

August 2015 Supplier Conservation Compliance (by compliance priority, then missed target by %)

406 suppliers reporting for August; non-filers on page 14

Supplier Name	Cumulative Savings (Jun-15 - Aug-15)	Population Served	Conservation Standard	Missed Conservation Standard by %	Missed Conservation Standard by Volume	Compliance Priority*
Livingston City of	9.8%	14,898	32%	22.2%	163,144,080	1 A
Riverbank City of	11.2%	23,298	32%	20.8%	96,920,230	1
Hanford City of	9.7%	57,050	28%	18.3%	299,383,344	1 C
Blythe City of	15.0%	13,839	32%	17.0%	61,776,000	1
California City City of	20.4%	14,120	36%	15.6%	79,973,064	1 C
El Segundo City of	4.8%	16,654	20%	15.2%	96,800,765	1 A
Phelan Pinon Hills Community Services District	17.1%	25,160	32%	14.9%	52,284,556	2 C
Humboldt Community Service District	11.2%	20,032	24%	12.8%	30,156,960	2
Redlands City of	23.4%	77,852	36%	12.6%	417,918,793	2
Los Angeles County Public Works Waterworks District 29	23.9%	22,219	36%	12.1%	95,973,021	2
Lemoore City of	19.9%	25,281	32%	12.1%	105,170,280	2
Beverly Hills City of	20.3%	42,157	32%	11.7%	131,362,441	2
Yreka, City of	20.6%	7,840	32%	11.4%	37,230,000	2
South Feather Water and Power Agency	24.8%	17,500	36%	11.2%	86,560,000	2
Twentynine Palms Water District	16.8%	18,795	28%	11.2%	32,897,517	2
Susanville City of	25.0%	8,978	36%	11.0%	42,078,640	2
Humboldt Bay Municipal Water District	-6.6%	602	4%	10.6%	5,266,400	2 A
Yucaipa Valley Water District	25.5%	44,468	36%	10.5%	145,295,600	2
Wasco City of	25.6%	26,159	36%	10.4%	53,792,800	2
Norco City of	25.6%	25,891	36%	10.4%	87,738,755	2
West Valley Water District	21.6%	73,752	32%	10.4%	220,693,437	2
Beaumont-Cherry Valley Water District	25.7%	43,111	36%	10.3%	146,174,995	2
Winton Water & Sanitary District	25.9%	8,500	36%	10.1%	21,390,520	2
California Water Service Company Kern River Valley	18.0%	5,598	28%	10.0%	9,307,620	2
Fallbrook Public Utility District	26.4%	34,894	36%	9.6%	74,639,919	2
Indian Wells Valley Water District	26.6%	31,120	36%	9.4%	80,576,480	2 C
Mission Springs Water District	18.7%	37,600	28%	9.3%	77,278,924	2 C
Rialto City of	18.7%	54,036	28%	9.3%	94,031,337	2
Anderson, City of	27.3%	11,147	36%	8.7%	29,922,000	2
Rancho California Water District	27.7%	168,972	36%	8.3%	381,467,749	2

* See last page for compliance priority category definitions; A - Alternative Compliance Order; C - Conservation Order

August 2015 Supplier Conservation Compliance (by compliance priority, then missed target by %)

406 suppliers reporting for August; non-filers on page 14

Supplier Name	Cumulative Savings (Jun-15 - Aug-15)	Population Served	Conservation Standard	Missed Conservation Standard by %	Missed Conservation Standard by Volume	Compliance Priority*
Pinedale County Water District	28.0%	16,735	36%	8.0%	25,770,345	2
Loma Linda City of	24.1%	23,614	32%	7.9%	47,144,967	2
Indio City of	24.1%	88,411	32%	7.9%	181,048,000	2
Eastern Municipal Water District	20.1%	540,916	28%	7.9%	760,120,141	2
Sierra Madre City of	24.2%	11,094	32%	7.8%	19,143,250	2
Pasadena City of	20.3%	166,482	28%	7.7%	256,584,537	2
Arcadia City of	28.9%	57,639	36%	7.1%	124,599,069	2
Rubidoux Community Service District	21.3%	32,000	28%	6.7%	37,716,000	2
Hesperia Water District City of	25.5%	91,627	32%	6.5%	114,452,055	2
Adelanto City of	13.6%	28,723	20%	6.4%	28,797,700	2 C
San Dieguito Water District	21.6%	37,168	28%	6.4%	39,450,832	2
Oildale Mutual Water Company	29.6%	33,163	36%	6.4%	78,295,581	2
Camrosa Water District	25.6%	35,783	32%	6.4%	53,504,804	2
Imperial, City of	17.7%	18,022	24%	6.3%	18,512,920	2
Hemet City of	25.8%	29,982	32%	6.2%	29,139,720	2 C
Coachella Valley Water District	29.9%	318,217	36%	6.1%	767,918,417	2
Ripon City of	30.0%	14,915	36%	6.0%	34,872,120	2
Hi-Desert Water District	10.1%	23,760	16%	5.9%	19,021,642	2
California Water Service Company Visalia	26.1%	138,909	32%	5.9%	223,146,967	2
Vaughn Water Company	30.3%	30,813	36%	5.7%	90,918,743	2
Brea City of	18.4%	43,328	24%	5.6%	59,513,505	2
Corona City of	22.6%	168,070	28%	5.4%	196,732,929	2
Shafter City of	30.6%	19,100	36%	5.4%	36,200,000	2
Tulare, City of	26.7%	62,363	32%	5.3%	113,164,000	2
Newport Beach City of	22.8%	66,219	28%	5.2%	85,627,238	2
Hawthorne City of	10.8%	44,528	16%	5.2%	19,981,210	2
Valley Water Company	30.8%	9,900	36%	5.2%	21,358,909	2
West Kern Water District	22.8%	21,181	28%	5.2%	98,535,386	2 A
Vernon City of	2.9%	112	8%	5.1%	33,175,716	2 A
El Centro City of	19.0%	44,311	24%	5.0%	43,173,640	2

