

June 2015 Water Supplier Conservation Compliance (by compliance priority, then missed target by %)

Supplier Name	Cumulative Savings (June 2015)	Total Population Served	Conservation Standard	Missed Target by %	Missed Target by Volume	Compliance Priority
Coachella Valley Water District	21%	318,217	36%	15.0%	461,380,335	1
Rainbow Municipal Water District	21%	19,611	36%	15.3%	93,042,309	1
Hemet City of	17%	29,982	32%	15.4%	17,675,159	1
Adelanto City of	4%	28,723	20%	16.4%	22,590,799	1
California City City of	20%	14,120	36%	16.5%	21,567,434	1
California Water Service Company Dominguez	-1%	142,154	16%	17.0%	156,458,624	1
Hanford City of	10%	57,050	28%	18.1%	86,029,591	1
Mission Springs Water District	10%	37,600	28%	18.4%	42,322,334	1
Joshua Basin Water District	9%	9,514	28%	18.9%	9,245,788	1
Rancho California Water District	14%	168,360	36%	21.6%	253,213,161	1
Humboldt Bay Municipal Water District	-18%	602	4%	22.5%	3,992,210	1
El Monte City of	-15%	22,968	8%	22.7%	17,181,606	1
Indian Wells Valley Water District	11%	31,120	36%	25.4%	60,474,815	1
Phelan Pinon Hills Community Services District	6%	25,160	32%	26.5%	26,039,122	1
Fallbrook Public Utility District	9%	34,894	36%	27.4%	62,826,072	1
Livingston City of	3%	14,898	32%	28.9%	63,472,223	1
California-American Water Ventura District	27%	62,144	32%	5.0%	20,639,221	2
Hawthorne City of	11%	44,572	16%	5.1%	5,533,573	2
La Habra City of Public Works	23%	63,118	28%	5.2%	12,619,332	2
San Fernando City of	19%	23,645	24%	5.4%	4,307,301	2
Chino Hills City of	23%	77,596	28%	5.4%	21,334,260	2
West Kern Water District	23%	21,181	28%	5.4%	25,431,444	2
California Water Service Company Westlake	31%	19,461	36%	5.5%	10,349,230	2
Ventura County Waterworks District No 1	27%	38,703	32%	5.5%	16,272,633	2
Riverside City of	22%	299,147	28%	5.5%	103,554,330	2
Redding City of	30%	91,207	36%	5.6%	43,217,425	2
Indio City of	26%	88,411	32%	5.6%	30,839,659	2
Whittier City of	14%	49,954	20%	5.7%	11,017,875	2
Norwalk City of	14%	18,361	20%	5.9%	3,398,277	2
Valley Water Company	30%	9,900	36%	5.9%	5,268,744	2
Laguna Beach County Water District	18%	19,121	24%	6.2%	5,339,031	2

See last page for compliance priority category definitions

Data pulled 27 July 2015

June 2015 Water Supplier Conservation Compliance (by compliance priority, then missed target by %)

Supplier Name	Cumulative Savings (June 2015)	Total Population Served	Conservation Standard	Missed Target by %	Missed Target by Volume	Compliance Priority
Madera County	30%	14,326	36%	6.3%	7,023,472	2
California Water Service Company Visalia	25%	138,370	32%	6.8%	62,153,315	2
Corona City of	21%	168,070	28%	7.2%	66,116,125	2
Rubio Canyon Land and Water Association	29%	9,600	36%	7.2%	3,676,675	2
Riverside Highland Water Company	29%	14,781	36%	7.2%	7,215,625	2
Shafter City of	29%	19,100	36%	7.3%	10,864,880	2
Newport Beach City of	21%	66,219	28%	7.5%	30,904,765	2
Lemoore City of	24%	25,281	32%	7.5%	16,541,974	2
Redlands City of	28%	77,852	36%	7.9%	56,533,658	2
Casitas Municipal Water District	24%	9,603	32%	8.1%	28,649,264	2
Patterson City of	20%	20,922	28%	8.3%	9,393,106	2
Norco City of	28%	25,891	36%	8.3%	17,412,383	2
Pinedale County Water District	28%	16,735	36%	8.4%	6,240,063	2
Anderson, City of	28%	11,147	36%	8.4%	6,605,879	2
Arcadia City of	28%	57,639	36%	8.5%	33,911,881	2
Bakman Water Company	28%	13,960	36%	8.5%	9,684,365	2
San Bernardino County Service Area 70	19%	10,174	28%	8.5%	4,853,850	2
El Segundo City of	11%	16,654	20%	8.8%	15,261,212	2
Cerritos City of	19%	49,041	28%	9.1%	19,060,639	2
Oildale Mutual Water Company	27%	33,163	36%	9.2%	25,396,589	2
Camrosa Water District	23%	34,483	32%	9.4%	19,064,859	2
Rio Vista, city of	27%	8,324	36%	9.4%	6,470,446	2
Brea City of	14%	43,328	24%	9.7%	28,591,842	2
Ceres City of	18%	46,989	28%	9.7%	22,194,343	2
Reedley City of	14%	24,195	24%	9.8%	15,024,749	2
San Dieguito Water District	18%	37,168	28%	9.9%	15,892,395	2
Beaumont-Cherry Valley Water District	26%	43,111	36%	10.0%	35,227,681	2
Beverly Hills City of	22%	42,157	32%	10.1%	27,764,978	2
Hesperia Water District City of	22%	91,627	32%	10.2%	46,475,271	2
Westborough Water District	-2%	14,050	8%	10.3%	2,916,486	2
Red Bluff City of	26%	14,076	36%	10.4%	12,039,455	2

