

LTCP CASE REVIEWS AND PATH-TO-CLOSURE PLANS REPORT: As of Mar. 1, 2015

UST case reviews against the UST Low-Threat Case Closure Policy (LTCP) and Path-To-Closure Plans (PTCP) are required to be completed annually. These data report the status of these reviews for Fiscal Year 2014-2015. All the data for the Regional Water Quality Control Boards, Local Oversight Programs, and the State Water Resources Control Board are compiled from GeoTracker. The data for the Division of Water Quality were compiled from internal tracking.

Regional Water Quality Control Boards - Low Threat Closure:

ORGANIZATION NAME	Cases Not Yet Reviewed for LTCP	Case Review for LTCP in Progress	Cases Where LTCP Criteria Not Met	Cases Where LTCP Criteria Met	Cases Closed After Meeting LTCP Criteria
NORTH COAST RWQCB (REGION 1)	107	9	24	16	5
SAN FRANCISCO BAY RWQCB (REGION 2)	308	7	10	5	1
CENTRAL COAST RWQCB (REGION 3)	44	4	48	30	12
LOS ANGELES RWQCB (REGION 4)	688	8	124	37	14
CENTRAL VALLEY RWQCB (REGION 5F)	138	1	34	4	3
CENTRAL VALLEY RWQCB (REGION 5R)	70	3	2	2	2
CENTRAL VALLEY RWQCB (REGION 5S)	180	4	90	10	1
LAHONTAN RWQCB (REGION 6T)	57	5	7	26	17
LAHONTAN RWQCB (REGION 6V)	0	0	0	0	0
COLORADO RIVER BASIN RWQCB (REGION 7)	59	1	6	16	1
SANTA ANA RWQCB (REGION 8)	167	3	5	13	4
SAN DIEGO RWQCB (REGION 9)	60	5	1	5	3
TOTALS	1,878	50	351	164	63

Local Oversight Programs (LOP) – Low Threat Closure:

ORGANIZATION NAME	Cases Not Yet Reviewed for LTCP	Case Review for LTCP in Progress	Cases Where LTCP Criteria Not Met	Cases Where LTCP Criteria Met	Cases Closed After Meeting LTCP Criteria
ALAMEDA COUNTY LOP	143	6	19	44	25
ANAHEIM CITY LOP	0	0	0	0	0
EL DORADO COUNTY LOP	0	0	0	0	0
HUMBOLDT COUNTY LOP	43	5	3	14	8
MERCED COUNTY LOP	0	0	0	0	0
NAPA COUNTY LOP	11	0	0	3	0
NEVADA COUNTY LOP	0	0	0	0	0
ORANGE COUNTY LOP	128	14	51	31	2
RIVERSIDE COUNTY LOP	30	0	9	13	1
SACRAMENTO COUNTY LOP	62	9	51	29	18
SAN DIEGO COUNTY LOP	197	3	11	22	15
SAN FRANCISCO COUNTY LOP	32	1	16	27	9
SAN JOAQUIN COUNTY LOP	57	0	16	2	0
SAN MATEO COUNTY LOP	60	0	37	19	3
SANTA BARBARA COUNTY LOP	22	3	36	14	11
SANTA CLARA COUNTY LOP	17	7	109	64	32
SANTA CRUZ COUNTY LOP	11	0	20	2	0
SOLANO COUNTY LOP	24	0	10	9	3
SONOMA COUNTY LOP	36	0	56	21	13
STANISLAUS COUNTY LOP	14	0	0	8	2
TULARE COUNTY LOP	28	1	6	8	2
VENTURA COUNTY LOP	0	0	0	0	0
TOTALS	915	49	450	330	144

Regional Water Quality Control Boards – Path to Closure Plan

ORGANIZATION NAME	PTCP Required ⁱ	PTCP Not Started ⁱⁱ	PTCP in Progress ⁱⁱⁱ	PTCP Saved as Final ^{iv}	Closure Expected in Next 6 Months ^v	Closure Expected by 12/31/2015 ^{vi}	Closure Expected Between 1/1/2016 and 12/31/2019 ^{vii}	Closure Expected after 12/31/2019 ^{viii}
NORTH COAST RWQCB (REGION 1)	130	1	1	128	18	26	30	72
SAN FRANCISCO BAY RWQCB (REGION 2)	305	4	4	297	113	171	88	38
CENTRAL COAST RWQCB (REGION 3)	91	0	0	91	24	37	49	5
LOS ANGELES RWQCB (REGION 4)	776	17	4	755	135	259	454	42
CENTRAL VALLEY RWQCB (REGION 5F)	158	0	1	157	12	18	75	64
CENTRAL VALLEY RWQCB (REGION 5R)	68	2	2	64	6	15	27	22
CENTRAL VALLEY RWQCB (REGION 5S)	270	5	4	261	24	39	106	116
LAHONTAN RWQCB (REGION 6T)	66	0	4	62	28	39	4	19
LAHONTAN RWQCB (REGION 6V)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
COLORADO RIVER BASIN RWQCB (REGION 7)	63	0	1	62	23	28	14	20
SANTA ANA RWQCB (REGION 8)	174	0	1	173	38	68	65	40
SAN DIEGO RWQCB (REGION 9)	64	0	1	63	24	26	34	3
SWRCB-DWQ	64	14	0	50	38	40	10	0
UST CLEAN UP FUND	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTALS	2,229	43	23	2,163	483	766	956	441

