

LTCP CASE REVIEWS AND PATH-TO-CLOSURE PLANS REPORT: As of May 1, 2015

UST case reviews against the UST Low-Threat Case Closure Policy (LTCP) and Path-To-Closure Plans (PTCP) are required to be completed annually. These data report the status of these reviews for Fiscal Year 2014-2015. All the data for the Regional Water Quality Control Boards, Local Oversight Programs, and the State Water Resources Control Board are compiled from GeoTracker. The data for the Division of Water Quality were compiled from internal tracking.

Regional Water Quality Control Boards - Low Threat Closure:

ORGANIZATION NAME	Cases Not Yet Reviewed for LTCP	Case Review for LTCP in Progress	Cases Where LTCP Criteria Not Met	Cases Where LTCP Criteria Met	Cases Closed After Meeting LTCP Criteria
NORTH COAST RWQCB (REGION 1)	99	30	9	17	7
SAN FRANCISCO BAY RWQCB (REGION 2)	299	8	14	7	2
CENTRAL COAST RWQCB (REGION 3)	38	4	51	33	15
LOS ANGELES RWQCB (REGION 4)	669	9	158	52	17
CENTRAL VALLEY RWQCB (REGION 5F)	133	1	35	4	4
CENTRAL VALLEY RWQCB (REGION 5R)	65	4	4	2	2
CENTRAL VALLEY RWQCB (REGION 5S)	154	8	109	14	4
LAHONTAN RWQCB (REGION 6T)	51	6	6	32	19
LAHONTAN RWQCB (REGION 6V)	0	0	0	0	0
COLORADO RIVER BASIN RWQCB (REGION 7)	59	1	6	18	4
SANTA ANA RWQCB (REGION 8)	142	2	32	17	5
SAN DIEGO RWQCB (REGION 9)	55	5	3	13	3
TOTALS	1,764	78	427	209	82

Local Oversight Programs (LOP) – Low Threat Closure:

ORGANIZATION NAME	Cases Not Yet Reviewed for LTCP	Case Review for LTCP in Progress	Cases Where LTCP Criteria Not Met	Cases Where LTCP Criteria Met	Cases Closed After Meeting LTCP Criteria
ALAMEDA COUNTY LOP	108	13	40	52	30
HUMBOLDT COUNTY LOP	35	8	10	14	11
NAPA COUNTY LOP	9	0	2	3	1
ORANGE COUNTY LOP	76	12	104	35	9
RIVERSIDE COUNTY LOP	29	0	9	16	1
SACRAMENTO COUNTY LOP	2	8	101	44	21
SAN DIEGO COUNTY LOP	193	4	10	26	19
SAN FRANCISCO COUNTY LOP	35	1	16	28	9
SAN JOAQUIN COUNTY LOP	28	2	33	9	0
SAN MATEO COUNTY LOP	31	0	65	21	6
SANTA BARBARA COUNTY LOP	19	5	34	19	13
SANTA CLARA COUNTY LOP	16	9	101	71	37
SANTA CRUZ COUNTY LOP	8	0	22	3	0
SOLANO COUNTY LOP	21	0	13	9	3
SONOMA COUNTY LOP	25	0	67	22	13
STANISLAUS COUNTY LOP	13	0	1	10	4
TULARE COUNTY LOP	25	1	9	8	4
TOTALS	673	63	637	390	181

Regional Water Quality Control Boards – Path to Closure Plan

ORGANIZATION NAME	PTCP Required ⁱ	PTCP Not Started ⁱⁱ	PTCP in Progress ⁱⁱⁱ	PTCP Saved as Final ^{iv}	Closure Expected in Next 6 Months ^v	Closure Expected by 12/31/2015 ^{vi}	Closure Expected Between 1/1/2016 and 12/31/2019 ^{vii}	Closure Expected after 12/31/2019 ^{viii}
NORTH COAST RWQCB (REGION 1)	130	1	4	125	19	25	30	70
SAN FRANCISCO BAY RWQCB (REGION 2)	300	4	5	291	113	166	88	37
CENTRAL COAST RWQCB (REGION 3)	91	0	0	91	25	37	49	5
LOS ANGELES RWQCB (REGION 4)	801	19	3	779	194	282	456	41
CENTRAL VALLEY RWQCB (REGION 5F)	152	0	1	151	12	17	74	60
CENTRAL VALLEY RWQCB (REGION 5R)	67	2	5	60	5	13	26	21
CENTRAL VALLEY RWQCB (REGION 5S)	268	3	3	262	25	39	109	114
LAHONTAN RWQCB (REGION 6T)	61	0	3	58	25	35	4	19
LAHONTAN RWQCB (REGION 6V)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
COLORADO RIVER BASIN RWQCB (REGION 7)	63	0	1	62	23	28	14	20
SANTA ANA RWQCB (REGION 8)	175	0	1	174	35	66	67	41
SAN DIEGO RWQCB (REGION 9)	61	1	2	58	22	24	30	4
SWRCB-DWQ	16	0	0	16	0	0	16	0
UST CLEAN UP FUND	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTALS	2,185	30	28	2,127	498	732	963	432

