yes	17.5%	-8.5%	29.0%	8.0%	258.6	146,735
South Tahoe Public Utilities District	North Lahontan	17%	0%	Yes	8.6%	-8.6%	15.8%	8.3%	92.9	33,124
Lathrop, City of	San Joaquin River	18%	18%	No	26.7%	-8.7%	28.4%	16.9%	91.7	20,353
Yuba City City of	Sacramento River	28%	15%	Yes	23.7%	-8.7%	26.8%	17.2%	137.6	73,202
Folsom City of	Sacramento River	28%	0%	Yes	9.0%	-9.0%	21.3%	2.0%	230.1	63,951
Golden State Water Company Florence Graham	South Coast	8%	0%	Yes	9.4%	-9.4%	12.7%	10.4%	54.7	65,492
San Luis Obispo City of	Central Coast	12%	12%	No	21.5%	-9.5%	19.2%	18.7%	60.1	46,117
Greenfield, City of	Central Coast	14%	5%	Yes	14.6%	-9.6%	34.9%	10.4%	85.4	17,898
Ceres City of	San Joaquin River	26%	13%	Yes	22.8%	-9.8%	18.9%	19.2%	105.6	47,639
Valley County Water District	South Coast	14%	10%	Yes	19.8%	-9.8%	26.2%	19.4%	85.3	55,703
El Monte City of	South Coast	8%	0%	Yes	10.2%	-10.2%	18.8%	9.8%	57.6	22,968
West Kern Water District	Tulare Lake	25%	0%	Yes	10.3%	-10.3% <i>A</i>	1.6%	4.8%	171.1	20,591
Golden State Water Company Norwalk	South Coast	8%	0%	Yes	10.4%	-10.4%	17.0%	15.1%	67.3	44,466

* *Applicable Cumulative Savings Achieved by Supplier is used to assess compliance with conservation standard: cumulative savings through September 2016 start with June 2015 for suppliers that did not self-certify; cumulative savings are calculated starting with June 2016 for suppliers that self-certified.*

** *A - Alternative Compliance Order; C - Conservation Order*

September 2016 Supplier Conservation Compliance (by missed standard %)

Data pulled October 19, 2016

395 suppliers reporting; non-filers on page 15

Supplier Name	Hydrologic Region	State-mandated Conservation Standard		Did Supplier Self-certify?	Applicable Cumulative Savings Achieved by Supplier* (as compared to 2013)	Missed Conservation Standard By <i>** Order Status</i>	Monthly Savings (as compared to 2013)		Estimated Sep 2016 Monthly R-GPCD	Total Population Served
		Previous (3/1/2016)	Current (Effective 6/1/2016)				Sep 2015	Sep 2016		
City of Big Bear Lake, Dept of Water & Power	South Coast	13%	0%	Yes	10.5%	-10.5%	12.6%	8.1%	67.0	25,834
Susanville City of	North Lahontan	33%	0%	Yes	10.5%	-10.5%	1.2%	-7.5%	259.8	8,497
Lompoc City of	Central Coast	12%	12%	No	22.5%	-10.5%	13.5%	15.4%	61.3	41,541
Inglewood City of	South Coast	11%	5%	Yes	15.6%	-10.6%	17.0%	15.2%	70.8	86,418
Crescent City City of	North Coast	16%	0%	Yes	11.0%	-11.0%	19.1%	2.5%	72.7	18,382
El Centro City of	Colorado River	20%	0%	Yes	11.2%	-11.2%	4.9%	2.9%	114.7	45,170
McKinleyville Community Service District	North Coast	4%	0%	Yes	11.3%	-11.3%	8.8%	1.1%	69.5	16,900
Crestline Village Water District	South Lahontan	8%	0%	Yes	11.4%	-11.4%	25.8%	18.5%	57.1	7,709
Shasta Lake City of	Sacramento River	25%	10%	Yes	21.4%	-11.4%	35.4%	16.1%	177.4	10,293
Mesa Water District	South Coast	13%	0%	Yes	11.5%	-11.5%	17.8%	3.6%	86.5	110,000
Palmdale Water District	South Lahontan	28%	10%	Yes	21.5%	-11.5%	27.1%	20.8%	130.1	116,495
Marin Municipal Water District	San Francisco Bay	20%	0%	Yes	11.7%	-11.7%	18.3%	10.7%	105.3	189,400
Norwalk City of	South Coast	17%	0%	Yes	11.7%	-11.7%	19.1%	10.8%	101.5	18,361
Phelan Pinon Hills Community Services District	South Lahontan	24%	0%	Yes	11.7%	-11.7%	23.0%	20.2%	114.1	20,913
Hawthorne City of	South Coast	16%	0%	Yes	11.8%	-11.8%	11.1%	11.8%	66.1	44,587
Big Bear City Community Services District	South Coast	13%	0%	Yes	11.9%	-11.9%	3.2%	-0.8%	80.7	12,411
Los Angeles County Public Works Waterworks District 29	South Coast	36%	0%	Yes	12.2%	-12.2%	25.0%	15.4%	278.4	22,264
San Juan Water District	Sacramento River	33%	0%	Yes	12.2%	-12.2%	29.9%	9.8%	415.0	32,779
Fairfield City of	Sacramento River	19%	0%	Yes	12.3%	-12.3%	19.8%	9.8%	104.9	108,392
Whittier City of	South Coast	17%	0%	Yes	12.4%	-12.4%	21.7%	11.2%	110.6	49,954
Golden State Water Company Southwest	South Coast	9%	0%	Yes	12.4%	-12.4%	15.6%	12.4%	64.8	271,677
San Francisco Public Utilities Commission	San Francisco Bay	8%	0%	Yes	12.5%	-12.5%	13.2%	12.5%	46.8	859,276
Riverbank City of	San Joaquin River	30%	0%	Yes	12.5%	-12.5%	27.3%	15.7%	170.1	23,734
Mammoth Community Water District	South Lahontan	17%	17%	No	29.6%	-12.6%	31.2%	18.5%	76.7	16,739
California-American Water Company San Diego District	South Coast	8%	0%	Yes	12.6%	-12.6%	27.5%	13.7%	65.6	94,043
Lodi City of Public Works Department	San Joaquin River	30%	6%	Yes	18.7%	-12.7%	22.4%	15.0%	148.3	63,719
Mountain House Community Services District	San Joaquin River	21%	0%	Yes	12.7%	-12.7%	27.8%	1.9%	150.4	15,685

** Applicable Cumulative Savings Achieved by Supplier is used to assess compliance with conservation standard: cumulative savings through September 2016 start with June 2015 for suppliers that did not self-certify; cumulative savings are calculated starting with June 2016 for suppliers that self-certified.*

*** A - Alternative Compliance Order; C - Conservation Order*

September 2016 Supplier Conservation Compliance (by missed standard %)

