DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES

1416 NINTH STREET, P.O. BOX 942836 SACRAMENTO, CA 94236-0001 (916) 653-5791



March 28, 2018

VIA ELECTRONIC MAIL: CWFHearing@waterboards.ca.gov

Tam Doduc, Hearing Officer Felicia Marcus, Hearing Officer State Water Resources Control Board 1001 I Street Sacramento, CA 95814

Re: Public Availability of Project Optimization Fact Sheet

Dear Hearing Officers Doduc and Marcus,

On Friday, March 23, 2018 I announced as a housekeeping matter the fact that the Department of Water Resources would be making available to the public additional engineering detail proposed for the California WaterFix. The proposed optimization of the project is a refinement of engineering detail, which is the progression of the project from a level of detail contained in the Conceptual Engineering Report.

Attached to this letter is the public announcement, in the form of a fact sheet, of this proposed optimization. DWR also provides below tables of the additional information such that the Hearing Officers can easily identify what aspects of the project are addressed. As you will see in the tables, and is stated in the fact sheet, the intent of the proposed engineering refinements is to further limit the potential effects of the construction of the California WaterFix.

It is the contention of DWR that all the aspects of the information released here is within the proper scope of rebuttal in Part 2 of the California WaterFix water rights change hearing. Should the Hearing Officers agree, parties to this hearing may utilize this information in preparing rebuttal testimony.

Sincerely,

Tripp Mizell

Sr. Attorney, Office of the Chief Counsel California Department of Water Resources

Project Footprint Change Description

The proposed project would include the same major components included as part of approved project. In some instances these components have been modified with the goal of further mitigating the environmental impacts disclosed in the Final EIR/EIS. Modifications to the project components would, in some cases, result in changes to how the components would be constructed. These footprint modifications are further described below.

| Location | Description of Change |
|---------------------------|--|
| Intakes 2/3/5 | No Changes |
| Intermediate Forebay (IF) | The 2 northernmost RTM impacts on DWR parcels removed. Remaining |
| | 3 parcels have impact trimmed to remove actual pond areas. |
| | Zacharias Island RTM and Barge Unloading Facility removed. |
| | RTM area added to parcel adjacent to east of IF. |
| | Fuel Station shifted from NW side of Concrete Batch Plant to SE side of |
| | Concrete Batch Plant. |
| Intake 3 to IF | East tunnel shifted to run from Intake 3 south and east of Hood until it |
| | parallels with West Tunnel from Intake 5. |
| | Temporary tunnel work area moved south of Lambert road. |
| Staten Island | Safe Haven added to northern part of island at Walnut Grove Rd. |
| | Northern vent shaft shifted south approximately 22,600 ft. |
| | Safe Haven area moved south approximately 2,300 ft. |
| | Southern retrieval shaft shifted north 18,200 ft. |
| | Tunnel curve extended to move tunnel further east where it crosses the |
| | South Mokolumne River. |
| | Tunnel alignment straightened heading onto Bouldin Island from the |
| | north. |
| Bouldin Island | Shafts moved East to directly south of Highway 12 Interchange. |
| | Access road from Hwy 12 to launch shaft straightened. |
| | RTM area on east side of island moved north to avoid wetland impacts. |
| | Tunnel alignment shifted to accommodate new locations for Bouldin |
| | launch shafts. |
| | Barge Landing Facility moved east approximately 1,500 ft. |
| | Concrete Batch Plant and Fuel Station moved SE to be adjacent to west |
| | side of Bouldin launch shafts. |
| Venice Island | Tunnel alignment – see above description at Bouldin Island |
| Mandeville Island | Shaft location shifted north approximately 1,900 ft. |
| | Access road modified to connect to shaft at further north location. |
| Victoria Island | Tunnel makes westerly curve west south of CA Hwy 4 to intersect new |
| | terminal forebay. |
| Clifton Court Forebay | No changes are being made to the existing conditions at Clifton Court |
| | Forebay. Any and all impacts at this location that were described under |
| | Alternative 4A in the Final EIR/EIS have been removed. |
| Byron Tract | New terminal forebay added in location of prior RTM area NW of CCF. |

| RTM areas modified to be directly north of terminal forebay. Impact |
|--|
| removed from adjacent to river levee. |
| New Canal section runs west out of terminal forebay until siphon crosses |
| under Byron Highway, then turns southeast to intersect CA Aqueduct. |
| New Canal section connects CA Aqueduct and Delta Mendota Canal. |
| Concrete Batch Plant and Fuel Station added directly north of shafts. |

| Location | Power |
|--------------|--|
| WAPA (South) | PG&E Interconnection option removed. |
| | New line follows canal alignment to new terminal forebay then runs |
| | along west and north edges of new terminal forebay. |
| | Alignment follows east side of tunnel easement, with diversions that |
| | make shorter waterway crossings between Bacon and Mandeville |
| | Islands, over the San Joaquin River, and between Venice and Bouldin |
| | Islands. |

