# Presentation of the San Joaquin Tributary Agencies to the State Water Resources Control Board October 19, 1994 on

# San Joaquin River Salmon & Striped Bass Issues

I. Comments on Behalf of San Joaquin Tributary Agencies:

A. Stanislaus River - (Oakdale Irrigation District & South San Joaquin Irrigation District)
B. Tuolumne River - (Modesto Irrigation District & Turlock Irrigation District)
C. Merced River - (Merced Irrigation District)

## II. Discussion

- A. Salmon Issues
  - 1. USFWS Statistical Review of Salmon Smolt data inappropriate.
  - 2. Salmon Smolts can survive at temperatures higher than recommended by USFWS.
  - 3. San Joaquin Tributary Agencies Salmon Alternative.
    - a. Two seven day pulses.
    - b. Specified pulse flows at Vernalis.
    - c. Old River Barrier must be installed.
    - d. Limited exports MID April to MID May.
- B. Striped Bass Issues
  - 1. No Salinity Barrier
  - 2. Spawning Area not Limited
  - 3. Bibliography
  - 4. Historical Review
- III. Summary of San Joaquin Tributary Agency Comments
  - A. Help Increase and Maintain Fishery
  - B. Resist Contributing Water to Meet the Obligations of Others

# Estimating the influence of temperature on the survival of chinook salmon smolts (Oncorhynchus tshawytscha) migrating through the Sacramento - San Joaquin River Delta of California

110 , p<sup>1</sup>

.

.

Peter Fritz Baker

EA Engineering, Science, and Technology, 3468 Mt. Diablo Boulevard, Suite B-100, Lafayette, CA 94549

Terence P. Speed

Department of Statistics, University of California at Berkeley, Berkeley, CA 94720

Franklin K. Ligon

EA Engineering, Science, and Technology, 3468 Mt. Diablo Boulevard, Suite B-100, Lafayette, CA 94549

Baker, P. F., T. P. Speed, and F. K. Ligon. 1993. Estimating the influence of temperature on the survival of chinook salmon smolts (*Oncorhynchus tshawytscha*) migrating through the Sacramento - San Joaquin River Delta of California. Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci.

Abstract. Data collected and reported by the U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service are used to investigate the relationship between water temperature and survival of hatchery-raised fallrun chinook salmon (Oncorhynchus tshawytscha) smolts migrating through the Sacramento - San Joaquin Delta of California. A formal statistical model is presented for the release of smolts marked with coded-wire tags (CWTs) in the lower Sacramento River and the subsequent recovery of marked smolts in mid-water trawls in the Delta. This model treats survival as a logistic function of water temperature, and the release and recovery of different CWT groups as independent mark-recapture experiments. Iteratively reweighted leastsquares is used to fit the model to the data, and simulation is used to establish confidence intervals for the fitted parameters. The upper incipient lethal temperature inferred from the trawl data by this method is  $23.01 \pm 1.08$  °C at the 95% confidence level. This is in good agreement with experimental results of Brett (1952) (24.3  $\pm$  0.1 °C and 25.1  $\pm$  0.1 °C for chinook salmon acclimatized to 10°C and 20°C, respectively), particularly when it is observed that Brett's results were obtained under controlled conditions, whereas the present work deals with survival in the natural environment. This agreement has implications for the applicability of laboratory findings to natural systems.

#### INTRODUCTION

For many years, the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS), in cooperation with the California Department of Fish and Game (CDFG) through the Inter-Agency Ecological Study Program, has conducted trawls for chinook salmon (*Oncorhynchus tshawytscha*) smolts near Chipps Island in the Sacramento - San Joaquin Delta of California during the main periods of smolt outmigration (USFWS 1983-1992). The data arising from the Chipps Island trawls are used by USFWS and others to address a variety of questions about California's chinook salmon, such as smolt abundance, timing of outmigration, migration rates, and survival (Stevens et al. 1984; USFWS 1987; Kjelson et al. 1989).

•

ı,

An important part of these data consists of the recoveries of hatchery-reared fall-run smolts bearing coded-wire tags (CWTs) from a series of releases by USFWS and CDFG since 1978. These releases are made at a number of locations in the lower Sacramento River and northern Delta specifically to provide information about smolt survival in the Delta.

The usual treatment of these data has been as follows: an estimate is made of the survivorship associated with each individual release, the estimates are plotted against proposed explanatory variables (water temperature, smolt size, etc.), and a hypothesized survival curve is fitted through these points. Disagreements over the interpretation of the data have turned on the method used to estimate the individual survivorships and the functional form of the curve to be fitted (Kjelson et al. 1989; Baker et al. 1992).

This approach is reasonable and straightforward. It also has some limitations: it does not provide objective ways of assessing the extent to which a proposed survival function is consistent with the data, and it does not produce confidence bounds on fitted parameters that might be used to make informed policy decisions. Questions about goodness of fit and statistical uncertainty can only be formulated properly in the context of statistical models.

In this paper, we restrict our attention to the problem of estimating smolt survival as a function of water temperature, from trawl recoveries of CWT-marked smolts released at a single location. We show that a biologically reasonable model fits the data well enough to permit quantitative assessments of the uncertainty in the fitted parameters. The fitted values are shown to agree well with the results of laboratory studies.

#### Data

In this paper, r denotes the number of smolt release groups. For the *i*th release,  $1 \le i \le r$ ,  $n_i$  is the number of smolts released,  $m_i$  is the number of smolts recovered,  $p_i$  is the trawl effort, and  $T_i$  is the water temperature at Ryde at the time of release, in degrees centigrade. The data used in the models are those from the 15 releases in the lower Sacramento River at Ryde from 1983 through 1990 that are listed in Table 1. These data were assembled from (USFWS 1983-1992) and (Johnson and Longwill 1991). The smolts were all fall-run chinook salmon, reared at the Feather River Hatchery and released at Ryde in May or June. The average weight of these smolts ranged in different years from 5.15 g to 9.40 g. Peak trawl recoveries at Chipps Island ranged from two to five days after release at Chipps Island.

Ryde is about 48 km upstream of Chipps Island, just below the last major distributary branching of the Sacramento River as it enters the Delta. From each of the other release locations, there are alternate routes to Chipps Island and a variety of conditions to be found along the different routes. Smolts released at Ryde have only one direct route to Chipps Island (a second route, around Sherman Island via Three Mile Slough, is probably of minor importance), and survival along this route is likely to be less affected by factors other than water temperature than is survival through most other parts of the Delta. For this reason, the Ryde releases are commonly recognized as the most natural ones to consider when temperature is the primary variable of interest (Kjelson et al. 1989).

Figure 1 shows the region of the Delta under discussion.

1

Figure 1 near here

What we are calling "trawl effort" is defined in USFWS reports as the ratio of the time spent in actual trawling to the total time interval covered by the surveys, multiplied by the ratio of the net width to the channel width. Although the USFWS reports do not always report the trawl effort, it is possible to recover it from the information that is reported. We will use the trawl effort as an estimate of the probability of capture; this assumption will be examined briefly later in this paper. The USFWS itself scrupulously refers to this quantity as simply an "expansion factor", and to values calculated from it as "survival indices".

#### THE BASE MODEL

All of our models begin with the assumption that the different CWT releases can be treated as independent mark-recapture experiments. For our first model, we treat each individual release as a binomial experiment, whose parameter is broken down into two Table 1 near here

components: the probability of survival from Ryde to Chipps Island, which we will take to be a logistic function  $\phi(T_i)$  of water temperature  $T_i$ , and the probability of capture at Chipps Island, the known constant  $p_i$ . The parameters to be fitted are the location and scale parameters  $b_1$ ,  $b_2$  of the logistic function  $\phi$ .

This corresponds to the likelihood function

$$L=\prod_{1}^{r}\pi_{i}$$

where

×

(1)  
$$\pi_{i} = \pi(m_{i}|n_{i},\phi_{i},p_{i}) = \binom{n_{i}}{m_{i}}(p_{i}\phi_{i})^{m_{i}}(1-p_{i}\phi_{i})^{n_{i}-m_{i}}$$
$$\phi_{i} = \phi(T_{i}) = \frac{1}{1+e^{-b_{1}-b_{2}T_{i}}}$$

This is a generalized linear model with canonical link function, in the terminology of McCullagh and Nelder (1989). A model of this kind is completely specified by its mean and the dependence of the variance on the mean. In this case,

(2)  

$$E[m_i] = p_i \phi_i n_i$$

$$V[m_i] = E[m_i] - \frac{1}{n_i} E[m_i]^2$$

The maximum likelihood estimate for  $(b_1, b_2)$  is easily found from (2) by the algorithm of iteratively reweighted least squares.

A biologically natural alternative to the parameterization  $(b_1, b_2)$  of the survival curve is  $(LT50, \alpha)$ , where LT50 is the temperature at which the predicted survival is 0.50, and  $\alpha$  is the slope of the survival function at T = LT50. We will report results in both forms.

For the data in Table 1, maximum likelihood estimation gives  $b_1 = 15.89$ ,  $b_2 = -0.6873$ . Equivalently, LT50 = 23.12,  $\alpha = -0.1718$ .

The Pearson chi-square for the fit is 104.5 with 13 degrees of freedom. The log-likelihood ratio statistic D, which is also approximately distributed as a chi-square statistic with 13

degrees of freedom, is 103.4. Both of these values are very highly significant, indicating that the base model does not fit very well.

R

Table 2 shows the expected and observed numbers of trawl captures, with Pearson andTable 2 near heredeviance residuals. The residuals are plotted against water temperature in Figure 2. BecauseFigure 2 near herethere is no clear trend in the residuals, we do not attribute the lack of fit to a fundamentalfit to a fundamentaldefect in the model structure, such as an inadequate choice of the functional form for  $\phi$ .That is, the model's handling of temperature is acceptable, but the model is not flexibleenough to account for all of the "noise" in the data from factors not included.

#### **OVERDISPERSION**

The over-dispersion of the data with respect to the base model is not necessarily a fatal defect—in fact, over-dispersion is so common in models such as this that its absence would be more remarkable than its presence (cf. McCullagh and Nelder 1989, §4.5.1).

A conventional way to deal with over-dispersion in a situation like this is to simply inflate the variance by some constant  $\sigma^2$ . In this case, one would replace (2) by

(3)  

$$E[m_i] = p_i \phi_i n_i$$

$$V[m_i] = \sigma^2 (E[m_i] - \frac{1}{n_i} E[m_i]^2)$$

The maximum-likelihood estimate for  $(b_1, b_2)$  is not affected at all by the introduction of the "dispersion parameter"  $\sigma^2$ , so we are free to give  $\sigma^2$  whatever value we want. In particular, we could force the model to have an acceptable chi-square fit simply by setting  $\sigma^2 = X^2/d.f.$ , where  $X^2$  is the fit of the original model.

The main criticism one can make of this procedure is that it seems rather arbitrary. If a model does not fit the data, the model assumptions are inadequate in some way, and should at least be re-examined. After all, the fitted values of the model parameters will not be meaningful if the model itself has no relation to reality, regardless of how we assign confidence levels. In fact, there is an extensive literature on the subject, which basically justifies using the unadorned model to estimate parameters like  $b_1$  and  $b_2$ , and dealing with overdispersion as indicated above (see references in McCullagh and Nelder 1989; Burnham et al. 1987). Nevertheless, we prefer to tailor our approach to the specifics of our situation.

.

There are many possible sources of over-dispersion in these experiments: The probability of survival surely depends on factors other than water temperature; fish from different release groups have different histories; fish from the same release group recovered in different trawls have different histories. However, we believe that the most important uncertainty is in the capture probabilities  $p_i$ . It is clear from the nature of the experiment that these numbers could be in error by very large amounts. It is easy to imagine that smolts could have a preference for regions of the channel cross section which are especially likely or unlikely to be sampled in a particular trawl, or that they travel past Chipps Island in "clumps" that might or might not coincide with a trawl pass.

Furthermore, the data from some of the individual releases clearly point to errors in the capture probability estimates. In the first of the two 1990 releases, 51878 smolts were released, of which 87 were recovered; even if the survival were 100%, the probability of recovering as many as 87 smolts, assuming that the probability of capture was really 0.001036, would be on the order of  $10^{-5}$ .

On the other hand, there is evidence that the recovery probability estimates are not systematically too high or too low. Fish from the CWT groups released at Ryde are also recovered in the ocean fishery as adults; information about these recoveries is available through the Pacific States Marine Fisheries Commission. These recoveries can be used to generate estimates of Delta smolt survival.

The CWT groups are recovered as two-, three-, four-, and five-year-olds (the nominal ages of fall-run chinook salmon are based on the calendar years in which spawning took place). By comparing the ocean recovery rates of two-year-olds from the Ryde groups with the ocean recovery rates for two-year-olds from groups of similar smolts released near Chipps Island at about the same time, it is easy to obtain estimates of survival from Ryde to Chipps Island from individual releases. In fact, the closest release site to Chipps Island is Port Chicago, about 8 km downstream, so that what is being estimated is survival from Ryde to Port Chicago:

$$S_{\text{Ocean}} = \frac{m_{\text{Ryde}}/n_{\text{Ryde}}}{m_{\text{PC}}/n_{\text{PC}}}$$

where  $n_{Ryde}$  is the number released at Ryde,  $n_{PC}$  is the number released at the Port Chicago, and  $m_{Ryde}$ ,  $m_{PC}$  are the corresponding numbers recovered as two-year-olds in the ocean. These can be compared with simple estimates of survival from Ryde to Chipps Island for the same releases

$$S_{\text{Trawl}} = \frac{m_i}{n_i p_i}$$

where  $n_i$ ,  $m_i$ , and  $p_i$  are as defined earlier (cf. USFWS 1987).

•

ŝ

Survival from Chipps Island to Port Chicago should be high, because the distance between them is fairly small, so that  $S_{\text{Ocean}}$ ,  $S_{\text{Trawl}}$  are essentially estimates of the same quantity. As there is no reason to expect both estimates to be biased in the same direction and to the same extent, each serves as a check on the other. Formal analysis confirms the impression of Figure 3, that the hypothesis  $S_{\text{Ocean}} = S_{\text{Trawl}}$  cannot be rejected at the 95% confidence level. Figure 3 near here We interpret this as evidence that the  $p_i$  can be used as estimates of the expected values of the true recovery probabilities (although the co-occurrences of ocean-based estimates greater than 1 with trawl-based estimates greater than 1 remains puzzling).

More information on the relationship between the trawl-recovery and ocean-recovery estimates can be obtained from the authors.

### THE RELAXED MODEL, THE QUASILIKELIHOOD ESTIMATOR, AND SIMULATION

We modify the base model (1) to allow for uncertainty in the capture probabilities by assuming that the capture probability P in the *i*th release is itself a random variable with mean  $p_i$  and variance  $\rho^2 p_i^2$ . Here  $\rho^2$  is taken to be the same for all release groups. (Because the capture probabilities are necessarily non-negative, and we expect the errors in the  $p_i$  to be large, a multiplicative error structure seems called for; this leads to the assumption that the coefficient of variation, rather than the variance itself, is constant from release to release). This gives

(4)  
$$\pi(m_i|n_i,\phi_i,p_i) = \int_0^1 \binom{n_i}{m_i} (P\phi_i)^{m_i} (1-P\phi_i)^{n_i-m_i} f_i(P) dP$$
$$\phi_i = \phi(T_i) = \frac{1}{1+e^{-b_1-b_2T_i}}$$

where  $f_i$  is the density for P. We will call this the relaxed model.

Because we have not specified the distribution  $f_i$ , this is not yet a well-defined likelihood. No matter what distribution we use, however, we will always have

(5)  

$$E[m_i] = p_i \phi_i n_i$$

$$V[m_i] = E[m_i] + (\frac{n_i - 1}{n_i} \rho^2 - \frac{1}{n_i}) E[m_i]^2$$

(equivalently,  $E[m_i] = E[m_i|P = p_i]$ ,  $\frac{V[m_i]}{E[m_i]^2} = \frac{V[m_i|P=p_i]}{E[m_i|P=p_i]^2} + \frac{n_i-1}{n_i}\rho^2$ ). If the  $\pi_i$  were in a suitable exponential family, this would be all the information necessary to find the maximum-likelihood estimate for  $(b_1, b_2)$  by iteratively reweighted least-squares. This algorithm is in any case a perfectly legitimate estimator, that one would expect to inherit some of the properties of a genuine maximum-likelihood estimator. We will refer to this as the quasilikelihood estimator, for reasons to be discussed in the next section.

We are interested not only in the parameter estimates themselves, but in statistical properties of the estimator such as bias and variance. The conventional way to assign confidence intervals to the parameter estimates is by the delta method. In the case of generalized linear models fitted by iteratively reweighted least-squares, the covariance matrix emerges naturally from the algorithm; when a model that is not necessarily of this form is fitted by the iteratively reweighted least-squares algorithm, the algorithm gives the covariance matrix asymptotically. In either case, the estimators are approximately unbiased and asymptotically normal (McCullagh and Nelder 1989).

However maximum-likelihood estimators can be very far from either unbiased or normal when the number of samples is not large. In any case, these compromises are entirely unnecessary. For any particular choice of  $f_i$ , the properties of the quasilikelihood estimator can be determined to any desired accuracy by simulation.

We will consider two simple examples: the uniform distribution

$$f_i(P) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2w}, & \text{if } |P - p_i| < w\\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}, \qquad w = p_i \sqrt{3\rho^2}$$

and the triangular distribution

•

.

$$f_i(P) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{w}(1 - \frac{1}{w}|P - p_i|), & \text{if } |P - p_i| < w\\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}, \quad w = p_i \sqrt{6\rho^2}$$

The largest value of  $\rho^2$  consistent with the uniform distribution is 1/3, and the largest value consistent with the triangular distribution is 1/6. Notice that the uniform distribution has the largest variance of any unimodal distribution symmetric about  $p_i$ , and so sets an upper limit on the amount of extra variation that can be reasonably attributed to uncertainty in  $p_i$ . Confidence estimates based on this distribution should therefore be conservative.

We have defined a model (or at least a family of models) and a fitting procedure. It still remains to choose a value for  $\rho^2$ . We have no good basis for selecting a value a priori. Not only do we lack a suitable understanding of the trawl capture process, but the parameter is absorbing extra variation associated with  $\phi$  and with the approximation of the trawl recovery as a simple binomial process. There are methods for fitting  $\rho^2$  formally as a model parameter (McCullagh and Nelder 1989), but for a data set of this size we find it more appropriate to simply pick a value that results in a reasonable model fit. We have followed the usual practice of forcing the Pearson chi-squared statistic of the fit to equal the degrees of freedom (Williams 1982).

For the data in Table 1, the fitting procedure described above produced the estimate  $\rho^2 = 0.1503$ . This value for  $\rho^2$  seems plausible to us. It is close to the  $\rho^2$  for the maximally broad triangular distribution, and comfortably within the range of  $\rho^2$  values that are consistent with the derivation of the model.

For this value of  $\rho^2$ , the fitted parameters are  $b_1 = 15.56$ ,  $b_2 = -0.6765$ , so that LT50 = 23.01 and  $\alpha = -0.1691$ .

÷

٠.,

Confidence intervals and bias for  $b_1$ ,  $b_2$ , LT50, and  $\alpha$  were estimated by simulation: the model (4) was used with both the uniform and triangular distributions for  $f_i$  to generate 5000 data sets each, assuming the values for  $\rho^2$ ,  $b_1$ , and  $b_2$  given above. Each simulated data set was fitted to the model (holding  $\rho^2$  constant), yielding 10 000 pairs  $(b_{1k}, b_{2k})$ .

The mean, standard deviation, and bias of these data, and some order statistics, are shown in Table 3. Standard formulas show that the mean and standard deviation are determined Table 3 near here by the simulation to within 2% at the 95% confidence level. The quasilikelihood estimator for LT50 is seen to be essentially unbiased, confirming the naturalness of this quantity as a model parameter. The shortest 95% confidence intervals were  $21.96^{\circ}C < LT50 < 24.10^{\circ}C$ for the uniform distribution and  $22.59^{\circ}C < LT50 < 23.41^{\circ}C$  for the triangular distribution. The corresponding symmetric 95% intervals were  $21.93^{\circ}C < LT50 < 24.08^{\circ}C$  and  $22.60^{\circ}C < LT50 < 23.42^{\circ}C$ , respectively.