Data pulled October 19, 2016

395 suppliers reporting; non-filers on page 15

Supplier Name	Hydrologic Region	State-mandated Conservation Standard		Did Supplier Self-certify?	Applicable Cumulative Savings Achieved by Supplier* (as compared to 2013)	Missed Conservation Standard By <i>** Order Status</i>	Monthly Savings (as compared to 2013)		Estimated Sep 2016 Monthly R-GPCD	Total Population Served
		Previous (3/1/2016)	Current (Effective 6/1/2016)				Sep 2015	Sep 2016		
Eureka City of	North Coast	4%	0%	Yes	12.8%	-12.8%	11.6%	8.7%	68.7	27,052
East Palo Alto, City of	San Francisco Bay	8%	8%	No	20.9%	-12.9%	36.8%	23.4%	56.5	29,143
Oxnard City of	South Coast	12%	0%	Yes	13.0%	-13.0%	19.6%	11.9%	56.8	196,720
Bella Vista Water District	Sacramento River	33%	33%	No	46.2%	-13.2%	51.4%	22.3%	361.4	18,426
North Marin Water District	San Francisco Bay	24%	0%	Yes	13.3%	-13.3%	36.7%	12.5%	129.5	61,299
Golden State Water Company Artesia	South Coast	9%	0%	Yes	13.4%	-13.4%	19.8%	15.2%	72.5	47,978
Coastside County Water District	San Francisco Bay	8%	0%	Yes	13.7%	-13.7%	10.6%	12.7%	44.9	16,704
Windsor, Town of	North Coast	16%	0%	Yes	13.8%	-13.8%	23.8%	11.4%	94.3	27,183
Golden State Water Company Bell-Bell Gardens	South Coast	8%	0%	Yes	13.9%	-13.9%	16.5%	15.5%	55.1	57,934
Seal Beach City of	South Coast	12%	0%	Yes	13.9%	-13.9%	27.1%	8.7%	72.0	25,561
El Dorado Irrigation District	Sacramento River	24%	0%	Yes	14.0%	-14.0%	19.4%	8.5%	229.2	118,500
Long Beach City of	South Coast	9%	0%	Yes	14.0%	-14.0%	18.6%	14.7%	66.5	487,074
Vallejo City of	San Francisco Bay	16%	0%	Yes	14.0%	-14.0%	18.2%	10.1%	78.9	124,000
North Tahoe Public Utility District	North Lahontan	25%	0%	Yes	14.0%	-14.0%	24.5%	12.9%	152.7	7,500
California Water Service Company Dominguez	South Coast	15%	0%	Yes	14.1%	-14.1%	22.8%	18.7%	79.4	142,799
Beaumont-Cherry Valley Water District	South Coast	32%	0%	Yes	14.1%	-14.1%	31.1%	21.3%	206.1	43,111
Huntington Beach City of	South Coast	13%	0%	Yes	14.1%	-14.1%	26.7%	11.9%	95.7	196,041
Golden State Water Company Culver City	South Coast	16%	0%	Yes	14.3%	-14.3%	20.5%	14.7%	74.5	36,215
Pinedale County Water District	Tulare Lake	14%	14%	No	28.4%	-14.4%	26.0%	19.7%	139.2	16,735
California-American Water Company Monterey District	Central Coast	8%	0%	Yes	14.5%	-14.5%	13.2%	11.5%	69.9	91,884
Jurupa Community Service District	South Coast	23%	0%	Yes	14.6%	-14.6%	30.9%	12.3%	163.1	118,731
Golden State Water Company S San Gabriel	South Coast	10%	0%	Yes	14.6%	-14.6%	17.5%	15.7%	65.5	27,656
Yreka, City of	North Coast	30%	0%	Yes	14.7%	-14.7%	6.5%	0.3%	140.3	7,840
Ontario City of	South Coast	20%	0%	Yes	14.8%	-14.8%	25.4%	15.4%	102.0	169,869
Vista Irrigation District	South Coast	12%	0%	Yes	14.8%	-14.8%	29.4%	16.7%	88.1	127,699
Lake Arrowhead Community Services District	South Lahontan	13%	0%	Yes	14.8%	-14.8%	35.9%	13.5%	86.2	20,970
La Habra City of Public Works	South Coast	28%	0%	Yes	14.8%	-14.8%	22.4%	27.9%	91.1	63,118
Coachella City of	Colorado River	20%	0%	Yes	14.8%	-14.8%	17.9%	18.2%	93.7	45,407

* *Applicable Cumulative Savings Achieved by Supplier is used to assess compliance with conservation standard: cumulative savings through September 2016 start with June 2015 for suppliers that did not self-certify; cumulative savings are calculated starting with June 2016 for suppliers that self-certified.*

** *A - Alternative Compliance Order; C - Conservation Order*

September 2016 Supplier Conservation Compliance (by missed standard %)

Data pulled October 19, 2016

395 suppliers reporting; non-filers on page 15

Supplier Name	Hydrologic Region	State-mandated Conservation Standard		Did Supplier Self-certify?	Applicable Cumulative Savings Achieved by Supplier* (as compared to 2013)	Missed Conservation Standard By <i>** Order Status</i>	Monthly Savings (as compared to 2013)		Estimated Sep 2016 Monthly R-GPCD	Total Population Served
		Previous (3/1/2016)	Current (Effective 6/1/2016)				Sep 2015	Sep 2016		
Sonoma City of	San Francisco Bay	28%	0%	Yes	14.9%	-14.9%	16.7%	11.8%	135.6	11,579
Corona City of	South Coast	28%	0%	Yes	14.9%	-14.9%	27.9%	16.9%	135.8	168,070
Valley Water Company	South Coast	34%	0%	Yes	15.0%	-15.0%	34.7%	18.1%	335.3	9,900
Huntington Park City of	South Coast	8%	0%	Yes	15.0%	-15.0%	16.3%	16.3%	46.0	64,219
Western Municipal Water District of Riverside	South Coast	28%	0%	Yes	15.0%	-15.0%	32.4%	16.6%	152.0	96,139
Goleta Water District	Central Coast	12%	12%	No	27.1%	-15.1%	28.0%	33.3%	53.5	86,946
Rowland Water District	South Coast	20%	0%	Yes	15.1%	-15.1%	18.9%	16.5%	82.8	58,000
Sunnyvale City of	San Francisco Bay	16%	5%	Yes	20.1%	-15.1%	24.5%	12.1%	75.8	148,372
Los Angeles Department of Water and Power	South Coast	14%	0%	Yes	15.2%	-15.2%	21.8%	18.8%	78.2	4,095,158
Sierra Madre City of	South Coast	30%	0%	Yes	15.2%	-15.2%	19.3%	15.3%	186.6	11,100
Golden State Water Company Placentia	South Coast	17%	0%	Yes	15.2%	-15.2%	29.9%	4.0%	113.2	46,543
Azusa City of	South Coast	18%	3%	Yes	18.3%	-15.3%	25.0%	16.7%	93.1	106,400
Chino City of	South Coast	21%	0%	Yes	15.4%	-15.4%	23.9%	14.2%	119.6	74,639
Riverside City of	South Coast	25%	0%	Yes	15.4%	-15.4%	27.9%	16.2%	131.4	301,550
Nevada Irrigation District	Sacramento River	33%	0%	Yes	15.5%	-15.5%	18.5%	3.6%	222.6	44,761
La Palma City of	South Coast	13%	0%	Yes	15.5%	-15.5%	30.3%	0.0%	81.3	15,896
California Water Service Company East Los Angeles	South Coast	8%	0%	Yes	15.5%	-15.5%	17.2%	15.8%	44.8	158,675
Elsinore Valley Municipal Water District	South Coast	27%	0%	Yes	15.6%	-15.6%	38.9%	29.5%	109.5	151,747
Truckee-Donner Public Utilities District	North Lahontan	25%	0%	Yes	15.6%	-15.6%	18.3%	8.2%	122.3	32,776
Calaveras County Water District	San Joaquin River	13%	0%	Yes	15.6%	-15.6%	28.8%	9.5%	84.7	37,578
Casitas Municipal Water District	South Coast	32%	0%	Yes	15.7%	-15.7%	20.2%	35.9%	192.3	9,944
San Diego City of	South Coast	8%	0%	Yes	15.7%	-15.7%	21.7%	18.4%	67.0	1,339,958
Port Hueneme City of	South Coast	8%	0%	Yes	15.8%	-15.8%	18.0%	8.8%	73.8	21,555
Placer County Water Agency	Sacramento River	28%	0%	Yes	15.9%	-15.9%	25.7%	12.5%	182.8	98,128
Camarillo City of	South Coast	20%	3%	Yes	18.9%	-15.9%	25.1%	18.0%	100.4	47,606
Laguna Beach County Water District	South Coast	24%	0%	Yes	15.9%	-15.9%	24.5%	17.1%	99.9	19,121
Rohnert Park City of	North Coast	16%	0%	Yes	15.9%	-15.9%	19.4%	18.4%	73.3	43,398
Lincoln City of	Sacramento River	28%	0%	Yes	16.0%	-16.0%	26.4%	11.5%	167.1	47,339

* Applicable Cumulative Savings Achieved by Supplier is used to assess compliance with conservation standard: cumulative savings through September 2016 start with June 2015 for suppliers that did not self-certify; cumulative savings are calculated starting with June 2016 for suppliers that self-certified.

