Match Amount

Requirement –

Proposition 84 requires a match.

Questions –

- What match amount or percentage should be required?
- Should there be a tiered match tied to funding amount (e.g., higher match for larger projects)?
- Should the match requirement be lower for disadvantaged communities (DACs)?
 - If so, what percentage would you recommend? and/or,
 - Should there be a tiered match (e.g., for severely DACs versus DACs versus others)?
- Should DACs be able to use other grant funds for match?

Input/Responses -

Funding Cap

Requirement –

Not to exceed \$5 million per project.

Ouestions –

- Should the maximum project cap be less than \$5 million?
 - If yes, what should the maximum grant amount be per project?
- Should there be a limited number of larger grants?
- What should the minimum grant amount be per project?

Input/Responses –

Project Preferences

Requirement –

Board shall give preference to projects that do one or more of the following:

- Support sustained, long-term water quality improvements
- Coordinated or consistent with any applicable Integrated Regional Water Management (IRWM) Plan

Questions –

- What other preferences should be included in the Guidelines?
 - Projects that reduce Green House Gas (GHG) emissions?
 - Projects designed to reduce Climate Change (e.g., energy efficient)?
 - Applicants that have adopted Ahwahnee Principles and/or Sustainability Principles in their General Plan (or other plans)?
 - Use of a local match source (e.g., Proposition O)?
 - Projects that treat and reuse storm water (e.g., augment water supply)?
 - Projects that implement Low-Impact Development (LID) principles?
 - Projects that provide multiple benefits?
 - Projects that use source control measures as opposed to end-of-pipe treatment measures?

Others? Input/Responses –

Grant Solicitation Process

Requirement –

No established requirement.

Questions –

- Should there be defined funding cycles or a continuous cycle?
- Should there be more than one round of funding? Two rounds planned at this time.
- What type of application process should be used? Two-step application process (i.e., short Concept Proposal and longer, more detailed Full Proposal)?

Input/Responses -

Set-Aside & Distribution of Funding

Requirement –

No established requirement.

Questions –

- Should there be a set-aside for DACs?
- Should there be a split of funding for the categories listed below, or should the funding be strictly determined based on project competitiveness? Possible splits include:
 - North/South
 - Rural/Urban
 - Regionally
 - If a split is recommended, what split would you recommend?

Input/Responses –

Other Input
Requirement – No established requirement.
Questions –
 What other input would you like to provide regarding the development of the Proposition 84 Storm Water Grant Program?
Input/Responses –
What type of organization do you represent?
If you would like to be contacted regarding questions of for more input, please include your name and contact information below.
Name:
E-mail Address:
Phone Number:
You can fax or e-mail this form to:
FAX: (916) 341-5707 (Attention: Erin Ragazzi, DFA) E-MAIL: DFA_Grants@waterboards.ca.gov