* See last page for compliance priority category definitions; A - Alternative Compliance Order; C - Conservation Order

August 2015 Supplier Conservation Compliance (by compliance priority, then missed target by %)
 406 suppliers reporting for August; non-filers on page 14

Supplier Name	Cumulative Savings (Jun-15 - Aug-15)	Population Served	Conservation Standard	Missed Conservation Standard by %	Missed Conservation Standard by Volume	Compliance Priority*
California Water Service Company Palos Verdes	31.0%	69,916	36%	5.0%	103,435,670	3
Kerman, City of	27.3%	14,339	32%	4.7%	20,337,760	3
California-American Water Ventura District	27.3%	62,144	32%	4.7%	83,079,080	3
Turlock City of	27.4%	71,181	32%	4.6%	114,169,140	3
Rubio Canyon Land and Water Association	31.5%	9,600	36%	4.5%	10,557,586	3
Redding City of	31.6%	91,207	36%	4.4%	158,536,400	3
Modesto, City of	31.7%	220,916	36%	4.3%	316,007,600	3
Upland City of	31.7%	75,670	36%	4.3%	100,279,835	3
Monrovia City of	24.0%	39,147	28%	4.0%	30,641,206	3
East Niles Community Service District	32.0%	31,772	36%	4.0%	47,900,160	3
Ventura County Waterworks District No 1	28.0%	38,703	32%	4.0%	49,605,014	3
Patterson City of	24.1%	21,094	28%	3.9%	18,293,887	3
Western Municipal Water District of Riverside	28.2%	96,139	32%	3.8%	106,801,064	3
Bakersfield City of	32.4%	143,158	36%	3.6%	190,952,947	3
Coachella City of	20.6%	43,917	24%	3.4%	26,506,000	3
Fullerton City of	24.6%	138,000	28%	3.4%	99,543,310	3
Red Bluff City of	32.9%	14,076	36%	3.1%	16,688,357	3
Desert Water Agency	32.9%	120,548	36%	3.1%	115,061,670	3
La Habra City of Public Works	25.0%	63,118	28%	3.0%	28,488,669	3
Arcata City of	1.1%	18,464	4%	2.9%	5,277,520	3
Las Virgenes Municipal Water District	33.2%	75,384	36%	2.8%	71,622,144	3
Clovis City of	33.3%	108,227	36%	2.7%	92,983,840	3
Sanger City of	25.3%	25,664	28%	2.7%	19,577,760	3
Covina City of	25.3%	33,300	28%	2.7%	15,939,218	3
Myoma Dunes Mutual Water Company	33.3%	8,948	36%	2.7%	12,384,000	3
Watsonville City of	17.4%	65,739	20%	2.6%	21,370,949	3
Palmdale Water District	29.5%	116,188	32%	2.5%	63,503,229	3
California Water Service Company Dominguez	13.5%	142,289	16%	2.5%	78,354,234	3 A
Corcoran, City of	33.5%	24,154	36%	2.5%	20,138,800	3
Soledad, City of	21.5%	16,729	24%	2.5%	6,108,172	3

* See last page for compliance priority category definitions; A - Alternative Compliance Order; C - Conservation Order
 Data pulled 21 September 2015

August 2015 Supplier Conservation Compliance (by compliance priority, then missed target by %)