Figure 1. Construction Effects on Listed Fish Species

| | Alternative | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|
| Chapter 11 - Fish and Aquatic Resources | Approved Project ¹ | Proposed Project | | |
| Impacts AQUA-1, AQUA-19, AQUA-37, AQUA-55, AQUA-73, AQUA-91, AQUA-109, AQUA-127, AQUA-145, AQUA-163, AQUA-181, and AQUA-199: Effects of Construction of Water Conveyance Facilities on Delta Smelt, Longfin Smelt, Chinook Salmon (Winter-Run ESU), Chinook Salmon (Spring-Run ESU), Chinook Salmon (Fall-/Late Fall-Run ESU), Steelhead, Sacramento Splittail, Green Sturgeon, White Sturgeon, Pacific Lamprey, River Lamprey, and Non-Covered Aquatic Species of Primary Management Concern | Tidal perennial habitat ² : 52.0 acres; Channel margin habitat ³ : 1.02 miles; Shallow water habitat ⁴ : 500.6 acres. | Tidal perennial habitat ² : 48.9 acres; Channel margin habitat ³ : 1.02 miles; Shallow water habitat ⁴ : 500.6 acres. | | |
| | LTS/NA | LTS/NA | | |
| Based on impacts described in Table 3.4.1 in Chapter 3 of the updated CWF BA. Comprises: 26.7 acres at North Delta Diversions; 2.9 acres at Head of Old River; and 22.4 acres for | | | | |

barge landings under approved project, and 19.3 acres for barge landings under proposed project.

Figure 2. Acres of Permanent and Temporary Impact on Natural Communities

| Project Impact Acreage | Approved Project | Proposed Project |
|--|---------------------------|------------------|
| Agricultural | 10,891 | 10,317 |
| Alkali Seasonal Wetland Complex | 1 | 3 |
| Developed | 136 | 133 |
| Grassland | 695 | 485 |
| Managed Wetland | 364a | 336^{b} |
| Nontidal Freshwater Perennial Emergent Wetland | 5 | 4 |
| Nontidal Perennial Aquatic | $80^{\rm c}$ | 32 ^d |
| Tidal Freshwater Emergent Wetland | 9 | 5 |
| Tidal Perennial Aquatic | 368 [2,299 ^c] | 87 |
| Valley/Foothill Riparian | 71 | 35 |
| Vernal Pool Complex | 22 | 2 |

Total 12,276 $[14,575^{c}]$ 11.439 ^a 321 acres of this impact are from tidal restoration, which would not be a loss of wetland but a conversion and an improvement in wetland functions and services.

³ All at the North Delta Diversions.

⁴ From the downstream end of intake 5 to the upstream observed limit of delta smelt occurrence (Knights Landing).

^b 317 acres of this impact are from tidal restoration, which would not be a loss of wetland but a conversion and an improvement in wetland functions and services.

^c 16 acres of this impact are from tidal restoration, which would convert open water to tidal wetland.

d Includes 1,931 acres that are dredging of Clifton Court Forebay.

Figure 3. Acres of Permanent and Temporary Impact on Federally and Stated Listed Species

| Species | Federal/State Status | Approved Project | Proposed Project |
|-----------------------------------|----------------------|------------------|------------------|
| Boggs Lake hedge-hyssop | -/E | 23 | 5 |
| CA Least Tern | E/E | 2,389a | 169 |
| California Black Rail | -/T, FP | 35 | 22 |
| Conservancy Shrimp | E/- | 6 | 0.001 |
| California red-legged frog | T/SSC | 54 | 472 |
| California tiger salamander | T/T | 52 | 403 |
| Delta button celery | -/E | 96 | 79 |
| Giant garter snake | T/T | 1,320 | 737 |
| Greater sandhill crane | -/T, FP | 9,709 | 8,409 |
| Least Bell's vireo | E/E | 78 | 41 |
| Longhorn fairy shrimp | E/- | 6 | 0.001 |
| Masons Lilaeopsis | -/R | 53 | 28 |
| Riparian brush rabbit | E/E | 0 | 0 |
| Riparian woodrat | E/SSC | 0 | 0 |
| San Joaquin kit fox | E/T | 327 | 488 |
| Swainson's hawk | -/T | 11,914 | 11,009 |
| Tricolored blackbird | -/CE | 10,779 | 9,494 |
| Valley elderberry longhorn beetle | T/- | 489 | 252 |
| Vernal pool fairy shrimp | T/- | 6 | 0.001 |
| Vernal pool tadpole shrimp | E/- | 6 | 0.001 |
| Yellow-billed cuckoo | T/E | 59 | 32 |

^a Includes 1,930 acres of Clifton Court Forebay dredging.





DESIGN REFINEMENTS PROPOSED

To Minimize Impacts, Improve Performance and Reduce Costs

Design improvements are being proposed to minimize impacts of the WaterFix project on local communities and the environment. The proposed changes build on past modifications that significantly reduced the project's footprint and costs. The new optimizations also seek to minimize impacts on Delta wetlands and the natural environment.

The proposed optimizations will be subject to environmental review as a part of the forthcoming Supplemental Environmental Impact Report expected in Spring 2018.

KEY BENEFITS OF THE NEWLY PROPOSED OPTIMIZATIONS



Significantly reduces wetland impacts



Reduces impacts to salmon and smelt at the Clifton Court Forebay



Reduces the number of power poles and lines required which improves aesthetics, reduces impacts to birds, and minimizes the need for power facilities near the town of Courtland, while also eliminating the need to relocate large 230 kV and 500 kV transmission lines



Consolidates the reusable tunnel material (RTM) footprint to minimize impacts to Stone Lakes Wildlife Refuge and nearby agricultural lands



Reduces potential impacts to the town of Hood and a residential neighborhood on Kings Island



DESIGN REFINEMENTS & PROPOSED MODIFICATIONS