The results of the simulation are shown more vividly in Figure 4. For each model, one Figure 4 near here point has been plotted at a randomly chosen temperature on each of the 5000 fitted survival curves, to give some feeling for the shapes of the confidence surfaces.

#### THE QUASILIKELIHOOD-GENERATING MODEL

Our goal in this section is to clarify just what the "quasilikelihood estimator" of the preceding section is maximizing. From a practical point of view, the question is moot, in that the simulations described there establish completely rigorous confidence regions for the estimated parameters. This section can be skipped by readers who are primarily interested in the biological results.

Quasilikelihood theory was developed to deal with situations in which one has some (usually empirical) information about the relationship between the expected value and variance of a quantity, over a series of similar experiments, but not about the statistical mechanisms that give rise to this relation, and therefore no way to construct a likelihood function. In such a situation, one can construct a function called a *quasilikelihood*, which turns out to have many of the properties of a true likelihood function arising from a generalized linear model. In particular, the method of iteratively reweighted least-squares can be used to maximize the quasilikelihood, and much of the asymptotic theory of maximum likelihood estimation carries over to maximum quasilikelihood (McCullagh and Nelder 1989).

Our case is rather different, in that we have the definite model (4) in mind, which is only incomplete in that we are trying to avoid committing ourselves to a particular form for the functions  $f_i$ .

If there were a suitable exponential family distribution having the same mean and variance as (4), the quasilikelihood estimate would be exactly the maximum likelihood estimate for this distribution. Unfortunately, it is not hard to show that no such distribution exists. The obstacle here turns out to be the requirement that the distribution is supported on the integers from 0 to n. If this condition is relaxed to require only that the distribution be supported on non-negative integers, there is a (unique) exponential family distribution with the desired properties:

$$(6) \quad \pi(m_{i}|n_{i},\phi_{i},p_{i}) = \begin{cases} \binom{n_{i}/\gamma_{i}}{m_{i}}(\gamma_{i}p_{i}\phi_{i})^{m_{i}}(1-\gamma_{i}p_{i}\phi_{i})^{n_{i}/\gamma_{i}-m_{i}}, & \text{for } 0 < \gamma_{i} < 1 \\ \frac{(p_{i}\phi_{i}n_{i})^{m_{i}}}{m_{i}!}e^{-p_{i}\phi_{i}n_{i}}, & \text{for } \gamma_{i} = 0 \\ \binom{-n_{i}/\gamma_{i}+m_{i}-1}{m_{i}}(-\gamma_{i}p_{i}\phi_{i})^{m_{i}}(1-\gamma_{i}p_{i}\phi_{i})^{n_{i}/\gamma_{i}-m_{i}}, & \text{for } \gamma_{i} < 0 \end{cases}$$

where  $\gamma_i = 1 - (n_i - 1)\rho^2$ .

.1

Except for a constant factor, this turns out to be identical to the quasilikelihood function constructed from (5), so that it reasonable to call (6) the quasilikelihood generating model.

Because the number of smolts in each release ( $\approx 10^4, 10^5$ ) is very much larger than the typical number recovered ( $\approx 10^1, 10^2$ ), it would have been quite reasonable to model the underlying survival-capture process as a Poisson process. After all, the binomial model is also only an approximation (for example, smolts from one release are actually recovered over several trawls), and it would be difficult to argue convincingly that it is a better one than the Poisson in this case. If we imitate the development of the previous section, beginning

from the Poisson model, things work out pretty much as before. The mean and variance functions of the "relaxed" model become

(7)  
$$E[m_i] = p_i \phi_i n_i$$
$$V[m_i] = E[m_i] + \rho^2 E[m_i]^2$$

• •

and the quasilikelihood-generating distribution takes the form:

(8) 
$$\pi(m_i|n_i,\phi_i,p_i) = \begin{cases} \frac{(p_i\phi_in_i)^{m_i}}{m_i!}e^{-p_i\phi_in_i}, & \text{for } \gamma_i = 0\\ \\ \binom{(n_i/\gamma_i+m_i-1)}{m_i}(-\gamma_ip_i\phi_i)^{m_i}(1-\gamma_ip_i\phi_i)^{n_i/\gamma_i-m_i}, & \text{for } \gamma_i < 0 \end{cases}$$

where  $\gamma_i = -n_i \rho^2$  (so the first case of (6) never arises). These equations are identical to equations (5) and (6) except for obviously negligible terms of order  $1/n_i$ .

The second (negative binomial) distribution of (8), however, can also be exhibited as the model that results from the Poisson base model when the parameter  $p_i$  is replaced by a gamma variate with mean  $p_i$  and variance  $\rho^2 p_i^2$ . That is, the quasilikelihood estimate is indeed a maximum-likelihood estimate for a perfectly natural model. Our only reason for preferring the language of quasilikelihood is that the maximum-likelihood interpretation depends very delicately on making the "right" approximations.

#### DISCUSSION

We have shown that a simple and natural model of smolt survival can be fit to the data. This model predicts mean smolt survival at a given temperature to about 10% at the 95% confidence level (cf. Figure 4).

Taking the most conservative error bounds, we have estimated that chinook salmon released at Ryde and migrating to Chipps Island experience 50% mortality at  $23.01 \pm 1.08$  °C. It is interesting to compare this estimate of survival under natural conditions with the results of laboratory studies.

Laboratory studies of the direct effects of high temperatures on animal survival have been conducted in two different ways: the method of abrupt transfer and the method of slow heating (Kilgour and McCauley 1986). These result in somewhat different measures of lethality. For our purposes we will regard the "upper incipient lethal temperature" (UILT) found in abrupt transfer experiments as comparable to the LT50 of the fitted model. We will regard the temperatures at which given fractions of the sample are lost in slow heating experiments as comparable to the temperatures at which these same losses are predicted by the model. In both kinds of experiments, the results depend on the temperature to which the animals were acclimatized.

The classic abrupt transfer experiments involving chinook salmon are those of Brett (1952):

	Brett (1952)				Fitted
Acclimation (°C)	10	15	20	24	-
UILT	$24.3\pm0.1$	$25.0 \pm 0.1$	<b>25.1 ± 0.1</b>	<b>25</b> .1 ± 0.1	$23.01 \pm 1.08$

We regard this as a reasonable agreement.

12

The temperatures predicted by the fitted model to result in 10%, 50%, and 90% mortality are also consistent with the results of several slow-heating experiments reproduced in the survey of Houston (1982):

	Houston (1982)					Fitted	
Acclimation (°C)	10	10	11	13	18	20	
10% Loss	22.9	20.5	23.0	19.5	20.0	23.8	19.76
50% Loss	_	—	23.5			24.7	<b>23</b> .01
90% Loss	24.5	23.5	23.8	23.0	23.5	24.8	26.26

The laboratory studies cited above examine the effects of temperature alone. In the natural environment, however, it may be difficult or impossible to separate the direct effects of temperature from indirect effects on the ability of salmon to survive other threats, such as predation and disease. It is reasonable to inquire about the magnitude of these indirect effects.

The UILTs found by Brett for salmon acclimatized to 15°C and above are about 2°C

higher than the LT50 found here. In addition, the range of temperatures at which significant temperature-related mortality occurs is greater in the fitted model than in any of the laboratory studies referred to above. Both of these observations would be consistent with the presence of significant indirect effects of temperature on survival in the Delta. If the possibility of differences in temperature tolerance between Central Valley salmon stocks and the more northerly stocks used in the laboratory studies is considered, there may be even more room for indirect temperature effects. On the other hand, the model makes no provision for possible sources of mortality independent of temperature. If mortality from such sources could be accounted for separately, the "LT50" associated with the remaining mortality would probably be higher.

• •

Our analysis shows that direct effects of high temperature are sufficient to explain a large part of the smolt mortality actually observed in the Delta. In particular, the observed LT50 of  $23.01 \pm 1.08$  °C is remarkably consistent with the results of controlled experiments. This reaffirms the relevance of laboratory findings to natural systems.

### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank M. Kjelson and P. Brandes of USFWS for their help in obtaining data, and in particular for providing us with preliminary material. No endorsement of our findings by M. Kjelson, P. Brandes, or the USFWS is expressed or implied, however. This manuscript benefitted greatly from the suggestions of two anonymous referees. George Read of EA Engineering provided editorial assistance. Funding for this work was provided by the Turlock Irrigation District and the Modesto Irrigation District.

#### References

- BAKER, P. F., T. P. SPEED, AND F. K. LIGON. 1992. The influence of temperature on the survival of chinook salmon smolts migrating through the Sacramento - San Joaquin Delta. San Francisco Bay/Sacramento - San Joaquin Delta Estuary Hearings Exhibit No. WRINT-MID/TID 32. Prepared for Turlock Irrigation District and Modesto Irrigation District, CA by EA Engineering, Science, and Technology, 3468 Mt. Diablo Blvd., Suite B100, Lafayette, CA 94549.
- BRETT, J. R. 1952. Temperature tolerance in young Pacific salmon, genus Oncorhynchus. J. Fish. Res. Board Can. 9: 265-323.
- BURNHAM, K. P., D. R. ANDERSON, G. C. WHITE, C. BROWNIE, AND K. H. POL-LOCK. 1987. Design and analysis methods for fish survival experiments based on release- recapture. Am. Fish. Soc. Monogr. 5. American Fisheries Society. Bethesda, MD. 437 p.
- HOUSTON, A. H. 1982. Thermal effects upon fishes. Natl. Res. Counc. Can. Assoc. Comm. Sci. Criter. for Environ. Qual. Publ. No. 18566. Ottawa, Ont.
- KILGOUR, D. M., AND R. W. MCCAULEY. 1986. Reconciling the two methods of measuring upper lethal temperature in fishes. Environ. Biol. Fishes 17: 281-290.
- KJELSON, M. A., S. GREENE, AND P. L. BRANDES. 1989. A model for estimating mortality and survival of fall-run chinook salmon smolts in the Sacramento River Delta between Sacramento and Chipps Island. San Francisco Bay/Sacramento - San Joaquin Delta, Water Quality Control Plan Hearings, WQCP-USFWS Exhibit 1. U. S. Fish. Wildl. Serv., Fisheries Assistance Office, Stockton, CA.
- MCCULLAGH, P., AND J. A. NELDER. 1989. Generalized linear models. 2nd ed. Chapman and Hall. New York, NY. 511 p.
- JOHNSON, J. KENNETH, AND JAMES R. LONGWILL. 1991. Pacific salmonid coded wire tag releases through 1990. Regional Mark Processing Center, Pacific States Marine Fisheries Commission, 2501 S.W. First Avenue, Suite 200, Portland, Oregon, 97201-4752.
- STEVENS, D. E., M. A. KJELSON, AND P. L. BRANDES. 1984. An evaluation of the relationship between survival of chinook salmon smolts and river flow in the Sacramento- San Joaquin Delta. Appendix A in Survival and productivity of juvenile chinook salmon in the Sacramento- San Joaquin Estuary. 1984 Annual Progress Report. U. S. Fish. Wildl. Serv., Fisheries Assistance Office, Stockton, CA.

U. S. FISH AND WILDLIFE SERVICE. 1983. Survival and productivity of juvenile chinook salmon in the Sacramento- San Joaquin Estuary. 1983 Annual Progress Report. U. S. Fish. Wildl. Serv., Fisheries Assistance Office, Stockton, CA.

• :

1984. Survival and productivity of juvenile chinook salmon in the Sacramento- San Joaquin Estuary. 1984 Annual Progress Report. U. S. Fish. Wildl. Serv., Fisheries Assistance Office, Stockton, CA.

1985. Survival and productivity of juvenile chinook salmon in the Sacramento- San Joaquin Estuary. 1985 Annual Progress Report. U. S. Fish. Wildl. Serv., Fisheries Assistance Office, Stockton, CA.

1986. Survival and productivity of juvenile chinook salmon in the Sacramento- San Joaquin Estuary. 1986 Annual Progress Report. U. S. Fish. Wildl. Serv., Fisheries Assistance Office, Stockton, CA.

1987. The needs of chinook salmon, Oncorhynchus tshawytscha, in the Sacramento-San Joaquin Estuary. San Francisco Bay/Sacramento- San Joaquin Delta, Phase I Hearings, U. S. Fish. Wildl. Serv. Exhibit 31. U. S. Fish. Wildl. Serv., Fisheries Assistance Office, Stockton, CA.

1988. Survival and productivity of juvenile chinook salmon in the Sacramento- San Joaquin Estuary. 1988 Annual Progress Report. U. S. Fish. Wildl. Serv., Fisheries Assistance Office, Stockton, CA.

1989. Survival and productivity of juvenile chinook salmon in the Sacramento- San Joaquin Estuary. 1989 Annual Progress Report. U. S. Fish. Wildl. Serv., Fisheries Assistance Office, Stockton, CA.

1990. Abundance and survival of juvenile chinook salmon in the Sacramento- San Joaquin Estuary. 1990 Annual Progress Report. U. S. Fish. Wildl. Serv., Sacramento- San Joaquin Estuary Fishery Resource Office, Stockton, CA.

1992. Abundance and survival of juvenile chinook salmon in the Sacramento- San Joaquin Estuary. 1991 Annual Progress Report. U. S. Fish. Wildl. Serv., Sacramento-San Joaquin Estuary Fishery Resource Office, Stockton, CA.

1992. Measures to improve the protection of chinook salmon in the Sacramento/San Joauqin River Delta. San Francisco Bay/Sacramento - San Joaquin Delta Estuary Hearings Exhibit No. WRINT-USFWS 7.

WILLIAMS, D. A. 1982. Extra-binomial variation in logistic linear models. Appl. Statist. 31: 144-148.

	Coded-Wire-Tag	Date of	Average	Temperature	Number	Number	Trawl
	Number(s)	Release	Weight(g)	(°C)	Released	Recovered	Effort
<u>i</u>			,	T <sub>i</sub>	ni	mi	<i>p</i> <sub>i</sub>
1	06-62-23	5/20/83	5.89	16.1	<b>92</b> 693	95	0.00083324
2	06-42-09						
	<b>06-</b> 62-29	6/13/84	5.15	18.9	59 998	37	0.00088098
3	06-62-35	5/11/85	5.82	18.9	107 161	<b>8</b> 8	0.00106649
4	06-62-48	5/30/86	5.34	23.3	101 <b>32</b> 0	74	0.00112363
5	06-62-55	4/29/87	5.79	19.4	51 103	46	0.00105899
6	0 <del>6</del> -62-58	5/2/87	6.21	17.8	51 008	47	0.00107142
7	06-31-01	5/3/88	8.40	17.2	<b>5274</b> 1	106	0.00213811
8	06-31-02	5/6/88	8.56	16.1	53 238	146	0.00214250
9	06-62-63	6/22/88	8.25	23.9	53 961	46	0.00213117
10	06-31-03	6/25/88	8.72	23.3	53 942	39	0.00212647
11	06-31-12	5/3/89	7.00	16.7	51 046	65	0.00107005
12	06-31-07	6/2/89	9.40	19.4	<b>50 6</b> 01	26	0.00107047
13	06-01-14-01-02	6/16/89	7.83	22.8	51 134	8	0.00097782
14	06-31-20	5/9/90	5.04	20.6	51 878	87	0.00103647
15	06-31-22	5/31/90	6.87	18.3	50837	67	0.00105773

Table 1.Data for the release and recovery of selected coded-wire-tag groups of chinooksalmon smolts released in the Sacramento River at Ryde. (From USFWS 1983-1992.)

-

.

•

• 1

Table 2.Comparison of the trawl recoveries predictedby the fitted base model for the Ryde release groups withthe corresponding actual trawl recoveries.

• 7

.

•

54

0	Expected	Actual	Pearson	Deviance
<u>i</u>	Recoveries	Recoveries	Residuals	Residuals
1	77	95	2.10	2.02
2	50	37	-1.86	-1.95
3	108	88	-1.96	-2.03
4	53	74	2.91	2.74
5	50	46	-0.58	-0.59
6	53	47	-0.86	-0.88
7	111	106	-0.46	-0.46
8	113	146	<b>3</b> .09	2.96
9	43	46	0.50	0.50
10	53	39	-1.95	-2.05
11	54	65	1.50	1.45
12	50	26	-3.41	-3.76
13	28	8	-3.78	-4.46
14	46	87	6.07	5.39
15	52	67	2.11	2.01

	Canonic	al parameters	Natural parameters		
	<i>b</i> 1	<i>b</i> <sub>2</sub>	LT50	α	
Fitted	15.56	-0.6765	23.01	-0.1691	
Üniform					
mean	18.65	-0.8080	23.06	-0.2020	
s.d.	10.18	0.4356	0.57	0.1089	
bias	3.08	-0.1315	0.05	-0.0329	
P1	5.72	-2.6166	21.64	-0.6542	
P2.5	7.40	-2.0770	21.95	-0.5193	
$\mathbf{Q}1$	13.09	-0.8957	<b>22.8</b> 5	-0.2239	
median	15.80	-0.6880	23.03	-0.1720	
Q3	20.70	-0.5722	23.26	-0.1430	
P97.5	47.97	-0.3168	<b>2</b> 4.10	-0.0792	
P99	60.60	-0.2352	24.63	-0.0588	
Triangular					
mean	16.80	-0.7291	23.01	-0.1823	
s.d.	5.06	0.2163	0.21	0.0541	
bias	1.23	-0.0526	0.01	-0.0132	
P1	10.09	-1.5716	22.47	-0.3929	
P2.5	10.75	-1.3101	22.57	-0.3275	
<b>Q</b> 1	13.62	0.8028	22.88	-0.2007	
median	15.62	-0.6810	23.02	-0.1703	
<b>Q</b> 3	18.54	-0.5941	23.16	-0.1485	
<b>P97.5</b>	30.32	-0.4690	<b>23</b> .40	-0.1172	
P99	36.23	-0.4414	23.48	-0.1103	

Table 3.Statistical properties of the quasilikelihood estimators, deter-mined by simulation with respect to two models of capture probability.

.

ż

•

• \$

Figure 1. North-Central Region of the Sacramento - San Joaquin Delta.

• 2

ź

Figure 2. Pearson (open circles) and deviance (solid circles) residuals for the fitted base model, plotted against water temperature.

Figure 3. Two methods of estimating smolt survival from Ryde to Chipps Island. The diagonal line Trawl-based survival = Ocean-based survival is provided for reference.

Figure 4. Distributions of quasilikelihood estimates of smolt survival from Ryde to Chipps Island, for the fitted model, assuming that the probabity of capture is drawn from (a) the uniform distribution and (b) the triangular distribution.

Fi-aie 1

ý

- 5











....

- [

# Remarks on the document "The Development of a refined San Joaquin Delta Salmon Smolt Model" (Brandes 5/31/94)

by Terence P. Speed<sup>1</sup>

# 1. Introduction

It is the view of this writer that the statistical analysis in the above report has main significant shortcomings, anyone of which could have major implications for the regulatory use of the models obtained. Without presenting an alternative, more appropriate analysis, however, it is impossible to be specific about the regulatory consequences of a better analysis of the data. There has been insufficient time since we became aware of this report to provide such an analysis, although we have begun to do so. In the interim, we offer a brief critique of the report, highlighting three of the major shortcomings. Reference will be made to the paper Baker et al (1994) which is shortly to be published, in which a method of analysis of smolt survival data of this kind is explained and applied to smolt release data from the Sacramento River.

# 2. <u>Dealing with "survival" apparently exceeding one (1)</u>

In the present report, as in a number of preceding reports on the same topic, Brandes deals with observed counts being greater than "expected" by dividing <u>all</u> observed proportions by 1.8, a number obtained in a quite *ad hoc* manner in the course of analyzing smolt survival data from the Sacramento River. The concern over apparent survival proportions exceeding 100% is quite misplaced: it need be nothing more than chance variation, observing over (as in this case) or under what is expected, by chance alone. There is no difficulty dealing with this chance variation by standard statistical methods, as has been demonstrated in our paper Baker et al. (1994). Of course, in any particular case, there may be a special reason other than chance error, which causes an unusually high survival, errors in key variables such as % time trawling, counting the smolts release, trawl efficiency etc., but none of this necessitates a wholesale scaling by 1/1.8. Furthermore, as remarked in Baker et al. (1994), the unscaled Delta survival values are quite consistent with those obtained from ocean recoveries.