** A - Alternative Compliance Order; C - Conservation Order

September 2016 Supplier Conservation Compliance (by missed standard %)

Data pulled October 19, 2016

395 suppliers reporting; non-filers on page 15

Supplier Name	Hydrologic Region	State-mandated Conservation Standard		Did Supplier Self-certify?	Applicable Cumulative Savings Achieved by Supplier* (as compared to 2013)	Missed Conservation Standard By <i>** Order Status</i>	Monthly Savings (as compared to 2013)		Estimated Sep 2016 Monthly R-GPCD	Total Population Served
		Previous (3/1/2016)	Current (Effective 6/1/2016)				Sep 2015	Sep 2016		
Vaughn Water Company	Tulare Lake	30%	0%	Yes	16.0%	-16.0%	24.2%	13.7%	399.7	31,548
Joshua Basin Water District	Colorado River	20%	0%	Yes	16.0%	-16.0%	19.1%	20.9%	113.7	9,514
Eastern Municipal Water District	South Coast	20%	0%	Yes	16.0%	-16.0%	25.7%	17.4%	108.0	556,695
Irvine Ranch Water District	South Coast	8%	0%	Yes	16.1%	-16.1%	12.8%	16.7%	78.4	395,075
Santa Ana City of	South Coast	8%	0%	Yes	16.1%	-16.1%	21.3%	17.0%	66.0	332,005
Cerritos City of	South Coast	21%	0%	Yes	16.3%	-16.3%	26.8%	18.3%	129.3	49,041
Napa City of	San Francisco Bay	20%	0%	Yes	16.3%	-16.3%	19.9%	12.6%	104.5	86,848
California Water Service Company Kern River Valley	Tulare Lake	25%	0%	Yes	16.5%	-16.5%	21.5%	18.0%	109.2	5,325
Rubidoux Community Service District	South Coast	20%	0%	Yes	16.5%	-16.5%	27.0%	18.4%	115.8	32,200
Santa Rosa City of	North Coast	16%	0%	Yes	16.5%	-16.5%	22.6%	11.8%	81.4	173,071
West Valley Water District	South Coast	28%	0%	Yes	16.6%	-16.6%	26.0%	21.9%	143.6	81,462
Soledad, City of	Central Coast	24%	0%	Yes	16.7%	-16.7%	13.4%	14.6%	86.7	16,729
Anaheim City of	South Coast	13%	0%	Yes	16.7%	-16.7%	23.7%	19.2%	86.2	360,972
Arvin Community Services District	Tulare Lake	21%	0%	Yes	16.7%	-16.7%	23.1%	17.7%	84.1	20,499
Rio Vista, city of	Sacramento River	33%	0%	Yes	16.7%	-16.7%	22.5%	9.4%	217.5	8,324
East Bay Municipal Utilities District	San Francisco Bay	16%	0%	Yes	16.8%	-16.8%	22.5%	13.7%	81.9	1,400,000
Montecito Water District	Central Coast	32%	31%	Yes	47.8%	-16.8%	48.3%	50.3%	209.8	13,500
Westminster City of	South Coast	13%	0%	Yes	16.9%	-16.9%	20.1%	17.1%	73.6	96,046
Tulare, City of	Tulare Lake	30%	0%	Yes	16.9%	-16.9%	18.5%	16.4%	173.2	63,837
Manhattan Beach City of	South Coast	18%	0%	Yes	17.0%	-17.0%	23.2%	17.0%	88.7	35,996
Moulton Niguel Water District	South Coast	20%	0%	Yes	17.0%	-17.0%	26.2%	18.9%	107.7	172,068
Hesperia Water District City of	South Lahontan	28%	0%	Yes	17.0%	-17.0%	23.0%	18.6%	149.2	93,226
Davis City of	Sacramento River	25%	0%	Yes	17.0%	-17.0%	18.2%	6.6%	131.6	68,320
Palo Alto City of	San Francisco Bay	24%	0%	Yes	17.0%	-17.0%	27.9%	9.9%	109.1	64,403
Camrosa Water District	South Coast	24%	0%	Yes	17.1%	-17.1%	27.4%	17.4%	165.0	35,783
Garden Grove City of	South Coast	20%	0%	Yes	17.1%	-17.1%	29.3%	22.0%	80.9	177,020
Las Virgenes Municipal Water District	South Coast	35%	0%	Yes	17.1%	-17.1%	36.6%	19.6%	186.8	75,384
California Water Service Company Hermosa/Redondo	South Coast	19%	0%	Yes	17.2%	-17.2%	21.8%	17.1%	78.6	95,990

* Applicable Cumulative Savings Achieved by Supplier is used to assess compliance with conservation standard: cumulative savings through September 2016 start with June 2015 for suppliers that did not self-certify; cumulative savings are calculated starting with June 2016 for suppliers that self-certified.

** A - Alternative Compliance Order; C - Conservation Order

September 2016 Supplier Conservation Compliance (by missed standard %)