406 suppliers reporting for August; non-filers on page 14

Supplier Name	Cumulative Savings (Jun-15 - Aug-15)	Population Served	Conservation Standard	Missed Conservation Standard by %	Missed Conservation Standard by Volume	Compliance Priority*
Exeter City of	33.5%	10,572	36%	2.5%	7,320,617	3
Bakman Water Company	33.5%	16,756	36%	2.5%	12,705,171	3
Jurupa Community Service District	25.6%	118,731	28%	2.4%	70,895,430	3
Cerritos City of	25.6%	49,041	28%	2.4%	19,712,838	3
Riverside City of	25.6%	299,467	28%	2.4%	180,156,737	3
Cucamonga Valley Water District	29.6%	201,758	32%	2.4%	133,182,816	3
Lodi City of Public Works Department	29.6%	63,651	32%	2.4%	45,152,000	3
Alco Water Service	21.7%	29,179	24%	2.3%	10,431,920	3
Riverside Highland Water Company	33.7%	14,909	36%	2.3%	10,270,837	3
Carlsbad Municipal Water District	25.7%	84,838	28%	2.3%	39,477,552	3
Monterey Park City of	17.8%	62,183	20%	2.2%	18,686,000	3
Ceres City of	25.9%	47,639	28%	2.1%	19,344,240	3
Elsinore Valley Municipal Water District	26.0%	149,468	28%	2.0%	55,028,486	3
Chino Hills City of	26.1%	74,738	28%	1.9%	30,679,376	3
Rio Vista, city of	34.1%	8,324	36%	1.9%	5,784,800	3
Norwalk City of	18.1%	18,361	20%	1.9%	3,868,000	3
Rowland Water District	18.2%	62,106	20%	1.8%	20,202,788	3
Suburban Water Systems Whittier/La Mirada	26.2%	115,000	28%	1.8%	42,030,142	3
California Water Service Company Westlake	34.2%	19,456	36%	1.8%	15,455,785	3
Los Banos, City of	26.2%	37,168	28%	1.8%	17,273,920	3
Laguna Beach County Water District	22.3%	19,121	24%	1.7%	5,831,046	3
Fortuna City of	22.4%	12,032	24%	1.6%	2,440,000	3
San Juan Capistrano City of	26.6%	39,047	28%	1.4%	13,071,856	3
Ontario City of	22.6%	168,777	24%	1.4%	49,359,974	3
Madera County	35.0%	14,326	36%	1.0%	5,709,626	3
Calexico City of	19.2%	41,033	20%	0.8%	5,412,000	0
California Water Service Company Hermosa/Redondo	19.2%	95,829	20%	0.8%	8,693,716	0
San Fernando City of	23.5%	23,645	24%	0.5%	1,655,325	0
Glendora City of	35.5%	51,463	36%	0.5%	6,494,740	0
Orange City of	27.6%	139,463	28%	0.4%	13,461,574	0

* See last page for compliance priority category definitions; A - Alternative Compliance Order; C - Conservation Order

August 2015 Supplier Conservation Compliance (by compliance priority, then missed target by %)

406 suppliers reporting for August; non-filers on page 14

Supplier Name	Cumulative Savings (Jun-15 - Aug-15)	Population Served	Conservation Standard	Missed Conservation Standard by %	Missed Conservation Standard by Volume	Compliance Priority*
Bellflower-Somerset Mutual Water Company	19.6%	46,300	20%	0.4%	2,106,304	0
Yuba City City of	31.6%	68,379	32%	0.4%	7,853,600	0
Reedley City of	23.7%	24,194	24%	0.3%	2,039,200	0
La Verne City of	31.7%	32,228	32%	0.3%	2,951,236	0
Colton, City of	19.8%	47,429	20%	0.2%	1,961,626	0
Pico Water District	23.8%	25,284	24%	0.2%	537,003	0
Westminster City of	19.9%	93,322	20%	0.1%	873,282	0
Suisun-Solano Water Authority	28.0%	28,549	28%	0.0%	160,000	0
Fillmore City of	28.0%	15,222	28%	0.0%	46,089	0
South Gate City of	12.1%	96,057	12%	-0.1%	N/A	0
Lynwood City of	16.1%	65,965	16%	-0.1%	N/A	0
Delano City of	24.2%	54,318	24%	-0.2%	N/A	0
Joshua Basin Water District	28.2%	9,514	28%	-0.2%	N/A	0
Banning City of	32.3%	30,325	32%	-0.3%	N/A	0
Atwater City of	36.4%	29,500	36%	-0.4%	N/A	0
Chino City of	24.4%	78,073	24%	-0.4%	N/A	0
Manteca City of	32.4%	75,282	32%	-0.4%	N/A	0
Tustin City of	28.5%	67,700	28%	-0.5%	N/A	0
Nevada Irrigation District	36.6%	44,761	36%	-0.6%	N/A	0
North Tahoe Public Utility District	28.6%	7,500	28%	-0.6%	N/A	0
Folsom City of	32.7%	63,376	32%	-0.7%	N/A	0
Crescent City City of	16.7%	18,290	16%	-0.7%	N/A	0
Victorville Water District	28.7%	125,889	28%	-0.7%	N/A	0
Casitas Municipal Water District	32.8%	9,603	32%	-0.8%	N/A	0
Whittier City of	20.9%	49,954	20%	-0.9%	N/A	0
Lamont Public Utility District	29.1%	20,009	28%	-1.1%	N/A	0
El Toro Water District	25.2%	48,628	24%	-1.2%	N/A	0
Walnut Valley Water District	29.2%	113,236	28%	-1.2%	N/A	0
Carmichael Water District	37.2%	38,354	36%	-1.2%	N/A	0
Golden State Water Company Artesia	17.4%	47,978	16%	-1.4%	N/A	0

* See last page for compliance priority category definitions; A - Alternative Compliance Order; C - Conservation Order

August 2015 Supplier Conservation Compliance (by compliance priority, then missed target by %)