# 3. Effectively utilizing data from all release points

The report by Brandes estimates mortalities separately fro each of four reaches, using data from different release points and algebraic relationships. This is neither necessary, nor the most efficient way to combine all the data, and, as was found in the report, can lead to negative estimates of what should be non-negative quantities. Within the framework of a multiplicative model survival, with independent contributions from distinct release groups, a combined analysis of the data is possible using the method of maximum likelihood. This is the standard statistical approach to such problems.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Professor, Department of Statistics, University of California, Berkeley.

# 4. <u>Modeling the effect of environmental variable</u>

There is no sound basis for modeling the effect on survival or mortality of continuous environmental variables such as temperature, flow, or exports by using multiple linear regression. Not only does such a relation fail to guarantee that estimated values lie within the interval [0,1], but also it does not adequately reflect the changes in expected variability that occur as the expected values change. One standard approach to this issue is to make use of multiple linear logistic relations connecting survival or mortality to the environmental variable.

A further point needs making here. The practice of using a backwards selection procedure and a generous alpha value (0.15) is extremely likely to result in what is known as overfitting, that is, in producing apparent relationships which do not hold up when additional data are obtained. With such small sample sizes, the issue is complicated, but as illustrated in Baker et al. (1994), simulation (parametric bootstrapping) can be used to obtain realistic measures of the real uncertainty.

## 5. Other issues

**...** ...

A number of other aspects of the analysis of these data by Brandes warrant a more careful treatment. These include the issue of discordant observations (so-called outliers, p.5); the possible impact of the hatchery from which released salmon originate; and the appropriate way to compare observed with predicted survival (Figure 7 and the correlation cited are quite misleading). Such issues will be fully dealt with in our analysis of these data.

T.P. Speed October 14, 1994

### Curriculum Vitae

### Terence Paul Speed 1830 Arch St., Berkeley, CA 94709 Social Security **#** 571-95-2456 Australian citizen; US Permanent Resident

Date of Birth: March 14, 1943

Education: BSc(Hons) Melbourne 1965 PhD DipEd Monash 1969

<u>Appointments:</u>

.7

42

1000

- 1965-69 Tutor, Senior Tutor and Lecturer Department of Mathematics, Monash University
- 1969-73 Lecturer, Department of Probability and Statistics, University of Sheffield
- 1974-75 Associate Professor, Department of Mathematics University of Western Australia
- 1975-82 Professor, Department of Mathematics University of Western Australia
- 1983-87 Chief, CSIRO Division of Mathematics and Statistics
- 1987- Professor, Department of Statistics, University of California, Berkeley

Membership of Professional Bodies:

Australian Mathematical Society Statistical Society of Australia Royal Statistical Society American Statistical Association (Fellow) Institute of Mathematical Statistics (Fellow) Biometric Society International Statistical Institute (Member) Genetics Society of America American Society of Human Genetics Society of Molecular Biology and Evolution

Previous Memberships:

Australian Statistics Advisory Council (1983-87) Board of Management, Australian Institute of Criminology (1983-87) Board of Directors, SIROMATH Pty Ltd (1983-87)

### **Bibliography**

#### Terence Paul Speed

.....

#### Publications

÷.

٢.

17

1

C.

- [1] "On rings of sets", <u>J. Aust. Math. Soc</u>. 8 (1968), 723-730.
- [2] "A note on commutative semigroups", <u>J. Aust Math</u>. <u>Soc.</u> 8 (1968) 731-736.
- [3] "Some remarks on a class of distributive lattices", <u>J. Aust. Math.</u> <u>Soc.</u> 9 (1969) 289-296.
- [4] "On Stone lattices", <u>J. Aust. Math. Soc</u>. 9 (1969) 297-307.
- [5] "Spaces of ideals of distributive lattices I. Prime ideals", <u>Bull</u>. <u>Soc. Roy. de Liege</u> No. 11-12, (1969) 610-628.
- [6] "Two congruences on distributive lattices", <u>Bull. Soc. Roy. de Liege</u> No. 3-4, (1969) 86-95.
- [7] "A note on commutative semigroups II", J. Lond. Math. Soc. (2), 2 (1970) 80-82.
- [8] "A note on commutative 1-groups" (with E. Strzelecki). J. Aust. Math. Soc. 12 (1971) 69-74.
- [9] "A note on random walks" (with R.M. Phatarfod and A.M. Walker). J. Appl. Prob. 8 (1971) 198-201.
- [10] "A note on Stone lattices", <u>Cand. Math. Bull</u>. Vol. 14 (1) (1971) 81-86.
- [11] "A note on commutative Baer rings" (with M.W. Evans). J. Aust. Math. Soc. 13 (1971) 1-6.
- [12] "A note on Post algebras", <u>Collog</u>. <u>Math</u>. 14 (1971) 37-44.
- [13] "Profinite posets", Bull. Aust. Math. Soc. 6 (1972) 257-263.
- [14] "A note on commutative Baer rings", <u>J. Aust. Math. Soc</u>. 14 (1972) 257-263.
- [15] "On the order of prime ideals", Alg. Univ. 2 (1972)-85-87.
- [16] "A note on random walks, II", <u>J. Appl. Prob.</u> 10 (1973) 218-222.
- [17] "Some remarks on a result of Blomqvist", J. Appl. Prob. 10 (1973) 229-232.

..

· ...

10

.

- [18] "A note on commutative Baer rings, III", J. Aust. Math. Soc. 15 (1973) 5-21.
- [19] "On rings of sets, II. Zero sets", <u>J. Aust. Math. Soc.</u> 16 (1973) 185-199.
- [20] "A note on the second factorisation identity of A.A. Borokov" (with E. Arjas). <u>Teor. Verojatnost. i. Primenen</u> 18 (1973) 601-604.
- [21] "An extension of Cramer's estimate for the absorption probability of a random walk" (with E. Arjas). <u>Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc.</u> 73 (1973) 355-359.
- [22] "Topics in Markov additive processes" (with E. Arjas). <u>Math. Scand</u>. 33 (1973) 171-192.
- [23] "Symmetric Wiener-Hopf factorisations in Markov additive processes" (with E. Arjas). <u>Z</u>. <u>Warscheinlichkeitstheorie</u> <u>und</u> <u>Verw</u>. <u>Geb</u>. 26 (1973) 105-118.
- [24] "A stopping problem in Markov additive processes" (with E. Arjas). Abstract paper presented to the Second Conference on Stochastic Processes and their Applications. <u>Adv. in Appl. Prob.</u> 5 (1973) 2-3.
- [25] "A note on random times" (with J.W. Pitman). Stoch. Proc. Appl. 1 (1973) 369-374.
- [26] "Spaces of ideals of distributive lattices, II. Minimal prime ideals", <u>J. Aust. Math. Soc</u>. 18 (1974) 54-72.
- [27] <u>Discrete Parameter Martingales</u>. by Jacques Neveu, North-Holland Publishing Company [Translated from French]. (1974)
- [28] "Cytological changes in the conjunctiva in the megaloblastic anemias" (with J.D. Brodrick and I.M. Strachan). <u>Investigative Opthalmology</u> 13 (1974) 870-872.
- [29] "Statistics in school and society", <u>Mathematical Spectrum</u> 6 (1974) 7-11.
- [30] "Markov chains with replacement" (with E. Arjas), extract from <u>Adv.</u> <u>Appl. Prob.</u> 6 (1974) 188-259. Abstract.
- [31] "Markov chains with replacement" (with E. Arjas). <u>Stoch</u>. <u>Proc</u>. <u>Appl</u>. 3 (1975) 175-184.
- [32] "Geometric and probabilistic aspects of some combinatorial identities", <u>J. Aust. Math. Soc</u>. 22 (1976) 462-468.
- [33] "A note on pairwise sufficiency and completions", <u>Sankhya Ser. A</u>. 38 (1976) 194-196.

4

....

\*

×

- [34] "Lagrangian distributions and their limit theorems" (with A.G. Pakes). Siam J. Appl. Math. 32 (1977) 45-754.
- [35] "Electrostatic energy of disordered distributions of vacancies for altervalent ions" (with W.W. Barker, J. Graham and T.C. Parks). J. Solid State Chem. 22 (1977) 321-329.
- [36] "A factorisation theorem for adequate statistics", <u>Aust. J. Stat.</u> 20 (1978) 240-249.
- [37] "Intestinal transport of monosaccharide after biliary diversion in the rat" (with Valerie Burke, Ann Malajczuk, M. Gracey, and M.L. Thornett). <u>Aust. J. Exp. Biol. Med. Sci</u>. 56 (1978) 253-263.
- [38] "Decompositions of graphs and hypergraphs", <u>Proceedings of First</u> <u>International Conference on Combinational Theory</u>, Canberra, 1977. Australian Academy of Science and Springer-Verlag, 300-307 (1978).
- [39] "Relations between models for spatial data, contingency tables and Markov fields over graphs" <u>Proceedings of the Conference on Spatial</u> <u>Patterns and Processes</u>. <u>Supplement to Adv. Appl. Prob.</u> 10 (1978) 111-122.
- [40] "Multiplicative and additive models for interaction" (with J.N. Darroch). <u>Research Report</u>, <u>Institute of Statistics</u>, <u>Aarhus University</u>. (1979).
- [41] "Forsvindende sandsynlicheder og atomkraftsikkerhed: et nyt misbrug af sandsynlighedsregningen?" <u>RAMA</u> 1 (1979) 1-33.
- [42] "A note on nearest-neighbour Gibbs and Markov probabilities", <u>Sankhya</u> <u>Ser. A</u> 41 (1979) 184-197.
- [43] "Markov fields and log-linear interaction models for contingency tables" (with J.N. Darroch and S.L. Lauritzen). <u>Ann. Stat.</u> 8 (1980) 522-539.
- [44] <u>Brownian Motion</u>, by T. Hida. Springer-Verlag, New York. [Translation from Japanese done jointly with the author.] (1980)
- [45] "Estimating missing values in multi-stratum experiments" (with E.R. Williams and D. Ratcliff). <u>Appl</u>. <u>Statist</u>. **30** (1981) 71-72.
- [46] "The structural analysis of multivariate data: a review" (with H. Kiiveri). <u>Sociological Methodology</u> edited by Samuel Leinhardt. Ch.6, 209-290. Jossey-Bass, San Francisco (1982).
- [47] "On a class of association schemes derived from lattices of equivalence relations" (with R.A. Bailey). <u>Algebraic Structures and their</u> <u>Applications</u> edited by Philip Schultz, Cheryl E. Praeger, and Robert P. Sullivan. Marcel Dekker, New York (1982).

2

. .

- [48] "A study of isolation procedures for multiple infections of Salmonella and Arizona in a wild marsupial, the quokka (<u>Setonix brachyurus</u>)", (with R.P. Hart, J.B. Iveson, and S.D. Bradshaw). J. <u>Appl. Bacteri-</u> ology 53 (1982) 395-406.
- [49] "Factors influencing the pre-sentence report: an analysis of hypothetical responses", (with N. Papandreou, S.E. McDonald, S. Skates and A.A. Landauer). <u>Austral</u>. & <u>N.Z. J. Criminology</u> 15 (1982) 207-218.
- [50] "General balance". <u>Encyclopedia of Statistical Sciences</u>, Vol. 3. (1983) Edited by S. Kotz and N.L. Johnson. John Wiley & Sons, Inc.
- [51] "Generalized wreath products of permutation groups", (with R.A. Bailey, C.A. Praeger and C.E. Rowley). <u>Proc. Lond. Math.</u> Soc. (3) 47 (1983) 69-82.
- [52] "Cumulants and partition lattices". <u>Austral</u>. <u>J. Statist</u>. **25** (1983) 378-388.
- [53] "Additive and multiplicative models and interactions" (with J.N. Darroch). <u>Ann. Statist</u>. 11 (1983) 724-738.
- [54] "Balance in designed experiments with orthogonal block structure" (with A. Houtman). <u>Ann. Statist</u>. 11 (1983) 1069-1085.
- [55] "Recursive causal models" (with H. Kiiveri and J.B. Carlin). J. <u>Austral</u>. <u>Math</u>. <u>Soc</u>. (Series A), 36 (1984) 30-52.
- [56] "Decomposable graphs and hypergraphs" (with S.L. Lauritzen and K. Vijayan). J. Austral. Math. Soc. (Series A), 36 (1984) 12-29.
- [57] "The analysis of multi-stratum designed experiments with incomplete data" (with A. Houtman). <u>Austral. J. Statist</u>. 26 (1984) 227-246.
- [58] "On the Mobius function of Hom(P,Q)". Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. 29 (1984) 39-46.
- [59] "A note on the analysis of covariance in incomplete block designs" (with D. Radcliff and E.R. Williams). <u>Austral</u>. <u>J. Statist</u>. 26 (1984) 337-341.
- [60] "Downwind and long-term effects of cloud seeding in southeastern Australia" (with D.J. Best, M.A. Cameron, G.K. Eagleson, and D.E. Shaw). <u>Search</u> 15 (1984) 154-157.
- [61] "Some practical and statistical aspects of filtering and spectrum estimation". <u>Fourier Techniques and Applications</u>. Ed. John F. Price. Plenum Press, NY4 London. 101-120 Proc. Fourier Analy. Conf., Sydney, August 1985.
- [62] "A note on generalized wreath product groups" (with C.E. Praeger and C.A. Rowley). <u>J. Austral</u>. <u>Math. Soc. Ser. A</u>, **39** (1985) 415-420.

:

· ·

- [63] "A note on the analysis of resolvable block designs" (with H.D. Patterson and E.R. Williams). J. Roy. Soc. B, 47 (1985) 357-361.
- [64] "Teaching of statistics at University level: How computers can help us find realistic models for real data and reasonably assess their reliability" <u>Proceedings of the Round Table Conference on the</u> <u>Impact of Calculators and Computers on Teaching Statistics</u>. (1985) pp. 184-195.
- [65] "Teaching Statistics in the Computer Age". <u>Proceedings of the Round</u> <u>Table Conference on the Impact of Calculators and Computers on</u> <u>Teaching Statistics</u>. (Edited with L. Rade). <u>Studentlitteratur</u>, <u>Lund</u>. (1985).
- [66] "Probabilistic risk assessment in the nuclear industry: WASH-1400 and beyond". In <u>Proceedings of the Berkeley Conference in Honor of Jerzy</u> <u>Neyman and Jack Kiefer</u>, Volume 1, Lucien M. Le Cam and Richard A. Olshen, eds, Wadsworth Inc., (1985).
- [67] "Dispersion models for factorial experiments". <u>Bull</u>. <u>Internat</u>. <u>Stat</u>. <u>Inst</u>. <u>Proceedings of the 45th Session</u>. v.51, Book IV, 24.1, 16 pp. (1985).
- [68] "Cumulants and partition lattices, II. Generalized k-statistics". J. Austral. Math. Soc. Ser. A. 40 (1986) 34-53.
- [69] "Cumulants and partition lattices, III. Multiply-indexed arrays". J. Austral. Math. Soc. Ser. A. 40 (1986) 161-182.
- [70] "Anova models with random effects: An approach via symmetry." In <u>Essays in Time Series and Allied Processes</u>: <u>Papers in Honour of E.J.</u> <u>Hannan.</u> Eds J. Gani & M.B. Priestley. pp. 355-368. Sheffield : Applied Probability Trust (1986).
- [71] "Cumulants and partition lattices, IV. A.S. Convergence of generalized k-statistics". J. <u>Austral</u>. <u>Math</u>. <u>Soc</u>. <u>Ser</u>. <u>A</u>. **41** (1986) 79-94.
- [72] "Gaussian Markov fields over finite graphs" (with H. Kiiveri). <u>Ann.</u> <u>Statist</u>. **14** (1986) 138-150.
- [73] "Applications of cumulants and their generalisations". <u>Proceedings of</u> <u>the Pacific Statistical Congress</u> - <u>1985</u>. Eds I.S. Francis, B.F. Manly & F.C. Lam. North-Holland : Amsterdam. 12-20 (1986).
- [74] "A note on rectangular lattices" (with R.A. Bailey). <u>Ann. Statist</u>. 14 (1986) 874-895.
- [75] "The role of statistics in nuclear materials accounting : issues and problems" (with D. Culpin). J.R. Statist. Soc. Ser. A., 149 (1986) 281-313.

.

· •

- [76] An Edgeworth expansion for the distribution of the F-ratio under a randomization model for the randomized block design (with A.W. Davis). Proceedings of the <u>Fourth Purdue Symposium on Statistical Decision</u> <u>Theory</u>. ed. S.S. Gupta and J.O. Berger. Springer Verlag, New York (1987).
- [77] What is an analysis of variance? Ann. Statist. 15 (1987) 885-941.
- [78] Questions, Answers and Statistics. <u>Proc. Second. Internat. Conf. on</u> <u>Teaching of Statistics</u>. Victoria, B.C. (1987).
- [79] Factorial Dispersion Models. (with R.A. Bailey). Internat. Statist. Rev., 55 (1987) 261-277.
- [80] Generalized variance component models. <u>Proceedings of the Second</u> <u>Tampere International Conference on Statistics</u>, Tampere, Finland (1987).
- [81] Sampling without replacement: Approximation to the probability distribution (jointly with J.N. Darroch and M. Jirina). J. <u>Austral</u>. <u>Math. Soc. Ser. A</u>. (1988).
- [82] Incorporating previous results into the analysis of generally balanced experiments (with M.W. Knuiman). <u>Austral</u>. J. <u>Statist</u>. <u>29</u> (1988) 317.
- [83] Cumulants and partition lattices. (with H.L. Silcock). V. Calculating generalized k-statistics. <u>J. Austral</u>. <u>Math. Soc</u>. <u>Ser</u>. <u>A</u>., 44 (1988) 171-196.
- [84] Cumulants and partition lattices. VI. Variances and covariances of mean squares. (with H.L. Silcock). J. Austral. Math. Soc. Ser. A., 44 (1988) 362-388.
- [85] Non-orthogonal block structure in two-phase designs (with J.T. Wood and E.R. Williams). <u>Austral</u>. <u>J. Statist</u>., 30A (1988) 225-237.
- [86] The role of Statisticians in CSIRO : Past, Present and Future. <u>Austral</u>. J. <u>Statist</u>., 30 (1988) 15-34.
- [87] Biometrics in the CSIRO: 1930 1940 (with J.B.F. Field, F.E. Speed and J.M. Williams) <u>Austral</u>. <u>J. Statist</u>., 30B (1988) 54-76.
- [88] Incorporating prior information into the analysis of contingency tables (with M.W. Knuiman). <u>Biometrics</u>, 44 (1988) 1061-1071.
- [89] On the existence of maximum likelihood estimators for hierarchical loglinear models (with G.F.V. Glonek and J.N. Darroch). <u>Scand</u>. J. <u>Statist</u>., 15 (1988) 187-193.

:

.

- [90] Complexity, calibration and causality in influence diagrams. In <u>Proceedings of a Conference on Influence Diagrams and related topics</u>, R.M. Oliver and J.Q. Smith, eds. pp 49-63. New York: John Wiley & Sons, 1990.
- [91] Invariant moments and cumulants. <u>Coding Theory and Design Theory.</u> <u>Part II. Design Theory</u>. D. Ray Chaudhuri, ed. pp 319-335. New York: Springer-Verlag, 1990.
- [92] On a matrix identity associated with generalized least squares (with F.R. de Hoog and E.R. Williams) <u>Linear Algebra Applic</u>., 127 (1990) 449-456.
- [93] Inner cell allocation in the mouse morula: the role of oriented division during fourth cleavage (with A. Sutherland and P. Calarco) <u>Developmental Biology</u>, 137 (1990) 13-25.
- [94] Introduction to "On the application of probability theory to agricultural experiments. Essay on principles. Section 9". Translation by D.M. Dabrowska of portion of 1923 article by J. Neyman. <u>Statistical Science</u> <u>5</u>, (1991) 463-4.
- [95] Introduction to "The Arrangement of Field Experiments" by R.A. Fisher. <u>Breakthroughs in Statistics</u>, vol 2. N.L. Johnson and S. Kotz, eds. New York: J. Wiley & Sons, Inc. (1992).
- [96] A Bayesian analysis for mapping from radiation hybrid data (with R. Guerra, MS McPeek, P.M. Stewart) <u>Cytogenetics and</u> <u>Cell\_Genetics</u> 59 (1992) 104-106.
- [97] Density estimation by stochastic complexity (with J. Rissanen and B. Yu) <u>IEEE Trans. Inf. Th.</u> 38 (1992) :315-323.
- [98] Data compression and histograms (with B. Yu) <u>Prob. Theory and Related</u> <u>Fields.</u> 92 (1992) 195-229.
- [99] Charactering a joint probability density by its conditional densities (with A. Gelman) <u>J. Roy. Statist. Soc. Ser. B.</u> <u>55</u> (1993) 185-188.
- [100] Invariants of some probability models used in phylogenetic inference (with S.N. Evans) <u>Annals of Statistics</u> <u>21</u> (1993) 355-377.
- [101] Robustness of the no-interference model for ordering genetic markers (with MS McPeek & S.N. Evans) <u>Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci.</u> <u>USA.</u> 89 (1992) 3103-3106.
- [102] A derivation of all linear invariants (with T. Nguyen) J. Mol. Evol. 35 (1992) 60-76.