Data pulled October 19, 2016

395 suppliers reporting; non-filers on page 15

Supplier Name	Hydrologic Region	State-mandated Conservation Standard		Did Supplier Self-certify?	Applicable Cumulative Savings Achieved by Supplier* (as compared to 2013)	Missed Conservation Standard By <i>** Order Status</i>	Monthly Savings (as compared to 2013)		Estimated Sep 2016 Monthly R-GPCD	Total Population Served
		Previous (3/1/2016)	Current (Effective 6/1/2016)				Sep 2015	Sep 2016		
Sacramento County Water Agency	Sacramento River	24%	0%	Yes	17.3%	-17.3%	33.1%	12.9%	152.1	179,634
Pittsburg City of	San Francisco Bay	19%	0%	Yes	17.3%	-17.3%	20.4%	14.5%	88.0	67,628
Santa Cruz City of	Central Coast	8%	8%	No	25.3%	-17.3%	25.2%	17.8%	47.3	96,094
Lomita City of	South Coast	20%	0%	Yes	17.3%	-17.3%	17.2%	16.4%	86.2	20,463
Montebello Land and Water Company	South Coast	9%	0%	Yes	17.3%	-17.3%	18.5%	18.2%	69.9	32,219
Georgetown Divide Public Utilities District	Sacramento River	29%	0%	Yes	17.3%	-17.3%	17.4%	2.4%	194.6	9,035
Hollister City of	Central Coast	20%	0%	Yes	17.4%	-17.4%	27.6%	17.4%	91.0	21,003
Lake Hemet Municipal Water District	South Coast	26%	15%	Yes	32.7%	-17.7%	46.5%	41.8%	109.4	52,914
Orange City of	South Coast	21%	0%	Yes	17.7%	-17.7%	29.7%	17.0%	118.3	140,094
Lee Lake Water District	South Coast	31%	0%	Yes	17.7%	-17.7%	39.6%	13.8%	159.2	15,543
California Water Service Company Stockton	San Joaquin River	18%	0%	Yes	17.7%	-17.7%	18.8%	13.1%	79.8	171,265
Carlsbad Municipal Water District	South Coast	20%	0%	Yes	17.7%	-17.7%	28.5%	21.0%	102.4	86,596
Castaic Lake Water Agency Santa Clarita Water Division	South Coast	28%	0%	Yes	17.7%	-17.7%	37.6%	18.3%	155.0	122,998
Santa Fe Irrigation District	South Coast	28%	0%	Yes	17.9%	-17.9%	38.0%	18.6%	469.9	19,839
Chino Hills City of	South Coast	28%	0%	Yes	17.9%	-17.9%	37.8%	24.1%	133.1	77,596
Santa Margarita Water District	South Coast	23%	0%	Yes	17.9%	-17.9%	30.0%	22.5%	111.9	159,024
Otay Water District	South Coast	12%	0%	Yes	17.9%	-17.9%	29.0%	22.5%	83.0	220,200
Santa Clara City of	San Francisco Bay	16%	0%	Yes	17.9%	-17.9%	10.9%	4.5%	79.6	120,973
Olivenhain Municipal Water District	South Coast	24%	0%	Yes	17.9%	-17.9%	30.5%	20.2%	151.3	84,351
Oceanside City of	South Coast	12%	0%	Yes	18.0%	-18.0%	27.3%	15.5%	85.1	175,948
Mission Springs Water District	Colorado River	24%	0%	Yes	18.0%	-18.0%	19.2%	16.4%	127.7	37,600
Redding City of	Sacramento River	33%	0%	Yes	18.0%	-18.0%	23.9%	7.4%	233.5	91,207
Santa Maria City of	Central Coast	16%	0%	Yes	18.1%	-18.1%	14.8%	17.0%	80.0	102,087
Tracy City of	San Joaquin River	25%	0%	Yes	18.1%	-18.1%	24.0%	12.3%	131.1	88,208
Fallbrook Public Utility District	South Coast	28%	0%	Yes	18.1%	-18.1%	33.3%	23.5%	70.5	34,894
Newport Beach City of	South Coast	21%	0%	Yes	18.2%	-18.2%	20.1%	14.9%	127.8	66,550
Watsonville City of	Central Coast	20%	0%	Yes	18.2%	-18.2%	20.1%	18.3%	74.2	65,966
Martinez City of	San Francisco Bay	20%	0%	Yes	18.3%	-18.3%	36.6%	14.4%	56.6	35,375

* Applicable Cumulative Savings Achieved by Supplier is used to assess compliance with conservation standard: cumulative savings through September 2016 start with June 2015 for suppliers that did not self-certify; cumulative savings are calculated starting with June 2016 for suppliers that self-certified.

** A - Alternative Compliance Order; C - Conservation Order

September 2016 Supplier Conservation Compliance (by missed standard %)

Data pulled October 19, 2016

395 suppliers reporting; non-filers on page 15

Supplier Name	Hydrologic Region	State-mandated Conservation Standard		Did Supplier Self-certify?	Applicable Cumulative Savings Achieved by Supplier* (as compared to 2013)	Missed Conservation Standard By <i>** Order Status</i>	Monthly Savings (as compared to 2013)		Estimated Sep 2016 Monthly R-GPCD	Total Population Served
		Previous (3/1/2016)	Current (Effective 6/1/2016)				Sep 2015	Sep 2016		
Delano City of	Tulare Lake	21%	0%	Yes	18.3%	-18.3%	18.0%	17.0%	85.5	55,459
Downey City of	South Coast	14%	0%	Yes	18.3%	-18.3%	27.9%	23.6%	88.9	111,931
California Water Service Company Marysville	Sacramento River	21%	0%	Yes	18.3%	-18.3%	23.0%	8.5%	107.5	12,219
Helix Water District	South Coast	12%	0%	Yes	18.4%	-18.4%	28.0%	19.5%	87.3	271,759
Amador Water Agency	San Joaquin River	21%	0%	Yes	18.4%	-18.4%	28.2%	17.8%	97.1	23,347
Golden State Water Company West Orange	South Coast	9%	0%	Yes	18.4%	-18.4%	27.6%	19.6%	74.0	111,904
Rialto City of	South Coast	26%	0%	Yes	18.4%	-18.4%	22.5%	24.5%	109.6	54,453
Hemet City of	South Coast	14%	0%	Yes	18.5%	-18.5%	21.4%	14.7%	94.5	29,982
Stockton City of	San Joaquin River	26%	0%	Yes	18.5%	-18.5%	25.4%	14.7%	130.0	177,808
Fullerton City of	South Coast	21%	0%	Yes	18.5%	-18.5%	27.1%	18.0%	121.0	138,000
Millbrae City of	San Francisco Bay	16%	0%	Yes	18.5%	-18.5%	30.3%	13.8%	87.1	21,532
Healdsburg City of	North Coast	24%	0%	Yes	18.5%	-18.5%	30.8%	16.2%	123.1	11,815
California Water Service Company Visalia	Tulare Lake	29%	0%	Yes	18.6%	-18.6%	21.6%	16.5%	154.6	141,439
Newhall County Water District	South Coast	25%	0%	Yes	18.6%	-18.6%	34.4%	19.6%	143.3	45,036
Shafter City of	Tulare Lake	32%	0%	Yes	18.6%	-18.6%	23.8%	14.6%	155.2	19,347
Pasadena City of	South Coast	26%	0%	Yes	18.6%	-18.6%	24.7%	18.4%	120.6	166,545
Wasco City of	Tulare Lake	32%	17%	Yes	35.6%	-18.6%	22.1%	31.9%	88.8	26,159
Coachella Valley Water District	Colorado River	32%	0%	Yes	18.6%	-18.6%	16.4%	4.3%	231.3	318,217
Norco City of	South Coast	36%	0%	Yes	18.6%	-18.6%	32.6%	24.9%	198.6	25,890
Blythe City of	Colorado River	28%	0%	Yes	18.6%	-18.6%	6.4%	12.0%	117.2	13,839
Hanford City of	Tulare Lake	23%	0%	Yes	18.7%	-18.7%	15.5%	16.0%	124.9	59,338
Clovis City of	Tulare Lake	33%	0%	Yes	18.7%	-18.7%	27.6%	13.6%	210.6	111,927
Victorville Water District	South Lahontan	24%	0%	Yes	18.7%	-18.7%	24.7%	14.8%	120.4	121,667
Lakewood City of	South Coast	12%	0%	Yes	18.7%	-18.7%	29.5%	19.3%	97.7	59,081
San Dieguito Water District	South Coast	20%	0%	Yes	18.8%	-18.8%	24.5%	20.8%	123.2	37,376
Golden State Water Company Cordova	Sacramento River	32%	0%	Yes	18.8%	-18.8%	29.1%	11.4%	219.3	43,767
Reedley City of	Tulare Lake	22%	0%	Yes	18.8%	-18.8%	22.4%	17.8%	102.9	24,194
Vallecitos Water District	South Coast	16%	0%	Yes	18.8%	-18.8%	34.2%	23.3%	92.1	97,371

* Applicable Cumulative Savings Achieved by Supplier is used to assess compliance with conservation standard: cumulative savings through September 2016 start with June 2015 for suppliers that did not self-certify; cumulative savings are calculated starting with June 2016 for suppliers that self-certified.

