



You are creating an emergency situation without any facts to substantiate an “emergency.”

That designation creates aspects of policy that may be short-term of alleviated with reduction in development or reduction in agriculture.

There is no public education in this policy.

The picture is not clear, on a statewide basis the effects of this policy and the capital and operations and maintenance cost.

Your LANDSCAPE IRRIGATION PROJECTS include:

- i. Parks, greenbelts, and playgrounds;
- ii. School yards;
- iii. Athletic fields;
- iv. Golf courses;
- v. Cemeteries;
- vi. Residential landscaping, common areas;
- vii. Commercial landscaping, except eating areas;
- viii. Industrial landscaping, except eating areas; and
- ix. Freeway, highway, and street landscaping.

Where is the effects on wildlife and birds and the possibility of disease that could destroy (poultry)(fishing) industries. Where is the incorporation into the ecosystem of the Watershed.

How do vibrational aspects of irrigation when it comes to large storage tanks play in earthquakes or in overweight truck incidents.

You show NO HEALTH concerns by the following:

For landscape irrigation projects, priority pollutants shall be monitored ~~twice~~ once per year, except for landscape irrigation projects ~~owned by small disadvantaged communities~~ with design production flows of one million gallons per day or less, which shall be monitored for priority pollutants once every ~~two~~ five years.

Why?

Building Standards Commission is approving codes for Gray Water. Is this policy compatible.

Do the proper agencies-local, state and federal-have correct jurisdiction.

More than chemicals have to be a concern here especially in projects that are not groundwater recharge.

Joyce Dillard
P.O. Box 31377
Los Angeles, CA 90031