See last page for compliance priority category definitions

Data pulled 27 July 2015

June 2015 Water Supplier Conservation Compliance (by compliance priority, then missed target by %)

Supplier Name	Cumulative Savings (June 2015)	Total Population Served	Conservation Standard	Missed Target by %	Missed Target by Volume	Compliance Priority
Loma Linda City of *	21%	23,614	32%	10.7%	16,220,866	2
Wasco City of	25%	26,159	36%	10.9%	12,268,621	2
Humboldt Community Service District	13%	20,032	24%	10.9%	7,210,933	2
Riverbank City of	21%	23,298	32%	10.9%	14,522,779	2
Rosamond Community Service District	17%	17,700	28%	11.2%	8,713,963	2
Twentynine Palms Water District	16%	18,795	28%	11.5%	9,358,290	2
California Water Service Company Kern River Valley	16%	5,605	28%	11.6%	2,827,636	2
West Valley Water District	20%	73,444	32%	11.7%	65,280,771	2
Susanville City of	24%	8,978	36%	11.8%	10,581,864	2
Los Angeles County Public Works Waterworks District 29	24%	22,188	36%	11.9%	22,172,784	2
Atwater City of	24%	29,500	36%	11.9%	30,976,667	2
South Feather Water and Power Agency	24%	16,348	36%	11.9%	21,508,861	2
Rialto City of	16%	54,036	28%	12.4%	34,740,678	2
Winton Water & Sanitary District	24%	8,500	36%	12.4%	6,433,124	2
Blythe City of	20%	13,839	32%	12.4%	12,662,301	2
Turlock City of	19%	71,181	32%	12.8%	77,173,626	2
Eastern Municipal Water District	15%	538,472	28%	12.9%	341,019,143	2
Yreka, City of	19%	7,840	32%	13.3%	11,124,530	2
Elsinore Valley Municipal Water District	14%	149,059	28%	13.7%	90,511,306	2
Rubidoux Community Service District	14%	29,900	28%	13.8%	21,245,606	2
Yucaipa Valley Water District	22%	44,051	36%	14.2%	48,550,145	2
Sanger City of	13%	25,664	28%	14.5%	25,906,904	2
Western Municipal Water District of Riverside	17%	96,139	32%	14.7%	103,019,240	2
Arcata City of	-11%	18,464	4%	14.8%	8,654,009	2
Bellflower-Somerset Mutual Water Company	19%	46,300	20%	1.2%	1,646,163	3
La Verne City of	31%	32,228	32%	1.3%	2,671,770	3
Colton, City of	19%	47,612	20%	1.3%	3,773,439	3
Sacramento Suburban Water District	31%	174,658	32%	1.5%	13,886,317	3
El Toro Water District	22%	48,628	24%	1.6%	4,118,318	3
Los Banos, City of	26%	37,168	28%	1.7%	4,006,944	3
Imperial, City of	22%	18,022	24%	1.7%	1,337,684	3

See last page for compliance priority category definitions

Data pulled 27 July 2015

June 2015 Water Supplier Conservation Compliance (by compliance priority, then missed target by %)