406 suppliers reporting for August; non-filers on page 14

Supplier Name	Cumulative Savings (Jun-15 - Aug-15)	Population Served	Conservation Standard	Missed Conservation Standard by %	Missed Conservation Standard by Volume	Compliance Priority*
Placer County Water Agency	33.5%	95,717	32%	-1.5%	N/A	0
Lomita City of	21.5%	20,463	20%	-1.5%	N/A	0
San Jacinto City of	33.5%	15,582	32%	-1.5%	N/A	0
Olivenhain Municipal Water District	33.6%	84,351	32%	-1.6%	N/A	0
Fresno City of	29.6%	520,159	28%	-1.6%	N/A	0
California Water Service Company Bear Gulch	37.7%	64,914	36%	-1.7%	N/A	0
San Bernardino County Service Area 64	33.7%	14,031	32%	-1.7%	N/A	0
Ventura County Waterworks District No. 8	29.8%	90,687	28%	-1.8%	N/A	0
Perris, City of	25.8%	9,000	24%	-1.8%	N/A	0
Irvine Ranch Water District	17.8%	386,026	16%	-1.8%	N/A	0
Lee Lake Water District	33.9%	15,543	32%	-1.9%	N/A	0
Fruitridge Vista Water Company	37.9%	21,441	36%	-1.9%	N/A	0
Rincon Del Diablo Municipal Water District	33.9%	29,955	32%	-1.9%	N/A	0
Rainbow Municipal Water District	37.9%	19,495	36%	-1.9%	N/A	0 C
California Water Service Company Bakersfield	34.1%	278,611	32%	-2.1%	N/A	0
Los Angeles Department of Water and Power	18.1%	4,012,509	16%	-2.1%	N/A	0
Golden State Water Company S San Gabriel	14.1%	27,656	12%	-2.1%	N/A	0
San Gabriel Valley Fontana Water Company	30.2%	209,035	28%	-2.2%	N/A	0
Golden State Water Company Cordova	38.2%	43,767	36%	-2.2%	N/A	0
California-American Water Company Los Angeles District	30.3%	102,889	28%	-2.3%	N/A	0
California Water Service Company Oroville	30.4%	10,770	28%	-2.4%	N/A	0
Golden State Water Company Cowan Heights	38.4%	7,254	36%	-2.4%	N/A	0
South Pasadena City of	30.4%	25,899	28%	-2.4%	N/A	0
Pismo Beach City of	26.5%	7,861	24%	-2.5%	N/A	0
Santa Maria City of	18.5%	102,087	16%	-2.5%	N/A	0
Santa Monica City of	22.5%	93,283	20%	-2.5%	N/A	0
Garden Grove City of	22.5%	177,020	20%	-2.5%	N/A	0
San Bernardino County Service Area 70	30.6%	11,407	28%	-2.6%	N/A	0
San Bernardino City of	30.6%	198,163	28%	-2.6%	N/A	0
Alhambra City of	26.7%	85,068	24%	-2.7%	N/A	0

* See last page for compliance priority category definitions; A - Alternative Compliance Order; C - Conservation Order

August 2015 Supplier Conservation Compliance (by compliance priority, then missed target by %)

406 suppliers reporting for August; non-filers on page 14

Supplier Name	Cumulative Savings (Jun-15 - Aug-15)	Population Served	Conservation Standard	Missed Conservation Standard by %	Missed Conservation Standard by Volume	Compliance Priority*
Castaic Lake Water Agency Santa Clarita Water Division	34.7%	121,688	32%	-2.7%	N/A	0
Long Beach City of	18.7%	474,045	16%	-2.7%	N/A	0
Rio Linda - Elverta Community Water District	38.7%	11,013	36%	-2.7%	N/A	0
Santa Paula City of	30.7%	30,009	28%	-2.7%	N/A	0
Golden State Water Company Culver City	18.7%	36,215	16%	-2.7%	N/A	0
Montebello Land and Water Company	18.8%	32,219	16%	-2.8%	N/A	0
Davis City of	30.8%	68,315	28%	-2.8%	N/A	0
Manhattan Beach City of	22.8%	35,996	20%	-2.8%	N/A	0
Kingsburg, City of	38.9%	11,685	36%	-2.9%	N/A	0
Newhall County Water District	30.9%	45,036	28%	-2.9%	N/A	0
Marin Municipal Water District	22.9%	188,200	20%	-2.9%	N/A	0
Golden State Water Company Southwest	14.9%	271,677	12%	-2.9%	N/A	0
East Orange County Water District	38.9%	3,247	36%	-2.9%	N/A	0
Suburban Water Systems San Jose Hills	26.9%	178,500	24%	-2.9%	N/A	0
Fair Oaks Water District	39.0%	36,226	36%	-3.0%	N/A	0
Tehachapi, City of	31.0%	8,839	28%	-3.0%	N/A	0
Rosamond Community Service District	31.2%	17,700	28%	-3.2%	N/A	0
Porterville City of	35.2%	61,946	32%	-3.2%	N/A	0
Rohnert Park City of	19.2%	43,398	16%	-3.2%	N/A	0
Quartz Hill Water District	39.2%	20,352	36%	-3.2%	N/A	0
Coalinga City of	35.4%	16,529	32%	-3.4%	N/A	0
Moulton Niguel Water District	23.5%	172,068	20%	-3.5%	N/A	0
Downey City of	23.5%	111,931	20%	-3.5%	N/A	0
Burbank City of	27.5%	106,084	24%	-3.5%	N/A	0
Sacramento Suburban Water District	35.5%	174,784	32%	-3.5%	N/A	0
Valley Center Municipal Water District	39.6%	25,458	36%	-3.6%	N/A	0
San Clemente City of	27.7%	50,960	24%	-3.7%	N/A	0
Lincoln Avenue Water Company	31.7%	16,126	28%	-3.7%	N/A	0
Golden State Water Company Barstow	27.8%	31,209	24%	-3.8%	N/A	0
Madera City of	31.9%	63,961	28%	-3.9%	N/A	0