** A - Alternative Compliance Order; C - Conservation Order

September 2016 Supplier Conservation Compliance (by missed standard %)

Data pulled October 19, 2016

395 suppliers reporting; non-filers on page 15

Supplier Name	Hydrologic Region	State-mandated Conservation Standard		Did Supplier Self-certify?	Applicable Cumulative Savings Achieved by Supplier* (as compared to 2013)	Missed Conservation Standard By <i>** Order Status</i>	Monthly Savings (as compared to 2013)		Estimated Sep 2016 Monthly R-GPCD	Total Population Served
		Previous (3/1/2016)	Current (Effective 6/1/2016)				Sep 2015	Sep 2016		
San Gabriel County Water District	South Coast	18%	0%	Yes	19.0%	-19.0%	26.5%	22.7%	85.9	45,000
La Verne City of	South Coast	30%	0%	Yes	19.0%	-19.0%	34.0%	19.6%	144.5	32,228
Indio City of	Colorado River	27%	0%	Yes	19.0%	-19.0%	12.0%	10.0%	146.6	88,411
Perris, City of	South Coast	24%	4%	Yes	23.1%	-19.1%	31.6%	4.3%	82.7	9,000
Los Angeles County Public Works Waterworks District 40	South Lahontan	28%	0%	Yes	19.2%	-19.2%	27.4%	3.3%	197.3	207,833
Olivehurst Public Utility District	Sacramento River	33%	10%	Yes	29.2%	-19.2%	54.9%	39.5%	197.6	19,509
Redwood City City of	San Francisco Bay	8%	0%	Yes	19.3%	-19.3%	16.5%	11.9%	70.9	87,696
Sweetwater Authority	South Coast	8%	0%	Yes	19.3%	-19.3%	24.0%	20.4%	63.9	188,886
Glendale City of	South Coast	18%	0%	Yes	19.5%	-19.5%	24.5%	19.9%	88.8	193,300
Cucamonga Valley Water District	South Coast	30%	0%	Yes	19.5%	-19.5%	33.4%	21.2%	128.6	204,189
Kerman, City of	Tulare Lake	29%	0%	Yes	19.5%	-19.5%	21.4%	17.9%	162.0	14,359
Rubio Canyon Land and Water Association	South Coast	34%	0%	Yes	19.5%	-19.5%	25.8%	24.6%	185.3	9,600
Coalinga City of	Tulare Lake	30%	5%	Yes	24.5%	-19.5%	19.2%	24.5%	150.3	16,529
San Fernando City of	South Coast	22%	0%	Yes	19.5%	-19.5%	27.3%	21.6%	97.0	24,560
Trabuco Canyon Water District	South Coast	28%	0%	Yes	19.6%	-19.6%	37.0%	17.8%	155.3	13,175
Pico Water District	South Coast	18%	0%	Yes	19.6%	-19.6%	27.8%	17.6%	100.8	25,284
San Bernardino County Service Area 70J	South Coast	24%	0%	Yes	19.7%	-19.7%	19.0%	14.7%	108.6	11,577
Suburban Water Systems Whittier/La Mirada	South Coast	28%	0%	Yes	19.7%	-19.7%	30.1%	21.9%	112.8	115,000
Santa Monica City of	South Coast	20%	0%	Yes	19.7%	-19.7%	23.1%	21.3%	77.4	93,640
Banning City of	Colorado River	28%	0%	Yes	19.7%	-19.7%	28.4%	14.6%	138.9	30,325
East Niles Community Service District	Tulare Lake	33%	0%	Yes	19.9%	-19.9%	26.0%	19.2%	232.5	31,772
Milpitas City of	San Francisco Bay	12%	0%	Yes	19.9%	-19.9%	24.5%	10.6%	60.9	70,817
Fair Oaks Water District	Sacramento River	33%	0%	Yes	19.9%	-19.9%	25.2%	12.6%	249.5	36,226
Lemoore City of	Tulare Lake	28%	0%	Yes	20.0%	-20.0%	34.6%	21.3%	158.2	25,325
Discovery Bay Community Services District	San Joaquin River	29%	0%	Yes	20.0%	-20.0%	34.4%	19.8%	178.9	15,068
Beverly Hills City of	South Coast	32%	0%	Yes	20.2%	-20.2%	20.5%	20.1%	134.6	51,403
Myoma Dunes Mutual Water Company	Colorado River	32%	0%	Yes	20.3%	-20.3%	22.9%	13.3%	340.6	8,948

* Applicable Cumulative Savings Achieved by Supplier is used to assess compliance with conservation standard: cumulative savings through September 2016 start with June 2015 for suppliers that did not self-certify; cumulative savings are calculated starting with June 2016 for suppliers that self-certified.

** A - Alternative Compliance Order; C - Conservation Order

September 2016 Supplier Conservation Compliance (by missed standard %)

Data pulled October 19, 2016

395 suppliers reporting; non-filers on page 15

Supplier Name	Hydrologic Region	State-mandated Conservation Standard		Did Supplier Self-certify?	Applicable Cumulative Savings Achieved by Supplier* (as compared to 2013)	Missed Conservation Standard By <i>** Order Status</i>	Monthly Savings (as compared to 2013)		Estimated Sep 2016 Monthly R-GPCD	Total Population Served
		Previous (3/1/2016)	Current (Effective 6/1/2016)				Sep 2015	Sep 2016		
Crescenta Valley Water District	South Coast	18%	0%	Yes	20.3%	-20.3%	35.4%	17.9%	112.1	32,385
Indian Wells Valley Water District	South Lahontan	32%	0%	Yes	20.3%	-20.3%	18.8%	14.5%	223.0	31,120
San Juan Capistrano City of	South Coast	27%	0%	Yes	20.3%	-20.3%	27.7%	20.2%	144.4	39,189
Sweetwater Springs Water District	North Coast	16%	0%	Yes	20.4%	-20.4%	27.4%	18.7%	73.9	7,803
California Water Service Company Mid Peninsula	San Francisco Bay	16%	0%	Yes	20.5%	-20.5%	27.6%	21.3%	71.7	135,458
Golden State Water Company Bay Point	San Francisco Bay	12%	0%	Yes	20.5%	-20.5%	24.5%	18.0%	61.6	22,258
Lamont Public Utility District	Tulare Lake	25%	0%	Yes	20.6%	-20.6%	23.5%	16.4%	132.0	20,009
Desert Water Agency	Colorado River	32%	0%	Yes	20.6%	-20.6%	24.9%	7.9%	234.4	105,872
Carmichael Water District	Sacramento River	33%	0%	Yes	20.8%	-20.8%	25.5%	14.2%	221.2	37,897
Elk Grove Water Service	Sacramento River	25%	0%	Yes	20.8%	-20.8%	31.0%	16.6%	131.5	44,327
Santa Paula City of	South Coast	26%	0%	Yes	20.8%	-20.8%	27.7%	17.1%	118.7	30,009
Ventura County Waterworks District No. 8	South Coast	26%	0%	Yes	20.9%	-20.9%	32.8%	20.9%	137.3	90,687
Redlands City of	South Coast	33%	0%	Yes	21.0%	-21.0%	33.5%	23.3%	203.3	85,276
Fountain Valley City of	South Coast	13%	0%	Yes	21.1%	-21.1%	27.0%	20.4%	86.9	59,227
Linda County Water District	Sacramento River	29%	0%	Yes	21.1%	-21.1%	27.5%	14.2%	169.6	18,808
West Sacramento City of	Sacramento River	25%	0%	Yes	21.1%	-21.1%	30.4%	15.4%	145.7	50,836
Soquel Creek Water District	Central Coast	8%	8%	No	29.1%	-21.1%	28.0%	24.9%	59.0	40,410
Rancho California Water District	South Coast	32%	0%	Yes	21.2%	-21.2%	35.8%	24.1%	227.6	149,319
Sacramento Suburban Water District	Sacramento River	29%	0%	Yes	21.2%	-21.2%	32.7%	21.4%	138.4	176,412
Pismo Beach City of	Central Coast	22%	0%	Yes	21.2%	-21.2%	19.6%	22.3%	95.4	7,861
Park Water Company	South Coast	8%	0%	Yes	21.2%	-21.2%	26.4%	23.7%	46.1	133,000
California Water Service Company Bear Gulch	San Francisco Bay	36%	2%	Yes	23.2%	-21.2%	29.0%	18.6%	178.9	68,864
San Bernardino City of	South Coast	24%	0%	Yes	21.3%	-21.3%	30.9%	19.7%	126.5	199,634
Oakdale City of	San Joaquin River	30%	0%	Yes	21.3%	-21.3%	31.7%	10.0%	210.5	21,442
California Water Service Company Palos Verdes	South Coast	36%	0%	Yes	21.3%	-21.3%	34.8%	23.9%	203.0	70,024
Tuolumne Utilities District	San Joaquin River	21%	0%	Yes	21.5%	-21.5%	31.4%	20.2%	145.3	30,809
Tustin City of	South Coast	21%	0%	Yes	21.5%	-21.5%	34.7%	23.3%	128.2	67,700
Rosamond Community Service District	South Lahontan	24%	0%	Yes	21.5%	-21.5%	33.8%	24.3%	129.1	17,700