Supplier Name	Cumulative Savings (June 2015)	Total Population Served	Conservation Standard	Missed Target by %	Missed Target by Volume	Compliance Priority
Pico Water District	22%	25,284	24%	1.7%	1,365,278	3
Valley Center Municipal Water District	34%	25,394	36%	1.8%	3,628,872	3
Suburban Water Systems Whittier/La Mirada	26%	115,000	28%	1.8%	9,919,679	3
Lynwood City of	14%	65,965	16%	1.9%	2,958,214	3
Modesto, City of	34%	220,916	36%	1.9%	29,468,611	3
El Centro City of	22%	44,311	24%	2.0%	4,464,338	3
Watsonville City of	18%	65,739	20%	2.0%	4,466,091	3
San Juan Capistrano City of	26%	39,047	28%	2.0%	4,416,676	3
Trabuco Canyon Water District	26%	13,175	28%	2.1%	1,457,635	3
Bakersfield City of	34%	142,827	36%	2.1%	21,857,807	3
Rincon Del Diablo Municipal Water District	30%	29,955	32%	2.2%	3,483,909	3
Westminster City of	18%	93,322	20%	2.4%	7,331,998	3
Perris, City of	22%	9,000	24%	2.4%	1,228,372	3
Pasadena City of	26%	165,740	28%	2.5%	19,311,294	3
Monterey Park City of	17%	62,183	20%	2.5%	5,516,190	3
Coalinga City of	29%	16,529	32%	2.6%	3,147,576	3
Vaughn Water Company	33%	30,723	36%	2.6%	8,842,416	3
Corcoran, City of	33%	24,154	36%	2.9%	4,928,193	3
Clovis City of	33%	108,227	36%	3.0%	22,335,609	3
Suisun-Solano Water Authority	25%	28,549	28%	3.1%	3,237,071	3
Rowland Water District	17%	62,106	20%	3.2%	9,124,701	3
Las Virgenes Municipal Water District	33%	75,384	36%	3.2%	16,724,149	3
Exeter City of	33%	10,334	36%	3.3%	2,132,260	3
Covina City of	25%	33,300	28%	3.3%	4,737,783	3
Crescent City City of	13%	18,290	16%	3.4%	1,932,554	3
Carlsbad Municipal Water District	25%	84,838	28%	3.4%	14,416,221	3
Fullerton City of	24%	138,000	28%	3.6%	25,624,489	3
Ripon City of	32%	14,915	36%	3.6%	4,554,954	3
Kerman, City of	28%	14,339	32%	3.7%	3,778,840	3
Myoma Dunes Mutual Water Company	32%	9,233	36%	3.7%	3,887,545	3
Golden State Water Company Ojai	32%	7,188	36%	3.7%	1,951,207	3

See last page for compliance priority category definitions

Data pulled 27 July 2015

June 2015 Water Supplier Conservation Compliance (by compliance priority, then missed target by %)

Supplier Name	Cumulative Savings (June 2015)	Total Population Served	Conservation Standard	Missed Target by %	Missed Target by Volume	Compliance Priority
Irvine Ranch Water District	12%	387,138	16%	3.7%	65,137,648	3
Hi-Desert Water District	12%	23,760	16%	3.8%	3,485,922	3
Coachella City of	20%	43,917	24%	3.9%	8,309,285	3
Banning City of	28%	30,325	32%	4.0%	9,026,869	3
Santa Rosa City of	12%	170,974	16%	4.0%	23,299,535	3
Vernon City of	4%	112	8%	4.1%	8,789,396	3
San Gabriel Valley Fontana Water Company	24%	209,035	28%	4.1%	44,993,506	3
California Water Service Company Palos Verdes	32%	69,904	36%	4.2%	18,965,678	3
Palmdale Water District	28%	116,183	32%	4.3%	24,256,070	3
East Niles Community Service District	32%	26,000	36%	4.4%	11,646,148	3
San Bernardino County Service Area 64	28%	9,681	32%	4.4%	3,700,887	3
Orange City of	24%	139,463	28%	4.4%	32,721,845	3
Victorville Water District	23%	125,859	28%	4.5%	29,663,066	3
Ontario City of	19%	168,777	24%	4.7%	42,763,847	3
Cucamonga Valley Water District	27%	201,384	32%	4.8%	62,417,719	3
Cambria Community Services District	45%	6,032	8%	-36.8%	N/A	0
Redwood City City of	39%	87,696	8%	-31.1%	N/A	0
Menlo Park City of	47%	16,066	16%	-30.7%	N/A	0
Dublin San Ramon Services District	43%	78,895	12%	-30.5%	N/A	0
Del Oro Water Company	53%	9,615	24%	-28.9%	N/A	0
Santa Barbara City of	41%	93,091	12%	-28.7%	N/A	0
Soquel Creek Water District	35%	39,054	8%	-26.8%	N/A	0
California Water Service Company Livermore	49%	57,984	24%	-24.9%	N/A	0
San Buenaventura City of	41%	113,478	16%	-24.8%	N/A	0
Santa Cruz City of	32%	95,224	8%	-24.3%	N/A	0
Marina Coast Water District	36%	32,375	12%	-24.2%	N/A	0
Pleasanton City of	48%	73,067	24%	-23.6%	N/A	0
Calaveras County Water District	40%	48,630	16%	-23.6%	N/A	0
Tahoe City Public Utilities District	43%	9,354	20%	-23.5%	N/A	0
Hayward City of	31%	151,037	8%	-22.8%	N/A	0
California Water Service Company Redwood Valley	38%	3,628	16%	-22.1%	N/A	0