* See last page for compliance priority category definitions; A - Alternative Compliance Order; C - Conservation Order

August 2015 Supplier Conservation Compliance (by compliance priority, then missed target by %)
 406 suppliers reporting for August; non-filers on page 14

Supplier Name	Cumulative Savings (Jun-15 - Aug-15)	Population Served	Conservation Standard	Missed Conservation Standard by %	Missed Conservation Standard by Volume	Compliance Priority*
Santa Fe Irrigation District	39.9%	19,839	36%	-3.9%	N/A	0
Healdsburg City of	27.9%	11,687	24%	-3.9%	N/A	0
City of Newman Water Department	28.0%	10,668	24%	-4.0%	N/A	0
Camarillo City of	24.0%	47,183	20%	-4.0%	N/A	0
El Dorado Irrigation District	32.1%	118,500	28%	-4.1%	N/A	0
City of Big Bear Lake, Dept of Water & Power	20.1%	25,619	16%	-4.1%	N/A	0
Triunfo Sanitation District / Oak Park Water Service	36.2%	12,200	32%	-4.2%	N/A	0
Thousand Oaks City of	32.3%	51,609	28%	-4.3%	N/A	0
Buena Park City of	24.4%	82,767	20%	-4.4%	N/A	0
Apple Valley Ranchos Water Company	32.4%	62,602	28%	-4.4%	N/A	0
Olivehurst Public Utility District	40.4%	19,509	36%	-4.4%	N/A	0
Azusa City of	24.5%	110,000	20%	-4.5%	N/A	0
Brawley City of	36.5%	27,743	32%	-4.5%	N/A	0
South Tahoe Public Utilities District	24.5%	33,124	20%	-4.5%	N/A	0
San Gabriel County Water District	24.5%	45,000	20%	-4.5%	N/A	0
Orange Vale Water Company	40.6%	14,283	36%	-4.6%	N/A	0
Ramona Municipal Water District	32.6%	36,564	28%	-4.6%	N/A	0
Tracy City of	32.6%	85,146	28%	-4.6%	N/A	0
Galt City of	36.7%	24,289	32%	-4.7%	N/A	0
Sunny Slope Water Company	28.8%	30,611	24%	-4.8%	N/A	0
Golden State Water Company Florence Graham	12.9%	65,492	8%	-4.9%	N/A	0
Yorba Linda Water District	41.0%	72,500	36%	-5.0%	N/A	0
Georgetown Divide Public Utilities District	37.0%	9,864	32%	-5.0%	N/A	0
Santa Margarita Water District	29.1%	155,064	24%	-5.1%	N/A	0
Poway City of	37.1%	48,774	32%	-5.1%	N/A	0
Fountain Valley City of	25.1%	59,227	20%	-5.1%	N/A	0
San Juan Water District	41.1%	32,758	36%	-5.1%	N/A	0
Huntington Beach City of	25.1%	196,041	20%	-5.1%	N/A	0
Vacaville City of	37.1%	86,893	32%	-5.1%	N/A	0
Daly City City of	13.2%	105,810	8%	-5.2%	N/A	0

* See last page for compliance priority category definitions; A - Alternative Compliance Order; C - Conservation Order
 Data pulled 21 September 2015

August 2015 Supplier Conservation Compliance (by compliance priority, then missed target by %)
 406 suppliers reporting for August; non-filers on page 14

Supplier Name	Cumulative Savings (Jun-15 - Aug-15)	Population Served	Conservation Standard	Missed Conservation Standard by %	Missed Conservation Standard by Volume	Compliance Priority*
Pico Rivera City of	21.2%	39,002	16%	-5.2%	N/A	0
Lincoln City of	37.2%	45,837	32%	-5.2%	N/A	0
Glendale City of	25.3%	193,300	20%	-5.3%	N/A	0
Mesa Water District	25.3%	108,000	20%	-5.3%	N/A	0
Trabuco Canyon Water District	33.4%	13,175	28%	-5.4%	N/A	0
East Valley Water District	33.4%	101,733	28%	-5.4%	N/A	0
La Palma City of	25.5%	15,896	20%	-5.5%	N/A	0
Monte Vista Water District	29.8%	56,039	24%	-5.8%	N/A	0
Pittsburg City of	25.9%	66,183	20%	-5.9%	N/A	0
Inglewood City of	18.0%	86,418	12%	-6.0%	N/A	0
Golden State Water Company S Arcadia	30.1%	26,827	24%	-6.1%	N/A	0
Hollister City of	26.1%	20,541	20%	-6.1%	N/A	0
Paso Robles City of	34.1%	30,450	28%	-6.1%	N/A	0
California Water Service Company Antelope Valley	42.1%	3,391	36%	-6.1%	N/A	0
Sacramento City of	34.1%	480,155	28%	-6.1%	N/A	0
Pomona City of	26.2%	152,412	20%	-6.2%	N/A	0
Stockton City of	34.4%	177,808	28%	-6.4%	N/A	0
Oceanside City of	26.4%	171,183	20%	-6.4%	N/A	0
Estero Municipal Improvement District	18.4%	37,165	12%	-6.4%	N/A	0
Anaheim City of	26.5%	360,142	20%	-6.5%	N/A	0
Morro Bay City of	18.5%	10,234	12%	-6.5%	N/A	0
Golden State Water Company San Dimas	34.5%	54,872	28%	-6.5%	N/A	0
Linda County Water District	38.6%	18,808	32%	-6.6%	N/A	0
Sonoma City of	34.6%	11,579	28%	-6.6%	N/A	0
Merced City of	42.6%	83,400	36%	-6.6%	N/A	0
Nipomo Community Services District	34.6%	12,512	28%	-6.6%	N/A	0
Truckee-Donner Public Utilities District	34.7%	32,776	28%	-6.7%	N/A	0
California Water Service Company Dixon, City of	34.7%	9,981	28%	-6.7%	N/A	0
California Water Service Company Los Altos/Suburban	38.7%	68,142	32%	-6.7%	N/A	0
Atascadero Mutual Water Company	34.7%	31,379	28%	-6.7%	N/A	0