* Applicable Cumulative Savings Achieved by Supplier is used to assess compliance with conservation standard: cumulative savings through September 2016 start with June 2015 for suppliers that did not self-certify; cumulative savings are calculated starting with June 2016 for suppliers that self-certified.

** A - Alternative Compliance Order; C - Conservation Order

September 2016 Supplier Conservation Compliance (by missed standard %)

Data pulled October 19, 2016

395 suppliers reporting; non-filers on page 15

Supplier Name	Hydrologic Region	State-mandated Conservation Standard		Did Supplier Self-certify?	Applicable Cumulative Savings Achieved by Supplier* (as compared to 2013)	Missed Conservation Standard By <i>** Order Status</i>	Monthly Savings (as compared to 2013)		Estimated Sep 2016 Monthly R-GPCD	Total Population Served
		Previous (3/1/2016)	Current (Effective 6/1/2016)				Sep 2015	Sep 2016		
Diablo Water District	San Joaquin River	23%	0%	Yes	21.5%	-21.5%	30.2%	19.0%	108.9	40,793
Sunny Slope Water Company	South Coast	22%	0%	Yes	21.6%	-21.6%	31.3%	22.6%	96.8	30,700
Upland City of	South Coast	34%	0%	Yes	21.6%	-21.6%	33.0%	23.0%	183.6	75,670
Valley of the Moon Water District	San Francisco Bay	20%	0%	Yes	21.6%	-21.6%	22.1%	16.2%	88.0	23,782
San Bruno City of	San Francisco Bay	8%	0%	Yes	21.6%	-21.6%	30.1%	23.4%	54.0	44,409
Pomona City of	South Coast	18%	0%	Yes	21.6%	-21.6%	28.8%	25.1%	64.6	155,604
Hayward City of	San Francisco Bay	8%	0%	Yes	21.6%	-21.6%	3.4%	9.5%	55.8	158,985
Buena Park City of	South Coast	13%	0%	Yes	21.6%	-21.6%	31.5%	29.1%	84.4	83,347
Citrus Heights Water District	Sacramento River	29%	0%	Yes	21.7%	-21.7%	29.1%	16.7%	199.4	65,093
Marina Coast Water District	Central Coast	11%	11%	No	32.7%	-21.7%	31.9%	31.4%	62.7	34,235
Valencia Water Company	South Coast	21%	0%	Yes	21.7%	-21.7%	38.1%	21.2%	136.6	97,300
California Water Service Company South San Francisco	San Francisco Bay	8%	0%	Yes	21.8%	-21.8%	19.2%	18.7%	42.8	61,771
Fresno City of	Tulare Lake	25%	0%	Yes	21.9%	-21.9%	22.3%	19.1%	178.5	520,453
Santa Fe Springs City of	South Coast	16%	0%	Yes	21.9%	-21.9%	24.8%	18.8%	80.4	18,199
Golden State Water Company San Dimas	South Coast	26%	0%	Yes	22.0%	-22.0%	33.9%	21.5%	130.2	54,872
Monte Vista Water District	South Coast	22%	0%	Yes	22.0%	-22.0%	23.3%	22.2%	96.6	55,995
San Gabriel Valley Fontana Water Company	South Coast	26%	0%	Yes	22.1%	-22.1%	35.1%	23.5%	117.3	209,035
Madera City of	San Joaquin River	26%	0%	Yes	22.2%	-22.2%	21.7%	21.7%	96.1	65,474
Scotts Valley Water District	Central Coast	16%	0%	Yes	22.3%	-22.3%	26.4%	21.6%	80.7	10,309
Manteca City of	San Joaquin River	27%	0%	Yes	22.4%	-22.4%	28.7%	21.7%	135.4	77,150
Padre Dam Municipal Water District	South Coast	12%	0%	Yes	22.4%	-22.4%	34.8%	28.2%	86.2	88,995
Morgan Hill City of	Central Coast	27%	0%	Yes	22.4%	-22.4%	35.8%	22.4%	112.5	43,645
Morro Bay City of	Central Coast	12%	0%	Yes	22.4%	-22.4%	17.1%	21.4%	60.7	10,284
Paso Robles City of	Central Coast	24%	0%	Yes	22.6%	-22.6%	29.5%	21.0%	116.4	30,450
Sunnyslope County Water District	Central Coast	26%	0%	Yes	22.6%	-22.6%	34.9%	22.2%	108.7	19,919
Woodland City of	Sacramento River	20%	0%	Yes	22.6%	-22.6%	29.2%	18.8%	111.0	57,223
Atascadero Mutual Water Company	Central Coast	28%	0%	Yes	22.6%	-22.6%	26.9%	22.6%	129.3	31,491
Rio Linda - Elverta Community Water District	Sacramento River	33%	0%	Yes	22.6%	-22.6%	24.4%	14.2%	224.1	11,013

* *Applicable Cumulative Savings Achieved by Supplier is used to assess compliance with conservation standard: cumulative savings through September 2016 start with June 2015 for suppliers that did not self-certify; cumulative savings are calculated starting with June 2016 for suppliers that self-certified.*

** *A - Alternative Compliance Order; C - Conservation Order*

September 2016 Supplier Conservation Compliance (by missed standard %)

