



State Water Resources Control Board

CERTIFIED MAIL NO.:
RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED

Ms. Carol Roland-Nawi
California State Historic Preservation Officer
Office of Historic Preservation
1725 23rd Street, Suite 100
Sacramento, CA 95816

REQUEST FOR CONCURRENCE ON "SECTION 106" COMPLIANCE AND A FINDING OF "NO HISTORIC PROPERTIES AFFECTED" FOR XXX (PROJECT); XXX (CITY/COUNTY/DISTRICT); XXX (COUNTY), CALIFORNIA; CLEAN WATER STATE REVOLVING FUND (CWSRF) NO. C-06-XXXX-XXX

Dear Ms. Roland-Nawi:

State Water Resources Control Board (State Water Board) staff has reviewed the cultural resources documents provided for the Project and is requesting your concurrence that the enclosed cultural resources studies/information are adequate and complete, and that no historic properties will be affected by the described undertaking. We are seeking comments from your agency to complete the federal review process for the above-mentioned Project under Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act of 1966, as amended (Section 106). Enclosed are copies of cultural resources documents prepared by the City/County/District for the proposed Project to comply with Section 106 requirements.

The State Water Board, Division of Financial Assistance administers the CWSRF Program pursuant to 40 CFR Part 35, and the City/County/District is seeking funds from this program to assist in financing the Project. The CWSRF Program is partially funded by a capitalization grant from the United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and issuance of CWSRF funds is considered equivalent to a **FEDERAL ACTION**, thereby necessitating compliance with Section 106 under a Nationwide Programmatic Agreement executed for the CWSRF by the EPA, the Advisory Council on Historic Preservation, and the National Council of State Historic Preservation Officers. The EPA has delegated lead agency responsibility to the State Water Board for carrying out the requirements of Section 106.

The City/County/District completed a cultural resources report in year. (Please discuss further efforts undertaken to prepare the report and the supporting documents)

Project vicinity is shown in Enclosure 1.

The Project consists of ...

The Project will serve...

Project Objective

The purpose of the Project is to...

Project Location

The proposed Project is in the city of **name**, within **XXX County**, California. The project is located **(provide street names if appropriate and/or specific geographic area descriptions)**.

Methodology Employed for the Identification of Historic Properties

The State Water Board staff reviewed the cultural resource documents submitted by the **City/County/District**.

The **City/County/District** prepared **an/a EIR/IS/MND/IS/ND/NOE** (State Clearinghouse No. **xxxxxxxxxx**) for the Project, and filed it with the **county name** County Clerk on **DATE** and with the Governor's Office of Planning and Research (OPR) on **DATE**.

To comply with Section 800.4(b) for the Project, the **City/County/District** contracted with **Company** to complete the tasks listed below:

- **A** records search and literature search, performed on **Date** at the **location of Information Center**
- Sacred Lands File search with the Native American Heritage Commission on **Date**
- Native American consultation by certified letter and phone on **(Date)**
- A systematic reconnaissance level cultural resource field survey **(Date)**
- Historical background research
- **AND..**

Area of Potential Effect (APE)

The area of potential effect (APE) for the proposed Project is

Record Search

Record searches were performed in **Date** and **Date** at **Location of Information Center**. The results of the site records search requested in **Date** from the California Historical Resource Information System (CHRIS) database for this Project indicated that there are **XXX** surveys and **XXX** recorded historic properties within the ½ mile search radius of the project APE.

A map of the cultural resource sites can be found in Enclosure **#**, and the site records for these resources are included in Enclosure **#**.

Field Survey and Geomorphic Analysis

A systematic pedestrian reconnaissance-level cultural resource field survey was conducted on **Date** by **Name**.

Native American Consultations

A search of the Sacred Lands file at the Native American Heritage Commission (NAHC) on **Date** indicated that Native American cultural resources were not identified within the APE. Records of Native American tribal groups or individuals contacted and their responses are included in Enclosure **XXX**. Results of consultation with Native American groups or individuals are documented in Enclosure **XXX**.

Effects Determination

The State Water Board has reviewed the documentation for the Project and the potential for Project implementation to affect historic properties within the APE, and determined that no historic properties or sacred sites are located within the APE due to the following factors:

- **XXX**

Therefore, the State Water Board has reached a determination that “no historic properties will be affected” by the Project. Pursuant to 36 CFR Part 800, regulations implementing Section 106, we are requesting your concurrence on our determination of “No Historic Properties Affected.”

The State Water Board is respectfully requesting your response within 30 days of receiving this consultation request. Please contact **...** at 916-341-**...**, or at ...@waterboards.ca.gov if you have any questions or concerns about the Project.

Sincerely,

...

Environmental Scientist
Division of Financial Assistance

Enclosures (number)

1. **XXX**

cc: without enclosures:

Josh Amaris M.B.A., M.S.
US EPA Region 9
Water Infrastructure Office
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105

CERTIFIED MAIL NO.:
RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED

Advisory Council on Historic Preservation
1100 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW, Suite 803
Old Post Office Building
Washington, DC 20004