See last page for compliance priority category definitions

Data pulled 27 July 2015

June 2015 Water Supplier Conservation Compliance (by compliance priority, then missed target by %)

Supplier Name	Cumulative Savings (June 2015)	Total Population Served	Conservation Standard	Missed Target by %	Missed Target by Volume	Compliance Priority
Livermore City of Division of Water Resources	41%	27,033	20%	-21.4%	N/A	0
San Bruno City of	29%	43,798	8%	-21.4%	N/A	0
Burlingame City of	37%	30,282	16%	-21.4%	N/A	0
Lompoc City of	33%	41,541	12%	-20.9%	N/A	0
Alameda County Water District	36%	344,000	16%	-20.3%	N/A	0
Sunnyvale City of	36%	148,028	16%	-19.6%	N/A	0
Golden State Water Company Bay Point	31%	22,258	12%	-19.5%	N/A	0
Mammoth Community Water District	39%	16,739	20%	-19.4%	N/A	0
San Gabriel Valley Water Company	35%	271,817	16%	-19.3%	N/A	0
Lake Arrowhead Community Services District	35%	19,116	16%	-19.1%	N/A	0
California-American Water Company San Diego District	27%	95,358	8%	-19.0%	N/A	0
Goleta Water District	31%	86,946	12%	-18.9%	N/A	0
Groveland Community Services District	43%	3,400	24%	-18.6%	N/A	0
Port Hueneme City of	27%	21,555	8%	-18.5%	N/A	0
California-American Water Company Sacramento District	38%	201,418	20%	-18.1%	N/A	0
California Water Service Company South San Francisco	26%	61,069	8%	-18.0%	N/A	0
Fruitridge Vista Water Company	38%	21,441	20%	-17.8%	N/A	0
Paramount City of	30%	55,051	12%	-17.5%	N/A	0
Crestline Village Water District	25%	7,505	8%	-17.4%	N/A	0
Great Oaks Water Company Incorporated	36%	99,199	20%	-16.5%	N/A	0
Mountain View City of	32%	76,210	16%	-16.5%	N/A	0
Benicia City of	36%	28,086	20%	-16.2%	N/A	0
Bella Vista Water District	52%	17,619	36%	-16.0%	N/A	0
Big Bear City Community Services District	32%	12,411	16%	-16.0%	N/A	0
San Jose Water Company	35%	990,000	20%	-15.2%	N/A	0
East Bay Municipal Utilities District	31%	1,390,000	16%	-15.0%	N/A	0
Scotts Valley Water District	31%	10,509	16%	-15.0%	N/A	0
McKinleyville Community Service District	18%	16,900	4%	-14.5%	N/A	0
Seal Beach City of	22%	25,561	8%	-13.9%	N/A	0
Lathrop, City of	34%	19,831	20%	-13.6%	N/A	0
Arvin Community Services District	42%	20,499	28%	-13.6%	N/A	0

See last page for compliance priority category definitions

Data pulled 27 July 2015

June 2015 Water Supplier Conservation Compliance (by compliance priority, then missed target by %)

Supplier Name	Cumulative Savings (June 2015)	Total Population Served	Conservation Standard	Missed Target by %	Missed Target by Volume	Compliance Priority
Sweetwater Authority	26%	189,970	12%	-13.6%	N/A	0
Woodland City of	38%	57,223	24%	-13.6%	N/A	0
California Water Service Company Mid Peninsula	29%	133,029	16%	-13.5%	N/A	0
Santa Clara City of	29%	120,973	16%	-13.3%	N/A	0
California Water Service Company Salinas District	29%	121,063	16%	-13.2%	N/A	0
San Jose City of	33%	117,576	20%	-13.1%	N/A	0
Stockton City of	41%	177,808	28%	-12.9%	N/A	0
California Water Service Company King City	25%	14,818	12%	-12.9%	N/A	0
Sweetwater Springs Water District	29%	7,727	16%	-12.8%	N/A	0
Elk Grove Water Service	40%	44,255	28%	-12.5%	N/A	0
Contra Costa Water District	40%	199,680	28%	-12.4%	N/A	0
Millbrae City of	28%	21,532	16%	-12.3%	N/A	0
West Sacramento City of	40%	50,836	28%	-12.2%	N/A	0
North Coast County Water District	20%	39,000	8%	-12.1%	N/A	0
Greenfield, City of	28%	17,898	16%	-12.1%	N/A	0
Oakdale City of	44%	21,985	32%	-12.0%	N/A	0
Escondido City of	32%	134,053	20%	-11.9%	N/A	0
Lakeside Water District	32%	35,500	20%	-11.8%	N/A	0
Carpinteria Valley Water District	32%	14,616	20%	-11.8%	N/A	0
California-American Water Company Monterey District	20%	100,623	8%	-11.7%	N/A	0
Milpitas City of	24%	70,817	12%	-11.7%	N/A	0
Windsor, Town of	28%	27,486	16%	-11.6%	N/A	0
Martinez City of	31%	35,375	20%	-11.5%	N/A	0
San Francisco Public Utilities Commission	19%	846,601	8%	-11.4%	N/A	0
Roseville City of	39%	122,946	28%	-11.1%	N/A	0
Mid-Peninsula Water District	31%	26,730	20%	-11.0%	N/A	0
Vallejo City of	27%	124,000	16%	-11.0%	N/A	0
Hillsborough Town of	47%	10,850	36%	-10.9%	N/A	0
Brentwood City of	43%	56,493	32%	-10.8%	N/A	0
City of Big Bear Lake, Dept of Water & Power	27%	25,610	16%	-10.8%	N/A	0
Petaluma City of	27%	61,798	16%	-10.7%	N/A	0