* See last page for compliance priority category definitions; A - Alternative Compliance Order; C - Conservation Order
 Data pulled 21 September 2015

August 2015 Supplier Conservation Compliance (by compliance priority, then missed target by %)

406 suppliers reporting for August; non-filers on page 14

Supplier Name	Cumulative Savings (Jun-15 - Aug-15)	Population Served	Conservation Standard	Missed Conservation Standard by %	Missed Conservation Standard by Volume	Compliance Priority*
Otay Water District	26.8%	217,600	20%	-6.8%	N/A	0
Arvin Community Services District	34.8%	20,499	28%	-6.8%	N/A	0
Fairfield City of	26.9%	107,540	20%	-6.9%	N/A	0
Diablo Water District	34.9%	38,194	28%	-6.9%	N/A	0
Los Angeles County Public Works Waterworks District 40	38.9%	207,191	32%	-6.9%	N/A	0
Golden State Water Company Placentia	31.0%	46,543	24%	-7.0%	N/A	0
Serrano Water District	43.0%	6,641	36%	-7.0%	N/A	0
Huntington Park City of	15.1%	64,219	8%	-7.1%	N/A	0
Compton City of	15.1%	81,963	8%	-7.1%	N/A	0
American Canyon, City of	27.2%	20,080	20%	-7.2%	N/A	0
Napa City of	27.4%	86,051	20%	-7.4%	N/A	0
California Water Service Company Stockton	27.4%	170,584	20%	-7.4%	N/A	0
Discovery Bay Community Services District	39.5%	14,811	32%	-7.5%	N/A	0
Vallecitos Water District	31.5%	94,283	24%	-7.5%	N/A	0
Hillsborough Town of	43.6%	10,850	36%	-7.6%	N/A	0
Orchard Dale Water District	19.6%	25,605	12%	-7.6%	N/A	0
California Water Service Company Marysville	31.7%	12,172	24%	-7.7%	N/A	0
Golden State Water Company Bell-Bell Gardens	15.8%	57,934	8%	-7.8%	N/A	0
South Coast Water District	31.9%	34,992	24%	-7.9%	N/A	0
El Monte City of	15.9%	22,968	8%	-7.9%	N/A	0
Helix Water District	27.9%	270,375	20%	-7.9%	N/A	0
Sacramento County Water Agency	39.9%	173,333	32%	-7.9%	N/A	0
Big Bear City Community Services District	24.0%	12,411	16%	-8.0%	N/A	0
Oxnard City of	20.1%	196,720	12%	-8.1%	N/A	0
Antioch City of	36.1%	108,298	28%	-8.1%	N/A	0
Santa Ana City of	20.1%	332,005	12%	-8.1%	N/A	0
California Water Service Company Willows	36.1%	7,130	28%	-8.1%	N/A	0
Palo Alto City of	32.3%	64,403	24%	-8.3%	N/A	0
San Francisco Public Utilities Commission	16.3%	846,601	8%	-8.3%	N/A	0
Golden State Water Company Orcutt	40.4%	31,872	32%	-8.4%	N/A	0

* See last page for compliance priority category definitions; A - Alternative Compliance Order; C - Conservation Order

August 2015 Supplier Conservation Compliance (by compliance priority, then missed target by %)

