



State Water Resources Control Board

Division of Water Quality

1001 I Street • Sacramento, California 95814 • (916) 341-5455
Mailing Address: P.O. Box 100 • Sacramento, California • 95812-0100
Fax (916) 341-5584 • <http://www.swrcb.ca.gov>



Arnold Schwarzenegger
Governor

Jan C. Lloyd, Ph.D.
Agency Secretary for
Environmental
Protection

TO: Steve Saiz
Ocean Standards Unit
Division of Water Quality
State Water Resources Control Board

FROM: Gerald W. Bowes, Ph.D.
Chief, Standards Development Section
DIVISION OF WATER QUALITY

DATE: JAN 28 2005

SUBJECT: PEER REVIEWERS FOR PROPOSED CALIFORNIA OCEAN PLAN
AMENDMENT: DETERMINING "REASONABLE POTENTIAL"

In response to your request for peer reviewers for the proposed Ocean Plan Amendment identified above, I am pleased to send you the name of two reviewers who have been selected to perform this review. These people have been approved by the University of California, Office of the President (UCOP), based on its review of a Conflict of Interest Disclosure form that each was required to complete.

The reviewers' names are given below. Please confirm with them that the review material should be sent to the address indicated:

1. Professor George Sugihara
Physical Oceanography Research Division
Scripps Institution of Oceanography, UCSD
9500 Gilman Drive
La Jolla, CA 92093-0202

Telephone : (858) 534-5582
Email : gsugihara@ucsd.edu

2. Kenneth H. Reckhow
Professor of Water Resources
Environmental Sciences and Policy Division
A317 LSRC
Box 90328
Duke University
Durham, North Carolina 27708

Telephone: (919) 613-8026
FAX: (919) 668-1799
Email: reckhow@duke.edu

California Environmental Protection Agency

JAN 28 2005

Biographical information for each reviewer is attached.

Please contact Professors Sugihara and Reckhow immediately. Let them know you have been notified that they will be the external reviewers for your proposed Board action. Also, tell them when to expect the material for review. The letter of request to me provided this information, and reviewer candidates' acceptance of the assignment often is conditional on their availability at that time. If the date has changed, confirm with the reviewers that the new date is acceptable. Keep in periodic contact with each reviewer if the date is expected to change again. I would like to receive copies of these email transmittals to keep up-to-date. I am always contacted by reviewers and the University when delays in the process arise.

In your initial contact with your reviewers, please ask them to confirm that they do not have a conflict of interest with respect to two issues noted below. These are not explicitly covered by the UCOP review. I want to emphasize that this is not meant to take much of their time (and you do not have to wait until you receive a response on this before sending the material to them). A quick email answer will be sufficient. They have just filled in a 14-page form and may wonder why that wasn't sufficient. I am now working with the University to include the following two provisions in that form:

Peer reviewers cannot have participated in the development of the scientific basis or scientific portion of the [PLACE TITLE OF PROPOSED BOARD ACTION HERE]. Further, peer reviewers must not have any economic conflict of interest with regard to the outcome of their comments or recommendations on the proposed Board action.

Your letter to the reviewers should include the same three attachments that you provided in your request letter to me.

You should now initiate the review by communicating directly with Professors Sugihara and Reckhow. **When all interactions with them have been completed, please let me know for the peer review files I keep here. This information also is essential for the peer review tracking report I write each month, which is provided to Division management and our Executive Office.**

My files also should include the peer reviewers' comments and Board responses, and I request that you send this information to me for the record as well.

If I can provide additional help, feel free to contact me at any time during the review process.

Attachments (2)

cc: Stan Martinson, Chief
Division of Water Quality

John Ladd, Assistant Division Chief
Division of Water Quality