Data pulled October 19, 2016

395 suppliers reporting; non-filers on page 15

Supplier Name	Hydrologic Region	State-mandated Conservation Standard		Did Supplier Self-certify?	Applicable Cumulative Savings Achieved by Supplier* (as compared to 2013)	Missed Conservation Standard By <i>** Order Status</i>	Monthly Savings (as compared to 2013)		Estimated Sep 2016 Monthly R-GPCD	Total Population Served
		Previous (3/1/2016)	Current (Effective 6/1/2016)				Sep 2015	Sep 2016		
California-American Water Company Los Angeles District	South Coast	26%	0%	Yes	22.7%	-22.7%	34.0%	23.5%	143.5	101,777
Suburban Water Systems San Jose Hills	South Coast	22%	0%	Yes	22.7%	-22.7%	29.0%	24.7%	95.3	178,500
Lincoln Avenue Water Company	South Coast	26%	0%	Yes	22.7%	-22.7%	32.3%	26.4%	112.8	16,126
California-American Water Ventura District	South Coast	30%	0%	Yes	22.8%	-22.8%	31.8%	22.3%	143.0	63,423
Golden State Water Company Barstow	South Lahontan	20%	0%	Yes	22.8%	-22.8%	18.9%	18.9%	96.0	31,209
San Clemente City of	South Coast	24%	0%	Yes	22.8%	-22.8%	30.0%	18.3%	100.2	51,385
Riverside Highland Water Company	South Coast	33%	0%	Yes	23.0%	-23.0%	36.6%	23.7%	194.4	15,663
Golden State Water Company Simi Valley	South Coast	22%	0%	Yes	23.0%	-23.0%	37.9%	25.4%	105.9	43,351
Thousand Oaks City of	South Coast	26%	0%	Yes	23.1%	-23.1%	33.7%	22.7%	133.7	51,609
Lakeside Water District	South Coast	12%	0%	Yes	23.1%	-23.1%	33.6%	27.1%	88.6	35,500
Ventura County Waterworks District No 1	South Coast	30%	0%	Yes	23.2%	-23.2%	29.2%	24.8%	154.8	38,703
Ramona Municipal Water District	South Coast	20%	0%	Yes	23.2%	-23.2%	37.3%	31.5%	139.6	36,564
Fruitridge Vista Water Company	Sacramento River	28%	0%	Yes	23.4%	-23.4%	41.9%	18.5%	185.6	21,441
Mid-Peninsula Water District	San Francisco Bay	20%	0%	Yes	23.4%	-23.4%	27.5%	23.8%	92.5	26,924
San Bernardino County Service Area 64	South Lahontan	28%	0%	Yes	23.5%	-23.5%	34.1%	21.0%	146.3	14,104
Escondido City of	South Coast	12%	0%	Yes	23.5%	-23.5%	26.5%	19.9%	94.9	137,941
Alameda County Water District	San Francisco Bay	16%	0%	Yes	23.5%	-23.5%	26.9%	17.2%	82.0	349,000
Livermore City of Division of Water Resources	San Francisco Bay	17%	0%	Yes	23.6%	-23.6%	31.5%	21.3%	116.2	28,782
Mountain View City of	San Francisco Bay	16%	0%	Yes	23.7%	-23.7%	25.0%	9.9%	74.9	76,448
Gilroy City of	Central Coast	24%	0%	Yes	23.8%	-23.8%	27.8%	20.6%	95.8	55,597
Orange Vale Water Company	Sacramento River	33%	0%	Yes	23.8%	-23.8%	27.2%	15.7%	238.2	16,797
Petaluma City of	San Francisco Bay	16%	0%	Yes	23.8%	-23.8%	22.2%	22.9%	81.9	61,955
East Valley Water District	South Coast	22%	0%	Yes	24.0%	-24.0%	24.2%	23.1%	141.9	104,457
Groveland Community Services District	San Joaquin River	21%	0%	Yes	24.0%	-24.0%	25.6%	30.0%	112.9	3,400
Alco Water Service	Central Coast	24%	0%	Yes	24.1%	-24.1%	28.5%	27.0%	103.7	29,456
Burbank City of	South Coast	22%	0%	Yes	24.2%	-24.2%	30.5%	24.7%	111.1	105,110
California Water Service Company Bakersfield	Tulare Lake	29%	0%	Yes	24.2%	-24.2%	36.3%	23.9%	166.7	279,753

* Applicable Cumulative Savings Achieved by Supplier is used to assess compliance with conservation standard: cumulative savings through September 2016 start with June 2015 for suppliers that did not self-certify; cumulative savings are calculated starting with June 2016 for suppliers that self-certified.

** A - Alternative Compliance Order; C - Conservation Order

September 2016 Supplier Conservation Compliance (by missed standard %)

Data pulled October 19, 2016

395 suppliers reporting; non-filers on page 15

Supplier Name	Hydrologic Region	State-mandated Conservation Standard		Did Supplier Self-certify?	Applicable Cumulative Savings Achieved by Supplier* (as compared to 2013)	Missed Conservation Standard By <i>** Order Status</i>	Monthly Savings (as compared to 2013)		Estimated Sep 2016 Monthly R-GPCD	Total Population Served
		Previous (3/1/2016)	Current (Effective 6/1/2016)				Sep 2015	Sep 2016		
California Water Service Company Los Altos/Suburban	San Francisco Bay	32%	0%	Yes	24.3%	-24.3%	33.9%	23.9%	141.3	69,866
Sacramento City of	Sacramento River	25%	0%	Yes	24.3%	-24.3%	26.5%	20.4%	125.1	485,683
Santa Barbara City of	Central Coast	12%	12%	No	36.4%	-24.4%	34.8%	41.1%	71.7	93,426
Antioch City of	San Joaquin River	27%	0%	Yes	24.5%	-24.5%	31.4%	22.6%	105.1	112,968
Golden State Water Company S Arcadia	South Coast	22%	0%	Yes	24.6%	-24.6%	29.9%	22.8%	95.0	26,827
California Water Service Company Salinas District	Central Coast	16%	0%	Yes	24.7%	-24.7%	23.2%	20.8%	66.5	122,151
Suisun-Solano Water Authority	San Francisco Bay	20%	0%	Yes	24.8%	-24.8%	29.1%	25.1%	78.2	29,510
San Jose City of	San Francisco Bay	20%	0%	Yes	25.3%	-25.3%	24.7%	19.6%	68.5	113,700
Oildale Mutual Water Company	Tulare Lake	31%	0%	Yes	25.4%	-25.4%	33.8%	35.0%	199.7	34,133
Burlingame City of	San Francisco Bay	16%	0%	Yes	25.5%	-25.5%	29.8%	22.1%	76.0	31,109
South Coast Water District	South Coast	24%	0%	Yes	25.5%	-25.5%	30.0%	24.7%	104.5	35,004
Tahoe City Public Utilities District	North Lahontan	17%	0%	Yes	25.5%	-25.5%	27.5%	21.5%	134.2	8,899
San Jose Water Company	San Francisco Bay	20%	2%	Yes	27.7%	-25.7%	30.8%	26.0%	81.5	990,000
California Water Service Company Oroville	Sacramento River	25%	0%	Yes	25.8%	-25.8%	20.6%	24.2%	75.3	11,020
California-American Water Company Sacramento District	Sacramento River	17%	0%	Yes	25.8%	-25.8%	30.2%	20.6%	111.4	203,851
California Water Service Company Westlake	South Coast	34%	0%	Yes	25.8%	-25.8%	39.0%	27.7%	249.1	19,461
Westborough Water District	San Francisco Bay	8%	0%	Yes	26.0%	-26.0%	29.6%	37.2%	44.0	14,050
Alhambra City of	South Coast	22%	0%	Yes	26.1%	-26.1%	27.1%	23.8%	92.3	85,068
Hillsborough Town of	San Francisco Bay	36%	0%	Yes	26.3%	-26.3%	36.1%	22.3%	282.0	10,850
Brentwood City of	San Joaquin River	28%	0%	Yes	26.8%	-26.8%	36.5%	18.9%	131.5	58,784
Galt City of	Sacramento River	29%	0%	Yes	26.8%	-26.8%	30.2%	24.4%	182.1	24,289
Carpinteria Valley Water District	Central Coast	20%	0%	Yes	26.9%	-26.9%	34.9%	25.4%	93.2	14,616
Corcoran, City of	Tulare Lake	32%	0%	Yes	26.9%	-26.9%	25.5%	23.2%	197.4	24,154
Poway City of	South Coast	24%	0%	Yes	26.9%	-26.9%	35.7%	31.3%	150.2	48,774
Contra Costa Water District	San Francisco Bay	25%	0%	Yes	26.9%	-26.9%	41.1%	22.5%	136.1	199,680
Quartz Hill Water District	South Lahontan	32%	0%	Yes	27.1%	-27.1%	35.2%	22.8%	251.6	20,352
Cambria Community Services District	Central Coast	8%	8%	No	35.1%	-27.1%	35.3%	30.2%	45.2	6,032