.

- [103] Estimating the fraction of invariable codons with a capturerecapture method (with A. Sidow and T. Nguyen) J. Mol. Evol. 35 (1992) 253-260.
- [104] A characterization of crossover models that possess map functions (with S.N. Evans and MS McPeek) <u>Theor. Pop. Biol.</u> 43 (1993) 80-90.
- [105] Model selection and prediction: normal regression (with Bin Yu) Annals of the Institute of Statistical Mathematics. 45 (1993) 35-54.
- [106] Optimal rate universal D-semifaithful coding (with B. Yu) <u>IEEE</u> <u>Trans. Inf. Theory</u> 39 (1993) 813-820.
- [107] Modelling and managing a salmon population In <u>Statistics for the</u> <u>Environment</u> V. Barnett and K.T. Turkman, eds. John Wiley & Sons, England, 1993, pp.267-292.
- [108] Factors associated with Human Immunodeficiency Virus Seroconversion in homosexual men in three San Francisco Cohort Studies, 1984-1989 (with M.C. Samuel, I. Hessol, S. Shibowski, F. Engel and W. Winkelstein) J. Acquired Immunodeficiency Syndrome <u>6</u> (1993) 303-312.
- [109] Assessing between-block heterogeneity within the poststrata of the 1990 Post-Enumeration Survey (with N. Hengartner) <u>J. Amer.</u> <u>Stat. Assoc.</u> 88 (1993) 1119-1129.
- [110] AutoElisa: A data management system for regulatory and diagnostic immunoassays, using parallel fitting for data evaluation (with A. Karu, M. Perman, I.R.T. McClatchie and S.J. Richman) <u>Proceedings of the Symposium "Immunoassay: an emerging analytical chemistry technology"</u> Association of Official Analytical Chemists, 1994.
- [111] Infectivity of human immunodeficiency virus by anal and oral intercourse among male homosexuals: estimates from a prospective study in San Francisco (with M.C. Samuel, M.S. Mohr, and W. Winkelstein) In <u>Modelling the AIDS Epidemic</u>, eds. E. Kaplan and P. Brandau, Raven Press, 1993.
- [112] Testing for segregation distortion in the HLA complex (with K. Jin, G. Thomson and W. Klitz) <u>Biometrics</u> To appear
- [113] Atypical regions in large genomic DNA sequences. (with S. Scherer and MS McPeek) <u>Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA</u> To appear
- [114] Predicting progress in directed mapping projects (with D.O. Nelson) <u>Genomics</u> To appear
#### Bibliography - Terence Speed - 9

- 1 - 12 - 12

8

- [115] The influence of temperature on the survival of chinook salmon smolts (Oncorhynchus tshawtscha) migrating through the Sacramento-San Joaquin river delta of California (with P.F. Baker and F. Ligon) Can. J. Fish & Aquat. Sci. To appear
- [116] Statistical issues in constructing high-resolution physical maps (with D.O. Nelson) <u>Statistical Science</u> To appear
- [117] Modelling interference in genetic recombination (with MS McPeek) <u>Genetics</u> To appear
- [118] Statistical analysis of chromatid interference (with Hongyu Zhao and MS McPeek) <u>Genetics</u> To appear
- [119] Statistical analysis of genetical interference using the chi-square model (with Hongyu Zhao and MS McPeek) <u>Genetics</u> To appear
- [120] Tests of random mating for a highly polymorphic locus (with K. Jin, G. Thomson) <u>Biometrics</u>

#### MANUSCRIPTS SUBMITTED

- 1. Aveolar lining layer liquid is thin and continuous: Low temperature scanning electron microscopy of normal rat lung (with Jacob Bastacky, Charles Y.C. Lee, Jon Goerke, Homayoon Koushafar, Deborah Yager, Leah Kenaga, and John A. Clements) <u>Journal of</u> <u>Applied Physiology</u>.
- 2. Relative efficiencies of several statistical models of recombination for exclusion mapping and gene ordering (with D.R. Goldstein and H. Zhao) <u>Genomics</u>.
- 3. Identifying and accommodating outlying observations and groups of observations (with R. Guerra) <u>Technometrics</u>
- 4. Comparing DNA-DNA hybridization curves by rates of decay (with R. Guerra) <u>Systematic Biology</u>.
- 5. Statistical issues arising in the analysis of DNA-DNA hybridization experiments (with R. Guerra) <u>Systematic Biology</u>.
- 6. Statistical analysis of DNA fragment lengths measured with the atomic force microscope (with M.N. Murray, H. Zhao, W. Kolbe, D.F. Ogletree, C.R. Cantor and M. Salmeron) <u>Nucleic Acids Research</u>.
- 7. The effects of turbidity on largemouth bass feeding Tate and implications for salmon management (with F.K. Ligon and A.L. Percival) <u>Ecological Appications</u>
- 8. Reproductive failure and the major histo compatibility complex (with K. Jin, H-N Ho and T.J. Gill III) <u>Amer. J. Hum. Genet.</u>

#### Bibliography - Terence Speed - 10

9. On a shared allele test of random mating (with S. Zhou and R.A. Maller) <u>Austral. J. Statist.</u>

#### MANUSCRIPTS IN PREPARATION

.

- 1. Stock-recruitment analysis of the population dynamics of San Joaquin river system Chinook Salmon (with F. Ligon)
- 2. A decision problem in physical mapping (with B. Yu and D.O. Nelson)

1.471

3. Comparison of allele frequencies at HLA loci among couples with different types of reproductive failure (with Kun Jin and T.J. Gill III)

#### CONTRIBUTIONS TO DISCUSSIONS

- 1. "Analysis of variance models in orthogonal designs", by Tue Tjur. Int. Statist. Rev. 52 (1984). 33-82.
- "Conditional probability" by Glen Shafer. <u>Int. Statist. Rev.</u> 53, (1985). 261-278.
- 3. "Eelworms, bullet holes and Geraldine Ferraro" by Howard Wainer. <u>J.</u> Educ. Statist. (1989) 179-181.
- "That BLUP is a Good Thing The estimation of random effects" by G.K. Robinson. <u>Statistical Science</u>. 6 (1991) 42-44.
- 5. "Interpreting blocks and random factors" by M.L. Samuels, G. McCabe and G. Casella. <u>J. Amer. Statist. Assoc</u>. 86 (1991) 808-811.
- 6. "Total error in PES estimates of population" by M. Mulry and
  B. Spencer (jointly with K. Wachter) <u>J. Amer. Statist. Assoc.</u>
  1991 86 (1991) 855-861.
- "Chaotic processes in astronomical data" by Jeffrey D. Scargle. To appear in <u>Proceedings of Conference on Statistics in Astronomy</u>, eds. E. Feigelson and G.J. Babu, Springer-Verlag.
- B. "Detecting and measuring sources at the noise limit" by Herman
  M. Marshall. To appear in <u>Proceedings of Conference on Statistics</u> in <u>Astronomy</u>, eds. E. Feigelson and G.J. Babu, Springer-Verlag
- 9. "Physical statistics" by L.G. Taff. To appear in <u>Proceedings of</u> <u>Conference on Statistics in Astronomy</u>, eds. E. Feigelson and G.J. Babu, Springer-Verlag
- 10. "Should we have adjusted the US Census of 1980?" by D.A. Freedman and W.C. Navidi <u>Survey Methodology</u> 18 (1992) 3-74.

### Bibliography - Terence Speed - 11

#### UNPUBLISHED MANUSCRIPTS

5 ž.

Measures of Block Design Efficiency Recovering Interblock Information (with Walter T. Federer). ARO Report 87-1. <u>Transactions of the</u> <u>Fourth Army Conference on Applied Mathematics and Computing</u>. 1987.

Iterative analyses with general block designs. 1983.

A note on Worsley's improved Bonferroni inequality. April 1983.

Linear models and the analysis of variance. 1981.

Interaction. 1975.

Log-linear models for contingency tables and Markov fields over finite graphs (with J.N. Darroch and S. Lauritzen. 1975.

Some remarks on the teaching of elementary probability. 1974.

Bibliography of Sufficiency. 1973.

Definitions of Sufficiency. 1972.

A review of some results concerning the completion of sub- -fields. 1972.

A note on commutative Baer rings IV. 1972.

On lattice ordered groups. 1971.

A note on n-valent Lukasiewicz algebras. 1970.

A functor from cummutative rings to distributive lattices from zero. 1968.

Notes on REML. 1990

#### REPORTS

- Statistical aspects of the determination of extreme wave heights for the design of offshore installations.
- Inquiry into the rate of imprisonment. (Statistical report to the WA Government).
- 3. Outline of techniques to find extreme wind, ocean wave and current value estimates with reference to the Arafura Sea.
- 4. Report on a study on the WA Lotteries Commission electronic number generator.

08/94

### SAN JOAQUIN TRIBUTARY AGENCIES

### SALMON ALTERNATIVE<sup>1</sup>

### Salmon Smolt Outmigration Pulse Flows

.

- Two seven-day pulses:
  - one in mid-April
  - one in mid-May
- Pulses at Vernalis to total at least:

•	Critical year -	1,000 cfs
•	Dry year -	2,000 cfs
•	Below normal year -	3,000 cfs
•	Above normal year -	3,000 cfs
•	Wet year -	4,000 cfs

- Old River Barrier must be installed.
- Exports limited to 1,500 cfs from April 15 to May 15 or 2 to 3 days after second pulse.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Presented at the State Water Resources Control Board Workshop, October 19, 1994.

#### MODELED SAN JOAQUIN CHINOOK SALMON ESCAPEMENT UNDER SELECTED PULSE FLOW ALTERNATIVES

#### EACH for Windows 8.5.3, runs of 11 October 1994

	With Old R	liver Barrie	r	Without Ol	d River Ba	rrier
	DFG	JP	SJT	DFG	JP	SJT
1982	812	688	494	201	153	67
1983	547	489	488	117	91	61
1984	518	460	542	111	81	73
1985	450	349	457	89	45	60
1986	392	238	289	86	23	40
1987	293	202	222	60	21	25
1988	200	144	196	24	-1	15
1989	217	180	201	34	14	20
1990	305	311	231	75	72	36
1991	375	372	262	106	100	50
1982–1991	394	315	360	81	45	45

#### Percentage Increase over Modeled Historical Escapement

- DFG: SWRCB Alternative 4.
- JP: Water Users Joint Proposal.
- SJT: San Joaquin Tributary Agencies. (Export limited to 1,500 cfs from 15 April through 15 May. Two seven-day pulses, one in mid-April and one in mid-May. Pulses to total at least 1,000 cfs at Vernalis in Critical water-years, 2,000 cfs in Dry years, 3,000 cfs in Below Normal and Above Normal years, and 4,000 cfs in Wet years.)



#### MODELED SAN JOAQUIN CHINOOK SALMON ESCAPEMENT UNDER SELECTED PULSE FLOW ALTERNATIVES

Prepared by EA Engineering, Science, and Technology for San Joaquin Tributary Agencies



#### The Salinity Barrier and Striped Bass Ecology: an Evaluation<sup>1</sup>

The San Joaquin Tributary Agencies do not believe that there is a scientific basis for setting a salinity standard in the san Joaquin River to allow the upstream spawning migration of striped bass. We believe that (1) there is no real evidence that a salinity barrier to migration exists; (2) even if such a barrier did exist, it would not affect the production of striped bass, because as broadcast spawners they are not spawning-habitat limited; and (3) if striped bass could be induced to spawn farther upstream in the San Joaquin this would be to their detriment, as it would increase the potential entrainment of eggs and larvae into the state and federal export facilities. Finally, from a policy standpoint it seems inappropriate to be setting standards to enhance an exotic species that is known threat to an endangered native species, the Sacramento winter run chinook salmon.

The San Joaquin River, especially in years of low flow, has a high concentration of total dissolved solids due primarily to saline agricultural discharges, creating a reverse salinity gradient in the region upstream of the mouth of the Mokelumne River. It has been suggested that striped bass are often restricted from using spawning areas in the San Joaquin River by a salinity barrier beyond which migrating adult bass will not pass.

The basis for this belief rests upon inconclusive evidence obtained in the 1960s from field observations of adult striped bass distribution during the spawning season. Radtke and Turner (1967), sampling adult bass throughout the reverse salinity gradient, found the highest numbers of fish in TDS concentrations between 250 and 300 ppm. They found lower numbers both below 200 and above 350 ppm TDS. On the basis of these observations, they concluded that 350 ppm TDS formed a barrier to striped bass movement. This occurred in the vicinity of Venice Island.

Such anecdotal evidence in no way proves that a salinity barrier exists. It might lead one to hypothesize that salinity can prevent upstream migration and then one could go on to test that hypotheses experimentally. However, no such tests have been conducted. An alternative hypothesis would be that the fish stopped near Venice Island for any one of a number of other reasons having nothing to do with salinity. There are data that support this second hypothesis.

Striped bass in the Sacramento-San Joaquin system spawn primarily the Sacramento River from Colusa to Sacramento and in the San Joaquin Delta from Antioch to Venice Island. There is considerable evidence that striped bass spawn in the same area of the San Joaquin River year after year, regardless of flow. The three-dimensional bar graph of striped bass spawning locations vs. flow shows that negligible spawning occurs in the vicinity of Venice Island regardless of flow. One would expect that if salinity was preventing upstream migration fish would spawn farther upstream in years of higher flow.

Striped bass in the Delta have been shown to spawn in salinities of up to 1, 500 microsiemens

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Prepared by EA Engineering, Science and Technology for San Joaquin Tributary Agencies.



(approximately 1,000 ppm TDS) and greater in years of low flow when ocean salinities intrude in to the western Delta. Such conditions in 1972 were not shown to adversely affect egg survival (Turner 1976), and laboratory studies have corroborated that these levels of salinity are not harmful to egg survival (Turner and Farley 1971). Water quality records dating from about 1929 show that salinities in the San Joaquin River in los flow years have exceeded those felt to constitute a barrier to striped bass migration even during the period when the bass population was flourishing (Paterson 1989).

There is no evidence that striped bass populations are limited by area available for spawning. In fact, there are several reasons why this is highly unlikely. The species is a mass spawner that spawns in groups of fish of from 5 to 30 individuals. There is no territorial behavior that would translate into a "carrying capacity" of the area to accommodate spawning adults. Historically, bass presumably spawned in much higher numbers and densities in the same areas when their populations were at a higher level, with no attendant ill effects on egg or larval survival. Eggs do not remain in the spawning area but are immediately carried by the current to downstream nursery areas; the actual area in which they were spawned is only inhabited for a short period of time. There is no evidence showing that egg or larval survival is related to density-dependent effects on the spawning grounds.

To conclude, we feel that there is no evidence to support the belief that a salinity barrier restricts striped bass from spawning in the San Joaquin River above Venice Island. In addition, even if such a barrier existed and spawning habitat area was reduced in size, there is no evidence that a reduction in area available for spawning would adversely affect the bass population. We have reviewed almost 400 articles on striped bass ecology and management and have found no evidence of salinity barriers or spawning habitat limitations. EA Engineering, Science, and Technology

EA West 3468 Mt. Diablo Boulevard Suite B-100 Lafayette, CA 94549 Telephone: 510-283-7077 Fax: 510-283-3894



Percentages of striped bass eggs between 0 and 8 hours old collected in segments of the Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta and Suisun Bay at different flows (San Joaquin River mean May flow at Vernalis), for the years 1968-1973, 1975-1977, and 1984-1986. (Km 0 is the Golden Gate.)

Source: California Department of Fish and Game. 1987. Factors affecting striped bass abundance in the Sacramento-San Joaquin river system. San Francisco Bay/Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta Estuary Water Quality/Water Rights Hearings Phase I, Exhibit 25. CDFG, Region 4, Fresno. CDFG, (California Department of Fish and Game). 1987. Factors affecting striped bass abundance in the Sacramento-San Joaquin river system. San Francisco Bay/Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta Estuary Water Quality/Water Rights Hearings Phase I, Exhibit 25. CDFG, Region 4, Fresno.

## Table 8. Percentages of striped bass equs between 0 and 8 hours old in 10 km (6.2 mile) sequents of the Delta and Suisun Bay. River km (mile) 0 is at the Golden Gate. • • Not Sampled.

	River kilometer	Tear											
Area Bank	(Miles)	1965	1969	1970	<u>1971</u>	1972	1973	1975	1976	1977	7484	1995	1296
	50-59 (31.1-34.7)	8	4.7	•	9	8	8	٥	۵	•	9.1	•	9.1
	60-69 (37.3-42.9)	4	2.5	0	0	0	0	0	•	•	0	0	. 9
	70-79 (43.5-49.1)	0	4.5	9.2	2.3	9	Q	9.2	0	•	9.5	۰	9.3
San Joarvin River <sup>2/</sup> Antloch	80-89 (49.7-55.3)	6.5	27.4	9.0	39.6	\$5.5	10.0	52.4	1.5	0.1	4.9	0.9	52.2
	90-99 (55.9-61.5)	43.9	13.3	14.4	46.9	37.6	<b>66</b> .2	43.9	32.4	52.6	22.9	20.3	22.4
*	100-109 (62.1-67.7)	39.5	3.3	5.0	19.8	2.4	23.9	1.4	49.3	1.4	53.9	29.3	11.8
	110-119 (68.4-73.9)	4.3	0	57.6	6	2.7	0	9.2	16.2	45.5	15.0	44.2	10.7
Venice Island	120-129 {74.4-60.2}	0.5	1.9	3.2	C	0.2	0	0.1	0.6	8.1	0.5	3.0	1.1
Sacramento River <sup>1</sup> ' Collinaville	60-89 (49.7-55.3)	٥	43.5	9.1	0	0	G	0.3	٥	9	0.1	0.1	0.2
	90-99 (55.9-61.5)	0.3	2.4	5.3	0.4	1.3	0	0.4	•	•	0.1	2.1	4.9
Rio Viela	100-109 (62.1-67.7)	0.9	٥	G.9	0	0.3	٩	0.2	•	•	0	4.1	0

1/ Based on sampling of DFG striped base egg and larvae survey stations from Martinez to Collinsville.

2' Based on sampling of DFG striped base egg and larvae survey stations from Broad Slough to Handeville Cutoff.

2' Based on sampling of DFG striped bass eqg and larvae survey stations from Collineville to Rio Vieta.





Percentage of striped bass eggs collected above Venice Island at various spawning flows, 1966-1972.

Prepared by EA Engineering, Science, and Technology for San Joaquin Tributary Agencies



# TABLE 1. OBSERVATIONS IN LITERATURE OF STRIPED BASS SPAWNING<br/>UPSTREAM OF VENICE ISLAND AND/OR STOCKTON

Year	Flow (cfs) <sup>1</sup>	Spawning Activity Upstream of Venice Island
1946	13,058	striped bass in spawning condition u/s of Stockton (Woodhull 1947)
1948	5.001	~ 10% of eggs originated upstream of Stockton (Erkkila et al. 1950)
1949	3,520	7% of eggs collected at Mossdale site (Erkkila et al. 1950)
1952	27,639	eggs and larvae collected in Old River from Frank's Tract to Coney Island (USBR & USFWS 1957, as cited in Paterson 1989)
1963	9,339	many eggs collected from Stockton to Mossdale (Farley 1966)
1964	703	very few eggs collected from Stockton to Mossdale (Farley 1966)
1966	863	0.5% of eggs collected above Venice Island (Turner 1976)
1967	20,365	3.1% of eggs collected above Venice Island (Turner 1976)
1968	891	0.5% of eggs collected above Venice Island Turner 1976)
1969	24, 613	0.9% of eggs collected above Venice Island (Turner 1976)
1970	2,393	3.2% of eggs collected above Venice Island (Turner 1976)
1971	1.833	0.0% of eggs collected above Venice Island (Turner 1976)
1972	744	0.7% of eggs collected above Venice Island (Turner 1976)

<sup>1</sup> Mean San Joaquin River discharge at Vernalis for month of May

#### REFERENCES

Erkkila, L. F., J. W. Moffet, O. B. Cope, B. R. Smith, and R. S. Nielson. 1950. Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta fishery resources: effects of Tracy Pumping Plant and Delta Cross Channel. Special Scientific Report - Fisheries 56. U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service.

