New Zealand Mudsnail in the Western USA and its Impacts on Water Quality



David C. Richards EcoAnalysts Inc. Center for Aquatic Studies Bozeman, Montana





Potamopyrgus antipodarum (Gray)



New Zealand mudsnail

What Makes This Snail So Successful?

- 1) Parthenogenic (clonal), live-bearer, high reproductive potential
- 2) Operculum
- 3) Small size (max 5 mm)
- 4) Tolerates many aquatic environments
- 5) Probably didn't bring any of its enemies (parasites or pathogens) with it

 Native of New Zealand Common throughout Europe/Asia and Australia First reported in Snake River near Thousand Springs @1985 Probably one of the most widespread species on the planet

Rapid spread





Reported locations (October 2005)



Colorado River, Grand Canyon, June 2002







Live NZMS

Notice operculum



4-5 whorls

Photo: Dan Gustafson

With Carina



NZMS with ornamentation



NZMS could be confused with *Pyrgophorus coronatus*

Typical adult NMS from western USA



New improved version

with neonates (embryos) Photo: Dan Gustafson



Fully formed young



Uncommon Male NZMS Photo Dan Gustafson

Life History and Population Dynamics



photophobic % on top <u>night</u> = 73 (95% CI; 68, 78) % on top <u>day</u> = 4 (95% CI; 3, 6)

Embryos/brood pouch vs. shell length



 $R^2 = 0.41, p = 0.00, N = 902$

Population explosion

26 offspring/adult/6 months





DENSITIES

- Densities in Snake River drainage: 100,000/m² to 300,000/m²
- Madison River > 300,000/m²
- Polecat Creek (GTNP) est. 750,000/m²
- Lake Zurich > 800,000/m²
- This means the bottom of a river or lake can be nothing but Snails, snails and more snails!





Outlet Banbury Springs, Snake River, southern Idaho



Bob Hall, University of Wyoming, 1 million/m²





Photo by D. L. Gustafson, Montana State University



Seasonal and yearly fluctuations

Outlet of Banbury Springs Snake River, Idaho



Time series analysis



NZMS and Water Quality

"...to maintain and improve the physical, chemical, and **biological integrity** of our nations waters"

CWA 1974

Just what does biological integrity mean?

NZMS are Biological Pollution



Impacts





Threatened snail



Competition with natives (Richards 2004)

Developed competition coefficients Growth rates $a_{ii} = 1.52 (1.22, 1.83)$





NZMS

Bliss Rapids snail (threatened)

Mark Vinson's NZMS as trout food study

RBT given essentially unlimited food & space over 3 months

On average:

Fish fed scuds gained about 1% of their body weight per day

Fish fed NZMS lost 0.2% of their body weight per day

M. Vinson data





Summary of Hall et al. Front Ecol Environ 2003; 1(8): 407–411

- NZMS dominated flows of N and C in Polecat Creek
- Almost all of primary production in Polecat Creek GTNP goes through NZMS

Hall et al. 2003 continued:

- Impacts similar to zebra mussel invasions: one organism that achieves high biomass can dominate fluxes;
 - Zebra mussels can filter the entire water column in 1–4 days (Strayer 1999),
 - Analogous to NZMS consuming nearly all primary production

Hall et al. 2003 continued:

NZMS probably altered ecosystem functions of storage and fluxes of N.
Community-level impacts beyond direct interactions: altered ecosystem functioning at the base of the food web

NZMS impacts (i.e. biological pollution) are:

- Can compete with native invertebrates
- Poor food source for trout
- Can drastically alter ecosystem functioning
- Has as much impact on water quality as single point or non-point sources

RBP Water Quality Metrics

Few or no protocols with '<u>Invasive metric</u> or On a '0 -10' scale for some metrics: give

NZMS a 10

Prognosis

- NZMS well established throughout western USA
- Can have major impacts on water quality
- Removal from streams at this time **not** an option
- Hatcheries need to make sure they don't spread it
- Goes for river biologists as well
- Education and clean gear
- Opportunity lost over 20 years ago
- For now.... Learn to live with it.

New Zealand Mudsnail Web site

www2.montana.edu/nzms