* *Applicable Cumulative Savings Achieved by Supplier is used to assess compliance with conservation standard: cumulative savings through September 2016 start with June 2015 for suppliers that did not self-certify; cumulative savings are calculated starting with June 2016 for suppliers that self-certified.*

** *A - Alternative Compliance Order; C - Conservation Order*

September 2016 Supplier Conservation Compliance (by missed standard %)

Data pulled October 19, 2016

395 suppliers reporting; non-filers on page 15

Supplier Name	Hydrologic Region	State-mandated Conservation Standard		Did Supplier Self-certify?	Applicable Cumulative Savings Achieved by Supplier* (as compared to 2013)	Missed Conservation Standard By <i>** Order Status</i>	Monthly Savings (as compared to 2013)		Estimated Sep 2016 Monthly R-GPCD	Total Population Served
		Previous (3/1/2016)	Current (Effective 6/1/2016)				Sep 2015	Sep 2016		
El Toro Water District	South Coast	24%	0%	Yes	27.2%	-27.2%	31.1%	30.1%	98.6	48,628
Golden State Water Company Claremont	South Coast	30%	0%	Yes	27.2%	-27.2%	42.3%	26.9%	171.3	37,160
American Canyon, City of	San Francisco Bay	16%	0%	Yes	27.4%	-27.4%	24.2%	25.9%	74.8	20,315
Great Oaks Water Company Incorporated	San Francisco Bay	20%	0%	Yes	27.5%	-27.5%	33.0%	25.6%	78.3	100,731
Yorba Linda Water District	South Coast	28%	0%	Yes	27.6%	-27.6%	43.7%	26.3%	168.8	78,539
South Pasadena City of	South Coast	26%	0%	Yes	27.6%	-27.6%	34.7%	28.2%	115.5	26,174
Triunfo Sanitation District / Oak Park Water Service	South Coast	30%	0%	Yes	27.6%	-27.6%	43.8%	30.7%	154.1	12,200
Apple Valley Ranchos Water Company	South Lahontan	24%	0%	Yes	27.7%	-27.7%	32.9%	25.9%	110.9	62,602
Ripon City of	San Joaquin River	33%	0%	Yes	27.7%	-27.7%	22.5%	24.7%	195.6	15,243
California Water Service Company Livermore	San Francisco Bay	21%	0%	Yes	27.8%	-27.8%	39.3%	22.9%	121.5	58,894
Rincon Del Diablo Municipal Water District	South Coast	24%	0%	Yes	28.1%	-28.1%	34.9%	28.4%	131.3	29,955
California Water Service Company Dixon, City of	Sacramento River	24%	0%	Yes	28.2%	-28.2%	28.4%	22.6%	107.8	10,014
California Water Service Company Willows	Sacramento River	26%	0%	Yes	28.3%	-28.3%	27.8%	19.9%	131.7	7,084
East Orange County Water District	South Coast	29%	0%	Yes	28.5%	-28.5%	41.8%	28.5%	234.1	3,257
Golden State Water Company Orcutt	Central Coast	31%	0%	Yes	28.6%	-28.6%	37.2%	28.3%	157.2	31,872
Brawley City of	Colorado River	24%	0%	Yes	28.7%	-28.7%	33.4%	32.3%	144.6	27,743
Kingsburg, City of	Tulare Lake	34%	0%	Yes	28.8%	-28.8%	44.5%	36.2%	223.1	11,685
San Gabriel Valley Water Company	South Coast	14%	0%	Yes	28.8%	-28.8%	23.8%	14.7%	70.9	271,817
San Jacinto City of	South Coast	30%	0%	Yes	29.6%	-29.6%	33.3%	29.2%	98.9	17,961
Dublin San Ramon Services District	San Francisco Bay	12%	0%	Yes	29.7%	-29.7%	39.6%	26.1%	75.7	83,227
California Water Service Company Chico District	Sacramento River	29%	0%	Yes	29.9%	-29.9%	35.9%	23.7%	158.5	104,697
Pleasanton City of	San Francisco Bay	24%	0%	Yes	30.6%	-30.6%	37.5%	33.0%	117.1	74,850
California Water Service Company Redwood Valley	North Coast	16%	0%	Yes	30.8%	-30.8%	25.9%	23.1%	84.6	3,226
Paradise Irrigation District	Sacramento River	33%	0%	Yes	30.8%	-30.8%	31.4%	17.0%	178.0	26,032
Valley Center Municipal Water District	South Coast	28%	0%	Yes	31.1%	-31.1%	49.3%	32.6%	220.1	25,608
Cloverdale	North Coast	--	0%	Yes	31.4%	-31.4%	--	31.9%	104.1	8,825
California Water Service Company King City	Central Coast	9%	0%	Yes	32.3%	-32.3%	16.1%	34.3%	39.8	15,119
North Coast County Water District	San Francisco Bay	8%	0%	Yes	33.5%	-33.5%	30.9%	15.9%	50.8	39,000

* *Applicable Cumulative Savings Achieved by Supplier is used to assess compliance with conservation standard: cumulative savings through September 2016 start with June 2015 for suppliers that did not self-certify; cumulative savings are calculated starting with June 2016 for suppliers that self-certified.*

** *A - Alternative Compliance Order; C - Conservation Order*

September 2016 Supplier Conservation Compliance (by missed standard %)

Data pulled October 19, 2016

395 suppliers reporting; non-filers on page 15

Supplier Name	Hydrologic Region	State-mandated Conservation Standard		Did Supplier Self-certify?	Applicable Cumulative Savings Achieved by Supplier* (as compared to 2013)	Missed Conservation Standard By <i>** Order Status</i>	Monthly Savings (as compared to 2013)		Estimated Sep 2016 Monthly R-GPCD	Total Population Served
		Previous (3/1/2016)	Current (Effective 6/1/2016)				Sep 2015	Sep 2016		
Merced City of	San Joaquin River	34%	0%	Yes	35.6%	-35.6%	36.8%	33.0%	187.2	83,400
California Water Service Company Selma	Tulare Lake	30%	0%	Yes	37.6%	-37.6%	39.6%	36.3%	129.2	25,191
Benicia City of	San Francisco Bay	20%	0%	Yes	37.7%	-37.7%	31.6%	36.7%	79.5	28,086
Patterson City of	San Joaquin River	25%	0%	Yes	37.8%	-37.8%	43.1%	41.3%	87.0	22,590
California Water Service Company Antelope Valley	South Lahontan	32%	0%	Yes	38.3%	-38.3%	54.2%	36.9%	184.7	3,408
Del Oro Water Company	Sacramento River	21%	0%	Yes	39.8%	-39.8%	45.1%	40.1%	99.4	9,546
Menlo Park City of	San Francisco Bay	16%	0%	Yes	40.8%	-40.8%	55.7%	43.6%	93.2	16,066

September 2016 Non-filers

The following suppliers did not submit September 2016 data by October 19, 2016:

Anderson, City of	Hi-Desert Water District	Torrance City of
Arcadia City of	Lynwood City of	Ukiah City of
Calexico City of	Rainbow Municipal Water District	Vacaville City of
Dinuba City of	Roseville City of	Walnut Valley Water District
Grover Beach City of	South Feather Water and Power Agency	

August 2016 Non-filers

The following suppliers did not submit August 2016 data by October 19, 2016:

South Feather Water and Power Agency	Ukiah City of
--------------------------------------	---------------

July 2016 Non-filers

The following suppliers did not submit July 2016 data by October 19, 2016:

Ukiah City of

* Applicable Cumulative Savings Achieved by Supplier is used to assess compliance with conservation standard: cumulative savings through September 2016 start with June 2015 for suppliers that did not self-certify; cumulative savings are calculated starting with June 2016 for suppliers that self-certified.

** A - Alternative Compliance Order; C - Conservation Order