See last page for compliance priority category definitions

Data pulled 27 July 2015

June 2015 Water Supplier Conservation Compliance (by compliance priority, then missed target by %)

Supplier Name	Cumulative Savings (June 2015)	Total Population Served	Conservation Standard	Missed Target by %	Missed Target by Volume	Compliance Priority
Napa City of	30%	86,051	20%	-10.4%	N/A	0
Paradise Irrigation District	46%	26,032	36%	-10.4%	N/A	0
Golden State Water Company Claremont	42%	37,160	32%	-10.3%	N/A	0
Coastside County Water District	18%	16,668	8%	-10.2%	N/A	0
Golden State Water Company Norwalk	22%	44,466	12%	-10.1%	N/A	0
California Water Service Company Chico District	42%	101,662	32%	-10.1%	N/A	0
San Lorenzo Valley Water District	22%	19,000	12%	-10.0%	N/A	0
Los Angeles County Public Works Waterworks District 40	42%	206,959	32%	-9.9%	N/A	0
Golden State Water Company Simi Valley	34%	43,351	24%	-9.9%	N/A	0
Shasta Lake City of	38%	10,020	28%	-9.8%	N/A	0
Diablo Water District	38%	38,194	28%	-9.8%	N/A	0
Vallecitos Water District	34%	95,055	24%	-9.8%	N/A	0
Pittsburg City of	30%	66,183	20%	-9.7%	N/A	0
California Water Service Company Willows	38%	7,150	28%	-9.5%	N/A	0
Gilroy City of	33%	53,095	24%	-9.4%	N/A	0
Inglewood City of	21%	86,418	12%	-9.3%	N/A	0
East Valley Water District	37%	101,733	28%	-9.3%	N/A	0
Daly City City of	17%	105,810	8%	-9.3%	N/A	0
Estero Municipal Improvement District	21%	37,165	12%	-9.3%	N/A	0
Santa Fe Springs City of	25%	18,199	16%	-9.3%	N/A	0
San Juan Water District	45%	32,733	36%	-9.2%	N/A	0
Crescenta Valley Water District	29%	31,966	20%	-8.9%	N/A	0
Valencia Water Company	33%	118,224	24%	-8.8%	N/A	0
American Canyon, City of	29%	20,080	20%	-8.8%	N/A	0
Serrano Water District	45%	6,641	36%	-8.7%	N/A	0
Compton City of	17%	81,963	8%	-8.7%	N/A	0
Eureka City of	13%	27,052	4%	-8.7%	N/A	0
Garden Grove City of	29%	177,020	20%	-8.6%	N/A	0
Orchard Dale Water District	21%	25,605	12%	-8.5%	N/A	0
California Water Service Company Los Altos/Suburban	40%	68,163	32%	-8.4%	N/A	0
Davis City of	32%	68,315	24%	-8.4%	N/A	0

See last page for compliance priority category definitions

Data pulled 27 July 2015

June 2015 Water Supplier Conservation Compliance (by compliance priority, then missed target by %)