406 suppliers reporting for August; non-filers on page 14

Supplier Name	Cumulative Savings (Jun-15 - Aug-15)	Population Served	Conservation Standard	Missed Conservation Standard by %	Missed Conservation Standard by Volume	Compliance Priority*
Sunnyslope County Water District	36.6%	19,189	28%	-8.6%	N/A	0
Arroyo Grande City of	36.7%	17,121	28%	-8.7%	N/A	0
Citrus Heights Water District	40.7%	67,333	32%	-8.7%	N/A	0
Vista Irrigation District	28.8%	124,746	20%	-8.8%	N/A	0
Vallejo City of	24.8%	124,000	16%	-8.8%	N/A	0
San Diego City of	24.9%	1,314,290	16%	-8.9%	N/A	0
Lakewood City of	29.0%	59,081	20%	-9.0%	N/A	0
California Water Service Company East Los Angeles	17.0%	158,020	8%	-9.0%	N/A	0
Valley County Water District	25.1%	71,003	16%	-9.1%	N/A	0
Paramount City of	21.1%	55,051	12%	-9.1%	N/A	0
Golden State Water Company Norwalk	21.2%	44,466	12%	-9.2%	N/A	0
Shasta Lake City of	37.3%	10,293	28%	-9.3%	N/A	0
Amador Water Agency	33.3%	23,347	24%	-9.3%	N/A	0
Golden State Water Company West Orange	25.4%	111,904	16%	-9.4%	N/A	0
California-American Water Company Monterey District	17.4%	100,623	8%	-9.4%	N/A	0
Eureka City of	13.5%	27,052	4%	-9.5%	N/A	0
California Water Service Company Selma	41.5%	25,082	32%	-9.5%	N/A	0
Gilroy City of	33.6%	53,235	24%	-9.6%	N/A	0
California Water Service Company King City	21.9%	14,802	12%	-9.9%	N/A	0
Paradise Irrigation District	45.9%	26,032	36%	-9.9%	N/A	0
Windsor, Town of	26.0%	27,486	16%	-10.0%	N/A	0
Santa Fe Springs City of	26.2%	18,199	16%	-10.2%	N/A	0
Crescenta Valley Water District	30.2%	31,966	20%	-10.2%	N/A	0
Ukiah City of	30.3%	16,075	20%	-10.3%	N/A	0
Roseville City of	38.5%	122,946	28%	-10.5%	N/A	0
Groveland Community Services District	34.5%	3,400	24%	-10.5%	N/A	0
Lake Hemet Municipal Water District	39.0%	52,914	28%	-11.0%	N/A	0
Oakdale City of	43.0%	21,985	32%	-11.0%	N/A	0
California Water Service Company Chico District	43.1%	102,591	32%	-11.1%	N/A	0
North Marin Water District	35.1%	61,299	24%	-11.1%	N/A	0

* See last page for compliance priority category definitions; A - Alternative Compliance Order; C - Conservation Order

August 2015 Supplier Conservation Compliance (by compliance priority, then missed target by %)
 406 suppliers reporting for August; non-filers on page 14

Supplier Name	Cumulative Savings (Jun-15 - Aug-15)	Population Served	Conservation Standard	Missed Conservation Standard by %	Missed Conservation Standard by Volume	Compliance Priority*
Elk Grove Water Service	39.3%	44,213	28%	-11.3%	N/A	0
Santa Clara City of	27.3%	120,973	16%	-11.3%	N/A	0
Lakeside Water District	31.5%	35,500	20%	-11.5%	N/A	0
Mid-Peninsula Water District	31.6%	26,730	20%	-11.6%	N/A	0
Brentwood City of	43.7%	56,493	32%	-11.7%	N/A	0
San Luis Obispo City of	23.7%	45,802	12%	-11.7%	N/A	0
Petaluma City of	27.8%	61,258	16%	-11.8%	N/A	0
Sweetwater Springs Water District	27.8%	7,743	16%	-11.8%	N/A	0
Valley of the Moon Water District	31.8%	23,478	20%	-11.8%	N/A	0
Woodland City of	36.0%	57,223	24%	-12.0%	N/A	0
Santa Rosa City of	28.0%	170,974	16%	-12.0%	N/A	0
Park Water Company	20.1%	125,784	8%	-12.1%	N/A	0
Morgan Hill City of	40.1%	41,779	28%	-12.1%	N/A	0
West Sacramento City of	40.1%	50,836	28%	-12.1%	N/A	0
Contra Costa Water District	40.1%	199,680	28%	-12.1%	N/A	0
Valencia Water Company	36.5%	118,224	24%	-12.5%	N/A	0
Golden State Water Company Claremont	44.6%	37,160	32%	-12.6%	N/A	0
California Water Service Company Salinas District	28.6%	121,555	16%	-12.6%	N/A	0
California Water Service Company Mid Peninsula	28.6%	134,660	16%	-12.6%	N/A	0
Padre Dam Municipal Water District	32.7%	90,101	20%	-12.7%	N/A	0
East Palo Alto, City of	20.8%	29,143	8%	-12.8%	N/A	0
Golden State Water Company Simi Valley	36.8%	43,351	24%	-12.8%	N/A	0
Scotts Valley Water District	29.4%	10,509	16%	-13.4%	N/A	0
Port Hueneme City of	21.5%	21,555	8%	-13.5%	N/A	0
East Bay Municipal Utilities District	29.6%	1,390,000	16%	-13.6%	N/A	0
Seal Beach City of	21.6%	25,561	8%	-13.6%	N/A	0
Lathrop, City of	33.7%	19,831	20%	-13.7%	N/A	0
Sweetwater Authority	25.8%	191,500	12%	-13.8%	N/A	0
Carpinteria Valley Water District	33.9%	14,616	20%	-13.9%	N/A	0
San Jose City of	34.3%	117,576	20%	-14.3%	N/A	0

* See last page for compliance priority category definitions; A - Alternative Compliance Order; C - Conservation Order
 Data pulled 21 September 2015

August 2015 Supplier Conservation Compliance (by compliance priority, then missed target by %)