ⁱ PTCP Required – LUST program cases whose status was “open” as of 8/17/2012

ⁱⁱ PTCP Not Started – LUST program cases whose PTCP has not yet been completed

ⁱⁱⁱ PTCP in Progress – LUST program cases whose PTCP has been saved in progress

^{iv} PTCP Saved as Final – LUST program cases whose PTCP has been saved as final

^v Closure Expected in the Next 6 Months – LUST program cases who PTCP has been saved as final and whose impediments to closure are projected to be resolved by 6/4/2014

^{vi} Closure Expected by 12/31/2015 – LUST program cases whose PTCP has been saved as final and whose impediments to closure are projected to be resolved by 12/31/2015

^{vii} Closure Expected Between 1/1/2016 and 12/31/2019 – LUST program cases whose PTCP has been saved as final and whose impediments to closure are projected to be resolved between 1/1/2016 and 12/31/2019

^{viii} Closure Expected Afer 12/31/2019 – LUST program cases whose PTCP has been saved as final and whose impediments to closure are projected to be resolved after 12/31/2019

Local Oversight Programs (LOP) – Path to Closure Plan:

ORGANIZATION NAME	PTCP Required ⁱ	PTCP Not Started ⁱⁱ	PTCP in Progress ⁱⁱⁱ	PTCP Saved as Final ^{iv}	Closure Expected in the Next 6 Months ^v	Closure Expected by 12/31/2015 ^{vi}	Closure Expected Between 1/1/2016 and 12/31/2019 ^{vii}	Closure Expected After 12/31/2019 ^{viii}
ALAMEDA COUNTY LOP	146	1	4	141	35	48	91	2
HUMBOLDT COUNTY LOP	50	0	3	47	20	38	5	4
NAPA COUNTY LOP	9	0	0	9	6	8	1	0
ORANGE COUNTY LOP	189	0	6	183	38	54	123	6
RIVERSIDE COUNTY LOP	36	0	0	36	0	1	21	14
SACRAMENTO COUNTY LOP	109	0	12	97	49	71	16	10
SAN DIEGO COUNTY LOP	195	1	4	190	41	44	139	7
SAN FRANCISCO COUNTY LOP	41	0	0	41	14	16	21	4
SAN JOAQUIN COUNTY LOP	61	0	4	57	16	29	26	2
SAN MATEO COUNTY LOP	88	0	0	88	12	25	61	2
SANTA BARBARA COUNTY LOP	56	1	7	48	9	12	36	0
SANTA CLARA COUNTY LOP	123	0	0	123	7	60	63	0
SANTA CRUZ COUNTY LOP	29	0	0	29	24	26	1	2
SOLANO COUNTY LOP	33	3	0	30	7	15	14	1
SONOMA COUNTY LOP	88	0	0	88	12	13	74	1
STANISLAUS COUNTY LOP	14	0	1	13	3	4	9	0
TULARE COUNTY LOP	34	0	2	32	13	23	4	5
TOTALS	1,301	6	43	1,252	306	487	705	60

ⁱ PTCP Required – LUST program cases whose status was “OPEN” as of 8/17/2012

ⁱⁱ PTCP Not Started – LUST program cases whose PTCP has not yet been completed

ⁱⁱⁱ PTCP in Progress – LUST program cases whose PTCP has been saved in progress

^{iv} PTCP Saved as Final – LUST program cases whose PTCP has been saved as final

^v Closure Expected in the Next 6 Months – LUST program cases whose PTCP has been saved as final and whose impediments to closure are projected to be resolved by 6/5/2014

^{vi} Closure Expected by 12/31/2015 – LUST program cases whose PTCP has been saved as final and whose impediments to closure are projected to be resolved by 12/31/2015

^{vii} Closure Expected Between 1/1/2016 and 12/31/2019 – LUST program cases whose PTCP has been saved as final and whose impediments to closure are projected to be resolved between 1/1/2016 and 12/31/2019

^{viii} Closure Expected After 12/31/2019 – LUST program cases whose PTCP has been saved as final and whose impediments to closure are projected to be resolved after 12/31/2019

State Water Resources Control Board:

ORGANIZATION NAME	Closure Ordered by SWRCBⁱ	Regulatory Agencies Plan to Close	Regulatory Agencies Closed
DFA – UST CLEANUP FUND	155	825	30

ORGANIZATION NAME	Active Petitions as of 8/17/12	New Petitions Since 8/17/12	Petitions w/60-day Closure Notice Posted After 8/17/2012	Petitions Withdrawn by Responsible Party After 8/17/2012	Petitions Dismissed by Executive Director After 8/17/2012	Petitioned Cases Closed by Lead Agency After 8/17/2012	Petitioned Cases Closed by the State Water Board After 8/17/2012ⁱⁱ	Petitions Resolvedⁱⁱⁱ Since 8/17/2012	Active Petitions as of Reporting Date
DWQ – PETITIONS	70	24	2	6	16	31	33	86	8

ⁱ Closure activities must be completed within 6 months, and the Uniform Closure Letter issued within the following 2 months.

ⁱⁱ Petitions resolved equals the sum of petitions withdrawn by the responsibility party, petitions dismissed by executive director, petitioned cases closed by lead agency, and petitioned cases ordered closed by the Board.

ⁱⁱⁱ The Sum of Petitions Withdrawn by the Responsible Party, Petitions Dismissed by the Executive Director, Closed by the Lead Agency, and Closed by the State Water Board equals the Petitions Resolved.