Supplier Name	Cumulative Savings (June 2015)	Total Population Served	Conservation Standard	Missed Target by %	Missed Target by Volume	Compliance Priority
Madera City of	36%	63,961	28%	-8.4%	N/A	0
California Water Service Company Stockton	28%	170,577	20%	-8.3%	N/A	0
San Diego City of	24%	1,314,290	16%	-8.3%	N/A	0
Padre Dam Municipal Water District	28%	90,101	20%	-8.3%	N/A	0
Hollister City of	28%	20,409	20%	-8.2%	N/A	0
Fairfield City of	28%	107,540	20%	-8.2%	N/A	0
California Water Service Company Marysville	32%	12,177	24%	-8.2%	N/A	0
California Water Service Company East Los Angeles	16%	157,522	8%	-8.1%	N/A	0
Discovery Bay Community Services District	40%	14,733	32%	-8.0%	N/A	0
Lakewood City of	28%	59,081	20%	-8.0%	N/A	0
Antioch City of	36%	108,298	28%	-8.0%	N/A	0
San Luis Obispo City of	20%	45,802	12%	-8.0%	N/A	0
Torrance City of	28%	105,086	20%	-7.9%	N/A	0
Oxnard City of	20%	196,720	12%	-7.8%	N/A	0
California Water Service Company Dixon, City of	36%	9,841	28%	-7.6%	N/A	0
California Water Service Company Selma	40%	24,627	32%	-7.6%	N/A	0
Lincoln Avenue Water Company	35%	16,126	28%	-7.5%	N/A	0
Pico Rivera City of	23%	39,002	16%	-7.4%	N/A	0
Palo Alto City of	31%	64,403	24%	-7.4%	N/A	0
Golden State Water Company West Orange	23%	111,904	16%	-7.3%	N/A	0
Golden State Water Company Bell-Bell Gardens	15%	57,934	8%	-7.3%	N/A	0
Georgetown Divide Public Utilities District	39%	9,863	32%	-7.2%	N/A	0
Ukiah City of	27%	16,075	20%	-7.1%	N/A	0
Brawley City of	39%	27,743	32%	-7.1%	N/A	0
Lake Hemet Municipal Water District	35%	52,914	28%	-7.1%	N/A	0
Lincoln City of	39%	45,873	32%	-7.0%	N/A	0
South Coast Water District	31%	34,992	24%	-6.9%	N/A	0
Huntington Park City of	15%	64,219	8%	-6.9%	N/A	0
Valley County Water District	23%	71,003	16%	-6.9%	N/A	0
Vista Irrigation District	27%	124,746	20%	-6.8%	N/A	0
Tehachapi, City of	35%	8,839	28%	-6.8%	N/A	0

See last page for compliance priority category definitions

Data pulled 27 July 2015

June 2015 Water Supplier Conservation Compliance (by compliance priority, then missed target by %)

Supplier Name	Cumulative Savings (June 2015)	Total Population Served	Conservation Standard	Missed Target by %	Missed Target by Volume	Compliance Priority
Sunnyslope County Water District	35%	19,189	28%	-6.7%	N/A	0
Truckee-Donner Public Utilities District	35%	32,776	28%	-6.7%	N/A	0
Santa Ana City of	19%	332,005	12%	-6.7%	N/A	0
Morgan Hill City of	35%	41,779	28%	-6.6%	N/A	0
Sacramento City of	34%	480,155	28%	-6.5%	N/A	0
Huntington Beach City of	26%	196,041	20%	-6.5%	N/A	0
Healdsburg City of	30%	11,687	24%	-6.4%	N/A	0
California Water Service Company Antelope Valley	42%	3,393	36%	-6.3%	N/A	0
Golden State Water Company Orcutt	38%	31,872	32%	-6.2%	N/A	0
Atascadero Mutual Water Company	34%	31,308	28%	-6.1%	N/A	0
Mesa Water District	26%	108,000	20%	-6.1%	N/A	0
Merced City of	42%	83,400	36%	-6.0%	N/A	0
Otay Water District	26%	217,700	20%	-5.9%	N/A	0
Golden State Water Company S Arcadia	30%	26,827	24%	-5.8%	N/A	0
Marin Municipal Water District	26%	188,200	20%	-5.7%	N/A	0
Manteca City of	38%	74,794	32%	-5.6%	N/A	0
Dinuba City of	37%	23,966	32%	-5.5%	N/A	0
Tulare, City of	37%	62,363	32%	-5.4%	N/A	0
Oceanside City of	25%	171,183	20%	-5.3%	N/A	0
Orange Vale Water Company	41%	14,283	36%	-5.3%	N/A	0
Downey City of	25%	111,931	20%	-5.2%	N/A	0
South Gate City of	17%	96,057	12%	-5.1%	N/A	0
North Marin Water District	29%	61,299	24%	-5.1%	N/A	0
Helix Water District	25%	270,375	20%	-5.0%	N/A	0
Linda County Water District	37%	18,808	32%	-5.0%	N/A	0
Fountain Valley City of	25%	56,916	20%	-5.0%	N/A	0
Buena Park City of	25%	82,767	20%	-4.8%	N/A	0
California Water Service Company Bear Gulch	41%	59,440	36%	-4.8%	N/A	0
Sunny Slope Water Company	29%	30,611	24%	-4.8%	N/A	0
Tracy City of	33%	85,146	28%	-4.7%	N/A	0
Anaheim City of	25%	358,549	20%	-4.7%	N/A	0

See last page for compliance priority category definitions

Data pulled 27 July 2015

June 2015 Water Supplier Conservation Compliance (by compliance priority, then missed target by %)

