


DRAFT
WATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT

MAY 24, 1994

STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD
CALIFORNIA ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>PAGE</u>
CALIFORNIA WATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT (WQA)..	
I. INTRODUCTION.....	i
II. WATER QUALITY CLASSIFICATION.....	i
III. INTERPRETING THE WQA.....	ii
IV. FEDERAL REPORTING REQUIREMENTS...	ii
KEY TO WATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT.....	iii
WATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT	
REGION 1.....	1
REGION 2.....	35
REGION 3.....	70
REGION 4.....	122
REGION 5.....	142
REGION 6.....	186
REGION 7.....	258
REGION 8.....	271
REGION 9.....	290



CALIFORNIA WATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT

I. INTRODUCTION

The California Water Quality Assessment (WQA) is a catalog of the State's water bodies and their water quality condition. Organized by region and by water body type, the WQA categorizes each water body as good, intermediate, impaired, or unknown; it is a compilation of the nine Regional WQAs. The Water Quality Assessment serves several different purposes. The WQA reports to the public on the condition of the State's water bodies in a highly summarized tabular format. The 1994 Statewide WQA update contains 3040 water bodies. The previous WQA, adopted in April 1992, contained 2859 water bodies.

The State's nine Regional Water Quality Control Boards were asked to review the 1992 WQA data for accuracy (primarily the water quality condition and size estimates) and to complete the pollutant and source characterizations for the 303(d) listed waters and those water bodies considered high priority in the Clean Water Strategy.

II. WATER QUALITY CLASSIFICATION

Good Quality Waters:

are waters that support and enhance the designated beneficial uses. Water bodies classified as good may be designated as a high priority by the Regional Board if a threat to water quality is present.

Intermediate Quality Waters:

are waters that support designated beneficial but there is occasional degradation. For example, biological data may show minor changes in abundance and distribution. Intermediate quality waters also include those water bodies where impairment is suspected but available data are inadequate to allow a definitive conclusion on the condition.

Impaired Waters:

are water bodies that cannot reasonably be expected to attain or maintain applicable water quality standards. A water quality standard includes both State and Regional Board numeric and narrative water quality objectives and the beneficial use(s) the objectives are meant to protect. A water body is impaired when data indicate that adopted objectives are continually exceeded or that beneficial uses are not protected (e.g., health warnings are in effect). In many cases this

determination will involve evaluating many sources of data to arrive at a judgement.

For ground waters the beneficial uses may be different, but any determination of impairment is based on an approach equivalent to that used for surface waters. Ground water is not included in the federal reporting requirements.

Unknown Quality Waters:

are water bodies where few or no direct observations are available.

III. INTERPRETING THE WATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT

Individual water bodies are listed by the region in which they are located and by type, such as enclosed bays, estuaries, rivers and streams, lakes and reservoirs. In some cases an entire water body is included under one water quality classification. In other cases, segments of water bodies are listed separately because of their unique differences or problems. Water quality problems for each water body are described when known or suspected.

IV. FEDERAL REPORTING REQUIREMENTS.


The WQA serves the purpose of satisfying several federal Clean Water Act requirements for lists and reports including those for Section 303(d) Water Quality Limited Segments (WQLS). For the 1994 WQA update, the Regional Boards were asked to place special emphasis on reviewing information for the State's highest priority water bodies (determined by the Clean Water Strategy) and those surface waters on the Clean Water Act Section 303(d).

The 303(d) list identifies WQLS where standards are not attainable after implementation of technology-based requirements (Best Available Technology /Best Control Technology).

Water bodies identified on the 303(d) List will require Total Maximum Daily Loads (TMDLs) to be established for them. Subsequently, each point source and nonpoint source discharging pollutants to the listed water body will require a Waste Load Allocation or Load Allocation, respectively, assigned to it. The 303(d) requirements include establishing a time schedule for developing TMDLs.

KEY TO WATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT

STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD 1994 WATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT



Water Body Name	Hydro Unit #	Good	Inter-	Water Quality Condition	Total Size Units	AC	Yes	Problem/Need Description	
ARCATA BAY	110.00	0	8500	0	0	8500	AC	Yes	POINT AND NON-POINT SOURCE DISCHARGES HAVE IMPACTED BENEFICIAL USES. QUALITY OF BAY IS INTERMEDIATE, NOT IMPAIRED.
BODEGA BAY	115.00	5000	0	0	0	5000	AC	Yes	POINT AND NON-POINT SOURCE DISCHARGES THREATEN BENEFICIAL USES.
BODEGA HARBOR	115.20	340	0	0	0	340	AC	Yes	NONPOINT SOURCE DISCHARGES FROM RUNOFF, SPILLS AND NPS DISCHARGE FROM FISHING INDUSTRY.
CRESCENT CITY HARBOR	103.11	384	0	0	0	384	AC		
HUMBOLDT BAY	110.00	0	8000	0	0	8000	AC	Yes	POINT AND NON-POINT SOURCE DISCHARGES HAVE IMPACTED BENEFICIAL USES. QUALITY IS INTERMEDIATE, NOT IMPAIRED.
HUMBOLDT BAY - CENTRAL	110.00	0	1900	0	0	1900	AC	Yes	POINT AND NONPOINT SOURCE DISCHARGES HAVE IMPACTED BENEFICIAL USES. QUALITY IS INTERMEDIATE, NOT IMPAIRED.
HUMBOLDT BAY - NORTH	110.00	0	1300	0	0	1300	AC	Yes	POINT AND NONPOINT SOURCE DISCHARGES HAVE IMPACTED BENEFICIAL USES. QUALITY IS INTERMEDIATE, NOT IMPAIRED.
HUMBOLDT BAY - SOUTH	110.00	0	340	0	0	340	AC	Yes	POINT AND NONPOINT SOURCE DISCHARGES HAVE IMPACTED BENEFICIAL USES. QUALITY IS INTERMEDIATE, NOT IMPAIRED.

WATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT
KEY TO LISTING

The elements of the Water Quality Assessment are keyed to the numbered elements on the following Water Quality Assessment example.

<u>Element</u>	<u>Definition</u>
1. Region #	Regional Board Number
2. Waterbody Type	Waterbodies in the State are categorized under one of the following headings listed below: <u>Type of Waterbody</u> Bays and Harbors Estuaries Ground Water Lakes and Reservoirs Oceans and Open Bays Rivers and Streams Saline Lakes Wetlands
3. Waterbody Name	Name of the waterbody. This is the same name as used in the Basin Plans. There are, however, additional waters presented in the WQA that have not yet been incorporated into the Basin Plans.
4. Hydro Unit #	Hydrologic Unit from Basin Maps. Geographical locations of water resource.
5. Water Quality Condition	Waterbodies are classified under four columns titled Good, Intermediate, Impaired, or Unknown based on the rationale for Water Quality Classification shown in Table 1. Each waterbody is listed under one or more of these columns to indicate its water quality.
6. Total Size	The total size of each waterbody measured in the units shown below. Where other units are used, they are indicated.

<u>Type of WaterBody</u>	<u>Units</u>
Bays and Harbor	Acres
Estuaries	Acres
Ground Water	Square miles
Lakes and Reservoirs	Acres
Oceans and Open Bays	Miles
Rivers and Streams	Miles
Saline Lakes	Acres
Wetlands	Acres

7. Fact Sheet This column indicates whether a fact sheet (a State Board management tool) has been prepared. Fact sheets have been created for waterbodies classified as impaired, or if the waterbody is of high priority.
8. Problem Description/Comments "Problem Description/Comments" has been used to give a brief explanation of the reason for particular classification.
9. Problem Source The problem source, for waterbodies with water quality problems, is either Point or Nonpoint or both.
10. Federal Lists Environmental Protection Agency lists required by various sections of the Clean Water Act. An X in the list column indicates that the waterbody or a portion thereof appears on the indicated list.
- 303(d) List of Water Quality Limited Segments where numeric or narrative water quality objectives are not being maintained and/or where beneficial uses are not fully protected after application of Best Available Treatment/Best Control Technology (BAT/BCT).
- 314 A list of lake priorities for restoration.
- 319 A list of impaired surface waterbodies from nonpoint source problems due to both toxic and nontoxic pollutants.

STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD 1994 WATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT

Report Date : 05/23/94

Region 1

Bays and Harbors

<u>Water Body Name</u>	<u>Hydro Unit #</u>	<u>Water Quality Condition</u>				<u>Total Size</u>	<u>Units</u>	<u>Fact Sheet</u>	<u>Problem/Need Description</u>	<u>Problem Source</u>	<u>Federal Lists</u>
		<u>Good</u>	<u>Inter-mediate</u>	<u>Impaired</u>	<u>Unknown</u>						<u>D</u>
ARCATA BAY	110.00	0	8500	0	0	8500	AC	Yes	POINT AND NON-POINT SOURCE DISCHARGES HAVE IMPACTED BENEFICIAL USES. QUALITY OF BAY IS INTERMEDIATE, NOT IMPAIRED.	. . .	
BODEGA BAY	115.00	5000	0	0	0	5000	AC	Yes	POINT AND NON-POINT SOURCE DISCHARGES THREATEN BENEFICIAL USES.	. . .	
BODEGA HARBOR	115.20	340	0	0	0	340	AC	Yes	NONPOINT SOURCE DISCHARGES FROM RUNOFF. SPILLS AND NPS DISCHARGE FROM FISHING INDUSTRY.	. . .	
CRESCENT CITY HARBOR	103.11	384	0	0	0	384	AC			. . .	
HUMBOLDT BAY	110.00	0	8000	0	0	8000	AC	Yes	POINT AND NON-POINT SOURCE DISCHARGES HAVE IMPACTED BENEFICIAL USES. QUALITY IS INTERMEDIATE, NOT IMPAIRED.	. . .	
HUMBOLDT BAY - CENTRAL	110.00	0	1900	0	0	1900	AC	Yes	POINT AND NONPOINT SOURCE DISCHARGES HAVE IMPACTED BENEFICIAL USES. QUALITY IS INTERMEDIATE, NOT IMPAIRED.	. . .	
HUMBOLDT BAY - NORTH	110.00	0	1300	0	0	1300	AC	Yes	POINT AND NONPOINT SOURCE DISCHARGES HAVE IMPACTED BENEFICIAL USES. QUALITY IS INTERMEDIATE, NOT IMPAIRED.	. . .	
HUMBOLDT BAY - SOUTH	110.00	0	4600	0	0	4600	AC	Yes	POINT AND NONPOINT SOURCE DISCHARGES HAVE IMPACTED BENEFICIAL USES. QUALITY IS INTERMEDIATE, NOT IMPAIRED.	. . .	



STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD 1994 WATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT

Report Date : 05/23/94

Region 1

Estuaries

<u>Water Body Name</u>	<u>Hydro Unit #</u>	<u>Water Quality Condition</u>				<u>Total Size</u>	<u>Units</u>	<u>Fact Sheet</u>	<u>Problem/Need Description</u>	<u>Problem Source</u>	<u>Federal Lists</u>		
		<u>Good</u>	<u>Inter-mediate</u>	<u>Impaired</u>	<u>Unknown</u>						<u>3</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>3</u>
ALBION RIVER DELTA	113.40	0	0	0	128	128	AC						
ALDER CREEK ESTUARY	113.63	0	0	0	9	9	AC						
BEAR HARBOR ESTUARY	113.11	0	0	0	2	2	AC						
BIG LAGOON	108.10	0	0	0	1220	1220	AC						
BIG RIVER DELTA	113.30	0	0	0	215	215	AC						
BIG SALMON CREEK ESTUARY	113.40	0	0	0	9	9	AC						
BODEGA HARBOR WETLAND	115.20	416	0	0	0	416	AC						
BRUSH CREEK ESTUARY	113.64	0	0	0	2	2	AC						
CASPER CREEK ESTUARY	113.20	0	0	0	13	13	AC						
CLARK'S SLOUGH	110.00	0	1	0	0	1	AC						
CLEON LAKE WETLAND	113.20	0	0	0	32	32	AC						
COTTONEVA CREEK ESTUARY	113.12	0	0	0	14	14	AC						
CRESCENT CITY MARINE	103.11	0	0	0	100	100	AC						



STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD 1994 WATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT

Report Date : 05/23/94

Region 1

Estuaries

Water Body Name	Hydro Unit #	Water Quality Condition				Total Size	Units	Fact Sheet	Problem/Need Description	Problem Source	Federal Lists		
		Good	Inter-mediate	Impaired	Unknown						3	3	3
DEAD LAKE WETLAND	103.11	0	0	0	50	50	AC			.	.	.	
DRY LAGOON	108.10	0	0	0	80	80	AC			.	.	.	
EEL RIVER DELTA	111.11	0	0	0	6350	6350	AC			.	.	.	
EEL RIVER ESTUARY	111.11	0	0	0	9600	9600	AC	Yes	Sedimentation Threat of fish population decline Threat of sedimentation		.	.	.
ELK CREEK ESTUARY	113.62	0	0	0	17	17	AC			.	.	.	
ESTERO AMERICANO	115.30	322	0	370	0	692	AC	Yes	SEDIMENTATION, LOW DISSOLVED OXYGEN AND HIGH AMMONIA LEVELS FROM NPS DISCHARGES HAVE IMPAIRED FISH AND WILDLIFE HABITAT		X	.	X
ESTERO DE SAN ANTONIO	115.40	64	0	255	0	319	AC	Yes	SEDIMENTATION, LOW DISSOLVED OXYGEN AND HIGH AMMONIA LEVELS FROM NPS DISCHARGES HAVE IMPAIRED FISH AND WILDLIFE HABITAT		X	.	X
EUREKA SLOUGH	110.00	0	0	0	4	4	AC			.	.	.	
FRESHWATER LAGOON	108.10	245	0	0	0	245	AC			.	.	.	
GARCIA RIVER DELTA	113.70	0	0	0	264	264	AC			.	.	.	
GREENWOOD CREEK ESTUARY	113.61	0	0	0	14	14	AC			.	.	.	



STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD 1994 WATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT

Report Date : 05/23/94

Region 1

Estuaries

Water Body Name	Hydro Unit #	Water Quality Condition				Total Size	Units	Fact Sheet	Problem/Need Description	Problem Source	Federal Lists		
		Good	Inter-mediate	Impaired	Unknown						3	3	3
GUALALA RIVER DELTA	113.80	0	0	0	20	20	AC				0	1	1
HARDY CREEK ESTUARY	113.12	0	0	0	6	6	AC				3	4	9
HATHAWAY CREEK ESTUARY	113.70	0	0	0	80	80	AC				0		
HUMBOLDT BAY NWR	110.00	0	0	0	115	115	AC						
HUNTERS LAGOON	113.64	0	0	0	86	86	AC						
INGLENOOK CREEK ESTUARY	113.20	0	0	0	5	5	AC						
INGLENOOK FEN	113.20	0	0	0	2	2	AC						
JACKASS CREEK ESTUARY	113.11	0	0	0	3	3	AC						
KLAMATH RIVER DELTA	105.11	0	0	0	400	400	AC						
KLAMATH RIVER ESTUARY	105.11	0	0	0	400	400	AC	Yes	Sedimentation Threat of fish population decline Threat of sedimentation				
LAGUNA CREEK MARSH	113.64	0	0	0	20	20	AC						
LAKE EARL	103.11	2521	0	0	0	2521	AC						



STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD 1994 WATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT

Report Date : 05/23/94

Region 1

Estuaries

<u>Water Body Name</u>	<u>Hydro Unit #</u>	<u>Water Quality Condition</u>				<u>Total Size</u>	<u>Units</u>	<u>Fact Sheet</u>	<u>Problem/Need Description</u>	<u>Problem Source</u>	<u>Federal Lists</u>		
		<u>Good</u>	<u>Inter-mediate</u>	<u>Impaired</u>	<u>Unknown</u>						<u>3</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>3</u>
LAKE EARL WETLAND	103.11	0	0	0	2290	2290	AC			.	.	.	
LAKE TALAWA	103.11	0	0	0	270	270	AC			.	.	.	
LITTLE RIVER ESTUARY	108.20	0	0	0	2	2	AC			.	.	.	
MAD RIVER ESTUARY	109.10	0	0	0	100	100	AC	Yes	Sedimentation Threat of fish population decline Threat of sedimentation	.	.	.	
MAD RIVER SLOUGH	100.00	0	0	0	450	450	AC			.	.	.	
MATTOLE RIVER ESTUARY	112.30	0	0	0	175	175	AC			.	.	.	
NAVARRO RIVER DELTA	113.50	0	0	0	20	20	AC			.	.	.	
NOYO RIVER ESTUARY	113.20	0	0	0	82	82	AC	Yes	Sedimentation Threat of fish population decline Threat of sedimentation	.	.	.	
PUDDING CREEK ESTUARY	113.20	0	0	0	58	58	AC			.	.	.	
REDWOOD CREEK DELTA	107.10	0	0	0	5	5	AC			.	.	.	
REDWOOD CREEK ESTUARY	107.10	0	0	0	1	1	AC			.	.	.	



STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD 1994 WATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT

Report Date : 05/23/94

Region 1

Estuaries

<u>Water Body Name</u>	<u>Hydro Unit #</u>	<u>Water Quality Condition</u>				<u>Total Size</u>	<u>Units</u>	<u>Fact Sheet</u>	<u>Problem/Need Description</u>	<u>Problem Source</u>	<u>Federal Lists</u>		
		<u>Good</u>	<u>Inter-mediate</u>	<u>Impaired</u>	<u>Unknown</u>						<u>3</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>3</u>
RUSSIAN RIVER DELTA	114.11	100	0	0	0	100	AC			.	.	.	
RUSSIAN RIVER ESTUARY	114.11	0	0	0	150	150	AC	Yes	Sedimentation Threat of fish population decline Threat of sedimentation	.	.	.	
SALMON CREEK LAGOON	115.10	0	0	0	40	40	AC			.	.	.	
SANDHILL LAKE ESTUARY	113.20	0	0	0	25	25	AC			.	.	.	
SMITH RIVER DELTA	113.11	0	0	0	415	415	AC			.	.	.	
SMITH RIVER ESTUARY	103.11	415	0	0	0	415	AC	Yes	Sedimentation Threat of fish population decline Threat of sedimentation	.	.	.	
STONE LAGOON	108.10	0	0	0	521	521	AC			.	.	.	
TEN MILE RIVER DELTA	113.13	0	0	0	109	109	AC			.	.	.	
USAL CREEK ESTUARY	113.11	0	0	0	10	10	AC			.	.	.	



STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD 1994 WATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT

Report Date : 05/23/94

Region 1

Ground Water

Water Body Name	Hydro Unit #	Water Quality Condition				Total Size	Units	Fact Sheet	Problem/Need Description	Problem Source	Federal Lists		
		Good	Inter-mediate	Impaired	Unknown						D		
ALEXANDER VALLEY AREA		22	0	1	0	23	SQMI	Yes	WATER WELLS ARE THREATENED BY LEAKING UNDERGROUND TANKS FUEL RELEASES		3	3	3
ANDERSON VALLEY		4	0	1	0	5	SQMI	Yes	WATER WELLS ARE IMPAIRED BY LEAKING UNDERGROUND TANKS		0	1	1
ANNAPOLIS OHLSON RANCH		9	0	1	0	10	SQMI	Yes	WATER WELLS ARE THREATENED BY LEAKING UNDERGROUND TANKS		3	4	9
BIG LAGOON AREA		0	0	0	5	5	SQMI				D		
BIG RIVER VALLEY		4	0	1	0	5	SQMI	Yes	WATER WELLS ARE IMPAIRED BY SPILLS OR LEAKING UNDERGROUND TANKS.				
BODEGA BAY AREA		4	0	1	0	5	SQMI	Yes	WATER WELLS ARE THREATENED BY LEAKING UNDERGROUND TANKS				
BRANSCOMB TOWN AREA		0	0	0	5	5	SQMI						
BRAY TOWN AREA		0	0	0	5	5	SQMI						
BUTTE VALLEY		0	0	0	480	480	SQMI						
CLOVERDALE AREA		8	0	1	0	9	SQMI	Yes	WATER WELLS ARE THREATENED BY LEAKING UNDERGROUND TANKS VOCs, METALS, CHLOROPHENOLS AND FUEL				
COTTONEVA CREEK		0	0	0	5	5	SQMI						



STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD 1994 WATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT

Report Date : 05/23/94

Region 1

Ground Water

<u>Water Body Name</u>	<u>Hydro Unit #</u>	<u>Water Quality Condition</u>				<u>Total Size</u>	<u>Units</u>	<u>Fact Sheet</u>	<u>Problem/Need Description</u>	<u>Problem Source</u>	<u>Federal Lists</u>		
		<u>Good</u>	<u>Inter-mediate</u>	<u>Impaired</u>	<u>Unknown</u>						<u>D</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>4</u>
VALLEY													
DINSMORES TOWN AREA		0	0	0	5	5	SQMI						
EDEN VALLEY		0	0	0	5	5	SQMI						
EEL RIVER VALLEY		119	0	1	0	120	SQMI	Yes	WATER WELLS ARE THREATENED BY LEAKING UNDERGROUND TANKS AND SPILLS				
EUREKA PLAIN		59	0	1	0	60	SQMI	Yes	WATER WELLS ARE THREATENED BY LEAKING UNDERGROUND TANKS; FUEL/VOC/METAL SPILLS/ WOOD TREATMENT CHEMICALS/VOC FROM LANDF				
FAIRCHILD SWAMP VALLEY		0	0	0	5	5	SQMI						
FORT BRAGG TERRACE AREA		23	0	1	0	24	SQMI	Yes	WATER WELLS ARE IMPAIRED AND THREATENED BY LEAKING UNDERGROUND TANKS AND FAILING SEPTIC SYSTEMS				
GARBERVILLE TOWN AREA		4	0	1	0	5	SQMI	Yes	WATER WELLS ARE THREATENED BY LEAKING UNDERGROUND TANKS AND FUEL RELEASES				
GARCIA RIVER AREA		0	0	0	5	5	SQMI						
GRAVELLY VALLEY		0	0	0	5	5	SQMI						



STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD 1994 WATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT

Report Date : 05/23/94

Region 1

Ground Water

<u>Water Body Name</u>	<u>Hydro Unit #</u>	<u>Water Quality Condition</u>				<u>Total Size</u>	<u>Units</u>	<u>Fact Sheet</u>	<u>Problem/Need Description</u>	<u>Problem Source</u>	<u>Federal Lists</u>		
		<u>Good</u>	<u>Inter-mediate</u>	<u>Impaired</u>	<u>Unknown</u>						<u>D</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>4</u>
GUALALA RIVER VALLEY	4	0	1	0	5	SQMI	Yes	WATER WELLS ARE THREATENED BY LEAKING UNDERGROUND TANKS AND FUEL RELEASES.					
HAPPY CAMP TOWN AREA	0	0	0	5	5	SQMI							
HAYFORK VALLEY	0	0	0	6	6	SQMI							
HEALDSBURG AREA	26	0	1	0	27	SQMI	Yes	WATER WELLS ARE IMPAIRED BY LEAKING UNDERGROUND TANKS VOCs, METALS, AND FUEL RELEASES					
HETTENSHAW VALLEY	0	0	0	5	5	SQMI							
HONEYDEW TOWN AREA	0	0	0	5	5	SQMI							
HOOPA VALLEY	0	0	0	5	5	SQMI							
HYAMPOM VALLEY	0	0	0	5	5	SQMI							
KENNWOOD VALLEY	0	0	0	6	6	SQMI							
KLAMATH RIVER VALLEY	0	0	0	720	720	SQMI							
KNIGHTS VALLEY	0	0	0	5	5	SQMI							
LARABEE VALLEY	0	0	0	5	5	SQMI							
LAYTONVILLE VALLEY	0	0	0	12	12	SQMI							



STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD 1994 WATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT

Report Date : 05/23/94

Region 1

Ground Water

Water Body Name	Hydro Unit #	Water Quality Condition				Total Size	Units	Fact Sheet	Problem/Need Description	Problem Source	Federal Lists
		Good	Inter-mediate	Impaired	Unknown						D
LEGGETT AREA		1	0	1	0	2	SQMI	Yes	WATER WELLS ARE THREATENED BY LEAKING UNDERGROUND TANKS AND FUEL RELEASES		3 3 3 0 1 1 3 4 9 D
LITTLE LAKE VALLEY		16	0	1	0	17	SQMI	Yes	WATER WELLS ARE THREATENED BY LEAKING UNDERGROUND TANKS AND FUEL RELEASES		
LITTLE VALLEY		0	0	0	5	5	SQMI				
LOWER KLAMATH RIVER VALLEY		0	0	0	12	12	SQMI				
LOWER LAYTONVILLE		0	0	0	5	5	SQMI				
LOWER RUSSIAN RIVER VALLEY		8	0	1	0	9	SQMI	Yes	WATER WELLS ARE THREATENED BY LEAKING UNDERGROUND TANKS RELATED TO FUEL RELEASES		
MAD RIVER VALLEY		59	0	1	0	60	SQMI	Yes	WATER WELLS ARE THREATENED BY LEAKING UNDERGROUND TANKS AND WOOD TREATMENT CHEMICALS		
MATTOLE RIVER VALLEY		0	0	0	5	5	SQMI				
MCDOWELL VALLEY		0	0	0	3	3	SQMI				



STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD 1994 WATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT

Report Date : 05/23/94

Region 1

Ground Water

<u>Water Body Name</u>	<u>Hydro Unit #</u>	<u>Water Quality Condition</u>				<u>Total Size</u>	<u>Units</u>	<u>Fact Sheet</u>	<u>Problem/Need Description</u>	<u>Problem Source</u>	<u>Federal Lists</u>		
		<u>Good</u>	<u>Inter-mediate</u>	<u>Impaired</u>	<u>Unknown</u>						<u>3</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>3</u>
MODOC PLATEAU PVA		0	0	0	3000	3000	SQMI	Yes	THREAT TO DRINKING WATER FROM CONTAMINATION RELATED TO VOCs, METALS, AND FUEL RELEASES				3 3 3 0 1 1 3 4 9 0
MODOC PLATEAU RVA		999	0	1	0	1000	SQMI	Yes	WATER WELLS ARE IMPAIRED BY LEAKING UNDERGROUND TANKS				
NAVARRO RIVER VALLEY		0	0	0	5	5	SQMI						
PEPPERWOOD TOWN AREA		0	0	0	5	5	SQMI						
POTTER VALLEY		0	0	0	13	13	SQMI						
PRAIRIE CREEK AREA		0	0	0	40	40	SQMI						
RED ROCK VALLEY		0	0	0	5	5	SQMI						
REDWOOD CREEK VALLEY		0	0	0	5	5	SQMI						
RINCON VALLEY		0	0	0	4	4	SQMI						
ROUND VALLEY		0	0	0	23	23	SQMI						
SANEL VALLEY		0	0	0	11	11	SQMI						
SANTA ROSA PLAINS		91	0	5	0	96	SQMI	Yes	WATER WELLS ARE IMPAIRED BY SPILLS, LEAKING UNDERGROUND TANKS AND FAILING SEPTIC SYSTEMS				



STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD 1994 WATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT

Report Date : 05/23/94

Region 1

Ground Water

Water Body Name	Hydro Unit #	Water Quality Condition				Total Size	Units	Fact Sheet	Problem/Need Description	Problem Source	Federal Lists		
		Good	Inter-mediate	Impaired	Unknown						3	3	3
SCOTT RIVER VALLEY		0	0	0	80	80	SQMI				0	1	1
SEIAD VALLEY		4	0	1	0	5	SQMI	Yes	WATER WELLS ARE IMPAIRED BY LEAKING UNDERGROUND TANKS AND FUEL RELEASES		3	4	9
SHASTA VALLEY		339	0	1	0	340	SQMI	Yes	WATER WELLS ARE THREATENED BY SPILLS, LEAKS AND UNDERGROUND TANKS METALS, AND FUEL RELEASES		0		
SHERWOOD VALLEY		0	0	0	5	5	SQMI						
SMITH RIVER PLAIN		0	50	20	0	70	SQMI	Yes	WATER WELLS ARE IMPAIRED BY SPILL LEAKING UNDERGROUND TANKS AND AGRICULTURAL CHEMICALS				
TEN MILE RIVER VALLEY		0	0	0	5	5	SQMI						
UKIAH VALLEY		15	0	1	0	16	SQMI	Yes	WATER WELLS ARE THREATENED BY LEAKING UNDERGROUND TANKS VOCs, CHROMIUM, AND FUEL RELEASES				
WEAVERVILLE AREA		1	0	1	0	2	SQMI	Yes	WATER WELLS ARE THREATENED BY LEAKING UNDERGROUND TANKS VOCs, METALS, AND FUEL RELEASES				
WEOTT TOWN AREA		0	0	0	5	5	SQMI						



STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD 1994 WATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT

Report Date : 05/23/94

Region 1

Ground Water

<u>Water Body Name</u>	<u>Hydro Unit #</u>	<u>Water Quality Condition</u>				<u>Total Size</u>	<u>Units</u>	<u>Fact Sheet</u>	<u>Problem/Need Description</u>	<u>Problem Source</u>	<u>Federal Lists</u>		
		<u>Good</u>	<u>Inter-mediate</u>	<u>Impaired</u>	<u>Unknown</u>						<u>3</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>3</u>
WILLIAMS VALLEY		0	0	0	5	5	SQMI				0	1	1
WINDSOR AREA		1	0	1	0	2	SQMI	Yes	WATER WELLS ARE THREATENED BY LEAKING UNDERGROUND TANKS VOCs, METALS, AND FUEL RELEASES		3	4	9



STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD 1994 WATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT

Report Date : 05/23/94

Region 1

Lakes and Reservoirs

<u>Water Body Name</u>	<u>Hydro Unit #</u>	<u>Water Quality Condition</u>				<u>Total Size</u>	<u>Units</u>	<u>Fact Sheet</u>	<u>Problem/Need Description</u>	<u>Problem Source</u>	<u>Federal Lists</u>		
		<u>Good</u>	<u>Inter-mediate</u>	<u>Impaired</u>	<u>Unknown</u>						<u>D</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>4</u>
BIG ELK LAKE	105.22	0	0	0	15	15 AC				.	.	.	
BUCK LAKE	105.31	0	0	0	5	5 AC				.	.	.	
CANYON CREEK LAKES	106.15	0	0	0	30	30 AC				.	.	.	
CLEAR LAKE RESERVOIR	105.93	0	0	0	24805	24805 AC	Yes	INSUFFICIENT DATA TO JUSTIFY LISTING AT THIS TIME		.	.	.	
COPCO LAKE	105.38	0	0	0	998	998 AC				.	.	.	
CUDDIHY LAKES (SW)	105.22	0	0	0	7	7 AC				.	.	.	
DEVILS PUNCHBOWL	105.31	0	0	0	15	15 AC				.	.	.	
EWING RESERVOIR	106.25	0	0	0	32	32 AC				.	.	.	
GRANITE LAKE	106.40	0	0	0	18	18 AC				.	.	.	
INDIAN TOM LAKE	105.91	0	0	0	480	480 AC				.	.	.	
IRON GATE RESERVOIR	105.37	0	0	0	1020	1020 AC				.	.	.	
JUANITA LAKE	105.81	55	0	0	0	55 AC				.	.	.	
KLAMATH LAKE SUMP	105.91	0	0	0	16600	16600 AC				.	.	.	
LAKE MENDOCINO	114.32	1960	0	0	0	1960 AC	Yes	DATA INDICATES WATER QUALITY CLASSIFICATION IS GOOD.		.	.	.	



STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD 1994 WATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT

Report Date : 05/23/94

Region 1

Lakes and Reservoirs

<u>Water Body Name</u>	<u>Hydro Unit #</u>	<u>Water Quality Condition</u>				<u>Total Size</u>	<u>Units</u>	<u>Fact Sheet</u>	<u>Problem/Need Description</u>	<u>Problem Source</u>	<u>Federal Lists</u>		
		<u>Good</u>	<u>Inter-mediate</u>	<u>Impaired</u>	<u>Unknown</u>						<u>D</u>		
LAKE PILLSBURY	111.63	0	0	0	2280	2280	AC				3	3	3
LAKE RALPHINE	114.22	26	0	0	0	26	AC				0	1	1
LAKE SHASTINA	105.50	1850	0	0	0	1850	AC				3	4	9
LAKE SONOMA	114.24	3600	0	0	0	3600	AC						
LEWISTON LAKE	106.40	610	0	0	0	610	AC						
LITTLE SOUTH FORK	105.24	0	0	0	10	10	AC						
LONG GULCH LAKE	105.24	0	0	0	8	8	AC						
LOST LAKE (2)	105.22	0	0	0	8	8	AC						
LOWER WRIGHT LAKE	105.41	0	0	0	22	22	AC						
MEISS LAKE (R1)	105.81	4000	0	0	0	4000	AC						
PICAYUNE LAKE	106.40	0	0	0	15	15	AC						
RUTH LAKE	109.40	1178	0	0	0	1178	AC						
SPRING LAKE	114.22	0	0	0	154	154	AC						
STODDARD LAKE	106.40	0	0	0	32	32	AC						
SUGAR PINE LAKE	106.40	0	0	0	7	7	AC						



STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD 1994 WATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT

Report Date : 05/23/94

Region 1

Lakes and Reservoirs

<u>Water Body Name</u>	<u>Hydro Unit #</u>	<u>Water Quality Condition</u>				<u>Total Size</u>	<u>Units</u>	<u>Fact Sheet</u>	<u>Problem/Need Description</u>	<u>Problem Source</u>	<u>Federal Lists</u>		
		<u>Good</u>	<u>Inter-mediate</u>	<u>Impaired</u>	<u>Unknown</u>						<u>D</u>		
TRINITY LAKE	106.40	16400	0	0	0	16400	AC				3	3	3
TULE LAKE SUMP	105.92	0	0	0	12416	12416	AC	Yes			0	1	1
VAN ARSDALE RESERVOIR	111.63	0	0	0	163	163	AC				3	4	9



STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD 1994 WATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT

Report Date : 05/23/94

Region 1

Ocean and Open Bays

<u>Water Body Name</u>	<u>Hydro Unit #</u>	<u>Water Quality Condition</u>				<u>Total Size</u>	<u>Units</u>	<u>Fact Sheet</u>	<u>Problem/Need Description</u>	<u>Problem Source</u>	<u>Federal Lists</u>		
		<u>Good</u>	<u>Inter-mediate</u>	<u>Impaired</u>	<u>Unknown</u>						<u>D</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>4</u>
BODEGA MARINE REFUGE	115.20	200	0	0	0	200	AC				.	.	.
DEL MAR LANDING RESERVE	113.85	77	0	0	0	77	AC				.	.	.
GERSTLE COVE	113.85	2	0	0	0	2	AC				.	.	.
KELP BEDS SAUNDERS REEF	113.70	618	0	0	0	618	AC				.	.	.
KELP BEDS TRINIDAD	108.10	1581	0	0	0	1581	AC				.	.	.
KINGS RANGE NATIONAL CONSERVATION AREA	112.30	3680	0	0	0	3680	AC				.	.	.
NORTH (KLAMATH RIVER BASIN)	105.11	44	0	0	0	44	MI	Yes	POINT AND NON-POINT SOURCE DISCHARGES THREATEN BENEFICIAL USES		.	.	.
OCEAN OFF OF SAMOA PENINSULA	110.00	2	0	0	0	2	MI	Yes	THREATENED CHRONIC TOXICITY.	Point	.	.	.
PYGMY FOREST ASBS	108.10	259	0	0	0	259	AC				.	.	.
REDWOOD NATIONAL PARK	117.10	4160	0	0	0	4160	AC				.	.	.
SOUTH (NORTH COASTAL BASIN)		294	0	0	0	294	SQMI	Yes	POINT AND NON-POINT SOURCE DISCHARGES THREATEN BENEFICIAL USES		.	.	.



STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD 1994 WATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT

Report Date : 05/23/94

Region 1

Rivers and Streams

Water Body Name	Hydro Unit #	Water Quality Condition				Total Size	Units	Fact Sheet	Problem/Need Description	Problem Source	Federal Lists		
		Good	Inter-mediate	Impaired	Unknown						3	3	3
AM PAH CREEK	105.11	0	0	0	4	4 MI					0	1	1
ALBION RIVER	113.40	0	14	0	0	14 MI	Yes	SEDIMENTATION FROM NATURAL AND HUMAN SOURCES HAS IMPACTED BENEFICIAL USES.	Non-Point		3	4	9
AMERICANO CREEK	115.30	0	0	7	0	7 MI	Yes	SEDIMENTATION, LOW DISSOLVED OXYGEN AND HIGH AMMONIA LEVELS FROM NPS DISCHARGES HAVE IMPAIRED FISH AND WILDLIFE HABITAT			0		
APPLEGATE RIVER, MIDDLE FORK	102.30	0	0	0	14	14 MI							
ATASCADERO CREEK	114.11	0	7	0	0	7 MI							
BARKER CREEK	106.25	0	0	0	6	6 MI							
BARLOW CREEK	114.11	1	0	0	0	1 MI	Yes	ENFORCEMENT ACTIONS SUCCESSFUL. DISCHARGE ELIMINATED.					
BEAR CREEK (R1)	112.30	0	0	0	19	19 MI							
BEAR RIVER	112.20	0	25	0	0	25 MI	Yes	DATA INDICATE QUALITY IS INTERMEDIATE. LISTING NOT NEEDED AT THIS TIME					
BEAUGHTON CREEK	105.50	2	3	1	0	6 MI	Yes	FISHERY HABITAT IMPAIRED DUE TO INDUSTRIAL WASTE DISCHARGES. REMEDIAL ACTIONS UNDERWAY.					
BEAVER CREEK	105.35	0	0	0	8	8 MI							



STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD 1994 WATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT

Report Date : 05/23/94

Region 1

Rivers and Streams

Water Body Name	Hydro Unit #	Water Quality Condition				Total Size	Units	Fact Sheet	Problem/Need Description	Problem Source	Federal Lists		
		Good	Inter-mediate	Impaired	Unknown						D		
BIG CREEK (TRIB. TO TRINITY SOUTH FORK)	106.22	0	0	0	5	5	MI				3	3	3
BIG RIVER	113.30	0	40	0	0	40	MI	Yes	SEDIMENTATION FROM NATURAL AND HUMAN SOURCES HAS IMPACTED BENEFICIAL USES.	Non-Point	X	.	.
BIG SALMON CREEK	113.40	0	0	0	12	12	MI				.	.	.
BIG SULFUR CREEK	114.26	18	0	0	0	18	MI				.	.	.
BLACK BUTTE RIVER	111.73	0	0	0	25	25	MI				.	.	.
BLUE CREEK	105.11	22	0	0	0	22	MI				.	.	.
BLUE WATERHOLE CREEK	113.70	0	4	0	0	4	MI				.	.	.
BOHEMIAN CREEK	114.11	0	1	0	0	1	MI				.	.	.
BROWNS CREEK (R1)	106.31	0	0	0	21	21	MI				.	.	.
BRUSH CREEK	113.64	0	0	0	12	12	MI				.	.	.
BUCKEYE CREEK	113.83	0	15	0	0	15	MI				.	.	.
BULL CREEK (R1)	111.31	0	12	0	0	12	MI				.	.	.
BULLWINKLE CREEK	108.20	1	3	0	0	4	MI				.	.	.
BUMMER LAKE CREEK	103.13	0	0	0	1	1	MI				.	.	.



STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD 1994 WATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT

Report Date : 05/23/94

Region 1

Rivers and Streams

<u>Water Body Name</u>	<u>Hydro Unit #</u>	<u>Water Quality Condition</u>				<u>Total Size</u>	<u>Units</u>	<u>Fact Sheet</u>	<u>Problem/Need Description</u>	<u>Problem Source</u>	<u>Federal Lists</u>		
		<u>Good</u>	<u>Inter-mediate</u>	<u>Impaired</u>	<u>Unknown</u>						<u>D</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>4</u>
CAMPBELL CREEK	106.11	0	0	0	6	6	MI				3	3	3
CANNON CREEK	109.30	0	5	0	0	5	MI				0	1	1
CAPPELL CREEK	105.11	0	0	0	6	6	MI				3	4	9
CARR CREEK	106.25	0	0	0	6	6	MI						
COFFEE CREEK	106.40	16	0	0	0	16	MI						
COLD CREEK (MENDOCINO COUNTY)	114.32	0	0	0	5	5	MI						
COLD CREEK (TRIB. TO SALT, THEN HAYFORK)	106.25	0	0	0	3	3	MI						
COLGAN CREEK	114.21	0	5	0	0	5	MI						
COON CREEK	113.50	0	2	0	0	2	MI						
COTTANEVA CREEK	113.12	0	5	0	0	5	MI						
COTTONWOOD CREEK (TRIB. TO KLAMATH)	105.36	0	0	0	15	15	MI						
DEADWOOD CREEK	106.31	0	0	0	6	6	MI						
DEAN CREEK	111.32	0	0	0	7	7	MI						



STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD 1994 WATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT

Report Date : 05/23/94

Region 1

Rivers and Streams

<u>Water Body Name</u>	<u>Hydro Unit #</u>	<u>Water Quality Condition</u>				<u>Total Size</u>	<u>Units</u>	<u>Fact Sheet</u>	<u>Problem/Need Description</u>	<u>Problem Source</u>	<u>Federal Lists</u>		
		<u>Good</u>	<u>Inter-mediate</u>	<u>Impaired</u>	<u>Unknown</u>						<u>3</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>3</u>
DRY CREEK (R1)	114.24	12	0	0	16	28	MI			.	.	.	
DUTCH BILL CREEK	114.11	0	8	0	0	8	MI			.	.	.	
EEL RIVER	111.00	0	200	0	0	200	MI	Yes	SEDIMENTATION FROM NATURAL AND HUMAN SOURCES HAS IMPACTED BENEFICIAL USES.	Non-Point	X	.	.
EEL RIVER, EAST BRANCH, SOUTH FORK	111.32	0	0	0	19	19	MI				.	.	.
EEL RIVER, MIDDLE FORK	111.70	0	0	0	64	64	MI				.	.	.
EEL RIVER, NORTH FORK	111.50	0	0	0	41	41	MI				.	.	.
EEL RIVER, SOUTH FORK	111.30	0	85	0	0	85	MI	Yes	SEDIMENTATION FROM NATURAL AND HUMAN SOURCES HAS IMPACTED BENEFICIAL USES		.	.	.
ELDER CREEK	111.33	22	0	0	0	22	MI				.	.	.
ELK RIVER	110.00	0	17	0	0	17	MI				.	.	.
ESSEX GULCH	109.10	0	2	0	0	2	MI				.	.	.
ETNA CREEK	105.42	9	0	0	0	9	MI	Yes			.	.	.
FORSYTHE CREEK	114.33	0	0	0	15	15	MI				.	.	.



STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD 1994 WATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT

Report Date : 05/23/94

Region 1

Rivers and Streams

Water Body Name	Hydro Unit #	Water Quality Condition				Total Size	Units	Fact Sheet	Problem/Need Description	Problem Source	Federal Lists
		Good	Inter-mediate	Impaired	Unknown						D
FRENCH CREEK	105.42	4	6	0	0	10	MI	Yes			3 3 3 0 1 1 3 4 9
FULLER CREEK	113.84	0	9	0	0	9	MI				. . .
GARCIA RIVER	113.70	0	0	39	0	39	MI	Yes	SEDIMENTATION FROM NATURAL AND HUMAN SOURCES HAS IMPACTED BENEFICIAL USES.	Non-Point	X . .
GARCIA RIVER, NORTH FORK	113.70	0	7	0	0	7	MI				. . .
GILBERT CREEK	101.00	0	0	0	5	5	MI				. . .
GRASS VALLEY CREEK	106.31	0	14	0	0	14	MI	Yes	DATA INDICATE WATER QUALITY CLASSIFICATION IS INTERMEDIATE. DOES NOT REQUIRE LISTING AT THIS TIME		. . .
GRAY CREEK	108.10	0	4	0	0	4	MI				. . .
GREEN VALLEY CREEK (R1)	114.11	0	5	0	0	5	MI		EPA 304(L) LISTED.		. . .
GREENWOOD CREEK	113.61	0	15	0	0	15	MI		EPA 304(L) LISTED.		. . .
GROUSE CREEK	106.21	0	22	0	0	22	MI				. . .
GUALALA RIVER	113.80	0	35	0	0	35	MI	Yes	SEDIMENTATION FROM NATURAL AND HUMAN SOURCES HAS IMPACTED BENEFICIAL USES.	Non-Point	X . .
HARDSCRABBLE CREEK	103.30	0	0	0	5	5	MI				. . .



STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD 1994 WATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT

Report Date : 05/23/94

Region 1

Rivers and Streams

<u>Water Body Name</u>	<u>Hydro Unit #</u>	<u>Water Quality Condition</u>				<u>Total Size</u>	<u>Units</u>	<u>Fact Sheet</u>	<u>Problem/Need Description</u>	<u>Problem Source</u>	<u>Federal Lists</u>		
		<u>Good</u>	<u>Inter-mediate</u>	<u>Impaired</u>	<u>Unknown</u>						<u>D</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>4</u>
HARDY CREEK	113.12	0	0	0	4	4	MI			.	.	.	
HAYFORK CREEK	106.24	0	0	0	13	13	MI	Yes		.	.	.	
HIGH PRAIRIE CREEK	105.11	0	4	0	0	4	MI			.	.	.	
HOADLEY GULCH	106.31	0	0	0	4	4	MI			.	.	.	
HOLLOW TREE CREEK	111.32	0	19	0	0	19	MI			.	.	.	
HOPPAW CREEK	105.11	0	5	0	0	5	MI			.	.	.	
HOSPITAL CREEK	106.11	0	0	0	3	3	MI			.	.	.	
HUNTER CREEK	105.11	0	5	0	0	5	MI			.	.	.	
ILLINOIS RIVER, EAST FORK	102.20	0	0	0	9	9	MI			.	.	.	
INDIAN CREEK	105.32	0	0	0	13	13	MI			.	.	.	
INDIAN CREEK	106.31	0	0	0	12	12	MI			.	.	.	
INMAN CREEK	113.70	0	5	0	0	5	MI			.	.	.	
JACOBY CREEK	110.00	8	2	0	0	10	MI			.	.	.	
JANES CREEK	110.00	0	3	0	0	3	MI			.	.	.	



STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD 1994 WATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT

Report Date : 05/23/94

Region 1

Rivers and Streams

<u>Water Body Name</u>	<u>Hydro Unit #</u>	<u>Water Quality Condition</u>				<u>Total Size</u>	<u>Units</u>	<u>Fact Sheet</u>	<u>Problem/Need Description</u>	<u>Problem Source</u>	<u>Federal Lists</u>								
		<u>Good</u>	<u>Inter-mediate</u>	<u>Impaired</u>	<u>Unknown</u>						<u>D</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>9</u>					
JEWETT CREEK	112.30	0	0	0	4	4	MI												
JOE CREEK	102.30	0	0	0	3	3	MI												
JOHNSON CREEK (TRIB. TO KLAMATH)	105.11	0	0	0	5	5	MI												
JOLLY GIANT CREEK	110.00	0	0	0	1	1	MI												
JUAN CREEK	113.12	0	5	0	0	5	MI												
JUG HANDLE CREEK	113.20	0	0	0	5	5	MI												
JULIAS CREEK	113.11	0	0	0	2	2	MI												
KIDDER CREEK	105.42	0	16	0	0	16	MI	Yes	DATA INDICATE QUALITY IS INTERMEDIATE.										
KLAMATH RIVER	105.00	0	126	0	0	126	MI	Yes	SEDIMENTATION AND ELEVATED WATER TEMPERATURES HAVE IMPACTED FISHERY RESOURCES.	Unknown									
KLAMATH RIVER, LOWER	105.10	0	68	0	0	68	MI												
KLAMATH RIVER, MIDDLE	105.30	0	16	0	0	16	MI												
LAGUNA DE SANTA ROSA	114.21	0	0	26	0	26	MI	Yes	LOW DISSOLVED OXYGEN AND HIGH AMMONIA LEVEL DUE TO NPS DISCHARGES HAVE IMPAIRED FISH AND WILDLIFE HABITAT.										



STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD 1994 WATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT

Report Date : 05/23/94

Region 1

Rivers and Streams

<u>Water Body Name</u>	<u>Hydro Unit #</u>	<u>Water Quality Condition</u>				<u>Total Size</u>	<u>Units</u>	<u>Fact Sheet</u>	<u>Problem/Need Description</u>	<u>Problem Source</u>	<u>Federal Lists</u>		
		<u>Good</u>	<u>Inter-mediate</u>	<u>Impaired</u>	<u>Unknown</u>						<u>0</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>2</u>
LARABEE CREEK	111.13	0	0	0	21	21 MI						3 3 3	
LEGGETT CREEK	111.32	0	0	0	1	1 MI						0 1 1	
LINDSAY CREEK	109.10	0	7	0	0	7 MI						3 4 9	
LITTLE GRASS VALLEY CREEK	106.31	0	6	0	0	6 MI						0	
LITTLE JUAN CREEK	113.12	0	0	0	3	3 MI							
LITTLE RIVER	108.20	0	0	0	17	17 MI	Yes	SEDIMENTATION FROM NATURAL AND HUMAN SOURCES HAS IMPACTED BENEFICIAL USES					
LITTLE SHASTA RIVER	105.50	0	25	0	0	25 MI	No						
LOST RIVER	105.93	0	26	0	0	26 MI	Yes	DATA INDICATE THAT WATER QUALITY CLASSIFICATION IS INTERMEDIATE. LISTING NOT REQUIRED AT THIS TIME.					
LUFFENHOLTZ CREEK	108.10	0	0	0	1	1 MI							
MAD RIVER	109.00	0	90	0	0	90 MI	Yes	SEDIMENTATION FROM NATURAL AND HUMAN SOURCES HAS IMPACTED BENEFICIAL USES.	Non-Point			X . .	
MAPLE CREEK	108.10	0	0	0	16	16 MI							
MARK WEST CREEK	114.23	0	18	0	0	18 MI							



STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD 1994 WATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT

Report Date : 05/23/94

Region 1

Rivers and Streams

<u>Water Body Name</u>	<u>Hydro Unit #</u>	<u>Water Quality Condition</u>				<u>Total Size</u>	<u>Units</u>	<u>Fact Sheet</u>	<u>Problem/Need Description</u>	<u>Problem Source</u>	<u>Federal Lists</u>		
		<u>Good</u>	<u>Inter-mediate</u>	<u>Impaired</u>	<u>Unknown</u>						<u>D</u>		
MATTOLE RIVER	112.30	0	56	0	0	56	MI	Yes	SEDIMENTATION FROM NATURAL AND HUMAN SOURCES HAS IMPACTED BENEFICIAL USES.	Non-Point	X	.	.
MCGARVEY CREEK	105.11	0	6	0	0	6	MI				.	.	.
MILL CREEK (DEL NORTE COUNTY)	103.13	0	0	0	12	12	MI				.	.	.
MILL CREEK (TRIB. TO SCOTT, SISKIYOU CO)	105.41	0	0	0	8	8	MI				.	.	.
MILL CREEK (TRINIDAD, HUMBOLDT COUNTY)	108.10	0	0	0	3	3	MI				.	.	.
MOFFETT CREEK	105.42	0	21	0	0	21	MI				.	.	.
MOREK CREEK	105.11	0	0	0	4	4	MI				.	.	.
MORRISON CREEK	103.11	0	0	0	3	3	MI				.	.	.
MULE CREEK	106.40	0	0	0	4	4	MI				.	.	.
MYNOT CREEK	105.11	0	0	0	3	3	MI				.	.	.
NAVARRO RIVER	113.50	0	25	0	0	25	MI	Yes	SEDIMENTATION FROM NATURAL AND HUMAN SOURCES HAS IMPACTED BENEFICIAL USES.	Non-Point	X	.	.
NEW RIVER (R1)	106.14	25	0	0	0	25	MI				.	.	.



STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD 1994 WATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT

Report Date : 05/23/94

Region 1

Rivers and Streams

<u>Water Body Name</u>	<u>Hydro Unit #</u>	<u>Water Quality Condition</u>				<u>Total Size</u>	<u>Units</u>	<u>Fact Sheet</u>	<u>Problem/Need Description</u>	<u>Problem Source</u>	<u>Federal Lists</u>		
		<u>Good</u>	<u>Inter-mediate</u>	<u>Impaired</u>	<u>Unknown</u>						<u>D</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>4</u>
NOISY CREEK	107.30	0	0	0	10	10	MI			.	.	.	
NOYO RIVER	113.20	0	35	0	0	35	MI	Yes	SEDIMENTATION FROM NATURAL AND HUMAN SOURCES HAS IMPACTED BENEFICIAL USES.	Non-Point	X	.	.
NOYO RIVER, LITTLE NORTH FORK	113.20	0	6	0	0	6	MI			.	.	.	
OMAGAR CREEK	105.11	0	0	0	3	3	MI			.	.	.	
OUTLET CREEK	111.61	0	0	0	30	30	MI			.	.	.	
PANTHER CREEK	107.20	0	4	0	0	4	MI			.	.	.	
PATRICK CREEK	109.10	0	2	0	0	2	MI			.	.	.	
PEACOCK CREEK (TRIB. TO SMITH)	103.11	0	0	0	3	3	MI			.	.	.	
PECWAN CREEK	105.11	0	0	0	10	10	MI			.	.	.	
PELLETREAU CREEK	106.22	0	0	0	7	7	MI			.	.	.	
PHILPOT CREEK	106.25	0	0	0	5	5	MI			.	.	.	
PINER CREEK	114.22	1	3	0	0	4	MI			.	.	.	
POISON GULCH	106.15	0	0	0	2	2	MI			.	.	.	



STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD 1994 WATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT

Report Date : 05/23/94

Region 1

Rivers and Streams

<u>Water Body Name</u>	<u>Hydro Unit #</u>	<u>Water Quality Condition</u>				<u>Total Size</u>	<u>Units</u>	<u>Fact Sheet</u>	<u>Problem/Need Description</u>	<u>Problem Source</u>	<u>Federal Lists</u>		
		<u>Good</u>	<u>Inter-mediate</u>	<u>Impaired</u>	<u>Unknown</u>						<u>D</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>4</u>
POST CREEK	106.23	0	0	0	5	5 MI				.	.	.	
POTATO PATCH CREEK	105.11	0	0	0	6	6 MI				.	.	.	
RATTLESNAKE CREEK	106.23	0	0	0	9	9 MI				.	.	.	
REDWOOD CREEK (NOYO TRIB.)	113.20	0	4	0	0	4 MI				.	.	.	
REDWOOD CREEK (R1)	107.00	0	63	0	0	63 MI	Yes	SEDIMENTATION FROM NATURAL AND HUMAN SOURCES HAS IMPACTED BENEFICIAL USES.	Non-Point	X	.	.	
RICHARDSON CREEK	105.11	0	0	0	5	5 MI				.	.	.	
ROCKPILE CREEK	113.82	0	25	0	0	25 MI				.	.	.	
ROSELAND CREEK	114.21	0	5	0	0	5 MI				.	.	.	
ROWDY CREEK	103.12	0	0	0	12	12 MI				.	.	.	
RUSH CREEK	106.31	0	0	0	14	14 MI				.	.	.	
RUSSIAN RIVER	114.10	105	0	0	0	105 MI	Yes	WATER DIVERSIONS, POINT AND NON-POINT SOURCE DISCHARGES HAVE IMPACTED BENEFICIAL USES.		.	.	.	
RUSSIAN RIVER, EAST FORK	114.32	11	0	0	0	11 MI				.	.	.	



STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD 1994 WATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT

Report Date : 05/23/94

Region 1

Rivers and Streams

Water Body Name	Hydro Unit #	Water Quality Condition				Total Size	Units	Fact Sheet	Problem/Need Description	Problem Source	Federal Lists
		Good	Inter-mediate	Impaired	Unknown						3 3 3 0 1 1 3 4 9 0
RUSSIAN RIVER, LOWER	114.11	30	0	0	0	30	MI				. . .
SALMON RIVER	105.20	46	0	0	0	46	MI	Yes	SEDIMENTATION AND ELEVATED WATER TEMPERATURES HAVE IMPACTED FISHERY RESOURCES		. . .
SALT CREEK (TRIB. TO HAYFORK)	106.25	0	0	0	20	20	MI				. . .
SALT RIVER	111.11	0	0	0	8	8	MI				. . .
SANTA ROSA CREEK (R1)	114.22	5	11	0	0	16	MI				. . .
SAUGAP CREEK	105.11	0	0	0	2	2	MI				. . .
SCOTT RIVER	105.40	0	68	0	0	68	MI	Yes	SEDIMENTATION FROM NATURAL AND HUMAN SOURCES HAS IMPACTED BENEFICIAL USES.	Unknown	X . .
SHACKLEFORD CREEK (TRIB. TO SCOTT)	105.42	0	0	0	15	15	MI				. . .
SHASTA RIVER	105.50	0	22	30	0	52	MI	Yes	WATER DIVERSIONS AND NON-POINT SOURCE DISCHARGES HAVE IMPACTED FISHERY RESOURCES.	Non-Point	X . X
SMITH RIVER	103.00	50	0	0	0	50	MI	Yes	NON-POINT SOURCE DISCHARGES THREATEN BENEFICIAL USES. QUALITY IS UNKNOWN.		. . .



STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD 1994 WATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT

Report Date : 05/23/94

Region 1.

Rivers and Streams

Water Body Name	Hydro Unit #	Water Quality Condition				Total Size	Units	Fact Sheet	Problem/Need Description	Problem Source	Federal Lists		
		Good	Inter-mediate	Impaired	Unknown						D		
STEMPLE CREEK	115.40	0	0	17	0	17	MI	Yes	SEDIMENTATION, LOW DISSOLVED OXYGEN AND HIGH AMMONIA LEVELS FROM NPS DISCHARGES HAVE IMPAIRED FISH AND WILDLIFE HABITAT		X	.	X
SUMMIT CREEK	106.25	0	0	0	3	3	MI				.	.	.
SUN VALLEY CREEK	110.00	0	1	0	0	1	MI				.	.	.
SUPPLY CREEK	106.11	0	0	0	8	8	MI				.	.	.
SWIFT CREEK	106.40	0	0	0	14	14	MI				.	.	.
TARUP CREEK	105.11	0	0	0	4	4	MI				.	.	.
TECTAH CREEK	105.11	0	10	0	0	10	MI				.	.	.
TEN MILE RIVER	113.13	0	10	0	0	10	MI				.	.	.
TISH TANG CREEK	106.11	0	0	0	10	10	MI				.	.	.
TOMKI CREEK	111.62	0	18	0	0	18	MI	Yes	DATA INDICATE THAT THE WATER QUALITY CLASSIFICATION IS INTERMEDIATE.	Non-Point	X	.	.
TRAMWAY GULCH	113.50	0	2	0	0	2	MI				.	.	.
TRINITY RIVER	106.00	60	110	0	0	170	MI	Yes	FLOW DIVERSIONS AND SEDIMENTATION HAVE IMPACTED BENEFICIAL USES.	Non-Point	X	.	.
TRINITY RIVER, EAST	106.23	0	0	0	8	8	MI				.	.	.



STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD 1994 WATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT

Report Date : 05/23/94

Region 1

Rivers and Streams

<u>Water Body Name</u>	<u>Hydro Unit #</u>	<u>Water Quality Condition</u>				<u>Total Size</u>	<u>Units</u>	<u>Fact Sheet</u>	<u>Problem/Need Description</u>	<u>Problem Source</u>	<u>Federal Lists</u>		
		<u>Good</u>	<u>Inter-mediate</u>	<u>Impaired</u>	<u>Unknown</u>						<u>D</u>		
FORK, SOUTH FORK											3	3	3
TRINITY RIVER, LOWER	106.11	0	30	0	0	30 MI					0	1	1
TRINITY RIVER, SOUTH FORK	106.20	0	80	0	0	80 MI	Yes	SEDIMENTATION FROM NATURAL AND HUMAN SOURCES HAS IMPACTED BENEFICIAL USES.			3	4	9
TURWAR CREEK	105.11	0	11	0	0	11 MI							
USAL CREEK	113.11	0	6	0	0	6 MI							
VAN DUZEN RIVER	111.20	0	63	0	0	63 MI	Yes	SEDIMENTATION FROM NATURAL AND HUMAN SOURCES HAS IMPACTED BENEFICIAL USES.	Non-Point		X		
MAUKEL CREEK	105.11	0	0	0	4	4 MI							
WEAVER CREEK	106.32	13	0	0	0	13 MI							
WILDCAT CREEK (TRIB. TO EEL)	111.32	0	0	0	4	4 MI							
WILLOW CREEK (R1)	106.12	0	11	0	0	11 MI	Yes	Sedimentation Fish population decline Threat of drinking water impairment					
WILSON CREEK	103.50	0	8	0	0	8 MI							
WINCHESTER CREEK	108.20	0	0	0	1	1 MI							
WINCHUCK RIVER	101.00	0	0	0	8	8 MI							



STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD 1994 WATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT

Report Date : 05/23/94

Region 1

Rivers and Streams

<u>Water Body Name</u>	<u>Hydro Unit #</u>	<u>Water Quality Condition</u>				<u>Total Size</u>	<u>Units</u>	<u>Fact Sheet</u>	<u>Problem/Need Description</u>	<u>Problem Source</u>	<u>Federal Lists</u>		
		<u>Good</u>	<u>Inter-mediate</u>	<u>Impaired</u>	<u>Unknown</u>						<u>D</u>		
WINDSOR CREEK	114.23	0	0	0	10	10	MI				3	3	3
YREKA CREEK	105.50	0	0	0	12	12	MI				0	1	1
											3	4	9



STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD 1994 WATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT

Report Date : 05/23/94

Region 1

Wetlands

<u>Water Body Name</u>	<u>Hydro Unit #</u>	<u>Water Quality Condition</u>				<u>Total Size</u>	<u>Units</u>	<u>Fact Sheet</u>	<u>Problem/Need Description</u>	<u>Problem Source</u>	<u>Federal Lists</u>		
		<u>Good</u>	<u>Inter-mediate</u>	<u>Impaired</u>	<u>Unknown</u>						<u>D</u>		
BUTTE VALLEY WETLAND	105.81	0	0	0	3000	3000	AC			.	.	.	
CLEAR LAKE RESERVOIR NWR	105.93	0	0	0	1890	1890	AC			.	.	.	
LAGUNA DE SANTA ROSA WETLANDS	114.21	0	0	0	0	0	AC			.	.	.	
LOWER KLAMATH NWR	105.91	0	0	0	9345	9345	AC			.	.	.	
TULE LAKE NWR	105.92	0	0	0	3825	3825	AC			.	.	.	



1 - NO-GMP

STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD 1994 WATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT

Report Date : 05/23/94

Region 2

N/A

<u>Water Body Name</u>	<u>Hydro Unit #</u>	<u>Water Quality Condition</u>				<u>Total Size</u>	<u>Units</u>	<u>Fact Sheet</u>	<u>Problem/Need Description</u>	<u>Problem Source</u>	<u>Federal Lists</u>		
		<u>Good</u>	<u>Inter-mediate</u>	<u>Impaired</u>	<u>Unknown</u>						<u>3</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>3</u>
ALAMO CANAL	204.302	0	0	0	0	0				0	1	1	
ARROYO CORTE MADERA DEL PRESIDIO		0	0	0	0	0				3	4	9	
ARROYO HONDO		0	0	0	0	0							
ARROYO MOCHO	204.302	0	0	0	0	0	old septic systems		Non-Point				
BELMONT SLOUGH		0	0	0	0	0							
CAMPBELL PERCOLATION POND		0	0	0	0	0							
ISLAIS CHANNEL		0	0	0	0	0							
LAURITZEN CANAL		0	0	0	0	0							
MONTEZUMA SLOUGH		0	0	0	0	0							
PACHECO POND		0	0	0	0	0							
SANTA FE CHANNEL		0	0	0	0	0							
SUISUN SLOUGH		0	0	0	0	0							



STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD 1994 WATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT

Report Date : 05/23/94

Region 2

Bays and Harbors

<u>Water Body Name</u>	<u>Hydro Unit #</u>	<u>Water Quality Condition</u>				<u>Total Size</u>	<u>Units</u>	<u>Fact Sheet</u>	<u>Problem/Need Description</u>	<u>Problem Source</u>	<u>Federal Lists</u>		
		<u>Good</u>	<u>Inter-mediate</u>	<u>Impaired</u>	<u>Unknown</u>						<u>D</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>2</u>
CARQUINEZ STRAIT	207.10	0	0	6560	0	6560		Yes	Fish population decline Elevated fish tissue levels Elevated shellfish tissue levels	Point & Non-Point	X	.	X
PEYTON SLOUGH	207.10	0	0	1	0	1		Yes	Metals exceed shallow water effluent limits.	Point	X	.	.
RICHARDSON BAY	203.13	0	0	2560	0	2560		Yes	Fish population decline Elevated fish tissue levels Elevated shellfish tissue levels	Point & Non-Point	X	.	X
SAN FRANCISCO BAY, CENTRAL	203.12	0	0	67700	0	67700	AC	Yes	Fish population decline Elevated fish tissue levels Elevated shellfish tissue levels	Point & Non-Point	X	.	X
SAN FRANCISCO BAY, LOWER	204.10	0	0	79900	0	79900		Yes	Fish population decline Elevated fish tissue levels Elevated shellfish tissue levels	Point & Non-Point	X	.	X
SAN FRANCISCO BAY, SOUTH	205.10	0	0	24500	0	24500	AC	Yes	Fish population decline Elevated fish tissue levels Elevated shellfish tissue levels	Point & Non-Point	X	.	X
SAN PABLO BAY	206.10	0	0	71300	0	71300		Yes	Fish population decline Elevated fish tissue levels Elevated shellfish tissue levels	Point & Non-Point	X	.	X



STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD 1994 WATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT

Report Date : 05/23/94

Region 2

Bays and Harbors

<u>Water Body Name</u>	<u>Hydro Unit #</u>	<u>Water Quality Condition</u>				<u>Total Size</u>	<u>Units</u>	<u>Fact Sheet</u>	<u>Problem/Need Description</u>	<u>Problem Source</u>	<u>Federal Lists</u>		
		<u>Good</u>	<u>Inter-mediate</u>	<u>Impaired</u>	<u>Unknown</u>						<u>D</u>		
SUISUN BAY	207.10	0	0	25000	0	25000		Yes	Fish population decline Elevated fish tissue levels Elevated shellfish tissue levels	Point & Non-Point	X	.	X
TOMALES BAY	201.11	0	0	7820	0	7820	AC	Yes	Fish population decline Spawning impairment Coliform, Shellfish harvest closure.	Non-Point	X	.	X



STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD 1994 WATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT

Report Date : 05/23/94

Region 2

Estuaries

<u>Water Body Name</u>	<u>Hydro Unit #</u>	<u>Water Quality Condition</u>				<u>Total Size</u>	<u>Units</u>	<u>Fact Sheet</u>	<u>Problem/Need Description</u>	<u>Problem Source</u>	<u>Federal Lists</u>		
		<u>Good</u>	<u>Inter-mediate</u>	<u>Impaired</u>	<u>Unknown</u>						<u>D</u>		
ABBOTTS LAGOON		0	0	0	0	0					3	3	3
BAIR ISLAND		0	0	0	960	960	AC				0	1	1
BOLINAS LAGOON ESTUARY		0	0	0	0	0	AC				3	4	9
CASTRO COVE	206.60	0	0	25	0	25	AC	Yes	Point & Non-Point				
COON ISLAND		0	0	0	250	250							
CORTE MADERA ER		0	0	0	85	85							
CORTE MADERA MARSH		0	0	0	200	200							
DAY ISLAND		0	0	0	75	75							
DRAKES ESTERO	201.20	0	2560	0	0	2560							
FAGEN SLOUGH		0	0	0	330	330							
GALLINAS CREEK MARSH		0	0	0	850	850							
LIMANTOUR ESTERO	201.20	0	1	0	0	1							
N RICHMOND MARSH		0	0	0	400	400							



STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD 1994 WATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT

Report Date : 05/23/94

Region 2

Estuaries.

<u>Water Body Name</u>	<u>Hydro Unit #</u>	<u>Water Quality Condition</u>				<u>Total Size</u>	<u>Units</u>	<u>Fact Sheet</u>	<u>Problem/Need Description</u>	<u>Problem Source</u>	<u>Federal Lists</u>		
		<u>Good</u>	<u>Inter-mediate</u>	<u>Impaired</u>	<u>Unknown</u>						<u>3</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>3</u>
NAPA MARSHES		0	0	0	10000	10000	Yes	Portion originally was saline wetlands Altered by diking to create farmland DFG now restoring to freshwater wetlands		0	3	3	
NOVATO CREEK MARSH		0	0	0	130	130					0	1	
OAKLAND HARBOR	204.20	0	0	0	0	0	AC				3	4	
PESCADERO MARSH		0	0	0	520	520	AC				0		
PETALUMA RIVER MARSH		0	0	0	3800	3800	AC						
PILLAR MARSH		0	0	0	30	30							
POINT EDITH WETLANDS		0	0	0	380	380							
POMPONIO CREEK LAGOON		0	0	0	1	1							
PRINCETON MARSH		0	0	0	30	30							
PT REYES PENNINSULA		0	0	0	2333	2333							
REDWOOD SHORES ER		0	0	0	100	100							
RODEO LAGOON		0	0	0	38	38							



STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD 1994 WATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT

Report Date : 05/23/94

Region 2

Estuaries

Water Body Name	Hydro Unit #	Water Quality Condition				Total Size	Units	Fact Sheet	Problem/Need Description	Problem Source	Federal Lists		
		Good	Inter-mediate	Impaired	Unknown						D		
SACRAMENTO SAN JOAQUIN DELTA	207.10	0	0	3400	0	3400		Yes	Fish population decline Elevated fish and shellfish tissue level Aquatic habitat degradation		X	.	X
SAN PABLO BAY ESTUARY		0	0	0	0	0	AC				.	.	.
SAN GREGORIO CREEK LAGOON		0	0	0	6	6					.	.	.
SAN PEDRO HILL MARSH		0	0	0	50	50					.	.	.
SAN RAFAEL CREEK MARSH		0	0	0	200	200					.	.	.
SANDPIPER WETLANDS		0	0	0	13	13					.	.	.
SOUTH HAMPTON BAY WETLANDS		0	0	0	300	300					.	.	.
SOUTH SAN FRANCISCO BAY ESTUARY		0	0	0	0	0	AC				.	.	.
SUISAN MAARSH ESTUARY		0	0	0	0	0	AC				.	.	.
TOMALES BAY ESTUARY		0	0	0	0	0	AC				.	.	.
TUNITAS CREEK LAGOON		0	0	0	11	11					.	.	.



STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD 1994 WATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT

Report Date : 05/23/94

Region 2

Estuaries

<u>Water Body Name</u>	<u>Hydro Unit #</u>	<u>Water Quality Condition</u>				<u>Total Size</u>	<u>Units</u>	<u>Fact Sheet</u>	<u>Problem/Need Description</u>	<u>Problem Source</u>	<u>Federal Lists</u>		
		<u>Good</u>	<u>Inter-mediate</u>	<u>Impaired</u>	<u>Unknown</u>						<u>D</u>		
WALKER CREEK MARSH		0	0	0	15	15	AC	Fish population decline Elevated fish tissue levels Elevated shellfish tissue levels		3	3	3	
WHITE SLOUGH		0	0	0	40	40				0	1	1	
										3	4	9	

→ ZO-QM3

STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD 1994 WATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT

Report Date : 05/23/94

Region 2

Ground Water

Water Body Name	Hydro Unit #	Water Quality Condition				Total Size	Units	Fact Sheet	Problem/Need Description	Problem Source	Federal Lists
		Good	Inter-mediate	Impaired	Unknown						D
ARROYO DEL HAMBRE GW		0	0	0	2	2					3 3 3 0 1 1 3 4 9 D
CASTRO VALLEY GW		0	0	0	4	4 SQMI					. . .
CLAYTON VALLEY GW		0	30	0	0	30					. . .
EAST BAY PLAIN GW		0	0	20	0	20 SQMI		Drinking water impairment Threat of drinking water impairment Solvent plumes in usable gw, especially	Point		. . .
HALF MOON BAY TERRANCE GW		0	0	0	25	25					. . .
ISLAIS VALLEY GW		0	0	0	3	3					. . .
KENWOOD VALLEY GW		0	0	0	0	0 SQMI					. . .
LIVERMORE VALLEY	204.30	0	0	0	0	0 SQMI					. . .
LIVERMORE VALLEY GW	204.30	0	100	0	0	100 SQMI	Yes	Drinking water impairment Threat of drinking water impairment fuel leaks/VOC pollution	Point		. . .
MERCED VALLEY GW		0	0	0	8	8		Drinking water impairment Threat of drinking water impairment fuel leaks/VOC pollution	Point & Non-Point		. . .



STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD 1994 WATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT

Report Date : 05/23/94

Region 2

Ground Water

Water Body Name	Hydro Unit #	Water Quality Condition				Total Size	Units	Fact Sheet	Problem/Need Description	Problem Source	Federal Lists
		Good	Inter-mediate	Impaired	Unknown						D
NAPA VALLEY GW		0	0	0	230	230	SQMI	Yes	Drinking water impairment Threat of drinking water impairment fuel leaks/VOC contamination	Point & Non-Point	3 3 3 0 1 1 3 4 9
NAPA-SONOMA VOL HI GW		0	0	0	150	150				
NILES CONE GW	204.30	76	0	20	0	96	SQMI	Yes	Drinking water impairment Threat of drinking water impairment fuel leaks/VOC pollution	Point & Non-Point
NOVATO VALLEY GW		0	0	0	35	35				
PESCADERO VALLEY GW		0	0	0	8	8	SQMI			
PETALUMA VALLEY GW		41	0	0	0	41	SQMI		Drinking water impairment Threat of drinking water impairment fuel leaks/VOC pollution	Non-Point
PITTSBURG PLAIN GW		0	0	30	0	30	SQMI	Yes	Threat of drinking water impairment	Point & Non-Point
ROSS VALLEY GW		0	0	0	6	6				
SAN FRANCISCO BAY, CENTRAL GW		0	0	0	0	0	SQMI			
SAN FRANCISCO SAND GW		0	0	0	24	24				



STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD 1994 WATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT

Report Date : 05/23/94

Region 2

Ground Water

Water Body Name	Hydro Unit #	Water Quality Condition				Total Size	Units	Fact Sheet	Problem/Need Description	Problem Source	Federal Lists		
		Good	Inter-mediate	Impaired	Unknown						D		
											3	3	3
SAN GREGORIO VALLEY GW		0	0	0	10	10				0	1	1	
SAN MATEO PLAIN GW	204.40	0	0	0	0	0				3	4	9	
SAN PEDRO VALLEY GW		0	0	0	2	2							
SAN RAFAEL VALLEY GW		0	0	0	3	3							
SAN RAMON VALLEY GW		0	30	0	0	30	SQMI						
SAND POINT AREA GW		0	0	0	2	2	SQMI						
SANTA CLARA VALLEY GW	205.00	230	0	20	100	350	SQMI	Yes	Drinking water impairment Threat of drinking water impairment fuel leaks/VOC pollution	Point & Non-Point			
SEBASTOPOL-MERCED GW		0	0	0	150	150	SQMI						
SONOMA VALLEY GW		0	0	0	50	50	SQMI	Yes	Threat of drinking water impairment fuel leaks/VOC pollution				
SOUTH BAY GW		0	0	0	1	1	SQMI						
SUISUN FAIRFIELD VALLEY GW		0	0	0	260	260							
SUNOL VALLEY GW		20	0	0	0	20							



STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD 1994 WATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT

Report Date : 05/23/94

Region 2

Ground Water

<u>Water Body Name</u>	<u>Hydro Unit #</u>	<u>Water Quality Condition</u>				<u>Total Size</u>	<u>Units</u>	<u>Fact Sheet</u>	<u>Problem/Need Description</u>	<u>Problem Source</u>	<u>Federal Lists</u>		
		<u>Good</u>	<u>Inter-mediate</u>	<u>Impaired</u>	<u>Unknown</u>						<u>D</u>		
VISITATION VALLEY GW		0	0	0	3	3					3	3	3
YGNACIO VALLEY GW		0	30	0	0	30	SQMI				0	1	1
											3	4	9



STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD 1994 WATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT

Report Date : 05/23/94

Region 2

Lakes and Reservoirs

Water Body Name	Hydro Unit #	Water Quality Condition				Total Size	Units	Fact Sheet	Problem/Need Description	Problem Source	Federal Lists		
		Good	Inter-mediate	Impaired	Unknown						3	3	3
ALAMEDA CREEK QUARRY PONDS		0	0	0	0	0					0	1	1
ALMADEN RES	205.40	0	62	0	0	62 AC	Yes	Elevated fish tissue levels	Non-Point		3	4	9
ALPINE LAKE	201.13	219	0	0	0	219 AC	Yes				0		
ANDERSON RES	205.30	0	1600	0	0	1600 AC		Elevated fish tissue levels Threat of recreational impacts	Non-Point		X		
ANDERSON RESERVOIR	205.30	0	0	0	0	1600 AC							
ANZA LAKE		0	0	0	0	0							
BEAR GULCH RES	205.50	25	0	0	0	25	Yes						
BELL CANYON RESERVOIR	206.50	0	0	0	0	25 AC	Yes	Sedimentation Eutrophication					
BERKELEY AQUATIC PARK LAGOON	203.00	0	65	0	0	65 AC	Yes	Recreational impacts Eutrophication	Non-Point				
BON TEMPE LAKE	201.13	140	0	0	0	140	Yes					X	
BRIONES RES	206.60	730	0	0	0	730	Yes						
CALAVERAS RES	204.30	1450	0	0	0	1450							



STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD 1994 WATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT

Report Date : 05/23/94

Region 2

Lakes and Reservoirs

<u>Water Body Name</u>	<u>Hydro Unit #</u>	<u>Water Quality Condition</u>				<u>Total Size</u>	<u>Units</u>	<u>Fact Sheet</u>	<u>Problem/Need Description</u>	<u>Problem Source</u>	<u>Federal Lists</u>		
		<u>Good</u>	<u>Inter-mediate</u>	<u>Impaired</u>	<u>Unknown</u>						<u>3</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>3</u>
CALERO RES	205.40	0	0	350	0	350	AC	Yes	Elevated fish tissue levels Mercury exceeds FDA in fish	Non-Point	X	X	X
CHABOT LAKE (ALAMEDA)	204.20	315	0	0	0	315		Yes			.	.	.
CHABOT LAKE (SOLANO)	206.50	0	0	0	50	50	AC			Non-Point	.	X	.
CHERRY FLAT RESERVOIR		0	0	0	0	0					.	.	.
COTTON WOOD LAKE		0	0	0	0	0					.	.	.
COYOTE RES	205.30	0	640	0	0	640	AC	Yes	Elevated fish tissue levels Elevated shellfish tissue levels	Non-Point	.	X	.
CRYSTAL LAKE (R2)		0	0	0	0	0					.	.	.
CRYSTAL SPRINGS, LOWER	204.40	1492	0	0	0	1492	AC		Drinking water impairment Sedimentation Recreational impacts		.	.	.
CRYSTAL SPRINGS, UPPER	204.40	682	0	0	0	682	AC	Yes	Drinking water impairment Sedimentation Recreational impacts		.	.	.
CULL CANYON RES	204.20	0	19	0	0	19			Sedimentation		.	X	.
DALWICK LAKE	206.50	0	0	0	35	35					.	X	.



STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD 1994 WATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT

Report Date : 05/23/94

Region 2

Lakes and Reservoirs

<u>Water Body Name</u>	<u>Hydro Unit #</u>	<u>Water Quality Condition</u>				<u>Total Size</u>	<u>Units</u>	<u>Fact Sheet</u>	<u>Problem/Need Description</u>	<u>Problem Source</u>	<u>Federal Lists</u>		
		<u>Good</u>	<u>Inter-mediate</u>	<u>Impaired</u>	<u>Unknown</u>						<u>3</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>9</u>
DEL VALLE RESERVOIR	204.30	0	0	0	0	1060				.	.	.	
DON CASTRO RES	204.20	0	19	0	0	19				.	X	.	
ELIZABETH LAKE (REG 2)	205.20	0	63	0	0	63 AC		Periodic elevated coliform	Non-Point	.	X	.	
FELT LAKE	205.50	0	38	0	0	38	Yes	Eutrophication		.	X	.	
FREMONT LAGOON	205.20	0	0	0	3	3	Yes			.	X	.	
GOLDEN GATE PARK LAKE	203.40	0	0	0	0	0				.	.	.	
GUADALUPE RES	205.40	0	0	80	0	80 AC	Yes	Elevated fish tissue levels	Non-Point	X	X	X	
HALLS VALLEY RESERVOIR		0	0	0	0	0				.	.	.	
HENNESSEY LAKE	206.50	850	0	0	0	850	Yes	Eutrophication	Non-Point	.	X	.	
HERMAN LAKE	207.21	0	0	110	0	110 AC	Yes	Elevated fish tissue levels	Non-Point	X	X	X	
HORSESHOE LAKE	204.20	0	0	0	1	1				.	X	.	
JEWEL LAKE		0	0	0	0	0				.	.	.	
KENT LAKE	201.13	265	0	0	0	265	Yes			.	X	.	



STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD 1994 WATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT

Report Date : 05/23/94

Region 2

Lakes and Reservoirs

<u>Water Body Name</u>	<u>Hydro Unit #</u>	<u>Water Quality Condition</u>				<u>Total Size</u>	<u>Units</u>	<u>Fact Sheet</u>	<u>Problem/Need Description</u>	<u>Problem Source</u>	<u>Federal Lists</u>		
		<u>Good</u>	<u>Inter-mediate</u>	<u>Impaired</u>	<u>Unknown</u>						<u>D</u>	<u>U</u>	<u>S</u>
KIMBALL RESERVOIR	206.50	0	0	0	0	0				.	.	.	
LAFAYETTE LAKE	207.32	500	0	0	0	500	Yes			.	X	.	
LAKE CURRY		0	0	0	0	0				.	.	.	
LAKE DEL VALLE	204.30	1060	0	0	0	1060	Yes			.	X	.	
LAKE ELSMAN		0	0	0	0	0				.	.	.	
LAKE FREY		0	0	0	0	0				.	.	.	
LAKE HERMAN	207.21	0	0	0	0	110	AC			.	.	.	
LAKE LAGUNITAS		0	0	0	0	0				.	.	.	
LAKE MADIGAN		0	0	0	0	0				.	.	.	
LAKE MARIE		0	0	0	0	0				.	.	.	
LAKE MERCED	202.10	0	0	0	180	180	AC	Yes	Impacted by dropping lake levels due to drought and groundwater pumping by Daly City	Non-Point	.	X	X
LEXINGTON LAKE	205.40	450	0	0	0	450		Yes	Threat of elevated fish tissue levels	Non-Point	.	.	.
MALLARD RESERVOIR		0	0	0	0	0					.	.	.
MERRITT LAKE	204.20	0	160	0	0	160		Yes	Eutrophication	Non-Point	.	X	.



STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD 1994 WATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT

Report Date : 05/23/94

Region 2

Lakes and Reservoirs

<u>Water Body Name</u>	<u>Hydro Unit #</u>	<u>Water Quality Condition</u>				<u>Total Size</u>	<u>Units</u>	<u>Fact Sheet</u>	<u>Problem/Need Description</u>	<u>Problem Source</u>	<u>Federal Lists</u>		
		<u>Good</u>	<u>Inter-mediate</u>	<u>Impaired</u>	<u>Unknown</u>						<u>D</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>4</u>
MILLIKEN RES	206.50	50	0	0	0	50	Yes	Eutrophication		. X .			
NICASIO RES	201.13	0	844	0	0	844 AC	Yes	Eutrophication		. X .			
PHOENIX LAKE (REG 2)	203.20	18	0	0	0	18	Yes			. X .			
PILARCITOS LAKE	202.22	109	0	0	0	109 AC	Yes	ROAD EROSION		. . .			
RECTOR RES	206.50	90	0	0	0	90	Yes	Eutrophication		. . .			
SAN ANDREAS LAKE	204.40	550	0	0	0	550 AC	Yes	Drinking water impairment Sedimentation Recreational impacts		. . .			
SAN ANTONIO RES	204.30	825	0	0	0	825	Yes			. . .			
SAN LEANDRO RES	204.20	788	0	0	0	788				. . .			
SAN PABLO RES	206.60	854	0	0	0	854	Yes			. X .			
SANDY WOOL LAKE		0	0	0	0	0				. . .			
SCOTTSDALE LAKE	206.20	0	0	0	15	15				. X .			
SEARSVILLE LAKE	205.50	0	109	0	0	109				. . .			
SHADOW CLIFFS RESERVOIR		0	0	0	0	0				. . .			



STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD 1994 WATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT

Report Date : 05/23/94

Region 2

Lakes and Reservoirs

<u>Water Body Name</u>	<u>Hydro Unit #</u>	<u>Water Quality Condition</u>				<u>Total Size</u>	<u>Units</u>	<u>Fact Sheet</u>	<u>Problem/Need Description</u>	<u>Problem Source</u>	<u>Federal Lists</u>		
		<u>Good</u>	<u>Inter-mediate</u>	<u>Impaired</u>	<u>Unknown</u>						<u>D</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>4</u>
SOULE JULE RES	201.13	63	0	0	0	63		Yes					
STAFFORD LAKE	206.20	245	0	0	0	245		Yes	Eutrophication	Non-Point		X	
STEVENS CREEK RESERVOIR		0	0	0	0	0							
TEMESCAL LAKE	203.30	0	10	0	0	10	AC	Yes	Sedimentation sedimentation from firestorm area nutrients			X	
VASONA LAKE	205.40	0	0	0	58	58						X	



STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD 1994 WATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT

Report Date : 05/23/94

Region 2

Ocean and Open Bays

<u>Water Body Name</u>	<u>Hydro Unit #</u>	<u>Water Quality Condition</u>				<u>Total Size</u>	<u>Units</u>	<u>Fact Sheet</u>	<u>Problem/Need Description</u>	<u>Problem Source</u>	<u>Federal Lists</u>			
		<u>Good</u>	<u>Inter-mediate</u>	<u>Impaired</u>	<u>Unknown</u>						<u>D</u>	<u>D</u>	<u>D</u>	
ANGEL ISLAND		0	0	0	0	0	MI					3	3	3
BIRD ROCK		72	0	0	0	72						0	1	1
BOLINAS BAY	201.30	0	0	0	0	1						3	4	9
DOUBLE POINT		86	0	0	0	86								
DRAKES BAY	201.20	0	0	0	0	0								
DUXBURY REEF RSRV		1626	0	0	0	1626								
FARALLON ISLAND		2000	0	0	0	2000		Elevated shellfish tissue levels	Non-Point					
GULF OF THE FARALLONS NMS		0	0	0	0	0								
HALF MOON BAY	202.21	0	0	0	0	0								
JAMES FITZGERALD RESERVE	202.21	1006	0	0	0	1006	Yes	Elevated shellfish tissue levels						
MARIN COASTAL WATERS	201.30	0	0	0	0	0								
PACIFIC OCEAN COAST		112	0	0	0	112								
POINT REYES		1359	0	0	0	1359								
PT. REYES HEADLANDS	201.20	0	0	0	0	2333								



STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD 1994 WATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT

Report Date : 05/23/94

Region 2

Ocean and Open Bays

<u>Water Body Name</u>	<u>Hydro Unit #</u>	<u>Water Quality Condition</u>				<u>Total Size</u>	<u>Units</u>	<u>Fact Sheet</u>	<u>Problem/Need Description</u>	<u>Problem Source</u>	<u>Federal Lists</u>		
		<u>Good</u>	<u>Inter-mediate</u>	<u>Impaired</u>	<u>Unknown</u>						3	3	3
ASBS											0	1	1
SAN MATEO COASTAL WATERS	202.20	0	0	0	0	0				D	3	4	9



STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD 1994 WATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT

Report Date : 05/23/94

Region 2

Rivers and Streams

Water Body Name	Hydro Unit #	Water Quality Condition				Total Size	Units	Fact Sheet	Problem/Need Description	Problem Source	Federal Lists
		Good	Inter-mediate	Impaired	Unknown						D
ADOBE CREEK	206.301	0	0	0	0	0				3 3 3 0 1 1 3 4 9 D	
AGUA CALIENTE CREEK	206.401	0	0	0	0	0				. . .	
ALAMEDA CREEK	204.30	0	0	27	0	27 MI	Yes	Threat of recreational impacts Fisheries habitat degradation	Non-Point	X . X	
ALAMITOS CREEK	205.40	0	0	14	7	21 MI	Yes	Recreational impacts High concentrations of mercury in fish	Non-Point	X . X	
ALAMO CREEK	204.30	0	0	0	0	0 MI		old septic systems	Non-Point	. . .	
ALPINE CREEK	202.301	0	0	0	0	0				. . .	
APPANOLIO CREEK	202.22	0	0	0	0	3 MI	Yes	Threat of aquatic habitat destruction Threat of wildlife habitat destruction Threat of fish population decline		. . .	
ARROYO AQUEGIA CREEK	205.301	0	0	0	0	0				. . .	
ARROYO DE LA LAGUNA	204.30	0	0	5	0	5 MI		Threat of objectives violated Total Dissolved Solid, Chlorides violate objectives	Non-Point	X . X	
ARROYO DE LAS POSITAS	204.302	0	0	0	0	0				. . .	
ARROYO DEL VALLE	204.3	0	0	0	0	0 MI		old septic systems	Non-Point	. . .	



STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD 1994 WATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT

Report Date : 05/23/94

Region 2

Rivers and Streams

<u>Water Body Name</u>	<u>Hydro Unit #</u>	<u>Water Quality Condition</u>				<u>Total Size</u>	<u>Units</u>	<u>Fact Sheet</u>	<u>Problem/Need Description</u>	<u>Problem Source</u>	<u>Federal Lists</u>		
		<u>Good</u>	<u>Inter-mediate</u>	<u>Impaired</u>	<u>Unknown</u>						<u>3</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>9</u>
ARROYO LEON CREEK	202.321	0	0	0	0					.	.	.	
ARROYO SAUSAL CREEK	201.121	0	0	0	0					.	.	.	
ARROYO SECO (ALA)	204.3	0	0	0	0	0 MI		old septic systems	Non-Point	.	.	.	
ARROYO SECO CREEK	206.401	0	0	0	0					.	.	.	
BARRETT CANYON CREEK	205.401	0	0	0	0					.	.	.	
BEAR CANYON CREEK	206.501	0	0	0	0					.	.	.	
BEAR VALLEY CREEK	201.132	0	0	0	0					.	.	.	
BELL WILLIAMS CREEK	203.201	0	0	0	0					.	.	.	
BERRYESSA CREEK	205.301	0	0	0	0	0 MI				.	.	.	
BIG CARSON CREEK	201.131	0	0	0	0					.	.	.	
BOGES CREEK	202.401	0	0	0	0					.	.	.	
BOOTJACK CREEK	201.302	0	0	0	0					.	.	.	
BROWN'S VALLEY CREEK	206.501	0	0	0	0					.	.	.	
CALABAZAS CREEK	206.401	0	0	0	0					.	.	.	
CARNEROS CREEK	206.501	0	0	0	0					.	.	.	



STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD 1994 WATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT

Report Date : 05/23/94

Region 2

Rivers and Streams

Water Body Name	Hydro Unit #	Water Quality Condition				Total Size	Units	Fact Sheet	Problem/Need Description	Problem Source	Federal Lists
		Good	Inter-mediate	Impaired	Unknown						3 3 3 0 1 1 3 4 9 D
CARRIGER CREEK	206.401	0	0	0	0	0				. . .	
CASCADE CREEK	206.201	0	0	0	0	0				. . .	
CHILES CREEK		0	0	0	0	0				. . .	
CLEAR CREEK (R2)	202.301	0	0	0	0	0				. . .	
COAST CREEK		0	0	0	0	0				. . .	
CONN CREEK		0	0	0	0	0				. . .	
CORTE MADERA CREEK	206.20	0	0	0	14	14				. . .	
COYOTE CREEK (REG 2)	205.30	26	34	0	0	60 MI	Yes	Threat of drinking water impairment Threat of recreational impacts Threat of objectives violated	Non-Point	. . .	
CROW CREEK	204.202	0	0	0	0	0				. . .	
CYRUS CREEK	206.501	0	0	0	0	0				. . .	
DENNISTON CREEK		0	0	0	0	0				. . .	
DEVILS GULCH CREEK	201.132	0	0	0	0	0				. . .	
DRY CREEK (R2)	206.50	0	0	0	0	0				. . .	
EASKOOT CREEK	201.301	0	0	0	0	0				. . .	



STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD 1994 WATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT

Report Date : 05/23/94

Region 2

Rivers and Streams

<u>Water Body Name</u>	<u>Hydro Unit #</u>	<u>Water Quality Condition</u>				<u>Total Size</u>	<u>Units</u>	<u>Fact Sheet</u>	<u>Problem/Need Description</u>	<u>Problem Source</u>	<u>Federal Lists</u>		
		<u>Good</u>	<u>Inter-mediate</u>	<u>Impaired</u>	<u>Unknown</u>						<u>D</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>4</u>
EL CORTE DE MADERA CREEK	202.301	0	0	0	0	0				.	.	.	
FALL CREEK	202.401	0	0	0	0	0				.	.	.	
FIRST VALLEY CREEK		0	0	0	0	0				.	.	.	
FISH HATCHERY CREEK		0	0	0	0	0				.	.	.	
FOWLER CREEK	206.401	0	0	0	0	0				.	.	.	
FRENCHMANS CREEK		0	0	0	0	0				.	.	.	
FRINK CANYON CREEK	201.121	0	0	0	0	0				.	.	.	
GALLINAS CREEK	201.30	0	0	0	0	0				.	.	.	
GARNETT CREEK	206.501	0	0	0	0	0				.	.	.	
GRAHAM CREEK	206.401	0	0	0	0	0				.	.	.	
GREEN VALLEY CREEK (R2)		0	0	0	0	0				.	.	.	
GUADALUPE CREEK	205.40	0	0	6	0	6 MI	Yes	Elevated fish tissue levels	Non-Point	X	.	X	
GUADALUPE RIVER	205.40	0	0	12	0	12 MI	Yes	Elevated fish tissue levels Fish population decline	Point & Non-Point	X	.	X	



STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD 1994 WATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT

Report Date : 05/23/94

Region 2

Rivers and Streams

Water Body Name	Hydro Unit #	Water Quality Condition				Total Size	Units	Fact Sheet	Problem/Need Description	Problem Source	Federal Lists		
		Good	Inter-mediate	Impaired	Unknown						D		
HAGGERTY GULCH CREEK	201.132	0	0	0	0	0					3	3	3
HALLECK CREEK	201.133	0	0	0	0	0					0	1	1
HARRINGTON CREEK	202.301	0	0	0	0	0					3	4	9
HERBERT CREEK	205.401	0	0	0	0	0							
HOFFMAN CREEK	202.401	0	0	0	0	0							
HONSINGER CREEK	202.401	0	0	0	0	0							
HOPPER CREEK	206.501	0	0	0	0	0							
HUICHICA CREEK	206.50	0	0	0	25	25 MI	Yes	Hillside Development for Vineyards Threat of spawning impairment					
ISABEL CREEK	204.301	0	0	0	0	0							
JERICO CANYON CREEK	206.501	0	0	0	0	0							
JONES GULCH CREEK	202.401	0	0	0	0	0							
KAISER CREEK	204.201	0	0	0	0	0							
LA HONDA CREEK	202.301	0	0	0	0	0							
LACOSTA CREEK	204.303	0	0	0	0	0							



STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD 1994 WATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT

Report Date : 05/23/94

Region 2

Rivers and Streams

<u>Water Body Name</u>	<u>Hydro Unit #</u>	<u>Water Quality Condition</u>				<u>Total Size</u>	<u>Units</u>	<u>Fact Sheet</u>	<u>Problem/Need Description</u>	<u>Problem Source</u>	<u>Federal Lists</u>		
		<u>Good</u>	<u>Inter-mediate</u>	<u>Impaired</u>	<u>Unknown</u>						<u>3</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>3</u>
LAFAYETTE CREEK	207.3	0	0	0	0	0	MI	septic systems refuse in creek	Non-Point	.	.	.	
LAGUNITAS CREEK	201.13	0	0	22	0	22	MI	Yes Sedimentation	Non-Point	X	.	X	
LAMBERT CREEK	202.401	0	0	0	0	0				.	.	.	
LAS TRAMPAS CREEK	207.3	0	0	0	15	15	MI	septic systems, refuse in creek	Non-Point	.	.	.	
LAUREL CREEK		0	0	0	0	0				.	.	.	
LEDGEWOOD CREEK		0	0	0	0	0				.	.	.	
LITTLE BOULDER CREEK	202.401	0	0	0	0	0				.	.	.	
LOBITAS CREEK		0	0	0	0	0				.	.	.	
LOS GATOS CREEK (REG 2)	205.40	0	0	0	20	20	MI	Sedimentation Urban nonpoint pollution	Non-Point	.	.	.	
LOS TRANCOS CREEK	205.501	0	0	0	0	0				.	.	.	
MATADERO CREEK		0	0	0	0	0				.	.	.	
MCCORMACK CREEK	202.401	0	0	0	0	0				.	.	.	
MCKENNAN GULCH CREEK	201.301	0	0	0	0	0				.	.	.	
MILLER CREEK		0	0	0	0	0				.	.	.	



STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD 1994 WATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT

Report Date : 05/23/94

Region 2

Rivers and Streams

Water Body Name	Hydro Unit #	Water Quality Condition				Total Size	Units	Fact Sheet	Problem/Need Description	Problem Source	Federal Lists		
		Good	Inter-mediate	Impaired	Unknown						3	3	3
MILLIKEN CREEK	206.501	0	0	0	0	0					0	1	1
MILLS CREEK	202.321	0	0	0	0	0					3	4	9
MINDEGO CREEK	202.301	0	0	0	0	0					D		
MORAGA VALLEY CREEK	204.201	0	0	0	0	0							
MORSES GULCH CREEK	201.301	0	0	0	0	0							
MT. DIABLO CREEK		0	0	0	0	0							
NAPA CREEK	206.501	0	0	0	0	0							
NAPA RIVER	206.50	0	15	40	0	55	MI	Yes	Eutrophication Sedimentation Degradation of fisheries habitat	Point & Non-Point	X		X
NATHANSEN CREEK	206.401	0	0	0	0	0							
NICASIO CREEK	201.13	0	0	0	0	0							
NOVATO CREEK	206.20	0	0	0	0	0							
OIL CREEK	202.401	0	0	0	0	0							
OLEMA CREEK		0	0	0	0	0							
OTIS CANYON CREEK	205.301	0	0	0	0	0							



STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD 1994 WATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT

Report Date : 05/23/94

Region 2

Rivers and Streams

Water Body Name	Hydro Unit #	Water Quality Condition				Total Size	Units	Fact Sheet	Problem/Need Description	Problem Source	Federal Lists
		Good	Inter-mediate	Impaired	Unknown						3 3 3 0 1 1 3 4 9 0
PALOMARES CREEK	204.202	0	0	0	0	0				. . .	
PENITENCIA CREEK	205.301	0	0	0	0	0				. . .	
PERMANENTE CREEK		0	0	0	0	0				. . .	
PESCADERO CREEK (REG 2)	202.40	21	0	0	0	21 MI			Non-Point	. . .	
PETALUMA RIVER	206.30	0	5	20	0	25 MI	Yes	Eutrophication Sedimentation Fisheries habitat degradation	Point & Non-Point	X . X	
PETERS CREEK	202.401	0	0	0	0	0				. . .	
PHOENIX CREEK	203.201	0	0	0	0	0				. . .	
PICKLE CREEK	206.501	0	0	0	0	0				. . .	
PIKE COUNTY GULCH CREEK	201.301	0	0	0	0	0				. . .	
PILARCITOS CREEK	202.32	11	0	0	0	11 MI	Yes	Drinking water impairment Fish kills Fish population decline		. . .	
PINE CREEK		0	0	0	0	0				. . .	
PINE GULCH CREEK	201.301	0	0	0	0	0				. . .	



STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD 1994 WATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT

Report Date : 05/23/94

Region 2

Rivers and Streams

Water Body Name	Hydro Unit #	Water Quality Condition				Total Size	Units	Fact Sheet	Problem/Need Description	Problem Source	Federal Lists		
		Good	Inter-mediate	Impaired	Unknown						3	3	3
PINE GULCH CREEK	201.302	0	0	0	0	0					0	1	1
PINOLE CREEK		0	0	0	0	0					3	4	9
POMPONIO CREEK		0	0	0	0	0					D		
PURISIMA CREEK		0	0	0	0	0							
RECTOR CREEK	206.501	0	0	0	0	0							
REDWOOD CREEK (R2)	201.30	13	0	0	0	13	MI	Yes	Recreational impacts Fisheries habitat impairment Agricultural runoff				
REDWOOD CREEK (R2-A)	206.501	0	0	0	0	0							
REDWOOD CREEK (R2-B)	204.201	0	0	0	0	0							
RITCHIE CREEK	206.501	0	0	0	0	0							
RODEO CREEK	201.30	0	0	0	0	0							
ROSS CREEK	206.201	0	0	0	0	0							
SAGE CREEK		0	0	0	0	0							
SALMON CREEK	201.121	0	0	0	0	0							
SAN ANSELMO CREEK	206.201	0	0	0	0	0							



STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD 1994 WATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT

Report Date : 05/23/94

Region 2

Rivers and Streams

<u>Water Body Name</u>	<u>Hydro Unit #</u>	<u>Water Quality Condition</u>				<u>Total Size</u>	<u>Units</u>	<u>Fact Sheet</u>	<u>Problem/Need Description</u>	<u>Problem Source</u>	Federal Lists
		<u>Good</u>	<u>Inter-mediate</u>	<u>Impaired</u>	<u>Unknown</u>						D
SAN ANTONIO CREEK (REG 2)	206.30	0	0	0	17	17 MI	Yes	Eutrophication	Non-Point	3 3 3 0 1 1 3 4 9	
SAN FELIPE CREEK		0	0	0	0	0				. . .	
SAN FRANCISQUITO CREEK	205.50	0	0	0	10	10 MI				. . .	
SAN GREGORIO CREEK	202.30	10	0	0	0	10 MI		Threat of sedimentation	Non-Point	. . .	
SAN LEANDRO CREEK	204.20	0	12	0	0	12				. . .	
SAN LORENZO CREEK (R2)	204.20	0	0	0	17	17				. . .	
SAN MATEO CREEK		0	0	0	0	0				. . .	
SAN PABLO CREEK		0	0	0	0	0				. . .	
SAN PEDRO CREEK		0	0	0	0	0				. . .	
SAN RAMON CREEK	207.321	0	0	0	15	15 MI		septic systems, refuse in creek	Non-Point	. . .	
SAN VICENTE CREEK		0	0	0	0	0				. . .	
SARATOGA CREEK		0	0	0	0	0				. . .	
SARCO CREEK	206.501	0	0	0	0	0				. . .	



STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD 1994 WATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT

Report Date : 05/23/94

Region 2

Rivers and Streams

<u>Water Body Name</u>	<u>Hydro Unit #</u>	<u>Water Quality Condition</u>				<u>Total Size</u>	<u>Units</u>	<u>Fact Sheet</u>	<u>Problem/Need Description</u>	<u>Problem Source</u>	<u>Federal Lists</u>		
		<u>Good</u>	<u>Inter-mediate</u>	<u>Impaired</u>	<u>Unknown</u>						<u>D</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>4</u>
SILVER CREEK	205.301	0	0	0	0	0							
SLATE CREEK	202.401	0	0	0	0	0							
SLEEPY HOLLOW CREEK	206.201	0	0	0	0	0							
SMITH CREEK	204.301	0	0	0	0	0							
SODA CREEK	206.501	0	0	0	0	0							
SODA SPRINGS CANYON CREEK	205.301	0	0	0	0	0							
SONOMA CREEK	206.40	0	9	14	0	23	MI	Yes	Eutrophication Exceedance of coliform standard	Non-Point	X		X
STEVENS CREEK	205.50	95	0	0	0	95		Yes					
SUISUN CREEK	207.22	0	0	0	23	23							
SULPHER CREEK (ALAMEDA)	204.301	0	0	0	0	0							
SULPHER CREEK (NAPA)	206.501	0	0	0	0	0							
SUSCOL CREEK	206.501	0	0	0	0	0							
TARWATER CREEK	202.401	0	0	0	0	0							



STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD 1994 WATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT

Report Date : 05/23/94

Region 2

Rivers and Streams

<u>Water Body Name</u>	<u>Hydro Unit #</u>	<u>Water Quality Condition</u>				<u>Total Size</u>	<u>Units</u>	<u>Fact Sheet</u>	<u>Problem/Need Description</u>	<u>Problem Source</u>	<u>Federal Lists</u>		
		<u>Good</u>	<u>Inter-mediate</u>	<u>Impaired</u>	<u>Unknown</u>						<u>D</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>4</u>
TASSAJARA CREEK	204.302	0	0	0	0	0	MI		old septic systems	Non-Point	.	.	.
TICE CREEK	207.321	0	0	0	0	0					.	.	.
TULOCAY CREEK	206.501	0	0	0	0	0					.	.	.
TUNITAS CREEK	202.23	0	0	0	0	0					.	.	.
VERDE CANYON CREEK	201.121	0	0	0	0	0					.	.	.
WALKER CREEK (REG 2)	201.12	0	0	25	0	25	MI	Yes	Sedimentation Nonpoint source pollution - dairies mining discharge	Non-Point	X	.	X
WALNUT CREEK	207.32	0	0	20	0	20	MI		threat of exceeding coliform standard Sedimentation Objectives violated	Non-Point	.	.	.
WATERMAN CREEK	202.401	0	0	0	0	0					.	.	.
WEST UNION CREEK	205.501	0	0	0	0	0					.	.	.
WILDCAT CREEK	206.60	0	0	0	0	40	MI	Yes			.	.	.
WILLOW CREEK	206.301	0	0	0	0	0					.	.	.
WOODEN VALLEY CREEK	207.221	0	0	0	0	0					.	.	.
WOODRUFF CREEK	202.401	0	0	0	0	0					.	.	.



STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD 1994 WATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT

Report Date : 05/23/94

Region 2

Rivers and Streams

<u>Water Body Name</u>	<u>Hydro Unit #</u>	<u>Water Quality Condition</u>				<u>Total Size</u>	<u>Units</u>	<u>Fact Sheet</u>	<u>Problem/Need Description</u>	<u>Problem Source</u>	<u>Federal Lists</u>		
		<u>Good</u>	<u>Inter-mediate</u>	<u>Impaired</u>	<u>Unknown</u>						<u>D</u>		
YORK CREEK		0	0	0	0	0					3	3	3
YULUPA CREEK	206.401	0	0	0	0	0					0	1	1
											3	4	9



STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD 1994 WATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT

Report Date : 05/23/94

Region 2

Wetlands

Water Body Name	Hydro Unit #	Water Quality Condition				Total Size	Units	Fact Sheet	Problem/Need Description	Problem Source	Federal Lists		
		Good	Inter-mediate	Impaired	Unknown						D		
BOLINAS LAGOON WETLANDS	201.30	0	0	0	850	850	AC				3	3	3
CENTRAL SAN FRANCISCO BAY WETLANDS	203.12	0	0	0	0	0					0	1	1
DRAKES BAY WETLANDS	201.20	0	0	0	0	0					3	4	9
HALF MOON BAY WETLANDS	202.21	0	0	0	0	0							
LOWER SAN FRANCISCO BAY WETLANDS	204.10	0	0	0	0	0							
MARIN COASTAL WETLANDS	201.30	0	0	0	0	0							
NAPA RIVER WETLANDS	206.50	0	0	0	0	10000	AC						
PEYTON SLOUGH WETLANDS	207.10	0	0	0	0	1							
SAN MATEO COASTAL WETLANDS	202.20	0	0	0	0	0							
SAN PABLO BAY WETLANDS	206.10	0	0	0	35000	35000	AC		Point & Non-Point				



STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD 1994 WATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT

Report Date : 05/23/94

Region 2

Wetlands

<u>Water Body Name</u>	<u>Hydro Unit #</u>	<u>Water Quality Condition</u>				<u>Total Size</u>	<u>Units</u>	<u>Fact Sheet</u>	<u>Problem/Need Description</u>	<u>Problem Source</u>	<u>Federal Lists</u>		
		<u>Good</u>	<u>Inter-mediate</u>	<u>Impaired</u>	<u>Unknown</u>						<u>D</u>	<u>U</u>	<u>S</u>
SOUTH HAMPTON BAY WETLANDS	207.21	0	0	0	0	300						3 3 3 0 1 1 3 4 9	
SOUTH SAN FRANCISCO BAY WETLANDS	205.10	0	0	0	0	0 AC	Yes	Conversion of saltwater marsh to freshwater marsh, wetlands alteration				. . .	
SUISUN BAY WETLANDS	207.23	0	0	0	0	57000 AC						. . .	
SUISUN MARSH WETLANDS	207.23	0	0	57000	0	57000 AC	Yes	Aquatic & wildlife habitat impaired	Point & Non-Point			X . X	
TOMALES BAY WETLANDS	201.11	0	0	0	1905	1905						. . .	



1-20-69

STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD 1994 WATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT

Report Date : 05/23/94

Region 3

Bays and Harbors

<u>Water Body Name</u>	<u>Hydro Unit #</u>	<u>Water Quality Condition</u>				<u>Total Size</u>	<u>Units</u>	<u>Fact Sheet</u>	<u>Problem/Need Description</u>	<u>Problem Source</u>	<u>Federal Lists</u>		
		<u>Good</u>	<u>Inter-mediate</u>	<u>Impaired</u>	<u>Unknown</u>						<u>D</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>3</u>
MONTEREY HARBOR	309.50	25	35	14	0	74	AC	Yes	Elevated shellfish tissue levels	Non-Point	X	.	X
MORRO BAY	310.22	0	1000	800	1400	3200	AC	Yes	Sedimentation	Point & Non-Point	X	.	X
MOSS LANDING HARBOR	306.00	0	40	40	80	160	AC	Yes	Elevated shellfish tissue levels	Non-Point	X	.	X
SAN LUIS HARBOR	310.22	0	20	0	0	20	AC	Yes	Potential elevated metals in sediments Potential impacts from oil operations	Unknown	.	.	.
SANTA BARBARA HARBOR	315.32	0	78	0	0	78	AC	Yes	Elevated shellfish tissue levels Elevated metals in shellfish tissue (Hg, Zn,Cu) / threat of ambient toxicity	Point & Non-Point	.	.	.
SANTA CRUZ HARBOR	304.12	0	0	0	38	38	AC	Yes	Elevated shellfish tissue levels Threat of ambient toxicity (Zn,Cu,Hg)	Non-Point	.	.	.



STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD 1994 WATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT

Report Date : 05/23/94

Region 3

Estuaries

Water Body Name	Hydro Unit #	Water Quality Condition				Total Size	Units	Fact Sheet	Problem/Need Description	Problem Source	Federal Lists
		Good	Inter-mediate	Impaired	Unknown						D
ARROYO DE CORRAL	310.12	0	0	0	40	40	AC	No			3 3 3 0 1 1 3 4 9
ARROYO DE LA CRUZ ESTUARY	310.12	36	0	0	0	36	AC	No			
ARROYO LAGUNA	310.13	0	0	0	3	3	AC	No			
BALDWIN CREEK ESTUARY	304.11	0	0	0	12	12	AC	No			
BARKA SLOUGH	313.00	0	0	0	4	4	MI				
BENNETT SLOUGH/ESTUARY	306.00	0	0	0	44	44	AC	No			
BIG SUR RIVER ESTUARY	308.00	0	5	0	0	5	AC	Yes	Recreational impacts Need to provide protection for this uni- Sedimentation	Unknown	
CANADA HONDA CREEK ESTUARY	315.10	0	0	0	1	1	AC	No			
CARMEL RIVER ESTUARY	307.00	0	42	0	0	42	AC	Yes	Insufficient data. ASBS impairment Metal accumulation in biota of estuary	Unknown	
CARPINTERIA MARSH (EL ESTERO MARSH)	315.34	0	150	80	0	230	AC	Yes	Sedimentation Threat to wildlife populations. Rare & Endangered Species impairment	Non-Point	X . X



STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD 1994 WATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT

Report Date : 05/23/94

Region 3

Estuaries

Water Body Name	Hydro Unit #	Water Quality Condition				Total Size	Units	Fact Sheet	Problem/Need Description	Problem Source	Federal Lists		
		Good	Inter-mediate	Impaired	Unknown						3	3	3
CASCADE CREEK LAGOON/ESTUARY	304.20	0	0	0	10	10	AC	No			0	1	1
DEVEREAUX LAGOON	315.31	0	0	0	53	53	AC	No			3	4	9
ELKHORN SLOUGH	306.00	0	500	500	1500	2500	AC	Yes	Elevated shellfish tissue levels Receives Agricultural Irrigation Runoff Receives M. L. Harbor Water via PG&E PP	Point & Non-Point	X	.	X
GALLIGHAN SLOUGH	305.10	0	0	0	0	0					.	.	.
GAZOS CREEK LAGOON/ESTUARY	304.20	0	0	0	2	2	AC	No			.	.	.
GOLETA SLOUGH/ESTUARY	315.31	0	200	200	0	400	AC	Yes	Elevated shellfish tissue levels Sedimentation Non-point runoff from urban development	Non-Point	X	.	X
GREEN OAKS CREEK LAGOON/ESTUARY	304.20	0	0	0	28	28	AC	No			.	.	.
HANSON SLOUGH	305.10	0	0	0	1	1	MI				.	.	.
HARKINS SLOUGH	305.10	0	0	0	8	8	MI				.	.	.
JALAMA CREEK ESTUARY	315.10	0	0	0	2	2	AC	No			.	.	.
LAGUNA CREEK ESTUARY	304.12	0	0	0	27	27	AC	No			.	.	.



STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD 1994 WATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT

Report Date : 05/23/94

Region 3

Estuaries

Water Body Name	Hydro Unit #	Water Quality Condition				Total Size	Units	Fact Sheet	Problem/Need Description	Problem Source	Federal Lists
		Good	Inter-mediate	Impaired	Unknown						D
LITTLE PICO CREEK ESTUARY	310.13	3	0	0	0	3 AC	No			. . .	
LITTLE SUR RIVER ESTUARY	308.00	2	0	0	0	2 AC	Yes	Need to protect this unique resource		. . .	
LUCERNE LAKE ESTUARY	304.11	0	0	0	80	80 AC	No			. . .	
MCCCLUSKY SLOUGH	306.00	0	0	0	181	181 AC	No			. . .	
OLD SALINAS RIVER ESTUARY	309.10	0	0	55	0	55 AC	Yes	Elevated shellfish tissue levels Sedimentation Pesticide residues in fish and shellfish	Non-Point	X . X	
PARSONS SLOUGH	305.10	0	0	0	1	1 AC	No			. . .	
PICO CREEK ESTUARY	310.13	3	0	0	0	3 AC	No	Suspect natural lead in sediments		. . .	
PISMO CREEK ESTUARY	310.26	0	0	0	4	4 AC	No			. . .	
PISMO MARSH(LAKE)	310.31	0	0	0	105	105 AC	No			. . .	
SALINAS RIVER LAGOON (NORTH)	309.10	0	100	75	0	175 AC	Yes	Agricultural runoff carrying toxic orgs. Threat of ambient toxicity Bioaccumulation of toxics	Non-Point	X . X	
SAN ANTONIO CREEK ESTUARY	313.00	0	0	0	7	7 AC	No			. . .	



STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD 1994 WATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT

Report Date : 05/23/94

Region 3

Estuaries

Water Body Name	Hydro Unit #	Water Quality Condition				Total Size	Units	Fact Sheet	Problem/Need Description	Problem Source	Federal Lists		
		Good	Inter-mediate	Impaired	Unknown						D		
SAN CARPOFORO CREEK ESTUARY	310.11	0	0	0	47	47 AC					3	3	3
SAN JOSE CREEK ESTUARY	308.00	0	0	0	9	9 AC	No				0	1	1
SAN LORENZO RIVER ESTUARY	304.12	0	0	20	0	20 AC	Yes	Sedimentation Elevated bacteria levels	Non-Point		3	4	9
SAN LUIS OBISPO CREEK ESTUARY	310.24	0	23	0	0	23 AC	No	Threat of fish population decline Threat of spawning impairment Threat of sedimentation	Point & Non-Point				
SAN SIMEON CREEK ESTUARY	310.13	0	0	0	32	32 AC	No						
SANTA MARIA RIVER ESTUARY	312.10	0	0	0	145	145 AC	No						
SANTA ROSA CREEK ESTUARY	310.13	0	0	0	5	5 AC	No						
SANTA YNEZ RIVER ESTUARY	314.00	0	69	0	0	69 AC	No	Threat of fish population decline Threat of spawning impairment	Non-Point				
SCOTT CREEK LAGOON	304.11	0	0	0	25	25 AC	No						
STRUVE SLOUGH	305.10	0	0	0	3	3 AC							



STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD 1994 WATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT

Report Date : 05/23/94

Region 3

Estuaries

<u>Water Body Name</u>	<u>Hydro Unit #</u>	<u>Water Quality Condition</u>				<u>Total Size</u>	<u>Units</u>	<u>Fact Sheet</u>	<u>Problem/Need Description</u>	<u>Problem Source</u>	<u>Federal Lists</u>		
		<u>Good</u>	<u>Inter-mediate</u>	<u>Impaired</u>	<u>Unknown</u>						<u>D</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>4</u>
VALENCIA LAGOON	304.13	0	0	0	3	3 AC				.	.	.	
WADDELL CREEK ESTUARY	304.11	0	0	0	20	20 AC	No			.	.	.	
WATSONVILLE SLOUGH	305.10	0	0	300	0	300 AC	Yes	Elevated shellfish tissue levels Elevated fish tissue levels Sedimentation	Non-Point	X	.	X	
WILDER CREEK ESTUARY	304.12	0	0	0	13	13 AC	No			.	.	.	
WOODS LAGOON	304.12	0	0	0	45	45 AC	No			.	.	.	



STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD 1994 WATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT

Report Date : 05/23/94

Region 3

Ground Water

Water Body Name	Hydro Unit #	Water Quality Condition				Total Size	Units	Fact Sheet	Problem/Need Description	Problem Source	Federal Lists
		Good	Inter-mediate	Impaired	Unknown						D
ANO NUEVO AREA	304.20	0	0	0	2	2	sqmi	No	Limited Information Available		3 3 3 0 1 1 3 4 9
ARROYO DE LA CRUZ VALLEY	310.12	0	0	0	3	3	SQMI	No			
ARROYO GR -NIPOMA	310.30	0	0	0	40	40	SQMI	No			
ARROYO GRANDE VALLEY-NIPOMO MESA AREA	310.30	0	60	0	30	90	SQMI	Yes	Objectives violated	Unknown	
BIG SPRINGS AREA	317.00	0	0	0	8	8	SQMI	No			
BIG SUR GROUNDWATER BASIN	308.00	0	0	1	0	1	SQMI	Yes	Objectives violated Threat of drinking water impairment	Unknown	
BITTER WATER VALLEY	309.70	0	0	0	7	7	SQMI	No			
CAREAGA SAND HIGHLANDS	314.30	0	15	0	0	15	sqmi	No			
CARMEL VALLEY	307.00	0	0	10	0	10	sqmi	No	Drinking water impairment Objectives violated Elevated NO3 in shallow wells	Non-Point	
CARPINTERIA BASIN	315.34	0	12	0	0	12	sqmi	No	High TDS concentrations (mineralization)	Non-Point	
CARRIZO PLAIN	311.00	0	0	0	270	270	SQMI	No			



STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD 1994 WATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT

Report Date : 05/23/94

Region 3

Ground Water

Water Body Name	Hydro Unit #	Water Quality Condition				Total Size	Units	Fact Sheet	Problem/Need Description	Problem Source	Federal Lists
		Good	Inter-mediate	Impaired	Unknown						D
CAYUCOS VALLEY	310.16	0	0	0	2	2 SQMI	No			3 3 3	
CHOLAME VALLEY	317.00	0	0	0	20	20 SQMI	No			0 1 1	
CHORRO VALLEY	310.22	0	1	5	14	20 SQMI	Yes	Drinking water impairment Objectives violated	Point & Non-Point	3 4 9	
CORRAL DE TEIRRA	309.50	0	0	0	20	20 SQMI	No			D	
CUYAMA VALLEY	312.30	0	0	1	104	105 SQMI	Yes	Objectives violated	Unknown	. . .	
CUYUMA VALLEY		0	0	0	230	230 sqmi	No			. . .	
DRY LAKE VALLEY	305.50	0	0	0	4	4 SQMI	No			. . .	
GILROY-HOLLISTER	305.30	0	70	25	255	350 sqmi	Yes	Drinking water impairment Objectives violated Threat of nitrates	Non-Point	. . .	
GOLETA BASIN	315 31	0	16	0	0	16 sqmi	No	TDS,CL, SO ₄ , exceeded at transfer stat. Threat of drinking water impairment	Point	. . .	
HERNANDEZ VALLEY	305.50	0	0	0	2	2 SQMI	No			. . .	
HUASNA VALLEY	312.30	0	0	0	6	6 SQMI	No			. . .	
LANGLEY AREA GROUNDWATER BASIN	309.20	0	0	8	19	27 sqmi	Yes	Drinking water impairment Objectives violated High TDS near Crazyhorse Landfill	Non-Point	. . .	



STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD 1994 WATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT

Report Date : 05/23/94

Region 3

Ground Water

Water Body Name	Hydro Unit #	Water Quality Condition				Total Size	Units	Fact Sheet	Problem/Need Description	Problem Source	Federal Lists		
		Good	Inter-mediate	Impaired	Unknown						D		
LOCKWOOD VALLEY (REG 3)	309.83	0	0	0	90	90	SQMI	No				3 3 3	
LOS OSOS VALLEY	310.23	0	0	10	10	20	SQMI	Yes	Drinking water impairment Objectives violated	Point & Non-Point		0 1 1	
MONTECITO AREA	315.33	0	3	0	0	3	SQMI	Yes	Threat of drinking water impairment	Unknown		3 4 9	
MORRO VALLEY	310.21	0	0	0	5	5	SQMI	No					
OLD VALLEY	310.17	0	0	0	3	3	SQMI	No					
PAJARO VALLEY	305.10	0	120	0	0	120	sqmi	Yes	Drinking water impairment Objectives violated	Point & Non-Point			
PASO ROBLES BASIN	309.80	0	64	22	800	886	SQMI	Yes	Drinking water impairment Objectives violated Boron, Flouride, TDS concent. are high	Point & Non-Point			
PEACH TREE VALLEY		0	0	0	18	18	sqmi	No					
PISMO CREEK VALLEY	310.26	0	0	0	10	10	SQMI	No					
POZO VALLEY	309.90	0	0	0	9	9	SQMI	No					
QUIEN SABE VALLEY	305.50	0	0	0	7	7	SQMI	No					
RAFEAL VALLEY	317.00	0	0	0	4	4	SQMI	No					



STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD 1994 WATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT

Report Date : 05/23/94

Region 3

Ground Water

<u>Water Body Name</u>	<u>Hydro Unit #</u>	<u>Water Quality Condition</u>				<u>Total Size</u>	<u>Units</u>	<u>Fact Sheet</u>	<u>Problem/Need Description</u>	<u>Problem Source</u>	<u>Federal Lists</u>		
		<u>Good</u>	<u>Inter-mediate</u>	<u>Impaired</u>	<u>Unknown</u>						<u>D</u>	<u>U</u>	<u>S</u>
RINCONADA VALLEY	309.81	0	0	0	7	7 SQMI	No			.	.	.	
SALINAS VALLEY, EASTSIDE AQUIFER	309.20	0	60	64	0	124 SQMI	Yes	Drinking water impairment Objectives violated High nitrate concentrations	Point & Non-Point	.	.	.	
SALINAS VALLEY, FOREBAY	309.30	0	67	100	0	167 SQMI	Yes	Objectives violated Nitrate levels exceed drinking standards Threat of drinking water impairment	Point & Non-Point	.	.	.	
SALINAS VALLEY, PRESSURE	309.10	0	64	60	0	124 SQMI	Yes	Drinking water impairment Saltwater intrusion at 180' and 400' Nitrate conc exceed drinking water stds.	Point & Non-Point	.	.	.	
SALINAS VALLEY, UPPER VALLEY AQUIFER	309.40	0	80	125	0	205 SQMI	Yes	Drinking water impairment Objectives violated Nitrate concentrations (Ag and Septic)	Point & Non-Point	.	.	.	
SAN ANTONIO CREEK VALLEY	313.00	0	0	10	15	25 SQMI	Yes	Objectives violated	Point & Non-Point	.	.	.	
SAN BENITO RIVER VALLEY	305.50	0	0	0	10	10 SQMI	No			.	.	.	
SAN CARPOFORO VALLEY	310.11	0	0	0	2	2 SQMI	No			.	.	.	
SAN LUIS OBISPO VALLEY	310.24	0	2	4	9	15 SQMI	Yes	Drinking water impairment Objectives violated	Unknown	.	.	.	



STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD 1994 WATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT

Report Date : 05/23/94

Region 3

Ground Water

Water Body Name	Hydro Unit #	Water Quality Condition				Total Size	Units	Fact Sheet	Problem/Need Description	Problem Source	Federal Lists
		Good	Inter-mediate	Impaired	Unknown						D
SAN SIMEON VALLEY	310.13	0	0	0	2	2	SQMI	No			3 3 3 0 1 1 3 4 9
SANTA ANA VALLEY	305.40	0	0	0	4	4	SQMI	No			
SANTA BARBARA BASIN	315.00	0	0	20	0	20	SQMI	Yes	Drinking water impairment	Point & Non-Point	
SANTA CRUZ PURISIM		0	0	0	65	65	sqmi	No			
SANTA MARIA RIVER VALLEY	312.000	0	105	160	0	265	sqmi	Yes	Drinking water impairment Portions exceed Basin Plan objectives Overdrafting	Point & Non-Point	
SANTA ROSA VALLEY		0	0	0	5	5	sqmi	No			
SANTA YNEZ RIVER VALLEY	314.00	0	118	5	0	123	SQMI	Yes	Drinking water impairment Lompoc Plain a potential WQL segment Objectives violated	Point & Non-Point	
SCOTTS VALLEY	304.11	0	0	8	52	60	sqmi	Yes	Drinking water impairment Objectives violated Excessive nitrate concentrations	Point & Non-Point	
SEASIDE AREA GROUNDWATER BASIN	309.50	0	0	14	36	50	SQMI	Yes	Drinking water impairment Objectives violated	Unknown	
SOQUEL VALLEY	304.13	0	0	0	7	7	SQMI	No			
TORO VALLEY	310.18	0	0	0	2	2	SQMI	No			



STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD 1994 WATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT

Report Date : 05/23/94

Region 3

Ground Water

<u>Water Body Name</u>	<u>Hydro Unit #</u>	<u>Water Quality Condition</u>				<u>Total Size</u>	<u>Units</u>	<u>Fact Sheet</u>	<u>Problem/Need Description</u>	<u>Problem Source</u>	<u>Federal Lists</u>		
		<u>Good</u>	<u>Inter-mediate</u>	<u>Impaired</u>	<u>Unknown</u>						<u>D</u>		
TRES PINOS CREEK VALLEY	305.50	0	0	0	4	4	SQMI	No			3	3	3
UPPER SANTA ANA VALLEY (REG 3)	305.50	0	0	0	3	3	SQMI	No			0	1	1
VILLA VALLEY	310.15	0	0	0	4	4	SQMI	No			3	4	9
WEST SANTA CRUZ TE	304.12	0	0	0	6	6	SQMI	No					



STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD 1994 WATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT

Report Date : 05/23/94

Region 3

Lakes and Reservoirs

Water Body Name	Hydro Unit #	Water Quality Condition				Total Size	Units	Fact Sheet	Problem/Need Description	Problem Source	Federal Lists
		Good	Inter-mediate	Impaired	Unknown						3 3 3 0 1 1 3 4 9 D
ABBOTT LAKES	309.60	0	0	0	10	10 AC	No			. X .	
ANDREE CLARK BIRD REFUGE	315.32	0	0	0	32	32 AC				. . .	
ARROYO DE LOS FREJOLES RESERVOIR	304.20	0	0	0	68	68 AC				. . .	
ATASCADERO LAKE	309.81	0	74	0	0	74 AC	No	Eutrophic	Non-Point	. X .	
BIG POCKET LAKE	310.32	0	0	0	30	30 AC				. . .	
BIG TWIN LAKE	310.32	0	0	0	23	23 AC				. . .	
BLACK LAKE	310.32	0	0	0	12	12 AC				. . .	
BOLSA CHICO LAKE	310.32	0	0	0	7	7 AC				. . .	
CACHUMA RESERVOIR	314.52	3205	0	0	0	3205 AC	Yes	Sedimentation	Non-Point	. X .	
CHESBRO RESERVOIR	305.20	0	0	0	243	243 AC	Yes	Limited Information Available	Unknown	. X .	
DEL MONTE LAKE	309.50	0	0	0	6	6 AC	No	Limited information available		. X .	
DREW LAKE	305.10	0	0	0	46	46 AC	No		Unknown	. X .	
EL ESTERO LAKE	309.50	0	33	0	0	33 AC	Yes	Threat of elevated nutrients. Candidate for the EPA 304(l) long list Potential impacts from NPS runoff		. X .	



STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD 1994 WATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT

Report Date : 05/23/94

Region 3

Lakes and Reservoirs

Water Body Name	Hydro Unit #	Water Quality Condition				Total Size	Units	Fact Sheet	Problem/Need Description	Problem Source	Federal Lists		
		Good	Inter-mediate	Impaired	Unknown						3	3	3
ESPINOSA LAKE	309.20	0	0	0	141	141 AC							
FREEDOM LAKE	305.10	0	0	0	18	18 AC	No						
GIBRALTAR RESERVOIR	314.51	371	0	0	0	371 AC	No	Sedimentation Hg mine tailings in lake TSM data showed no impact	Point & Non-Point				
HERNANDEZ RESERVOIR	305.50	0	619	0	0	619 AC	Yes	Suspect mining activity Suspect natural Hg sources Hg detected in fish tissue	Non-Point				
HOSPITAL LAKE	310.32	0	0	0	8	8 AC							
JAMESON RESERVOIR	314.51	138	0	0	0	138 AC	No	Domestic water supply					
KELLEY LAKE	305.10	0	55	0	0	55 AC	No						
LAGUNA LAKE	310.24	0	201	0	0	201 AC	Yes	Limited information available Past mining activity in watershed Eutrophic/Urban runoff	Non-Point				
LAS PADRES RESERVOIR	307.00	0	0	0	67	67 AC							
LOCH LONOND	304.12	264	0	0	0	264 AC	No	Domestic water supply					
LOPEZ RESERVOIR	310.31	0	0	0	1004	1004 AC	No		Unknown				
LOS PADRES RESERVOIR	307.00	0	1024	0	0	1024 AC	No	Sedimentation	Non-Point				



STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD 1994 WATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT

Report Date : 05/23/94

Region 3

Lakes and Reservoirs

Water Body Name	Hydro Unit #	Water Quality Condition				Total Size	Units	Fact Sheet	Problem/Need Description	Problem Source	Federal Lists
		Good	Inter-mediate	Impaired	Unknown						D
LUCERNE LAKE	304.20	0	0	0	35	35	AC			. . .	
MILL CREEK RESERVOIR	304.11	0	0	0	10	10	AC			. . .	
MUD LAKE	310.32	0	0	0	35	35	AC			. . .	
NACIMIENTO RESERVOIR	309.82	0	0	5370	0	5370	AC	Yes	Elevated fish tissue levels Rare & Endangered Species impairment Water quality limited segment	Non-Point	X X X
NEWELL CREEK RESERVOIR	304.12	0	0	0	20	20	AC			Unknown	. . .
PACHECO LAKE	305.40	0	0	0	189	189	AC	No			. X .
PALM BEACH POND	305.10	0	0	0	2	2	AC	Yes	Limited information available Exact location not yet determined		. X .
PINTO LAKE	305.10	0	121	0	0	121	AC	No	Elevated bacteria levels	Non-Point	. X .
PIPELINE LAKE	310.32	0	0	0	22	22	AC				. . .
ROBERTS/LAGUNA GRANDE LAKE	309.50	0	136	0	0	136	AC	Yes	Beneficial uses not impaired Siltation of lake. Urban runoff/coliform	Non-Point	. X .
SAN ANTONIO RESERVOIR	309.83	0	5725	0	0	5725	AC	Yes	Cd in fish tissue samples	Non-Point	. X .



STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD 1994 WATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT

Report Date : 05/23/94

Region 3

Lakes and Reservoirs

<u>Water Body Name</u>	<u>Hydro Unit #</u>	<u>Water Quality Condition</u>				<u>Total Size</u>	<u>Units</u>	<u>Fact Sheet</u>	<u>Problem/Need Description</u>	<u>Problem Source</u>	<u>Federal Lists</u>		
		<u>Good</u>	<u>Inter-mediate</u>	<u>Impaired</u>	<u>Unknown</u>						<u>D</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>4</u>
SAN CLEMENTE RESERVOIR	307.00	365	0	0	0	365	AC	No	Domestic water supply		X		
SAN FELIPE LAKE	305.30	0	0	0	160	160	AC						
SANTA MARGARITA RESERVOIR	309.81	0	0	0	795	795	AC	No			X		
SEMPERVIRENS RESERVOIR	304.20	0	0	0	5	5	AC						
SMALL TWIN LAKE	310.32	0	0	0	9	9	AC						
TWITCHELL RESERVOIR	312.30	0	0	0	3070	3070	AC	No			X		
TYMAN LAKE	305.10	0	0	0	70	70	AC	No			X		
UVAS RESERVOIR	305.20	0	0	0	224	224	AC	No			X		
WARDEN LAKE WETLAND	310.23	0	0	0	59	59	AC						
WARNER LAKE	305.10	0	0	0	47	47	AC						
WHALE ROCK RESERVOIR	310.17	0	0	0	597	597	AC	No			X		
WHITE LAKE	310.32	0	0	0	46	46	AC						
WHITE ROCK LAKE	308.00	0	0	0	12	12	AC	No			X		



Region 3

Lakes and Reservoirs

<u>Water Body Name</u>	<u>Hydro Unit #</u>	<u>Water Quality Condition</u>				<u>Total Size</u>	<u>Units</u>	<u>Fact Sheet</u>	<u>Problem/Need Description</u>	<u>Problem Source</u>	<u>Federal Lists</u>		
		<u>Good</u>	<u>Inter-mediate</u>	<u>Impaired</u>	<u>Unknown</u>						<u>D</u>		
WILLOW LAKE	310.32	0	0	0	20	20	AC				3	3	3
ZACA LAKE	314.30	0	0	0	25	25	AC				0	1	1
											3	4	9



STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD 1994 WATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT

Report Date : 05/23/94

Region 3

Ocean and Open Bays

Water Body Name	Hydro Unit #	Water Quality Condition				Total Size	Units	Fact Sheet	Problem/Need Description	Problem Source	Federal Lists
		Good	Inter-mediate	Impaired	Unknown						D
ANO NUEVO COAST	304.00	26	0	0	0	26	MI	Yes	Point Ano Nuevo to Soquel Point		3 3 3 0 1 1 3 4 9 D
ANO NUEVO ISLAND	304.20	1	0	0	0	1	MI	Yes	ASBS		
BIG SUR COAST	308.00	86	0	0	0	86	MI	Yes	ASBS areas contained within coastal area Pt. Pinos to Pt. Piedras Blancas		
CARMEL BAY	307.00	0	16	0	0	16	MI	Yes	ASBS impairment	Point & Non-Point	
DIABLO COAST	310.25	14	0	0	0	14	MI	Yes	Diablo Canyon power plant discharge	Point	
ESTERO BAY COAST	310.00	23	0	0	0	23	MI	Yes	Point Estero to Point Buchon (Morro Bay)		
JULIA PFEIFFER BURNS UNDERWATER PARK	308.00	10	0	0	0	10	MI	Yes	ASBS		
MONTEREY BAY NORTH	309.50	106	0	0	0	106	SQMI	Yes	Threat of objectives violated Threat of ambient tox. near Ag. runoff Municipal outfalls	Point & Non-Point	
MONTEREY BAY SOUTH	309.50	15	0	10	0	25	MI	Yes	Monterey Harbor Has High Lead Content Threat of ASBS impairment	Point & Non-Point	X . X
PACIFIC GROVE MARINE GARDENS	309.05	7	0	0	0	7	MI	Yes	ASBS		
PESCADERO COAST	304.00	17	0	0	0	17	MI	Yes	Pescadero Point to Point Ano Nuevo		
PISMO COAST	310.00	26	0	0	0	26	MI	Yes	Point San Luis to Point Sal		



STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD 1994 WATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT

Report Date : 05/23/94

Region 3

Ocean and Open Bays

<u>Water Body Name</u>	<u>Hydro Unit #</u>	<u>Water Quality Condition</u>				<u>Total Size</u>	<u>Units</u>	<u>Fact Sheet</u>	<u>Problem/Need Description</u>	<u>Problem Source</u>	<u>Federal Lists</u>		
		<u>Good</u>	<u>Inter-mediate</u>	<u>Impaired</u>	<u>Unknown</u>						<u>3</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>3</u>
POINT LOBOS ECOLOGICAL RESERVE	308.00	8	0	0	0	8 MI	Yes	ASBS		. . .	0	1	1
SAN MIGUEL ISLAND	316.10	26	0	0	0	26 MI	Yes	ASBS		. . .	3	4	9
SAN SIMEON COAST	310.13	31	0	0	0	31 MI	Yes		Unknown	. . .	D		
SANTA BARBARA NORTH COAST	313.00	56	0	0	0	56 MI	Yes	Point Arguello to Coal Oil Point		. . .			
SANTA BARBARA SOUTH COAST	315.00	0	25	0	0	25 MI	Yes	Elevated bacteria levels in shellfish Storm water runoff - suspect quality Threat of elevated fish tissue levels	Point & Non-Point	. . .			
SANTA CRUZ ISLAND	316.10	76	0	0	0	76 MI	Yes	ASBS		. . .			
SANTA ROSA ISLAND	316.10	56	0	0	0	56 MI	Yes	ASBS		. . .			
VANDEBURG COAST	314.10	35	0	0	0	35 MI	Yes			. . .			



STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD 1994 WATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT

Report Date : 05/23/94

Region 3

Rivers and Streams

Water Body Name	Hydro Unit #	Water Quality Condition				Total Size	Units	Fact Sheet	Problem/Need Description	Problem Source	Federal Lists		
		Good	Inter-mediate	Impaired	Unknown						D		
AGUA CALIENTE CREEK (R3)	314.51	0	0	0	16	16	MI	No	Limited information available				3 3 3 0 1 1 3 4 9
ALAMIAS CREEK	305.30	0	0	0	10	10	MI						. . .
ALAMO CREEK	312.30	0	0	0	22	22	MI	No	Limited information available				. . .
ALAMO PINTADO CREEK	314.40	0	0	0	19	19	MI	No	Limited Information available				. . .
ALBA CREEK	304.12	0	1	0	0	1	MI	Yes	Sedimentation Low flows	Non-Point			. . X
ALEC CANYON CREEK	305.20	0	0	0	1	1	MI						. . .
ALISAL CREEK(SALINAS)	309.70	0	0	0	17	17	MI						. . .
ALISAL CREEK(SANTA CRUZ)	304.12	0	0	0	16	16	MI						. . .
AMAYA CREEK	304.13	0	3	0	0	3	MI	No	Log jams and landslides	Point & Non-Point			. . .
ANO NUEVO CREEK	304.20	0	0	0	4	4	MI	No	Limited Information Available	Unknown			. . .
APTOS CREEK	304.13	0	5	5	0	10	MI	Yes	Fish population decline Sedimentation Sedimentation	Non-Point			X . X
AQUA CALIENTE CANYON	314.51	0	0	0	15	15	MI						. . .



STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD 1994 WATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT

Report Date : 05/23/94

Region 3

Rivers and Streams

Water Body Name	Hydro Unit #	Water Quality Condition				Total Size	Units	Fact Sheet	Problem/Need Description	Problem Source	Federal Lists
		Good	Inter-mediate	Impaired	Unknown						D
ARANA GULCH	304.12	0	7	0	0	7	MI				3 3 3 0 1 1 3 4 9
ARROYO BULITO	315.10	0	0	0	4	4	MI				. . .
ARROYO BURRO CREEK	315.32	0	0	6	0	6	MI	Yes	Threat of recreational impacts Bacteria in creek water may affect bacti levels of shellfish in SB channel	Non-Point	. . X
ARROYO DE LA CRUZ CREEK	310.12	0	0	0	10	10	MI	No	Limited Information Available	Unknown	. . .
ARROYO DE LOS CHINOS	310.12	0	0	0	4	4	MI				. . .
ARROYO DE LOS FREJILES CREEK	304.20	0	0	0	4	4	MI				. . .
ARROYO DEL CORRAL	310.12	0	0	0	30	30	MI				. . .
ARROYO DEL OSO	310.13	0	0	0	2	2	MI				. . .
ARROYO GRANDE CREEK, DOWNSTREAM	310.31	0	0	0	13	13	MI				. . .
ARROYO GRANDE CREEK, UPSTREAM	310.31	0	0	0	6	6	MI	No	Limited Information Available		. . .
ARROYO PAREDON	315.34	0	0	0	6	6	MI				. . .
ARROYO SECO RIVER	309.60	41	0	0	0	41	MI	No	Info Available thru Mont. Co. Flood Con.		. . .



STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD 1994 WATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT

Report Date : 05/23/94

Region 3

Rivers and Streams

<u>Water Body Name</u>	<u>Hydro Unit #</u>	<u>Water Quality Condition</u>				<u>Total Size</u>	<u>Units</u>	<u>Fact Sheet</u>	<u>Problem/Need Description</u>	<u>Problem Source</u>	<u>Federal Lists</u>		
		<u>Good</u>	<u>Inter-mediate</u>	<u>Impaired</u>	<u>Unknown</u>						<u>D</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>4</u>
ATASCADERO CREEK (R3)	309.81	0	0	0	10	10 MI	No	Limited Information Available		.	.	.	
ATASCADERO CREEK (SB)	315.31	0	0	0	6	6 MI	Yes	EPA candidate for 304l long list Limited information available		.	.	.	
BALDWIN CREEK	304.11	0	0	0	4	4 MI	No	Limited information available	Unknown	.	.	.	
BARRANCA HONDA	315.10	0	0	0	2	2 MI				.	.	.	
BATES CREEK	304.13	0	3	0	0	3 MI	No	Sedimentation Natural log jams, blockages to fish mig. Silted dam prevents fish migration	Point & Non-Point	.	.	.	
BEAN CREEK	304.12	0	9	0	0	9 MI	Yes	Fish population decline Sedimentation Drinking water impairment	Point & Non-Point	.	.	.	
BEAR CREEK (R3)	304.12	0	9	0	0	9 MI	No	Sedimentation Recreational impacts Fecal Coliform	Non-Point	.	.	.	
BENNETT CREEK	304.12	0	2	0	0	2 MI	No	Quarry Operations on Creek	Point	.	.	.	
BERRY CREEK	304.11	0	0	0	2	2 MI				.	.	.	
BIG CREEK(AND NUEVO)	304.20	0	0	0	8	8 MI	No	Limited Information Available	Unknown	.	.	.	
BIG CREEK(BIG SUR	308.00	0	0	0	3	3 MI				.	.	.	



STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD 1994 WATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT

Report Date : 05/23/94

Region 3

Rivers and Streams

<u>Water Body Name</u>	<u>Hydro Unit #</u>	<u>Water Quality Condition</u>				<u>Total Size</u>	<u>Units</u>	<u>Fact Sheet</u>	<u>Problem/Need Description</u>	<u>Problem Source</u>	<u>Federal Lists</u>		
		<u>Good</u>	<u>Inter-mediate</u>	<u>Impaired</u>	<u>Unknown</u>						<u>3</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>3</u>
COAST)													
BIG SANDY CREEK	309.81	0	0	0	22	22 MI							
BIG SUR RIVER	308.00	0	16	0	0	16 MI	Yes	Elevated bacteria levels	Non-Point				
BIRD CREEK	305.50	0	0	0	7	7 MI							
BIXBY CREEK	308.00	0	0	0	5	5 MI	No	Flows year round					
BLACK HAWK CANYON CREEK	305.20	0	0	0	2	2 MI							
BLACKBURN GULCH	304.12	0	0	0	3	3 MI	No	Limited Information Available	Unknown				
BLANCO DRAIN	309.10	0	0	8	0	8 MI	Yes	Agricultural drain. Elevated fish tissue levels Pest. in fish tissue violate FDA std.	Non-Point	X		X	
BLOOMS CREEK	304.11	0	0	0	3	3 MI							
BODFISH CREEK	305.20	0	0	0	8	8 MI	No	Limited Information Available	Unknown				
BOULDER CREEK	304.12	0	8	0	0	8 MI	No	Sedimentation Recreational impacts Fecal colifom levels	Non-Point				
BOYER CREEK	304.20	0	0	0	4	4 MI							
BRACKEN BRAE CREEK	304.12	0	0	0	1	1 MI							



STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD 1994 WATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT

Report Date : 05/23/94

Region 3

Rivers and Streams

Water Body Name	Hydro Unit #	Water Quality Condition				Total Size	Units	Fact Sheet	Problem/Need Description	Problem Source	Federal Lists		
		Good	Inter-mediate	Impaired	Unknown						3	3	3
BRANCIFORTE CREEK	304.12	0	8	0	0	8 MI	No	Sedimentation	Non-Point	.	.	.	
BRIDGE CREEK	304.13	0	0	0	2	2 MI				.	.	.	
BRIZZOLARI CREEK	310.24	0	0	0	3	3 MI				.	.	.	
BROWNS CREEK (R3)	305.10	0	5	0	0	5 MI	No	Sedimentation	Non-Point	.	.	.	
BULL CREEK (R3)	304.12	0	0	0	2	2 MI	No	Limited Information Available	Unknown	.	.	.	
BURNETT CREEK	310.12	0	0	0	8	8 MI	No	Limited Information Available		.	.	.	
BURNS CREEK	304.13	0	2	0	0	2 MI	Yes	Sedimentation Fish habitat impaired	Non-Point	.	.	X	
CACHAGUA CREEK	307.00	0	0	0	5	5 MI	No	Limited information available	Unknown	.	.	.	
CANADA AGUA VIVA	315.10	0	0	0	2	2 MI				.	.	.	
CANADA DE LA GAVIOTA	315.10	0	0	0	7	7 MI				.	.	.	
CANADA DE LA VINA	314.20	0	0	0	3	3 MI				.	.	.	
CANADA DE SANTA ANITA	315.10	0	0	0	5	5 MI				.	.	.	
CANADA DEL CAPITAN	315.10	0	0	0	6	6 MI				.	.	.	
CANADA DEL COJO	315.10	0	0	0	4	4 MI				.	.	.	



STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD 1994 WATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT

Report Date : 05/23/94

Region 3

Rivers and Streams

<u>Water Body Name</u>	<u>Hydro Unit #</u>	<u>Water Quality Condition</u>				<u>Total Size</u>	<u>Units</u>	<u>Fact Sheet</u>	<u>Problem/Need Description</u>	<u>Problem Source</u>	<u>Federal Lists</u>		
		<u>Good</u>	<u>Inter-mediate</u>	<u>Impaired</u>	<u>Unknown</u>						<u>D</u>	<u>U</u>	<u>S</u>
CANADA DEL JOLLORU	315.10	0	0	0	3	3 MI					3	3	3
CANADA DEL MOLINO	315.10	0	0	0	3	3 MI					0	1	1
CANADA DEL REFUGIO	315.10	0	0	0	6	6 MI					3	4	9
CANADA DEL SACATE	315.10	0	0	0	3	3 MI							
CANADA HONDA CREEK	315.10	0	0	0	10	10 MI							
CARBONERA CREEK	304.12	0	0	10	0	10 MI	Yes	Sedimentation Fish population decline Spawning impairment	Point & Non-Point	X		X	
CARMEL RIVER	307.00	0	32	0	0	32 MI	Yes	ASBS impairment Sedimentation Threat of drinking water impairment	Non-Point				
CARNADERO CREEK	305.20	0	0	0	4	4 MI							
CARPINTERIA CREEK	315.34	0	0	8	0	8 MI	Yes	High bacteria levels at most stations Eutrophication	Non-Point	X			
CASCADE CREEK	304.20	0	0	0	3	3 MI							
CASMALIA CANYON CREEK	313.00	0	0	0	6	6 MI							
CAYUCOS CREEK	310.16	0	0	0	7	7 MI	No	Limited Information Available	Unknown				



STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD 1994 WATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT

Report Date : 05/23/94

Region 3

Rivers and Streams

<u>Water Body Name</u>	<u>Hydro Unit #</u>	<u>Water Quality Condition</u>				<u>Total Size</u>	<u>Units</u>	<u>Fact Sheet</u>	<u>Problem/Need Description</u>	<u>Problem Source</u>	<u>Federal Lists</u>		
		<u>Good</u>	<u>Inter-mediate</u>	<u>Impaired</u>	<u>Unknown</u>						<u>D</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>4</u>
CHALONE CREEK	317.00	0	0	0	28	28	MI						
CHALONE CREEK	309.70	0	0	0	28	28	MI	Yes					X
CHORRO CREEK	310.22	0	3	8	0	11	MI	Yes	Sedimentation Spawning impairment Objectives violated	Point & Non-Point	X		X
CLEAR CREEK (R3)	304.12	0	2	0	0	2	MI	No	Sedimentation Threat of drinking water impairment	Non-Point			
CLIPPER GULCH	305.10	0	1	0	0	1	MI	No	Sedimentation	Non-Point			
CONNELLY GULCH	304.12	0	0	0	3	3	MI						
COOKHOUSE GULCH	305.10	0	0	0	1	1	MI	No	Limited Information Available	Unknown			
COON CREEK	310.25	0	0	0	10	10	MI						
CORRALITOS CANYON CREEK	312.10	0	0	0	10	10	MI						
CORRALITOS CREEK	305.10	0	14	0	0	14	MI	Yes	Sedimentation	Non-Point			
CROY CREEK	305.20	0	0	0	2	2	MI						
CUYAMA RIVER	312.30	0	91	0	0	91	MI	Yes	Elevated levels of NO3, SO4, TDS in river below Twitchell Res. Limited information available	Non-Point			



STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD 1994 WATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT

Report Date : 05/23/94

Region 3

Rivers and Streams

Water Body Name	Hydro Unit #	Water Quality Condition				Total Size	Units	Fact Sheet	Problem/Need Description	Problem Source	Federal Lists		
		Good	Inter-mediate	Impaired	Unknown						D		
CUYAMA RIVER, DOWNSTREAM	312.30	0	0	0	8	8	MI				3	3	3
CUYAMA RIVER, UPSTREAM	312.30	0	0	0	75	75	MI				0	1	1
DAIRY CREEK	310.22	0	5	0	0	5	MI	No	Mines near head waters Suspected natural Cr. in sediments	Non-Point	3	4	9
DAVENPORT CREEK	310.24	0	0	0	6	6	MI						
DAVIS CREEK	314.10	0	0	0	6	6	MI	No					
DEADMAN GULCH CREEK	304.11	0	0	0	2	2	MI						
DEER CREEK	304.12	0	10	0	0	10	MI	No	Sedimentation Periodic elevated nutrient/bacteria lev.	Non-Point			
DEVILS CANYON CREEK, MIDDLE FORK	308.00	0	0	0	4	4	MI						
DEVILS CANYON CREEK, NORTH FORK	308.00	0	0	0	3	3	MI						
DEVILS CANYON CREEK, SOUTH FORK	308.00	0	0	0	4	4	MI						
DIABLO CANYON CREEK	310.25	0	0	0	13	13	MI						



STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD 1994 WATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT

Report Date : 05/23/94

Region 3

Rivers and Streams

Water Body Name	Hydro Unit #	Water Quality Condition				Total Size	Units	Fact Sheet	Problem/Need Description	Problem Source	Federal Lists			
		Good	Inter-mediate	Impaired	Unknown						D	3	3	3
DIABLO GULCH CREEK	305.10	0	2	0	0	2 MI	Yes	Sedimentation Low flows	Non-Point	.	.	X		
DOS PUEBLOS CANYON CREEK	315.10	0	0	0	7	7 MI				.	.	.		
DOYLE GULCH CREEK	304.13	0	0	0	3	3 MI	No	Limited Information Available	Unknown	.	.	.		
EASTMAN CANYON CREEK	305.20	0	0	0	3	3 MI				.	.	.		
EL CALLEJON CREEK	314.20	0	0	0	3	3 MI				.	.	.		
EL JARO CREEK	314.20	0	0	0	12	12 MI	No	Limited Information Available	Unknown	.	.	.		
ELLIOT CREEK	304.20	0	0	0	2	2 MI				.	.	.		
ESCONDIDO CREEK	315.10	0	0	0	4	4 MI				.	.	.		
ESPADA CREEK	315.10	0	0	0	5	5 MI				.	.	.		
ESTRELLA RIVER	317.00	0	0	0	30	30 MI	No	Limited Information Available	Unknown	.	.	.		
EUREKA GULCH	305.10	0	2	0	0	2 MI	No	Sedimentation Main sedimentation source to Corralitos	Non-Point	.	.	.		
FALL CREEK (R3)	304.12	0	0	0	5	5 MI	No	Limited Information Available	Unknown	.	.	.		
FALLS CREEK	304.11	0	0	0	1	1 MI				.	.	.		



STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD 1994 WATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT

Report Date : 05/23/94

Region 3

Rivers and Streams

Water Body Name	Hydro Unit #	Water Quality Condition				Total Size	Units	Fact Sheet	Problem/Need Description	Problem Source	Federal Lists		
		Good	Inter-mediate	Impaired	Unknown						3	3	3
FINNEY CREEK	304.20	0	0	0	1	1	MI				0	1	1
FOREMAN CREEK	304.12	0	0	0	1	1	MI				3	4	9
FRANKLIN CREEK	315.34	0	0	0	4	4	MI				0		
FRANKLIN CREEK (SLO CO)	309.81	0	0	0	5	5	MI						
FRITCH CREEK	304.12	0	0	0	1	1	MI	No	Limited Information Available	Unknown			
GABILAN CREEK	309.70	0	0	0	11	11	MI						
GAMECOCK CREEK	305.10	0	2	0	0	2	MI	No	Sedimentation	Point & Non-Point			
GARRAPATA CREEK	308.00	0	0	0	8	8	MI	Yes	Limited information available				
GASPER CREEK	315.10	0	0	0	5	5	MI						
GAZOS CREEK	304.20	0	0	0	10	10	MI	No	Limited information available	Unknown			
GLEN ANNE CREEK	315.31	0	0	0	4	4	MI	No	Limited Information Available				
GOLD GULCH CREEK	304.12	0	2	0	0	2	MI	Yes	Sedimentation Low flows	Point & Non-Point			X
GRANITE CREEK	304.12	0	0	0	3	3	MI	No	Limited Information Available	Unknown			
GREEN OAKS CREEK	304.20	0	0	0	4	4	MI	No	Limited information available				



STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD 1994 WATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT

Report Date : 05/23/94

Region 3

Rivers and Streams

<u>Water Body Name</u>	<u>Hydro Unit #</u>	<u>Water Quality Condition</u>				<u>Total Size</u>	<u>Units</u>	<u>Fact Sheet</u>	<u>Problem/Need Description</u>	<u>Problem Source</u>	<u>Federal Lists</u>		
		<u>Good</u>	<u>Inter-mediate</u>	<u>Impaired</u>	<u>Unknown</u>						<u>D</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>4</u>
GREEN VALLEY CREEK	310.14	0	0	0	7	7 MI				.	.	.	
GROVER GULCH	304.13	0	3	0	0	3 MI	Yes	Sedimentation Log jams and other natural blockages hamper fish migration.	Non-Point	.	.	X	
HAMES CREEK	309.81	0	0	0	16	16 MI				.	.	.	
HARE CREEK	304.12	0	2	0	0	2 MI	No	Sedimentation Periodic elevated nutrient/bacti. levels	Non-Point	.	.	.	
HENRY CREEK	304.11	0	0	0	1	1 MI				.	.	.	
HESTER CREEK	304.13	0	4	0	0	4 MI	No	Limited Information Available	Non-Point	.	.	.	
HINCKLEY CREEK	304.13	0	4	0	0	4 MI	No	Threat of fish population decline Threat of sedimentation Threat of objectives violated	Non-Point	.	.	.	
HOPKINS GULCH	304.12	0	0	0	1	1 MI				.	.	.	
HUASNA RIVER	312.30	0	0	0	10	10 MI	Yes	Candidate for the EPA 304l long list Limited information available	Unknown	.	.	.	
HUERHUERO CREEK	309.81	0	0	0	20	20 MI				.	.	.	
INDIAN CREEK (R3)	314.51	0	0	0	18	18 MI	No	Limited Information Available	Unknown	.	.	.	
ISLAY CREEK	310.25	0	0	0	8	8 MI				.	.	.	



STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD 1994 WATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT

Report Date : 05/23/94

Region 3

Rivers and Streams

Water Body Name	Hydro Unit #	Water Quality Condition				Total Size	Units	Fact Sheet	Problem/Need Description	Problem Source	Federal Lists		
		Good	Inter-mediate	Impaired	Unknown						D		
JACK CREEK	309.81	0	0	0	9	9 MI					3	3	3
JALAMA CREEK	315.10	0	0	0	10	10 MI	No	Year round flow	Unknown		0	1	1
JAMISON CREEK	304.12	0	2	0	0	2 MI	No	Sedimentation Periodic elevated nutrient/bacti levels	Non-Point		3	4	9
KINGS CREEK	304.12	0	5	0	0	5 MI	Yes	Sedimentation Low flows Elevated bacteria	Non-Point				
LA SALLE CANYON CREEK	314.10	0	0	0	3	3 MI							
LAGUNA CREEK	304.11	0	9	0	0	9 MI	No	Sedimentation Threat of drinking water impairment	Non-Point				
LAS PALMAS CREEK	315.32	0	0	0	2	2 MI							
LAS TABLAS CREEK	309.81	0	5	8	0	13 MI	Yes	Potential Water Quality Limited Segment Sedimentation TDS, Conductivity, SO ₄ , Ni	Point & Non-Point	X	.	X	
LAS TABLAS CREEK, NORTH FORK	309.81	0	4	1	0	5 MI	Yes	Excessive metal concentrations Objectives violated	Point & Non-Point	X	.	X	
LAS TABLAS CREEK, SOUTH FORK	309.81	0	3	1	0	4 MI	Yes	Excessive metal concentrations Objectives violated	Point & Non-Point	X	.	X	



STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD 1994 WATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT

Report Date : 05/23/94

Region 3

Rivers and Streams

Water Body Name	Hydro Unit #	Water Quality Condition				Total Size	Units	Fact Sheet	Problem/Need Description	Problem Source	Federal Lists
		Good	Inter-mediate	Impaired	Unknown						D
LAST CHANCE CREEK	304.11	0	0	0	1	1 MI				3 3 3 0 1 1 3 4 9	
LAURAL CREEK	304.13	0	3	0	0	3 MI	No	Sedimentation Threat of fish population decline Threat of sedimentation	Non-Point	. . .	
LIDDELL CREEK	304.11	0	3	0	0	3 MI	No	Sedimentation Threat of drinking water impairment	Non-Point	. . .	
LIDDELL CREEK, EAST BRANCH	304.11	0	0	0	3	3 MI				. . .	
LIMEKILN CREEK	308.00	0	0	0	5	5 MI	No	Limited information available	Unknown	. . .	
LITTLE ARTHUR CREEK	305.20	0	0	0	6	6 MI				. . .	
LITTLE CHALOME CREEK	317.00	0	0	0	11	11 MI				. . .	
LITTLE CREEK	304.11	0	0	0	3	3 MI	No	Limited Information Available	Unknown	. . .	
LITTLE LLAGAS CREEK	305.30	0	0	0	8	8 MI				. . .	
LITTLE MORRO CREEK	310.21	0	0	0	7	7 MI				. . .	
LITTLE PICO CREEK	310.13	0	0	0	5	5 MI				. . .	
LITTLE SUR RIVER	308.00	0	0	0	15	15 MI	Yes	Limited Information Available		. . .	
LITTLE UVAS CREEK	305.20	0	0	0	5	5 MI				. . .	



STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD 1994 WATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT

Report Date : 05/23/94

Region 3

Rivers and Streams

<u>Water Body Name</u>	<u>Hydro Unit #</u>	<u>Water Quality Condition</u>				<u>Total Size</u>	<u>Units</u>	<u>Fact Sheet</u>	<u>Problem/Need Description</u>	<u>Problem Source</u>	<u>Federal Lists</u>		
		<u>Good</u>	<u>Inter-mediate</u>	<u>Impaired</u>	<u>Unknown</u>						<u>D</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>4</u>
LIVE OAK CREEK	305.30	0	0	0	3	3 MI				.	.	.	
LLAGAS CREEK	305.30	0	22	0	0	22 MI	Yes	Threat of drinking water impairment Recreational impacts Fecal Coliform levels	Point & Non-Point	.	.	.	
LLAGAS CREEK (ABOVE CHESBRO RES)	305.20	0	0	0	15	15 MI				.	.	.	
LLANITO CREEK	314.20	0	0	0	2	2 MI				.	.	.	
LOCKHART GULCH CREEK	304.12	0	3	0	0	3 MI	Yes	Sedimentation Low flows Nutrients	Non-Point	.	.	X	
LOGAN CREEK	304.12	0	2	0	0	2 MI	Yes	Sedimentation Periodic elevated nutrients/bacti levels	Non-Point	.	.	X	
LOMPICO CREEK	304.12	0	0	5	0	5 MI	Yes	Sedimentation Drinking water impairment Elevated bacteria levels	Non-Point	X	.	X	
LOMPOC CANYON	314.10	0	0	0	5	5 MI	No	Limited Information Available	Unknown	.	.	.	
LOS BERROS CREEK	310.31	0	0	0	14	14 MI				.	.	.	
LOS CANEROS	315.31	0	0	0	2	2 MI				.	.	.	



STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD 1994 WATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT

Report Date : 05/23/94

Region 3

Rivers and Streams

Water Body Name	Hydro Unit #	Water Quality Condition				Total Size	Units	Fact Sheet	Problem/Need Description	Problem Source	Federal Lists
		Good	Inter-mediate	Impaired	Unknown						D
LOS OSOS CREEK	310.22	0	5	5	0	10	MI	Yes	Sedimentation Drains agricultural lands, flows into Morro Bay	Non-Point	3 3 3 0 1 1 3 4 9 D X . X
LOVE CANYON CREEK	304.12	0	0	0	4	4	MI				. . .
LOVE CREEK	304.12	0	4	0	0	4	MI	No	Sedimentation Low flows	Non-Point	. . .
MACKENZIE CREEK	304.12	0	0	0	2	2	MI	No	Limited Information Available	Unknown	. . .
MADDOCKS CREEK	304.20	0	0	0	1	1	MI				. . .
MAJORS CREEK	304.11	0	6	0	0	6	MI	No	Sedimentation Threat of drinking water impairment	Non-Point	. . .
MALOSKY CREEK	304.12	0	0	0	2	2	MI	No	Limited Information Available	Unknown	. . .
MARSHALL CREEK	304.12	0	2	0	0	2	MI	Yes	Sedimentation Low flows	Non-Point	. . X
MASON CREEK	304.12	0	0	0	1	1	MI	No	Limited Information Available	Unknown	. . .
MCDONALD GULCH	304.12	0	0	0	1	1	MI				. . .
MEADOW CREEK	310.31	0	0	0	2	2	MI				. . .
MILL CREEK (BIXBY CREEK)	308.00	0	0	0	5	5	MI				. . .



STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD 1994 WATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT

Report Date : 05/23/94

Region 3

Rivers and Streams

Water Body Name	Hydro Unit #	Water Quality Condition				Total Size	Units	Fact Sheet	Problem/Need Description	Problem Source	Federal Lists		
		Good	Inter-mediate	Impaired	Unknown						D		
											3	3	3
MILL CREEK (BONNIE DOON)	304.11	0	0	0	3	3 MI	No	Limited Information Available		0	1	1	
MILL CREEK (CAPE SAN MARTIN)	308.00	0	0	0	3	3 MI				3	4	9	
MILL CREEK (SCOTT CREEK)	304.11	0	0	0	5	5 MI	No	Limited Information Available	Unknown	0			
MINERS CREEK	304.13	0	2	0	0	2 MI	Yes	Sedimentation	Non-Point			X	
MINERS GULCH CREEK	304.13	0	0	0	2	2 MI							
MISSION CREEK	315.32	0	0	9	0	9 MI	Yes	Coliform Objectives violated	Non-Point	X		X	
MOLINO CREEK	304.20	0	0	0	4	4 MI							
MONO CREEK	314.51	0	0	0	27	27 MI	No	Limited Information Available	Unknown				
MOORE CREEK	304.11	0	0	0	6	6 MI							
MOORES GULCH	304.13	0	3	0	0	3 MI	No	Fish population decline Sedimentation	Non-Point				
NORMON GULCH	305.10	0	0	0	1	1 MI							
MORRO CREEK	310.21	0	11	0	3	14 MI	No	Abandoned mines Suspect natural Cr in sediment	Non-Point				



STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD 1994 WATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT

Report Date : 05/23/94

Region 3

Rivers and Streams

Water Body Name	Hydro Unit #	Water Quality Condition				Total Size	Units	Fact Sheet	Problem/Need Description	Problem Source	Federal Lists		
		Good	Inter-mediate	Impaired	Unknown						D		
MOUNTAIN CHARLIE GULCH	304.12	0	3	0	0	3 MI	No	Sedimentation Low flows	Non-Point		3	3	3
NACIMIENTO RIVER	309.81	0	0	0	45	45 MI	No	Limited information available			0	1	1
NACIMIENTO RIVER, DWNSTR FROM DAM	309.81	0	0	0	12	12 MI					3	4	9
NACIMIENTO RIVER, UPSTREAM OF DAM	309.81	0	0	0	36	36 MI							
NEWELL CREEK	304.12	0	0	0	9	9 MI	No	Limited Information Available	Non-Point				
NOJOQUI CREEK	314.30	0	0	0	12	12 MI							
OAK CANYON CREEK	314.10	0	0	0	3	3 MI	No	Limited information available					
OAK KNOLL CREEK	310.30	0	0	0	4	4 MI							
OLD CREEK	310.17	0	0	0	5	5 MI	No	Limited information available	Unknown				
OLD CREEK, DOWNSTREAM	310.17	0	0	0	1	1 MI							
OLD CREEK, UPSTREAM	310.17	0	0	0	7	7 MI							
OLD SALINAS RIVER	309.10	0	0	5	0	5 MI	Yes	Elevated shellfish tissue levels Elevated fish tissue levels Sedimentation	Non-Point		X		X



STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD 1994 WATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT

Report Date : 05/23/94

Region 3

Rivers and Streams

Water Body Name	Hydro Unit #	Water Quality Condition				Total Size	Units	Fact Sheet	Problem/Need Description	Problem Source	Federal Lists
		Good	Inter-mediate	Impaired	Unknown						3 3 3 0 1 1 3 4 9 D
OLD WOMANS CREEK	304.20	0	0	0	2	2 MI				. . .	
OPAL CREEK	304.11	0	0	0	4	4 MI				. . .	
ORCUTT CREEK	312.10	0	0	0	13	13 MI				. . .	
OSO FLACO CREEK	312.10	0	0	0	5	5 MI				. . .	
PACHECO CREEK	305.40	0	0	0	17	17 MI	No	Limited information available	Unknown	. . .	
PAJARO RIVER	305.00	0	32	0	0	32 MI	Yes	Sedimentation Eutrophication Potential impacts to REC-1, MIGR, COLD	Point & Non-Point	. . .	
PALO COLORADO CANYON	308.00	0	0	0	4	4 MI	No	Limited Information Available		. . .	
PALOMA CREEK	309.60	0	0	0	14	14 MI				. . .	
PANCHO RICO CREEK	309.70	0	27	0	0	27 MI	Yes		Non-Point	. . X	
PASO ROBLES CREEK	309.81	0	0	0	12	12 MI				. . .	
PEAVINE CREEK	304.12	0	0	0	1	1 MI				. . .	
PERRY CREEK	310.14	0	0	0	10	10 MI				. . .	
PESCADERO CREEK	305.10	0	0	0	9	9 MI	No	Limited Information Available	Unknown	. . .	
PESCADERO CREEK (S.	305.50	0	0	0	13	13 MI				. . .	



STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD 1994 WATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT

Report Date : 05/23/94

Region 3

Rivers and Streams

<u>Water Body Name</u>	<u>Hydro Unit #</u>	<u>Water Quality Condition</u>				<u>Total Size</u>	<u>Units</u>	<u>Fact Sheet</u>	<u>Problem/Need Description</u>	<u>Problem Source</u>	<u>Federal Lists</u>		
		<u>Good</u>	<u>Inter-mediate</u>	<u>Impaired</u>	<u>Unknown</u>						<u>D</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>4</u>
BENITO R.)													
PICO CREEK	310.13	0	1	0	0	1 MI	No	Threat of drinking water impairment Abandoned mine on south fork	Non-Point	.	.	.	
PICO CREEK, NORTH FORK	310.13	0	0	0	9	9 MI	No	Limited Information Available	Unknown	.	.	.	
PICO CREEK, SOUTH FORK	310.13	0	0	0	6	6 MI	No	Limited Information Available	Unknown	.	.	.	
PISMO CREEK	310.26	0	0	0	5	5 MI	No	Limited Information Available	Unknown	.	.	.	
PREFUMO CREEK	310.24	0	0	0	6	6 MI				.	.	.	
RAMSEY GULCH	305.10	0	2	0	0	2 MI	No	Sedimentation Threat of fish kills	Non-Point	.	.	.	
RATTLESNAKE GULCH	305.10	0	2	0	0	2 MI	No	Sedimentation	Non-Point	.	.	.	
REDWOOD CREEK (R3)	305.10	0	3	0	0	3 MI	Yes	Natural barriers to fish migration Low flows Sedimentation	Non-Point	.	.	X	
RELIZ CREEK	309.60	0	0	0	17	17 MI				.	.	.	
RIDER CREEK	305.10	0	0	0	2	2 MI				.	.	.	



STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD 1994 WATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT

Report Date : 05/23/94

Region 3

Rivers and Streams

<u>Water Body Name</u>	<u>Hydro Unit #</u>	<u>Water Quality Condition</u>				<u>Total Size</u>	<u>Units</u>	<u>Fact Sheet</u>	<u>Problem/Need Description</u>	<u>Problem Source</u>	<u>Federal Lists</u>		
		<u>Good</u>	<u>Inter-mediate</u>	<u>Impaired</u>	<u>Unknown</u>						<u>D</u>	<u>D</u>	<u>D</u>
RIDER GULCH CREEK	305.10	0	0	2	0	2 MI	Yes	Sedimentation Spawning impairment Objectives violated	Non-Point	X	.	X	
RINCON CREEK (R3)	315.34	0	10	0	0	10 MI	No	Sedimentation	Non-Point	.	.	.	
ROCKY CREEK	308.00	0	0	0	7	7 MI				.	.	.	
RODEO CREEK GULCH	304.13	0	0	0	6	6 MI				.	.	.	
ROGERS CREEK	304.20	0	0	0	1	1 MI				.	.	.	
RUINS CREEK	304.12	0	3	0	0	3 MI	Yes	Low flows Sedimentation	Non-Point	.	.	X	
SALINAS RECLAMATION CANAL	309.20	0	0	20	0	20 MI	Yes	Potential water quality (limited segment Suspect toxic organics in Ag. runoff Organics in sediments(persistent chem.))	Non-Point	X	.	X	
SALINAS RIVER	309.10	60	70	50	0	180 MI	Yes	Elevated shellfish tissue levels Objectives violated Sedimentation	Point & Non-Point	X	.	X	
SALINAS RIVER, CHUALAR-NACIMIENTO RIVER	309.40	0	0	0	75	75 MI				.	.	.	
SALINAS RIVER, DNSTR OF SPRECKELS GAGE	309.10	0	0	0	15	15 MI				.	.	.	



STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD 1994 WATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT

Report Date : 05/23/94

Region 3

Rivers and Streams

Water Body Name	Hydro Unit #	Water Quality Condition				Total Size	Units	Fact Sheet	Problem/Need Description	Problem Source	Federal Lists		
		Good	Inter-mediate	Impaired	Unknown						D		
SALINAS RIVER, NACIMIENTO R.-HEADWATERS	309.81	0	0	0	61	61 MI	Yes				3	3	3
SALINAS RIVER, SPRECKELS GAGE-CHUALAR	309.10	0	0	0	13	13 MI					0	1	1
SALMON CREEK (BIG SUR COAST)	308.00	5	0	0	0	5 MI	Yes	ASBS	Unknown		3	4	9
SALMON CREEK (R3)	308.00	0	0	0	4	4 MI	Yes	Limited information available					
SALSIPUEDES CREEK, S.CRUIZ CO.	305.10	0	0	0	3	3 MI							
SALSIPUEDES CREEK, S.BAR.	314.20	0	0	0	9	9 MI	No	Limited Information Available	Unknown				
SAN ANTONIO CREEK (HYD 313)	313.00	0	0	0	30	30 MI	No		Unknown				
SAN ANTONIO CREEK (S BARBARA COUNTY)	315.31	0	0	0	6	6 MI							
SAN ANTONIO RIVER, DWN STM FROM SAN ANT	309.81	0	0	0	9	9 MI	Yes	Limited information available Threat of elevated cadmium levels	Unknown				
SAN ANTONIO RIVER,	390.81	0	0	0	38	38 MI							



STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD 1994 WATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT

Report Date : 05/23/94

Region 3

Rivers and Streams

Water Body Name	Hydro Unit #	Water Quality Condition				Total Size	Units	Fact Sheet	Problem/Need Description	Problem Source	Federal Lists		
		Good	Inter-mediate	Impaired	Unknown						D		
UPST SAN ANTONIO RES.											3	3	3
SAN BENITO RIVER	305.50	0	86	0	0	86 MI	Yes	Mining activity at upstream locations (Hernandez Reservoir) Limited information available	Non-Point		0	1	1
SAN BERNARDO CREEK	310.22	0	7	0	0	7 MI	No	Abandoned mines at headwater	Non-Point		3	4	9
SAN CARPOFORO CREEK	310.11	0	0	0	4	4 MI	No	Limited Information Available	Unknown				
SAN CLEMENTE CREEK	307.00	0	0	0	8	8 MI	No	Limited information available	Unknown				
SAN DIEGO CREEK	311.00	0	0	0	5	5 MI	No	Limited information available	Unknown				
SAN JOSE CREEK	308.00	0	0	0	9	9 MI	No	Limited Information Available	Unknown				
SAN JOSE CREEK (S BARBARA CO)	315.31	0	0	0	10	10 MI							
SAN JUAN CREEK (R3)	317.00	0	0	0	43	43 MI							
SAN LORENZO CREEK	309.70	0	33	0	0	33 MI	Yes						X
SAN LORENZO RIVER	304.12	5	5	15	0	25 MI	Yes	Drinking water impairment Fish population decline Spawning impairment	Non-Point		X		X



STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD 1994 WATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT

Report Date : 05/23/94

Region 3

Rivers and Streams

Water Body Name	Hydro Unit #	Water Quality Condition				Total Size	Units	Fact Sheet	Problem/Need Description	Problem Source	Federal Lists
		Good	Inter-mediate	Impaired	Unknown						D
SAN LUIS OBISPO CREEK, EAST FORK	310.24	0	0	0	6	6	MI		Threat of sedimentation Threat of toxic bioassay results Threat of objectives violated		3 3 3 0 1 1 3 4 9 0
SAN LUIS OBISPO CRK.(ABOVE W.MARSH ST.)	310.24	10	0	0	0	10	MI	Yes	Threat of sedimentation	Unknown	. . .
SAN LUIS OBISPO CRK.(BELOW W.MARSH ST.)	310.24	0	0	9	0	9	MI	Yes	Eutrophication	Point & Non-Point	X . X
SAN LUISITO CREEK	310.22	0	7	0	0	7	MI	No	Mines at headwaters Suspect natural Cr in sediments	Non-Point	. . .
SAN MARCOS CREEK	309.81	0	0	0	11	11	MI	No	Limited information available	Unknown	. . .
SAN MIGUELITO CREEK	314.10	0	0	0	10	10	MI	No	Spring fed, runs year round		. . .
SAN SIMEON CREEK	310.13	0	6	0	0	6	MI	No	Mines on creek headwaters Suspect natural mercury in sediments Threat of drinking water impairment	Non-Point	. . .
SAN VICENTE CREEK (R3)	304.11	0	9	0	0	9	MI	No	Threat of sedimentation	Non-Point	. . .
SANTA CRUZ CREEK	314.51	0	0	0	14	14	MI	No	Limited Information Available	Unknown	. . .
SANTA LUCIA CANYON	314.10	0	0	0	7	7	MI				. . .



STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD 1994 WATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT

Report Date : 05/23/94

Region 3

Rivers and Streams

<u>Water Body Name</u>	<u>Hydro Unit #</u>	<u>Water Quality Condition</u>				<u>Total Size</u>	<u>Units</u>	<u>Fact Sheet</u>	<u>Problem/Need Description</u>	<u>Problem Source</u>	<u>Federal Lists</u>		
		<u>Good</u>	<u>Inter-mediate</u>	<u>Impaired</u>	<u>Unknown</u>						<u>D</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>4</u>
SANTA LUCIA CREEK	309.60	0	0	0	6	6 MI	No	Limited information available	Unknown	.	.	.	
SANTA MARIA RIVER	312.10	0	0	0	24	24 MI	No	Limited information available	Unknown	.	.	.	
SANTA MONICA CREEK	315.34	0	5	0	0	5 MI	No	Pesticides in stream sediments	Non-Point	.	.	.	
SANTA RITA CREEK	309.81	0	0	0	9	9 MI	No	Limited Information Available	Unknown	.	.	.	
SANTA RITA CREEK (SANTA YNEZ BASIN)	314.20	0	0	0	7	7 MI				.	.	.	
SANTA ROSA CREEK (R3)	314.20	0	8	0	0	8 MI	No	Abandoned mining operations Suspect natural Ni, Cr, Hg in sed/water Threat of toxic bioassay results	Non-Point	.	.	.	
SANTA YNEZ RIVER	314.00	20	39	11	0	70 MI	Yes	Excessive TDS/Conductivity Objectives violated Coliform levels may impair REC-1	Non-Point	X	.	X	
SANTA YNEZ RIVER, DOWNSTREAM	314.00	0	0	0	50	50 MI				.	.	.	
SANTA YNEZ RIVER, UPSTREAM	314.51	0	0	0	31	31 MI				.	.	.	
SCOTT CREEK	304.11	0	0	0	10	10 MI	No	Limited Information Available	Unknown	.	.	.	
SEMPERVIRENS CREEK	304.20	0	0	0	2	2 MI				.	.	.	



STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD 1994 WATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT

Report Date : 05/23/94

Region 3

Rivers and Streams

<u>Water Body Name</u>	<u>Hydro Unit #</u>	<u>Water Quality Condition</u>				<u>Total Size</u>	<u>Units</u>	<u>Fact Sheet</u>	<u>Problem/Need Description</u>	<u>Problem Source</u>	<u>Federal Lists</u>		
		<u>Good</u>	<u>Inter-mediate</u>	<u>Impaired</u>	<u>Unknown</u>						<u>D</u>	<u>U</u>	<u>S</u>
SHEAR CREEK	304.12	0	0	0	2	2 MI				.	.	.	
SHINGLE MILL CREEK	304.12	0	0	2	0	2 MI	Yes	Eutrophication Sedimentation Low flows	Non-Point	X	.	X	
SHINGLE MILL GULCH	305.10	0	2	0	0	2 MI	No	Sedimentation Low flows	Non-Point	.	.	.	
SHUMAN CANYON CREEK	313.00	0	4	0	4	8 MI			Point & Non-Point	.	.	.	
SILVER CREEK	304.12	0	0	0	1	1 MI				.	.	.	
SISQUOC RIVER	312.20	0	45	0	0	45 MI	No	Sedimentation Objectives violated Seasonal flow	Non-Point	.	.	.	
SISQUOC RIVER, DOWNSTREAM	312.20	0	0	0	24	24 MI				.	.	.	
SISQUOC RIVER, UPSTREAM	312.20	0	0	0	33	33 MI				.	.	.	
SLEEPER GULCH	304.12	0	0	0	1	1 MI				.	.	.	
SLOANS CANYON CREEK	314.10	0	0	0	4	4 MI				.	.	.	
SMITH CREEK (R3)	304.12	0	0	0	1	1 MI	No	Limited Information Available	Unknown	.	.	.	



STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD 1994 WATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT

Report Date : 05/23/94

Region 3

Rivers and Streams

Water Body Name	Hydro Unit #	Water Quality Condition				Total Size	Units	Fact Sheet	Problem/Need Description	Problem Source	Federal Lists		
		Good	Inter-mediate	Impaired	Unknown						0	1	2
SOQUEL CREEK	304.13	0	7	0	0	7	MI	Yes	Threat of drinking water impairment Sedimentation Threat of spawning impairment	Non-Point	.	.	.
SOQUEL CREEK, EAST BRANCH	304.13	0	14	0	0	14	MI	No	Sedimentation	Non-Point	.	.	.
SOQUEL CREEK, WEST BRANCH	304.13	0	5	0	0	5	MI	No	fish population declines Sedimentation	Non-Point	.	.	.
SOUTH FALL CREEK	304.12	0	0	0	2	2	MI	No	Limited Information Available	Unknown	.	.	.
SPRING CREEK	304.12	0	0	0	1	1	MI				.	.	.
SPRING CREEK GULCH	304.12	0	0	0	1	1	MI				.	.	.
STEINER CREEK	310.13	0	0	0	6	6	MI	No	Limited Information Available	Unknown	.	.	.
STENNER CREEK	310.24	0	0	0	7	7	MI				.	.	.
SWANSON CANYON CREEK	305.20	0	0	0	2	2	MI				.	.	.
SYCAMORE CREEK	315.32	0	0	0	3	3	MI				.	.	.
TAJIGAS CREEK	315.10	0	0	0	6	6	MI				.	.	.
TASSAJARA CREEK (R3)	309.60	0	0	0	12	12	MI	No	Limited information available	Unknown	.	.	.
TECOLOTE CREEK	315.10	0	0	0	7	7	MI	No	Limited Information Available	Unknown	.	.	.



STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD 1994 WATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT

Report Date : 05/23/94

Region 3

Rivers and Streams

<u>Water Body Name</u>	<u>Hydro Unit #</u>	<u>Water Quality Condition</u>				<u>Total Size</u>	<u>Units</u>	<u>Fact Sheet</u>	<u>Problem/Need Description</u>	<u>Problem Source</u>	<u>Federal Lists</u>		
		<u>Good</u>	<u>Inter- mediate</u>	<u>Impaired</u>	<u>Unknown</u>						<u>D</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>4</u>
TIE GULCH	304.12	0	0	0	1	1 MI	No	Limited Information Available	Unknown	.	.	.	
TORO CREEK	310.18	0	0	0	12	12 MI				.	.	.	
TRES PINOS CREEK	305.50	0	0	0	33	33 MI	No	Limited information available		.	.	.	
TROUT GULCH	304.13	0	0	0	8	8 MI				.	.	.	
TULARCITOS CREEK	307.00	0	0	0	15	15 MI	No	Limited information available	Unknown	.	.	.	
TWO BAR CREEK	304.12	0	4	0	0	4 MI	No	Sedimentation Periodic elevated nutrient/bacti levels	Non-Point	.	.	.	
UNION CREEK	304.20	0	0	0	2	2 MI				.	.	.	
UVAS CREEK DOWNSTREAM	305.20	0	13	0	0	13 MI	No	Possible urban runoff problems	Non-Point	.	.	.	
UVAS CREEK UPSTREAM	305.20	0	0	0	10	10 MI				.	.	.	
VALENCIA CREEK	304.13	0	0	7	0	7 MI	Yes	Fish population decline Sedimentation Migration barriers	Non-Point	X	.	X	
VAQUEROS CREEK	309.60	0	0	0	11	11 MI				.	.	.	
VILLA CREEK	310.15	0	0	0	11	11 MI				.	.	.	
VINEYARD CANYON	309.81	0	0	0	16	16 MI				.	.	.	



STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD 1994 WATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT

Report Date : 05/23/94

Region 3

Rivers and Streams

<u>Water Body Name</u>	<u>Hydro Unit #</u>	<u>Water Quality Condition</u>				<u>Total Size</u>	<u>Units</u>	<u>Fact Sheet</u>	<u>Problem/Need Description</u>	<u>Problem Source</u>	<u>Federal Lists</u>		
		<u>Good</u>	<u>Inter-mediate</u>	<u>Impaired</u>	<u>Unknown</u>						<u>3</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>3</u>
WADDELL CREEK (MAIN STEM)	304.11	0	3	0	0	3 MI	No	Threat of drinking water impairment Threat of fish kills Potential impacts from WWP discharge	Point & Non-Point	.	.	.	
WADDELL CREEK, EAST BRANCH	304.11	0	0	4	0	4 MI	Yes	Drinking water impairment Eutrophication Fish habitat impairment	Point & Non-Point	X	.	X	
WADDELL CREEK, WEST BRANCH	304.11	0	0	0	6	6 MI	No		Unknown	.	.	.	
WATER CANYON CREEK	315.10	0	0	0	2	2 MI				.	.	.	
WHITHOUSE CREEK	304.20	0	0	0	5	5 MI				.	.	.	
WILDER CREEK	304.12	0	0	0	5	5 MI				.	.	.	
WILLOW CREEK (R3)	308.00	0	0	0	6	6 MI	No	Year round flow		.	.	.	
WOOD CANYON CREEK	315.10	0	0	0	4	4 MI				.	.	.	
YRIDISIS CREEK	314.20	0	0	0	4	4 MI				.	.	.	
ZACA CREEK	314.30	0	0	0	18	18 MI				.	.	.	
ZAYANTE CREEK	304.12	0	11	0	0	11 MI	Yes	Sedimentation High turbidity, increased nutrient load Fecal Coliform	Non-Point	.	.	.	



STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD 1994 WATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT

Report Date : 05/23/94

Region 3

Saline Lake

Federal Lists

<u>Water Body Name</u>	<u>Hydro Unit #</u>	<u>Water Quality Condition</u>				<u>Total Size</u>	<u>Units</u>	<u>Fact Sheet</u>	<u>Problem/Need Description</u>	<u>Problem Source</u>	<u>Federal Lists</u>		
		<u>Good</u>	<u>Inter-mediate</u>	<u>Impaired</u>	<u>Unknown</u>						<u>3</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>3</u>
SODA LAKE	311.00'	0	0	0	3334	3334	AC	No		D			
													. X .



STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD 1994 WATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT

Report Date : 05/23/94

Region 3

Wetlands

Water Body Name	Hydro Unit #	Water Quality Condition				Total Size	Units	Fact Sheet	Problem/Need Description	Problem Source	Federal Lists		
		Good	Inter-mediate	Impaired	Unknown						D		
ANTONELLIS POND	304.12	0	8	0	0	8	AC	No	elevated bacteria levels	Non-Point	3	3	3
CORCORAN LAGOON	304.13	26	0	0	0	26	AC	No	Minor exceedance of bacteria criteria	Non-Point	0	1	1
CORRALITOS LAGOON	305.10	0	0	0	37	37	AC				3	4	9
DUNE LAKES MARSH AREA	310.32	0	0	0	900	900	AC						
ESPINOSA SLOUGH	309.10	0	160	160	0	320	AC	Yes	Elevated shellfish tissue levels Elevated fish tissue levels Return Ag flows carrying pesticides.	Non-Point	X	.	X
GOLETA POINT MARSH	315.31	0	0	0	35	35	AC				.	.	.
GRAVES WETLAND	314.10	0	0	0	30	30	AC	No	Bordered by 50% urban, 50% ag. land		.	.	.
LAGUNA DEL REY	309.50	0	0	0	17	17	AC				.	.	.
LOS CANEROS WETLAND	315.31	0	0	0	25	25	AC			Unknown	.	.	.
MARINA PONDS	309.10	0	0	0	8	8	AC	No			.	.	.
MORAN LAKE	304.13	0	0	0	8	8	AC	No			.	X	.
MORO COJO SLOUGH	309.10	0	200	145	0	345	AC	Yes	Sedimentation Receives direct discharge from Ag drains Threat of ambient toxicity/ Hyper saline	Non-Point	X	.	X



STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD 1994 WATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT

Report Date : 05/23/94

Region 3

Wetlands

<u>Water Body Name</u>	<u>Hydro Unit #</u>	<u>Water Quality Condition</u>				<u>Total Size</u>	<u>Units</u>	<u>Fact Sheet</u>	<u>Problem/Need Description</u>	<u>Problem Source</u>	<u>Federal Lists</u>		
		<u>Good</u>	<u>Inter-mediate</u>	<u>Impaired</u>	<u>Unknown</u>						<u>D</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>4</u>
NEARY'S LAGOON	304.12	0	0	0	50	50 AC	No		Unknown	.	.	.	
OCEANO LAGOON	310.31	0	0	0	32	32 AC	Yes	Agricultural runoff Limited data available Excessive tule growth	Non-Point	.	.	.	
OSO FLACO LAKE	312.10	0	320	0	0	320 AC	Yes	Trace amounts of heavy metals, herbicide Threat of sedimentation	Non-Point	.	X	.	
PAJARO SLOUGH	305.10	0	0	0	120	120 AC				.	.	.	
SALINAS RIVER REFUGE LAGOON (SOUTH)	309.10	0	0	163	0	163 AC	Yes	Agricultural runoff carrying toxics	Non-Point	X	.	X	
SCHWAN LAKE	304.12	0	0	32	0	32 AC	Yes	Eutrophication Excessive plant growth Objectives violated	Non-Point	X	X	X	
SOQUEL LAGOON	304.13	0	0	2	0	2 AC	Yes	Eutrophication Objectives violated Bacteria and nutrient levels	Non-Point	X	.	X	
TEMBLADERO SLOUGH	309.10	0	75	75	0	150 AC	Yes	Elevated shellfish tissue levels Objectives violated Elevated pesticides in fish tissue	Non-Point	X	.	X	
TEQUISQUITA SLOUGH	305.40	300	0	0	0	300 AC	No			.	.	.	



STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD 1994 WATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT

Report Date : 05/23/94

Region 3

Wetlands

<u>Water Body Name</u>	<u>Hydro Unit #</u>	<u>Water Quality Condition</u>				<u>Total Size</u>	<u>Units</u>	<u>Fact Sheet</u>	<u>Problem/Need Description</u>	<u>Problem Source</u>	<u>Federal Lists</u>		
		<u>Good</u>	<u>Inter-mediate</u>	<u>Impaired</u>	<u>Unknown</u>						<u>D</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>4</u>
WASTE SLOUGH	315.32	0	0	0	1	1	MI			.	.	.	
YOUNGER'S LAGOON	304.11	0	0	0	7	7	AC	No	Limited information available	.	.	.	



STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD 1994 WATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT

Report Date : 05/23/94

Region 4

Bays and Harbors

Water Body Name	Hydro Unit #	Water Quality Condition				Total Size	Units	Fact Sheet	Problem/Need Description	Problem Source	Federal Lists		
		Good	Inter-mediate	Impaired	Unknown						D		
ALAMITOS BAY	405.12	0	285	0	0	285	AC	No	Heavy metals Marina impacts Recreational impacts	Non-Point	.	.	X
CHANNEL ISLANDS HARBOR	403.11	0	220	0	0	220	AC	No	Elevated shellfish tissue levels Pesticides	Non-Point	.	.	.
KING HARBOR	405.12	40	50	0	0	90	AC	Yes	Heavy metals Marina impacts Elevated shellfish levels	Non-Point	.	.	X
LONG BEACH HARBOR (INNER)	405.12	0	0	840	0	840	AC	Yes	Elevated shellfish tissue levels Health advisory in effect, PCB's > NAS	Non-Point	X	.	X
LOS ANGELES HARBOR (INNER)	405.12	0	0	1260	0	1260	AC	Yes	Elevated shellfish tissue levels Health advisory in effect Objectives violated	Point & Non-Point	X	.	X
MARINA DEL REY HARBOR	405.13	0	0	354	0	354	AC	Yes	Elevated shellfish tissue levels Threat of toxic bioassay results Threat of fish population decline	Non-Point	X	.	X
PORT HUENEME (HARBOR)	403.11	0	0	121	0	121	AC	Yes	Toxic pollutants Heavy metals Military impacts	Non-Point	X	.	X
SAN PEDRO BAY	405.12	0	10200	500	0	10700	AC	No	Public health concern Elevated fish tissue levels Elevated shellfish tissue levels	Point & Non-Point	.	.	X



STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD 1994 WATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT

Report Date : 05/23/94

Region 4

Bays and Harbors

<u>Water Body Name</u>	<u>Hydro Unit #</u>	<u>Water Quality Condition</u>				<u>Total Size</u>	<u>Units</u>	<u>Fact Sheet</u>	<u>Problem/Need Description</u>	<u>Problem Source</u>	<u>Federal Lists</u>		
		<u>Good</u>	<u>Inter-mediate</u>	<u>Impaired</u>	<u>Unknown</u>						<u>D</u>	<u>U</u>	<u>S</u>
VENTURA HARBOR	403.11	223	195	5	0	423	AC	No	Suspected heavy metals, DDT, chlordane Recreational impacts	Non-Point	.	.	X



STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD 1994 WATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT

Report Date : 05/23/94

Region 4

Estuaries

Water Body Name	Hydro Unit #	Water Quality Condition				Total Size	Units	Fact Sheet	Problem/Need Description	Problem Source	Federal Lists		
		Good	Inter-mediate	Impaired	Unknown						D		
BALLONA WETLANDS	405.13	0	0	150	0	150	AC	Yes	Fishery and wildlife habitat impairment Wetlands alteration Rare and endangered species impairment	Point & Non-Point	X	.	X
COLORADO LAGOON	405.12	0	0	13	0	13	AC	Yes	High coliform counts Elevated shellfish tissue levels	Non-Point	X	.	X
DOMINGUEZ CHANNEL TIDAL PRISM	405.12	0	0	8	0	8	MI	Yes	Elevated shellfish tissue levels Urban runoff Historic DDT deposition to sediment	Point & Non-Point	X	.	X
LOS ANGELES RIVER (TIDAL PRISM)	405.12	0	3	0	0	3	MI	Yes	Urban runoff	Non-Point	.	.	.
MALIBU LAGOON	404.31	0	0	29	0	29	AC	Yes	Eutrophication Threat of recreational impacts Fish kills	Point & Non-Point	X	.	X
MCGRATH LAKE ESTUARY	403.11	0	40	0	0	40	AC	No	Suspected pesticides	Non-Point	.	.	.
MUGU LAGOON	403.11	0	0	1500	0	1500	AC	Yes	Elevated fish tissue levels Elevated shellfish tissue levels Sedimentation	Non-Point	X	.	X
SAN GABRIEL RIVER (TIDAL PRISM)	405.15	0	0	3	0	3	MI	Yes	Elevated fish tissue levels Fish abnormalities Threat of toxic bioassays	Point & Non-Point	X	.	X
SANTA CLARA RIVER	403.00	0	0	0	60	60	AC	No			.	.	.



STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD 1994 WATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT

Report Date : 05/23/94

Region 4

Estuaries



<u>Water Body Name</u>	<u>Hydro Unit #</u>	<u>Water Quality Condition</u>				<u>Total Size</u>	<u>Units</u>	<u>Fact Sheet</u>	<u>Problem/Need Description</u>	<u>Problem Source</u>	<u>Federal Lists</u>		
		<u>Good</u>	<u>Inter-mediate</u>	<u>Impaired</u>	<u>Unknown</u>						<u>3</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>3</u>
ESTUARY											0	1	1
VENTURA RIVER ESTUARY	402.10	0	0	10	0	10	AC	Yes	Eutrophication Threat of public health concern Threat of rare & endang. species impair.	Non-Point			X



STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD 1994 WATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT

Report Date : 05/23/94

Region 4

Ground Water

Water Body Name	Hydro Unit #	Water Quality Condition				Total Size	Units	Fact Sheet	Problem/Need Description	Problem Source	Federal Lists		
		Good	Inter-mediate	Impaired	Unknown						D		
ACTON VALLEY	403.55	0	20	2	0	22	SQMI	Yes	Drinking water impairment Threat of drinking water impairment	Non-Point	.	.	.
ARROYO SANTA ROSA VALLEY	403.63	0	6	0	0	6	SQMI	Yes	Threat of drinking water impairment	Point & Non-Point	.	.	.
COASTAL PLAIN - L.A.	405.10	450	21	29	0	500	SQMI	Yes	Drinking water impairment Saltwater intrusion Fuel leaks/VOC pollution	Point & Non-Point	.	.	.
CONEJO VALLEY	403.64	0	4	0	0	4	SQMI	Yes	Threat of drinking water impairment	Non-Point	.	.	.
CONEJO-TIERRA REJADA VOLCANIC AREAS		0	0	0	0	0		Yes	Areal extent unknown		.	.	.
HIDDEN VALLEY	404.26	2	1	0	0	3	SQMI	Yes	Threat of drinking water impairment	Non-Point	.	.	.
HUNGRY VALLEY	403.43	3	1	0	0	4	SQMI	Yes	Threat of drinking water impairment High naturally occurring elements	Non-Point	.	.	.
LAS POSAS VALLEY	403.62	41	37	1	0	79	SQMI	Yes	Drinking water impairment Objectives violated Threat of objectives violated	Non-Point	.	.	.
LOCKWOOD VALLEY	403.44	15	5	0	0	20	SQMI	Yes	Threat of objectives violated High naturally occurring elements	Non-Point	.	.	.



STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD 1994 WATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT

Report Date : 05/23/94

Region 4

Ground Water

Water Body Name	Hydro Unit #	Water Quality Condition				Total Size	Units	Fact Sheet	Problem/Need Description	Problem Source	Federal Lists		
		Good	Inter-mediate	Impaired	Unknown						D		
LOWER SANTA CLARA RIVER VAL.(VENTURA CO)	403.11	0	146	100	0	246	SQMI	Yes	Drinking water impairment Threat of drinking water impairment Objectives violated	Point & Non-Point	3	3	3
MALIBU AREA	404.21	0	0	1	0	1	SQMI	Yes	Drinking water impairment Objectives violated	Non-Point	0	1	1
OJAI VALLEY	402.32	10	3	0	0	13	SQMI	Yes	Threat of drinking water impairment	Non-Point	3	4	9
PLEASANT VALLEY	403.12	13	34	0	0	47	SQMI	Yes	Threat of drinking water impairment Threat of objectives violated	Non-Point			
RUSSELL VALLEY	404.25	0	1	0	5	6	SQMI	Yes	Threat of drinking water impairment				
SAN FERNANDO VALLEY	405.21	90	32	50	20	192	SQMI	Yes	Drinking water impairment Designated EPA Superfund site Fuel leaks/VOC pollution	Point & Non-Point			
SAN GABRIEL VALLEY	405.41	72	40	88	0	200	SQMI	Yes	Drinking water impairment Designated EPA Superfund site Fuel leaks/VOC pollution	Point & Non-Point			
SIMI VALLEY	403.67	0	0	25	0	25	SQMI	Yes	Drinking water impairment	Non-Point			
THOUSAND OAKS AREA	403.68	0	5	0	0	5	SQMI	Yes	Threat of drinking water impairment	Non-Point			
TIERRA REJADA VALLEY	403.65	0	0	0	1	1	SQMI	Yes					
UPPER OJAI VALLEY	402.31	0	3	0	0	3	SQMI	Yes	Threat of objectives violated	Non-Point			



STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD 1994 WATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT

Report Date : 05/23/94

Region 4

Ground Water

<u>Water Body Name</u>	<u>Hydro Unit #</u>	<u>Water Quality Condition</u>				<u>Total Size</u>	<u>Units</u>	<u>Fact Sheet</u>	<u>Problem/Need Description</u>	<u>Problem Source</u>	<u>Federal Lists</u>		
		<u>Good</u>	<u>Inter-mediate</u>	<u>Impaired</u>	<u>Unknown</u>						<u>D</u>		
UPPER SANTA ANA VALLEY (LA COUNTY)	481.20	0	10	20	0	30	SQMI	Yes	Drinking water impairment	Non-Point	.	.	.
UPPER SANTA CLARA RIVER VALLEY (LA CO.)	403.51	53	37	0	0	90	SQMI	Yes	Threat of drinking water impairment Threat of objectives violated	Non-Point	.	.	.
VENTURA RIVER VALLEY	402.10	0	5	5	0	10	SQMI	Yes	Drinking water impairment Threat of drinking water impairment	Point & Non-Point	.	.	.



STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD 1994 WATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT

Report Date : 05/23/94

Region 4

Lakes and Reservoirs

Water Body Name	Hydro Unit #	Water Quality Condition				Total Size	Units	Fact Sheet	Problem/Need Description	Problem Source	Federal Lists		
		Good	Inter-mediate	Impaired	Unknown						D		
BELVEDERE PARK LAKE	405.15	0	2	0	0	2 AC	Yes	Elevated fish tissue levels Eutrophication	Non-Point	. X .	3	3	3
BIG SANTA ANITA RESERVOIR	405.33	0	0	0	17	17 AC	No			. . .	0	1	1
BOUQUET RESERVOIR	403.52	628	0	0	0	628 AC	No			. . .	3	4	9
CALABASAS LAKE	404.21	0	21	0	0	21 AC	Yes	Eutrophication Sedimentation	Non-Point	. . .	D		
CASITAS LAKE	402.20	0	2720	0	0	2720 AC	No	Turbidity violations	Non-Point	. X X			
CASTAIC LAKE	403.51	2630	0	0	0	2630 AC	Yes			. . .			
CASTAIC LAKE LAGOON	403.51	0	197	0	0	197 AC	Yes	Recreational impacts Coliform exceedences Eutrophication	Non-Point	. X X			
COGSWELL RESERVOIR	405.43	0	0	0	160	160 AC	No	Drained		. . .			
CRYSTAL LAKE (R4)	405.43	0	0	5	0	5 AC	Yes	Eutrophication Low water level Recreational impacts		. X X			
DRY CANYON RESERVOIR	403.51	0	0	0	58	58 AC	No	Intermittent - currently dry		. . .			
ECHO PARK LAKE	405.15	0	15	0	0	15 AC	Yes	Threat of elevated fish tissue levels	Non-Point	. X .			



STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD 1994 WATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT

Report Date : 05/23/94

Region 4

Lakes and Reservoirs

Water Body Name	Hydro Unit #	Water Quality Condition				Total Size	Units	Fact Sheet	Problem/Need Description	Problem Source	Federal Lists		
		Good	Inter-mediate	Impaired	Unknown						D		
EL DORADO PARK LAKE	405.15	0	22	0	0	22	AC	Yes	Threat of elevated fish tissue levels		. X .	3 3 3 0 1 1 3 4 9	
ELEANOR LAKE	404.26	0	8	0	0	8	AC	Yes	Eutrophication Urban runoff	Non-Point	. X X		
ELIZABETH LAKE	403.51	0	0	90	0	90	AC	Yes	Eutrophication Receding water level due to drought Suspected elevated coliform levels	Non-Point	. X X		
GARVEY RESERVOIR	405.41	0	0	0	1610	1610	AC		Drained for maintenance		. . .		
HARBOR PARK LAKE	405.12	0	0	50	0	50	AC	Yes	Elevated fish tissue levels Eutrophication Health advisory in affect-chlordane> FDA	Non-Point	X X X		
HOLLENBECK PARK LAKE	405.15	0	0	0	5	5	AC	Yes	Lake is drained for maintenance		. . .		
HUGHES LAKE	403.51	0	0	40	0	40	AC	Yes	Lake is drying up due to drought Public health concern	Non-Point	X X X		
LEGG LAKE	405.41	70	0	0	0	70	AC	Yes			. . .		
LINCOLN PARK LAKE	405.15	0	5	0	0	5	AC	Yes	Eutrophication		. X .		
LINDERO LAKE	404.23	0	14	0	0	14	AC	Yes	Elevated fish tissue levels	Non-Point	. . .		
LIVE OAK RESERVOIR	405.40	0	0	0	77	77	AC	No	Intermittent - currently dry		. . .		



STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD 1994 WATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT

Report Date : 05/23/94

Region 4

Lakes and Reservoirs

Water Body Name	Hydro Unit #	Water Quality Condition				Total Size	Units	Fact Sheet	Problem/Need Description	Problem Source	Federal Lists		
		Good	Inter-mediate	Impaired	Unknown						D		
MALIBU LAKE	404.24	0	55	0	0	55	AC	No	Elevated fish tissue levels Suspected eutrophication Sedimentation	Non-Point	.	X	.
MATILIJA RESERVOIR	402.20	0	124	0	0	124	AC	No	Sedimentation Eutrophication	Non-Point	.	X	.
MORRIS RESERVOIR	405.43	0	0	0	420	420	AC	Yes	Presently being drained		.	.	.
PACOIMA RESERVOIR	405.22	0	0	0	68	68	AC	No			.	.	.
PECK ROAD PARK LAKE	405.41	0	80	0	0	80	AC	Yes	Elevated fish tissue levels	Non-Point	.	.	.
PIRU LAKE	403.40	1240	0	0	0	1240	AC	No		Non-Point	.	.	.
POLLIWOG PARK POND	405.12	0	0	0	2	2	AC	Yes			.	.	.
PUDDINGSTONE RESERVOIR	405.52	0	0	490	0	490	AC	Yes	Elevated fish tissue levels Recreational impacts	Non-Point	.	X	X
PYRAMID RESERVOIR	403.43	1360	0	0	0	1360	AC	Yes			.	.	.
SAN ANTONIO RESERVOIR (R4)	481.23	0	0	0	139	139	AC	No	Intermittent - currently dry		.	.	.
SAN DIMAS RESERVOIR	405.44	0	0	0	16	16	AC	No	Intermittent - currently dry		.	.	.
SAN GABRIEL RESERVOIR	405.43	500	0	0	0	500	AC	No			.	.	.



STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD 1994 WATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT

Report Date : 05/23/94

Region 4

Lakes and Reservoirs

<u>Water Body Name</u>	<u>Hydro Unit #</u>	<u>Water Quality Condition</u>				<u>Total Size</u>	<u>Units</u>	<u>Fact Sheet</u>	<u>Problem/Need Description</u>	<u>Problem Source</u>	<u>Federal Lists</u>		
		<u>Good</u>	<u>Inter-mediate</u>	<u>Impaired</u>	<u>Unknown</u>						<u>D</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>3</u>
SAWPIT RESERVOIR	405.41	0	0	0	9	9	AC	No			.	.	.
SHERWOOD LAKE	404.26	0	184	0	0	184	AC	Yes	Suspected elevated fish tissue levels	Non-Point	.	X	X
SILVER LAKE RESERVOIR	405.15	78	0	0	0	78	AC	No			.	.	.
TUJUNGA RESERVOIR	405.23	0	0	0	84	84	AC	No			.	.	.
UPPER VAN NORMAN RESERVOIR	405.21	448	0	0	0	448	AC	No			.	.	.
WESTLAKE LAKE	404.25	0	156	0	0	156	AC	Yes	Suspected elevated fish tissue levels Suspected eutrophication	Non-Point	.	X	X



STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD 1994 WATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT

Report Date : 05/23/94

Region 4

Ocean and Open Bays

<u>Water Body Name</u>	<u>Hydro Unit #</u>	<u>Water Quality Condition</u>				<u>Total Size</u>	<u>Units</u>	<u>Fact Sheet</u>	<u>Problem/Need Description</u>	<u>Problem Source</u>	<u>Federal Lists</u>		
		<u>Good</u>	<u>Inter-mediate</u>	<u>Impaired</u>	<u>Unknown</u>						<u>D</u>		
ANACAPA ISLAND	406.10	21280	0	0	0	21280	AC	Yes	Area of special biological significance				
NEARSHORE - POINT MUGU TO LATIGO POINT		11710	0	0	0	11710	AC	Yes	Area of special biological significance				
SAN CLEMENTE ISLAND	406.50	80512	0	0	0	80512	AC	Yes	Area of special biological significance				
SAN NICOLAS ISLAND AND BEGG ROCK	406.20	102528	0	0	0	102528	AC	Yes	Area of special biological significance Threat of military impacts				
SANTA BARBARA ISLAND	406.30	14000	0	0	0	14000	AC	Yes	Area of special biological significance				
SANTA CATALINA ISLAND (AREAS 1-4)	406.40	17936	0	0	0	17936	AC	Yes	Area of special biological significance				
SANTA MONICA BAY (CO. LINE TO PT FERMIN)	405.13	0	239500	16500	0	256000	AC	Yes	Elevated fish tissue levels Sediment contamination Recreational impacts (surf zone)	Point & Non-Point	X	X	



STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD 1994 WATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT

Report Date : 05/23/94

Region 4

Rivers and Streams

Water Body Name	Hydro Unit #	Water Quality Condition				Total Size	Units	Fact Sheet	Problem/Need Description	Problem Source	Federal Lists		
		Good	Inter-mediate	Impaired	Unknown						D		
ALISO CREEK (L.A. RIVER TRIBUTARY)	405.21	0	15	0	0	15	MI	Yes	Urban runoff	Non-Point	.	.	.
ALISO CREEK (S. CLARA RIV. TRIB. VEN CO)	403.21	0	0	0	9	9	MI	Yes			.	.	.
ARROYO CONEJO	403.68	0	3	0	7	10	MI	Yes	Suspected fisheries habitat degradation	Point	.	.	.
ARROYO LAS POSAS	403.62	0	8	0	0	8	MI	Yes		Point & Non-Point	.	.	X
ARROYO SANTA ROSA	403.64	0	0	0	8	8	MI	Yes			.	.	.
ARROYO SECO	405.15	0	7	1	10	18	MI	Yes	Transient shelters in channel Urban runoff/illegal dumping in channel Public health concern	Non-Point	.	.	X
ARROYO SIMI	403.63	0	15	0	0	15	MI	Yes		Point & Non-Point	.	.	.
BALLONA CREEK	405.13	0	6	0	0	6	MI	Yes	Coliform exceedences Public health concern Urban runoff	Non-Point	.	.	.
BEARDSLEY WASH	403.61	0	0	5	0	5	MI	Yes	Agricultural wastewater Sedimentation DDT, DDE, DDD in sediment	Non-Point	X	.	X
BOUQUET CANYON CREEK	403.51	17	0	0	0	17	MI	Yes			.	.	.



STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD 1994 WATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT

Report Date : 05/23/94

Region 4

Rivers and Streams

<u>Water Body Name</u>	<u>Hydro Unit #</u>	<u>Water Quality Condition</u>				<u>Total Size</u>	<u>Units</u>	<u>Fact Sheet</u>	<u>Problem/Need Description</u>	<u>Problem Source</u>	<u>Federal Lists</u>		
		<u>Good</u>	<u>Inter-mediate</u>	<u>Impaired</u>	<u>Unknown</u>						<u>3</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>3</u>
BROWNS CREEK (R4)	405.21	0	2	0	0	2 MI	Yes	Urban runoff	Non-Point	.	.	.	
BULL CREEK (R4)	405.21	0	10	0	0	10 MI	Yes	Urban runoff	Non-Point	.	.	.	
BURBANK-WESTERN CHANNEL	405.21	0	6	0	0	6 MI	Yes	Urban runoff High ammonia	Point & Non-Point	.	.	.	
CALLEGUAS CREEK	403.11	0	0	11	0	11 MI	Yes	Elevated fish tissue levels Elevated shellfish tissue levels Objectives violated	Non-Point	X	.	X	
CANADA DE LOS ALAMOS	403.43	0	0	0	6	6 MI	Yes			.	.	.	
CANADA LARGA	402.10	0	0	0	5	5 MI	Yes	Intermittent stream		.	.	.	
CASTAIC CREEK	403.51	8	0	0	0	8 MI	Yes			.	.	.	
CATTLE CANYON CREEK	405.43	16	0	0	0	16 MI	Yes			.	.	.	
COMPTON CREEK	405.15	0	8	0	0	8 MI	Yes	Urban runoff	Non-Point	.	.	.	
CONEJO CREEK	403.12	0	10	0	0	10 MI	Yes	Chloride objective exceeded High phosphate and ammonia Threat of fish kills	Point & Non-Point	.	.	.	
COYOTE CREEK (S. GABRIEL RIV. TRIBUTARY)	405.15	0	17	0	0	17 MI	Yes	High nutrients, coliform, and TDS from the upper watershed (Region 8) Urban Runoff	Point & Non-Point	.	.	.	



STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD 1994 WATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT

Report Date : 05/23/94

Region 4

Rivers and Streams

Water Body Name	Hydro Unit #	Water Quality Condition				Total Size	Units	Fact Sheet	Problem/Need Description	Problem Source	Federal Lists
		Good	Inter-mediate	Impaired	Unknown						D
COYOTE CREEK (VEN.RIV.TRIB.)	402.20	3	0	0	0	3	MI	Yes			3 3 3 0 1 1 3 4 9
DOMINGUEZ CHANNEL	405.12	0	7	0	0	7	MI	Yes	Objectives violated Threat of toxic bioassay results	Point & Non-Point	. . X
GORMAN CREEK	403.43	0	12	0	0	12	MI	Yes	High fluoride	Non-Point	. . .
HOPPER CREEK (R4)	403.41	0	10	0	0	10	MI	Yes	Objectives violated High TDS	Non-Point	. . .
HOT SPRINGS CANYON CREEK	403.41	0	5	0	0	5	MI	Yes	Objectives violated High fluoride and boron	Non-Point	. . .
LION CREEK	402.20	9	0	0	0	9	MI	Yes			. . .
LITTLE SESPE CREEK	403.32	0	5	0	0	5	MI	Yes	Objectives violated High sulfur and TDS	Non-Point	. . .
LOCKWOOD CREEK	403.44	0	11	0	0	11	MI	Yes	Objectives violated High boron	Non-Point	. . .
LOS ANGELES RIVER (LOWER)	405.15	0	28	0	0	28	MI	Yes	Urban runoff Threat of drinking water impairment	Point & Non-Point	. . .
LOS ANGELES RIVER (UPPER)	405.21	0	18	7	0	25	MI	Yes	Urban runoff Localized high VOCs and ammonia Threat of drinking water impairment	Point & Non-Point	X . X



STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD 1994 WATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT

Report Date : 05/23/94

Region 4

Rivers and Streams

<u>Water Body Name</u>	<u>Hydro Unit #</u>	<u>Water Quality Condition</u>				<u>Total Size</u>	<u>Units</u>	<u>Fact Sheet</u>	<u>Problem/Need Description</u>	<u>Problem Source</u>	<u>Federal Lists</u>		
		<u>Good</u>	<u>Inter-mediate</u>	<u>Impaired</u>	<u>Unknown</u>						<u>D</u>		
MADEA CREEK	404.24	0	7	0	0	7 MI	Yes	Suspected sedimentation	Non-Point		3	3	3
MALIBU CREEK	404.21	0	6	3	0	9 MI	Yes	Fish population decline Spawning impairment Sedimentation	Non-Point		0	1	1
MATILIJIA CREEK	402.20	7	0	0	0	7 MI	Yes				3	4	9
MATILIJIA CREEK (NORTH FORK)	402.20	5	0	0	0	5 MI	Yes						
MATILIJIA CREEK (UPPER NORTH FORK)	402.20	0	0	0	9	9 MI	Yes						
PACOIMA WASH	405.21	0	14	0	0	14 MI	Yes	Urban runoff	Non-Point				
PIRU CREEK	403.42	55	4	0	0	59 MI	Yes	TDS, sulfate, boron objectives sometimes exceeded	Non-Point				
PIRU CREEK (SOUTH FORK)	403.44	0	3	0	0	3 MI	Yes	Naturally occurring sulfur enrichment					
POLE CANYON CREEK	403.51	0	7	0	0	7 MI	Yes	Objectives violated High sulfate and TDS					
REVOLON SLOUGH	403.11	0	0	9	0	9 MI	Yes	Elevated fish tissue levels Elevated shellfish tissue levels Threat of drinking water impairment	Non-Point	X		X	



STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD 1994 WATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT

Report Date : 05/23/94

Region 4

Rivers and Streams

Water Body Name	Hydro Unit #	Water Quality Condition				Total Size	Units	Fact Sheet	Problem/Need Description	Problem Source	Federal Lists		
		Good	Inter-mediate	Impaired	Unknown						D		
RIO HONDO	405.41	0	20	0	0	20	MI	Yes	Urban runoff Organic chemicals	Non-Point	.	.	.
SAN ANTONIO CREEK (CROSSES INTO RWQCB 8)	481.23	0	0	0	23	23	MI	Yes			.	.	.
SAN ANTONIO CREEK (VENTURA TRIBUTARY)	402.20	16	0	0	0	16	MI	Yes	Intermittent flow		.	.	.
SAN FRANCISQUITO CANYON CREEK	403.51	20	0	0	0	20	MI	Yes			.	.	.
SAN GABRIEL RIVER (EAST FORK)	405.43	15	0	0	0	15	MI	Yes	Threat of recreational impacts Unpermitted dumping of trash and debris	Non-Point	.	.	.
SAN GABRIEL RIVER (LOWER)	405.15	0	9	9	0	18	MI	Yes	Toxic bioassay results High ammonia Urban runoff	Point & Non-Point	X	.	.
SAN GABRIEL RIVER (NORTH FORK)	405.43	6	0	0	0	6	MI	Yes	Threat of recreational impacts		.	.	.
SAN GABRIEL RIVER (UPPER)	405.41	0	42	0	0	42	MI	Yes	Urban runoff Threat of drinking water impairment	Point & Non-Point	.	.	.
SAN GABRIEL RIVER (WEST FORK)	405.43	18	0	0	0	18	MI	Yes	Threat of recreational impacts Threat of fisheries habitat degradation		.	.	.



STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD 1994 WATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT

Report Date : 05/23/94

Region 4

Rivers and Streams

Water Body Name	Hydro Unit #	Water Quality Condition				Total Size	Units	Fact Sheet	Problem/Need Description	Problem Source	Federal Lists		
		Good	Inter-mediate	Impaired	Unknown						D		
SAN JOSE CREEK	405.41	0	16	0	0	16	MI	Yes	Urban runoff	Point & Non-Point	.	.	.
SANTA ANA CREEK	402.20	10	0	0	0	10	MI	No			.	.	.
SANTA CLARA RIVER	403.00	0	79	0	0	79	MI	No	Urban and agricultural runoff Localized exceedences of sulfate, chloride, and nitrate objectives	Non-Point	.	.	.
SANTA PAULA CREEK	403.20	14	0	2	0	16	MI	Yes	Natural oil seeps in the area Fisheries habitat degradation	Non-Point	.	.	.
SESPE CREEK	403.30	45	0	0	0	45	MI	Yes			.	.	.
SISAR CREEK	403.21	5	0	2	0	7	MI	Yes	Natural oil seeps in the area	Non-Point	.	.	.
TAPO CANYON CREEK	403.41	0	10	0	0	10	MI	Yes	High TDS and sulfate Agricultural runoff	Non-Point	.	.	.
TRIUNFO CANYON CREEK	404.24	0	7	0	0	7	MI	Yes	Suspected sedimentation	Non-Point	.	.	X
TUJUNGA WASH	405.21	0	10	0	0	10	MI	Yes	Urban runoff	Non-Point	.	.	.
VENTURA RIVER (LOWER)	402.10	0	0	6	0	6	MI	Yes	Low dissolved oxygen/high ammonia Fish kills/excessive plant growth Spills	Point & Non-Point	X	.	X
VENTURA RIVER (UPPER)	402.20	9	0	0	0	9	MI	Yes			.	.	.



STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD 1994 WATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT

Report Date : 05/23/94

Region 4

Rivers and Streams

<u>Water Body Name</u>	<u>Hydro Unit #</u>	<u>Water Quality Condition</u>				<u>Total</u>		<u>Fact Sheet</u>	<u>Problem/Need Description</u>	<u>Problem Source</u>	<u>Federal Lists</u>		
		<u>Good</u>	<u>Inter-mediate</u>	<u>Impaired</u>	<u>Unknown</u>	<u>Size</u>	<u>Units</u>				<u>3</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>3</u>
VERDUGO WASH	405.21	0	8	0	0	8	MI	Yes	Urban runoff	Non-Point	.	.	.
WALNUT CREEK (R4)	405.41	0	13	0	0	13	MI	Yes	Urban runoff	Non-Point	.	.	.
WHEELER CANYON CREEK	403.21	0	6	0	0	6	MI	Yes	Objectives violated		.	.	.



**REGION
4**

STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD 1994 WATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT

Report Date : 05/23/94

Region 5

Estuaries

<u>Water Body Name</u>	<u>Hydro Unit #</u>	<u>Water Quality Condition</u>				<u>Total Size</u>	<u>Units</u>	<u>Fact Sheet</u>	<u>Problem/Need Description</u>	<u>Problem Source</u>	<u>Federal Lists</u>		
		<u>Good</u>	<u>Inter-mediate</u>	<u>Impaired</u>	<u>Unknown</u>						<u>3</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>3</u>
DELTA WATERWAYS	544.00	0	0	48000	0	48000	AC	Yes	Health advisories for Hg Fisheries habitat impairment Elevated Dioxin, pesticides	Point & Non-Point	X	.	X



STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD 1994 WATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT

Report Date : 05/23/94

Region 5

Ground Water

Water Body Name	Hydro Unit #	Water Quality Condition				Total Size	Units	Fact Sheet	Problem/Need Description	Problem Source	Federal Lists		
		Good	Inter-mediate	Impaired	Unknown						D		
ALTURAS BASIN	5-2	0	0	0	0	0					3	3	3
AMERICAN VALLEY	5-10	7	0	0	0	7					0	1	1
ASH VALLEY	5-54	0	0	0	10	10					3	4	9
BEAR VALLEY (SB)	5-64	0	0	0	13	13							
BEAR VALLEY (TL)	5-81	0	0	0	3	3							
BERRYESSA VALLEY	5-20	0	0	0	4	4							
BIG VALLEY	5-4	0	120	40	0	160							
BRITE VALLEY	5-80	4	0	0	0	4							
BUCHER SWAMP VALLEY	5-42	0	0	0	3	3							
BURNEY CREEK VALLEY	5-48	0	0	0	4	4							
BURNS VALLEY	5-17	2	0	0	0	2							
BUTTE CREEK VALLEY	5-51	0	0	0	4	4							
CASTAIC LAKE VALLEY	5-29	2	0	0	0	2							
CAYTON VALLEY	5-45	0	0	0	3	3							
CEDAR GROVE AREA	5-72	0	0	0	6	6							



STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD 1994 WATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT

Report Date : 05/23/94

Region 5

Ground Water

Water Body Name	Hydro Unit #	Water Quality Condition				Total Size	Units	Fact Sheet	Problem/Need Description	Problem Source	Federal Lists		
		Good	Inter-mediate	Impaired	Unknown						D		
CHOWCHILLA B PORT	5-22	0	0	0	230	230					3	3	3
CHOWCHILLA BASIN PORT	5.22	200	0	30	0	230	SQMI	Yes	Drinking water impairment		0	1	1
CHROME TOWN AREA	5-61	0	0	0	5	5					3	4	9
CLEAR LAKE CACHE FH	5-66	0	0	0	36	36	SQMI				D		
CLEAR LAKE PV	5-67	0	0	0	34	34							
CLOVER VALLEY	5-58	0	0	0	23	23							
COLLAYOMI VALLEY	5-19	7	0	0	0	7							
COYOTE VALLEY	5-18	0	6	0	0	6							
CUDDY CANYON VALLY	5-82	0	0	0	3	3							
CUDDY RANCH AREA	5-83	0	0	0	6	6							
CUDDY VALLEY	5-84	0	0	0	4	4							
CUMMINGS VALLEY	5-27	13	0	0	0	13							
DELTA - MENDOTA BASIN PORT	5.22	65	0	300	0	365	SQMI	Yes	Drinking water impairment				
DELTA MENDOTA PORT	5-22	0	0	0	365	365							



STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD 1994 WATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT

Report Date : 05/23/94

Region 5

Ground Water

<u>Water Body Name</u>	<u>Hydro Unit #</u>	<u>Water Quality Condition</u>				<u>Total Size</u>	<u>Units</u>	<u>Fact Sheet</u>	<u>Problem/Need Description</u>	<u>Problem Source</u>	<u>Federal Lists</u>		
		<u>Good</u>	<u>Inter-mediate</u>	<u>Impaired</u>	<u>Unknown</u>						<u>D</u>		
DIXIE VALLEY	5-53	0	0	0	7	7					3	3	3
DRY BURNEY CREEK VALLEY	5-49	0	0	0	5	5					0	1	1
E SAN JOAQUIN PORT	5-22	0	0	0	1140	1140					3	4	9
EASTERN SAN JOAQUIN COUNTY BASIN PORT	5-22	1020	0	120	0	1140	SQMI	Yes	Drinking water impairment				
EGG LAKE VALLEY	5-41	0	0	0	7	7							
ELKCREEK AREA	5-62	0	0	0	6	6							
FALL RIVER VALLEY	5-5	100	20	0	0	120							
FANDANGO VALLEY	5-39	0	0	0	26	26							
GOOSE LAKE VALLEY	5-1	55	20	0	0	75							
GOOSE VALLEY	5-47	0	0	0	8	8							
GRAY VALLEY	5-52	0	0	0	10	10							
GRISSLY VALLEY	5-59	0	0	0	17	17							
HIGH VALLEY	5-16	3	0	0	0	3							
HOT SPRINGS VALLEY	5-40	0	0	0	11	11							



STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD 1994 WATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT

Report Date : 05/23/94

Region 5

Ground Water

Water Body Name	Hydro Unit #	Water Quality Condition				Total Size	Units	Fact Sheet	Problem/Need Description	Problem Source	Federal Lists
		Good	Inter-mediate	Impaired	Unknown						D
HUMBUG VALLEY	5-60	14	0	0	0	14				3 3 3 0 1 1 3 4 9 D	
INDIAN VALLEY (REG SY)	5-9	20	0	0	0	20				. . .	
INNS VALLEY	5-79	0	0	0	8	8				. . .	
JESS VALLEY	5-3	9	0	0	0	9				. . .	
KAWEAH BASIN PORT	5.22	520	0	170	0	690	SQMI	Yes	Drinking water impairment	. . .	
KELSEYVILLE VALLEY	5-15	25	5	0	0	30				. . .	
KERN CNTY B PORT	5-22	0	0	0	2770	2770				. . .	
KERN COUNTY BASIN PORT	5.22	1770	0	2000	0	3770	SQMI	Yes	Drinking water impairment Agriculture impairment Fuel leaks/VOC pollution	. . .	
KERN RIVER VALLEY	5-25	70	0	0	0	70				. . .	
KINGS BASIN PORT	5.22	380	30	1200	0	1610	SQMI	Yes	Drinking water impairment	. . .	
LAKE ALMANDOR VALLY	5-7	7	0	0	0	7				. . .	
LAKE BRITTON AREA	5-46	0	0	0	23	23				. . .	
LAST CHANCE CREEK VALLEY	5-57	0	0	0	5	5				. . .	



STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD 1994 WATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT

Report Date : 05/23/94

Region 5

Ground Water

Water Body Name	Hydro Unit #	Water Quality Condition				Total Size	Units	Fact Sheet	Problem/Need Description	Problem Source	Federal Lists		
		Good	Inter-mediate	Impaired	Unknown						3	3	3
LITTLE INDIAN VALLEY	5-65	0	0	0	3	3					0	1	1
LONG VALLEY 1	5-31	0	0	0	3	3					3	4	9
LONG VALLEY 2	5-44	0	0	0	5	5					0		
LOS BANOS CREEK VALLY	5-70	0	0	0	8	8							
LOWER LAKE VALLEY	5-30	0	4	1	0	5							
MADERA BASIN PORT	5.22	530	0	50	0	580	SQMI	Yes	Drinking water impairment				
MANACHE MEADOWS AREA	5-76	0	0	0	6	6							
MCCLOUD AREA	5-35	0	0	0	106	106							
MERCED BASIN PORT	5.22	546	0	144	0	690	SQMI	Yes	Drinking water impairment				
HILL POTERA AREA	5-85	0	0	0	5	5							
MODESTO BASIN PORT	5.22	0	0	33	307	340	SQMI	Yes	Drinking water impairment				
MODOC PLATEAU PVA (REG 5)	5-33	0	0	0	650	650							
MODOC PLATEAU RVA (REG 5)	5-32	0	0	0	375	375							



STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD 1994 WATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT

Report Date : 05/23/94

Region 5

Ground Water

<u>Water Body Name</u>	<u>Hydro Unit #</u>	<u>Water Quality Condition</u>				<u>Total Size</u>	<u>Units</u>	<u>Fact Sheet</u>	<u>Problem/Need Description</u>	<u>Problem Source</u>	<u>Federal Lists</u>		
		<u>Good</u>	<u>Inter-mediate</u>	<u>Impaired</u>	<u>Unknown</u>						<u>D</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>2</u>
MOHAWK VALLEY	5-11	6	0	2	0	8				.	.	.	
MOUNT SHASTA AREA	5-34	0	0	0	295	295				.	.	.	
MTN MEADOWS VALLEY	5-8	10	0	0	0	10				.	.	.	
N FORK BATTLE CREEK VALLEY	5-50	0	0	0	14	14				.	.	.	
PANOCHE VALLEY	5-23	50	0	0	0	50				.	.	.	
PIT RIVER & ALT, S FK	5-2.01	115	25	0	0	140				.	.	.	
PLEASANT VALLEY PORT	5-22	0	0	0	260	260				.	.	.	
PONDOSA TOWN AREA	5-38	0	0	0	15	15				.	.	.	
POPE VALLEY	5-68	0	0	0	15	15				.	.	.	
REDDING BASIN	5-6	410	100	0	0	510				.	.	.	
ROCKHOUSE MEADOW VALLEY	5-78	0	0	0	5	5				.	.	.	
ROCKY PRAIRE VALLEY	5-43	0	0	0	5	5				.	.	.	
ROUND VALLEY (REG 5)	5-36	15	0	0	0	15				.	.	.	



STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD 1994 WATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT

Report Date : 05/23/94

Region 5

Ground Water

<u>Water Body Name</u>	<u>Hydro Unit #</u>	<u>Water Quality Condition</u>				<u>Total Size</u>	<u>Units</u>	<u>Fact Sheet</u>	<u>Problem/Need Description</u>	<u>Problem Source</u>	<u>Federal Lists</u>		
		<u>Good</u>	<u>Inter-mediate</u>	<u>Impaired</u>	<u>Unknown</u>						<u>D</u>		
SACATOR CANYON VALLEY	5-77	0	0	0	6	6					3	3	3
SACRAMENTO COUNTY BASIN PORT	5-21	723	0	27	0	750	SQMI	Yes	Drinking water impairment		0	1	1
SACRAMENTO VALLEY 1	5-55	0	0	0	810	810					3	4	9
SACRAMENTO VALLEY 2	5-21	4400	500	100	0	5000		Yes	Drinking water impairment Objectives violated				
SACRAMENTO VALLEY BASIN	5-21	4920	0	80	0	5000	SQMI	Yes	Drinking water impairment				
SAN JOAQUIN VALLEY	5-22	7900	500	100	0	8500		Yes	Drinking water impairment Objectives violated				
SCOTT VALLEY	5-14	4	0	0	0	4							
SIERRA VALLEY	5-12	100	40	0	0	140							
SPRINGVILLE AREA	5-74	0	0	0	188	188							
SQUAW VALLEY (FRESNO COUNTY)	5-24	8	0	0	0	8							
STONYFORD TOWN AREA	5-63	0	0	0	7	7							
TEHACHAPI VALLEY,	5-28	37	0	0	0	37							



STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD 1994 WATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT

Report Date : 05/23/94

Region 5

Ground Water

<u>Water Body Name</u>	<u>Hydro Unit #</u>	<u>Water Quality Condition</u>				<u>Total Size</u>	<u>Units</u>	<u>Fact Sheet</u>	<u>Problem/Need Description</u>	<u>Problem Source</u>	<u>Federal Lists</u>		
		<u>Good</u>	<u>Inter-mediate</u>	<u>Impaired</u>	<u>Unknown</u>						<u>D</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>4</u>
WEST													
TEMPLETON MTN AREA	5-75	0	0	0	138	138							
THREE RIVERS AREA	5-73	0	0	0	5	5							
TOAD WELL AREA	5-37	0	0	0	7	7							
TRACY BASIN PORT	5.22	0	0	10	560	570	SQMI	Yes	Drinking water impairment				
TULARE LAKE BASIN PORT	5.22	610	0	170	0	780	SQMI	Yes	Drinking water impairment				
TULARE LAKE PORT	5-22	0	0	0	910	910		Yes					
TULE BASIN PORT	5.22	640	0	90	0	730	SQMI	Yes	Drinking water impairment				
TURLOCK BASIN PORT	5-22	465	0	80	0	545	SQMI	Yes	Drinking water impairment				
UPPER LAKE VALLEY	5-13	10	5	0	0	15							
VALLECITOS CREEK VALLEY	5-71	0	0	0	20	20							
WALKER BSN CREEK VALLEY	5-26	16	0	0	0	16							
WARM SPRINGS VALLEY	5-2.02	85	15	0	0	100							
WESTSIDE BASIN PORT	5.22	200	0	840	0	1040	SQMI	Yes					



STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD 1994 WATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT

Report Date : 05/23/94

Region 5

Ground Water

<u>Water Body Name</u>	<u>Hydro Unit #</u>	<u>Water Quality Condition</u>				<u>Total Size</u>	<u>Units</u>	<u>Fact Sheet</u>	<u>Problem/Need Description</u>	<u>Problem Source</u>	<u>Federal Lists</u>		
		<u>Good</u>	<u>Inter-mediate</u>	<u>Impaired</u>	<u>Unknown</u>						<u>D</u>		
YELLOW CREEK VALLY	5-56	0	0	0	5	5					3	3	3
YOSEMITE VALLEY	5-69	0	0	0	10	10					0	1	1
											3	4	9



STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD 1994 WATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT

Report Date : 05/23/94

Region 5

Lakes and Reservoirs

<u>Water Body Name</u>	<u>Hydro Unit #</u>	<u>Water Quality Condition</u>				<u>Total Size</u>	<u>Units</u>	<u>Fact Sheet</u>	<u>Problem/Need Description</u>	<u>Problem Source</u>	<u>Federal Lists</u>		
		<u>Good</u>	<u>Inter-mediate</u>	<u>Impaired</u>	<u>Unknown</u>						<u>D</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>4</u>
ALMANOR LAKE	518.41	0	28070	0	0	28070				.	X	.	
ALOHA LAKE	514.35	0	0	0	630	630				.	.	.	
AMADOR LAKE	532.40	0	385	0	0	385				.	X	.	
ANGORA LAKES (SN)	517.34	0	0	0	18	18				.	.	.	
ANTIOCH MUNICIPAL LAKE	543.00	0	55	0	0	55				.	X	.	
AZURE LAKE	534.10	0	0	0	32	32				.	.	.	
BARE ISLAND LAKE	540.40	0	0	0	2	2				.	.	.	
BASS LAKE (MADERA CO)	540.22	0	1165	0	0	1165				.	X	.	
BAYLEY LAKE	526.52	328	0	0	0	328				.	.	.	
BEACH LAKE	510.00	0	0	295	0	295	AC	Yes	Elevated fish tissue levels Threat of fish population decline Threat of objectives violated	Non-Point	X	X	X
BERRYESSA LAKE	512.21	0	0	20700	0	20700	AC	Yes	Elevated fish tissue levels Recreational impacts Threat to wildlife	Non-Point	X	X	X
BETHANY RES	543.00	0	140	0	0	140					.	X	.



STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD 1994 WATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT

Report Date : 05/23/94

Region 5

Lakes and Reservoirs

<u>Water Body Name</u>	<u>Hydro Unit #</u>	<u>Water Quality Condition</u>				<u>Total Size</u>	<u>Units</u>	<u>Fact Sheet</u>	<u>Problem/Need Description</u>	<u>Problem Source</u>	<u>Federal Lists</u>		
		<u>Good</u>	<u>Inter-mediate</u>	<u>Impaired</u>	<u>Unknown</u>						<u>D</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>4</u>
BIG BEAR LAKE (REG 5)	540.40	0	0	0	11	11				.	X	.	
BIG FIVE LAKES	554.20	0	0	0	7	7				.	.	.	
BIG LAKE	526.41	0	973	0	0	973				.	X	.	
BIG SAGE RES	526.54	5267	0	0	0	5267				.	X	.	
BINGAMAN LAKE	536.60	0	0	0	12	12				.	.	.	
BLACK BUTTE RES	522.12	0	4560	0	0	4560	AC		Unknown	.	X	.	
BLACK LAKE	518.44	0	0	0	10	10				.	.	.	
BLAIR RES (NEV CO)	517.41	0	0	0	4	4				.	X	.	
BLUE LAKE	526.53	0	163	0	0	163				.	.	.	
BLUE LAKE, LOWER	513.51	0	75	0	0	75				.	.	.	
BOHN LAKE, LOWER	512.30	0	50	0	0	50				.	.	.	
BOWMAN LAKE	517.33	0	0	0	825	825				.	.	.	
BOX LAKE	526.33	0	0	0	20	20				.	.	.	
BRITTON LAKE	526.31	0	1293	0	0	1293				.	X	.	



STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD 1994 WATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT

Report Date : 05/23/94

Region 5

Lakes and Reservoirs

Water Body Name	Hydro Unit #	Water Quality Condition				Total Size	Units	Fact Sheet	Problem/Need Description	Problem Source	Federal Lists
		Good	Inter-mediate	Impaired	Unknown						D
BUCKS LAKE	518.42	0	1852	0	0	1852				3 3 3 0 1 1 3 4 9	
BULLARDS BAR RES	517.51	0	4810	0	0	4810				. X .	
CAMANCHE RES	531.20	0	7700	0	0	7700	AC	Yes	Fish kills Fisheries habitat degradation	Non-Point	. X .
CAMP FAR WEST RES	516.31	0	2680	0	0	2680				. X .	
CASCADE LAKES	517.34	0	0	0	85	85				. . .	
CHERRY LAKE	536.50	1765	0	0	0	1765				. . .	
CHIEF LAKES	540.60	0	0	0	220	220				. . .	
CHIKUITO LAKE	540.40	0	12	0	0	12				. . .	
CLEAR LAKE	513.52	0	0	43000	0	43000	AC	Yes	Elevated fish tissue levels Eutrophication Recreational and fish impacts	Non-Point	X X X
COMBIE LAKE	516.33	0	360	0	0	360				. . .	
CONCOW RES	518.60	0	280	0	0	280				. X .	
CONTRA LOMA LAKE	543.00	0	81	0	0	81				. X .	
COURTRIGHT LAKE	552.33	0	0	0	1440	1440				. . .	



STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD 1994 WATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT

Report Date : 05/23/94

Region 5

Lakes and Reservoirs

<u>Water Body Name</u>	<u>Hydro Unit #</u>	<u>Water Quality Condition</u>				<u>Total Size</u>	<u>Units</u>	<u>Fact Sheet</u>	<u>Problem/Need Description</u>	<u>Problem Source</u>	<u>Federal Lists</u>		
		<u>Good</u>	<u>Inter-mediate</u>	<u>Impaired</u>	<u>Unknown</u>						<u>3</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>9</u>
COW MEADOW LAKE	536.51	0	0	0	17	17				.	.	.	
CRESTA LAKE	518.42	95	0	0	0	95				.	X	.	
CRYSTAL LAKE (R5)	553.41	0	0	0	12	12				.	.	.	
DALLAS-WARNER LAKE	542.30	0	0	0	3800	3800				.	X	.	
DAVIS CREEK RES	513.32	0	0	290	0	290	AC	Yes	Elevated fish tissue levels	Non-Point	X	X	X
DAVIS LAKE	518.34	0	0	0	4026	4026			Limited information		.	X	.
DEER CREEK LAKE	517.20	0	56	0	0	56					.	X	.
DEERHART LAKE	518.45	0	0	0	7	7					.	.	.
DON PEDRO RES	536.32	0	12960	0	0	12960	AC		Threat of elevated fish tissue levels	Non-Point	.	X	.
DONNELL LAKE	534.41	0	0	0	430	430					.	.	.
DOROTHY LAKE (REG 5)	536.60	0	0	0	481	481					.	.	.
DORRIS RES	526.52	1060	0	0	0	1060					.	.	.
DUCK LAKE	534.50	0	0	0	10	10					.	.	.
EAST LAKE (TULARE CO)	522.33	0	0	0	25	25					.	X	.



STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD 1994 WATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT

Report Date : 05/23/94

Region 5

Lakes and Reservoirs

<u>Water Body Name</u>	<u>Hydro Unit #</u>	<u>Water Quality Condition</u>				<u>Total Size</u>	<u>Units</u>	<u>Fact Sheet</u>	<u>Problem/Need Description</u>	<u>Problem Source</u>	<u>Federal Lists</u>		
		<u>Good</u>	<u>Inter-mediate</u>	<u>Impaired</u>	<u>Unknown</u>						<u>D</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>4</u>
EAST PARK RES	522.33	0	1818	0	0	1818	AC	Yes	Threat of elevated fish tissue levels Limited sampling Mercury exceeds MIS criteria in edible	Non-Point	.	X	.
EDISON LAKE	540.40	0	0	0	1880	1880					.	.	.
EGG LAKE	526.42	594	0	0	0	594					.	.	.
ELEANOR LAKE (REG 5)	536.53	0	0	0	948	948					.	.	.
ELLIS LAKE	515.40	4	0	0	0	4					.	X	.
EMERALD LAKE	523.22	0	0	0	3	3					.	.	.
EMIGRANT LAKE	514.36	0	0	0	16	16					.	.	.
ENGLEBRIGHT LAKE	517.14	0	815	0	0	815					.	X	.
EVERGREEN LAKE	536.20	0	0	0	5	5					.	.	.
FEATHER LAKE	526.35	0	0	0	8	8					.	.	.
FLORENCE LAKE	540.40	0	0	0	962	962					.	.	.
FOLSOM RES	514.23	0	11450	0	0	11450					.	X	.
FORDYCE LAKE	517.34	730	0	0	0	730					.	.	.
FORNI LAKE	514.44	0	0	0	6	6					.	.	.



STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD 1994 WATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT

Report Date : 05/23/94

Region 5

Lakes and Reservoirs

<u>Water Body Name</u>	<u>Hydro Unit #</u>	<u>Water Quality Condition</u>				<u>Total Size</u>	<u>Units</u>	<u>Fact Sheet</u>	<u>Problem/Need Description</u>	<u>Problem Source</u>	<u>Federal Lists</u>		
		<u>Good</u>	<u>Inter-mediate</u>	<u>Impaired</u>	<u>Unknown</u>						<u>D</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>4</u>
FRANCIS LAKE	517.14	0	105	0	0	105							
FRENCH LAKE	517.33	0	0	0	337	337							
FRENCH MEADOWS LAKE	514.42	1418	0	0	0	1418					X		
FRENCHMAN LAKE	518.36	1470	0	0	0	1470							
FROG LAKE	532.60	0	0	0	6	6							
GLEN LAKE	518.44	0	0	0	2	2							
GOLD LAKE	518.42	0	0	0	14	14							
GOOSE LAKE (REG 5X)	518.33	0	0	0	30	30							
GOOSE LAKE (REG 5Y)	527.20	0	124160	0	0	124160							
GRANITE LAKE (REG 5)	536.20	0	0	0	7	7							
GROUSE LAKE	514.33	0	0	0	6	6							
HEATHER LAKE	553.41	0	0	0	10	10							
HELL HOLE LAKE	514.45	1250	0	0	0	1250							
HENDERSON LAKE	532.40	0	31	0	0	31							
HETCH HETCHY RES	536.60	1960	0	0	0	1960							



STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD 1994 WATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT

Report Date : 05/23/94

Region 5

Lakes and Reservoirs

<u>Water Body Name</u>	<u>Hydro Unit #</u>	<u>Water Quality Condition</u>				<u>Total Size</u>	<u>Units</u>	<u>Fact Sheet</u>	<u>Problem/Need Description</u>	<u>Problem Source</u>	<u>Federal Lists</u>		
		<u>Good</u>	<u>Inter-mediate</u>	<u>Impaired</u>	<u>Unknown</u>						<u>D</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>4</u>
HIDDEN LAKES	518.44	0	0	0	1	1				.	.	.	
HIGHLAND CREEK	513.54	146	0	0	0	146				.	.	.	
HOCKETT LAKES (C)	553.42	0	0	0	7	7				.	.	.	
HORSESHOE LAKE (M)(1)	552.34	0	0	0	12	12				.	.	.	
HUME LAKE (EAST SIDE)	552.34	0	85	0	0	85				.	X	.	
HUNTINGTON LAKE	540.52	0	0	0	1435	1435				.	.	.	
ICE HOUSE LAKE	514.33	678	0	0	0	678				.	.	.	
ICEBURG LAKE	540.60	0	0	0	35	35				.	.	.	
INDIAN VALLEY (REG 5X)	513.40	4000	0	0	0	4000				.	X	.	
IRON CANYON	526.15	510	0	0	0	510				.	.	.	
IRON CANYON RES	526.15	0	0	0	432	432				.	.	.	
ISABELLA LAKE	554.21	0	11400	0	0	11400	AC	Sedimentation	Non-Point	.	X	.	
JACKS LAKE	526.44	64	0	0	0	64				.	X	.	



STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD 1994 WATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT

Report Date : 05/23/94

Region 5

Lakes and Reservoirs

Water Body Name	Hydro Unit #	Water Quality Condition				Total Size	Units	Fact Sheet	Problem/Need Description	Problem Source	Federal Lists
		Good	Inter-mediate	Impaired	Unknown						D
JACKSON MEADOWS	517.43	1030	0	0	0	1030				. X .	
JEFF DAVIS LAKE	532.60	0	0	0	62	62				. . .	
JENKINSON LAKE	532.25	0	0	0	640	640				. . .	
KAWEAH LAKE	553.44	0	1940	0	0	1940	AC	Elevated fish tissue levels Sedimentation Threat of recreational impacts	Non-Point	. X .	
KERCKHOFF LAKE	540.11	0	160	0	0	160				. . .	
KESWICK RES	524.40	0	450	200	0	650	AC	Yes Fish population decline Recreational impacts Objectives violated	Non-Point	X . X	
LEOPOLD LAKE	536.51	0	0	0	8	8				. . .	
LITTLE BEAR LAKE	526.35	0	0	0	5	5				. . .	
LITTLE GRASS VALLEY LAKE	518.24	0	1423	0	0	1423				. X .	
LITTLE LAKE	552.33	0	0	0	12	12				. . .	
LITTLE SPANISH LAKE	552.33	0	0	0	5	5				. . .	
LOIS LAKE	514.45	0	0	0	25	25				. . .	



STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD 1994 WATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT

Report Date : 05/23/94

Region 5

Lakes and Reservoirs

<u>Water Body Name</u>	<u>Hydro Unit #</u>	<u>Water Quality Condition</u>				<u>Total Size</u>	<u>Units</u>	<u>Fact Sheet</u>	<u>Problem/Need Description</u>	<u>Problem Source</u>	<u>Federal Lists</u>		
		<u>Good</u>	<u>Inter-mediate</u>	<u>Impaired</u>	<u>Unknown</u>						<u>D</u>		
LONG LAKE (2) (PLACER)	514.55	0	0	0	10	10						3 3 3 0 1 1 3 4 9	
LONG LAKE (3) (PLUMAS)	518.33	0	0	0	141	141							
LOS BANOS RES	535.50	470	0	0	0	470							
LOST CREEK LAKE	518.23	118	0	0	0	118							
LOST LAKE (1) (TUOLUMNE)	534.41	0	0	0	8	8							
LOST LAKE (FRESNO)	540.22	0	0	0	30	30						. X .	
LOWER BEAR RES	532.60	746	0	0	0	746							
LOWER ROCK LAKE	517.33	0	0	0	7	7							
MACUMBER LAKE	507.12	0	85	0	0	85							
MAGEE LAKE	526.34	0	0	0	5	5							
MAMMOTH POOL	540.40	1100	0	0	0	1100							
MANZANITA LAKE	540.34	26	0	0	0	26							
MARSH CREEK RES	543.00	0	0	375	0	375	AC	Yes	Elevated fish tissue levels	Non-Point		X X X	



STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD 1994 WATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT

Report Date : 05/23/94

Region 5

Lakes and Reservoirs

<u>Water Body Name</u>	<u>Hydro Unit #</u>	<u>Water Quality Condition</u>				<u>Total Size</u>	<u>Units</u>	<u>Fact Sheet</u>	<u>Problem/Need Description</u>	<u>Problem Source</u>	<u>Federal Lists</u>		
		<u>Good</u>	<u>Inter-mediate</u>	<u>Impaired</u>	<u>Unknown</u>						<u>D</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>4</u>
MARY LAKE (REG 5)	536.60	0	0	0	74	74				.	.	.	
MCCLLOUD LAKE	505.23	0	520	0	0	520				.	.	.	
MCCLURE RES	537.22	0	7110	0	0	7110	AC	Threat of elevated fish tissue levels	Non-Point	.	.	.	
MCKINSTRY LAKE	517.34	0	0	0	10	10				.	.	.	
MCSWAIN LAKE	537.10	312	0	0	0	312				.	.	.	
MELONES RES	534.22	1843	0	0	0	1843				.	.	.	
MENDOTA POOL	535.71	0	0	0	500	500				.	X	.	
MERLE COLLINS LAKE	517.13	975	0	0	0	975				.	.	.	
MERRIAM LAKE	540.40	0	0	0	20	20				.	.	.	
MILLERTON LAKE	540.23	4900	0	0	0	4900				.	X	.	
MINERS RANCH LAKE	515.40	0	52	0	0	52				.	.	.	
MIRROR LAKE	540.51	0	0	0	6	6				.	.	.	
MOSQUITO LAKE, LOWER	532.60	0	4	0	0	4				.	.	.	
MOUNTAIN MEADOW LAKE	518.45	5746	0	0	0	5746				.	.	.	
MUD LAKES (E)	552.33	0	0	0	4	4				.	.	.	



STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD 1994 WATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT

Report Date : 05/23/94

Region 5

Lakes and Reservoirs

Water Body Name	Hydro Unit #	Water Quality Condition				Total Size	Units	Fact Sheet	Problem/Need Description	Problem Source	Federal Lists		
		Good	Inter-mediate	Impaired	Unknown						0	3	4
NATOMA LAKE	514.21	540	0	0	0	540					3	3	3
NEIL LAKE	540.18	0	0	0	2	2					0	1	1
NELLIE LAKE	540.51	0	0	0	12	12					3	4	9
NEW HOGAN LAKE	533.10	0	0	0	4410	4410					0		
NOBEL LAKE	531.10	0	0	0	5	5							
NYDIVER LAKES (M)	540.60	0	0	0	8	8							
O'NEILL FOREBAY	541.20	0	2250	0	0	2250						X	
OROVILLE LAKE	518.12	0	15808	0	0	15808						X	
PARADISE RES	521.30	0	165	0	0	165						X	
PARDEE RES	532.60	0	2138	0	0	2138	AC	Yes	Threat of elevated fish tissue levels Limited sampling	Non-Point		X	
PETER PANDE	540.60	80	0	0	0	80							
PHOENIX LAKE (REG 5)	517.34	0	0	0	16	16						X	
PINE FLAT RES	552.32	0	5970	0	0	5970	AC		Threat of recreational impacts	Non-Point		X	
RAINBOW LAKE	524.35	0	113	0	0	113							



STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD 1994 WATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT

Report Date : 05/23/94

Region 5

Lakes and Reservoirs

Water Body Name	Hydro Unit #	Water Quality Condition				Total Size	Units	Fact Sheet	Problem/Need Description	Problem Source	Federal Lists		
		Good	Inter-mediate	Impaired	Unknown						D		
RELIEF RES	534.41	0	288	0	0	288					3	3	3
ROBERTS RES	526.61	0	640	0	0	640					0	1	1
ROCK CREEK LAKE (REG 5X)	514.51	0	55	0	0	55					3	4	9
ROCK CREEK LAKE (REG 5Y)	518.42	0	80	0	0	80							
ROCKBOUND LAKE	514.45	0	0	0	108	108							
ROLLINS RES	516.34	0	825	0	0	825		Threat of elevated fish tissue levels Limited sampling	Non-Point			X	
ROOSEVELT LAKE	536.60	0	0	0	60	60							
ROPI LAKE	514.35	0	0	0	20	20							
S P LAKES (SE)	514.54	0	0	0	15	15							
SADDLE LAKE	518.42	0	0	0	9	9							
SALT SPRINGS LAKE	532.60	0	0	0	963	963							
SAN LUIS RES	542.32	12700	0	0	0	12700						X	
SAN LUIS WASTEWAY	535.10	0	50	0	0	50							



STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD 1994 WATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT

Report Date : 05/23/94

Region 5

Lakes and Reservoirs

<u>Water Body Name</u>	<u>Hydro Unit #</u>	<u>Water Quality Condition</u>				<u>Total Size</u>	<u>Units</u>	<u>Fact Sheet</u>	<u>Problem/Need Description</u>	<u>Problem Source</u>	<u>Federal Lists</u>		
		<u>Good</u>	<u>Inter-mediate</u>	<u>Impaired</u>	<u>Unknown</u>						<u>D</u>	<u>D</u>	<u>D</u>
SARDINE LAKE	517.54	0	0	0	62	62				.	.	.	
SAUCER LAKE	518.43	0	0	0	7	7				.	.	.	
SCOTTS FLAT RES	517.20	0	720	0	0	720				.	X	.	
SEQUOIA LAKE	552.34	0	95	0	0	95		Threat of recreational impacts Threat of eutrophication	Non-Point	.	.	.	
SHADOW LAKE	526.35	0	0	0	12	12				.	.	.	
SHASTA LAKE	506.1	0	29480	20	0	29500	AC	Yes	Fish kills	Non-Point	X	X	X
SHAVER LAKE	540.25	0	0	0	2177	2177				.	X	.	
SHRINER LAKE	532.60	0	0	0	3	3				.	.	.	
SISKIYOU LAKE	525.22	430	0	0	0	430				.	X	.	
SLY CREEK RES	518.23	0	562	0	0	562				.	X	.	
SMITH LAKE	518.34	0	0	0	80	80				.	.	.	
SNAG LAKE (BUTTE CO)	518.60	0	98	0	0	98				.	X	.	
SNAG LAKE (SIERRA CO)	518.33	0	20	0	0	20				.	X	.	
SNAKE LAKE	518.52	0	100	0	0	100				.	X	.	



Region 5

Lakes and Reservoirs

<u>Water Body Name</u>	<u>Hydro Unit #</u>	<u>Water Quality Condition</u>				<u>Total Size</u>	<u>Units</u>	<u>Fact Sheet</u>	<u>Problem/Need Description</u>	<u>Problem Source</u>	<u>Federal Lists</u>		
		<u>Good</u>	<u>Inter-mediate</u>	<u>Impaired</u>	<u>Unknown</u>						<u>D</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>4</u>
SOLANO LAKE	511.20	0	125	0	0	125				.	X	.	
SPAULDING LAKE	517.34	674	0	0	0	674				.	.	.	
SPIDER LAKE	514.44	0	0	0	42	42				.	.	.	
STAR LAKE	518.44	0	0	0	90	90				.	.	.	
STAR LAKES (N) (LOWER)	537.40	0	0	0	2	2				.	.	.	
STERLING LAKE	517.34	0	0	0	112	112				.	.	.	
STONY GORGE RES	522.22	0	1274	0	0	1274				.	X	.	
STRAWBERRY LAKE	540.51	0	0	0	6	6				.	.	.	
SUCCESS LAKE	555.12	0	2400	0	0	2400		Sedimentation Threat of recreational impacts	Non-Point	.	X	.	
SUMMIT LAKE (1) (ALPINE)	536.20	0	0	0	7	7				.	.	.	
SUMMIT LAKE (2) (FRESNO)	534.50	0	0	0	13	13				.	.	.	
SWAMP LAKES (W)	552.34	0	0	0	12	12				.	.	.	
SWAN LAKE	526.35	0	0	0	11	11				.	.	.	



Region 5

Lakes and Reservoirs

<u>Water Body Name</u>	<u>Hydro Unit #</u>	<u>Water Quality Condition</u>				<u>Total Size</u>	<u>Units</u>	<u>Fact Sheet</u>	<u>Problem/Need Description</u>	<u>Problem Source</u>	<u>Federal Lists</u>		
		<u>Good</u>	<u>Inter-mediate</u>	<u>Impaired</u>	<u>Unknown</u>						<u>D</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>4</u>
THERMALITO AFTERBAY	515.40	0	4550	0	0	4550					.	X	.
THERMALITO FOREBAY	515.40	0	330	0	0	330					.	.	.
THOMPSON LAKE	518.42	0	0	0	5	5					.	.	.
THREE LAKES (NW)	518.42	0	0	0	16	16					.	.	.
THURSTON LAKE	513.51	0	500	0	0	500					.	X	.
TULARE LAKE	558.30	0	0	0	60000	60000					.	X	.
TULE LAKE	526.43	0	320	0	0	320					.	.	.
TULLOCH LAKE	534.22	1260	0	0	0	1260					.	X	.
TWIN LAKES (N)	540.40	0	0	0	10	10					.	.	.
TWIN LAKES (S)	540.40	0	0	0	10	10					.	.	.
UNION RESERVOIR	534.50	0	0	0	147	147					.	.	.
UNION VALLEY	514.34	2860	0	0	0	2860					.	.	.
VCE LAKE	540.40	0	0	0	50	50					.	.	.
VERNON LAKE	536.60	0	0	0	45	45					.	.	.
VOGELSANG LAKE	537.60	0	0	0	15	15					.	.	.



STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD 1994 WATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT

Report Date : 05/23/94

Region 5

Lakes and Reservoirs

<u>Water Body Name</u>	<u>Hydro Unit #</u>	<u>Water Quality Condition</u>				<u>Total Size</u>	<u>Units</u>	<u>Fact Sheet</u>	<u>Problem/Need Description</u>	<u>Problem Source</u>	<u>Federal Lists</u>			
		<u>Good</u>	<u>Inter-</u>		<u>Unknown</u>						<u>D</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>9</u>
			<u>mediate</u>	<u>Impaired</u>										
WACA LAKE	514.35	0	0	0	5	5				.	.	.		
WAHOO LAKES (NW)	540.40	0	0	0	10	10				.	.	.		
WALTON LAKES	540.40	0	0	0	2	2				.	.	.		
WEST VALLEY LAKE	526.55	0	0	0	0	0				.	X	.		
WISHON LAKE	552.33	0	0	0	1025	1025				.	.	.		
WISKEYTOWN RES	524.61	0	3151	100	0	3251	AC	Yes	Recreational impacts Coliform bacteria	Non-Point	X	.	X	
WRIGHT LAKES (NW)	554.24	0	0	0	5	5					.	.	.	
WRIGHTS LAKE	514.33	65	0	0	0	65					.	X	.	
YUBA RESERVOIR	516.32	0	0	0	8	8					.	.	.	



STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD 1994 WATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT

Report Date : 05/23/94

Region 5

Rivers and Streams

Water Body Name	Hydro Unit #	Water Quality Condition				Total Size	Units	Fact Sheet	Problem/Need Description	Problem Source	Federal Lists		
		Good	Inter-	Impaired	Unknown						3	3	3
AMERICAN RIVER, LOWER	519.21	7	0	23	0	30	MI	Yes	Toxic bioassay results Threat of elevated fish tissue levels Elevated mercury levels	Non-Point	0	1	1
AMERICAN RIVER, MIDDLE FORK	514.41	80	0	0	0	80	MI				3	4	9
AMERICAN RIVER, NORTH FORK	514.51	100	0	0	0	100	MI				0		
AMERICAN RIVER, SOUTH FORK	514.31	95	0	0	0	95	MI						
ANTELOPE CREEK (SACRAMENTO)	509.63	0	0	0	10	10							
ARCADE CREEK	519.21	0	0	0	1	1							
ATKINS CREEK	508.10	0	0	0	2	2	MI						
BAILEY CREEK	507.10	0	0	0	8	8	MI						
BATTLE CREEK	507.10	0	66	0	0	66			Inadequate flows, high temperature Fisheries habitat degradation	Non-Point			
BEAR CREEK (R5)	507.22	0	6	0	0	6	MI		Threat to fisheries habitat Inadequate flows	Non-Point			
BEAR CREEK (SAN)	531.20	0	0	0	66	66							



STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD 1994 WATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT

Report Date : 05/23/94

Region 5

Rivers and Streams

Water Body Name	Hydro Unit #	Water Quality Condition				Total Size	Units	Fact Sheet	Problem/Need Description	Problem Source	Federal Lists
		Good	Inter-mediate	Impaired	Unknown						D
JOAQN)											3 3 3 0 1 1 3 4 9
BEAR RIVER (FEATHER)	515.10	0	77	0	0	77		Inadequate flows, high temperature Fisheries habitat degradation	Non-Point	. . .	
BEAR RIVER (MOKELUMNE)	532.60	0	0	0	20	20				. . .	
BIG CHICO CREEK	509.10	64	0	0	0	64				. . .	
BURNEY CREEK	526.32	0	15	0	0	15 MI		Threat to fisheries habitat Inadequate flows	Non-Point	. . .	
BUTTE CREEK	520.00	0	87	0	0	87		Inadequate flows, no fish screens Fisheries habitat degradation	Non-Point	. . .	
CACHE CREEK	511.30	0	124	0	0	124 MI	Yes	Threat of elevated fish tissue levels Threat to fisheries habitat Inadequate flows	Non-Point	. . .	
CALAVERAS RIVER	533.00	0	80	0	0	80		Fisheries habitat degradation Inadequate flows	Non-Point	. . .	
CANTUA CREEK	551.10	0	10	0	0	10	Yes	Threat to aquatic life Agricultural wastewater	Non-Point	. . .	
CHERRY CREEK	536.51	0	1	0	0	1 MI		Threat to fisheries habitat Inadequate flows	Non-Point	. . .	



STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD 1994 WATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT

Report Date : 05/23/94

Region 5

Rivers and Streams

<u>Water Body Name</u>	<u>Hydro Unit #</u>	<u>Water Quality Condition</u>				<u>Total Size</u>	<u>Units</u>	<u>Fact Sheet</u>	<u>Problem/Need Description</u>	<u>Problem Source</u>	<u>Federal Lists</u>		
		<u>Good</u>	<u>Inter-mediate</u>	<u>Impaired</u>	<u>Unknown</u>						<u>D</u>	<u>D</u>	<u>D</u>
CHICO CREEK	509.10	0	1	0	0	1 MI		Threat to fisheries habitat Inadequate flows	Non-Point	.	.	.	
CHOWCHILLA RIVER	539.10	64	1	0	0	65		Threat to aquatic habitat Inadequate flows Loss of fish and wildlife habitat	Non-Point	.	.	.	
CHURN CREEK	508.10	0	19	0	0	19 MI	Yes	Sedimentation		.	.	X	
CLAVEY RIVER	536.40	35	0	0	0	35				.	.	.	
CLEAR CREEK (R5)	524.60	42	15	0	0	57		Threat to aquatic habitat Inadequate flows Gravel mining	Non-Point	.	.	.	
COLUSA DRAIN	520.21	0	0	70	0	70 MI	Yes	Elevated fish tissue levels Toxic bioassay results Toxic bioassay results	Non-Point	X	.	X	
COSUMNES RIVER	531.00	0	0	0	80	80				.	.	.	
COTTONWOOD CREEK	508.20	0	143	0	0	143		Fisheries habitat degradation Gravel mining Agricultural wastewater	Non-Point	.	.	.	
COW CREEK	508.10	21	28	0	0	49		Fisheries habitat degradation Insufficient data to confirm Aquatic life impairment	Non-Point	.	.	.	



STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD 1994 WATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT

Report Date : 05/23/94

Region 5

Rivers and Streams

Water Body Name	Hydro Unit #	Water Quality Condition				Total Size	Units	Fact Sheet	Problem/Need Description	Problem Source	Federal Lists		
		Good	Inter-mediate	Impaired	Unknown						D		
CRYSTAL CREEK (R5)	524.63	0	0	0	1	1	MI		Insufficient data	Non-Point	.	.	.
DEER CREEK (SACRAMENTO)	504.20	61	10	0	0	71			Fisheries habitat degradation Inadequate flows Poor fish passage	Non-Point	.	.	.
DEER CREEK (TULARE)	555.20	0	58	0	0	58			Threat of fish population decline	Non-Point	.	.	.
DELTA (S.J. AT ANTIOCH)	543.00	0	0	1	0	1	MI	Yes	Dioxin discharge Elevated fish tissue levels Sediment criteria exceeded	Point	X	.	.
DIGGER CREEK	507.12	0	3	0	0	3	MI		Threat to fisheries habitat Inadequate flows	Non-Point	.	.	.
DINKEY CREEK	552.33	23	0	0	0	23					.	.	.
DOLLY CREEK	518.54	0	0	1	0	1	MI	Yes	Aquatic impairment Human health impairment Mine drainage	Point & Non-Point	X	.	X
DOWNIE RIVER	517.33	20	0	0	0	20					.	.	.
DRY CREEK (SACRAMENTO)	519.21	0	37	0	0	37					.	.	.
DUNN CREEK	543.00	0	0	9	0	9	MI	Yes	Objectives violated Fish population decline Elevated mercury levels	Non-Point	X	.	X



STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD 1994 WATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT

Report Date : 05/23/94

Region 5

Rivers and Streams

Water Body Name	Hydro Unit #	Water Quality Condition				Total Size	Units	Fact Sheet	Problem/Need Description	Problem Source	Federal Lists		
		Good	Inter-mediate	Impaired	Unknown						D		
ELDER CREEK @ GERBER	504.20	62	0	0	0	62					3	3	3
ETICUERA CREEK		0	1	0	0	1 MI		Threat to aquatic life Heavy metals Insufficient data	Non-Point		0	1	1
FALL RIVER (FEATHER)	518.32	25	0	0	0	25					3	4	9
FALL RIVER (PIT)	526.40	0	0	25	0	25 MI	Yes	Sedimentation Eutrophication Fisheries habitat degradation	Non-Point		X		X
FEATHER RIVER, LOWER	519.22	0	0	60	0	60 MI	Yes	Elevated fish tissue levels Toxic bioassay results	Non-Point		X		X
FEATHER RIVER, M FK	518.30	0	83	30	0	113 MI		Recreational impacts Sedimentation	Non-Point				
FEATHER RIVER, N FK	518.40	31	66	0	0	97 MI	Yes	Elevated fish tissue levels Fisheries habitat impairment Inadequate flows, sedimentation	Non-Point				
FEATHER RIVER, N FK, E BRANCH	518.53	0	0	100	0	100 MI		Fish population decline	Non-Point				X
FEATHER RIVER, S FK	518.20	40	0	0	0	40							
FRENCH RAVINE	516.32	0	0	1	0	1 MI	Yes	Bacteria objectives violated	Non-Point		X		X



STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD 1994 WATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT

Report Date : 05/23/94

Region 5

Rivers and Streams

Water Body Name	Hydro Unit #	Water Quality Condition				Total Size	Units	Fact Sheet	Problem/Need Description	Problem Source	Federal Lists
		Good	Inter-mediate	Impaired	Unknown						D
FRESNO RIVER	545.00	0	75	0	0	75		Fisheries habitat degradation Inadequate flows	Non-Point	. . .	
GARZAS CREEK	542.20	16	0	0	0	16				. . .	
GRINDSTONE CREEK	522.23	0	32	0	0	32		Elevated metals concerns Insufficient data	Non-Point	. . .	
HARLEY GULCH	513.51	0	0	8	0	8 MI	Yes	Objectives violated Threat of fish population decline Threat of elevated fish tissue levels	Non-Point	X . X	
HAT CREEK	526.34	0	15	0	0	15 MI		Fisheries habitat degradation Inadequate flows	Non-Point	. . .	
HORSE CREEK	526.20	0	0	2	0	2 MI	Yes	Fish kills Fish population decline Objectives violated	Non-Point	X . X	
HUMBUG CREEK	517.32	0	0	9	0	9 MI	Yes	Fish population decline Heavy metals Mine drainage	Non-Point	X . X	
HUNTING CREEK		0	1	0	0	1 MI	Yes	Limited sampling Elevated metals	Non-Point	. . .	
INDIAN CREEK (R5)	518.54	0	50	0	0	50 MI	Yes	Sedimentation Threat to aquatic habitat	Non-Point	. . .	



STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD 1994 WATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT

Report Date : 05/23/94

Region 5

Rivers and Streams

<u>Water Body Name</u>	<u>Hydro Unit #</u>	<u>Water Quality Condition</u>				<u>Total Size</u>	<u>Units</u>	<u>Fact Sheet</u>	<u>Problem/Need Description</u>	<u>Problem Source</u>	<u>Federal Lists</u>		
		<u>Good</u>	<u>Inter-mediate</u>	<u>Impaired</u>	<u>Unknown</u>						<u>D</u>	<u>U</u>	<u>S</u>
JAMES CREEK	512.24	0	0	6	0	6 MI	Yes	Fish population decline Elevated fish tissue levels Mine drainage	Non-Point	X	.	X	
KANAKA CREEK	517.42	0	0	1	0	1 MI	Yes	Drinking water impairment Threat of fish population decline Mine drainage	Non-Point	X	.	X	
KAWEAH RIVER (LOWER)	553.40	0	39	0	0	39		Loss of fish and wildlife habitat Inadequate flows	Non-Point	.	.	.	
KAWEAH RIVER (UPPER)	553.40	0	38	0	0	38		Fisheries habitat degradation Inadequate flows	Non-Point	.	.	.	
KERN RIVER (LOWER)	554.40	0	74	0	0	74		Fisheries habitat degradation Inadequate flows		.	.	.	
KERN RIVER (UPPER)	554.40	0	90	0	0	90		Fisheries habitat degradation Inadequate flows		.	.	.	
KINGS RIVER (LOWER)	551.90	65	0	30	0	95 MI	Yes	Objectives violated Elevated fish tissue levels	Non-Point	X	.	X	
KINGS RIVER (MAIN FORK)	551.90	0	81	0	0	81	Yes	Sedimentation Degraded fish habitat Inadequate flows	Non-Point	.	.	.	
KINGS RIVER (UPPER N. FORK)	551.90	0	38	0	0	38		Degraded fish habitat Inadequate flows	Non-Point	.	.	.	



STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD 1994 WATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT

Report Date : 05/23/94

Region 5

Rivers and Streams

<u>Water Body Name</u>	<u>Hydro Unit #</u>	<u>Water Quality Condition</u>				<u>Total Size</u>	<u>Units</u>	<u>Fact Sheet</u>	<u>Problem/Need Description</u>	<u>Problem Source</u>	<u>Federal Lists</u>		
		<u>Good</u>	<u>Inter-mediate</u>	<u>Impaired</u>	<u>Unknown</u>						<u>D</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>4</u>
LINDA CREEK	519.21	0	1	0	0	1	Yes	Threat of PCBs Aquatic life impairment	Non-Point	.	.	.	
LITTLE BACKBONE CREEK	506.20	2	0	1	0	3 MI	Yes	Fish kills Fish population decline Objectives violated	Non-Point	X	.	X	
LITTLE BUTTE CREEK	521.30	0	8	0	0	8 MI	Yes	Sedimentation Nutrients		.	.	.	
LITTLE CLIPPER CREEK	516.34	0	1	0	0	1	Yes	Threat of fish population decline Threat of elevated fish tissue levels	Non-Point	.	.	.	
LITTLE COW CREEK	507.33	16	15	2	0	33 MI	Yes	Fish population decline Mine drainage	Non-Point	X	.	X	
LITTLE GRIZZLY CREEK	518.54	0	0	10	0	10 MI	Yes	Fish population decline Mine drainage	Non-Point	X	.	X	
LITTLE PANOCHÉ CREEK		0	1	0	0	1 MI		Limited information No impairments to beneficial uses	Non-Point	.	.	.	
LITTLE WOLF CREEK	516.32	0	1	0	0	1	Yes	Threat of fish population decline Objectives violated	Non-Point	.	.	.	
LONE TREE CREEK	531.40	0	0	15	0	15 MI	Yes	Fish population decline Dairies	Non-Point	X	.	X	
LOS GATOS CREEK (REG	559.20	0	41	0	0	41	Yes	Threat of drinking water impairment	Non-Point	.	.	.	



STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD 1994 WATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT

Report Date : 05/23/94

Region 5

Rivers and Streams

<u>Water Body Name</u>	<u>Hydro Unit #</u>	<u>Water Quality Condition</u>				<u>Total Size</u>	<u>Units</u>	<u>Fact Sheet</u>	<u>Problem/Need Description</u>	<u>Problem Source</u>	<u>Federal Lists</u>
		<u>Good</u>	<u>Inter-mediate</u>	<u>Impaired</u>	<u>Unknown</u>						<u>D</u>
5)										3 3 3 0 1 1 3 4 9 D	
MARIPOSA CREEK	538.00	0	0	0	12	12				. . .	
MARSH CREEK	543.00	0	0	24	0	24 MI	Yes	Objectives violated Fish population decline Elevated fish tissue levels	Non-Point	X . X	
MCCLOUD RIVER	505.24	59	1	0	0	60 MI	Yes	Sedimentation	Non-Point	. . .	
MERCED RIVER, LOWER	535.00	30	0	60	0	90 MI	Yes	Elevated fish tissue levels Toxic bioassay results Pesticides	Non-Point	X . X	
MERCED RIVER, UPPER	537.00	30	60	0	0	90				. . .	
MIDDLE CREEK	508.10	0	0	3	0	3 MI	Yes	Sedimentation		. . X	
MIDDLE RIVER	544.00	0	0	30	0	30 MI	Yes	Health advisories for Hg Elevated fish tissue levels		X . X	
MILL CREEK (1)	552.40	9	1	0	0	10		Limited sampling	Non-Point	. . .	
MILL CREEK (2)	509.42	0	60	0	0	60		Fisheries habitat degradation Inadequate flows	Non-Point	. . .	
MOKELUMNE RIVER, LOWER	531.20	0	0	28	0	28 MI	Yes	Fish kills Acid mine drainage, low flows Elevated metals levels	Non-Point	X . X	



STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD 1994 WATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT

Report Date : 05/23/94

Region 5

Rivers and Streams

<u>Water Body Name</u>	<u>Hydro Unit #</u>	<u>Water Quality Condition</u>				<u>Total Size</u>	<u>Units</u>	<u>Fact Sheet</u>	<u>Problem/Need Description</u>	<u>Problem Source</u>	<u>Federal Lists</u>		
		<u>Good</u>	<u>Inter-mediate</u>	<u>Impaired</u>	<u>Unknown</u>						<u>D</u>		
MOKELUMNE RIVER, UPPER	532.60	83	1	0	56	140		Fisheries habitat degradation Inadequate flows	Non-Point	.	.	.	
MUD SLOUGH	541.20	0	0	16	0	16 MI	Yes	Aquatic life impairments Selenium, TDS, boron Pesticides, toxicity	Non-Point	X	.	X	
NATOMAS EAST MAIN DRAIN	519.22	0	0	12	0	12 MI	Yes	Elevated fish tissue levels Toxic bioassay results Aquatic life impairments	Non-Point	X	.	X	
OLD RIVER	544.00	0	0	48	0	48 MI	Yes	Health advisory for mercury Elevated fish tissue levels	Non-Point	X	.	X	
ORESTIMBA CREEK	541.10	0	0	3	0	3 MI	Yes	Toxic bioassay results Threat of fish kills Pesticides	Non-Point	X	.	X	
OWENS CREEK	535.70	0	0	0	55	55				.	.	.	
PANOCHE CREEK		0	0	1	0	1 MI	Yes	Sedimentation Selenium Aquatic life impairment	Non-Point	X	.	X	
PIT RIVER	506.00	0	200	0	0	200 MI		Sedimentation Eutrophication Fisheries habitat degradation	Non-Point	.	.	.	



STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD 1994 WATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT

Report Date : 05/23/94

Region 5

Rivers and Streams

Water Body Name	Hydro Unit #	Water Quality Condition				Total Size	Units	Fact Sheet	Problem/Need Description	Problem Source	Federal Lists		
		Good	Inter-mediate	Impaired	Unknown						D		
PORT OF STOCKTON	544.00	0	0	1	0	1	MI	Yes	Elevated fish tissue levels Aquatic life impairment Dioxin	Non-Point	X	.	X
POSO CREEK	555.50	0	76	0	0	76				Non-Point	.	.	.
PUTAH CREEK	511.10	88	1	0	0	89			Fisheries habitat degradation Inadequate flows	Non-Point	.	.	.
RED BANK CREEK	504.23	0	0	0	23	23					.	.	.
RISING RIVER	526.34	5	0	0	0	5					.	.	.
ROARING RIVER	552.34	17	0	0	0	17					.	.	.
RUBICON RIVER	514.40	65	0	0	0	65					.	.	.
SACRAMENTO R. (SHASTA DAM TO RED BLUFF)	508.10	0	0	50	0	50	MI	Yes	Fish kills Elevated fish tissue levels Spawning impairment	Non-Point	X	.	X
SACRAMENTO RIVER (ABOVE SHASTA LAKE)	511.20	20	20	0	0	40					.	.	.
SACRAMENTO RIVER (RED BLUFF TO DELTA)	500.00	0	155	30	0	185	MI	Yes	Drinking water impairment Elevated fish tissue levels Toxic bioassay results	Non-Point	X	.	X



STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD 1994 WATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT

Report Date : 05/23/94

Region 5

Rivers and Streams

Water Body Name	Hydro Unit #	Water Quality Condition				Total Size	Units	Fact Sheet	Problem/Need Description	Problem Source	Federal Lists		
		Good	Inter-mediate	Impaired	Unknown						D		
SACRAMENTO SLOUGH		0	0	1	0	1	MI	Yes	Elevated fish tissue levels Toxic bioassay results	Non-Point	X	.	X
SALT SLOUGH	541.20	0	0	21	0	21	MI	Yes	Aquatic life impairments Selenium, TDS, boron Pesticides, toxicity		X	.	X
SAN CARLOS CREEK	542.20	0	0	1	0	1	MI	Yes	Drinking water impairment Threat of fish population decline	Non-Point	X	.	X
SAN JOAQUIN RIVER	544.00	125	75	130	0	330	MI	Yes	Fish population decline Elevated fish tissue levels Toxic bioassay results	Non-Point	X	.	X
SPANISH CREEK	518.52	5	20	0	0	25					.	.	.
SPRING CREEK	524.40	3	0	5	0	8	MI	Yes	Fish kills Fish population decline Toxic bioassay results	Non-Point	X	.	X
ST JOHNS RIVER	558.10	0	0	0	25	25		Yes			.	.	.
STANISLAUS RIVER (LOWER)	535.30	0	0	48	0	48	MI	Yes	Elevated fish tissue levels Toxic bioassay results Pesticides	Non-Point	X	.	X
STANISLAUS RIVER (UPPER)	534.00	90	23	0	0	113			Fisheries habitat degradation Inadequate flows	Non-Point	.	.	.



STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD 1994 WATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT

Report Date : 05/23/94

Region 5

Rivers and Streams

Water Body Name	Hydro Unit #	Water Quality Condition				Total Size	Units	Fact Sheet	Problem/Need Description	Problem Source	Federal Lists
		Good	Inter-mediate	Impaired	Unknown						D
STONY CREEK	522.30	109	0	0	0	109				. . .	
SULFUR CREEK	513.51	0	0	7	0	7 MI	Yes	Drinking water impairment Fish population decline Objectives violated	Non-Point	X . X	
SUTTER BYPASS	520.30	0	24	0	0	24	Yes	Elevated fish tissue levels	Non-Point	. . .	
TEMPLE CREEK	531.40	0	0	10	0	10 MI	Yes	Fish population decline Elevated salt, NH3 Dairies	Non-Point	X . X	
THOMES CREEK	523.10	61	0	0	0	61				. . .	
TOWN CREEK	526.20	2	0	1	0	3 MI	Yes	Fish population decline Objectives violated Mine drainage	Non-Point	X . X	
TULE RIVER (LOWER)	558.20	59	0	0	0	59				. . .	
TULE RIVER (PIT)	526.41	10	0	0	0	10				. . .	
TULE RIVER (UPPER)	558.20	0	32	0	0	32		Fisheries habitat degradation Inadequate flows	Non-Point	. . .	
TUOLUMNE RIVER (LOWER)	535.50	0	0	32	0	32 MI	Yes	Elevated fish tissue levels Toxic bioassay results Pesticides	Non-Point	X . X	



STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD 1994 WATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT

Report Date : 05/23/94

Region 5

Rivers and Streams

Water Body Name	Hydro Unit #	Water Quality Condition				Total Size	Units	Fact Sheet	Problem/Need Description	Problem Source	Federal Lists
		Good	Inter-mediate	Impaired	Unknown						D
TUOLUMNE RIVER (UPPER)	536.30	90	9	0	0	99	MI		Fisheries habitat degradation Inadequate flows High temperatures	Non-Point	3 3 3 0 1 1 3 4 9
TURLOCK IRR DST LATERAL #5	535.50	0	0	7	0	7	MI	Yes	Toxic bioassay results Threat of fish population decline Pesticides	Non-Point	X . X
WEST SQUAW CREEK	505.10	6	0	2	0	8	MI	Yes	Fish kills Fish population decline Objectives violated	Non-Point	X . X
WHITE RIVER	555.30	0	0	0	55	55					. . .
WILLOW CREEK (MADERA COUNTY)	540.21	0	24	0	0	24		Yes	Threat of recreational impacts	Non-Point	. . .
WILLOW CREEK (WHISKEYTOWN)	524.63	12	0	3	0	15	MI	Yes	Fish population decline Heavy metals, acid Mine drainage	Non-Point	X . X
YUBA RIVER, LOWER	515.30	14	19	0	0	33	MI	Yes	Elevated fish tissue levels Fisheries habitat degradation Low flows, warm water	Non-Point	. . .
YUBA RIVER, MIDDLE	517.40	47	16	0	0	63					. . .
YUBA RIVER, N FK	517.50	57	1	0	0	58			Fisheries habitat degradation Inadequate flows	Non-Point	. . .

STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD 1994 WATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT

Report Date : 05/23/94

Region 5

Rivers and Streams

<u>Water Body Name</u>	<u>Hydro Unit #</u>	<u>Water Quality Condition</u>				<u>Total Size</u>	<u>Units</u>	<u>Fact Sheet</u>	<u>Problem/Need Description</u>	<u>Problem Source</u>	<u>Federal Lists</u>		
		<u>Good</u>	<u>Inter-mediate</u>	<u>Impaired</u>	<u>Unknown</u>						<u>D</u>		
YUBA RIVER, S FK	517.30	36	27	0	0	63					3	3	3
											0	1	1
											3	4	9



STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD 1994 WATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT

Report Date : 05/23/94

Region 5

Wetlands

Water Body Name	Hydro Unit #	Water Quality Condition				Total Size	Units	Fact Sheet	Problem/Need Description	Problem Source	Federal Lists		
		Good	Inter-mediate	Impaired	Unknown						D		
ASH CREEK WMA		0	0	0	0	0					3	3	3
BERENDA SLOUGH	545.20	0	0	0	215	215					0	1	1
BUTTE SINK FWMA		0	0	0	435	435					3	4	9
CINDER FLATS WA		0	0	0	100	100							
COLUSA NWR		0	0	0	2569	2569							
GRASSLANDS MARSHES	541.20	0	0	8224	0	8224	AC	Yes	Aquatic life impairment Wildlife impairment Health Advisories for Selenium	Non-Point	X		X
GRAY LODGE WA		0	0	0	4500	4500							
KERN NWR		0	0	0	3200	3200	AC						
KESTERSON NWR		0	0	0	2500	2500							
LAS BANOS WA		0	0	0	2400	2400							
LOWER SHERMAN ISLAND		0	0	0	1700	1700							
MENDOTA NWR		0	0	0	6500	6500							
MERCED NWR		0	0	0	1532	1532							
MODOC NWR		0	0	0	345	345							



STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD 1994 WATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT

Report Date : 05/23/94

Region 5

Wetlands

<u>Water Body Name</u>	<u>Hydro Unit #</u>	<u>Water Quality Condition</u>				<u>Total Size</u>	<u>Units</u>	<u>Fact Sheet</u>	<u>Problem/Need Description</u>	<u>Problem Source</u>	<u>Federal Lists</u>		
		<u>Good</u>	<u>Inter-mediate</u>	<u>Impaired</u>	<u>Unknown</u>						<u>D</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>4</u>
HORMON CHANNEL	544.00	0	0	1	0	1	AC	Yes	Elevated fish tissue levels Health advisory for Hg	Non-Point	X	.	X
HORMON SLOUGH	544.00	0	0	1	0	1	AC	Yes	Elevated fish tissue levels Health advisory for Hg	Non-Point	X	.	X
PELEVAN NWR		0	0	0	3106	3106					.	.	.
RECLAMATION SLOUGH	520.10	0	1	0	0	1		Yes	Elevated fish tissue levels	Non-Point	.	.	.
SACRAMENTO NWR		0	0	0	6150	6150					.	.	.
SAN LUIS NWR		0	0	0	2666	2666					.	.	.
SUTTER NWR		0	0	0	2497	2497					.	.	.
VOLTA WA		0	0	0	2600	2600					.	.	.



STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD 1994 WATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT

Report Date : 05/23/94

Region 6

Ground Water

Water Body Name	Hydro Unit #	Water Quality Condition				Total Size	Units	Fact Sheet	Problem/Need Description	Problem Source	Federal Lists
		Good	Inter-mediate	Impaired	Unknown						D
ADOBE LAKE VALLEY		60	0	0	0	60	SQMI		Local high salinity		3 3 3 0 1 1 3 4 9
ANTELOPE VALLEY (NL)	631.10	0	1	0	35	36	SQMI	Yes	Threat of drinking water impairment Limited information available Naturally occurring trace elements	Non-Point	. . .
ANTELOPE VALLEY (SL)	626.00	1500	60	62	0	1622	SQMI	Yes	Military impacts Fuel leak/VOC pollution Ground water overdraft	Non-Point	. . .
AWAWATZ VALLEY		0	0	0	70	70		Yes	Ground water overdraft (See Langford Valley)		. . .
BICYCLE VALLEY		0	0	120	0	120		Yes	Natural high salinity (see Langford Valley)	Non-Point	. . .
BLACK SPRINGS VALLEY		50	0	0	0	50					. . .
BRIDGEPORT VALLEY	630.30	0	98	2	0	100	SQMI	Yes	Possible metals problems Threat of drinking water impairment Fuel leaks/voc pollution	Non-Point	. . .
BROADWELL VALLEY	629.00	0	0	0	120	120	SQMI	Yes	Limited information available Ground water overdraft High salinity/saltwater intrusion		. . .
BROWN MOUNTAIN VALLEY		30	0	0	0	30					. . .



Region 6

Ground Water

<u>Water Body Name</u>	<u>Hydro Unit #</u>	<u>Water Quality Condition</u>				<u>Total Size</u>	<u>Units</u>	<u>Fact Sheet</u>	<u>Problem/Need Description</u>	<u>Problem Source</u>	<u>Federal Lists</u>		
		<u>Good</u>	<u>Inter-mediate</u>	<u>Impaired</u>	<u>Unknown</u>						<u>D</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>4</u>
BULL FLAT		0	0	0	10	10							
BUTTE VALLEY (REG 6)		0	0	0	27	27							
BUTTERBREAD CANYON		0	0	0	3	3							
CACTUS FLAT		0	0	0	2	2							
CADY FAULT AREA		0	0	0	17	17							
CADY SPRINGS RECHARGE AREA	637.20	0	0	0	6	6 SQMI		Public health concern Threat of drinking water impairment Threat of objectives violated	Non-Point				
CALIFORNIA VALLEY		0	60	0	0	60		Natural high salinity	Non-Point				
CAMEO AREA		0	0	0	20	20							
CARSON VALLEY	633.10	20	0	0	0	20 SQMI	Yes	Possible wastewater impacts Possible agricultural impacts Domestic water supply	Non-Point				
CAVES CANYON VALLEY		0	100	0	0	100		Natural high salinity	Non-Point				
COLES FLAT		0	0	0	8	8							
COSO VALLEY		0	50	0	0	50		Natural high salinity	Non-Point				
COTTONWOOD SPRING A		0	0	0	15	15							



STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD 1994 WATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT

Report Date : 05/23/94

Region 6

Ground Water

<u>Water Body Name</u>	<u>Hydro Unit #</u>	<u>Water Quality Condition</u>				<u>Total Size</u>	<u>Units</u>	<u>Fact Sheet</u>	<u>Problem/Need Description</u>	<u>Problem Source</u>	<u>Federal Lists</u>		
		<u>Good</u>	<u>Inter-mediate</u>	<u>Impaired</u>	<u>Unknown</u>						<u>3</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>3</u>
COW HEAD LAKE VALLEY		0	0	0	7	7							
COYOTE LAKE VALLEY		0	0	150	0	150		Natural high salinity	Non-Point				
CRONISE VALLEY		0	0	0	150	150	Yes	(see Langford Valley)					
CUDDEBACK VALLEY		0	150	30	0	180	SQMI	Natural high salinity	Non-Point				
DARWIN VALLEY		0	70	0	0	70		Natural high salinity	Non-Point				
DEATH VALLEY	609.00	0	0	1320	0	1320	SQMI	Yes Natural high salinity Possible water diversions Naturally occurring trace elements	Non-Point				
DEEP SPRINGS VALLEY		40	0	0	0	40		Local high fluoride	Non-Point				
DENNING SPRING VALLEY		0	18	0	0	18		Natural high salinity	Non-Point				
DOG VALLEY	635.10	0	1	1	5	7	SQMI	Yes Some wells with high arsenic Possible water diversion for export Fuel leaks/VOC pollution	Non-Point				
DRY VALLEY		0	0	0	9	9							
EAGLE LAKE AREA	637.31	0	0	2	20	22	SQMI	Yes Drinking water impairment Domestic water supply Public health concern	Non-Point				



Region 6

Ground Water

<u>Water Body Name</u>	<u>Hydro Unit #</u>	<u>Water Quality Condition</u>				<u>Total Size</u>	<u>Units</u>	<u>Fact Sheet</u>	<u>Problem/Need Description</u>	<u>Problem Source</u>	<u>Federal Lists</u>		
		<u>Good</u>	<u>Inter-mediate</u>	<u>Impaired</u>	<u>Unknown</u>						<u>D</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>4</u>
EL MIRAGE VALLEY		50	50	20	0	120		Natural high salinity	Non-Point	.	.	.	
EUREKA VALLEY		0	0	0	160	160				.	.	.	
FISH LAKE VALLEY		0	70	0	0	70		Natural high salinity Possible radioactivity	Non-Point	.	.	.	
FISH SLOUGH VALLEY		0	0	0	5	5				.	.	.	
FREMONT VALLEY	625.00	110	110	110	0	330	SQMI	Natural high salinity Ground water overdraft Mining drainage	Non-Point	.	.	.	
FURNACE CREEK AREA		0	33	0	0	33		Natural high salinity	Non-Point	.	.	.	
GOLD VALLEY		0	0	0	19	19				.	.	.	
GOLDSTONE VALLEY		0	30	0	0	30	Yes	Natural high salinity (see Langford Valley)	Non-Point	.	.	.	
GRANITE MOUNTAIN A		0	0	0	3	3	Yes	(see Langford Valley)		.	.	.	
GRASS VALLEY		30	0	0	0	30				.	.	.	
GRASSHOPPER VALLEY		0	0	0	24	24				.	.	.	
GREENWATER VALLEY		0	0	0	150	150				.	.	.	
HARPER VALLEY		300	160	50	0	510		Natural high salinity	Non-Point	.	.	.	



STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD 1994 WATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT

Report Date : 05/23/94

Region 6

Ground Water

<u>Water Body Name</u>	<u>Hydro Unit #</u>	<u>Water Quality Condition</u>				<u>Total Size</u>	<u>Units</u>	<u>Fact Sheet</u>	<u>Problem/Need Description</u>	<u>Problem Source</u>	<u>Federal Lists</u>		
		<u>Good</u>	<u>Inter-mediate</u>	<u>Impaired</u>	<u>Unknown</u>						<u>D</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>4</u>
HARRISBURG FLATS		0	0	0	24	24					.	.	.
HARVEY VALLEY		0	0	0	6	6					.	.	.
HIDDEN VALLEY (REG 6)		0	0	0	18	18					.	.	.
HONEY LAKE VALLEY	637.10	0	489	1	0	490	SQMI	Yes	Agricultural wastewater Military impacts Drinking water impairment	Non-Point	.	.	.
HORSE LAKE VALLEY		0	0	0	16	16					.	.	.
INDIAN WELLS VALLEY		500	0	20	0	520		Yes	Drinking water impairment Natural high salinity Ground water overdraft	Non-Point	.	.	.
IVANPAH VALLEY	612.00	120	100	80	0	300	SQMI		Natural high salinity	Non-Point	.	.	.
KANE WASH AREA		0	0	0	15	15					.	.	.
KELSO LANDER VALLEY		0	17	0	0	17			Natural high salinity	Non-Point	.	.	.
KELSO VALLEY		370	0	0	0	370					.	.	.
LANGFORD VALLEY		0	0	50	0	50		Yes	Drinking water impairment Natural high salinity Military impacts	Non-Point	.	.	.



Region 6

Ground Water

Water Body Name	Hydro Unit #	Water Quality Condition				Total Size	Units	Fact Sheet	Problem/Need Description	Problem Source	Federal Lists
		Good	Inter-mediate	Impaired	Unknown						D
LEACH VALLEY		0	70	0	0	70		Yes	Natural high salinity (see Langford Valley)	Non-Point	3 3 3 0 1 1 3 4 9
LEE FLAT		0	0	0	55	55					. . .
LEVIATHAN MINE AREA		0	0	1	0	1		Yes	Acid mine drainage Metals (see Bryant Creek)	Non-Point	. . .
LITTLE ANTELOPE VALLEY		0	0	0	5	5					. . .
LONG VALLEY (NL)	637.10	0	0	0	28	28 SQMI		Yes	Agricultural drainage Possible nitrate drainage Ground water overdraft	Non-Point	. . .
LONG VALLEY (SL)		120	0	0	0	120					. . .
LOST LAKE VALLEY		30	0	0	0	30					. . .
LOWER KINGSTON VALLEY		0	0	290	0	290			Natural high salinity	Non-Point	. . .
LOWER MOJAVE RIVER VALLEY	628.50	249	50	1	0	300 SQMI		Yes	Drinking water impairment Natural high salinity Military impacts	Non-Point	. . .



STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD 1994 WATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT

Report Date : 05/23/94

Region 6

Ground Water

<u>Water Body Name</u>	<u>Hydro Unit #</u>	<u>Water Quality Condition</u>				<u>Total Size</u>	<u>Units</u>	<u>Fact Sheet</u>	<u>Problem/Need Description</u>	<u>Problem Source</u>	<u>Federal Lists</u>		
		<u>Good</u>	<u>Inter-mediate</u>	<u>Impaired</u>	<u>Unknown</u>						<u>D</u>	<u>U</u>	<u>S</u>
MADELINE PLAINS	638.00	0	270	0	0	270	SQMI		High salinity/saltwater intrusion Threat of drinking water impairment Limited information available	Non-Point	.	.	.
MARBLE CANYON AREA		0	0	0	30	30					.	.	.
MARTIS VALLEY	635.20	0	6	0	19	25	SQMI	Yes	Threat of drinking water impairment Public health concerns Domestic water supply	Non-Point	.	.	.
MESQUITE VALLEY		50	40	30	0	120			Natural high salinity	Non-Point	.	.	.
MIDDLE AMARGOSA VALLEY		0	200	420	0	620			Natural high salinity	Non-Point	.	.	.
MIDDLE MOJAVE RIVER VALLEY	628.30	0	3	0	427	430	SQMI		Natural high salinity Fuel leaks/VOC pollution Industrial discharges	Non-Point	.	.	.
MIDDLE PARK CANYON		0	0	0	5	5					.	.	.
MODOC PLATEAU PVA (REG 6)	637.40	0	0	0	100	100	SQMI	Yes	Limited information available High salinity/saltwater intrusion	Non-Point	.	.	.
MODOC PLATEAU RVA (REG 6)		0	0	0	18	18					.	.	.



Region 6

Ground Water

Water Body Name	Hydro Unit #	Water Quality Condition				Total Size	Units	Fact Sheet	Problem/Need Description	Problem Source	Federal Lists		
		Good	Inter-mediate	Impaired	Unknown						D		
MONO VALLEY	601.00	0	82	0	168	250	SQMI	Yes	Threat of drinking water impairment Natural high salinity Water diversions	Non-Point	.	.	.
OWENS VALLEY	603.00	0	0	1030	0	1030	SQMI	Yes	Ground water overdraft Drinking water impairment Fuel leaks/VOC pollution	Non-Point	.	.	.
OWL LAKE VALLEY		0	0	0	33	33					.	.	.
PAHRUMP VALLEY		400	0	0	0	400					.	.	.
PAINTERS FLAT		0	0	0	9	9					.	.	.
PANAMINT VALLEY	620.00	0	359	1	0	360	SQMI		Natural high salinity Mining drainage Military impacts	Non-Point	.	.	.
PILOT KNOB VALLEY		200	0	0	0	200					.	.	.
PINE CREEK VALLEY		0	0	0	9	9					.	.	.
RACE TRACK VALLEY		0	0	0	15	15					.	.	.
RED PASS VALLEY		0	0	0	150	150		Yes	(see Langford Valley)		.	.	.
RHODES HILL AREA		0	0	0	23	23					.	.	.
RIGGS VALLEY		0	0	100	0	100			Natural high salinity	Non-Point	.	.	.



STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD 1994 WATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT

Report Date : 05/23/94

Region 6

Ground Water

Water Body Name	Hydro Unit #	Water Quality Condition				Total Size	Units	Fact Sheet	Problem/Need Description	Problem Source	Federal Lists
		Good	Inter-mediate	Impaired	Unknown						D
ROSE VALLEY		60	0	0	0	60					3 3 3 0 1 1 3 4 9
SALINE VALLEY		0	0	1	209	210		Natural high salinity	Non-Point		. . .
SALT WELLS VALLEY		0	0	0	30	30					. . .
SANTA ROSA FLAT		0	0	0	40	40					. . .
SEARLES VALLEY	621.00	0	0	1	249	250	SQMI	Industrial discharges Mining drainage Natural high salinity	Non-Point		. . .
SECRET VALLEY		0	0	0	19	19					. . .
SILVER LAKE VALLEY		0	10	30	0	40		Natural high salinity	Non-Point		. . .
SLINKARD VALLEY		0	0	0	11	11		Possible radioactivity problems Possible metals problems (see West Walker River)	Non-Point		. . .
SODA LAKE VALLEY		90	0	500	0	590		Natural high salinity	Non-Point		. . .
SPRING CANYON VALLEY		0	0	0	12	12					. . .
SQUAW VALLEY	635.20	0	0	1	2	3	SQMI	Arsenic Pesticides/herbicides Wastewater impacts/leaking UGTs	Non-Point		. . .
SUPERIOR VALLEY		0	90	80	0	170		Natural high salinity	Non-Point		. . .



Region 6

Ground Water

<u>Water Body Name</u>	<u>Hydro Unit #</u>	<u>Water Quality Condition</u>				<u>Total Size</u>	<u>Units</u>	<u>Fact Sheet</u>	<u>Problem/Need Description</u>	<u>Problem Source</u>	<u>Federal Lists</u>		
		<u>Good</u>	<u>Inter-mediate</u>	<u>Impaired</u>	<u>Unknown</u>						<u>D</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>4</u>
SURPRISE VALLEY	641.00	0	101	50	199	350	SQMI	Yes	Ground water overdraft Naturally occurring trace elements Threat of drinking water impairment	Non-Point	.	.	.
SWEETWATER FLAT		0	0	0	5	5					.	.	.
TAHOE VALLEY-NORTH	634.20	1	0	1	2	4	SQMI	Yes	Drinking water impairment Fuel leaks/VOC pollution Naturally occurring trace elements	Non-Point	.	.	.
TAHOE VALLEY-SOUTH	634.10	0	1	2	18	21	SQMI	Yes	Drinking water impairment Toxic pollutants Fuel leaks/VOC pollution	Non-Point	.	.	.
TENACHAPI VALLEY, EAST		20	0	0	0	20					.	.	.
TROY VALLEY		10	30	90	0	130			Natural high salinity	Non-Point	.	.	.
TULEDAD CANYON AR		0	0	0	9	9					.	.	.
TWIN LAKE AREA	630.40	0	2	0	3	5	SQMI	Yes	Threat of drinking water impairment Public health concerns Popular recreation area	Non-Point	.	.	.
UPPER KINGSTON VALLEY		0	130	140	0	270			Natural high salinity	Non-Point	.	.	.



STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD 1994 WATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT

Report Date : 05/23/94

Region 6

Ground Water

<u>Water Body Name</u>	<u>Hydro Unit #</u>	<u>Water Quality Condition</u>				<u>Total Size</u>	<u>Units</u>	<u>Fact Sheet</u>	<u>Problem/Need Description</u>	<u>Problem Source</u>	<u>Federal Lists</u>		
		<u>Good</u>	<u>Inter-mediate</u>	<u>Impaired</u>	<u>Unknown</u>						<u>D</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>2</u>
UPPER MOJAVE RIVER VALLEY	628.20	0	50	25	550	625	SQMI	Yes	Military impacts Threat of drinking water impairment Ground water overdraft	Non-Point	.	.	.
WILD HORSE MESA A		0	0	0	5	5					.	.	.
WILDROSE CANYON		0	0	0	17	17					.	.	.
WILLOW CREEK VALLEY		1	1	0	18	20			Possible nitrate problem	Non-Point	.	.	.
WINGATE VALLEY		0	70	0	0	70			Natural high salinity	Non-Point	.	.	.



Region 6

Lakes and Reservoirs

<u>Water Body Name</u>	<u>Hydro Unit #</u>	<u>Water Quality Condition</u>				<u>Total Size</u>	<u>Units</u>	<u>Fact Sheet</u>	<u>Problem/Need Description</u>	<u>Problem Source</u>	<u>Federal Lists</u>		
		<u>Good</u>	<u>Inter-mediate</u>	<u>Impaired</u>	<u>Unknown</u>						<u>D</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>4</u>
ADOBE RES	602.00	0	0	77	0	77	AC		Wildlife habitat impaired		.	X	X
AGNEW LAKE	601.00	0	0	0	40	40	AC	Yes	Hydrologic modification (see Mono Lake)	Non-Point	.	.	.
ALGER LAKES	601.00	0	0	0	114	114	AC	Yes	(see Mono Lake)		.	.	.
ALTA MORRIS LAKE	634.10	0	0	0	6	6	AC	Yes	(see Lake Tahoe)		.	.	.
ANGORA LAKES	634.10	0	0	0	23	23	AC	Yes	Possible eutrophication Popular recreation area (see Lake Tahoe)	Non-Point	.	X	.
ARROWBEAR LAKE	628.00	0	0	0	3	3	AC				.	.	.
ARROWHEAD LAKE	603.00	0	0	0	12	12	AC	Yes	(see Owens River)		.	.	.
ASA LAKE	632.10	0	2	0	0	2	AC	Yes	Possible eutrophication (see Carson River, E Fk)	Non-Point	.	.	.
BARNEY LAKE	630.40	0	14	0	0	14	AC	Yes	Possible acidification (see East Walker River)	Non-Point	.	.	.
BIG PINES LAKES 2	603.20	0	0	0	28	28	AC	Yes	(see Owens River)		.	.	.
BIG PINES LAKES 6	603.20	0	0	0	7	7		Yes	(see Owens River)		.	.	.
BIRCHIM LAKE	603.20	0	0	0	4	4	AC	Yes	(see Owens River)		.	.	.



STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD 1994 WATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT

Report Date : 05/23/94

Region 6

Lakes and Reservoirs

<u>Water Body Name</u>	<u>Hydro Unit #</u>	<u>Water Quality Condition</u>				<u>Total Size</u>	<u>Units</u>	<u>Fact Sheet</u>	<u>Problem/Need Description</u>	<u>Problem Source</u>	<u>Federal Lists</u>		
		<u>Good</u>	<u>Inter-mediate</u>	<u>Impaired</u>	<u>Unknown</u>						<u>D</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>4</u>
BLACK LAKE	602.00	0	0	60	0	60	AC		Wildlife habitat impaired	Non-Point	.	X	X
BLUE LAKE (R6)	601.00	0	0	0	2	2	AC	Yes	(see Mono Lake)		.	.	.
BOCA RES	636.00	0	980	0	0	980		Yes	Hydrologic modification Impacts of recreational use (see Little Truckee River)	Non-Point	.	X	.
BOOT LAKE	638.00	0	0	0	600	600	AC				.	.	.
BRIDGEPORT RES	630.30	0	0	3000	0	3000	AC	Yes	Sedimentation Recreational impacts Eutrophication	Non-Point	X	X	X
BUCKLEY PONDS	603.20	0	0	0	90	90	AC	Yes	(see Owens River)		.	.	.
BURNSIDE LAKE	632.10	0	0	0	7	7	AC	Yes	(see Carson River, E Fk)		.	.	.
CAGWIN LAKE	634.00	0	0	0	2	2	AC	Yes	Possible impacts of recreation (see Lake Tahoe)	Non-Point	.	.	.
CARIBOU LAKE	637.20	0	0	0	90	90	AC	Yes	(see Honey Lake)		.	.	.
CASCADE LAKE	634.10	0	0	0	225	225	AC	Yes	Threat of eutrophication Threat of drinking water impairment (see Lake Tahoe)	Non-Point	.	X	.



Region 6

Lakes and Reservoirs

<u>Water Body Name</u>	<u>Hydro Unit #</u>	<u>Water Quality Condition</u>				<u>Total Size</u>	<u>Units</u>	<u>Fact Sheet</u>	<u>Problem/Need Description</u>	<u>Problem Source</u>	<u>Federal Lists</u>		
		<u>Good</u>	<u>Inter-mediate</u>	<u>Impaired</u>	<u>Unknown</u>						<u>D</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>4</u>
CONVICT LAKE	603.10	0	168	0	0	168		Yes	Possible nutrient loading from past septic system discharges (see Owens River)	Non-Point	.	.	.
COTTONWOOD LAKES	603.30	0	0	0	96	96 AC		Yes	(see Owens River)		.	.	.
COXEY POND	628.00	0	0	0	1	1 AC					.	.	.
CROWLEY LAKE	603.10	0	5280	0	0	5280 AC		Yes	Possible arsenic problems Possible vessel waste problems (see Owens River)	Non-Point	.	X	.
CRYSTAL LAKE (R6)	601.00	0	0	0	4	4 AC		Yes	(see Mono Lake)		.	.	.
DARDANELLES LAKE	634.10	0	0	0	12	12 AC		Yes	Possible impacts of recreation (see Lake Tahoe)	Non-Point	.	.	.
DIAZ LAKE	603.30	0	70	0	0	70 AC		Yes	Public health concern (see Owens River)	Non-Point	.	X	.
DICKS LAKE	634.20	0	0	0	72	72		Yes	(see Lake Tahoe)		.	.	.
DODGE RES	628.00	0	0	0	480	480 AC					.	.	.
DONNER LAKE	635.20	0	960	0	0	960 AC		Yes	Threat of drinking water impairment Threat of eutrophication Urban runoff	Point & Non-Point	.	X	.
DOROTHY LAKE (1)	632.10	0	0	0	2	2					.	.	.



STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD 1994 WATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT

Report Date : 05/23/94

Region 6

Lakes and Reservoirs

Water Body Name	Hydro Unit #	Water Quality Condition				Total Size	Units	Fact Sheet	Problem/Need Description	Problem Source	Federal Lists		
		Good	Inter-mediate	Impaired	Unknown						D		
DOROTHY LAKE (2)	603.10	0	0	0	150	150	AC	Yes	(see Owens River)				3 3 3 0 1 1 3 4 9
DUNN RES	638.00	0	0	0	460	460	AC						. . .
EAGLE LAKE (1)	634.10	0	0	0	19	19	AC	Yes	Possible impacts of recreation Threat of eutrophication (see Lake Tahoe)	Non-Point			. . .
EAGLE LAKE (2)	637.30	0	0	25000	0	25000	AC	Yes	Fish kills Elevated fish tissue levels Eutrophication	Non-Point			X X X
EASTERN BROOK LAKES	603.20	14	0	0	0	14	AC	Yes	(see Owens River)				. . .
ECHO LAKES UPPER & LOWER	634.10	0	0	0	426	426	AC	Yes	Threat of eutrophication Threat of drinking water impairment Fluctuating water levels	Non-Point			. X .
ELLERY LAKE	601.00	0	0	0	68	68	AC	Yes	Hydrologic modification (see Mono Lake)	Non-Point			. . .
FAIRMONT RES	626.00	0	0	0	172	172	AC						. . .
FALLEN LEAF LAKE	634.10	0	0	1410	0	1410	AC	Yes	Elevated fish tissue levels Drinking water impairment Threat of eutrophication	Non-Point			X X X
FERN LAKE	601.00	0	0	0	6	6	AC	Yes	(see Mono Lake)				. . .



Region 6

Lakes and Reservoirs

Water Body Name	Hydro Unit #	Water Quality Condition				Total Size	Units	Fact Sheet	Problem/Need Description	Problem Source	Federal Lists		
		Good	Inter-mediate	Impaired	Unknown						D		
FONTANILLIS LAKE	634.10	0	0	0	27	27		Yes	(see Lake Tahoe)				3 3 3
GABLE LAKES	603.20	0	0	0	20	20 AC		Yes	(see Owens River)				0 1 1
GEM LAKE	601.00	0	0	0	275	275 AC		Yes	Hydrologic modifications (see Mono Lake)	Non-Point			3 4 9
GIBBS LAKE	601.00	0	0	0	8	8 AC		Yes	(see Mono Lake)				
GILMORE LAKE	634.10	0	0	0	78	78 AC		Yes	Popular recreation area Threat of eutrophication (see Lake Tahoe)				
GRANITE LAKE (R6)	634.10	0	0	0	7	7 AC		Yes	(see Lake Tahoe)				
GRANT LAKE	601.00	0	0	1095	0	1095 AC		Yes	Recreational impacts Elevated fish tissue levels Hydrologic modification	Non-Point			X X X
GRASS LAKE (1)	634.10	0	0	0	20	20		Yes	Possible eutrophication (see Lake Tahoe)	Non-Point			
GRASS LAKE (2)	634.10	0	0	0	360	360		Yes	Possible highway runoff impacts Possible impacts of recreation (see Lake Tahoe)	Non-Point			
GREEN LAKES	630.40	0	0	0	56	56 AC		Yes	(see East Walker River)				
GREEN VALLEY LAKE	628.00	0	0	0	22	22 AC							



STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD 1994 WATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT

Report Date : 05/23/94

Region 6

Lakes and Reservoirs

Water Body Name	Hydro Unit #	Water Quality Condition				Total Size	Units	Fact Sheet	Problem/Need Description	Problem Source	Federal Lists		
		Good	Inter-mediate	Impaired	Unknown						D		
GULL LAKE	601.00	0	65	0	0	65	AC	Yes	Popular recreation area Eutrophication Urban runoff	Non-Point	3	3	3
HAIWEE RES	603.30	0	0	0	1800	1800	AC	Yes	Mining drainage (see Owens River)		0	1	1
HALF MOON LAKE	634.10	0	0	0	26	26	AC	Yes	(see Lake Tahoe)		3	4	9
HALLSIDE RES	603.20	0	0	0	1	1	AC	Yes	(see Owens River)				
HAROLD RES	626.00	0	0	0	1	1	AC						
HARTSON LAKE	637.20	0	0	0	500	500	AC	Yes	Agricultural drainage Geothermal impacts (see Honey Lake)	Non-Point	.	X	.
HEATHER LAKE	634.10	0	0	0	39	39	AC	Yes	(see Lake Tahoe)		.	.	.
HEENAN LAKE	632.10	0	0	0	129	129	AC	Yes	Water diversion Possible threatened species impacts Naturally occurring trace elements	Non-Point	.	.	.
HILTON LAKES	603.10	0	0	0	185	185	AC	Yes	(see Owens River)		.	.	.
HOG FLAT RES	637.20	0	1000	0	0	1000		Yes	Water Diversion (see Honey Lake)		.	X	.
HONEYMOON LAKE	603.20	0	0	0	10	10	AC	Yes	(see Owens River)		.	.	.



Region 6

Lakes and Reservoirs

Water Body Name	Hydro Unit #	Water Quality Condition				Total Size	Units	Fact Sheet	Problem/Need Description	Problem Source	Federal Lists		
		Good	Inter-mediate	Impaired	Unknown						D		
HOOVER LAKES (NE)	630.40	0	0	0	11	11		Yes	(see East Walker River)		3	3	3
HORSE LAKE	637.40	0	3000	0	0	3000			Water diversion	Non-Point	.	X	.
HORSESHOE LAKE (1)	603.10	0	0	0	53	53 AC		Yes	Impacts of recreation (see Owens River)	Non-Point	.	.	.
HORSESHOE LAKE (2)	628.00	0	0	1	0	1 AC			Fish kills Sedimentation	Non-Point	X	X	X
HORTON LAKE (2)	603.20	0	0	0	17	17		Yes	(see Owens River)		.	.	.
INDEPENDENCE LAKE	636.00	725	0	0	0	725		Yes	Threat of Rare & Endangered Species impa Hydrologic modification (see Little Truckee River)	Non-Point	.	X	.
INDIAN CREEK RES	632.20	0	0	160	0	160			Eutrophication Recreational impacts	Point & Non-Point	X	X	X
JACKSON LAKE	626.80	0	0	0	7	7		Yes	Surface runoff Possible eutrophication	Non-Point	.	X	.
JUNE LAKE	601.00	0	320	0	0	320 AC		Yes	Eutrophication Urban runoff (see Mono Lake)	Non-Point	.	X	.
KIDNEY LAKE	601.00	0	0	0	20	20 AC		Yes	(see Mono Lake)		.	.	.



STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD 1994 WATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT

Report Date : 05/23/94

Region 6

Lakes and Reservoirs

Water Body Name	Hydro Unit #	Water Quality Condition				Total Size	Units	Fact Sheet	Problem/Need Description	Problem Source	Federal Lists		
		Good	Inter-mediate	Impaired	Unknown						D		
KOENIG LAKE	631.40	0	7	0	0	7	AC	Yes	Possible acidification Possible eutrophication (see West Walker River)	Non-Point	.	.	.
LAKE ARROWHEAD	628.20	0	700	0	0	700	AC	Yes	Threat of drinking water impairment Threat of recreational impacts Possible metals problems	Non-Point	.	X	.
LAKE GEORGE	603.10	0	38	0	0	38	AC	Yes	Impacts of recreation Possible metals problems (see Owens River)	Non-Point	.	.	.
LAKE GREGORY	628.20	0	0	0	120	120		Yes	(see Mojave River)		.	X	.
LAKE LECONTE	634.10	15	0	0	0	15	AC	Yes	Threat of acidification (see Lake Tahoe)	Non-Point	.	.	.
LAKE MAMIE	603.10	19	0	0	0	19		Yes	(see Owens River)		.	.	.
LAKE MARY	603.10	140	0	0	0	140		Yes	Possible metals problems Impacts of recreation (see Owens River)	Non-Point	.	X	.
LAKE PALMDALE	626.00	0	0	0	288	288	AC	Yes			.	.	.
LAKE TAHOE	634.00	0	0	120000	0	120000	AC	Yes	Eutrophication Sedimentation Objectives violated	Point & Non-Point	X	X	X



Region 6

Lakes and Reservoirs

<u>Water Body Name</u>	<u>Hydro Unit #</u>	<u>Water Quality Condition</u>				<u>Total Size</u>	<u>Units</u>	<u>Fact Sheet</u>	<u>Problem/Need Description</u>	<u>Problem Source</u>	<u>Federal Lists</u>
		<u>Good</u>	<u>Inter-mediate</u>	<u>Impaired</u>	<u>Unknown</u>						<u>D</u>
LAMARCK LAKE, UPPER	603.20	0	0	0	38	38	Yes	(see Owens River)		3 3 3 0 1 1 3 4 9	
LARK SEEP LAGOON	624.20	1	0	0	0	1				. . .	
LEAVITT LAKE	637.20	0	0	0	2560	2560 AC	Yes	(see Honey Lake)		. X .	
LILY LAKE	634.10	0	1	0	0	1	Yes	Eutrophication Possible septic system impacts (see Lake Tahoe)	Non-Point	. . .	
LITTLE ROCK RES	626.00	0	0	0	104	104 AC		Naturally occurring trace elements		. . .	
LONG LAKE (1) (INYO)	603.30	0	0	0	8	8	Yes	(see Owens River)		. . .	
LOST LAKE	603.10	0	0	0	22	22 AC	Yes	(see Owens River)		. . .	
LOST LAKE (E)	633.20	0	0	0	8	8 AC				. . .	
LUNDY LAKE	601.00	0	0	130	0	130 AC	Yes	Hydrologic modification Possible mining impacts (see Mono Lake)	Non-Point	X X X	
MARTIS CREEK RES	635.20	0	768	0	0	768	Yes	Threat of elevated fish tissue levels Hydrologic modifcaiton (see Truckee River)	Non-Point	. X .	
MCCLLOUD LAKE (R6)	603.10	0	0	0	10	10 AC	Yes	(see Owens River)		. . .	



STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD 1994 WATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT

Report Date : 05/23/94

Region 6

Lakes and Reservoirs

Water Body Name	Hydro Unit #	Water Quality Condition				Total Size	Units	Fact Sheet	Problem/Need Description	Problem Source	Federal Lists		
		Good	Inter-mediate	Impaired	Unknown						D		
MCCOY FLAT RES	627.30	0	1800	0	0	1800		Yes	Water diversion (see Honey Lake)	Non-Point	.	.	.
MEISS LAKE (R6)	633.20	0	18	0	0	18		Yes	Eutrophication Grazing impacts (see Lake Tahoe)	Non-Point	.	.	.
NOBEL (NOBLE) LAKE	632.10	0	5	0	0	5		Yes	Eutrophication (see Carson River E Fk)	Non-Point	.	.	.
NORTH LAKE	603.20	0	0	0	22	22	AC	Yes	(see Owens River)		.	.	.
ONEIDA LAKE	601.00	0	0	0	29	29	AC	Yes	(see Mono Lake)		.	.	.
PINE LAKES	603.20	0	0	0	26	26	AC	Yes	(see Owens River)		.	.	.
PLEASANT VALLEY RES	603.20	0	115	0	0	115		Yes	Eutrophication Sedimentation (see Owens River)	Non-Point	.	X	.
PROSSER RES	635.20	0	0	0	734	734	AC	Yes	Hydrologic modification (see Truckee River)	Non-Point	.	X	.
QUAIL LAKE	634.20	0	0	0	12	12	AC	Yes	Watershed disturbance (see Lake Tahoe)	Non-Point	.	.	.
RALSTON LAKE	634.10	0	0	0	16	16	AC	Yes	(see Lake Tahoe)		.	.	.
RED LAKE (1)	603.10	0	0	0	1	1	AC	Yes	(see Owens River)		.	.	.



Region 6

Lakes and Reservoirs

<u>Water Body Name</u>	<u>Hydro Unit #</u>	<u>Water Quality Condition</u>				<u>Total Size</u>	<u>Units</u>	<u>Fact Sheet</u>	<u>Problem/Need Description</u>	<u>Problem Source</u>	<u>Federal Lists</u>		
		<u>Good</u>	<u>Inter-mediate</u>	<u>Impaired</u>	<u>Unknown</u>						<u>D</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>4</u>
RED LAKE (2)	633.20	0	0	0	1	1	Yes	Hydrologic modification Highway runoff (see Carson River W Fk.)	Non-Point	.	.	.	
RIVER SPRING LAKE	602.00	0	0	0	200	200 AC				.	.	.	
ROCK CREEK LAKE	603.20	0	0	0	55	55 AC	Yes	(see Owens River)		.	.	.	
ROUND LAKE	634.10	0	0	0	41	41 AC	Yes	Possible impacts of recreation (see Lake Tahoe)	Non-Point	.	.	.	
ROUND VALLEY RES	637.40	0	0	0	420	420 AC				.	.	.	
SABRINA LAKE	603.20	0	0	0	186	186 AC	Yes	(see Owens River)		.	.	.	
SADDLEBAG LAKE	601.00	0	0	0	325	325 AC	Yes	Hydrologic modification Possible impacts of recreation (see Mono Lake)	Non-Point	.	.	.	
SAID RES	638.00	0	0	0	173	173 AC				.	.	.	
SAWMILL POND (1)	603.20	1	0	0	0	1	Yes	(see Owens River)		.	.	.	
SAWMILL POND (2)	634.10	0	1	0	0	1		Sedimentation	Non-Point	.	.	.	
SCOTTS LAKE	633.20	0	30	0	0	30 AC		Sedimentation	Non-Point	.	.	.	
SHELTON LAKE	603.10	0	0	0	12	12 AC	Yes	(see Owens River)		.	.	.	



STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD 1994 WATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT

Report Date : 05/23/94

Region 6

Lakes and Reservoirs

<u>Water Body Name</u>	<u>Hydro Unit #</u>	<u>Water Quality Condition</u>				<u>Total Size</u>	<u>Units</u>	<u>Fact Sheet</u>	<u>Problem/Need Description</u>	<u>Problem Source</u>	<u>Federal Lists</u>		
		<u>Good</u>	<u>Inter-mediate</u>	<u>Impaired</u>	<u>Unknown</u>						<u>D</u>	<u>U</u>	<u>S</u>
SHERWIN LAKES	603.10	0	0	0	16	16	AC	Yes	(see Owens River)				3 3 3
SILVER LAKE	637.20	0	0	0	110	110		Yes	Threat of eutrophication Threat of recreational impacts (see Honey Lake)	Non-Point			0 1 1 3 4 9
SILVER LAKE (1)	601.00	0	110	0	0	110	AC	Yes	Eutrophication Sedimentation (see Mono Lake)	Non-Point			. X .
SILVER LAKES	628.30	0	0	0	400	400	AC						. . .
SILVERWOOD LAKE	628.20	1010	0	0	0	1010		Yes	Possible mercury problem (see Mojave River)				. X .
SMOKE CREEK RES	639.00	0	0	0	100	100	AC						. . .
SOUTH LAKE	603.20	0	0	0	180	180	AC	Yes	(see Owens River)				. . .
SPRING VALLEY LAKE	628.30	0	380	0	0	380	AC		Sedimentation				. . .
STAMPEDE RES	636.00	0	0	3444	0	3444	AC	Yes	Hydrologic modification Low levels affect recreation use Elevated fish tissue level	Non-Point			X X X
SUSIE LAKE	634.10	0	0	0	37	37	AC	Yes	Possible impacts of recreation (see Lake Tahoe)	Non-Point			. . .



Region 6

Lakes and Reservoirs

Water Body Name	Hydro Unit #	Water Quality Condition				Total Size	Units	Fact Sheet	Problem/Need Description	Problem Source	Federal Lists
		Good	Inter-mediate	Impaired	Unknown						D
TAMARACK LAKE	634.10	0	0	0	20	20	AC	Yes	Possible impacts of recreation (see Lake Tahoe)	Non-Point	3 3 3 0 1 1 3 4 9
TINEMAHA RES	603.20	0	180	0	0	180		Yes	Water diversion (see Owens River)	Point	. X .
TIOGA LAKE	601.00	0	0	0	81	81	AC	Yes	Hydrologic modification (see Mono Lake)	Non-Point	. . .
TOPAZ LAKE	631.10	0	0	2300	0	2300	AC	Yes	Objectives violated Sedimentation (see West Walker River)	Non-Point	X X X
TWIN LAKE, LOWER	630.40	0	0	375	0	375	AC		Eutrophication Drinking water impairment Elevated fish tissue levels	Non-Point	X X X
TWIN LAKE, UPPER	630.40	0	0	265	0	265	AC	Yes	Elevated fish tissue level Popular recreation area Sedimentation	Non-Point	X X X
TWIN LAKES	603.10	0	0	3	0	3	AC	Yes	Popular recreation area Eutrophication Excessive plant growth	Non-Point	X X X
VALENTINE LAKE	603.10	0	0	0	19	19	AC	Yes	(see Owens River)		. . .
VIRGINIA LAKES	630.40	0	0	0	37	37	AC				. . .



Region 6

Lakes and Reservoirs

<u>Water Body Name</u>	<u>Hydro Unit #</u>	<u>Water Quality Condition</u>				<u>Total Size</u>	<u>Units</u>	<u>Fact Sheet</u>	<u>Problem/Need Description</u>	<u>Problem Source</u>	<u>Federal Lists</u>		
		<u>Good</u>	<u>Inter-mediate</u>	<u>Impaired</u>	<u>Unknown</u>						<u>D</u>	<u>U</u>	<u>S</u>
WALKER LAKE	601.00	0	0	0	87	87 AC	Yes	(see Mono Lake)			3	3	3
WAUGH LAKE	601.00	0	176	0	0	176 AC	Yes	Hydrologic modification (see Mono Lake)	Non-Point		0	1	1
WEBBER LAKE	636.00	0	0	0	225	225 AC	Yes	Hydrologic modification (see Little Truckee River)			3	4	9
WIT-SO-NAH-PAH LAKE	603.10	0	0	0	5	5	Yes	(see Owens River)					
WOODS LAKES	603.10	0	0	0	5	5 AC	Yes	(see Owens River)					



Region 6

Rivers and Streams

<u>Water Body Name</u>	<u>Hydro Unit #</u>	<u>Water Quality Condition</u>				<u>Total Size</u>	<u>Units</u>	<u>Fact Sheet</u>	<u>Problem/Need Description</u>	<u>Problem Source</u>	<u>Federal Lists</u>		
		<u>Good</u>	<u>Inter-mediate</u>	<u>Impaired</u>	<u>Unknown</u>						<u>D</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>4</u>
ADOBE CREEK (R6)	602.00	0	0	6	0	6	MI		Fisheries habitat degradation	Non-Point	.	.	X
ALDER CREEK	635.20	0	0	0	1	1		Yes	(see Truckee River)		.	.	.
AMARGOSA RIVER	609.00	0	140	58	0	198		Yes	Sedimentation Natural high salinity	Non-Point	X	.	X
ANGORA CREEK	634.10	0	8	0	0	8	MI		Fisheries habitat degradation	Non-Point	.	.	.
ASH CREEK	603.30	0	8	0	0	8	MI	Yes	Grazing impacts (see Owens River)	Non-Point	.	.	.
ASPEN CREEK	632.10	0	0	4	0	4		Yes	Objectives violated Fish kills (see Bryant Creek)	Non-Point	X	.	X
AURORA CANYON CREEK	630.30	0	0	13	0	13	MI		Sedimentation	Non-Point	X	.	X
BAIRS CREEK	603.30	0	0	0	10	10	MI	Yes	(see Owens River)		.	.	.
BAKER CREEK	603.20	0	13	0	0	13	MI	Yes	Meadow disturbance (see Owens River)	Non-Point	.	.	.
BALLS CREEK	637.10	0	0	0	6	6	MI				.	.	.
BARE CREEK	641.30	0	0	0	12	12	MI				.	.	.
BAXTER CREEK	637.20	0	15	0	0	15	MI	Yes	Agricultural drainage (see Honey Lake)	Non-Point	.	.	.



STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD 1994 WATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT

Report Date : 05/23/94

Region 6

Rivers and Streams

<u>Water Body Name</u>	<u>Hydro Unit #</u>	<u>Water Quality Condition</u>				<u>Total Size</u>	<u>Units</u>	<u>Fact Sheet</u>	<u>Problem/Need Description</u>	<u>Problem Source</u>	<u>Federal Lists</u>		
		<u>Good</u>	<u>Inter-mediate</u>	<u>Impaired</u>	<u>Unknown</u>						<u>D</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>4</u>
BEAR CREEK (R6)	635.20	0	3	1	0	4	MI	Yes	Sedimentation Hydrologic modification (see Truckee River)	Non-Point	X	.	X
BIDWELL CREEK	641.30	0	0	12	0	12	MI		Grazing impacts Fish habitat degradation	Non-Point	.	.	X
BIG MEADOW CREEK	634.10	0	7	0	0	7	MI	Yes	Possible grazing impacts Possible highway runoff impacts Fish habitat degradation	Non-Point	.	.	.
BIG PINE CANAL	603.20	0	0	0	16	16	MI	Yes	(see Owens River)		.	.	.
BIG PINE CREEK	603.20	0	0	16	0	16	MI	Yes	Recreational impacts Water diversions (see Owens River)	Non-Point	.	.	X
BIG ROCK CREEK	626.00	0	0	0	15	15	MI				.	.	.
BIRCH CREEK	603.20	0	0	0	10	10	MI	Yes	Possible arsenic problem (see Owens River)	Non-Point	.	.	.
BISHOP CREEK	603.20	6	0	24	0	30	MI	Yes	Recreational impacts Water diversions (see Owens River)	Non-Point	.	.	X
BISHOP CREEK CANAL	603.20	0	0	0	10	10	MI	Yes	(see Owens River)		.	.	.



Region 6

Rivers and Streams

Water Body Name	Hydro Unit #	Water Quality Condition				Total Size	Units	Fact Sheet	Problem/Need Description	Problem Source	Federal Lists
		Good	Inter-mediate	Impaired	Unknown						D
BLACKWOOD CREEK	634.20	0	0	8	0	8 MI	Yes	Objectives violated Sedimentation (see Lake Tahoe)	Non-Point	3 3 3 0 1 1 3 4 9 D	
BODIE CREEK	630.20	0	0	6	0	6 MI	Yes	Grazing impacts Mining impacts Public health concerns	Non-Point	X . X	
BRALEY CREEK	603.30	0	0	5	0	5 MI		Fish habitat degradation	Non-Point	. . X	
BRONCO CREEK	635.20	0	0	1	0	1 MI	Yes	Sedimentation (see Truckee River)	Non-Point	X . X	
BRYANT CREEK	632.10	0	0	10	0	10 MI	Yes	Objectives violated Mining drainage Sedimentation	Point	X . X	
BUCKEYE CREEK (R6)	630.30	0	21	0	0	21 MI	Yes	Grazing impacts (see East Walker River)	Non-Point	. . .	
BURTON CREEK	634.20	0	5	0	0	5 MI	Yes	Threat of sedimentation (see Lake Tahoe)	Non-Point	. . .	
BY-DAY CREEK	630.00	0	5	0	0	5 MI	Yes	Grazing impacts (see East Walker River)	Non-Point	. . .	
CABIN CREEK	604.00	0	0	0	5	5 MI				. . .	



STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD 1994 WATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT

Report Date : 05/23/94

Region 6

Rivers and Streams

Federal Lists

Water Body Name	Hydro Unit #	Water Quality Condition				Total Size	Units	Fact Sheet	Problem/Need Description	Problem Source	Federal Lists		
		Good	Inter-mediate	Impaired	Unknown						D		
CALIFORNIA AQUEDUCT	626.28	0	100	0	0	100	MI		Criteria violations Possible metals problems	Non-Point	.	.	.
CARNELIAN CANYON CREEK	634.20	0	0	2	0	2	MI		Fisheries habita degradation	Non-Point	.	.	X
CARNELIAN CREEK	634.20	1	0	0	0	1		Yes	(see Lake Tahoe)		.	.	.
CARROLL CREEK	603.30	0	0	0	7	7	MI	Yes	(see Owens River)		.	.	.
CARSON RIVER, E FK	632.10	0	0	46	0	46	MI	Yes	Elevated fish tissue levels Objectives violated Mining drainage	Non-Point	X	.	X
CARSON RIVER, W FK	633.00	0	0	6	22	28	MI	Yes	Objectives violated Recreational impacts Grazing impacts	Non-Point	X	.	X
CARTAGO CREEK	603.30	0	5	0	0	5	MI	Yes	Grazing impacts (see Owens River)		.	.	.
CASCADE CREEK (R6)	634.10	0	0	0	5	5	MI	Yes	Possible septic system problems Possible urban runoff impacts (see Lake Tahoe)	Non-Point	.	.	.
CATHEDRAL CREEK	634.10	0	1	0	0	1		Yes	Possible metals problems (see Lake Tahoe)	Non-Point	.	.	.



Region 6

Rivers and Streams

<u>Water Body Name</u>	<u>Hydro Unit #</u>	<u>Water Quality Condition</u>				<u>Total Size</u>	<u>Units</u>	<u>Fact Sheet</u>	<u>Problem/Need Description</u>	<u>Problem Source</u>	<u>Federal Lists</u>
		<u>Good</u>	<u>Inter-mediate</u>	<u>Impaired</u>	<u>Unknown</u>						<u>D</u>
CEDAR CREEK	641.30	0	9	0	0	9 MI		Sedimentation Grazing impacts		3 3 3 0 1 1 3 4 9	
CHENEY CREEK	637.20	0	0	0	7	7 MI	Yes	(see Honey Lake)			
CHIATOVICH CREEK	604.00	0	0	0	1	1 MI					
CLARK CANYON	630.30	0	0	5	0	5 MI	Yes	Sedimentation Grazing impacts (see East Walker River)	Non-Point	X . X	
CLEARWATER CREEK	630.40	0	0	7	0	7 MI	Yes	Sedimentation Possible metals problems (see East Walker River)	Non-Point	X . X	
COLD CREEK	634.10	0	7	0	0	7	Yes	Sedimentation Possible metals problems (see Lake Tahoe)	Non-Point	. . .	
COLD SPRING CREEK	638.00	0	0	0	12	12 MI				. . .	
COLD STREAM	635.20	0	1	0	7	8 MI	Yes	Sedimentation (see Truckee River)	Non-Point	. . .	
COLD WATER CREEK	603.10	0	0	0	7	7 MI	Yes	Possible metals problems (see Owens River)		. . .	
COLLINS CANAL	603.20	0	0	0	9	9 MI	Yes	(see Owens River)		. . .	



STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD 1994 WATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT

Report Date : 05/23/94

Region 6

Rivers and Streams

<u>Water Body Name</u>	<u>Hydro Unit #</u>	<u>Water Quality Condition</u>				<u>Total Size</u>	<u>Units</u>	<u>Fact Sheet</u>	<u>Problem/Need Description</u>	<u>Problem Source</u>	<u>Federal Lists</u>
		<u>Good</u>	<u>Inter-mediate</u>	<u>Impaired</u>	<u>Unknown</u>						<u>D</u>
CONVICT CREEK	603.10	0	0	0	13	13 MI	Yes	(see Owens River)		3 3 3 0 1 1 3 4 9	
COTTONWOOD CANYON	603.20	0	0	0	5	5 MI	Yes	(see Owens River)			
COTTONWOOD CREEK (1)	603.30	0	0	7	8	15 MI	Yes	Water diversions Recreational impacts Grazing impacts	Non-Point	X . X	
COTTONWOOD CREEK (2)	604.00	0	0	0	20	20 MI					
COTTONWOOD CREEK (3)	631.00	0	0	0	1	1	Yes	Possible metals problems Possible grazing impacts (see West Walker River)	Non-Point	. . .	
COXEY CREEK	628.00	0	0	0	1	1 MI				. . .	
COYOTE CREEK (R6)	603.20	0	0	0	10	10 MI	Yes	(see Owens River)		. . .	
CRAB CREEK	628.00	0	0	0	4	4 MI				. . .	
CROOKED CREEK	605.00	0	0	0	9	9 MI				. . .	
DART CREEK	628.00	0	0	0	2	2 MI				. . .	
DAVIES CREEK	636.00	0	0	0	12	12 MI	Yes	Possible impacts of watershed disturbance (see Little Truckee River)	Non-Point	. . .	



Region 6

Rivers and Streams

<u>Water Body Name</u>	<u>Hydro Unit #</u>	<u>Water Quality Condition</u>				<u>Total Size</u>	<u>Units</u>	<u>Fact Sheet</u>	<u>Problem/Need Description</u>	<u>Problem Source</u>	<u>Federal Lists</u>		
		<u>Good</u>	<u>Inter-mediate</u>	<u>Impaired</u>	<u>Unknown</u>						<u>D</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>4</u>
DEADMAN CREEK	603.00	0	0	0	12	12 MI	Yes	Water diversion (see Owens River)	Non-Point	.	.	.	
DEEP CREEK (1)	628.00	15	0	0	0	15 MI	Yes	(see Mojave River)		.	.	.	
DEEP CREEK (2)	631.30	0	0	0	9	9 MI	Yes	Possible metals problems (see West Walker River)	Non-Point	.	.	.	
DEEP CREEK (3)	639.40	0	0	0	14	14 MI				.	.	.	
DEEP CREEK (4)	635.20	0	0	0	5	5 MI	Yes	Threat of sedimentation (see Truckee River)	Non-Point	.	.	.	
DESERT CREEK	631.30	0	0	0	8	8 MI	Yes	Possible metals problems. (see West Walker River)	Non-Point	.	.	.	
DIAZ CREEK	603.30	0	0	0	10	10 MI	Yes	(see Owens River)		.	.	.	
DIRCH CREEK	603.20	0	0	0	1	1 MI	Yes	(see Owens River)		.	.	.	
DIVISION CREEK	603.30	0	0	3	7	10 MI	Yes	Recreational impacts Water diversions (see Owens River)	Non-Point	.	.	X	
DOG CREEK	630.40	6	0	0	0	6 MI		Possible metals problems	Non-Point	.	.	.	
DOG VALLEY CREEK	635.10	0	0	0	18	18 MI	Yes	(see Truckee River)		.	.	.	
DOLLAR CREEK	634.20	0	0	0	3	3 MI	Yes	(see Lake Tahoe)		.	.	.	



STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD 1994 WATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT

Report Date : 05/23/94

Region 6

Rivers and Streams

Water Body Name	Hydro Unit #	Water Quality Condition				Total Size	Units	Fact Sheet	Problem/Need Description	Problem Source	Federal Lists		
		Good	Inter-mediate	Impaired	Unknown						D		
DONNER CREEK	635.20	0	3	0	0	3 MI	Yes	Hydrologic modification Possible metals problems (see Truckee River)	Non-Point	.	.	.	
DRY CREEK (R6)	603.10	0	0	0	3	3 MI	Yes	(see Owens River)		.	.	.	
EAGLE CREEK (1)	634.10	0	0	0	4	4 MI	Yes	(see Lake Tahoe)		.	.	.	
EAGLE CREEK (2)	641.30	0	0	0	9	9 MI		Grazing impacts	Non-Point	.	.	.	
EAST WALKER RIVER	630.00	0	0	8	10	18 MI	Yes	Sedimentation Elevated fish tissue levels Popular recreation area	Non-Point	X	.	X	
EMERSON CREEK	641.30	0	6	0	0	6 MI		Grazing impacts	Non-Point	.	.	.	
EVANS CANYON CREEK	637.10	0	0	0	3	3 MI				.	.	.	
FALLS CANYON	603.20	0	0	0	2	2 MI	Yes	(see Owens River)		.	.	.	
FREDERICKSBURG CAN	633.10	0	5	0	0	5 MI	Yes	Sedimentation (see Carson River, W Fk)	Non-Point	.	.	.	
FRYPAN CAN	630.10	0	0	0	9	9 MI	Yes	Possible metals problems (see East Walker River)	Non-Point	.	.	.	
FURNACE CREEK	604.00	0	0	0	11	11 MI				.	.	.	



Region 6

Rivers and Streams

<u>Water Body Name</u>	<u>Hydro Unit #</u>	<u>Water Quality Condition</u>				<u>Total Size</u>	<u>Units</u>	<u>Fact Sheet</u>	<u>Problem/Need Description</u>	<u>Problem Source</u>	<u>Federal Lists</u>		
		<u>Good</u>	<u>Inter-mediate</u>	<u>Impaired</u>	<u>Unknown</u>						<u>D</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>4</u>
GENERAL CREEK	634.20	10	0	0	0	10	MI	Yes	Possible metals problems (see Lake Tahoe)		.	.	.
GEORGE CREEK	603.30	4	0	0	9	13	MI	Yes	Habitat for endangered species (see Owens River)		.	.	.
GLASS CREEK	603.10	0	7	0	0	7	MI	Yes	Water Diversion (see Owens River)	Non-Point	.	.	.
GLEN ALPINE CREEK	634.10	0	5	0	0	5	MI	Yes	Possible septic system impacts Mineral springs (see Lake Tahoe)	Non-Point	.	.	.
GOLD RUN CREEK	637.20	0	10	0	0	10	MI	Yes	Agricultural/Geothermal impacts Septic system impacts (see Money Lake)	Non-Point	.	.	.
GOODALE CREEK	603.30	0	0	9	0	9	MI		Sedimentation	Non-Point	X	.	X
GRASS LAKE CREEK	634.10	4	0	0	0	4	MI	Yes	Possible highway runoff impacts (see Lake Tahoe)	Non-Point	.	.	.
GRASSHOPPER CREEK	637.30	0	2	0	0	2	MI		Grazing impacts	Non-Point	.	.	.
GRAY CREEK (R6)	635.00	0	0	4	0	4	MI	Yes	Sedimentation (see Truckee River)	Non-Point	X	.	X
GREEN CANYON	630.10	0	5	0	0	5	MI	Yes	Public health concern (see East Walker River)		.	.	.



STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD 1994 WATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT

Report Date : 05/23/94

Region 6

Rivers and Streams

Water Body Name	Hydro Unit #	Water Quality Condition				Total Size	Units	Fact Sheet	Problem/Need Description	Problem Source	Federal Lists		
		Good	Inter-mediate	Impaired	Unknown						D		
GREEN CREEK	630.40	13	0	1	0	14 MI	Yes	Grazing impacts Hydrologic modifications (see East Walker River)	Non-Point	X	.	X	
GREEN LAKE CREEK	603.20	0	0	0	3	3 MI	Yes	(see Owens River)		.	.	.	
GREEN VALLEY LAKE CREEK	628.20	0	0	5	0	5	Yes	Objectives violated Drinking water impairment (see Mojave River)	Non-Point	X	.	X	
GRIFF CREEK	634.20	3	0	1	0	4 MI	Yes	Sedimentation Urban runoff Fisheries habitat degradation	Non-Point	.	.	X	
HEAVENLY VALLEY CREEK	634.10	0	0	4	0	4 MI	Yes	Objectives violated Sedimentation (see Lake Tahoe)	Non-Point	X	.	X	
HILTON CREEK	603.10	0	0	0	9	9 MI	Yes	(see Owens River)		.	.	.	
HOGBACK CREEK (1)	603.20	0	0	0	10	10 MI	Yes	(see Owens River)		.	.	.	
HOGBACK CREEK (2)	603.30	0	0	0	10	10 MI	Yes	(see Owens River)		.	.	.	
HOLCOMB CREEK	628.00	1	0	0	0	1	Yes	(see Mojave River)		.	.	.	
HOOKS CREEK	628.00	0	1	0	0	1	Yes	Coliform bacteria (see Mojave River)	Non-Point	.	.	.	



Region 6

Rivers and Streams

Water Body Name	Hydro Unit #	Water Quality Condition				Total Size	Units	Fact Sheet	Problem/Need Description	Problem Source	Federal Lists		
		Good	Inter-mediate	Impaired	Unknown						D		
HORSETHIEF CREEK	633.00	0	3	0	0	3 MI	Yes	Grazing impacts (see Carson River, W Fk)	Non-Point	3	3	3	
HORTON CREEK	603.20	0	9	6	0	15 MI	Yes	Fish population decline Wildlife habitat impaired Grazing impacts	Non-Point	0	1	1	
HOT CREEK (1)	631.40	0	0	5	0	5 MI	Yes	Possible metals problems (see West Walker River)	Non-Point	3	4	9	
HOT CREEK (2)	603.10	0	0	10	0	10 MI	Yes	Public health concerns Elevated fish tissue levels Eutrophication	Non-Point	D			
HOT SPRINGS CANYON	630.30	0	0	3	0	3 MI		Sedimentation	Non-Point				
HOT SPRINGS CREEK	632.10	0	1	0	0	1	Yes	Geothermal impacts (see Carson River, E FK)	Non-Point	X	.	X	
HOUSTON CREEK	628.00	0	0	0	2	2 MI	Yes	(see Mojave River)		.	.	.	
INDEPENDENCE CREEK (1)	636.00	0	0	0	5	5 MI	Yes	Possible water diversion impacts (see Little Truckee River)	Non-Point	.	.	.	
INDEPENDENCE CREEK (2)	603.30	0	0	0	11	11 MI	Yes	(see Owens River)		.	.	.	



STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD 1994 WATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT

Report Date : 05/23/94

Region 6

Rivers and Streams

<u>Water Body Name</u>	<u>Hydro Unit #</u>	<u>Water Quality Condition</u>				<u>Total Size</u>	<u>Units</u>	<u>Fact Sheet</u>	<u>Problem/Need Description</u>	<u>Problem Source</u>	<u>Federal Lists</u>		
		<u>Good</u>	<u>Inter-mediate</u>	<u>Impaired</u>	<u>Unknown</u>						<u>D</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>4</u>
INDIAN CREEK (1)	632.20	0	0	7	2	9 MI	Yes	Water Diversions Grazing impacts Public health concerns	Non-Point	X	.	X	
INDIAN CREEK (2)	604.00	0	0	0	1	1				.	.	.	
INDIAN GARDEN CREEK	604.00	0	0	0	8	8 MI				.	.	.	
IRON CREEK	604.00	0	0	0	2	2 MI				.	.	.	
JACKASS CREEK	631.30	0	0	0	1	1	Yes	Possible grazing impacts Possible metals impacts (see West Walker River)	Non-Point	.	.	.	
JUNIPER CREEK	635.20	0	0	0	8	8 MI	Yes	(see Truckee River)		.	.	.	
LASSEN CREEK	637.00	0	0	6	0	6 MI	Yes	Water diversions Agricultural drainage (see Honey Lake)	Non-Point	X	.	X	
LAUREL CREEK (R6)	603.10	0	0	0	5	5 MI	Yes	(see Owens River)		.	.	.	
LEAVITT CREEK	631.40	0	0	0	6	6 MI	Yes	Possible mining impacts Possible grazing impacts (see West Walker River)	Non-Point	.	.	.	
LEE VINING CREEK	601.00	0	0	11	0	11 MI	Yes	Recreational impacts Water Diversions Sedimentation	Non-Point	X	.	X	



Region 6

Rivers and Streams

<u>Water Body Name</u>	<u>Hydro Unit #</u>	<u>Water Quality Condition</u>				<u>Total Size</u>	<u>Units</u>	<u>Fact Sheet</u>	<u>Problem/Need Description</u>	<u>Problem Source</u>	<u>Federal Lists</u>		
		<u>Good</u>	<u>Inter-mediate</u>	<u>Impaired</u>	<u>Unknown</u>						<u>D</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>4</u>
LEIDY CREEK	604.00	0	0	0	4	4	MI			.	.	.	
LEVIATHAN CREEK	632.10	2	0	2	0	4		Yes	Objectives violated Fish kills (see Bryant Creek)	Non-Point	X	.	X
LITTLE BEAR CREEK	628.00	0	0	0	1	1	MI	Yes	(see Mojave River)		.	.	.
LITTLE ROCK CREEK	626.00	0	0	0	1	1	MI				.	.	.
LITTLE TRUCKEE RIVER	636.00	0	33	0	0	33		Yes	Sedimentation Hydrologic modification Impacts of recreation	Non-Point	.	.	.
LITTLE WALKER RIVER	631.40	0	18	0	0	18	MI	Yes	Sedimentation Possible metals problems (see West Walker River)	Non-Point	.	.	.
LONE PINE CREEK	603.20	0	0	0	13	13	MI	Yes	(see Owens River)		.	.	.
LONE TREE CREEK (R6)	603.20	0	0	0	13	13	MI	Yes	(see Owens River)		.	.	.
LONELY GULCH CREEK	634.20	0	2	0	0	2		Yes	Sedimentation Urban runoff (see Lake Tahoe)	Non-Point	.	.	.
LONG VALLEY CREEK (1)	630.10	0	0	0	7	7	MI				.	.	.



STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD 1994 WATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT

Report Date : 05/23/94

Region 6

Rivers and Streams

Water Body Name	Hydro Unit #	Water Quality Condition				Total Size	Units	Fact Sheet	Problem/Need Description	Problem Source	Federal Lists		
		Good	Inter-mediate	Impaired	Unknown						D		
LONG VALLEY CREEK (2)	637.10	0	55	0	0	55		Yes	Grazing impacts Agricultural Drainage (see Honey Lake)	Non-Point	3	3	3
LOST CANNON CREEK	631.10	0	0	0	8	8 MI		Yes	(see West Walker River)		0	1	1
LUBKIN CREEK, N FK	603.30	0	0	0	9	9 MI		Yes	(see Owens River)		3	4	9
LUBKIN CREEK, S FK	603.30	0	0	0	5	5 MI		Yes	(see Owens River)				
MADDEN CREEK	634.20	0	3	0	0	3 MI		Yes	Sedimentation Poor watershed condition (see Lake Tahoe)	Non-Point			
MAMMOTH CREEK	603.10	0	0	22	0	22 MI		Yes	Geothermal impacts Grazing impacts Public health concerns	Non-Point	X		X
MARBLE CREEK	603.20	0	0	7	0	7 MI		Yes	Grazing impacts Sedimentation Fisheries habitat degradation				X
MARKLEEVILLE CREEK	632.10	0	3	0	0	3 MI		Yes	Geothermal impacts Urban runoff (see Carson River, E Fk)	Non-Point			
MARTIS CREEK	634.20	0	0	12	0	12 MI		Yes	Elevated fish tissue levels Hydrologic modification (See Truckee River)	Non-Point	X		X



Region 6

Rivers and Streams

<u>Water Body Name</u>	<u>Hydro Unit #</u>	<u>Water Quality Condition</u>				<u>Total Size</u>	<u>Units</u>	<u>Fact Sheet</u>	<u>Problem/Need Description</u>	<u>Problem Source</u>	<u>Federal Lists</u>		
		<u>Good</u>	<u>Inter-mediate</u>	<u>Impaired</u>	<u>Unknown</u>						<u>D</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>4</u>
MCAFEE CREEK	604.00	0	0	0	6	6 MI				.	.	.	
MCGEE CREEK (1)	603.20	0	0	0	16	16 MI	Yes	(see Owens River)		.	.	.	
MCGEE CREEK (2)	603.10	0	0	0	12	12 MI	Yes	(see Owens River)		.	.	.	
MCKINNEY CREEK	634.20	0	4	0	0	4 MI		Sedimentation	Non-Point	.	.	.	
MCNALLY CANALS	603.20	0	0	0	18	18 MI	Yes	(see Owens River)		.	.	.	
MEEKS CREEK	634.20	8	0	1	0	9 MI	Yes	Sedimentation Impacts of marinas Fish habitat degradation	Non-Point	.	.	X	
MERRILL CREEK	637.30	0	1	0	0	1	Yes	Grazing impacts Possible lead problem (see Eagle Lake 2)	Non-Point	.	.	.	
MESCAL CREEK	626.00	0	0	0	1	1 MI				.	.	.	
MIDDLE CANYON	603.20	0	0	0	2	2 MI	Yes	(see Owens River)		.	.	.	
MILBERRY CREEK	632.10	0	0	0	5	5 MI	Yes	(see Carson River, E Fk)		.	.	.	
MILL CREEK (1)	601.00	0	0	7	7	14 MI	Yes	Recreational impacts Water Diversions Possible metals problems	Non-Point	.	.	X	



STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD 1994 WATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT

Report Date : 05/23/94

Region 6

Rivers and Streams

Water Body Name	Hydro Unit #	Water Quality Condition				Total Size	Units	Fact Sheet	Problem/Need Description	Problem Source	Federal Lists
		Good	Inter-mediate	Impaired	Unknown						D
MILL CREEK (2)	631.10	0	0	0	9	9 MI	Yes	Possible septic system impacts Possible highway runoff impacts (see West Walker River)	Non-Point	3 3 3 0 1 1 3 4 9	
MILL CREEK (3)	641.30	0	0	6	0	6 MI	Yes	Sedimentation Objectives violated	Non-Point	X . X	
MILNER CREEK	603.20	0	0	0	9	9 MI	Yes	(see Owens River)		. . .	
MOJAVE RIVER	628.20	90	0	10	0	100	Yes	Recreational impacts Sedimentation Toxic pollutants	Point & Non-Point	X . X	
MOJAVE RIVER, E FK OF W FK	628.00	0	0	0	5	5 MI	Yes	(see Mojave River)		. . .	
MOJAVE RIVER, W FK	628.00	0	0	0	5	5 MI	Yes	(see Mojave River)		. . .	
MOLYBDENITE CREEK	631.00	0	10	0	0	10 MI	Yes	Possible metals problems Grazing impacts (see West Walker River)	Non-Point	. . .	
MONITOR CREEK	632.10	0	0	4	0	4	Yes	Objectives violated Elevated fish tissue levels (see Carson River, E Fk)	Non-Point	X . X	
MONTGOMERY CREEK	603.20	0	0	0	8	8 MI	Yes	(see Owens River)		. . .	
MORRIS CREEK	603.20	0	0	0	1	1 MI	Yes	(see Owens River)		. . .	



Region 6

Rivers and Streams

<u>Water Body Name</u>	<u>Hydro Unit #</u>	<u>Water Quality Condition</u>				<u>Total Size</u>	<u>Units</u>	<u>Fact Sheet</u>	<u>Problem/Need Description</u>	<u>Problem Source</u>	<u>Federal Lists</u>		
		<u>Good</u>	<u>Inter-mediate</u>	<u>Impaired</u>	<u>Unknown</u>						<u>D</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>4</u>
MOUNTAINEER CREEK	632.00	0	0	7	0	7 MI	Yes	Metals problem Possible grazing impacts (see Bryant Creek)	Non-Point	X	.	X	
MURPHY CREEK	630.10	0	0	0	8	8 MI	Yes	Possible metals problem (see East Walker River)	Non-Point	.	.	.	
NINEMILE CANYON	624.20	0	0	0	1	1 MI				.	.	.	
NORTH CANYON CREEK	602.00	0	0	0	5	5 MI				.	.	.	
OAK CREEK (1)	603.30	4	0	0	0	4	Yes	(see Owens River)		.	.	.	
OAK CREEK (2)	626.00	0	0	0	1	1 MI				.	.	.	
OAK CREEK, N FK	603.30	8	0	0	0	8 MI	Yes	(see Owens River)		.	.	.	
OAK CREEK, S FK	603.30	3	0	0	0	3 MI	Yes	(see Owens River)		.	.	.	
OLANCHA CREEK	603.30	0	0	0	6	6 MI	Yes	(see Owens River)		.	.	.	
OWENS RIVER	603.30	0	0	120	0	120 MI	Yes	Elevated fish tissue levels Fish kills Fish population decline	Non-Point	X	.	X	
OWENS RIVER CANAL	603.00	0	0	0	1	1 MI	Yes	(see Owens River)		.	.	.	



STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD 1994 WATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT

Report Date : 05/23/94

Region 6

Rivers and Streams

<u>Water Body Name</u>	<u>Hydro Unit #</u>	<u>Water Quality Condition</u>				<u>Total Size</u>	<u>Units</u>	<u>Fact Sheet</u>	<u>Problem/Need Description</u>	<u>Problem Source</u>	<u>Federal Lists</u>		
		<u>Good</u>	<u>Inter-mediate</u>	<u>Impaired</u>	<u>Unknown</u>						<u>D</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>4</u>
PAPOOSE CREEK	637.30	0	0	0	2	2 MI	Yes	Grazing impacts Possible metals problems (see Eagle Lake 2)	Non-Point	.	.	.	
PARKER CREEK	601.00	0	0	1	7	8 MI	Yes	Recreational impacts Water Diversions (See Mono Lake)	Non-Point	.	.	X	
PELLISIER CREEK	603.20	0	0	0	4	4 MI	Yes	Threat of drinking water impairment Threat of objectives violated Radioactivity/uranium	Non-Point	.	.	.	
PERRY AIKEN CREEK	603.20	0	0	0	12	12 MI	Yes	(see Owens River)		.	.	.	
PETES CREEK	604.00	0	0	0	1	1				.	.	.	
PINE CREEK (1)	603.00	0	0	14	0	14 MI	Yes	Sedimentation Elevated fish tissue levels (see Owens River)	Non-Point	X	.	X	
PINE CREEK (2)	637.30	0	0	24	10	34 MI	Yes	Fish population decline Sedimentation Fisheries habitat degradation	Non-Point	X	.	X	
PINE CREEK (3)	637.40	0	0	0	9	9 MI				.	.	.	
PINYON CREEK	603.30	0	0	0	12	12 MI	Yes	(see Owens River)		.	.	.	



Region 6

Rivers and Streams

Water Body Name	Hydro Unit #	Water Quality Condition				Total Size	Units	Fact Sheet	Problem/Need Description	Problem Source	Federal Lists		
		Good	Inter-		Unknown						3	3	3
			mediate	Impaired									
PIUTE CREEK (R6)	637.20	0	0	0	11	11	MI	Yes	Possible grazing impacts (see Honey Lake)	Non-Point	3	4	9
PLEASANT VALLEY CREEK	632.10	0	0	0	12	12	MI	Yes	(see Carson River, E Fk)		0	1	1
POLE CREEK	635.20	0	0	0	4	4	MI	Yes	Possible sedimentation (see Truckee River)	Non-Point	3	4	9
PROSSER CREEK	635.20	0	12	0	0	12	MI	Yes	Impacts of recreation Hydrologic modification (see Truckee River)	Non-Point	0		
PURDY CREEK	637.10	0	0	0	6	6	MI						
RAIDER CREEK	641.20	0	7	0	0	7	MI		Grazing impacts	Non-Point			
RAWSON CANAL	603.20	0	0	0	6	6	MI	Yes	(see Owens River)				
RED LAKE CREEK	633.20	0	0	0	7	7	MI		Mining drainage	Non-Point			
RED MOUNTAIN CREEK	603.20	0	0	0	1	1	MI	Yes	(see Owens River)				
RED ROCK CREEK	638.00	0	0	0	23	23	MI						
REVERSED CREEK	601.00	0	3	0	0	3	MI	Yes	Sedimentation Eutrophication Urban runoff	Non-Point			



STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD 1994 WATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT

Report Date : 05/23/94

Region 6

Rivers and Streams

<u>Water Body Name</u>	<u>Hydro Unit #</u>	<u>Water Quality Condition</u>				<u>Total Size</u>	<u>Units</u>	<u>Fact Sheet</u>	<u>Problem/Need Description</u>	<u>Problem Source</u>	<u>Federal Lists</u>		
		<u>Good</u>	<u>Inter-mediate</u>	<u>Impaired</u>	<u>Unknown</u>						<u>D</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>4</u>
ROBINSON CREEK	630.30	0	18	0	0	18	MI	Yes	Hydrologic modification Possible sedimentation (see East Walker River)	Non-Point	.	.	.
ROCK CREEK (1)	603.30	0	1	0	48	49	MI	Yes	Sedimentation (see Owens River)		X	.	X
ROCK CREEK (2)	603.30	0	0	0	7	7	MI	Yes	(see Owens River)		.	.	.
RODRIGUEZ CREEK	631.10	0	0	0	4	4	MI	Yes	Threat of objectives violated Threat of toxic bioassay results Mining impacts	Non-Point	.	.	.
ROUGH CREEK	630.00	0	0	8	0	8	MI	Yes	Threat of toxic bioassay results Grazing impacts Public health concerns	Non-Point	X	.	X
RUBICON CREEK	634.20	0	0	3	0	3	MI		Fisheries habitat degradation	Non-Point	.	.	X
RUSH CREEK (1)	601.00	0	0	8	8	16	MI	Yes	Recreational impacts Water Diversions Sedimentation	Non-Point	.	.	X
RUSH CREEK (2)	639.00	0	0	0	8	8	MI				.	.	.
SAGE HEN CREEK	636.00	0	15	0	0	15	MI	Yes	Hydrologic modification (see Little Truckee River)	Non-Point	.	.	.



Region 6

Rivers and Streams

Water Body Name	Hydro Unit #	Water Quality Condition				Total Size	Units	Fact Sheet	Problem/Need Description	Problem Source	Federal Lists		
		Good	Inter-mediate	Impaired	Unknown						D		
SALT CREEK (R6)	609.00	0	33	0	0	33	MI		Natural high salinity Habitat for endangered species	Non-Point	.	.	.
SAWMILL CREEK	603.30	0	0	8	0	8	MI	Yes	Fisheries habitat degradation (see Owens River)		.	.	X
SAWMILL POND CREEK	634.10	0	1	0	0	1			Sedimentation	Non-Point	.	.	.
SAWPIT CREEK	628.00	0	0	0	9	9	MI				.	.	.
SAXON CREEK	634.10	0	4	0	5	9	MI	Yes	Past watershed disturbance Reclaimed landfill (see Lake Tahoe)	Non-Point	.	.	.
SECRET CREEK	639.40	0	0	0	17	17	MI				.	.	.
SEELEY CANYON CREEK	628.00	2	0	0	0	2	MI	Yes	(see Mojave River)		.	.	.
SHALE CREEK	628.00	0	0	0	1	1	MI				.	.	.
SHEEP CREEK	628.00	0	0	0	3	3	MI				.	.	.
SHEPHERD CREEK	603.30	0	0	0	13	13	MI	Yes	(see Owens River)		.	.	.
SHERWIN CREEK	603.10	1	0	0	0	1		Yes	(see Owens River)		.	.	.
SILVER CANYON CREEK	603.20	0	0	0	8	8	MI	Yes	(see Owens River)		.	.	.



STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD 1994 WATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT

Report Date : 05/23/94

Region 6

Rivers and Streams

Water Body Name	Hydro Unit #	Water Quality Condition				Total Size	Units	Fact Sheet	Problem/Need Description	Problem Source	Federal Lists		
		Good	Inter-mediate	Impaired	Unknown						D		
SILVER CREEK (1)	632.10	0	0	8	0	8	MI		Possible mining impacts Sedimentation	Non-Point	X	.	X
SILVER CREEK (2)	631.10	7	0	0	0	7	MI				.	.	.
SILVER KING CREEK	632.10	0	14	0	0	14	MI	Yes	Possible mining impacts Past grazing problems (see Carson River, E Fk)	Non-Point	.	.	.
SKEDADDLE CREEK	637.10	0	0	5	0	5	MI		Grazing impacts Public health concerns	Non-Point	X	.	X
SLINKARD CREEK	631.20	0	0	12	0	12	MI	Yes	Grazing impacts Possible radioactivity Elevated fish tissue levels	Non-Point	X	.	X
SMOKE CREEK	639.00	0	15	0	0	15	MI		Grazing impacts	Non-Point	.	.	.
SNOW CREEK	634.20	0	1	1	0	2	MI	Yes	Watershed disturbance Fisheries habitat degradation (see Lake Tahoe)	Non-Point	X	.	X
SNOWSTORM CREEK	639.40	0	0	0	22	22	MI				.	.	.
SQUAW CREEK	635.20	0	0	8	0	8	MI	Yes	Sedimentation Recreational impacts Elevated fish tissue levels	Non-Point	X	.	X
SUMMIT CREEK (R6)	603.30	0	0	0	1	1	MI	Yes	(see Owens River)		.	.	.



Region 6

Rivers and Streams

Water Body Name	Hydro Unit #	Water Quality Condition				Total Size	Units	Fact Sheet	Problem/Need Description	Problem Source	Federal Lists		
		Good	Inter-mediate	Impaired	Unknown						D		
SUSAN RIVER	637.20	0	0	59	0	59 MI	Yes	Toxic bioassay results Industrial discharges Municipal outfalls	Point & Non-Point	3	3	3	
SWAUGER CREEK	630.40	0	0	0	15	15 MI	Yes	Possible grazing problems Possible metals problems (see East Walker River)	Non-Point	0	1	1	
SWEETWATER CANYON	633.00	0	0	0	5	5 MI	Yes	Possible metals problems (see East Walker River)	Non-Point	3	4	9	
SYMMES CREEK	633.00	0	0	10	0	10 MI		Fisheries habitat degradation	Non-Point				
TABOOSE CREEK	603.30	0	0	13	0	13 MI	Yes	Fisheries habitat degradation (see Owens River)	Non-Point				
TALLAC CREEK	634.10	0	0	0	7	7 MI	Yes	Possible septic system impacts (see Lake Tahoe)	Non-Point				
TAYLOR CREEK	634.10	0	2	0	0	2	Yes	Hydrologic modification Possible metals problems Fisheries habitat degradation	Non-Point				
THIBAUT CREEK	603.30	0	0	0	6	6 MI	Yes	(see Owens River)					
TINEMAHA CREEK	603.20	0	0	0	11	11 MI	Yes	(see Owens River)					
TOLER CREEK	604.00	0	0	0	6	6 MI							

STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD 1994 WATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT

Report Date : 05/23/94

Region 6

Rivers and Streams

<u>Water Body Name</u>	<u>Hydro Unit #</u>	<u>Water Quality Condition</u>				<u>Total Size</u>	<u>Units</u>	<u>Fact Sheet</u>	<u>Problem/Need Description</u>	<u>Problem Source</u>	<u>Federal Lists</u>		
		<u>Good</u>	<u>Inter-mediate</u>	<u>Impaired</u>	<u>Unknown</u>						<u>D</u>	<u>U</u>	<u>S</u>
TROUT CREEK (1)	634.10	0	0	18	0	18	MI	Yes	Urban runoff Grazing impacts Objectives violated	Non-Point	X	.	X
TROUT CREEK (2)	635.20	0	1	0	4	5	MI	Yes	Urban runoff Possible industrial impacts (see Truckee River)	Non-Point	.	.	.
TRUCKEE RIVER	635.20	0	0	106	0	106	MI	Yes	Elevated fish tissue levels Hydrologic modification Sedimentation	Non-Point	X	.	X
TRUCKEE RIVER, UPPER	634.10	0	17	0	0	17	MI	Yes	Sedimentation Grazing impacts (see Lake Tahoe)	Non-Point	.	.	.
TUTTLE CREEK	603.30	0	0	10	0	10	MI	Yes	Fisheries habitat degradation (see Owens River)	Non-Point	X	.	X
TWELVE MILE CREEK	642.00	0	0	0	5	5	MI		Possible grazing impacts	Non-Point	.	.	.
TWIN PEAKS CREEK	628.00	0	0	0	1	1					.	.	.
VIRGINIA CREEK	630.40	0	0	5	14	19	MI	Yes	Sedimentation Grazing impacts Water diversions	Non-Point	.	.	X
WALKER CREEK (R6)	601.00	0	0	3	5	8	MI	Yes	Water Diversions (see Mono Lake)	Non-Point	.	.	X



Region 6

Rivers and Streams

Water Body Name	Hydro Unit #	Water Quality Condition				Total Size	Units	Fact Sheet	Problem/Need Description	Problem Source	Federal Lists
		Good	Inter-mediate	Impaired	Unknown						D
WARD CREEK	634.20	0	0	7	0	7 MI	Yes	Sedimentation Barriers to fish migration Objectives violated	Non-Point	3 3 3 0 1 1 3 4 9 D	
WATSON CREEK	634.20	0	3	0	0	3 MI	Yes	Sedimentation (see Lake Tahoe)	Non-Point	. . .	
WEST WALKER RIVER	631.00	0	0	1	46	47 MI	Yes	Sedimentation Agricultural drainage Water diversions	Non-Point	X . X	
WILDHORSE CREEK	604.00	0	0	0	1	1 MI				. . .	
WILFRID CREEK	603.10	0	0	5	0	5 MI	Yes	Wildlife habitat degradation (see Owens River)		. . X	
WILLARD CREEK	637.20	0	9	0	0	9 MI	Yes	Habitat impairment Possible grazing impacts (see Honey Lake)	Non-Point	. . .	
WILLOW CREEK (1)	603.20	0	0	0	1	1 MI	Yes	(see Owens River)		. . .	
WILLOW CREEK (2)	637.40	0	31	0	0	31 MI		Grazing impacts	Non-Point	. . .	
WILLOW CREEK (3)	637.20	0	0	0	1	1				. . .	
WILLOW CREEK (4)	637.10	0	0	0	1	1				. . .	



STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD 1994 WATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT

Report Date : 05/23/94

Region 6

Rivers and Streams

<u>Water Body Name</u>	<u>Hydro Unit #</u>	<u>Water Quality Condition</u>				<u>Total Size</u>	<u>Units</u>	<u>Fact Sheet</u>	<u>Problem/Need Description</u>	<u>Problem Source</u>	<u>Federal Lists</u>		
		<u>Good</u>	<u>Inter-mediate</u>	<u>Impaired</u>	<u>Unknown</u>						<u>D</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>4</u>
WILLOW CREEK (5)	633.20	0	6	0	0	6		Yes	Grazing impacts Possible iron problem (see Carson River, W Fk)	Non-Point	.	.	.
WILLOW CREEK (6)	609.00	0	7	0	0	7 MI			Natural high salinity Grazing problems	Non-Point	.	.	.
WILSON CREEK (R6)	601.00	0	0	6	3	9 MI		Yes	Recreational impacts Water diversions (See Mono Lake)	Non-Point	.	.	X
WOLF CREEK (1)	632.10	0	0	14	0	14 MI		Yes	Sedimentation Grazing impacts (see Carson River, E Fk)	Non-Point	X	.	X
WOLF CREEK (2)	631.40	0	0	0	6	6 MI		Yes	Grazing impacts (see West Walker River)	Non-Point	.	.	.
WYMAN CREEK	605.00	0	0	0	12	12 MI					.	.	.
YELLOWJACKET CREEK	603.20	0	0	0	1	1 MI		Yes	(see Owens River)		.	.	.



Region 6

Saline Lake

<u>Water Body Name</u>	<u>Hydro Unit #</u>	<u>Water Quality Condition</u>				<u>Total Size</u>	<u>Units</u>	<u>Fact Sheet</u>	<u>Problem/Need Description</u>	<u>Problem Source</u>	<u>Federal Lists</u>		
		<u>Good</u>	<u>Inter-mediate</u>	<u>Impaired</u>	<u>Unknown</u>						<u>D</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>4</u>
ALKALI LAKE, LOWER	641.00	0	0	10855	0	10855	AC	Yes	Natural high salinity Geothermal/agricultural drainage (see Alkali Lakes, Middle)	Non-Point	X	X	X
ALKALI LAKE, MIDDLE	641.00	0	0	39475	0	39475		Yes	Geothermal drainage Natural high salinity Agricultural drainage	Non-Point	X	X	X
ALKALI LAKE, UPPER	641.00	0	0	24250	0	24250	AC	Yes	Geothermal/Agricultural drainage Natural high salinity (see Alkali Lakes, Middle)	Non-Point	X	X	X
DEEP SPRINGS LAKE	605.00	0	0	1400	0	1400	AC	Yes	Natural high salinity Habitat for endangered species (see Owens River)	Non-Point	X	X	X
HONEY LAKE	637.20	0	0	55327	0	55327	AC	Yes	Toxic bioassay results	Point & Non-Point	X	X	X
HONEY LAKE WILDFOWL MGMT.	637.20	0	0	500	0	500	AC	Yes	Threat of toxic bioassay results Fluctuating water levels Habitat for endangered species	Non-Point	X	X	X
MONO LAKE	601.00	0	0	35000	0	35000	AC	Yes	Objectives violated Wildlife habitat impaired Low flows/water diversion	Non-Point	X	X	X
OWENS LAKE	603.30	0	0	175	0	175	AC	Yes	Water diversion Natural high salinity Wildlife habitat impaired	Non-Point	X	X	X



STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD 1994 WATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT

Report Date : 05/23/94

Region 6

Saline Lake

Federal Lists

<u>Water Body Name</u>	<u>Hydro Unit #</u>	<u>Water Quality Condition</u>				<u>Total Size</u>	<u>Units</u>	<u>Fact Sheet</u>	<u>Problem/Need Description</u>	<u>Problem Source</u>	<u>Federal Lists</u>		
		<u>Good</u>	<u>Inter-mediate</u>	<u>Impaired</u>	<u>Unknown</u>						<u>3</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>3</u>
SEARLES LAKE	621.00	0	0	26100	0	26100	AC	Yes	Natural high salinity	Non-Point	X	.	X



Region 6

Wetlands

Water Body Name	Hydro Unit #	Water Quality Condition				Total Size	Units	Fact Sheet	Problem/Need Description	Problem Source	Federal Lists
		Good	Inter-mediate	Impaired	Unknown						D
ADOBE HU, MINOR STREAMS	602.00	0	0	0	1	1	AC			. . .	
ADOBE HU, SPRINGS	602.00	0	0	0	1	1	AC			. . .	
ALKALI LAKES AREA WETLANDS	641.00	0	27000	0	0	27000	AC	Grazing impacts Water diversions Natural high salinity	Non-Point	. . .	
AMARGOSA HU, SPRINGS	609.00	0	0	0	1	1	AC	Habitat for endangered species		. . .	
AMARGOSA HU, STREAMS	609.00	0	0	0	1	1	AC			. . .	
AMARGOSA RIVER WETLANDS	609.00	0	1	0	0	1		Natural high salinity Grazing impacts	Non-Point	. . .	
AMEDEE HOT SPRINGS	637.20	0	0	1	0	1	Yes	Objectives violated Geothermal springs (see Honey Lake)	Non-Point	X . X	
ANTELOPE HU, MINOR STREAMS	626.00	0	0	0	1	1	AC			. . .	
ANTELOPE HU, SPRINGS	626.00	0	0	0	1	1	AC			. . .	
ANTELOPE VALLEY (NL) WETLANDS	631.00	0	1	0	0	1	Yes	Grazing impacts (see West Walker River)	Non-Point	. . .	
BARTLETT RANCH	603.00	0	0	0	1	1	AC	Yes (see Owens River)		. . .	



STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD 1994 WATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT

Report Date : 05/23/94

Region 6

Wetlands

Water Body Name	Hydro Unit #	Water Quality Condition				Total Size	Units	Fact Sheet	Problem/Need Description	Problem Source	Federal Lists
		Good	Inter-mediate	Impaired	Unknown						D
SPRINGS											3 3 3 0 1 1 3 4 9
BENTON HOT SPRINGS	603.00	0	0	0	1	1 AC	Yes	(see Owens River)			. . .
BICYCLE HU, EPHEMERAL STREAMS	616.00	0	0	0	1	1 AC					. . .
BIG MEADOW WETLANDS	634.10	0	1	0	0	1	Yes	Grazing impacts Popular recreation area (see Lake Tahoe)	Non-Point		. . .
BISCAR RESERVOIR AREA WETLANDS	637.40	0	1	0	0	1		Fish kills	Non-Point		. . .
BLACK ROCK SPRINGS	603.00	0	0	0	1	1	Yes	(see Owens River)			. . .
BODIE HILLS WETLANDS	630.00	0	350	1000	0	1350 AC		Grazing impacts			. . X
BRIDGEPORT VALLEY WETLANDS	630.10	0	1	0	0	1	Yes	Metals/Grazing impacts Wetlands alteration (see East Walker River)	Non-Point		. . .
BROADWELL HU, MINOR STREAMS	629.00	0	0	0	1	1 AC					. . .
BROADWELL HU, SPRINGS	629.00	0	0	0	1	1 AC					. . .
BROCKWAY SPRINGS	634.10	0	1	0	0	1		Geothermal springs	Non-Point		. . .



Region 6

Wetlands

Water Body Name	Hydro Unit #	Water Quality Condition				Total Size	Units	Fact Sheet	Problem/Need Description	Problem Source	Federal Lists		
		Good	Inter-mediate	Impaired	Unknown						D		
BROWN HA, EPHEMERAL STREAMS	620.70	0	0	0	1	1	AC				3	3	3
BURTON CREEK SEZ WETLANDS	634.20	0	1	0	0	1		Yes	Wetlands alteration (see Lake Tahoe)	Non-Point	0	1	1
CADY SPRINGS	637.20	1	0	0	0	1		Yes	Threat of septic system impacts Domestic water supply (see Honey Lake)	Non-Point	3	4	9
CARNELIAN CREEK SEZ WETLANDS	634.20	0	1	0	0	1		Yes	Wetlands alteration (see Lake Tahoe)	Non-Point			
CARSON RIVER E FK HU, MINOR STREAMS	632.00	0	0	0	1	1							
CARSON RIVER E FK HU, SPRINGS	632.00	0	0	0	1	1							
CARSON RIVER W FK HU, MINOR STREAMS	633.00	0	0	0	1	1							
CARSON RIVER W FK HU, SPRINGS	633.00	0	0	0	1	1							
CHINA LAKE HA, MINOR STREAMS	624.20	0	0	0	1	1	AC						
CHINA LAKE HA, N	624.20	0	0	0	1	1	AC						



STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD 1994 WATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT

Report Date : 05/23/94

Region 6

Wetlands

<u>Water Body Name</u>	<u>Hydro Unit #</u>	<u>Water Quality Condition</u>				<u>Total Size</u>	<u>Units</u>	<u>Fact Sheet</u>	<u>Problem/Need Description</u>	<u>Problem Source</u>	<u>Federal Lists</u>		
		<u>Good</u>	<u>Inter-mediate</u>	<u>Impaired</u>	<u>Unknown</u>						<u>D</u>		
SPRINGS											3	3	3
CINDER CONE SPRINGS	635.00	0	0	1	0	1	Yes	Objectives violated Domestic wastewater impacts (see Truckee River)	Non-Point		X	.	X
COSO HU, MINOR STREAMS	622.00	0	0	0	1	1 AC					.	.	.
COSO HU, SPRINGS	622.00	0	0	0	1	1 AC					.	.	.
COTTONBALL MARSH WETLANDS	609.00	0	0	0	650	650 AC		Habitat for endangered species			.	.	.
COWHEAD LAKE WETLANDS	641.30	0	0	0	1	1					.	.	.
COYOTE HU, MINOR STREAMS	618.00	0	0	0	1	1 AC					.	.	.
COYOTE HU, SPRINGS	618.00	0	0	0	1	1 AC					.	.	.
CUDDEBACK HU, MINOR STREAMS	627.00	0	0	0	1	1 AC					.	.	.
CUDDEBACK HU, SPRINGS	627.00	0	0	0	1	1 AC					.	.	.
DARWIN HA, EPHEMERAL STREAMS	620.50	0	0	0	1	1 AC					.	.	.



Region 6

Wetlands

Water Body Name	Hydro Unit #	Water Quality Condition				Total Size	Units	Fact Sheet	Problem/Need Description	Problem Source	Federal Lists
		Good	Inter-mediate	Impaired	Unknown						D
DEEP SPRINGS HU, OTHER STREAMS	605.00	0	0	0	1	1	AC				3 3 3 0 1 1 3 4 9
DEEP SPRINGS HU, SPRINGS	605.00	0	0	0	1	1	AC				
DEEP SPRINGS LAKE/MARSH WETLANDS	605.00	0	320	0	0	320	AC		Natural high salinity Habitat for endangered species	Non-Point	
DIAMOND VALLEY WETLANDS	633.10	0	1	0	0	1			Grazing impacts	Non-Point	
DISMAL SWAMP	642.00	0	0	0	100	100	AC		Possible metals problems	Non-Point	
EAGLE HA, MINOR STREAMS	637.30	0	0	0	1	1					
EAGLE HA, SPRINGS	637.30	0	0	0	1	1					
EAGLE LAKE AREA WETLANDS	637.31	0	1	0	0	1		Yes	Metals/Grazing impacts Fluctuating lake levels (see Eagle Lake)	Non-Point	
EAST WALKER RIVER HU, MINOR STREAMS	630.00	0	0	0	1	1					
EAST WALKER RIVER HU, SPRINGS	630.00	0	0	0	1	1					



STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD 1994 WATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT

Report Date : 05/23/94

Region 6

Wetlands

Water Body Name	Hydro Unit #	Water Quality Condition				Total Size	Units	Fact Sheet	Problem/Need Description	Problem Source	Federal Lists
		Good	Inter-mediate	Impaired	Unknown						D
EUREKA HU, MINOR STREAMS	606.00	0	0	0	1	1		Possible metals problems		. . .	
EUREKA HU, SPRINGS	606.00	0	0	0	1	1 AC		Possible metals problems		. . .	
FALES HOT SPRINGS	631.00	0	0	1	0	1	Yes	Geothermal springs Arsenic, uranium (see West Walker River)	Non-Point	X . X	
FISH LAKE HU, SPRINGS	604.00	0	0	0	1	1		Radioactivity/uranium	Non-Point	. . .	
FISH SLOUGH WETLANDS	603.20	1	0	0	0	1	Yes	Water diversion Threat of rare/endangered species impact Grazing impacts	Non-Point	. . .	
FISH SPRINGS	603.00	0	0	0	1	1 AC	Yes	(see Owens River)		. . .	
FISH VALLEY WETLANDS	632.10	0	0	0	1	1				. . .	
FOUNTAIN PLACE WETLANDS	634.10	0	1	0	0	1	Yes	Grazing impacts (see Lake Tahoe)	Non-Point	. . .	
FREMONT HU, MINOR STREAMS	625.00	0	0	0	1	1 AC				. . .	
FREMONT HU, SPRINGS	625.00	0	0	0	1	1 AC				. . .	
G-1 SEEP	624.00	0	0	0	1	1 AC		Habitat for endangered species		. . .	



Region 6

Wetlands

<u>Water Body Name</u>	<u>Hydro Unit #</u>	<u>Water Quality Condition</u>				<u>Total Size</u>	<u>Units</u>	<u>Fact Sheet</u>	<u>Problem/Need Description</u>	<u>Problem Source</u>	<u>Federal Lists</u>		
		<u>Good</u>	<u>Inter-mediate</u>	<u>Impaired</u>	<u>Unknown</u>						<u>3</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>9</u>
GOLDSTONE HU, EPHEMERAL STREAMS	617.00	0	0	0	1	1	AC			.	.	.	
GRANITE HU, EPHEMERAL STREAMS	615.00	0	0	0	1	1	AC			.	.	.	
GRASS LAKE WETLANDS	634.10	0	0	0	360	360		Yes	Popular recreation area Threat of ASBS impairment (see Lake Tahoe)	Non-Point	.	.	.
GREEN CREEK WETLANDS	630.40	0	0	0	1	1		Yes	(see East Walker River)		.	.	.
GRIZZLY MEADOW WETLANDS	631.40	0	0	0	1	1					.	.	.
GROVER HOT SPRINGS	632.00	0	1	0	0	1		Yes	Geothermal springs Popular recreation area (see Carson River, E Fk)	Non-Point	.	.	.
HAIWEE RESERVOIR AREA WETLANDS	603.30	0	1	0	0	1		Yes	Water diversions (see Owens River)	Non-Point	.	.	.
HARPER LAKE WETLANDS	628.42	0	0	0	1	1	AC		Naturally occurring trace elements	Non-Point	.	.	.
HARTSON LAKE WETLANDS	637.20	0	1	0	0	1		Yes	Agricultural drainage Trace elements (see Honey Lake)	Non-Point	.	.	.
HAYPRESS MEADOWS	634.10	10	0	0	0	10	AC		Popular recreation area		.	.	.



STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD 1994 WATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT

Report Date : 05/23/94

Region 6

Wetlands

<u>Water Body Name</u>	<u>Hydro Unit #</u>	<u>Water Quality Condition</u>				<u>Total Size</u>	<u>Units</u>	<u>Fact Sheet</u>	<u>Problem/Need Description</u>	<u>Problem Source</u>	<u>Federal Lists</u>		
		<u>Good</u>	<u>Inter-mediate</u>	<u>Impaired</u>	<u>Unknown</u>						<u>D</u>		
HEENAN LAKE AREA WETLANDS	632.10	0	1	0	0	1	Yes	Grazing impacts (see Carson River, E Fk)	Non-Point	.	.	.	
HERLONG HA, MINOR STREAMS	637.10	0	0	0	1	1				.	.	.	
HERLONG HA, SPRINGS	637.10	0	0	0	1	1				.	.	.	
HOBART MILLS AREA WETLANDS	635.20	0	1	0	0	1	Yes	Possible industrial impact (see Truckee River)	Non-Point	.	.	.	
HONEY LAKE AREA WETLANDS	637.20	0	0	12000	0	12000 AC	Yes	Geothermal impacts Agricultural impacts Habitat for endangered species	Point & Non-Point	X	.	X	
HOPE VALLEY WETLANDS	633.20	0	1	0	0	1	Yes	Grazing impacts Popular recreation area (see Carson River, E Fk)	Non-Point	.	.	.	
HORSE LAKE WETLANDS	637.40	0	0	0	1	1				.	.	.	
HOT SPRINGS CREEK VALLEY WETLANDS	632.10	0	0	0	1	1	Yes	Geothermal impacts (see Carson River, E Fk)	Point & Non-Point	.	.	.	
HUNTOON VALLEY WETLANDS	630.40	0	1	0	0	1	Yes	Grazing impacts (see East Walker River)	Non-Point	.	.	.	
INDEPENDENCE LAKE	636.00	0	0	0	1	1	Yes	(see Little Truckee River)		.	.	.	



Region 6

Wetlands

<u>Water Body Name</u>	<u>Hydro Unit #</u>	<u>Water Quality Condition</u>				<u>Total Size</u>	<u>Units</u>	<u>Fact Sheet</u>	<u>Problem/Need Description</u>	<u>Problem Source</u>	<u>Federal Lists</u>		
		<u>Good</u>	<u>Inter-mediate</u>	<u>Impaired</u>	<u>Unknown</u>						<u>D</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>4</u>
AREA WETLANDS													
IVANPAH HU, MINOR STREAMS	612.00	0	0	0	1	1 AC						3 3 3	
IVANPAH HU, SPRINGS	612.00	0	0	0	1	1 AC						0 1 1	
KEOUGH HOT SPRINGS	603.00	0	0	1	0	1	Yes	High fluoride Popular recreation area (see Owens River)	Non-Point			3 4 9	
KYBURZ MARSH	636.00	0	300	0	0	300	Yes	Grazing/ORV impacts Military impacts (see Truckee River)	Non-Point			D	
LACEY VALLEY WETLANDS	636.00	0	0	0	150	150 AC		Habitat for endangered species					
LEACH HU, MINOR STREAMS	614.00	0	0	0	1	1 AC							
LEACH HU, SPRINGS	614.00	0	0	0	1	1 AC							
LEAVITT MEADOWS WETLANDS	631.40	0	1	0	0	1	Yes	Grazing impacts Possible mining impacts (see West Walker River)	Non-Point				
LEE FLAT HA, EPHEMERAL STREAMS	620.30	0	0	0	1	1 AC							



STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD 1994 WATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT

Report Date : 05/23/94

Region 6

Wetlands

<u>Water Body Name</u>	<u>Hydro Unit #</u>	<u>Water Quality Condition</u>				<u>Total Size</u>	<u>Units</u>	<u>Fact Sheet</u>	<u>Problem/Need Description</u>	<u>Problem Source</u>	<u>Federal Lists</u>		
		<u>Good</u>	<u>Inter-mediate</u>	<u>Impaired</u>	<u>Unknown</u>						<u>D</u>	<u>U</u>	<u>S</u>
LITTLE TRUCKEE RIVER HU, MINOR STREAMS	636.00	0	0	0	1	1					3	3	3
LITTLE TRUCKEE RIVER HU, SPRINGS	636.00	0	0	0	1	1					0	1	1
LONG VALLEY CREEK WETLANDS	637.10	0	1	0	0	1	Yes	Grazing impacts Possible trace elements (see Honey Lake)	Non-Point		3	4	9
MADLINE PLAINS, COLD SPRINGS	638.00	0	0	0	1	1							
MADLINE PLAINS, MINOR STREAMS	638.00	0	0	0	1	1							
MADLINE PLAINS, SPRINGS	638.00	0	0	0	1	1							
MARTIS VALLEY WETLANDS	635.20	0	1	0	0	1	Yes	Possible metals problem Wetlands alteration (see Truckee River)	Non-Point				
MEEKS CREEK MEADOW/MARSH WETLANDS	634.20	0	1	0	0	1	Yes	Wetlands alteration Popular recreation area (see Lake Tahoe)	Non-Point				



Region 6

Wetlands

Water Body Name	Hydro Unit #	Water Quality Condition				Total Size	Units	Fact Sheet	Problem/Need Description	Problem Source	Federal Lists
		Good	Inter-mediate	Impaired	Unknown						D
MEISS MEADOWS WETLANDS	634.10	0	0	1	0	1 AC	Yes	Grazing impacts Wildlife habitat degradation Popular recreation area	Non-Point	. . X	
MESQUITE HU, MINOR STREAMS	611.00	0	0	0	1	1 AC				. . .	
MESQUITE HU, SPRINGS	611.00	0	0	0	1	1 AC				. . .	
MOJAVE HU, MINOR STREAMS	628.00	0	0	0	1	1 AC				. . .	
MOJAVE HU, SPRINGS	628.00	0	0	0	1	1 AC				. . .	
MOJAVE HU, ZYZYX SPRING	628.00	0	0	0	1	1 AC		Habitat for endangered species		. . .	
MOJAVE RIVER WETLANDS	628.00	0	1	0	0	1		Sedimentation Water diversions Natural high salinity	Non-Point	. . .	
MONO HU, MINOR STREAMS	601.00	0	0	0	1	1 AC	Yes	(see Mono Lake)		. . .	
MONO LAKE AREA WETLANDS	601.00	0	0	1	0	1 AC	Yes	Water diversions Wildlife habitat degradation	Non-Point	. . X	
NORTH TAHOE HA, MINOR STREAMS	634.10	0	0	0	1	1				. . .	



STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD 1994 WATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT

Report Date : 05/23/94

Region 6

Wetlands

<u>Water Body Name</u>	<u>Hydro Unit #</u>	<u>Water Quality Condition</u>				<u>Total Size</u>	<u>Units</u>	<u>Fact Sheet</u>	<u>Problem/Need Description</u>	<u>Problem Source</u>	<u>Federal Lists</u>		
		<u>Good</u>	<u>Inter-mediate</u>	<u>Impaired</u>	<u>Unknown</u>						<u>D</u>	<u>U</u>	<u>S</u>
NORTH TAHOE HA, SPRINGS	634.10	0	0	0	1	1					3	3	3
OSGOOD SWAMP WETLANDS	634.10	0	1	0	0	1		Yes	Wetlands alteration (see Lake Tahoe)	Non-Point	0	1	1
OWENS HU, MINOR STREAMS - CHALFANT V.	603.00	0	1	0	0	1 AC		Yes	Grazing impacts (see Owens River)		3	4	9
OWENS HU, MINOR STREAMS - LOWER OWENS	603.00	0	1	0	0	1 AC		Yes	Grazing impacts (see Owens River)				
OWENS HU, OTHER SPRINGS - CHALFANT V.	603.00	0	1	0	0	1 AC		Yes	Grazing impacts (see Owens River)				
OWENS HU, SPRINGS - LOWER OWENS HA	603.00	0	1	0	0	1 AC		Yes	(see Owens River)				
OWENS HU, WARM SPRINGS - CHALFANT V.	603.00	0	1	0	0	1 AC		Yes	(see Owens River)				
OWENS LAKE WETLANDS	603.30	0	0	1	0	1 AC		Yes	Water diversions Wildlife habitat degradation (see Owens River)	Non-Point			X



Region 6

Wetlands

<u>Water Body Name</u>	<u>Hydro Unit #</u>	<u>Water Quality Condition</u>				<u>Total Size</u>	<u>Units</u>	<u>Fact Sheet</u>	<u>Problem/Need Description</u>	<u>Problem Source</u>	<u>Federal Lists</u>		
		<u>Good</u>	<u>Inter- mediate</u>	<u>Impaired</u>	<u>Unknown</u>						<u>D</u>		
OWENS RIVER WETLANDS	603.00	0	1	0	0	1		Yes	Water diversions Grazing impacts (see Owens River)	Non-Point	.	.	.
OWL CREEK MARSH WETLANDS	641.00	0	0	0	100	100			Potential water diversion impacts Habitat for endangered species	Non-Point	.	.	.
OWLSHEAD HU, MINOR STREAMS	613.00	0	0	0	1	1 AC					.	.	.
OWLSHEAD HU, SPRINGS	613.00	0	0	0	1	1 AC					.	.	.
PAHRUMP HU, EPHEMERAL STREAMS	610.00	0	0	0	1	1 AC					.	.	.
PANAMINT HA, EPHEMERAL STREAMS	620.60	0	0	0	1	1 AC					.	.	.
PERAZZO VALLEY WETLANDS	636.00	0	130	0	0	130 AC		Yes	Habitat for endangered species Grazing impacts (see Little Truckee River)		.	.	.
PICKEL MEADOWS WETLANDS	631.40	0	1	0	0	1		Yes	Grazing impacts Possible mining impacts (see West Walker River)	Non-Point	.	.	.
PIUTE PONDS WETLANDS	626.00	0	0	0	1	1 AC					.	.	.



STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD 1994 WATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT

Report Date : 05/23/94

Region 6

Wetlands

<u>Water Body Name</u>	<u>Hydro Unit #</u>	<u>Water Quality Condition</u>				<u>Total Size</u>	<u>Units</u>	<u>Fact Sheet</u>	<u>Problem/Need Description</u>	<u>Problem Source</u>	<u>Federal Lists</u>
		<u>Good</u>	<u>Inter-mediate</u>	<u>Impaired</u>	<u>Unknown</u>						<u>D</u>
PLEASANT VALLEY WETLANDS	632.10	0	1	0	0	1		Yes	Grazing impacts (see Carson River, E Fk)	Non-Point	3 3 3 0 1 1 3 4 9 D
POLE CREEK WETLANDS	635.20	0	1	0	0	1		Yes	Grazing impacts Threat of sedimentation (see Truckee River)	Non-Point	. . .
POPE MARSH WETLANDS	634.10	0	468	0	0	468	AC	Yes	Urban runoff Hydrologic modification Wetlands alteration	Non-Point	. . .
RACE TRACK HU, EPHEMERAL STREAMS	608.00	0	0	0	1	1	AC				. . .
RED ROCK CREEK MEADOW WETLANDS	638.00	0	0	0	1	1					. . .
ROBBERS HA, EPHEMERAL STREAMS	620.80	0	0	0	1	1	AC				. . .
ROGERS LAKE WETLANDS	601.00	0	0	0	1	1		Yes	(see Mono Lake)		. . .
ROSE HA, MINOR STREAMS	624.10	0	0	0	1	1	AC				. . .
ROSE HA, SPRINGS	624.10	0	0	0	1	1	AC				. . .
SAGEHEN CREEK FENS WETLANDS	636.00	1	0	0	0	1		Yes	Threat of ASBS impairment (see Little Truckee River)	Non-Point	. . .



Region 6

Wetlands

Water Body Name	Hydro Unit #	Water Quality Condition				Total Size	Units	Fact Sheet	Problem/Need Description	Problem Source	Federal Lists		
		Good	Inter-mediate	Impaired	Unknown						D		
SALINE HU, EPHEMERAL STREAMS	607.00	0	0	0	1	1	AC				3	3	3
SANTA ROSA HA, EPHEMERAL STREAMS	620.40	0	0	0	1	1	AC				0	1	1
SEARLES HA, SPRINGS	621.00	0	0	0	1	1	AC				3	4	9
SEARLES HU, MINOR STREAMS	621.00	0	0	0	1	1	AC				D		
SILVER KING VALLEY WETLANDS	632.10	0	0	0	1	1		Yes	(see Carson River, E Fk)				
SLINKARD VALLEY WETLANDS	631.20	0	1	0	0	1	AC		Grazing impacts				
SNOW CREEK SEZ WETLANDS	634.20	0	1	0	0	1		Yes	Wetlands alteration (see Lake Tahoe)	Non-Point			
SNOWSTORM HA, MINOR STREAMS	637.40	0	0	0	1	1							
SNOWSTORM HA, SPRINGS	637.40	0	0	0	1	1							
SODA CONE	632.00	0	0	0	1	1		Yes	Geothermal springs (see Carson River, E Fk)	Non-Point			



STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD 1994 WATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT

Report Date : 05/23/94

Region 6

Wetlands

Water Body Name	Hydro Unit #	Water Quality Condition				Total Size	Units	Fact Sheet	Problem/Need Description	Problem Source	Federal Lists			
		Good	Inter-mediate	Impaired	Unknown						D			
SOUTH TAHOE HA, MINOR STREAMS	634.10	0	0	0	1	1					3	3	3	
SQUAW CREEK MEADOW WETLANDS	635.20	0	0	450	0	450	AC	Yes	Wetlands alteration Impacts of recreation (see Truckee River)	Non-Point		0	1	1
SUPERIOR HU, MINOR STREAMS	619.00	0	0	0	1	1	AC					3	4	9
SUPERIOR HU, SPRINGS	619.00	0	0	0	1	1	AC							
SURPRISE VALLEY HU, MINOR STREAMS	641.00	0	0	0	1	1								
SURPRISE VALLEY HU, SPRINGS	641.00	0	0	0	1	1			Some geothermal springs	Non-Point				
SUSAN RIVER HA, MINOR STREAMS	637.20	0	0	0	1	1								
SUSAN RIVER HA, SPRINGS	637.20	0	0	0	1	1								
TAHOE MEADOWS WETLANDS	634.10	0	1	0	0	1		Yes	Wetlands alteration (see Lake Tahoe)	Non-Point				



Region 6

Wetlands

Water Body Name	Hydro Unit #	Water Quality Condition				Total Size	Units	Fact Sheet	Problem/Need Description	Problem Source	Federal Lists
		Good	Inter-mediate	Impaired	Unknown						D
TAYLOR CREEK MEADOWS/MARSH WETLANDS	634.10	0	1	0	0	1	Yes	Grazing impacts Possible metals impacts (see Lake Tahoe)	Non-Point	3 3 3 0 1 1 3 4 9	
TECOPA HOT SPRINGS WETLANDS	609.40	0	1	0	0	1		Threat of Rare & Endangered Species Water diversions	Non-Point	. . .	
TOP SPRING	637.20	0	0	1	0	1	Yes	Drinking water impairment Objectives violated (see Honey Lake)	Non-Point	X . X	
TRAVERTINE HOT SPRING	630.10	0	1	0	0	1 AC		Geothermal springs	Non-Point	. . .	
TROUT CREEK MEADOW WETLANDS	634.10	0	1	0	0	1	Yes	Wetlands alteration Possible industrial impacts (see Lake Tahoe)	Non-Point	. . .	
TRUCKEE RIVER HU, MINOR STREAMS	635.00	0	0	0	1	1				. . .	
TRUCKEE RIVER HU, SPRINGS	635.00	0	0	0	1	1				. . .	
UPPER CACTUS HU, MINOR STREAMS	623.00	0	0	0	1	1 AC				. . .	
UPPER CACTUS HU, SPRINGS	623.00	0	0	0	1	1 AC				. . .	



STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD 1994 WATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT

Report Date : 05/23/94

Region 6

Wetlands

<u>Water Body Name</u>	<u>Hydro Unit #</u>	<u>Water Quality Condition</u>				<u>Total Size</u>	<u>Units</u>	<u>Fact Sheet</u>	<u>Problem/Need Description</u>	<u>Problem Source</u>	<u>Federal Lists</u>		
		<u>Good</u>	<u>Inter-mediate</u>	<u>Impaired</u>	<u>Unknown</u>						<u>D</u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
UPPER TRUCKEE RIVER MEADOW WETLANDS	634.10	0	1	0	0	1	Yes	Wetlands alteration Urban runoff (see Lake Tahoe)	Non-Point	.	.	.	
WATSON CREEK SEZ WETLANDS	634.20	0	1	0	0	1	Yes	Offroad vehicles (see Lake Tahoe)	Non-Point	.	.	.	
WENDEL HOT SPRINGS	637.20	0	0	1	0	1	Yes	Objectives violated Geothermal springs (see Honey Lake)	Non-Point	X	.	X	
WEST WALKER RIVER HU, MINOR STREAMS	631.00	0	0	0	1	1				.	.	.	
WEST WALKER RIVER HU, SPRINGS	631.00	0	0	0	1	1				.	.	.	
WILDROSE HA, EPHEMERAL STREAMS	620.20	0	0	0	1	1 AC				.	.	.	
WINGATE HA, EPHEMERAL STREAMS	620.10	0	0	0	1	1 AC				.	.	.	



6 ZONE R

STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD 1994 WATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT

Report Date : 05/23/94

Region 7

Ground Water

<u>Water Body Name</u>	<u>Hydro Unit #</u>	<u>Water Quality Condition</u>				<u>Total Size</u>	<u>Units</u>	<u>Fact Sheet</u>	<u>Problem/Need Description</u>	<u>Problem Source</u>	<u>Federal Lists</u>		
		<u>Good</u>	<u>Inter-mediate</u>	<u>Impaired</u>	<u>Unknown</u>						<u>D</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>4</u>
AMES VALLEY	705.00	0	0	0	150	150	SQMI			.	.	.	
AMOS VALLEY	726.00	220	0	0	0	220	SQMI			.	.	.	
ARROYO SECO VALLEY	715.50	0	0	0	430	430	SQMI			.	.	.	
BESSEMER VALLEY	703.00	85	0	0	0	85	SQMI			.	.	.	
BORREGO VALLEY	722.13	108	2	0	0	110	SQMI	Yes	Threat of drinking water impairment	Point	.	.	.
BRISTOL VALLEY	710.00	710	0	0	0	710	SQMI			.	.	.	
BUCK RIDGE FAULT VALLEY	720.00	0	0	0	47	47	SQMI			.	.	.	
CADIZ VALLEY	711.00	430	0	0	0	430	SQMI			.	.	.	
CALZONA VALLEY	715.10	150	0	0	0	150	SQMI			.	.	.	
CANEBRAKE VALLEY	722.63	0	0	0	16	16	SQMI			.	.	.	
CHEMEHUEVI VALLEY	714.00	440	0	0	0	440	SQMI			.	.	.	
CHOCOLATE VALLEY	725.00	0	0	0	120	120	SQMI			.	.	.	
CHUCKWALLA VALLEY	717.	870	0	0	0	870	SQMI			.	.	.	
CLARK VALLEY	720.00	40	0	0	0	40	SQMI			.	.	.	



Region 7

Ground Water

Water Body Name	Hydro Unit #	Water Quality Condition				Total Size	Units	Fact Sheet	Problem/Need Description	Problem Source	Federal Lists		
		Good	Inter-mediate	Impaired	Unknown						D		
COACHELLA VA. GW.	719.47	662	0	28	0	690	SQMI	Yes	Threat of drinking water impairment	Point & Non-Point	3	3	3
COLLINS VALLEY	722.12	0	0	0	25	25	SQMI				0	1	1
COPPER MOUNTAIN VALLEY	708.10	0	110	0	0	110	SQMI				3	4	9
COYOTE WELLS VALLEY	723.20	0	100	0	0	100	SQMI						
DALE VALLEY	709.20	260	0	0	0	260	SQMI						
DAVIES VALLEY	724.00	0	0	0	13	13	SQMI						
DEADMAN VALLEY	707.00	160	0	0	0	160	SQMI						
EAST SALTON SEA BASIN	723.10	150	0	0	0	150	SQMI						
FENNER VALLEY	710.10	720	0	0	0	720	SQMI						
HELENDALE FAULT	702.00	0	0	0	4	4	SQMI						
HEXIE MOUNTAIN AREA	717.30	0	0	0	35	35	SQMI						
IMPERIAL VA. GW.	723.10	1870	0	0	0	1870	SQMI						
IRON RIDGE AREA	703.00	0	0	0	12	12	SQMI						
JACUMBA VALLEY	722.72	0	0	0	10	10	SQMI						



STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD 1994 WATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT

Report Date : 05/23/94

Region 7

Ground Water

<u>Water Body Name</u>	<u>Hydro Unit #</u>	<u>Water Quality Condition</u>				<u>Total Size</u>	<u>Units</u>	<u>Fact Sheet</u>	<u>Problem/Need Description</u>	<u>Problem Source</u>	<u>Federal Lists</u>		
		<u>Good</u>	<u>Inter-mediate</u>	<u>Impaired</u>	<u>Unknown</u>						<u>D</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>4</u>
JACUMBA VALLEY-E	723.20	0	0	0	8	8	SQMI			.	.	.	
JOHNSON VALLEY	702.00	150	0	0	0	150	SQMI			.	.	.	
LANFAIR VALLEY	713.40	0	280	0	0	280	SQMI			.	.	.	
LAVIC VALLEY	706.00	35	0	5	0	40	SQMI			.	.	.	
LOST HORSE VALLEY	708.10	0	0	0	40	40	SQMI			.	.	.	
LUCERNE VALLEY	701.00	260	0	0	0	260	SQMI	Yes	Threat of drinking water impairment	Point	.	.	.
MASON VALLEY	722.50	0	0	0	17	17	SQMI			.	.	.	
MEANS VALLEY	704.00	25	0	0	0	25	SQMI			.	.	.	
MORONGO VALLEY	719.43	13	1	0	0	14	SQMI	Yes	Threat of drinking water impairment	Point	.	.	.
NEEDLES VALLEY	713.30	131	0	9	0	140	SQMI	Yes	Threat of drinking water impairment	Point & Non-Point	.	.	.
OCOTILLO VALLEY	722.20	0	0	0	410	410	SQMI			.	.	.	
OGILBY VALLEY	726.00	220	0	0	0	220	SQMI			.	.	.	
OROCOPIA VALLEY	725.00	0	0	0	140	140	SQMI			.	.	.	
PALO VERDE MESA	715.40	0	0	0	280	280	SQMI			.	.	.	
PALO VERDE VA.	715.40	135	50	15	0	200	SQMI	Yes	Threat of drinking water impairment	Point & Non-Point	.	.	.



Region 7

Ground Water

Water Body Name	Hydro Unit #	Water Quality Condition				Total Size	Units	Fact Sheet	Problem/Need Description	Problem Source	Federal Lists		
		Good	Inter-mediate	Impaired	Unknown						D		
PINTO VALLEY	717.30	0	0	0	310	310	SQMI				3	3	3
PINYON WASH AREA	722.30	0	0	0	16	16	SQMI				0	1	1
PIPES CANYON FAULT VALLEY	705.00	0	0	0	9	9	SQMI				3	4	9
PIUTE VALLEY	713.10	270	0	0	0	270	SQMI				D		
PLEASANT VALLEY (R7)	717.40	0	0	0	26	26	SQMI						
QUIEN SABE POINT VALLEY	715.30	0	0	0	40	40	SQMI						
RICE VALLEY	716.00	300	0	0	0	300	SQMI						
SAN FELIPE VALLEY	722.40	0	0	0	40	40	SQMI						
TERWILLIGER VALLEY	722.11	0	0	0	10	10	SQMI						
TWENTYNINE PALMS VALLEY	709.10	140	34	6	0	180	SQMI	Yes	Military base impacts	Point			
VALLECITO-CARRIZO VALLEY	722.61	0	0	0	200	200	SQMI						
VIDAL VALLEY	715.10	160	0	0	0	160	SQMI						
WARD VALLEY	712.00	770	0	0	0	770	SQMI	Yes	Local high fluoride	Point			



STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD 1994 WATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT

Report Date : 05/23/94

Region 7

Ground Water

<u>Water Body Name</u>	<u>Hydro Unit #</u>	<u>Water Quality Condition</u>				<u>Total Size</u>	<u>Units</u>	<u>Fact Sheet</u>	<u>Problem/Need Description</u>	<u>Problem Source</u>	<u>Federal Lists</u>		
		<u>Good</u>	<u>Inter-mediate</u>	<u>Impaired</u>	<u>Unknown</u>						<u>D</u>		
WARREN VALLEY	708.20	0	20	0	0	20	SQMI				3	3	3
WEST SALTON SEA BASIN	721.00	190	0	0	0	190	SQMI				0	1	1
WHALE PEAK AREA	722.20	0	0	0	3	3	SQMI				3	4	9
YAQUI WELL AREA	722.30	0	0	0	32	32	SQMI						
YUMA VALLEY	727.00	170	0	0	0	170	SQMI						



Region 7

Lakes and Reservoirs

<u>Water Body Name</u>	<u>Hydro Unit #</u>	<u>Water Quality Condition</u>				<u>Total Size</u>	<u>Units</u>	<u>Fact Sheet</u>	<u>Problem/Need Description</u>	<u>Problem Source</u>	<u>Federal Lists</u>							
		<u>Good</u>	<u>Inter-mediate</u>	<u>Impaired</u>	<u>Unknown</u>						<u>D</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>9</u>				
CAHUILLA LAKE	714.00	135	0	0	0	135	AC											
FINNEY LAKE	723.10	0	310	0	0	310	AC	No	Sedimentation	Non-Point		X						
HAUGHTELIN LAKE	727.00	0	0	0	50	50	AC											
HAVASU LAKE	714.00	25000	0	0	0	25000	AC	Yes	Threat of objectives violated (Selenium & Salinity); Threat of elevated fish tissue levels (Selenium).	Non-Point		X						
IMPERIAL LAKE	715.50	0	0	0	7296	7296	AC											
RAMER LAKE	723.10	0	180	0	0	180	AC	No	Sedimentation	Non-Point		X						
SENATOR WASH RES	715.50	354	0	0	0	354	AC											
SUNBEAM LAKE	723.10	0	0	0	15	15	AC											
WEST POND	723.10	50	0	0	0	50	AC											
WIEST LAKE	723.10	0	0	0	55	55	AC											



STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD 1994 WATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT

Report Date : 05/23/94

Region 7

Rivers and Streams

Water Body Name	Hydro Unit #	Water Quality Condition				Total Size	Units	Fact Sheet	Problem/Need Description	Problem Source	Federal Lists		
		Good	Inter-mediate	Impaired	Unknown						D		
ALAMO RIVER	723.10	0	0	52	0	52	MI	Yes	Elevated fish tissue levels Toxic bioassay results Recreational impacts	Non-Point	X	.	X
ANDREAS CREEK	719.47	0	0	0	7	7	MI				.	.	.
ANTELOPE CREEK	705.00	16	0	0	0	16	MI				.	.	.
ARRASTRE CREEK	717.30	10	0	0	0	10	MI				.	.	.
AZALEA CREEK	702.00	4	0	0	0	4	MI				.	.	.
BANNER CREEK	722.40	0	10	0	0	10	MI	Yes	Threat of objective violated (bacteria)	Non-Point	.	.	.
BARD VALLEY DRAINS	727.00	0	20	0	0	20	MI	Yes	Threat of objectives violated Threat of toxic bioassay results Threat of sedimentation	Non-Point	.	.	.
BIG MORONGO CREEK	719.10	15	0	0	0	15	MI				.	.	.
BORREGO PALM CANYON CREEK	727.13	0	10	0	0	10	MI	Yes	Threat of objective violated (bacteria)	Unknown	.	.	.
BOUNDARY CREEK	722.72	0	10	0	0	10	MI	Yes	Threat of objective violated (bacteria)	Non-Point	.	.	.
BROWN CREEK	719.37	0	0	0	2	2	MI				.	.	.
CARRIZO CREEK	722.70	0	45	0	0	45	MI	Yes	Threat of objective violated (bacteria)	Non-Point	.	.	.



Region 7

Rivers and Streams

<u>Water Body Name</u>	<u>Hydro Unit #</u>	<u>Water Quality Condition</u>				<u>Total Size</u>	<u>Units</u>	<u>Fact Sheet</u>	<u>Problem/Need Description</u>	<u>Problem Source</u>	<u>Federal Lists</u>		
		<u>Good</u>	<u>Inter-mediate</u>	<u>Impaired</u>	<u>Unknown</u>						<u>D</u>	<u>U</u>	<u>S</u>
CHINO CANYON CREEK	719.47	0	0	0	3	3 MI					3	3	3
COACHELLA VA. DRAINS	719.47	0	63	0	0	63 MI	Yes	Threat of objectives violated Threat of toxic bioassay results Threat of sedimentation	Non-Point		0	1	1
COACHELLA VALLEY STORM CHANNEL	719.47	0	0	20	0	20 MI	Yes	Bacteria objective violated Threat of toxic bioassay results	Non-Point		3	4	9
COLORADO RIVER	715.00	230	0	0	0	230 MI	Yes	Threat of objectives violated (Selenium & Salinity); Elevated fish tissue levels (Selenium, DDT, Total Chlordane)	Point & Non-Point				
COPPER BASIN CREEK	715.10	5	0	0	0	5 MI							
COYOTE CREEK	722.10	0	26	0	0	26 MI	Yes	Threat of objective violated (bacteria)	Non-Point				
CRYSTAL CREEK	701.00	0	3	0	0	3 MI	Yes	Threat of sedimentation due to mining	Non-Point				
DUTCH CREEK	719.32	3	0	0	0	3 MI							
FALLS CREEK	719.47	4	0	0	0	4 MI							
GRAPEVINE CANYON CREEK	722.30	0	0	0	8	8 MI							
HATHAWAY CREEK	719.31	0	0	0	3	3 MI							
HOMER WASH	712.00	0	0	0	40	40 MI							



STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD 1994 WATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT

Report Date : 05/23/94

Region 7

Rivers and Streams

Water Body Name	Hydro Unit #	Water Quality Condition				Total Size	Units	Fact Sheet	Problem/Need Description	Problem Source	Federal Lists		
		Good	Inter-mediate	Impaired	Unknown						D		
IMPERIAL VALLEY DRAINS	723.10	0	0	1305	0	1305	MI	Yes	Threat of objectives violated Fish kills Toxic bioassay results	Non-Point	X	.	X
LITTLE MORONGO CREEK	719.10	15	0	0	0	15	MI				.	.	.
MILLARD CANYON CREEK	719.32	5	0	0	0	5	MI				.	.	.
MISSION CREEK (R7)	719.42	15	0	0	0	15	MI				.	.	.
NEW RIVER (R7)	723.10	0	0	60	0	60	MI	Yes	Public health hazard Objectives violated Fish kills	Point & Non-Point	X	.	X
PALM CANYON CREEK	719.47	0	0	0	15	15	MI				.	.	.
PALO VERDE OUTFALL DRAIN	715.40	0	0	16	0	16	MI	Yes	Bacteria objective violated Threat of toxic bioassay results Threat of sedimentation	Non-Point	X	.	X
PALO VERDE VALLEY DRAINS	715.40	0	131	0	0	131	MI	Yes	Threat of objectives violated Threat of toxic bioassay results Threat of sedimentation	Non-Point	.	.	.
PINTO WASH	717.30	0	0	0	56	56	MI				.	.	.
PIPES CANYON CREEK	705.00	0	0	0	12	12	MI				.	.	.
PIUTE CREEK	713.10	0	1	0	0	1	MI	Yes	Threat of objective violated (bacteria)	Non-Point	.	.	.