Farley, T. C. 1966. Striped bass, *Roccus saxatilis*, spawning in the Sacramento-San Joaquin River system during 1963 and 1964. Fish Bulletin 136. California Department of Fish and Game.

Paterson, A. M. 1989. Notes on historic spawning locations of striped bass, with emphasis on the San Joaquin River. Revised Draft Report. Prepared for Turlock Irrigation District and Modesto Irrigation District, California.

Turner, J. L. 1976. Striped bass spawning in the Sacramento and San Joaquin rivers in central California from 1963-1972. California Fish and Game 62: 106-118.

USBR and USFWS (U. S. Bureau of Reclamation and U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service). 1957. Fish protection at the Tracy pumping plant, Central Valley Project, California. USBR, Region 2, Sacramento, California and USFWS, Region 1, Portland, Oregon.

Woodhull, C. 1947. Spawning habits of the striped bass (Roccus saxatilis) in California waters. California Fish and Game 33: 97-102.

Prepared by EA Engineering, Science, and Technology for San Joaquin Tributary Agencies

#### STRIPED BASS BIBLIOGRAPHY

. S

۹.

#### Prepared by EA Engineering, Science, and Technology for San Joaquin Tributary Agencies

Albrecht, A. B. 1964. Some observations on factors associated with survival of striped bass eggs and larvae. California Fish and Game 50: 100-113.

Allen, D. H. 1975. Loss of striped bass (*Morone saxatilis*) eggs and young through small, agricultural diversions in the Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta. Anadromous Fisheries Branch Administrative Report 75-3. California Department of Fish and Game.

Arthur, J. 1982. The striped bass decline as influenced by food supply in the postyolk sac larval life stage. Working Paper. Striped Bass Working Group, State Water Resources Control Board, Sacramento, California.

Arthur, J. 1987a. Phytoplankton, zooplankton, and larval striped bass population dynamics during a regulated flow study in the Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta in 1985. Interagency Ecological Study Program Draft Report. U. S. Bureau of Reclamation, Sacramento, California.

Arthur, J. 1987b. Proposed physical and operational changes to increase survival of larval striped bass and salmon smolts. Internal Report. U. S. Bureau of Reclamation, Sacramento, California.

Arthur, J., and D. Ball. 1978. Entrapment of suspended materials in the San Francisco Bay-Delta Estuary. U. S. Bureau of Reclamation, Sacramento, California.

Arthur, J., and D. Ball. 1980. The significance of the entrapment zone location to the phytoplankton standing crop in the San Francisco Bay-Delta Estuary. U. S. Bureau of Reclamation, Sacramento, California.

Arthur, J., M. Ball, L. Hess, C. Liston, S. Hiebert, and G. Collins. 1991. 1990 Striped bass egg and larvae management studies: San Francisco Bay-Delta Estuary. Executive Summary. U. S. Bureau of Reclamation, Mid-Pacific Region, Sacramento, California.

Arthur, J. F., and M. D. Ball. 1979. Factors influencing the entrapment of suspended material in the San Francisco Bay-Delta Estuary. Pages 143-174 *in* T. J. Conomos, editor. San Francisco Bay: the urbanized estuary. American Association for the Advancement of Science, Pacific Division, San Francisco, California.

Arthur, J. F., H. F. N. Wong, M. D. Ball, and L. J. Hess. 1991. Evaluation of potential striped bass management scenarios by use of a numerical salt transport model. 1990 Striped bass egg and larvae management studies: San Francisco Bay-Delta Estuary. U. S. Bureau of Reclamation, Mid-Pacific Region, Sacramento, California.

Auld, A. H., and J. R. Schubel. 1978. Effects of suspended sediment on fish eggs and larvae: a laboratory assessment. Estuarine Coastal Marine Sciences 6: 153-164.

Austin, H. M., and C. R. Hickey, Jr. 1978. Predicting abundance of striped bass, *Morone saxatilis*, in New York waters from modal lengths. Fishery Bulletin 76: 467-473.

Bailey, H. C., D. J. Ostrach, and D. E. Hinton. 1991. Effect of rice irrigation water in Colusa Basin Drain on fertilization success and embryonic development in striped bass. Draft Report, Contract No. 9-169-250-0. Prepared for State Water Resources Control Board, Sacramento, California.

Baracco, A. 1984. A procedure for estimating losses of striped bass and chinook salmon caused by State Water Project water export operations in the south Delta, 1968-1980. Memorandum to Bay-Delta Project Files. California Department of Fish and Game, Bay-Delta Project, Stockton.

Bay on Trial. 1992. Actions louder than words at Fish and Game? 4:5.

1

Bayless, J. D. 1967. Striped bass hatching and hybridization experiments. Proceedings of the Annual Conference Southeastern Association of Game and Fish Commissioners 21: 233-241.

Beak Consultants. 1989. Summary report of technical studies on the lower Yuba River, California. Yuba River Fisheries Investigations, 1986-88 Draft Final Report. Prepared for California Department of Fish and Game, Sacramento.

Bennett, W. B., D. J. Ostrach, and D. E. Hinton. 1990. The nutritional condition of striped bass larvae from the Sacramento-San Joaquin estuary in 1988: an evaluation of the starvation hypothesis using morphometry and histology. Prepared for the California Department of Water Resources, Sacramento.

Bigelow, H. B., and W. C. Schroeder. 1953. Fishes of the Gulf of Maine. U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service Bulletin 53: 1-577.

Bishai, H. M. 1960. The effects of water currents on the survival and distribution of fish larvae. Journal of Conservation 25: 134-146.

Bishai, H. M. 1961. The effect of salinity on the survival and distribution of larval and young fish. Journal of Conservation 26: 166-179.

Blunt, C. E., Jr. 1962. Striped bass. Pages 61-86 in Delta Fish and Wildlife Protection Study. Annual Report 1. California Department of Fish and Game.

Boreman, J. 1982. Potential impact of the State/Federal recommendations for striped bass management on the commercial fisheries in Rhode Island. Laboratory Reference Document 82-05. National Marine Fisheries Service, Northeast Fisheries Center, Woodshole, Massachusetts.

Boreman, J. 1983. Simulation of striped bass egg and larva development based on temperature. Transactions of the American Fisheries Society 112: 286-292.

Boreman, J., and H. M. Austin. 1985. Production and harvest of anadromous striped bass stocks along the Atlantic coast. Transactions of the American Fisheries Society 114: 3-7.

Botsford, L. W. 1983. Possible influences of adult striped bass on young-of-the-year modeling results. Working Paper. Striped Bass Working Group, State Water Resources Control Board, Sacramento, California.

Bowker, R. G., D. J. Baumgartner, J. A. Hutcheson, and R. H. Ray. 1969. Striped bass, *Morone saxatilis* (Walbaum). Development of essential requirements for production. U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Washington, D.C.

Boynton, W. R., T. T. Polgar, and H. H. Zion. 1981. Importance of juvenile striped bass food habits in the Potomac estuary. Transactions of the American Fisheries Society 110: 56-63.

Breitburg, D. L. 1988. Effects of turbidity on prey consumption by striped bass larvae. Transactions of the American Fisheries Society 117: 72-77.

Burton, D. T., L. W. Hall Jr., S. L. Margrey, and R. D. Small. 1979. Interactions of chlorine, temperature change, and exposure time on survival of striped bass (*Morone saxatilis*) eggs and prolarvae. Journal of the Fisheries Research Board of Canada 36: 1108-1113.

1

Cada, G. F. 1990. A review of studies relating to the effects of propeller-type turbine passage on fish early life stages. North American Journal of Fisheries Management 10: 418-426.

Calhoun, A. J. 1946. Observations of the striped bass fishery in the Sacramento Delta during April and May 1946. Inland Fisheries Administrative Report 46-12. California Department of Fish and Game.

Calhoun, A. J. 1949. California striped bass catch records from the party boat fishery: 1938-1948. California Fish and Game 35: 211-253.

Calhoun, A. J. 1952. Annual migrations of California striped bass. California Fish and Game 38: 391-403.

Calhoun, A. J. 1953. Distribution of striped bass fry in relation to major water divisions. California Fish and Game 39: 279-300.

Calhoun, A. J., and C. A. Woodhull. 1948. Progress report on studies of striped bass reproduction in relation to Central Valley Project. California Fish and Game 34: 171-188.

Calhoun, A. J., C. A. Woodhull, and W. C. Johnson. 1950. Striped bass reproduction in the Sacramento River system in 1948. California Fish and Game 36: 135-145.

CDFG (Division of Fish and Game of California). 1935. The commercial fish catch of California for the years 1930-1934, inclusive. Fish Bulletin 44. CDFG, Bureau of Commercial Fisheries.

CDFG. 1937. The commercial fish catch of California for the year 1935. Fish Bulletin 49. CDFG, Bureau of Commercial Fisheries.

CDFG. 1940. The commercial fish catch of California for the years 1936-1939, inclusive. Fish Bulletin 57. CDFG, Bureau of Marine Fisheries.

CDFG. 1942. The commercial fish catch of California for the year 1940. Fish Bulletin 58. CDFG, Bureau of Marine Fisheries.

CDFG. 1944. The commercial fish catch of California for the years 1941 and 1942. Fish Bulletin 59. CDFG, Bureau of Marine Fisheries.

CDFG. 1946. The commercial fish catch of California for the years 1943 and 1944. Fish Bulletin 63. CDFG, Bureau of Marine Fisheries.

CDFG. 1947. The commercial fish catch of California for the years 1945 and 1946. Fish Bulletin 67. CDFG, Bureau of Marine Fisheries.

CDFG. 1949. The commercial fish catch of California for the year 1947 with an historical review 1916-1947. Fish Bulletin 74. CDFG, Bureau of Marine Fisheries.

CDFG. 1951. The commercial fish catch of California for the years 1948-1949 with yield per area of the California sardine fishing grounds 1937-1949. Fish Bulletin 80. CDFG, Bureau of Marine Fisheries.

CDFG (California Department of Fish and Game). 1952. The commercial fish catch of California for the year 1950 with a description of methods used in collecting and compiling the statistics. Fish Bulletin 86. CDFG, Bureau of Marine Fisheries.

1

CDFG. 1953. The commercial fish catch of California for the year 1951 with an evaluation of the existing anchovy case pack requirements. Fish Bulletin 89. CDFG, Bureau of Marine Fisheries.

CDFG. 1954. The commercial fish catch of California for the year 1952 with proportion of king and silver salmon in California's 1952 landings. Fish Bulletin 95. CDFG, Marine Fisheries Branch.

CDFG. 1976. Report to the State Water Resources Control Board on the impact of water development on fish and wildlife resources in the Sacramento-San Joaquin Estuary. San Francisco Bay/Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta Hearings, CDFG Exhibit 3. CDFG, Bay-Delta Fishery Project, Stockton.

CDFG. 1981. An evaluation of factors affecting abundance of striped bass in the Sacramento-San Joaquin Estuary. Manuscript. CDFG, Stockton.

CDFG. 1982. Relationships between abundance and survival of young striped bass and crustacean zooplankton densities on the striped bass nursery area. Striped Bass Working Group Report. Prepared for the State Water Resources Control Board, Sacramento, California.

CDFG. 1987a. Factors affecting striped bass abundance in the Sacramento-San Joaquin river system. San Francisco Bay/Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta Estuary Water Quality/Water Rights Hearings Phase I, Exhibit 25. CDFG, Region 4, Fresno.

CDFG. 1987b. Estimates of fish entrainment losses associated with the State Water Project and Federal Central Valley Project facilities in the south Delta. San Francisco Bay/Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta Estuary Hearings Phase I, Exhibit 17. CDFG, Bay-Delta Project, Stockton.

CDFG. 1988. Striped bass egg and larvae monitoring, and effects of flow regulation on the larval striped bass food chain in the Sacramento-San Joaquin Estuary. Final report to the State Water Resources Control Board.

CDFG. 1989a. Initial elements of the salmon, steelhead trout and anadromous fisheries program: a report submitted to the Legislature. Chapter 1545/88 Report. CDFG, Inland Fisheries Division, Sacramento.

CDFG. 1989b. Striped bass restoration and management plan for the Sacramento-San Joaquin Estuary. Phase I. Draft Report.

CDFG. 1992a. A model for evaluating the impacts of freshwater outflow and export on striped bass in the Sacramento-San Joaquin Estuary. WRINT-DFG-3. State Water Resources Control Board Hearing for setting interim standards for the Delta.

CDFG. 1992b. The basis for the California Department of Fish and Game's position on predatory fish in Clifton Court Forebay. Draft Report.

CDWR (California Department of Water Resources). 1990. Article VII framework agreement. Draft Outline.

CDWR. 1992. Notes of January 8, 1992 Delta Pumping Plant Fish Advisory Committee meeting. Memorandum. CDWR, Central District, Sacramento.

CDWR and CDFG (California Department of Water Resources and California Department of Fish and Game). 1973. Evaluation testing program report for Delta Fish Protective Facility, State Water Facilities, California Aqueduct, North San Joaquin Division. Memorandum Report.

Chadwick, H. K. 1958. A study of the planktonic fish eggs and larvae of the Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta with special reference to the striped bass (*Roccus saxatilis*). Inland Fisheries Administrative Report 58-5. California Department of Fish and Game.

Chadwick, H. K. 1962. Catch records from the striped bass sportfishery in California. California Fish and Game 48: 153-177.

1

Chadwick, H. K. 1964. Annual abundance of young striped bass, *Roccus saxatilis*, in the Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta, California. California Fish and Game 50: 69-99.

Chadwick, H. K. 1966. Variation in the growth of young striped bass (*Roccus saxatilis*) in the Sacramento-San Joaquin system. Inland Fisheries Administrative Report 66-11. California Department of Fish and Game.

Chadwick, H. K. 1967. Recent migrations of the Sacramento-San Joaquin River striped-bass population. Transactions of the American Fisheries Society 96: 327-342.

Chadwick, H. K. 1968. Mortality rates in the California striped bass population. California Fish and Game 54: 228-246.

Chadwick, H. K. 1969. An evaluation of striped bass angling regulations based on an equilibrium yield model. California Fish and Game 55: 12-19.

Chadwick, H. K. 1974. Entrainment and thermal effects on a Mysid shrimp and striped bass in the Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta. *in* L. D. Jensen, editor. Second workshop on entrainment and intake screening. Publication 74-049-00-5. Electrical Power Research Institute, Palo Alto, California.

Chadwick, H. K. 1977. Effects of water development on striped bass. Pages 123-130 in Marine Recreational Fisheries 2: Proceedings of the Second Annual Marine Recreational Fisheries Symposium. Sport Fishing Institute.

Chadwick, H. K. 1982. Biological effects of water projects on the Sacramento-San Joaquin estuary. Pages 215-219 in W. J. Kockelman, T. J. Conomos and A. E. Leviton, editors. San Francisco Bay: use and protection. Pacific Division, AAAS.

Chadwick, H. K., D. Juliano, C. Seeley, and W. Silvey. 1967. Progress report on the study of dissolved oxygen in the Sacramento-San Joaquin estuary. Annual Report 6. California Department of Fish and Game and California Department of Water Resources.

Chadwick, H. K., and D. E. Stevens. 1971. An evaluation of effects of thermal discharges in the western Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta on striped bass, king salmon, and the opossum shrimp. Anadromous Fisheries Branch Report. California Department of Fish and Game.

Chadwick, H. K., D. E. Stevens, and L. W. Miller. 1977. Some factors regulating the striped bass population in the Sacramento-San Joaquin Estuary, California. Pages 18-35 in W. Van Winkle, editor. Conference on assessing the effects of power-plant induced mortality on fish populations. Pergamon, New York.

Chafee, J. H. 1980. The outlook for striped bass recovery. Pages 5-7 in H. Clepper, editor. Marine Recreational Fisheries 5: Proceedings of the Fifth Annual Marine Recreational Fisheries Symposium. Sport Fishing Institute, Washington, D. C.

1

Cheek, T. E., M. J. Van Den Avyle, and C. C. Coutant. 1985. Influences of water quality on distribution of striped bass in a Tennessee River impoundment. Transactions of the American Fisheries Society 114: 67-76.

Cheek, T. E., M. J. Van Den Avyle, and C. C. Coutant. 1983. Distribution and habitat selection of adult striped bass, *Morone saxatilis* (Walbaum), in Watts Bar Reservoir, Tennessee. ORNL/TM-8447. Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, Tennessee.

Chervenski, J., G. T. Klar, and N. C. Parker. 1989. Predation by striped bass x white bass hybrids on redbelly tilapia and common carp. The Progressive Fish-Culturist 51: 101-103.

Chittenden, M. E., Jr. 1971. Effects of handling and salinity on oxygen requirements of striped bass, *Morone saxatilis*. Journal of the Fisheries Research Board of Canada 28: 1823-1830.

Chittenden, M. E., Jr. 1971. Status of the striped bass, *Morone saxatilis*, in the Delaware River. Chesapeake Science 12: 131-136.

Clark, G. H. 1933. Fluctuations in the abundance of striped bass (*Roccus lineatus*) in California. Fish Bulletin 39. California Department of Fish and Game.

Clark, G. H. 1934. Tagging of striped bass. California Fish and Game 20: 14-19.

Clark, G. H. 1936. A second report on striped bass tagging. California Fish and Game 22: 272-283.

Clark, G. H. 1938. Weight and age determination of striped bass. California Fish and Game 24: 176-177.

Clark, W., and M. Baldrige. 1984. Emergency striped bass research study report for 1982-1983. Report to Congress by the Secretaries of Interior and Commerce, Washington, D. C.

Cohen, J. E., S.W. Christensen, and C. P. Goodyear. 1983. A stochastic age-structured population model of striped bass (*Morone saxatilis*) in the Potomac River. Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences 40: 2170-2183.

Cole, J. N. 1984. Fisheries: An offspring of the ice age, the striper may not survive the nuclear age. March issue. Audubon.

Collins, B. W. 1982. Growth of adult striped bass in the Sacramento-San Joaquin Estuary. California Fish and Game 68: 146-159.

Colt, J. 1984. Seasonal changes in dissolved-gas supersaturation in the Sacramento River and possible effects on striped bass. Transactions of the American Fisheries Society 113: 655-665.

Combs, D. L. 1979. Striped bass research study: categorization of spawning areas. Final Report, Job 1 Dingell-Johnson Project F-29-R. Oklahoma Department of Wildlife and Conservation, Oklahoma City.

Combs, D. L., and L. R. Peltz. 1982. Seasonal distribution of striped bass in Keystone Reservoir, Oklahoma. North American Journal of Fisheries Management 2: 66-73.

Cooper, J. C., and T. T. Polgar. 1981. Recognition of year-class dominance in striped bass management. Transactions of the American Fisheries Society 110: 180-187.

1

Cooper, J. J., and S. Vigg. 1984. Extreme mercury concentrations of a striped bass, *Morone* saxatilis, with a known residence time in Lahontan Reservoir, Nevada. California Fish and Game 70: 190-192.

Cornacchia, J. W., and J. E. Colt. 1984. The effects of dissolved gas supersaturation on larval striped bass *Morone saxatilis* (Walbaum). Journal of Fish Diseases 7: 15-27.

Coughlan, D. J., and J. S. Velte. 1989. Dietary toxicity of selenium-contaminated red shiners to striped bass. Transactions of the American Fisheries Society 118: 400-408.

Coutant, C. C. 1974. Evaluation of entrainment effect. Report No. 15 in Second entrainment and impingement workshop, Johns Hopkins University Cooling Water Research Project. Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, Maryland.

Coutant, C. C. 1977. Compilation of temperature preference data. Journal of the Fisheries Research Board of Canada 34: 739-745.