Supplier Name	Cumulative Savings (June 2015)	Total Population Served	Conservation Standard	Missed Target by %	Missed Target by Volume	Compliance Priority
Lomita City of	25%	20,463	20%	-4.7%	N/A	0
Arroyo Grande City of	33%	17,121	28%	-4.6%	N/A	0
South Tahoe Public Utilities District	25%	33,124	20%	-4.6%	N/A	0
Galt City of	37%	24,289	32%	-4.6%	N/A	0
Tuolumne Utilities District	29%	28,997	24%	-4.6%	N/A	0
City of Newman Water Department	29%	10,668	24%	-4.6%	N/A	0
Nipomo Community Services District	33%	12,512	28%	-4.5%	N/A	0
El Dorado Irrigation District	32%	118,500	28%	-4.4%	N/A	0
California Water Service Company Oroville	32%	10,640	28%	-4.4%	N/A	0
Desert Water Agency	40%	120,428	36%	-4.4%	N/A	0
Montebello Land and Water Company	20%	32,219	16%	-4.4%	N/A	0
Golden State Water Company Florence Graham	12%	65,492	8%	-4.3%	N/A	0
Fair Oaks Water District	40%	36,226	36%	-4.3%	N/A	0
Glendale City of	24%	193,300	20%	-4.3%	N/A	0
Santa Margarita Water District	28%	154,974	24%	-4.1%	N/A	0
Poway City of	36%	48,774	32%	-4.0%	N/A	0
Vacaville City of	36%	86,893	32%	-3.9%	N/A	0
Porterville City of	36%	61,946	32%	-3.7%	N/A	0
Sonoma City of	32%	11,579	28%	-3.7%	N/A	0
Park Water Company	12%	125,784	8%	-3.5%	N/A	0
North Tahoe Public Utility District	32%	7,500	28%	-3.5%	N/A	0
Azusa City of	23%	110,000	20%	-3.5%	N/A	0
San Gabriel County Water District	23%	45,000	20%	-3.5%	N/A	0
Golden State Water Company Culver City	19%	36,215	16%	-3.4%	N/A	0
East Palo Alto, City of	11%	29,143	8%	-3.4%	N/A	0
Long Beach City of	19%	473,683	16%	-3.4%	N/A	0
Thousand Oaks City of	31%	51,609	28%	-3.1%	N/A	0
Golden State Water Company Southwest	15%	271,677	12%	-3.1%	N/A	0
Golden State Water Company Placentia	27%	46,543	24%	-3.0%	N/A	0
Calexico City of	23%	41,033	20%	-2.9%	N/A	0
Golden State Water Company San Dimas	31%	54,872	28%	-2.9%	N/A	0

See last page for compliance priority category definitions

Data pulled 27 July 2015

June 2015 Water Supplier Conservation Compliance (by compliance priority, then missed target by %)

Supplier Name	Cumulative Savings (June 2015)	Total Population Served	Conservation Standard	Missed Target by %	Missed Target by Volume	Compliance Priority
Valley of the Moon Water District	23%	23,478	20%	-2.9%	N/A	0
Golden State Water Company Cordova	39%	43,767	36%	-2.8%	N/A	0
Golden State Water Company S San Gabriel	15%	27,656	12%	-2.7%	N/A	0
Camarillo City of	23%	47,018	20%	-2.5%	N/A	0
Quartz Hill Water District	38%	20,352	36%	-2.5%	N/A	0
Pomona City of	22%	152,419	20%	-2.4%	N/A	0
Folsom City of	34%	63,376	32%	-2.3%	N/A	0
La Palma City of	22%	15,896	20%	-2.3%	N/A	0
Santa Paula City of	30%	30,009	28%	-2.3%	N/A	0
Triunfo Sanitation District / Oak Park Water Service	34%	12,200	32%	-2.2%	N/A	0
Santa Maria City of	18%	102,087	16%	-2.2%	N/A	0
Jurupa Community Service District	30%	118,731	28%	-2.1%	N/A	0
Moulton Niguel Water District	22%	172,068	20%	-2.1%	N/A	0
Burbank City of	26%	106,084	24%	-2.0%	N/A	0
Carmichael Water District	38%	38,354	36%	-2.0%	N/A	0
Fresno City of	30%	520,159	28%	-2.0%	N/A	0
Santa Monica City of	22%	92,185	20%	-2.0%	N/A	0
Suburban Water Systems San Jose Hills	26%	178,500	24%	-1.9%	N/A	0
Yorba Linda Water District	38%	72,500	36%	-1.9%	N/A	0
Lamont Public Utility District	30%	20,009	28%	-1.8%	N/A	0
California-American Water Company Los Angeles District	30%	102,889	28%	-1.7%	N/A	0
Alhambra City of	26%	85,068	24%	-1.7%	N/A	0
South Pasadena City of	30%	25,899	28%	-1.6%	N/A	0
Paso Robles City of	30%	30,450	28%	-1.5%	N/A	0
Apple Valley Ranchos Water Company	29%	62,602	28%	-1.5%	N/A	0
Santa Fe Irrigation District	37%	19,386	36%	-1.3%	N/A	0
Alco Water Service	25%	29,179	24%	-1.2%	N/A	0
Sierra Madre City of	33%	11,094	32%	-1.0%	N/A	0
Kingsburg, City of	37%	11,685	36%	-1.0%	N/A	0
Ventura County Waterworks District No. 8	29%	90,687	28%	-0.8%	N/A	0
Golden State Water Company Artesia	17%	47,978	16%	-0.8%	N/A	0