406 suppliers reporting for August; non-filers on page 14

Supplier Name	Cumulative Savings (Jun-15 - Aug-15)	Population Served	Conservation Standard	Missed Conservation Standard by %	Missed Conservation Standard by Volume	Compliance Priority*
Montecito Water District	46.6%	13,500	32%	-14.6%	N/A	0
Coastside County Water District	22.7%	16,668	8%	-14.7%	N/A	0
Milpitas City of	26.8%	70,817	12%	-14.8%	N/A	0
Westborough Water District	22.8%	14,050	8%	-14.8%	N/A	0
Escondido City of	35.1%	134,053	20%	-15.1%	N/A	0
Crestline Village Water District	23.4%	7,516	8%	-15.4%	N/A	0
Tuolumne Utilities District	39.6%	28,997	24%	-15.6%	N/A	0
Lompoc City of	27.6%	41,541	12%	-15.6%	N/A	0
Martinez City of	35.8%	35,375	20%	-15.8%	N/A	0
Great Oaks Water Company Incorporated	35.9%	99,199	20%	-15.9%	N/A	0
Benicia City of	36.0%	28,086	20%	-16.0%	N/A	0
California Water Service Company South San Francisco	24.0%	61,445	8%	-16.0%	N/A	0
San Jose Water Company	36.5%	990,000	20%	-16.5%	N/A	0
McKinleyville Community Service District	20.7%	16,900	4%	-16.7%	N/A	0
Goleta Water District	28.8%	86,946	12%	-16.8%	N/A	0
San Lorenzo Valley Water District	28.9%	19,000	12%	-16.9%	N/A	0
Tahoe City Public Utilities District	37.2%	12,828	20%	-17.2%	N/A	0
Lake Arrowhead Community Services District	33.6%	22,389	16%	-17.6%	N/A	0
Burlingame City of	33.9%	30,282	16%	-17.9%	N/A	0
San Bruno City of	26.2%	43,798	8%	-18.2%	N/A	0
Golden State Water Company Bay Point	30.2%	22,258	12%	-18.2%	N/A	0
Mountain View City of	34.9%	76,210	16%	-18.9%	N/A	0
Bella Vista Water District	55.3%	17,619	36%	-19.3%	N/A	0
Alameda County Water District	35.3%	346,000	16%	-19.3%	N/A	0
California-American Water Company San Diego District	27.8%	95,358	8%	-19.8%	N/A	0
Livermore City of Division of Water Resources	39.9%	27,033	20%	-19.9%	N/A	0
Grover Beach City of	28.2%	13,156	8%	-20.2%	N/A	0
Sunnyvale City of	36.2%	148,028	16%	-20.2%	N/A	0
California-American Water Company Sacramento District	40.2%	201,418	20%	-20.2%	N/A	0
Del Oro Water Company	44.3%	9,615	24%	-20.3%	N/A	0

* See last page for compliance priority category definitions; A - Alternative Compliance Order; C - Conservation Order

August 2015 Supplier Conservation Compliance (by compliance priority, then missed target by %)

406 suppliers reporting for August; non-filers on page 14

Supplier Name	Cumulative Savings (Jun-15 - Aug-15)	Population Served	Conservation Standard	Missed Conservation Standard by %	Missed Conservation Standard by Volume	Compliance Priority*
Mammoth Community Water District	40.6%	16,739	20%	-20.6%	N/A	0
Pleasanton City of	45.0%	73,067	24%	-21.0%	N/A	0
San Gabriel Valley Water Company	37.2%	271,817	16%	-21.2%	N/A	0
Marina Coast Water District	33.2%	32,375	12%	-21.2%	N/A	0
Calaveras County Water District	37.5%	48,630	16%	-21.5%	N/A	0
California Water Service Company Livermore	46.0%	58,625	24%	-22.0%	N/A	0
California Water Service Company Redwood Valley	38.5%	3,203	16%	-22.5%	N/A	0
Santa Cruz City of	30.7%	95,224	8%	-22.7%	N/A	0
San Buenaventura City of	39.4%	113,478	16%	-23.4%	N/A	0
Redwood City City of	32.5%	87,696	8%	-24.5%	N/A	0
North Coast County Water District	32.6%	39,000	8%	-24.6%	N/A	0
Soquel Creek Water District	32.8%	39,051	8%	-24.8%	N/A	0
Santa Barbara City of	37.5%	93,091	12%	-25.5%	N/A	0
Hayward City of	34.0%	152,889	8%	-26.0%	N/A	0
Dublin San Ramon Services District	43.2%	79,348	12%	-31.2%	N/A	0
Menlo Park City of	47.5%	16,066	16%	-31.5%	N/A	0

August 2015 Non-filers

The following suppliers did not submit August 2015 data by 21 September 2015:

- Cambria Community Services District
- Dinuba City of
- Greenfield, City of
- Millbrae City of
- Torrance City of

* See last page for compliance priority category definitions; A - Alternative Compliance Order; C - Conservation Order
Data pulled 21 September 2015

August 2015 Water Supplier Conservation Compliance Summary Table
406 suppliers reporting for August; non-filers on page 14

Compliance Priority		# of Suppliers
1	Greater than 15 percentage points from meeting standard	6
2	Between five and 15 percentage points from meeting standard	54
3	Between one and five percentage points from meeting standard	55
0	Met or within one percentage point from meeting standard	291