Coutant, C. C. 1978a. A working hypothesis to explain mortalities of striped bass, *Morone* saxatilis in Cherokee Reservoir. Report ORNL/TM-6534. Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, Tennessee.

Coutant, C. C. 1978b. Emergency striped bass research study report for 1982-1983. Report ORNL/TM-6534. Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, Tennessee.

Coutant, C. C. 1980. Environmental quality for striped bass. Pages 179-187 in H. Clepper, editor. Marine Recreational Fisheries 5: Proceedings of the fifth annual marine recreational fisheries symposium. Sports Fishing Institute, Washington, D. C.

Coutant, C. C. 1985. Striped bass, temperature, and dissolved oxygen: a speculative hypothesis for environmental risk. Transactions of the American Fisheries Society 114: 31-61.

Coutant, C. C. 1986. Thermal niches of striped bass. Scientific American 255: 98-104.

Coutant, C. C. 1987. Poor reproductive success of striped bass from a reservoir with reduced summer habitat. Transactions of the American Fisheries Society 116: 154-160.

Coutant, C. C. 1990. Temperature-oxygen habitat for freshwater and coastal striped bass in a changing climate. Transactions of the American Fisheries Society 119: 240-253.

Coutant, C. C., and D. L. Benson. 1990. Summer habitat suitability for striped bass in Chesapeake Bay: reflections on a population decline. Transactions of the American Fisheries Society 119: 757-778.

Coutant, C. C., and D. S. Carroll. 1980. Temperatures occupied by ten ultrasonic-tagged striped bass in freshwater lakes. Transactions of the American Fisheries Society 109: 195-202.

Coutant, C. C., and R. J. Kedl. 1975. Survival of larval striped bass exposed to fluid-induced and thermal stresses in a simulated condenser tube. Publication 637. Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Environmental Sciences Division, Oak Ridge, Tennessee.

Coutant, C. C., K. L. Zachman, D. K. Cox, and B. L. Pearman. 1984. Temperature selection by juvenile striped bass in laboratory and field. Transactions of the American Fisheries Society 113: 666-671.

34

Cox, D. K., and C. C. Coutant. 1981. Growth dynamics of juvenile striped bass as functions of temperature and ration. Transactions of the American Fisheries Society 110: 226-238.

Craig, J. A. 1930. An analysis of catch statistics of the striped bass (*Roccus lineatus*) fishery of California. Fish Bulletin No. 39. California Division of Fish and Game.

Daniel, D. A. 1976. A laboratory study to define the relationship between survival of young striped-bass (*Morone saxatilis*) and their food supply. Anadromous Fisheries Branch, Administrative Report 76-1. California Department of Fish and Game.

Davies, W. D. 1970. The effect of temperature, pH, and total dissolved solids on the survival of immature striped bass, *Morone saxatilis* (Walbaum). Doctoral dissertation. North Carolina State University, Raleigh.

Davies, W. D. 1973. The effects of total dissolved solids, temperature, and pH on the survival of immature striped bass: a response surface experiment. The Progressive Fish-Culturist 35: 157-160.

Davis, K. B., N. C. Parker, and M. A. Suttle. 1982. Plasma corticosteroids and chlorides in striped bass exposed to tricaine methanesulfonate, quinaldine, etomidate, and salt. The Progressive Fish-Culturist 44: 205-207.

Dedini, L. A, L. E. Schemel, and M. A. Tembreull. 1981. Salinity and temperature measurements in San Francisco Bay waters, 1980. Open-File Report 82-125. U. S. Geological Survey, Menlo Park, California.

Delta Fish Facilities Technical Coordinating Committee. 1980. Predation management for the Peripheral Canal Fish Facilities. Working Justification Paper 5. Interagency Ecological Study Program for the Sacramento-San Joaquin Estuary (California Department of Water Resources, California Department of Fish and Game, U. S. Bureau of Reclamation, and U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service).

Deppert, D. L., and J. B. Mense. 1980. Effect of striped bass predation on an Oklahoma trout fishery. Proceedings of the Annual Conference Southeastern Association of Fish and Wildlife Agencies 33: 384-392.

Dew, C. B. 1988. Biological characteristics of commercially caught Hudson River striped bass, 1973-1975. North American Journal of Fisheries Management 8: 75-83.

Dey, W. P. 1981. Mortality and growth of young-of-the-year striped bass in the Hudson River estuary. Transactions of the American Fisheries Society 110: 151-157.

Diringer, E. 1989. Farm chemicals linked to striped bass decline. San Francisco Chronicle, 21 December 1989.

Diringer, E. 1990? Herbicide link to decline of bass disputed. San Francisco Chronicle.

Doroshev, S. I. 1970. Biological features of the eggs, larvae and young of the striped bass (*Roccus saxatilis* (Walbaum)) in connection with the problem of its acclimatization in the USSR. Journal of Ichthyology 10: 235-248.

Dovel, W. L., and J. R. Edmunds IV. 1971. Recent changes in striped bass *Morone saxatilis* spawning sites and commercial fishing areas in Upper Chesapeake Bay: possible influencing factors. Chesapeake Science 12: 33-39.

•

DuBois, R. B., and S. P. Gloss. 1993. Mortality of juvenile American shad and striped bass passed through Ossberger crossflow turbines at a small-scale hydroelectric site. North American Journal of Fisheries Management 13: 178-185.

Dudley, R. G., A. W. Mullis, and J. W. Terrell. 1977. Movements of adult striped bass (*Morone saxatilis*) in the Savannah River, Georgia. Transactions of the American Fisheries Society 106: 314-322.

Dunning, D. J., Q. E. Ross, M. T. Mattson, P. Geoghegan, and J. R. Waldman. 1989. Reducing mortality of striped bass captured in seines and trawls. North American Journal of Fisheries Management 9: 171-176.

Durham, M. 1980. Toxic chemicals may provide clue to mysterious disappearance of striped bass. News Release 14 July. U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service.

Eisler, R. 1970. Factors affecting pesticide-induced toxicity in an estuarine fish. Technical Paper 45.

Eldridge, M. B., B. J. Whipple, and D. Eng. 1980. Endogenous energy sources as factors affecting mortality and development in striped bass (*Morone saxatilis*) eggs and larvae. K. Sherman and R. Lasker, editors. Early life history of fish. Springer-Verlag, New York.

Eldridge, M. B., J. A. Whipple, D. Eng, and M. Bowers. 1978. Laboratory studies on factors affecting mortality in California striped bass (*Morone saxatilis*) eggs and larvae. Proceedings of the 108th annual meeting of the American Fisheries Society.

Eldridge, M. B., J. A. Whipple, D. Eng, M. J. Bowers, and B. M. Jarvis. 1981. Effects of food and feeding factors on laboratory-reared striped bass larvae. Transactions of the American Fisheries Society 110: 111-120.

EPA (Environmental Protection Agency). 1976. Temperature. Pages 218-231 in Quality criteria for water. EPA, Washington, D. C.

EPA. 1994a. Water quality standards for surface waters of the Sacramento River, San Joaquin River, and San Francisco Bay and Delta of the State of California. Federal Register 59: 810-852.

EPA. 1994b. Water quality standards for surface waters of the Sacramento River, San Joaquin River, and San Francisco Bay and Delta of the State of California: notice of availability. Federal Register 59: 44095-44097.

Erkkila, L. F., J. W. Moffet, O. B. Cope, B. R. Smith, and R. S. Nielson. 1950. Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta fishery resources: effects of Tracy Pumping Plant and Delta Cross Channel. Special Scientific Report - Fisheries 56. U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service.

Faggella, G. A., and B. J. Finlayson. 1987. Hazard assessment of rice herbicides, molinate and thiobencarb, to larval and juvenile striped bass. Environmental Services Division Administrative Report No. 87-2. California Department of Fish and Game.

Farley, T. C. 1966. Striped bass, *Roccus saxatilis*, spawning in the Sacramento-San Joaquin River system during 1963 and 1964. Fish Bulletin 136. California Department of Fish and Game.

FERC (Federal Energy Regulatory Commission). 1992. Proposed modifications to the Lower Mokelumne River Project, California (FERC Project No. 2916-004). Draft Environmental Impact Statement FERC DEIS-0067. FERC, Office of Hydropower Licensing, Washington, D. C.

FERC. 1993. Proposed modifications to the Lower Mokelumne River Project, California: FERC Project No. 2916-004 (Licensee: East Bay Municipal Utility District). Final Environmental Impact Statement. FERC, Division of Project Compliance and Administration, Washington, D. C.

Finlayson, B. J., and G. A. Faggella. 1986. Comparison of laboratory and field observations of fish exposed to the herbicides molinate and thiobencarb. Transactions of the American Fisheries Society 115: 882-890.

Foe, C. 1989. Rice season toxicity monitoring results, plus Appendices A-D. Report. California Regional Water Quality Control Board, Central Valley Region.

Frederiksen, L., and F. E. Borcalli. 1992. Striped bass enhancement and chinook salmon protection. Letter to C. Fullerton, Regional Director, National Marine Fisheries Service, Terminal Island, California. From Borcalli and Associates, Sacramento, California. 3 February.

Freeman, J. 1989. Lull in bay dumping brings back stripers. San Francisco Chronicle, 18 September.

The Fresno Bee. 1992. Killer alga suspected in delta fish deaths. 18 August, A4.

Gall, G. A. E. 1989. California striped bass: conservation management and fishery enhancement. Prepared by Department of Animal Science, University of California, Davis for California Department of Fish and Game.

Geiger, J. G., and N. C. Parker. 1985. Survey of striped bass hatchery management in the southeastern United States. The Progressive Fish-Culturist 47: 1-13.

Gilderhus, P. A., C. A. Lemm, and L. C. Woods III. 1991. Benzocaine as an anesthetic for striped bass. The Progressive Fish-Culturist 53: 105-107.

Goodyear, C. P. 1978. Management problems of migratory stocks of striped bass. Pages 75-84 *in* H. Clepper, editor. Marine Recreational Fisheries 3: Proceedings of the third annual marine recreational fisheries symposium. Sport Fishing Institute.

Goodyear, C. P. 1980. Oscillatory behavior of a striped bass population model controlled by a Ricker function. Transactions of the American Fisheries Society 109: 511-516.

Goodyear, C. P. 1985. Relationship between reported commercial landings and abundance of young striped bass in Chesapeake Bay, Maryland. Transactions of the American Fisheries Society 114: 92-96.

Goodyear, C. P. 1985. Toxic materials, fishing, and environmental variation: simulated effects on striped bass population trends. Transactions of the American Fisheries Society 114: 107-113.

Goodyear, C. P., and S. W. Christensen. 1984. On the ability to detect influence of spawning stock on recruitment. North American Journal of Fisheries Management 4: 186-193.

Goodyear, C. P., J. E. Cohen, and S. W. Christensen. 1985. Maryland striped bass: recruitment declining below replacement. Transactions of the American Fisheries Society 114: 146-151.

.

Gritz, W. J. 1971. Distribution and food habits of fishes in relation to the thermal plume at Pacific Gas and Electric Company's power plant in the Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta. Anadromous Fisheries Branch Administrative Report 71-14. California Department of Fish and Game.

Hall, F. A., Jr. 1980b. Ultrasonic tracking of striped bass, *Morone saxatilis*, and Sacramento squawfish, *Ptychocheilus grandis*, near fish facilities. Anadromous Fisheries Branch Administrative Report 80-1. California Department of Fish and Game.

Hall, L. W., Jr., D. T. Burton, W. C. Graves, and S. L. Margrey. 1981. Effects of dechlorination on early life stages of striped bass (*Morone saxatilis*). Environmental Science and Technology 15: 573-578.

Hall, L. W., Jr., D. T. Burton, and L. B. Richardson. 1981. Comparison of ozone and chlorine toxicity to the developmental stages of striped bass, *Morone saxatilis*. Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences 38: 752-757.

Hall, L. W., Jr., W. S. Hall, S. J. Bushong, and R. L. Herman. 1987. *In situ* striped bass (*Morone saxatilis*) contaminant and water quality studies in the Potomac River. Aquatic Toxicology 10: 73-99.

Hall, L. W., Jr., L. O. Horseman, and S. Zeger. 1984. Effects of organic and inorganic chemical contaminants on fertilization, hatching success, and prolarval survival of striped bass. Archives of Environmental Contamination and Toxicology 13: 723-729.

Hall, L. W., Jr., A. E. Pinkey, and R. L. Herman. 1987. Survival of striped bass larvae and yearlings in relation to contaminants and water quality in the upper Chesapeake Bay. Archives of Environmental Contamination and Toxicology 16: 391-400.

Hall, L. W., Jr., A. E. Pinkey, L. O. Horseman, and S. E. Finger. 1985. Mortality of striped bass larvae in relation to contaminants and water quality in a Chesapeake Bay tributary. Transactions of the American Fisheries Society 114: 861-868.

Hansen, S. R. 1983. Evaluation of the role played by toxic substances in the decline of the striped bass population in the San Francisco Bay-Delta system. Working Paper. Striped Bass Working Group, State Water Resources Control Board, Sacramento, California.

Hanson, C. H. 1983. A conceptual model of mechanisms and factors affecting striped bass year class strength. Working Paper. Striped Bass Working Group, State Water Resources Control Board, Sacramento, California.

Hassler, W. W. 1958. The striped bass in relation to the multiple use of the Roanoke River, North Carolina. Transactions of the 23rd North American Wildlife Conference 378-391.

Hatton, S. R. 1940. Progress report on the Central Valley fisheries investigations, 1939. California Fish and Game 26: 334-373.

Hatton, S. R. 1942. Striped bass spawning areas in California. California Fish and Game 28: 65.

Hedgpeth, J. W., and W. E. Mortensen. 1987. San Francisco Bay estuarine circulation and productivity of the estuary for striped bass and other species. San Francisco Bay/Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta Estuary Hearings, Bay Institute Exhibit 47. The Bay Institute of San Francisco, Sausalito, California.

Heubach, W., R. J. Toth, and A. M. McCready. 1963. Food of young-of-the-year striped bass, *Roccus saxatilis*, in the Sacramento-San Joaquin river system. California Fish and Game 49: 224-239.

Hopkins, T. E., and J. J. Cech, Jr. 1992. Physiological effects of capturing striped bass in gill nets and fyke traps. Transactions of the American Fisheries Society 121: 819-822.

Horseman, L. O., and J. Kernehan. 1976. An indexed bibliography of the striped bass (*Morone saxatilis*), 1670-1976. Bulletin 13. Ichthyological Associates, Ithaca, New York.

IESP (Interagency Ecological Studies Program). 1993a. IESP Directors briefing statement: Fish Facilities Program. California Department of Water Resources, California Department of Fish and Game, U. S. Bureau of Reclamation, U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service, U. S. Geological Survey, U. S. Army Corps of Engineers, and U. S. Environmental Protection Agency.

IESP. 1993b. IESP Directors briefing statement: Fishery/Water Quality Program. California Department of Water Resources, California Department of Fish and Game, U. S. Bureau of Reclamation, U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service, U. S. Geological Survey, U. S. Army Corps of Engineers, and U. S. Environmental Protection Agency.

Jackson, H. W., and R. E. Tiller. 1952. Preliminary observations on spawning potential of striped bass, *Roccus saxatilis* (Walbaum). Publication 93. Chesapeake Biological Laboratory.

Johnson, J. H., A. A. Nigro, and R. Temple. 1992. Evaluating enhancement of striped bass in the context of potential predation on anadromous salmonids in Coos Bay, Oregon. North American Journal of Fisheries Management 12: 103-108.

Johnson, W. C., and A. J. Calhoun. 1952. Food habits of California striped bass. California Fish and Game 38: 531-534.

Jung, M., J. A. Whipple, and M. Moser. 1984. Summary report of the Cooperative Striped Bass Study. Institute for Aquatic Resources, Santa Cruz, California.

Kane, A. S., R. O. Bennett, and E. B. May. 1990. Effect of hardness and salinity on survival of striped bass larvae. North American Journal of Fisheries Management 10: 67-71.

Kano, R. M. 1985a. 1984 Clifton Court Forebay evaluations of predation losses to juvenile chinook salmon and striped bass. Memorandum to Clifton Court Forebay Files. California Department of Fish and Game.

Kano, R. M. 1985b. 1985 Clifton Court Forebay evaluation of predation losses to juvenile chinook salmon. Memorandum to Clifton Court Forebay Files. California Department of Fish and Game.

Kano, R. M. 1986. 1986 Evaluation of Clifton Court Forebay losses to juvenile striped bass. Memorandum to Clifton Court Forebay Predation Files. California Department of Fish and Game.

Kaumeyer, K. R., and E. M. Setzler-Hamilton. 1982. Effects of pollutants and water quality on selected estuarine fish and invertebrates: a review of the literature.

Kelley, D. W. 1966. Ecological studies of the Sacramento-San Joaquin Estuary. Part I. Zooplankton, zoobenthos, and fishes of San Pablo and Suisun Bays, zooplankton and zoobenthos of the Delta. Fish Bulletin 133. California Department of Fish and Game.

Kelley, D. W. 1982. The striped bass decline in the San Francisco Bay-Delta estuary. State Water Resources Control Board, Sacramento, California.

Kellogg, R. L., and J. J. Gift. 1983. Relationship between optimum temperatures for growth and preferred temperatures for the young of four fish species. Transactions of the American Fisheries Society 112: 424-430.

Kelly, R., and H. K. Chadwick. 1971. Some observations on striped bass temperature tolerances. Anadromous Fisheries Branch Administrative Report No. 71-9. California Department of Fish and Game.

Kelly, R. O., J. R. Hair, and D. E. Stevens. 1971. *Neomysis awatchensis* Brant distribution in the Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta with regard to physical parameters at Pittsburg and Collinsville. Anadromous Fisheries Branch Administrative Report No. 71-8. California Department of Fish and Game.

Kjelson, M. A., B. Loudermilk, D. Hood, and P. Brandes. 1990. The influence of San Joaquin River inflow, Central Valley and State Water Project exports and migration route on fall-run chinook smolt survival in the southern Delta during the spring of 1989. Supplemental Annual Progress Report. U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Fisheries Assistance Office, Stockton, California with California Department of Fish and Game, Region 4, Fresno.

Klar, G. T., and N. C. Parker. 1986. Marking fingerling striped bass and blue tilapia with coded wire tags and Microtaggants. North American Journal of Fisheries Management 6: 439-444.

Klauda, R. J., W. P. Dey, T. P. Hoff, and J. B. McClaren. 1980. Selected aspects of the biology of Hudson River striped bass and prudent speculation on factors influencing juvenile abundance. H. Clepper, editor. Proceedings of the Fifth Annual Marine Recreational Symposium. Sport Fishing Institute.

Knudsen, D. L., and D. W. Kohlhorst. 1987. Striped bass health monitoring. 1985 Final Report No. 4-090-120-0. Prepared for the California State Water Resources Control Board under Interagency Agreement.

Knutson, A. C., Jr., and J. J. Orsi. 1983. Factors regulating abundance and distribution of the shrimp, *Neomysis mercedis*, in the Sacramento-San Joaquin Estuary. Transactions of the American Fisheries Society 112: 476-485.

Kohlenstein, L. C. 1980. Aspects of the population dynamics of striped bass (*Morone saxatilis*) spawning in Maryland tributaries of the Chesapeake Bay. Doctoral dissertation. Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, Maryland.

Kohlhorst, D. 1983. Comparison of adult striped bass survival rates estimated by several methods. Working Paper. Striped Bass Working Group, State Water Resources Control Board, Sacramento, California.

Kohlhorst, D. 1983. Comparison of natural mortality rates of striped bass tagged in the Sacramento River and in the Delta. Working Paper. Striped Bass Working Group, State Water Resources Control Board, Sacramento, California.

Kohlhorst, D. 1983. Trends in striped bass harvest rates. Working Paper. Striped Bass Working Group, State Water Resources Control Board, Sacramento, California.

•

.

Kohlhorst, D. W. 1973. An analysis of the annual striped bass die-off in the Sacramento-San Joaquin estuary. Anadromous Fisheries Branch Administrative Report 73-7. California Department of Fish and Game.

Kohlhorst, D. W. 1975. The striped bass (*Morone saxatilis*) die-off in the Sacramento-San Joaquin estuary in 1973 and a comparison of its characteristics with those of the 1971 and 1972 die-offs. Anadromous Fisheries Branch Administrative Report 74-13. California Department of Fish and Game.

Kohlhorst, D. W., D. E. Stevens, and L. W. Miller. 1991. A means of evaluating impacts of alternative outflow and export criteria on striped bass in the Sacramento-San Joaquin Estuary. Draft Report. California Department of Fish and Game, Bay-Delta and Special Water Projects Division, Stockton.

Koo, T. S. Y. 1970. The striped bass fishery in the Atlantic States. Chesapeake Science 11: 73-93.

Korn, S., and R. Earnest. 1974. Acute toxicity of twenty insecticides to striped bass, *Morone* saxatilis. California Fish and Game 60: 128-131.

Kornegay, J. W., and A. W. Mullis. 1984. Investigations into the decline in egg viability and juvenile survival of Albemarle Sound striped bass (*Morone saxatilis*). Project F-22. North Carolina Wildlife Resources Commission, Federal Aid in Fish Restoration, Raleigh, North Carolina.

Krouse, J. S. 1968. Effects of dissolved oxygen, temperature, and salinity on survival of young striped bass, *Roccus saxatilis* (Walbaum). Master's thesis. University of Maine, Orono.

Lal, K., R. Lasker, and A. Kuljis. 1977. Acclimation and rearing of striped bass larvae in seawater. California Fish and Game 63: 210-218.

Leverone, M. F. 1980. Regional management of striped bass. Pages 165-170 in H. Clepper, editor. Marine Recreational Fisheries 5: Proceedings of the fifth annual marine recreational fisheries symposium. Sport Fishing Institute, Washington, D. C.

Lewis, R. M., and R. R. Bonner Jr. 1966. Fecundity of the striped bass, *Roccus saxatilis* (Walbaum). Transactions of the American Fisheries Society 95: 328-331.

Loeber, T. S. 1951. A report of the investigation of the temperature and salinity relationships of striped bass (*Roccus saxatilis*) and salmon (*Oncorhynchus tshawytscha*) in connection with the Reber plan. California Department of Fish and Game, Sacramento.

Logan, P. T. 1985. Environmental variation and striped bass population dynamics: a size dependent mortality model. Estuaries 8: 28-38.

Lollock, D. L. 1964. Investigation of San Francisco Bay fish kills during 1964. Unpublished Inland Fisheries Report. California Department of Fish and Game, Region 3.

Low, A. 1986. 1985 striped bass egg and larva survey in the Sacramento-San Joaquin Estuary. California Department of Fish and Game.

MacFarlane, R. B., and P. E. Benville Jr. 1986. Primary and secondary stress responses of striped bass (*Morone saxatilis*) exposed to benzene. Marine Biology 92: 245-254.

16

.

MacFarlane, R. B., and J. A. Whipple. 1982. The striped bass (*Morone saxatilis*) as an indicator of water quality in the San Francisco Bay-Delta system. Pages 81-134 in M. J. Herz and S. T. McCreary, editors. State of the Bay. Oceanic Society, San Francisco, California.

Mansueti, R. 1958. Eggs, larvae and young of the striped bass, *Roccus saxatilis*. Contribution 112. Maryland Department of Research and Education, Solomans.

Mansueti, R. J. 1961. Age, growth, and movements of the striped bass, *Roccus saxatilis*, taken in size selective fishing gear in Maryland. Chesapeake Science 2: 9-36.

Mansueti, R. J. 1962. Effects of civilization on striped bass and other estuarine biota in Chesapeake Bay and tributaries. Proceedings of the Gulf and Carribean Fisheries Institute 14: 110-136.

Mansueti, R. J., and E. H. Hollis. 1963. Striped bass in Maryland tidewater. Educational Services Report No. 61. Natural Resources Institute, University of Maryland.

Marine, K. R., and Jr. J. J. Cech. 1994. An investigation of the effects of elevated water temperature on some aspects of the physiological and ecological performance of juvenile chinook salmon; implications for management of California's Central Valley salmon stocks. Final Report (preliminary) to the Interagency Ecological Studies Program for San Francisco Bay/Delta and the California Department of Water Resources. Prepared by Department of Wildlife and Fisheries Biology, University of California, Davis.

Martin, D. F., D. A. Wright, J. C. Means, and E. M. Setzler-Hamilton. 1985. Importance of food supply to nutritional state of larval striped bass in the Potomac River estuary. Transactions of the American Fisheries Society 114: 137-145.

Matthews, W. J., L. G. Hill, D. R. Edds, and F. P. Gelwick. 1989. Influence of water quality and season on habitat use by striped bass in a large southwestern reservoir. Transactions of the American Fisheries Society 118: 243-250.

May, R. C. 1974. Larval mortality in marine fishes and the critical period concept. Pages 3-19 in J. H. S. Blaxter, editor. The early life history of fish. Springer-Verlag, New York.

Mazik, P. M., B. A. Simco, and N. C. Parker. 1991. Influence of water hardness and salts on survival and physiological characteristics of striped bass during and after transport. Transactions of the American Fisheries Society 120: 121-126.

McCloskey, L., and V. Stevens. 1980. Striped bass investigations. Report F-15-R. Kansas Fish and Game Commission.

McGie, A. J., and R. E. Mullen. 1979. Age, growth, and population trends of striped bass, *Morone saxatilis* in Oregon. Information Report Series Fisheries 79-8. Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife, Charleston.

McGovern, J. C., and J. E. Olney. 1988. Potential predation by fish and invertebrates on early life history stages of striped bass in the Pamunkey River, Virginia. Transactions of the American Fisheries Society 117: 152-161.

Mehrle, P. M., T. A. Haines, S. Hamilton, J. L. Ludke, F. L. Mayer, and M. A. Ribick. 1982. Relationship between body contaminants and bone development in East-Coast striped bass. Transactions of the American Fisheries Society 111: 231-241.

Meldrim, J. W., and J. J. Gift. 1971. Temperature preference, avoidance, and shock experiments with estuarine fish. Bulletin 7. Ichthyological Associates, Ithaca, New York.

Meng, L., and J. J. Orsi. 1991. Selective predation by larval striped bass on native and introduced copepods. Transactions of the American Fisheries Society 120: 187-192.

Merriman, D. 1937. Notes on the life history of the striped bass (Roccus lineatus). Copeia 1: 15-36.

Merriman, D. 1941. Studies on the striped bass (*Roccus saxatilis*) of the Atlantic coast. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service Fishery Bulletin 50: 1-77.

Messersmith, J. 1966. Fishes collected in Carquinez Strait in 1961-1962. California Department of Fish and Game Fishery Bulletin 136: 57-63.

Meyer Resources. 1985. The economic value of striped bass, *Morone saxatilis*, chinook salmon, *Oncorhynchus tshawytscha*, and steelhead trout, *Salmo gairdneri*, of the Sacramento and San Joaquin river systems. Anadromous Fisheries Branch Administrative Report 85-03. California Department of Fish and Game.

Meyerhoff, R. D. 1975. Acute toxicity of benzene, a component of crude oil, to juvenile striped bass (*Morone saxatilis*). Journal of the Fisheries Research Board of Canada 32: 1864-1866.

Middaugh, D. P., J. A. Couch, and A. M. Crane. 1977. Chlorine toxicity to eggs and larvae of five Chesapeake Bay fishes. Chesapeake Science 18: 141-153.

Mihursky, J. A., W. R. Boynton, E. M. Setzler-Hamilton, and K. V. Wood. 1981. Freshwater influences on striped bass population dynamics. Report FWS/OBS-81-04. U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Office of Biological Services.

Miller, L. 1983. A partial analysis of trophic relationships between chlorophyll *a*, zooplankton, *Neomysis*, and young striped bass in the Sacramento-San Joaquin Estuary. Working Paper. Striped Bass Working Group, State Water Resources Control Board, Sacramento, California.

Miller, L. 1983. Hypothesis: increased Ordram (molinate) use could account for the decline in abundance of striped bass. Working Paper. Striped Bass Working Group, State Water Resources Control Board, Sacramento, California.

Miller, L. W. 1974. Mortality rates for California striped bass (*Morone saxatilis*) from 1965-1971. California Fish and Game 60: 157-171.

Miller, L. W. 1987. Analysis of larval striped bass food habits in the Sacramento-San Joaquin Estuary - 1986. Draft Manuscript. California Department of Fish and Game, Bay-Delta Fishery Project, Stockton.

Miller, L. W., and R. J. McKechnie. 1969. Trends in the striped bass fishery in the Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta from 1959-1965. Anadromous Fisheries Branch, Administrative Report 69-5. California Department of Fish and Game. Miller, L. W., and J. J. Orsi. 1969. Growth of striped bass (*Morone saxatilis*) in the Sacramento-San Joaquin estuary from 1961-1965. Anadromous Fisheries Branch, Administrative Report 69-6. California Department of Fish and Game.

Miller, P. E., Jr. 1977. Experimental study and modeling of striped bass egg and larval mortality. Doctoral dissertation. John Hopkins University, Baltimore, Maryland.

Moffett, J. W. 1949. The first four years of king salmon maintenance below Shasta Dam, Sacramento River, California. California Fish and Game

۰.

Morgan, A. R., and A. R. Gerlach. 1950. Striped bass studies on Coos Bay in 1949 and 1950. Oregon Fish and Game Commission Contribution 14: 1-31.

Morgan, R. P., II, and R. D. Prince. 1978. Chlorine effects on larval development of striped bass (*Morone saxatilis*), white perch (*M. americana*) and blueback herring (*Alosa aestivalis*). Transactions of the American Fisheries Society 107: 636-641.

Morgan, R. P., II, J. Rasin, Jr., and L. A. Noe. 1983. Sediment effects on eggs and larvae of striped bass and white perch. Transactions of the American Fisheries Society 112: 220-224.

Morgan, R. P., II, V. J. Rasin, Jr., and R. L. Copp. 1981. Temperature and salinity effects on development of striped bass eggs and larvae. Transactions of the American Fisheries Society 110: 95-99.

Morgan, R. P., II, R. E. Ulanowicz, and V. J. Rasin, Jr. 1976. Effects of shear on eggs and larvae of striped bass, *Morone saxatilis*, and white perch, *M. americana*. Transactions of the American Fisheries Society 105: 149-154.

Moss, J. L. 1985. Summer selection of thermal refuges by striped bass in Alabama reservoirs and tailwaters. Transactions of the American Fisheries Society 114: 77-83.

Moyle, P. B. 1976. Inland fishes of California. First edition. University of California Press, Berkeley.

Neumann, D. A., J. M. O'Connor, and J. A. Sherk Jr. 1981. Oxygen consumption of white perch (*Morone americana*), striped bass (*Morone saxatilis*), and spot (*Leiostomus xanthurus*). Comparative Biochemical Physiology 69A: 467-478.

Nichols, F. H., J. E. Cloern, S. N. Luoma, and D. H. Peterson. 1986. The modification of an estuary. Science 231: 567-573.

NMFS, (National Marine Fisheries Service). 1990. Endangered and threatened species; winterrun chinook salmon: proposed rule. Federal Register 55 (54): 10260-10267.

Nolte, C. 1990. Delta bass population at record low. San Francisco Chronicle, 4 August, A1,A20.

O'Neil, R. V., R. H. Gardner, S. W. Christensen, W. Van Winkle, J. H. Carney, and J. B. Mankin. 1981. Some effects of parameter uncertainty in density-independent and densitydependent Leslie models for fish populations. Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences 38: 91-100.

Odenweller, D. B. 1990. SWP mitigation loss calculation - 1989. Memorandum to H. K. Chadwick, from California Department of Fish and Game, Bay-Delta Project, Stockton.

Odenweller, D. B., and R. L. Brown. 1982. Delta Fish Facilities Program Report through June 30, 1982. Technical Report 6. Interagency Ecological Study Program for the Sacramento-San Joaquin Estuary.

Olney, J. E., J. D. Field, and J. C. McGovern. 1991. Striped bass egg mortality, production, and female biomass in Virginia Rivers, 1980-1989. Transactions of the American Fisheries Society 120: 354-367.

Orsi, J. J. 1971. The 1965-1967 migrations of the Sacramento-San Joaquin estuary striped bass population. California Fish and Game 57: 257-267.

Otwell, W. S., and J. V. Merriner. 1975. Survival and growth of juvenile striped bass, *Morone* saxatilis, in a factorial experiment with temperature, salinity, and age. Transactions of the American Fisheries Society 104: 560-566.

Palawski, D., J. B. Hunn, and F. J. Dwyer. 1985. Sensitivity of young striped bass to organic and inorganic contaminants in fresh and saline waters. Transactions of the American Fisheries Society 114: 748-753.

Paterson, A. M. 1989. Evaluating proposed changes in striped bass spawning standards. Prepared for Turlock Irrigation District and Modesto Irrigation District, California.

Paterson, A. M. 1989. Historical notes on striped bass. Prepared for Turlock Irrigation District and Modesto Irrigation District, California.

Paterson, A. M. 1989. Notes on historic spawning locations of striped bass, with emphasis on the San Joaquin River. Revised Draft Report. Prepared for Turlock Irrigation District and Modesto Irrigation District, California.

Paterson, A. M. 1990. Historic spawning locations of striped bass in the Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta. Draft Report. Turlock Irrigation District and Modesto Irrigation District, California.

Pearson, J. C. 1938. The life history of the striped bass, or rockfish, *Roccus saxatilis* (Walbaum). Bulletin 28. U. S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of Fisheries.

Persons, W. R., and R. V. Bulkley. 1982. Feeding activity and spawning time of striped bass in the Colorado River inlet, Lake Powell, Utah. North American Journal of Fisheries Management 4: 403-408.

Persons, W. R., R. V. Bulkley, and W. R. Noonam. 1981. Movements and feeding of adult striped bass, Colorado River inlet, 1980-81. Utah Cooperative Fishery Research Unit, Logan.

Petit, C. 1991. Bay going downhill, experts say-bass found with bad livers. San Francisco Chronicle, 31 May, A17.

Pfuderer, H. A., S. S. Talmage, B. N. Collier, W. Van Winkle, Jr., and C. P. Goodyear editors. 1975. Striped bass - a selected, annotated bibliography. Environmental Sciences Division Publication No. 615, Contract W-7405-eng-26. Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, Tennessee. Pickard, A., A. M. Grover, and F. A. Hall, Jr. 1982. An evaluation of predator composition at three locations on the Sacramento River. Technical Report 2. Interagency Ecological Study Program for the Sacramento-San Joaquin Estuary.

Polgar, T. T. 1977. Striped bass ichthyoplankton abundance, mortality, and production estimation for the Potomac River population. Pages 110-126 *in* W. Van Winkle, editor. Conference on assessing the effects of power-plant induced mortality on fish population. Pergamon, New York.

Prager, M. H., J. F. O'Brien, and S. B. Saila. 1987. Using lifetime fecundity to compare management strategies: a case history for striped bass. North American Journal of Fisheries Management 7: 403-409.

Price, K. S., D. A. Flemer, J. L. Taft, G. B. Mackiernan, W. Nehlsen, R. B. Biggs, N. H. Burger, and D. A. Blaylock. 1985. Nutrient enrichment of Chesapeake Bay and its impact on the habitat of striped bass: a speculative hypothesis. Transactions of the American Fisheries Society 114: 97-106.

Radovich, J. 1963. Effect of ocean temperature on the seaward movements of striped bass, *Roccus saxatilis*, on the Pacific Coast. California Fish and Game 49: 191-206.

Radtke, L. D. 1966. Distribution of adult and subadult striped bass, *Roccus saxatilis*, in the Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta. Bulletin No. 136, pages 15-27. California Department of Fish and Game.

Radtke, L. D., and J. L. Turner. 1967. High concentrations of total dissolved solids block spawning migration of striped bass (*Roccus saxatilis*) in the San Joaquin River, California. Transactions of the American Fisheries Society 96: 405-407.

Rago, P. J., and C. P. Goodyear. 1987. Recruitment mechanisms of striped bass and Atlantic salmon: comparative liabilities of alternative life histories. Pages 402-416 in M. J. Dadswell, R. J. Klauda, C. M. Moffitt, R. L. Saunders, R. A. Rulifson and J. E. Cooper, editors. Common strategies of anadromous and catadromous fishes: American Fisheries Society Symposium 1. American Fisheries Society, Bethesda, Maryland.

Raney, E. C. 1952. The life history of the striped bass, *Roccus saxatilis* (Walbaum). Bulletin of the Bingham Oceanographic Collection Yale University 14: 19-45, 64-80.

Raquel, P. F. 1987. Estimated entrainment of striped bass eggs and larvae at State Water Project and Central Valley Project facilities in the Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta 1985 and 1986. Technical Report 13. Interagency Ecological Study Program for the Sacramento-San Joaquin Estuary.

Raquel, P. F. 1989. Effects of handling and trucking on chinook salmon, striped bass, American shad, steelhead trout, threadfin shad, and white catfish salvaged at the John E. Skinner Delta Fish Protective Facility. Technical Report 19. Interagency Ecological Study Program for the Sacramento-San Joaquin Estuary.

Rast, W., and J. E. Sutton. 1989. Use of stable carbon and nitrogen isotopes to trace the larval striped bass food chain in the Sacramento-San Joaquin estuary, California, April to September 1985. Water Resources Investigations Report 88-4164. U. S. Geological Survey, in cooperation with the State Water Resources Control Board, Sacramento, California.

Rathjen, W. F., and L. C. Miller. 1957. Aspects of the early life history of the striped bass (*Roccus saxatilis*) in the Hudson River. New York Fish and Game Journal 4: 43-60.

Reynolds, F. L., T. J. Mills, R. Benthin, and A. Low. 1993. Restoring Central Valley streams: a plan for action. California Department of Fish and Game, Inland Fisheries Division, Sacramento.

Robinson, J. B. 1960. The age and growth of striped bass (*Roccus saxatilis*) in California. California Fish and Game 46: 279-290.

Rochelle, J. M., and C. C. Coutant. 1973. Temperature sensitive ultrasonic fish tag, Q-5099. Report ORNL/TM 4438. Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, Tennessee.

Rogers, B. A., and D. T. Westin. 1975. A bibliography on the biology of the striped bass, *Morone saxatilis* (Walbaum). Marine Technical Report 37. University of Rhode Island, Kingston.

Rogers, B. A., and D. T. Westin. 1981. Laboratory studies on effects of temperature and delayed initial feeding on development of striped bass larvae. Transactions of the American Fisheries Society 110: 100-110.

Rogers, R. D., and D. E. Stevens. 1971. Distribution of young striped bass (Morone saxatilis) in the Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta at Collinsville and Pittsburg. Anadromous Fisheries Branch Administrative Report 71-12. California Department of Fish and Game.

Rogier, C. G., J. J. Ney, and B. J. Turner. 1985. Electrophoretic analysis of genetic variability in a landlocked striped bass population. Transactions of the American Fisheries Society 114: 244-249.

Rulifson, R. A., and III C. S. Manooch. 1990. Recruitment of juvenile striped bass in the Roanoke River, North Carolina, as related to reservoir discharge. North American Journal of Fisheries Management 10: 397-407.

Saiki, M. K., M. R. Jennings, and R. H. Wiedmeyer. 1992. Toxicity of agricultural subsurface drainwater from the San Joaquin Valley, California, to juvenile chinook salmon and striped bass. Transactions of the American Fisheries Society 121: 78-93.

Sakanari, J. A., C. A. Reilly, and M. Moser. 1983. Tubercular lesions in Pacific Coast populations of striped bass. Transactions of the American Fisheries Society 112: 565-566.

San Francisco Chronicle. 1992. State plans fewer bass, more salmon. 30 May 1992, A16.

Sasaki, S. 1966. Distribution of juvenile striped bass, *Roccus saxatilis*, in the Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta. J. L. Turner and D. W. Kelly, editors. Ecological studies of the Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta, part II. Fish Bulletin 136. California Department of Fish and Game,

Sazaki, M., W. Heubach, and J. E. Skinner. 1972. Some preliminary results on the swimming ability and impingement tolerance of young-of-the-year steelhead trout, king salmon and striped bass. Final Report for Anadromous Fisheries Act Project AFS-13. California Department of Fish and Game.

SBWG (Striped Bass Working Group). 1982. The striped bass decline in the San Francisco Bay-Delta Estuary. Prepared for State Water Resources Control Board, Sacramento, California. Schaffter, R. G. 1978. An evaluation of juvenile king salmon (*Oncorhynchus tshawytscha*) loss in Clifton Court Forebay. Anadromous Fisheries Branch, Administrative Report 78-21. California Department of Fish and Game.