Region 7

Rivers and Streams

Water Body Name	Hydro Unit #	Water Quality Condition				Total Size	Units	Fact Sheet	Problem/Need Description	Problem Source	Federal Lists
		Good	Inter-mediate	Impaired	Unknown						D
POTRERO CREEK	719.32	0	0	0	5	5	MI			3 3 3	
SALT CREEK	725.00	0	6	0	0	6	MI	Yes	Threat of objective violated (bacteria)	0 1 1	
SAN FELIPE CREEK (R7)	722.40	0	60	0	0	60	MI	Yes	Threat of objective violated (bacteria)	3 4 9	
SAN GORGONIO RIVER	719.32	0	0	0	30	30	MI				
SNOW CREEK	719.47	7	0	0	0	7	MI				
TAHQUITZ CREEK	719.47	0	10	0	0	10	MI	Yes	Threat of objective violated (bacteria)		
THOUSAND PALMS CANYON CREEK	719.46	1	0	0	0	1	MI				
TUBB CANYON CREEK	722.13	0	0	0	3	3	MI				
TULE CREEK	721.00	0	15	0	0	15	MI	Yes	Threat of objective violated (bacteria)		
TWIN PINES CREEK	719.32	0	3	0	0	3	MI	Yes	Threat of objective violated (bacteria)		
VALLECITO CREEK	722.61	0	0	0	26	26	MI				
WALKER CREEK	722.71	0	8	0	0	8	MI	Yes	Threat of objective violated (bacteria)		
WHITewater RIVER	719.47	25	0	0	0	25	MI				
WILLOW CREEK (R7)	719.47	0	0	0	3	3	MI				



STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD 1994 WATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT

Report Date : 05/23/94

Region 7

Saline Lake

<u>Water Body Name</u>	<u>Hydro Unit #</u>	<u>Water Quality Condition</u>				<u>Total Size</u>	<u>Units</u>	<u>Fact Sheet</u>	<u>Problem/Need Description</u>	<u>Problem Source</u>	<u>Federal Lists</u>		
		<u>Good</u>	<u>Inter-mediate</u>	<u>Impaired</u>	<u>Unknown</u>						<u>3</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>3</u>
SALTON SEA	728.00	0	0	220000	0	220000	AC	Yes	Objective violated (Salinity) Elevated fish tissue levels (Selenium) Recreational impacts	Non-Point	X	X	X



Region 7

Wetlands

<u>Water Body Name</u>	<u>Hydro Unit #</u>	<u>Water Quality Condition</u>				<u>Total Size</u>	<u>Units</u>	<u>Fact Sheet</u>	<u>Problem/Need Description</u>	<u>Problem Source</u>	<u>Federal Lists</u>		
		<u>Good</u>	<u>Inter- mediate</u>	<u>Impaired</u>	<u>Unknown</u>						<u>D</u>		
CIBOLA NWR		0	0	0	280	280	AC				3	3	3
FINNEY-RAMER WA		0	0	0	2600	2600	AC				0	1	1
HAVASU NWR		0	0	0	520	520	AC				3	4	9
HAZARD TRACT		0	0	0	535	535	AC						
IMPERIAL NWR		0	0	0	3640	3640	AC						
IMPERIAL WA		0	0	0	3800	3800	AC						
SALTON SEA NWR		0	0	0	1565	1565	AC						
WISTER UNIT		0	0	0	5255	5255	AC						



STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD 1994 WATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT

Report Date : 05/23/94

Region 8

Bays and Harbors

<u>Water Body Name</u>	<u>Hydro Unit #</u>	<u>Water Quality Condition</u>				<u>Total Size</u>	<u>Units</u>	<u>Fact Sheet</u>	<u>Problem/Need Description</u>	<u>Problem Source</u>	<u>Federal Lists</u>		
		<u>Good</u>	<u>Inter-mediate</u>	<u>Impaired</u>	<u>Unknown</u>						<u>D</u>		
ANAHEIM BAY	801.11	0	180	0	0	180	AC	Yes	Elevated shellfish tissue levels Potential toxic hot spot	Non-Point	X	.	X
HUNTINGTON HARBOUR	801.11	0	0	150	0	150	AC	Yes	Elevated shellfish tissue levels Threat of sedimentation Toxic bioassay results	Non-Point	X	.	X
NEWPORT BAY, LOWER	801.11	0	0	700	0	700	AC	Yes	Recreational impacts Elevated shellfish tissue levels Toxic bioassay results	Non-Point	X	.	X



Region 8

Estuaries

<u>Water Body Name</u>	<u>Hydro Unit #</u>	<u>Water Quality Condition</u>				<u>Total Size</u>	<u>Units</u>	<u>Fact Sheet</u>	<u>Problem/Need Description</u>	<u>Problem Source</u>	<u>Federal Lists</u>		
		<u>Good</u>	<u>Inter-mediate</u>	<u>Impaired</u>	<u>Unknown</u>						<u>D</u>	<u>U</u>	<u>S</u>
ANAHEIM BAY MARSH	801.11	0	780	0	0	780	AC	Yes	Threat of elevated shellfish tissue leve Storm water runoff	Non-Point	.	.	.
BOLSA BAY MARSH	801.11	0	900	0	0	900	AC	Yes	Threat of elevated shellfish tissue leve Stormwater runoff Threat of toxic pollutants	Non-Point	.	.	.
BOLSA CHICA ECOLOGICAL RESERVE	801.11	0	294	0	0	294	AC	Yes	Threat of elevated shellfish tissue leve STORMWATER RUNOFF	Non-Point	.	.	.
SANTA ANA RIVER MOUTH	801.11	270	0	0	0	270	AC	Yes	Possible input of toxics STORMWATER RUNOFF	Non-Point	.	.	.
UPPER NEWPORT BAY ECOLOGICAL RESERVE	801.11	0	0	752	0	752	AC	Yes	Eutrophication Threat of recreational impacts Threat of toxic pollutants	Non-Point	X	.	X



STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD 1994 WATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT

Report Date : 05/23/94

Region 8

Ground Water

Water Body Name	Hydro Unit #	Water Quality Condition				Total Size	Units	Fact Sheet	Problem/Need Description	Problem Source	Federal Lists		
		Good	Inter-mediate	Impaired	Unknown						D		
ARLINGTON GW	801.26	0	0	13	0	13	SQMI	Yes	Drinking water impairment	Non-Point	.	.	.
BIG BEAR GW	801.71	23	0	0	0	23	SQMI	Yes	Threat of drinking water impairment	Non-Point	.	.	.
BUNKER HILL I GW	801.52	13	0	9	0	22	SQMI	Yes	Drinking water impairment	Non-Point	.	.	.
BUNKER HILL II GW	801.52	0	0	77	77	77	SQMI	Yes	Drinking water impairment	Non-Point	.	.	.
BUNKER HILL PRESSURE GW	801.52	0	0	24	0	24	SQMI	Yes	Drinking water impairment	Non-Point	.	.	.
CHINO I GW	801.21	0	82	8	0	90	SQMI	Yes	Drinking water impairment	Non-Point	.	.	.
CHINO II GW	801.21	0	0	104	0	104	SQMI	Yes	Drinking water impairment	Non-Point	.	.	.
CHINO III GW	801.21	0	0	48	0	48	SQMI	Yes	Drinking water impairment	Non-Point	.	.	.
COLTON GW	801.44	0	0	14	0	14	SQMI	Yes	Drinking water impairment	Point & Non-Point	.	.	.
CUCAMONGA GW	801.24	22	1	1	0	24	SQMI	Yes	Drinking water impairment	Non-Point	.	.	.
ELSINORE GW	802.31	21	0	0	0	21	SQMI	No			.	.	.
GARNER VALLEY GW	802.22	10	0	0	0	10	SQMI	No			.	.	.
HEMET GW	802.15	0	42	0	0	42	SQMI	Yes	Drinking water impairment	Non-Point	.	.	.
IDYLLWILD GW	802.22	1	0	0	0	1	SQMI	Yes			.	.	.



Region 8

Ground Water

Water Body Name	Hydro Unit #	Water Quality Condition				Total Size	Units	Fact Sheet	Problem/Need Description	Problem Source	Federal Lists		
		Good	Inter-mediate	Impaired	Unknown						D		
IRVINE FOREBAY I GW	801.11	0	8	10	0	18	SQMI	Yes	Drinking water impairment	Non-Point	.	.	.
IRVINE FOREBAY II GW	801.11	7	2	5	0	7	SQMI	Yes	Drinking water impairment	Non-Point	.	.	.
IRVINE PRESSURE GW	801.11	0	19	20	0	39	SQMI	Yes	Drinking water impairment	Non-Point	.	.	.
LA HABRA GW	845.62	0	0	40	0	40	SQMI	Yes	Drinking water impairment	Non-Point	.	.	.
LAKEVIEW GW	802.14	0	25	0	0	25	SQMI	Yes	Drinking water impairment	Non-Point	.	.	.
LYTLE CREEK GW	801.42	9	0	0	0	9	SQMI	No			.	.	.
MENIFEE I GW	802.12	0	9	0	0	9	SQMI	Yes	Drinking water impairment	Non-Point	.	.	.
MENIFEE II GW	802.12	0	6	0	0	6	SQMI	Yes	Drinking water impairment	Non-Point	.	.	.
PERRIS NORTH GW	802.11	0	37	0	0	37	SQMI	No	Threat of drinking water impairment		.	.	.
PERRIS SOUTH I GW	802.11	0	11	0	0	11	SQMI	Yes	Drinking water impairment	Non-Point	.	.	.
PERRIS SOUTH II GW	802.11	0	17	0	0	17	SQMI	No	Drinking water impairment	Non-Point	.	.	.
PERRIS SOUTH III GW	802.11	0	5	0	0	5	SQMI	Yes	Drinking water impairment	Non-Point	.	.	.
RIALTO GW	801.43	27	0	5	0	32	SQMI	Yes	Drinking water impairment	Non-Point	.	.	.
RIVERSIDE I GW	801.27	0	0	17	0	17	SQMI	Yes	Drinking water impairment	Non-Point	.	.	.
RIVERSIDE II GW	801.27	0	0	11	0	11	SQMI	Yes	Drinking water impairment	Non-Point	.	.	.



STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD 1994 WATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT

Report Date : 05/23/94

Region 8

Ground Water

<u>Water Body Name</u>	<u>Hydro Unit #</u>	<u>Water Quality Condition</u>				<u>Total Size</u>	<u>Units</u>	<u>Fact Sheet</u>	<u>Problem/Need Description</u>	<u>Problem Source</u>	<u>Federal Lists</u>		
		<u>Good</u>	<u>Inter-mediate</u>	<u>Impaired</u>	<u>Unknown</u>						<u>D</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>4</u>
RIVERSIDE III GW	801.27	0	0	14	0	14	SQMI	Yes	Drinking water impairment	Non-Point	.	.	.
SAN JACINTO - CANYON GW	802.20	0	4	0	0	4	SQMI	Yes	Threat of drinking water impairment	Non-Point	.	.	.
SAN JACINTO - INTAKE GW	802.20	19	0	0	0	19	SQMI	Yes			.	.	.
SAN JACINTO - LOWER PRESSURE GW	802.20	0	14	0	0	14	SQMI	Yes	Drinking water impairment	Non-Point	.	.	.
SAN JACINTO - UPPER PRESSURE GW	802.20	1	8	0	0	9	SQMI	Yes	Drinking water impairment	Non-Point	.	.	.
SAN TIMOTEO GW	801.60	61	0	0	0	61	SQMI	No			.	.	.
SANTA ANA FOREBAY GW	801.11	0	50	55	0	105	SQMI	Yes	Drinking water impairment	Non-Point	.	.	.
SANTA ANA PRESSURE GW	801.11	0	70	69	0	139	SQMI	Yes	Drinking water impairment	Non-Point	.	.	.
SANTIAGO GW	801.12	0	77	0	0	77	SQMI	Yes	Drinking water impairment	Non-Point	.	.	.
TEMESCAL GW	801.25	0	0	22	0	22	SQMI	Yes	Drinking water impairment	Non-Point	.	.	.
UPPER TEMESCAL I (BEDFORD) GW	801.32	0	9	0	0	9	SQMI	Yes	Drinking water impairment	Non-Point	.	.	.
UPPER TEMESCAL II	801.34	0	7	0	0	7	SQMI	Yes	Drinking water impairment	Non-Point	.	.	.



Region 8

Ground Water

<u>Water Body Name</u>	<u>Hydro Unit #</u>	<u>Water Quality Condition</u>				<u>Total Size</u>	<u>Units</u>	<u>Fact Sheet</u>	<u>Problem/Need Description</u>	<u>Problem Source</u>	<u>Federal Lists</u>		
		<u>Good</u>	<u>Inter-mediate</u>	<u>Impaired</u>	<u>Unknown</u>						<u>D</u>		
(LEE LAKE) GW											3	3	3
UPPER TEMESCAL III (COLDWATER) GW	801.31	3	0	0	0	3	SQMI	Yes			0	1	1
WINCHESTER GW	802.13	0	0	16	0	16	SQMI	Yes	Drinking water impairment	Point & Non-Point	3	4	9



STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD 1994 WATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT

Report Date : 05/23/94

Region 8

Lakes and Reservoirs

<u>Water Body Name</u>	<u>Hydro Unit #</u>	<u>Water Quality Condition</u>				<u>Total Size</u>	<u>Units</u>	<u>Fact Sheet</u>	<u>Problem/Need Description</u>	<u>Problem Source</u>	<u>Federal Lists</u>		
		<u>Good</u>	<u>Inter-mediate</u>	<u>Impaired</u>	<u>Unknown</u>						<u>D</u>	<u>U</u>	<u>S</u>
ANAHEIM LAKE	801.11	0	5	0	0	5	AC		Urban runoff Dairy runoff	Non-Point	.	.	.
BALDWIN LAKE	801.73	0	1100	0	0	1100	AC	Yes	Threat of eutrophication Seasonally intermittent	Non-Point	.	.	.
BIG BEAR LAKE	801.71	0	2970	0	0	2970	AC	Yes	Eutrophication Sedimentation Elevated fish tissue levels	Non-Point	X	X	X
CANYON LAKE (RAILROAD CANYON RESERVOIR)	802.12	0	2017	0	0	2017	AC	Yes	Eutrophication Recreational impacts Threat of fish population decline	Non-Point	X	.	X
ELSINORE, LAKE	802.31	0	2600	0	0	2600	AC	Yes	Eutrophication Objectives violated Fish kills	Non-Point	X	X	X
ERWIN LAKE	801.73	75	0	0	0	75	AC		Approximate acreage. Lake is normally dry.		.	.	.
EVANS, LAKE	801.27	0	42	0	0	42	AC	Yes	Fish kills Sedimentation	Non-Point	X	X	X
FULMOR, LAKE	802.21	0	0	9	0	9	AC	Yes	Threat of eutrophication Total Coliform exceed MCL	Non-Point	.	.	.
HEMET, LAKE	802.22	470	0	0	0	470	AC	Yes	Threat of recreational impacts	Non-Point	.	.	.



Region 8

Lakes and Reservoirs

<u>Water Body Name</u>	<u>Hydro Unit #</u>	<u>Water Quality Condition</u>				<u>Total Size</u>	<u>Units</u>	<u>Fact Sheet</u>	<u>Problem/Need Description</u>	<u>Problem Source</u>	<u>Federal Lists</u>		
		<u>Good</u>	<u>Inter-mediate</u>	<u>Impaired</u>	<u>Unknown</u>						<u>D</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>4</u>
IRVINE LAKE	801.12	650	0	0	0	650	AC	Yes	Threat of recreational impacts	Non-Point	.	.	.
JENKS LAKE	801.72	9	0	0	0	9	AC	Yes	Threat of fish population decline Threat of eutrophication		.	.	.
LEE LAKE	801.25	0	70	0	0	70	AC	Yes	Objectives violated	Non-Point	.	.	.
MATHEWS, LAKE	801.33	2750	0	0	0	2750	AC	Yes			.	.	.
PERRIS, LAKE	802.11	0	2340	0	0	2340	AC	Yes	Threat of drinking water impairment Concrn for potential THM precursors	Non-Point	.	.	.
PRADO PARK LAKE	801.21	0	60	0	0	60	AC	Yes	Eutrophication Recreational impacts	Non-Point	X	.	X



STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD 1994 WATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT

Report Date : 05/23/94

Region 8

Ocean and Open Bays

<u>Water Body Name</u>	<u>Hydro Unit #</u>	<u>Water Quality Condition</u>				<u>Total Size</u>	<u>Units</u>	<u>Fact Sheet</u>	<u>Problem/Need Description</u>	<u>Problem Source</u>	<u>Federal Lists</u>		
		<u>Good</u>	<u>Inter-mediate</u>	<u>Impaired</u>	<u>Unknown</u>						<u>D</u>		
BOLSA CHICA STATE BEACH	801.11	7	0	0	0	7 MI	Yes				3	3	3
CORONA DEL MAR STATE BEACH	801.11	1	0	0	0	1 MI	Yes				0	1	1
HUNTINGTON BEACH STATE PARK	801.11	3	0	0	0	3 MI	Yes				3	4	9
IRVINE COAST REFUGE	801.11	1024	0	0	0	1024 MI	Yes	Threat of recreational impacts	Non-Point		.	.	.
NEWPORT BEACH	801.11	6	0	0	0	6 MI	Yes	Threat of recreational impacts	Point & Non-Point		.	.	.
NEWPORT BEACH REFUGE	801.11	166	0	0	0	166 AC	Yes	Threat of recreational impacts Threat from storm water runoff	Non-Point		.	.	.
SEAL BEACH	801.11	1	0	0	0	1 MI	Yes	Potential contamination from S.G. River Spills from offshore oil facilities	Non-Point		.	.	.
SUNSET BEACH	801.11	3	0	0	0	3 MI	Yes				.	.	.



Region 8

Rivers and Streams

Water Body Name	Hydro Unit #	Water Quality Condition				Total Size	Units	Fact Sheet	Problem/Need Description	Problem Source	Federal Lists
		Good	Inter-mediate	Impaired	Unknown						D
ALGER CREEK	801.70	3	0	0	0	3	No			3 3 3	
ALISO CREEK	801.11	17	0	0	0	17 MI				0 1 1	
BAILEY CANYON CREEK	801.52	2	0	0	0	2	No			3 4 9	
BARTON CREEK	801.57	6	0	0	0	6	No			D	
BAUTISTA CREEK	802.23	10	0	0	0	10	Yes				
BEAR CREEK (R8)	801.71	8	0	0	0	8	Yes				
BOULDER BAY CREEK	801.71	2	0	0	0	2 MI					
CAJON CREEK	801.51	12	0	0	0	12	No				
CARBON CANYON CREEK	845.63	0	6	0	0	6 MI	Yes	Threat of drinking water impairment (Bacti. and TDS levels.)	Unknown		
CHINO CREEK, REACH 1	801.21	0	2	0	0	2 MI	Yes	Threat of recreation impacts (dairies) Threat of objectives violated from dairy runoff (N, TDS and pathogens)	Non-Point	X . X	
CHINO CREEK, REACH 2	801.21	0	10	0	0	10 MI	Yes	This portion is concrete lined.			
CITY CREEK	801.57	15	0	0	0	15	Yes				
COLDWATER CANYON CREEK	801.32	3	0	0	0	3	Yes				



STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD 1994 WATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT

Report Date : 05/23/94

Region 8

Rivers and Streams

<u>Water Body Name</u>	<u>Hydro Unit #</u>	<u>Water Quality Condition</u>				<u>Total Size</u>	<u>Units</u>	<u>Fact Sheet</u>	<u>Problem/Need Description</u>	<u>Problem Source</u>	<u>Federal Lists</u>		
		<u>Good</u>	<u>Inter-mediate</u>	<u>Impaired</u>	<u>Unknown</u>						<u>D</u>	<u>U</u>	<u>S</u>
CUCAMONGA CREEK, MOUNTAIN REACH	801.24	5	0	0	0	5		Yes				3 3 3	
CUCAMONGA CREEK, VALLEY REACH	801.21	0	13	0	0	13 MI		Yes	This portion is concrete lined.	Non-Point		0 1 1	
DAY AND EAST ETIWANDA CREEKS	801.24	5	0	0	0	5		Yes				3 4 9	
EAST TWIN AND STRAWBERRY CYN CREEKS	801.57	5	0	0	0	5		Yes				D	
FALLS CREEK	801.70	4	0	0	0	4 MI		Yes				. . .	
FISH CREEK	801.57	5	0	0	0	5		No				. . .	
FORSEE CREEK	801.57	5	0	0	0	5		No				. . .	
FULLER MILL CREEK	802.22	3	0	0	0	3		No				. . .	
GROUT CREEK	801.72	0	2	0	0	2 MI		Yes	High Cu, Hg levels during snow melt.	Non-Point		X . X	
HIGH CREEK	801.70	2	0	0	0	2		No				. . .	
KNICKERBOCKER CREEK	801.71	0	2	0	0	2 MI		Yes	Threat of drinking water impairment Threat of recreational impacts Urban/residential storm water runoff	Non-Point		X . X	



Region 8

Rivers and Streams

Water Body Name	Hydro Unit #	Water Quality Condition				Total Size	Units	Fact Sheet	Problem/Need Description	Problem Source	Federal Lists
		Good	Inter-mediate	Impaired	Unknown						D
LITTLE SAN GORGONIO CREEK	801.69	12	0	0	0	12 MI	No			. . .	
LYTLE CREEK	801.40	0	18	0	0	18 MI	Yes	Threat of drinking water impairment Threat of recreational impacts		. . .	
MEADOW CREEK	801.71	1	0	0	0	1 MI	Yes			. . .	
METCALF CREEK	801.72	2	0	0	0	2	No			. . .	
MILL CREEK (PRADO AREA)	801.25	0	4	0	0	4 MI	Yes	Threat of GWR impairment (from dairies) Threat of recreational impacts	Non-Point	X . X	
MILL CREEK, REACH 1	801.58	5	0	0	0	5	Yes	Threat of recreational impacts Threat of drinking water impairment Threat of objectives violated	Non-Point	. . .	
MILL CREEK, REACH 2	801.58	8	0	0	0	8 MI	Yes	Threat of objectives violated Threat of bacteria contamination	Non-Point	. . .	
MONKEY FACE CREEK	801.70	0	1	0	0	1 MI	Yes	Threat of Bacti objective violated.	Non-Point	. . .	
MOUNTAIN HOME CREEK	801.58	0	4	0	0	4 MI	Yes	Threat of recreational impacts Threat of drinking water impairment Threat of objectives violated	Non-Point	. . .	
MOUNTAIN HOME CREEK, EAST FORK	801.70	1	0	0	0	1	No			. . .	



STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD 1994 WATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT

Report Date : 05/23/94

Region 8

Rivers and Streams

Water Body Name	Hydro Unit #	Water Quality Condition				Total Size	Units	Fact Sheet	Problem/Need Description	Problem Source	Federal Lists
		Good	Inter-mediate	Impaired	Unknown						3 3 3 0 1 1 3 4 9 D
NORTH CREEK	801.72	1	0	0	0	1	No			. . .	
OAK GLEN, POTATO CANYON, BIRCH CREEKS	801.69	2	0	0	0	2	No			. . .	
PLUNGE CREEK	801.57	5	0	0	0	5	Yes	Threat of recreational impacts	Non-Point	. . .	
RATHBONE (RATHBUN) CREEK	801.72	0	0	2	0	2 MI	Yes	Snow melt from ski area. Inputs of nutrients and sediment.	Non-Point	X . X	
SALT CREEK (R8)	802.21	6	0	0	0	6	No			. . .	
SAN ANTONIO CREEK (R8)	801.23	2	0	0	0	2	Yes			. . .	
SAN DIEGO CREEK, REACH 1	801.11	0	0	6	0	6 MI	Yes	Elevated fish tissue levels Elevated shellfish tissue levels Eutrophication	Point & Non-Point	X . X	
SAN DIEGO CREEK, REACH 2	801.11	0	0	6	0	6 MI	Yes	Elevated fish tissue levels Elevated shellfish tissue levels	Non-Point	X . X	
SAN JACINTO RIVER, REACH 1	802.12	6	0	0	0	6	No			. . .	
SAN JACINTO RIVER, REACH 3	802.13	9	0	0	0	9 MI	No			. . .	
SAN JACINTO RIVER,	802.14	7	0	0	0	7 MI	No			. . .	



Region 8

Rivers and Streams

Water Body Name	Hydro Unit #	Water Quality Condition				Total Size	Units	Fact Sheet	Problem/Need Description	Problem Source	Federal Lists
		Good	Inter-mediate	Impaired	Unknown						D
REACH 4											3 3 3 0 1 1 3 4 9
SAN JACINTO RIVER, REACH 5	802.21	7	0	0	0	7 MI	No				. . .
SAN JACINTO RIVER, REACH 6	802.21	2	0	0	0	2 MI	No				. . .
SAN JACINTO RIVER, REACH 7	802.22	7	0	0	0	7 MI	No				. . .
SAN TIMOTEO CREEK, REACH 1	801.62	0	5	0	0	5 MI	Yes	Threat to GWR (Nitrogen)			. . .
SAN TIMOTEO CREEK, REACH 2	801.62	0	0	3	0	3 MI	Yes	Recreational impacts GWR impairment (N) Aquatic life impairment (Unkown toxic)	Point		. . .
SAN TIMOTEO CREEK, REACH 3	801.62	0	0	2	0	2 MI	Yes	Recreational impacts Ground water impairment (N).	Point		. . .
SAN TIMOTEO CREEK, REACH 4	801.62	0	0	14	0	14 MI	Yes	Recreational impacts Ground water impairment (N). Aquatic life impairment (Cl and UIA).	Point		. . .
SANTA ANA RIVER, REACH 1	801.10	0	9	0	0	9 MI	Yes	Urban runoff	Non-Point		. . .
SANTA ANA RIVER, REACH 2	801.13	0	19	0	0	19 MI	Yes	Urban runoff Dairy runoff from Reach 3	Non-Point		. . X



STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD 1994 WATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT

Report Date : 05/23/94

Region 8

Rivers and Streams

Water Body Name	Hydro Unit #	Water Quality Condition				Total Size	Units	Fact Sheet	Problem/Need Description	Problem Source	Federal Lists		
		Good	Inter-mediate	Impaired	Unknown						D		
SANTA ANA RIVER, REACH 3	801.20	0	0	18	0	18	MI	Yes	Recreational impacts Threat of objectives violed form dairy runoff (N, TDS and pathogens)	Point & Non-Point	X	.	X
SANTA ANA RIVER, REACH 4	801.27	0	0	12	0	12	MI	Yes	Objectives violated Impaired for REC(Pathogen)and GWR(N)uses Aquatic life impacts (Cl)	Point & Non-Point	X	.	.
SANTA ANA RIVER, REACH 5	801.52	17	0	0	0	17	MI	Yes		Non-Point	.	.	.
SANTA ANA RIVER, REACH 6	801.72	18	0	0	0	18	MI	Yes			.	.	.
SANTIAGO CREEK, REACH 1	801.12	9	0	0	0	9		No			.	.	.
SANTIAGO CREEK, REACH 3	801.12	6	0	0	0	6		No			.	.	.
SANTIAGO CREEK, REACH 4	801.12	0	0	2	0	2	MI	Yes	Objectives violated for minerals, bacti.	Unknown	X	.	X
SHAY CREEK	801.72	1	0	0	0	1		Yes			.	.	.
SIBERIA CREEK	801.71	1	0	0	0	1		No			.	.	.



Region 8

Rivers and Streams

<u>Water Body Name</u>	<u>Hydro Unit #</u>	<u>Water Quality Condition</u>				<u>Total Size</u>	<u>Units</u>	<u>Fact Sheet</u>	<u>Problem/Need Description</u>	<u>Problem Source</u>	<u>Federal Lists</u>
		<u>Good</u>	<u>Inter-mediate</u>	<u>Impaired</u>	<u>Unknown</u>						<u>D</u>
SILVERADO CREEK	801.12	0	0	2	0	2 MI	Yes	Objectives violated Recreational impacts Drinking water impairment (Bacti).	Non-Point	3 3 3 0 1 1 3 4 9 D X . X	
SKINNER CREEK	801..70	3	0	0	0	3 MI				. . .	
SLIDE CREEK	801.71	1	0	0	0	1	No			. . .	
STONE CREEK	802.21	3	0	0	0	3	No			. . .	
STRAWBERRY CR./SAN JACINTO R., N. FORK	802.21	9	0	0	0	9	Yes			. . .	
SUMMIT CREEK	801.71	0	0	2	0	2 MI	Yes	Snow melt runoff from ski area.		X . X	
TEMESCAL CREEK, REACH 1A	801.32	3	0	0	0	3 MI	Yes			. . .	
TEMESCAL CREEK, REACH 1B	801.25	3	0	0	0	3 MI	Yes	This portion is concrete lined.		. . .	
TEMESCAL CREEK, REACH 2	801.32	7	0	0	0	7	No			. . .	
TEMESCAL CREEK, REACH 4	801.34	5	0	0	0	5 MI				. . .	
TEMESCAL CREEK, REACH 5	801.35	7	0	0	0	7	Yes			. . .	



STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD 1994 WATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT

Report Date : 05/23/94

Region 8

Rivers and Streams

<u>Water Body Name</u>	<u>Hydro Unit #</u>	<u>Water Quality Condition</u>				<u>Total Size</u>	<u>Units</u>	<u>Fact Sheet</u>	<u>Problem/Need Description</u>	<u>Problem Source</u>	<u>Federal Lists</u>		
		<u>Good</u>	<u>Inter-mediate</u>	<u>Impaired</u>	<u>Unknown</u>						<u>D</u>		
TEMESCAL CREEK, REACH 6	801.35	1	0	0	0	1		No			3	3	3
TEQUESQUITE ARROYO (SYCAMORE CREEK)	801.27	2	0	0	0	2	MI	No			0	1	1
VIVIAN CREEK	801.70	1	0	0	0	1		No			3	4	9
WATERMAN CANYON CREEK	801.57	5	0	0	0	5	MI	No					
YUCAIPA CREEK	801.67	2	0	0	0	2	MI	No					



Region 8

Wetlands

<u>Water Body Name</u>	<u>Hydro Unit #</u>	<u>Water Quality Condition</u>				<u>Total Size</u>	<u>Units</u>	<u>Fact Sheet</u>	<u>Problem/Need Description</u>	<u>Problem Source</u>	<u>Federal Lists</u>		
		<u>Good</u>	<u>Inter-mediate</u>	<u>Impaired</u>	<u>Unknown</u>						<u>3</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>3</u>
GLEN HELEN	801.59	3	0	0	0	3	AC	Approximate acreage					
PRADO FLOOD CONTROL BASIN	801.25	9741	0	0	0	9741	AC						
SAN JACINTO WILDLIFE PRESERVE	802.15	4700	0	0	0	4700	AC						
SAN JOAQUIN FRESHWATER MARSH	801.11	0	400	0	0	400	AC	Yes Threat of Rare & Endangered Species impa Threat of increasing salinities Threat of heavy metal contamination	Non-Point				
SHAY MEADOWS	801.73	30	0	0	0	30	AC	Approximate acreage					
STANFIELD MARSH	801.71	143	0	0	0	143	AC						



STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD 1994 WATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT

Report Date : 05/23/94

Region 9

Bays and Harbors

<u>Water Body Name</u>	<u>Hydro Unit #</u>	<u>Water Quality Condition</u>				<u>Total Size</u>	<u>Units</u>	<u>Fact Sheet</u>	<u>Problem/Need Description</u>	<u>Problem Source</u>	<u>Federal Lists</u>		
		<u>Good</u>	<u>Inter-mediate</u>	<u>Impaired</u>	<u>Unknown</u>						<u>D</u>		
CENTRAL MISSION BAY	906.40	1030	0	10	0	1040	AC	Yes	Recreational impacts Objectives violated Elevated shellfish tissue levels	Point & Non-Point	X	.	X
DANA POINT HARBOR	901.14	0	0	0	215	215	AC				.	.	.
EAST MISSION BAY	906.40	490	0	10	0	500	AC	Yes	Recreational impacts Objectives violated	Point & Non-Point	X	.	X
OCEANSIDE HARBOR	902.11	0	0	1	209	210	AC	Yes	Objectives violated Recreational impacts Elevated shellfish tissue levels	Point & Non-Point	X	.	X
SAN DIEGO BAY, CENTRAL	908.21	3924	76	0	0	4000	AC	Yes			.	.	.
SAN DIEGO BAY, NORTH	908.21	3472	441	87	0	4000	AC	Yes	Objectives violated Elevated shellfish tissue levels Elevated fish tissue levels	Point & Non-Point	X	.	X
SAN DIEGO BAY, SOUTH	908.21	3936	60	4	0	4000	AC	Yes	Objectives violated Elevated shellfish tissue levels Elevated fish tissue levels	Point & Non-Point	X	.	X



Region 9

Estuaries

<u>Water Body Name</u>	<u>Hydro Unit #</u>	<u>Water Quality Condition</u>				<u>Total Size</u>	<u>Units</u>	<u>Fact Sheet</u>	<u>Problem/Need Description</u>	<u>Problem Source</u>	<u>Federal Lists</u>		
		<u>Good</u>	<u>Inter-mediate</u>	<u>Impaired</u>	<u>Unknown</u>						<u>D</u>	<u>U</u>	<u>S</u>
AGUA HEDIONDA LAGOON	904.31	0	0	1	399	400	AC	Yes	Elevated shellfish tissue levels Threat of objectives violated Sedimentation	Unknown	X	.	X
BATIQUITOS LAGOON	904.51	0	0	420	0	420	AC	Yes	Eutrophication Fish kills Recreational impacts	Non-Point	X	.	X
BUENA VISTA LAGOON	904.21	0	200	0	150	350	AC		Eutrophication		.	.	.
FAMOSA SLOUGH	906.40	0	0	31	0	31	AC	Yes	Eutrophication	Non-Point	X	.	X
KENDALL-FROST MISSION BAY MARSH	906.40	0	0	0	25	25	AC				.	.	.
LOMA ALTA SLOUGH	904.10	0	0	0	8	8	AC				.	.	.
LOS FLORES CREEK ESTUARY	901.52	0	0	0	10	10	AC				.	.	.
LOS PENASQUITOS LAGOON	906.10	0	0	1	384	385	AC	Yes	Recreational impacts Eutrophication Threat of objectives violated	Point & Non-Point	X	.	X
SAN DIEGO RIVER ESTUARY	907.11	0	0	0	320	320	AC				.	.	.
SAN DIEGUITO LAGOON	905.11	0	300	0	0	300	AC				.	.	.



STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD 1994 WATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT

Report Date : 05/23/94

Region 9

Estuaries

<u>Water Body Name</u>	<u>Hydro Unit #</u>	<u>Water Quality Condition</u>				<u>Total Size</u>	<u>Units</u>	<u>Fact Sheet</u>	<u>Problem/Need Description</u>	<u>Problem Source</u>	<u>Federal Lists</u>		
		<u>Good</u>	<u>Inter-mediate</u>	<u>Impaired</u>	<u>Unknown</u>						<u>D</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>2</u>
SAN ELIJO LAGOON	904.61	0	0	150	180	330	AC	Yes	Eutrophication Recreational impacts Threat of recreational impacts	Non-Point	X	.	X
SAN LUIS REY RIVER ESTUARY	903.11	0	0	0	160	160	AC				.	.	.
SAN MATEO CREEK ESTUARY	901.41	0	0	0	30	30	AC				.	.	.
SANTA MARGARITA LAGOON	902.11	0	0	268	0	268	AC	Yes	Eutrophication Recreational impacts Objectives violated	Point & Non-Point	X	.	X
SOUTH SAN DIEGO BAY WETLANDS	908.21	0	0	0	2400	2400	AC				.	.	.
SWEETWATER MARSH	909.12	0	936	0	0	936	AC				.	.	.
TIJUANA RIVER ESTUARY	911.11	0	0	150	0	150	AC	Yes	Objectives violated Recreational impacts Elevated fish tissue levels	Point & Non-Point	X	.	X



Region 9

Ground Water

Water Body Name	Hydro Unit #	Water Quality Condition				Total Size	Units	Fact Sheet	Problem/Need Description	Problem Source	Federal Lists		
		Good	Inter-mediate	Impaired	Unknown						3	3	3
AGUA HEDIONDA HA GW	904.30	0	30	0	0	30	SQMI				0	1	1
AGUANGA HA GW	902.80	102	0	0	0	102	SQMI				3	4	9
AULD HA GW	902.40	96	0	0	0	96	SQMI				0		
BARRETT LAKE HA GW	911.30	97	0	0	0	97	SQMI						
BATIQUITOS GW	904.51	0	2	0	0	2	SQMI						
BOULDER CREEK HA GW	907.40	105	0	0	0	105	SQMI						
BUENA VISTA CREEK HA GW	904.20	0	0	23	0	23	SQMI	Yes	TDS objectives exceeded along coast Drinking water impairment	Non-Point			
CAMERON HA GW	911.70	45	0	0	0	45	SQMI						
CAMPO HA GW	911.80	107	0	0	0	107	SQMI						
CAMPO VALLEY	911.82	4	0	0	0	4	SQMI						
CAVE ROCKS HA GW	902.70	85	0	0	0	85	SQMI						
COAHUILA VALLEY	902.70	25	0	0	0	25	SQMI						
COTTONWOOD HA GW	911.60	45	0	0	0	45	SQMI						
COTTONWOOD VALLEY	911.60	3	0	0	0	3	SQMI						



STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD 1994 WATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT

Report Date : 05/23/94

Region 9

Ground Water

Water Body Name	Hydro Unit #	Water Quality Condition				Total Size	Units	Fact Sheet	Problem/Need Description	Problem Source	Federal Lists		
		Good	Inter-mediate	Impaired	Unknown						D		
DELUZ HA GW	902.20	112	0	0	0	112	SQMI				3	3	3
DULZURA HA GW	910.30	100	0	0	0	100	SQMI				0	1	1
EL CAJON VALLEY	907.13	0	0	8	0	8	SQMI	Yes	this subbasin is located within the Lower San Diego HA GW basin. The impairment is as listed for the HA.	Point & Non-Point	3	4	9
EL CAPITAN HA GW	907.30	88	0	0	0	88	SQMI						
ESCONDIDO CREEK HA GW	904.60	0	0	89	0	89	SQMI	Yes	drinking water objectives exceeded	Point & Non-Point			
ESCONDIDO VALLEY	904.62	0	0	20	0	20	SQMI	Yes	This subbasin is located within the Escondido Creek HA GW. Impairment is as listed for the HA.	Non-Point			
HODGES HA GW	905.20	0	50	0	0	50	SQMI						
JAMUL VALLEY	909.21	5	0	0	0	5	SQMI						
LAGUNA HA GW	901.10	0	64	0	0	64	SQMI						
LAS PULGAS VALLEY	901.52	3	0	0	0	3	SQMI						
LOMA ALTA HA GW	904.10	0	10	0	0	10	SQMI						
LOWER SAN DIEGO HA GW	907.10	0	15	155	0	170	SQMI	Yes	water quality objectives exceeded	Non-Point			



STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD 1994 WATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT

Report Date : 05/23/94

Region 9

Ground Water

Water Body Name	Hydro Unit #	Water Quality Condition				Total Size	Units	Fact Sheet	Problem/Need Description	Problem Source	Federal Lists		
		Good	Inter-mediate	Impaired	Unknown						D		
LOWER SAN LUIS REY HA GW	903.10	0	146	40	0	186	SQMI	Yes	Threat of drinking water impairment objectives exceeded	Non-Point	.	.	.
LOWER SWEETWATER HA GW	909.10	0	0	49	0	49	SQMI	Yes	Drinking water impairment objectives exceeded	Non-Point	.	.	.
MIDDLE SWEETWATER HA GW	909.20	85	0	0	0	85	SQMI				.	.	.
MIRAMAR HA GW	906.40	0	0	0	41	41	SQMI				.	.	.
MIRAMAR RESERVOIR HA GW	906.10	0	0	0	55	55	SQMI				.	.	.
MISSION VALLEY	907.11	0	0	11	0	11	SQMI	Yes	water quality objectives exceeded. This subbasin is located within the Lower San Diego HA GW. Impairment same as HA	Non-Point	.	.	.
MISSION VIEJO HA GW	901.20	0	177	0	0	177	SQMI				.	.	.
MONSERATE HA GW	903.20	171	0	0	0	171	SQMI				.	.	.
MONUMENT HA GW	911.40	37	0	0	0	37	SQMI				.	.	.
MORENA HA GW	911.50	24	0	0	0	24	SQMI				.	.	.
MURRIETA HA GW	902.30	133	0	0	0	133	SQMI				.	.	.
NATIONAL CITY HA GW	908.30	0	0	0	11	11	SQMI				.	.	.



STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD 1994 WATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT

Report Date : 05/23/94

Region 9

Ground Water

<u>Water Body Name</u>	<u>Hydro Unit #</u>	<u>Water Quality Condition</u>				<u>Total Size</u>	<u>Units</u>	<u>Fact Sheet</u>	<u>Problem/Need Description</u>	<u>Problem Source</u>	<u>Federal Lists</u>		
		<u>Good</u>	<u>Inter-mediate</u>	<u>Impaired</u>	<u>Unknown</u>						<u>D</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>4</u>
OAKGROVE HA GW	902.90	75	0	0	0	75	SQMI				.	.	.
OTAY VALLEY	910.20	0	4	0	0	4	SQMI				.	.	.
OTAY VALLEY HA GW	910.20	0	46	1	0	47	SQMI	Yes	Threat of drinking water impairment	Non-Point	.	.	.
PAMO VALLEY	905.50	4	0	0	0	4	SQMI				.	.	.
PECHANGA HA GW	902.50	44	0	0	0	44	SQMI				.	.	.
PINE VALLEY	911.30	2	0	0	0	2	SQMI				.	.	.
POTRERO HA GW	911.20	81	0	0	0	81	SQMI				.	.	.
POTRERO VALLEY	911.25	2	0	0	0	2	SQMI				.	.	.
POWAY HA GW	906.20	0	41	0	0	41	SQMI				.	.	.
POWAY VALLEY	906.20	0	4	0	0	4	SQMI				.	.	.
RANCHITA TOWN AREA	903.31	0	4	0	0	4	SQMI				.	.	.
SAN CLEMENTE HA GW	901.30	0	21	0	0	21	SQMI				.	.	.
SAN DIEGO RIVER VALLEY	907.15	0	15	0	0	15	SQMI				.	.	.



Region 9

Ground Water

Water Body Name	Hydro Unit #	Water Quality Condition				Total Size	Units	Fact Sheet	Problem/Need Description	Problem Source	Federal Lists		
		Good	Inter-mediate	Impaired	Unknown						D		
SAN DIEGUITO VALLEY	905.11	0	0	6	0	6	SQMI	Yes	water quality exceeds objectives This subbasin is located within the Solana Beach HA GW. Impairment same as HA.	Non-Point	.	.	.
SAN ELIJO VALLEY	904.61	0	0	3	0	3	SQMI	Yes	This subbasin is located within the Escobido Creek HA GW. The impairment is as listed for the HA.	Non-Point	.	.	.
SAN JUAN VALLEY	901.20	0	18	0	0	18	SQMI				.	.	.
SAN LUIS REY VALLEY	903.10	0	0	40	0	40	SQMI	Yes	This subbasin is located within the Lower San Luis Rey HA GW. The impairment is the same as listed in the HA.	Non-Point	.	.	.
SAN MARCOS HA GW	904.50	0	55	0	0	55	SQMI				.	.	.
SAN MATEO CANYON HA GW	901.40	135	0	0	0	135	SQMI				.	.	.
SAN MATEO VALLEY	901.40	4	0	0	0	4	SQMI				.	.	.
SAN ONOFRE HA GW	901.50	103	0	0	0	103	SQMI				.	.	.
SAN ONOFRE VALLEY	901.51	2	0	0	0	2	SQMI				.	.	.
SAN PASQUAL HA GW	905.30	0	66	0	0	66	SQMI				.	.	.
SAN PASQUAL VALLEY	905.30	0	12	0	0	12	SQMI				.	.	.



STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD 1994 WATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT

Report Date : 05/23/94

Region 9

Ground Water

Water Body Name	Hydro Unit #	Water Quality Condition				Total Size	Units	Fact Sheet	Problem/Need Description	Problem Source	Federal Lists			
		Good	Inter-mediate	Impaired	Unknown						D			
SAN VICENTE HA GW	907.20	75	0	0	0	75	SQMI				3	3	3	
SANTA MARGARITA GW	902.11	0	13	0	0	13	SQMI				0	1	1	
SANTA MARIA VALLEY	905.40	0	0	24	0	24	SQMI	Yes	Nitrates std. threatened. Public health warning. This is a subbasin of the Santa Maria HA GW. Same impairment as listed	Non-Point		3	4	9
SANTA MARIA VALLEY HA GW	905.40	33	0	24	0	57	SQMI	Yes	Threat of drinking water impairment Threat of objectives violated Public health warning issued-cty. health	Non-Point				
SANTA YSABEL HA GW	905.50	129	0	0	0	129	SQMI							
SOLANA BEACH HA GW	905.10	0	0	45	0	45	SQMI	Yes	Threat of drinking water impairment water quality exceeds objectives	Non-Point				
SWEETWATER VALLEY	909.11	0	0	3	0	3	SQMI	Yes	This subbasin is a portion of the Lower Sweetwater HA GW. The impairment is the same as listed for the HA.	Non-Point				
TECATE VALLEY	911.81	1	0	0	0	1	SQMI							
TEMECULA VALLEY	902.50	150	0	0	0	150	SQMI							
TIJUANA GW	911.11	0	0	8	0	8	SQMI	Yes	This subbasin is a portion of the Tijuana Valley GW HA. The impairment is the same as listed for the HA.	Point & Non-Point				



Region 9

Ground Water

<u>Water Body Name</u>	<u>Hydro Unit #</u>	<u>Water Quality Condition</u>				<u>Total Size</u>	<u>Units</u>	<u>Fact Sheet</u>	<u>Problem/Need Description</u>	<u>Problem Source</u>	<u>Federal Lists</u>		
		<u>Good</u>	<u>Inter-mediate</u>	<u>Impaired</u>	<u>Unknown</u>						<u>D</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>2</u>
TIJUANA VALLEY HA GW	911.10	0	0	30	0	30	SQMI	Yes	Drinking water impairment Objectives violated public health threatened	Point & Non-Point	.	.	.
UPPER SWEETWATER HA GW	909.30	100	0	0	0	100	SQMI				.	.	.
WARNER VALLEY	903.31	0	40	0	0	40	SQMI				.	.	.
WARNER VALLEY HA GW	903.30	0	208	0	0	208	SQMI				.	.	.
WILSON HA GW	902.60	60	0	0	0	60	SQMI				.	.	.
YSIDORA HA GW	902.10	0	43	0	0	43	SQMI				.	.	.



STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD 1994 WATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT

Report Date : 05/23/94

Region 9

Lakes and Reservoirs

<u>Water Body Name</u>	<u>Hydro Unit #</u>	<u>Water Quality Condition</u>				<u>Total Size</u>	<u>Units</u>	<u>Fact Sheet</u>	<u>Problem/Need Description</u>	<u>Problem Source</u>	<u>Federal Lists</u>		
		<u>Good</u>	<u>Inter-mediate</u>	<u>Impaired</u>	<u>Unknown</u>						<u>D</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>4</u>
BARRETT LAKE	911.30	811	0	0	0	811	AC			.	.	.	
CALAVERA LAKE	904.31	0	0	0	35	35	AC			.	.	.	
CHOLLAS RES	908.22	0	0	0	16	16	AC			.	.	.	
CUYAMACA LAKE	907.43	930	0	0	0	930	AC			.	X	.	
DIXON LAKE	904.62	71	0	0	0	71	AC			.	.	.	
EL CAPITAN RES	907.31	1562	0	0	0	1562	AC			.	X	.	
EL TORO RES	901.20	22	0	0	0	22	AC			.	.	.	
GAJOME LAKE	903.11	0	24	1	0	25	AC	Yes	Fish kills Sedimentation Eutrophication	Non-Point	X	.	.
HENSHAW LAKE	903.31	1500	0	0	0	1500	AC			.	X	.	
HODGES LAKE	905.21	0	1234	0	0	1234	AC			.	X	.	
LAGUNA NIGUEL LAKE	901.13	0	0	0	40	40	AC			.	X	.	
LAKE JENNINGS	907.12	176	0	0	0	176	AC			.	.	.	
LAKE POWAY	905.21	62	0	0	0	62	AC			.	.	.	
LOVELAND RES	909.31	454	0	0	0	454	AC			.	.	.	



Region 9

Lakes and Reservoirs

<u>Water Body Name</u>	<u>Hydro Unit #</u>	<u>Water Quality Condition</u>				<u>Total Size</u>	<u>Units</u>	<u>Fact Sheet</u>	<u>Problem/Need Description</u>	<u>Problem Source</u>	<u>Federal Lists</u>		
		<u>Good</u>	<u>Inter-mediate</u>	<u>Impaired</u>	<u>Unknown</u>						<u>D</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>4</u>
LOWER OTAY RES	910.31	1110	0	0	0	1110	AC			.	X	.	
MIRAMAR LAKE	906.10	162	0	0	0	162	AC			.	.	.	
MISSION VIEJO LAKE	901.20	150	0	0	0	150	AC			.	.	.	
MORENA RES	911.50	1541	0	0	0	1541	AC			.	.	.	
MURRAY LAKE	907.11	141	0	0	0	141	AC			.	.	.	
RED MOUNTAIN LAKE	903.12	7	0	0	0	7	AC			.	.	.	
SAN DIEGUITO LAKE	904.61	75	0	0	0	75	AC			.	.	.	
SAN ELIJO LAKE	904.61	0	150	0	0	150	AC			.	X	.	
SAN MARCOS LAKE	904.52	68	0	0	0	68	AC			.	.	.	
SAN VICENTE RES	907.21	1069	0	0	0	1069	AC			.	.	.	
SKINNER LAKE	902.41	860	0	0	0	860	AC			.	.	.	
SUTHERLAND LAKE	905.53	557	0	0	0	557	AC			.	X	.	
SWEETWATER RES	909.21	950	0	0	0	950	AC			.	X	.	
TURNER LAKE	903.13	46	0	0	0	46	AC			.	.	.	
UPPER OTAY RES	910.32	139	0	0	0	139	AC			.	.	.	



STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD 1994 WATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT

Report Date : 05/23/94

Region 9

Lakes and Reservoirs

<u>Water Body Name</u>	<u>Hydro Unit #</u>	<u>Water Quality Condition</u>				<u>Total Size</u>	<u>Units</u>	<u>Fact Sheet</u>	<u>Problem/Need Description</u>	<u>Problem Source</u>	<u>Federal Lists</u>		
		<u>Good</u>	<u>Inter-mediate</u>	<u>Impaired</u>	<u>Unknown</u>						<u>D</u>		
VAIL LAKE	902.81	1000	0	0	0	1000	AC				3	3	3
WOHLFORD LAKE	904.63	222	0	0	0	222	AC				0	1	1
											3	4	9



Region 9

Ocean and Open Bays

Water Body Name	Hydro Unit #	Water Quality Condition				Total Size	Units	Fact Sheet	Problem/Need Description	Problem Source	Federal Lists
		Good	Inter-mediate	Impaired	Unknown						D
COASTLINE OF SAN DIEGO REGION	.	75	12	15	0	102 MI	Yes	impaired - Aliso/San Juan Creeks mouths impaired - Point Loma kelp beds impaired - Tijuana Estuary shoreline	Point & Non-Point	3 3 3 0 1 1 3 4 9 D X . X	
HEISLER PARK ECOLOGICAL RESERVE	901.11	1536	0	0	0	1536 AC				. . .	
LA JOLLA (O)	906.30	0	12	0	0	12 MI				. . .	
POINT LOMA KELP BEDS	908.10	3	0	3	0	6 MI	Yes	Recreational impacts	Point	X . .	
SAN DIEGO MARINE LIFE REFUGE	906.30	92	0	0	0	92 AC				. . .	
SAN DIEGO-LA JOLLA ECOLOGICAL REFUGE	906.30	518	0	0	0	518 AC				. . .	
TIJUANA EST SHORELINE	911.11	0	0	10	0	10 MI	Yes	Objectives violated Recreational impacts beach area permanently quarantined	Point & Non-Point	X . X	



STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD 1994 WATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT

Report Date : 05/23/94

Region 9

Rivers and Streams

<u>Water Body Name</u>	<u>Hydro Unit #</u>	<u>Water Quality Condition</u>				<u>Total Size</u>	<u>Units</u>	<u>Fact Sheet</u>	<u>Problem/Need Description</u>	<u>Problem Source</u>	<u>Federal Lists</u>		
		<u>Good</u>	<u>Inter-mediate</u>	<u>Impaired</u>	<u>Unknown</u>						<u>D</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>4</u>
AGUA HEDIONDA CREEK	904.30	0	9	0	0	9	MI						
ALISO CREEK	901.10	0	0	1	19	20	MI	Yes	Recreational impacts Objectives violated Bacterial contamination	Unknown	X	.	X
ARROYO TRABUCO	901.20	0	0	1	0	1	MI	Yes	Heavy metals	Unknown	X	.	X
BOULDER CREEK (R9)	907.41	11	0	0	0	11	MI						
BUENA VISTA CREEK	904.20	0	8	0	0	8	MI						
CAHUILLA CREEK	902.71	0	0	0	17	17	MI						
CAMPO CREEK	911.80	0	0	0	19	19	MI						
CANYON DE LAS ENCINAS	904.40	0	0	0	4	4	MI						
CHOLLAS CREEK	908.22	0	0	0	6	6	MI						
COTTONWOOD CREEK, LOWER	911.20	0	0	0	14	14	MI						
COTTONWOOD CREEK, UPPER	911.60	12	0	0	0	12	MI						
DELUZ CREEK	902.21	14	0	0	0	14	MI						



Region 9

Rivers and Streams

<u>Water Body Name</u>	<u>Hydro Unit #</u>	<u>Water Quality Condition</u>				<u>Total Size</u>	<u>Units</u>	<u>Fact Sheet</u>	<u>Problem/Need Description</u>	<u>Problem Source</u>	<u>Federal Lists</u>		
		<u>Good</u>	<u>Inter-mediate</u>	<u>Impaired</u>	<u>Unknown</u>						<u>D</u>		
ESCONDIDO CREEK	904.60	0	23	0	0	23	MI				3	3	3
FORESTER CREEK	907.13	0	3	0	0	3	MI	Yes	Threat of elevated fish tissue levels Threat of impacts from industry		0	1	1
GUEJITO CREEK	905.32	10	0	0	0	10	MI				3	4	9
LA POSTA CREEK	911.70	18	0	0	0	18	MI						
LOMA ALTA CREEK	904.10	0	0	0	6	6	MI						
LOS COCHES CREEK	907.14	0	0	0	9	9	MI						
LOS PENASQUITOS CREEK, LOWER	906.10	0	8	0	0	8	MI						
LOS PENASQUITOS CREEK, UPPER	906.20	9	0	0	0	9	MI						
MURRIETA CREEK	902.30	13	0	0	0	13	MI						
OTAY RIVER, LOWER	910.20	0	5	0	0	5	MI						
PARADISE CREEK	908.32	0	0	0	4	4	MI						
PINE VALLEY CREEK	911.40	10	0	0	0	10	MI						
ROSE CANYON	906.30	0	13	0	0	13	MI						



STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD 1994 WATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT

Report Date : 05/23/94

Region 9

Rivers and Streams

Water Body Name	Hydro Unit #	Water Quality Condition				Total Size	Units	Fact Sheet	Problem/Need Description	Problem Source	Federal Lists			
		Good	Inter-mediate	Impaired	Unknown						D			
SAN CLEMENTE CANYON	906.40	0	0	0	13	13	MI					3	3	3
SAN DIEGO RIVER, LOWER	907.11	0	13	0	0	13	MI					0	1	1
SAN DIEGO RIVER, MIDDLE	907.15	0	30	0	0	30	MI					3	4	9
SAN DIEGUITO RIVER, LOWER	905.10	0	11	0	0	11	MI							
SAN JUAN CREEK (R9)	901.20	0	8	1	0	9	MI	Yes	Recreational impacts Objectives violated periodic beach closures	Unknown		X		X
SAN LUIS REY RIVER, LOWER	903.10	0	21	0	0	21	MI		The recreational uses at the river's mouth is occasionally threatened.	Non-Point				
SAN LUIS REY RIVER, MIDDLE	903.20	0	30	0	0	30	MI							
SAN MARCOS CREEK (R9)	904.50	0	0	0	13	13	MI							
SAN MATEO CREEK (R9)	901.40	0	0	0	17	17	MI							
SAN ONOFRE CREEK	901.51	0	0	0	13	13	MI							
SANTA MARGARITA	902.10	11	0	0	0	11	MI	Yes						



Region 9

Rivers and Streams

<u>Water Body Name</u>	<u>Hydro Unit #</u>	<u>Water Quality Condition</u>				<u>Total Size</u>	<u>Units</u>	<u>Fact Sheet</u>	<u>Problem/Need Description</u>	<u>Problem Source</u>	<u>Federal Lists</u>		
		<u>Good</u>	<u>Inter-mediate</u>	<u>Impaired</u>	<u>Unknown</u>						<u>D</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>4</u>
RIVER													
SANTA MARIA CREEK	905.41	6	0	0	0	6 MI						3 3 3	
SANTA YSABEL CREEK	905.50	24	0	0	0	24 MI						0 1 1	
SECUNDA DESHECHA CANADA	901.30	0	0	0	6	6 MI						3 4 9	
SWEETWATER RIVER, LOWER	909.10	11	0	0	0	11 MI						D	
SYCAMORE CREEK	907.12	0	0	0	8	8 MI							
TECOLOTE CREEK (R9)	906.50	0	6	0	0	6 MI							
TEMECULA CREEK, LOWER	902.51	10	0	0	0	10 MI							
TEMECULA CREEK, UPPER	902.91	9	0	0	0	9 MI							
TIJUANA RIVER	911.11	0	0	7	0	7 MI	Yes	untreated domestic and industrial wastewater from city of Tijuana. Severe health problem exists. extensive rec impacts.	Point & Non-Point	X	.	X	
TUCALOTA CREEK	902.41	0	0	0	26	26 MI							
WILSON CREEK (R9)	902.61	0	0	0	16	16 MI							