See last page for compliance priority category definitions

Data pulled 27 July 2015

June 2015 Water Supplier Conservation Compliance (by compliance priority, then missed target by %)

Supplier Name	Cumulative Savings (June 2015)	Total Population Served	Conservation Standard	Missed Target by %	Missed Target by Volume	Compliance Priority
San Jacinto City of	33%	15,200	32%	-0.8%	N/A	0
Walnut Valley Water District	29%	113,236	28%	-0.7%	N/A	0
East Orange County Water District	37%	3,247	36%	-0.7%	N/A	0
Fortuna City of	25%	12,032	24%	-0.6%	N/A	0
San Clemente City of	25%	50,960	24%	-0.6%	N/A	0
Olivenhain Municipal Water District	32%	84,351	32%	-0.5%	N/A	0
Pismo Beach City of	24%	7,861	24%	-0.5%	N/A	0
Lodi City of Public Works Department	32%	63,651	32%	-0.5%	N/A	0
Monte Vista Water District	24%	54,460	24%	-0.4%	N/A	0
Manhattan Beach City of	20%	35,996	20%	-0.3%	N/A	0
Golden State Water Company Barstow	24%	31,209	24%	-0.3%	N/A	0
Placer County Water Agency	32%	95,717	32%	-0.3%	N/A	0
California Water Service Company Bakersfield	32%	278,409	32%	-0.2%	N/A	0
Newhall County Water District	28%	45,036	28%	-0.2%	N/A	0
California Water Service Company Hermosa/Redondo	20%	95,750	20%	-0.2%	N/A	0
San Bernardino City of	28%	198,163	28%	-0.1%	N/A	0
Ramona Municipal Water District	28%	33,600	28%	0.0%	9,385	0
Morro Bay City of	12%	10,234	12%	0.0%	10,863	0
Golden State Water Company Cowan Heights	36%	7,254	36%	0.0%	29,218	0
Monrovia City of	28%	37,406	28%	0.1%	190,096	0
Rohnert Park City of	16%	43,398	16%	0.2%	235,696	0
Rio Linda - Elverta Community Water District	36%	11,013	36%	0.5%	382,466	0
Los Angeles Department of Water and Power	16%	4,005,398	16%	0.5%	67,189,352	0
Glendora City of	35%	51,463	36%	0.5%	1,319,959	0
Nevada Irrigation District	35%	44,761	36%	0.5%	1,468,869	0
Amador Water Agency	23%	23,347	24%	0.6%	562,775	0
Tustin City of	27%	67,700	28%	0.6%	1,737,707	0
Lee Lake Water District	31%	15,543	32%	0.7%	498,532	0
Delano City of	23%	54,318	24%	0.7%	1,826,782	0
Olivehurst Public Utility District	35%	19,509	36%	0.7%	509,801	0
Castaic Lake Water Agency Santa Clarita Water Division	31%	121,688	32%	0.7%	5,125,039	0

See last page for compliance priority category definitions

Data pulled 27 July 2015

June 2015 Water Supplier Conservation Compliance (by compliance priority, then missed target by %)

Supplier Name	Cumulative Savings (June 2015)	Total Population Served	Conservation Standard	Missed Target by %	Missed Target by Volume	Compliance Priority
Chino City of	23%	78,073	24%	0.8%	3,225,799	0
Yuba City City of	31%	68,379	32%	1.0%	4,190,861	0

June 2015 Non-filers

The following suppliers did not submit June 2015 data by 27 July 2015:

- Citrus Heights Water District
- Fillmore City of
- Grover Beach City of
- Montecito Water District
- Sacramento County Water Agency
- Soledad, City of
- Upland City of

June 2015 Water Supplier Conservation Compliance Summary Table

Compliance Priority		# of Suppliers
1	Greater than 15% from meeting standard	16
2	More than 5% and less than 15% from meeting standard	70
3	Between 1% and 5% of meeting standard	53
0	Met or within 1% of standard	266