1		
ç		
1	SOMACH, SIMMONS & DUNN	EXEMPT FROM FILING FEES
2	A Professional Corporation STUART L. SOMACH (SBN: 090959)	PURSUANT TO GOVERNMENT CODE SECTION 6103
3	ANDREW M. HITCHINGS (SBN: 154554) DANIEL KELLY (SBN: 215051)	CONFORMED
4	813 Sixth Street, Third Floor Sacramento, CA 95814	G TINA M. BURKHART
5	Telephone: (916) 446-7979 Facsimile: (916) 446-8199	
6	Attorneys for Plaintiff	CLERK OF THE SUPERIOR COUNT
7	GLENN-COLUSA IRRIGATION DISTRICT	L. Rudow
8	IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE	STATE OF CALIFORNIA
9	IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF GLENN	
10		
11	GLENN-COLUSA IRRIGATION DISTRICT, a	Case No. 05CV00256
	California Irrigation District and Public Agency,	
12	Plaintiff,	JUDGMENT VALIDATING CONTRACT AND PROCEEDINGS
13	VS.	
14	ALL PERSONS IN ANY WAY INTERESTED IN	
15	THE MATTER OF THE VALIDITY OF THAT CERTAIN CONTRACT entitled "CONTRACT	
16	BETWEEN THE UNITED STATES AND GLENN- COLUSA IRRIGATION DISTRICT, DIVERTER OF	
17	WATER FROM SACRAMENTO RIVER SOURCES, SETTLING WATER RIGHTS	
18	DISPUTES AND PROVIDING FOR PROJECT WATER" (CONTRACT NO. 14-06-200-855A-R-1),	
19	OR IN THE PROCEEDINGS LEADING UP TO, AND INCLUDING, THE EXECUTION,	
20	APPROVAL, AND RATIFICATION OF THE EXECUTION OF SAID CONTRACT,	
21	Defendants.	
22		
23	This matter was initiated by the Complaint entitled "Complaint to Validate Contract and	
24	Proceedings" ("Complaint") in which Glenn-Colusa Irrigation District ("District") is the Plaintiff	
25	and ALL PERSONS IN ANY WAY INTERESTED, et al., are Defendants.	
26	It appearing that this cause was commenced on March 8, 2005, and that proof has been	
27	introduced fully supporting all of the allegations of the Complaint and all proceedings sought to be	
28	validated and confirmed herein, and the Court, now being fully advised, finds as follows:	
& DUNN MATER	JUDGMENT VALIDATING CONTRACT AND PROCEEDINGS 1	

GCID-5

Ð

1. The Complaint with exhibits as filed herein and the Summons as issued thereon in every respect comply with and conform to the requirements of the provisions of section 35855 of the California Water Code, the requirements of the provisions of Chapter 9 (commencing with section 860) of Title 10 of Part 2 of the California Code of Civil Procedure, and with the requirements of all other pertinent provisions of law; and this action was timely brought by Plaintiff as an authorized public agency, to wit: California Irrigation District organized and existing under the provisions of Division 11 of the California Water Code.

2. As required by this Court's "Order for Publication and Posting of Summons," due and timely notice of the pendency of this proceeding has been given as required by law and by the Order of this Court and, in accordance with the provisions of the Summons issued herein, jurisdiction of this Court in this matter is now complete.

3. With the exception of Plaintiff herein, no party interested, or otherwise, has appeared, contested, or formally objected in any manner, or at all, to the legality or validity of the matters sought to be determined herein, and this matter has been submitted upon the documents on file in this matter.

4. The Court has jurisdiction to hear and determine the matters alleged and prayed for in the Complaint at this time and without further notice.

 All the allegations of the Complaint are true and sustained by competent proof.
The contract attached as Exhibit 1 to the Complaint, entitled "UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR BUREAU OF RECLAMATION CENTRAL VALLEY PROJECT, CONTRACT BETWEEN THE UNITED STATES AND GLENN-COLUSA IRRIGATION DISTRICT, DIVERTER OF WATER FROM SACRAMENTO RIVER SOURCES, SETTLING WATER RIGHTS DISPUTES AND PROVIDING FOR PROJECT WATER," CONTRACT NO. 14-06-200-855A-R-1 (hereinafter referred to as the "District Contract"), is lawful, valid, enforceable and in the best interests of Glenn-Colusa Irrigation District and all persons in any way interested therein. Further, all proceedings leading up to and including the authorization of the execution, the approval and the ratification of the execution of the District Contract were carried out and conducted in conformity and in compliance with the provisions of Water Code

JUDGMENT VALIDATING CONTRACT AND PROCEEDINGS

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

MONS & DUNK

GCID-5

section 20510, et seq. and with the provisions of all other laws and enactments at any time in force and controlling upon or applicable to said proceedings, whether of law, of code or of ordinance and whether State, Federal or Municipal, and also in accordance with all requirements of regulatory bodies, agencies and/or officials having authority over or asserting authority over said proceedings or any part thereof.

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED as follows:

1. The Court is a court of competent jurisdiction with respect to the determination and adjudication of this special proceeding and with respect to all matters involved herein or incidental thereto and specifically for the examination, approval, confirmation and validation of every matter so examined, approved, confirmed and validated herein, and the Court has examined the District Contract as well as the proceedings leading up to and including the authorization of the execution, the approval and the ratification of the approval of the execution of the District Contract; and

2. All proceedings leading up to and including the authorization of the execution, the approval and the ratification of the execution of the District Contract have been taken and performed in all respects, substantive and procedural, as required by law and each and all of said proceedings are hereby approved, confirmed and validated; and the District Contract has been validly executed and each and all provisions thereof are lawful, valid, enforceable and binding upon the respective parties thereto.

JUN 1 4 2005 DATED:

DONALD COLE BYRD

JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT