

From: Michael Lyons
To: Emanuel, Melenee
Date: 2/20/02 7:58AM
Subject: Re: 303 (d) listing information request

Hi Melenee:

I have attached the staff report that we prepared for our Board in conjunction with the information item on the recommended listings for the 2002 WQA. See pages 9-11 for a discussion of how the MTRLs were used to justify listings.

Our Basin Plan does not list MTRLs as water quality objectives. We just have the narrative statement: Toxic pollutants shall not be present at levels that will bioaccumulate in aquatic life to levels which are harmful to aquatic life or human health. I would say that exceedances of the MTRLs satisfy this criterion.

The energy challenge facing California is real. Every Californian needs to take immediate action to reduce energy consumption

***For a list of simple ways to reduce demand and cut your energy costs, see the tips at:
<http://www.swrcb.ca.gov/news/echallenge.html> ***

>>> Melenee Emanuel 02/14/02 09:34AM >>>
Hi Renee and Tracy,

Could you please send all records and reports that are referenced in Region 4 fact sheets for the 303(d) listings. If you have the original, you can send them and we will make copies.

We need clarification about how MTRLs are linked to proposed listing of waterbodies for Aquatic Life impact. Also, does the LA Basin Plan list MTRLs as a Water Quality Objective?

Thanks

Melenee

Melenee Emanuel
State Water Resources Control Board
Division of Water Quality, Monitoring
1001 I Street, P.O. Box 944213
Sacramento, CA 95812
emanm@dwg.swrcb.ca.gov
p (916) 341-5271
F (916) 341-5550

CC: DeShazo, Renee; Vergets, Tracy

From: Tracy Vergets
To: Melenee Emanuel
Date: 2/13/02 3:39PM
Subject: R4 water quality assessment contacts

Melenee, Here are the watersheds/areas and contacts for each one in case you need to contact everyone directly. Otherwise I will wait for your spreadsheet tomorrow and will distribute to everyone to fill in answers to your questions and email it back to you. I am not here on Friday so contact Jon Bishop or Melinda Becker if you need help on issues not addressed below. Thanks!

- Assessment Methodology** - Renee DeShazo 213/576-6783
- LA River/Ballona Creek** - Ginachi Amah 213-576-6685
- San Gabriel River/Malibu Creek** - Rod Collins 213-576-6691
- Santa Clara River** - Elizabeth Erickson 213-576-6683
- Calleguas Creek/Misc. Ventura Coastal** - Lisa Carlson 213-576-6690
- Ventura River & Bioassessment/Sediment in Malibu and Calleguas**- Tracy Vergets 213-576-6661
- Toxicity** - Shirley Birosik 213-576-6679
- Sediment, Tissue and Bioaccumulation Data** - Michael Lyons 213-576-6718

Tracy L. Vergets
Environmental Scientist
LA Regional Water Quality Control Board
213-576-6661
tvergets@rb4.swrcb.ca.gov

><(((°>`· ><(((°> .
· ><(((°>`· ><(((°>

The energy challenge facing California is real. Every Californian needs to take immediate action to reduce energy consumption
***For a list of simple ways to reduce demand and cut your energy costs, see the tips at:
<http://www.swrcb.ca.gov/news/echallenge.html> ***

CC: Melinda Becker; Renee DeShazo

From: Tracy Patterson
To: Cory Roberts; Don Duke; Elizabeth Erickson; Ginachi Amah; Lisa Carlson; Melenee Emanuel; Ramiro Mariano; Renee DeShazo; Rod Collins; Samuel Unger
Date: 11/9/01 11:30AM
Subject: 303d table information

Renee asked me to email everyone the following information:

When filling out the table, clump the beneficial uses under aquatic life as Heather did in her table in 1996. (ie, you do not have to list out warm, cold, migr,etc separately since it is covered under aquatic life.)

Also, since we are assessing only that which we have data on, if the last assessment indicates impairment, but our assessment didn't analyze the same analyte, it remains impaired. I'm following this with an example to make the confusion a little more clear: For the Ventura River estuary, in 1996 it was Not Supporting for aquatic life based on ammonia, tissue, and eutrophication. I have information on DO, Nitrate N, pH which affect aquatic life and all show fully supporting. I have no new information on ammonia, tissue, eutrophication that would indicate delisting. So my assessment this year would list the estuary as Not Supporting and I would still list the parameters from the 1996 assessment with "(96)" following each one in the table.

I hope this helps! Ask me or Renee if you have questions...

--Tracy

Tracy L. Patterson
Environmental Scientist
LA Regional Water Quality Control Board
213-576-6661
tpatters@rb4.swrcb.ca.gov

The energy challenge facing California is real. Every Californian needs to take immediate action to reduce energy consumption

***For a list of simple ways to reduce demand and cut your energy costs, see the tips at:
<http://www.swrcb.ca.gov/news/echallenge.html> ***

CC: Michael Lyons; Shirley Birosik