ⁱ PTCP Required – LUST program cases whose status was “open” as of 8/17/2012

ⁱⁱ PTCP Not Started – LUST program cases whose PTCP has not yet been completed

ⁱⁱⁱ PTCP in Progress – LUST program cases whose PTCP has been saved in progress

^{iv} PTCP Saved as Final – LUST program cases whose PTCP has been saved as final

^v Closure Expected in the Next 6 Months – LUST program cases who PTCP has been saved as final and whose impediments to closure are projected to be resolved by 6/4/2014

^{vi} Closure Expected by 12/31/2015 – LUST program cases whose PTCP has been saved as final and whose impediments to closure are projected to be resolved by 12/31/2015

^{vii} Closure Expected Between 1/1/2016 and 12/31/2019 – LUST program cases whose PTCP has been saved as final and whose impediments to closure are projected to be resolved between 1/1/2016 and 12/31/2019

^{viii} Closure Expected After 12/31/2019 – LUST program cases whose PTCP has been saved as final and whose impediments to closure are projected to be resolved after 12/31/2019

Local Oversight Programs (LOP) – Path to Closure Plan:

ORGANIZATION NAME	PTCP Required ⁱ	PTCP Not Started ⁱⁱ	PTCP in Progress ⁱⁱⁱ	PTCP Saved as Final ^{iv}	Closure Expected in the Next 6 Months ^v	Closure Expected by 12/31/2015 ^{vi}	Closure Expected Between 1/1/2016 and 12/31/2019 ^{vii}	Closure Expected After 12/31/2019 ^{viii}
ALAMEDA COUNTY LOP	156	1	2	153	37	60	92	1
EL DORADO COUNTY LOP	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
ANAHEIM CITY LOP	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
HUMBOLDT COUNTY LOP	50	0	3	47	23	40	3	4
MERCED COUNTY LOP	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
NAPA COUNTY LOP	9	0	0	9	5	8	1	0
NEVADA COUNTY LOP	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
ORANGE COUNTY LOP	191	0	7	184	40	58	118	8
RIVERSIDE COUNTY LOP	37	0	0	37	0	1	22	14
SACRAMENTO COUNTY LOP	120	0	11	109	66	82	17	10
SAN DIEGO COUNTY LOP	200	1	4	195	42	48	140	7
SAN FRANCISCO COUNTY LOP	41	0	0	41	14	16	21	4
SAN JOAQUIN COUNTY LOP	68	0	4	64	20	41	21	2
SAN MATEO COUNTY LOP	89	0	0	89	25	41	48	0
SANTA BARBARA COUNTY LOP	59	0	9	50	9	12	38	0
SANTA CLARA COUNTY LOP	130	0	0	130	26	71	59	0
SANTA CRUZ COUNTY LOP	30	0	0	30	26	27	1	2
SOLANO COUNTY LOP	33	3	0	30	7	15	14	1
SONOMA COUNTY LOP	89	0	0	89	15	16	71	2
STANISLAUS COUNTY LOP	14	0	1	13	2	4	9	0
TULARE COUNTY LOP	35	0	2	33	15	23	4	6
VENTURA COUNTY LOP	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

ⁱ PTCP Required – LUST program cases whose status was “OPEN” as of 8/17/2012

ⁱⁱ PTCP Not Started – LUST program cases whose PTCP has not yet been completed

ⁱⁱⁱ PTCP in Progress – LUST program cases whose PTCP has been saved in progress

^{iv} PTCP Saved as Final – LUST program cases whose PTCP has been saved as final

^v Closure Expected in the Next 6 Months – LUST program cases whose PTCP has been saved as final and whose impediments to closure are projected to be resolved by 6/5/2014

^{vi} Closure Expected by 12/31/2015 – LUST program cases whose PTCP has been saved as final and whose impediments to closure are projected to be resolved by 12/31/2015

^{vii} Closure Expected Between 1/1/2016 and 12/31/2019 – LUST program cases whose PTCP has been saved as final and whose impediments to closure are projected to be resolved between 1/1/2016 and 12/31/2019

^{viii} Closure Expected After 12/31/2019 – LUST program cases whose PTCP has been saved as final and whose impediments to closure are projected to be resolved after 12/31/2019

TOTALS	1,351	5	43	1,303	372	563	679	61
---------------	--------------	----------	-----------	--------------	------------	------------	------------	-----------

State Water Resources Control Board:

ORGANIZATION NAME	Closure Ordered by SWRCBⁱ	Regulatory Agencies Plan to Close	Regulatory Agencies Closed
DFA – UST CLEANUP FUND	145	19	642

ORGANIZATION NAME	Active Petitions as of 8/17/12	New Petitions Since 8/17/12	Petitions w/60-day Closure Notice Posted After 8/17/2012	Petitions Withdrawn by Responsible Party After 8/17/2012	Petitions Dismissed by Executive Director After 8/17/2012	Petitioned Cases Closed by Lead Agency After 8/17/2012	Petitioned Cases Closed by the State Water Board After 8/17/2012ⁱⁱ	Petitions Resolvedⁱⁱⁱ Since 8/17/2012	Active Petitions as of Reporting Date
DWQ – PETITIONS	71	22	3	5	15	32	33	85	8

ⁱ Closure activities must be completed within 6 months, and the Uniform Closure Letter issued within the following 2 months.

ⁱⁱ Petitions resolved equals the sum of petitions withdrawn by the responsibility party, petitions dismissed by executive director, petitioned cases closed by lead agency, and petitioned cases ordered closed by the Board.

ⁱⁱⁱ The Sum of Petitions Withdrawn by the Responsible Party, Petitions Dismissed by the Executive Director, Closed by the Lead Agency, and Closed by the State Water Board equals the Petitions Resolved.