Schaich, B. A., and C. C. Coutant. 1980. A biotelemetry study of spring and summer habitat selection by striped bass in Cherokee Reservoir, Tennessee, 1978. Report ORNL/TM-7127. Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, Tennessee.

Schubart, B. J., and T. S. Y. Koo. 1973. Sex differentiation in juvenile striped bass, *Morone* saxatilis. Proceedings of a workshop on egg, larval, and juvenile stages of fish in Atlantic coast estuaries. Middle Atlantic Coastal Fisheries Center, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration.

Schubel, J. R., and J. C. S. Wang. 1973. The effects of suspended sediment on the hatching success of *Perca flavescens* (yellow perch), *Morone americana* (white perch), *Morone saxatilis* (striped bass), and *Alosa psuedoharengus* (alewife) eggs. Special Report 30, Reference 73-3. Johns Hopkins University, Chesapeake Bay Institute, Baltimore, Maryland.

Scofield, E. C. 1931. The striped bass of California (*Roccus lineatus*). Fish Bulletin 29. California Division of Fish and Game.

Scofield, N. B. 1910. Notes on the striped bass in California. Pages 104-109 in 21st Biennial Report, Board of Fish and Game Commissioners of California for 1909-1910, Sacramento.

Scofield, N. B., and H. C. Bryant. 1926. The striped bass in California. California Fish and Game 12: 52-74.

Secor, D. H., M. G. White, and J. M. Dean. 1991. Immersion marking of larval and juvenile hatchery-produced striped bass with oxytetracycline. Transactions of the American Fisheries Society 120: 261-266.

Setzler-Hamilton, E. M., W. R. Boynton, J. A. Mihursky, T. T. Polgar, and K. V. Wood. 1981. Spatial and temporal distribution of striped bass eggs, larvae, and juveniles in the Potomac estuary. Transactions of the American Fisheries Society 110: 121-136.

Setzler-Hamilton, E. M., W. R. Boynton, K. V. Wood, H. H. Zion, and L. Lubbers. 1980. Synopsis of biological data on striped bass, *Morone saxatilis* (Walbaum). Technical Report 433. National Marine Fisheries Service.

Setzler-Hamilton, E. M., J. A. Mihursky, W. R. Boynton, K. V. Wood, G. E. Drewry, and T. T. Polgar. 1980. Striped bass spawning and egg and larval stages. Pages 89-99 *in* H. Clepper, editor. Marine Recreational Fisheries 5: Proceedings of the fifth annual marine recreational fisheries symposium. Sport Fishing Institute, Washington, D. C.

Setzler-Hamilton, E. M., D. A. Wright, F. D. Martin, C. V. Millsaps, and S. I. Whitlow. 1987. Analysis of nutritional condition and its use in predicting striped bass recruitment: field studies. American Fisheries Society Symposium 2: 115-128.

Shannon, E. H., and W. B. Smith. 1967. Preliminary observations of the effect of temperature on striped bass eggs and sac fry. Proceedings of the Annual Conference Southeastern Association of Game and Fish Commissioners 21: 257-260.

Shapovalov, L. 1936. Food of the striped bass. California Fish and Game 22: 261-271.

Silvey, W. D., and G. A. Irvwin. 1969. Relation of water quality to striped bass mortalities in the Carquinez Strait of California. Open-File Report 3016-01. U. S. Geological Survey, Water Resources Division, Menlo Park, California.

Sitts, R. M. 1983a. Entrainment impacts on young striped bass of combined operation of southern Delta export facilities over the years 1959-1981. Working Paper. Striped Bass Working Group, State Water Resources Control Board, Sacramento, California.

Sitts, R. M. 1983b. Increased secondary waste treatment as the cause of the striped bass decline. Working Paper. Striped Bass Working Group, State Water Resources Control Board, Sacramento, California.

Sitts, R. M. 1983c. Monthly flows in the Delta as indicators of residence time, a potential cause of the striped bass decline. Working Paper. Striped Bass Working Group, State Water Resources Control Board, Sacramento, California.

Sitts, R. M. 1983d. Recommended courses of Board action to fight the decline in striped bass. Working Paper. Striped Bass Working Group, State Water Resources Control Board, Sacramento, California.

Sitts, R. M. 1983e. Striped bass entrainment at Delta water diversion intakes. Working Paper. Striped Bass Working Group, State Water Resources Control Board, Sacramento, California.

Sitts, R. M., and C. H. Hanson. 1983a. Conclusions and recommendations on entrainment and point-source organic wastes. Working Paper. Striped Bass Working Group, State Water Resources Control Board, Sacramento, California.

Sitts, R. M., and C. H. Hanson. 1983b. Entrainment and the loss of small bass by diversions. Working Paper. Striped Bass Working Group, State Water Resources Control Board, Sacramento, California.

Skinner, J. E. 1974. A functional evaluation of a large louver screen installation and fish facilities research on California water diversion projects. L. D. Jensen, editor. Proceedings of the second entrainment and intake screening workshop. The Johns Hopkins University Cooling Water Research Project, Report Number 15. Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, Maryland.

Smith, R. E., and R. J. Kernehan. 1981. Predation by the free-living copepod, Cyclops bicuspidatus thomasi, on larvae of the striped bass and white perch. Estuaries 4: 81-83.

Sommani, P. 1972. A study on the population dynamics of striped bass *Morone saxatilis* (Walbaum) in the San Francisco Bay estuary. Doctoral dissertation. University of Washington, Seattle.

Spaar, S. 1988. Estimated entrainment of striped bass eggs and larvae at the State Water and Central Valley Project facilities in the Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta, 1988. Special Report. California Department of Water Resources, Sacramento.

Sport Fishing Institute. 1980. Striped bass temperature preference. Bulletin No. 315.

Stern, E. M., and W. B. Stickle. 1978. Effects of turbidity and suspended material in aquatic environments. Literature review. Technical Report D-78-21. U. S. Army Corps of Engineers, Waterways Experiment Station, Vicksburg, Mississippi.

Stevens, D. 1983a. Decline in striped bass due to competition from threadfin shad? Working Paper. Striped Bass Working Group, State Water Resources Control Board, Sacramento, California.

Stevens, D. 1983b. Increased predation responsible for decline in young striped bass? Working Paper. Striped Bass Working Group, State Water Resources Control Board, Sacramento, California.

Stevens, D. 1983c. Recent trends in water transparency in the Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta. Working Paper. Striped Bass Working Group, State Water Resources Control Board, Sacramento, California.

Stevens, D. 1983d. Relationships between abundance and survival of young striped bass and crustacean zooplankton densities in the striped bass nursery area. Working Paper. Striped Bass Working Group, State Water Resources Control Board, Sacramento, California.

Stevens, D. E. 1967. Food habits of striped bass, *Roccus saxatilis*, in the Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta. Pages 68-96 in J. L. Turner and D. W. Kelley, editors. Ecological studies of the Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta, Part II. Fish Bulletin 136. California Department of Fish and Game.

Stevens, D. E. 1977. Striped bass (*Morone saxatilis*) monitoring techniques in the Sacramento-San Joaquin Estuary. Pages 68-96 in W. Van Winkle, editor. Proceedings of the conference on assessing the effects of power-plant induced mortality on fish populations. Pergamon, New York.

Stevens, D. E. 1977. Striped bass (*Morone saxatilis*) year class strength in relation to river flow in the Sacramento-San Joaquin estuary, California. Transactions of the American Fisheries Society 106: 34-42.

Stevens, D. E. 1980. Factors affecting the striped bass fisheries of the West Coast. Pages 15-28 *in* H. Clepper, editor. Marine Recreational Fisheries 5: Proceedings of the fifth annual marine recreational fisheries symposium. Sport Fishing Institute, Washington, D.C.

Stevens, D. E., H. K. Chadwick, and R. E. Painter. 1987. American shad and striped bass in California's Sacramento-San Joaquin river system. Pages 66-78 in M. J. Dadswell, R. J. Klauda, C. M. Moffitt, R. L. Saunders, R. A. Rulifson and J. E. Cooper, editors. Common strategies of anadromous and catadromous fishes: American Fisheries Society Symposium 1. American Fisheries Society, Bethesda, Maryland.

Stevens, D. E., and B. J. Finlayson. 1978. Mortality of young striped bass entrained at two power plants in the Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta, California. Pages 57-69 in L. D. Jensen, editor. Fourth national workshop on entrainment and impingement. EA Communications, Melville, New York.

Stevens, D. E., M. A. Kjelson, and P. L. Brandes. n. d. An evaluation of the relationship between survival of chinook salmon smolts and river flow in the Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta. Unknown report, Appendix A. California Department of Fish and Game.

Stevens, D. E., D. W. Kohlhorst, L. W. Miller, and D. W. Kelley. 1985. The decline of striped bass in the Sacramento-San Joaquin estuary, California. Transactions of the American Fisheries Society 114: 12-30.
SWC (State Water Contractors). 1991. Sacramento-San Joaquin striped bass: development and evaluation of protection alternatives. San Francisco Bay/Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta Estuary Water Quality/Water Rights Hearings, Environmental Impact Report Scoping Phase Exhibit 706. SWC, Sacramento, California.

SWRCB (State Water Resources Control Board). 1980. First Progress Report on the Cooperative Striped Bass Study (COSBS). Special Projects Report 8010-1. SWRCB, Sacramento, California.

SWRCB. 1981. Second Progress Report on the Cooperative Striped Bass Study (COSBS). Special Projects Report. SWRCB, Sacramento, California.

SWRCB. 1985. Acute toxicity of rice herbicides to *Neomysis mercedis*; chronic toxicity of rice herbicides to *Neomysis mercedis*. International Project No. LSU-7578. Stanford Research Institute, Menlo Park, California.

SWRCB. 1987. Regulation of agricultural drainage to the San Joaquin River. Draft Technical Committee Report, Order No. WQ85-1. SWRCB, Sacramento, California.

SWRCB. 1991. Water quality control plan for salinity: San Francisco Bay/Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta Estuary. Report 91-15 WR. SWRCB, Bay-Delta Section Division of Water Rights, Sacramento, California.

SWRCB. 1992. Decision establishing terms and conditions for interim protection of public trust uses of the San Francisco Bay/Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta Estuary. Draft Water Right Decision 1630. SWRCB, Sacramento, California.

SWRCB. 1993. Decision establishing terms and conditions for interim protection of public trust uses of the San Francisco Bay/Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta Estuary. Draft Water Right Decision 1630. SWRCB, Sacramento, California.

Tagatz, M. E. 1961. Tolerance of striped bass and American shad to changes in temperature and salinity. Special Scientific Report - Fisheries 388. U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service.

Talbot, G. B. 1966. Estuarine environmental requirements and limiting factors for striped bass. Special Publication 3: 37-49. American Fisheries Society, Bethesda, Maryland.

Talbot, G. E. 1967. Teratological notes on striped bass (*Roccus saxatilis*) of San Francisco Bay. Copeia 1967: 459-461.

Thomas, J. L. 1967. The diet of juvenile and adult striped bass, *Roccus saxatilis*, in the Sacramento-San Joaquin river system. California Fish and Game 53: 49-62.

Thompson, K. W., L. A. Knight, Jr., and N. C. Parker. 1986. Color-coded flourescent plastic chips for marking small fishes. Copeia 1986: 544-546.

Tresselt, E. F. 1952. Spawning grounds of the striped bass or rock, *Roccus saxatilis* (Walbaum), in Virginia. Bulletin of the Bingham Oceanographic Collection 14: 98-110.

Tsai, C.-F., M. Wiley, and A.-L. Chai. 1991. Rise and fall of the Potomac River striped bass stock: a hypothesis of the role of sewage. Transactions of the American Fisheries Society 120: 1-22.

Ture, M. 1985. The case of the vanishing striper. The East Bay Express, 22 November 1985, 1-16.

Turner, J. 1990. Observations on the time, location, and possible factors determining the size of the young bass index in the Sacramento-San Joaquin Estuary. Draft Report for the Food Chain Committee. U. S. Bureau of Reclamation.

Turner, J., and D. Kelley. 1966. Ecological studies of the Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta. Part II. Fish Bulletin 136. California Department of Fish and Game.

Turner, J. L. 1976. Striped bass spawning in the Sacramento and San Joaquin rivers in central California from 1963-1972. California Fish and Game 62: 106-118.

Turner, J. L. 1983. Chlorophyll *a* and *Neomysis* concentration in the Suisun Bay/Delta from 1970 to 1980. Working Paper. Striped Bass Working Group, State Water Resources Control Board, Sacramento, California.

Turner, J. L. 1983. Possible sudden decline in adult striped bass population of the Delta-San Francisco Bay Estuary based on Petersen tagging results. Working Paper. Striped Bass Working Group, State Water Resources Control Board, Sacramento, California.

Turner, J. L., and H. K. Chadwick. 1972. Distribution and abundance of young-of-the-year striped bass, *Morone saxatilis*, in relation to river flow in the Sacramento-San Joaquin Estuary. Transactions of the American Fisheries Society 101: 422-452.

Turner, J. L., and T. C. Farley. 1971. Effects of temperature, salinity, and dissolved oxygen on the survival of striped bass eggs and larvae. California Fish and Game 57: 268-273.

Ulanowicz, R. E., and T. T. Polgar. 1980. Influences of anadromous spawning behavior and optimal environmental conditions upon striped bass (*Morone saxatilis*) year-class success. Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences 37: 143-154.

Uphoff, J. H., Jr. 1989. Environmental effects on survival of eggs, larvae, and juveniles of striped bass in the Choptank River, Maryland. Transactions of the American Fisheries Society 118: 251-263.

Urquhart, K., and D. Knudsen. 1987. Striped bass health monitoring. Final Report for Interagency Agreement 6-170-300-0. California Department of Fish and Game.

USBR (U. S. Bureau of Reclamation). 1983. Predation of anadromous fish in the Sacramento River, California. Central Valley Fish and Wildlife Management Study. Special Report. USBR, Mid-Pacific Region, Sacramento, California.

USBR. 1990. Continuous monitoring of striped bass eggs and larvae in the San Francisco Bay-Delta Estuary: a potential management tool. Report MP-780, ENV-4.0. USBR, Mid-Pacific Region, Sacramento, California.

USBR. 1992. Agreement between U. S. Bureau of Reclamation and the California Department of Fish and Game to reduce and offset direct fish losses associated with the operation of the Tracy Pumping Plant and the Tracy Fish Collection Facility. WRINT-USBR-Exhibit No. 32.

USBR and USFWS (U. S. Bureau of Reclamation and U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service). 1957. Fish protection at the Tracy pumping plant, Central Valley Project, California. USBR, Region 2, Sacramento, California and USFWS, Region 1, Portland, Oregon. USFWS (U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service). 1982. Regional Resource Plan, Region 1. Volume IV - Fishery resources section. USFWS, Region 1, Portland, Oregon.

USFWS. 1993. Central Valley Project Improvement Act: plan of action for the Central Valley anadromous fish restoration program. Draft Report. USFWS, Sacramento, California.

USFWS and NOAA (U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service and National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration). 1984. Emergency striped bass research study, report for 1982-1983. USFWS and NOAA, Washington, D.C.

Vladykov, V. D. 1952. Studies of the striped bass, *Roccus saxatilis* (Walbaum) with special reference to the Chesapeake Bay region during 1936-1938. Bulletin of the Bingham Oceanographic Collection, Yale University 14: 132-177.

von Geldern, C., and D. F. Mitchell. 1975. Largemouth bass and threadfin shad in California. Pages 436-449 in R. H. Stroud and H. Clepper, editors. Black bass biology and management. Sport Fishing Institute, Washington, D. C.

Waddle, H. R., C. C. Coutant, and J. H. Wilson. 1980. Summer habitat selection by striped bass, *Morone saxatilis*, in Cherokee Reservoir, Tennessee, 1977. Report ORNL/TM-6927. Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, Tennesee.

Welborn, T. L., Jr. 1971. Toxicity of some compounds to striped bass fingerlings. The Progressive Fish-Culturist 33: 32-36.

Westin, D. T., C. E. Olney, and B. A. Rogers. 1983. Effects of parental and dietary PCBs on survival, growth, and body burdens of larval striped bass. Bulletin of Environmental Contamination and Toxicology 30: 50-57.

Westin, D. T., C. E. Olney, and B. A. Rogers. 1985. Effects of parental and dietary organochlorines on survival and body burdens of striped bass larvae. Transactions of the American Fisheries Society 114: 125-136.

Westin, D. T., and B. A. Rogers. 1978. Synopsis of biological data on the striped bass, *Morone* saxatilis (Walbaum) 1972. Marine Technical Report 67. University of Rhode Island, Kingston.

Whipple, J., M. Eldridge, P. Benville, M. Bowers, B. Jarvis, and N. Stapp. 1980. The effect of inherent parental factors on gamete condition and viability in striped bass *Morone saxatilis*. *In.* K. Sherman and R. Lasker, editors. Early life history of fish. Springer-Verlag, New York.

Whipple, J. A. 1979. The impact of estuarine degradation and chronic pollution on populations of anadromous striped bass *Morone saxatilis* in San Francisco Bay-Delta, California. Project Summary. National Marine Fisheries Service, Tiburon Laboratory, Tiburon, California.

Whipple, J. A. 1982. Impacts of pollutants on striped bass in the San Francisco Bay-Delta, California. Project Summary. National Marine Fisheries Service, Tiburon Laboratory, Tiburon, California.

Whipple, J. A., D. G. Crosby, and M. Jung. 1983. Third Progress Report: Cooperative Striped Bass Study. Special Projects Report 83-3SP. State Water Resources Control Board, Sacramento, California.

White, J. R. 1986. The striped bass sport fishery in the Sacramento-San Joaquin Estuary, 1969-1979. California Fish and Game 72: 17-37.

Wirgin, I. I., C. Grunwald, S. J. Garte, and C. Mesing. 1991. Use of DNA fingerprinting in the identification and management of a striped bass population in the southeastern United States. Transactions of the American Fisheries Society 120: 273-282.

Woiwode, J. G., and I. R. Adelman. 1991. Effects of temperature, photoperiod, and ration size on growth of hybrid striped bass x white bass. Transactions of the American Fisheries Society 120: 217-229.

Woodhull, C. 1947. Spawning habits of the striped bass (*Roccus saxatilis*) in California waters. California Fish and Game 33: 97-102.

Wooley, C. M., and E. J. Crateau. 1983. Biology, population estimates, and movement of native and introduced striped bass, Apalachicola River, Florida. North American Journal of Fisheries Management 3: 383-394.

Wright, D. A., and F. D. Martin. 1985. The effect of starvation on RNA:DNA ratios and growth of larval striped bass, *Morone saxatilis*. Journal of Fish Biology 27: 479-485.

Yocom, T. G., and R. S. C. Wolcott, Jr. 1983. The condition of adult striped bass in the Bay-Delta Estuary. Working Paper. Striped Bass Working Group, State Water Resources Control Board, Sacramento, California.

Zale, A. V., J. D. Weichman, R. L. Lochmiller, and J. Burroughs. 1990. Limnological conditions associated with summer mortality of striped bass in Keystone Reservoir, Oklahoma. Transactions of the American Fisheries Society 119: 72-76.

## HISTORIC SPAWNING LOCATIONS OF STRIPED BASS IN THE SACRAMENTO-SAN JOAQUIN DELTA

Alan M. Paterson, Ph.D. Consulting Historian

February 13, 1990

## CONCLUSION

For almost ninety years, biologists have sought to find out where striped bass spawn. During that time, the most important change appears to be the establishment of the Sacramento River spawning run.

Much of the early evidence is anecdotal in nature, but it is far from unimportant. Fishery experts, and fishermen, looked where experience and observation told them spawning stripers were most likely to be found. In the Delta, those locations were in the San Joaquin River from the vicinity of Bouldin and Venice islands downstream, and in adjacent channels.

Although the best known and probably most important spawning area was in the central and western Delta, observational evidence suggested that striped bass spawned farther up the San Joaquin River. The work of the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service in 1948 and 1949 demonstrated that, in some years at least, spawning striped bass were widely distributed in the San Joaquin Delta. However, their results also suggested that the most consistently important Delta spawning area was west of Venice Island. Subsequent tag return and spawning surveys by DFG tended to confirm that the principal Delta spawning area remained in approximately the same location it had been in since the turnof-the-century.

